

# Macron forsøger at sælge Londons »Plan B« i Washington

24. april, 2018 – Tirsdagens fælles pressekonference mellem præsidenterne Donald Trump og Emmanuel Macron startede en time for sent. Begge talte om deres store venskab og deres lange samtale i det Ovale Kontor; Macron kyssede Trump på kinden under ét af flere hjertelige håndtryk mellem de to, og han svingede sin arm op på Trumps skulder, da de forlod podiet. Hvad de faktisk aftalte, ud over betydningen af det tidlige morgenangreb på Syrien den 14. april, er imidlertid fortsat uvist.

Konfronteret med præsident Trumps insisteren på, at han vil trække de amerikanske tropper ud af Syrien, sendte briterne den unge, franske præsident ind for at forsøge at bruge det fælles amerikansk-britisk-franske militære angreb mod Syrien som en løftestang for på en eller anden måde at lænkebinde den amerikanske præsident til en ny variant af deres endeløse, geopolitiske krige i Mellemøsten ved at spille op til hans »Iran er fjenden«-profil for at sælge det.

Macron talte om »humanitær lov« og anti-kemiske våben, men han fokuserede på »fire søjler« i en plan for Mellemøsten, hvor Iran er målet, og som han lagde frem på bordet. De første tre søjler relaterer til at sikre, at Irans atomprogram afsluttes permanent, og at dets ballistiske missilkapacitet fjernes. Den fjerde søjle er den afgørende: at skabe betingelserne for at begrænse Iran i området (han specificerede Yemen, Libyen og Syrien). Sidstnævnte kræver, sagde han, en politisk afgørelse på lang sigt for Syrien, som garanterer, at Syrien ikke falder ind under noget »overherredømme«, og at beslutninger om Syriens politiske fremtid vil blive udarbejdet af Europa, USA og regionale magter, med selv Rusland og Tyrkiet som en del af den regionale pakke. Forespurgt, om amerikanske tropper må forblive i Syrien under en politisk overgang, svarede den franske præsident, at »vi vil« (!) vurdere sådanne behov i de kommende måneder, baseret på omstændighederne på jorden.

De kloge hoveder vil nu utvivlsomt være travlt optaget af at forsøge at fortolke præsident Trumps bemærkninger for at afgøre, hvad hans faktiske planer er – en notorisk upålidelig praksis. Han sagde, at han og Macron aftalte, at vi ikke kan efterlade Middelhavet uden sikkerhed, og at der kræves en meget stærk blokade mod, at Iran når frem til Middelhavet. Han udtalte desuden med eftertryk, at de »ekstremt rige« lande i Mellemøsten, som USA har beskyttet gratis – og I ved, hvem jeg mener, sagde han – må betale for dette og sætte deres egne soldater på jorden for at håndhæve det. (Saudi-Arabien skal være blandt dem, Trump har i

tankerne.) USA har fået mindre end intet ud af de \$7 billion, det har brugt i Mellemøsten i årenes løb, fortsatte han, men når vi ønsker at bygge hovedveje, tunneller og skoler i USA, siger folk, »åh, vi må udvise forsigtighed mht., hvordan vi bruger vores penge«. Dernæst gentog Trump, at ISIS stort set er udslettet i Irak og Syrien; at han har til hensigt at trække USA ud af Syrien, og at vi meget snart vil træffe »nogle meget store beslutninger«.

En overordnet fredsaftale haster virkelig i Sydvestasien. Ideer, der imidlertid er gennemførlige, til sikring af dette, såsom at forene folkeslagene omkring den udvikling, som den Nye Silkevej ville bringe, kunne meget vel fremkomme af det meget nødvendige topmøde mellem præsidenterne Trump og Putin.

*Foto: De angivelige bonkammerater Macron og Trump under deres fælles pressekonference.*

---

## [Harmonien mellem konfuciansk og vestlig filosofi: Mod økumenisk enhed mellem Øst og Vest. Lektion 5 i LaRouchePAC's Undervisningsserie 2018, »Hvad er det Nye Paradigme?«, 31. marts, 2018](#)

*Det er generelt tilfældet, at folk i både Østen og Vesten ofte har accepteret ideen om, at der findes en eller anden fundamental forskel mellem den kinesiske tankegang og den vestlige tankegang, og at dette er et uoverstigeligt svælg. Som Rudyard Kipling, den britiske imperialist, grundlæggende set sagde, »Øst er Øst, og Vest er Vest, og aldrig skal de tvende mødes«. Som jeg altid har sagt, så er dette ikke en konstatering af fakta, men er derimod en politik – dette er den britiske imperiepolitik, der sørger for, at der eksisterer en opdeling, for det er gennem at holde verden opdelt, at de kan fortsætte med at herske imperialt over de opdelte nationer.*

*Men vi har altså, både her i USA og i Vesten og i Kina, at folk accepterer denne*

*idé om, at der skulle være en eller anden fundamentalt anderledes tankegang. Der er selvfølgelig forskellige karaktertræk i vestlig kultur og i kinesisk kultur – det er der ingen tvivl om. Men folk, der fremfører dette som et argument, er tilbøjelige til at sige, at deres side er den overlegne, og de andres side er underlegen; og dette ser man for øvrigt i Kina såvel som her.*

*Det, jeg vil gøre i dag, er grundlæggende set at tilbagevise denne opfattelse og forsøge at vise, at det, der er fundamentalt for mennesker overalt, er kampen mellem en humanistisk opfattelse af mennesket og så den oligarkiske anskuelse af mennesket som i heldigste fald en eller anden slags avanceret dyr; og at dette er arten af hele den vestlige kulturs historie og af hele den kinesiske kulturs historie.*

[Download \(PDF, Unknown\)](#)

---

**Falsk flag; 'fake news'; regimeskifte  
i  
Washington: Afsløret som 'Made in  
London'  
Helga Zepp-LaRouche i Schiller  
Institut  
Strategiske Webcast, 19. april, 2018;**

## pdf

*Jeg ville ønske, at fornuft ville indtræde i hovedet på nogle af de europæiske regeringer, og at de simpelt hen ville gå sammen i fællesskabet. Jeg har ikke set noget tegn på dette. Faktisk har den tyske EU-kommissær for budget og menneskelige resurser, Günter Öttinger, netop sagt, at Europa ikke bør være »fort Europa«, men at vi bør bringe udvikling til Afrika. Men så sagde han, at vi bør gøre dette for ikke at overlade det afrikanske kontinent til kineserne, som blot ville forfølge deres egne, egoistiske mål. Og så længe denne idiotiske tankegang er fremherskende, tror jeg ikke, der findes nogen løsning.*

*Folk bør indse, hvad det er, Kina gør, og holde op med at have disse fordomme. For, hvis man sammenligner den moralske kvalitet af det, Kina gør for sit eget folk og for andre nationer, så er det himmelvidt overlegent i forhold til det, Vesten foretager sig.*

[Download \(PDF, Unknown\)](#)

---

**Jacques Cheminade fra Solidarité & Progrès til RT France:**  
**Angloamerikanske kræfter arbejder for verdenskrig,**  
**fordi deres monetære finanssystem er**

## truet

Paris, 18. april, 2018 – (Nouvelle Solidarité) – I forbindelse med Skripal-forgiftningen og det angivelige »kemiske angreb« i Douma, Syrien, fik Jacques Cheminade, præsident for Solidarité & Progrés (LaRouche-bevægelsen i Frankrig, –red.) en masse dækning i RT France. Den 9. april blev han interviewet om Skripal-affæren i en halv time, og den 14. april, den dag, angrebene blev udført om natten mellem 13. og 14. april, var han inviteret som gæst på et timelangt Tv-nyhedsprogram. Her er et par uddrag, vi kan bruge fra dette vigtige interview:

Bedt om at kommentere angrebet, svarede Cheminade,

*»Hvis man ser isoleret på tingene, kan man kalde dette for et 'engangsangreb', som den amerikanske forsvarsminister James Mattis gjorde, eller et 'begrænset mål', eller sige, at russerne var varslet på forhånd, som [den franske forsvarsminister] Florence Parly gjorde. Man kan sige så meget, en symbolsk gestus, eller en gestikuleren. Det er imidlertid ikke virkeligheden; virkeligheden er den strategiske eskalering, der ligger bag, og for at se dette, skal vi ikke se på den isolerede handling, men må i stedet se på den globale, internationale situation. Vi står med en situation, hvor de angloamerikanske kræfter arbejder hen imod en storbrand, en krig, og det arbejder de på, fordi deres monetære system truer med at kollapse hvert øjeblik, det skal være. For dem er krig et våben, der kan bruges som en trussel, og i denne strategiske optrapning leger de med ilden hvert sekund, og det er meget alvorligt.«*

*»Det, der er alvorligt«, fortsatte Cheminade, »er beviser, som regeringen baserede sig på for at træffe en sådan beslutning: Information, leveret af de Hvide Hjelme; en organisation, stiftet af James Le Mesurier, en direkte britisk agent, som alle ved«, og på »kilder såsom det Syrisk Amerikanske Selskab, et amerikansk selskab, der køres af tidligere associerede til USAID, og Unionen af Læge- og Nødhjælpsorganisationer, der køres af saudierne og muligvis af det Muslimske Broderskab«; vores regering baserede sig »på beviser, der kom fra den ene side i konflikten, og ikke den anden ... Præsident Macron måtte på et tidspunkt indrømme, at Frankrig ikke havde en prøve på den kemiske substans, der var brugt, og som ville gøre det muligt for regeringen at vurdere; alligevel fandt disse angreb sted, mens OPCW var ved at ankomme til Syrien, og vi angreb før de kom!«*

*»Lad os klart fastslå, at Syrien aldrig har truet vores territorium. Vi bruger altså her det angloamerikanske princip, der hedder 'ansvar for at beskytte'*

(R2P), hvilket vil sige, beskytte deres egne interesser og bruge humanitære bekymringer som påskud. Der er nogen, der har sagt, at man kan komme militære angreb ind i handsker af humanitær hjælp. Det er, hvad vi står overfor.

For det andet, hvornår fandt dette angreb sted? Efter Skripal-affæren, ud fra alle beviser en iscenesat hændelse, en montage, brugt af dem, der ønsker at skabe en situation med provokationer og krig, og Sergei Lavrov sagde det selv, da han beskyldte britiske interesser for at stå bag det; han sagde ikke amerikanske interesser, når det drejede sig om Skripal-affæren, hvilket er meget vigtigt i denne sag. Nogle siger, britisk hjerne og amerikansk råstyrke.«

Er det ikke det samme, spurgte RT?

»Tja, det er en britisk hjerne, der forsøger at skabe en situation, hvor de kan drage fordel af amerikansk råstyrke. Det var, hvad Lavrov afslørede. Præsident Trump har sine egne grunde til at ønske at berolige tingene med Xi Jinping og Putin, men krigsmagerne skubber ham i denne retning, de samme, der tidligere skubbede Hillary Clinton. Nu har vi alle disse neokonservative, der lægger pres på Trump, gennem Russiagate [imod Trump], som blev iscenesat af en britisk agent, Christopher Steele.«

Så vi finder altså briterne på alle hovedpunkter i denne situation, sagde Cheminade.

Foto: Jacques Cheminade interviewes til RT France.

---

## **Briternes løgnagtige manipulation afsløret.**

## **Vil Trump rive USA ud af briternes klør igen?**

## **Politisk Orientering 19. april, 2018**

»Vi lever i en fantastisk tid, hvor der sker tektoniske forandringer i verden. Og én ting er, denne fantastiske udvikling, vi har set, hvor vi har, en 'stormagt' er en underdrivelse; vi har et Kina, som er på vej til at blive den

største, økonomiske faktor i verden, som har en femtedel af jordens befolkning, som bevæger sig i ekspresfart i en retning, hvor de vil udvikle sig, hvor de vil være og på alle områder vil sørge for at komme ikke bare resten af verden i kap, det er de i gang med; men de vil også sørge for at komme videre og blive den ledende, intellektuelle, videnskabelige magt i verden, koblet til, at de allerede er blevet den ledende produktionskapacitet i verden. Og det fantastiske er selvfølgelig, at Kina i den proces har taget Schiller Instituttets program til sig; at det, som vi som organisation har kæmpet for, allerede inden Schiller Instituttets grundlæggelse i 1982 i form af LaRouche-bevægelsen, men også som Schiller Instituttet fra 1982, nemlig, en ny, retfærdig, økonomisk verdensorden, hvor alle nationer, alle folkeslag, alle mennesker får ret til udvikling og får mulighed for udvikling. Jamen, det er nøjagtig det program, som Xi Jinping satte i gang i form af Bælte & Vej Initiativet fra Kinas side; det er, hvad det drejer sig om, det er så at sige ikke, som man render rundt og snakker om i de vestlige forskellige seminarer og kredse, at det her er geopolitik fra Kinas side; at de bare vil forsøge at dominere og overtage USA's rolle. Nej, det Kina har gang i, det er eksplicit det, som vi hele tiden har ment, vores del af verden skulle have gang i; det er at erstatte den gamle geopolitik med en ny form for politik, hvor det ikke går ud på at få indflydelse for sig selv, på bekostning af de andre; men hvor det drejer sig om at samarbejde nationerne imellem, til alles fælles fordel ... «

Mellem del I og II mangler der omkring 1 minuts optagelse.

---

## [Det britiske Imperium kan ikke længere skjule sine forbrydelser](#)

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 18. april, 2018 – På alle fronter kan UK nu ikke længere dække over dets panikslagne bestræbelser på at stoppe præsident Trumps plan om at bringe USA ind i venligtsindede relationer med Rusland og Kina. Dette er sandt for svindelnummeret med Russiagate, lanceret af MI6-agenten Christopher Steele; Skripal-svindelnummeret kørt af den samme MI6-kreds; og svindelnummeret

med det kemiske angreb i Douma, skabt af de britiskfinansierede og -kontrollerede Hvide Hjelme-terrorister. I USA, Europa og i hele verden fortæller ledende personer og regeringer det blodige Britiske Imperium, at det går rundt i den bare skjorte.

Selv i selve UK kan stanken af imperieløgnene ikke længere tolereres. Den tidligere britiske ambassadør til Syrien Peter Ford, der har været uforbeholden modstander af krigen mod Syrien, har nu på Fox News i USA med Tucker Carlson rapporteret, at det kemiske angreb i Douma aldrig har fundet sted, og at præsident Trumps rådgivere »har tjent ham ekstremt dårligt« ved ikke at informere ham om, at de Hvide Hjelme simpelt hen er en del af terroristoperationen. Hjemme i London har Imperiets *The Times* til sin rædsel opdaget, at den tidligere chef for Special Air Services (SAS), gen. maj. John Holmes, der var leder for SAS under den første Golfkrig, nu har tilsluttet sig det British Syria Society, der ledes af ambassadør Ford, og kræver dialog med Assad. I mandags gik Lord West, tidligere First Sea Lord (øverstkommanderende i Royal Navy), admiral og chef for britisk forsvarsefterretning, på BBC for at pille den idé, at Assad brugte kemiske våben, ned og påpegede det absurde i en sådan handling ud fra rent militære grunde, eftersom han var ved at vinde, og handlingen blot ville tjene terroristernes interesse.

Kinas mest fremtrædende journalist, Yang Rui, gik i går ud over de sædvanligvis tilbageholdende kinesiske kommentarer om globale, strategiske anliggender, til at sammenligne påstanden om et kemisk angreb for at retfærdiggøre de allieredes bombing af Syrien med nazisternes stuntfiduser, der blev brugt til at lancere invasioner og grusomheder, og han understregede, at de Hvide Hjelme er dræbere, og at Kina og Rusland vil stå standhaftigt sammen imod dette og alle fremtidige krigsforbrydelser. Han advarede om, at den menneskelige race må vende kollapset ind i en »verden efter sandhed«.

I hele Europa er EU ved at falde fra hinanden, konfronteret med britiske løgne. Mandag mislykkedes det ynkeligt EU's udenrigsministre at vedtage en resolution til støtte for det britisk-fransk-amerikanske angreb mod Syrien, med otte lande, der nægtede at støtte den, inkl. Italien, Belgien og Østrig.

I USA har elleve Republikanske kongresmedlemmer sendt en anklage om kriminelle handlinger til Justitsministeriet til »efterforskning af mulige krænkelse af føderale vedtægter« af de primære operatører for det britiske MI6-kupforsøg mod Trump: den vanærede, tidligere FBI-chef James Comey og hans ligeledes vanærede vicechef, Andrew McCabe; Hillary Clinton (for at tilsløre sin finansiering af det berygtede Steele-dossier); Obamas justitsminister Loretta Lynch; og to nuværende FBI-agenter, Strzok og Page, der konspirerede for at bringe de



britiske løgne om Trump til torvs.

Imperiet er hårdt såret, men endnu ikke ødelagt. Mulighedens øjeblik for at knuse det, én gang for alle, er for hånden – men deres desperation kan ikke ignoreres. Verdensordenen efter Anden Verdenskrig, etableret gennem De forenede Nationer for at forhindre en ny krig, skal ophæves i en ny, »Øst vs. Vest«-konfrontation under britisk kontrol. Premierminister Theresa May krævede sidste november et nyt »Globalt Storbritannien«, og sagde, uden at overlade noget som helst til fantasien, »opgaven for Globalt Storbritannien er klar ... At forsvare den internationale orden, baseret på regler, imod uansvarlige stater, der søger at udhule den ..., fremmest blandt disse ... er, selvfølgelig, Rusland ... Jeg har et meget enkelt budskab til Rusland; vi ved, hvad det er, I laver. Og I vil ikke lykkes med det.«

General Sir Nick Carter, som May i marts måned udpegede til den ny chef for forsvarsstaben, har erklæret, at Rusland er »ærkeeksponenten« for »udnyttelse af linjerne mellem fred og krig ... [og praktiserer] korrupte forretningsmetoder, cyber-angreb, mord, 'fake news', propaganda og sågar militær intimidering«.

Men det døende Britiske Imperium paraderer i sandhed rundt i den bare skjorte, uden USA, der fungerer som den »dumme kæmpe«, som tilfældet var med Obama og Bush i Det Hvide Hus, og som førte masseødelæggelseskrige i hele Mellemøsten og drev det vestlige finanssystem til fallit gennem spekulation og krige.



Amerikas fremtid på den Nye Silkevej – brochure;  
pdf 44 sider

Trump kan og må overtales til at handle ud fra sine bedste indskydelser – at blive venner med Rusland og fuldt og helt tilslutte sig Kinas Nye Silkevej. Til

dette formål vil LaRouche Political Action Committee i denne uge genoptrykke en opdateret version af den masse-cirkulerede brochure, [»Amerikas fremtid på den Nye Silkevej – LaRouches Fire Love: De fysisk-økonomiske principper for USA's økonomiske genrejsning« \(Online\)](#).

Som Helga Zepp-LaRouche i dag sagde: »Dette er det vigtigste øjeblik i historien. Hvis Det britiske Imperium bliver ødelagt, kan menneskeheden atter trække vejret og skabe et nyt samfund.«

*Foto: UK's premierminister Theresa May besøgte Salisbury, stedet, hvor Skripal og hans datter blev fundet. 16. marts, 2018. (flickr / Number 10)*

---

## Tysklands general Kujat: Der eksisterer ingen anden plan for fred i Syrien end den russiske

17. april, 2018 – I et interview med den tyske MDR radio, kritiserer general Harald Kujat (pens.), tidligere formand for NATO's Militærkomite (2002-05), luftangrebene mod Syrien som »højst problematiske«, fordi de udgør et angreb af en fremmed magt mod en suveræn stat«. Han sagde, at luftangrebene har skabt endnu flere forhindringer for en fredelig løsning i Syrien – som kun vil være mulig, hvis, for det første, terroristgrupperne i landet bliver overvundet til et punkt, hvor de ikke længere kan tage civilbefolkningen som gidsler; dernæst må en politisk proces begynde. Hvordan den skal se ud, er hidtil kun blevet adresseret af Ruslands Putin ved begyndelsen af hans intervention i Syrien i 2015, sagde Kujat: afslut kamphandlingerne, dan en overgangsregering og et forfatningsråd, efterfulgt af frie valg. Vesten har ingen sådan plan; der er intet, man kan kalde en strategi overhovedet, fremførte Kujat.

---

# Fjern krigens tåger: Løgne, forbandede løgne; forbandede britiske løgne

*Lederartikel; Flyveblad, fra LaRouchePAC, 16. april, 2018 – Mandag, den 16. april, portrætterer Washington Post præsident Donald Trump som værende omgivet af et forræderisk udenrigspolitisk team, der konstant og konsekvent lyver for ham om Rusland og om skridt, dette team har taget i præsidentens, og i jeres, navn, imod Rusland og Kina. [WP forsøger at hævde, at Trumps nationale sikkerhedsstab iscenesatte et paladskup imod ham med hensyn til Rusland og Syrien, og at Trump tabte denne kamp.](#)*

Men præsidenten handlede omgående imod forræderne omkring ham. Han forkastede udnævnelsen af Nikki Haleys assistent, aldrig-Trumperen Jon Lemer, til en national sikkerhedspost, for Mike Pence. Det Hvide Hus annoncerede, at nye sanktioner mod Rusland, baseret på Ruslands støtte til Syrien, og som Nikki Haley promoverede blot i går, blev kaldt tilbage. Det blev offentligt meddelt, at præsident Trump stadig ønsker at mødes med Vladimir Putin, men en dato er endnu ikke fastlagt.

Samtidig pralede den franske præsident over for hele verden med, at det var ham, der narrede præsident Trump til at udføre et missilangreb mod Syrien, som herved genoplivede selve Hillary Clinton/Obama-doktrinerne for regimeskifte, som det amerikanske folk, helt rigtigt, afviste i valgene i 2016. Dette sætter blot scenen for et voldsomt, politisk bagslag for Frankrigs præsident.

Med tågen fra sidste uges handlinger, der nu letter, bliver flere aspekter af vores nuværende situation meget, meget klarere. Alt imens angloamerikanerne er ved at falde over deres egne fødder for på tåbelig vis at erklære, at de har fået Trump manøvreret ind i et bur, så står det meget klart, at deres proklamerede sejr er en Pyrrhusejrr, som udgør arrogante vrangforestillinger og kun er midlertidige. De opførte sig ansvarsløst, og muligheden for et bagslag er enormt og revolutionerende for verden, hvis det amerikanske folk nu går sammen med Lyndon LaRouches krav om at »annullere Det britiske Imperium«. På intet tidspunkt, siden Franklin Roosevelt erklærede sin plan om at gøre en ende på britisk kolonialisme, har det anglo-hollandske imperium været så sårbart og eksponeret. Her er de relevante træk af vores nuværende situation:

1. Svindelnummeret med det kemiske angreb i Syrien; svindelnummeret med Skripal-forgiftningen i Storbritannien, samt det britiskinspirerede kup mod vores præsident, er alle en del af én og samme, britiske, strategiske pakke. Storbritannien er nu, sammen med sin mangeårige puddel Frankrig, ude efter at anføre den vestlige verden i at udfordre Rusland og Kina, der påstås at praktisere noget, der skulle hedde »totalitær kapitalisme«. Her er, hvordan den britiske imperieskriverkarl Allister Heath beskrev det britiske motiv for forgiftnings-svindelnymrene i Salisbury og i Syrien, i londonavisen Sunday Telegraph den 14. marts:

*»Vi har brug for en ny verdensorden, der kan gå op imod totalitære kapitalister i Rusland og Kina ... En sådan alliance ... ville på dramatisk vis ændre den globale magtbalance og gøre det muligt for de liberale demokratier, endelig at kæmpe tilbage. Det ville give verden den form for robuste institutioner, der kræves for at holde Rusland og Kina tilbage ... Storbritannien må have en ny rolle i verden: at opbygge et sådant netværk ville være vores perfekte mission.«*

På den anden side af vandpytten, som de siger, udkom der, nøjagtigt samtidigt, en tilsvarende, stiftende erklæring fra 68 tidligere embedsmænd i Obama-administrationen, der har dannet en gruppe ved navn [National Security Action](#), og som tilsigter at sikre Donald Trumps afsættelse og angreb mod Rusland og Kina.

2. Russerne siger nu, at de har uigendrivelige beviser for, at de Hvide Hjelme, en hjælpeorganisation, der er associeret til al-Qaeda og modtager finansiel støtte i millionklassen af den britiske regering og U.S. AID, iscenesatte det kemiske angreb i Douma, Syrien, på direkte tilskyndelse fra London. Beviserne omfatter fotografier og aflytninger. Samtidig har et uafhængigt, schweizisk laboratorium, der samarbejder med OPCW, fastslået, at den gift, der blev brugt på Skripal og datter, var noget, der hedder BZ, et stof, der aldrig blev udviklet i Rusland, men som i stedet har hjemme i både USA's og UK's programmer for kemiske våben. Disse påstande er helt i overensstemmelse med uafhængige analyser, som LaRouchePAC har fremskaffet i løbet af de seneste 10 dage. (Se: ['Der var intet kemisk angreb i Syrien'](#) og ['Assads kemiske våben: Endnu et britisk eventyr for børn'](#) ).
3. Der er allerede i Storbritannien en enorm, folkelig reaktion, som kommer fra Labour-partiet og Brexit-bevægelsen, mod disse løgne. De sammenligner meget passende de »klassificerede« beviser for forgiftningssvindelnymrene med de løgne, der førte til den katastrofale Irakkrig. På samme måde gik de glemte amerikanere her i USA, der valgte Trump til at afslutte dette nonsens, sammen med LaRouchePAC og protesterede højlydt imod det syriske angreb hele sidste uge. Denne protest trak efter alt at dømme verden væk fra en balanceren og poseren på kanten af atomkrig, anstiftet af Storbritannien, Frankrig og deres kolleger i det Demokratiske Parti og blandt de neokonservative i USA.
4. En hoveddrivkraft bag denne situation er det forestående finanskraks af finanscentrene på Wall Street og i City of London. Langt fra at praktisere 'totalitær kapitalisme' er Kina, sammen med Rusland, midt i et projekt for massivt byggeri af infrastruktur og økonomisk udvikling, der har vundet tilslutning fra over 100 andre nationer i verden. Det udgør det største infrastrukturbyggeprojekt, menneskeheden nogensinde har påtaget sig, og det har det udtrykkelige formål at gøre en ende på fattigdom og hæve

levestandarden på hele planeten. Kina og Rusland afsætter også betydelige andele af deres budget til rumforskning og fundamentale, videnskabelige opdagelser. Dette er den form for »at tænke stort«, som vi i USA plejede at praktisere. Det giver genlyd af det Amerikanske System for Politisk Økonomi. »Totalitær kapitalisme« er det ikke. Hvis præsident Trump accepterer Kinas invitation til at slutte USA til Bælte & Vej Initiativet, vil det snarere blive det Anglo-hollandske Imperium, og ikke Donald Trump, der henvises til historiens skraldespand.

5. Situationen er fortsat fuld af farer. Nikki Haley og John Bolton har forpligtet USA over for en militær respons, når som helst, en terrorist i Syrien kan iscenesætte endnu et falsk kemisk angreb. Ukraine er et andet muligt trigger point, der kunne bruges af dem, der er involveret i forræderi mod vort land og vor præsident. Det står nu ligeledes klart, at en desperat Robert Mueller er ude på at gøre Donald Trumps advokat til statens bevis imod hans egen klient, en taktik, den beskudte Mueller gentagne gange har anvendt. Muellers taktik har måske denne gang fjernet det Sjette Tillæg til den Amerikanske Forfatning. Mueller er desperat, fordi Russiagate er sprængt i stykker, Comey er en fiasko og hele afdelinger af Obamas Justitsministerium nu konkurrerer om at afsløre hinandens forbrydelser.

Så med tågen, der letter, finder vi faktisk os selv på randen af en sejr. Spørgsmålet vil blive afgjort af den amerikanske befolknings mod til at kræve, og handle for, en afslutning af dette kup, en afsløring af de perfide, britiske løgne og en insistensen på at komme videre med genopbygningen af landet, og af planeten. Lyndon LaRouche har gentagne gange advaret imod pragmatisme i netop denne situation. Med et citat af apostlen Paulus, minder LaRouche os om, at »Thi for os står kampen ikke mod kød og blod, men mod myndigheder og magter, mod verdensherskerne i dette mørke, mod ondskabens åndemagter i himmelrummet.«<sup>[1]</sup> (Paulus' brev til efeserne, kap. 6, v. 12.) Vær en kraft for retfærdigheden og det gode.

(Det engelske Flyveblad kan [downloades her](#))

*Foto: Den syriske præsident Assad og den russiske præsident Putin i Syrien, december, 2017. Photo: Kremlin.ru*

<sup>[1]</sup> Den danske oversættelse iflg. Bibelselskabet.

[Download \(PDF, Unknown\)](#)

---

## Leder af Ukraines Progressive Socialistparti Natalia Vitrenko har udlagt en videoappel med titlen: »Vitrenko til Trump, May og Macron; Stop, I galninge!«

13. april, 2018 – Lederen af det ukrainske, politiske parti, Ukraines Progressive Socialistparti, Natalia Vitrenko, sammenligner i sin videoappel provokationerne mod Syrien med branden i den tyske Reichstag (1933), samt med de noget senere provokationer i Irak. Hun genkalder, hvordan den irakiske regeringsminister Tariq Aziz under hendes besøg til Irak tiggede hende om at forsøge at få daværende præsident Kuchma (1994-2005) til at bruge sin indflydelse til at afsløre historien med de irakiske masseødelæggelsesvåben. Vitrenko, der på videoen står oven over Maidan og med Kreshchatyk, Kievs største hovedstrøg, i baggrunden, genkalder, hvordan dette hovedstrøg, sammen med det meste af Kiev, blev smadret til en pandekage under den sidste Verdenskrig. Og truslen er nu den, at vi vil blive kanonføde i dette sindssyge fremstød for et nyt, globalt opgør.

*(Man kan få en grov oversættelse af Natalias videoappel, der er på ukrainsk, til dansk ved at bruge indstillingerne for 'automatisk oversættelse'. Er der nogen derude, der kan oversætte fra ukrainsk til dansk, (behøver ikke være perfekt dansk retskrivning), kan de skrive til [si@schillerinstitut.dk](mailto:si@schillerinstitut.dk), attention Anne Stjernstrøm.)*

---

## Missilerne blev lanceret

# af britiske løgne; London må afkræves svar

*Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 16. april, 2018* – I et nationalt tv-interview søndag pralede Frankrigs præsident på tåbelig vis med, at han, sammen med den britiske premierminister Theresa May, overbeviste præsident Trump om at *trække sig fra sin egen politik* og bevare de amerikanske styrker i Syrien »for en længerevarende periode«. Denne uhyrlige udtalelse betyder, at UK og Frankrig kræver, ikke alene endnu en endeløs krig i Mellemøsten fra USA's side, men også en støt eskalerende konfrontation med Rusland, og med Kina.

Som en af Storbritanniens egne, tidligere diplomater i går sagde i London, »Det er vejen til galskab«. Hamlet talte med foragt om nationer, der fører krig over et stykke land, der er for lille til at rumme ét af deres slag. Her trækkes nationer mod global atomkrig *over begivenheder, der ikke fandt sted*.

Beviset: Skylden for forgiftningen, eller påvirkningen af kemikalier, i marts måned af den tidligere russiske dobbeltagent Sergei Skripal i Salisbury, England, blev rasende lagt på Rusland af Mays regering; men de britiske fabrikationer om denne hændelse er nu ved at kollapse.

I en enorm konfrontation krævede og fik »fr. Mayhem« udvisning af hundreder af russiske diplomater fra USA og EU-lande.

Men Spiez Laboratoriet, det statslige, schweiziske institut for beskyttelse mod NBC (nuclear, biological, chemical), fandt, at Skripal far og datter blev forgiftet af et ikke-dødeligt stof, der blev udviklet i UK og USA til NATO-militærstyrker for 50 år siden. Det forklarer, hvorfor de kom sig! Men det forklarer ikke, hvorfor prøver, som den britiske regering gav OPCW, også indeholdt en dødbringende nervegift af en type, der blev udviklet i det gamle Sovjetunionen – i en koncentration, der ville have dræbt de to Skripals meget hurtigt.

London må forklare dette; og det må svare på, om det presser OPCW til ikke at offentliggøre resultaterne fra et af dets fem mest betroede laboratorier.

Et kemisk angreb i Douma fra den syriske regerings side fandt ikke sted, som kampveteran fra Marinen og senere advokat i Army Judge Advocate General, senator fra staten Virginia, [Richard Black, forklarede i et interview til LaRouchePAC den 11. april](#), som er udbredt via Internettet (nu 98.000 views!).

Barzeh-forskningslaboratoriet, der netop er blevet ødelagt af amerikansk/britisk/franske missiler, var to gange sidste år – og så sent som i november 2017 – blevet fundet totalt frit for aktivitet omkring kemiske våben iflg. OPCW-rapporter for en måned siden, og igen for to uger siden!

Britisk efterretning, premierminister Theresa May og udenrigsminister Boris Johnson må forklare dette.

I dag sagde Helga Zepp-LaRouche, at USA's Kongres og parlamenter i Europa må kræve svar; det er en potentiel verdenskrig, der står på spil. Briterne giver nu med det samme jihadisternes og terroristgrupperne i Syrien overtaget, som de kan bruge til at beordre nye angreb med krydsermissiler mod Syrien ved at iscenesætte disse »kemiske angreb«.

»Steele-dossieret«, fremstillet af senioragenter fra det britiske MI6, også kendt som den Hemmelige Efterretningstjeneste, har udgjort det svindelagtige grundlag for et intenst fremstød for at tvinge præsident Trump til at acceptere britisk, geopolitisk politik og konfrontere Putin og Kina – og dernæst afsætte ham ved en rigsretssag (impeachment).

Dette er alt sammen svindelnumre, den form for løgnagtig efterretning, gennem hvilken Tony Blairs britiske regering puffede USA ind i Irakkrigen, og ved hjælp af hvilken britisk efterretning for et århundrede siden banede vejen for Første Verdenskrig.

May og Macron har overeksponeret sig selv. USA behøver ikke være en evig tåbe, der går i krig på vegne af britiske løgne. De fleste veteraner fra Golfkrigene, f.eks., ved eller har stærk mistanke om, at Trump bliver narret af angreb under »falsk flag«. Han er ved at blive narret ind i krig af de samme kredse, der ønsker ham afsat.

Det var Trumps plan at trække de amerikanske styrker ud af Syrien; Amerikas nationaløkonomi har et presserende behov for udvikling, ny infrastruktur, nye teknologier. Og USA har brug for en forbindelse til projekterne i Kinas Bælte & Vej Initiativ, og en forbindelse til Kinas kapital.

---



# Den italienske LaRouche-bevægelse Movisol mobiliserer imod Tredje Verdenskrig

Milano, 15. april, 2018 – LaRouchePAC's krav mod et angreb på Syrien og for at smide den særlige anklager Robert Mueller, der står i spidsen for 'undersøgelsen' imod præsident Donald Trump, i fængsel, er blevet distribueret af Movisol, den italienske LaRouche-bevægelse, i en italiensk version med et kort forord af forkvinde for Movisol, Liliana Gorini, med titlen, [»Stop Tredje Verdenskrig: Italien skal ikke være medskyldig i denne galskab«](#).

Appellen blev sendt til det italienske parlament og de to hovedkandidater til premierministerposten, Matteo Salvini og Luigi Di Maio, såvel som også til kontakter i pressen, inkl. Fulvio Scaglione, tidligere vicedirektør af *Famiglia Cristiana* (et katolsk ugemagasin), og som udgav en artikel i *L'Eco di Bergamo* med overskriften, »I Syrien truer vi verdensfreden« og fordømte meddelagtigheden mellem krigsfraktionen og al-Qaeda-terroristerne og den geopolitiske plan, som ikke er regimeskifte, men derimod »at forhindre Assad i at regere Syrien«. Online-avisen *Imola Oggi* genoptrykte Movisols appel i sin fulde ordlyd.

Af alle italienske politikere er den, der har udtalt sig stærkest imod angrebet, Salvini, som blev angrebet for at være »pro-russisk og pro-Assad« af det italienske Demokratiske Parti og også af hans angivelige »allierede«, Silvio Berlusconi, der i stedet støttede angrebet og gør sit bedste for at sabotere en Salvini-regering med Femstjernepartiet. Premierminister Paolo Gentiloni annoncerede ligeledes på en pressekonference, at Italien deltog i angrebet og ønsker at undgå en eskalering. Han vil aflægge rapport til parlamentet tirsdag.

Movisols hjemmeside kan følges her: <http://movisol.org/>

---

## Tyskland: Steinmeier advarer om forværrende relationer med Rusland;

# opfordrer til en Trump-Putin aftale om Syrien

15. april, 2018 – I et interview i dag med Tysklands masse-cirkulerede *Bild am Sonntag*, og som blev rapporteret på engelsk af Deutsche Welle og Reuters, advarede den tyske præsident Frank-Walter Steinmeier, en tidligere udenrigsminister, om de stadig forværende relationer mellem Rusland og Vesten og opfordrede til en fortsat dialog. Han opfordrede ligeledes til, at USA og Rusland tog det »første skridt« til at afslutte blodbadet i Syrien samtidig med, at han gav udtryk for bekymring over en stadig dybere »fremmedgørelse« mellem Rusland og Vesten, især i kølvandet på Skripal-sagen.

Steinmeier kaldte sidste måneds angivelige forgiftning af Sergei Skripal, en tidligere, russiske spion, og som Storbritannien har givet Rusland skylden for, for »en meget alvorlig hændelse. Men vi bør være mindst lige så bekymret over den galopperende fremmedgørelse mellem Rusland og Vesten, hvis konsekvenser rækker langt ud over denne sag«.

Steinmeier insisterede på, at, »vi kan ikke opgive direkte dialog. Uafhængigt af Putin, kan vi ikke erklære hele Rusland, landet og dets folk, for vore fjender«, sagde han og advarede om, at »vores historie taler imod det, og der står for meget på spil«.

Steinmeier beklagede, at der bogstavelig talt ikke var nogen tillid mellem de to sider og sagde, at opgaven med at modgå denne accelererende fremmedgørelse »var den virkelige udfordring for ansvarlige politikere«.

Om krigen i Syrien, hvor Rusland støtter den syriske præsident Bashar al-Assad militært, sagde Steinmeier, at situationen ikke ville blive bedre, før USA's præsident Donald Trump fik en aftale med Ruslands præsident Vladimir Putin. »Selvfølgelig kan man sluttelig ikke gøre det uden de regionale naboer, men alting begynder med USA og Rusland. Putin og Trump skylder verden at tage det første skridt.«

*Foto: Tysklands præsident, tidligere udenrigsminister (2005-2009), Frank-Walter Steinmeier sagde i et interview, at USA og Rusland må tage det første skridt til at løse situationen i Syrien.*

---

## Tidligere generalstabschef i Bundeswehr, general Kujat, kritiserer Merkels manglende mådeholdenhed mht. Syrien

12. april, 2018 – Tidligere generalstabschef i Bundeswehr, general Harald Kujat (pens.), der også var formand for NATO's Militærkomite, har været kritisk over for den tyske regering i nylige interviews i Tv og radio. Det er Kujats mening, at den amerikanske præsident Donald Trump ikke kan gå tilbage på sine egne udtalelser, efter sin »annoncering« af et angreb. Hans forsvarsminister Mattis og andre i administrationen er mådeholdne, og deres indflydelse ses i de senere udtalelser fra Det Hvide Hus om, at alle muligheder er på bordet.

»Jeg er skuffet over den tyske kanslers opførsel. Det forventes, at den tyske regering i en sådan situation bør udøve indflydelse for at moderere andres opførsel«, sagde Kujat. Han advarede mod en konfrontation »på baggrund af antagelser« med hensyn til det angivelige kemiske angreb i Douma. »USA er vores nærmeste allierede. Det kan ikke være sådan, at vi lader USA trække os ind i en konfrontation og så håbe på, at Rusland vil reagere med mådehold og ikke skyde krydsermissilerne ned«, sagde Kujat.

*Foto: General Harald Kujat (pens.) var stabschef for det tyske Bundeswehr (2000-2002) og formand for NATO's Militærkomite (2002-2005). Arkivfoto.*

---

## Tyskland vil ikke deltage i nogen militæraktion i Syrien

12. april, 2018 – På en pressekonference i Berlin i dag efter sit møde med den danske statsminister Løkke Rasmussen, sagde den tyske kansler Angela Merkel til reportere: »Tyskland vil ikke deltage i en mulig – der er ikke truffet nogen beslutning endnu, det vil jeg gerne understrege – militæraktion«, rapporterer RT. Merkel ville imidlertid ikke bryde med dem, der støtter et angreb mod Syrien og sagde, »men vi støtter alt, der gøres for at vise, at brugen af kemiske våben ikke er acceptabelt«. Hun tilføjede, at Vesten har demonstreret en »enorm« enhed i spørgsmålet om Syrien, bemærker RT.

Det vides ikke, på basis af hvilke beviser, hun hævdede, at noget af det syriske arsenal af kemiske våben havde overlevet destruktionsen af lagrene tilbage i 2014. »Vi må nu erkende, at det er åbenbart, at destruktionsen [af kemiske våben] ikke blev fuldført fuldstændigt«, sagde hun og hævdede, at der findes »stærke beviser« for, at Damaskus brugte kemiske våben, rapporterede RT.

*Foto: Fra pressekonferencen i Berlin med Angela Merkel og statsminister Løkke Rasmussen.*

---

## Østrigs præsident Van der Bellen holder gæstetale på Boao Forum for Asien

10. april, 2018 – Den østrigske præsident Alexander Van der Bellen, der for tiden er på rundrejse i Kina med en delegation bestående af 230 folk fra erhvervslivet og fra videnskabelige og kulturelle organisationer, holdt i dag en gæstetale på Boao Forum for Asien, 8.-11. april, der afholdes i Boao, Hainan, og hvori han forventes at præsentere sit land som »først på markedet« i Europa for samarbejde med den Nye Silkevej. »En handelskrig er det sidste, vi har brug for«, understregede Van der Bellen i sine bemærkninger, der var på engelsk, på åbningsceremonien. Hans korte bemærkninger blev filmet af Kinas CGTN Tv-netværk.

Hollands premierminister Marke Rutte, der ligeledes er på rundrejse i Kina med en delegation bestående af over 200 erhvervsfolk og eksperter, er endnu en gæstetaler fra Europa.

Den østrigske udenrigsminister Karin Kneissl havde i mellemtiden et to timer langt møde med den kinesiske udenrigsminister Wang Yi, og som også var en forberedelse til hendes møde med Ruslands udenrigsminister i Moskva i næste uge. Kneissl, der er ekspert i mellemøstlignende, briefede Wang omfattende om sine vurderinger af området, i særdeleshed Syrien, Irak og Iran.

Kneissl sagde til Wang, at Østrig ikke i øjeblikket ville blive medlem af »16+1«-mekanismen for øst- og centraleuropæiske lande plus Kina, og som Kina har indbudt Østrig til at blive del af, men vil forblive en opmærksom iagttagelse. Wang sagde til hende, at Kina forventer, Østrig vil spille en konstruktiv rolle som en 'bro' mellem Europa og Kina, når Wien overtager formandsskabet af EU, der

varer et halvt år, i juli måned.

*Foto: Kinas præsident Xi Jinping afholder en velkomstceremoni for Østrigs præsident Alexander Van der Bellen før deres forhandlinger i Folkets Store Hal i Beijing, 8. april, 2018. [Photo: Xinhua]*

*Søndag aftalte Xi og Van der Bellen at etablere et kinesisk-østrigsk, venligtsindet strategisk partnerskab og fremme bilateral, pragmatisk samarbejde.*

---

## »En dialog om tre præsidentskaber: Bøj universets moralske bue mod retfærdighed«

### Hovedtale af Helga Zepp-LaRouche på Schiller Institut Konference i New York, 7. april, 2018 (Video og engelsk udskrift)

*Introduktion:*

*Den amerikanske præsident Donald Trump, den kinesiske præsident Xi Jinping og den russiske præsident Vladimir Putin kunne, i løbet af de næste par måneder, sammen træffe en række af de absolut vigtigste beslutninger, som ville indvirke på menneskeheden, siden renæssancen i det 15. århundrede. Den mulige løsning på Korea-spørgsmålet er blot et enkelt eksempel. De rette beslutninger, truffet af disse tre nationer og deres allierede i de næste par uger, kunne, i den nærmeste fremtid, begynde at fjerne fattigdom, kolonialisme og krig fra planeten. Løsningerne for at fjerne dette tredobbelte onde ligger både i stjernerne og i os selv.*

*Britiske imperiekræfter har midlertidigt mistet kontrollen over den svigtende transatlantiske, geopolitiske proces. Nu forsøger de at genvinde fordelene. Ligesom med den britiske efterretningsagent Christopher Steeles Russiagate-svindler, er det nu svindlen med »Rusland forgiftede Sergei Skripal og hans datter«, der efter planen skal drive en kile ind mellem præsident Trump og*

Vladimir Putin. Hvis denne bestræbelse lykkes, vil alt det arbejde, der er udført af Devin Nunes' Husets Efterretningskomite og andre, for at afsløre den korrupte rolle, som FBI, Justitsministeriet, Udenrigsministeriet og andre har spillet i det britisk-kørte kup imod det amerikanske præsidentskab i 2016, have været forgæves.

Evindelig krig, som de amerikanske administrationer Bush 41, Bush 43 og Obama var fortalere for, kan nu erstattes med en ny økonomisk platform og en ny kulturel platform.

Lørdag, 7. april, er Schiller Instituttets stifter Helga Zepp-LaRouche hovedtaler på denne konference, der skal samle amerikanerne omkring dette optimistiske perspektiv. En vedtagelse af de økonomiske forholdsregler og standpunkter, der kendes som LaRouches Fire Love[1] samtidig med en accept af det stående, kinesiske forslag [om USA's deltagelse i den Nye Silkevej] ville give grundlaget for at skabe en hurtig forøgelse i amerikansk, produktiv beskæftigelse, levestandarder og uddannelse af ungdommen i USA.

Grundlaget for en dialog mellem de »tre store« præsidentskaber er indeholdt i et dokument af Lyndon LaRouche fra marts, 1984, med titlen, »Udkast til aftalememorandum mellem USA og U.S.S.R.«[2]

Indledningen lyder således:

»Det politiske fundament for varig fred må være: a) Alle nationalstaters ubetingede suverænitet, og b) Samarbejde mellem suveræne nationalstater med det formål at fremme ubegrænsede muligheder for at blive delagtig i fordelene ved teknologisk fremskridt, til gensidig fordel for enhver nationalstat, og alle nationalstater.

Det mest afgørende aspekt ved en aktuel implementering af en sådan politik for varig fred er en dybtgående ændring i de monetære, økonomiske og politiske relationer mellem de dominerende magter og de relativt underordnede nationer, som ofte klassificeres som »udviklingslande«. Med mindre de uligheder, der stadig dvæler i kølvandet på moderne kolonialisme, gradvist afhjælpes, kan der ikke være nogen varig fred på denne planet.«

Sidstnævnte tema vil blive behandlet på mødet 7. april i en præsentation af Jason Ross, medforfatter af Schiller Instituttets Specialrapport, »Forlæng den Nye Silkevej til Vestasien og Afrika: En vision for en økonomisk renæssance«. [3] Med en befolkning på størrelse med Indiens og med den yngste befolkning i noget kontinent i verden, ville Afrikas fysisk-økonomiske udvikling gennem fælles

arbejde, udført af USA sammen med Kina, gøre de gamle koloniregimers racister tavse for altid. Verdens to største økonomier kunne, ved hjælp af Sun Yat-sens og Abraham Lincolns »Tre principper for folket«[\[4\]](#), udgøre spydspidsen for en anti-koloniudvikling og fjerne den fattigdom, som er udløser af racisme og krig.

Her følger engelsk udskrift af Helga Zepp-LaRouches tale:

Schiller Institute Conference with Helga Zepp-LaRouche  
New York City, April 7, 2018

A DIALOGUE OF THREE PRESIDENCIES:

BENDING THE ARC OF THE MORAL UNIVERSE TOWARD JUSTICE

DIANE SARE: Good afternoon. I'm Diane Sare with the Schiller Institute here in Manhattan and at the conference called "The Dialogue of Three Presidencies: Bending the Arc of the Moral Universe toward Justice."

Fifty years ago this year, our nation suffered two major assassinations: The first, on April 4th, 1968, was that of Martin Luther King, Jr.,[\[5\]](#) who was gunned down while he was participating in organizing for a sanitation workers' strike in Memphis, Tennessee; then, on June 6th, Robert Kennedy – the second Kennedy to be assassinated – who was likely on a trajectory to become the President of the United States. I think it's very important to reflect on that change in the United States 50 years ago. I was very struck a few weeks ago, having heard about a speech by Chinese President Xi Jinping, where he spoke in China of the Century of Humiliation. Starting in 1840, the Opium Wars against China, which were absolutely devastating and destructive, run by the British Empire – which is still the enemy of civilization today; to the Japanese occupation in the 1940s, under which 35 million or more people died. What President Xi said to these young people is that, in effect, we have to take this as a source of strength; that our sacred honor is that we will never allow ourselves to be humiliated in such a way again. And that we will never impose such humiliation upon any other human being.

So, I was reflecting on the last 50 years in this country,

what we have tolerated. And before I came here today, I was reading a little bit from Martin Luther King's book about the process leading into his leadership of what became the Montgomery Bus Boycott. He described that the unity of the people – because people may know, it wasn't just that Rosa Parks refused to move to the back of the bus and got arrested and somehow there were demonstrations. People went on for nearly a year, refusing to ride the bus. That meant that people with the postal service were organizing all these elaborate carpools; and people in their 60s and 70s were walking 12 miles a day to not take the bus. And I was thinking to myself, how many Americans today would be prepared to walk 12 miles a day until we got the Manhattan subway system fixed, for example? Or until we found out who actually was behind the 9/11 terrorist attacks? Or until the torture of people, which is completely degrading to man as in the image of God? How many Americans would be prepared to do that kind of hard work over an extended time? I began to think that this is the – when Lyndon LaRouche a few years ago, we did a series of memorial concerts on the 15th anniversary of 9/11; and he talked about the humiliation of Americans not having done anything. I thought that's kind of an odd term; what does he mean "humiliation"? When I was reading what Dr. King had to say this morning, I thought, "Well, of course. We should be humiliated." In a sense, we should be ashamed that we have allowed our nation to be in the shape that it is, and not have acted sooner. If we would take this opportunity this year, to come to that conclusion firmly as strongly as Xi Jinping means it in China, then there is absolutely nothing that can stop us.

The person whom I am about to introduce, has been a very important leader for 40+ years, 50+ years, in that fight. It is a very challenging world right now. The American people clearly rejected a continuation of British imperial perpetual war and Wall Street bail-out policies when they rejected the election of Hillary Clinton. Because President Trump represents an opportunity, as this conference is called "Three Presidencies: Trump, Putin, and Xi Jinping." Because there is a potential represented by this administration to end the long reign of the evil British Empire; everything is going a bit crazy. I heard this morning, apparently there was a car that plowed into a crowd in Muenster, Germany, killing several people and injuring many others, today while we're here. In the United States, we are bombarded; the American news media is violent in its coverage,



because what it does to you is, it causes whipsaw. You're reading one thing one day, another thing the other day. President Trump says he wants to get the troops out of Syria; and then we hear, "The White House says the troops must remain in Syria." Well, who is the White House? It's apparently not the same thing as President Trump. So, this causes a great deal of confusion and anxiety among the American people.

Mrs. LaRouche, who not only is the founder and chairwoman of the international Schiller Institute, is also a brilliant writer and scholar. She is an expert on Nicholas of Cusa, who wrote a very important paper called "The Coincidence of Opposites." So, I am confident that her address to us here today, will help all of us to make sense of the situation and give us an idea of how we can conduct ourselves to end this 50 years of humiliation in the United States. So, with that, I'd like to introduce Helga Zepp-LaRouche.

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE: I want to say "Hello" to you, and I'm very happy to talk to you, at least via video, so I can share with you my ideas.

I think in the recent weeks, many people in many countries have been very distraught about the so-called Skripal affair. This was the assassination attempt, the poison gas attack on the former double agent Sergei Skripal and his daughter. Immediately, the Theresa May government accused Russia that they did it. I think that this particular situation has demonstrated in a way we have not seen it ever, what is the role of the British Empire, the British government, British policies in the present escalation against Russia, and in a certain sense against China. This affair was immediately made an issue of NATO, of the European Union. Many EU members immediately declared unconditional solidarity with Theresa May, and they agreed on the formulation that there is no other plausible explanation than Russia did it. I think this reaction is very telling, because it shows on the one side, the degree of British control in NATO, and in part in the European Union. Fortunately, about half of the European Union members did not agree. But it also demonstrated the incredible Orwellian character of the present Western democracies of the so-called "liberal" Western system. Because the idea that you immediately abandon the principle that {in dubio pro reo}, that the innocence is relevant until proven guilty; that this was abandoned and that truth was replaced by a

consensus among countries. If that is the principle of international policy, then we are all in very bad shape. The immediate danger is naturally that this thing is not just leading to mass expulsions of diplomats. The United States expelled 60 diplomats; the British expelled a similar number, and Germany four. Altogether, I think 23 diplomats in the other European countries. But obviously, this has the implication of leading to a broader escalation of confrontation with Russia and possibly even war; because this is a prewar propaganda. If you look at the timing of this affair, first of all the two Skripals fortunately seem to be in much better condition. That raises a whole bunch of questions because if it was Novichok nerve gas, then the question is, how did the British have so quickly an antidote that they are now happily surviving? Or, maybe it was not Novichok. How could they come so quickly to the conclusion that it was Russia, when Scotland Yard said it would take several weeks to find out what really was the nerve gas agent used in this attack.

The timing was at a point where, in the United States, the whole focus of Congressional investigations of the House Intelligence Committee, the House Judiciary Committee, similar committees in the Senate, was about the role of the British Empire in the Russia-gate affair, or the Trump-gate, or the Mueller-gate, depending on how you want to call it. The focus of several committees started to really put into the limelight the role of Christopher Steele, the so-called "former" MI6 agent, the role of the British government, the collusion not with Russia but with the British in the whole attempt to make a coup against President Trump. So, that was very convenient, because all of a sudden, it was the Russia issue again. May, in these days, you could always say that the days of Theresa May seemed to be numbered; because she was in such an unstable position. Now, *cui bono*? Who has the motive? In whose interest would be such an affair? Well, Russia really has no motive; why would this occur just weeks before the Presidential election in Russia? Would Putin really want to have such notoriety just before the election, and just before the World Soccer World Cup? So, also Russia would have had many opportunities to kill Skripal; he was, for many years, in a Russian jail, he lived for many years in Great Britain without any problem. Nevertheless, despite that, Merkel and Macron, half of the EU immediately came out saying, "No, it is the only plausible explanation that it was

Russia.” Boris Johnson gave an interview to a German radio called Deutsche Welle, where he said that he had absolute scientific proof from the scientists of the Porton Down laboratory, who had definitely said that they had 100 % proof that it was Russia. In the meantime, the scientists refused to provide the after-the-fact evidence, and the head of the lab, Mr. Aitkenhead, said that they could identify that it was Novichok; but that they absolutely could not identify the source of the origin of this poison gas. This was a very lamentable situation, so the Foreign Office immediately deleted the tweet in which this was stated; which now has Boris Johnson’s stand there as a liar. That does not prevent the Theresa May government from continuing to push the lie that Russia did it.

Many officials in Russia – Foreign Minister [Sergey] Lavrov; Foreign Ministry spokeswoman [Maria] Zakharova, the head of foreign intelligence [Sergey] Naryshkin – the all pointed to the fact that the {cui bono} and the likelihood, and who has the capacity and motive, actually points to British intelligence. This whole operation – and this was pointed out by many experts and commentators – this absolutely parallels what the British did in the Iraq case in 2003; where also MI6 produced a dossier supposedly proving that Saddam Hussein was in the possession of weapons of mass destruction which could reach every city within 45 minutes around the globe. That Saddam Hussein supposedly had absolute connections with al-Qaeda; which was a blatant lie, because Saddam Hussein used to throw al-Qaeda people into jail and other things. But this was then used as a pretext. So, Colin Powell gave the famous speech in the United Nations motivating U.S. participation in the Iraq War. Then, the war against Iraq occurred, with many hundreds of thousands of people losing their lives as a result.

This is what some people in Russia in the meantime have called “Goebbels” propaganda. Why is there such a demonization of Russia? Why is there a demonization of President Putin coming essentially from the same people who are also demonizing President Trump and President Xi Jinping? This is the same foolishness which already led to the Second World War and which could easily trigger a Third World War. There is the danger that these war-mongers are repeating the same methodological mistake, stupidity, which led to two world wars.

What is behind that is a mixture of desperation because the financial powers of the City of London and their Wall Street

backers and collaborators see clearly that their system is failing. Obviously, they have a complete fear that this would go with a complete loss of their political and financial power. But it is also an obsession that their schemes will function, and if they just have enough containment and escalation then their system will be proven superior. They are confronted with their system not succeeding, but failing; they don't have the intended unipolar world, but they are confronted with the emergence of a completely New Paradigm in the world.

If you want to understand why Russia is such a focus of Russophobia right now, you have to take the situation back to the end of the Soviet Union. Because in the United States, at a point when the Soviet Union started to disintegrate and there would have actually been the possibility for a peace order for the 21st Century, you had in the United States the consolidation of the neo-cons. They revived the American Century doctrine, which originally was formulated by Walter Lippmann in 1943, when he published a book with that name which then became the entire basis for the post-war order; the legitimacy of NATO, the whole Cold War. It was the idea to revive that with the project for a new American Century and the idea that you would replace the two superpower system with an unipolar world based on the Anglo-American special relationship, and a neo-liberal monetarist system. This was essentially a continuation of the idea that you would control the developing countries, keep them in relative backwardness, and deregulate the financial system in order to bring back the power of Wall Street and the City of London, and basically control the world that way.

In 1989, when the German reunification happened, this was actually combined with the promise that NATO would never expand eastward. You have to remember that the Soviet Union agreed to the dissolution of the GDR and German reunification without the use of force. You could say, in light of the history of the Second World War, where the Soviet Union had suffered tremendous losses of life and naturally had a very terrible memory of Nazi Germany that it was extremely generous of the Soviet Union to agree to that. The promise was clearly given not to expand NATO eastward; this was emphasized many times by the former American ambassador in Moscow at that time, John Matlock. In the recent publications of the archives from George Washington University, it was also clear that this was, indeed, a promise made.

In 1990, the General Secretary of NATO at that time, Manfred

Wörner, made a speech in Brussels which is worth remembering. He at that time said, "The goal for the next decade is the creation of a European security structure, including the Soviet Union and the states of the Warsaw Pact," and that the Soviet Union would play an important role in the construction of such a security system, and that he would understand the wish of the Soviet Union not to be excluded from Europe. "The West cannot answer to the erosion of the Warsaw Pact with a weakening or dissolution of [NATO]"; and therefore, "the only answer is the creation of a security framework which includes both alliances" and which includes the "Soviet Union into a cooperating Europe... The very fact that we are ready not to deploy NATO troops beyond the territory of the Federal Republic [of Germany] gives the Soviet Union firm security guarantees," Wörner said.

This is all proven by these new documents which have been published that the West obviously, or the neo-cons and their British partners, were clearly promoting a different policy and making fake promises. On the surface, the offer to the Soviet Union continued. Still in 1994, President Clinton said the NATO expansion is not anti-Russian; it means inclusion instead of exclusion. But then, things became more dramatic. In 1999, there was the famous Tony Blair speech in Chicago, which was the definite elimination of whatever relic of the Peace of Westphalia system existed; and by that, also the elimination of the principles of the UN Charter – namely, guaranteeing the sovereignty of every country. This was clearly a foreshadowing of what Blair did later in 2003 with the Iraq War. What replaced the idea of respect for the sovereignty of countries was the idea of "humanitarian" interventions. Naturally, then in 2001 with the September 11th attack, which was a complete assault on all civil liberties and civil rights which had been fought for, for decades. And it imposed an international regime with the pretext of the war against terrorism.

What followed then was regime change, color revolution. You had the Orange Revolution in 2004 in Ukraine; you had the Rose Revolution in Georgia. In the meantime, both the Russian and Chinese militaries respectively stated that they regarded color revolution as an absolute total form of warfare. Naturally, the Maidan coup against the Ukraine government belongs in this chain. Also, already in 2002, the United States abandoned unilaterally the ABM [Anti-Ballistic Missile] Treaty, and proceeded to build up a global ABM system, which Russia had said

at the very beginning, they could not tolerate the Phase 3 and Phase 4 of it to be implemented, because it would completely undermine the strategic stability and therefore be a threat to the security interests of Russia.

In the 16 years of Bush, Jr. and Obama, these interventionist wars continued. Bush declared the "Axis of Evil," and the various wars in the Middle East and northern Africa started to eliminate governments which were not agreeable to this idea of a unipolar world. The world was slowly and steadily going to more Hell, more refugee crises, more misery; millions of people dying in the Middle East and northern Africa. Then, in 2013, the world suddenly changed for the better. President Xi Jinping announced a new model of international relationships in Kazakhstan – the New Silk Road. In the tradition of the ancient Silk Road, which was an incredible exchange not only of goods, technologies, cultures, ideas, but also laid the foundation of a dialogue among nations; this New Silk Road took on a development which is unprecedented I think in all of history. In the last 4.5 years, this new Spirit of the New Silk Road started to catch on, so that by now, more than 140 countries are cooperating in Asia, in Latin America, in Africa, even in Europe, with the New Silk Road. You have a tremendous sense of optimism in Latin America, where practically all Latin American countries are now building and planning to build bi-oceanic projects; bi-oceanic railway between Brazil and Peru, bi-oceanic tunnels between Argentina and Chile, and many other projects. So, the Spirit of the New Silk Road has definitely caught on in the Caribbean and Latin American countries. It is for sure the case in the Asian countries, and many corridors are being built. Africa has completely changed with the building of railways from Djibouti to Addis Ababa; all along the eastern African countries, the western African countries. If you look at the map of Chinese investments in railway systems and industry parks and hydropower in many other agricultural projects, there is a completely new spirit and self confidence among the Africa nations that they can now overcome poverty and under-development for the first time, in the near future. Even in Europe, where the EU has been absolutely blocking any cooperation, the New Silk Road Spirit has absolutely caught on. You have the 16+1 Eastern and Central European countries; you have the Balkan countries. Italy is now engaged together with China in a major project called Transaqua, which will change the lives of 12 African

nations and bring industrialization into the heart of Africa. But also, Portugal and Spain want to be the hubs not only for the western end of the Eurasian part of the New Silk Road, but to be also a hub for the Spanish- and Portuguese-speaking countries in Africa and Asia and Latin America. So, the New Silk Road Spirit is absolutely on the agenda. Also in Switzerland, in Austria, and even in Holland, Belgium, and some of the Scandinavian countries.

This is based on the idea of a win-win cooperation of respect for the sovereignty of the other country and respect for the other social system. This has been an incredible development. It's already 12 times larger than the Marshall Plan was, but the amazing thing is that for 4.5 years where this project is now progressing, the Western mainstream media and Western politicians have virtually ignored it; they have not reported it, and only in the recent period have they suddenly realized this is unstoppable. What is now occurring is a flood of attacks from the main think tanks, saying this is just an authoritarian effort by the Chinese to replace the Anglo-American imperialism with a Chinese one, and they want to take over the world. It was quite a sudden change in the coverage and in the comments.

A similar shock happened when they realized that Russia was absolutely not a regional power as Obama had told, but that basically it was about to become, under the leadership of President Putin, a major power again. So therefore, when Trump suddenly won the election, the same apparatus which is now behind the Skripal affair – British intelligence in collusion with the intelligence heads of the Obama administration – started a policy of a coup against President Trump. There was an article in January 2017 by the British paper {The Spectator}, which said that President Trump would be gotten out of the White House either through a coup, impeachment, or an assassination attempt. That was obviously the policy which these people followed, and the aim clearly was to prevent President Trump – who had promised in the election campaign to improve relations with Russia and bring it back on a stable and good basis – to prevent Trump from doing it by saying, "If you dare to speak to President Putin, that just proves you are a Russian agent." It took indeed until the G-20 meeting in Hamburg last year, before Putin and Trump had a personal meeting and actually hit it off very well. Also, between President Trump and President Xi Jinping,

contrary to what Trump had said in the election campaign where he was actually on a quite strong China-bashing mode, he received President Xi Jinping in April last year at his private residence in Mar-a-Lago. And they established a very good positive relationship between the two of them. Then, when President Trump went to Beijing for a visit in October last year, President Xi Jinping returned this and gave Trump what they called a "state visit plus." President Xi Jinping had the Forbidden City closed down to visitors for an entire day, and gave a huge long history lecture on Chinese history to President Trump and his wife. They established and deepened their relationship.

In the meantime, also Russia and China established the deepest strategic partnership in their history. Putin gave a speech on March 3, 2018 to the Federal Assembly, where he announced new weapons systems; basically, a long-range missile which does not follow the ballistic curve, but is highly maneuverable. Then also, a nuclear-powered cruise missile which the West absolutely does not have, and a nuclear-powered underwater drone which is quicker than above-water ships, and laser weapons. This combination of these and other weapons means that all of sudden, the entire global ABM system the United States had proceeded to build is obsolete. President Putin said, well, the West refused to even respond to all the offers made by Russia since 2002; but now, they have to respond. It is quite amazing that, except the demand of four American ambassadors, they have not yet responded. Western media tended to belittle these new weapons systems, or ignore them for the most part. [Chinese Foreign Minister] Wang Yi and the Chinese Defense Minister Wei Fenghe just attended the very large 7th Moscow International Security Conference, which was attended by 900 guests and 700 media. Wang Yi said that Russia can pursue its own interests and play a larger role in the international and regional stages. The Chinese Defense Minister Wei Fenghe said he came in order to send a signal to Washington that the Russian-Chinese alliance is absolutely the strongest and that there is a very close cooperation between the Russian and the Chinese armed forces.

All of these things have to be seen as a dynamic process, where we are now on the verge also of a full-fledged trade war. Admittedly, the trade deficit of the United States with China is untenable; but when President Trump said that he wants to impose tariffs first on \$60 billion trade deficit, and then on another



\$100 billion trade deficit, this was met by an unusually sharp response from the Chinese. *Global Times* wrote yesterday that China will not submit to the U.S. trade intimidation; that China is prepared to react with a full list of their own tariffs on American imports; that the trade war will cause pain for China, but the Chinese society will rally and unite around the government and the Party; and that they will also present a detailed plan to respond, and then the Americans would have to choose if they back their President in doing so, or if they hold him accountable for the consequences. *China Daily* even mentioned that the Chinese countermeasures could include the dumping of U.S. Treasuries, of which they have \$1.4 trillion as securities.

All of this comes at a moment where, at any moment, we could have a new financial crash much worse than that of 2008, because all the central banks did absolutely nothing to remove the root causes of the crisis of 2008. They just did quantitative easing, zero interest rates, and naturally many corporations took that gratis money to buy back their own stocks so that their stock exchange values would go up, but the corporate debt would increase. Now, as the Federal Reserve is trying to increase the interest rate, the blow-out of these corporate debt situations could trigger a complete systemic collapse. That is just one of the many facets of this crisis.

An insider in the banking system, a well-placed one, told us very recently that there is actually the possibility that some of the financial forces could even deliberately trigger a crash which they know is inevitable to come, as a deliberate plan to pull the rug out from underneath President Trump; to bring back the neo-cons, and that way to solve the problem which they could not solve with the failed Russia-gate attempt. One thing is very clear. If that would happen and the neo-cons would get fully back in the United States, World War III is as good as secure and certain.

In the middle of this Skripal affair, President Trump and President Putin telephoned; and President Trump absolutely refused to send out tweets on this affair or otherwise join in the present Russia bashing.

I want to make the strong point that there is a solution to all of the problems I just mentioned. That is, that there are many possibilities. For example, when Presidents Trump and Putin will have a summit in the near future, they could discuss this.

Also, the Chinese Prime Minister Li Keqiang had recently pointed to the fact that there is actually another way to solve the trade deficit; namely, by massively increasing the trade. President Xi Jinping has offered to the whole world, including all the European nations and the United States, that they should cooperate with the Belt and Road Initiative. China could decide and choose not to dump U.S. Treasuries as a punishment for the U.S. trade measures, but they could invest the \$1.4 trillion in U.S. Treasuries in infrastructure in the United States. Diane mentioned the Manhattan subway system in her remarks, and if you look at the infrastructure – not only in Manhattan, but in all of the United States – the condition of the highways, the absolute absence of a fast train system; it is very clear that the United States urgently needs investment in infrastructure. President Trump had promised in the election campaign that he would invest \$1 trillion in infrastructure build-up; but so far, he has not been able to find any financing, because the private investors want an 11 % to 12 % return and a complete return of their capital within 10 years. Which means it is not possible to finance it through private investment. The neo-cons in the Senate and in the Congress do not want to spend it in the Federal budget. The idea to distribute it to the regional and state governments is just not practical.

So, if on the other side, China, which has a fantastic fast train system of I think 25,000 km of fast train, and is planning to connect every major Chinese city with a fast train system and build 40,000 km of fast train systems by 2020; China could help to build such a fast train system in the United States and connect every major city with a fast train system going 350 mph and in that way, completely transform the infrastructure of the United States. This would help not only to overcome the trade deficit, but it would open the way for joint ventures between the United States and China in third countries. In Latin America where, contrary to what former Secretary of State Tillerson had said, China is not trying to build an imperial system in Latin America. But China and the United States could join hands in building up the industries of the Southern Hemisphere. Also, the same could happen in Asian countries along the Belt and Road; and also naturally in Africa. It could happen in the reconstruction and economic build-up of the war-torn region of Southwest Asia, and naturally of Africa in general.

This could even include Great Britain eventually, if they

change their government and if they get their crimes cleared up which they clearly have committed. But it would mean absolutely the necessity to reform the financial system of the United States and Western Europe.

My husband, Lyndon LaRouche, has already developed several years ago a package which together would absolutely remedy the situation. It would mean that the United States should go back to a Hamiltonian banking policy, to a banking system in the tradition of Alexander Hamilton; including the Glass-Steagall banking separation of Franklin D Roosevelt. Then, have a national bank, a credit system, then have a crash program for thermonuclear fusion and joint space cooperation with other countries in order to increase the productivity of the economy in a qualitative way.

What people really don't realize, or most people don't realize, is that the present Chinese model of economy and the early U.S. republic model are very similar. They're based on Hamiltonian principles. In China, they have now made a huge effort to eliminate the speculative area, to forbid Chinese investors abroad to invest in speculation. It is very clear that China, even if they don't call it way, is actually very close to the American System. And it is no coincidence that the most popular economist in China is Friedrich List, the German economist who was sort of the predecessor to Henry C. Carey, and who wrote important writings about the different between the British and the American systems. Germany also has a tradition of that; namely, the Kreditanstalt für Wiederaufbau, the Credit Bank for Reconstruction, which was based on the Roosevelt Reconstruction Finance Corporation and was the basis for the German economic miracle in the post-war reconstruction. So, also in Europe, you have some relevance and memory of this system. Now after Xi Jinping had announced the New Silk Road, the Schiller Institute and our organization published a study which we had worked on for 26 years with the name "The New Silk Road Becomes the World Land-Bridge," which is actually the absolute blueprint and outline for an international economic cooperation of all nations overcoming geopolitics.

Now just imagine if we could mobilize the American people to exert pressure on President Trump and give him backing, and he would accept the offer of Xi Jinping to cooperate with the New Silk Road in this way and also the European countries would eventually recognize – most of them are doing it already – but

even the remaining ones would recognize that the cooperation with Russia, with China and the other nations who already have joined the Belt and Road initiative—that this would be much more in their self-interest, than the present course of the British confrontation with Russia and with China.

If such an international economic cooperation could be realized, it would also be the realistic basis for a global security architecture which would include among others also Russia and China. It would then require that we do exactly what Xi Jinping has said many times, that mankind needs to move in a new phase of international cooperation, what he calls the “shared community of the future of mankind” or a “community of destiny,” then we could start to focus on the real problems, the common aims of mankind. We could build a system to make nuclear weapons obsolete, a new form of the SDI, what my husband had proposed, in the end of the 1970s and then it was in the works for several years; and then on March 23, 1983, President Regan had announced the SDI as a way for both superpowers to cooperate to make nuclear weapons obsolete. I think in light of the present danger of a new arms race and the already-existing arms race and the danger that this gets out of control, we need such an approach as a new SDI; and also a new SDE [Strategic Defense of the Earth], because the planet as a whole is threatened by dangers from space, from asteroids, from comets, which could really extinguish life on this Earth.

We should instead concentrate on the common aims of mankind—the alleviation of poverty, the creation of a living standard for a decent life for every human being on this planet, and a system of earthquake precursors and joint space research and travel. We should concentrate on space colonization as the necessary and possible next phase of the evolution of the human species. I think that if we combine that with a dialogue of cultures where each nation would emphasize and revive the best traditions of its own culture, and then have a dialogue among all of these nations and cultures, we could absolutely create the basis for a new Renaissance.

Skeptics would say that this is completely unrealistic. But I’m saying that the fact that you have these three Presidents—President Putin, who is obviously recognized and loved by the Russian people, and has just been reelected with an overwhelming majority; with Xi Jinping, who is an exceptional leader who obviously is equally loved by the Chinese population,

and basically they decided to eliminate the limits to his term in office so that he can guide China in these very, very important coming years; and President Trump, who is absolutely not what the media are making out of him, but who has shown again and again that he has outflanked a pretty difficult factional situation in his own party, and naturally with a Congress and a Senate which are very obstructive for the most part. I think that if the three Presidents join hands and do what they clearly did very successfully so far, in the attempt to solve the crisis of the Korean Peninsula, I absolutely think this is a realistic option. However, we should not sit on our hands, but we should really get into an international mobilization to propose this agenda, and do everything in our means to make it possible. It is the life of civilization which depends on it.  
Thank you. [Applause]

SARE: Thank you. We can now take questions from the audience here. Please say your name, and if you represent an institution or a press agency, please state what you're representing as well.

Q: I would like to ask you a question on behalf of Weiwei TV. As you may know, President Donald Trump has already instituted trade policies on China and China made a serious response. So I would like to know how you see the relationship between the United States and China? And what direction do you think this relationship is going to? Thank you.

ZEPP-LAROCHE: I think that we have a very serious danger, because if it comes to this trade war, as I mentioned, you have already a collapsing financial system of the trans-Atlantic region. And a trade war could easily be one of the elements triggering a complete meltdown of the financial system and that would obviously be much worse for the West than for China, which has taken certain measures to eliminate speculation and put the whole Chinese economy on a solid ground. Nevertheless, the consequences of a financial crash would be potentially extremely dangerous. As I said, if the neo-cons would come back and Trump would be ousted in this context, we would be back to Hell in no time.

On the other side, the trade war has not yet started. So far, it's just lists, and there is room to put on the agenda a

different proposal. I think Prime Minister Li Keqiang already pointed to it, to increase the trade in joint ventures in third countries. I think that the more people talk about this idea of U.S. investments in infrastructure and, for example, Xi Jinping could reiterate the proposal for the United States to join the Belt and Road Initiative, I think the trade war can still be avoided. But it does need determined action.

And I think that the possibility exists simply because the relationship between Xi Jinping and Trump has so far lasted over a year, and they have telephoned around many crises; and basically the Korea situation is on a very good course. There will be a summit between [Shinzo] Abe and Trump, who also wants to play a positive role. There will be a meeting between Putin and Trump, hopefully very soon; and Kim Jong-Un and Trump. So I think there is a diplomatic framework where many initiatives can be made, and I think the New Silk Road is definitely the answer to solve all of these problems.

Q: Hello. I think what you have said today is just enlightening. My name is Alan S. I'm a screenwriter and producer of a World War I mini-series, called "The 42nd Rainbow Division." I think history is our greatest weapon and if we start actually thinking back to what Russia actually did, for not only World War II, but also World War I. We would have lost both world wars. And actually the United States wouldn't have even been in World War I, because we would have lost it before we even got in. They were a huge ally.

I think history needs to be taught to the young and that's why I'm doing this series, is because the younger generations don't realize that Russia has been an ally. And now we're vilifying Russia and making them into a villain when it should be the opposite. How do we actually teach this to the young? The younger generations are our hope and they're our future.

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: I think we need to change the narrative of the neo-cons. Because when the Ukraine crisis started to develop, President Putin said if it would not have been Ukraine, they would have found another way to escalate the confrontation with Russia. And I think that this is absolutely the case.

I think to change the narrative of the Ukraine, because this is really when the total escalation against Putin as the demon started, is a very urgent matter because right now President

Poroshenko has announced that he wants to basically have a military solution for the east Ukraine, which could easily provoke a war with Russia.

I think the narrative has to be replaced by the truth. The truth is that Victoria Nuland bragged that she and the State Department spent \$5 billion in building up NGOs to cause regime change in Ukraine. The former Chancellor Helmut Schmidt—even he admitted that the Ukraine crisis started with the EU expansion summit of Maastricht in 1992, when the eastward expansion of the EU was decided, and the crisis was triggered when the EU wanted to have the association of Ukraine (basically at the end of 2013, which was the point when President Yanukovich decided he couldn't do it because it would have given NATO access to the Black Sea; it would have flooded Russia with EU products. This then triggered the Maidan, which was immediately supported by these NGOs financed by Nuland and the West, supported by neo-Nazis which were then causing the violence, and finally the coup in February 2014, imposing a fascist government as a reaction to that. And the threat to forbid the Russian language, the people in the Crimea decided to hold a referendum and they voted to be part of Russia, so Crimea was not annexed, but it was a vote for self-determination of the Crimean people.

I'm telling you all of this because part of the demonization of Putin, is the Ukraine story and what he supposedly did with Crimea, and all of this is not true. I think we have to really make an effort, to maybe produce many more movies and maybe we can work together to this effect because we have documented many of these wrong narratives and we must make them known. Because if the mass media are just portraying this idea that Russia is about to do everything, and behind every – it is worse than the McCarthy period and people are just hyped up which can only be characterized as a prewar propaganda. Because why do you build up an enemy image, because you want to make war against this nation. This is a mortal danger in which the whole world is. And I think this Skripal affair—the fact that it backfired, the fact that the British were caught lying, is really also a chance.

I would suggest that we work together on making more movies. We have already put out a lot of them, but I think we need and call upon all of you to help to distribute them, and make them known to as many young, middle-aged, and old people as we can.

Q: Mrs. LaRouche. Thank you very much for your speech. I

think everyone here—we're very pleased to hear what you said. My name is Amber J. I'm political activist right now working with several groups for supporting Trump and also for the midterm election. And also, I'm working for fighting for Chinese-American minority civil rights kind of thing.

I have a question – I believe everybody came to this conference understands your speech and understands the principle of three countries cooperating with each other. But there are some Trump supporters, they stand for Trump because Trump is starting a trade war right now. How would you persuade those Trump supporters to understand this win-win cooperation between these three countries, to maximize the effort for these three countries to cooperate together?

And also I believe a while ago, I heard India and Japan and probably the U.S.A. talking about starting another kind of international cooperation in terms of the infrastructure. That is the kind of thing similar to the Silk Road, the One Belt, One Road. How would you like to define that, or could you say about something about it? Thank you.

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: I think this is again another narrative which needs to be replaced by truth. And that is, what is actually the Chinese policy? Part of this problem is that for a long period of time the Western media and certain political circles in the United States have also painted a very negative picture about China. I think Chinese-Americans, and you yourself could help to correct that.

I must say, my image of China is incredibly positive;

because I was there for the first time in 1971. This was in the Cultural Revolution. And this was an unbelievable experience because at that time, the country was completely distraught. People there were unhappy. The Red Guards took people out of their homes in the night. They painted all the cultural buildings, the Summer Palace and other places in Beijing, with red paint.

Anyway, I'm just reporting that to say that when I returned to China after 25 years, in 1996, already with the idea of the Eurasian Land-Bridge, the idea of the New Silk Road, where I attended a major conference on that subject in Beijing as a speaker, the country had already been completely transformed as a result of the policies of Deng Xiaoping.



But if you now go to China, it is unbelievable. The country is prosperous; there is a large well-to-do middle class. People are optimistic about the future. They have an absolute vision, a self-confidence about China, about eliminating poverty by 2020. President Xi Jinping has a hands-on policy, going to the villages, talking to individuals; finding out what measures must be taken to eliminate poverty.

It's just such an incredibly optimistic situation—where also, culturally, China is pursuing the revival of Confucianism. Xi Jinping personally has made a big emphasis that Confucian philosophy is being taught on all levels of society.

I think that if people, especially in the Chinese-American community would amplify our efforts to show the real, true picture of China, I think the Trump supporters would absolutely understand, that it {is} in the best interest for the United States and China to cooperate. If you think about it, if the two largest economies in the world cannot cooperate, the danger of world war is very big.

Many people have talked about the Thucydides trap. This refers to the rivalry between ancient Athens and Sparta, which led to the Peloponnesian War, and the final disappearance of Classical, ancient Greece. If there would be a Thucydides trap between the United States and China; if the United States would react to the rise of China by a military confrontation, the world as a whole would not survive it.

China has (especially the Chinese ambassador in Washington, Cui Tiankai stressed that it is not the intention to replace the U.S. as the strongest power, but to have a special great power relationship, where both of them respect the sovereignty of the other, respect the different social system of the other, and then join hands and cooperate in all strategic matters.

I think there must be a very big mobilization where the image of China in the United States is being straightened out, because once people know the beauty of Chinese culture, the optimism of the Chinese population, everything will change. It is right now that the United States has a big moral and cultural crisis. You have for the first time the life-expectancy going down. For two years in a row, you have the life-expectancy of all categories of life in the United States shrinking. If there is *any* parameter for a collapsing economy, it is the life-expectancy. And that is naturally due to the new opium epidemic, the rate of suicides because of depression, alcoholism,

and the terrible culture of death, which expresses itself in the youth culture, violence of the video games, in the whole entertainment industry, which is contributing to these many school shootings.

You do have a cultural problem. And I think you have to go back to the philosophy of Benjamin Franklin, the founder and father of America, who used to be a complete Confucian philosopher. He recognized the wonderful aspects of the moral philosophy of Confucius and modeled his own moral system on the basis of Confucius. There are many parallels. You have the Confucius tradition with Benjamin Franklin, and in China, you have the American System of Alexander Hamilton in the early phase of the American republic, and now, in the Chinese model. And you have many similarities which, once you see, you can see that there are universal principles uniting these two countries, which are much more deep and much more important than the superficial conflicts.

I would say the best thing one can do to intervene in this situation is, we have proposed the project for the China investment in infrastructure. This has been picked up by a Chinese professor recently, John Gong. It has been covered by CGTN TV. There is a very famous Trump supporter in California, who just made a similar proposal. I think that has to be talked up. I think we have to talk up the idea of overcoming the danger of a trade war, by putting instead on the table Chinese investment in infrastructure, U.S. and China joining in joint ventures in third countries, and start a real cultural dialogue, so that the two people start to know each other and know the best of each other. And that way we can overcome this crisis.

SARE: Helga, I have a question which I think is related. You may want to say more. It comes from Sr. Pat C., of the Dominican Sisters of Peace who is also a member of the alto section of the Schiller Institute chorus.

She writes, "In your view, what concrete actions now will help catalyze the transition from a competition of nations to cooperation and mutual respect?"

I think you largely have addressed that, but there may be more that you want to say.

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: I think that the knowledge about the incredible dynamic of the Belt and Road Initiative, once people

know that, it changes their view. For example, take the case of Austria. Austria is a small country, but they want to take a leading role in becoming a hub for the New Silk Road. They just had a conference planning to broaden the gauge of the railway from Vienna all the way to Moscow, so that they can be better integrated in the container trains and similar things. All the Balkan countries are completely on board. The Eastern European countries, the Central European countries are all planning to be hubs and bridges.

The excitement in Africa— I mean, if people would know, there is a completely different spirit! No longer do the Africans want to be receivers of donations. They want to be treated as equal partners. They want to have investments, and the spirit of the New Silk Road has absolutely changed the self-esteem and self-confidence of all the African leaders and many of the people.

Just take this case of the Transaqua project. Transaqua is a project which was originally proposed by Bonifica, an Italian engineering firm, already more than 30 years ago. And the Schiller Institute and the LaRouche movement were campaigning for that for decades, because it is one of the key projects for the entire continent. What it would essentially mean is that you would take about 3-4% of the water from the tributaries of the Congo River, at a 500 meter height, and then by gravitation, you can bring this water through a system of canals all the way to Lake Chad, which is now dried out to less than 10% of its original volume. This affects the lives immediately of 40 million people in the Lake Chad Basin. When you bring this water back into Lake Chad, not only do you fill up this lake again, and create large volumes of water for irrigation for agriculture; you also create an inland shipping system for 12 countries in the heart of Africa; you create hydropower; you create a system of industry parks, of industrialization. So you bring in the industrialization in the middle of Africa, and that with all the other infrastructure projects, will mean *Africa has a future*. By the year 2040, there will be 2 billion people living in Africa, and they need these jobs, they need education, they need the kinds of projects, so that people are no longer marching through the Sahara and dying of thirst, which is happening now more than people even dying in the Mediterranean—it's just not being reported. These young people would instead help in the building up of the African continent.

This is such a fantastic development, and if the Americans would know about it – I mean, I’m only talking about the tip of the iceberg – but if people would see the sheer volume of change and the magnitude of change which is already happening, they would become absolutely optimistic and change their view, and recognize that in the history of mankind, geopolitics is something that absolutely has to be overcome, if we are supposed to survive as a human species. In the age of thermonuclear weapons, if you do not overcome geopolitics, we are going to be the destruction of our own species; and nobody in their right mind can really want that because even those warmongers, who are pushing it, would be eliminated themselves, too.

I think that the moment has absolutely arrived. If we go into a mass advertising campaign, a mass education campaign, about the existence of this New Paradigm, I think it can absolutely inspire the Americans and make the change which is necessary in the short term.

Q: Hi, I am an American citizen and a Confucian, I believe in Confucianism. I’m an independent scholar of language and civilization. I was an instructor of Chinese at Harvard University, in the Department of Eastern Language and Civilization.

I have the same idea as you that America needs to join China’s One Belt and One Road plan. I grew up 10 years ago, during the age of reform of China. I worked as at the FESCO, the Foreign Enterprise Service Corporation. I think more than 20 years ago, many American, European and Japanese companies invested in China, and gave us was a better economy, and I think it was very important.

Now, I think in the 21st century, China’s economy is much improved. It’s time to bring China’s investments into America and to help America’s economy. That’s why in 2016, I was for Donald Trump. I want to work with American people; I want to be the bridge to connect China and America, to bring China’s investment into America, to best help America’s economy.

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Very good! So many you can join with the Schiller Institute to help us, to get this message out widely. Because you know, already now the states which are doing large business with China, see the advantage. When President Donald Trump was in China last November, he had with him delegations

from several states – West Virginia, Alaska, and some others. And in the case of West Virginia, he brought back trade deals and investment deals worth \$83 billion! And the governor of West Virginia is completely optimistic that this will give back hope to all the people in West Virginia.

And there are many projects, for example, one very exciting idea is that Beijing, and the region of Hebei province and Tianjin, this is a region of about 130 million people, and there is a now a huge project whereby this region will be changed, where the heavy industry, which still has some environmental problems, causing smog and pollution is now being outsourced into Hebei province and modernized; a new city is being built, I think its name is Xiong'an, which is in the middle between Beijing, Tianjin and Hebei, and it's completely modernized. Beijing on the other side, will have lots of research and development, which is much cleaner for the environment, and all of this is supposed to be connected through an infra-urban modern transport system, including modern maglev. And the recent "Two Sessions" conference and the National People's Congress in China, the party discussed building a new maglev system of 600 kph speed, for the connection between the cities, and an inter-urban slow maglev system of 160 kph.

This is very good for urban transportation, because the beauty of the maglev system is that it accelerates immediately: You are in a few seconds at full speed, with the slow maglev you're only going 160 kph, which is enough for inner city transport; and they want to connect this entire region with this modern transport system, so that essentially no job will be more than 20 minutes away from the home of the working person. So you save all this commuting time.

And my idea is that this model of the Tianjin-Hebei-Beijing region could be a model for the modernization of New York, New Jersey, San Francisco, Los Angeles, the Midwest, and you actually do something like that inside the United States. And I think President Trump is a developer; he knows about infrastructure, and I think we just have to make sure that the Trump supporters know about these plans, and that we create an environment where this is actually intersecting the present crisis and danger of a trade war.

If you move quickly enough, and get the Trump voters all inspired with this idea, I think we can do a miracle. And I definitely believe in miracles, as long as we do them ourselves.

Q: [follow-up] Thank you very much. I totally agree with you. I believe that to bring China's investment and enterprise is more important in the trade market. And secondly, I was a professional Chinese instructor: I want to educate more Americans and Chinese people to understand each other, and make a friendship to develop together.

Q: I'm José V.: I'm here from New York City. Earlier you touched upon the youth culture and the culture of death, and I was hoping you could touch more upon that, because in my experience – and I'm only 19 myself, too – but from what I see of people around me, but also my nephew who will be turning 15 this year, I see he's more interested in violent video games and yelling into the microphone to imaginary people who aren't there, and spending a lot of money on things that will never really help him out in life: for example, he brought a \$300 belt buckle, because it said somebody's name on it, I think it's Gucci. He's more interested in reading violent comic books that display gore and showing people's insides – I don't have to go into that, you know about that.

My question is, how do we overcome this violence? How do we overcome this culture of death, and how do we overcome this culture of violence? And more importantly, how do we stop getting young people wanting to escape from reality by taking drugs and whatnot? So that's my question.

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: I think what we need for that is a mass movement for development. Because, first of all, I studied this question some years ago, when we had some guests and the children who came with the guests asked me if I knew about Pokémon, and naturally I had never heard about Pokémon. And they said: Oh, it's beautiful, it's violent, it's fighting. So I was so shocked,

I started to look into it, and I discovered Pokémon as a sort of

introduction drug to video games, and then naturally, the evolution, where these video games come from.

Well, they were developed by the military in the postwar period, because people had recognized in the Second World War, only 15% of the soldiers were ready to shoot the enemy, because human beings have a sort of natural barrier inside them, which they don't want to overcome, and when they kill somebody it goes

against that. So many people have a healthy block, – or had a healthy block. So the military developed these quickly changing targets, like in target practice, not having just one target but having many, and they change and move, so that you would learn to shoot quickly – shooting, shooting, one shot after the other – in order to train people to overcome the normal adrenalin shock which happens if you shoot at another person; if you are not brainwashed, then you have an adrenalin reaction and you start shaking and so on. So they wanted to get away from this, by having these video simulations, where people would learn to shoot, to increase the killer ratio of the soldiers.

So this is the basis for these video games which then became commercial. And while, in the military, and obviously it's a terrible thing in the military also, but at least you have an officer, you have some guidance, you have military discipline; but when these video games, which have become more insane over the decades, if they are accessible to young children, and these young children have not had any kind of an inoculation through a humanist education, through the recognition of beauty in Classical culture, through moral guidelines given to them by their parents, but where you have a culture where everything is allowed, everything goes – movies become more violent, more perverse, more pornographic; even snuff movies, where killing is being filmed, or at least the illusion that people are killed is being filmed, *this is really deadly stuff!* This destroys the cognition of anybody, but especially of young people.

And when young people, then, children, pupils, students, become autistic because they are only living in their social media, and have completely lost the ability to relate to each other, this is the death of a culture. And I think this is what's happening in the United States. You would not have these unbelievable numbers of mass school shootings: Like after Columbine in 1999, there were 38 mass shootings. And after the Parkland shooting, you had 50 alarms in the schools per day, where pupils would see another pupil having a weapon, or having crazy messages.

Now, obviously, this brings us to the question of, who is promoting this? President Trump had a meeting in the White House, where he met with the pupils of the school in Parkland, and the producers of these videos. So obviously, President Trump is aware of it, and I think we have to strengthen his resolve to move against it. And it happens to be that the Parkland

incident, in particular, was also the work of the FBI, because they established a system which is run by some uneducated call center, and so, many of the hints which were clearly given before, were missed. And it now turns out that in the Orlando case, the father of the shooter was a longtime FBI informant. So there is a lot of these things to be pursued.

But I think the key thing is a mass movement for development. Because, if young people have no hope for the future, and have no perspective, because it's now the common view that the coming generations will be worse off than the present one – this is the first time *ever* this has happened; because it used to be a moral standard for families, for everybody, that you work so that your children will have a better life than yourself. And this has been abandoned for the first time. So what will young people have as a perspective? Well, they have no future. And that is a *huge* difference! And I can assure you, I have seen it in all cases: There is a gigantic difference between the optimism of the youth in China, and the pessimism of the young people and the population in general in the United States, and in countries like Germany, for example.

So the absence of a vision, where the future of a nation, of the world will be, is what is feeding this kind of culture of death, because then it doesn't matter, life doesn't matter, life is worth nothing, whether you shoot somebody or not it makes no big difference.

So I think a mass movement for the kind of economic development which we were talking about before, is an absolute ingredient, so that people have a reason to study, to develop their minds, to develop their cognitive powers, to be productive. If you have the feeling that you can be an astronaut, that you can be a scientist in the realm of a thermonuclear fusion economy; that you will travel to the Moon Village in your lifetime, you have a motivation to study! And I think without such a motivation, it is very, very difficult.

So I would not look at it as a separate issue: I would look at it as an integral question to the whole discussion we are having here.

Q: Thank you for your work, today, and throughout all your time.

I'm Father Richard D., Franciscan Servants of God's Grace.

My question to you, is we know that the President has written a



book showing that his way of dealing with a problem is to take an {extreme} view, so he has room to compromise, to come back to what he actually wants. Do you believe he's doing this with the international trade situation?

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: I don't know; it may be. Because I think President Trump has said of himself many times, that he knows how to make deals, that he would get better agreements than most other people. And it may very well be that such an idea exists, that he makes big announcements of tariffs and so forth, and then in reality, he's negotiating and has his ambassador and other people, trade negotiators, making such a discussion.

I don't know. I find it a little bit risky, because I saw some Chinese articles where they said that some people may think that they can get a better result this way, but that China will not be intimidated into making compromises and basically will answer back.

I don't know. I think it is not necessary. I think this particular idea that you make a huge attack, and then you go for something less, is still, in my view, – and as you probably have realized, I'm very positive about the potential of President Trump; I've stuck my neck out a year ago, when I said that if Trump is able to put the relationship with Russia and China on a positive basis, he will go into history as one of the greatest American Presidents, and I stuck my neck out. And I'm repeating this, here. So, as you can see, I'm very optimistic and positive that it could happen.

But I also think that this particular style of negotiation is very dangerous, especially in an environment which is fraught with dangers as I touched upon in my earlier remarks. A much better way, in my view, would be to just say, "We want the United States and China to work together on a New Paradigm." There is already the Belt and Road Initiative. The United States could have some program, they could call it the American Silk Road, or the American FDR Revival, or the American Founding Fathers Celebration, if they don't want to be part of something which already has been put out by China, it doesn't matter, as long as the content of the policy is the same on.

And I think the potential for things to grow into a higher level of reason – I mean, here we are talking about the one humanity. I mean, I think the spiritual dimension, if you want, has to be brought into this matter, because man is different from

all creatures, because we are gifted by God with creative reason. And you don't have to be a Christian, you can be a Confucian philosopher, you can be a Buddhist, you can be just a good person, to understand that we have reached a point in human history, where we either recognize that we are all part of the one humanity, or we will not make it as a species.

Since Diane mentioned earlier Nicholas of Cusa, I can only say, that Nicholas developed a way of thinking which – she mentioned in the *Docta Ignorantia*, the “coincidence of opposites,” which is the idea that because we are capable of creative reason, we can think the One as having a higher quality and a higher power than the Many: The one humanity being first, and then the many nations being also important, but being not in contradiction to the progress and wellbeing of the one humanity. So I think if we understand that it is really the question of addressing that in us, which makes us human, the creative potential, then I think we can just find a way of shaping a New Paradigm where mankind is defined from a common future, how do we want to be existing as a human species, in 100 years from now, in 1,000 years from now, or even in 10,000 years from now? Because we can *think* the future! No dog, no donkey, not goose can think the future. If you tell a dog, “Let's have a walk tomorrow,” the dog will hear the word “walk,” which the dog probably knows, and jump to the door and wag its tail, and be happy. But if you say “tomorrow,” it doesn't mean anything to the dog!

But I think we need to raise the level of our communication, and just really do our duty as a human species, and prolong our existence indefinitely, by working together.

Q: My name is J. I'm the author of two books, *Evidence Not Destroyed*, and *Spread Real Love*. I want to thank Mrs. LaRouche; I want to thank this organization. You're some fine people. As I travel around America, I see many things: I just had to buy a new car, because the one I had had over 205,000 miles on it; and the one before that had 186,000 miles on it. But I'm going around the countryside, and other countries, also, spreading, putting this literature out for so many years. And I'm impacted with this organization. It has some very find, smart brains, that are sitting here in this auditorium today, and I just thank God for you.

And when we come to a situation like we have today, I want

to know how we can go forward? How we can promote civilization? How we can carry on? But if we have learned anything from our history, we have to look back and look at our history, and look at our results. We have something that's so profound here today; we don't get this kind of information on the TV no more. I used to watch so much news, but now, they say the news is not absolutely real! They say something about "fake" – I heard that over and over again, so I turned my television off from the news. And when I can come and get this type of *real* information, in a setting like this, it makes one want to go forward.

So I'm here to help anyway I can. I'll put another 100 and some thousands miles on the car I just bought, to get this information out to the people, because if you don't get it, the news is not going to give it to you correctly, the way it should be given. So, I'm just thanking each one, and all of you that's working – just as I am; Matt Guice, I've been working with him since the '90s; Lynne Speed and Dennis Speed, I've been working with these people since the early '90s. And I'm so proud.

One thing, let me say, I think the reverend right before me, a religious man – I sit in a church now, and I'm the only deacon there! Why is this? Look where we're going? Why is that? We're reforming, we're conforming, we're complying to every situation that's not good. And I think we have some real strength here, and we can do some great things. The main thing is, keep going forward. Thank you.

ZEPP-LAROCHE: Well, I think people have to be courageous, because the paradigm shift which occurred in the United States, which you, Diane, referenced in the beginning, which really started with the murder of John F. Kennedy and then the murder of Martin Luther King, and the assassination of Robert Kennedy, you know, we had several years ago, a Mozart *Requiem* performance in Vienna, in the suburbs of Washington, and also in the Boston Cathedral, commemorating the paradigm shift which has occurred in the last 50 years of America, where, the fact that the Kennedy murder, and also the murder of Martin Luther King, was really not avenged – or, not avenged, but not even investigated, and the real culprits made known and punished, which has led to people becoming depressed. I said many times, the Americans almost have become like the Germans, because if you ask a German person to do something, 99 % of the people say "Oh, you can't do anything, anyway," so people are really depressed, and feel that they are

powerless in the face of what is happening.

And that has happened to America as a result of these unclarified murders. And since we have this event today, because of the 50th anniversary of the assassination of Martin Luther King, I think it's a very good moment in history, to say, we will not allow the murderers of King to be successful in eliminating the hope which he represented. I mean, Martin Luther King was murdered at a moment when he had started to pick up many of the same issues which are now being, in reality, changed by China. Because he had started not only to take up the question of economic justice *inside* the United States, but also he had started to take on the question of jobs and overcoming poverty in developing countries. And that is what China is doing, exactly today. And in the same way as the Schiller Institute has been campaigning for, and LaRouche and his movement have been working for, for almost half a century, is now becoming a reality. So there is reason for optimism. And I think that the best thing we can do in a moment like this, thinking about the memory of Martin Luther King, is to say, we will pick up the torch, we will not allow the American people to be passive and desperate and ignorant and all of these things, but we will all turn into active members of the Schiller Institute, help to spread the message; make the Schiller Institute a Renaissance movement, a moment fighting not only for the economic buildup of the United States, but also for a cultural Renaissance. I think the two things absolutely have to go together. So I would encourage all of you to absolutely work with us, because I think the solution to all of these problems are absolutely within reach.

SARE: As the next person is coming up I would just tell everybody, during the break you will have the opportunity to do exactly what Mrs. LaRouche has said, which is to become a member of the Schiller Institute at our literature table. And to purchase copies of these very important, world-changing reports: This is the one she mentioned, "The New Silk Road Becomes the World Land-Bridge," which we produced right after Xi Jinping announced it. And this report, of which Jason Ross is a coauthor on "Extending the New Silk Road to West Asia and Africa: A Vision of an Economic Renaissance."

Q: Hi, I'm Donald C. My quick question is about the

liberals. How are they teaching curriculums to our kids, and they're not giving them the chance to learn the right stuff, and they're just forcing the kids what their beliefs are?

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Yes, that is a big problem, because it started with John Dewey, to basically develop this liberal pragmatic idea of education. And unfortunately, you have generations of people who have gone through different phases of such elimination of Classical literature, of natural science, so it is a real problem. And I would think that the best way to proceed on that, is if you look at the kind of materials which we present in the present [What Is the New Paradigm?] class series, which you can find on the LaRouche PAC site, and you can register to be part of it, this is the kind of curriculum which was developed especially with the ideas of my husband, Mr. LaRouche, who did an incredible job, because he revived the best traditions of the 2,500 years of European civilization, the traditions in science which were the source of qualitative progress, the great Classical arts; and this is something which is not taught in American schools, for the most part.

And I think we have to form, basically, educated people, who then hopefully, we can influence this present administration to change that. I think President Trump has repeatedly shown, at least for certain areas, an understanding; he talked about the American System of economy; he talked about Lincoln and Carey, he talked about Hamilton. So in the economic field there is definitely something there, which we can build on. I think there are many other people are equally concerned about the condition of the school system. But I think the best thing is that you register for these classes [<http://discover.larouchepac.com/>]. And if you haven't already done it, you can also watch some of the previous classes in the series.[6] Get yourself absolutely a firm grip on universal history, of the great advances in science and culture, and then, you know, basically help us to organize change.

Because it will come from many places. There are many people are realizing that at this point it is the scientists, the engineers and such people, who will be much more important in the shaping of things, than many politicians who are part of a party system and partisan, and therefore, don't really regard these issues are the important ones.

But the best advice I can give you right now, is if you join

with our efforts, we find ways to address all of these issues, and build a growing movement to demand such a change.

Q: Thank you very much. Your comments were very insightful. I believe in the paradigm where the United States, Russia and China, essentially a triumvirate is essentially going to lead the world, hopefully forward and out of the morass that we've been in. Especially over the prior eight years before this current President came into office.

The question, I want to ask is, what do you perceive would be the case – because I don't believe this economy in this country would have lasted another year, under the current policies. We would have had a significant economic drop which would have led to, since this country's GDP is 25 % of the world's, would have had a worldwide, negative impact. Having said that, what do you perceive would be the consequences in this country, or the for that matter the world, on the movement forward that has occurred, if President Trump did not have the position he has? He may be President, but he may have a weakened political system, in the sense of a House and the Senate: Would we be able to move forward? And what would be the consequences, and under what conditions could we move forward? Could this economy continue to grow if he can't implement his policies? What would be the international consequences of that, from your perspective?

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: I think the strongest situation is Trump's relation with his voters. That despite all of the attacks by the FBI, by the British, by the heads of the intelligence services from the Obama administration, – I mean, he had a pretty tough environment, and nevertheless, he goes back to his voters, he holds a rally, and the support for him is actually growing in the polls. So I think that that is for sure, a very strong point which we should build on, because if we keep strengthening that, and if we keep informing the Trump voters on all the issues we are discussing here, that can actually help to outdo the Congress and the Senate.

And since there is a midterm election, there is actually a very good moment to do that. I mean, the danger is naturally that Trump could be convinced that to take an anti-China stance would help him in the midterm election. I mean, I'm not sure; I'm not close enough to the situation to make a judgment on that. But I think the strong point is, Trump is close to his

voters, the voters still recognize what a change he means, and I think that we need to have a mass mobilization – I think there is no shortcut from that; because the danger is very acute. What I said in my initial remarks, when we talk to some really well-placed figure in Europe, who said that there is a discussion to pull the rug out from under Trump with a new financial crash, and if you think that this is a conspiracy theory – well, maybe before the Skripal case, you also thought that such things are conspiracies, but we have just seen a classic example of how you can manipulate a whole international community of nations to go into an attack on Russia, based on a lie! So these things do happen and they can happen.

Now, there are also many warnings. Just today, I think some representative of the firm of Guggenheim put out a warning on this corporate debt question that a financial crash can happen at any moment. And basically, you have the European banking system, the Italian banks are in terrible shape, you have a policy where the trigger point of a collapse of the financial system is many-fold. It's also like a minefield where it's not clear which mine will trigger the explosion, but once it happens, you could have a systemic blowout, much worse than that of 2008. Because the central banks have done absolutely zero, to eliminate the root causes of the crash of 2008. They have, instead, used the so-called tools and instruments – namely quantitative easing, negative interest rate, money pumping – but this has reached the point where now the Fed is forced, or think they are forced, to increase the interest rate, because a negative interest rate is very bad for the real economy, it's bad for the savings of the people, it's bad for life insurance, it's bad for real investment; and the hyperinflationary consequence of such money-pumping is already visible on the horizon in the form of the totally overvalued stock market, in the form of real estate prices, in the form of many other such phenomena. So the Fed needs to increase the interest rate, but that is already bringing the immediate potential for a new crash.

If that happens, I think we are in {real} trouble: So our whole point, is we need the implementation of Glass-Steagall, and the Four Laws developed by Lyndon LaRouche, before the crash happens. I think this is also a subject – there are these four dialogues which have been established between President Xi Jinping and Trump; one of them concerns the dialogue on economic matters; China has put a lot of emphasis on the dangers to the

international financial system, at the G20 meeting in Hangzhou [in 2016] and on other occasions. So I think that this question needs to be urgently addressed, also between the United States and China in these negotiations.

And then, if you put the whole package together, the Four Laws – Glass-Steagall, a National Bank, a credit system in the tradition of Hamilton, a crash program for the increase in the productivity of the labor force, and then joining hands in the Belt and Road Initiative – all of these measures together are a very, very practical and realistic way to overcome these dangers. But it is very urgent, because we are sitting on a powder keg, and I think it can be done, but we need a lot of people of good will to become active with us.

SARE: Helga, we're just about up on time. We have two more questions. Do you want to take both, or one, or?

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Well, maybe both together, and then I'll answer both.

Q: Hi Mrs. LaRouche. My question to you is, is that right after the shooting at Parkland, [Broward County] Sheriff Israel was all over the news speaking about going to all members of Congress to use the Baker Act, to detain and profile people that have experienced some sort of depression. And that's of great concern to me, because there are many people who have experienced that, and I feel this country is becoming more like Germany back in World War II. So I'm kind of scared, and I'd like to know, what's your opinion on it? Thank you.

SARE: OK, next question.

Q: Hello, my name is Steve S. I would just like to ask, how much of a role do you think that psychological warfare plays in everything that's going on? And how can we counter it? Are there people out there who specialize in psychological warfare? I hear people talk about history being erased; you know, the projection of violence through videos and commercials and that matter.

So, a lot of people are very confused, as well as myself, even when you find something that you believe in sometimes, it's presented in a way that you accept it in the beginning, and then



it comes out to be a lie. And right now, clearly, lie is just pounding on the truth. I mean, you have one truth, but you have so many lies that it seems too overwhelming to survive.

SARE: Thank you.

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: I think the concerns that both of you expressed is very real. I mean, it is the fact that the West is already living in a police-state. Just take the recent example of the Facebook firm, Cambridge Analytica – they sold data on 50 million people for commercial purposes, for election manipulation and who knows for what else? If you go on the internet and you go on any website, you immediately have the advertisement for the next years of your life of whatever you looked at.

So we are already in a completely surveillance state, where the NSA and the British equivalent, the GCHQ, are monitoring everything – your phone, your smart TV, your laptop – it's omnipresent. And obviously this needs to be reversed.

In the time when I was growing up, being a young person, we had a big concern about data protection. It was a civil right to make sure that your privacy was protected. All of this has gone out of the window! And also, naturally, the big change came on September 11th. Because September 11th was the pretext for a lot of the elimination of civil rights which used to be a constitutional right up to that moment.

And therefore, I think the inquiry of what really happened on September 11th, is still one of the big tasks to be solved, because it led to police-state measures inside the United States. It led to a similar kind of change internationally. And right now, you have the ongoing trial of the families of the victims of the World Trade Center suing the government of Saudi Arabia for their role in the September 11th attacks. And the Saudi government tried to appeal against the lawsuit, and a court in New York overruled that, so the court case can go ahead. Now, this goes very slowly, but this is a very important aspect; because eventually, we have to go back to a constitutional state. So you are quite right to be concerned, because there *is* a lot of this going on.

Again, I think there is no shortcut: We need more people taking an active role, and force the coming Congress to pass laws to protect the rights of the people again. This is absolutely possible. The whole argument, for example, that you cannot

control these things, or not control the internet, is absolutely not true: You can block certain things, you can prevent things, you can make laws which prohibit the profiling; you can make laws which it a criminal act to do all of these things you are worried about. So it's not a self-evident development.

But I think it does require that more people become state citizens: A state citizen, I would define a somebody who takes responsibility not only for his life, his family, his country, but for the outcome of human history. And I think to be such a world historical individual in a moment like that, where the options are so rich, and so beautiful that there is no reason to despair, but it is really the individual decision, to be part of the solution which can and will make the difference. [applause]

SARE: Thank you. That was very beautiful and appropriate. Do you wish to say anything else to us?

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Well, just be happy, and be productive, and feisty, and courageous, and then you can do everything you plan to do.

SARE: Thank you very much! [applause]

[1]

<http://schillerinstitut.dk/si/category/nyheder/alle-kategorier/oekonomi/larouche-s-fire-love-feature/>

[2] Kan læses på engelsk her:

[http://www.larouchepub.com/eiw/public/2018/eirv45n06-20180209/22-28\\_4506.pdf](http://www.larouchepub.com/eiw/public/2018/eirv45n06-20180209/22-28_4506.pdf)

Dansk oversættelse er undervejs.

[3] Læs en dansk introduktion til rapporten af de to forfattere, Jason Ross og Husein Askary, her: <http://schillerinstitut.dk/si/?p=23600>

[4] Abraham Lincolns Gettysburg-tale: » – *and that government of the people, by the people, for the people, shall not perish from the earth*«.

[5] Martin Luther Kings berømte tale 'I have been to the mountain top' fra 3. April, 1968, kan læses her:

<http://www.americanrhetoric.com/speeches/mlkivebeentothemountaintop.htm>

[6] Se lektionerne i dansk oversættelse her:

<http://schillerinstitut.dk/si/category/lpac-new-paradigme/>

---

## Briternes løgn er afsløret og de kan besejres, men de forsøger stadig at sætte verden i brand. Politisk Orientering med formand Tom Gillesberg, 5. april, 2018.

*Tom Gillesberg: Velkommen til endnu et dramatisk kapitel i kampen om verden; kampen om, hvem der skal regere planeten Jorden og dermed også kan få mulighed for at få en betydende indflydelse på det univers, vi befinder os i, på den lange bane. Det er bare så ufattelig spændende, hvad der foregår netop nu, for på den ene side har vi jo de her absurde tosserier, den seneste af hvilke har været denne her kampagne, man har kørt de seneste par uger med den såkaldte Skripal-sag, hvor ud af det blå pludselig fra London tonede Theresa May frem på Tv-skærmene og sagde, 'Der har været et angreb med biologiske våben her på efterretningsagenter, og vi er sikre på, at det kun kan være Rusland, der har gjort det, og derfor kræver vi af resten af verden, at de nu går sammen med os om at straffe Rusland for denne uhørte, ubehagelig dåd, som de har begået'. Det har jo bl.a. ført til, at USA udviste 60 diplomater, Danmark udviste 2 osv., og vi ligesom er i et kraftigt momentum frem til, at nu skal vi have en konfrontation med Rusland.*

*Igen, det kommer ikke ud af det blå; det kommer efter man har haft et NATO, som har stået på og drevet på og sagt, nu skal vi mobilisere mod den russiske fare; vi skal have en brigade ovre i Baltikum for at kunne beskytte de baltiske lande mod den store russiske bjørn. Igen og igen har Stoltenberg fra NATO's talerstol sagt, og vi ved jo godt, at vi er under angreb, og frem for alt cyberangreb; men I skal vide derude, frem for alt jer i Rusland, at vi betragter et cyberangreb på et af vore lande som et angreb, der kan udløse Artikel 5 og derfor være et angreb på hele NATO, så hele NATO må svare igen med alt, hvad de har. Og dermed har man i princippet sagt, at, hvis der er et såkaldt cyberangreb på et NATO-land, så kan det være, at vi svarer igen med atomvåben over for Rusland. Det er*

ligesom det, man har sagt, og det er vildt, og det er farligt! Og det er helt vanvittigt.

Men, når det så er sagt, så er det også et vanvid, der står mere og mere afsløret for hver dag, der går. Dette sidste vanvid kommer jo efter, at vi nu i over et år har haft en ny amerikansk præsident, Donald Trump, som har haft svært ved at regere, fordi der har været skandale på skandale, der har kørt i medierne mod ham; 'Trump-gate', 'Russia-gate' osv., med det fokus at få Trump afsat, så man kunne fortsætte den politik for konfrontation og krig, man havde før, og som Hillary havde svoret, at hun ville fortsætte. Men alle disse skandaler har det til fælles, at deres udspring er London. ...

Hør hele Toms analyse:

---

## Imperiets frygt går grassat over, at den europæiske alliance mod Rusland kan være ved at smuldre

4. april, 2018 – Med en afsløring af Londons desperation over, at offensiven med »Rusland gjorde det« er ved at smuldre, bemærkede Londonavisen *The Times*, at Porton Downs adm. dir. Gary Aitkenheads indrømmelse i går af, at det ikke er muligt at bestemme, hvor den Novichok-gift, der blev brugt til at forgifte Sergei og Yulia Skripal, kom fra, »risikerer at underminere den internationale koalition imod Moskva«. En unavngiven »højtplaceret minister« citeres for at klage over Aitkenheads udtalelser: »Jeg ville give dem [Porton Down] topkarakter for deres videnskabelige arbejde, men bundkarakter for dagens fremlæggelse. Dette er tydeligvis ikke nyttigt.«

Nej, sandelig ikke. Som Sputnik i dag rapporterer, så vrimler det med frygt for, at den såkaldte »forenede« europæiske alliance mod Rusland faktisk er ved at falde fra hinanden. Ud over bemærkninger, som den tyske udenrigsminister Heiko Maas (SPD) kom med, og som sagde så meget som, at Tyskland ønsker at bevare en dialog med Rusland, så har en CDU-leder, Armin Laschet, ministerpræsident for Nordrhein-Westfalen – Tysklands mest befolkede forbundsstat – revset London for at kræve udvisningen af russiske diplomater uden solidt bevis.

I et Tweet stillede Laschet spørgsmålet, »Hvis man tvinger næsten alle NATO-lande til at demonstrere solidaritet, bør man så ikke have solide beviser? Uanset, hvad man tænker om Rusland, så lærte mine studier af international lov (eller folkeretten) mig en anden måde at behandle andre stater på«. Ifølge *The Times* har Laschet, som er én af fem viceformænd for Angela Merkels Kristendemokratiske Union, tidligere kritiseret angrebekampagnen mod Rusland og har hilst Ruslands rolle i Syrien og Ruslands genforening med Krim velkomment.

---

## [Lyndon LaRouche: Det britiske Imperium er stadig den civiliserede verdens fjende nr. 1](#)

*Jason Ross: Ingen forstår briterne bedre end Lyndon LaRouche. Alt imens Storbritannien ikke længere hersker over havene eller verden med skibe, fly og imperiehære, så inficerer deres måde at tænke på kulturer i hele verden og former den måde, hvorpå folk analyserer og opfatter virkeligheden. Storbritannien udøver også magtfuld kontrol over verdens finanssystem gennem City of London og deres indflydelse over Wall Street. De har haft utrolig succes med at bondefange vore eliter til at være overbevist om, at amerikansk råstyrke med britisk hjerne bør kontrollere verden.*

*Men, hvor mange flere amerikanske liv skal ofres, og hvor mange flere ofre for unødvendige, geopolitiske krige skal dø og lide i hele verden på vegne af britiske, geopolitiske strategier, før vi udrydder dette barbariske system?*

*Lad os lytte til LaRouche:*

**»Hvordan man udmanøvrerer gale  
Theresa  
Mays march mod Tredje Verdenskrig«  
Helga Zepp-LaRouche i  
internationalt webcast; 29. marts,  
2018**

*Xi Jinping har, i alle sine skrifter, i alle sine taler, understreget, at dette »fællesskab for menneskehedens fælles fremtid« er baseret på total respekt for det andets lands suverænitet, total respekt for den andens samfundssystem, og der kommer ingen bestræbelse på at påtvinge noget andet land den kinesiske model. Det er ganske enkelt, at Kina har tilbudt især udviklingslandene at hjælpe dem til at overvinde deres underudvikling. Det er et win-win-samarbejde, hvilket er grunden til, at 140 lande i mellemtiden samarbejder med dette, for det er naturligvis i Kinas interesse – for det er en stor befolkning, et stort land, en meget rig kultur, 5.000 års meget rig kulturtradition, så det er et af verdens store lande, og måske endda det vigtigste, i betragtning af dets befolknings størrelse.*

*Men de påtvinger ikke nogen det, de anser for at være »kinesiske karaktertræk« – helt forskelligt fra de neokonservative og de neoliberale, der havde regimeskifte, 'farvede revolutioner', eksport af 'demokrati' og det, de kalder »menneskerettigheder«. Folk bør virkelig ikke være fordomsfulde, men bør se på det med friske øjne, selv læse Xi Jinpings taler. ...*

## Europæiske og amerikanske borgere køber ikke Hendes Sataniske Majestæts krav om krig med Rusland

*Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 28. marts, 2018* – Farcen med premierminister Theresa Mays krav om, at verden skal bøje sig for den britiske krone og acceptere den åbenlyse løgn, at Rusland gennemførte en »ulovlig magthandling« mod UK samtidig med, at Kongeriget nægter at fremlægge så meget som antydningen af bevis, overbeviser ikke mange borgere i USA eller Europa og stort set ingen uden for NATO. Organisatorer fra LaRouche-bevægelsen i USA, Tyskland (Borgerrettighedsbevægelsen Solidaritet; BüSo) og andre steder finder, at der er et dramatisk skift i befolkningens respons, siden PM May lancerede sin kampagne for krig med Rusland. De svigagtige britiske anklager mod Rusland – som minder stort set alle om Tony Blairs løgne om Iraks masseødelæggelsesvåben, og om denne løgns forfærdelige konsekvenser – er begyndt at vække et spirende had til denne imperieholdning, som udstråler fra briterne og fra de spytslikkere for briterne, som i 16 år sad på det amerikanske præsidentskab, før valget af Trump.

Lad os se på timingen i dette fupnummer:

MI6-kampagnen for at bringe USA's præsident til fald gennem »Russiagate« er ikke alene kollapsede, men dens gerningsmænd i FBI, CIA og blandt de neokonservative i både det Republikanske og Demokratiske parti, står nu selv over for mulige anklager for kriminelle handlinger for deres løgne, læk, ulovlige brug af føderale myndigheder og mere endnu.

Theresa Mays regering hang i en tynd tråd, alt imens Labour-partiets leder Jeremy Corbyn blev set som en sandsynlig vinder, hvis der blev udskrevet valg. De kombinerede britisk/Obama-bestræbelser på at vælte regeringen i Syrien og overgive landet til kaos under krigsførende terrorgrupper, ligesom i Irak og Libyen, er blevet alvorligt undermineret af præsident Trumps åbne samarbejde med Rusland omkring udslettelse af terroristerne.

Flere europæiske nationer har afvist dæmoniseringen af Rusland, og Italien befinder sig i processen med at danne en ny regering, som sandsynligvis vil

afvise europæiske sanktioner mod Rusland i det hele taget.

Så briterne forsøger at gøre det, de plejer at gøre qua deres imperienatur – opfinde en krise, der kan retfærdiggøre krig, få USA til at stå i spidsen og tyrannisere deres fordums »allierede« til underkastelse.

Men, planen virker ikke så godt. Alt imens det er sandt, at Trump-administrationen gik med i masseudvisningen af russiske diplomater, så er det imidlertid klart for briterne, at Trump ikke vil opgive sine planer om at arbejde sammen med præsident Putin. Hans telefonopringning til Putin 20. marts, hvor de diskuterede løsninger på globale problemer uden at nævne den britiske Skripal-sag med ét eneste ord, slog Dronningen og hendes britiske Lords med rædsel, såvel som også den ynkelige Theresa 'M' May, og som alle ser skriften på væggen: Enden på selve Imperiet.

Næsten et dusin europæiske lande har nægtet at udvise nogen russiske diplomater og har krævet først at se beviser. Briterne har omdelt seks power point-slides som »bevis«, som ikke var andet end en liste over deres svigagtige anklager om russisk »aggression«. Ligesom Christopher Steele-dossieret, vil anklagerne måske narre nogle, for en tid; men briternes troværdighed er slidt ned.

Men, hvad der er meget vigtigt, så har millioner af mennesker i løbet af de seneste halvtreds år hørt Lyndon LaRouche advare om, at USA er blevet holdt for nar af briterne, med at udkæmpe deres kolonikrige siden Vietnam og med at gennemføre deres finanspolitikker med det »frie marked«, på bekostning af det Amerikanske System for dirigeret kredit til industriudvikling. Alt imens mange har fundet dette vanskeligt at tro på, så ser de pludselig de afskyelige løgne og Londons lige så afskyelige politik for anstiftelse af krige, og de reflekterer over, hvem, det var, der i alle disse år fortalte sandheden.

For en gangs skyld er briterne blevet tvunget til at stå i spidsen af deres fupnummer i deres eget navn – og det er deres sårbare punkt. Trumps plan om at arbejde sammen med Putin og med Xi Jinping og afslutte imperie-æraen for krige for regimeskifte og truslen om en atomar udslettelse, må støttes og fuldt og helt gennemføres, og det omgående.

*Foto: Dronningen og Prinsen af Wales forlader parlamentet efter dronningens tale, 2017. Copyright House of Lords 2017 / Photography by Roger Harris. This image is subject to parliamentary copyright. [www.parliament.uk](http://www.parliament.uk)*



---

## Briterne satser på konfrontation med Rusland i overensstemmelse med 'The Great Game' – det store spil; Det er modbydeligt og usikkert og kan give bagslag

*Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 27. marts, 2018* – For enhver, der ikke er en sinke, er den modbydelige natur af premierminister Theresa Mays og kohorters Skripal-forgiftnings- og anti-Ruslandsmobilisering en åbenlys »Great Game«-manøvre for at forhindre den potentielle realisering af en amerikansk-russisk hældning over mod »Nye Silkevejsrelationer«, for fred og for udvikling. Hele Mays anti-russiske, internationale mobilisering er blot en ny fase af det igangværende Trump-gate kupforsøg, med det formål at bringe det amerikanske præsidentskab til fald. Briterne er ligeledes i centrum for gennemførelsen af denne fase: MI6, Christopher Steele, Richard Dearlove, Sir Andrew Wood, Robert Mueller og andre håndlangere.

Der er ingen legale belæg for Theresa Mays kampagne for at anklage Rusland for forgiftningen i Salisbury den 4. marts – ingen beviser, ingen analyse, ingen juridiske standarder. May selv var politisk på vej ned og ud, inden for ganske få dage, indtil denne beskidte operation blev lanceret; og nu forventes verden at hylde hende som en »anti-Ruslands-heltinde«.

»Det er modbydeligt; det er usikkert«, sagde Helga Zepp-LaRouche i dag og bemærkede, at dette tydeligvis er briterne, der anstifter konfrontation. »Vi kan få det til at give bagslag«, sagde hun. Hold fast i sandheden og brug ethvert middel til at afsløre den onde hensigt og dens gerningsmænd.

Det er rent strategisk meget vigtigt, at den russiske viceudenrigsminister Sergei Ryabkov i dag gentog, at den forpligtelse stadig er aktiv, som fornylig blev indgået af den russiske præsident Vladimir Putin og præsident Donald Trump, til en dialog om stabilitet og sikkerhed. Det forholder sig således, på trods af gårsdagens amerikanske, pro-britiske ordre til udvisning af 60 russiske diplomater og lukning af det russiske konsulat i Seattle. Ryabkov sagde i dag, rapportret i Sputnik, »Vi har brug for denne dialog, præsidenterne for vore lande talte om det i en telefonsamtale for et par dage siden. Vi opgiver ikke

denne dialog, vi vil bevare den.« Herudover fordømte Ryabkov USA's udvisninger.

I løbet af de seneste 24 timer har ledere i andre lande udtalt sig imod denne briternes 'udsmidning af bumser'. Den østrigske kansler Sebastian Kurz sagde i dag, at hans nation ikke ville udvise russiske diplomater. Han sagde, at Østrig traditionelt er et neutralt land; det er en bro mellem Øst og Vest. Diplomater er velkomne og nødvendige i Østrig.

Der høres også udtalelser imod det britiske/EU-fremstød for konfrontation med Rusland internt i selv Tyskland, og ligeledes i Italien. Det rapporteres, at på EU-topmødet for statsoverhoveder i Bruxelles den 22.-23. marts, fremlagde May og den tyske kansler Angela Merkel krav om nye, skrappe sanktioner mod Rusland, men at dette blev blokeret som værende forkert af den italienske premierminister Paolo Gentiloni. Dernæst rejste han hjem, og på trods af, at han er afgangsleder, udviste han to russiske diplomater og demonstrerede således det intense pres, der lægges på de europæiske ledere af briterne og deres kohorter internt i USA. Denne handling blev prompte fordømt af andre i Italien som værende forkert og som en »præmatur« dom.

Torsdag vil Trump besøge det nordøstlige Ohio for at tale om infrastruktur. Dette er i hjertet af Rustbæltet, som ville blive transformeret til et kraftcenter under betingelser, der afgøres af USA's samarbejde med USA og Rusland og Kina under Bælte & Vej Initiativet, og med [LaRouches Fire Love](#).

*Foto: Premierminister Theresa May mødtes med præsident Trump på Davos Økonomiske Verdensforum, 25. jan., 2018.*

---

## [Ambitiøse finske initiativer vil opkoble den arktiske jernbane til Tyskland](#)

26. marts, 2018 – Den finske regering annoncerede den 9. marts sin beslutning om at planlægge en arktisk jernbanelinje til Norges mest østlige beliggende by, Kirkenes, ved Barentshavet. Denne beslutning har afgjort denne jernbanelinjes rute, som flere norske havne og den russiske havn Murmansk har konkurreret om. Ruten fra Kirkenes vil åbne for adgang til et potentielt meget rigt område for minedrift i det nordlige Finland. Den vil løbe til Rovaniemi, der via jernbane

er forbundet til resten af Finland. Jernbanen vil bringe hele Finland og Sverige i kontakt med den nordlige sejlroute, såvel som med de enorme energiprojekter i det arktiske område.

Den finske minister for transport og kommunikationer Anne Berner arbejder for en række projekter, der forbinder den nordlige sejlroute, gennem Finland, direkte med Centraleuropa og Tyskland. Hun arbejder aktivt for tunnelprojektet mellem den finske hovedstad, Helsinki, og den estiske hovedstad, Tallinn. Herfra findes projektet Rail Baltica som et af de ni sovende EU-korridorprojekter (TEN-T), der forbinder hele Europa. Minister Berner er meget aktiv med at etablere kontakt med de relevante institutioner i Norge, Sverige og de Baltiske Stater, såvel som med de hjemlige oprindelige folk i området, hvor den arktiske jernbane (fra det Arktiske Hav) skal løbe. Berner var den finske regerings repræsentant ved Bælte & Vej Forum i Beijing, 14.-15. maj, 2017.

Finland arbejder også for, at Sverige skaber en Botnisk Korridor i begge lande, på begge sider det Baltiske Hav (Østersøen). Den hesteskoformede jernbanekorridor vil, sammen med Helsinki-Tallinn-tunnelen, Rail Baltica og jernbanenettet i Danmark, Tyskland og Polen, fuldstændiggøre en Baltisk Ring omkring hele det Baltiske Hav.

I en rapport om Arktisk Hav-jernbanen (Arctic Ocean Railway) gøres det klart, at det er planen at arbejde sammen med Kina i hele det arktiske område. Et kapitel med overskriften, »Arktisk Hav-jernbanen er den del af det globale transportsystem« er visionært: »På en bredere skala er Arktisk Hav-jernbanen også forbundet til de førnævnte projekter, dvs., Rail Baltica og Helsinki-Tallinn-tunnelen. Arktisk Hav-jernbanen bør derfor ses som en del af det globale transportsystem ... Arktisk Hav-jernbanen ville forbinde det arktiske område og dets udstrakte naturlige resurser med både Finlands jernbanenet og – via Helsinki-Tallinn-tunnelen og Rail Baltica – med Centraleuropa og hinsides. Arktisk Hav-jernbanen ville give en alternativ rute for finsk eksport og import. En forbindelse til det Arktiske Havs dybe, isfrie havne ville åbne for en forbindelse til Atlanterhavet og Nordøstpassagen og herved på signifikant vis øge Finlands transportkapacitet og forbedre dets logistiske position og tilgængelighed. Takket være disse forbindelser, ville Finlands betydning som en nordeuropæisk transportrute vokse.«

Det Finske Transportagentur udgav sin [Arctic Ocean Railway Report](#) i år.

Tidligere er betydningen af Arktiske Hav-jernbanen blevet beskrevet i en tale for Schiller Institut-konferencen i Berlin, 25.-26. februar, 2012 af EIR's Stockholm-korrespondent Ulf Sandmark, [»Man in the Arctic – But How?«](#), udgivet i

## [Den italienske økonom Alberto Bagnai, nyvalgt senator, promoverer Glass-Steagall for Italien](#)

15. marts, 2018 – Den velkendte økonom Alberto Bagnai er blevet valgt til det italienske senat for Lega. I et langt videointerview med videoblog Byoblu understreger han Glass-Steagall som en del af forklaringen på, at han, en venstreorienteret intellektuel, tilsluttede sig et »højrefløjsparti« som Lega. Venstrefløjen er opdelt i to lejre, siger Bagnai: de beredvillige bødler, der sidder i regeringen, og de nyttige idioter for finanskapitalen såsom dem, der kræver magt til folket.

»Jeg er ikke fordomsfuld over for finanssektoren. Vi er blevet stalket af folk, der insisterer på, at penge er djævelens afføring – et sted mellem St. Bernadine af Sienna og et psykiatrisk hospital. Men siden venstrefløjen gik med i regering ... er denne funktion svulmet op. Finans er blevet en kræftudvækst, og det må vi tackle. I Legas program er der nogle korrigerende forholdsregler; for eksempel bankopdeling mellem kommercielle banker og investeringsbanker«, den klassiske beskrivelse af FDR's Glass/Steagall-lov fra 1933.

*Foto: Den italienske økonom Alberto Bagnai, nyvalgt senator for Lega, går ind for bankopdeling.*

---

## [Kina fornyer tilbud til Europa om samarbejde](#)

## om Bælte & Vej, 'et globalt offentligt gode'

17. marts, 2018 – I en artikel, der torsdag blev udgivet på websiden Euractiv, skrev den kinesiske ambassadør til den Europæiske Union, Zhang Ming, at »Regeringer i 11 EU-medlemsstater har underskrevet dokumenter om samarbejde med Bælte & Vej Initiativet sammen med den kinesiske regering«, og at »EU's udenrigshandel vil stige med 6 % pga. Initiativet, iflg. den økonomiske tænketank Bruegel, der har hjemsted i Bruxelles. Ekspresgodstogene mellem Kina og Europa har travlt med at krydse det eurasiske kontinent. I 2017 var der totalt set 3.673 ture, en stigning på 116 % (!) i forhold til 2016, og som overstiger det samlede antal ture i de forgangne seks år. Togforbindelserne når 36 europæiske byer i 13 lande og gør europæiske varers adgang til det kinesiske marked meget lettere«.

»Desuden er et stort antal projekter godt i gang, såsom infrastruktur, logistik, havne, e-handel og finans. For eksempel købte et kinesisk foretagende i Serbien et stålvalseværk, der havde vanskeligheder, og vendte det på under et år. I Grækenland genvandt havnen i Piræus sin position som en af de største havne i Europa. I Det forenede Kongerige er Kina gået i partnerskab med Frankrig om at bygge et kernekraftværk, et stjerneeksempel på samarbejde mellem tre parter under Initiativet«, skrev ambassadøren.

Men iflg. Zhang vil Bælte & Vej Initiativets potentiale imidlertid først nå sin fuldstændige udfoldelse, når alle spillere indser dets betydning og tager del i det på en upartisk måde. Til dette formål gentog han tre basale faktorer:

Det er ikke en ensidig strategi, eller Kinas »Marshallplan«, som nogle har kaldt det, men det »har til hensigt at fremme økonomisk samarbejde gennem infrastruktur-konnektivitet og frembringe fælles udvikling ved at bruge alle deltagernes sammenlignelige styrker til maksimal fordel. Som et globalt, offentligt gode, følger Initiativet den gyldne regel om udstrakt rådslagning, fælles bidrag og fælles fordele«.

Det er ikke en ensrettet vej, som kun giver Kina mulighed for at eksportere dets teknologier og manpower. Det er snarere baseret på udstrakt rådslagning og kommunikation. »Alle projekter under Bælte & Vej må gennemgå velinformede forundersøgelser, som udføres af de interesserede parter, og må følge markedsprincipper og internationale regler. Alle projekter må være resultatorienteret, af høj standard og bæredygtige. Vores mål er ikke blot at styrke infrastrukturens fysiske konnektivitet, men også at forbedre den institutionelle konnektivitet mht. regler og standarder.«

Bælte & Vej Initiativet »er så stort et foretagende, at det må involvere mange deltagere, inkl. dem fra Europa. Alt imens hver enkelt deltager kommer fra forskellige baggrunde og har forskellige behov, så respekterer vi denne

diversitet og anser fleksibilitet i vores samarbejde for vigtigt, i stedet for at insistere på ensartethed«, sagde Zhang.

Selv om det blev formuleret høfligt, så er budskabet til EU og dets besættelse af ensartethed klart. »Vi er fortsat forpligtet over for at gå i partnerskab med vore europæiske venner i en ånd af åbenhed. Lad os rådslå med hinanden, bidrage og dele sammen for at gøre Bælte & Vej Initiativet til en succes«, konkluderede han.

*Foto: Ambassadør Zhang Ming, chef for den kinesiske mission til EU.*