

Hvorfor Vesten er ved at tabe i konkurrencen med Kina

Af Alexander Hartmann, den 10. marts 2019

Under den afsluttende diskussion på Schillerinstituttets seminar i Berlin den 27. februar fremhævede Helga Zepp-LaRouche Vestens kulturelle forfald og Kinas helt modsatrettede kulturpolitik som én af hovedårsagerne til Kinas overlegenhed over for Vesten i den meget diskuterede konkurrence mellem de to systemer.

Anledningen til hendes redegørelse var det spørgsmål, om Vesten rent faktisk indtager en konfrontatorisk holdning over for Kina, eller om det ikke snarere er Kina, der konfronterer Vesten. I sit svar beskrev hun først udviklingen inden for de sidste år. Kina har siden Deng Xiaopings tid fulgt reglen om at "holde bolden flad og ikke fremhæve sin egen rolle, men først og fremmest tage sig af sin egen udvikling." Men det har ændret sig, først og fremmest med Xi Jinping. "Xi Jinping har sagt, at vi må ændre vor rolle som verdensmagt til gavn for hele menneskeheden." Så har Kina også sat sit program "Made in China 2025" meget stærkt i forgrunden om at "blive globalt førende på verdensmarkedet inden for ti nøgleteknologier – computere, robotter, kunstig intelligens, el-biler og forskellige andre områder".

Selv om det nye silkevejsinitiativ er det største infrastrukturprogram i menneskehedens historie, så har Vesten i hele fire år næsten intet fortalt om Den nye Silkevej. "Fire års tavshed, så har man endelig bemærket noget: Lige et øjeblik! Det går for hurtigt, det der; og alle de lande, der tilslutter sig!" Og så begyndte Vestens angreb. "Det var virkeligt internationalt koordineret, pludseligt kom disse angreb fra CSIS 1) og fra europæiske tænketanke."

Det har udløst visse diskussioner i Kina, om det har været klogt at fremhæve 2025-programmets rolle så kraftigt og derved provokere og udsætte sig for alle disse angreb. "Så vidt jeg ved, har Kina trukket det en smule tilbage. Men på den anden side: kendsgerningerne taler for sig selv. Med landingen på Månens bagside er Kina i færd med at Kina overtaget føringen inden for udforskningen af Verdensrummet. Og her er der ikke længere nogle, der kan sige, at "Kina har stjålet teknologien i Vesten", for her er Kina blevet den førende. Og det samme gælder for kernefusion: reaktoren EAST-projektet, har opnået den højeste temperatur over det længste tidsrum."

Hun tilbageviste forsøgene på at "sværte Kina til" som for eksempel med

påstanden om, at Kina forsøger at lokke alle de lande, det samarbejder med, i en gældsfælde. "Det stemmer ikke! I Afrika er der for eksempel mange lande, der har modtaget foræringer, altså ikke blot kreditter, men hvor Kina simpelthen har finansieret tingene, for eksempel i Ætiopien og andre lande." Naturligvis har Kina indflydelse. "Men det er min dybeste overbevisning, at Kina mener alt det, det siger, altså at der ikke er nogle hemmelige bagtanker, men at det er den konfucianske tankegang, der påvirker politikken. En harmonisk udvikling af alle stater, retten til at bibeholde sit eget system, ikke-indblanding, alt det er principper, som Kina følger."

FORLADT DE BEDSTE TRADITIONER

Først og fremmest er Vesten konfronteret med, at vi har vendt os fra vore egne bedste traditioner, tænk på (Friedrich) List, på Humboldt, Schiller, vor klassiske periode, hvor vore humanistiske tænkere spillede en større rolle; disse værdier har vi forladt. Og vi har erstattet dem med liberale eller neoliberale værdier – alt er tilladt, der er ingen faste principper mere. Næsten hver dag opstår der et nyt "køn", der er mænd og kvinder og indimellem er der efterhånden utallige underafdelinger. Det er muligt, men hvorfor fremhæver man det så voldsomt? Ungdomskulturen har jeg allerede været inde på."

Kina har i modsætning hertil forbudt hip-hop-musik med det argument, at "hip-hop har et degenereret kvindesyn, at det forkynder voldsanvendelse, at det promoverer ting, der er skadelige for ånden." Det samme gør sig gældende for banale underholdningsudsendelser som for eksempel de quiz-shows, der er så udbredte i Vesten.

Over for denne underholdningskultur i Vesten fremhævede hun Friedrich Schillers idé om den æstetiske opdragelse. Den æstetiske opdragelse skal forædle mennesket. "Schiller spørger: 'Hvor skal forandringen komme fra, når staten er korrump og masserne svækkede?' Dette gælder jo også i høj grad for os. Man kan ikke vente, at forandringen skal komme fra staten, og heller ikke fra masserne, for de er sløve, degenererede, slappe. Det er jo egentligt et spejlbillede af vort eget nuværende samfund, med andre ydre omstændigheder, men grundliggende det samme."

Schiller kommer så med det svar, at forandringen kun kan komme fra den store klassiske kunst, fordi den forædler mennesket. "Skønheden henvender sig ikke kun til fornuften, men også til sanserne. For skønheden taler til sanserne; men da skønheden hos Schiller er et fornuftbegreb, løfter den mennesket op på et højere plan gennem leg. Og Schiller siger, sådan som Cai Yunpei 2) i øvrigt også gør det, at det kommer an på at forædle mennesket i dets fritid."

Når mennesket arbejder og er nødt til at tjene til livets opretholdelse, har det ikke tid til overs, for så er det beskæftiget med nødvendige ting og har ikke tid til at give sig af med skønne ting. "Men hvis det i fritiden – i stedet for at spille den og ødsle den bort – giver sig af med skønne kunstværker såsom musik, maleri og digtning og fordyber sig i dem, så føler det sig ædelt i samme øjeblik og forbedres så at sige af skønheden."

Schiller har forsvaret denne idé om, at menneskets forædling finder sted gennem den æstetiske opdragelse "og det er netop også en grundliggende tanke i Kina". Xi Jinping har fremhævet vigtigheden af den æstetiske opdragelse "for alle samfundets lag, og jeg tror, at det er dette, der mangler her i Vesten. Her er der ingen, der taler om, at menneskene skal forbedre sig, tværtimod, alt er tilladt, og medierne beretter kun om de tåbeligste begivenheder, de største perversionser og de voldeligste hændelser."

I Fjernsynet er der ingen rigtige film længere, men kun "krimier, og krimierne er alle fyldt med meningsløs vold, med perversionser og pornografi. Der er en undersøgelse, der viser, at børn allerede fra seks- syvårsalderen er i stand til at finde frem til alt pornografisk indhold på internettet og det i den mest kvalmende form. Det har en uhyggeligt uheldig indvirkning på udviklingen af intelligensen, fordi det forrår mennesket ... Samfundet her i Vesten gør ikke noget for at forhindre det."

FØLGERNE AF DET KULTURELLE FORFALD

Hun kom derefter ind på følgerne af dette kulturelle forfald. Industrien beklager sig over, at 25 % af alle de 15-årige er uegnede til at bestride et arbejde, "fordi de er umotiverede, fordi de ikke gider noget, fordi de er på stoffer eller af andre grunde. Amerika har som industrination nu haft to år med faldende levealder. Tænk lige over det engang! Hvis der er nogen målestok for et samfunds økonomiske succes, så er det gennemsnitslevealderen." Og den er faldende i Amerika "på grund af alkohol og stofmisbrug; man har en opiat-plage af receptpligtige medikamenter, der har gjort folk afhængige. Og så går de ud på gaden, fordi opiatet eller medikamenterne er billigere der. Altså med andre ord: Vi har et kæmpeproblem her i Vesten."

Hun kom så ind på et nyligt fremsat program fra BDI (Bundesverband der Deutschen Industrie) om konkurrencen mellem Kinas og Vestens systemer. "Det er min overbevisning, at hvis vi ikke ændrer os her i Vesten, så behøver vi overhovedet ikke at hidse os op over Kina. For Kina vil udvikle sig videre, og Vesten vil frembringe den ene generation efter den anden, der er fuldstændigt ødelagt og fordærvet. Vesten er en døende civilisation."

De, der ikke kan se det, lever åbenbart "i en puppe... Når de nu og da har noget med børn og unge at gøre, så bliver de skræmte! De er aggressive, selv pigerne. Ja, unge piger er det virkeligt – hvis De ser, hvordan de unge omgås indbyrdes ved stranden om sommeren, så kan man kun sige: Det løber mig koldt ned ad ryggen! Og jeg mener virkeligt, at Vesten absolut må slå ind på et andet spor."

Hun mindede derefter om, at Tyskland tidligere havde et helt andet uddannelsessystem. "Jeg var så heldig stadig at have haft adskillige lærere, der repræsenterede Humboldts tanker, tysklærere, men også andre. Og det var en helt anden fremgangsmåde. Den moralske opdragelse stod i centrum." Men så kom OECD's uddannelsesreform i 1970, der smed Humboldt og "de sidste 2500 års dannelse" ud af pensummet, "altså Platon, Homer, Schiller, alt det forsvandt, og i stedet for det kom der praktiske ting – hvordan analyserer man annoncer i medierne og andet af den slags".

Kina gør derimod meget for at genoprette sin 5000 år gamle kultur. "De nævnte Dunhuang. Jeg har været så heldig at have været i Dunhuang og har set grotterne. Alt dette bliver ikke blot restaureret, men også fotograferet elektronisk, så at flest mulige mennesker kan studere dem. Alle andre kulturskatte restaureres med kærlig hånd og gøres tilgængelige for befolkningen. Og i grunden kan man sige, at Kina egentlig gør alt for at bringe sin befolkning ind på et godt spor."

Hun sagde til sidst: "Jeg tror virkeligt, at Vesten er nødt til at vende tilbage til sin egen højkultur, til den italienske renaissance i Italien, til den tyske klassik i Tyskland, til École Polytechnique i Frankrig. Enhver nation bør virkeligt forbinde sig med højdepunkterne i sin egen historie, og det må vi så bruge til at udvikle os videre ud fra. Og der bør finde en udveksling sted mellem alle kulturer, så at vi kan lære af hinanden og bringe os alle videre.

Enten gør vi sådan, eller også bliver Vesten trængt ud på historiens overdrev.

1.CSIS: Amerikansk udenrigspolitisk tænketank.

2.Den store kinesiske pædagog Cai Yuanpei (1868-1940) var undervisningsminister under Sun Yatsen i Kinas provisoriske republik.

Schiller Instituttets Konference på Præsidentens Dag – panel I, II & III

Schiller Instituttet afholdt den første amerikanske nationale konference i mere end femten år i weekenden på Præsidentens Dag, hvilket var en enorm succes i henseende til kvaliteten af præsentationerne og deltagelsen af tilhængere fra hele verden der deltog på konferencen. Konferencen, der nu præsenteres i sin helhed nedenfor, giver et sandfærdigt og optimistisk syn på mulighederne for menneskeheden som helhed for at overvinde den krise, som verden står overfor, mens det tidligere regerende, nu døende Britiske Imperium, kæmper for sin overlevelse mod den nye verdensorden, som tager fat i visionen fra Lyndon og Helga Zepp-LaRouche.

Panel I

Lyndon

LaRouche taler:

Et talent, der blev brugt godt

Jacques

Cheminade,

Præsident for Solidarité & Progrès: Lyndon LaRouches kommende verden

John

Gong,

Professor i økonomi ved 'University of International Business and

Economics', Beijing: Kinesiske investeringer og amerikansk infrastruktur under nye sino-amerikanske relationer

H.E.

Ambassadør Vassily A. Nebenzia,
Ambassadør and Permanent Repræsentant for den Russiske Føderation
ved de Forenede Nationer, præsenteret af rådgiver
Theodore Strzhizhovskiy,
den Russiske Føderations mission ved FN: Prospekter for øst-vest
samarbejde: Den Russiske Føderations Synspunkt (transkript)

William
Binney,
tidligere teknisk direktør, NSA

Jason
Ross,
Schiller Instituttet, medforfatter af "Udvidelse af den Nye
Silkevej til Vestasien og Afrika": Det presserende behov for et nyt
paradigme i Afrika

Dennis
Small,
EIR's redaktør for Latinamerika: Retfærdighed i Verden – Hvorfor
Donald Trump må rense Lyndon LaRouche nu

Panel II

Video

af 'Den æstetiske uddannelse af mennesket for skønheden af sindet og sjælen' – Panel II

Schiller

Instituttets kombinerede kor:

Benjamin

Lylloff, arrangement: "Mo Li Hua" ("Jasmin Blomst")

Benjamin

Lylloff, dirigent

H.T.

Burleigh,

arrangement: "Dyb flod" ("Deep River")

William

L. Dawson,

arr: "Hver gang ånden kommer over mig" ("Ev'ry Time I Feel the Spirit")

Diane Sare, dirigent

Megan

Beets,

LaRouchePAC Videnskabelige Forskningsteam, "Kunstnerisk og moralsk skønhed" ("Artistic and Moral Beauty")

Bruce

Director,

kasserer, Schiller Instituttet i USA:

"Om LaRouches begreb om

betydningen af kunst for videnskaben, og videnskab for kunsten"

Diane

Sare,

administrerende direktør for Schiller Instituttets kor i New York
City: "Kor princippet"

Johannes

Brahms:

"Dem dunkeln Schoß der Heil'gen Erde"

(tekst fra Schillers

"Sangen om Klokken" ("Song of the Bell")

Schiller

Instituttets kor

John Sigerson, dirigent

Johann

Sebastian Bach:

Brandenburg Concerto No. 5 in D-dur, BWV 1050

I. Allegro

Schiller

Instituttets Orkester

John Sigerson, dirigent

Solister: Gregor

Kitzis, violin; Laura Thompson, fløjte; My-Hoa Steger, klaver

Ludwig

van Beethoven:

Choral Fantasia, Op. 80

Schiller Instituttets Orkester, Kor, og

Solister

John Sigerson, dirigent

My-Hoa Steger, klaver

Spørsmål

& svar session

Panel III

Kesha

Rogers,

LaRouchePAC Politiske Komité, tidligere kandidat for den amerikanske Kongres – Rummets grænseområder: Opfyldelsen af menneskehedens skæbne som mennesket i universet

Thomas

Wysmuller,

Grundlæggende medlem af 'Det rette klima stof' ("The Right Climate Stuff"): Hvad NASA har gjort, og hvor NASA er på vej hen

Larry

Bell,

Grundlægger, Sasakawa Internationalt Center for Rumarkitektur, 'College of Engineering', Universitetet i Houston: Hvad der gør mennesker enestående

Benjamin

Deniston,

LaRouchePAC Videnskabelige Forskningsteam: LaRouches Strategiske Forsvar af Jorden

Hal

BH Cooper, Jr. PhD PE: Infrastrukturelle
behov for jernbane-, energi- og vandsystemer til at fremme den
fremtidige økonomiske udvikling af Afrika

Ny LaRouchePAC foredragsrække: Koncepterne bag Lyndon LaRouches internationale Nye Bretton Woods- kreditsystem

Muligheden for, at LaRouches koncepter for, hvordan samarbejdet mellem nationer bør udfoldes, bliver gjort til virkelighed, er større end nogensinde. Samtidig har disse koncepter aldrig været mere nødvendige end nu. De kan blive til virkelighed gennem det fremspirende samarbejde mellem USA, Rusland, Kina, Indien og andre ledende økonomiske magter.

Foredragstitlerne er følgende:

1. Det menneskelige sinds kreative ånd reflekterer universets underliggende kreative princip.
 2. Nøglen til at forstå økonomi er videnskab og ikke matematik.
 3. Eksistensen af nationalstater er en nødvendighed.
 4. Hvad er kreativitet nøjagtigt, som er den sande kilde til økonomisk vækst?
 5. Friedrich Schiller, frihedens digter.
 6. Vladimir Vernadsky, Biosphæren og Noosphæren.
-
- 1.
 - 2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

```
<iframe width="560" height="315" src="https://www.youtube.com/embed/cyGJstd52-4"
frameborder="0" allow="accelerometer; autoplay; encrypted-media; gyroscope;
picture-in-picture" allowfullscreen></iframe>
```

[Befolkningens genvundne kampgejst er Londons værste fjende: Optrap imod det Britiske Imperium](#)

Leder fra LaRouchePAC den 17. oktober (EIRNS) – “Krigen for at besejre det Britiske Imperium og skabe et klassisk nyt paradigme er tydeligvis overkommelig, så længe vi holder mobiliseringen i højt gear i den nærmeste periode”, understregede Helga Zepp-LaRouche i dag i en diskussion med medlemmer. Hun betonedede to nylige udviklinger, der understreger dette potentiale.

For det første leverede den tidligere amerikanske statsadvokat for D.C. Joe diGenova en ødelæggende bredside mod briterne for at sammensvære sig med ex-CIA-direktøren John Brennan og FBI i et kriminelt kupforsøg mod Trumps præsidentskab. “Britisk MI6 gennemførte ulovlig elektronisk overvågning af amerikanske statsborgere efter anmodning fra FBI og Brennan Derfor er briterne ved at blive vanvittige ... Det puster virkelig til ilden. Det åbner op for et enormt strafansvar for amerikanske efterretningstjenestefolk.” DiGenova understregede også, at Trump nu er “en anden præsident. Han har nu fuld kontrol over præsidentskabet”, men at det fortsat er afgørende at sikre det den 6. november.

For det andet skrider Bælte og Vej-Initiativet hastigt frem i de fleste områder

på planeten. I dag blev et aftalemorandum om Transaqua-projektet underskrevet i Rom af den italienske regering og Lake Chad Basin Commission, et epokegørende stort infrastrukturprojekt, der vil ændre hele det afrikanske kontinent, og som LaRouche-bevægelsen har støttet i årtier.

“Tag det som et eksempel på hvordan vores ideer, som vi har presset på for i 40-50 år, og som var i vores rapporter om Verdenslandbroen, der går tilbage til 1991, kan ændre verden og gøre den til et bedre sted”, understregede Helga Zepp-LaRouche.

Hun fortsatte: “Det er klart, at det gamle paradigme er ude, den gamle geopolitiske, unipolære verden fungerer ikke længere. Vi er vidne til en planetarisk proces, præcis som Lyndon LaRouche sagde, med Brexit-afstemningen, valget af Trump, den nye italienske regering osv.

“Nu må vi sørge for, at Trump-administrationen tager den næste, nært forestående, afgørende strid. “Vi må absolut bevæge os i retning af den Fire Magts-aftale, der er specificeret af Lyndon LaRouche, for hvis verden går i den retning, som kræves af IPCC, ville det være folkedrab, da de hævder, at jordens bæreevne kun er 1 milliard mennesker.

“Hvis du tænker på potentialet for Verdenslandbroen, har man brug for 50-100 gange mere energi i næste generation, og det kan naturligvis kun ske med den fulde udvikling af sikker fission og et storstilet program for fusionsenergi. I mellemtiden har vi brug for moderne kulkraftværker, som mange lande i verden er afhængige af. Den næste økonomiske platform vil blive defineret af nye fysiske principper, defineret af et forceret program og samarbejdet mellem USA, Rusland, Kina, Indien og andre lande for at udvikle disse fælles mål for menneskeheden.”

Zepp-LaRouche konkluderede: “Det finansielle sammenbruds Damoklessværd hænger over verden. De næste tre uger til midtvejsvalget i USA vil være af afgørende betydning. Vi kunne få en nedsmeltning udløst af bevidste handlinger; vi kunne have et utilsigtet sammenbrud – der er så mange miner i det finansielle system. Men hvis vi fokuserer på at gøre det af med det Britiske Imperium, og på den anden side den absolutte ånd fra den Nye Silkevej der breder sig, kan vi vinde.

“Dette er helt klart et ”punctum saliens” i historien, for mellem nederlaget til det Britiske Imperium og det nye paradigme er disse sjældne øjeblikke i historien, hvor man faktisk kan se, hvordan en epoke bliver erstattet af en anden. Vi har de objektive forhold for en verdensomspændende revolution eller en verdensomspændende ny æra af civilisation. Så det giver mig en meget optimistisk følelse, og jeg tror, at ud af denne optimisme burde vi virkelig lade gigantiske

kræfter vokse.”

Prometheus og Epimetheus: Tom Gillesbergs bidrag til et festskrift for Helga Zepp-LaRouche

Contribution to the Festschrift for Helga Zepp-LaRouche from Tom Gillesberg, president of the Schiller Institute in Denmark, August 5, 2018

Dear Helga,

Reaching the age of seventy is not a bad thing, but a good thing! We, who have the pleasure of knowing you, have seen how you have taken more, and more, responsibility for future humanity, for every year passing. You are setting your distinct, very positive, and inspiring mark on the destiny of mankind. How much poorer mankind would be without you! You are walking in the footsteps of not only Lyndon LaRouche, but also, his great mentor, Prometheus. For that, I want to pass on to you the following story, which is much truer than all the fake news in the newspapers of today.

Epimetheus visits Prometheus

It is rumored that deep down in the archives of British Museum, among many others treasures of mankind that the British Empire has chosen to keep secret from most of humanity, are the recordings of the legendary visit of the god Epimetheus to his brother Prometheus, while Prometheus was enduring his torment.

Scene: On the orders of Zeus, Prometheus has been chained to a rock, and stands in the burning sun, while his liver is being eaten by an eagle.

Prometheus: Who is there? Who dares to come here to visit me, when he knows that many watchful eyes will report back to Zeus that someone visited he, who should be isolated and scorned for his revolt against injustice? But, is it not you my brother Epimetheus? That I would never have guessed. I would never have expected you to visit me, in my miserable circumstances, to cheer me up. Dear brother,

how are you?

Epimetheus: Thank you brother, I am quite well. I do not have your powers of foresight. I am not able to foresee the future, yet I would have been able to tell you, that going up against Zeus was a bad idea, which could only get you into trouble. Why would someone as smart and bright as you, do anything that stupid? You knew that the Gods of Olympus didn't want mankind to multiply and prosper. Why then, did you give fire, and the power of insight and truth-seeking to man? Why give such powers to mere mortals? Why risk your personal, physical well-being for that?

Prometheus: My dear brother, I am not sure if you came on your own accord, or just to scorn me, and earn the favor of some of the so-called immortals. But still, I will let you know. It might make you reflect, and might be a much needed, different tune, for those other ears that are, for sure, listening as we speak. Yes, you are right. I knew very well what wrath would hit me, if I crossed Zeus, and all the despicable traditions he represents. I saw with my mind's eye the torment he would bring to bear on me, if I went against the will of the old gods, and brought these outlawed powers to man. I knew that I would call upon myself all the misfortunes that Zeus was capable of creating.

But I was also haunted by the vision of what mankind would be like, if it did not have access to the gift of fire, and all the other gifts of the mind, which I so generously bestowed upon it. I saw endless rows of gray, chained and enslaved humans, with no spark of creativity in their eyes. I saw men, woman, and children that were so without hope, that it broke my heart. I resolved in my heart, that no matter what torment I would have to endure, I would never allow that to pass. I saw a much different future for mankind, something much more akin to my own spirit.

Epimetheus: Prometheus, now you frighten me. How can you speak such words? Don't you know what anger such speech will provoke in the powers that be? You belong to the gods! You could have their favor! Why throw it all away for these mere mortal men?

Prometheus: My dear brother, your eyes are so eagerly tied to the courtship of Olympus, that you do not see the truly magnificent heaven that lies ahead of us. I have also seen what can come of mankind, when bestowed with my gift of fire and wisdom. My mind's eye has seen how man can not only expand across the face of the Earth, spreading nations and civilizations everywhere, but, also, how the nations in the future will work peacefully together, to make it possible to go from one end of the world, to the other. How nations will then work

together to leave planet Earth, and cause both living processes, and cognition to spread from Earth out into nearby space, making mankind a true space civilization. My mind's eye has seen how, what you see as despicable, primitive man, can become the prized jewel of all creation.

The old, so-called eternal gods are but a passing phase in the greater creation to come. They know that, and that's why they hate me so much! But all their ill-intended fury cannot stop what will come to pass! Their days are numbered, but the days and numbers of man will continue to grow beyond their wildest imagination. Let them bestow their fury on me. Let them torment me. They only exhibit their own impotence in face of the higher power of universal creativity!

Epimetheus: My dear brother, you truly scare me! I think the time has come for me to leave the burning sun, and your burning passion as well. If you are right (which you, until now, have always been), it both scares, and intrigues me. I will think of what you have spoken, and see if it comes to pass. If you then are proven right, I will learn from you, and record your story. Until then, I will be in a much cooler, and more pleasant location, while awaiting those great changes you say are to come. I hope you don't mind that I keep different company until your friends will have gained more favorable circumstances?

Prometheus: Dear Epimetheus, you are my brother, and I love you as such. But you can only look back, and learn from the past. I look forward, and let the future determine what I should do in the present. Thus we walk much different paths. But we will meet again, and despite you not having deserved it, I think the future will bring even much more pleasant circumstances for you.

You are not a Sun that can be a light of its own. You are but a Moon, which can reflect the light of others. I prefer being a Sun, to being a Moon, and that is why I am so feared. But I will also be rewarded with a great many thanks from future generations. Farewell, my dear brother. I do not envy your present, pleasant, meaningless life. I prefer the thorned and scorned path I have chosen for myself, because I know what wonders will come of it.

Bemærkninger af Dennis Speed til

Schiller Instituttets mindekoncert med instituttets kor den 11. september i St. Antonius af Padua Kirke i New York

Vi er samlet her, ikke for at mindes en tragedie, men for at afværge den. Selv når vi samles her i aften, som det var tilfældet for 17 år siden, slås der på krigstrommerne af en gruppe af mennesker i verden, som spænder over forskellige nationer og agenturer, der søger at presse USA til et angreb på Syrien. Et Syrien, som sammen med Rusland og også med bistand fra USA, har reduceret og inddæmnet de kræfter betydeligt, som nogle gange kaldes Al-Nusra, andre gange kaldes al-Qaeda, men altid passende kaldes onde, der var en del af udførelsen af angrebene her for 17 år siden. Angreb, hvor denne kirke og flere andre områder i dette kvarter fungerede som fristed, som nødhjælps-hospitaler, og i nogle tilfælde som stedet, hvor den sidste olie blev givet.

Og det er vores situation i aften. Det er vigtigt at {sige} det, fordi vi er forledt til at tro, i vores verden, at tragedien er en nødvendighed. Det er den ikke. En amerikansk statsmand, en senator ved navn Richard Black, er for nylig i sidste uge vendt tilbage fra Syrien. Han talte direkte med præsident Assad, og han forsøger, ikke egenhændigt, men meget modigt, at afværge krig. Han er ansat på livstids ved militæret, tidligere kampsoldat og veteran fra Vietnam-krigen, fløj over 200 luftmissioner der, og han har været involveret i den amerikanske efterretningstjeneste i mange årtier. Og som han sagde i et interview, som han gav for nylig, kunne han som kampsoldat ikke vende ryggen til sit flag, marineinfantriets flag, for at tillade at USA endnu en gang forsøger at udføre en blind, forkert intervention. Og i dette tilfælde, ville skæbnens ironi være, at i en sådan intervention ville det være USA som yder luftstøtten til samme al-Nusra og Al-Qaeda-styrker, der deltog i 9/11 angrebet.

Hvornår vil krigen ophøre? Krigen vil ophøre, når menneskeheden vokser op. Beethoven, som vi nogle gange har nævnt, sagde: Hvis folk tog min musik seriøst, ville der ikke være krig. Og i det program, vi har sammensat for denne aften, forsøger vi at trække på, egentlig ikke forskellige genrer af musik, men på det klassiske princip i musik. Det klassiske princip accepterer ikke, at tragedien er uundgåelig. Ja, der er græske tragedier, og de er klassiske stykker; men så er der værkerne af digteren Friedrich Schiller. For eksempel i den græske tragedie, som citeret af Robert Kennedy, der, i anledning af mordet på Martin

Luther King, sagde: "Selv i vores søvn, vil smerter, som ikke glemmes, falde dråbe efter dråbe på hjertet, indtil til sidst, i vores fortvivlelse, mod vores vilje, visdom kommer, gennem den forfærdende nåde af Gud," og ja, det var synspunktet hos Aeschylus. Men der er en anden indsigt, og det er anskuelsen hos digteren Friedrich Schillers, der sagde:

+++”Et formål, som højere Fornuft, har undfanget, at mænds trængsler trang, ti tusind gange besejret, kan aldrig være forladt.”+++

Formålet med oprettelsen af USA var at skabe frihed, og frihed til tænkning, som forudsætning for statsborgerskab. Og krig, specielt krig der anvendes af finansielle og andre kræfter mod menneskeheden, er en gift for denne frihed. Formålet med USA, og formålet som denne idé blev udbredt og vedtaget over hele verden, betyder, at Amerika ikke er et sted, det er en idé. Denne idé er hvad vi ønsker at styrke i aften, fordi når vi bringer mennesker sammen, og vi bruger musik for at komme ud over det hverdagsagtige, det banale, det bogstavelige, det didaktiske, ideologiske,- kan de bedste sider af vores sind blive parate og væbnet til at vælte vore egne ønsker om uvidenhed, og blindhed.

Som en mand sagde engang, der er ingen rigtige mysterier, der er kun blindhed. Og blindhed kan altid overvindes af sandheden. Men for at befæste velmenende mennesker, der måske har mistet deres retning, skal sandheden nogle gange ikke tales – men synges. Og vi håber, at vi i aften, når vi står her for at ære dem der døde ved bunden af World Trade Center, og dem der er døde efterfølgende på grund af sygdomme og på grund af deres tapre indsats den dag, vi håber, at det vi gør her i aften for at forny vores engagement, og engagement i selve USA, via idealet om frihed, kan fortsætte gennem skønhed, i stedet for krig.

[Videoer fra vores musikalske dialog mellem kulturer koncert den 27. juni 2018 i København](#)

[Se videoerne her.](#)

Anden musikalske kulturdialog i København

København, 6. juli, 2018 Schiller Instituttet –

Den Nye Silkevej og Verdenslandbroen handler ikke kun om samarbejde økonomisk udvikling, da kulturel udvikling også er en essentiel kilde til inspiration for at udvikle menneskehedens kreative potentiale.

Som opfølgning på sidste års vidunderlige koncert, afholdt Schiller Instituttet, Russisk-Dansk Dialog, Det Russiske Hus og Det Kinesiske Kulturcenter i København d. 28. juni, 2018, en ny koncert for fuldt hus; 130 gæster, i Det Russiske Center for Videnskab og Kultur i København, Danmark.

Koncerten begyndte med en velkomst fra direktøren for Det Russiske Center for Videnskab og Kultur og en introduktion fra Schiller Instituttetts formand, Tom Gillesberg. Gillesberg talte om, hvorledes det banebrydende topmøde mellem præsident Trump og Nordkoreas Kim Jong-un har åbnet muligheden op for at alle nationer kan træde ind i et nyt samarbejdsparadigme.

Aftenens program inkluderede musik fra mange forskellige nationer. Aftenens gæstemusikere tilhørte en traditionel folkemusikgruppe fra Heilungkiang Sang- og Danseteater som bestod af fire instrumentalister som kom hele vejen fra Kina for at optræde til koncerten, hvilket var blevet arrangeret af Det Kinesiske Kulturcenter, som dertil sørgede for velmagende kinesisk mad i pausen.

Der var musikere fra både Rusland, Polen, Danmark og Sverige. Den kulturelle dialog mellem folkemusik fra Kina og Rusland og klassisk europæisk musik var inspirerende for alle – både musikere og de entusiastiske tilskuere.

Et af de særlige aspekter ved denne koncert var at flere af musikerne, udover at optræde med musik fra eget land, dertil spillede musikstykker fra andre af de repræsenterede kulturer.

Den kinesiske gruppe spillede en dansk folkevise og opførte sammen med to russiske sangere, operasangeren Valerij Likhachev og hans datter en russisk folkesang. Udover to danske stykker af henholdsvis Carl Nielsen og Høybye spillede Hedonia-Kvartetten en gavotte af den russiske komponist Sjostakovitj samt et kinesisk stykke.

De andre musiske præsentationer var:

Syv udtryksfulde kinesiske traditionelle folkestykker spillet med forskellige

kinesiske fløjter, yangqin, pipa og erhu af den Nationale Folkemusikgruppe fra Heilungkiang Sang- og Danseteater.

To russiske sange opført af den russiske operasanger Valerij Likhachevs kraftfulde stemme.

To russiske sange spillet på charmerende vis af Balalajka børneorkestret The Moon is Shining.

Et bravur-klaverstykket af Rachmaninov, spillet af en 13-årig dansk-russisk ung mand.

Den vestlige klassiske musiks ophøjede skønhed blev præsenteret på glimrende vis af den polske pianist Dominik Wizjan, som spillede Chopins Andante spianato et grande polonaise brillante.

Den svenske sopran Leena Malkki sang Ritorna Vincitor fra Verdis Aida opera, Casta Diva fra Bellinis Norma, akkompagneret af Dominik Wizjan. (Malkki er også producenten og den ledende sopran i kommende udførelser af Norma, spillet i kammertonen 256Hz og dirigeret af Furtwängler-specialisten Jochun Heibertshausen).

Komedieopera blev repræsenteret med en "La ci darem la mano" duet fra Mozarts Don Giovanni af Likhachev, Malkki og Wizjan.

Schiller Instituttets Kor på syv personer sang en dansk sang af Niels W. Gade, inspireret af en dansk folkemelodi, som blev temaet til hans første symfoni – dette er et eksempel på den proces hvorved store komponister ophøjer elementer i folkemusik gennem den klassiske musiks kompositionsprincipper; og en koreansk folkesang, Arirang, den højtelskede sang for både Syd- og Nordkoreanere, arrangeret af Werner Hartmann fra Schiller Instituttet. Tyskland.

Koncerten blev afrundet med Schiller Instituttets Kor og Malkki som sammen med publikum sang den traditionelle kanon Dona Nobis Pacem, Giv Os Fred.

Vi vil producere en video af koncerten, for at udbrede denne dialog mellem kulturer til et bredere publikum.

Programmet:

[Download \(PDF, Unknown\)](#)

En musikalsk dialog mellem kulturer.

Schiller Instituttet i Danmark i samarbejde med andre afholder koncert, 28. juni.

I en tid, hvor der er alt for meget politisk splid i verden, og verdens lande i stedet burde arbejde sammen om menneskehedens fælles mål, er det ekstra vigtigt, at vi på alle måder bygger bro mellem verdens nationer og de mange forskelligartede kulturer. Når vi oplever det skønne i andre kulturer, skaber det gensidig forståelse og et grundlag for samarbejde og fred. Klassisk kunst er derfor en vigtig nøgle til en sådan dialog mellem kulturer, og det er grunden til, at vi afholder denne koncert.

Info: 25 12 50 33.

Arrangører: Schiller Instituttet, Russisk-Dansk Dialog, Det Russiske Hus og Det Kinesiske Kulturcenter.

Tid: 28. juni kl. 19.

Sted: Russisk Center for Videnskab og Kultur, Vester Voldgade 11, København (ved Rådhuspladsen).

Gratis adgang.

Program:

[Download \(PDF, Unknown\)](#)

Annoncering af koncert: En musikalsk dialog mellem kulturer: Torsdag, 28. juni 2018

Tid: kl. 19

Sted: Russisk Center for Videnskab og Kultur, Vester Voldgade 11 (ved Københavns Rådhus)

Gratis adgang.

Schiller Instituttet, Russisk-Dansk Dialog, Det Russiske Hus og Det Kinesiske Kulturcenter præsenterer vores anden koncert for fremme af forståelse mellem kulturer. Der vil være en skøn dialog mellem klassisk europæisk musik og traditionel musik fra Kina, Rusland og andre steder.

En hovedattraktion vil være The National Folk Music Troupe of the Heilongjiang Song and Dance Theater Folk Orchestra, et ensemble af fire kinesiske musikere, der spiller traditionelle instrumenter, og som kommer direkte fra Kina specielt for vores koncert!

Hele koncertprogrammet kommer senere på Schiller Instituttets hjemmeside:

www.schillerinstitut.dk

Sidste års koncert var en bragende succes, og vi forventer, at dette års koncert bliver lige så vellykket. Kom og nyd musik fra hele verden, og tage gerne venner og bekendte med.

[Koncerten fra 2017 kan høres her.](#)

**Schiller Instituttet holder
Kulturaften i Dresden, Tyskland.
Med Helga Zepp-LaRouche**

»En dialog om tre præsidentskaber: Bøj universets moralske bue mod retfærdighed«

Hovedtale af Helga Zepp-LaRouche på Schiller Institut Konference i New York, 7. april, 2018 (Video og engelsk udskrift)

Introduktion:

Den amerikanske præsident Donald Trump, den kinesiske præsident Xi Jinping og den russiske præsident Vladimir Putin kunne, i løbet af de næste par måneder, sammen træffe en række af de absolut vigtigste beslutninger, som ville indvirke på menneskeheden, siden renæssancen i det 15. århundrede. Den mulige løsning på Korea-spørgsmålet er blot et enkelt eksempel. De rette beslutninger, truffet af disse tre nationer og deres allierede i de næste par uger, kunne, i den nærmeste fremtid, begynde at fjerne fattigdom, kolonialisme og krig fra planeten. Løsningerne for at fjerne dette tredobbelte onde ligger både i stjernerne og i os selv.

Britiske imperiekræfter har midlertidigt mistet kontrollen over den svigtende transatlantiske, geopolitiske proces. Nu forsøger de at genvinde fordelene. Ligesom med den britiske efterretningsagent Christopher Steeles Russiagate-svindler, er det nu svindlen med »Rusland forgiftede Sergei Skripal og hans datter«, der efter planen skal drive en kile ind mellem præsident Trump og Vladimir Putin. Hvis denne bestræbelse lykkes, vil alt det arbejde, der er udført af Devin Nunes' Husets Efterretningskomite og andre, for at afsløre den korrupte rolle, som FBI, Justitsministeriet, Udenrigsministeriet og andre har spillet i det britiskførte kup imod det amerikanske præsidentskab i 2016, have været forgæves.

Evindeligt krig, som de amerikanske administrationer Bush 41, Bush 43 og Obama var fortalere for, kan nu erstattes med en ny økonomisk platform og en ny kulturel platform.

Lørdag, 7. april, er Schiller Instituttets stifter Helga Zepp-LaRouche

hovedtaler på denne konference, der skal samle amerikanerne omkring dette optimistiske perspektiv. En vedtagelse af de økonomiske forholdsregler og standpunkter, der kendes som LaRouches Fire Love[1] samtidig med en accept af det stående, kinesiske forslag [om USA's deltagelse i den Nye Silkevej] ville give grundlaget for at skabe en hurtig forøgelse i amerikansk, produktiv beskæftigelse, levestandarder og uddannelse af ungdommen i USA.

Grundlaget for en dialog mellem de »tre store« præsidentskaber er indeholdt i et dokument af Lyndon LaRouche fra marts, 1984, med titlen, »Udkast til aftalememorandum mellem USA og U.S.S.R.«[2]

Indledningen lyder således:

»Det politiske fundament for varig fred må være: a) Alle nationalstaters ubetingede suverænitet, og b) Samarbejde mellem suveræne nationalstater med det formål at fremme ubegrænsede muligheder for at blive delagtig i fordelene ved teknologisk fremskridt, til gensidig fordel for enhver nationalstat, og alle nationalstater.

Det mest afgørende aspekt ved en aktuel implementering af en sådan politik for varig fred er en dybtgående ændring i de monetære, økonomiske og politiske relationer mellem de dominerende magter og de relativt underordnede nationer, som ofte klassificeres som »udviklingslande«. Med mindre de uligheder, der stadig dvæler i kølvandet på moderne kolonialisme, gradvist afhjælpes, kan der ikke være nogen varig fred på denne planet.«

Sidstnævnte tema vil blive behandlet på mødet 7. april i en præsentation af Jason Ross, medforfatter af Schiller Instituttets Specialrapport, »Forlæng den Nye Silkevej til Vestasien og Afrika: En vision for en økonomisk renæssance«. [3] Med en befolkning på størrelse med Indiens og med den yngste befolkning i noget kontinent i verden, ville Afrikas fysisk-økonomiske udvikling gennem fælles arbejde, udført af USA sammen med Kina, gøre de gamle koloniregimers racister tavse for altid. Verdens to største økonomier kunne, ved hjælp af Sun Yat-sens og Abraham Lincolns »Tre principper for folket«[4], udgøre spydspidsen for en anti-koloniudvikling og fjerne den fattigdom, som er udløser af racisme og krig.

Her følger engelsk udskrift af Helga Zepp-LaRouches tale:

New York City, April 7, 2018

A DIALOGUE OF THREE PRESIDENCIES:

BENDING THE ARC OF THE MORAL UNIVERSE TOWARD JUSTICE

DIANE SARE: Good afternoon. I'm Diane Sare with the Schiller Institute here in Manhattan and at the conference called "The Dialogue of Three Presidencies: Bending the Arc of the Moral Universe toward Justice."

Fifty years ago this year, our nation suffered two major assassinations: The first, on April 4th, 1968, was that of Martin Luther King, Jr., [5] who was gunned down while he was participating in organizing for a sanitation workers' strike in Memphis, Tennessee; then, on June 6th, Robert Kennedy – the second Kennedy to be assassinated – who was likely on a trajectory to become the President of the United States. I think it's very important to reflect on that change in the United States 50 years ago. I was very struck a few weeks ago, having heard about a speech by Chinese President Xi Jinping, where he spoke in China of the Century of Humiliation. Starting in 1840, the Opium Wars against China, which were absolutely devastating and destructive, run by the British Empire – which is still the enemy of civilization today; to the Japanese occupation in the 1940s, under which 35 million or more people died. What President Xi said to these young people is that, in effect, we have to take this as a source of strength; that our sacred honor is that we will never allow ourselves to be humiliated in such a way again. And that we will never impose such humiliation upon any other human being.

So, I was reflecting on the last 50 years in this country, what we have tolerated. And before I came here today, I was reading a little bit from Martin Luther King's book about the process leading into his leadership of what became the Montgomery Bus Boycott. He described that the unity of the people – because people may know, it wasn't just that Rosa Parks refused to move to the back of the bus and got arrested and somehow there were demonstrations. People went on for nearly a year, refusing to ride the bus. That meant that people with the postal service were organizing all these elaborate carpools; and people in their 60s and 70s were walking 12 miles a day to not take the bus. And I was thinking to myself, how many Americans today would be

prepared to walk 12 miles a day until we got the Manhattan subway system fixed, for example? Or until we found out who actually was behind the 9/11 terrorist attacks? Or until the torture of people, which is completely degrading to man as in the image of God? How many Americans would be prepared to do that kind of hard work over an extended time? I began to think that this is the – when Lyndon LaRouche a few years ago, we did a series of memorial concerts on the 15th anniversary of 9/11; and he talked about the humiliation of Americans not having done anything. I thought that's kind of an odd term; what does he mean "humiliation"? When I was reading what Dr. King had to say this morning, I thought, "Well, of course. We should be humiliated." In a sense, we should be ashamed that we have allowed our nation to be in the shape that it is, and not have acted sooner. If we would take this opportunity this year, to come to that conclusion firmly as strongly as Xi Jinping means it in China, then there is absolutely nothing that can stop us.

The person whom I am about to introduce, has been a very important leader for 40+ years, 50+ years, in that fight. It is a very challenging world right now. The American people clearly rejected a continuation of British imperial perpetual war and Wall Street bail-out policies when they rejected the election of Hillary Clinton. Because President Trump represents an opportunity, as this conference is called "Three Presidencies: Trump, Putin, and Xi Jinping." Because there is a potential represented by this administration to end the long reign of the evil British Empire; everything is going a bit crazy. I heard this morning, apparently there was a car that plowed into a crowd in Muenster, Germany, killing several people and injuring many others, today while we're here. In the United States, we are bombarded; the American news media is violent in its coverage, because what it does to you is, it causes whipsaw. You're reading one thing one day, another thing the other day. President Trump says he wants to get the troops out of Syria; and then we hear, "The White House says the troops must remain in Syria." Well, who is the White House? It's apparently not the same thing as President Trump. So, this causes a great deal of confusion and anxiety among the American people.

Mrs. LaRouche, who not only is the founder and chairwoman of the international Schiller Institute, is also a brilliant writer and scholar. She is an expert on Nicholas of Cusa, who wrote a very important paper called "The Coincidence of Opposites." So,

I am confident that her address to us here today, will help all of us to make sense of the situation and give us an idea of how we can conduct ourselves to end this 50 years of humiliation in the United States. So, with that, I'd like to introduce Helga Zepp-LaRouche.

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE: I want to say "Hello" to you, and I'm very happy to talk to you, at least via video, so I can share with you my ideas.

I think in the recent weeks, many people in many countries have been very distraught about the so-called Skripal affair. This was the assassination attempt, the poison gas attack on the former double agent Sergei Skripal and his daughter. Immediately, the Theresa May government accused Russia that they did it. I think that this particular situation has demonstrated in a way we have not seen it ever, what is the role of the British Empire, the British government, British policies in the present escalation against Russia, and in a certain sense against China. This affair was immediately made an issue of NATO, of the European Union. Many EU members immediately declared unconditional solidarity with Theresa May, and they agreed on the formulation that there is no other plausible explanation than Russia did it. I think this reaction is very telling, because it shows on the one side, the degree of British control in NATO, and in part in the European Union. Fortunately, about half of the European Union members did not agree. But it also demonstrated the incredible Orwellian character of the present Western democracies of the so-called "liberal" Western system. Because the idea that you immediately abandon the principle that {in dubio pro reo}, that the innocence is relevant until proven guilty; that this was abandoned and that truth was replaced by a consensus among countries. If that is the principle of international policy, then we are all in very bad shape. The immediate danger is naturally that this thing is not just leading to mass expulsions of diplomats. The United States expelled 60 diplomats; the British expelled a similar number, and Germany four. Altogether, I think 23 diplomats in the other European countries. But obviously, this has the implication of leading to a broader escalation of confrontation with Russia and possibly even war; because this is a prewar propaganda. If you look at the timing of this affair, first of all the two Skripals fortunately seem to be in much better condition. That raises a

whole bunch of questions because if it was Novichok nerve gas, then the question is, how did the British have so quickly an antidote that they are now happily surviving? Or, maybe it was not Novichok. How could they come so quickly to the conclusion that it was Russia, when Scotland Yard said it would take several weeks to find out what really was the nerve gas agent used in this attack.

The timing was at a point where, in the United States, the whole focus of Congressional investigations of the House Intelligence Committee, the House Judiciary Committee, similar committees in the Senate, was about the role of the British Empire in the Russia-gate affair, or the Trump-gate, or the Mueller-gate, depending on how you want to call it. The focus of several committees started to really put into the limelight the role of Christopher Steele, the so-called "former" MI6 agent, the role of the British government, the collusion not with Russia but with the British in the whole attempt to make a coup against President Trump. So, that was very convenient, because all of a sudden, it was the Russia issue again. May, in these days, you could always say that the days of Theresa May seemed to be numbered; because she was in such an unstable position. Now, *cui bono*? Who has the motive? In whose interest would be such an affair? Well, Russia really has no motive; why would this occur just weeks before the Presidential election in Russia? Would Putin really want to have such notoriety just before the election, and just before the World Soccer World Cup? So, also Russia would have had many opportunities to kill Skripal; he was, for many years, in a Russian jail, he lived for many years in Great Britain without any problem. Nevertheless, despite that, Merkel and Macron, half of the EU immediately came out saying, "No, it is the only plausible explanation that it was Russia." Boris Johnson gave an interview to a German radio called Deutsche Welle, where he said that he had absolute scientific proof from the scientists of the Porton Down laboratory, who had definitely said that they had 100 % proof that it was Russia. In the meantime, the scientists refused to provide the after-the-fact evidence, and the head of the lab, Mr. Aitkenhead, said that they could identify that it was Novichok; but that they absolutely could not identify the source of the origin of this poison gas. This was a very lamentable situation, so the Foreign Office immediately deleted the tweet in which this was stated; which now has Boris Johnson's stand there as a liar.

That does not prevent the Theresa May government from continuing to push the lie that Russia did it.

Many officials in Russia – Foreign Minister [Sergey] Lavrov; Foreign Ministry spokeswoman [Maria] Zakharova, the head of foreign intelligence [Sergey] Naryshkin – the all pointed to the fact that the {cui bono} and the likelihood, and who has the capacity and motive, actually points to British intelligence. This whole operation – and this was pointed out by many experts and commentators – this absolutely parallels what the British did in the Iraq case in 2003; where also MI6 produced a dossier supposedly proving that Saddam Hussein was in the possession of weapons of mass destruction which could reach every city within 45 minutes around the globe. That Saddam Hussein supposedly had absolute connections with al-Qaeda; which was a blatant lie, because Saddam Hussein used to throw al-Qaeda people into jail and other things. But this was then used as a pretext. So, Colin Powell gave the famous speech in the United Nations motivating U.S. participation in the Iraq War. Then, the war against Iraq occurred, with many hundreds of thousands of people losing their lives as a result.

This is what some people in Russia in the meantime have called “Goebbels” propaganda. Why is there such a demonization of Russia? Why is there a demonization of President Putin coming essentially from the same people who are also demonizing President Trump and President Xi Jinping? This is the same foolishness which already led to the Second World War and which could easily trigger a Third World War. There is the danger that these war-mongers are repeating the same methodological mistake, stupidity, which led to two world wars.

What is behind that is a mixture of desperation because the financial powers of the City of London and their Wall Street backers and collaborators see clearly that their system is failing. Obviously, they have a complete fear that this would go with a complete loss of their political and financial power. But it is also an obsession that their schemes will function, and if they just have enough containment and escalation then their system will be proven superior. They are confronted with their system not succeeding, but failing; they don't have the intended unipolar world, but they are confronted with the emergence of a completely New Paradigm in the world.

If you want to understand why Russia is such a focus of Russophobia right now, you have to take the situation back to the

end of the Soviet Union. Because in the United States, at a point when the Soviet Union started to disintegrate and there would have actually been the possibility for a peace order for the 21st Century, you had in the United States the consolidation of the neo-cons. They revived the American Century doctrine, which originally was formulated by Walter Lippmann in 1943, when he published a book with that name which then became the entire basis for the post-war order; the legitimacy of NATO, the whole Cold War. It was the idea to revive that with the project for a new American Century and the idea that you would replace the two superpower system with an unipolar world based on the Anglo-American special relationship, and a neo-liberal monetarist system. This was essentially a continuation of the idea that you would control the developing countries, keep them in relative backwardness, and deregulate the financial system in order to bring back the power of Wall Street and the City of London, and basically control the world that way.

In 1989, when the German reunification happened, this was actually combined with the promise that NATO would never expand eastward. You have to remember that the Soviet Union agreed to the dissolution of the GDR and German reunification without the use of force. You could say, in light of the history of the Second World War, where the Soviet Union had suffered tremendous losses of life and naturally had a very terrible memory of Nazi Germany that it was extremely generous of the Soviet Union to agree to that. The promise was clearly given not to expand NATO eastward; this was emphasized many times by the former American ambassador in Moscow at that time, John Matlock. In the recent publications of the archives from George Washington University, it was also clear that this was, indeed, a promise made.

In 1990, the General Secretary of NATO at that time, Manfred Wörner, made a speech in Brussels which is worth remembering. He at that time said, "The goal for the next decade is the creation of a European security structure, including the Soviet Union and the states of the Warsaw Pact," and that the Soviet Union would play an important role in the construction of such a security system, and that he would understand the wish of the Soviet Union not to be excluded from Europe. "The West cannot answer to the erosion of the Warsaw Pact with a weakening or dissolution of [NATO]"; and therefore, "the only answer is the creation of a security framework which includes both alliances" and which includes the "Soviet Union into a cooperating Europe... The

very fact that we are ready not to deploy NATO troops beyond the territory of the Federal Republic [of Germany] gives the Soviet Union firm security guarantees," Wörner said.

This is all proven by these new documents which have been published that the West obviously, or the neo-cons and their British partners, were clearly promoting a different policy and making fake promises. On the surface, the offer to the Soviet Union continued. Still in 1994, President Clinton said the NATO expansion is not anti-Russian; it means inclusion instead of exclusion. But then, things became more dramatic. In 1999, there was the famous Tony Blair speech in Chicago, which was the definite elimination of whatever relic of the Peace of Westphalia system existed; and by that, also the elimination of the principles of the UN Charter – namely, guaranteeing the sovereignty of every country. This was clearly a foreshadowing of what Blair did later in 2003 with the Iraq War. What replaced the idea of respect for the sovereignty of countries was the idea of "humanitarian" interventions. Naturally, then in 2001 with the September 11th attack, which was a complete assault on all civil liberties and civil rights which had been fought for, for decades. And it imposed an international regime with the pretext of the war against terrorism.

What followed then was regime change, color revolution. You had the Orange Revolution in 2004 in Ukraine; you had the Rose Revolution in Georgia. In the meantime, both the Russian and Chinese militaries respectively stated that they regarded color revolution as an absolute total form of warfare. Naturally, the Maidan coup against the Ukraine government belongs in this chain. Also, already in 2002, the United States abandoned unilaterally the ABM [Anti-Ballistic Missile] Treaty, and proceeded to build up a global ABM system, which Russia had said at the very beginning, they could not tolerate the Phase 3 and Phase 4 of it to be implemented, because it would completely undermine the strategic stability and therefore be a threat to the security interests of Russia.

In the 16 years of Bush, Jr. and Obama, these interventionist wars continued. Bush declared the "Axis of Evil," and the various wars in the Middle East and northern Africa started to eliminate governments which were not agreeable to this idea of a unipolar world. The world was slowly and steadily going to more Hell, more refugee crises, more misery; millions of people dying in the Middle East and northern Africa.

Then, in 2013, the world suddenly changed for the better. President Xi Jinping announced a new model of international relationships in Kazakhstan – the New Silk Road. In the tradition of the ancient Silk Road, which was an incredible exchange not only of goods, technologies, cultures, ideas, but also laid the foundation of a dialogue among nations; this New Silk Road took on a development which is unprecedented I think in all of history. In the last 4.5 years, this new Spirit of the New Silk Road started to catch on, so that by now, more than 140 countries are cooperating in Asia, in Latin America, in Africa, even in Europe, with the New Silk Road. You have a tremendous sense of optimism in Latin America, where practically all Latin American countries are now building and planning to build bi-oceanic projects; bi-oceanic railway between Brazil and Peru, bi-oceanic tunnels between Argentina and Chile, and many other projects. So, the Spirit of the New Silk Road has definitely caught on in the Caribbean and Latin American countries. It is for sure the case in the Asian countries, and many corridors are being built. Africa has completely changed with the building of railways from Djibouti to Addis Ababa; all along the eastern African countries, the western African countries. If you look at the map of Chinese investments in railway systems and industry parks and hydropower in many other agricultural projects, there is a completely new spirit and self confidence among the Africa nations that they can now overcome poverty and under-development for the first time, in the near future. Even in Europe, where the EU has been absolutely blocking any cooperation, the New Silk Road Spirit has absolutely caught on. You have the 16+1 Eastern and Central European countries; you have the Balkan countries. Italy is now engaged together with China in a major project called Transaqua, which will change the lives of 12 African nations and bring industrialization into the heart of Africa. But also, Portugal and Spain want to be the hubs not only for the western end of the Eurasian part of the New Silk Road, but to be also a hub for the Spanish- and Portuguese-speaking countries in Africa and Asia and Latin America. So, the New Silk Road Spirit is absolutely on the agenda. Also in Switzerland, in Austria, and even in Holland, Belgium, and some of the Scandinavian countries.

This is based on the idea of a win-win cooperation of respect for the sovereignty of the other country and respect for the other social system. This has been an incredible

development. It's already 12 times larger than the Marshall Plan was, but the amazing thing is that for 4.5 years where this project is now progressing, the Western mainstream media and Western politicians have virtually ignored it; they have not reported it, and only in the recent period have they suddenly realized this is unstoppable. What is now occurring is a flood of attacks from the main think tanks, saying this is just an authoritarian effort by the Chinese to replace the Anglo-American imperialism with a Chinese one, and they want to take over the world. It was quite a sudden change in the coverage and in the comments.

A similar shock happened when they realized that Russia was absolutely not a regional power as Obama had told, but that basically it was about to become, under the leadership of President Putin, a major power again. So therefore, when Trump suddenly won the election, the same apparatus which is now behind the Skripal affair – British intelligence in collusion with the intelligence heads of the Obama administration – started a policy of a coup against President Trump. There was an article in January 2017 by the British paper {The Spectator}, which said that President Trump would be gotten out of the White House either through a coup, impeachment, or an assassination attempt. That was obviously the policy which these people followed, and the aim clearly was to prevent President Trump – who had promised in the election campaign to improve relations with Russia and bring it back on a stable and good basis – to prevent Trump from doing it by saying, "If you dare to speak to President Putin, that just proves you are a Russian agent." It took indeed until the G-20 meeting in Hamburg last year, before Putin and Trump had a personal meeting and actually hit it off very well. Also, between President Trump and President Xi Jinping, contrary to what Trump had said in the election campaign where he was actually on a quite strong China-bashing mode, he received President Xi Jinping in April last year at his private residence in Mar-a-Lago. And they established a very good positive relationship between the two of them. Then, when President Trump went to Beijing for a visit in October last year, President Xi Jinping returned this and gave Trump what they called a "state visit plus." President Xi Jinping had the Forbidden City closed down to visitors for an entire day, and gave a huge long history lecture on Chinese history to President Trump and his wife. They established and deepened their relationship.

In the meantime, also Russia and China established the deepest strategic partnership in their history. Putin gave a speech on March 3, 2018 to the Federal Assembly, where he announced new weapons systems; basically, a long-range missile which does not follow the ballistic curve, but is highly maneuverable. Then also, a nuclear-powered cruise missile which the West absolutely does not have, and a nuclear-powered underwater drone which is quicker than above-water ships, and laser weapons. This combination of these and other weapons means that all of sudden, the entire global ABM system the United States had proceeded to build is obsolete. President Putin said, well, the West refused to even respond to all the offers made by Russia since 2002; but now, they have to respond. It is quite amazing that, except the demand of four American ambassadors, they have not yet responded. Western media tended to belittle these new weapons systems, or ignore them for the most part. [Chinese Foreign Minister] Wang Yi and the Chinese Defense Minister Wei Fenghe just attended the very large 7th Moscow International Security Conference, which was attended by 900 guests and 700 media. Wang Yi said that Russia can pursue its own interests and play a larger role in the international and regional stages. The Chinese Defense Minister Wei Fenghe said he came in order to send a signal to Washington that the Russian-Chinese alliance is absolutely the strongest and that there is a very close cooperation between the Russian and the Chinese armed forces.

All of these things have to be seen as a dynamic process, where we are now on the verge also of a full-fledged trade war. Admittedly, the trade deficit of the United States with China is untenable; but when President Trump said that he wants to impose tariffs first on \$60 billion trade deficit, and then on another \$100 billion trade deficit, this was met by an unusually sharp response from the Chinese. *Global Times* wrote yesterday that China will not submit to the U.S. trade intimidation; that China is prepared to react with a full list of their own tariffs on American imports; that the trade war will cause pain for China, but the Chinese society will rally and unite around the government and the Party; and that they will also present a detailed plan to respond, and then the Americans would have to choose if they back their President in doing so, or if they hold him accountable for the consequences. *China Daily* even mentioned that the Chinese countermeasures could include the

dumping of U.S. Treasuries, of which they have \$1.4 trillion as securities.

All of this comes at a moment where, at any moment, we could have a new financial crash much worse than that of 2008, because all the central banks did absolutely nothing to remove the root causes of the crisis of 2008. They just did quantitative easing, zero interest rates, and naturally many corporations took that gratis money to buy back their own stocks so that their stock exchange values would go up, but the corporate debt would increase. Now, as the Federal Reserve is trying to increase the interest rate, the blow-out of these corporate debt situations could trigger a complete systemic collapse. That is just one of the many facets of this crisis.

An insider in the banking system, a well-placed one, told us very recently that there is actually the possibility that some of the financial forces could even deliberately trigger a crash which they know is inevitable to come, as a deliberate plan to pull the rug out from underneath President Trump; to bring back the neo-cons, and that way to solve the problem which they could not solve with the failed Russia-gate attempt. One thing is very clear. If that would happen and the neo-cons would get fully back in the United States, World War III is as good as secure and certain.

In the middle of this Skripal affair, President Trump and President Putin telephoned; and President Trump absolutely refused to send out tweets on this affair or otherwise join in the present Russia bashing.

I want to make the strong point that there is a solution to all of the problems I just mentioned. That is, that there are many possibilities. For example, when Presidents Trump and Putin will have a summit in the near future, they could discuss this. Also, the Chinese Prime Minister Li Keqiang had recently pointed to the fact that there is actually another way to solve the trade deficit; namely, by massively increasing the trade. President Xi Jinping has offered to the whole world, including all the European nations and the United States, that they should cooperate with the Belt and Road Initiative. China could decide and choose not to dump U.S. Treasuries as a punishment for the U.S. trade measures, but they could invest the \$1.4 trillion in U.S. Treasuries in infrastructure in the United States. Diane mentioned the Manhattan subway system in her remarks, and if you look at the infrastructure – not only in Manhattan, but in all

of the United States – the condition of the highways, the absolute absence of a fast train system; it is very clear that the United States urgently needs investment in infrastructure. President Trump had promised in the election campaign that he would invest \$1 trillion in infrastructure build-up; but so far, he has not been able to find any financing, because the private investors want an 11 % to 12 % return and a complete return of their capital within 10 years. Which means it is not possible to finance it through private investment. The neo-cons in the Senate and in the Congress do not want to spend it in the Federal budget. The idea to distribute it to the regional and state governments is just not practical.

So, if on the other side, China, which has a fantastic fast train system of I think 25,000 km of fast train, and is planning to connect every major Chinese city with a fast train system and build 40,000 km of fast train systems by 2020; China could help to build such a fast train system in the United States and connect every major city with a fast train system going 350 mph and in that way, completely transform the infrastructure of the United States. This would help not only to overcome the trade deficit, but it would open the way for joint ventures between the United States and China in third countries. In Latin America where, contrary to what former Secretary of State Tillerson had said, China is not trying to build an imperial system in Latin America. But China and the United States could join hands in building up the industries of the Southern Hemisphere. Also, the same could happen in Asian countries along the Belt and Road; and also naturally in Africa. It could happen in the reconstruction and economic build-up of the war-torn region of Southwest Asia, and naturally of Africa in general.

This could even include Great Britain eventually, if they change their government and if they get their crimes cleared up which they clearly have committed. But it would mean absolutely the necessity to reform the financial system of the United States and Western Europe.

My husband, Lyndon LaRouche, has already developed several years ago a package which together would absolutely remedy the situation. It would mean that the United States should go back to a Hamiltonian banking policy, to a banking system in the tradition of Alexander Hamilton; including the Glass-Steagall banking separation of Franklin D Roosevelt. Then, have a national bank, a credit system, then have a crash program for

thermonuclear fusion and joint space cooperation with other countries in order to increase the productivity of the economy in a qualitative way.

What people really don't realize, or most people don't realize, is that the present Chinese model of economy and the early U.S. republic model are very similar. They're based on Hamiltonian principles. In China, they have now made a huge effort to eliminate the speculative area, to forbid Chinese investors abroad to invest in speculation. It is very clear that China, even if they don't call it way, is actually very close to the American System. And it is no coincidence that the most popular economist in China is Friedrich List, the German economist who was sort of the predecessor to Henry C. Carey, and who wrote important writings about the different between the British and the American systems. Germany also has a tradition of that; namely, the Kreditanstalt für Wiederaufbau, the Credit Bank for Reconstruction, which was based on the Roosevelt Reconstruction Finance Corporation and was the basis for the German economic miracle in the post-war reconstruction. So, also in Europe, you have some relevance and memory of this system. Now after Xi Jinping had announced the New Silk Road, the Schiller Institute and our organization published a study which we had worked on for 26 years with the name "The New Silk Road Becomes the World Land-Bridge," which is actually the absolute blueprint and outline for an international economic cooperation of all nations overcoming geopolitics.

Now just imagine if we could mobilize the American people to exert pressure on President Trump and give him backing, and he would accept the offer of Xi Jinping to cooperate with the New Silk Road in this way and also the European countries would eventually recognize – most of them are doing it already – but even the remaining ones would recognize that the cooperation with Russia, with China and the other nations who already have jointed the Belt and Road initiative—that this would be much more in their self-interest, than the present course of the British confrontation with Russia and with China.

If such an international economic cooperation could be realized, it would also be the realistic basis for a global security architecture which would include among others also Russia and China. It would then require that we do exactly what Xi Jinping has said many times, that mankind needs to move in a new phase of international cooperation, what he calls the "shared

community of the future of mankind" or a "community of destiny," then we could start to focus on the real problems, the common aims of mankind. We could build a system to make nuclear weapons obsolete, a new form of the SDI, what my husband had proposed, in the end of the 1970s and then it was in the works for several years; and then on March 23, 1983, President Regan had announced the SDI as a way for both superpowers to cooperate to make nuclear weapons obsolete. I think in light of the present danger of a new arms race and the already-existing arms race and the danger that this gets out of control, we need such an approach as a new SDI; and also a new SDE [Strategic Defense of the Earth], because the planet as a whole is threatened by dangers from space, from asteroids, from comets, which could really extinguish life on this Earth.

We should instead concentrate on the common aims of mankind—the alleviation of poverty, the creation of a living standard for a decent life for every human being on this planet, and a system of earthquake precursors and joint space research and travel. We should concentrate on space colonization as the necessary and possible next phase of the evolution of the human species. I think that if we combine that with a dialogue of cultures where each nation would emphasize and revive the best traditions of its own culture, and then have a dialogue among all of these nations and cultures, we could absolutely create the basis for a new Renaissance.

Skeptics would say that this is completely unrealistic. But I'm saying that the fact that you have these three Presidents—President Putin, who is obviously recognized and loved by the Russian people, and has just been reelected with an overwhelming majority; with Xi Jinping, who is an exceptional leader who obviously is equally loved by the Chinese population, and basically they decided to eliminate the limits to his term in office so that he can guide China in these very, very important coming years; and President Trump, who is absolutely not what the media are making out of him, but who has shown again and again that he has outflanked a pretty difficult factional situation in his own party, and naturally with a Congress and a Senate which are very obstructive for the most part. I think that if the three Presidents join hands and do what they clearly did very successfully so far, in the attempt to solve the crisis of the Korean Peninsula, I absolutely think this is a realistic option. However, we should not sit on our hands, but we should

really get into an international mobilization to propose this agenda, and do everything in our means to make it possible. It is the life of civilization which depends on it.

Thank you. [Applause]

SARE: Thank you. We can now take questions from the audience here. Please say your name, and if you represent an institution or a press agency, please state what you're representing as well.

Q: I would like to ask you a question on behalf of Weiwei TV. As you may know, President Donald Trump has already instituted trade policies on China and China made a serious response. So I would like to know how you see the relationship between the United States and China? And what direction do you think this relationship is going to? Thank you.

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: I think that we have a very serious danger, because if it comes to this trade war, as I mentioned, you have already a collapsing financial system of the trans-Atlantic region. And a trade war could easily be one of the elements triggering a complete meltdown of the financial system and that would obviously be much worse for the West than for China, which has taken certain measures to eliminate speculation and put the whole Chinese economy on a solid ground. Nevertheless, the consequences of a financial crash would be potentially extremely dangerous. As I said, if the neo-cons would come back and Trump would be ousted in this context, we would be back to Hell in no time.

On the other side, the trade war has not yet started. So far, it's just lists, and there is room to put on the agenda a different proposal. I think Prime Minister Li Keqiang already pointed to it, to increase the trade in joint ventures in third countries. I think that the more people talk about this idea of U.S. investments in infrastructure and, for example, Xi Jinping could reiterate the proposal for the United States to join the Belt and Road Initiative, I think the trade war can still be avoided. But it does need determined action.

And I think that the possibility exists simply because the relationship between Xi Jinping and Trump has so far lasted over a year, and they have telephoned around many crises; and basically the Korea situation is on a very good course. There

will be a summit between [Shinzo] Abe and Trump, who also wants to play a positive role. There will be a meeting between Putin and Trump, hopefully very soon; and Kim Jong-Un and Trump. So I think there is a diplomatic framework where many initiatives can be made, and I think the New Silk Road is definitely the answer to solve all of these problems.

Q: Hello. I think what you have said today is just enlightening. My name is Alan S. I'm a screenwriter and producer of a World War I mini-series, called "The 42nd Rainbow Division." I think history is our greatest weapon and if we start actually thinking back to what Russia actually did, for not only World War II, but also World War I. We would have lost both world wars. And actually the United States wouldn't have even been in World War I, because we would have lost it before we even got in. They were a huge ally.

I think history needs to be taught to the young and that's why I'm doing this series, is because the younger generations don't realize that Russia has been an ally. And now we're vilifying Russia and making them into a villain when it should be the opposite. How do we actually teach this to the young? The younger generations are our hope and they're our future.

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: I think we need to change the narrative of the neo-cons. Because when the Ukraine crisis started to develop, President Putin said if it would not have been Ukraine, they would have found another way to escalate the confrontation with Russia. And I think that this is absolutely the case.

I think to change the narrative of the Ukraine, because this is really when the total escalation against Putin as the demon started, is a very urgent matter because right now President Poroshenko has announced that he wants to basically have a military solution for the east Ukraine, which could easily provoke a war with Russia.

I think the narrative has to be replaced by the truth. The truth is that Victoria Nuland bragged that she and the State Department spent \$5 billion in building up NGOs to cause regime change in Ukraine. The former Chancellor Helmut Schmidt—even he admitted that the Ukraine crisis started with the EU expansion summit of Maastricht in 1992, when the eastward expansion of the EU was decided, and the crisis was triggered when the EU wanted to have the association of Ukraine (basically at the end of 2013,

which was the point when President Yanukovich decided he couldn't do it because it would have given NATO access to the Black Sea; it would have flooded Russia with EU products. This then triggered the Maidan, which was immediately supported by these NGOs financed by Nuland and the West, supported by neo-Nazis which were then causing the violence, and finally the coup in February 2014, imposing a fascist government as a reaction to that. And the threat to forbid the Russian language, the people in the Crimea decided to hold a referendum and they voted to be part of Russia, so Crimea was not annexed, but it was a vote for self-determination of the Crimean people.

I'm telling you all of this because part of the demonization of Putin, is the Ukraine story and what he supposedly did with Crimea, and all of this is not true. I think we have to really make an effort, to maybe produce many more movies and maybe we can work together to this effect because we have documented many of these wrong narratives and we must make them known. Because if the mass media are just portraying this idea that Russia is about to do everything, and behind every – it is worse than the McCarthy period and people are just hyped up which can only be characterized as a prewar propaganda. Because why do you build up an enemy image, because you want to make war against this nation. This is a mortal danger in which the whole world is. And I think this Skripal affair—the fact that it backfired, the fact that the British were caught lying, is really also a chance.

I would suggest that we work together on making more movies. We have already put out a lot of them, but I think we need and call upon all of you to help to distribute them, and make them known to as many young, middle-aged, and old people as we can.

Q: Mrs. LaRouche. Thank you very much for your speech. I think everyone here—we're very pleased to hear what you said. My name is Amber J. I'm political activist right now working with several groups for supporting Trump and also for the midterm election. And also, I'm working for fighting for Chinese-American minority civil rights kind of thing.

I have a question – I believe everybody came to this conference understands your speech and understands the principle of three countries cooperating with each other. But there are some Trump supporters, they stand for Trump because Trump is starting a trade war right now. How would you persuade those Trump supporters to understand this win-win cooperation between

these three countries, to maximize the effort for these three countries to cooperate together?

And also I believe a while ago, I heard India and Japan and probably the U.S.A. talking about starting another kind of international cooperation in terms of the infrastructure. That is the kind of thing similar to the Silk Road, the One Belt, One Road. How would you like to define that, or could you say about something about it? Thank you.

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: I think this is again another narrative which needs to be replaced by truth. And that is, what is actually the Chinese policy? Part of this problem is that for a long period of time the Western media and certain political circles in the United States have also painted a very negative picture about China. I think Chinese-Americans, and you yourself could help to correct that.

I must say, my image of China is incredibly positive;

because I was there for the first time in 1971. This was in the Cultural Revolution. And this was an unbelievable experience because at that time, the country was completely distraught. People there were unhappy. The Red Guards took people out of their homes in the night. They painted all the cultural buildings, the Summer Palace and other places in Beijing, with red paint.

Anyway, I'm just reporting that to say that when I returned to China after 25 years, in 1996, already with the idea of the Eurasian Land-Bridge, the idea of the New Silk Road, where I attended a major conference on that subject in Beijing as a speaker, the country had already been completely transformed as a result of the policies of Deng Xiaoping.

But if you now go to China, it is unbelievable. The country is prosperous; there is a large well-to-do middle class. People are optimistic about the future. They have an absolute vision, a self-confidence about China, about eliminating poverty by 2020. President Xi Jinping has a hands-on policy, going to the villages, talking to individuals; finding out what measures must be taken to eliminate poverty.

It's just such an incredibly optimistic situation—where also, culturally, China is pursuing the revival of Confucianism. Xi Jinping personally has made a big emphasis that Confucian philosophy is being taught on all levels of society.

I think that if people, especially in the Chinese-American community would amplify our efforts to show the real, true picture of China, I think the Trump supporters would absolutely understand, that it {is} in the best interest for the United States and China to cooperate. If you think about it, if the two largest economies in the world cannot cooperate, the danger of world war is very big.

Many people have talked about the Thucydides trap. This refers to the rivalry between ancient Athens and Sparta, which led to the Peloponnesian War, and the final disappearance of Classical, ancient Greece. If there would be a Thucydides trap between the United States and China; if the United States would react to the rise of China by a military confrontation, the world as a whole would not survive it.

China has (especially the Chinese ambassador in Washington, Cui Tiankai stressed that it is not the intention to replace the U.S. as the strongest power, but to have a special great power relationship, where both of them respect the sovereignty of the other, respect the different social system of the other, and then join hands and cooperate in all strategic matters.

I think there must be a very big mobilization where the image of China in the United States is being straightened out, because once people know the beauty of Chinese culture, the optimism of the Chinese population, everything will change. It is right now that the United States has a big moral and cultural crisis. You have for the first time the life-expectancy going down. For two years in a row, you have the life-expectancy of all categories of life in the United States shrinking. If there is *any* parameter for a collapsing economy, it is the life-expectancy. And that is naturally due to the new opium epidemic, the rate of suicides because of depression, alcoholism, and the terrible culture of death, which expresses itself in the youth culture, violence of the video games, in the whole entertainment industry, which is contributing to these many school shootings.

You do have a cultural problem. And I think you have to go back to the philosophy of Benjamin Franklin, the founder and father of America, who used to be a complete Confucian philosopher. He recognized the wonderful aspects of the moral philosophy of Confucius and modeled his own moral system on the basis of Confucius. There are many parallels. You have the Confucius tradition with Benjamin Franklin, and in China, you

have the American System of Alexander Hamilton in the early phase of the American republic, and now, in the Chinese model. And you have many similarities which, once you see, you can see that there are universal principles uniting these two countries, which are much more deep and much more important than the superficial conflicts.

I would say the best thing one can do to intervene in this situation is, we have proposed the project for the China investment in infrastructure. This has been picked up by a Chinese professor recently, John Gong. It has been covered by CGTN TV. There is a very famous Trump supporter in California, who just made a similar proposal. I think that has to be talked up. I think we have to talk up the idea of overcoming the danger of a trade war, by putting instead on the table Chinese investment in infrastructure, U.S. and China joining in joint ventures in third countries, and start a real cultural dialogue, so that the two people start to know each other and know the best of each other. And that way we can overcome this crisis.

SARE: Helga, I have a question which I think is related. You may want to say more. It comes from Sr. Pat C., of the Dominican Sisters of Peace who is also a member of the alto section of the Schiller Institute chorus. She writes, "In your view, what concrete actions now will help catalyze the transition from a competition of nations to cooperation and mutual respect?" I think you largely have addressed that, but there may be more that you want to say.

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: I think that the knowledge about the incredible dynamic of the Belt and Road Initiative, once people know that, it changes their view. For example, take the case of Austria. Austria is a small country, but they want to take a leading role in becoming a hub for the New Silk Road. They just had a conference planning to broaden the gauge of the railway from Vienna all the way to Moscow, so that they can be better integrated in the container trains and similar things. All the Balkan countries are completely on board. The Eastern European countries, the Central European countries are all planning to be hubs and bridges.

The excitement in Africa— I mean, if people would know, there is a completely different spirit! No longer do the

Africans want to be receivers of donations. They want to be treated as equal partners. They want to have investments, and the spirit of the New Silk Road has absolutely changed the self-esteem and self-confidence of all the African leaders and many of the people.

Just take this case of the Transaqua project. Transaqua is a project which was originally proposed by Bonifica, an Italian engineering firm, already more than 30 years ago. And the Schiller Institute and the LaRouche movement were campaigning for that for decades, because it is one of the key projects for the entire continent. What it would essentially mean is that you would take about 3-4% of the water from the tributaries of the Congo River, at a 500 meter height, and then by gravitation, you can bring this water through a system of canals all the way to Lake Chad, which is now dried out to less than 10% of its original volume. This affects the lives immediately of 40 million people in the Lake Chad Basin. When you bring this water back into Lake Chad, not only do you fill up this lake again, and create large volumes of water for irrigation for agriculture; you also create an inland shipping system for 12 countries in the heart of Africa; you create hydropower; you create a system of industry parks, of industrialization. So you bring in the industrialization in the middle of Africa, and that with all the other infrastructure projects, will mean *Africa has a future*. By the year 2040, there will be 2 billion people living in Africa, and they need these jobs, they need education, they need the kinds of projects, so that people are no longer marching through the Sahara and dying of thirst, which is happening now more than people even dying in the Mediterranean—it's just not being reported. These young people would instead help in the building up of the African continent.

This is such a fantastic development, and if the Americans would know about it – I mean, I'm only talking about the tip of the iceberg – but if people would see the sheer volume of change and the magnitude of change which is already happening, they would become absolutely optimistic and change their view, and recognize that in the history of mankind, geopolitics is something that absolutely has to be overcome, if we are supposed to survive as a human species. In the age of thermonuclear weapons, if you do not overcome geopolitics, we are going to be the destruction of our own species; and nobody in their right mind can really want that because even those warmongers, who are

pushing it, would be eliminated themselves, too.

I think that the moment has absolutely arrived. If we go into a mass advertising campaign, a mass education campaign, about the existence of this New Paradigm, I think it can absolutely inspire the Americans and make the change which is necessary in the short term.

Q: Hi, I am an American citizen and a Confucian, I believe in Confucianism. I'm an independent scholar of language and civilization. I was an instructor of Chinese at Harvard University, in the Department of Eastern Language and Civilization.

I have the same idea as you that America needs to join China's One Belt and One Road plan. I grew up 10 years ago, during the age of reform of China. I worked as at the FESCO, the Foreign Enterprise Service Corporation. I think more than 20 years ago, many American, European and Japanese companies invested in China, and gave us was a better economy, and I think it was very important.

Now, I think in the 21st century, China's economy is much improved. It's time to bring China's investments into America and to help America's economy. That's why in 2016, I was for Donald Trump. I want to work with American people; I want to be the bridge to connect China and America, to bring China's investment into America, to best help America's economy.

ZEPP-LAROCHE: Very good! So many you can join with the Schiller Institute to help us, to get this message out widely. Because you know, already now the states which are doing large business with China, see the advantage. When President Donald Trump was in China last November, he had with him delegations from several states – West Virginia, Alaska, and some others. And in the case of West Virginia, he brought back trade deals and investment deals worth \$83 billion! And the governor of West Virginia is completely optimistic that this will give back hope to all the people in West Virginia.

And there are many projects, for example, one very exciting idea is that Beijing, and the region of Hebei province and Tianjin, this is a region of about 130 million people, and there is a now a huge project whereby this region will be changed, where the heavy industry, which still has some environmental problems, causing smog and pollution is now being outsourced into

Hebei province and modernized; a new city is being built, I think its name is Xiong'an, which is in the middle between Beijing, Tianjin and Hebei, and it's completely modernized. Beijing on the other side, will have lots of research and development, which is much cleaner for the environment, and all of this is supposed to be connected through an infra-urban modern transport system, including modern maglev. And the recent "Two Sessions" conference and the National People's Congress in China, the party discussed building a new maglev system of 600 kph speed, for the connection between the cities, and an inter-urban slow maglev system of 160 kph.

This is very good for urban transportation, because the beauty of the maglev system is that it accelerates immediately: You are in a few seconds at full speed, with the slow maglev you're only going 160 kph, which is enough for inner city transport; and they want to connect this entire region with this modern transport system, so that essentially no job will be more than 20 minutes away from the home of the working person. So you save all this commuting time.

And my idea is that this model of the Tianjin-Hebei-Beijing region could be a model for the modernization of New York, New Jersey, San Francisco, Los Angeles, the Midwest, and you actually do something like that inside the United States. And I think President Trump is a developer; he knows about infrastructure, and I think we just have to make sure that the Trump supporters know about these plans, and that we create an environment where this is actually intersecting the present crisis and danger of a trade war.

If you move quickly enough, and get the Trump voters all inspired with this idea, I think we can do a miracle. And I definitely believe in miracles, as long as we do them ourselves.

Q: [follow-up] Thank you very much. I totally agree with you. I believe that to bring China's investment and enterprise is more important in the trade market. And secondly, I was a professional Chinese instructor: I want to educate more Americans and Chinese people to understand each other, and make a friendship to develop together.

Q: I'm José V.: I'm here from New York City. Earlier you touched upon the youth culture and the culture of death, and I was hoping you could touch more upon that, because in my

experience – and I'm only 19 myself, too – but from what I see of people around me, but also my nephew who will be turning 15 this year, I see he's more interested in violent video games and yelling into the microphone to imaginary people who aren't there, and spending a lot of money on things that will never really help him out in life: for example, he brought a \$300 belt buckle, because it said somebody's name on it, I think it's Gucci. He's more interested in reading violent comic books that display gore and showing people's insides – I don't have to go into that, you know about that.

My question is, how do we overcome this violence? How do we overcome this culture of death, and how do we overcome this culture of violence? And more importantly, how do we stop getting young people wanting to escape from reality by taking drugs and whatnot? So that's my question.

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: I think what we need for that is a mass movement for development. Because, first of all, I studied this question some years ago, when we had some guests and the children who came with the guests asked me if I knew about Pokémon, and naturally I had never heard about Pokémon. And they said: Oh, it's beautiful, it's violent, it's fighting. So I was so shocked,

I started to look into it, and I discovered Pokémon as a sort of

introduction drug to video games, and then naturally, the evolution, where these video games come from.

Well, they were developed by the military in the postwar period, because people had recognized in the Second World War, only 15% of the soldiers were ready to shoot the enemy, because human beings have a sort of natural barrier inside them, which they don't want to overcome, and when they kill somebody it goes against that. So many people have a healthy block, – or had a healthy block. So the military developed these quickly changing targets, like in target practice, not having just one target but having many, and they change and move, so that you would learn to shoot quickly – shooting, shooting, one shot after the other – in order to train people to overcome the normal adrenalin shock which happens if you shoot at another person; if you are not brainwashed, then you have an adrenalin reaction and you start shaking and so on. So they wanted to get away from this, by having these video simulations, where people would learn to

shoot, to increase the killer ratio of the soldiers.

So this is the basis for these video games which then became commercial. And while, in the military, and obviously it's a terrible thing in the military also, but at least you have an officer, you have some guidance, you have military discipline; but when these video games, which have become more insane over the decades, if they are accessible to young children, and these young children have not had any kind of an inoculation through a humanist education, through the recognition of beauty in Classical culture, through moral guidelines given to them by their parents, but where you have a culture where everything is allowed, everything goes – movies become more violent, more perverse, more pornographic; even snuff movies, where killing is being filmed, or at least the illusion that people are killed is being filmed, *this is really deadly stuff!* This destroys the cognition of anybody, but especially of young people.

And when young people, then, children, pupils, students, become autistic because they are only living in their social media, and have completely lost the ability to relate to each other, this is the death of a culture. And I think this is what's happening in the United States. You would not have these unbelievable numbers of mass school shootings: Like after Columbine in 1999, there were 38 mass shootings. And after the Parkland shooting, you had 50 alarms in the schools per day, where pupils would see another pupil having a weapon, or having crazy messages.

Now, obviously, this brings us to the question of, who is promoting this? President Trump had a meeting in the White House, where he met with the pupils of the school in Parkland, and the producers of these videos. So obviously, President Trump is aware of it, and I think we have to strengthen his resolve to move against it. And it happens to be that the Parkland incident, in particular, was also the work of the FBI, because they established a system which is run by some uneducated call center, and so, many of the hints which were clearly given before, were missed. And it now turns out that in the Orlando case, the father of the shooter was a longtime FBI informant. So there is a lot of these things to be pursued.

But I think the key thing is a mass movement for development. Because, if young people have no hope for the future, and have no perspective, because it's now the common view that the coming generations will be worse off than the present

one – this is the first time ever this has happened; because it used to be a moral standard for families, for everybody, that you work so that your children will have a better life than yourself. And this has been abandoned for the first time. So what will young people have as a perspective? Well, they have no future. And that is a *huge* difference! And I can assure you, I have seen it in all cases: There is a gigantic difference between the optimism of the youth in China, and the pessimism of the young people and the population in general in the United States, and in countries like Germany, for example.

So the absence of a vision, where the future of a nation, of the world will be, is what is feeding this kind of culture of death, because then it doesn't matter, life doesn't matter, life is worth nothing, whether you shoot somebody or not it makes no big difference.

So I think a mass movement for the kind of economic development which we were talking about before, is an absolute ingredient, so that people have a reason to study, to develop their minds, to develop their cognitive powers, to be productive. If you have the feeling that you can be an astronaut, that you can be a scientist in the realm of a thermonuclear fusion economy; that you will travel to the Moon Village in your lifetime, you have a motivation to study! And I think without such a motivation, it is very, very difficult.

So I would not look at it as a separate issue: I would look at it as an integral question to the whole discussion we are having here.

Q: Thank you for your work, today, and throughout all your time.

I'm Father Richard D., Franciscan Servants of God's Grace. My question to you, is we know that the President has written a book showing that his way of dealing with a problem is to take an {extreme} view, so he has room to compromise, to come back to what he actually wants. Do you believe he's doing this with the international trade situation?

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: I don't know; it may be. Because I think President Trump has said of himself many times, that he knows how to make deals, that he would get better agreements than most other people. And it may very well be that such an idea exists, that he makes big announcements of tariffs and so forth, and then

in reality, he's negotiating and has his ambassador and other people, trade negotiators, making such a discussion.

I don't know. I find it a little bit risky, because I saw some Chinese articles where they said that some people may think that they can get a better result this way, but that China will not be intimidated into making compromises and basically will answer back.

I don't know. I think it is not necessary. I think this particular idea that you make a huge attack, and then you go for something less, is still, in my view, – and as you probably have realized, I'm very positive about the potential of President Trump; I've stuck my neck out a year ago, when I said that if Trump is able to put the relationship with Russia and China on a positive basis, he will go into history as one of the greatest American Presidents, and I stuck my neck out. And I'm repeating this, here. So, as you can see, I'm very optimistic and positive that it could happen.

But I also think that this particular style of negotiation is very dangerous, especially in an environment which is fraught with dangers as I touched upon in my earlier remarks. A much better way, in my view, would be to just say, "We want the United States and China to work together on a New Paradigm." There is already the Belt and Road Initiative. The United States could have some program, they could call it the American Silk Road, or the American FDR Revival, or the American Founding Fathers Celebration, if they don't want to be part of something which already has been put out by China, it doesn't matter, as long as the content of the policy is the same on.

And I think the potential for things to grow into a higher level of reason – I mean, here we are talking about the one humanity. I mean, I think the spiritual dimension, if you want, has to be brought into this matter, because man is different from all creatures, because we are gifted by God with creative reason. And you don't have to be a Christian, you can be a Confucian philosopher, you can be a Buddhist, you can be just a good person, to understand that we have reached a point in human history, where we either recognize that we are all part of the one humanity, or we will not make it as a species.

Since Diane mentioned earlier Nicholas of Cusa, I can only say, that Nicholas developed a way of thinking which – she mentioned in the *Docta Ignorantia*, the "coincidence of opposites," which is the idea that because we are capable of

creative reason, we can think the One as having a higher quality and a higher power than the Many: The one humanity being first, and then the many nations being also important, but being not in contradiction to the progress and wellbeing of the one humanity. So I think if we understand that it is really the question of addressing that in us, which makes us human, the creative potential, then I think we can just find a way of shaping a New Paradigm where mankind is defined from a common future, how do we want to be existing as a human species, in 100 years from now, in 1,000 years from now, or even in 10,000 years from now? Because we can *think* the future! No dog, no donkey, not goose can think the future. If you tell a dog, "Let's have a walk tomorrow," the dog will hear the word "walk," which the dog probably knows, and jump to the door and wag its tail, and be happy. But if you say "tomorrow," it doesn't mean anything to the dog!

But I think we need to raise the level of our communication, and just really do our duty as a human species, and prolong our existence indefinitely, by working together.

Q: My name is J. I'm the author of two books, *Evidence Not Destroyed*, and *Spread Real Love*. I want to thank Mrs. LaRouche; I want to thank this organization. You're some fine people. As I travel around America, I see many things: I just had to buy a new car, because the one I had had over 205,000 miles on it; and the one before that had 186,000 miles on it. But I'm going around the countryside, and other countries, also, spreading, putting this literature out for so many years. And I'm impacted with this organization. It has some very fine, smart brains, that are sitting here in this auditorium today, and I just thank God for you.

And when we come to a situation like we have today, I want to know how we can go forward? How we can promote civilization? How we can carry on? But if we have learned anything from our history, we have to look back and look at our history, and look at our results. We have something that's so profound here today; we don't get this kind of information on the TV no more. I used to watch so much news, but now, they say the news is not absolutely real! They say something about "fake" – I heard that over and over again, so I turned my television off from the news. And when I can come and get this type of *real* information, in a setting like this, it makes one want to go forward.

So I'm here to help anyway I can. I'll put another 100 and some thousands miles on the car I just bought, to get this information out to the people, because if you don't get it, the news is not going to give it to you correctly, the way it should be given. So, I'm just thanking each one, and all of you that's working – just as I am; Matt Guice, I've been working with him since the '90s; Lynne Speed and Dennis Speed, I've been working with these people since the early '90s. And I'm so proud. One thing, let me say, I think the reverend right before me, a religious man – I sit in a church now, and I'm the only deacon there! Why is this? Look where we're going? Why is that? We're reforming, we're conforming, we're complying to every situation that's not good. And I think we have some real strength here, and we can do some great things. The main thing is, keep going forward. Thank you.

ZEPP-LAROCHE: Well, I think people have to be courageous, because the paradigm shift which occurred in the United States, which you, Diane, referenced in the beginning, which really started with the murder of John F. Kennedy and then the murder of Martin Luther King, and the assassination of Robert Kennedy, you know, we had several years ago, a Mozart *Requiem* performance in Vienna, in the suburbs of Washington, and also in the Boston Cathedral, commemorating the paradigm shift which has occurred in the last 50 years of America, where, the fact that the Kennedy murder, and also the murder of Martin Luther King, was really not avenged – or, not avenged, but not even investigated, and the real culprits made known and punished, which has led to people becoming depressed. I said many times, the Americans almost have become like the Germans, because if you ask a German person to do something, 99 % of the people say "Oh, you can't do anything, anyway," so people are really depressed, and feel that they are powerless in the face of what is happening.

And that has happened to America as a result of these unclarified murders. And since we have this event today, because of the 50th anniversary of the assassination of Martin Luther King, I think it's a very good moment in history, to say, we will not allow the murderers of King to be successful in eliminating the hope which he represented. I mean, Martin Luther King was murdered at a moment when he had started to pick up many of the same issues which are now being, in reality, changed by China. Because he had started not only to take up the question of

economic justice *inside* the United States, but also he had started to take on the question of jobs and overcoming poverty in developing countries. And that is what China is doing, exactly today. And in the same way as the Schiller Institute has been campaigning for, and LaRouche and his movement have been working for, for almost half a century, is now becoming a reality. So there is reason for optimism. And I think that the best thing we can do in a moment like this, thinking about the memory of Martin Luther King, is to say, we will pick up the torch, we will not allow the American people to be passive and desperate and ignorant and all of these things, but we will all turn into active members of the Schiller Institute, help to spread the message; make the Schiller Institute a Renaissance movement, a moment fighting not only for the economic buildup of the United States, but also for a cultural Renaissance. I think the two things absolutely have to go together. So I would encourage all of you to absolutely work with us, because I think the solution to all of these problems are absolutely within reach.

SARE: As the next person is coming up I would just tell everybody, during the break you will have the opportunity to do exactly what Mrs. LaRouche has said, which is to become a member of the Schiller Institute at our literature table. And to purchase copies of these very important, world-changing reports: This is the one she mentioned, "The New Silk Road Becomes the World Land-Bridge," which we produced right after Xi Jinping announced it. And this report, of which Jason Ross is a coauthor on "Extending the New Silk Road to West Asia and Africa: A Vision of an Economic Renaissance."

Q: Hi, I'm Donald C. My quick question is about the liberals. How are they teaching curriculums to our kids, and they're not giving them the chance to learn the right stuff, and they're just forcing the kids what their beliefs are?

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Yes, that is a big problem, because it started with John Dewey, to basically develop this liberal pragmatic idea of education. And unfortunately, you have generations of people who have gone through different phases of such elimination of Classical literature, of natural science, so it is a real problem. And I would think that the best way to

proceed on that, is if you look at the kind of materials which we present in the present [What Is the New Paradigm?] class series, which you can find on the LaRouche PAC site, and you can register to be part of it, this is the kind of curriculum which was developed especially with the ideas of my husband, Mr. LaRouche, who did an incredible job, because he revived the best traditions of the 2,500 years of European civilization, the traditions in science which were the source of qualitative progress, the great Classical arts; and this is something which is not taught in American schools, for the most part.

And I think we have to form, basically, educated people, who then hopefully, we can influence this present administration to change that. I think President Trump has repeatedly shown, at least for certain areas, an understanding; he talked about the American System of economy; he talked about Lincoln and Carey, he talked about Hamilton. So in the economic field there is definitely something there, which we can build on. I think there are many other people are equally concerned about the condition of the school system. But I think the best thing is that you register for these classes [<http://discover.larouchepac.com/>]. And if you haven't already done it, you can also watch some of the previous classes in the series.^[6] Get yourself absolutely a firm grip on universal history, of the great advances in science and culture, and then, you know, basically help us to organize change.

Because it will come from many places. There are many people are realizing that at this point it is the scientists, the engineers and such people, who will be much more important in the shaping of things, than many politicians who are part of a party system and partisan, and therefore, don't really regard these issues are the important ones.

But the best advice I can give you right now, is if you join with our efforts, we find ways to address all of these issues, and build a growing movement to demand such a change.

Q: Thank you very much. Your comments were very insightful. I believe in the paradigm where the United States, Russia and China, essentially a triumvirate is essentially going to lead the world, hopefully forward and out of the morass that we've been in. Especially over the prior eight years before this current President came into office.

The question, I want to ask is, what do you perceive would be

the case – because I don't believe this economy in this country would have lasted another year, under the current policies. We would have had a significant economic drop which would have led to, since this country's GDP is 25 % of the world's, would have had a worldwide, negative impact. Having said that, what do you perceive would be the consequences in this country, or the for that matter the world, on the movement forward that has occurred, if President Trump did not have the position he has? He may be President, but he may have a weakened political system, in the sense of a House and the Senate: Would we be able to move forward? And what would be the consequences, and under what conditions could we move forward? Could this economy continue to grow if he can't implement his policies? What would be the international consequences of that, from your perspective?

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: I think the strongest situation is Trump's relation with his voters. That despite all of the attacks by the FBI, by the British, by the heads of the intelligence services from the Obama administration, – I mean, he had a pretty tough environment, and nevertheless, he goes back to his voters, he holds a rally, and the support for him is actually growing in the polls. So I think that that is for sure, a very strong point which we should build on, because if we keep strengthening that, and if we keep informing the Trump voters on all the issues we are discussing here, that can actually help to outdo the Congress and the Senate.

And since there is a midterm election, there is actually a very good moment to do that. I mean, the danger is naturally that Trump could be convinced that to take an anti-China stance would help him in the midterm election. I mean, I'm not sure; I'm not close enough to the situation to make a judgment on that. But I think the strong point is, Trump is close to his voters, the voters still recognize what a change he means, and I think that we need to have a mass mobilization – I think there is no shortcut from that; because the danger is very acute. What I said in my initial remarks, when we talk to some really well-placed figure in Europe, who said that there is a discussion to pull the rug out from under Trump with a new financial crash, and if you think that this is a conspiracy theory – well, maybe before the Skripal case, you also thought that such things are conspiracies, but we have just seen a classic example of how you can manipulate a whole international community of nations to go

into an attack on Russia, based on a lie! So these things do happen and they can happen.

Now, there are also many warnings. Just today, I think some representative of the firm of Guggenheim put out a warning on this corporate debt question that a financial crash can happen at any moment. And basically, you have the European banking system, the Italian banks are in terrible shape, you have a policy where the trigger point of a collapse of the financial system is many-fold. It's also like a minefield where it's not clear which mine will trigger the explosion, but once it happens, you could have a systemic blowout, much worse than that of 2008. Because the central banks have done absolutely zero, to eliminate the root causes of the crash of 2008. They have, instead, used the so-called tools and instruments – namely quantitative easing, negative interest rate, money pumping – but this has reached the point where now the Fed is forced, or think they are forced, to increase the interest rate, because a negative interest rate is very bad for the real economy, it's bad for the savings of the people, it's bad for life insurance, it's bad for real investment; and the hyperinflationary consequence of such money-pumping is already visible on the horizon in the form of the totally overvalued stock market, in the form of real estate prices, in the form of many other such phenomena. So the Fed needs to increase the interest rate, but that is already bringing the immediate potential for a new crash.

If that happens, I think we are in {real} trouble: So our whole point, is we need the implementation of Glass-Steagall, and the Four Laws developed by Lyndon LaRouche, before the crash happens. I think this is also a subject – there are these four dialogues which have been established between President Xi Jinping and Trump; one of them concerns the dialogue on economic matters; China has put a lot of emphasis on the dangers to the international financial system, at the G20 meeting in Hangzhou [in 2016] and on other occasions. So I think that this question needs to be urgently addressed, also between the United States and China in these negotiations.

And then, if you put the whole package together, the Four Laws – Glass-Steagall, a National Bank, a credit system in the tradition of Hamilton, a crash program for the increase in the productivity of the labor force, and then joining hands in the Belt and Road Initiative – all of these measures together are a very, very practical and realistic way to overcome these dangers.

But it is very urgent, because we are sitting on a powder keg, and I think it can be done, but we need a lot of people of good will to become active with us.

SARE: Helga, we're just about up on time. We have two more questions. Do you want to take both, or one, or?

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Well, maybe both together, and then I'll answer both.

Q: Hi Mrs. LaRouche. My question to you is, is that right after the shooting at Parkland, [Broward County] Sheriff Israel was all over the news speaking about going to all members of Congress to use the Baker Act, to detain and profile people that have experienced some sort of depression. And that's of great concern to me, because there are many people who have experienced that, and I feel this country is becoming more like Germany back in World War II. So I'm kind of scared, and I'd like to know, what's your opinion on it? Thank you.

SARE: OK, next question.

Q: Hello, my name is Steve S. I would just like to ask, how much of a role do you think that psychological warfare plays in everything that's going on? And how can we counter it? Are there people out there who specialize in psychological warfare? I hear people talk about history being erased; you know, the projection of violence through videos and commercials and that matter.

So, a lot of people are very confused, as well as myself, even when you find something that you believe in sometimes, it's presented in a way that you accept it in the beginning, and then it comes out to be a lie. And right now, clearly, lie is just pounding on the truth. I mean, you have one truth, but you have so many lies that it seems too overwhelming to survive.

SARE: Thank you.

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: I think the concerns that both of you expressed is very real. I mean, it is the fact that the West is already living in a police-state. Just take the recent example of the Facebook firm, Cambridge Analytica – they sold data on 50

million people for commercial purposes, for election manipulation and who knows for what else? If you go on the internet and you go on any website, you immediately have the advertisement for the next years of your life of whatever you looked at.

So we are already in a completely surveillance state, where the NSA and the British equivalent, the GCHQ, are monitoring everything – your phone, your smart TV, your laptop – it's omnipresent. And obviously this needs to be reversed.

In the time when I was growing up, being a young person, we had a big concern about data protection. It was a civil right to make sure that your privacy was protected. All of this has gone out of the window! And also, naturally, the big change came on September 11th. Because September 11th was the pretext for a lot of the elimination of civil rights which used to be a constitutional right up to that moment.

And therefore, I think the inquiry of what really happened on September 11th, is still one of the big tasks to be solved, because it led to police-state measures inside the United States. It led to a similar kind of change internationally. And right now, you have the ongoing trial of the families of the victims of the World Trade Center suing the government of Saudi Arabia for their role in the September 11th attacks. And the Saudi government tried to appeal against the lawsuit, and a court in New York overruled that, so the court case can go ahead. Now, this goes very slowly, but this is a very important aspect; because eventually, we have to go back to a constitutional state. So you are quite right to be concerned, because there *is* a lot of this going on.

Again, I think there is no shortcut: We need more people taking an active role, and force the coming Congress to pass laws to protect the rights of the people again. This is absolutely possible. The whole argument, for example, that you cannot control these things, or not control the internet, is absolutely not true: You can block certain things, you can prevent things, you can make laws which prohibit the profiling; you can make laws which it a criminal act to do all of these things you are worried about. So it's not a self-evident development.

But I think it does require that more people become state citizens: A state citizen, I would define a somebody who takes responsibility not only for his life, his family, his country, but for the outcome of human history. And I think to be such a world historical individual in a moment like that, where the

options are so rich, and so beautiful that there is no reason to despair, but it is really the individual decision, to be part of the solution which can and will make the difference. [applause]

SARE: Thank you. That was very beautiful and appropriate. Do you wish to say anything else to us?

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Well, just be happy, and be productive, and feisty, and courageous, and then you can do everything you plan to do.

SARE: Thank you very much! [applause]

[1]

<http://schillerinstitut.dk/si/category/nyheder/alle-kategorier/oekonomi/larouches-fire-love-feature/>

[2] Kan læses på engelsk her:

http://www.larouchepub.com/eiw/public/2018/eirv45n06-20180209/22-28_4506.pdf

Dansk oversættelse er undervejs.

[3] Læs en dansk introduktion til rapporten af de to forfattere, Jason Ross og Husein Askary, her: <http://schillerinstitut.dk/si/?p=23600>

[4] Abraham Lincolns Gettysburg-tale: » – *and that government of the people, by the people, for the people, shall not perish from the earth*«.

[5] Martin Luther Kings berømte tale 'I have been to the mountain top' fra 3. April, 1968, kan læses her:

<http://www.americanrhetoric.com/speeches/mlkivebeentothemountaintop.htm>

[6] Se lektionerne i dansk oversættelse her:

<http://schillerinstitut.dk/si/category/lpac-new-paradigme/>

Kreativitetens musik.

LaRouchePAC's Undervisningsserie 2018

»Hvad er det Nye Paradigme?« Lektion

4,

17. marts, 2018: pdf, dansk/engelsk;

video

I dag vil jeg guide jer til den fremtidige renæssance af klassisk kultur, som jeg er overbevist om, ikke ville have været mulig uden Lyndon LaRouches opdagelser om kreativitetens forrang, ikke blot i menneskelige relationer, men også i universet som helhed. Jeg træder i baggrunden til fordel for Lyndon LaRouche selv; og til fordel for forskellige uddrag af hans mange skrifter, og ligeledes klip fra video og audio, håber jeg at kunne komme ind på de hovedtemaer, som har optaget ham hele hans liv, som begyndte i 1922. Dette vil også være meget nyttigt, for det vil gøre det muligt for os at fortsætte, hvor Dennis Small slap i den foregående lektion, hvor han talte om den særdeles uheldige David Hume. Jeg vil diskutere den ondartede indflydelse fra den måske ondeste filosof til alle tider, en person, der er baseret på Hume, men som gjorde noget endnu værre; nemlig Immanuel Kant.

[Download \(PDF, Unknown\)](#)

Hvad er geopolitik? Anden del: Er du

human,
eller Hume-an? Filosofien bag
geopolitik.
LaRouche PAC's Undervisningsserie
2018,
»Hvad er det Nye Paradigme?«
Lektion 3, 3. marts, 2018; pdf,
dansk, og video

Så for Leibniz er mennesket ikke Gud, men det er i stand til skabende fornuft af den form, som Gud har begavet det med i universets udvikling. Så for Leibniz er mennesket skabende, som det også er for Cusanus. Denne kreativitet, og kun denne kreativitet, er det, som skænker mennesket fri vilje. Med andre ord, så er mennesket i stand til at gøre noget, eller ikke gøre noget; eller at gøre A eller B; der er fri vilje. Og det er det samme som kreativitet, mener jeg, det er rimelig indlysende. Men det er også kilden til moral. Så kreativitet, fri vilje og moral er i realiteten det samme, videnskabelige begreb. Af den grund, siger Leibniz, så er det, der er formålet med vores liv, eftersom vi har fået denne kreative evne, at få det, han faktisk kalder lykke (happiness), at udvikle stræben efter lykke. Han siger ikke 'liv, frihed og stræben efter nydelse'; han siger ikke 'liv, frihed og stræben efter at undgå smerte'; han siger, 'liv, frihed og stræben efter lykke', som han undertiden også kalder 'felicity' (det betyder også lykke).

Dette er altså det stik modsatte af Benthams idé om nydelse; det er lige så modsatrettet som Satan er til Gud.

[Download \(PDF, Unknown\)](#)

Billede: 'Lysternes have'. Maleri af Hieronymus Bosch, 1403-15.

Hvorfor geopolitik fører til krig – Og en sejr i Abuja, Afrika. Helga Zepp-LaRouche i Nyt Paradigme Webcast, 1. marts, 2018 (pdf, dansk, og video)

Er det virkelig OK med narkoepidemien, der i USA har ført til et fald i den generelle levetid; guvernør Bevin påpegede det faktum, at nogle af disse sataniske budskaber også er i teksterne i popmusikken, i filmene, i videospillene – bør vi tillade alt dette, og få vore samfund totalt ødelagt? Der er en virkning af alt dette på de kognitive evner! Hvis man ønsker Lyndon LaRouches Fire Love som den eneste løsning til at undgå systemets kollaps, jamen, især den fjerde lov kræver et forceret program for fusionskraft, for international rumforskning og rumrejser. Man kan ikke have folk med ødelagte hjerner, fordi de er afhængige af disse ting, og så få dem til at blive kreativ, produktiv arbejdskraft.

Så det er én og samme diskussion, vi har brug for – vi har brug for et Nyt Paradigme, og vi må have et uddannelsessystem, der understreger skønheden i klassisk kultur, der understreger karakterens skønhed som et udviklingsmål. Det var Wilhelm von Humboldts idé, som trods alt havde indflydelse på meget af undervisningssystemet i Europa og USA i det 19. århundrede, og det holdt sig endda til langt ind i det 20. århundrede, og han havde den idé, at formålet med uddannelse må være karakterens skønhed. Hvem taler om dette nu om stunder? Hvis man tager nogle af disse børn, der er afhængige af disse voldsvideospil, eller endnu værre, der kigger på forfærdeligt materiale på Internettet, hvor der bruges tortur og sådanne ting, og som virkelig bliver ødelagt. Deres hjerner bliver fuldstændig ødelagt!

Eftersom guvernør Bevin har krævet en national debat om dette, og præsident Trump heldigvis også ønsker at tage dette spørgsmål op, mener jeg, vi må have en sådan debat, for det er efter min mening en integreret del af USA's tilslutning til det Nye Paradigme og den Nye Silkevej, for vi kan ikke have, at dette

fortsætter.

Schiller Instituttet har i mange år bevist, at, med klassisk musik, med klassisk poesi, med Schiller, med Shakespeare, kan man transformere folk og få en æstetisk opdragelse, og det er præcis, hvad vi har brug for lige nu.

[Download \(PDF, Unknown\)](#)

En afslutning af geopolitik; en afslutning af Det britiske Imperiums bestialske menneskebegreb

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 24. feb., 2018 – Den 24. feb. udgav Demokraterne i Kongressen deres respons til den ødelæggende afsløring, som FBI, Justitsministeriet og deres kriminelle partnere har været udsat for som et resultat af Nunes-memoet og relaterede rapporter – og som i særdeleshed inkluderer *EIR's* dossier, som totalt afslører Storbritanniens Mueller-operation. Det 10 sider lange Demokratiske memo var intet andet end et skamløst forsvar for FBI, Justitsministeriet og den særlige anklager Robert Mueller, baseret på skamløse løgne og sofisteri, der ville have gjort Trasymachos og Kallikles stolte.

Men husk, hvem og hvad det er, som Mueller et al. faktisk forsvarer gennem deres kampagne for at vælte USA's valgte præsident: Det britiske Imperiums gamle, døende paradigme. Dette paradigme er baseret på alle-mod-alle krigsførelse; brutal økonomisk udplyndring af underkastede befolkninger (inklusive den amerikanske befolkning); og, frem for alt, et bestialsk menneskebillede, der er blevet omhyggeligt næret og spredt over hele planeten.

Dette – og ikke en eller anden profileret debat omkring våbenloven – er det spørgsmål, som den nylige massakre på skoleelever i Florida stiller, og de *duzinvis* og atter *duzinvis* af lignende hændelser, der har fundet sted i hele landet i løbet af de seneste år. Som guvernør for Kentucky Matt Bevin understregede i et nyligt videointerview, der cirkuleres bredt på internettet, så er problemet, at hele nutidens amerikanske kultur har hærget vores ungdom. »Vi har en kultur, der er desensibiliseret over for døden, over for værdien af liv, og vi fejrer død gennem vore musikalske tekster, vi fejrer døden gennem videospil, der bogstavelig talt belønner dig med ekstra points for at gå tilbage og gøre det af med folk.«

Selv om Bevin ikke påpegede dem, der er ansvarlige for krisen, og heller ikke foreslog en positiv løsning til den, så opfordrede han til en presserende nødvendig, national debat. I dag understregede Helga Zepp-LaRouche, at Bevins bemærkninger er et meget vigtigt bidrag til denne debat, der også må omfatte de nødvendige, økonomiske politikker, som Lyndon LaRouche unikt har specificeret. Hun satte det kulturelle forfald og lammelsen med hensyn til nødvendige, økonomiske politikker, som gennemsyrrer USA og Europa, op imod Kinas optimistiske fremstød for udvikling – som det reflekteres i deres annoncering i går af investeringer på over \$1,5 billion i »en masse store projekter« inklusive infrastruktur og ny, hightech industrisektorer.

Hvis vi vitterligt skal gøre 2018 til året, hvor geopolitik endelig lægges i graven, sådan, som Zepp-LaRouche har opfordret til, så må dette også være året, hvor Det britiske Imperiums bankerotte finanssystem begravnes, sammen med dets bestialske menneskebegreb. Som fr. Zepp-LaRouche understregede mod slutningen af sit webcast den 22. feb.:[\[1\]](#)

»Vi må blot gå tilbage til det højeste, kulturelle niveau i hver nation. I USA ville det selvfølgelig sige Benjamin Franklin, de grundlæggende fædre, John Quincy Adams, Lincoln, Franklin D. Roosevelt, Kennedy – disse perioder, hvor USA havde en positiv vision af sin rolle. Jeg mener, John Quincy Adams havde f.eks. en tilgang til udenrigspolitik, der ligner meget det, Kina gør i dag. Benjamin Franklin var en absolut entusiastisk elev af Konfutsen, og han brugte Konfutses filosofi til at udvikle sit eget moralsystem! Det er denne form for diskussioner, der virkelig ville hjælpe ...

I Tyskland er vi velsignet med en meget rig kultur: Vi har haft mange, mange tænkere, fra Nikolaus von Kues, Kepler og til Leibniz. Vi har haft mange klassiske komponister, fra Bach til Beethoven, Schubert, Schumann og mange andre. Vi har haft fantastiske digtere, som Schiller, Lessing, Heine, Möricke og endnu mange flere. I Italien havde vi den Gyldne Renæssance, i Spanien havde vi

den Andalusiske Renæssance. Vi havde Kalifatet i Bagdad – i en bestemt periode under Abbaside-dynastiet var Bagdad verdens mest udviklede by! Så var der de forskellige århundreder, hvor Kina var den førende nation med hensyn til videnskab og kultur. Så det, vi må gøre, er, at vi må aktivere det bedste potentiale i hver enkelt nation. For dette er ved at gå tabt ...

Vi må skille os af med en masse af den nuværende, populistiske kultur. Vi må komme af med denne idé om, at 'penge skaber penge', vi må holde op med at spille vores tid på spekulation, på videospil, eller – folk er virkelig ved at miste deres kreative potentiale! Men man kan genvinde det ved at studere klassisk musik, klassisk poesi, ved at læse filosofierne, Platon, Cusanus, Leibniz, originalværkerne ... jeg mener, det ville være meget let at skabe en ny renæssance for tankegang. Og jeg mener, at den nye, økonomiske verdensorden, den Nye Silkevej, Bælte & Vej Initiativet, sluttelig kun vil kunne lykkes, hvis den ledsages af en renæssance for klassisk kultur ...

Det er et presserende spørgsmål, hvis vi ikke ønsker at se flere rædselsforestillinger som skoleskyderierne, som jeg mener – selvfølgelig er diskussionen om våbenloven vigtig – men det er i realiteten vigtigere at give mennesker en indre styrke, fornemmelsen af indre skønhed, så de ikke går i denne retning. Der er mange forstyrrede mennesker, der absolut kunne blive reddet, hvis der var en seriøs indsats for en æstetisk uddannelse eller opdragelse, en opdragelse af deres karakters moralske skønhed, hvilket er grunden til, at man har brug for klassisk kultur og ikke en moderne version af poesi og drama. For *kun*, hvis man har det højeste ideal om mennesket, mennesket som en skøn sjæl, som en skøn karakter, kan uddannelsessystemet vaccinere folk imod sådanne rædselsforestillinger. Og jeg ville virkelig ønske, at folk ville slutte sig til os i denne bestræbelse.«

Foto: Barack Obama modtager Dronning Elizabeth II og Prins Philip, hertug af Edinburgh, før en middag til ære for dronningen i Winfield House i London, 25. maj, 2011. (Official White House Photo)

[1] Læs hele webcastet her (dansk): <http://schillerinstitut.dk/si/?p=23890>

»Tiden er inde til at lukke britiske

imperieoperationer ned«

Helga Zepp-LaRouche i ugentlig

international webcast. pdf og video

Så vil jeg gerne sige noget om de subjektive grunde til, at jeg, på trods af alle disse farer, er fundamentalt meget optimistisk: Og der er ikke er nogen pointe i at være bekymret. Man må have en vision for, hvor man med sit liv vil bidrage til forbedringen af den menneskelige race. Jeg har en vision, der ikke er helt identisk med Xi Jinpings, men min vision er også meget lig min mands, med hvem jeg i 40 år har arbejdet på dette, at vi har en verden, hvor hvert enkelt menneske på denne planet kan få et anstændigt liv, kan opnå at opfylde hele det potentiale, som det enkelte menneske har, og at menneskeheden kan blive voksen! Vi kan gå tilbage til de værdier, der er karakteristiske for den Amerikanske Revolution, for den Tyske Klassik, for den Italienske Renæssance og andre af kulturens højdepunkter. Jeg er forhåbningsfuld mht., at vi kan få en kulturel renæssance for klassisk musik, klassisk poesi, og eftersom Kina allerede er på denne kurs ved at genoplive den konfutsianske tradition og lægger stor vægt på klassisk kultur og videnskabelige gennembrud, mener jeg, at Vesten virkelig bør gentænke, hvad vore bidrag til universalhistoriens fremme var, og dernæst genoplive dem og få en dialog mellem kulturer med alle landes bedste traditioner.

Jeg mener, at dette er menneskets natur.

[Download \(PDF, Unknown\)](#)

»Den Nye Silkevej er en ny model for internationale relationer«

Hovedtale af Helga Zepp-LaRouche på Schiller Institut konference, 25.-26. nov., 2017, Frankfurt, Tyskland:

»At opfylde menneskehedens drøm«

»Jeg mener, at den Nye Silkevej er et typisk eksempel på en idé, hvis tid er kommet; og når en idé på denne måde først er ved at blive en materialistisk virkelighed, bliver den til en fysisk kraft i universet. Jeg har personligt haft mulighed for at se udviklingen af denne idé, der på mange måder reelt set begyndte med dette store menneske – min ægtemand, Lyndon LaRouche; der, for mange årtier siden – for næsten et halvt århundrede siden – fik ideen om en ny, retfærdig, økonomisk verdensorden. Dette blev dernæst mere manifest i 1970'erne, '80erne og især i 1991, da Sovjetunionen opløstes, og hvor denne idé om at skabe en ny, retfærdig, økonomisk verdensorden blev meget fremtrædende.«

[Download \(PDF, Unknown\)](#)

Vi er vidne til indvielsen af en helt ny æra på planeten. LaRouchePAC Internationale Webcast, 1. dec., 2017

Vært Matthew Ogden: Godaften; det er 1. dec., og dette er vores strategiske fredags-webcast fra larouchepac.com.

Vi har meget stof at gennemgå i aften, for vi bliver i øjeblikket vidne til

indvielsen af en helt ny æra på denne planet. Det, vi bliver vidne til, især i løbet af den seneste uge, siden afslutningen af den ekstraordinært historiske Schiller Institut-konference, der fandt sted nær Frankfurt, Tyskland, i sidste weekend, er den kendsgerning, at den Nye Silkevejsdynamik – denne dynamik med store projekter og »win-win«-samarbejde, der er blevet initieret af Kina – denne Nye Silkevejsdynamik er nu den dominerende og virkelig uimodståelige dynamik på denne planet. Dette er noget, der fuldstændig er i færd med at omforme alle nationers politik på denne planet. Og tyngdecentret er skiftet væk fra det gamle paradigme, som vi har set i det transatlantiske system, og til dette Nye Paradigme, der nu har fået overtaget pga. de initiativer, som frem for alt Kina har taget.

Jeg vil gerne lægge ud med at afspille et kort uddrag af Helga Zepp-LaRouches ekstraordinære hovedtale, som hun holdt på denne konference, der var sponsoreret af Schiller Instituttet nær Frankfurt, Tyskland, i sidste weekend. Konferencens titel var »At opfylde menneskehedens drøm«, og titlen på Helga Zepp-LaRouches hovedtale var »Den Nye Silkevej; Den nye model for internationale relationer«. Her er et kort uddrag af Helgas tale:

(Se hele Helgas video og tale i dansk oversættelse her:

<http://schillerinstitut.dk/si/?p=22734>)

(Her følger engelsk udskrift af resten af webcastet)

HELGA ZEPP-LAROCHE

: So, let me start with an idea of Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz. He said that we are actually living in the best of all possible worlds. This is a very fundamental ontological conception. It's the idea that we are living in a developing universe; that what makes the universe the best of all possible ones is its tremendous potential for development. It is in such a way created, that every great evil challenges an even greater good to come into being. I think when we are talking about the New Silk Road and the tremendous changes which have occurred in the world, especially in the last four years, it is actually exactly that principle working. Because it was the absolute manifest lack of development of the old world order which caused the impulse of China and the spirit of the New Silk Road having caught on that now many nations of the world are absolutely determined to have a development giving a better life to all of their people.

Now, I think that the New Silk Road is a typical example of an idea whose time had come; and once an idea is in that way becoming a material reality, it becomes a physical force in the universe.

Now the Chinese Ambassador to Washington, Cui Tiankai, recently made the point, that there were 16 times in world history, when a rising country would surpass the dominant country up to that point. In twelve cases it led to a war, and in four cases the rising country just peacefully took over. He said that China wants neither, but we want to have a completely different system of a "win-win" relationship of equality and respect for each other.

Obviously, the most important question strategically, if you think about it, is that we can avoid the so-called Thucydides trap. That was the rivalry between Athens and Sparta in the 5th Century BC, which led to the Peloponnesian War and the demise of ancient Greece. If this were to occur today between the United States and China in the age of thermonuclear weapons, I think nobody in their right mind could wish that; and therefore, we should all be extremely happy that Trump and Xi Jinping have developed this very important relationship. I stuck my neck out in the United States in February of this year by saying, if President Trump manages to get a good relationship between the United States and China, and between the United States and Russia, he will go down in history as one of the greatest Presidents of the United States. Naturally, everybody was completely freaked out because that is not the picture people are supposed to have about Trump. But I think if you look at what is happening, you will see that Trump is on a very good way to accomplish exactly that. So, he came back from this Asia trip with \$253 billion worth of deals with China. I watched the press conference of the Governor of West Virginia, Jim Justice, where he said that now, because of China, there is hope in West Virginia. West Virginia is a totally depressed state; they have unemployment and a drug epidemic. But he said now we can have value-added production, we will have a bright future. So, the spirit of the New Silk Road has even caught on in West Virginia. Obviously the United States has an enormous demand for infrastructure, especially now after the destruction of all these hurricanes; which just to restore what has been destroyed requires \$200 billion, not even talking about disaster prevention. So, this is all on a good way that China will invest in the infrastructure in the United States, and

vice versa; US firms will cooperate in projects of the Belt and Road Initiative.

So, just think about it, because almost everything I'm saying goes against everything you hear in the Western media. But think: From whom comes the motion for peace and development? Is it coming from those who attack Putin, Xi, and Trump? And those who side with Obama? It's obviously time for people to rethink how the Western viewpoint is on all of these matters. Or change the glasses which they have to look at the world.

OGDEN: So, as you heard from Helga Zepp-LaRouche, that was just a short excerpt from her speech, but she said we have to change the glasses through which we look at the world. That's what she did really with the entirety of her keynote address; which was an hour long. It is available on the newparadigm.schillerinstitute.org website right now; but she really did change the glasses, through which people should see the world; both by reviewing what the strategic breakthroughs have been in terms of the New Silk Road dynamic which has been sweeping the planet and supplanting this outmoded and failed geopolitical world order which has brought the world really to the edge of what she said; this Thucydides trap and the danger of thermonuclear war. But she also did some very extraordinary; she took the audience back through the history of the relationship between the Confucianism of China and the Leibnizian philosophy of Europe. This was the best of European culture, and really the consolidation of the Renaissance culture of Europe. What Gottfried Leibniz was able to do in his time, recognizing the failures of European culture due to the kinds of rivalries between these warring empires and what had really turned into a corruption and a rot at the core of the European system at that time; he said the future can be secured if we recognize the best of European culture – the Christianity and the heritage of the Greek philosophy which built European culture; but put this together with the aspects of Chinese Confucianism which are in fact harmonious with the best of the ideas of European philosophy. He pointed out, that the idea of an understanding of

the pre-established harmony between man's creative mind and the created universe is something, which indeed is recognized in Leibnizian European philosophy; but is also at the core of Confucian philosophy.

Helga Zepp-LaRouche said that in a very real way, Xi Jinping has reflected a profound understanding of this kind of harmonious relationship between man and the created world, and also between the nations of this planet, and has given it a substance; actualized this idea through the form of the New Silk Road. She also reviewed the history of her husband's – Lyndon LaRouche's – role in creating the basis of the ideas that are now taking their form in this New Paradigm of development coming out of China and the Belt and Road Initiative. She traced it all the way back to a paper that Lyndon LaRouche had written in the 1970s about the development of Africa, and the fact that his ideas – which were at the core of that vision – are now what are actually taking place in Africa and other nations that are being touched by the Belt and Road Initiative. Again, this is an extraordinary keynote address, and we would encourage you to watch the speech in its entirety.

But after Helga LaRouche's keynote, the conference – which was a two-day conference – unfolded; and it was a series of extraordinary panel after extraordinary panel. The first panel was titled "The Earth's Next Fifty Years"; obviously taking that from the title of a wonderful book that was published by Lyndon LaRouche over a decade ago. But this panel began with a keynote by Professor He Wenping, who's the Director of African Studies at the Chinese Academy of Social Sciences in Beijing. The speech was "President Xi's Perspective for the Year 2050 and the Perspective of African Development". That was followed by the former Transport Minister of Egypt, who gave a speech called "Integration of Egypt's Transportation Plans 2030 with the New Silk Road Project". Then, there was a statement from George Lombardi, who is the former social media consultant to President Donald Trump; and his speech was titled "The Trump Administration: Impending Economic Policies and Media Discord". Then that panel concluded with a speech by Marco Zanni, who is a member of the European Parliament from Italy. His speech was titled "A Future for Europe after the Euro".

Panel I was followed by Panel II, which was the second panel of the first day, which was titled "The Need for Europe To Cooperate with China in the Industrialization of Africa and the Middle East; Transaqua as the Rosetta Stone of the Continent's Transformation". This began with an extensive speech by Hussein Askary, who is the Southwest Asia coordinator for the Schiller Institute. This was on "Extending the Silk Road into Southwest

Asia and Africa; A Vision of an Economic Renaissance". The bulk of this is also actually included in a new Special Report that is just been published by the Schiller Institute, that was jointly written by Hussein Askary and Jason Ross. He was followed by the Foreign Director of the Bonifaca S.p.A., Italy, company, which is actually involved with China in building this Transaqua project. It's called the Italy-China Alliance for Transaqua. Then, the General Consul to Frankfurt from Ethiopia spoke – Mehreteab Mulugeta Haile. The title of his speech was "The Need for Europe to Cooperate with China in the Industrialization of Africa". Then that panel concluded with a speech by the Executive Manager of Pyramids International called "Egypt's 2030 Mega Projects: Investment Opportunities for Intermodal and Multimodal Connectivity".

The third panel took place on the second day of the conference, and that panel was titled "Europe As the Continent of Poets, Thinkers, and Inventors: An Optimistic Vision for the Future of Europe". It was keynoted by Jacques Cheminade, who's the former Presidential candidate in France. His speech was titled "What Europe Should Contribute to the New World Paradigm". Then, Dr. Natalia Vitrenko, who's the chairwoman of the Progressive Socialist Party of Ukraine, gave a speech – "China's Initiative from the Doom of Self-Destruction, to Prosperity and Progress; A View from Ukraine". Then, a speech from a representative from Serbia; an author and journalist named Dr. Jasminka Simic. Her speech was titled "One Belt, One Road – An Opportunity for Development in the Western Balkans". Then that panel concluded with a speech from Bulgarian Academy of Sciences Professor Mariana Tian – "Bulgaria's Contribution to the Belt and Road Initiative".

There were also two other speeches; the chair of the Anglo-Hellenic and Cypriot Law Association, and the founding Director of the China Africa Advisory.

Then, the concluding panel of the entire conference, Panel IV; "The System We Live in Is Not Earthbound – Future Technologies and Scientific Breakthroughs". This was keynoted by Jason Ross, scientific advisor to the Schiller Institute. His speech was titled "The Scientific Method of LaRouche". He was followed by Prof. Dr. Helmut Alt, from the University of Applied Sciences in Aachen; who gave a speech – "Energy Transition; From Bad to Worse". Then that concluded with Dr. Wentao Guo, from Switzerland – "Current Situation of High Temperature Gas-Cooled

Reactors in China”.

Then there was an extensive Q&A period after that, in which there was very important input from the audience. The attendees at this event – which you could see just from the speaker’s list alone – represented countries from Western Eurasia, from Central Europe, from Africa, from the United States, from Western Europe, from Scandinavia, from really literally all over the world. This was an extraordinary conference.

There was a resolution that was adopted at the concluding of the conference that I’d like to put on the screen here [Fig. 1]. The resolution is taking a note from what China has committed itself to – eliminating poverty by the year 2020 in China. So, this is the resolution adopted by the Schiller Institute conference in Bad Soden, Germany:

“At this conference, with the title ‘Fulfilling the Dream of Mankind,’ we discussed the incredible transformation of the world catalyzed by the Chinese initiative of the New Silk Road. The Belt and Road Initiative, which is creating optimism in Asia, Africa, Latin America, more and more states in Europe, and after the state visit of President Trump in China, in several states within the United States.

“The Belt and Road Initiative has the concrete perspective on how poverty and underdevelopment can be overcome through investment in infrastructure, industry and agriculture, based on scientific and technological progress. The Chinese government which uplifted 700 million out of poverty in the last 30 years, has now proclaimed the goal to lift the remaining 42 million people living in poverty out of their condition, and create a decent living standard for the entire Chinese population by the year 2020.

“Within the European Union, there are living approximately 120 million people below the poverty line, according to our own criteria characterizing the costs of life. Given the fact that Europe is still an economic powerhouse, there is no plausible reason why Europe cannot uplift these 120 million people out of poverty by the year 2020, as well. The best way to accomplish this is for the EU, all European nations, to accept the offer by China to cooperate with China in the Belt and Road Initiative on a ‘win-win’ basis.

“We, the participants of the Schiller Institute conference, call on all elected officials to join this appeal to the European

governments. Should we in Europe not be proud enough to say, if the Chinese can do this, we can do it, too?"

As you can see here, newparadigm.schillerinstitute.com, that is the location of the proceedings of this conference which will be published as they're prepared; but also, that resolution that I just read to you, is available on that website –newparadigm.schillerinstitute.com – and it's collecting signatures. It's something that you can add your name to and you can circulate that. Obviously, it applies not only to Europe, but applies to the United States as well; this goal of eliminating poverty by building infrastructure and high technology projects to increase the living standards and the productivity of our populations; as China is doing through the Belt and Road Initiative. This is what can be accomplished in the United States. We'll review a little bit of that.

I do want to note that Helga Zepp-LaRouche made a special notice of the statement by West Virginia Governor Jim Justice after he secured \$87 billion in joint investment into the state of West Virginia; which is greater than the entire GDP of that state. This accomplishment is really the spirit of the New Silk Road, which is now sweeping through the world and has even taken hold in our very own state of West Virginia here in the United States.

Now, let's look at the extraordinary rate of developments that have occurred since this conference happened in Frankfurt, Germany last weekend. This is part of putting on those new glasses that Helga LaRouche talked about in order to see the world as it really is; not to see the world through the kind of spin and propaganda that you're inundated with on a daily basis by the media. If you were following the media, you would think, that the only issue on the table, are the series of sex scandals that are coming out from celebrities and news anchors and so forth and so on. And you would miss the fact that we are literally living in the absolute epicenter right now in history of a total paradigm shift in the history of mankind.

So, let's look at this extraordinary rate of developments.

This conference, obviously, in Europe – the Schiller Institute conference – took place right on the heels of President Trump's extraordinarily successful trip to Asia; where he had his state visit-plus visit with President Xi Jinping in China. And the \$250 billion worth of deals that were signed there for joint

investments, the fact that President Xi Jinping put directly on the table the idea of the United States and US businesses collaborating with the Belt and Road Initiative, and the fact that President Xi Jinping and President Trump solidified a very close personal relationship and really ushered in a new era of US-China collaboration. After that, just during the course of the last five days, you've seen what was just mentioned there in the resolution from the Frankfurt conference; that nations of Europe are now beginning to reach out and reciprocate the hand of friendship that's coming from China to participate in the Belt and Road Initiative.

This is taking place most significantly in the more impoverished countries of Eastern and Central Europe. We have the just-concluded 16+1 talks, which occurred in Budapest, Hungary. This is the meeting of the so-called CEEC, or the Central and Eastern European Countries – those are the 16; and then the +1 is China. So, this is the 16+1, the Central and Eastern European Countries plus China. What was discussed at this conference was the further coordination between these countries of Eastern Europe and the Chinese, especially on the idea of the Belt and Road Initiative; the New Eurasian Land-Bridge as it was termed by Helga and Lyndon LaRouche back in the 1980s. The core feature of that proposal back in the end of the 1980s, which gave birth to this idea of the Eurasian Land-Bridge, was the idea of taking these Eastern European countries – what had been formerly part of the Soviet Union or the Soviet space – and taking what was an under-developed area of Europe and developing it through bridging Western Europe with Russia and then beyond through these kinds of transportation corridors and high technology development grids. That's exactly what China was discussing with these countries in Eastern Europe during the 16+1 conference. These are mainly countries such as Hungary, Serbia, Poland, which really this is their conception of themselves; they serve as Europe's front door onto the New Silk Road. As the New Silk Road comes westward across Eurasia, the front door to Europe are these Eastern European countries. They have gone from being on the margins of Europe with under-development and poverty and prolonged unemployment and these other crises, they've gone from being on the margins to being at the very center of this new dynamic which is sweeping from the East.

This is referred to in Hungary as their "eastward opening";

that Hungary's future is to orient towards this new era of development which is coming from Eurasia, rather than orienting towards the collapsing system of Western Europe and the failed EU. Zhang Ming, who's China's ambassador to the European Union, published an article that was published immediately prior to the 16+1 meeting on November 27th, in which he emphasized the central role of the Belt and Road Initiative in China's policy towards Europe. He said, "As China and Europe work together to synergize the Belt and Road Initiative, the 16 CEEC countries will play a more prominent role as a hub which connects Asia and Europe. Faster development in CEEC countries contributes to a more balanced development across Europe and European integration." So, in other words, the faster development of these impoverished countries in Central and Eastern Europe will be a "win-win" for everybody involved. He used these words, that these countries will serve a "prominent role as a hub which connects Asia and Europe."

Then as Hungarian Prime Minister Viktor Orban stated a few weeks ago – and he was the host of this meeting in Budapest, Hungary obviously – but this was a statement that he made back in October. This is absolutely to the point of what we're discussing on this webcast today; this idea that the Belt and Road Initiative is now the irresistible and dominant dynamic on this planet. This is a quote from Prime Minister Orban: "The world's center of gravity is shifting from West to East. While there is still some denial of this in the Western world, that denial does not seem to be reasonable. We see the world economy's center of gravity shifting from the Atlantic region to the Pacific region. This is not my opinion, this is a fact." Now incidentally, that quote, that statement by Prime Minister Orban, is exactly the point that Lyndon LaRouche made in this book; this very prescient book that he published over a decade ago called {Earth's Next Fifty Years; The Coming Eurasian World}. In that book, Mr. LaRouche said the dominant dynamic of the world is going to be the rising countries of Asia; these are where the most concentrations of population are, this is the fastest rates of growth. And this is where the world's center of gravity is shifting economically; the coming Eurasian world, or the Pacific-centered world. So, this is a direct echo of exactly what Lyndon LaRouche said way back when before any of this economic miracle took place. But Mr. LaRouche was very prescient on that fact.

Now, while a number of leading European press outlets have been doing exactly what Viktor Orban said – denying this fact; trying to deny this inevitable fact that the center of gravity has shifted from West to East. You had, for example, the {Financial Times} ran an extensive article headlined “Brussels Rattled As China Reaches Out to Eastern Europe”; obviously just hysterical that these Eastern European countries are now oriented towards the Belt and Road Initiative. Despite that fact, there are some leading circles in Europe who are, indeed, recognizing that Europe’s future lies in joining this New Paradigm. Obviously, that could be seen from this extensive speaker’s list at the Schiller Institute conference in Frankfurt; but there was another very significant conference that occurred just a few days later this week in Paris. This was the first annual Paris Forum on the Belt and Road Initiative; so it’s going to take place very year. This is the first annual event. It was co-organized by the Chinese embassy and the French Institute for International and Strategic Affairs – IRIS is their acronym. This is the third largest think tank in Paris. The founding director is Pascal Boniface, who is very positive in terms of his attitude towards this idea of France and Europe as a whole joining with the Belt and Road Initiative. There were some 400 people in participation at this very important event. There were think tanks, there were civil servants, people from the French government, there were heads of different French companies – CEOs – retired military, there were cultural figures, and there were media who attended. Among them, the forum was addressed by the Chinese Ambassador to France, Zhai Jun. He put directly on the table, France, Europe should join this new emerging paradigm, this Belt and Road Initiative. This goes directly along with the attendance by Raffarin, the former Prime Minister of France to the Belt and Road Forum that occurred this past Spring in Beijing. There have been other prominent figures inside France who have done exactly what these people have done at this very significant event, and said “Look, this is the future of the world economy. The center of gravity has shifted, and we better get on board.” This was also the subject, by the way, of Jacques Cheminade’s speech at the Schiller Institute conference; and this is something that he’s been in extensive conversation with, with numerous leading figures inside France as part of his Presidential campaign. He even met with the former President of France, Francois Hollande, while he was President at the Elysée

Palace and discussed exactly this idea.

So, as you can see, the movers and shakers behind this, the ideas which are driving history, are really the leaders and the collaborators of the LaRouche Movement worldwide.

Let me shift focus now. We're continuing to catalog the extraordinary rate of developments that have occurred just over the last five days since this extraordinary conference in Frankfurt. Let's shift focus now to Latin America. We had the 11th China-Latin America-Caribbean Business Summit, which happened in Uruguay; actually it's still happening. It started yesterday, and it's going through this Sunday, so it's a four-day conference. This was to discuss the idea of how Western Hemisphere countries, especially countries in South and Central America, can participate in China's One Belt, One Road Initiative. Whereas this is the 11th annual conference between the Central and South American countries and China, this was by far the largest of these conferences to have taken place. There were over 2500 people in attendance, which included high-level businessmen, government officials, and policymakers from all over Latin America. One of the plenary sessions which took place at this conference was titled, "A New Vision of Collaboration Among China, Latin America, and the Caribbean in the Framework of the One Belt, One Road Strategy". So, that's explicit; this is the idea of Latin American joining the New Silk Road.

Just because we're discussing Latin America, there was a wonderful sentiment which was voiced by Chilean President Michelle Bachelet. This was a speech that she gave on November 23rd at the celebration of the 10th anniversary of the founding of the Confucius Institute in Chile. She said, "The world is orienting more than ever towards China and the Pacific Basin. Therefore, we know very well that our relationship with China and the Asia-Pacific in particular, is crucial for us to fulfill our destiny." She said, "Chile's relationship with China goes well beyond trade ties. It is one of our primary political partners on the path to opening integration and cooperation for progress." Then Michelle Bachelet said after she retires as the President of Chile, she intends to study the Chinese language in depth. So, that's a commitment that perhaps all heads of state should make, as we recognize that the center of gravity of the world's strategic and economic reality is shifting towards China. We did see that from President Trump's granddaughter, Arabella Kushner – that's Ivanka's daughter – where she recorded the song in

Mandarin Chinese. A video of her singing a song in Mandarin Chinese, and sent that as a goodwill offering to President Xi Jinping in China.

And one more item I should just note. This is a yet-unconfirmed report, but it's very credible, that Japan – now we've shifted from Europe to Central and South America, and now we're in the Asia Pacific. Japan is actively considering joint projects with Chinese companies on building the One Belt, One Road. This is hugely significant, judging by the historic conflicts between Japan and China, which have been played on by these Western geopoliticians for decades; to try to keep these two extraordinarily significant countries from collaborating. If Japan and China collaborate on the Belt and Road Initiative, this is a dynamic which is absolutely unstoppable. There was an article in a Japanese paper titled "Government To Help Japan, China Firms in Belt and Road". It reports that the Abe government is considering supporting companies to carry out joint projects with Chinese companies along the Belt and Road. I think underscoring this fact, as I stated in the beginning of today's broadcast, that the Belt and Road is an absolutely unstoppable and irresistible dynamic; which has now become dominant and is something which cannot be ignored. Underscoring that fact that, indeed, this New Silk Road is the dominant irresistible dynamic on this planet, here's a statement from the {Global Times} which is absolutely to the point. It says "Generally speaking, Japan's economy has been always greatly dependent on overseas markets. So, for the sustainable development of its economy, Japan needs access to the business opportunities offered by the vast infrastructure projects along the Belt and Road route." So, this is the sentiment that's being expressed by everybody. We go from the hosts of this first annual conference on the Belt and Road Initiative in Paris. Look at what Viktor Orban said at the 16+1 conference in Budapest, Hungary. Look at what Michelle Bachelet said in Chile at the Confucius Institute. Look at the statements that were made at this Central and South American-China Business Forum. Look at what's now being said in Japan. Look at the statements that were made at the Schiller Institute conference in Frankfurt. And look at what was done by President Trump during his trip to China, and the summit that he had with President Xi Jinping. Everything is being shaped by this initiative, by the New Silk Road; by this initiative which is coming out of China for "win-win" mutually beneficial

cooperation on great project development for the entire planet. This is the dominant of the future.

As Helga Zepp-LaRouche said, you need to put on the new set of glasses to be able to see reality as it really is; not through the skewed mirrors and the propaganda which is coming out of the Western media. I think that perhaps the best statement, and the most candid statement of all – of all of these statements about the reality of this future dynamic – and why the United States and Europe and South America and Asia need to jump on board with the New Silk Road, need to join with this new dynamic and catch this spirit of the New Silk Road; probably the best and most candid of those statements came out of Governor Jim Justice from West Virginia during his press conference that he gave there at the state capital, announcing this extraordinary \$87 billion deal between China and the state of West Virginia. Here's what Governor Jim Justice had to say:

GOVERNOR JIM JUSTICE

: And I would say to all of you all that may be doubters that this could become a reality, "Don't get on the wrong side of it." Because, really and truly, it's a comin'. It's a comin'."

OGDEN: "It's a comin'." I would say to all the doubters, "this could become a reality, 'Don't get on the wrong side of it.' Because it's a comin'," he said. "It's a comin'." So, that was actually from the conclusion of a really wonderful and important video that was just put on the LaRouche PAC website this week, all about West Virginia. West Virginia, which as Helga LaRouche said, is known across the country right now as the epicenter of poverty, unemployment, drug epidemic overdoses, and just general backward economic conditions. West Virginia could now become the cutting edge and the economic driver of the entire Appalachian region here in the United States because of this "win-win" investment that came from China. So, I would encourage you to watch that video in full on the LaRouche PAC website. But let me just say, this is an extraordinary rate of development of events that have occurred over the past five days. I think that anybody who is looking at the reality soberly and with clarity will see that, indeed, the efforts of the LaRouche

Movement over the past several years to put this question on the table; to put this idea of a New Paradigm of economic cooperation and “win-win” development, this New Silk Road – this Eurasian Land-Bridge, this World Land-Bridge idea. Put that on the table and to shape all of the discussions that are occurring at the highest levels of policymaking worldwide around that idea. I think that truly is becoming the dominant dynamic, and it’s a testament to the fact that a small handful of people with very powerful ideas, can indeed be very successful in shaping the course of world history.

Now, I would say that what Helga LaRouche began, those remarks that I played at the beginning of the show; this idea of the greatest, the best of all possible worlds – what Gottfried Leibniz had to say. This is an understanding of how the universe corresponds to the creative will of mankind. That there is a principle of good that is behind the creation, the creation of the universe; and that principle of good corresponds with the creative nature of mankind. And when mankind acts on that creative quality, and acts for the benefit of the greatest number of possible people, the greatest possible General Welfare; acts on the basis of this principle of good, that the universe corresponds and, indeed, responds. Because of this harmony, this pre-established harmony which Leibniz discussed. That was at the core of his understanding of the best of all possible worlds.

So, with that axiomatic understanding of the philosophical nature of what this effort is all about – to bring about a New Paradigm of human relations on this planet – let’s conclude with the concluding quote from Helga Zepp-LaRouche during her keynote at that Schiller Institute conference in Germany. Helga Zepp-LaRouche said the following: “If we revive the Classical culture of all nations, and enter a beautiful dialogue among them, mankind will experience a new renaissance and unleash an enormous creativity of the human species like never before.

“So, it is very good to live at this moment in history and contribute to make the world a better place. And it can be done, because the New Paradigm corresponds to the lawfulness of the physical universe in science, Classical art, and these principles. What will be asserted is the identity of the human species as {the} creative species in the universe.”

So, as Helga Zepp-LaRouche said, it is very good to live at this moment, and to contribute to this New Paradigm which is now emerging on this planet, and to contribute to the good of

mankind.

So, thank you very much for joining us here today. We strongly encourage you to not only watch Helga Zepp-LaRouche's keynote address in its entirety, but to stay tuned to that Schiller Institute channel as all of these panels, all of these videos, all of these presentations are produced and put up on the website for you to watch in their entirety. So, thank you for joining in, and let's continue to spread the spirit of the New Silk Road. Thank you and good night.

[Schiller Instituttets konference i Tyskland: Realiser menneskehedens drøm med Den Nye Silkevej. Politisk Orientering, 30. nov. 2017](#)

Tom Gillesberg: »Velkommen til vores første offentlige møde – i Danmark, vel at mærke – efter valget fandt sted. Vi kan jo begynde med at nyde synet af vore plakater her bagved, for de er desværre nu alle blevet taget ned; de hænger ikke længere i lygtepælene; København og de andre byer, hvor vi stillede op, er blevet lidt mere kedelige igen. Men vi kan begynde med at sige tak til alle dem, der stemte på os. Og jeg tror, jeg kan garantere, og de kan føle sig lidt som nogle små profeter, for de var i stand til at se det, som de fleste tilsyneladende ikke var i stand til at se; nemlig, at der er en ny, ustopkelig forandring i gang i verden, der også kommer til at svømme hen over Danmark, bare vent og se.

Nogle af os var privilegerede og fik en ekstra smag på det, i form af den konference, Schiller Instituttet netop har afholdt i Frankfurt, Tyskland, hvor vi havde en lang række talere – det vil jeg komme tilbage til – som faktisk befandt sig mere eller mindre inde i denne proces med at udbrede Bælte & Vej Initiativet til Asien, til Afrika; gøre det her til det Nye Paradigme, der regerer her på kloden...«

Hør hele Toms fremlæggelse:

»Den Nye Silkevej er en ny model for internationale relationer«

Hovedtale af Helga Zepp-LaRouche på Schiller Institut konference, 25.-26. nov., 2017, Frankfurt, Tyskland:
»At opfylde menneskehedens drøm«

Jeg mener, at den Nye Silkevej er et typisk eksempel på en idé, hvis tid er kommet; og når en idé på denne måde først er ved at blive en materialistisk virkelighed, bliver den til en fysisk kraft i universet. Jeg har personligt haft mulighed for at se udviklingen af denne idé, der på mange måder reelt set begyndte med dette store menneske – min ægtemand, Lyndon LaRouche; der, for mange årtier siden – for næsten et halvt århundrede siden – fik ideen om en ny, retfærdig, økonomisk verdensorden. Dette blev dernæst mere manifest i 1970'erne, '80erne og især i 1991, da Sovjetunionen opløstes, og hvor denne idé om at skabe en ny, retfærdig, økonomisk verdensorden blev meget fremtrædende.

[Download \(PDF, Unknown\)](#)

Den dybereliggende proces bag

Alma Deutschers musikalske geni (på dansk).

Af Michelle Rasmussen

Jeg ønsker at skrive skøn musik – musik, som gør verden bedre. – Alma Deutscher.

... Vores politiske bevægelse (Schiller Instituttet og LaRouche-bevægelsen) er dedikeret til ideen om, at alle børn kan blive genier, hvis deres kreative potentiale udvikles. Dette er Alma et bevis på.

Vi er overbevist om, at menneskehedens vigtigste udfordring består i at udvikle en strategi for udløsning af kreativiteten hos alle mænd, kvinder og børn, og at en afgørende metode til at opnå dette er gennem at genopleve fortidens kreative opdagelser. Også dette er Alma et bevis på.

Og vi er fast besluttet på at skabe en ny, global renæssance, for hvilken renæssance nye musikkompositioner, baseret på principperne for den mest storslåede, klassiske musik, vil være med til at vise vejen. Og igen, Almas unge, musikalske sind og sjæl beviser allerede, at dette er muligt.

[See also the english version of the article here.](#)

[Download \(PDF, Unknown\)](#)

Målestokken for strategisk succes

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 14. nov., 2017 – I bemærkninger på Air Force One på vej hjem fra Filippinerne til USA efter sin 12 dage lange, historieskabende rejse til Asien, karakteriserede præsident Donald Trump rejsen som »enormt succesfuld«, og at de indgåede aftaler til en værdi af \$300 mia. snart ville blive tredoblet.

Men, det er mere end dette.

I et par dusin amerikanske stater – fra Alaska til Vest Virginia og Montana –

der har direkte fordel af mange af disse aftaler, er en følelse af lettelse og endda optimisme ved at vende tilbage i takt med, at udsigten til at komme ud af landets lange, økonomiske mareridt begynder at tage form i folks sind.

Men det er også mere end dette.

En kronik i dag i Kinas *Global Times* går endnu videre og proklamerer i sin overskrift, at »USA's deltagelse i Bælte & Vej er uundgåelig« – et initiativ for en politik, der er markant knyttet til Lyndon og Helga LaRouche. Artiklens forfatter, Wang Yiwei, direktør for Institut for Internationale Anliggender ved Renmin Universitet, skriver, at handelsaftalerne fra præsident Trumps rejse til Kina »vil gøre det muligt for USA bedre at lære om mulighederne og udsigterne for økonomisk samarbejde. På denne baggrund er tiden inde for USA til at genoverveje en tilslutning til Bælte & Vej Initiativet, der tilbyder større rum for samarbejde«. Forfatteren Wang anbefaler endda, at de to lande kunne arbejde sammen om infrastruktur, måske først i udviklede lande, som i USA's Midtvesten, og at USA og Kina kunne oprette en »global infrastruktur-investeringsbank«.

Men, målestokken for strategisk succes er mere end selv dette.

Vi står, udtalte Helga Zepp-LaRouche i dag, over for en situation med endnu større muligheder end situationen i 1989, hvor Berlinmurens fald åbnede for muligheden for, at menneskeheden kunne erstatte den bankerotte, transatlantiske, gamle orden med en politik for et Nyt Paradigme, som Lyndon LaRouche og hans bevægelse på det tidspunkt specificerede. Denne chance blev på tragisk vis forspildt, har fr. Zepp-LaRouche gentagne gange udtalt.

»Dengang havde vi kun vore ideer«, forklarede hun i dag, »men man havde ingen kræfter, de ville gennemføre dem. Men nu har vi verdens største land, der går i retning af at gennemføre det, allieret med 70 andre lande. Vi har hele udviklingen i Asien, der er totalt domineret af dette nye paradigme. Og denne kendsgerning er nu også ved at slå igennem i USA og Europa.«

Det, vi er oppe imod i denne kamp i dag, er Det britiske Imperiums liberale *establishment* og deres hjernevask af befolkningen gennem organisationer såsom Kongressen for Kulturel Frihed (CCF). »Vi angreb CCF i hele denne periode«, erklærede Zepp-LaRouche. »De formede hele efterkrigstidens kulturelle paradigme. Hele den venstre-liberale elite og dens aksiomer kom fra den kulturelle hjernevask, som udførtes af CCF, der blev finansieret af CIA og Udenrigsministeriet. Og hertil kom, at vi også havde Frankfurterskolen; dernæst havde vi 68'erne; og dernæst blev det 'Grønne' paradigme gennemført. Så hvis man ser på blandingen af hele denne hjernevask, så har man eliten af neo-liberalt

etablissement, som nu er ved at gå under, og som flipper ud over Trump og selvfølgelig over Kina og Rusland osv.

»Dette er et forkert livssyn, et forkert syn på verden«, fortsatte Zepp-LaRouche. »De er anti-videnskab, de er anti-klassisk kultur. Ud fra et historisk synspunkt vil de gå under, lige som Middelalderens skolastikere, fordi de troede på noget, der ikke var i overensstemmelse med universets love.

Det er vigtigt at tænke over dette, for de er vore modstandere; det er, hvad der ligger bag tankegangen à la [USA's særlige anklager Robert] Mueller, bortset fra et par andre ubehagelige, neo-konservative elementer, og så fremdeles. Grunden til, at de hader os, er på grund af det, Lyndon LaRouche har skrevet. De hader passioneret hans måde at tænke på.«

Zepp-LaRouche konkluderede: »Kampen i USA er helt uafgjort; den kan vindes. Men disse folk er der stadig, så vi må virkelig gå hårdt frem imod dem. Lyndon LaRouches tænkning er så overlegen; vi bør ikke i ét eneste øjeblik falde under denne standard. Så lad os få udsigten til at vinde denne kamp, og denne krig.«

Dette, og intet andet, opfylder hele målestokken for strategisk succes.

[Arven efter Friedrich Schiller og Schiller Instituttet i Xis Nye Silkevejs konfutsianske koncept i dag. Helga Zepp-LaRouches tale til Schiller Instituttets Venners Valgmøde i København, 10. nov., 2017](#)

Så der er mange punkter, hvor vi kan sige, »Lad os gå tilbage til vore bedste traditioner, og så vil vi finde ud af, at Europas klassiske perioder, og Kinas konfutsianske tradition og andre landes klassiske perioder virkelig skaber

grundlaget for en ny renæssance.

Jeg mener, vi befinder os ved et utroligt, historisk øjeblik, og vi bør erindre os Friedrich Schillers ord, »Et stort øjeblik bør ikke finde et lille folk«. Så lad os forsøge at løfte vort folk op til at tænke stort, tænke smukt, blive skønne sjæle, skabe grundlaget for, at alle børn kan få mulighed for at blive genier. Og at det ligger inden for vores viljes mulighed at gøre det, og derfor er Toms kampagne og de andre medlemmer af Schiller Instituttet så absolut vigtig, og at vi bør være glade for, at Schiller Instituttet eksisterer i Danmark og skaber en mulighed for alle danskere til at gå med i dette utrolige, historiske øjeblik og skabe en bedre verden for os alle.

[Download \(PDF, Unknown\)](#)

Tom Gillesbergs tale på Schiller Instituttets Venners Valgmøde, 10. nov., 2017

Det, vi besluttede at gøre her fra Schiller Instituttets Venners side, hvor vi stiller op her i København, og også i Brøndby, Aarhus og Randers, var at tage disse større spørgsmål, som virkelig er det, der kommer til at afgøre fremtiden, og forsøge at bringe dem frem i den offentlige debat; forsøge at bryde igennem den mørklægning af virkeligheden, der alt for længe har fundet sted her i Danmark, såvel som også i Europa og i vid udstrækning i USA; og forsøge, i denne konsensus om politikker, der ikke virker, at introducere, præsentere folk for et glimt ind i det nye paradigme, som findes derude i verden med Kinas Bælte & Vej Initiativ som drivkraft, men ikke kun som et kinesisk program; men dette omfatter allerede omkring 100 nationer i hele verden, og så grundlæggende set lægge det frem på bordet og sige, dette er beslutningen, dette er, hvad Danmark, og København, selvfølgelig, også må blive en del af.

Tom Gillesberg, kandidat til borgmesterpost i København og regionsråd i region Hovedstaden for Schiller Instituttets Venner; formand for Schiller Instituttet i Danmark:

God eftermiddag og velkommen til alle, der kunne komme til denne særlige

begivenhed; særlig i den forstand, at vi blander forskellige kategorier her, idet vi både har inviteret ambassadediplomater til at komme og være med i vore diskussioner om det, vi i den danske valgkampagne præsenterer, her i København; men som også er omfattet i det globale, overordnede spørgsmål, der netop nu ligger foran os; nemlig, hvordan tackler vi sammen verden? Det faktum, at vi står over for nye, desperate tider i den finansielle verden, i form af et krak, der vil blive værre end det, vi så i 2007-08; men samtidig står vi også over for muligheder for menneskeheden, som er ekstremt spændende.

Det, vi besluttede at gøre her fra Schiller Instituttets Venners side, hvor vi stiller op her i København, og også i Brøndby, Aarhus og Randers, var at tage disse større spørgsmål, som virkelig er det, der kommer til at afgøre fremtiden, og forsøge at bringe dem frem i den offentlige debat; forsøge at bryde igennem den mørklægning af virkeligheden, der alt for længe har fundet sted her i Danmark, såvel som også i Europa og i vid udstrækning i USA; og forsøge, i denne konsensus om politikker, der ikke virker, at introducere, præsentere folk for et glimt ind i det nye paradigme, som findes derude i verden med Kinas Bælte & Vej Initiativ som drivkraft, men ikke kun som et kinesisk program; men dette omfatter allerede omkring 100 nationer i hele verden, og så grundlæggende set lægge det frem på bordet og sige, dette er beslutningen, dette er, hvad Danmark, og København, selvfølgelig, også må blive en del af.

I dag er også en særlig dag, den 10. november; det er Friedrich Schillers fødselsdag, den berømte tyske, men også universelle digter, »Frihedens Poet«, som han også kaldes, og efter hvem vi har vores navn, Schiller Instituttet, og som også reflekteres i Schiller Instituttets Venner. Schiller var en meget enestående filosofisk person, der i sig også havde stor passion og stor kærlighed til menneskeheden, og ikke kun til sin egen nation. Han havde denne idé, at man både kan være patriot for sin nation og samtidig en verdensborger, og at de to ikke bør være en modsigelse.

Så jeg mener, der er meget passende, før vi om lidt skal høre Helga Zepp-LaRouche online – jeg introducerer Helga, når vi kommer dertil – og senere vil jeg, og andre kandidater fra Schiller Instituttets Venner, diskutere kampagnen; men før vi kommer til det, mener jeg, det er passende, at vi får nogen skønhed. I har måske set, at én af de ting, vi har forsøgt at introducere i denne kampagne, er spørgsmålet om klassisk kultur; spørgsmålet om at give alle børn adgang til klassisk kultur; at alle børn burde lære at synge, spille et instrument, være med i et orkester og gøre denne fantastiske kultur til deres egen. Og det er sådan, man får virkelige renæssancer.

Så, for at introducere denne meget smukke del af menneskets aktivitet, klassisk

musik, vil vi indlede med to arier fra Shakespeares skuespil Othello, sat til musik af Verdi i operaen Othello, som er et skuespil og en opera, alle burde se med jævne mellemrum for at blive mindet om, hvordan historien fungerer; mindet om, hvordan det var en tradition i Venedig, men i dag er en tradition i London, at spille folk ud mod hinanden således, at folk, som det var meningen, skulle leve sammen i perfekt harmoni med hinanden og udvikle hinanden og elske hinanden; hvis der så er en tredje stemme, der stikker til dem og skaber jalousi mellem dem og spiller dem ud mod hinanden, så kunne det, der skulle have været et frugtbart samarbejde, meget nemt blive til strid og krig og ødelæggelse og død. Og dette er præcist, hvad Shakespeare, men også Verdi, ønskede at vise med denne opera, hvor den onde Jago virkelig demonstrerer sine mesterlige evner i ødelæggelsen af Desdémones og Othellos lykkelige forening. Disse to arier er mod slutningen; den første er, da Desdémone sidder på sin sengekant og er bange for, hvad der næst vil ske, for Othello har bedt hende tage sin bryllupskjole på, og hun har bange anelser om, hvad der vil ske. Den anden arie er 'Ave Maria', som er der, hvor hun beder sin bøn, og jeg mener, det også er meget passende, for netop nu har vi et så opportunt øjeblik for menneskeheden, for vi må have den ånd, som Verdi her præsenterer, hvor vi virkelig tager hele verden ind i vore hjerter, både den nuværende og fremtidige menneskehed.

Jeg vil gerne bede Lena Malkki [sopran, Sverige] synge og Dominik Wizjan [pianist, Polen] akkompagnere på klaver, disse to meget smukke arier.

[Lyt: 7:45 min]

Tom: Mange tak, Lena og Dominik.

Jeg mener dette, er en passende introduktion til det, der kommer nu. Vi har, som I ser, Helga parat online, og jeg vil blot sige et par ord for at introducere hende.

Hvis I ikke allerede kender hende, vil jeg foreslå, at I googler hende og finder en masse af hendes skrifter, for de er derude^[1], for hun er en meget inspirerende kraft, men hun er også meget intimt knyttet til den proces, der netop nu finder sted i Kina og internationalt, og som kendes som Bælte & Vej Initiativet.

Helga stiftede Schiller Instituttet i 1984, men var allerede inden da meget politisk aktiv. Hun var én af lederne i LaRouche-bevægelsen og blev mere udtrykkeligt leder, da hun i 1977 giftede sig med Lyndon LaRouche, og hun har siden da været drivkraften i en masse projekter for at forandre verden. Hun er også meget nært, personligt knyttet til Kina, da hun rejste til Kina som ung

journalist og så ting i Kulturrevolutionens mørke tid, og i takt med, at der opstod nye muligheder, var hun i 1970'erne sammen med Lyndon LaRouche en førende kraft i at skabe en ny, retfærdig, økonomisk verdensorden, også sammen med Den Alliancefri Bevægelse i 1970'erne. Da der, i 1989 med Berlinmurens fald, opstod en mulighed for at få en helt ny verden, grundlæggende set, men også en helt ny, strategisk situation i Europa, var hun meget aktiv i at forsøge at ændre dynamikken; først, og også sammen med Schiller Instituttet, var hun en ledende kraft i en masse konferencer for at forsøge at få en massiv udvikling af Europa, fra Centraleuropa og mod øst, og dette blev i stigende grad saboteret, kan man sige, af de gamle magter. Hun var dernæst en førende stemme i at forvandle dette projekt til Verdenslandbroen; og Helga rejste meget til Kina for at understrege nødvendigheden af denne Verdenslandbro, for at forbinde Kina med Europa gennem infrastrukturkorridorer, det, hun også kaldte Den Nye Silkevej, og af denne grund blev hun i Kina kendt som Silkevejslady'en. Der skete dernæst det, at det på dette tidspunkt blev delvist stoppet pga. krisen i Asien i 1997, men med krisen, der kom i 2008, gjorde Kina noget helt andet end det, der foregik i Europa og USA, og de ændrede politikken og begyndte massivt at transformere den kinesiske politik med udvikling af infrastruktur, og de lod det ikke være godt med det, med at løfte 700 mio. kinesere ud af dyb fattigdom; men i 2013 initierede Xi Jinping også politikken med Bælte & Vej Initiativet for at sprede denne politik internationalt.

Så Helga er blevet en meget citeret person og en hyppig gæst i de kinesiske medier, hvor hun taler om disse spørgsmål. Hun var også i Beijing, hvor hun var inviteret som repræsentant for Schiller Instituttet til Bælte & Vej Forum, der fandt sted i maj måned i Beijing, som en del af konferencens indbudte tænketanke.

Vi er meget glade for at høre hendes stemme her og høre hende præsentere, hvad det egentlig er, vi mener med vore valgplakater, hvor vi siger, at Danmark – København og Danmark og Europa – bør tilslutte sig Bælte & Vej Initiativet / Den Nye Silkevej. Så vi er meget glade for at have dig med os, Helga, og høre, hvad du kan fortælle os.

Min. 24:05.

[\(Engelsk udskrift af Helgas indlæg\)](#)

[1] Se engelsk: <http://newparadigm.schillerinstitute.com/>

dansk: <http://schillerinstitut.dk/si/>

