

# Trumps eneste valg for vort land er at implementere LaRouches Fire Love i Hamiltons tradition. LaRouche PAC Internationale Webcast, 16. juni, 2017

Matthew Ogden: ... Jeg vil gerne begynde i dag med direkte at referere tilbage til lederartiklen, der blev udgivet på [larouchepac.com](http://larouchepac.com) sidste lørdag, og som fortsat er meget relevant og har vist sig at haste mere og mere, som ugen er skredet frem. Jeg viser den på skærmen. Som I kan se, var artiklens overskrift, »Lyndon LaRouche: Stop FBI's bedrageri; Stop kuppet mod præsidenten – Hvad de løgnagtige medier ikke fortæller«

Hr. LaRouche kom med en meget klar advarsel.

Lyndon LaRouche lancerede en appel til det amerikanske folk om at stoppe det igangværende kup imod præsident Trump, som torsdag fik yderligere næring gennem den fyrede FBI-direktør, James Comeys løgnagtige vidneforklaring for Senatets Efterretnings-Udvalgskomite. LaRouche sagde, at kuppet er en FBI-operation, der forsøger at ødelægge USA, og hvis det ikke standses, vil verden stå over for generel krig.

Som I husker, så forklarede artiklen yderligere:

»Den 7. juni afslørede tidligere direktør for Nationalt

*Efterretningsvæsen, James Clapper, den faktiske motivation for kuppet imod Trump, med bemærkninger i Australien. Han sagde, at Trumps åbenhed over for fred med Rusland – det valprogram, som Trump blev valgt på af det amerikanske folk – i sig selv var totalt imod USA's sikkerhedsinteresser og i realiteten at sidestille med forræderi. Det var allerede før valget almindeligt kendt i det officielle Washington, at præsident Obama, i aftalt spil med briterne, kandidat Clinton, DNI-chef Clapper, CIA-chef Brennan og FBI-chef Comey, havde styret USA på en kurs for krig med Rusland og Kina, som efter planen skulle aktiveres fuldt ud med valget af Hillary Clinton. I stedet blev Trump valgt, hvilket udløste kuppet, der fulgte.»*

Hr. LaRouche kom med en meget afgørende pointe:

*»Præsident Trump har holdt sit løfte og etableret bedre relationer med både Rusland og Kina, der begge søger samarbejde med USA omkring udvikling af verden, baseret på store infrastrukturprojekter. Det er det virkelige, og eneste, spørgsmål her.»*

Jeg har gentaget vores reference til denne artikel, for det er en meget afgørende advarsel fra hr. LaRouche, Og som jeg sagde, er den kun blev mere relevant og mere presserende, som ugen er skredet frem. Som I måske har set, udlagde vi også en video på LaRouche PAC's webside, med titlen, »Stop kuppet mod præsidenten«, som allerede cirkleres temmelig vidt omkring og bør fortsætte med det.

[<https://larouchepac.com/20170614/stop-coup-against-President>]

Men, præcis som hr. LaRouche advarede om i denne erklæring, jeg netop oplæste, så, hvis denne kampagne mod præsidenten ikke stoppes, kan det føre til meget alvorlige konsekvenser for USA, og for verden.

*(her følger resten af udskriftet på engelsk):*

Although the very disgusting propaganda and even direct threats against the life of President Trump began very early on in his administration – practically immediately after his inauguration, as we saw with the article in the German news magazine {Der Spiegel} – over the last week and a half, we saw a very alarming escalation of such threats in increasingly explicit form. Such as comedienne Kathy Griffin holding an image of President Trump's severed head, or the ongoing production of {Julius Caesar} in Central Park which depicts a caricature of President Trump and his wife, First Lady Melania Trump. These threats are serious; they should be stopped immediately. They're very dangerous. They create the environment, as is characterized correctly, "a climate of violence" in which very deranged and disturbed individuals such as the shooter in Alexandria act out this kind of propaganda and act on those threats. Thanks to the Capitol Police detail of Rep. Steve Scalise, a massacre was thankfully averted at that Republican baseball practice on Thursday. But responsible parties in this country must recognize Lyndon LaRouche's warning that this coup attempt and this climate of violence must be stopped immediately, or it will lead to chaos and even general war. As Mr. LaRouche said later in that same statement, "[I]t is time for the people to speak and end this disruptive and highly dangerous attempted coup." We are seeing a shifting attitude among certain sectors of the population around the United States, due to the very vocal and direct intervention by LaRouche PAC and

the LaRouche movement; including in New York City and elsewhere.

A push-back against this propaganda campaign, including an increasing recognition that the never-ending, round-the clock Russia-gate hearings happening practically every day in the U.S.

House and Senate are, in fact, nothing more than a McCarthy-ite

witch-hunt – President Trump correctly used that term; and have

been ongoing now for several months with unlimited resources invested in them, and have turned up zero evidence so far.

What the American people {do} want to hear about is not this fabricated media narrative, but rather how their duly elected government – be it Republicans or Democrats – but the people who they voted to represent them plan to solve the truly urgent

life or death issues that are facing the American people every single day. Collapsing infrastructure. As we know, we have the

so-called “Summer of Hell” coming upon us in New York City; collapsing living standards; collapsing wages; a failing health

care system; epidemic proportions of drug addiction and drug overdose deaths. A Wall Street bubble which is about to explode,

which would have consequences worse than 2008. It’s exactly those issues which the Trump administration was elected to address; but the Trump administration must now begin to deliver.

It’s not a question of piecemeal form, a little fix here, a little bit there, but it’s a national mission which we require from the U.S. Presidency which will mobilize the American people

in the way that Franklin Roosevelt did; in the way that John F.

Kennedy did that. Getting this infrastructure project moving

in  
a very big way and really delivering on this front is crucial  
for  
the President, as we've made the point over recent days; not  
to  
mention making good on his campaign promise to restore  
Glass-Steagall. Frankly, if there's anybody who this  
President  
should be firing right now, it should be this clown, Treasury  
Secretary Steve Mnuchin; who is repeatedly going in front of  
Senate committee hearings and lying through his teeth that the  
Glass-Steagall that Trump was talking about, was not the  
Glass-Steagall that was in the Republican platform, is not the  
Glass-Steagall that is called the 21st-Century Glass-Steagall.  
This has been called out correctly by a number of sitting U.S.  
Senators; Senator Warren, Senator Sanders. But these are  
concrete steps which must be taken immediately, if President  
Trump is to mobilize the American people and to effectively  
counter this mass propaganda assault and recruit the citizens  
to  
mobilize behind the duly elected Presidency of the United  
States.

One thing that I know we're going to address in the course  
of the discussion in this show today, is Mr. LaRouche's  
{emphatic} point that what we need now is directed, Federal  
credit on a massive scale in a Hamiltonian form. Helga  
Zepp-LaRouche has reiterated that point several times in  
discussions this week. What keeps coming up in discussions  
around so-called infrastructure, including a major event that  
Diane Sare attended up in New York City a few days ago, is  
this  
question of privatization of major infrastructure and funding  
new  
infrastructure through so-called private investment.  
As Helga LaRouche said, this is not only grossly inadequate  
and will never work, but it is also criminal in fact. As we  
saw

in the very real criminal privatization after the collapse of the Soviet Union, the mass privatization of state-owned utilities there in Russia and the former Soviet countries and privatization of infrastructure; which plunged the population there into a demographic collapse and a real dark age while a few criminal oligarchs looted the entire region. What we need is not that, but what we need is the American System; as President Trump himself referred directly earlier during his administration. That is, Alexander Hamilton, Abraham Lincoln; that is the American System which built the United States.

What I want to shift to right now, before we get into a deeper discussion on that, is what Mr. LaRouche also made a very strong point about in that warning that I referred in the beginning of the broadcast. He said the fact that Trump was elected, triggered this kind of coup from these factions which had been trying to keep him out of office and escalate the war confrontation between the United States and Russia and China. Instead, you've seen President Trump reach out to China, continue to reach out to Russia despite massive pressure not to. And you see these countries seeking cooperation with the United States on developing a new paradigm, a new international system based on great projects and development. That is what the underlying issue here is; and nothing else. Do not get distracted.

What I want to do right now, before I get into the discussion with Michael, is to share with you a little bit of footage; some excerpts from a dialogue that President Putin of Russia had with the people of his country and also with people internationally on his annual direct webcast call-in show.

This

lasted over four hours. I'm going to put up on the screen for you a couple of pictures from these and I'm going to read some questions and then the answers that he had, because these statements from President Putin are directly addressing this question that Mr. LaRouche just raised. What is the perspective

for a peaceful relationship between the United States and Russia?

So, as you'll see, this is a picture of President Putin [Fig. 2]; this was a call-in show where he received questions live. This was the first question from an American. It said, "Greetings, Mr. Putin! My name is Jeremy Bowling. I live in Mesa, Arizona in America. I'm a big supporter of you. I am very pro-Russian, and I wish you much health and success in your life.

My question to you is this. As an American who sits here in America and sees the racist Russian phobia running crazy in my country, what advice would you give me to help set the record straight, to help my fellow Americans understand that Russia is not the enemy?"

President Putin replies: "To begin with, I am very grateful to you for this call. I can tell you as the current head of the

Russian state, that I know the attitudes of our people. We do not consider America our enemy. Moreover, twice in history when

we were going through very rough times, we pooled our efforts; we

were allies in two world wars. In the past, the Russian Empire

played a substantial role in helping America gain independence and supported the United States. We see that Russo-phobia is running high in America, and think this is primarily a result of

the escalating political infighting. I do not think I have the right to give you any advice. I simply want to thank you for this stance. We know that we have very many friends in the United States. My American colleagues tell me so, and public opinion polls show the same results. At any rate, those polls taken a month ago, show that we have many friends there. True, regrettably, such hysteria is bound to affect the frame of mind, but let me assure you that there are also very many people in Russia who have deep respect for the achievements of the American people and are hoping that eventually our relations will get back on track, in which both we and the United States are extremely interested.”

So, that was his answer to the first question, and then coming up next, he had a question from an editor of a Moscow-based Russian-language newspaper, who also asked about the same question. He said “One of the current trends is that bilateral relations are deteriorating and there is Russo-phobia, along with daily reports about new anti-Russian initiatives including sanctions. At the same time, there is a growing demand not only for stabilizing, but also for improving Russian-American relations. At a Senate hearing the day before yesterday, U.S. Secretary of State Rex Tillerson said something to the effect that every time he meets with his foreign colleagues since his appointment, they have asked him to stabilize relations with the Russians.” He went on to say, “In three weeks’ time, the G20 will convene in Hamburg, where you are to meet with U.S. President Trump. Is it possible that these talks will help



prod

this negative trend towards a more positive one, and possibly even towards a radical improvement in our relationships with the

United States? In what areas and on what issues can Russian-U.S.

cooperation be productive and mutually beneficial? I believe these questions are of concern not only to people in Russia and

in the United States, but many other countries as well."

President Putin answered as follows: "You know as well as I do the areas in which we can work together with the United States. This includes above all control over non-proliferation

of weapons of mass destruction. We are the biggest nuclear powers and so our cooperation in this area is absolutely natural... Cursing and trading barbs and insults with the U.S. administration would be the worst road to take... We must work together to fight poverty in the world... There is a disastrous situation in many parts of the world, and this is one of the sources of radicalism and terrorism, this poverty around the world; and we must decide together how to address this problem."

Then President Putin continued by saying: "By the way, we worked

together with the United States to resolve the Iranian nuclear issue, and we did reach an agreement, we did find a solution. There are positive examples of cooperation, then. The previous

U.S. administration directly recognized the substantial role that

we played in resolving this issue. We can reach agreements and

work together then. Of course we can. On the Syrian problem and

Middle East in general, it is clear to all that no progress will

be made without joint constructive work. We hope greatly too, for the United States' constructive role in settling the crisis

in southeast Ukraine." Then he said, "A constructive role as I

said. You see then that there are many areas in which we must work together. But this depends not only on us. We see what is

happening in the United States today. I have said before and say

again now, that this is clearly a sign of an increasingly intense

domestic political struggle, and there is nothing that we can do

here. We cannot influence this process, but we are ready for constructive dialogue."

So again, this was from a four-hour dialogue that President Putin engaged in with the Russian people and people internationally. But it's a very important point that he makes

there, that the Russians are ready for a constructive dialogue.

Obviously, President Trump has a very good opportunity now in three weeks' time with the G20 summit which is coming up, to sit

down directly with President Putin and engage in that dialogue directly.

I also just want to point out that during the course of this week, there was a real blockbuster feature presentation on Showtime, of a four-part series of interviews that were produced

by the film director Oliver Stone. This was based on interviews

with President Putin which occurred over the last two and a half

years before the election, and then a final one that occurred after the election. There's far too much to go through, to

summarize these interviews in any detail. But it really is a chance that Americans rarely get, to hear President Putin in his own words talk about how we reached this point; his view of perspective points of collaboration with the United States; the Russian view of what has been done to encircle and threaten Russia over the recent 10-15 years; and his understanding of what the strategic necessities and the strategic realities are, not only of the present moment, but as he repeatedly said, there are very few people who have the ability to think 25 or even 50 years in the future. And to see the present from what the challenges are that the future generations will have to resolve together. At one point, he even calls for a “new paradigm of international relations”; very similar to what the LaRouche movement has been calling for, for several years.

II                With that said by way of introduction, let me just invite Michael to come in, add a few points here, and then I think we can get a little bit more going in terms of the discussion.

MICHAEL STEGER: OK, sure. It’s probably worth to start from where you left off, Matt; which is this interview between Oliver Stone and Putin. There are a number of layers to the interview. It’s 20 hours of recording, only 4 hours are presented, edited down. But what you see from the discussion – and it’s useful because it’s not simply an interview with Vladimir Putin; but what Putin does provide – as you referenced

– is a 25-50-year perspective. He captures a sense of leadership in a way that's unseen in American culture for some time, except for probably Lyn. It recognizes that what governs an individual's value and life is a sense of immortality. He references the 25-50 years; he discounts the questions of money and power and says the reason he continues to be President is not that he's gotten so accustomed to power that he couldn't do without it, but that he's committed to a single objective of the economic development of his country. That really does capture on that 25-50-100-year perspective, a sense of immortality of an individual. What are we contributing our lives to? That's actually the basis of political leadership. That's why Putin has become so successful on the world stage; why he's been able to handle the failures of leadership from Clinton – especially from the end of his term – but more so obviously Bush and of course, Obama. And why he's able to deal with the insanity inside the United States today. But the other layer which is important to point out, is that Oliver Stone has clearly made a shift in terms of his intervention. He recognized at some point, that we were converging again on another potential nuclear annihilation and nuclear war. There's a courage and a vulnerability in Oliver Stone himself in the intervention; because he's there to have a very open and vulnerable dialogue with Putin. And he's intending to make a political intervention into the United States. I

think

people have to realize this: He's taking on what's become this

kind of perverse political culture in the United States; this so-called Obama left wing, which is now calling for a coup by the

CIA and FBI, war with Russia, police state-like measures, complete control of the media, assassination of the President. This is the Obama left now; it's just fascism, as Lyn had said in

the last couple of days. But he's intervening on that, but on the entire culture; a certain kind of courage is expressed by this interview and by what he's presenting. Clearly, people should engage it, watch it, find ways to access it. Hopefully,

it becomes more public. There is footage on YouTube that people can capture.

But there is an intervention, and I think it makes it clear what kind of intervention is now necessary. Lyn has set the standard on this kind of political intervention in the United States.

Let's put it in context. As you mentioned, there is an ongoing coup against the United States Presidency. This is something that was stated clearly after President Trump was elected. That either he is going to have to resign, be impeached, or assassinated. And you have the {Weekly Standard},

a number of publications throughout Europe – especially Great Britain – who are very focussed on Trump's removal by any means

necessary. I think what we've seen over the last seven months is

a very sad attempt at trying to link Trump's campaign to Russian

collusion. The enemies targetting Trump knew the entire time there was no collusion with Russia; this entire thing is a

made-up fraud. But what they did hope for was that, one, they could either prevent him from taking the oath of office. Remember, soon after he won, there was attempt to prevent him from even taking the oath because of allegations and concerns that maybe he was a Russian agent. That didn't prevent him from taking the oath. Since then, we've seen an escalation towards this so-called Russian collusion question. They attempted to capture the Presidency with an attempted coup run through the National Security Council, as we saw with the Syria attack; but that also then failed. So, you're now beginning to see a regurgitation of the same stories. Jeff Sessions was called in to testify in the Senate; it went nowhere. He called out; you're calling me, who served this country for 35 years? Regardless of where Jeff Sessions stands on policies, he served the country for 35 years; he's not a Russian agent, he's not a traitor to the country on behalf of Russia. These allegations are just wild; they're almost inconceivable, if you didn't understand the broader context. And so, this Russia-gate story is dying. The independent counsel that was appointed when Trump fired Comey is now not even investigating Russian collusion per se, it's now just investigating obstruction of justice. And President Trump has been sharp on this with his Twitter account, and pointed out that since there's no Russia story, so now it's just obstruction of justice on the Russia story. It doesn't add up. In that context, what do we see happen? As they continue to push this – and they will continue to push it; they're now going

to bring in other former Obama people, Jay Johnson, Homeland Security Secretary; they'll continue to regurgitate or hold hearings, to keep the media story going. But clearly what we've

seen over the last couple of weeks, you see it in the New York play of {Julius Caesar}, where a Donald Trump character, or Julius Caesar dressed as Donald Trump, is ritually stabbed, night

after night after night on the stage in New York City. This is

backed by CNN, this is backed by the {New York Times}; it's backed by other media companies ["Shakespeare in the Park"]; it's

backed by the City of New York. You see the Kathy Griffin ISIS pose with the beheaded Trump mask.

So you see there is an intention to escalate the violence.

And then you see a mass murder attempt against up to 15 people,

members of Congress, Senate and House, House leadership; all of

the Republican Party, targetting them because they {are} Republican. And this [the shooter] is a guy who apparently left

his wife and his home, to live in a van for two months in Alexandria, Virginia, well-known for targetting the LaRouche organization and falsely targetting us for prison sentences in the so-called "rocket docket." So, of course, swarming with FBI,

CIA, swamp-like creatures. This guy sets up camp for two months,

targets Republicans for mass murder, and simply perhaps because

of just circumstances, that there were a couple of Capitol Police

members there because the gates were locked on one side of the ball field, it didn't end up in a full massacre. And hopefully,

everyone recovers; two people are still in critical condition. But that's a clear message: You are associated with Trump, you're associated with Trump's Republican Party; a Republican Party, by the way, that Trump took over, away from much of the Republican establishment. But yet, now you're Republicans associated with Trump, you're now targetted for mass murder. This is an assassination attempt directly targetting the Presidency of the United States. Not surprising, because they stated this is where they were going to go. I think the fact that's shown by all of this is the desperation and panic and hysteria by our enemies, by a British Empire, is increasing. The

panic is increasing, the desperation is increasing, for the very reasons we've covered on this website and in these discussions.

Because the Belt and Road paradigm, a growth paradigm between Russia and China, is now becoming consolidated throughout Eurasia, in Africa. There were attempts by the U.S. Senate, just

the last couple of days to increase sanctions on Russia, targetting even their oil and natural gas exports. Germany, the

foreign minister and the Austrian Chancellor Kern, who have been

opposed to Trump on everything, have now just come out and backed

Trump and Secretary of State Tillerson in opposition to these sanctions, because, one, it hurts Germany; it also hurts the United States and it hurts the world.

So there is a constantly changing situation, and yet the people in the U.S. Congress are rabidly off. They've gone rabid

on this Russia question. They're being pulled by the nose by the

media on this question.

The question is, what do the American people do? What do



you do in the face of an attempted coup by the FBI, CIA, Wall Street, British Empire factions, and now, an outright call for murder and violence on anyone associated with Trump, including the President himself, or the direct overthrow of our government.

This is why it's so important to understand what Putin presents in these interviews, and what he and China and Belt and

Road Forum present. There is an option. And the main reason this coup is taking place is because there is a threat from the

Trump Presidency to go with the full LaRouche option: not just to

work with Russia and China, not just to take away the threat of

war – that was a critical factor, in the entire Obama Presidency, especially in the second term. But not just to end

the danger of war, but to create an all-out collaboration of these nations around a paradigm of growth and development, something Putin himself is committed to growing and developing the Russian people, the Russian economy; the same thing China is

committed to, growing more people. You see this in Africa: Africa is burgeoning with new levels of growth because of Chinese

investments. We could do a whole show, and maybe we should, on

just the developments in Africa alone: The Congo Basin is facing

huge potential developments to provide electricity to all of Sub-Saharan Africa. So there's real growth potential. There's

an option in the United States to do the same thing. That's the

LaRouche program.

Now Donald Trump has raised much of these issues for the

U.S. economy, the space program, infrastructure, there's been a whole week on infrastructure; job training programs, apprenticeship programs, an entire week this week, on apprenticeships in jobs training programs. He's called for the Glass-Steagall fight. But what we don't see, with all of this talk of infrastructure, even from Democrats who have commended Donald Trump for his commitment to infrastructure, but nobody's presenting the question of how do you finance these projects? How do you develop the country? We are, personally, as an organization; Elliot Greenspan gave a very thorough briefing last night on the Thursday night Activists' Call on this website [[http://action.larouchepac.com/fireside\\_chat\\_june\\_15](http://action.larouchepac.com/fireside_chat_june_15)], on the event that we attended in New York City: There is a lot of discussion and we can go through more of that, Matt, if you want to in a second. But the point is this: There is a chance to go with the full LaRouche program, to create the public credit, to create the national banking system, to shut down this Wall Street fraud, to shut down the bail-in orientation; and to go with the program of unleashing trillions of dollars of U.S. credit, immediately. Staged over time, but trillions of dollars to begin to rebuild and develop the country. What this will accomplish, if Trump moves on this, the LaRouche program, Glass-Steagall, the National Bank, it will eliminate the artificial political divide of the country. It focusses the nation on the nation's potential for development, pulling people out of poverty, giving young people a sense of a

future, and it puts us directly in coherence with Russia and China on a growth perspective. That's how Trump can outflank this attempted coup, that's how the American people can participate in this kind of historical fight.

And it takes courage, but there's a pathway by which we win this fight, with an enemy that is increasingly panicked and desperate by any means possible to shut down what is a very viable LaRouche option. And I think that really captures where

we are today, and why we have to be so aggressive, joyfully aggressive, about the potential mankind has if we can win this fight in the United States, because it's certainly winnable today.

So I'll add that, and see what else we have to discuss.

OGDEN: Great. You mentioned this event up in New York City, I think that also actually goes to the point, of, number one, the LaRouche movement – Lyndon LaRouche, Helga LaRouche – when it comes down to it are the leading authorities in the room,

and the representatives of the LaRouche movement are the leading

authorities in the room, on exactly this question: How would Alexander Hamilton apply the American System in this present situation? But number two, it makes the point that the world is

a completely different place, following this Belt and Road Forum

that happened in Beijing. One of the organizers of that event in

New York obviously had been at the Belt and Road Forum, changed

his attitude. Helga LaRouche's presence at the Belt and Road Forum is a very key reference point; I think that this really allows us to put two bookends on maybe the last 20 years of history at least, from the collapse of the Soviet Union and the

proposal of the Eurasian Land-Bridge, this New Silk Road idea, when it originally came out of the LaRouche movement in 1990-1991. And then the adoption of that in 2013 by Xi Jinping, and then this world-historic forum with Helga Zepp-LaRouche herself, personally in attendance. And so, she continues to make the point, the world has completely changed. The world is a different place, now that this dynamic has begun to consolidate itself. And this is the reality which Americans continue to fail to recognize, and must be presented with. The opportunities are {so} strong for a direct participation, by the United States in this initiative, coming from China but also with strong support from Putin in Russia, and it's already changing the reality on the ground, as you said, in places like Africa, has a strong potential to be the key to peace in the Middle East and so forth. But you made the point, there is nobody, even despite all the best intentions to say "we need to build infrastructure, we need to create jobs, we need to increase manufacturing," there's nobody who understands the science of economics behind how you do that, other than the leadership of the LaRouche movement right now. People should remember in the context, obviously, of what we've been talking about with these threats against President Trump – I mean, he did make these two speeches referencing the American System, several months ago now, but they were a leading feature of his economic initiative. But who was the founder of the American System? It was Alexander Hamilton. What fate did

Alexander Hamilton meet? It was Aaron Burr, it was the duel which killed Alexander Hamilton: {This has been the fight in the

United States} since the founding of the United States, and before. Will the United States adopt a scientific approach to economics as it was elaborated by Alexander Hamilton, which is based on the recognition that the creative powers of the human mind {are} what creates wealth? This is what changes the platform over time, as new technologies are introduced, step by

step; or, will the United States continue to be a satrapy of the

British Imperial system, as we've seen, increasingly over the last 50 years. This is the role that the United States has played.

And now we have the opportunity from outside of the United States, from elsewhere, from China and other countries who are adopting exactly this kind of development perspective for the United States to reclaim its birthright, and to become, again, that Hamiltonian type of nation that we were founded to become.

So, as people continue to become disillusioned with the kind of propaganda that they're being fed, day in and day out, about

what this Russia-gate thing is all about, the proper perspective

is needed, and you need to be able to step back and say, "what's

the real issue here? What is the conjunctural point in world history that we find ourselves at? And what's the decision-making

point, which we're being confronted with?"

So that's the kind of leadership I think, but it's not just a question of where does the United States go? It's a question

of do we recognize that the world has completely changed, and are

we at the point of saying, "Yes, that's the change, that's the next 25 years, that's the next 50 years, and we have to put behind us the failed system which is now collapsing."

I don't know if you want to say a little bit more about the change in the attitude of the American people, Michael. I mean, I

would like to see what the effect is if this Oliver Stone interview receives more widespread circulation, what people's reaction to that will be, but even up to this point.

STEGER: Yeah, we can say, we know for certain an increasing number of the American people are getting fed up and frustrated

by the outright obstruction of the Trump Presidency. I mean, Trump does have a real base in the American people, and most Americans don't want to see their government overthrown by a CIA/FBI/{New York Times}/Wall Street operation – they just don't. They might be intimidated; there might be a {vox populi}

kind of French mob out there. But most Americans are not of that nature.

And they're fighting back. We see this in field squads in New Jersey and throughout other parts of the country, that many

people are wanting to come over to the table and discuss it.

You

know, we have signs "Defend Trump, Stop the Coup, Support Trump.

Stop here." "End the British Empire, Arrest Obama." So it's very

clear that people are willing to fight if there's a quality of leadership. But we have to make it very clear to the people around President Trump and to him directly, you will not be able

to accomplish anything, if you don't change the system.

Perhaps we put it in the form of an analogy: You know,

Trump can change the building all he wants to – he can put in new walls, he can expand it, he can build it taller, he can build

it bigger, he can change the electrical system, he can change the

plumbing system, he can do all those things if he wants to, to the building. The problem is, he's not going to actually give the building long-term survival if he doesn't change the foundation.

The foundation of a nation's economy is the system which allows it to grow and develop, it's its credit system, and right

now our credit system is locked into Wall Street. Just a couple

of numbers stand out: \$6 trillion was spent on the wars over the

last 15 years, since we launched the war in Afghanistan. There's

\$4 trillion apparently on the Federal Reserve books, largely from

buying junk speculative assets from Wall Street banks. That's \$10 trillion. So the credit of our country has been locked up for 15 years in these wars, in these bailouts, – like you said,

20, 25 years, the American people have been living under a reign

of psychological and political terror for 20, 25 years.

Now, at the same time, China's been creating this

development perspective. So if Trump's going to create a change

in the orientation, he's really going to fulfill what he intends

to – and you see it, he references the Hoover Dam, the Erie Canal, he talks about the big infrastructure projects that have

transformed the nation's industry and its political direction, like Roosevelt did, like Hamilton did. But if he's going to

do  
it, he's going to have to change the fundamental foundations  
of  
how that system is functioning. He's going to have to move  
the  
nation's credit back into the hands, of a focus of industry,  
science, and agriculture of the country. He's going to have  
to  
not just repair some infrastructure projects but set an  
entirely  
new platform and let that platform, which will last for  
another  
hundred years, it'll be a century-long platform – much like  
our  
infrastructure today is nearly a century old. But upon that  
platform will allow an understanding of how to make the  
immediate  
repairs we need to.

This is what he's got to do. This is the LaRouche program,  
the Four Laws. And I know from what Diane Sare and Elliot  
Greenspan have described, we are clearly increasingly  
collaborating with members and leadership from China; there  
were

leadership from China at this event in New York City just the  
other day. We'll be having further conferences with leading  
figures from China on infrastructure. We're in discussion  
with

people throughout New York City. There is a potential and  
Elliot

described it last night [on the Activitists' Call]: If we  
work

with the Chinese today, if we started today, within just two  
years we can resolve immediately the infrastructure and  
transit

crisis in New York City, as a first step move, setting a new  
platform for every nation's cities and the connection between  
those cities on a regional and national basis.



There is an outlook we can take, but you have to change the foundations of the system the way Hamilton established, the way

Lincoln and Roosevelt applied it. And that's critical. The American people see the coup. They don't like it. They're ready

if there's leadership, but they also have to be given a direction

and they also have to be given a chance, to begin to build the country. And Trump's really got to take on these big challenges.

And again, I think the question for Trump, as Putin himself expressed, and as any real President – you maybe can say more on

this about John Kennedy, Matt, since his 100th birthday just passed – but the question any true President faces is a question

of immortality. Because what are you really there to do? And the tough questions challenge that sense, and I think the recent

political attack we saw in Virginia, the murder attempt, are going to confront this Presidency, and the leadership around him,

to have to make a decision: Are they really going to fight for the future of the American people? Putin had to make the same decision when he came into office in 1999-2000; every true President, as Lincoln did, FDR did – he faced near assassination

before his inauguration; Kennedy certainly faced it, and knew it.

And that's the question that Trump has to face, but the LaRouche

program provides the alternative link, not only to end this coup,

but to really launch a Renaissance for the United States.

OGDEN: About John Kennedy, he made numerous speeches which

addressed that question of the immortality of the leadership of the country, in directly the terms of infrastructure. He went down to the Tennessee Valley Authority, and he said, – this was 1961 or 1962 – and he said, it was because of the decisions that Franklin Roosevelt took 30 years before, that we are able to even stand here today and look at these wonderful projects and it transformed this entire region of the country. But it makes us ask the question: Thirty years from now, once we are out of office and once we are dead and gone, what will future generations say about us? What great projects will we have built, just as FDR did for us at that time; and in 1991 or 1992, what will people living at that time say that we did for the future of the United States and for the human race? Obviously, Kennedy's greatest legacy was the space program. But it's that same kind of question, which now must be asked, and always must be asked by any great leader of any country. So I concur: That's the kind of question which President Putin very eloquently put on the table and repeatedly. And he said, unfortunately, there are very few people within the United States who think in these terms – although there are some. And I think those are the people who are responsible for taking the leadership of the United States and consolidating this, making it work.

Michael, I think you make the point very clearly: If President Trump is going to outflank this coup attempt, not only must it be exposed in no uncertain terms, head on; but also,

he

must deliver on the vision and the campaign promises which the American people elected him around. And it cannot be in a piecemeal way, it has to be from the standpoint of a Hamiltonian

national vision, funded by, as you say, trillions of dollars of

direct Federal credit. It can't be done in any other way.

But

if he begins to deliver on that, the American people will be on

his side and will give him the backup which he's going to need.

So: Thanks a lot Michael. We're going to be circulating even more – there was an email that went out to all of the subscribers to the LaRouche PAC email list, on some of the background material that you need to understand the timeline behind this attempted coup against the Trump Administration going

all the way back to the inauguration, if not before. And I think

we covered a little bit of that in detail.

And I continue to emphasize the importance of this statement that Lyndon LaRouche put out now a week ago, last Saturday, titled: "Stop the FBI Fraud: Stop the Coup against the President

– What the Lying Media Are Not Telling You!" We already know that this has received pretty wide circulation, but it's something which can continue to be circulated.

Thank you very much Michael. I think we can probably have a countdown to this G20 summit which is coming up in less than three weeks; and look forward to some real changes in the same we

had the relationship between the United States and China; now some changes in terms of the potential for cooperation between the United States and Russia.

I'd like to thank people for tuning in tonight. Please

subscribe to our YouTube channel if you haven't yet; subscribe to our daily email list. You can get active at the Action Center at [larouchepac.com](http://larouchepac.com), and join in what we're doing here with the LaRouche movement across the United States. So thanks a lot, and good night.