

Nej, John Bull, markederne går ikke forud for menneskeheden!

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 30. maj, 2018 – Blot få timer efter, at Italiens præsident, samtidig med, at han holdt en tale, der åbenlyst erklærede, at de udenlandske investorer og ikke-valgte bureaukrater i Bruxelles' vilje underkender det italienske folks vilje, afviste ministerlisten, der blev præsenteret af flertalskoalitionen, brød Helvede løs i hele den vestlige verden. Den åbenlyse erklæring af det britiskcentrerede finansimperiums magt over suveræne stater giver nu massivt bagslag.

De tre andre, nødlidende stater i det sydlige Europa – Spanien, Grækenland og Portugal – står over for lignende katastrofer, med faldende valutaværdier og stigende renter på deres statsgæld. Den kendsgerning, at eurosystemet som helhed er dysfunktionelt, bliver i stigende grad indlysende for enhver.

Selv *Wall Street Journal* udgav en kronik, der advarede om, at en sådan åbenlys foragt for det demokratiske valgresultat blot vil »bekræfte opfattelsen af, at EU er immun over for den offentlige mening. Det forstørrer i farlig grad splittelsen mellem Europas folkeslag og institutioner«.

Schiller Instituttets præsident Helga Zepp-LaRouche udtrykte det klarere: »*Euroens død er på vej; eliternes magt er ved at nå vejs ende. Uanset, hvad de gør, gør de det blot værre.*«

Deutsche Bank, der er ved at drukne i derivatgæld, har allerede indrømmet sin fejlslagne bestræbelse på at blive verdens største hedge fund. Bankens nye lederskab forsøger at komme af med sine investeringsbankoperationer – en proces, der kunne blive den udløsermekanisme, som sprænger hele systemet i

luften og får krisen i 2008 til at blegne i sammenligning.

Krisen er heller ikke begrænset til Europa. Det amerikanske og europæiske banksystem er uadskilleligt. I Brasilien gav den forhadte præsident Michel Temer, efter at en trucker-strike fik hele økonomien til at gå i stå i 9 dage, efter og fjernede de nye skatter på benzin, han havde gennemtvunget. Argentinerne følger opmærksomt med, med præsident Mauricio Macri, der af sine Wall Street-controllere får at vide, at massive budgetnedskæringer og nedskrivning af pesoen vil blive de betingelser, der knytter sig en bailout fra IMF, selv med de nuværende nedskæringstiltag, der har drevet befolkningen på afgrundens rand.

Der er selvfølgelig intet af dette, der vil løse krisen. Det vestlige finanssystem kan ikke »fikses«. Hele det vestlige banksystem er oversvømmet med værdiløse spekulationspapirer, der bliver serviceret forud for den menneskelige races behov. Et nyt system, baseret på kreditpolitikker i Hamiltons tradition, kan og må gennemføres sådan, som **LaRouches Fire Love** foreskriver. Faktisk er den kendsgerning, at de to, italienske koalitionspartier, der forsøger at danne en regering, begge kræver Glass-Steagall og en statslig infrastruktur-investeringsbank – de to første af LaRouches Fire Love – i vid udstrækning årsagen til rædslen i London og Bruxelles, der førte til deres diktatoriske afvisning af det italienske folks vilje.

Hele Asien går sammen omkring ånden fra den Nye Silkevej. Præsident Trump er i færd med at arbejde sammen med Asien for at løse Koreakrisen én gang for alle, gennem en fremgangsmåde med »fred gennem udvikling«. Og med Russiagate, der nu forvandles til Spygate i USA, for en stor dels vedkommende pga. *EIR's* efterretningsrapporter[1] om britisk efterretning og Obama-efterretningsteamets undergravende rolle, skulle Trump snart være fri til at bringe USA fuldt og helt ind i et samarbejde med den Nye Silkevej og i opbygning af et nyt paradigme for hele menneskeheden. Denne mulighed må ikke

forpasses.

Foto: Præsident Trumps og førstedame Melania Trumps besøg til Kina, 10. nov., 2017. (Photo: White House)

[1] Se Barbara Boyds seneste opdaterede *EIR*-rapport, »Memo to President Trump: Time to End the Special Relationship; Declassify All British Spawned Documents Concerning Your 2016 Campaign«.

City of Londons tåbelige kup mod Italien vil fremskynde et finanskrak

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 29. maj, 2018 – Den Londoncentrerede eurodollar-elite har netop brugt Italiens præsident til at blokere dette lands demokratisk valgte flertalsregering i at overtage regeringsmagten og således i virkeligheden gennemført et kup mod Italien.

Mon der stadig kan herske nogen tvivl om, at britiske efterretningskredse og eliten i den Europæiske Union simpelt hen nægtede at acceptere valget af Donald Trump til USA's præsident og indledte en indsats, der fortsat er i gang, for at tvinge ham ud af Det Hvide Hus?

Både italienerne og amerikanerne begik den samme forbrydelse, som var at vælge en regering, eller en præsident, der trodsede britisk geopolitik ved at ønske stormagtssamarbejde med Rusland og Kina, og som nægtede at parere ordre og følge City of Londons finansmagters »markedsregler« for økonomisk politik.

Og i tilfældet med Italiens kuppede flertalsregering var dens

partier endnu mere åbne end kandidat Trump var, mht. at genvedtage Glass/Steagall-bankopdeling og nationalbankkredit til produktiv beskæftigelse og nye infrastrukturprojekter – alle elementer af **Lyndon LaRouches »Fire Love«** for en økonomisk genrejsning.

Det er blevet en skandale, at eliterne i London og Bruxelles' EU, såvel som også de neokonservative i USA, fordømmer Kina og Rusland som autoritære diktaturer, alt imens de forbeholder sig magten til at veto eller vælte enhver regering, der modsætter sig britiske, geopolitiske nulsums-politikker. Som den arrogante EU-kommissær Günther Oettinger i dag sagde til en interviewer, »Markederne vil lære italienerne at stemme for det rigtige«.

I dag udtalte Helga Zepp-LaRouche, at dette Londoncentrerede, geopolitiske paradigme – som hævder at repræsentere »vestlige værdier« – nu i realiteten er blevet vanæret af det Nye Paradigme for gensidig økonomisk og videnskabeligt fremskridt, der repræsenteres af Kinas Bælte & Vej Initiativ (og af dets programmer for udforskning af rummet, udvikling af fusionskraft osv.).

En lederartikel i *Bloomsberg News* kaldte i dag skarpt kuppet i Italien for »Mattarellas tåbelighed« med henvisning til den italienske præsident, der vetoede regeringen på vegne af London og Bruxelles. Alt imens Glass-Steagall og nationalbankpraksis i Hamiltons tradition i valgene i marts måned var fremsatte spørgsmål, så vil de nu blive langt mere betydningsfulde i de nye nationale valg til efteråret. Faktisk bliver spørgsmålet om at adlyde Eurozonens nedskæringsregler, eller muligvis endda at forlade euroen, nu lige så passionerede som det vakte spørgsmål om at kæmpe for selve den demokratiske frihed.

Ikke kun dette: Londons Mattarella-brøler gav i det mindste Europa et nyt, hårdt lods hen mod et voldsomt finanskarak, der allerede truede. Med Tysklands største bank, der allerede er i

alvorlige vanskeligheder, førte bankaktierne an i faldet på aktie- og obligationsmarkeder i hele Europa og USA. Med denne voldsomme finanskrisen, der nu trænger sig på, vil Glass-Steagall og LaRouches »Fire Love« også blive spørgsmål, der skal kæmpes om i de amerikanske valg i 2018.

Den Europæiske Centralbank iscenesatte et kup imod den legitime italienske regering

29. maj, 2018 – Følgende forkortede erklæring blev i dag udgivet af Liliana Gorini, forkvinde for MoviSol (Movimento Internazionale per i Dritti Civili – Solidarietà); LaRouche-bevægelsen i Italien.

Med en intervention, der går imod de mest fundamentale regler for demokrati og folkeretten, vetoede Europa »regeringen for forandring«, der var ved at blive dannet i Italien, og som havde et klart parlamentarisk flertal. Europa gennemtvang endnu en teknokratisk regering, der havde stået klar i månedsvis, ledet af hr. Udgifts-revision, Carlo Cottarelli, hvis plan simpelt hen er at nedbringe gælden, og som kun har støtte fra det Demokratiske Parti (PD), der tabte parlamentsvalgene den 4. marts.

Dette er det seneste eksempel på den »suspendering af demokrati«, som for et år siden blev krævet af den Europæiske Union, da den introducerede det afbalancerede budget som det eneste mål for hver eneste europæiske regering, eller Angela Merkels »markeds-lydige demokrati«. Det paradoksale aspekt,

som ikke har noget fortilfælde i den italienske republiks historie (som er en parlamentarisk republik og ikke en præsidentiel republik), er de grunde, som republikkens præsident Sergio Mattarella har givet for at forklare, hvorfor han nedlagde veto imod prof. Paolo Savona, en berømt økonom og tidligere regeringsminister og leder af Confindustria, som den nye regerings finansminister. Mattarella sagde, han gjorde det for ikke at bekymre »udenlandske investorer«, som frygter, at Italien kunne forlade euroen. Dette på trods af det faktum, at der i det regeringsprogram, som blev aftalt mellem Lega og Femstjernepartiet, og ligeledes i prof. Savonas udtalelse fra i søndags, ikke fandtes nogen omtale af at forlade euroen, men kun et krav om at ændre den fejlslagne politik med nedskæringer, der i Italien »har skabt fattigdom, mindskede indkomster og uligheder« (professor Savona).

I dagene op til dette uacceptable kup mod en legitim regering, som italienerne stillede store forhåbninger til, var Mattarella tilsyneladende ofte i kontakt med præsident for den Europæiske Centralbank, Mario Draghi; samme Mario Draghi, der i 2011 gennemtvang Mario Montis teknokratiske regering, der ikke var valgt af nogen italiener, med et brev, som dikterede regeringens opgaver. Den franske præsident Emmanuel Macron blandede sig ligeledes i denne beslutning ved at ringe til den tiltrædende premierminister Giuseppe Conte, før denne blev modtaget i Quirinalpaladset [præsidentens residens] med sin ministerliste, for at bede ham fjerne Paolo Savona fra denne liste, og dernæst senere, ved at støtte Mattarella i at afvise Savona.

Pressen, der taler for City of London og de finansielle lobbyer, som er ansvarlige for krisen i 2008 med deres spekulationsaktivitet, tillod sig at fornærme ikke alene Lega og Femstjernepartiet, der samarbejdede om at udarbejde et regeringsprogram, som tilsigtede at promovere jobs og bekæmpe fattigdom, men også alle italienere som et folk og kaldte dem »barbarer« (*Financial Times*) og »gratister« (*Der Spiegel*) ...

Det spørgsmål, som mange stiller, er: Hvorfor gennemtvinge ved magt en teknokratisk regering, der ikke vil holde mere end tre måneder, eftersom den ikke har flertal i parlamentet; og som, iflg. PD-politiker Massimo D'Alema, vil øge stemmerne til regeringskoalitionen mellem Lega og Femstjernepartiet fra 60 % til 80 %? Er finansmarkederne så desperate, at de må købe tid, om end det kun drejer sig om tre måneder?

Dette synes at være den virkelige årsag til statskuppet. Deutsche Bank er på randen af bankerot; bankens eksponering i derivater har nået et sådant niveau, at selv dens cheføkonom David Folkerts-Landau har afsløret den kendsgerning, at banken er blevet en hedge fund. Som den italienske økonom Alberto Bagnai, der er valgt til Senatet for Lega, i et radiointerview erklærede: »Euroen kunne krakke, men ikke pga. os. Deutsche Bank er klar til at fyre 7.000 ansatte. Alle disse storbanker har en stærk eksponering i derivater. Lad os antage, at det er tysk privatfinansiering, der er klar til at eksplodere, i stedet for den italienske statsgæld. Vi må være parat til en sådan begivenhed.«

Desuden sagde lederen af Femstjernepartiet Alessandro Di Battista den 28. maj i et interview på nationalt Tv med Lilli Gruber i bedste sendetid, at »De forhindrede denne regering, fordi de er rædselsslagne for bankopdeling og en statslig investeringsbank«.

Så, snarere end frygten for en Ital-exit, så var det, som markederne flippede ud over, den kendsgerning, af Salvini-Di Maio-regeringen i sit program havde to punkter, som står LaRouche-bevægelsen nær, nemlig en genindførelse af Glass/Steagall-bankopdeling og en statslig investeringsbank, med det formål at udstede kredit til realøkonomien. Det nuværende finanssystem er så råddent og så klart til at eksplodere, at det ikke vil tillade debatter og da slet ikke debatter, der omfatter sådanne punkter i et regeringsprogram for et så betydningsfuldt land som Italien, en af Europas grundlæggere. For at holde dette rådne system i live,

gennemtvang tidligere italienske regeringer, fra Monti til Gentiloni, drakoniske forholdsregler til nedskæringer.

Europa, EU, Trojkaen, ECB, økonomerne og etablisementets medier nægter at forstå, at et Nyt Paradigme i løbet af de seneste år har hævdet sig og er kommet til udtryk i Brexit, Trumps valgsejr i USA, »Nej« i den italienske folkeafstemning for at ændre forfatningen, og nu det italienske valg den 4. marts. Det kommer ligeledes til udtryk i den kendsgerning, at to tredjedele af verden har tilsluttet sig præsident Xi Jinpings Bælte & Vej Initiativ, med dets win-win-politik for økonomisk samarbejde, i modsætning til den geopolitiske politik for konfrontation med Rusland og Kina, som fører til krig.

Det lykkedes dem at forhindre regeringen for forandring, som igen vil være der om tre måneder, som Salvini sagde; men de kan ikke forhindre det Nye Paradigme, der nu hævder sig i hele verden ...

Det britiske Imperium er desperat for at bevare kontrollen – der er kupoperationer i gang i USA, Italien og andre steder

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 28. maj, 2018 – Vi sidder på en krudttønde. I Europa hober destabiliseringerne sig op. I

Italien fandt den seneste fase af blokering af suverænitet og vælernes vilje sted i går aftes, da præsident Sergio Mattarella afviste den ministerplan, som koalitionspartierne M5S og Lega havde præsenteret – idet han modsatte sig valget af Paulo Savona som økonomiminister – og i stedet erklærede en ex-IMF-nedskærings-hitman, Carlo Cottarelli, som tiltrædende premierminister med mandat til at danne regering. Når Cottarellis ministerliste bliver sendt til parlamentet, vil den blive afvist, hvilket vil udløse endnu mere kaos og nyvalg. Dette er et kup; men det Londoncentrerede »finanssamfund«, udtrykt gennem *Handelsblatt*, applauderer Mattarella for at have blokeret for »populisme«.

I Spanien truer en krise, med et mistillidsvotum, som vil blive debatteret senere på ugen, mod Rajoy-regeringen, der har ulemper; men faren hidrører fra manglen på et positivt politisk program fra nogen i det hele taget. Den potentielle ustabilitet her truer i særdeleshed de dele af den Iberiske Halvø, der har satset stærkt på Bælte & Vej Initiativet.

I USA er Mueller/Russiagate-kuppet, endskønt det miskrediteres for hver time, der går, stadig operativt og forårsager ødelæggelse i den politiske beslutningstagning over hele linjen. Og alt imens er Wall Street/City of London i færd med at krakke, hvilket ses af de lappeløsninger, der for nylig er blevet uddelt til Deutsche Bank, samt af de stadig forværende situationer i flere nationaløkonomier, som nu er under stærk kritik, med spil om hurtige penge, der eksploderer i Argentina, Brasilien og andre steder.

Situationen er meget farlig. Men det helt åbenlyse, gennemgribende »britiske« element skaber en mulighed, der ikke må forpasses, for at slå til og levere det dødbringende slag. Det Londoncentrerede finansielle og geopolitiske magtnetværk tyer nu til stadig mere åbenlyst beskidte midler for at modgå fremskridtet for det nye, globale paradigme, der ses i den Nye Silkevej.

Vores seneste, tunge skyts er LaRouchePAC's »Memo til præsident Trump: tiden er inde til at afslutte den særlige relation; ophæv hemmeligstemplingen af alle dokumenter, briterne har udgydt, om Deres kampagne i 2016«.

Dette memorandum samler det hele. Som det erklærer, »Den reelle historie ... er, at briterne og deres venner i Obama-administrationen kørte en operation for en total kontraefterretnings- og informationskrig imod Donald Trumps præsidentvalgkamp, fordi de vidste, at Trump kunne vinde valget imod Hillary Clinton, en åndløs robotkandidat, der fuldstændig havde mistet enhver forbindelse med amerikanere, der ikke var knyttet til de på de to kyster hjemmehørende eliter«.

Præsident Trump gentog selv i seks tweets hen over weekenden, hvordan Russiagate er blevet til Spygate. For eksempel 26. marts, »Hele denne Ruslands-kulegravning er rigget. Blot et påskud for, hvorfor demokraterne og Svindler-Hillary tabte valget og tabte stater, de ikke har tabt i årtier ... #SPYGATE & CONFLICTS OF INTEREST«. Selv om han forsømmer at nævne den britiske faktor, henviste han dog til den rent historisk i sin tale den 25. maj på Annapolis Flådeakademi og sagde, at »Vore forfædre jordede Imperiet ...«

Tiden er nu inde til at jorde Imperiet på verdensplan. Indikationerne på, hvordan positive skridt, for den kommende tid for en global, fælles fremtid for fred og udvikling, kunne tage sig ud, kommer fra Asien. I Beijing i dag fik vi en forpremiere at se på Shanghai Samarbejdsorganisationens topmøde i Qingdao den 9.-10. juni, hvor præsident Xi Jinping vil være formand for sessionerne, vært for den russiske præsident Vladimir Putins statsbesøg og byde gæste-observatørnationer velkommen, inklusive Iran. To dage senere vil det mulige møde mellem præsident Trump og Nordkoreas formand Kim Jong-un finde sted.

Spygate; Det, man giver ud, kommer tilbage i samme mål; Erynjerne i arbejde. LaRouchePAC Internationale Webcast, 25. maj, 2018.

I historiens løb kan man, om end det er sjældent, undertiden se en meget stærk kraft, som man kunne kalde poetisk retfærdighed, være virksom. I denne uge har vi set, at begivenhederne har udviklet sig på en måde, som meget vel kunne nå op på niveauet for poetisk retfærdighed.

Engelsk udskrift:

**SPYGATE: WHAT GOES AROUND, COMES AROUND.
THE ERINYES ARE AT WORK!**

MATTHEW OGDEN: Good afternoon. It's May 25, 2018. My name is Matthew Ogden, and you're joining us for our weekly Friday evening broadcast from larouchepac.com.

Sometimes in the course of history, if even rarely, one can see at work a very powerful force of what could be called poetic

justice. This week, we've seen a turn of events which very well

could be seen as rising to the level of poetic justice. As the

title of our webcast here today reads, "Spygate: What Goes

Around, Comes Around. The Erinyes Are at Work!" Now revelations have come out that the FBI and other Obama-era intelligence and law enforcement had colluded with British intelligence to embed an informant – or a spy as President Trump has characterized it – inside the Donald Trump for President campaign in 2016. When news of this dramatic story first broke last Friday, a week ago today, President Trump issued the following tweet: "Wow! Word seems coming out that the Obama FBI spied on the Trump campaign with an embedded informant, Andrew McCarthy says. There's probably no doubt that they had at least one confidential informant in the campaign. If so, this is bigger than Watergate." Then President Trump followed that up with another tweet the following day, saying "Reports are that there was indeed at least one FBI representative implanted for political purposes into my campaign for President. It took place very early on and long before the phony Russia hoax became a hot fake news story. If true, all time biggest political scandal." Now, in fact, it is now coming out that these reports are, indeed, true; and that this very well could be, as President Trump characterized it, one of the biggest political scandals in recent history, and yes, indeed, even bigger than Watergate. Earlier this week, Helga Zepp-LaRouche, in reviewing this swiftly developing story, had the following remarks to say. She said: "If you look at how this thing has turned, it started as one thing – Russia-gate – and now, it has become Spygate. I

think the Erinyes are already at work.” Now this, of course, is a reference to the ancient Greek mythological figures also known as the Furies; famously depicted in the poem by the poet Friedrich Schiller – “The Cranes of Ibykus” – as the force of nemesis. The almost supernatural enforcers of a higher order of justice. In his letters, Friedrich Schiller discussed the figures of the Erinyes, and he described them in this way: “There is not in the Greek mythology a more terrible, and at the same time more hideous picture, than the Furies, or the Erinyes; quitting the infernal regions to throw themselves in the pursuit of a criminal. They are shown to us brandishing torches in their hands, and chasing their prey without peace or truce, from country to country until at last, the anger of justice being appeased, they engulf themselves in the abyss of the infernal regions.” So Schiller invoked this idea of the Erinyes, this force of nemesis, this force of poetic justice which is serving a higher order of justice. When justice among men is not served, this higher order of justice intervenes, if you will. In his poetry, as in the case of “The Cranes of Ibykus”, Schiller invoked this mythological figure in order to be the vehicle for this idea of a higher order of justice. He did so in a different way in his play “William Tell”, in which he evoked the American revolutionary idea of natural law and the inalienable rights of man; which he said in that play are “as indestructible as the

stars themselves.”

Now, this was the idea which built the American republic.

This is in the blood of the American people. I think as the truth of what has occurred in the course of this scandal, that President Trump has correctly identified as potentially “bigger

than Watergate”; as the truth of this comes out – including the

role of the British, who of course we declared our independence

from during that American Revolution. This will get into the blood of the American people, and will get under their skin in a

way where you will see the awakening of this kind of justified fury, and a call that we must take our Constitution and our republic back.

In her webcast yesterday, Thursday this week, Helga

Zepp-LaRouche exactly what we’re seeing now. She brought up this

series of tweets that President Trump has issued and has continued to issue in the days following, and indeed, said that

this is a scandal which is beyond the magnitude that we’ve seen

in recent years. She predicted that if this continues in the direction that it has, we will indeed see the mask falling away,

and the exposure of exactly what kind of criminality has occurred

in the course of this entire Russia-gate hoax. So, let me play

for you a clip from what Helga LaRouche had to say in her webcast

yesterday.

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE

: Yes. As a matter of fact, if you look at the recent tweets by President Trump, they are quite to the point, namely that he said the “Russiagate” turned into “Spygate,” that there was absolutely no proof of a collusion with Russia, but that all the people involved in the coup, basically, that they created a spider web of collusion between the heads of the intelligence agencies of the Obama administration with British intelligence, and that there was ongoing effort, even before any investigation officially started, by British intelligence figures to connect with all kinds of persons in the Trump election team, to try to somehow involve them in some kind of a connection with some Russians. And all of this is coming out now. So there was long before the Trump election victory, or even the nomination, the clear effort by British intelligence to lay leads, to create paper trails to manufacture and orchestrate the situation, whereby the so-called “collusion” with Russia was supposed to be hung on the Trump campaign, and Trump himself. And this is all now coming out. This is now subject to public discussions. For example, on Monday, President Trump met with several intelligence heads – I think it was [FBI head] Wray and Rosenstein from the Department of Justice in the White House. And today, as a follow-up of that, Chief of Staff John Kelly is meeting with the CIA, the FBI, the Department of Justice, together with congressmen – for example, Congressman Nunes, Senator Grassley – and they’re now

having access to all the documents, including the memorandum of

Mueller, the memo defining the scope of Mueller's investigation.

This will all now be made available to the investigative committees in the Congress. And obviously, this is all criminal

violations of law and the Constitution, so this is big!

I think Trump may absolutely be right when he says that this may become the biggest scandal in the history of America. And what is now clear, is that there was a task force involving an institutional group of people, who orchestrated all of this, in

an election campaign, and Trump said, what was done against Bernie Sanders also was done on a much larger scale against him.

When all of this comes out, I think the world will really be a different place; and I think if President Trump is freed of this spider web, I think you will see, he will be in a much better position to carry through with his intentions than you have seen it so far.

OGDEN: Now, as Helga mentioned, there have been a number of meetings over the course of this week with the heads of these agencies and leaders on these Congressional committees. This was

initiated by President Trump on Sunday, when he issued a tweet which read as follows: "I hereby demand, and will do so tomorrow

on Monday, that the Department of Justice look into whether or not the FBI, DOJ infiltrated or surveilled the Trump campaign for

political purposes. If any such demands or requests were made by

people within the Obama administration." Now, this was immediately responded to by Obama's CIA director, John Brennan,

who himself very well may be implicated in this investigation. So, he issued his own tweet, hysterically demanding that the Congressional leadership shut down any investigation. This is what he said: "Senator McConnell and Senator[sic] Ryan: If Mr.

Trump continues along this disastrous path, you will bear major

responsibility for the harm done to our democracy. You do a great disservice to our nation and Republican Party if you continue to enable Mr. Trump's self-serving actions." Trump responded by tweeting a statement by Dan Bongino, who is a former

Secret Service agent and leading political commentator. This is

what President Trump responded to John Brennan with: "John Brennan is panicking. He has disgraced himself. He has disgraced the country. He has disgraced the entire intelligence

community. He is the one man who is largely responsible for the

destruction of America's faith in the intelligence community and

in some people at the top of the FBI. Brennan started this entire debacle about President Trump. We know that Brennan had

detailed knowledge of the phony dossier. He knows about the dossier, he denies knowledge of the dossier. He briefs the Gang

of Eight on the Hill about the dossier, which they then use to start an investigation against Trump. It is that simple. This

guy is the genesis of this whole debacle. This was a political

hit job, this was not an intelligence investigation. Brennan has

disgraced himself. He's worried about staying out of jail."

So clearly, these are very high stakes. If you have this

image of the Erinyes, as Friedrich Schiller evoked this in the famous poem "The Cranes of Ibykus", the criminals who had committed a crime, but there were no witnesses except for a flock

of cranes flying overhead, arrived at a festival. The Furies, or

the Erinyes, came out and started their dance, their eerie dance.

At a certain point in this atmosphere of tension, the very same

cranes who had been the sole witnesses of this crime, fly overhead; and the criminals themselves are induced to expose themselves by pointing at the sky and saying to each other loudly, "See! See! It is the cranes of Ibykus!" That is the evidence which then brings them to trial; so they implicated themselves. The hysteria that we're seeing from these John Brennans and others is rising to that poetic level of justice.

So, not only does it potentially go all the way to Brennan, the very same day, less than an hour later, President Trump issued the following tweet: "The Wall Street Journal asks 'Where

in the world was Barack Obama?' A very good question." The next

day, on Tuesday, Trump escalated even further. He tweeted the following: "If the person placed very early into my campaign wasn't a spy put there by the previous administration for political purposes, how come such a seemingly massive amount of

money was paid for services rendered? Many times higher than normal. Follow the money," he said. "The spy was there early in

the campaign, and yet never reported collusion with Russia because there was no collusion. He was only there to spy for political reasons and help crooked Hillary win. Just like they

did to Bernie Sanders, who got duped." Then on Wednesday, yet another tweet: "Look at how things have turned around on the

criminal deep state. They go after phony collusion with Russia,
a made-up scam, and end up getting caught in a major spy scandal
the likes of which this country may never have seen before.
What
goes around, comes around.” Then he said, “Spygate could be one
of the biggest political scandals in history.” Then next, very
simply he tweeted “Witch hunt.” Finally, yesterday, President
Trump said, “Clapper has now admitted that there was spying in my
campaign. Large dollars were paid to the spy, far beyond normal.
Starting to look like one of the biggest political scandals in US
history. Spygate. A terrible thing.”
So, I think if you look at what Trump said here, “What goes
around, comes around.” He said, “Look at how things have turned
around on the criminal deep state. They go after phony collusion
with Russia,... and end up getting caught in a major spy scandal
the likes of which this country may never have seen before.”
This is, indeed, the force of poetic justice. I think
President
Trump’s expression “What goes around, comes around,” could be
taken as a very colloquial version of the expression of this
Erinyes principle, as Helga Zepp-LaRouche has identified it.
Just this morning, not to be outdone, President Trump issued
two more tweets on this subject. He said, “The Democrats are now
alluding to the concept that having an informant placed in an
opposing party’s campaign is different than having a spy, as
illegal as that may be. But what about an informant who got paid

a fortune, and who sets up way earlier than the Russia hoax?
Can
anyone even imagine having spies placed in a competing
campaign
by the people and party in absolute power for the sole purpose
of
political advantage and gain? And to think that the party in
question, even with the expenditure of far more money, lost.”
I
think this gets right at the root of how huge this scandal
potentially can turn out to be. And it’s clear that this is a
total showdown, and President Trump is not pulling any punches
at
this point.
What’s also becoming ever more clear, is the role that
British intelligence has played in this entire process. As
we’ve
documented over and over again, all roads lead to London in
this
story, if you follow every single one of these threads. We’ve
seen that time and time again. We’ve reviewed the role on
Monday
of Stephan Halper, the alleged informant, or one of the
alleged
informants who was placed inside the Trump campaign, and his
connections to MI6 and to Sir Richard Dearlove, the former
head
of MI6. All of which overlaps completely with Christopher
Steele, the other so-called “ex-“British spy who fabricated
the
entire “dodgy dossier”. A number of members of Congress are
pursuing these leads in numerous ways. Senator Grassley
continues to subpoena information about the role of
Christopher
Steele. The same thing is being done by Congressman Nunes and
others. Then one in particular, is the actions that Senator
Rand

Paul has taken over the last week and a half. On May 15th, in the context of the hearings around the nomination of Gina Haspel to be the new head of the CIA, Rand Paul wrote a letter to then-nominee for the CIA Director, Gina Haspell, asking the following questions:

"Dear Acting Director Gina Haspel,

"I write to ask you for clarification of some of the practices of the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA), particularly in relation to surveillance during the 2016 Presidential election. Please answer each question for each of the following

candidates: Donald Trump; Hillary Clinton; Bernie Sanders; John

Kasich; Ted Cruz; Marco Rubio; Jeb Bush; and Rand Paul.

"1. Under what circumstances does the CIA trail, monitor, or otherwise collect information on the communications and movements of US Presidential candidates both domestically and while they are travelling outside of the United States?...

"3. Have you or anyone else at CIA ever cooperated with any foreign intelligence service to surveil, monitor, or collect information on candidate Trump during his travels outside the United States in the preceding five years? Specifically, was candidate Trump ever under any surveillance or of interest to the

CIA during his previous visits in Europe?

"4. Did the CIA or any other US government agency conduct surveillance on, or engage in the collection of communications or

information about then-candidate Trump during his November 2016

visit to Great Britain?"

Now, Senator Paul knows the answer to those questions, as numerous news reports have reported, indeed that was the case. Here's just an example. This is an article that was in the

{Guardian} newspaper. The title is "British Spies Were First to Spot Trump Team's Links with Russia"; subtitle "GCHQ is said to have alerted US agencies after becoming aware of contacts in 2015." That's just one of numerous news articles which report that there are, indeed, multiple links between British intelligence and the CIA in monitoring candidate Donald Trump. This was the supposed information that Brennan used to put together an inter-agency task force that you heard Helga mention in that webcast clip, to launch a counter intelligence investigation into Trump. So-called counter intelligence. What Trump has identified as indeed actually just a political hit job. However, when Senator Paul questioned Gina Haspel on this question, she categorically denied it. Rand Paul said in an interview on Fox immediately after this interaction between himself and Gina Haspel, "I'm still concerned about the reports that Trump was surveilled. She did deny that the CIA had anything to do with British intelligence, and in the end she actually said to me, and this is supposed to come in writing, she says that there was no communication between British intelligence and John Brennan giving them information about the Trump campaign. If that's true, that contradicts a lot of news reports that are out there. I hope it's true, and I hope she'll actually put it in writing. But today she denied to me that there was ever a meeting between British intelligence and John Brennan where information about surveillance of the Trump campaign was transferred." Then here's a tweet quoting Rand Paul in his interview on Fox: "Gina Haspel is categorically denying that

the
CIA got information from the British intelligence. If what
I'm
saying today is not her opinion, she needs to speak today and
she
needs to say did British intelligence give information to John
Brennan." This is in the context of Senator Rand Paul also
pointing out the fact that Gina Haspel, in addition to the
very
dark and murky history that she's had in running black sites
and
enhanced interrogation centers, and in defending that kind of
practice in the past, it also is very notable that Gina Haspel
was station chief for the CIA in London overlapping much of
the
time that this entire Russia-gate story was developing. So,
the
trail remains very hot.

What I would like to do is just emphasize that all of this
has got to be seen in the context of the ongoing drive to
continue and protect at all costs the regime of geopolitics.
This is exactly what Obama was serving during his
administration;
this is exactly what Hillary Clinton was attempting to become
the
continuation of. This is what the stay-behinds in these
agencies
and also emphatically inside certain interests in British
intelligence are trying to maintain. Which is this divide and
conquer regime in which there can be no peaceful dialogue or
collaboration between the great powers – the United States and
Russia, and also incidentally between the United States and
China. This has been the over-arching geopolitical strategy
which is to drive a wedge between these powers in order to
maintain the power of British imperial interests. This is
exactly what President Trump made very clear that he was out
to

dismantle. He was going to pursue peaceful dialogue and collaboration between the United States and Russia, and subsequently between the United States and China. This remains of critical importance, and the touch-and-go situation on the Korean Peninsula is just an example of how crucial it is that this process of collaboration and cooperation between the United States, China, and Russia on cooling off these hot zones, these conflict zones around the world which are threatening to explode and be used as the ignition points for World War III. It is {so} crucial that this great powers relationship be allowed to continue.

In her webcast, which we played a clip of earlier in this broadcast, Helga Zepp-LaRouche also emphasized again the importance of clearing the air of this entire Russia-gate fraud and getting it out of the way in order to create the conditions where this crucial collaboration around resolving some of the common challenges that are facing mankind, can occur between these great powers. As she has repeatedly over the past several weeks, she emphasized the urgency of summoning a very urgent summit between President Trump and President Putin. This was put on the table weeks ago, but it has been sidelined and it's unclear when this face-to-face meeting will be able to take place. A petition precisely to that effect has now begun to circulate on the whitehouse.gov website, where these "We the People" petitions are placed. 100,000 signatures are required within 30 days, and then the White House has to officially respond. So, here's the title of the petition: "President Donald

Trump Should Hold Early Summit with Russian President Vladimir Putin.” The text reads as follows:

“Ronald Reagan famously said: ‘A nuclear war cannot be won and must never be fought. The only value in our two nations possessing nuclear weapons is to make sure they will never be used.’ Unfortunately, today a new Cold War between the US and Russia again poses an existential threat to the people of both nations and to the whole world. Therefore, we urge President Trump to follow in the steps of Ronald Reagan and to start a direct dialogue with President Putin in search of solid and verified security arrangements. As President Trump said repeatedly ‘only haters and fools’ do not understand that good US-Russia relations are also good for America. By all indications

President Putin feels the same way for his country. A summit should be arranged as soon as possible.”

So again, that petition is available on this We the People website at the White House

[<https://petitions.whitehouse.gov/petition/president-donald-trump-should-hold-early-summit-russian-president-vladimir-putin>]

and it’s circulating very widely and is picking up signatures as

we speak.

Now what I’d like to do is just play one more clip from Helga LaRouche’s webcast yesterday where she addressed this petition directly and put it in its necessary global strategic context. So, here’s Helga Zepp-LaRouche.

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE

: Yes: there is actually a petition on the website of the White House. This was initiated

by the President of the American University in Moscow, Professor

Edward Lozansky, and Jim Jatras, and they call for an early

summit between President Trump and President Putin, by making essentially the same point that we have been making for the last several months, that, given the fact that President Trump is still so much up against neo-cons in the Republican Party, he's really done a remarkable job under the circumstances, where you have the entire intelligence apparatus not only of what they call the "deep state," which is really an incorrect characterization, because the role of British intelligence {is} absolutely crucial to understand what makes this Empire tick. So, in order to cut through that, and given the fact that the entire Russiagate operation was aimed to prevent a good relationship between Russia and the United States, which Trump all the time said would be a "good thing and not a bad thing," and he tries to do it; so the way to cut through this whole thing would be to have this summit, which they talked about – Trump and Putin on the telephone a couple of weeks ago, and do this as quickly as possible. So there is this petition, and I would call all of you who are listening, or watching, to sign this petition that such an early summit would take place. Because I think it is an absolutely important initiative, and if this petition has more than 100,000 by June 30, then the White House will have to respond to it, and will respond. Otherwise, naturally, there are many, many things, and I would again invite you, join us, join the Schiller Institute. Make sure this webcast becomes more known and is being spread, because we are in an urgent need for a political discourse: Where should mankind go? And how can we organize the world so that

it's safe and beautiful for everybody to live in?

OGDEN: Now let me just come back one more time to the LaRouche PAC 2018 Campaign to Win the Future. You can find more information about this on <https://action.larouchepac.com>. But of course, this campaign has three facets. One of them is to end this Russia-gate coup against President Trump. This is of crucial importance, and as we can see, this is continuing to develop and is in the process of very swiftly so that the accusers have now become the accused. This of course is in the context of Pledge #2, which is that the United States should join the New Paradigm which is already sweeping much of the globe. This would entail joining, embracing the One Belt, One Road policy that China's President Xi Jinping has been championing all around the world. This great infrastructure and connectivity development program. This has emphatically already been joined by Russia. So, there you have Russia and China. We also see overtures between China and India recently. So, these three great powers are part of this emerging New Paradigm on the planet. That's exactly what the United States has to join; that's the main reason why we have to end this entire Russia-gate nonsense. And third, and absolutely not least, is to immediately implement Lyndon LaRouche's Four Economic Laws. This is to impose Glass-Steagall; we see the threat of an impending financial meltdown which is escalating every single day in the trans-Atlantic region. We need preemptive Glass-Steagall in order to erect a firewall between legitimate commercial banking interests and this speculative global casino. Number two, we

need to return to Alexander Hamilton's national banking model where you can use trillions of dollars in directed Federal credit

into great projects. Which you would clearly – and this is the

third aspect of it – know with scientific certainty would increase the productive powers of labor, the living standards, and the productivity per square kilometer of the territory of the

United States and its people. Then, last but not least, the spear point of this entire thing is a science driver program in

order to immediately catapult the United States into the future.

Leapfrogging into technologies such as controlled nuclear fusion

power, and an expanded manned and unmanned exploration of nearby

space and deep space.

Now, some updates that we'll have for you on the Monday show go to some very dramatic developments in this regard that are occurring in Europe. We know that the newly-elected government

which has now been formed by the joint cooperation between the Lega Nord party in Italy and the 5-Star movement party in Italy.

These two parties, which are so-called populist parties, have now

come together to create a government and to put in a prime minister, but emphatically a finance minister who by all indications, agrees with the facets that are in both of these parties' platforms. Which are, one, to have a separation of banking between investment and commercial banking; this would be

a Glass-Steagall type of model; and this is actually very interestingly in violation of the EU constitution or the EU agreement, which says that the only banking model which is

allowed by European Union nations is the so-called universal banking model. The one-stop shop where you have investment, commercial, and insurance operations all conglomerated together.

Then the other aspect which was in both of these party platforms is the idea of some sort of national credit bank in Italy to be used to issue national investments into rebuilding the infrastructure and other industrial capabilities inside Italy. So, this is a very interesting and developing situation,

and there's a real showdown which is developing within Europe around this matter. That's something that we'll have many more

updates on for you on Monday.

But, taking it back to the United States, we should just remember that President Trump himself, during the campaign, advocated exactly those two ideas. Number one, Glass-Steagall;

and number two, trillions in investment in infrastructure. He even invoked by name Alexander Hamilton and the American System.

So, that continues to be on the table, and it's our job to escalate the fight for Lyndon LaRouche's Four Laws and the entirety of that 2018 Campaign to Win the Future. So, again, visit <https://action.larouchepac.com>; you can become an active volunteer in whatever means that implies for you. Whether that

means participating directly in lobbying Congress, lobbying your

state legislator, collecting signatures, calling your local radio

station, being active on social media, getting out on the streets, organizing house meetings, and so forth and so on.

Becoming part of a network of literature distribution. All of these aspects are of crucial significance as we put together this

national base campaign around the LaRouche PAC program.

So, we implore you; get active, and get active soon, because this situation is developing very rapidly. And it's very clear

that, as Helga Zepp-LaRouche has said, we can be optimistic because we can see that ideas truly can move history, and the world is in great need of our ideas.

Thank you very much, and please stay tuned to larouchepac.com.

Menneskehedens strategiske kamp mellem det gamle og nye paradigme fremstår klart af udviklingerne i Italien

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 24. maj, 2018 – Intetsteds fremstår den strategiske kamp mellem det gamle og det nye paradigme klarere end i europæiske responser til udviklinger i Italien, hvor en ny regering, bestående af partierne Lega og M5S, kommer til magten, og hvor to af deres hovedprogrampunkter er forslag om Glass-Steagall og en udviklingsbank, begge forslag, som er indlejret i de Fire love, som *EIR's* stiftende redaktør Lyndon LaRouche udgav i 2014, nu fremprovokerer vildt hysteri og ondskabsfulde angreb fra deres side, der forsvarer det rådende, imperiale, transatlantiske finanssystem.

Som Schiller Instituttets præsident og stifter **Helga Zepp-LaRouche i dag bemærkede i sin internationale webcast**, så er dette fuldstændig ekstraordinært. Italien trues med finansiel

krigsførelse og regimeskifte og bliver af andre EU-medlemmer advaret om, at det vil »få en lærestreg«, hvis det vover at gå frem med anti-euro-politikker – som om Italien på en eller anden måde skulle være ansvarligt for den finanskrisen, der allerede er i gang, ifald det handler til forsvar for sin egen suverænitet og sin befolknings ønsker.

Det, man skal forstå, sagde Zepp-LaRouche, er, at valget af Lega/M5S-regeringen ikke er et isoleret fænomen, men snarere *»en lang oprørsbølge imod de neoliberale politikker, som kom til udtryk i Brexit, valget af Donald Trump, 'nej'-et i den italienske folkeafstemning sidste år om en ændring af forfatningen, valget i Østrig og nu valget i Italien – dette er alt sammen resultater, der viser, at befolkninger ikke længere ønsker at underkaste sig disse fuldstændig uretfærdige nedskæringspolitikker, der udelukkende er til fordel for bankerne, spekulanterne og de rige, på bekostning af befolkningsmasserne«*.

Det er afslørende, sagde Zepp-LaRouche, at de mest hysteriske angreb på Italien kommer fra dem, *»der har skabt deres gigantiske, virtuelle formuer ... med dette højspekulative system, det neoliberale system, der er knyttet til krige, baseret på løgne, med såkaldte 'humanitære interventioner', regimeskifte og 'farvede revolutioner'. Det er hele denne model, der virkelig ikke længere fungerer«*.

Dette går virkelig til kernen af kampen mellem det afdøde, gamle paradigme og det Nye Paradigme, *»som fokuserer på økonomisk vækst for folkets almene vel«*, understregede Zepp-LaRouche. Se udviklingen i Italien som *»en gylden mulighed for at blive af med den form for ekstremt farlige, spekulative udskejelser, og sats på en samlet Glass/Steagall-bankopdeling som det første trin til at redde systemet på denne måde«*, foreslog hun. I modsat fald *»står vi på randen af en ny finanskrisen. Vi sidder på en vulkan«*. De ændringer, som nu er i gang i Deutsche Bank, der er begyndt at afhænde sin giftige bankoperation, som har base i City of London, er lærerige,

bemærkede hun, fordi Deutsche Bank er den største derivatbank i verden og står og vakler på randen af bankerot.

Direkte relateret til dette er de af britisk efterretning dirigerede 'Russiagate'-operationer imod præsident Donald Trump; et sandt edderkoppespind bestående af MI6 og GCHQ, der arbejder sammen med Barack Obamas bøller fra FBI/CIA for at omstøde valget i 2016. Disse »Spygate«-operationer, som der nu offentligt kommer detaljer frem om, blev lanceret for at sikre, at den amerikanske præsident ikke kunne gennemføre sine planer om at få gode relationer med Rusland og Kina eller trække USA tilbage fra involvering i udenlandske krige. Og neokonservative elementer i hans administration forsøger konstant at lokke ham tilbage, advarede Zepp-LaRouche, hvilket gør dagens aflysning af topmødet 12. juni med den nordkoreanske leder Kim Jong-un meget ulykkelig. Men, understregede Zepp-LaRouche, *»hvis Trump kan befries for dette edderkoppespind, mener jeg, man vil få at se, at han befinder sig i en langt bedre position til at gennemføre sine planer, end vi hidtil har set«*.

En måde at skære igennem alt Russiagate-vrøvlet, konkluderede Zepp-LaRouche, er at sikre, at Trump og den russiske præsident Putin afholder det topmøde, de diskuterede over telefonen tilbage i marts måned. Underskriv den relevante appel på Det Hvide Hus' webside, anbefalede hun, for at sikre, at 100.000 underskrifter bliver indsamlet frem til 20. juni. *»Sørg desuden for, at dette webcast bliver mere kendt og udbredt, for vi har et presserende behov for en politisk debat. I hvilken retning skal menneskeheden gå? Og hvordan kan vi organisere verden, så den bliver tryk og smuk for alle at leve i?«*

Webcast med Helga Zepp-LaRouche:

»Bøtten er vendt: Med afsløringen af det virkelige 'aftalte spil' – vil Obamas administration slutte sig til ledende briter på anklagebænken?« 24. maj, 2018

Introduktion: I løbet af weekenden bøjede USA's vicejustitsminister Rod Rosenstein sig for presset og udpegede Justitsministeriets generalinspektør til at efterforske, om FBI og Justitsministeriet (DOJ) infiltrerede eller overvågede Trump-kampagnen under valget i 2016. Rosenstein tilføjede, at, hvis en efterforskning afgør, at dette skete, ville de »skride til passende handling«. Nye afsløringer peger ikke alene på den rolle, som er blevet spillet af FBI/DOJ-korruption og -forbrydelser, men også på overtrædelser fra CIA's side, og især fra John Brennans side, i koordinering af en operation mod Trump-kampagnen sammen med en udenlandsk magt – Det britiske Imperium, gennem dets efterretningsgrene, GCHQ og MI6.

De britiske netværk og Obamas netværk bag Russiagate opererede på vegne af et globalt bank-/finanskartel, centreret omkring City of London og Wall Street. I takt med, at dets beskidte

tricks med at køre et regimeskifte-kup mod præsident Trump i stigende grad afsløres, bliver det ligeledes åbenbart, at dets globale spekulationskasino har direkte kurs mod et spektakulært kollaps. De tyer nu til deres gamle beredskabsstyrker – ved at bruge kup, stedfortræderkrige, terrorisme, sanktioner og afpresning – til at forsøge at bluffe sig igennem.

Men denne gang er tingene anderledes, med det Nye Paradigme, der vil erstatte den finansielle elites og dens geopolitikeres gamle paradigme, og som vinder i styrke. Mandag sagde Schiller Instituttets stifter Helga Zepp-LaRouche, der har anført kampen for dette Nye Paradigme, at, for at stoppe kuppet i USA, er det nødvendigt at gennemføre Lyndon LaRouches Fire Nye Love (til nationens – og verdens – redning) og at mobilisere for, at USA og andre, inkl. Tyskland, tilslutter sig den Nye Silkevej. »Denne krig kan vindes«, lød hendes ord. »Vi er nået langt, og der er flere kampe, der skal udkæmpes; men historien vil blive formet af Lyndon H. LaRouches ideer.«

Fr. LaRouche vil stå i spidsen for denne kamp med sin ugentlige webcast, kommende torsdag, 24. maj. Lyt med, og bliv en del af den bevægelse, der vil forme fremtiden.

Her følger engelsk udskrift:

The Worm Has Turned: Will Obama Administration Join Leading Brits

On Trial as the Real "Collusion" Is Exposed?

HARLEY SCHLANGER: Hello, I'm Harley Schlanger from the Schiller Institute. Welcome to this week's international webcast

featuring our founder and President Helga Zepp-LaRouche.

We are in the midst of a series of unfolding developments,

and we'll address them in the context of the discussion today.

There are a number of things happening, each of which is very

significant and we don't full readings yet. But we want to

start

with what I think is something that most people are not aware of:

And that is the coming earthquake that is hitting Europe, in this

case from the Italian election. The new government is being put

together, and there's a complete freakout from the European Union, for good reason. Helga, what is the significance of these

Italian developments, in the context of the overall strategic situation?

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE: We have now, a new prime minister, who is a politically unknown law professor, but the real freakout is

because of the mooted new Finance Minister Paolo Savona, who is a

well-established economist. He was completely for the euro in the beginning, but then, when he saw what the consequences were

for Italy of the single currency, he became completely anti-euro,

and he has demanded a "Plan B" for Italy, meaning leaving the euro; and also he has called the euro a German prison for Italy,

and he has given it some worse names.

So the freakout is quite incredible. All kinds of people, politicians, media have threatened Italy with financial warfare.

One guy said the markets will teach Italy a lesson and bring it

back to the path of virtue. One of the key anchors of the 2nd channel in Germany, Claus Kleber, who is a real specimen of his

profession, to put it very diplomatically, he basically said one

should use the gag bit – this is a torturous bit for horses which no honest horseman would ever use, because it's really torturing horses – so he said one should use that for Italy to basically discipline them.

This is incredible. Here are people who are all the time making the hugest complaint about lacking democracy in China and

whatnot, and they're openly calling for regime change and using

warfare techniques against one of the European Union members.

Now, I think if Savona indeed becomes finance minister, people are in for some surprises, because this is an experienced

person, he's not a lightweight, as the media were saying about Prime Minister Giuseppe Conte. And given the fact that this all

occurs – this is not an isolated phenomenon. It's not Italy causing the financial crisis. This is a long arc of revolt against the neo-liberal policies which were expressed in the Brexit, in the election of Donald Trump, in the "no" to the Italian referendum changing the Constitution last year, in the Austrian election, and now in the Italian election – these are all the results that the populations do not want to submit any longer to these completely unjust austerity regimes, which only

benefit the banks, the speculators and the rich, at the expense

of the masses of the population.

So this is a very important moment, and rather than being completely shocked about it, and having hysterical tantrums.

I think the chance should be used to take the positive elements of

the new coalition in Italy – they have, after all, both of them

in their party platform and now also in the coalition contract,

two of the basic laws of Lyndon LaRouche: Namely, the implementation of Glass-Steagall, and secondly, the creation of

National Bank for investments in the real economy. So, rather than being hysterical about, one should take this as a golden opportunity to get rid of the kind extremely dangerous speculative excesses, and go for a unified Glass-Steagall separation of the banks as a first step, and start to save the system in this way.

In one sense, this crisis around Italy can be a real chance to make the urgent change in this direction.

SCHLANGER: Helga, I would just like to make a point of something you brought up, which is that the people who are arguing against these policies are essentially saying that the voters have no right to express an opinion if it goes against the

policies of the bankers. And this is really important, because

the line from the media is that we're in the midst of a robust recovery, things are improving, the European economy is improving. But the voters are voting to show that they don't believe that. And there are some important developments; there

are some continuing problems with the Macron government in France; Deutsche Bank continues to be at the front end of this list of most dangerous banks. I'd like you to just emphasize this point that the real economy is what's behind the revolt, and

that's why the Four Laws of Lyndon LaRouche are so crucial.

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: There is an economic website which warns of the consequences of the corporate debt bubble, which is much, much worse than in 2008, and they're warning of a new financial

crisis of "biblical dimensions." Now, I don't know – "biblical

dimensions," that's the Deluge, or some other fundamental crises like that. And then you have the ongoing crisis around Argentina, the currency of Turkey is falling, forcing the central banks to reverse their interest rate policies, so this is all extremely fragile. And very indicative that there is an understanding that some changes must be made, is what just happened at Deutsche Bank. Two years ago, my husband Mr. LaRouche, when the Deutsche Bank was already in a severe crisis, demanded that Deutsche Bank should change its entire policy since '89, since the assassination of Alfred Herrhausen, and go back to the Herrhausen model of industrial banking. At that point, many people thought that this would never happen, that Deutsche Bank is a hopeless case. But while I don't want to make a final judgment on it, it is a fact that last month, the leadership of Deutsche Bank kicked out its CEO John Cryan. And then, in {Handelsblatt}, the chief economist of Deutsche Bank, David Folkerts-Landau gave a long interview where he described how it was a big mistake for the last two decades, to have shifted the entire profile of Deutsche Bank into a complete investment bank, going into the derivatives trading. And while he makes some correct points, namely that Merrill Lynch was brought in, and a team which basically allowed a reverse takeover of Deutsche Bank so that it became completely foreign controlled and directed to high-risk speculation – I think they still have a portfolio of something like \$42 trillion

in derivatives outstanding; that's the largest derivatives bank in the world.

What just happened, is today there was a shareholder meeting, and they apparently tried to kick out also Paul Achleitner, the supervisory board chairman of Deutsche Bank. The

only thing I can comment on this Folkerts-Landau is that as several insiders told us, he was one of them, who changed the bank's profile into the direction of this investment banking only. So he was not criticizing that for the last two decades,

and {Spiegel Online} even says that it was the last effort by Achleitner to have some kind of show so that he would not be kicked out by making this {mea culpa} self-criticism.

I think that that is true, and the new chief executive is a person called Christian Sewing, who has been in the bank since '89, and it is being said that he will totally concentrate and put the priority on investments in the real economy inside Germany – so, turning the Deutsche Bank back into a commercial bank at the service of industry. So one has to see if that actually happens, but I think the shares were already below EU10,

and that is the red line when Deutsche Bank is danger to go bankrupt.

Anyway, I'm just saying this: We are on the verge of new financial crisis. We are sitting on a volcano. The Vatican's Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith put out a couple of weeks ago a paper where they said that derivatives are a ticking

timebomb and they condemned derivatives trading as morally and economically completely unacceptable, because it just makes the

rich richer and at the losses of everybody else. So, between these warnings – also Thomas Hoenig, the former FDIC vice chairman, Sheila Bair, – there are many people warning of this.

And the Italian developments, as I said, indeed, mean the absolute opportunity to get rid of the excesses of the derivatives trading, go for banking separation, and the more it is being done in a coordinated fashion, the better, and it must occur really quickly.

SCHLANGER: And Helga, speaking of Germany, the Chancellor of Germany is in China. Any chance that the weakened and beleaguered Angela Merkel will come back with a New Silk Road Spirit?

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Well – [laughs] I don't think so. I think what she will come back with is, you know, the Chinese, as they did with the Trump administration, they promised more opening up for finance, for cooperation concerning e-cars and similar things, automatic cars; so I think they will come back with some kind of a package. But as long as Merkel has this attitude – she made this statement which is quoted everywhere, that she regards the rise of China as the biggest challenge for the remaining years of her being in the office of Chancellor. Now, she is typical of the people who on the one side naturally see that without China nothing functions any more in the world. But she is also a really hard-core geopolitician in her attitude towards Russia, and she always regards China at the same time as a rival, so it's a mixed situation. I would be very happy if she comes back brimming with the New Silk Road Spirit, but I have my doubts.

SCHLANGER: Now we come to probably the most complex of the

situations, which is that in the United States, where it was just announced this afternoon by President Trump that he is cancelling the summit with Kim Jong-un. But this is occurring at a very interesting time, where the whole British role in Russiagate, in the attacks on Trump, is in the open. Why don't we start with that? Because this is something that we have been fighting for: We're going back to the dossier that we put out on Robert Mueller, going back to the investigations that we launched, and we insisted that you have to look at not just Christopher Steele as an isolated case, but as a British-directed assault against the United States: This is moving fairly quickly, isn't it, Helga?

ZEPP-LAROUICHE: Yes. As a matter of fact, if you look at the recent tweets by President Trump, they are quite to the point, namely that he said the "Russiagate" turned into "Spygate," that there was absolutely no proof of a collusion with Russia, but that all the people involved in the coup, basically, that they created a spiderweb of collusion between the heads of the intelligence agencies of the Obama administration with British intelligence, and that there was ongoing effort, even before any investigation officially started, by British intelligence figures to connect with all kinds of persons in the Trump election team, to try to somehow involve them in some kind of a connection with some Russians. And all of this is coming out now.

So there was long before the Trump election victory, or even the nomination, the clear effort by British intelligence to lay leads, to create paper trails to manufacture and orchestrate the situation, whereby the so-called "collusion" with Russia was supposed to be hung on the Trump campaign, and Trump himself. And this is all now coming out. This is now subject to public discussions, for example, on Monday, President Trump met with several intelligence heads – I think it was [FBI head] Wray and Rosenstein from the Department of Justice in the White House. And today, as a follow-up of that, Chief of Staff John Kelly is meeting with the CIA, the FBI, the Department of Justice, together with congressmen – for example, Congressman Nunes, Senator Grassley – and they're now having access to all the document, including the memorandum of Mueller, the memo defining the scope of Mueller's investigation. This will all now be made available to the investigative committees in the Congress. And obviously, this is all criminal violations of law and the Constitution, so this is big! I think Trump may absolutely be right when he says that this may become the biggest scandal in the history of America. And what is now clear, is that there was a task force involving an institutional group of people, who orchestrated all of this, in an election campaign, and Trump said, what was done against Bernie Sanders also was done on a much larger scale against him. When all of this comes out, I think the world will really be a different place, and I think if President Trump is freed of this spiderweb, I think you will see, he will be in a much better

position to carry through with his intentions than you have seen it so far.

SCHLANGER: What you're describing is technically called "entrapment," that the FBI – or, actually, John Brennan, Clapper, and then bringing Comey in later, were involved in created Russiagate as a collusion with the British intelligence services, the GCHQ, which is signals intelligence; the MI6, MI5.

The key people that are being named now, like Stefan Halper, Mifsud, Downer, the current Australian High Commissioner to Great

Britain – all of them are tied to MI6, MI5, private agencies. This was brought up by Sen. Rand Paul at the hearings, when he asked Gina Haspel whether or not the CIA was involved in getting

evidence from Britain. So, a lot of this is coming out.

To what extent, Helga, is this then connected to the financial crisis? Make the connection for people, because there's still some confusion about how the financial crisis is then intersecting this operation against Trump because of Trump's

willingness to work with Russia and China. I think it'd be very

important for you to give people an understanding of that.

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: You know, the big question is indeed the fact that China is rising and that China has a strategic partnership with Russia and that the New Silk Road is now involving 140 nations. And, as many statistics have proven, the

New Silk Road dynamic is creating already a completely new paradigm: You have economic growth, you have a dynamic in science and technology, innovation. So the real momentum in many, many fields is with these alignments among Russia,

China,
now India, Japan, the Shanghai Cooperation Organization, the
BRICS, the China-Latin America CELAC connection; the Chinese
investments in Africa, so this has created a completely
different
dynamic in the world.
And then you look at the condition of Wall Street, the
condition in the City of London, and the absolute turmoil in
the
European Union, so the geopoliticians, basically, they see the
rise of China and they are absolutely desperate to keep their
model. But they're incapable of recognizing what are the
causes,
and therefore, they will not be able to correct their
policies.
As I said earlier, the attacks on Italy right now, they
completely fail to even ask the question, why is it that the
two
euro-critic parties had the best results? It is the same
reason,
and I want to repeat this – it is the same reason, why the
Brexit occurred, why the people in the Midwest voted for Trump
and against Hillary Clinton; and there is an absolute,
hysterical
effort by the people who have made their gigantic, virtual
fortunes – sometimes it's not so virtual, but sometimes it's,
indeed, just virtual fortunes – with this highly speculative
system, the neo-liberal system connected with wars based on
lies,
with so-called “humanitarian interventions,” regime change,
color
revolution. That whole model right now, is really what is not
functioning any more.
President Trump won the election because he promised that he
would not do these foreign wars any more, and you can see that
there are all the time efforts by neo-con elements in his
administration to lure him back. And therefore, I think also

the

cancellation or postponement of the summit with Kim Jong-un is really unfortunate, because it would have been really better to

make one, clear step for peace, and obviously there were also difficulties, in terms of what are the procedures for the denuclearization. But, Kim Jong-un, he released the American hostages. Today the international press was invited to see the

destruction of the nuclear test site. So there are clearly signs

of goodwill, and therefore, I think it's very regrettable that this meeting was postponed. But hopefully it will come back on

the agenda.

But the connection is really the fight between the old paradigm, which is not functioning, and the New Paradigm, which

is focussed on the common good of the people on economic growth.

And just as an additional element, China just completely abandoned their two-children policy, by saying that there involved a change in the view about population: That in the past,

when they adopted the one-child, and then two-child policy, thought that additional children are a burden in an economy with

limited resources; but that now, they have changed their view and

they see each new child, especially every young person, as a tremendous asset of creative power, of additional richness of the

entire society.

So, look at the difference in the values, and then you can really see that this is a fundamental fight for, can mankind govern itself in a reasonable way? And the danger of a financial

collapse hangs over the world, at least concerning the trans-Atlantic part very much. So I think, LaRouche's Four Laws

are the absolute urgent question of the hour.

SCHLANGER: It's important to see, also, in terms of these two paradigms, you look at what just happened near by old hometown of Houston, Texas, where, in Santa Fe high school you had another one of these mass shootings. These are almost commonplace in the United States right now; whereas in China, you

have this total emphasis on education, on science.

This goes back to one of the fundamental economic breakthroughs of your husband, this concept of potential relative

population density. And of course, as opposed to what people like Prince Philip, the genocidal Consort of Queen Elizabeth (if

he's still breathing), has been committed to his whole life.

Helga, in this sense, I assume you see this change in China as an absolutely significant recognition of, again, the difference between the two paradigms, but also your husband's view of this concept of potential relative population density.

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Yes. The Chinese have clearly totally changed, starting with Deng Xiaoping and his reforms after the Cultural Revolution; but especially in the last five, six years

with the leadership of Xi Jinping, there has been an absolute understanding about the fundamental issues of life – I mean, he

has given the task to the Chinese scientists to find out how the

human mind works, what is the origin and importance of life in the universe; what are the laws of the universe. And he has encouraged especially an emphasis on innovation, on creativity in

the education as the source of wealth. And when they now see

the

connection between qualitative advances in the knowledge about physical laws and the ability to have more people, and more people, again, leading to more creativity, I think they are absolutely on the right track.

SCHLANGER: Well, we didn't have a whole lot of time to talk before the program, so I want to make sure if there's something else that you wanted to bring up, you have a chance. Is there anything else on your radar screen?

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Yes: there is actually a petition on the website of the White House. This was initiated by the President of the American University in Moscow, Professor Edward Lozansky, and Jim Jatras, and they call for an early summit between President Trump and President Putin, by making essentially the same point that we have been making for the last several months, that, given the fact that President Trump is still so much up against neo-cons in the Republican Party, he's really done a remarkable job under the circumstances, where you have the entire intelligence apparatus not only of what they call the "deep state," which is really an incorrect characterization, because the role of British intelligence {is} absolutely crucial to understand what makes this Empire tick. So, in order to cut through that, and given the fact that the entire Russiagate operation was aimed to prevent a good relationship between Russia and the United States, which Trump all the time said would be a "good thing and not a bad thing," and he tries to do it; so the way to cut through this whole thing would be to have this summit, which they talked about – Trump and Putin on the telephone a couple of weeks ago, and do this

as

quickly as possible.

[<https://petitions.whitehouse.gov/petition/president-donald-trump>

-should-hold-early-summit-russian-president-vladimir-Putin]

So there is this petition, and I would call all of you who are listening, or watching, to sign this petition that such an early summit would take place. Because I think it is an absolutely important initiative, and if this petition has more than 100,000 by June 30, then the White House will have to respond to it, and will respond.

Otherwise, naturally, there are many, many things, and I would again invite you, join us, join the Schiller Institute. Make sure this webcast becomes more known and is being spread, because we are in an urgent need for a political discourse: Where

should mankind go? And how can we organize the world so that it's safe and beautiful for everybody to live in?

SCHLANGER: OK, that's good advice, and I'll just second that: Joining the Schiller Institute is absolutely crucial expression of your own human sentiments. Many of our listeners

have joined, but we want to have a real membership drive, and expanding this webcast is one way to do it. So, I would urge everyone to think, over the next days, of what you can do to make

sure this movement succeeds and advances, that the New Silk Road

Spirit can be brought into every household throughout the Atlantic region, which otherwise is left with nothing but collapse and depression.

So, Helga, thanks for joining us, and we'll be back next week.

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Yes, good-bye.

Lørdag 2. juni holder Schiller Instituttet møde om det Nye Paradigme ved gæstetaler fra USA Jason Ross, i Studenterhuset i København. Mødet er primært for unge mennesker

Jason Ross vil præsentere Schiller Instituttets vision for, hvordan verdens lande sammen kan overvinde det gamle, geopolitiske paradigme, bedst repræsenteret ved Det Britiske Imperium, og indlede en ny æra for menneskeheden. Med konkret afsæt i, hvordan vi kan løfte det afrikanske kontinent op til dets retmæssige plads i et fællesskab blandt ligeværdige nationer, vil der i den efterfølgende diskussion være rig mulighed for at overveje, hvordan vi kan nytænke fremtiden for hele menneskeheden, når vi ikke længere er bundet af idéerne om grænser for vækst, begrænsede resurser og opdigtede fjendebilleder.

Der er begrænsede antal pladser, og mødet afholdes primært for unge mennesker. Gratis adgang.

Tid: Lørdag 2. juni kl. 15-18.

Sted: Studenterhuset, Købmagergade 52, 1150 København.

Annoncering af koncert: En musikalsk dialog mellem kulturer: Torsdag, 28. juni 2018

Tid: kl. 19

Sted: Russisk Center for Videnskab og Kultur, Vester Voldgade 11 (ved Københavns Rådhus)

Gratis adgang.

Schiller Instituttet, Russisk-Dansk Dialog, Det Russiske Hus og Det Kinesiske Kulturcenter præsenterer vores anden koncert for fremme af forståelse mellem kulturer. Der vil være en skøn dialog mellem klassisk europæisk musik og traditionel musik fra Kina, Rusland og andre steder.

En hovedattraktion vil være The National Folk Music Troupe of the Heilongjiang Song and Dance Theater Folk Orchestra, et ensemble af fire kinesiske musikere, der spiller traditionelle instrumenter, og som kommer direkte fra Kina specielt for vores koncert!

Hele koncertprogrammet kommer senere på Schiller Instituttets hjemmeside: www.schillerinstitut.dk

Sidste års koncert var en bragende succes, og vi forventer, at dette års koncert bliver lige så vellykket. Kom og nyd musik fra hele verden, og tage gerne venner og bekendte med.

Koncerten fra 2017 kan høres [her](#).

Spy-gate slog Russia-gate ud; Nu gælder det et nyt økonomisk system

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 23. maj, 2018 – 'Spy Gate' – afsløringen af briternes beskidte operationer mod de amerikanske valg og præsidentskabet – er nu i færd med at slå Russiagate, der selv var en forræderisk løgnehistorie fra de selv samme netværks side, ud. Præsident Donald Trump bemærkede dette i mange tweets i går og i dag og sagde, »Se engang, hvordan bøtten er vendt mod den Kriminelle Deep State. De angriber et falsk 'aftalt spil' med Rusland, et fabrikeret fupnummer, og ender med selv at blive taget i en stor udspioneringsskandale af et omfang, hvis lige, dette land formentlig aldrig før har set! Det, man giver ud, kommer tilbage i samme mål!« Her til morgen tweetede han, »SPYGATE kunne gå hen og blive en af historiens største skandaler!« Der fremkommer nu detaljer, inklusive om forbrydelser, begået af en specialstyrke fra flere tjenester og institutioner (FBI, Finansministeriet, CIA samt andre), og som startede i begyndelsen af 2016 – længe før der var noget »officielt« mandat til en efterforskning af Rusland og Trump, og tæt knyttet til britiske spionnetværk.

Vi befinder os nu på et tidspunkt, hvor muligheden for et nyt, økonomisk system, der skrider frem inden for rammerne af Bælte & Vej Initiativet, er til stede, og som længe har været forsinket i det transatlantiske område. Tiden er ikke til at stå på sidelinjen og se til, hvordan Trump fører en kamp, og

heller ikke til at håbe-og-tro, at nogle enkeltstående medlemmer af Kongressen vil gøre det rette. Det er derimod et opråb til os borgere om at mobilisere.

Potentialet for at blive hvervet til kampen for et nyt system demonstreres i Italien, hvor borgere den 4. marts stemte imod EU's anti-nationalstatspolitikker. Det italienske valgresultat var en fortsættelse af opstanden imod Wall Streets/City of Londons økonomiske og geopolitiske morderpraksisser, som man så det med Brexit-afstemningen i 2016, med Trumps valgsejr i 2016, valget i Østrig i 2017 samt med andre manifestationer. Denne oprørsproces er ikke stoppet, men den må føre til noget. Det er vores ansvar, med LaRouches Fire centrale love og med sandhedens optimisme.

Fjenden er fuldstændig sindsforstyrret over den blotte tanke om, at der sammensættes en italiensk regering, der er favorabel over for nogen af forskrifterne i LaRouches Fire Love. De to partier, Femstjernebevægelsen (M5S) og Lega, har officielt udtalt sig til fordel for en Glass/Steagall-bankreorganisering og for en kreditinstitution. Den italienske præsident Sergio Mattarella forventes i morgen at meddele sin beslutning om en mulig regering bestående af M5S/Lega. Modstandere er hysteriske. Tysklands næststørste Tv-kanal sagde, at Bruxelles må håndtere Italien ligesom en vild hest: Giv den et gag-bid på, og tøjlen den. Tysklands CDU-seniormedlem af Europaparlamentet, Elmar Brok, fremlægger rædselsscenarier: »Den italienske økonomi vil kollapse. De italienske banker vil kollapse.« Bemærk, at Brok støttede det britisk/Obama-orkestrerede, nazistiske Maidan-kup i 2014 mod Ukraine, efter at denne nations regering valgte ikke at alliere sig med EU.

Samtidig er det økonomiske kasinosystem, som disse hysterikere støtter, i færd med at eksplodere. Det kan Italien ikke beskyldes for. For eksempel er boblerne for global, hidsig pengespekulation bristet i Argentina og andre steder. Den argentinske peso er dykket mere end 30 % mod den amerikanske dollar siden årets begyndelse; valutaer falder i Tyrkiet,

Brasilien, Mexico og andre steder. Om tre uger forfalder i Argentina anden runde af \$30 mia. Lebacs (Obligationer i pesos udstedt af den argentinske centralbank), efter at vilde nødløsninger 15. maj blev brugt til at forlænge den første portion i år på \$30 mia.

Helga Zepp-LaRouche opsummerede i dag, at »Italiens-angrebene viser den yderste arrogance og uvillighed til at overveje, hvorfor alt dette sker ...« Men, sagde hun, »Erinyerne er i arbejde!« Eller, som Trump sagde om Russiagate, der nu forvandler sig til Spygate, »Det, man giver ud, kommer tilbage i samme mål«. Tiden er inde til at mobilisere.

Foto: Tidligere direktør for FBI James Comey, tidligere direktør for National Intelligence James Clapper og tidligere direktør for CIA John Brennan. (Photo by: Staff Sgt. Jonathan Lovelady)

Italien og Glass-Steagall kunne redde Europa – Truslen kommer fra City of London

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 22. maj, 2018 – Den seneste, forfærdelige »russiske trussel« hævdes nu at komme fra de to partier, der har aftalt at danne ny regering i Italien. Drabelige kraftkarle for europæisk stagnation og finansspekulation står i kø for at advare om enden på (deres) verden, hvis Lega- og Femstjernepartiernes koalition ikke bliver forhindret. Det er meningen, vi skal glemme, at disse partier af de italienske vælgere netop blev foretrukket for

deres politikker i valgene, der afholdtes i marts måned – ligesom vi i to år har fået at vide, at vi skal glemme, at det var amerikanske vælgere, og ikke russere, der valgte Donald Trump.

Men, dersom den får lov at blive dannet, kan den foreslåede, italienske regering indlede den særdeles forsinkede proces med at redde Europa: fra 10 års stagnation efter krakket, som blev udløst af London- og Wall Street-banker; fra anslag mod dets produktive industrier fra anti-russiske sanktioner; og fra et nyt, værre finanskrak, der truer Amerika og Europa.

Nøglen er at indføre Glass/Steagall-loven, hvilket begge de førende italienske partier ønsker, med det formål at bryde de såkaldte »universelle banker«, som den Europæiske Union har krævet, op, og som absorberer billioner i bailout-penge – statslige bankredninger – fra den Europæiske Centralbank og Federal Reserve, og som intet udlåner til noget som helst, der er produktivt. Den anden nøgle: En statslig nationalbank, der kan udstede kredit til produktive beskæftigelser og ny infrastruktur, helt uden om EU's nedskæringsbegrænsninger af statslig, produktiv kredit. Disse to politiske tiltag kan, hvis partierne kan holde sig til dem, afværge et nyt finansielt blow-out og atter igangsætte reel, økonomisk vækst.

Begge de store, amerikanske partier har Glass-Steagall i deres programmer til præsidentvalget; præsident Trump krævede det på sine valgturneer. Men hidtil er Wall Street løbet med sejren, og storbankerne er blevet endnu større, mere gældstyngede og med større eksponering til super-spekulative derivatkontrakter. Eksperter som tidligere embedsfolk fra FDIC (Federal Deposit Insurance Corp.) Sheila Bair og Thomas Hoenig er kommet med advarsler om, at rentestigninger på et hidtil uhørt ocean af selskabsgæld har gjort finanssystemet mere farligt og nu klart til at eksplodere.

I Tyskland har den tidligere cheføkonom for Europas farligste kæmpebank, Deutsche Bank, givet et eksplosivt interview om,

hvad der ruinerede banken: »Anglo-amerikansk bankpraksis«. Et team af stjernespekulanter fra Merrill Lynch i London og New York overtog Tysklands daværende udlånsbank for 20 år siden og forvandlede den til en gigantisk hedge fund, der tjente enorme profitter hvert år – indtil det blev klart, at profitterne var falske, og banken stort set bankerot.

Hidtil er Wall Street og London gået af med sejren, og prisen har været økonomisk stagnation med massiv pengetrykning fra centralbankerne og endnu et krak lige om hjørnet. I Italien er der en chance for at indlede et omslag af dette, før det er for sent.

I USA har de amerikanere, der forsvare præsidentskabet fra et ubarmhjertigt kupforsøg mod Donald Trump, på den hårde måde lært, at London og britisk efterretning begyndte det, og kører det. Trump-tilhængere er ved at indse, at man ikke kan forsvare præsidentskabet uden at angribe briterne og Londons anti-russiske, anti-kinesiske geopolitikker.

Denne erkendelse er endda nået til Kongressen, i senator Rand Pauls udtalelser. For at citere en skribents angreb af 21. maj på anti-Trump-udspionering, »Briterne – har aldrig tøvet med at blande sig i vores indenrigspolitik ... Det fandt sted i optakten til begge verdenskrige, og det finder sted i dag. Hvis vi sporer oprindelsen til Russiagate-svindlen og kampagnen for at detronisere Donald J. Trump, så fører alle veje til London«.

Denne svindels gerningsmænd vil ikke, selv ikke, når de er blevet afsløret, opgive den; de må besejres. Det britiske finansimperium, City of London, der stadig dominerer transatlantisk finansaktivitet, må ligeledes besejres.

Konfronteret med et nyt krak, denne gang værre end i 2008, kan Italien blive starten til at redde Europa. Italiens ledende partier foreslår det, som amerikanerne ønskede, da de valgte Donald Trump til præsident. Deres udfordring er den samme: At

besejre City of London.

Den Nye Silkevej – den presserende nødvendige modgift mod global krig. LaRouchePAC Internationale Webcast, 18. maj, 2018

Som I ser, så er titlen på aftenens udsendelse, "Den Nye Silkevej – Den presserende nødvendige modgift mod global krig". Dette er noget, Helga Zepp-LaRouche har understreget i løbet af de seneste par uger; at den eneste nøgle til krisen, som vi nu ser, konfronterer os over hele planeten, er omgående at gennemføre den Nye Silkevej. Initiativet for ét Bælte, én Vej, som Kina har indledt, og som indkapsler "win-win"-paradigmet, som er modgiften mod geopolitikker, der kun kan føre til krig.

Engelsk udskrift:

LaRouche PAC Friday Webcast, May 18, 2018

THE NEW SILK ROAD: THE URGENT ANTIDOTE TO GLOBAL WAR

MATTHEW OGDEN: Good afternoon! It's May 18, 2018. My name is Matthew Ogden, and you're joining us for our Friday evening strategic overview from larouchepac.com. As you can see, the title of our show here today is "The New Silk Road: The Urgent Antidote to Global War". This is something which Helga Zepp-LaRouche has been emphasizing over the last several

weeks.

That the only key to the crises that we now see facing us across

the planet is by immediately applying the New Silk Road. The One

Belt, One Road initiative which China has started and which encapsulates the “win-win” paradigm which is the antidote to geopolitics, which can only lead to war.

Now, while there are many very positive developments afoot, in this regard, emphatically the situation on the Korean Peninsula; we are by no means in safe waters. We are less than a

month away from the scheduled President Trump/Kim Jong-un summit,

which will be held in Singapore. But a month is a very long time, and all sorts of mischief can occur between now and then.

We saw a vivid example just this week, which demonstrates that even within President Trump’s inner circle, there are individuals

who are still seeking to derail his efforts towards peace.

John

Bolton, one of the leading war-mongers inside this administration, went on the Sunday talk shows this past weekend,

and said the very last thing that Kim Jong-un would want to hear

if you were Kim Jong-un. He said that the model to be used in North Korea for denuclearization is the Libyan model.

Now, we know that in the early 2000s, Libyan leader Muammar Qaddafi voluntarily negotiated an end to his country’s covert nuclear weapons program, and other weapons of mass destruction programs in a bid to “come in from the cold” as they say, and join the international community of nations. Well, what did that

get him? It got him a Western-backed insurgency which overthrew

his government and eventually cost him his life in a very brutal murder that occurred outside of Sirte. That is exactly what Kim Jong-un is afraid of, and his regime has made very clear that they have viewed their weapons program as the guard, the defense against that kind of regime-change treatment. It was only through promises that there would be no regime change that the situation has even advanced to this point. President Trump did come out and contradict John Bolton in a discussion with reporters yesterday, after a meeting between himself and NATO Secretary Stoltenburg. Trump said the Libya model is not what he has in mind for North Korea; although he did turn around and use the opportunity to threaten Kim Jong-un again if he doesn't make a deal. Threaten him with Qaddafi treatment in no less words. But, this is what he said, and we can read into it what we will. What President Trump had to say to reporters is the following: "Well, the Libyan model isn't a model that we have at all, when we're thinking of North Korea. In Libya, we decimated that country. That country was decimated! There was no deal to keep Qaddafi. The Libyan model that was mentioned was a much different deal. This would be with Kim Jong-un something where he'd be there; he'd be in his country. He'd be running his country. His country would be very rich; his people are tremendously industrious. If you look at South Korea, this would be really a South Korean model in terms of their industry, in terms of what they do. They're hard-working, incredible people. "But the Libyan model was a much different model. We decimated that country! We went in and decimated him, and we

did

the same thing with Iraq. But the model, if you look at that model with Qaddafi, that was a total decimation. We went in there to beat him. Now, that model would take place, if we don't

make a deal, most likely. But if we make a deal, I think Kim Jong-un is going to be very, very happy. I believe, I really believe he's going to be very happy." So, that was President Trump in remarks to reporters yesterday.

Now the framework for creating prosperity on the Korean Peninsula as Trump said, "harnessing the industriousness of the

people of North Korea," and producing something equivalent to the

model of what we've seen in terms of the tremendous economic success in South Korea with their industry. The framework for such an economic miracle in South Korea was actually, in effect,

an application of some aspects of the American System of Alexander Hamilton, translated through the works of Friedrich List and others. That's been discussed elsewhere on this program, but the framework for applying that sort of economic miracle to North Korea would be the new economic map for the Korean Peninsula. What you see here [Fig. 1] is exactly what Moon Jae-in gave to Kim Jong-un on a thumb drive during their recent meeting in the Demilitarized Zone. As you can see, this

model, this economic map for the Korean Peninsula would really be

connecting the entire Korean Peninsula into the New Silk Road. It's this sort of H-shaped configuration where you can see North

Korea connected on the left side to China; and then on the other

side into Russia, connecting North Korea into mainland China in

terms of rail development and also other trade routes. But

also

connecting North Korea into the trans-Siberian railroad in Russia. You would see internal development across the Korean Peninsula, developing the interior of the Korean Peninsula.

Then

you would see connected down, across the 38th parallel there, into South Korea, on the one hand connected to the Maritime Silk

Road with the ports coming off the southern tip of South Korea.

Then on the other hand, bringing Japan into the entire mix, which

even be an incentive for Japan to develop the long-discussed Japan-Korea tunnel or bridge.

So, this kind of configuration is an idea of bringing the entirety of the Korean Peninsula into this New Silk Road. It would connect both Russia and China into this region, and it would act as a bridge. North Korea would have the opportunity to

act as a crucial bridge connecting South Korea and Japan into the

rest of Eurasia, and acting as a crucial hub for the New Silk Road. This is something that the LaRouche movement has discussed

for decades, and it's something that has been on the table and now is proving to be the key to actually bringing Kim Jong-un to

the negotiating table and providing the basis for a durable and

sustainable peace in that region.

This is emphatically the model that we need in the so-called Middle East, Southwest Asia. These nations where you seem to have intractable conflict; where there is no solution in terms of

the situation on the ground. You need to have something which comes in in a global context and creates this kind of connectivity in this region, where all the parties have a

common

interest in embracing this sort of peace through economic development. This would be bringing the New Silk Road into the

Middle East.

Now what we're seeing in this area of the world is a renewed danger of war, which is set to explode. Not just a regional war,

not just a war between different powers in that region, but one

which would very quickly threaten to become a global war.

Dragging parties across the world into this sort of war, exactly

in the way that it was described in the lead-up to World War I;

where all of the alliances would force parties to sort of sleepwalk into such a global war. The atrocities that were committed on Monday, during the protests that occurred in Gaza,

where dozens of people were killed, and almost 2000 people were

wounded; these atrocities have caused widespread outrage across

the world, including here in the United States, notably. A statement was released by 13 US Senators – all of whom are Democrats, including Senator Bernie Sanders, Senator Elizabeth Warren, Senator Diane Feinstein, Senator Ed Markey, and numerous

others – calling for urgent action in addressing the crisis in the Gaza Strip. Both the humanitarian crisis, but also the urgent lack of economic development. Here's an image [Fig. 2] of

the letter which they sent to Secretary [of State] Pompeo. You

can see in this open letter what they say is the following:

"Dear Secretary Pompeo,

"We write to urge the administration to do more to alleviate

the ongoing humanitarian crisis in the Gaza Strip. The territory's lack of power, clean water, adequate medical care, and other necessities not only exacerbates the hardships faced by

Gaza's population, but redounds to the benefit of extremist groups who use this depravation and despair to incite violence against Israel. The United States should also encourage the easing of restrictions on the movement of people, goods, and equipment in and out of the territory, especially for materials

and supplies related to critical infrastructure such as water projects, and health essentials such as medicines and hospital supplies. Multiple parties should also be engaged to insure greater electricity flow into Gaza to meet the territory's desperate need for energy.

"The United States should also put its weight behind proposals to build Gaza's economy through bold initiatives, such

as the proposed Gaza sea port. The new port facility could boost

Gaza's economy by vastly improving the territory's access to goods and markets worldwide. The political and security challenges in Gaza are formidable, but support for the basic human rights of its people must not be conditioned on progress on

those fronts. For the sake of Israelis and Palestinians alike,

the United States must act urgently to help relieve the humanitarian crisis in the Gaza Strip."

So, while that statement may be limited in its particulars, I think it's very significant that this appeal includes a demand

for economic development as a pathway to alleviating the humanitarian crisis in the Gaza Strip. But also, to creating the

basis for real peace; a peace which would benefit the Israelis and the Palestinians alike.

As Helga LaRouche and Lyndon LaRouche have repeatedly emphasized, nothing can be done locally to secure peace in this region. But rather, this region must be understood in a global context; both in a negative sense as a playground geopolitics in a new Great Game where geopolitical interests have sought to divide this region and to keep it at war against itself; but also from a positive standpoint, where you understand that peace is only possible through collaboration of the great powers. A great powers alliance between the United States, Russia – which plays a very large role in this region with its allies – and also China. China which has the New Silk Road as the key, which would be the key to developing this region. If these three great powers would be able to collaborate to bring the New Silk Road to this region, it could be transformed from a crucible for war to a new crossroads of civilization.

Helga Zepp-LaRouche addressed this in detail in her international webcast this week. I would like to play just an excerpt from one of her statements during that webcast, where you can see that she goes right at the core of the issue. That the only way you're going to resolve this crisis in Southwest Asia, is by bringing the New Silk Road Spirit to bear and using the pathway of peace through economic development. So, here's what Helga Zepp-LaRouche had to say. [Technical difficulties playing

video.] We apologize for that technical error. The gist of what Helga LaRouche got at in this statement, was that you have an atrocity which was committed, but by no means is this to be seen as limited to the parties in this region. What you have to understand is that there is a long history in which this region has been at war. There have been several potentials for peace agreements. Most significantly was Lyndon LaRouche's proposal going back to the 1970s, but very crucially revived in the 1990s as we reviewed during our show here on Monday afternoon. This was the idea of an Oasis Plan for Peace, where you would have all the parties in this region would be guaranteed their own security, but also would be guaranteed the benefits of the economic development which this Oasis Plan would provide. Helga Zepp-LaRouche, during this clip, which unfortunately we're not able to play for you, brought up the way that Mr. LaRouche has always posed the crisis in this region. That you have to not have a myopic view of this region, but you have to zoom out and see this region in the big picture in terms of the historic crossroads of civilization and the cradle of civilization going back thousands and thousands of years; but also currently as this sort of playground for a new Great Game, where you have British imperial interests and others carving up the region and playing one ethnic group against another in order to ensure that this region does not become a positive cradle for the dialogue between these civilizations and a crossroads between Europe, Asia, and Africa. Lyndon LaRouche delivered a speech

at

Connecticut State University, which is a state school in Connecticut, in May of 2009, which he titled "Only Dismantling the Empire Can Stop the War Today". Here, you can see, this is

the cover [Fig. 3] of the {Executive Intelligence Review} magazine which contained the text of that speech. But let me just read you a few excerpts of what Lyndon LaRouche had to say,

and I think you'll see that he gets directly at this question of

placing this region in a global context. So, Mr. LaRouche said

the following:

"I shall suggest it is an error to talk a Middle East policyâ|. Instead of talking about a conflict in the so-called Middle East, we should talk about the Middle East as conflict that is largely globalâ|. Because the conflict is not determined

by the Israelis or Arabs. It's determined by international forces which look at this region. How? As a crossover point between the Mediterranean and the Indian Ocean, the relationship

of Europe to Asia, the relationship of Europe to East Africa, and

so forth.

"Therefore, what you're seeing is thatâ|.

"We get so involved in the issues of the Middle East that we can never solve them. The way we're playing it, we'll never solve themâ|.

"There is a solution, a solution in principle. And the solution is, end this blasted imperialist system!...

"But don't believe that's there's some solution for the Israeli-Arab conflict per se. There is no solution in that, per

se. That's why I said at the beginning here: Don't look at

the
history of the Middle East; look at the Middle East in
history.

There, you find the solution.

"Because it's being played! The whole region. It's being
played like a puppetâ.

"So now we're in a situation where we have to change our
monetary system. We could reorganize our monetary system and
the

world monetary system. We can cooperate with Russia, with
China,

India, and other countriesâ.

"So, how do you do this? Well, we have a system. We call
it the American System, defined by Hamilton. We can shift the
world economy from being a monetary economy to being a credit
system, as specified by Alexander Hamiltonâ.

"We go to a
credit system: We can organize credit agreements like treaty
agreements with Russia, China, India, and other countriesâ.

"We have to move, therefore, from thinking about conflict
among nations and regions, to the alternative to conflict. By
finding that which unites us through our common purpose as
independent nations rather than seeking resolution of a
conflict

we are now enjoying among ourselves. That's the only chance
we

have. And when you look at the possibilities for this region,
like Southwest Asia, the only chance will come {not} from
inside

Southwest Asia. We will do, and must do, what we can, for
that

area, to try to stop the bloodshed, the agony, to prevent the
war. But we will not succeed until we change the history,
change

the world in which this region is contained.

"And that's my mission. Thank you."

Now, that speech was delivered in 2009, well before Xi

Jinping announced the New Silk Road, the One Belt, One Road Initiative. But looking at this idea of changing the world within which this region is situated, that is the attitude that

Lyndon LaRouche has always had. That you needed to create a new

international system, a system which he discussed there in credit

terms, monetary terms. A Hamiltonian credit system, where you can have credit for infrastructure development, credit agreements

among sovereign nations. He also discussed it in terms of a revived treaty of Westphalia; where you don't try to resolve conflicts between countries in terms of the conflicts per se. But you resolve these conflicts by saying what do our nations, as

sovereign nations, have in common, and what can we do to benefit

the other.

Helga Zepp-LaRouche took this question up again in her webcast this week, and the following clip I believe should function. And you'll hear Helga Zepp-LaRouche discuss the specific projects which are necessary to connect this region of

Southwest Asia into the movement for great project development which is now sweeping the globe in the form of the One Belt, One

Road Initiative. So, here's what Helga LaRouche had to say:

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE

: Now, what you need, is, if you have a very complex situation like that – and obviously, the many things which have happened, the terrorisms, many wars – emotions are hurt, people have an incredible accumulated rage: You need something big, and the only way how you could get it, is

if you had all the neighbors, Russia, China, India, Iran, Egypt, the United States, and hopefully European nations all agreeing that the only way how this can be solved, is, you have to have the extension of the New Silk Road into the region and develop every country as part of one, integrated, industrial infrastructure development program.

There are already the beginnings of that. When President Xi Jinping was three years ago in Iran, he agreed already with President Rouhani at the time, that the New Silk Road would be extended into Iran. You had the Afghanistan President demanding

that the New Silk Road should be applied in Afghanistan. And at

the recent Wuhan meeting of President Xi Jinping and India's Prime Minister Modi, they agreed that China and India would cooperate in bringing the Silk Road into Afghanistan, by building, as a first step, a large train connection between Afghanistan, Tajikistan, Kyrgyzstan, Iran, China, and that way start to connect Afghanistan to the Silk Road.

That same approach must be taken for Iraq, for Syria, for the situation in Yemen, and naturally Egypt will have to play a

very important role as a bridge between Asia and Africa. I think

Egypt is absolutely thinking in this direction, already. And however, naturally, these are gigantic projects and they cannot

be done by any one country alone; even if China has a special envoy for Syria, they have said they want to play a leading role

in the reconstruction of Syria. You have the earlier commitment

of Russia to supply energy, of Iran to help in the industrial development. But that needs to be presented as a comprehensive proposal.

And I'm sure that there are people in Israel, as well, who will not agree with the present course of Netanyahu – who, by the way, faces his own problems and may look into not such a bright future for his own political career. But there are people in Israel who agree, that you need to come out of this terrible paradigm of the present configuration. And if there would be an agreement, between Trump, Xi Jinping, Putin, and Modi, and then other leaders joining with them, to go in this direction, even this very difficult situation of Southwest Asia could be approached and a solution could be found. But it does require an extraordinary intervention.

OGDEN: So that kind of extraordinary intervention as Helga LaRouche just described there, must come in the form of bringing the Silk Road to bear in this region. On Monday, we featured an extensive clip from a LaRouche PAC video which was produced two years ago, which was called "Operation Phoenix", which discussed how to rebuild Syria, but in a broader context, how to bring this entire region of Southwest Asia into the New Silk Road. If we look at this map [Fig. 4] on the screen here, just as we saw the map of the new economic map of the Korean Peninsula, where you could see North Korea being connected into China, Russia, the New Silk Road, and being used as a crucial bridge, a hub in that New Silk Road route; now we can see the same thing here in

Southwest

Asia. As you can see outline, is coming in from Eurasia, a route

of the New Silk Road which could originate in South Korea and come up through North Korea and plug into three of the main channels of the New Silk Road across Eurasia. But this one would

come in and would arrive in Tehran in Iran. You see that there

would be extensions going both south and north. South to the Gulf region, and then north up to the northern route going up to

the Caucasus and ultimately towards Russia, Scandinavia, and the

Arctic. But then coming out of Tehran to the east, you would have two different routes. One would be the route which continue

on through Turkey and then across the straits into Europe.

But

then the other one would go southeast into Iraq, connecting into

Baghdad; where you would have a connection along the Tigris and

Euphrates Rivers down to the Persian Gulf in the southwest.

But

then proceeding upwards through the devastated regions of Syria

which have been liberated, and then to Aleppo, across to the Mediterranean Sea at Latakia; and then a route proceeding southward towards the Red Sea – the famous Med-Red connection –

and then into Egypt and then further into the rest of Africa.

So, with this image in mind, you can see that this region would be a crucial crossroads of civilization and is the crucial

connection between these three great continents – Europe, Asia,

and Africa. This is the reason that this region has been subjected to endless wars in this kind of geopolitical Great Game, in order to interrupt the potential for this sort of development. But this development perspective is the only means

by which you can resolve these conflicts. Not in the terms of the conflicts themselves per se, but in terms of creating a new

zone of mutually beneficial cooperation among all the parties involved. That kind of economic development can take place if you have the sort of great powers arrangement among the four powers – Russia, China, India, and the United States.

This is the core of what we continue to campaign for here in the United States. We must defeat this coup against President Trump. We are now one year into what President Trump has characterized as the Mueller witch hunt. Nothing has been found

so far in terms of collusion. This attempted to coup to undermine President Trump is not aimed at Trump personally; it is

rather aimed at Trump's inclinations towards just such a great powers relationship. The second pledge in this Campaign to Win

the Future is that the United States should emphatically, wholeheartedly endorse and join China's One Belt, One Road Initiative. This is for both the benefit of the planet, this One

Belt, One Road Initiative as we discuss here, is the key towards

unlocking these conflicts around the globe; but it's also to the

benefit of the United States itself. Extending this kind of great projects development perspective into the United States, with a Hamiltonian principle – what Lyndon LaRouche discusses in

the Four Economic Laws; this is the agenda of LaRouche PAC here

in the United States.

As you can see on the back cover of this pamphlet [Fig. 5], this is the map of the World Land-Bridge. One of the crucial aspects of this map is a new Marshall Plan for a New Silk Road to

rebuild the Middle East. So, this has to continue to be kept first and foremost in view, when we're looking at how to resolve

this crisis and how to prevent just such a regional crisis from

exploding into a global war. As you can see here, LaRouche PAC's

"2018 Campaign to Secure the Future" is available on the LaRouche

PAC website if you visit action.larouchepac.com. We encourage you to become involved; to volunteer; and to help us circulate this pamphlet as widely as we can.

Thank you very much for joining us here on larouchepac.com.

The world is moving very quickly, and we encourage you to stay tuned and to visit larouchepac.com regularly. Thank you for tuning in, and please stay tuned.

**Briterne skubber på for krige
i Mellemøsten,
for at afspore fremvoksende
samarbejde**

mellem de Fire Magter. Helga Zepp-LaRouche i Schiller Institut Webcast, 17. maj, 2018. Engelsk udskrift

Introduktion: I oktober måned, 2009, talte Lyndon LaRouche på Forum for Dialog mellem Civilisationer på øen Rhodos, hvor han fremlagde konceptet om, at en aftale mellem fire, ledende magter – USA, Kina, Rusland og Indien – kan danne grundlaget for at skabe et nyt, globalt kreditsystem til at erstatte det håbløst bankerotte, transatlantiske finanssystem, der på spektakulær vis krakkede det foregående år. I løbet af de seneste år er dette potentiale, under ledelse af Kinas præsident Xi Jinping, begyndt at antage konkret form. Med valget af Donald Trump til præsident i 2016, og som for en stor dels vedkommende skyldtes hans afvisning af hans forgængeres politikker for krig og finansspekulation, sås det, at han var parat til at tage skridt til at bevæge USA til at gå med i denne aftale.

Dette alene forklarer de desperate handlinger imod ham, med begyndelse i de svindelagtige Russiagate-beskyldninger, som var brygget sammen af britiske efterretningsnetværk og Obamas efterretningsfolk. Det forklarer også indsatsen for en skarpere konfrontation mellem USA og Rusland og Kina, inkl. Spripal-affæren og anklagerne om de kemiske våben i Douma, under falsk flag. De seneste, farlige handlinger fra Israels Netanyahu-regering mod Syrien, Iran, Libanon og palæstinenserne, og den fortsatte folkemorderiske krig, som saudierne fører imod Yemen, er alle en del af den samme deployering for at bruge krig til at stoppe konsolideringen af LaRouches idé om en Firemagtsalliance.

Helga Zepp-LaRouche har sagt, at det eneste, der kan stoppe denne dynamik for de Fire Magter, der har vundet frem omkring Kinas lederskab og Bælte & Vej Initiativet, er krig. Krigsfremstødet, der kommer fra Storbritannien, må nedkæmpes! Hør fr. LaRouche kommende torsdag for den seneste opdatering om denne kamp, og hvad du kan gøre for at sikre, at Imperiet og dets geopolitiske vanvid bringes til en afslutning.

Engelsk udskrift:

British Push Mideast Wars to Derail Emerging Four-Power Cooperation

Schiller Institute New Paradigm Webcast, May 17, 2018
With Helga Zepp-LaRouche

HARLEY SCHLANGER: Hello, I'm Harley Schlanger from the Schiller Institute. Welcome to this week's international webcast, featuring our founder and President Helga Zepp-LaRouche. Over the last weeks Helga has been emphasizing the deployment by British Imperial geopolitical interests out to wreck the promising potential that's emerged in Eurasia, and especially around the recent developments of a potential peace agreement with North Korea. Helga's repeatedly emphasized that this looks a lot like sleepwalking into World War I and in fact, with the events that just took place in Gaza, in the last couple of days, the massacre there by Israeli soldiers, the threat for the situation to break out of control, obviously, Helga, this looks like this is a potential kind of pre-war kind

of deployment to disrupt the emergence of this four power agreement.

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUICHE: Yes, I think what has happened around Gaza in the last days is really a tragedy. Obviously, it coincided with the opening of the U.S. Embassy in Jerusalem, which I think was an unnecessary and provocative thing to do. But the situation in the Gaza is an open-air jail; it's a new Warsaw Ghetto. If you look at what has happened there – OK, I'm

not excluding, that there are some violent Hamas elements, who used the fact, that people are generally upset about the conditions.

Basically, you have a very tiny area, of the size of the city-state of Bremen in Germany, which is very small, where 2 million people are crowded. They have no money for food, they have only a few hours of electricity, they have no clean water,

and no medical supplies, which now, after 61 people were shot and

killed, and 2,700 wounded became a real nightmare, because you had all these wounded people who were not treated.

So people have been demonstrating, and the Israeli IDF and special snipers shot into the crowd, which was completely unnecessary. If you want to dissolve a crowd, you can use water

throwers, you can use such other means – you don't have to shoot

people dead. So, this has inflamed the situation and as I said,

after a day of mourning and funerals, now the thing is not stopping. The Israelis are firing airstrikes at installations of

the Hamas in Gaza.

This could easily lead to an escalation where you have a war between Israel and Hamas, Hezbollah, potentially Iran, and

then,
from there it goes to a big war. This is a terrible situation.
And I should remind people that what is happening in this
area,
even though it's not in the spotlight of the mass media, when
you
had the Oslo Agreement, which was in 1993, already at that
time,
the Palestinians were supposed to get only 25% of the
territory
of Palestine, and the Israelis would get 75%. But in the
meantime, 60% of the so-called West Bank of Jordan has been
occupied by settlers, so there's only 40% left, and this is
really becoming a very dire situation, and obviously the aim,
and
several people have said that, the aim is to demoralize the
Palestinians in such a way that they give up and just quit,
which
won't happen.

You have a situation, where the Jewish population is
becoming quickly a minority and you cannot maintain a rule a
hostile population which outnumbers you in such ways: We saw
that in other occasions, such as in South Africa, it didn't
function, and it will not function here.

So even if you don't have an escalation to a big war, you
have Hell! And I have been saying this, and naturally my
husband
has been saying it for decades: You need economic
development.

Because if you have a lot of young people in Gaza and
elsewhere,
who are growing up, being 14, 15, 16, and already at that time
have the feeling they have no future, it is a chain of
violence,
an escalation of violence is pre-programmed.

We have been making the point, the whole time, that while
you have Christian fundamentalists in the United States who

think

that an early Middle East war is a good thing – I have heard such people talking like that. The reality is that the Middle East, Southwest Asia, has been the playground of British Imperialism, and at a certain point also French Imperialism, which basically have treated this region as a region for proxy wars for their own geopolitical interests. This was demonstrated

in the Sykes-Picot Treaty of 1916, which carved up this region in

ways which was the seed for future conflicts. And right now, you

can see very clear, the aim is to get a confrontation with Russia

– Iran – but, Russia, China, and that way prevent the possibility of a cooperation in a New Paradigm.

My husband has said this many times; emphatically he has made speeches about it at international forums, that the only way

how you can break this terrible nightmare of violence and horror

is by having a Four Power agreement among the United States, Russia, China, and India, and that way, you have enough people and enough military, political, and economic power to end the British Empire and their ability manipulate the situation.

This has to be put on the agenda, because if it's not, the danger is, that this thing spirals out of control, and already now it's a terrible nightmare and a tragedy for the people who are suffering these situations.

SCHLANGER: You mentioned the Sykes-Picot Agreement which was a perfect example of the British geopolitical deployment that

led to World War I, and then the immediate period afterwards where the British were moving in, to try to replace the collapsing Ottoman Empire and establish what the British call the

“Middle East” today, a bridge that they could control between Asia, Africa, and Europe.

These geopoliticians are on the march, they’re threatening – in Israel you have threats against Lebanon, Israeli strikes on Iranian positions in Syria. But, Helga, I think the important thing for people to understand, is you emphasis and your husband’s emphasis on a bigger picture agreement, which would be that of the great powers. None of these small states can maneuver effectively within this. How is this that you could get an agreement? Isn’t this a perfect opportunity for Trump and Putin to get together and sit down and talk about it?

ZEPP-LAROUICHE: Yes. I think that that particular move. They did agree on the telephone to have an early summit. President Trump even invited Putin to come to the White House. And given the extremely difficult factional situation in the United States, and anti-Trump, Russiagate coup attempt, which is completely falling apart, but it’s still not officially acknowledged, and it needs to be gotten through in terms of putting the culprits of this coup in trial instead. Given these difficult and complex situations, I think that if this summit between Putin and Trump would take place as quickly as possible, and take all the time needed to discuss and develop flanks to the situation, I think that is the one thing which could cut through all of this and create new options. I think we should all wish, and speak out, that such an early summit would occur.

SCHLANGER: We also see the great potential on the Korean Peninsula, somewhat set back by these comments by Bolton, the national security advisor, comparing North Korea to Libya, which

is an unmistakable reference for anyone in North Korea that the threat that, when Qaddafi went along with an agreement to get rid of his nuclear weapons, less than a decade later, Obama, Cameron, Sarkozy and Hillary Clinton went in and destroyed the country. What's your sense of where things stand now, following the statement from North Korea of the cancellation of the North Korea/South Korea summit that was supposed to take place, I think today; what's your sense of where this is heading?

ZEPP-LAROUICHE: I think it is a dangerous phase. It's not yet hopeless, because after this North Korea/South Korea summit was cancelled, the State Department said the United States still assumes that the summit between Trump and Kim Jong-un will take place on June 12 in Singapore. And there were rumors in the Japanese papers that maybe even Xi Jinping would participate in such a summit. So this is not yet off the table. And the Deputy Foreign Minister of North Korea, whose name is Kim Kye-gwan, he made a very clear distinction between the statements and the line of Pompeo and Trump; and Pompeo was in North Korea came back and reported very respectfully and very positively about Kim Jong-un and Trump clearly has taken up a very respectful tone towards Kim Jong-un as well. However, Bolton – and this Deputy Foreign Minister made this distinction very clearly – Bolton in, I

don't

know if it was just being unclever or deliberate, I have no way

of saying, but to tell the North Koreans that the model of the denuclearization proposal by Kim Jong-un will following the Libya

model!—I mean, you cannot say something worse. Because if you remember, Libya, Qaddafi, turned over all of Libya's nuclear weapons and then the result was, he was overthrown and killed, and the country has been in complete chaos ever since, basically

ungovernable to the present day.

This Deputy Foreign Minister basically said they will never accept such a model, obviously, and that North Korea is proposing

something which is not to be taken as a weakness, but it is actually an effort by Kim Jong-un to solve a very untenable, terrible situation, but it's not a sign of weakness. And it cannot be done by unilateral commands from the side of the United

States, but it has to occur in a trustful atmosphere of dialogue

and cooperation. So, since I think that President Trump is intending to do that, I don't think it's completely in danger, but there clearly is a cloud over the horizon.

And obviously the events in the Middle East also have a peripheral impact, namely the question which is being raised by

many people, if the United States can rip apart the nuclear agreement with Iran, which was a negotiated agreement, it took 12

years, many nations were involved, the United Nations approved it, so if you unilaterally get rid of such an agreement, you know, it also puts a question of doubt on the reliability of the

United States in general.

All of this means we are really in a very dangerous

situation. And, for example, there was just a new poll, where by now, 57 % of all Russians are convinced that the crisis in Syria will lead to a global war. Now, I hope not, but the air is full of worry, about war, and people who are concerned about this, they should help us to mobilize to bring in the alternative: Which is the cooperation among nations for a win-win cooperation overcoming geopolitics. And the potential clearly is there. I think a lot of good things have happened: The rapprochement between China and Japan; careful steps in this direction between China and India; clearly a good relation between Japan and Russia; Trump clearly has stated his intention to keep, despite all trade issues, a good relationship with "his friend Xi Jinping," as he always calls him; and there is the pending summit between Trump and Putin. So all the potentials are clearly there, but it is also clear that as the Western financial system is in absolute mortal danger of a new blowout, the risks to the situation cannot be overstated, and make every intervention in the direction of solving these problems with the Four Laws proposed by my husband, extremely urgent. So I would call on all of you that you should get in contact with us, you should become a member of the Schiller Institute, you should help us to put the Four Laws of Lyndon LaRouche on the agenda, because they're not only needed in the United States, they're equally needed in Europe and other affected by the effects of the trans-Atlantic financial

system.

SCHLANGER: This just highlights the difficulty of existing in between two paradigms: On the one side you have the old geopolitical, unilateralist paradigm, which is an imperial paradigm, of war, of proxy wars, of false flags, of terrorism, of bail-outs, of austerity; and that's being rejected by the world's population. But we haven't yet seen the full consolidation of the New Paradigm, and that's what the work of the Schiller Institute has been from the beginning, to bring this New Paradigm into existence.

On that, also the Iran situation is sort of hanging between these two paradigms; it's not clear where that's going. But, Helga, there's been some discussion among Europeans as to whether or not the agreement can be salvaged. What do you know about that?

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Well, the Iranian Foreign Minister Zarif travelled to China; he travelled to Russia and then to Brussels, because all of these countries – that, is Russia, China, Germany, France, and Great Britain, and the EU basically have stated that they want to try with all possible means to maintain the Iran nuclear agreement, even if the United States pulled out unilaterally. It is not clear if that will function. Naturally, the fact that Russia and China are backing it is a very important point.

However, if the U.S. would impose secondary sanctions on European firms that maintain business with Iran, I don't know

what will happen: Because the European Union foreign policy representative Federica Mogherini said that they will pull out some regulations which were voted in, or accepted in the '90s, but they were never used, to protect such firms from sanctions.

Now, I have a hard time to imagine how that will function, given

the fact that international banks are operating internationally,

so if the United States would impose these secondary sanctions,

it could cause absolute havoc in the whole situation.

The Europeans have now said that they demand additional negotiations with Iran, this time not concerning the nuclear program, but concerning the Iranian missile program, which is also something which President Trump had mentioned, and he said

all the time that he would come up with a better deal – well, I

hope that this better deal is a comprehensive solution for the whole region.

We have discussed this many times, but I want to reiterate it: That if you want to solve the problem in the Middle East, or

in Southwest Asia, you have to take into account the security interests of every country and every single party, and that emphatically includes not only Israel, but it includes Iran, it

includes the Palestinians; it includes every country. And equally important is that you need to have economic development:

You have right now several situations which are turning into a nightmare. One is Yemen. You know, you have {the} largest humanitarian catastrophe of the planet right now taking place in

Yemen. You have the situation in the Gaza Strip. And naturally,

you have all the areas which have been destroyed by these wars:

The situation in Afghanistan remains quite out of control, even

so, there are hopeful signs that this could be turned around.

Now, what you need, is, if you have a very complex situation like that – and obviously, the many things which have happened,

the terrorisms, many wars – emotions are hurt, people have an incredible accumulated rage: You need something big, and the only way how you could get it, is if you had all the neighbors,

Russia, China, India, Iran, Egypt, the United States, and hopefully European nations all agreeing that the only way how this can be solved, is, you have to have the extension of the New

Silk Road into the region and develop every country as part one,

integrated, industrial infrastructure development program.

There are already the beginnings of that. When President Xi Jinping was three years ago in Iran, he agreed already with President Rouhani at the time, that the New Silk Road would be extended into Iran. You had the Afghanistan President demanding

that the New Silk Road should be applied in Afghanistan; and at

the recent Wuhan meeting of President Xi Jinping and India's Prime Minister Modi, they agreed that China and India would cooperate in bringing the Silk Road into Afghanistan, by building, as a first step, a large train connection between Afghanistan, Tajikistan, Kyrgyzstan, Iran, China, and that way

start to connect Afghanistan to the Silk Road.

That same approach must be taken for Iraq, for Syria, for the situation in Yemen, and naturally Egypt will have to play a

very important role as a bridge between Asia and Africa. I

think

Egypt is absolutely thinking in this direction, already. And however, naturally, these are gigantic projects and they cannot

be done by any one country alone; even if China has a special envoy for Syria, they have said they want to play a leading role

in the reconstruction of Syria. You have the earlier commitment

of Russia to supply energy, of Iran to help in the industrial development. But that needs to be presented as a comprehensive proposal.

And I'm sure that there are people in Israel, as well, who will not agree with the present course of Netanyahu – who, by the way, faces his own problems and may look into not such a bright future for his own political career – but there are people in Israel who agree, that you need to come out of this terrible paradigm of the present configuration. And if there would be an agreement, between Trump, Xi Jinping, Putin and Modi,

and then other leaders joining with them, to go in this direction, even this very difficult situation of Southwest Asia

could be approached and a solution could be found. But it does

require an extraordinary intervention.

SCHLANGER: And just to inform our new viewers, and as well as to remind our regular viewers, we produced that blueprint, the

report that we've done on the New Silk Road coming into Africa and West Asia, and that's available through the Schiller Institute. ["Extending the New Silk Road to West Asia and Africa:

A Vision of an Economic Renaissance"]

<http://newparadigm.schillerinstitute.com/extending-new-silk-ro>

ad-

west-asia-africa/]

And it is a comprehensive picture of what the Chinese have proposed, and what they're actually already doing, moving the earth, creating jobs, educating people, and doing the job training that's necessary.

As long as we're continuing to review the danger spots, there's one other one that won't go away, and that's the situation in Ukraine, where you had just this week the raid on the offices of RIA Novosti; you have various kinds of threats coming from Poroshenko, and the neo-Nazis in the security agencies in Ukraine.

You also have this very interesting development of a new bridge opening connecting Russia to Crimea, and this being a cause for some wild Ukrainian fascists, calling for blowing up the bridge because this is an attack on Ukrainian independence.

Helga, what's the situation on the ground as far as you can see in Ukraine right now?

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: This raid on the offices of RIA Novosti is very serious, because they arrested the office chief Kirill Vyshinsky; they compared RIA Novosti with Goebbels – I mean, talking about the free press, you can really say that in Ukraine

right now, the situation is quite dire.

Mrs. Merkel, the German Chancellor, will go to Sochi, Russia to meet with Putin and this will be one of the subjects of discussion; naturally, the other crisis spots as well. So, I think if one could somehow put pressure that the Minsk Agreement

is being put back on the table, which right now it is obviously

not, because Kiev is absolutely not cooperating, and you have the

law by Poroshenko to solve the situation in East Ukraine by military means. So this is definitely another extremely

dangerous situation.

But, because it is so dangerous, I think more people are waking up to that, and that may be a first step to hopefully prevent something which could easily become World War III.

SCHLANGER: And the Ukraine issue brings up another aspect of Russiagate. I was just doing some review of this in the last

couple of days, and I noticed something that I had forgotten, which is that John Brennan, the former CIA director who is at the

center of much of the operation of Russiagate against Trump, that

Brennan had made a secret trip to Kiev shortly after the overthrow of Yanukovych, and put in motion U.S. support for the

criminal regime that came in. So this new discussion coming up

around Brennan in the Mueller, this is quite interesting what Sen. Rand Paul brought up.

Helga, do you think this adds to the weight against Mueller?

The judges are turning against him, there are exposés of the FBI

and overall corruption. Where is this thing heading? Why hasn't

it been shut down by now?

ZEPP-LAROUICHE: I think it could be shut down quickly, because the latest twist is, I just read an article that Mueller

is now suspected of having had collusion with a Russian oligarch,

which I'd find a little bit humorous, if the situation weren't so

serious.

But I think this letter by Sen. Rand Paul is really

important: He wrote a letter to Gina Haspel, the newly

nominated
head of the CIA, demanding that she should turn over all
information the CIA has, as to was there any investigation;
did
the CIA bug the Presidential candidates in 2016, not just
Trump
but every other candidate, as well? And did they work, given
the
fact that the CIA is prohibited by law from surveilling
Americans, did they ask other intelligence services from other
countries to cooperate with them in doing that? And I think
he
hinted also to British intelligence directly.
And then, in an interview with NBC, he even went further,
and also brought up in this context, the visit by Robert
Hannigan, the then-head of GCHQ, the British equivalent of the
NSA, to the United States to brief Brennan about all of this.
So this is now coming out in the mainstream media that there
was such a collusion with British intelligence, and this is
really a very good thing, because obviously, this is
completely
illegal, unconstitutional; it may be even criminal. And the
more
quickly these things are being followed up, the better.
Also Congressman Nunes, the head of the House Intelligence
Committee, basically said that it's now 100% certain that
there
was absolutely no collusion of the Trump team with Russia.
And
he said that given the fact that those who pretended that
there
was such a collusion knew that it did not exist, why was this
whole operation instigated in the first place?
I think this question must be answered: Because this was a
coup attempt against an elected President of the United
States,
and it has shed light on exactly who are the forces of the

Empire

– we call it the British Empire, because it is in the continuity

of the British Empire – but all the people who have come out quickly against Trump on the side of those who accused, have also

shown their true colors.

So, if the United States should get back to its constitutional form, there were demands that the entire FBI, and

Department of Justice must be cleaned out and reorganized afresh.

I think all of this is necessary.

And Trump must be freed from this, because this ongoing situation is the only reason why the relationship with Russia, with China, and naturally, in an indirect form in the Middle East, why these situations are so dangerous. If world peace is

supposed to be saved, the British coup must be uncovered completely. All the culprits must be held accountable. And then

Trump can actually do what he promised he would do – and most of

it actually went in a good direction, and even some of the critics have to see that.

However, the one Damocles Sword which is hanging over this is the danger of a financial blowout. And we need to have this debate on not only Glass-Steagall, but go to Hamiltonian economics and apply the Four Laws of my husband, Lyndon LaRouche,

as an absolutely urgent matter of priority.

SCHLANGER: And Helga, in conclusion, I'd like to pose something to you, that comes back to this question of Hamiltonian

economics, which is, that as all of these war provocations are progressing, as the British are pulling every string that they

have, the Chinese are continuing with very bold plans around the

New Silk Road. The New Silk Road Spirit, as you called it, is catching around the world. And even the efforts of some who sabotaged the U.S.-China relationship around trade, around tariffs, and things of that sort, seems to be moving in a potentially good direction, with the visit of another team of Chinese officials to Washington.

How do you think this can affect the overall situation – the Trump-Xi relationship? Isn't that really one of the keys to

breaking through the New Paradigm?

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Yes. If the proposal by Li Keqiang, the Chinese Prime Minister, would be taken up, that the way to balance the trade between the United States and China is not by

imposing tariffs, but by increasing trade, by increasing investments in third countries, that way it could be done in a much more elegant way. And there are plenty of opportunities: The United States could join with China in investments in Latin

America; in, as I said already, the Middle East; other Asia countries. And there is a new Chinese offer now to India, that

rather than being a rival in African investment, that given the

fact that Chinese has a big expertise in building infrastructure,

where India is really lagging behind, that they should join efforts, and India should bring in the kinds of things they can

do well, and China would provide the large-scale infrastructure

without which all of these investments don't function.

Now, for the United States, they could also be a part of that. And I think that, to look at the world in a

non-geopolitical way – I know that this is almost impossible for some people to imagine, because they are so trained that the world is a zero-sum game, that if China rises, the United States goes under – this is just not the case, the Chinese want to have for the situation. China has made many times the point that they do not want to replace the United States as an unipolar, dominant force, but they want to have a new type of relations among major powers. And that involves dramatically, the idea of joint economic projects in third countries, joint ventures, and re-define entirely how you go about it. If you look at it from a longer arc of history, it is not natural that people solve conflict with weapons or wars. This always what I call the infant diseases of mankind. Like little boys who kick each in the shins when they are four years old, or even seven years old. Eventually, you can become an adult, and you have cherish the creative mind of the other person and work together like Max Planck and Einstein; like Schiller and Humboldt; you can have a relationship to other countries where you address the creative potential of the other and that enriches in turn, your own potential. I think the future of humanity, which is after all the only species capable of creative reason, of making fundamental discoveries about universal principles of the physical universe, again and again, and that way develop more knowledge about our planet, the universe in which we are living, about the

principles
of science and technology, which we then apply in the
production
process which leads to an increase in productivity, which
leads
to an increase in living standards, an increase in longevity,
—
this is what we are! We are not animals. We are human beings,
who are the only species, at least known so far, in the
universe,
which can relate to their create power as their identity.
And if we take that approach, then, to have many nations,
and to have many cultures all based on their cultural
tradition,
all based on their sovereignty, they can work together to a
higher level of reason, and that is the {only} way how mankind
will survive! I think we are at a crossroads: If we decide to
stay with geopolitics, in this world, this will lead to World
War
III, for all we know, the extinction of our species. On the
other side, the New Paradigm is already working, 140 countries
are already cooperating, and I think we need a mass movement
of
people who say, mankind has reached a new era and we must
consciously form our future, our “shared community for the
future
of mankind,” as Xi Jinping always calls it.
I think we need a discussion on these.

SCHLANGER: I think you just made a compelling case for
people to give up sleepwalking, and to instead catch the New
Silk
Road Spirit. So, Helga, until next week, thank you, and thank
you for joining us.
And take up this challenge, those you watching this: Take
up the challenge to become active with the Schiller Institute.
Thank you, and see you next week.

POLITISK ORIENTERING den 17. maj 2018: Israels Netanyahu forsøger at skabe krig for at forhindre Trump i at gå fredsvejen. 1. del. Se også 2. del her.

Video 1. del:

Video 2. del:

Lyd:

**Briterne, der frygter
fremskridt i Asien,
rykker ud for at sprænge**

Mellemøsten i luften

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 15. maj, 2018 – Benjamin Netanyahus israelske regering har begået en utilgivelig massakre på palæstinensere i Gaza i løbet af det seneste døgn; og de har hermed øget faren for en ny og *generel* krig i Mellemøsten, som allerede er rykket alt for tæt på. Den fare, som dette udgør for verdensfreden, bør ikke undervurderes – og det samme gælder for den katastrofe, det kunne blive for den økonomiske genopbygning og ditto fremskridt, der potentielt står til rådighed for Mellemøsten og Afrika, på basis af Bælte & Vej Initiativet, der spreder sig i hele Eurasien.

Netanyahu er ikke den, der primært får tingene til at ske i denne optrapning, selv ikke med sin åbenlyse aggression mod Syrien og krigstrusler mod Iran og Libanon. Det er heller ikke præsident Trump, hvis hasarderede fejltagelse med hensyn til Jerusalem kom, mens han kæmpede for sit politiske liv imod en kampagne for hans afsættelse og retsforfølgelse.

Det er britisk efterretning og eliten i den britiske regering, der er de primære aktører i krigsfremstødet, og som af Trump kræver en krigskonfrontation med Rusland samtidig med, at de anstifter og optrapper kupforsøget imod ham.

Igen i dag belærte chefen for britisk efterretnings MI5, Andrew Parker, efterretningsrepræsentanter fra den Europæiske Union om nødvendigheden af at bekæmpe Rusland på enhver tænkelig måde. Siden marts måned har den britiske regering oppisket krigssvindelnumre og anti-russiske konfrontationer – Skripal-forgiftningsaffæren; det særdeles tvivlsomme »angreb med kemiske våben« i Douma, Syrien. Britiske aktiver i Israel og Saudi-Arabien har iscenesat deres egne svindelnumre imod Iran samtidig med at angribe Syrien og føre en etnisk udrensningsskrig mod Yemen.

Så rædselsslagne er britiske geopolitikere over udsigten til

et samarbejde omkring den Nye Silkevej mellem Kina, Rusland, Indien og Trumps USA, sammen med også Japan, Sydkorea og andre, at de med overlæg har promoveret krige imod det.

Lige nu kan truslen om generel krig i Mellemøsten kun vendes på basis af, at præsident Trump og præsident Putin mødes og samarbejder om det, som de begge ønsker. Disse to præsidenter kan stoppe denne nedstigning. Men faren kan i virkeligheden kun fjernes gennem en omfattende plan for økonomisk udvikling fra Afghanistan til Middelhavet og fra Kaukasus til Golfen, inkl. skabelse af afgørende ny infrastruktur og ved anvendelse af Kinas metoder til bekæmpelse af alvorlig fattigdom.

Dette vil være muligt gennem Bælte & Vej Initiativets nye paradigme, hvis de fire, store hovedmagter, vi tidligere nævnte, kan samarbejde om det, assisteret af de andre asiatiske nationer. Præcis et sådant niveau af – tilsyneladende umulige – gennembrud har vist sig mulige gennem fremskridtet mod fred og genopbygning på Koreahalvøen. Det er fremkommet ud fra viljen til at samarbejde mellem Trump, Kinas præsident Xi, Putin, Sydkoreas præsident Moon og Japans premierminister Abe. Denne mulighed, inkl. Indiens afgørende bidrag, må holdes frem som eksempel for Sydasien, Mellemøsten og Nordafrika.

For USA skal der mere til. Det britiske angreb på præsidentskabet er en krig imod samarbejde med Rusland og Kina; men det er ligeledes et angreb på lederskab som sådan. Det er et halvt århundrede siden, at USA havde et præsidentielt lederskab, der blot delvist trodsede britisk geopolitik; og dette lederskab blev myrdet. I løbet af dette halve århundrede har amerikanerne i stigende grad ladet Wall Street tage over fra præsidenter og styre kongresser; de har mistet fornemmelsen for produktiv beskæftigelse og er blevet kulturelle pessimister.

»Jeg synes om denne leder, eller den leder« eller, »Jeg synes ikke om alt det kaos og al den interne strid«, vil ikke løse

noget som helst. Amerikanske borgere må selv blive ledere og selvstændigt tænkende mennesker, som de engang brystede sig af. At tage deres økonomi og regering tilbage fra Wall Street er det formål, for hvilket LaRouche-bevægelsen forsyner dem med værktøjet.

Foto: Borgere i Gaza demonstrerer ved en barriere mellem Gaza og Israel 11. maj, 2018. (IDF Spokesperson Unit)

Helga Zepp-LaRouche opfordrer til diplomati for fred og udvikling i kølvandet på drab i Gaza

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 15. maj, 2018 – Dødstallet efter det voldsomme, israelske angreb 14. maj mod palæstinensiske demonstranter på Gazas grænse er nu oppe på 61 dræbte mennesker, med 2.700 sårede, heraf næsten halvdelen fra åben ild. Dette fandt sted samme dag som den ceremonielle åbning af den nye amerikanske ambassade, der blev flyttet fra Tel Aviv til Jerusalem, en handling, man vidste, var en provokation, i betragtning af områdets historie.

Konfliktlinjerne blev oprindeligt trukket op i blod i Sydvestasien af britiske, geopolitiske interventioner for et århundrede siden, for at skabe vilkårlige grænser og fortsættende stridigheder. I dag fortsætter de denne praksis, i betragtning af den Londoncentrerede opposition mod drivkraften for global udvikling, der er knyttet til

initiativet for den Nye Silkevej og potentialet for samarbejde mellem de »Fire Magter« – Kina, Rusland, Indien og USA, for at gøre en ende på imperiegeopolitik én gang for alle.

Helga Zepp-LaRouche, Schiller Instituttets præsident, opfordrede i dag til nødhandling i overensstemmelse med det Nye Paradigme for udenrigsrelationer, for fred og økonomisk udvikling. Hun understregede, at faren for en optrapning til generel krig er stor.

Under samtaler med medarbejdere sagde Zepp-LaRouche:

»Jeg vil blot sige, at denne situation i Mellemøsten er virkelig farlig. Jeg mener, vi absolut også må kræve, at der må komme en efterforskning af, hvad det var, der skete – for det, der synes at være sket, er, at der var disse demonstrationer. For det første, så var resultatet af at flytte den amerikanske ambassade til Jerusalem forudsigeligt, i betragtning af, at begge sider gør krav på Jerusalem, og det er nu desværre sket. Men, da der kom demonstrationer imod dette i Gaza, skød de israelske, væbnede styrker på folk og dræbte 60 og sårede over 2.000. Dette er en grusomhed.

Situationen er naturligvis superspændt og kunne fuldstændig eksplodere. Jeg mener, at dette er meget ulykkeligt. Dette er virkelig slemt, men fremgangsmåden må være den, at man får alle sider til at erkende, at dette kunne være lunt til en virkelig stor krig, hvis ikke den store krig. For, hvis dette fører til en optrapning mellem Israel, Hezbollah og Hamas, så kunne det hurtigt optrappe hele vejen.

Så dette er virkelig noget, der viser os, hvor tæt verden står ved afgrunden, eller Armageddon, for den sags skyld. Folk bør virkelig indse, at vi må have en ændring i den måde, hvorpå man fører politik, til et Nyt Paradigme, hvis verden skal reddes. Dette er virkelig en meget alvorlig situation, og vi bør gøre dette til en forstærket appel om, at vi må have en ændring af politikken, hvor de Fire Magter arbejder sammen om

alle disse spørgsmål, for så ville denne form for destabiliseringer ikke kunne forekomme.»

Schiller Instituttet holder Kulturaften i Dresden, Tyskland. Med Helga Zepp-LaRouche

**Schiller Instituttets
resolution for
Latinamerika opfordrer
nationer til at tilslutte
sig Bælte & Vej og afslutte
fattigdom**

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 14. maj, 2018 – Schiller Instituttet er begyndt at cirkulere en resolution i hele Latinamerika, der har til hensigt at fremme en bred debat om, hvorfor områdets nationer må tilslutte sig Silkevejsånden og arbejde sammen med

Kinas Bælte & Vej Initiativ. Resolutionen har titlen: »En appel til regeringerne, nationerne og folkeslagene i Latinamerika: Videnskaben om at afslutte fattigdom; Hvis Kina kan gøre det, hvorfor kan vi så ikke også?«

(Kan læses her på spansk)

Resolutionen bemærker, at 200 million af de 600 million indbyggere i Latinamerika og Caribien officielt klassificeres som fattige. »Fattigdom er ikke en naturlig tilstand for menneskeheden«, fremhæver erklæringen; den kan udryddes på én generation, som Kina har gjort. »Kina har reduceret antallet af fattige mennesker fra 875 million i 1981 til 30 million i 2018 – en reduktion på 97 %! – iflg. Verdensbankens statistik. Og Xi Jinpings regering er forpligtet over for fjernelse af fattigdom overhovedet, frem til 2020. Hvis Kina kan gøre det, hvorfor kan vi så ikke?«, spørger resolutionen.

Resolutionen diskuterer dernæst, hvordan Kina har opnået dette. »Som Kina har vist, kan fattigdom fjernes med en økonomisk plan, baseret på udryddelse af spekulation og fremme af videnskabelig innovation og introduktion af de mest avancerede teknologier«, såsom byggeri af et stort netværk af højhastigheds-jernbanelinjer over hele landet. »Kinas fremgangsmåde er i overensstemmelse med den systemiske udvikling af videnskaben om fysisk økonomi, som økonom Lyndon LaRouche hen over fem årtier har udviklet og udbredt.«

Kina udvider nu sin økonomiske succes over hele planeten gennem Bælte & Vej Initiativet, som de latinamerikanske nationer må tilslutte sig, erklærer resolutionen. Anmodningen slutter med en appel i tre punkter:

»Vi opfordrer vore regeringer til fuldt og helt at tilslutte sig Bælte & Vej Initiativet ... På denne måde vil vores ungdom, i stedet for fattigdom og narkotika, have en fremtid med store infrastrukturprojekter.

Vi opfordrer vore politiske partier, erhvervssammenslutninger,

fagforeninger og andre organisationer til at fremme en bred, national diskussion for at lære om BVI og videnskaben om fysisk økonomi, der ligger til grund for BVI, og til indtrængende at opfordre vore regeringer til at tilslutte sig. Vi vil ikke længere tolerere tomme taler om demokrati og korruption. Tiden er kommet til at gøre en ende på fattigdom – og gøre en ende på de regeringer, der tolererer den.

Vi opfordrer de økonomer, journalister og andre meningsdannere – der foretrækker at ty til ideologiske slogans og geopolitiske argumenter, der har til formål at afvise Kinas beviste succes uden overhovedet at gøre sig den ulejlighed, så meget som at diskutere det – til i det mindste at have så megen intellektuel ærlighed, at de offentligt diskuterer disse ideer – der, trods alt, involverer den menneskelige arts overlevelse – med repræsentanter for Schiller Instituttet, enten personligt eller gennem elektronisk opkobling til en international videokonference.«

Resolutionen er begyndt at cirkulere bredt i flere latinamerikanske lande, både gennem de sociale medier og direkte distribuering på politiske møder og stævner. For eksempel blev, under en march i forbindelse med en politisk kampagne i Colombia, 450 eksemplarer af resolutionen uddelt som flyveblad til deltagerne

'Aftaler' eller krige?

Om Trump går sammen om økonomi med Xi

og mødes med Putin, vil afgøre det

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 13. maj, 2018 – En japansk avis har rejst den mulighed, at præsident Donald Trumps afgørende topmøde den 12. juni med lederen af D.P.R.K., Kim Jong-un, måske får tilslutning fra Kinas præsident Xi Jinping. Denne rapport, med en unavngiven amerikansk embedsmand som kilde, er slet ikke blevet bekræftet. Men muligheden for, at lederne af de tre våbenhvilelande i Koreakrigen vil mødes, med det inkluderede mål at afslutte krigen med en traktat, er meget betydningsfuld for, at dette topmøde vil fremme fred og økonomisk fremskridt i verden.

Det er imidlertid vigtigere, at præsident Trump træffer beslutningen om at følge sin egen kampagne for Alexander Hamiltons »Amerikanske System« for at »gøre Amerika stort igen«, eller han i stedet følger det britiske system for store profitter fra handel og billig arbejdskraft, som hans parti og rådgivere påtvinger ham.

Hvorfor? Fordi en beslutning til fordel for det Amerikanske System betyder at gå ind i et samarbejde med Kinas fremragende Bælte & Vej Initiativ for store infrastrukturprojekter, som i sig selv er en kulmination af årtier, og atter årtier, af, at kineserne har lært og vedtaget Hamiltons økonomi med kinesiske karaktertræk. Og det vil gøre præsident Trumps Korea-»aftale« til en succes.

Præsident Moon Jae-in fra Sydkorea har allerede fremlagt en fredsplan til den nordkoreanske leder, der omfatter byggeri af ny infrastruktur for at integrere Koreahalvøen i Bælte & Vej Initiativet; så selv om den tredje leder, der er bragt på bane for topmødet den 12. juni i Singapore, skulle vise sig at være præsident Moon, så vil det afgørende spørgsmål fortsat være det samme.

Udenrigsminister Pompeos diskussion i dag på »Fox News Sunday« om forberedelsen til dette topmøde viste det store problem med indflydelsen, der kommer fra britisk imperieøkonomi. »Private amerikanske firmaer – og ikke amerikanske skatteborgerpenge – vil gå ind og hjælpe Nordkorea med at udvikle en økonomi for det 21. århundrede«, hvis landet opgiver sine programmer for atomvåben og ballistiske missiler, sagde Pompeo.

Disse selskabers visioner om profit vil støde samme med det integrerede projekt for atomafrustning og fred: At bringe Koreahalvøen ind i hele udviklingen med den Eurasiske Landbro, hele omfanget af ny konnektivitet fra Japan til Paris og Madrid.

Schiller Instituttets præsident Helga Zepp-LaRouche sagde til Kinas Weixing Satellite Communications, at, hvis Trump vælger den britiske model, vil det føre til en dybtgående, økonomisk krise for USA og verden. »Under sådanne omstændigheder vil hele det transatlantiske system synke ned i kaos. Hvis han går ... med Bælte & Vej Initiativet, vil dette nye, økonomiske fællesskab i Eurasien få den direkte modsatte virkning.«

Præsident Trump har fortsat med at have denne impuls, selv med konfliktspørgsmålet om handel med Kina. Den 13. maj tweetede han, »præsident Xi fra Kina og jeg arbejder sammen for at give et massivt, kinesiske telefonselskab, ZTE, en måde, hvorpå det kan komme tilbage i erhvervslivet, hurtigt. For mange jobs i Kina tabt. Handelsministeriet instrueret i at få det gjort!«

Bælte & Vej kan entydigt få Trumps Korea-»aftale« til at fungere.

Præsidenten ønsker tydeligvis en omfattende Mellempøsten-»aftale« med Iran og mener, at intensivt økonomisk pres – bedre kaldet finanskrigsførelse – vil skaffe aftalen. Men han har retning direkte mod den britiske, geopolitiske fælde. Britiske efterretningsaktiver i Israel og Saudi-Arabien ønsker ikke en ny Iran-»aftale«, men en Iran-krig, endnu et trin på

nedstigningen i krigene fra Irak til Libyen, Syrien og Yemen, der fører til krigshelvedet med atommagten Rusland.

Trump kan intet opnå i Sydvestasien uden topmødet med Putin, som han tydeligvis ønsker, og som britisk og amerikansk efterretning er rasende for at forhindre ham i at få.

Igen, fra Weixing Satellite Communications 13. april, »I et interview med nærværende nyhedstjeneste, sagde Helga Zepp-LaRouche, præsident for Schiller Instituttet, at, jo hurtigere, præsident Trump og den russiske præsident kan mødes, desto hurtigere kan de gøre en ende på faren for en konflikt. 'På præcis det tidspunkt, hvor præsident Trump gav udtryk for dette ønske, blev mange operationer i USA sat i gang for at forhindre dette i at finde sted'.«

Helga Zepp-LaRouches forudsigelse her involverer ligeledes, at Trumps USA selv vender tilbage til det »Amerikanske Økonomiske System« i Hamiltons tradition, som det specificeres i de »fire nye love«, som hendes mand, Lyndon LaRouche, foreslog i 2014. Disse forholdsregler begynder med genindførelsen af Glass/Steagall-loven, der vil bryde de største Wall Street-banker og Londoncentrerede banker op, uden hvilket det finanskarak, hun advarede om, kan bortfeje alle »aftaler«.

Foto: Præsident Trumps rejse til Tyskland og G20-topmødet. Præsident Donald J. Trump og præsident Xi Jinping. 8. juli, 2017. (Official White House Photo by Shealah Craighead)

Gennembruddene i Korea

bevise princippet! Den Nye Silkevej er vejen til fred. LaRouchePAC Internationale Webcast, 11. maj, 2018.

Engelsk udskrift:

Korea Breakthroughs Are Proof of Principle!
The New Silk Road Is The Path to Peace.

LaRouche PAC International Webcast

MATTHEW OGDEN: Good afternoon. It's May 11, 2018. My name is Matthew Ogden and you're joining us for our weekly strategic broadcast from larouchepac.com.

As you can see on the screen here, the title of our show is "Korea Breakthroughs Are Proof of Principle; New Silk Road Is the

Path to Peace". As many of our viewers might remember, in her New Year's address on January 1st of this year, Helga Zepp-LaRouche declared that 2018 must be the year that geopolitics is overcome; and that a New Paradigm of win-win relations and win-win cooperation is fully embraced.

In a discussion this afternoon, Helga Zepp-LaRouche called attention to those remarks that she delivered on New Year's Day;

and stated that we're seeing real breakthroughs on this front globally. We're seeing the forging of a new relationship between

China and India with the meetings that have occurred between President Modi and President Xi Jinping, as we've reported previously. We're seeing a realignment underway between China and Japan, which has been one of the leading geopolitical

rivalries in the Asia-Pacific region. And of course, we're seeing the historic breakthroughs now occurring in Korea, which

clearly China has also played a major role in advancing and in securing.

All of these developments should show us that the possibility for achieving the challenge that Helga Zepp-LaRouche

posed in that New Year's message, is very real; and is very real

within this year – 2018. No matter how incredulous you may have

been when she first delivered those remarks, look at how far we've come. If we continue to keep our eye on the big picture strategically, and to understand what is at stake, we'll be able

to keep a laser focus on the strategy which she laid out in those

remarks. Remember, we have two paradigms that are now acting on

this planet which cannot continue to coexist. Under the old paradigm of geopolitics in which major powers compete with one another for dominance and hegemony, war is the inevitable consequence, as we've experienced time and time again. Not only

in the 20th Century, but really going all the way back to ancient

Greece; that is the so-called Thucydides trap. But under the New

Paradigm, we recognize that in the age of thermonuclear weapons,

war is no longer a viable option if we wish mankind to survive.

Rather, we must embrace the idea of a community of common destiny, as President Xi Jinping of China has characterized it;

in which sovereign nations, with mutual respect, cooperate

with

each other under the framework of win-win relations and common benefit in confronting and overcoming the common challenges of mankind. That latter New Paradigm is now proving itself, with the great potential that we see for a breakthrough on the Korean

Peninsula serving as an excellent case in point. As you'll see,

the Silk Road, the One Belt, One Road Initiative, is proving to

be the key which is unlocking all of these breakthroughs that we're now watching develop in front of our eyes.

Now, I'm sure that many Americans have been following this news, obviously; including the dramatic developments over just the past several days with Secretary Pompeo's secret trip to meet

with Kim Jong-un. That's what's depicted in this picture [Fig.

1] that we have on the screen here. He negotiated the release of

the final American hostages who were being held by North Korea.

You probably saw the images the previous week, as we have here on

the screen [Fig. 2] of the historic summit between President Kim

Jong-un and President Moon Jae-in of South Korea, which took place in the Demilitarized Zone. However, what many Americans might not be knowledgeable of, is the content of these meetings.

You saw the photographs, but what was discussed? And how did this possibility for peace on the Korean Peninsula be advanced as

far as it has been? The key moment in that meeting between President Moon and President Kim Jong-un took place when President Moon of South Korea handed Kim Jong-un a thumb drive.

This thumb drive contained detailed plans for new rail routes, new power development projects, and other infrastructure projects

for North Korea. What President Moon called a “new economic map

for the Korean Peninsula.” So, here’s how that plan was described in an article that was published on the website citylab.com under the title “A Genius Plan to Modernize North Korea’s Trains”. As you can see here [Fig. 3], the subtitle was

“In Korean Peace Talks, all eyes are on Denuclearization. But a

plan to link the nations’ railways could be far more transformative.” The article discusses in detail what is contained in this new economic map for the Korean Peninsula. It

says:

“At the center of Moon’s New Economic Map of the Korean Peninsula is a railway modernization plan that’s much more than

an infrastructure project. It’s a key piece in the geopolitical

puzzle to connect North Korea to the world – and entice the regime to keep its promises. When it comes to the Korean Peninsula, North Korea’s denuclearization always gets top billing. But the agreement to re-link the railways between the two countries has the potential to be even more transformative than the promise of a nuclear-free Korean Peninsula.

“As a first step, the rail project outlined in the Panmunjom Declaration would connect the railway from Seoul to Pyongyang, passing through Kaeseong in the North. Ultimately, it

would end in Shinuiju, North Korea, linking up at the border with

Dandong, China. But the ultimate plan drawn up by the South Korean government is much more ambitious. It envisions an additional high-speed line from Seoul to Shinuiju via

Pyongyang,
along with the modernization of six other railways traversing North Korea. Currently the rails there are so decrepit that trains can only average 50 kilometers an hour, and the rails would break under heavy loads. Retrofitting would allow speeds of
100 kilometers an hour and enable heavier loads.

“Most significantly, the plan would connect North Korea to China and Russia, allowing North Korea to ultimately become a crucial connector between East Asia and Europe. The Shinuiju-Dandong crossing is the hub of North Korea’s commerce with China; adding a high-speed train line would go a long way toward facilitating even more trade, in which South Korea could
also participate. The renovated Manpo Line, connecting to Jian,
China, would open another logistical connection between North Korea and China in addition to Dandong-Shinuiju. The improved Pyongra Line would connect to Russias Trans-Siberian Railroad, allowing overland freight transport from South Korea all the way
to Europe, while giving Russia a piece of the action for North Korea’s economic development.

“Taken together, these new connections raise the stakes that China and Russia have in North Korea – and that would incentivize them to ensure that North Korea remains stable and keeps the trains running. North Korea would share in these benefits, as its cities on these trade routes likely develop along the way. The Pyongra Line, for example, would connect South
Koreas two largest cities (Seoul and Busan) to North Koreas third
largest city (Chongjin) and its industrial zone with the highest
GDP per capita (Rajin).

“A version of the inter-Korean railway plan has existed for a while; the two Koreas even had a test run for the rail link

in

May 2007, having two trains cross the demilitarized zone on two spots.

“[T]here are reasons to be cautiously optimistic this time around. For starters, both South and North Korea specifically want this project. It's also consistent with what their neighboring countries want as well. China is raring to begin the

One Belt One Road Initiative, a massive infrastructure project that would enhance the physical connection between Europe and Asia. The inter-Korean railway could serve as the eastern extension, creating the overland connection between South Korea

and the prosperous Chinese cities across the Yellow Sea from the

Korean Peninsula, including Beijing and Shanghai.

“A stable inter-Korean railway may also motivate Japan to finally begin working on the Korea-Japan undersea tunnel, a project that had been under discussion since the 1980s. If built,

it would be the longest undersea tunnel in the world, more than

four times the length of the Channel Tunnel between France and the United Kingdom. According to the South Korean government, the inter-Korean railway plan caught the attention of both the Asian Infrastructure Investment Bank and the Asian Development Bank – respectively led by China and Japan, with many other member nations – indicating international support for the inter-Korean railway plan. As wild as it sounds, we may see within our lifetime a Trans-Eurasian train ride from Tokyo to London – with a pit stop in Pyongyang for its delicious cold noodles.”

That's by S. Nathan Park, who is an attorney at Georgetown University here in the Washington DC area.

But that vision, including the delicious cold North Korean noodles – I've never had them, but I'd be interested – that

vision of a rail connection all the way from the tip of South Korea all the way to Western Europe; that {is} the vision of the

Eurasian Land-Bridge or the New Silk Road as it's been characterized going all the way back to the time it was first proposed by the LaRouche Movement and Helga Zepp-LaRouche in the early 1980s as a way of connecting the entire Eurasian continent.

Then the tunnel between Japan and South Korea would be an added

element of that connectivity. So that was what contained in the

thumb drive that Kim Jong-un received from Moon Jae-in. That is

what a new economic map for the Korean Peninsula entails.

That

article was published last Friday; a week ago.

But what I'd like you to do, is to compare that development program with all the rail routes and otherwise what was described

in that article, compare that – what was put appropriately into

the context of connecting North and South Korea to China's Belt

and Road Initiative. Take what was just described there, and compare it to the contents of this video which you're about to see some excerpts from. This video, which was produced by LaRouche PAC, titled "Peace Through Development: The Path to a Unified Korea." This video was published on May 11, 2016 – exactly two years ago today. So, listen to the excerpts of this

video that you're about to see, which again, was published two years ago today – May 11, 2016. Compare it to what is being now

proposed in this New Economic Map for the Korean Peninsula as it's being called by the President of South Korea, which is

the

key to unlocking the potential for peace on the Korean Peninsula.

So, here's that video:

NARRATOR: The need for a policy of peace through development and win-win cooperation is evident across the globe,

but it is particularly stark in certain parts of the world.

The

Koreas are a case in point. The situation in this area represents both tremendous potential and imminent danger. The 71-year division of the Koreas has resulted in a present-day serious war danger, with an isolated North Korea suffering from

retarded economic growth, engaging in a series of suspected nuclear weapons and missiles tests; believing nuclear weapons were the only means of avoiding the fate of Iraq and Libya, who

submitted to Western demands to end their nuclear weapons programs, and were promptly bombed, their leaders killed, and the

nations left in ruins.

Is there a potential for cooperation there? Is there a pathway forward to the unification of Korea which could rather serve as an example for the rest of the world, showing that we can achieve peace through development? As recently as a couple

of years ago, significant steps were being made in a positive, and they remain a basis for hope. Around this time, there was intense deliberation around the first-ever cooperative

Russia-North Korea-South Korea industrial project. The Rason Special Economic Zone, centered around the North Korean port of

Rajin. The development of this port, situated near the mouth of

the Tumen River (itself the boundary of Russia, China, and

North

Korea), involved the participation of the major South Korean steel producer Pasco, the state rail company Korail, and the shipping company Hyundai Marine; bringing Russian coal through an

upgraded North Korean port to the South Korean steel factory. Two main transport corridors would feed into the port region from

China, Russia, and Mongolia, connect to the trans-Siberian railroad at Chita[ph] with the most crucial connections extending through Korea.

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE

: What we need to have is a mass movement for development.

NARRATOR: It is high past time for a New Paradigm. To move forward with a peace through development outlook and to shun the policies of those who would prefer war.

ZEPP-LAROUCHE

: Because China has embarked in the policy of the New Silk Road, the Maritime Silk Road, the One Belt, One Road policy, a huge infrastructure project to connect all the countries of Eurasia through infrastructure development and high technology investments.

NARRATOR: China's stated foreign policy of win-win cooperation, an active program of creating a New Silk Road development corridor, is a path forward which both North and South Korea can contribute to, and benefit from.

PRESIDENT XI JINPING [translated]: China is firmly committed to the path of peaceful development. It is committed to growing friendship and cooperative relations with all countries in the world.

NARRATOR: The historic identity of Korea has its roots in the Silk Road. The former capital, Gyeongju, being a major port city on the ancient Silk Road. Just this past August, the inaugural conference of the Silk Road network of universities was held there. At the conference, Schiller Institute founder Helga Zepp-LaRouche spoke of precisely the need for peace through development and win-win cooperation; while Mike Billington of {EIR} reiterated the need to move forward with projects like the Rason port development project, elaborated in more depth in the recent report, "The Silk Road Becomes the World Land-Bridge". It can be jumping-off point for the bonanza which has been spoken of in achieving a peaceful unification of North and South. In addition to this keystone port development project, which can serve as an economic boon to all countries on the Tumen River, crucial rail links should be completed which can allow for the fulfillment of the vision of a Eurasian Land-Bridge extending from Pusan to Rotterdam. Rail originating in South Korea can connect directly to the Chinese New Silk Road Belt through rebuilding connection across the border. And connections in the North can also be directly fed into the trans-Siberian railroad;

integrating roughly 75 million Koreans into a framework of great economic potential.

South Korea has begun to pave the way for the future of energy – thermonuclear fusion – with their Kaestar superconducting tokomak device in Daejong. With this frontier potential and an expanded skilled labor force, Korea could demonstrate in an even more dramatic way the possibilities for development when the false debate over limited resources is done

away with. In fact, Korea could help to show mankind what his future could look like. Korea can be a mirror to the world of what a true human culture can look like. This culture has long

placed great value on the performance of beautiful Classical music [music in background]. This is not only the performance of

pieces of the great European composers, but Korea has made its own contribution to a world Classical culture through a genre of

Korean art songs. A particularly beautiful one – “Longing for Kum-kang Mountain”. Rather than being an example of how quickly

the world could devolve into all-out war, a tragedy which our human species cannot and should not enable, a peace through development approach leading to the unification of Korea, could

serve as an example to the world of how quickly our human species

can turn on a dime, rejecting the foolish ways of the past, to usher in a New Paradigm of cooperation and economic development.

OGDEN: So, again, that was some excerpts from a video which was published exactly two years ago today, on May 11, 2016, under

the title “Peace Through Development: The Path to a Unified

Korea.” The link to the full video is available in the description below.

But indeed, the concluding words of that video, which was published two years ago, have now proven to be very prescient indeed. “[A] peace through development approach leading to the unification of Korea, could serve as an example to the world of how quickly our human species can turn on a dime, rejecting the foolish ways of the past, to usher in a New Paradigm of cooperation and economic development.” Those were the words that concluded that video. And that’s exactly what we’re seeing happening today. The example has been set on the Korean Peninsula. It now serves as a model for what could happen around the world, and how quickly things can change. But think about it, two years ago, while we still had President Barack Obama as President of the United States, and the threat of nuclear war was hanging over our heads like a Sword of Damocles. Two years ago, did anyone imagine that in two years’ time we would be experiencing the kind of extraordinary breakthroughs that we’re now watching development between those two Presidents? The Presidents of North and South Korea. Did anybody imagine that in two years’ time, you could be seeing the cessation of hostilities on the Korean Peninsula? The freeing of all the hostages? The beginnings of talks to denuclearize the entire peninsula? And these warm gestures of friendship between these two Presidents;

moving in the direction of some form of unification of the economic capabilities of that peninsula? This new economic map for the Korean Peninsula? Did anybody imagine two years ago that that's what we would be seeing at this point in time? Honestly, I produced that video; and even I, at that time, was somewhat incredulous as to how fast this could actually come into being. If someone had asked me at that time, "Do you really think that this stalemate, which has been in a state of frozen conflict for twice the amount of time that you have been alive — over 70 years. Do you honestly believe that two years from now, we'll be watching the Presidents of these two countries shaking hands and entering into these historic partnerships?" If somebody had travelled back in time at that point from the present, and shown me this tweet from President Donald Trump, I would have told them that "No, c'mon, you're pulling my leg!"; including the fact that Donald Trump would be President of the United States. I also would have thought that was a joke. But in all seriousness, who would have thought that we'd be reading a tweet [Fig. 4] like this: "Donald J Trump. The highly anticipated meeting between Kim Jong-un and myself will take place in Singapore on June 12. We will both try to make it a very, very special moment for world peace." But that tweet really happened, and this meeting is really set. A few short hours after greeting the three remaining US hostages who had been freed from North Korea at Andrews Air Force Base, once the plane

carrying them and Secretary Mike Pompeo touched down on US soil,
President Trump issued that tweet. That meeting is set to go forward; a very historic moment. A meeting between the President of North Korea and the President of the United States. But the lesson for all of us should be, we are living in truly historic times, and the possibility for real, dramatic, positive change in the direction of world peace, to use President Trump's own words, the potential for change in that direction is very real. As the video which we just watched made clear, as well as the article which I cited in the beginning of this broadcast, the reason that that possibility exists, the key to unlocking this entire puzzle, is because of China's New Silk Road – the Belt and Road Initiative. When President Xi Jinping announced the Belt and Road Initiative in 2013, literally everything changed. This created the basis for rejecting geopolitics and the legacy of conflict and war which has made these types of breakthroughs as we're now witnessing on the Korean Peninsula impossible up to that point. And President Xi Jinping's announcement of this One Belt, One Road initiative created the framework instead for this kind of win-win cooperation and economic development between countries. As President Xi Jinping has called it, "win-win cooperation, a common destiny for mankind"; which provides not only the incentives for ending conflict, negating a state of war, but also creates the basis for a real and durable peace. That basis, as a positive form of peace, not just a negation of a state of war, is this kind of potential for mutually beneficial progress for all

nations involved. The point is, ideas can truly change the course of history. The vision which was contained in that video, which was produced by LaRouche PAC two years ago, including the excerpts which were included from a speech that Helga Zepp-LaRouche gave in which she called for a mass movement of economic development; that vision is now becoming real. These development projects, which are now serving as the vehicle for peace in Korea, are projects which the LaRouche movement has been championing for decades. If you look at this process which is underway in Korea, together with all of the other development projects which are now moving forward elsewhere – such as the Transaqua program in Africa to refill Lake Chad; the Kra Canal project in Thailand; and countless other projects. The list goes on and on. All of these projects which have been promoted by the LaRouche movement for decades, all in the context of the idea of a New Silk Road as the pathway to peace, these are now moving forward because of that history-changing initiative which President Xi Jinping took in 2013, when he launched the One Belt, One Road initiative.

The critical point is that this breakthrough in Korea was made possible only means of the cooperation which took place between China, the United States, and Russia; this great powers cooperation. As Kim Jong-un's second visit to China in less than two months which occurred this week proves, President Xi Jinping is playing {the} key role in guiding this peace process forward; as President Trump himself has recognized and has repeatedly

called public attention to. In tweets, speeches, public statements, and in press conferences, he has given President Xi

Jinping the credit.

But as we celebrate the anniversary again this week of Victory in Europe Day, or Victory Day as it's called, it was celebrated May 8 in Europe, and May 9 in Russia. This is the legacy of the Allies of World War II; the Allies under Franklin

Roosevelt's guidance, which defeated Hitler and defeated fascism.

But [who], in Franklin Roosevelt's vision, would go forward to form a peacetime coalition of great powers which would bring development to the entire world. That vision was derailed at the

time that Franklin Roosevelt died and Truman and Churchill instead guided the world into a Cold War which lasted for the remainder of the 20th Century. But now, finally, we have the opportunity to revive that vision and the breakthrough on the Korean Peninsula should herald the beginning of a New Paradigm of

this kind of great powers' relationship which can unlock these challenges which the world has faced for generations.

So, Helga Zepp-LaRouche addressed this during her webcast yesterday. She emphasized that this breakthrough is due to the

tireless effort and vision which has been put forward over decades for these kinds of development projects which the LaRouche movement has been involved in intimately for connecting

the Korean Peninsula into this more broad New Silk Road, Eurasian

Land-Bridge idea. So, listen to what Helga Zepp-LaRouche had to

say yesterday:

HELGA ZEPP-LAROCHE

: when Kim Jong-un and Moon

Jae-in met, President Moon gave his North Korean counterpart a thumb drive, and on that, there was a whole development plan for

North Korea. And this involves three economic corridors; railway

lines connecting all the way from South Korea through North Korea

to China, and to the Trans-Siberian Railway. And there is now a

big discussion, in Moscow in particular, about the Tumen River

project. This is fantastic, because this is an economic development plan which involves Russia, China and North Korea,

and it would make this region, which is now very little developed, into one of the big transport hubs for all of Asia.

If this program goes ahead well, and the fact that Pompeo was just again in North Korea, preparing the summit between Trump

and Kim, means, as of now, it's still on a very good track – that if these development projects would be implemented, you could have a complete economic miracle between the two Koreas, and this would really make the way for a peaceful unification, and integration into the Belt and Road Initiative, and transform

this area of the world from a crisis spot, into one of the most

prosperous regions.

Now, for me, this development shows that if there is a good will on the side of the political leaders, you can take any crisis – {any} crisis – and solve it exactly the way this was solved, through back-channel discussions involving Russia, China,

and the United States. And you know, it is an example that with

good will, you can turn the worst crisis into its opposite and make it a hopeful perspective. So, one would really hope that this lesson is being learned, and that same method is being

applied to the Middle East right now, using the fact that the New Silk Road is already the most dynamic development on the planet, that all the people can be brought to see the benefit of cooperating and joining into this development.

OGDEN: So again, the Korea breakthroughs are a model. This is a proof of principle, and this is a lesson that has to be learned and applied across the world, as Helga LaRouche said; including, emphatically, in the Middle East. So, while these extremely positive developments are taking place in Asia, not only the developments on the Korean Peninsula, but also as we mentioned, the realignment of China and Japan, the opening up of new relations between China and India. While all of these very positive developments in the direction of this New Paradigm are taking place, on the other hand, a very dangerous situation is developing on the other side of the world in the Middle East. Specifically in Syria and Iran, as well as in Yemen. The strikes that have been launched just over the past few days by Israel into Syria, are clearly intended to inflame this region and to inflame a conflict with Iran; and are part of an array of other provocations. If you put this together with President Trump's announcement that he is abandoning the Iran nuclear deal, we have a very dangerous situation developing in that region. Helga Zepp-LaRouche warned that she is quite worried that this decision, under the influence of certain advisors in the Trump administration, to abandon the Iran nuclear deal, could have a negative impact on the Korea process. She said later in that same webcast that the solution in Iran, the solution in Syria, the solution in the entirety of the Middle East, is to apply

the

Silk Road model in exactly the same way that it's being applied

in the Korean Peninsula. Emphatically with the kind of great powers cooperation between Russia, China, and the United States

that we've seen taking place in Korea. So, listen to what Helga

Zepp-LaRouche had to say further in that webcast from yesterday:

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE

: [A]ny peace plan, or any security architecture has to take into account the security interests of all participating countries.

Obviously, given the condition of the entire Middle East, after the destructive wars in Iraq, Syria, Yemen, Afghanistan, it

is very clear that the only thing which will really solve the problems of this region would be what I have said many times before: You need the extension of the New Silk Road into the entire region, from Afghanistan to the Mediterranean, from the Caucasus to the Persian Gulf, and have a development plan for all

of these countries as an integrated one. And this could only work if Russia, China, India, Iran, Egypt, the United States, and

hopefully European countries, are all agreeing that this region

must be economically built up. And the only way you can have peace in a region, and really get rid of terrorism, is if you have a perspective for the hope for the future.

So I would really hope that if President Trump says he has an alternative plan, a more comprehensive plan, that it should absolutely include joint ventures of the United States, Russia,

China, India in the development of this region. A beginning was made between President Xi Jinping and India's Prime Minister Modi when they met in Wuhan a week ago, where India and China said they would start joint development projects in Afghanistan, building a railroad from Afghanistan, Tajikistan, Kyrgyzstan, Iran, China, and that would be the beginning of many other projects to follow. You need a comprehensive development plan for it to work. And so, I would really hope that President Trump would think in that direction, because I think that's the only way it could be stabilized. And I can only say, there must be a complete change in the attitude, because geopolitics is the stuff of which two world wars were made, and due to the fact that we have today May 9, we should really make a solemn commitment, "Never Again!" We cannot have world wars again! And this kind of destabilization has the potential of spinning out of control: If there would be a military conflict between Israel and Iran, which is not to be excluded at this point, it could spin out of control and lead to the extinction of civilization, so this is not stuff to be played with.

OGDEN: So again, as Helga LaRouche declared on January 1st of this year, 2018 must be the year that we end geopolitics. We're seeing a lot of very positive indications in that direction, but we're also seeing the danger that the reaction against that is leading to a desperation which would be the impetus towards re-igniting these conflict zones and using them to start a world war-type of situation. So, we have to have a

very clear and urgent sense of necessity when we look at what Helga Zepp-LaRouche called for in her New Year's address January

1st of this year.

We should be encouraged by the breakthroughs that are taking place. We should apply these lessons, and we should recognize that the Silk Road – this vision of a new common destiny for mankind and peace through economic development – this has been the key which has allowed us to unlock this seemingly intractable

situation on the Korean Peninsula. It could be applied elsewhere. President Trump clearly understands that to a certain

extent; praising the role that President Xi Jinping has played and working very closely together with President Xi in the situation in Korea. But this must be extended to his view of the

entire world, and understanding that this great powers relationship is necessary to solve these conflicts worldwide.

So, this is the reason why we've now reprinted an updated form of this mass circulation pamphlet which LaRouche PAC is now

circulating. This is "LaRouche's Four Laws: The LaRouche 2018 Campaign to Win the Future; A New Paradigm for Mankind".

Obviously, the three pledges which comprise the LaRouche PAC 2018

campaign program are:

1. Stop this kind of Russia-gate coup attempt to undermine the Trump Presidency. [Which is not personally against Trump, but

this is a strategy to undermine the possibility for the great powers relationship that Trump is inclined towards between the United States and Russia, targetted specifically; but also between the United States and China.]

2. President Trump must reciprocate China's offer to join the New Silk Road; and that the United States must fully come onboard with the Belt and Road Initiative on this idea of

securing the common aims of mankind.

3. The United States must fully adopt Lyndon LaRouche's Four Laws for Economic Recovery, which are the pathway towards the United States fully embracing this New Paradigm of great project

development which is now beginning to sweep the globe [and must

be applied not just in these regions around the world, but also

must be brought right here to the United States for the economic

development vision which Lyndon LaRouche has championed here in

the United States for decades].

This would a return to the American System of Alexander

Hamilton with the kind of national bank credit creation

capabilities that our Federal government was endowed with under

our Constitution, and the use of that to have a crash program for

the development of fusion power. It would be done in conjunction

with Korea, as was mentioned in that video. And also the

aggressive re-assertion of an expanded manned exploration of space.

So, that's what's contained in this LaRouche PAC 2018

Campaign to Win the Future. As I said, it's now been printed;

it's in circulation. You can get your hands either on a print copy, or it's accessible at the link that's in the description to

this video – lpac.co/yt2018. We encourage you; get your hands

on that copy. Visit the action center, and become an active

volunteer with the LaRouche PAC 2018 Campaign to Win the Future.

There are a lot of positive developments which should give

you optimism. That ideas truly can change the course of history.

But you should also feel a real sense of urgency that this is truly a race against time to secure the New Paradigm for the benefit of the entire globe.

Thank you very much for joining us today. Please stay tuned to larouchepac.com, as I'm sure dramatic developments are yet to come.

**Med de voksende spændinger,
hold jer det store billede
for øje.**

**Helga Zepp-LaRouche i
Schiller**

**Institut Nyt Paradigme
Webcast**

9. maj, 2018. pdf; dansk

I betragtning af tilstanden i hele Mellemøsten, efter de destruktive krige i Irak, Syrien, Yemen, Afghanistan, er det selvfølgelig meget klart, at det eneste, der virkelig vil løse problemerne i dette område, ville være det, jeg har sagt så mange gange før: Der er brug for en forlængelse af den Nye Silkevej ind i hele dette område, fra Afghanistan til Middelhavet, fra Kaukasus til den Persiske Golf, og for at have en udviklingsplan for alle disse lande som en integreret plan. Dette ville kun kunne fungere, hvis Rusland, Kina,

Indien, Iran, Egypten, USA og forhåbentlig europæiske lande, alle aftaler, at dette område må opbygges økonomisk. Den eneste måde, hvorpå man kan få fred i det område og virkelig blive terrorismen kvit, er, hvis man har et perspektiv for et håb om fremtiden.

Download (PDF, Unknown)

Hvis Roosevelt havde levet

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 10. maj, 2018 – Midt i de seneste ugers begivenheder, der er uden fortilfælde, minder gårsdagens fejring af Sejrsdagen i Moskva, der fejrer afslutningen af Anden Verdenskrig i Europa, og især præsident Putins dybt bevægende hyldest til dem, der rundhåndet gav alt for at besejre nazisterne, os om vores amerikanske præsident Franklin Roosevelt, der, udslidt, var bukket under blot få uger før nazismens endelige nederlag. Roosevelts død på dette tidspunkt frastjal denne generation det løfte om verden efter krigen, og Amerika efter krigen, han så længe havde planlagt og kæmpet for.

Statsmanden Lyndon LaRouches tale i New Delhi fra 3. dec., 2008, »Tiden for et nyt system er kommet«, hvor han første gang foreslog »Firemagtsaftalen«, genskaber Franklin Roosevelts tankegang fra dengang, gennem en prisme af

LaRouches mere avancerede koncepter frem til nutiden.

Lyndon LaRouche sagde, »Vi må have en koalition af kræfter på planeten, der er stærk nok, og som i tilstrækkelig grad forstår sin gensidige egeninteresse, til at genindsætte den form for kontrol, som USA forsøgte at promovere under Franklin Roosevelt. Mod slutningen af krigen sagde Roosevelt, i forbindelse med Kina og i forbindelse med Sovjetunionen, samt andre lande, man behøver ikke synes om det andet land; man behøver ikke synes om dets regering; man behøver ikke synes om dets politik. Det, man må gøre, er at etablere et internationalt kontrolsystem, under hvilket man ikke har ting, der løber løbsk, og som udgør trusler. Man må simpelt hen have traktatlige organisationer eller lignede ting, der svarer til traktatlige organisationer, hvor folk har en sådan interesse i at bevare traktat-organisationen, at de vil regulere sig selv og deres eget land. Og man kan få samarbejde omkring dette.«

Det var sådan, Roosevelt havde til hensigt at sammensætte en verden efter krigen med Stalins Rusland, Kina og Indien. Men hans efterfølger, Harry Truman, tilbød at mødes med Stalin, så snart denne kunne komme til USA – hvilket Truman udmærket vidste, Stalin aldrig ville gøre. Modellen for efterkrigstidens Tyskland skulle være det, vi har set i Østrig – neutralitet befriet for militær besættelse. Et forenet Tyskland ville have blomstret – men det skulle ikke være således. Koreakrigen, der har truet os fra dens begyndelse i 1950 og frem til nutiden, gav Stalin en mulighed for at slå tilbage mod angloamerikansk militært pres i Europa, gennem en ubevogtet bagdør i Asien. I tilbageblik fulgte der en anden form for mareridt efter Anden Verdenskrig, fordi Roosevelt døde, og hans planer og idealer blev begravet sammen med ham af britisk imperialisme.

Inden for sin Firemagtsaftale foreslog LaRouche at bruge den amerikanske forfatnings enestående træk til at forankre udstedelsen af massive mængder af kreditskabelse til produktiv investering, med vægt på infrastruktur. Der findes ingen måde,

hvorpå man kan gå i gang med at transformere de millioner af ufaglærte arbejdere i Indien, for eksempel, til faglært arbejdskraft, uden en massiv skabelse af infrastruktur.

Tilbage i 1945 mislykkedes det for os at skabe den verden efter krigen, som vore helte forventede og fortjente. I stedet for dette lysende løfte, tilbragte vi et helt liv under truslen om atomkrig – en trussel, der stadig består den dag i dag. Men i dag er der åbnet op for et nyt alternativ, takket være Lyndon LaRouches kreative vision, centreret omkring Bælte & Vej Initiativet, lanceret af Kinas præsident Xi Jinping, og i hvilket flere end 100 lande nu deltager.

I dag blev Mahatir Mohamad, i en alder af 92, genindsat som premierminister for Malaysia 15 år efter, han sidst forlod denne post, og han er således den ældste, valgte leder i verden. Mahatir Mohamad er velkendt på internationalt plan for sin åbenlyse enighed med Lyndon LaRouche, for eksempel, med hensyn til George Soros. Han tiltræder embedet som en verdensleder, der er muslim, og som også frem for alt andet er en stærk tilhænger af Bælte & Vej Initiativet, som han i dag sagde, han havde detaljeret foreslået i et personligt brev til præsident Xi Jinping.

Mahatir Mohamads lederskab og støtte til Bælte & Vej Initiativet er ikke alene vigtigt for Sydøstasien, men også ekstremt vigtigt for Mellemøsten, der nu er i færd med at blive angrebet af en farlig stedfortræderkrig. Bælte & Vej er det tvingende nødvendige svar for Sydvestasien – som Lyndon LaRouche i mere end 40 år har foreslået, og som Franklin Roosevelt ville være enig i.

Se Lyndon LaRouche: »**The Time Has Come for a New System**«, *EIR*, 19. dec., 2008.

Foto: Den russiske præsident Putin under militærparaden, der markerer 73-året for Sejr i den Store Patriotiske Krig 1941-45. Et minuts stilhed. 9. maj, 2018. (en.kremlin.ru)

Leibniz havde ret: Korea beviser, man kan ændre det værste til det bedste, hvis den politiske vilje er til stede

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 8. maj, 2018 – Alt imens massemedierne sørgede for, at den vestlige verdens opmærksomhed i dag var naglet til præsident Trumps tale, hvor han annoncerede, at USA trak sig ud af Iranaftalen – baseret på endnu en række britiskfabrikerede Store Løgne – så foregik dagens store begivenheder, der faktisk ændrer verdenshistorien, alle sammen på den asiatiske front.

* Den kinesiske præsident Xi Jinping holdt et todages overraskelsesmøde den 7.-8. maj med den nordkoreanske leder Kim Jong-un i den kinesiske havneby Dalian, hvor de diskuterede vejen frem på Koreahalvøen. Kim erklærede: »Så længe, relevante parter opgiver deres fjendtlige politikker og fjerner sikkerhedstrusler mod D.P.R.K., er der ingen grund til, at D.P.R.K. skal være en atomvåbenstat, og atomvåbenafrustning kan realiseres ... Jeg håber at opbygge gensidig tillid med USA gennem dialog.«

* Den sydkoreanske præsident Moon Jae-ins forslag til nordkoreanerne om et »Nyt økonomisk kort over Koreahalvøen« skal angiveligt involvere byggeriet af »tre bælter«: en jernbane fra Sydkorea gennem Nordkorea og videre ind i Rusland; en jernbane, der løber i øst-vestlig retning langs det, der nu er den demilitariserede zone, eller DMZ; og endnu

en nord-sydgående jernbane, som forlænges ind i Kina – og herfra kobler op til hele Bælte & Vej. Asiatiske medier promoverer ligeledes det historiske projekt for Tumen-floden som en del af pakken for politikken for Koreahalvøen.

* Den kinesiske premierminister Li Keqiang ankom i dag til Japan til det første besøg af den kinesiske premierminister i otte år, til bilaterale diskussioner og til dernæst at deltage i det 7. ledermøde for Japan-R.O.K. (Republikken Korea; Sydkorea) den 9. maj. Li udtrykte sine forventninger om, at »de tre lande vil cementere tillid og søge samarbejde og bidrage til regional udvikling, fremgang og fred«.

Asien er stedet, hvor fremtiden i dag bygges, erklærede Helga Zepp-LaRouche. Det er den eneste del af verden, der vokser økonomisk, så meget, at det er lokomotivet, der trækker de øst- og centraleuropæiske økonomier og enhver anden nation, der er begavet nok til at tilslutte sig Bælte & Vej Initiativet. Der finder ingen reel økonomisk vækst sted i Europa eller USA, og der kan heller ikke komme nogen, før også de opgiver Det britiske Imperiums finanssystem, tilslutter sig Bælte & Vej og følger Lyndon LaRouches politik, der er nedfældet i de Fire Love.

»Det, der er ved at vokse frem, er et nyt sæt relationer mellem nationer«, understregede Zepp-LaRouche, »nye relationer, baseret på win-win-samarbejde med færdsel i begge retninger«. Disse nye relationer er allerede i kraft, og de udgør kernen i det Nye Paradigme, som på lang sigt alene kan sikre den menneskelige races overlevelse.

De projekter, der nu diskuteres og bygges – Tumen-floden, Koreas »tre bælter«, Transaqua i Afrika – er alle sammen *vore* projekter og politikker, som i årtier er blevet specificeret og promoveret af Lyndon LaRouche og hans medarbejdere. Og nu bevæger verden sig i denne retning; *vores* retning.

Det er tåbeligt og selvmorderisk at lade som om, dette

fremvoksende Nye Paradigme ikke eksisterer, erklærede Zepp-LaRouche. De, der, ligesom Tysklands Angela Merkel, der belærer nationerne i Øst- og Centraleuropa om, at de ikke bør tilslutte sig Bælte & Vej, fordi EU har en bedre, mere »gennemskuelig«, mindre »korrupt« »Europæisk-asiatisk Plan for Konnektivitet«, gør sig selv til grin over hele planeten. Selv enhver struds med respekt for sig selv er begyndt at hive hovedet op af sandet, for ikke at blive sammenlignet med Merkel, Macron og May.

Koreahalvøen, understregede Zepp-LaRouche, er et bevis på princippet om, at de værste situationer i verden kan transformeres til de bedste, så længe den politiske vilje er til stede – og i Korea har denne vilje vist sig at være der, kommende fra Kina, Rusland og USA.

Med det Nye Paradigme, der nu er ved at blive til virkelighed, og med Det britiske Imperiums finanssystem, der er ved at kollapse, er Imperiet nu i en situation, hvor de ikke kan vinde; men de kunne stadig lykkes med at få hele verden til at omkomme i flammehavet sammen med dem. De står tilbage med muligheden for at fremprovokere krige – som det er deres plan med den Iran-politik, som Trump i dag formulerede – og forlade sig på befolkningens godtroenhed med f.eks. at tolerere Det britiske Imperiums statskupforsøg mod Trump-administrationen.

Det er vores opgave, ifølge Zepp-LaRouche, at »uddanne befolkningen i det strategiske billede, hele billedet«, så de indser den eksistentielle fare, som britisk geopolitik frembyder, og således, at de kan handle på grundlaget for, at dette er den bedste, og muligvis sidste, mulighed for at redde civilisationen.

Foto: Præsident Moon Jae-in (højre) og den nordkoreanske leder Kim Jong-un havde en kort samtale forud for deres topmøde, i lobbyen i Peace House, stedet for det Interkoreanske Topmøde 2018, i Punmunjeom den 27. april. (2018 Inter-Korean Summit Press Corps)

Videnskab: Menneskets forhold til universet.

6. lektion i LaRouchePAC's undervisnings-serie 2018, »Hvad er det Nye Paradigme«, 14. april, 2018

Det emne, vi vil tale om i dag, er stort. Vi vil fokusere vores opmærksomhed på nogle specifikke aspekter af, hvad videnskab er; hvad det fortæller os om os selv og vores plads i universet. Jeg vil gerne lægge ud med en iagttagelse, som er, at menneskets intellekt er i en vis forbindelse med universet som helhed. En af de fundamentale grundsætninger hos dem, der skabte renæssancen, såsom Nicolaus Cusanus, og hos videnskabsfolk som Johannes Kepler og Leonardo da Vinci, er, at mennesket er skabt i Guds billede.

Download (PDF, Unknown)

Det, der står på spil, bag tirsdagens præsidentielle annoncering

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 7. maj. 2018 – Det af britisk efterretning anstiftede forsøg på at »kuppe« præsident Donald Trump gennem afsættelse eller lyssky retsforfølgelse, udkæmpes nu med mere og mere kraft. Lyndon LaRouches bevægelse har bidraget bravt til denne kamp gennem sit »Mueller-dossier«.

De britiske geopolitikeres fremstød for at tvinge Trump ud af præsidentskabet er nu blevet en total krigskonfrontation med Rusland og Kina, som bruger iscenesatte begivenheder til at forsøge at tvinge Trump ind i krige, hvis de ikke kan tvinge ham ud. De »udbryder-allierede« Israel og Saudi-Arabien bedriver krigsmageri imod Iran, Syrien og Libanon og begår folkemord imod Yemen; men de virkelige mål er Rusland og Kina.

Husk, at *formålet* for kampen mod det britiske anti-Trump-kup var et nyt paradigme for internationalt samarbejde mellem store nationer, for fred og en genopretning af den økonomiske proces efter det ødelæggende London/Wall Street-krak i 2007-08 og Bush- og Obama-administrationernes konstante krige. Trumps planer for et sådant samarbejde og en sådan genopbygning, og imod sådanne krige, var grunden til, at amerikanerne kæmpede for at bevare ham i embedet. Det var grunden til, at de britiske geopolitikere og eliterne fra »Gamle Europa« erklærede ham krig.

For faktisk at besejre det britiske kup mod præsidenten kræver det, at vi besejrer den britiske krigskonfrontation mod Rusland og opnår dette nye paradigme. Og amerikanere kan ikke søge fred og økonomisk genoplivning samtidig med, at de tolererer forsøget på at tvinge præsident Trump ud – for i stedet at bringe en krigspræsident ind, som vi ikke behøver

nævne her, og en Kongres, der forsøger at vedtage sanktioner, der fører til krig.

I det årti, der er gået, siden dette forfærdelige, globale krak og økonomiske kollaps i 2008, har Kina båret faklen for økonomisk vækst og for kredit til ny og mere produktiv vækst, næsten alene. I Bælte & Vej Initiativet, der har eksisteret siden 2013, og som Rusland har tilsluttet sig, og med voksende støtte fra Japan og samarbejde fra Indien, ligger det nye paradigme, som menneskeheden behøver.

Det boomer: Handel mellem Kina og de 71 nationer, der nu på signifikant vis deltager i Bælte & Vej, røg op på \$1,45 billion i 2017 med en stigning på mere end 10 % om året. Det er mere end handlen mellem USA og Kina og handlen mellem Vesteuropa og Kina lagt sammen, og med en næsten ligelig balance mellem eksport og import til og fra Kina. Disse lande bygger i fællesskab nye, højteknologisk infrastruktur, der øger deres befolkningers produktivitet.

I modsætning hertil klagede tyske industriselskaber i denne uge bittert til deres regering over, at de seneste, anti-russiske sanktioner gav dem store tab og sagde, »Behovet for en politisk strategi for deeskalering er åbenlyst klart. Der er politikere nok, der forårsager konflikter eller vælger en konfronterende fremgangsmåde. Vi har brug for folk, der bygger broer, folk, der har modet til at indsætte deres politiske kapital til fordel for gensidig forståelse.« En sjælden indsigt for Tyskland.

Ved ikke at vedtage et nyt paradigme, står USA over for endnu et finanskarak; og det spilder den stærke relation, præsident Trump har med Kinas præsident Xi Jinping og tydeligvis ønsker at have med Ruslands præsident Putin.

Produktivitet er det, den amerikanske, såkaldte »genrejsning« mangler; produktivitet og kreativitet i betydningen af Lyndon LaRouches »Fjerde Lov«, som kræver et »forceret program for

fusionskraft og rumforskning«. LaRouchePAC's brochure, *Amerikas fremtid på den Nye Silkevej*^[1], er nu ude i en tredje, masse-cirkuleret udgave til dette formål.

[1] Se også LaRouchePAC Monday Update: A Strategy for Victory: LaRouchePAC's 2018 Campaign to Win the Future, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3geTipW7Wkw>

Foto: Præsident Donald J. Trump går om bord på Marine One på Joint Base Andrews. 5. maj, 2018. (Official White House Photo by Andrea Hanks)