

NYHEDSORIENTERING NOVEMBER-DECEMBER

2018:

Kun Glass/Steagall-bankopdeling og et Nyt Bretton Woods-kreditsystem kan håndtere et nyt finanskarak

[Download \(PDF, Unknown\)](#)

Afskriftet af Helga Zepp-LaRouches tale til Schiller Instituttets og EIR's seminar for diplomater den 29. november 2018

(Vi er i gang med at oversætte talen til dansk.)

Helga Zepp-LaRouche addressed the seminar via video conference from Germany. In addition EIR Economics Editor Paul Gallagher by pre-recorded video from Virginia, and Hussein Askary in person addressed the seminar in Copenhagen. Diplomats from nine countries attended the seminar, as well as members and contacts of The Schiller Institute.

TOM GILLESBERG: Helga Zepp-LaRouche was very beautifully introduced, I think, by Hussein Askary, in his presentation going through the fantastic road. She as the founder of the Schiller Institute has taken upon herself as the "Silk Road Lady" in bringing about this Belt and Road policy, the New Silk Road policy. So we are very proud and very happy to be able to have her on here live to discuss what is in the situation the world is in right now, after the U.S. midterm elections, and here, the day before we'll have the G20 summit taking place in Buenos Aires.

So, Helga, thank you for being here with us. The word is yours.

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Well, I want to say hello to you and I'm very happy to be able to speak to you, even if it's only via video. Obviously, we have reached a very important point in history, and for once, I have to agree with President Macron of France, who just said that the upcoming G20 meeting better produce some real results, or else, such a gathering of the heads of state would be even counterproductive.

Now, I agree with that.

We have made a campaign for the last several weeks and actually months, that basically what should be the outcome of this G20 meeting. Given the fact that we have both war danger, as was demonstrated very acutely again in the case of the incident in near the Kerch Bridge in the Black Sea, and the general situation between the major nuclear powers is not exactly a calm one, so we have the danger of nuclear war, potentially. Then, we have the immediate danger of a repetition of the financial crash of 2008, except this time, it could be much, much worse, because all the parameters are much worse than in 2008.

In light of these two existential crises, we have defined what should be the outcome of either the G20 meeting or, at least, of those heads of state where one can expect they can go in the direction of establishing a new paradigm: And that refers to President Trump, Putin, Xi Jinping, Prime Minister Modi, and possibly others, Prime Minister Abe, and maybe some other heads of state and government. And what we defined as the absolutely necessary outcome, is that they establish a New Bretton Woods system to replace the presently completely bankrupt financial system, with a New Bretton Woods, which would be in the position of the old Bretton Woods system, however it would include the added features which Franklin D. Roosevelt originally wanted, namely, to end colonialism for the developing sector, which then, unfortunately was not implemented, because Roosevelt died, and the Bretton Woods was established by Truman and Churchill, at least under their political guidance.

And obviously, a New Bretton Woods would only function if it is accompanied by Four Laws which were defined by Lyndon LaRouche already in 2014, as the absolutely necessary changes in the financial and economic system, being: first, the implementation of Glass-Steagall banking separation; secondly to go to a national bank, to bring the power of credit generation back under the control sovereign powers, under the sovereign control of governments; and thirdly, to create an international credit system; and fourthly, to increase the productivity of the world economy by going for a crash program for a fusion economy and establish more close international space cooperation to get the necessary increase in the productivity of the world economy.

Given the fact that it cannot be expected that all countries of the G20 will agree with that – I can easily imagine that those which are absolutely tied to the City of London and the opposition to Trump coming from Wall Street, that there will be some countries that will absolutely oppose such a solution. And therefore, we have proposed that it can only be the cooperation of those countries which are powerful enough to resist the power of these financial centers, London and Wall Street, and that can only be: President Trump, President Xi Jinping, President Putin, and prime Minister Modi, the four powers together, which represent both the largest nuclear powers, the largest economies, the largest populations, that they have to work together.

Obviously, that potential exists. And since President Trump has again and again reiterated, in the election campaign and again after he became President, that he wants to improve the relationship with Russia, and the entire Russiagate was launched in order to prevent that from happening. Now, Russiagate, by now, is pretty much discredited, and despite the tensions with China on the trade issue, there are positive signs that both China and the United States may be willing to find an agreement to overcome the present war of tariffs.

That potential clearly exists, and it is very obvious, that on that hangs the question, will mankind be able, in light of existential dangers, be able to give itself a governance, structure of government, which allows the long-term sustainability of the world population. And that that is a very acute question you could see, for example, by the fact that the present Chinese ambassador to the United States Cui Tiankai just yesterday issued a very clear warning that the world must learn the lessons of the Great Depression of the 1930s, resulting in World War II, and he warns that the inability to resolve the present tensions could lead to a new world war, and a new financial crisis worse than the Depression of '30s.

The same Ambassador Cui, already in a speech about a year ago in New York, had basically posed the question: What is going to be the relation between the United States and China? He said that in history, there were 16 cases where the dominant power was replaced by a rising power – referring obviously to the present situation between the United States and China – and that in 12 cases, this led to a big war; in 4 cases, it led to a situation where the rising power simply replaced the up to that point dominant power, and it did not lead to war. And he emphasized that China does not want, at all, either, naturally the situation of war, but it also does not attempt to replace the United States as a dominant power in the world, but that the policy of China is propose a completely new set of international relations of a win-win cooperation between sovereign powers and respect for the sovereignty of the other, respect for the

different social system of the other country without interfering into the internal affairs, and simply have a cooperation for the mutual benefit of all participating in this new system.

And that is, in my view, what we have seen in reality in the last five years, since President Xi Jinping proposed the New Silk Road in Kazakhstan in September 2013. And we have seen the enormous development of the New Silk Road in the meantime, including more than 100 countries and having completely transformed the spirit in Africa, which has been caught by the Spirit of the New Silk Road due to massive investments in infrastructure, industrial parks, energy projects and similar things. The same is true for almost all of Latin America, many countries in Asia and even some Europe countries which are absolutely seeing the advantages of cooperating with this new system.

Now, it took the West, or better, Western think tanks and media, almost four years before they even admitted that this was going on. I mean, this was going a spectacle: Because here you have the largest infrastructure project in history, ever, already now about 30 times as big as the Marshall Plan, and the Western media would not take note of it! Then, about a year ago, they realized this was absolutely unstoppable, so they started a whole barrage of slanders and attacks on this New Silk Road, and quite telling, was that the channel of the Anglo-American establishment, the New York Times, over the last weekend and the weekend before, had I think altogether some 10 or 12 articles on the New Silk Road, in which they admit, basically, well, it's unstoppable, it's here, the West was completely wrong by thinking that if you offer to China to be a member of the WTO and join the free trade system, that eventually China would take over the Western liberal system, Western democracy, or, if they would refuse that, they would simply collapse under the burden of an autocratic leadership.

And the New York Times begrudgingly admits that this was a wrong estimate, that China had not adopted the Western democracy model, and it for sure has not collapsed. But it has the astounding recording of 40 years of uninterrupted economic growth rates, which have completely transformed not only China, but also much of the world economy, and that China now has a middle-class, well-to-do part of the population of 400 million, and this will be doubled in the next 10 years. And basically, the Chinese model is attractive to many countries in the world.

They basically say, as a conclusion, that the only way to deal with that is the effort to contain China, and if need be, confrontation and even war. And some American general has already said a couple of weeks ago that a war between the United States and China is more likely in the next 50 years, than not.

So this is obviously the famous “Thucydides trap”: This refers to the situation in ancient Greece, the rivalry between Athens and Sparta, which led to the Peloponnesian War, and as a consequence – which people don’t usually mention, when they talk about the “Thucydides trap” – the demise and collapse of ancient Greece.

That is the obvious question: Can the West relate to the fact that China is rising, that there is nothing you can do about it, because, first of all, China was a country which was the leading economic and cultural power over many centuries for the last millennia, with only a very short interruption. And it is deciding to go back, not to replace other countries, but to take a leading role on the world stage. And since the Chinese government has a policy which allows it to do that, mainly by putting the emphasis on continuous innovation, of leapfrogging to the most advanced technologies, of putting a lot of emphasis – they have the most advanced fusion power research program; they have a very, very advanced space exploration program; and they are putting a lot of emphasis on education, excellence in education for the young people, combined with Xi Jinping emphasizing the need to have especially an aesthetical education of the youth, and also the older people. Because aesthetical education goes in the direction of the beauty of the mind and the beauty of the soul.

And given the fact that China has a population of 1.4 billion people, the idea of the West that it would be possible to contain this without war is ludicrous. And if it comes to war, it should be noted that there are many military experts who make the point that once you start to use only one nuclear weapon, it is the logic of nuclear weapons, in complete contrast to traditional, conventional weaponry, that all weapons will be used. And that would obviously would mean the annihilation of human civilization.

So, this paradox obviously exists, and it is the view of the Schiller Institute that it can only be overcome by winning the West, winning countries of the world over to join in the new paradigm in a win-win cooperation.

Now, that the danger of war is very real, we just saw in the incident involving provocation by Ukrainian warships in the Black Sea, close to the Sea of Azov and the Kerch Bridge. And some people in Ukraine already having proposed some weeks ago, that the newly built bridge between Russia and Crimea, should be blown up. This was obviously an incident a couple of days ago [Nov. 25], whereby Ukrainian warships did not follow the rules which are otherwise established between Russia and Ukraine, to announce their intention to pass through the Kerch Strait; so they will be held up by the Russian military; the crews were basically arrested and interrogated, and in the meantime, written material has been found with this

crew, which instructs them not to announce themselves, to go to this point of the Kerch Strait in secrecy, clearly indicating a provocation.

What happened, was, immediately, the war-hawks, like NATO General Secretary Jens Stoltenberg, immediately said NATO fully on the side of Ukraine; and some other hawks, immediately, like representatives of the Integrity Initiative – about which I will say something in a second – immediately said that NATO should send a whole flotilla into the Sea of Azov.

Now, this was absolutely denounced by President Putin yesterday, who waited a couple of days, and then basically said this was a clearly preplanned provocation, and the big fault lies with the nations of the West, who, without thinking immediately take the side of Ukraine. And in his typical Putin humor, even said, if Ukraine would demand to eat babies for breakfast, the West would immediately agree.

And this was also strongly denounced by the former Chairman of the NATO Military Committee, who had also been the Chief of Staff of the German military before that, Gen. Harald Kujat, who denounced Stoltenberg, and said he made a grave mistake: That in an incident like that, it is of the utmost importance that it be investigated and the other nations should not beat it up, but try to deescalate the whole situation. Fortunately, most of the West European governments did, because they obviously realized that a war between Ukraine and Russia could completely go out of control in no time and lead to a World War III.

So I think that this incident, in which the danger is not yet over because Poroshenko announced martial law in parts of Ukraine, and our dear friend Natalia Vitrenko, a Ukrainian politician, warned that this means Poroshenko is actually establishing a dictatorship in Ukraine – martial law, eliminating all kinds of civil rights, being an extremely hot situation.

Now, who is the instigator of this whole provocation? If you look at the fact that just recently, a couple of days ago, it was revealed that there is this thing called “Integrity Initiative.” This is a very strange institution. It’s basically run out of British embassies all over the world, and it is actually a subdivision of British intelligence. One of their spokesmen, Edward Lucas came out in the context of this Ukraine crisis, and immediately said: This is completely like Nazi Germany attacking Poland in 1939, and demanding an immediate escalation, sending warships into the Black Sea, in a clear provocation.

What is this Integrity Initiative? The new British Chief of General Staff Gen. Mark Carleton-Smith said Russia is a greater danger to the U.K. than ISIS or al-Qaeda, in a completely war-mongering statement. So what this Integrity Initiative outfit does, is they have defined so-called "cluster groups," in all kinds of countries; and if you look at their website and look at the names of the people involved, it is the Cold War faction all over the world. For example, in the United States, it involves Ian Brzezinski, the son of infamous Zbigniew Brzezinski, of the {Great Chessboard} infamy, and this Ian Brzezinski is a leading member of the Atlantic Council, which can be really regarded as one of these subdivisions of the Anglo-American empire, or the British intelligence. And in Germany, it involves Gen. Klaus Naumann, who is famous for his Cold War attitudes towards Russia. So it is this apparatus which is basically sticking to the idea that you need to have a geopolitical confrontation with Russia and with China. And this is the faction which brings about the war danger in the closest fashion.

Now, we have to get over the idea that the world forever needs to be divided into geopolitical blocs, where you have one nation, or one group of nations being in complete conflict with another group of nations. And unfortunately much of the European Union thinks that way; Macron thinks that way; Mrs. Merkel in the same way. When Macron recently demanded the establishment of a European army, to be able to defend Europe against Russia, China and even the United States, he said! That is typical for this kind of thinking, which under conditions of a financial breakdown crisis and general tensions is exactly a prescription for the danger of a repetition of the two catastrophes of the 20th century.

Now, the alternative is fortunately, also very clearly there. I already mentioned the New Silk Road Spirit having transformed Africa, where people for the first time have the legitimate hope that with the help of China, and now, more and more other countries, such as India, Japan, Indonesia, Turkey and many others, having recognized that Africa, which will have 2.5 billion people by the 2050, and as Xi Jinping at the Johannesburg BRICS summit in September correctly noted, Africa having the biggest development potential of all the continents on the planet, that one can say that Africa will be the "new China with African characteristics." That you will have a very young population, which if they are educated and provided with the necessary infrastructure investments, can really become the most productive continent on the planet.

That obviously, is a nightmare for those people who have tried to suppress the development of the developing countries, such as the IMF, with their "conditionalities," which was the real debt trap. You know, the IMF

conditionalities basically made sure that the developing countries would remain indebted, and that they were not allowed to use their income for either investment in social expenditures, education, infrastructure, but that they had to pay debt as a priority, and that was one of the main means how the development of these countries was suppressed.

And naturally, you had the very unholy role of the World Wildlife Fund, preventing infrastructure, for the sake of snails rather than human beings. And you had the whole unholy ideology of the Club of Rome that supposedly the resources on the planet were limited, and therefore development of the developing countries had to be suppressed.

When China launched the New Silk Road, all of that went out of the window, because, now, for the first time, there is the real possibility to overcome the underdevelopment of the developing countries, and that has been understood by the countries of the Global South – these are all the major organizations, such as the G77, the Organization of Islamic Countries (OIC), Mercosur [Mercado Común del Sur], the African Union, all of these organizations are now basically inspired by the New Silk Road idea.

And even in Europe, this is dramatically quickly changing: You had the 16+1, Eastern and Central European Countries plus China, which very happy to be hubs between Europe and Asia, in the Silk Road development. You have Greece, which is completely transformed because of Chinese investments in Piraeus and other infrastructure projects. The new Italian government had completely changed: They no longer listen to the EU in respect to China. The leading ministers, like Giovanni Tria, and the undersecretary for Economic Development Michele Geraci, Prime Minister Giuseppe Conte, himself, they all basically look to cooperation between China and Italy, not only for mutual investments, but especially to invest in joint projects in Africa. And one of the most glorious examples, is the agreement between China and Italy to jointly work together with the countries of the Lake Chad Basin Commission to implement the Transaqua project, which I'm sure Hussein already talked about.

But then you have also Spain, where President Xi was just there on a state visit, and having very far-reaching cooperation agreement between China and Spain, emphasizing the 2,000 years of cultural ties between the two countries. And then Xi Jinping will also go to Portugal when he comes back from the G20. And between Portugal and China there is an absolute agreement that Portugal, also, will become the hub – Spain and Portugal will not only be the hub for the Eurasian connection of the New Silk Road, but also the hub for all the Spanish- and Portuguese-speaking throughout the world. So this is what the Schiller

Institute emphasized in our "World Land-Bridge" report, to work on the so-called Atlantic route, and in this way, combining the Caribbean, Central America, South America, with those Portuguese- and Spanish-speaking countries and therefore Europe.

So the World Land-Bridge is coming into being. And we had just had a very interesting and hopeful even in Hamburg, between China and the Hamburg Chamber of Commerce, where, with the exception of one Greenie, who was completely beside himself, but all the other speakers were more or less very optimistic in talking about how Hamburg is the natural link not only for the land connection – and I think per week now, 23 trains are coming from China into Hamburg – but obviously, also a crucial aspect of the Maritime Silk Road, given the fact that Hamburg is the largest port in Germany.

This is all very, very interesting. Then, I should not forget to mention Switzerland, which is also onboard. Austria: Austria's new government even has it in its coalition treaty that Austria wants to become a hub for the New Silk Road. So I think the development is actually, objectively very, very promising. But obviously, a lot more has to be done, because if you reflect on what I said in the beginning, what should become the outcome of the G20, a New Bretton Woods system and a new system in order to safeguard our nations against the danger of a new financial blowout, that has not yet been accomplished.

Otherwise, objectively, despite all the dangers I've referred to, I think we can be very optimistic, because a new system is within reach. And I just want to say, that economic development absolutely must be combined with a Classical Renaissance. Because, if you look at the values of the West right now, – I want to identify very briefly what I mean by that – the neo-liberal or liberal philosophy or ideology which has taken over in the West, it is correctly rejected by Russia and by China – it's the principle "everything is allowed." You have not two genders, but now you have about 49 genders; you have pornography without limits; children can go on the internet and see the worst-possible atrocities, the violence which is now, unfortunately having roots in the United States – you have the mass shootings in the schools almost every day. In the United States, there were already more than 300 mass shootings [this year]. You have the opioid epidemic in the United States. You have an increase of [inaudible 35:42] alcoholism, drug addiction; longevity in the United States has gone down for the first time in the last two years! If there is any parameter for a collapsing economy it is that the life expectancy goes down.

And in Europe, we are not that far behind, if you look at the violence in the schools in Germany, just to name one element. I think we are in an absolute dire

need to have a Renaissance of human culture, of Classical culture, of Classical music, poetry, and other areas of art.

This has been understood in China. I mentioned already Xi Jinping's emphasis on the need for aesthetical education, and the main Chinese speaker at this Hamburg conference yesterday, Vice Premier Liu He, who is the main economic advisor of Xi Jinping, he deviated from his written text and said that he wanted to share a story with the audience, that during the Cultural Revolution, when he was young, he had to hide in one of the hutongs in Beijing – these are the old buildings – and he had to listen in secrecy to the Violin Concerto in C-minor of Felix Mendelssohn, and that meant that he fell completely in love with Classical music and he has pursued that passion ever since.

I think this is very promising. Because as you know, we are convinced that human nature is that all human beings essentially are good, that man has the limitless capability to self-perfect, not only in terms of intellect, but also that the aesthetical education means that you can educate your emotions until you can blindly follow them because they would never tell you anything different than what reason commands. This is the Friedrich Schiller's definition of the beautiful soul. He says, necessity and passion, free will and duty all fall in one, and this is the condition where man is truly free: Because you do with passion what is necessary, because you cannot think in any different way other than on the level of reason.

And I think that is not a utopia, but that is something which can be absolutely accomplished and is inherent in the philosophy of Confucius, who also had almost the same idea of aesthetical education as Friedrich Schiller, that is, through music, through poetry, through learning [inaudible 38:49], that you can actually transform the character of people to become beautiful characters, and to becoming wise and serve the common good.

Now, I think that if mankind is supposed to reach the New Paradigm, not only will the relations among nations be like that, that each nation will refer to the best tradition of the other and be enriched by discovering the beauty of the most advanced culture of the other nation, but that that will increasingly become the nature of relations among nations: that we will stop behaving like little four-year-old boys, kicking each other in the shins, meaning, conducting war and things like that, and we will become adult as a human species and renovate our relations, like the astronauts, who all, – all the astronauts who have been in space, who were on the ISS, they all report the same thing: That once you are in space, you recognize that you can only cooperate on the basis of reason, because otherwise you won't exist, and that when you look at our little,

blue planet from space, you recognize that there is {so} much to be discovered! First of all, there are no borders, there are no races, there is only one humanity, and you recognize that our universe is so huge! And that we as a human species have to cooperate, to be able to have a sustained existence in this universe, over the next thousands and thousands of years.

And the Hubble telescope discovered that we presently know of the existence of 2 trillion galaxies! Now, that is boggling the minds – if I try to think of the Solar System, the Milky Way, the galaxy, that is already gigantic. But the idea of 2 trillion galaxies, that shows you that we as a human species have just made the first baby steps in the direction of the perfection of our species.

Anyway, I just think we are at the change of an epoch. I think we have a very good chance to leave the epoch of geopolitics behind us, that we can really create a system of governance which makes the coexistence in peace and development possible for all of humanity. And I would encourage you to be optimistic about it, and join efforts with the Schiller Institute, because this has been our perspective for the past 40 or 50 years – in the case of my husband, 50 years – and I think we are on the verge of seeing the realization of that vision.

Thank you. [applause]

NYHEDSORIENTERING AUGUST 2018:
Skriv under! Appel for et nyt Bretton
Woods kreditsystem;
Lederne for USA, Rusland, Kina og
Indien må handle!

[Download \(PDF, Unknown\)](#)

En idé, hvis tid er kommet: LaRouches fire-magts alliance for at skabe et Nyt Bretton Woods-system

Leder fra LaRouchePAC den 27. august 2018 – For næsten ti år siden, den 18. november 2008, leverede Lyndon LaRouche en historisk webcast, der præsenterede hans forslag om at udfri det Britiske Imperium fra dettes (og planetens) elendighed med en fire-magts alliance bestående af USA, Rusland, Kina og Indien, som den igangsættende kraft for et Nyt Bretton Woods internationalt finanssystem. Det gamle spekulative system var dengang, i 2008, midt i sin dødskrise, fra hvilken den stadig ikke kan – eller nogensinde vil – komme sig.

Verden ville være et helt andet sted i dag, hvis LaRouches opfordring var blevet hørt dengang. Men det mellemliggende årti har ikke været forgæves.

For det første har et globalt alternativ til det bankerotte britiske imperium manifesteret sig, især i løbet af de sidste fem år, i form af Kinas Bælt- og Vejinitiativ. Endnu vigtigere end de hundredvis af milliarder af dollars til de store projekter, der har været lanceret, udløser initiativet bølger af optimisme – hvilket Helga Zepp-LaRouche har omtalt som Ånden i den Nye Silkevej – i hjørner af planeten, der tidligere var nedsænket i fortvivlelse. Hvad ellers kan man tænke, når man læser bemærkningerne af Togos præsident, Faure Gnassingbé, på tærsklen til Forum for Kina-Afrika Samarbejde (FOCAC) i Kina d. 3-4. september? Han udtalte til CGTN TV: “Der er ingen ende på vejen til vækst. Der er ingen begrænsninger i vores fremskridt indtil nu. Vi har opnået nogle fremskridt, men mere kan lade sig gøre.”

For det andet er der for nylig blevet valgt nye regeringer i USA, Italien og andre steder, regeringer, der er villige til at arbejde med de fire magter identificeret af LaRouche, og parate til fundamentalt at forandre den globale orden, herunder dens katastrofale frihandels-dogmer og aggressive regimeskifte-krige. Den ultimative regimeskifte-krig er i gang inden for USA selv, med det Britiske Imperium, der benytter sine aktiver i FBI, medierne og de politiske partier til et angreb mod den amerikanske forfatning og Trumps præsidentskab.

Den britiske krig mod USA skal besejres, ligesom vi tidligere har besejret lignende britiske krige – fra Uafhængighedskrigen, til Borgerkrigen, til Anden Verdenskrig. Perioden fra nu af og til midtvejsvalget i november bliver et afgørende slag i den krig.

At mønstre kræfterne for at opnå dette, og få USA med ombord på Bælt- og Vejinitiativet, kræver den form for dybtgående forståelse af både problemet og dets løsning, som Lyndon LaRouche leverede i hans webcast den 18. november 2008 – af hvilken årsag vi inkluderer nogle relevante uddrag nedenfor.

“Sådan har verden – gennem sådanne midler som derivater i størrelsesordenen milliarder af dollars! – skabt en udestående gæld langt større end alt, hvad der nogensinde vil kunne betales. Så, vi kommer aldrig til at betale denne gæld! Vi kan ikke betale denne gæld. Så vi kommer aldrig til at betale den.

“Hvad gør man i et sådant tilfælde? Hvad gør USA i en sådan sag i henhold til vores forfatning? Man erklærer disse gældsforpligtelser konkurs. Og hvad gør man med disse konkurser? Man udreder dem! De ting, der bør understøttes, vil blive understøttet, og resten af det vil bare vente eller dø ud. Det store flertal, det store flertal af udestående forpligtelser i dag, i form af nominelle krav mod lande, vil blive annulleret. De ting, der bør betales, vil blive betalt. De andre vil aldrig blive betalt – de ville alligevel aldrig blive betalt, under nogen omstændigheder!

“Nu er der to veje at gå: Enten lader man verden bryde sammen, med sult og massedød til følge. Eller man lader sagen gå igennem en konkursbehandling. Og hvordan gør man det? Nuvel, det jeg specificerede er meget elementært: Jeg har fire nationer i tankerne, nationer, der kan tage føringen med det. Og fire nationer, som tilsammen repræsenterer de største konsolideringer af magt på denne planet: Disse nationer er USA, Rusland, Kina og Indien, med mulighed for at andre nationer kan komme ind og deltage i den samme aftale. Vi lader verden gennemgå en konkursbehandling. Hvordan gør vi det? Vi bruger USA's forfatning til at gøre det.

“USA's forfatning er enestående fordi vi har en form for forbundsforfatning: at vores dollar ikke er en monetaristisk dollar; det er en kredit dollar ...

“Hvis nu USA siger, at vi vil bakke vores dollar op, og indgår en aftale med Rusland, Kina og Indien om at slutte sig til os, og til andre lande, der gør det samme, nemlig sætter verden under konkursbehandling, ved hvilken vi vil annullere de fleste af de udestående, finansielle forpligtelser: Det må ske. Ellers er der ingen planet! Hvis man prøver at inddrive gæld for milliarder af dollars i udestående fordringer, fra hvem skal man inddrive, på hvilken måde og hvad vil effekten være af det? Det strider imod naturlov at inddrive den gæld! Hvor mange mennesker skal man dræbe for at inddrive den gæld? Hvor mange lande skal man ødelægge for at inddrive den gæld?

“Så vi har denne udenforstående monetære myndighed, som har traktataftaler med regeringer, men som ellers ikke har nogen reel forpligtelse overfor regeringerne, med undtagelse af traktataftalen. Denne aftale har resulteret i skabelsen af en umådelig stor verdensgæld, en monetær gæld, som aldrig kan betales. Selvfølgelig er systemet konkurs! Man lukker systemet ned og sætter det under konkursbehandling – det er det eneste middel.

“Derfor kan vi oprette et nyt kreditsystem imellem lande, som, tror jeg, hvis USA, Rusland, Kina og Indien er enige om det, de fleste nationer i verden med glæde vil tilslutte sig, især i betragtning af alternativet. Og derfor kan vi skabe et nyt verdenssystem, et nyt pengesystem, et kreditsystem i modsætning til et monetært system. Og under disse omstændigheder kan vi fortsætte med at fremme kredit i stor skala til genopbygning af verdens fysiske økonomi. Vi kan organisere et opsving af samme type, som vi foretog med præsident Franklin Roosevelt tilbage i 1930'erne og 1940'erne. Og det, tænker jeg, vil vi ikke afvige fra, når først vi har gjort det.

“Det er det eneste alternativ.

“Hvad dette indebærer politisk er enden på det Britiske Imperium; eller hvad der kaldes det Britiske Imperium. Det Britiske Imperium er det nuværende verdens imperium. Med undtagelse af det Britiske Imperium er der ikke noget andet imperium på denne planet i dag. Anvendelsen af betegnelsen 'imperium' for at beskrive et hvilket som helst andet system er inkompetent. Det britiske er det eneste imperium, og det Britiske Imperium er det, som styrer dollaren, den flydende dollar i dag, den monetære dollar.

“Så under disse omstændigheder går vi videre med en rekonstruktion af verden. Og hvad vi gør, i stedet for det nuværende frihandelssystem, er at gå tilbage til et protektionistisk system, et system med faste valutakurser; med andre ord: valutaerne vil have en fast vekselkurs i forhold til hinanden, eller justeres i henhold til traktat-arrangementer, men de flyder ikke. Og så fortsætter vi med at yde kreditter til store infrastrukturinvesteringer, hvilket vil drive den fysiske genopbygning af planeten.

“Det er det eneste hjælpemiddel.”

Skriv under!: Appel for et Nyt Bretton Woods kreditsystem; Lederne for USA, Rusland, Kina og Indien må handle!

Del gerne linket:

http://international-schillerinstitute.nationbuilder.com/nbw_petition_danish?recruiter_id=2945

Helga Zepp-LaRouches webcast 23. august 2018: Tiden er inde for et nyt Bretton Woods baseret på LaRouches fire love.

Er der virkelig nogen der tror, at kvantitative lempelser vil løse verdens accelererende finanskriser? At skabe mere ubetalelig gæld ved at centralbankerne øger mængden af 'funny money', der fejer igennem systemet, vil genopbygge så meget som en enkelt bro, en kilometer jernbane eller skabe et eneste produktivt job, der betaler en løn man kan leve af? Eller at mere frihandel, privatisering og deregulering er nøglen til økonomisk velstand? Det er disse "løsninger", som de imperialistiske eliter i London og Wall Street presser på med; det er London og Wall Street, som stadig dikterer politikken i den transatlantiske region.

Men aksiomerne bag disse forfejlede politikker skaber kun papirprofit for de få, på bekostning af et stigende antal liv, der er mistet ved den samlede virkning af afindustrialisering og degenerering, som har ødelagt den optimisme, som ellers bør karakterisere unge menneskers livssyn; og de unge vender sig i stedet mod dødelige stoffer og selvmord. Vælgere i hele den transatlantiske verden har vist, at de ikke længere stoler på eller tror på finansoligarkerne, der klammer sig til disse mislykkede aksiomer for at holde deres forfaldne

system i live.

Mange regeringer i sektoren af fremvoksende økonomier nærer den samme mistillid, da de i stigende grad vender sig mod Kina og dets nu globale Bælt- og Vejinitiativ, og afviser de neoliberale aksiomer for at overvinde de katastrofer, som de er blevet pålagt af de eliter, der har stået for det "post-koloniale system" med plyndring og ødelæggelse.

Det post-koloniale system fik så godt som ukontrolleret kontrol for næsten halvtreds år siden, med beslutningen den 15. august 1971, om at bryde væk fra Franklin Roosevelts finansielle Bretton Woods-system med faste valutakurser. På det tidspunkt, og siden da, har Lyndon LaRouche og hans kone, Helga, ledet kampen for et Nyt Bretton Woods, baseret på at bringe de førende stormagter USA, Rusland, Kina og Indien sammen for at gennemføre det. Med valget af Donald Trump, der deler LaRouches modstand mod det etablissement, som kører denne orden, blev det muligt for USA at opfylde den mission, som LaRouche har skitseret siden den skæbnesvangre augustdag i 1971.

John Brennan, en lejemorder, der arbejder for dette London-baserede system, og som har til hensigt at stoppe Trump, befinder sig nu i det varme sæde, og står over for mulig retsforfølgelse sammen med mange af hans samarbejdspartnere for deres forbrydelser, herunder at have brygget den bedrageriske Russiagate-sag sammen.

Denne torsdag vil Helga Zepp-LaRouche rådgive om, hvad der kan gøres for at virkeliggøre et Nyt Bretton Woods og putte Brennan og hans venner hvor de hører hjemme, i fængsel. Gå ikke glip af denne mulighed!

[Se programmet her. Tilgængelig fredag den 24. august 2018.](#)

[Det løfterige Trump-Putin-topmøde og Schiller Instituttets rolle](#)

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 1. juli, 2018 – Præsident Donald Trump er aktivt på vej til at blive den største »fredspræsident« i nationens historie. To af de primære valpladser for krig, omhyggeligt plejet af Det britiske Imperium og dets aktiver

i USA til at forblive »frosne konflikter«, der angiveligt skulle være hinsides enhver mulighed for løsning – som konflikter, der har til hensigt at tvinge alle Jordens nationer til at »vælge side« – er nu på vej til at blive løst. Korea, og hele Asien, har været forenet bag Trumps modige topmøde med Kim Jong-un, og 16. juli vil præsidenterne Trump og Putin sætte sig sammen, med den hensigt (blandt andre ting) at afslutte krigen i Syrien, som er afgørende for processen med »permanent krigsførelse« i Sydvestasien, og som, på vegne af Det britiske Imperiums del-og-hersk geopolitiske politik, blev udløst af præsidenterne Bush og Obama.

Mange ironier er involveret her. I hele USA følger det liberale venstre – som rent historisk er blevet anset for at være »fredsfraktionen« i USA – slavisk det britiskdirigerede hysteri imod Trump og kræver hans afsættelse og kalder ham »fascist« og »racist« og kræver, at de, der arbejder for ham, bør chikaneres dag og nat, og med deres børn som mål for dette ved deres skoler. Nogle af disse forvildede fjolser mener måske, at dette har noget med Trumps immigrationspolitik, som er det eneste, de vil tale om, at gøre. Men briterne selv skjuler ikke sandheden – de er rædselsslagne over, at præsident Trump har forpligtet sig til en gang for alle at bryde den »særlige relation«, eufemismen for amerikansk underdanighed over for City of London og de imperialistiske Imperieherrer; en underdanighed, der indebærer en vedtagelse af Adam Smiths dødbringende »frihandelsdogme«, imod hvilken Amerika udkæmpede en revolution, og at Amerika skal tjene som den »dumme kæmpe« i Londons kolonialistiske krige; en proces, der tog sin begyndelse med mordet på John F. Kennedy og med at drive USA ind i den kolonialistiske, folkemorderiske krig i Indokina. Denne krigspolitik er fortsat i dag med Bush-Obama-krigene i Sydvestasien. Med Trump, der forbereder sin deltagelse i NATO-topmødet og besøget til UK, før han skal mødes med Putin i Helsinki, er Londons herrer skrækslagne over, at Trump ikke vil acceptere mobiliseringen for krig med Rusland, som det kræves fra NATO-dinosaurernes side, præcis, som han afviste det gamle paradigme for frihandelsdiktater ved G7-mødet i juni.

Trump, der arbejder hånd i hånd med Kinas Xi Jinping og Ruslands Vladimir Putin, har erklæret en ende på galskaben med »regimeskifte« og permanent krigsførelse. Han har åbent erklæret sit ønske om at trække de amerikanske tropper ud af Korea, ud af Syrien og ud af Europa, for at afslutte Amerikas rolle som politibetjent for Det britiske Imperium. Og, som Lyndon LaRouche har insistet på, lige siden finanskrakket i 2008, så er det eneste, mulige grundlag, på hvilket det katastrofale kollaps af det vestlige, monetære system kan forhindres, at USA arbejder sammen med Rusland, Kina og Indien – som han har givet betegnelsen de Fire Magter^[1] – for at skabe et helt nyt finanssystem for en ny æra for relationer mellem nationer. Podekrystallen til dette nye paradigme

eksisterer allerede i den Nye Silkevej og i de multiple investeringsbanker, der er knyttet til den Nye Silkevej, og som adresserer de reelle udviklingsbehov i verdens nationer, uden »betingelsespolitik« med hensyn til deres suveræne, politiske systemer.

Alt imens det amerikanske folk af mainstreammedierne fodres med en støt diet, bestående af anti-Trump, anti-Rusland og anti-Kina løgne, så sponsorerede Schiller Instituttet imidlertid i denne weekend en international konference i Frankfurt, Tyskland, over temaet: »Det presserende nødvendige behov for et Nyt Paradigme i internationale relationer – En fredsorden baseret på nationernes udvikling«. Konferencen, der fandt sted over to dage (30. juni – 1. juli), så Helga Zepp-LaRouche som hovedtaler[2], og andre talere var ledende personer fra regeringsinstitutioner og sociale institutioner fra: USA; flere øst- og vesteuropæiske nationer; flere afrikanske og sydvestasiatiske nationer; Rusland; og Kina. De ideer, som Lyndon LaRouche har lanceret hen over de seneste 50 år, bliver nu gennemført og bringer mennesker af god vilje sammen, med modet til at være sandfærdige omkring faren for selve civilisationen under den imperiale, gamle orden, men derimod inspireret til at kæmpe for visionen om en menneskerace, der er helliget til at opløfte alle nationer og alle folkeslag til et liv, der passer sig for menneskehedens værdighed. Udskrifterne af talerne på denne historiske konference vil blive publiceret i de næste to numre af *EIR* online (abonnementstegning <https://store.larouchepub.com/EIR-Online-s/1824.htm>)

Det ansvar, der hviler på det voksende antal sjæle, der har tilsluttet sig denne ædle indsats, er i sandhed stort. Historien vil bedømme dette øjeblik som værende et øjeblik, i hvilket en ny renæssance for hele menneskeheden blev udløst, eller, som Friedrich Schiller sagde om den franske revolution, at »et stort øjeblik har fundet et lidet folk« (hvilket vil sige, at øjeblikket dengang blev forpasset). Vi må alle tage fat i »heftige« ideer og handle med alt det kreative potentiale, vi har fået tildelt, som vores fødselsret som menneskelige væsener.

[1] Se: [»De Fire Magter. Et Nyt Paradigme for fred og udvikling«, pdf, dansk. Bilag: The LAROUCHE DOCTRINE: Draft Memorandum of Agreement between The United States and the U.S.S.R. \(1984\)](#)

[2] Se: [Helga Zepp-LaRouche: »Modsætningernes sammenfald – Morgendagens verden«](#)

Foto: Præsidenterne Trump og Putin på APEC-topmødet i november, 2017. (em.kremlin.ru)

**Vælg kreativitet, ikke tragedie,
inden for økonomi og statskundskab
Schiller Instituttets konference i
New York City,
9. juni, 2018, Panel II.**

Talere: Dennis Speed, nordøst-kordinator for Schiller Instituttet: »LaRouche-metoden – podekrystallen for en ny kultur«;

James George Jatras, tidligere amerikansk diplomat og tidligere rådgiver til det Republikanske senatslederskab: »Det presserende nødvendige Trump-Putin-topmøde«;

Richard Black, senator for staten Virginia: »Den strategiske betydning af sejr, fred og udvikling i Syrien«.

En livlig Spørgsmål & Svar-session følger herefter.

Se også: Konferencens hovedtale af Helga Zepp-LaRouche: »Silkevejsånden er smittefarlig« (pdf., dansk), samt video med hele Panel I:

<http://schillerinstitut.dk/si/?p=25347>

**Silkevejsånden er smittefarlig!
Hovedtale af Helga Zepp-
LaRouche, Schiller Instituttets
konference i New York,**

9. juni, 2018: Dona Nobis Pacem

– Giv os fred, gennem økonomisk udvikling

Jeg er faktisk meget optimistisk med hensyn til situationen. Jeg mener, der absolut er en mulighed for, at vi i den nærmeste fremtid vil se fremkomsten af et fuldstændig Nyt Paradigme for civilisation. For allerede på nuværende tidspunkt samles flertallet af nationer omkring ideen om, at der findes én menneskehed, og som tilhører en højere orden end nationale interesser og end selv geopolitisk konfrontation. Aldrig før har modsigelsen mellem og åbenheden i kampen mellem det Nye Paradigme og det gamle paradigme været mere åbenlys end netop nu. Denne konference blev oprindeligt planlagt for at fremskynde denne proces ...

[Download \(PDF, Unknown\)](#)

Øvrige talere på Panel I:

Jason Ross, medforfatter af rapporten "Forlæng den Nye Silkevej til Vestasien og Afrika; En vision for en økonomisk renæssance".

Dr. Xu Wenhong, vicegeneralsekretær for Bælte & Vej-studier, det Kinesiske Akademi for Samfundsvidenskaber, Ét Bælte, én Vej-initiativet.

Dmitry Polyanskiy, første permanente vicerepræsentant for den Russiske føderation til FN.

Diskussion.

Denne tid med epokegørende transformation skriger på Lyndon LaRouches ideer

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 10. juni, 2018 – For strategisk klarhed – og også for din fornøjelses skyld – så se lige på verden i øjeblikket gennem Det britiske Imperiums øjne. I løbet af sidste uge fandt følgende begivenheder sted, som indikerede, at Det britiske Imperiums værste mareridt er ved at tage form:

Præsident Trump – der tydeligvis føler sig stærk, med Robert Muellers Russiagate-operation, der hastigt går til bunds og i stedet forvandles til et »Spygate«, der afslører mange af Storbritanniens hovedaktiver på deres vej til fængsel – har annonceret, at han vil mødes med den russiske præsident Putin, muligvis i Wien.

Præsident Trump væltede G7-skakbrættet og sagde, han ville have Putin tilbage i møderne, for »vi søger fred i verden. Vi søger ikke at spille spil«.

Italiens nye premierminister Giuseppe Conte sekunderede Trumps krav om, at Rusland atter skulle være med i G8. Trump meddelte, at han snart ville mødes med Conte i Det Hvide Hus.

Conte overlevede Det britiske Imperiums statskupforsøg i Italien og tiltrådte embedet med tale om bankopdeling, dvs., Glass-Steagall. Det sidste, Det britiske Imperium ønsker, er, at Conte skal diskutere dette med Trump.

Den kinesiske præsident Xi Jinping organiserede hele topmødet med Shanghai Samarbejdsorganisationen (SCO) omkring Konfucius' universelle ideer^[1], for at skabe en »verden, der har varig fred, universel tryghed og fælles fremgang«. Spændinger mellem Indien og Kina mindskes med deres diskussioner om fælles, økonomisk fremgang og sikkerhedsspørgsmål – på trods af, at Storbritannien gør alt, det kan, for at drive en kile ind mellem dem. Selv Indien og Pakistan vil deltage i fælles militærøvelser for første gang nogensinde, under SCO's sponsorskab.

Set gennem Det britiske Imperiums øjne, er summen af alt dette virkelig deres værste mareridt. Firemagts-aftalen mellem Kina, Rusland, Indien og USA, som Lyndon LaRouche længe har stillet krav om som den nødvendige, hovedstrategiske alliance for at lukke Det britiske Imperium ned én gang for alle, er hastigt ved at tage form.

Schiller Instituttets præsident Helga Zepp-LaRouche trak i sin hovedtale til Schiller Instituttets konference »Dona Nobis Pacem – Giv os fred, gennem økonomisk udvikling«, som blev afholdt i New York City 9. juni, betydningen af det nuværende øjeblik epokegørende transformation frem:

»Jeg er faktisk meget optimistisk med hensyn til situationen. Jeg mener, der absolut er en mulighed for, at vi i den nærmeste fremtid vil se fremkomsten af

et fuldstændig Nyt Paradigme for civilisation. For allerede på nuværende tidspunkt samles flertallet af nationer omkring ideen om, at der findes én menneskehed, som tilhører en højere orden end nationale interesser og end selv geopolitisk konfrontation. Aldrig før har modsigelsen mellem og åbenheden i kampen mellem det Nye Paradigme og det gamle paradigme været mere åbenlys end netop nu. ...

Man har en ny model for win-win-samarbejde, for at agere i den andens interesse, med respekt for det andets lands suverænitet, ikkeindblanding, respekt for det andet lands anderledes samfundssystem og for ideen om at blive forenet omkring ideen om den ene menneskeheds højere formål. Det er den politik, der er et resultat af Kinas Nye Silkevejspolitik, som nu har ligget på bordet i næsten fem år, og som har udviklet den mest utrolige dynamik nogensinde. Det er historiens største infrastrukturprojekt, og det står allerede klart, at dette vil definere de nye regler i verden. ...

Dette er faktisk min mands, Lyndon LaRouches, vision; han krævede allerede i 2007, at de tre lande – Rusland, Kina og Indien – absolut må arbejde sammen for at imødegå den onde indflydelse fra Det britiske Imperium, som det fandtes på det tidspunkt. I 2009 krævede han, på Rhodos-forummet for Dialog mellem Civilisationer, at den eneste måde, hvorpå verden ville komme ud af denne nuværende tilstand, ville være en firemagtsaftale mellem USA, Rusland, Kina og Indien. ...

Den Nye Silkevej må opbygges på basis af alle traditioners mest fundamentale ontologiske, epistemologiske og metafysiske begreber. For Kina betyder det, det konfucianske princip om selvfuldkommengørelse og livslang læring og karakterens forædling, og om harmoni midt i forskelligheder. For Indien betyder dette, det vediske begreb om, hvordan den kosmiske orden må angive reglerne for det politiske liv på Jorden. ...

Den europæiske civilisation, af hvilken Amerika er en del, har meget at bidrage med mht. sine egne, humanistiske traditioner. Et af de mest betydningsfulde begreber om dette er den nye tankegang, der blev introduceret af Nicolaus Cusanus (Nikolaus von Kues) i det 15. århundrede – *coincidentia oppositorum*; modsætningernes sammenfald, hvilket betyder, at menneskelig skabevne og det menneskelige intellekt er i stand til at skabe en højere orden, i hvilken alle forskelligheder forsvinder. ...

Men en tid med en sådan epokegørende transformation er også den bedste tid for ideernes betydning.

Jeg kan blot sige, at de ideer, som kommer fra min mand, Lyndon LaRouche, der har arbejdet for denne form for koncepter for en ny, retfærdig, økonomisk verdensorden i mere end et halvt århundrede, ja, nok nærmere i 75 år, eller endda længere endnu; men disse ideer har nu vundet indflydelse ... De mange, mange videnskabelige ideer, han genoplivede, mht. 2.500 års europæisk civilisation: Mange af disse ting bliver nu til virkelighed, fordi vi har nogle magtfulde lande, der rent faktisk virkeliggør dem og arbejder i denne retning.

Så ideernes magt er absolut afgørende, og vi har den store lykke, at, alt imens jeg ikke vil bagatellisere de farer, der stadig eksisterer; ligeledes er den mulige fare for en stor krig slet ikke fjernet; men jeg vil gerne have, at folk har en optimistisk følelse af, at vi, ikke alene i vores levetid, men i en meget nær fremtid, kan opleve en fuldstændig anden verden, *hvis* vi bliver aktive og vi kæmper for det.«

Foto: Præsident Donald J. Trump taler med sine lederkolleger, Canadas premierminister Justin Trudeau, Frankrigs præsident Emmanuel Macron, Japans premierminister Shinzo Abe og U.K.'s premierminister Theresa May, under arbejdsfrokosten på G7-topmødet i Canada.

[1] Se: [»Harmonien mellem konfuciansk og vestlig filosofi.«](#) Af EIR's Kina- og Konfucius-ekspert, Mike Billington.

[G6, G7 eller G8?](#) [Efterkrigstidens britiske imperieverden](#) [er hastigt i færd med at disintegrere](#)

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 8. juni, 2018 – Det britiske Imperiums verden af det 20. århundrede – ofte fejletikkeret »det Amerikanske Århundrede« – er nu i færd med at smuldre så hurtigt, at selv BBC's overskrift på dagens nyhedsdækning lød: »Har Trump brudt den Særlige Relation?« I morges holdt præsidenten en improviseret pressekonference på græsplænen foran Det Hvide Hus, før sin afrejse til G7-mødet i Quebec, og hvor han til pressen sagde, at »Rusland burde være med til mødet ... Det er måske ikke politisk korrekt, men vi har en verden at styre«. Den nyvalgte italienske premierminister Giuseppe Conte tweetede omgående: »Jeg

er enig med præsident Trump. Rusland bør atter indtræde i G8«.

Den franske præsident Macron havde i går grundlæggende set ekskluderet USA af G7, selv inden Trumps »ugudelige« invitation til Rusland. Macron sagde, vi kan fortsætte som G6 uden USA, mens den tyske udenrigsminister Heiko Maas sagde, at uenigheden mellem Europe og USA ikke længere kan fejes ind under gulvtæppet, og at Europa nu må søge andre alliancer. Med hvem, tør man spørge?

I går roste præsident Trump, under sin fælles pressekonference med den japanske premierminister Shinzo Abe, Kina og beskrev Asien, som det virkelig er – en samling af »suveræne nationer« (ikke »demokratier«), der lever efter »kerneprincipper, der gør det muligt for forskellige nationer at trives og få fremgang sammen i én smuk, fredelig atmosfære. Det er vi alle glade for. Det er, hvad der nu sker!«

Putin på sin side nød et statsbesøg i Beijing i dag, dagen før SCO-topmødet i Qingdao. Præsident Xi Jinping tildelte Putin nationens første Venskabsmedalje under en storslået ceremoni i Folkets Store Hal og kaldte Putin for »min bedste, inderligste ven«.

G7 er et værre rod. *The Telegraph* udlagde her til morgen en rapport fra »allierede« til præsident Trump, der sagde, at Trump havde afvist premierminister Theresa Mays anmodning om et bilateralt møde på sidelinjen af G7. »Ikke for noget«, sagde en af Trumps allierede, »men hun er grundlæggende set en skolefrøken. Jeg tror ikke, der er nogen, der kommer godt ud af det med hende.« Macron på sin side havde planlagt et bilateralt møde med Trump, men Trump kom sent til Quebec, og mødet blev aflyst.

Trump meddelte ligeledes, at han ville forlade G7 tidligt søndag morgen og sprang over sessionen om klimaforandring og miljø for at flyve til Singapore til sit (vigtigere) topmøde med Kim Jong-un, planlagt til tirsdag.

G7 er blevet afsløret som en anakronistisk enhed, som er ude af trit med det nye paradigme, der er centreret omkring Asien, men som også når ud til hele verden gennem den Nye Silkevejsånd. Trump er ved at bryde ud af Russiagate-svindlen, som blev opsat af britisk efterretning og deres agenter i Obama- og Bush-kredsene, hvilket vil sætte ham fri til at deltage i opbygningen af en ny, økonomisk verdensorden. Denne nye orden er hastigt ved at blive skabt og reflekterer konceptet med de »Fire Magter«, som Lyndon LaRouche fremlagde i 1990'erne – Rusland, Kina, Indien og USA, og som skaber en ny, global, økonomisk struktur, baseret på kredit til den reelle, fysiske udvikling af alle nationer og giver den nødvendige og passende styrke til at afslutte selve konceptet om

Imperium, én gang for alle.

Dinosaurerne skriger op, men menneskeracen er endelig ved at overvinde sin frygt for uhyret. Lørdag, 9. juni, afholder Schiller Instituttet en konference i Manhattan, med Helga Zepp-LaRouche som hovedtaler, og med talere fra Øst- og Vesteuropa, USA, Rusland, Kina, Afrika og Sydvestasien. Temaet, *Dona Nobis Pacem* (Giv os fred), tilsigter at give den optimisme og kampånd, som kræves for at opnå denne historiske sejr for menneskeheden. Opførelsen af Beethovens heroiske Messe i C-dur, af Schiller Instituttets kor, vil give den nødvendige skønhed, der, som Friedrich Schiller sagde, er den nødvendige vej til sandheden.

*Foto: Præsident Donald J. Trump ankommer til G7-topmødet. 8. juni, 2018.
(Official White House Photo by Shealah Craighead)*

[Mandagsopdatering fra LaRouchePAC, 4. juni, 2018](#)

[Briterne skubber på for krige i Mellemøsten, for at afspore fremvoksende samarbejde mellem de Fire Magter. Helga Zepp-LaRouche i Schiller Institut](#)

Webcast, 17. maj, 2018. Engelsk udskrift

Introduktion: I oktober måned, 2009, talte Lyndon LaRouche på Forum for Dialog mellem Civilisationer på øen Rhodos, hvor han fremlagde konceptet om, at en aftale mellem fire, ledende magter – USA, Kina, Rusland og Indien – kan danne grundlaget for at skabe et nyt, globalt kreditsystem til at erstatte det håbløst bankerotte, transatlantiske finanssystem, der på spektakulær vis krakkede det foregående år. I løbet af de seneste år er dette potentiale, under ledelse af Kinas præsident Xi Jinping, begyndt at antage konkret form. Med valget af Donald Trump til præsident i 2016, og som for en stor dels vedkommende skyldtes hans afvisning af hans forgængeres politikker for krig og finansspekulation, sås det, at han var parat til at tage skridt til at bevæge USA til at gå med i denne aftale.

Dette alene forklarer de desperate handlinger imod ham, med begyndelse i de svindelagtige Russiagate-beskyldninger, som var brygget sammen af britiske efterretningsnetværk og Obamas efterretningsfolk. Det forklarer også indsatsen for en skarpere konfrontation mellem USA og Rusland og Kina, inkl. Spripal-affæren og anklagerne om de kemiske våben i Douma, under falsk flag. De seneste, farlige handlinger fra Israels Netanyahu-regering mod Syrien, Iran, Libanon og palæstinenserne, og den fortsatte folkemorderiske krig, som saudierne fører imod Yemen, er alle en del af den samme deployering for at bruge krig til at stoppe konsolideringen af LaRouches idé om en Firemagtsalliance.

Helga Zepp-LaRouche har sagt, at det eneste, der kan stoppe denne dynamik for de Fire Magter, der har vundet frem omkring Kinas lederskab og Bælte & Vej Initiativet, er krig. Krigsfremstødet, der kommer fra Storbritannien, må nedkæmpes! Hør fr. LaRouche kommende torsdag for den seneste opdatering om denne kamp, og hvad du kan gøre for at sikre, at Imperiet og dets geopolitiske vanvid bringes til en afslutning.

Engelsk udskrift:

British Push Mideast Wars to Derail Emerging Four-Power Cooperation

Schiller Institute New Paradigm Webcast, May 17, 2018

With Helga Zepp-LaRouche

HARLEY SCHLANGER: Hello, I'm Harley Schlanger from the Schiller Institute. Welcome to this week's international webcast, featuring our founder and President Helga Zepp-LaRouche. Over the last weeks Helga has been emphasizing the deployment by British Imperial geopolitical interests out to wreck the promising potential that's emerged in Eurasia, and especially around the recent developments of a potential peace agreement with North Korea. Helga's repeatedly emphasized that this looks a lot like sleepwalking into World War I and in fact, with the events that just took place in Gaza, in the last couple of days, the massacre there by Israeli soldiers, the threat for the situation to break out of control, obviously, Helga, this looks like this is a potential kind of pre-war kind of deployment to disrupt the emergence of this four power agreement.

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Yes, I think what has happened around Gaza in the last days is really a tragedy. Obviously, it coincided with the opening of the U.S. Embassy in Jerusalem, which I think was an unnecessary and provocative thing to do. But the situation in the Gaza is an open-air jail; it's a new Warsaw Ghetto. If you look at what has happened there – OK, I'm not excluding, that there are some violent Hamas elements, who used the fact, that people are generally upset about the conditions. Basically, you have a very tiny area, of the size of the city-state of Bremen in Germany, which is very small, where 2 million people are crowded. They have no money for food, they have only a few hours of electricity, they have no clean water, and no medical supplies, which now, after 61 people were shot and killed, and 2,700 wounded became a real nightmare, because you had all these wounded people who were not treated. So people have been demonstrating, and the Israeli IDF and special snipers shot into the crowd, which was completely unnecessary. If you want to dissolve a crowd, you can use water throwers, you can use such other means – you don't have to shoot people dead. So, this has inflamed the situation and as I said, after a day of mourning and funerals, now the thing is not stopping. The Israelis are firing airstrikes at installations of the Hamas in Gaza.

This could easily lead to an escalation where you have a war between Israel and Hamas, Hezbollah, potentially Iran, and then, from there it goes to a big war. This is a terrible situation. And I should remind people that what is happening in this area, even though it's not in the spotlight of the mass media, when you had the Oslo Agreement, which was in 1993, already at that time, the Palestinians were supposed to get only 25% of the territory of Palestine, and the Israelis would get 75%. But in the meantime, 60% of the so-called West Bank of Jordan has been occupied by settlers, so there's only 40% left, and this is really becoming a very dire situation, and obviously the aim, and several people have said that, the aim is to demoralize the Palestinians in such a way that they give up and just quit, which won't happen.

You have a situation, where the Jewish population is becoming quickly a minority and you cannot maintain a rule a hostile population which outnumbers you in such ways: We saw that in other occasions, such as in South Africa, it didn't function, and it will not function here.

So even if you don't have an escalation to a big war, you have Hell! And I have been saying this, and naturally my husband has been saying it for decades: You need economic development. Because if you have a lot of young people in Gaza and elsewhere, who are growing up, being 14, 15, 16, and already at that time have the feeling they have no future, it is a chain of violence, an escalation of violence is pre-programmed.

We have been making the point, the whole time, that while you have Christian fundamentalists in the United States who think that an early Middle East war is a good thing – I have heard such people talking like that. The reality is that the Middle East, Southwest Asia, has been the playground of British Imperialism, and at a certain point also French Imperialism, which basically have treated this region as a region for proxy wars for their own geopolitical interests. This was demonstrated in the Sykes-Picot Treaty of 1916, which carved up this region in ways which was the seed for future conflicts. And right now, you can see very clear, the aim is to get a confrontation with Russia – Iran – but, Russia, China, and that way prevent the possibility of a cooperation in a New Paradigm.

My husband has said this many times; emphatically he has made speeches about it at international forums, that the only way how you can break this terrible nightmare of violence and horror

is by having a Four Power agreement among the United States, Russia, China, and India, and that way, you have enough people and enough military, political, and economic power to end the British Empire and their ability manipulate the situation. This has to be put on the agenda, because if it's not, the danger is, that this thing spirals out of control, and already now it's a terrible nightmare and a tragedy for the people who are suffering these situations.

SCHLANGER: You mentioned the Sykes-Picot Agreement which was a perfect example of the British geopolitical deployment that led to World War I, and then the immediate period afterwards where the British were moving in, to try to replace the collapsing Ottoman Empire and establish what the British call the "Middle East" today, a bridge that they could control between Asia, Africa, and Europe.

These geopoliticians are on the march, they're threatening – in Israel you have threats against Lebanon, Israeli strikes on Iranian positions in Syria. But, Helga, I think the important thing for people to understand, is your emphasis and your husband's emphasis on a bigger picture agreement, which would be that of the great powers. None of these small states can maneuver effectively within this. How is this that you could get an agreement? Isn't this a perfect opportunity for Trump and Putin to get together and sit down and talk about it?

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Yes. I think that that particular move. They did agree on the telephone to have an early summit. President Trump even invited Putin to come to the White House. And given the extremely difficult factional situation in the United States, and anti-Trump, Russiagate coup attempt, which is completely falling apart, but it's still not officially acknowledged, and it needs to be gotten through in terms of putting the culprits of this coup in trial instead. Given these difficult and complex situations, I think that if this summit between Putin and Trump would take place as quickly as possible, and take all the time needed to discuss and develop flanks to the situation, I think that is the one thing which could cut through all of this and create new options. I think we should all wish, and speak out, that such an early summit would occur.

SCHLANGER: We also see the great potential on the Korean Peninsula, somewhat set back by these comments by Bolton, the national security advisor, comparing North Korea to Libya, which is an unmistakable reference for anyone in North Korea that the threat that, when Qaddafi went along with an agreement to get rid of his nuclear weapons, less than a decade later, Obama, Cameron, Sarkozy and Hillary Clinton went in and destroyed the country. What's your sense of where things stand now, following the statement from North Korea of the cancellation of the North Korea/South Korea summit that was supposed to take place, I think today; what's your sense of where this is heading?

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: I think it is a dangerous phase. It's not yet hopeless, because after this North Korea/South Korea summit was cancelled, the State Department said the United States still assumes that the summit between Trump and Kim Jong-un will take place on June 12 in Singapore. And there were rumors in the Japanese papers that maybe even Xi Jinping would participate in such a summit. So this is not yet off the table. And the Deputy Foreign Minister of North Korea, whose name is Kim Kye-gwan, he made a very clear distinction between the statements and the line of Pompeo and Trump; and Pompeo was in North Korea came back and reported very respectfully and very positively about Kim Jong-un and Trump clearly has taken up a very respectful tone towards Kim Jong-un as well. However, Bolton – and this Deputy Foreign Minister made this distinction very clearly – Bolton in, I don't know if it was just being unclever or deliberate, I have no way of saying, but to tell the North Koreans that the model of the denuclearization proposal by Kim Jong-un will following the Libya model!—I mean, you cannot say something worse. Because if you remember, Libya, Qaddafi, turned over all of Libya's nuclear weapons and then the result was, he was overthrown and killed, and the country has been in complete chaos ever since, basically ungovernable to the present day.

This Deputy Foreign Minister basically said they will never accept such a model, obviously, and that North Korea is proposing something which is not to be taken as a weakness, but it is actually an effort by Kim Jong-un to solve a very untenable, terrible situation, but it's not a sign of weakness. And it cannot be done by unilateral commands from the side of the United States, but it has to occur in a trustful atmosphere of dialogue and cooperation. So, since I think that President Trump is

intending to do that, I don't think it's completely in danger, but there clearly is a cloud over the horizon.

And obviously the events in the Middle East also have a peripheral impact, namely the question which is being raised by many people, if the United States can rip apart the nuclear agreement with Iran, which was a negotiated agreement, it took 12 years, many nations were involved, the United Nations approved it, so if you unilaterally get rid of such an agreement, you know, it also puts a question of doubt on the reliability of the United States in general.

All of this means we are really in a very dangerous situation. And, for example, there was just a new poll, where by now, 57 % of all Russians are convinced that the crisis in Syria will lead to a global war. Now, I hope not, but the air is full of worry, about war, and people who are concerned about this, they should help us to mobilize to bring in the alternative: Which is the cooperation among nations for a win-win cooperation overcoming geopolitics. And the potential clearly is there. I think a lot of good things have happened: The rapprochement between China and Japan; careful steps in this direction between China and India; clearly a good relation between Japan and Russia; Trump clearly has stated his intention to keep, despite all trade issues, a good relationship with "his friend Xi Jinping," as he always calls him; and there is the pending summit between Trump and Putin.

So all the potentials are clearly there, but it is also clear that as the Western financial system is in absolute mortal danger of a new blowout, the risks to the situation cannot be overstated, and make every intervention in the direction of solving these problems with the Four Laws proposed by my husband, extremely urgent. So I would call on all of you that you should get in contact with us, you should become a member of the Schiller Institute, you should help us to put the Four Laws of Lyndon LaRouche on the agenda, because they're not only needed in the United States, they're equally needed in Europe and other affected by the effects of the trans-Atlantic financial system.

SCHLANGER: This just highlights the difficulty of existing in between two paradigms: On the one side you have the old geopolitical, unilateralist paradigm, which is an imperial paradigm, of war, of proxy wars, of false flags, of terrorism, of bail-outs, of austerity; and that's being rejected by the world's

population. But we haven't yet seen the full consolidation of the New Paradigm, and that's what the work of the Schiller Institute has been from the beginning, to bring this New Paradigm into existence.

On that, also the Iran situation is sort of hanging between these two paradigms; it's not clear where that's going. But, Helga, there's been some discussion among Europeans as to whether or not the agreement can be salvaged. What do you know about that?

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Well, the Iranian Foreign Minister Zarif travelled to China; he travelled to Russia and then to Brussels, because all of these countries – that, is Russia, China, Germany France, and Great Britain, and the EU basically have stated that they want to try with all possible means to maintain the Iran nuclear agreement, even if the United States pulled out unilaterally. It is not clear if that will function. Naturally, the fact that Russia and China are backing it is a very important point.

However, if the U.S. would impose secondary sanctions on European firms that maintain business with Iran, I don't know what will happen: Because the European Union foreign policy representative Federica Mogherini said that they will pull out some regulations which were voted in, or accepted in the '90s, but they were never used, to protect such firms from sanctions. Now, I have a hard time to imagine how that will function, given the fact that international banks are operating internationally, so if the United States would impose these secondary sanctions, it could cause absolute havoc in the whole situation.

The Europeans have now said that they demand additional negotiations with Iran, this time not concerning the nuclear program, but concerning the Iranian missile program, which is also something which President Trump had mentioned, and he said all the time that he would come up with a better deal – well, I hope that this better deal is a comprehensive solution for the whole region.

We have discussed this many times, but I want to reiterate it: That if you want to solve the problem in the Middle East, or in Southwest Asia, you have to take into account the security interests of every country and every single party, and that emphatically includes not only Israel, but it includes Iran, it includes the Palestinians; it includes every country. And

equally important is that you need to have economic development: You have right now several situations which are turning into a nightmare. One is Yemen. You know, you have {the} largest humanitarian catastrophe of the planet right now taking place in Yemen. You have the situation in the Gaza Strip. And naturally, you have all the areas which have been destroyed by these wars: The situation in Afghanistan remains quite out of control, even so, there are hopeful signs that this could be turned around. Now, what you need, is, if you have a very complex situation like that – and obviously, the many things which have happened, the terrorisms, many wars – emotions are hurt, people have an incredible accumulated rage: You need something big, and the only way how you could get it, is if you had all the neighbors, Russia, China, India, Iran, Egypt, the United States, and hopefully European nations all agreeing that the only way how this can be solved, is, you have to have the extension of the New Silk Road into the region and develop every country as part one, integrated, industrial infrastructure development program. There are already the beginnings of that. When President Xi Jinping was three years ago in Iran, he agreed already with President Rouhani at the time, that the New Silk Road would be extended into Iran. You had the Afghanistan President demanding that the New Silk Road should be applied in Afghanistan; and at the recent Wuhan meeting of President Xi Jinping and India's Prime Minister Modi, they agreed that China and India would cooperate in bringing the Silk Road into Afghanistan, by building, as a first step, a large train connection between Afghanistan, Tajikistan, Kyrgyzstan, Iran, China, and that way start to connect Afghanistan to the Silk Road. That same approach must be taken for Iraq, for Syria, for the situation in Yemen, and naturally Egypt will have to play a very important role as a bridge between Asia and Africa. I think Egypt is absolutely thinking in this direction, already. And however, naturally, these are gigantic projects and they cannot be done by any one country alone; even if China has a special envoy for Syria, they have said they want to play a leading role in the reconstruction of Syria. You have the earlier commitment of Russia to supply energy, of Iran to help in the industrial development. But that needs to be presented as a comprehensive proposal. And I'm sure that there are people in Israel, as well, who will not agree with the present course of Netanyahu – who, by

the way, faces his own problems and may look into not such a bright future for his own political career – but there are people in Israel who agree, that you need to come out of this terrible paradigm of the present configuration. And if there would be an agreement, between Trump, Xi Jinping, Putin and Modi, and then other leaders joining with them, to go in this direction, even this very difficult situation of Southwest Asia could be approached and a solution could be found. But it does require an extraordinary intervention.

SCHLANGER: And just to inform our new viewers, and as well as to remind our regular viewers, we produced that blueprint, the report that we've done on the New Silk Road coming into Africa and West Asia, and that's available through the Schiller Institute. ["Extending the New Silk Road to West Asia and Africa: A Vision of an Economic Renaissance"]

<http://newparadigm.schillerinstitute.com/extending-new-silk-road-west-asia-africa/>]

And it is a comprehensive picture of what the Chinese have proposed, and what they're actually already doing, moving the earth, creating jobs, educating people, and doing the job training that's necessary.

As long as we're continuing to review the danger spots, there's one other one that won't go away, and that's the situation in Ukraine, where you had just this week the raid on the offices of RIA Novosti; you have various kinds of threats coming from Poroshenko, and the neo-Nazis in the security agencies in Ukraine.

You also have this very interesting development of a new bridge opening connecting Russia to Crimea, and this being a cause for some wild Ukrainian fascists, calling for blowing up the bridge because this is an attack on Ukrainian independence. Helga, what's the situation on the ground as far as you can see in Ukraine right now?

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: This raid on the offices of RIA Novosti is very serious, because they arrested the office chief Kirill Vyshinsky; they compared RIA Novosti with Goebbels – I mean, talking about the free press, you can really say that in Ukraine right now, the situation is quite dire.

Mrs. Merkel, the German Chancellor, will go to Sochi, Russia to meet with Putin and this will be one of the subjects of

discussion; naturally, the other crisis spots as well. So, I think if one could somehow put pressure that the Minsk Agreement is being put back on the table, which right now it is obviously not, because Kiev is absolutely not cooperating, and you have the law by Poroshenko to solve the situation in East Ukraine by military means. So this is definitely another extremely dangerous situation.

But, because it is so dangerous, I think more people are waking up to that, and that may be a first step to hopefully prevent something which could easily become World War III.

SCHLANGER: And the Ukraine issue brings up another aspect of Russiagate. I was just doing some review of this in the last couple of days, and I noticed something that I had forgotten, which is that John Brennan, the former CIA director who is at the center of much of the operation of Russiagate against Trump, that Brennan had made a secret trip to Kiev shortly after the overthrow of Yanukovich, and put in motion U.S. support for the criminal regime that came in. So this new discussion coming up around Brennan in the Mueller, this is quite interesting what Sen. Rand Paul brought up.

Helga, do you think this adds to the weight against Mueller? The judges are turning against him, there are exposés of the FBI and overall corruption. Where is this thing heading? Why hasn't it been shut down by now?

ZEPP-LAROCHE: I think it could be shut down quickly, because the latest twist is, I just read an article that Mueller is now suspected of having had collusion with a Russian oligarch, which I'd find a little bit humorous, if the situation weren't so serious.

But I think this letter by Sen. Rand Paul is really important: He wrote a letter to Gina Haspel, the newly nominated head of the CIA, demanding that she should turn over all information the CIA has, as to was there any investigation; did the CIA bug the Presidential candidates in 2016, not just Trump but every other candidate, as well? And did they work, given the fact that the CIA is prohibited by law from surveilling Americans, did they ask other intelligence services from other countries to cooperate with them in doing that? And I think he hinted also to British intelligence directly.

And then, in an interview with NBC, he even went further,

and also brought up in this context, the visit by Robert Hannigan, the then-head of GCHQ, the British equivalent of the NSA, to the United States to brief Brennan about all of this. So this is now coming out in the mainstream media that there was such a collusion with British intelligence, and this is really a very good thing, because obviously, this is completely illegal, unconstitutional; it may be even criminal. And the more quickly these things are being followed up, the better.

Also Congressman Nunes, the head of the House Intelligence Committee, basically said that it's now 100% certain that there was absolutely no collusion of the Trump team with Russia. And he said that given the fact that those who pretended that there was such a collusion knew that it did not exist, why was this whole operation instigated in the first place?

I think this question must be answered: Because this was a coup attempt against an elected President of the United States, and it has shed light on exactly who are the forces of the Empire – we call it the British Empire, because it is in the continuity of the British Empire – but all the people who have come out quickly against Trump on the side of those who accused, have also shown their true colors.

So, if the United States should get back to its constitutional form, there were demands that the entire FBI, and Department of Justice must be cleaned out and reorganized afresh. I think all of this is necessary.

And Trump must be freed from this, because this ongoing situation is the only reason why the relationship with Russia, with China, and naturally, in an indirect form in the Middle East, why these situations are so dangerous. If world peace is supposed to be saved, the British coup must be uncovered completely. All the culprits must be held accountable. And then Trump can actually do what he promised he would do – and most of it actually went in a good direction, and even some of the critics have to see that.

However, the one Damocles Sword which is hanging over this is the danger of a financial blowout. And we need to have this debate on not only Glass-Steagall, but go to Hamiltonian economics and apply the Four Laws of my husband, Lyndon LaRouche, as an absolutely urgent matter of priority.

SCHLANGER: And Helga, in conclusion, I'd like to pose something to you, that comes back to this question of Hamiltonian

economics, which is, that as all of these war provocations are progressing, as the British are pulling every string that they have, the Chinese are continuing with very bold plans around the New Silk Road. The New Silk Road Spirit, as you called it, is catching around the world. And even the efforts of some who sabotaged the U.S.-China relationship around trade, around tariffs, and things of that sort, seems to be moving in a potentially good direction, with the visit of another team of Chinese officials to Washington.

How do you think this can affect the overall situation – the Trump-Xi relationship? Isn't that really one of the keys to breaking through the New Paradigm?

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Yes. If the proposal by Li Keqiang, the Chinese Prime Minister, would be taken up, that the way to balance the trade between the United States and China is not by imposing tariffs, but by increasing trade, by increasing investments in third countries, that way it could be done in a much more elegant way. And there are plenty of opportunities: The United States could join with China in investments in Latin America; in, as I said already, the Middle East; other Asia countries. And there is a new Chinese offer now to India, that rather than being a rival in African investment, that given the fact that Chinese has a big expertise in building infrastructure, where India is really lagging behind, that they should join efforts, and India should bring in the kinds of things they can do well, and China would provide the large-scale infrastructure without which all of these investments don't function.

Now, for the United States, they could also be a part of that. And I think that, to look at the world in a non-geopolitical way – I know that this is almost impossible for some people to imagine, because they are so trained that the world is a zero-sum game, that if China rises, the United States goes under – this is just not the case, the Chinese want to have for the situation. China has made many times the point that they do not want to replace the United States as an unipolar, dominant force, but they want to have a new type of relations among major powers. And that involves dramatically, the idea of joint economic projects in third countries, joint ventures, and re-define entirely how you go about it.

If you look at it from a longer arc of history, it is not natural that people solve conflict with weapons or wars. This

always what I call the infant diseases of mankind. Like little boys who kick each in the shins when they are four years old, or even seven years old. Eventually, you can become an adult, and you have cherish the creative mind of the other person and work together like Max Planck and Einstein; like Schiller and Humboldt; you can have a relationship to other countries where you address the creative potential of the other and that enriches in turn, your own potential.

I think the future of humanity, which is after all the only species capable of creative reason, of making fundamental discoveries about universal principles of the physical universe, again and again, and that way develop more knowledge about our planet, the universe in which we are living, about the principles of science and technology, which we then apply in the production process which leads to an increase in productivity, which leads to an increase in living standards, an increase in longevity, – this is what we are! We are not animals. We are human beings, who are the only species, at least known so far, in the universe, which can relate to their create power as their identity.

And if we take that approach, then, to have many nations, and to have many cultures all based on their cultural tradition, all based on their sovereignty, they can work together to a higher level of reason, and that is the {only} way how mankind will survive! I think we are at a crossroads: If we decide to stay with geopolitics, in this world, this will lead to World War III, for all we know, the extinction of our species. On the other side, the New Paradigm is already working, 140 countries are already cooperating, and I think we need a mass movement of people who say, mankind has reached a new era and we must consciously form our future, our “shared community for the future of mankind,” as Xi Jinping always calls it.

I think we need a discussion on these.

SCHLANGER: I think you just made a compelling case for people to give up sleepwalking, and to instead catch the New Silk Road Spirit. So, Helga, until next week, thank you, and thank you for joining us.

And take up this challenge, those you watching this: Take up the challenge to become active with the Schiller Institute. Thank you, and see you next week.

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Yes.

Briterne, der frygter fremskridt i Asien, rykker ud for at sprænge Mellemøsten i luften

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 15. maj, 2018 – Benjamin Netanyahus israelske regering har begået en utilgivelig massakre på palæstinensere i Gaza i løbet af det seneste døgn; og de har hermed øget faren for en ny og *generel* krig i Mellemøsten, som allerede er rykket alt for tæt på. Den fare, som dette udgør for verdensfreden, bør ikke undervurderes – og det samme gælder for den katastrofe, det kunne blive for den økonomiske genopbygning og ditto fremskridt, der potentielt står til rådighed for Mellemøsten og Afrika, på basis af Bælte & Vej Initiativet, der spreder sig i hele Eurasien.

Netanyahu er ikke den, der primært får tingene til at ske i denne optrapning, selv ikke med sin åbenlyse aggression mod Syrien og krigstrusler mod Iran og Libanon. Det er heller ikke præsident Trump, hvis hasarderede fejltagelse med hensyn til Jerusalem kom, mens han kæmpede for sit politiske liv imod en kampagne for hans afsættelse og retsforfølgelse.

Det er britisk efterretning og eliten i den britiske regering, der er de primære aktører i krigsfremstødet, og som af Trump kræver en krigskonfrontation med Rusland samtidig med, at de anstifter og optrapper kupforsøget imod ham.

Igen i dag belærte chefen for britisk efterretnings MI5, Andrew Parker, efterretningsrepræsentanter fra den Europæiske Union om nødvendigheden af at bekæmpe Rusland på enhver tænkelig måde. Siden marts måned har den britiske regering oppisket krigssvindelumre og anti-russiske konfrontationer – Skripal-forgiftningsaffæren; det særdeles tvivlsomme »angreb med kemiske våben« i Douma, Syrien. Britiske aktiver i Israel og Saudi-Arabien har iscenesat deres egne svindelnumre imod Iran samtidig med at angribe Syrien og føre en etnisk udrensningsskrig mod Yemen.

Så rædselsslagne er britiske geopolitikere over udsigten til et samarbejde omkring den Nye Silkevej mellem Kina, Rusland, Indien og Trumps USA, sammen med også Japan, Sydkorea og andre, at de med overlæg har promoveret krige imod det.

Lige nu kan truslen om generel krig i Mellemøsten kun vendes på basis af, at præsident Trump og præsident Putin mødes og samarbejder om det, som de begge ønsker. Disse to præsidenter kan stoppe denne nedstigning. Men faren kan i virkeligheden kun fjernes gennem en omfattende plan for økonomisk udvikling fra Afghanistan til Middelhavet og fra Kaukasus til Golfen, inkl. skabelse af afgørende ny infrastruktur og ved anvendelse af Kinas metoder til bekæmpelse af alvorlig fattigdom.

Dette vil være muligt gennem Bælte & Vej Initiativets nye paradigme, hvis de fire, store hovedmagter, vi tidligere nævnte, kan samarbejde om det, assisteret af de andre asiatiske nationer. Præcis et sådant niveau af – tilsyneladende umulige – gennembrud har vist sig mulige gennem fremskridtet mod fred og genopbygning på Koreahalvøen. Det er fremkommet ud fra viljen til at samarbejde mellem Trump, Kinas præsident Xi, Putin, Sydkoreas præsident Moon og Japans premierminister Abe. Denne mulighed, inkl. Indiens afgørende bidrag, må holdes frem som eksempel for Sydasiens, Mellemøsten og Nordafrika.

For USA skal der mere til. Det britiske angreb på præsidentskabet er en krig imod samarbejde med Rusland og Kina; men det er ligeledes et angreb på lederskab som sådan. Det er et halvt århundrede siden, at USA havde et præsidentielt lederskab, der blot delvist trodsede britisk geopolitik; og dette lederskab blev myrdet. I løbet af dette halve århundrede har amerikanerne i stigende grad ladet Wall Street tage over fra præsidenter og styre kongresser; de har mistet fornemmelsen for produktiv beskæftigelse og er blevet kulturelle pessimister.

»Jeg synes om denne leder, eller den leder« eller, »Jeg synes ikke om alt det kaos og al den interne strid«, vil ikke løse noget som helst. Amerikanske borgere må selv blive ledere og selvstændigt tænkende mennesker, som de engang brystede sig af. At tage deres økonomi og regering tilbage fra Wall Street er det formål, for hvilket LaRouche-bevægelsen forsyner dem med værktøjet.

Foto: Borgere i Gaza demonstrerer ved en barriere mellem Gaza og Israel 11. maj, 2018. (IDF Spokesperson Unit)

[Helga Zepp-LaRouche opfordrer til](#)

diplomati for fred og udvikling i kølvandet på drab i Gaza

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 15. maj, 2018 – Dødstallet efter det voldsomme, israelske angreb 14. maj mod palæstinensiske demonstranter på Gazas grænse er nu oppe på 61 dræbte mennesker, med 2.700 sårede, heraf næsten halvdelen fra åben ild. Dette fandt sted samme dag som den ceremonielle åbning af den nye amerikanske ambassade, der blev flyttet fra Tel Aviv til Jerusalem, en handling, man vidste, var en provokation, i betragtning af områdets historie.

Konfliktlinjerne blev oprindeligt trukket op i blod i Sydvestasien af britiske, geopolitiske interventioner for et århundrede siden, for at skabe vilkårlige grænser og fortsættende stridigheder. I dag fortsætter de denne praksis, i betragtning af den Londoncentrerede opposition mod drivkraften for global udvikling, der er knyttet til initiativet for den Nye Silkevej og potentialet for samarbejde mellem de »Fire Magter« – Kina, Rusland, Indien og USA, for at gøre en ende på imperiegeopolitik én gang for alle.

Helga Zepp-LaRouche, Schiller Instituttets præsident, opfordrede i dag til nødhandling i overensstemmelse med det Nye Paradigme for udenrigsrelationer, for fred og økonomisk udvikling. Hun understregede, at faren for en optrapning til generel krig er stor.

Under samtaler med medarbejdere sagde Zepp-LaRouche:

»Jeg vil blot sige, at denne situation i Mellemøsten er virkelig farlig. Jeg mener, vi absolut også må kræve, at der må komme en efterforskning af, hvad det var, der skete – for det, der synes at være sket, er, at der var disse demonstrationer. For det første, så var resultatet af at flytte den amerikanske ambassade til Jerusalem forudsigeligt, i betragtning af, at begge sider gør krav på Jerusalem, og det er nu desværre sket. Men, da der kom demonstrationer imod dette i Gaza, skød de israelske, væbnede styrker på folk og dræbte 60 og sårede over 2.000. Dette er en grusomhed.

Situationen er naturligvis superspændt og kunne fuldstændig eksplodere. Jeg mener, at dette er meget ulykkeligt. Dette er virkelig slemt, men fremgangsmåden må være den, at man får alle sider til at erkende, at dette kunne være lunt til en virkelig stor krig, hvis ikke den store krig. For, hvis dette fører til en optrapning mellem Israel, Hezbollah og Hamas, så kunne det hurtigt optrappe hele vejen.

Så dette er virkelig noget, der viser os, hvor tæt verden står ved afgrunden, eller Armageddon, for den sags skyld. Folk bør virkelig indse, at vi må have en ændring i den måde, hvorpå man fører politik, til et Nyt Paradigme, hvis verden skal reddes. Dette er virkelig en meget alvorlig situation, og vi bør gøre dette til en forstærket appel om, at vi må have en ændring af politikken, hvor de Fire Magter arbejder sammen om alle disse spørgsmål, for så ville denne form for destabiliseringer ikke kunne forekomme.»

Hvis Roosevelt havde levet

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 10. maj, 2018 – Midt i de seneste ugers begivenheder, der er uden fortilfælde, minder gårsdagens fejring af Sejrsdagen i Moskva, der fejrer afslutningen af Anden Verdenskrig i Europa, og især præsident Putins dybt bevægende hyldest til dem, der rundhåndet gav alt for at besejre nazisterne, os om vores amerikanske præsident Franklin Roosevelt, der, udslidt, var bukket under blot få uger før nazismens endelige nederlag. Roosevelts død på dette tidspunkt frastjal denne generation det løfte om verden efter krigen, og Amerika efter krigen, han så længe havde planlagt og kæmpet for.

Statsmanden Lyndon LaRouches tale i New Delhi fra 3. dec., 2008, »Tiden for et nyt system er kommet«, hvor han første gang foreslog »Firemagtsaftalen«, genskaber Franklin Roosevelts tankegang fra dengang, gennem en prisme af LaRouches mere avancerede koncepter frem til nutiden.

Lyndon LaRouche sagde, »Vi må have en koalition af kræfter på planeten, der er stærk nok, og som i tilstrækkelig grad forstår sin gensidige egeninteresse, til at genindsætte den form for kontrol, som USA forsøgte at promovere under Franklin Roosevelt. Mod slutningen af krigen sagde Roosevelt, i forbindelse med Kina og i forbindelse med Sovjetunionen, samt andre lande, man behøver ikke synes om det andet land; man behøver ikke synes om dets regering; man behøver ikke synes om dets politik. Det, man må gøre, er at etablere et internationalt kontrolsystem, under hvilket man ikke har ting, der løber løbsk, og som udgør trusler. Man må simpelt hen have traktatlige organisationer eller lignede ting, der svarer til traktatlige organisationer, hvor folk har en sådan interesse i at bevare traktat-organisationen, at de vil regulere sig selv og deres eget land. Og man kan få samarbejde omkring dette.»

Det var sådan, Roosevelt havde til hensigt at sammensætte en verden efter krigen

med Stalins Rusland, Kina og Indien. Men hans efterfølger, Harry Truman, tilbød at mødes med Stalin, så snart denne kunne komme til USA – hvilket Truman udmærket vidste, Stalin aldrig ville gøre. Modellen for efterkrigstidens Tyskland skulle være det, vi har set i Østrig – neutralitet befriet for militær besættelse. Et forenet Tyskland ville have blomstret – men det skulle ikke være således. Koreakrigen, der har truet os fra dens begyndelse i 1950 og frem til nutiden, gav Stalin en mulighed for at slå tilbage mod angloamerikansk militært pres i Europa, gennem en ubevogtet bagdør i Asien. I tilbageblik fulgte der en anden form for mareridt efter Anden Verdenskrig, fordi Roosevelt døde, og hans planer og idealer blev begravet sammen med ham af britisk imperialisme.

Inden for sin Firemagtsaftale foreslog LaRouche at bruge den amerikanske forfatnings enestående træk til at forankre udstedelsen af massive mængder af kreditskabelse til produktiv investering, med vægt på infrastruktur. Der findes ingen måde, hvorpå man kan gå i gang med at transformere de millioner af ufaglærte arbejdere i Indien, for eksempel, til faglært arbejdskraft, uden en massiv skabelse af infrastruktur.

Tilbage i 1945 mislykkedes det for os at skabe den verden efter krigen, som vore helte forventede og fortjente. I stedet for dette lysende løfte, tilbragte vi et helt liv under truslen om atomkrig – en trussel, der stadig består den dag i dag. Men i dag er der åbnet op for et nyt alternativ, takket være Lyndon LaRouches kreative vision, centreret omkring Bælte & Vej Initiativet, lanceret af Kinas præsident Xi Jinping, og i hvilket flere end 100 lande nu deltager.

I dag blev Mahatir Mohamad, i en alder af 92, genindsat som premierminister for Malaysia 15 år efter, han sidst forlod denne post, og han er således den ældste, valgte leder i verden. Mahatir Mohamad er velkendt på internationalt plan for sin åbenlyse enighed med Lyndon LaRouche, for eksempel, med hensyn til George Soros. Han tiltræder embedet som en verdensleder, der er muslim, og som også frem for alt andet er en stærk tilhænger af Bælte & Vej Initiativet, som han i dag sagde, han havde detaljeret foreslået i et personligt brev til præsident Xi Jinping.

Mahatir Mohamads lederskab og støtte til Bælte & Vej Initiativet er ikke alene vigtigt for Sydøstasien, men også ekstremt vigtigt for Mellemøsten, der nu er i færd med at blive angrebet af en farlig stedfortræderkrig. Bælte & Vej er det tvingende nødvendige svar for Sydvestasien – som Lyndon LaRouche i mere end 40 år har foreslået, og som Franklin Roosevelt ville være enig i.

Se Lyndon LaRouche: [»The Time Has Come for a New System«](#), *EIR*, 19. dec., 2008.

Foto: Den russiske præsident Putin under militærparaden, der markerer 73-året for Sejr i den Store Patriotiske Krig 1941-45. Et minuts stilhed. 9. maj, 2018. (en.kremlin.ru)

Krig eller fred – Det afgørende øjeblik er kommet

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 6. maj, 2018 – Den fremvoksende løsning på den længe betændte Koreakrise frembyder en positiv model: uanset, hvor umedgørlig, en situation synes at være; hvis verdens store magter kan arbejde sammen, kan ethvert problem overvindes. Donald Trump, Xi Jinping og Vladimir Putin arbejdede sammen hen mod et fælles mål, der giver alle sider, inklusive Kim Jong-un, mulighed for at have tillid til processen som helhed. Med den Nye Silkevejs udviklingsproces, der ligger bag den politiske dialog, kan win-win-resultatet klart ses af alle partier.

Hvorfor kan denne proces ikke anvendes på de andre krisepunkter? Det britiske Imperium har altid været beroende på sådanne regionale kriser, som i de fleste tilfælde oprindeligt blev skabt af briterne selv, for at tvinge de globale magter til at stille op på modsatte sider – arabere versus jøder; sunni versus shia; Øst versus Vest. Hvis USA ophørte med at spille håndhæver for disse britiskkontrollerede konflikter og i stedet gik sammen med Kina og Rusland i den Nye Silkevejsånd, kunne alle disse konflikter relativt hurtigt blive løst, som i Korea.

Trump har insisteret på, at USA må ophøre med sin rolle som »verdens politibetjent« på vegne af Imperiet – og alligevel skriger krigspartiet i USA nu på krig mod Iran, krig mod Rusland over Ukraine og på en permanent kolonialistisk besættelse og opsplittning af Syrien. USA's flåde har netop gendannet den Anden Flåde til at afpatruljere Nordatlanten – flåden var blevet de-aktiveret i 2011 – baseret på det vanvittige forslag, at Rusland og Kina pludselig er blevet »stormagtstrusler« mod USA, som den nye Nationale Forsvarsstrategi erklærer. Det samme krigsparti giver også troværdighed til de svindelagtige påstande, som Bibi Netanyahu har præsenteret, nemlig, at Iran »stadig« fremstiller atomvåben, på trods af IAEA's offentlige tilbagevisning af denne løgn.

Alt imens Kinas Bælte & Vej danner grundlaget for det store potentiale for et

nyt paradigme for menneskeheden, baseret på udvikling og fælles fremskridt, så er det vestlige banksystems risikable system det, der danner grundlaget for briternes og deres aktiver i USA's fremstød for krig. Økonom og historiker Nomi Prins skrev i sidste uge: »I dag står vi meget tæt – hvor tæt, ved vi endnu ikke – ved randen af en farlig, finansiel afgrund. De risici, som de største af de private banker udgør, eksisterer stadig, men nu er de endnu større, end de var i 2007-08 [henved 40 % større, -red.], og opererer nu i en arena af endnu mere gæld.« Uden en gennemførelse af det totale LaRouche-program – med en genoprettelse af et videnskabsdrevet program for fusionskraft og udforskning af rummet, skabelse af statslig bankpraksis for at skabe statslig kredit til realøkonomien, samt en Glass/Steagall-reform af det bankerotte finanssystem – er der ingen mulighed for, at denne boble ikke snart brister. Imperiets finansherrer ville foretrække krig – ikke blot en lokal krig, men en krig med Rusland og Kina, hvis samarbejde i Bælte & Vej truer deres plyndringsrettigheder i udviklingslandene.

Den største fare for Imperiet er, at Trump vil leve op til sin plan om at etablere venskabelige relationer med både Rusland og Kina og således bryde denne imperieopsplitning. Russiagate-kupforsøget mod Trump er britisk efterretnings respons til denne fare. I den forgangne uge tildelte den amerikanske føderale dommer T.S. Elliott III dette kupforsøg et slag og sagde til Muellers heksejagt-team, at de langt havde overskredet deres mandat og havde anklaget Trump-medarbejdere, der overhovedet intet som helst havde at gøre med nogen som helst forbindelse til Rusland, udelukkende for at tvinge dem til at »syng« eller »komponere« information, der »ville reflektere tilbage til hr. Trump og føre til hans retsforfølgelse eller afsættelse, eller hvad som helst«.

Retssystemet i USA er blevet undergravet, med begyndelse i George Bush' Patriot Act, NSA's masseudspionering af befolkningen og efterretningssamfundets korrupsion under både Bush og Obama. Dette korrupte system er nu selv på anklagebænken.

Spørgsmålet om krig eller fred vil ikke blive afgjort på baggrund af nogen af disse krisesituationer, men derimod af, om det amerikanske folk i sig finder viljen til at adressere dem alle på én gang, for at skabe det Nye Paradigme, med LaRouches Fire Love og USA's deltagelse i den Nye Silkevej, på globalt plan. Den reviderede LaRouchePAC-brochure om denne nødvendighed, *LaRouche's Four Laws – America's Future on the New Silk Road* (LaRouches Fire Love – Amerikas Fremtid på den Nye Silkevej), er nu udgivet og tilgængelig for distribution. Gå til den som død og helvede.

Foto: Præsident Moon Jae-in og formand for Kommissionen for Statsanliggender Kim

Jong-un inspicerer æresgarden under den officielle velkomstceremoni foran Fredshuset, Panmunjeom. 27. april, 2018. (Inter-Korean Summit Press Corps)

De Fire Magter: Et Nyt Paradigme for fred og udvikling.

BILAG: Lyndon LaRouche: Draft Memorandum of Agreement between The United States and U.S.S.R. (1984)

Lyndon LaRouche: Opgaven, som jeg har defineret den, er: Hvis Rusland og USA, og Kina og Indien, som en gruppe af lande aftaler at initiere og gennemtvinge en reorganisering af det globale finans- og kreditsystem, under disse betingelser med langfristede aftaler af samme type, som Franklin Roosevelt havde ytret før sin død i 1944, indgået mellem hovednationer, kunne Roosevelts plan være blevet realiseret alle disse år senere, og vi kan gøre det i dag. Det er vores chance. Enten gør vi dette, eller også går vi under. Jeg kan forsikre jer for, at, hvis I tror, der findes nogen mulighed for, at det nuværende system kunne fortsætte ind i det forestående år, som et system, man kan arbejde med, og at der ikke vil være en fortsat generel krise, der forværres, på nuværende tidspunkt, vil der ikke komme nogen økonomisk genrejsning i nogen del af planeten, under de nuværende betingelser.

[Download \(PDF, Unknown\)](#)

Nord- og Sydkorea, Kina og Indien, finder sammen om fred og udvikling i stedet for krig. Politisk Orientering 3. maj, 2018

Tom Gillesberg: »God aften og velkommen til disse dramatiske tider, hvor vi faktisk står med muligheden – og når jeg siger 'vi', så mener jeg menneskeheden, størstedelen af menneskeheden – med muligheden for at befri menneskeheden fra den største svøbe og trussel, som har eksisteret mod menneskeheden i lang tid, og det er geopolitik; det er Det britiske Imperium; det er, at man har kunnet sidde med centrum i finanscentrene i London og vedhænet, der befinder sig på Wall Street, og rundt omkring i verden har man haft denne her kræftsvulst med en masse metastaser rundt omkring, som simpelt hen har kunnet få lov til at tage kontrollen over, hvad der foregik her på kloden og i den senere tid har bragt menneskeheden meget tæt på en konfrontation mellem atommagter, som, hvis den bliver realiseret, sandsynligvis betyder menneskehedens endeligt.

Chancen for, at vi kommer ud af det her; chancen for, at Det britiske Imperium bliver lagt i graven, er større end nogensinde før; men den krig er ikke vundet endnu. Det er det, man ligesom skal forstå, når vi ser disse vedvarende kampagner i medierne ... baseret på løgne. Først så vi Russiagate: Rusland har grebet ind i det amerikanske valg, har manipuleret tingene. Og igen, der har været så mange historier om det, og kigger man på substansen, kigger man på beviserne, så er de eneste beviser, vi har for noget, nemlig disse såkaldte ting, som kom fra DNC, den Demokratiske Nationalkomites server, som blev præsenteret på WikiLeaks, så var det ikke et hack, det var et læk; det var nogen i DNC, der havde adgang til DNC's server, som lækkede det til WikiLeaks. På trods af det, så har vi hørt historien til uendelighed om, at Rusland har grebet ind i det amerikanske valg, og det brugte man jo så som basis for at sige, ved hvert eneste valg, vi har haft siden, 'Rusland forsøger at gribe ind i valget', på trods af, at vi NUL beviser har set på noget tidspunkt, for disse påstande ...«

Det Londonbaserede Imperium giver ikke op: Det må, og kan, besejres. Schiller Institut Strategisk Webcast med Helga Zepp-LaRouche

Med den ene provokation efter den anden, der er mere gennemskueligt falsk end den foregående, fortsætter City of Londons imperie-oligarker og deres Wall Street-neokonservative/neoliberale partnere deres bestræbelser for at sabotere muligheden for et Nyt Paradigme, som vokser frem i Eurasien til at blive en verdensomspændende bevægelse, i åndeløst tempo. Til trods for, at det nu er afsløret, at svindelnumrene under falsk flag, med Russiagate, Skripal-forgiftningen og de kemiske våben i Douma, har deres oprindelse i britiske efterretningskredses syge hjerner, så er de atter i gang, med Bibi Netanyahu, der hævder, han har »bevis« for, at Iran aldrig afsluttede sit atomprogram, i et forsøg på at få USA til at gå i fælden med endnu en katastrofal krig i Mellemøsten og en mulig atomar konfrontation med Rusland.

Det rækker imidlertid ikke blot at afsløre det enkelte svindelnummer efterhånden, som det sker. Schiller Instituttets unikke rolle, især gennem vores stifter Helga Zepp-LaRouches globale rolle, er at fokusere opmærksomheden på den plan, der er lagt af dem, der er engageret i disse provokationer, med det formål at forebygge, at de fuldfører denne plan, som er at holde verden splittet, i krig, således, at en ensidig, degenereret transatlantisk »elite« kan blive ved at være den dominerende verdensmagt.

Men deres magt svinder i takt med, at de er blevet tvunget til at agere i deres eget navn, og således afsløre sig selv. Deres evne til at bevare kontrollen har også været for nedadgående, pga. den udfordring, som repræsenteres af den Nye Silkevej, samt af det Nye Paradigme, som denne Nye Silkevej repræsenterer.

I løbet af de seneste uger har diplomatiske og økonomiske begivenheder og topmøder i høj grad fremmet dette Nye Paradigme. Det er afgørende, at Schiller Instituttets stemme forstærkes gennem et voksende medlemskab og et voksende publikum til vores ugentlige, strategiske webcast. Gå sammen med os og hjælp os med at udvide antallet af mennesker, der opfanger den Nye Silkevejsånd.

Engelsk udskrift:

Schiller Institute New Paradigm Webcast, May 3, 2018

With Helga Zepp-LaRouche

The Empire Based in London Won't Give Up:

They Must, and Can Be Defeated

HARLEY SCHLANGER: Hello, I'm Harley Schlanger from the Schiller Institute. Welcome to this week's Schiller Institute international webcast, featuring our President and founder Helga Zepp-LaRouche.

There's been an incredible density of events over these last days, both with the motion toward the New Paradigm and the New Silk Road, but also another one of a string of war provocations, this one coming from Israel's Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu, with threats to Iran. Helga, why don't we start there, because this is an extremely dangerous development, what Netanyahu did.

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE: It's quite significant that even a German politician, Mr. Rötgen, who is otherwise quite a hawk, accused Netanyahu of having committed a conscious fraud and effort to fool the international community by claiming that Iran would still be involved in a secret nuclear program. Now, in the meantime, the International Atomic Energy Agency has come out and said that there is absolutely no truth to it, that they conducted ten different reports, that Iran is fully compliant with the Iran agreement on nuclear weapons, and that there is absolutely nothing new in the material presented.

This was said even by a whole series of former security officials from Israel itself. So the question is, what is the purpose of this? Which is clearly a provocation. And there were these missile attacks in Syria, where it's not yet entirely clear where they came from, but it's not to be excluded that they did come from Israel. And obviously, Netanyahu now has a bill in the Knesset which in the first reading got an absolute majority, which would empower Netanyahu to go to war. There is opposition in the Knesset against that, because the term "extreme circumstances" is not specified, and therefore, it's a sort of {carte blanches} because he can always declare "extreme circumstances."

This is very, very dangerous. This is obviously a power

game, not really regarding the Middle East as such. Naturally, Iran is the thorn in the flesh of Netanyahu, but I think the way to look at the situation is that the Middle East is once again the theater for a proxy war, where the real issue is the confrontation against Russia and China. Because, rather than getting caught up in every single provocation, I would encourage you, our viewers, to think about the strategic long arc of developments. I could take it back all the way to the collapse of the Soviet Union, but let's start with the election of President Trump, who, in the election campaign had promised that he would improve the relationship with Russia, and then subsequently, he did not stay with the anti-China line, which he had had in the election campaign, but started to develop a very good relationship with Xi Jinping, with China. And from the standpoint of the geopolitical faction of the Western world, basically situated in the City of London and their junior partner in Wall Street, this idea that you would have a good understanding between the United States President, and the governments of Russia and China, is a nightmare, because it would absolutely eliminate the possibility of their divide and conquer, and playing geopolitical games.

I would say, the origin of all of these developments, starting with the Russiagate against Trump, which is now completely out of the window because there was no Russiagate. Then at the point when the British origin was in the center of attention in the Congress and various investigative committees, they looked at the role of the British collusion in the coup attempt against Trump, then you had the Skripal affair; which, by the way, now has completely died out, it has disappeared from the British media, as Russian Foreign Ministry spokeswoman Zakharova pointed out yesterday, that there is more mention about the Skripal affair in the British media. Then, when that fell apart, you had the so-called chemical weapons attack by the Assad government, which then turned out didn't even take place – it was a complete smokescreen by the British-controlled White Helmets organization, that fell apart. Now, you have the supposed Iranian nuclear program, which also is a fraud. And then you have, naturally, the developments in Ukraine, where Poroshenko yesterday announced a military solution for the liberation of the Donbas. And there, you have the same group of organizations involved, which we have pinned down and published in the past many times.

The whole thing is really one long arc, aimed at the containment of Russia, the containment of China, and it is quite interesting that Foreign Minister Lavrov just gave a long, very important interview to the Italian media, where he said that every time President Trump impulse to improve the relationship with Russia, the Russophobia mafia inside the United States is creating some kind of a provocation again, and that many of the problems of the world remain unresolved because they would require a positive cooperation between the United States and Russia.

People have to really understand, all of these things, while they have some merit in themselves, some logic, some historical or ethnic causality, they're nevertheless being played on the big chessboard in the larger game, the containment of Russia and China. And that obviously, is an impossibility, and therefore, you have these tensions and very dangerous developments, almost on a daily basis.

SCHLANGER: That review is very useful for people, because you can look at each individual event, but the connection is what's important. And of course, it's broader than just a regional war in the Middle East: If something happens against the Iranian agreement, that'll have an implication for what otherwise looks so positive in terms of the Korean situation, doesn't it?

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Oh, yes. I think that the North Korea/South Korea process is one of the most joyful things which are happening right now. Many of the details are not so well known, so let me just mention, that in the meeting between Kim Jong-un and Moon Jae-in, the latter, the South Korean President brought a brochure and also gave a memory drive to Kim Jong-un with a full-fledge development plan for North Korea, which apparently involves, among other things, two railway lines to be built in the southern and northern coasts of North Korea, connecting both with the ancient Silk Road, but also with the Trans-Siberian Railway through Russia.

This is very positive. There has been a CIA team in North Korea for a week, inspecting various sites, and [National Security Advisor] Bolton commented and said these are all signs of good will. And also that three Americans will be released by North Korea. President Trump has expressed he is looking forward

to meeting Kim Jong-un very soon; Kim Jong-un, on the other side, also wants to meet with [Japanese Prime Minister] Abe, and President Moon of South Korea offered to broker such a meeting. And then, [Chinese Foreign Minister] Wang Yi is today in North Korea. So these are all very, very good developments, because if the North Korean situation comes towards a peace treaty and potential unification under Korean sovereignty, this would be a very, very important milestone for all of humanity.

But naturally, as you say there is a danger, because Netanyahu, among other reasons – namely that he wants to push the Iranian influence out of Syria – timed his statement obviously with the deadline of May 12th, which is when the decision in the United States will be taken to either renegotiate or cancel the Iranian nuclear agreement, or extend it. And obviously, Netanyahu wanted to create a hype so that the United States would insist on renegotiation, which from the standpoint of the Iranians is a cancellation and would throw the whole situation immediately into a very dangerous destabilization; and may actually lead to the desire of the Iranians to then scrap the whole deal and go back to building nuclear weapons.

Obviously, if that happens, this could have the danger of threatening the North Korean situation, because, remember, Kim Jong-un went into this absolutely intense nuclear testing and missile testing, because he looked at the Middle East and came to the conclusion that the only way for him to prevent from happening to him what happened to Saddam Hussein and Qaddafi, would be that North Korea is a full-fledged nuclear power and therefore, there would be a defense against such things.

If he would think it doesn't matter, even if you have an agreement with the United States, they can throw it out at the next occasion, I think this is a very, very dangerous thing. So I hope that President Trump is not overlooking that, because there is very clearly an effort to play on that, to also ruin the North Korea/South Korea agreement again.

These things hang altogether. And I can only say, the International Atomic Energy Agency did say that there is absolute compliance on the side of Iran, and even [Federica] Mogherini, the foreign minister of the European Union basically reiterated that, and said that the International Atomic Energy Agency is the only institution which should be consulted concerning these questions, and if there are problems they should be brought to them, because they are equipped to deal with it, and not some

wild, independent action.

So this is the field of tension in which all of this is taking place.

SCHLANGER: And there's a kind of self-fulfilling prophecy here that the neo-conservatives play on, which is, once you cut off negotiations and diplomatic discussion, you create what they call a "rogue state." And they say the "rogue state" is dangerous because it doesn't adhere to principles, when, in fact, the West is the one forcing the fear, producing the fear that leads to backing away and developing weapons.

Now, I think this is also important in the broader context, where you've been pointing out the importance of the meeting between [Indian] Prime Minister Modi and President Xi Jinping of China. This has extraordinary implications, not just for those two countries, but going into another part of the Mideast, where there's been wars, namely Afghanistan. What can you tell us about the progress on that front?

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: This is really, maybe as important as the Korea development, because there was the effort to play India in the so-called Indo-Pacific combination, meaning Japan, Australia, New Zealand, India, against the New Silk Road and against China. And for historical reasons, there is a strong British geopolitical influence in parts of the establishment in India, which has been susceptible, and it was played upon by the neo-cons and the British, to say India is the largest democracy, therefore, they don't believe in communist China, they believe in the Western world, and should work with them.

And in a certain sense, it looked for a while as if this would function; but after the border incident in Doklam, where both India and China realized how devastating it would be for the two largest countries in the world if they would get again into some kind of a military conflict, there obviously was a rethinking in India, where most people around Modi are now moving in a direction of working with China.

That does not yet mean that India is supporting the New Silk Road, because of the issue of Pakistan is really a sticky one for India; and China is building this very important economic corridor, from China to the Arabian Sea coast of Pakistan, which Indian is completely objecting to. And therefore, at the Shanghai Cooperation Organization (SCO) meeting, the Indian Foreign

Minister did not sign the New Silk Road resolution. But they now work together on the China-Nepal-India corridor, which is also part of the New Silk Road, in reality.

Therefore, now you this meeting between President Xi Jinping and Prime Minister Narendra Modi in Wuhan, and the two leaders had six discussions over two days. And just to realize, India and China are not only the two population-richest countries – they have together 2.6 {billion} people, that's 40% of the entire human population of the world – but they also have the longest continuous cultures, more than 5,000 years old, who have, over the course of universal history, contributed an enormous amount of knowledge, of poetry, of art, and are both sort of creators of the human civilization.

What is very exciting is that they agreed in this context, to have a joint development between India and China, in Afghanistan. They will build a railroad from Afghanistan to Tajikistan, Kyrgyzstan, Iran, China and therefore tie Afghanistan into the Belt and Road Initiative, which is obviously very important for Afghanistan. The President of Afghanistan Ashraf Ghani had requested several months ago, that the only way to solve Afghanistan's problems would be as part of the New Silk Road, but it also is a way of bridging, so to speak, the India-Pakistan conflict, because there are obviously close relations between Afghanistan and Pakistan; China has a better relationship to Pakistan; and if they now develop Afghanistan together, it touches on this higher level of reason, what we always have said the New Silk Road establishes: that you need a concept where everybody benefits, where you have a higher level of cooperation, which is capable of overcoming ethnic and historical and other conflicts.

So if India and China can work together in Afghanistan for the improvement of the situation there, this is a typical example of how the New Silk Road is also a peace initiative which can solve all kinds of problems. So I think this is a very, very good development also.

SCHLANGER: The Pentagon just released a report on Afghanistan which said after 16 years, the situation is worse with the continuous war, and the U.S. deployment, the NATO deployment. And so, this is the only alternative. Now, this brings up to me a very important point: We've just been reviewing in the last couple days, the role that your

husband has played in bringing forward this idea of the Four Powers. And it's interesting, his first actual formulation of the idea of a Four Power agreement – Russia, India, China, and the United States – was in December 2008, right after the crash in September 2008. And Helga, I know you've been to India, you've been to China repeatedly, you're now seeing this potential becoming real.

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Yeah. I think it's really very good, because I remember when my husband, Lyndon LaRouche, first said these ideas, like a Four Power agreement, everybody was quite full of disbelief, how could this ever be. But Lyn, at that point, said that given the fact that we are dealing with an empire, which we say the British Empire, which historically is correct, because as my husband also has developed many times this empire, the idea that there is an empire with an oligarchical elite ruling over a preferably backward mass of people, is not something new. It's something that goes way back, even to the Roman Empire, the Byzantine Empire; then it moved to Venice, and then it moved to the Dutch-British. And in a certain sense, it's like a chameleon: it remains the same, or a slime mold, which remains the same in character, but the colors are different. So, people nowadays say, "oh, the British Empire no longer exists," but if you look at it from the standpoint of the geopolitical politics of the financial architecture which rules the world, which has tried in the past to keep parts of the developing sector backward and underdeveloped, and which, especially in the last 20 years, made sure that the rich would become richer, and the middle class would die out, and the poor would become poorer, you can see clearly that this is an empire in a modern form. It's quite powerful, it used the IMF, it used the World Bank, it kept development in the Third World down. And only after China emerged and offered cheap credit and actually donations and infrastructure, did this dynamic start to change. But my husband basically at that time said, given the fact that this financial oligarchy is really running so much of the world, and if you look at the private security services which are a sort of modern mercenary forces, defending this financial structure, then he said that you need the four most powerful sovereign nation-states in the world to ally together to defeat it.

As I said, people were full of disbelief when he said it,

but if you look at it now, Russia and China have a strategic partnership which is absolutely solid, and I think there to be forever – I don't think it can ever go away. Then, with the recent development between China and India, India is moving closer; India has a very good relationship to Russia, anyway. And with the potential of President Trump, despite the present trade negotiations, he just put out a tweet saying he looks forward to seeing President Xi Jinping in the near future and that he always will remain his friend; and also the prospective of an early meeting between Trump and Putin – I think we are very close to this combination, where we could really move the world in a completely different way, in a New Paradigm, where geopolitics stops!

I mean, in any case, let me just say this, because it's obvious that behind all of these affairs which we named – the Russiagate, the Skripal case, the chemical weapons, now the Netanyahu case – is obviously an effort to keep the status quo, to prevent the emergence of China as the rising power, to keep the illusion that you can contain or regime-change Russia. But anybody who thinks that you can keep the status quo, when the whole world is already moving in the direction of cooperation, win-win, working together, this is just completely impossible. So those people in the West who are pushing these provocations, and also ordinary citizens, you should think: Can you imagine how the future should look like, let's say, in 10, 20 years from now? Either we have World War III, or will have had it already, or, we will move into a completely new set of relations among nations, where the common interest, or as Xi Jinping always calls it, the "shared community for the future of humanity" comes first, and then after that you have national interest.

It is an existential question for humanity that more and more people start to think, how should the world look like in 10 years, in 20 years, and if you are of the opinion that we must develop a new face in the evolution of mankind, where we stop geopolitics, we stop war, and have a New Paradigm, you should become active. You should join the Schiller Institute, because we are trying to cause such a change in the thinking of the people, and we need many people to help us in this effort: So, I'm really appealing to you, join the Schiller Institute and work with us, because the potential has never been so great, to move to much, much, much more beautiful period in human history.

SCHLANGER: And we've been talking about the Four Power proposal of your husband, Lyndon LaRouche. He also has the four basic laws which address the economic crisis. People should not take their eye off the economy! There are some new reports coming out, former FDIC vice chairman Thomas Hoenig, Sheila Bair, very prominent in her fight against derivatives, and now Nomi Prins has a new book out: Obviously, Helga, you ignore this financial side of things to your own detriment, because this is a crucial aspect of the strategic situation.

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: I think we have mentioned this already in this webcast, but I want to say it again, because Nomi Prins has this book out, {Collusion: How the Central Bankers Rigged the World} – I have not read the book yet, but I have an initial report about it – where she describes how the quantitative easing of the central banks, to the advantage of the speculators in the last 10 years, has created a situation where we are in a bubble 40% worse than in 2008, which could explode at any moment. We have talked to some well-placed people in the financial community who are quite worried that what could happen – and I think people should take this warning very seriously – that if the proponents of the old, collapsing financial Western system realize that this is end-game, that they can't really prevent this from happening; that China is rising, that the other countries are rising with China, that they may actually deliberately trigger a financial crash, to pull the rug out from underneath President Trump, destabilize him, blame him, in order to bring the neo-cons back into power in Washington. I think that is for sure one of the biggest hidden dangers. And therefore, the only solution how you can prevent that is the immediate implementation of Glass-Steagall, but also the whole package of Lyn's Four Laws: a National Bank in the tradition of Alexander Hamilton; a credit system; a crash program to increase the productivity of production and the labor force through fusion, for space cooperation; but also join the New Silk Road, join the Belt and Road Initiative, and participate with China in the buildup of infrastructure in the United States, have joint ventures in third countries. You need the full package. Only Glass-Steagall is not enough. We need the absolute return to a sound financial and economic system based on the tradition of Alexander Hamilton. And whenever that was applied, as in the postwar reconstruction of Germany, you had economic miracles, and

this can be replicated any time.

I would again urge you, this is the Damocles Sword which is hanging over the world, so join our efforts to have a global Glass-Steagall, because we don't need speculation. If we put all our resources into real production, productive jobs, education, there are so many important things to be done, that everybody can have a benefit, and I don't think we need mega-billionaires, because I think people should have a decent income, but they shouldn't be excessively rich and the majority of the people poor, and we really need to change that.

SCHLANGER: Especially when they become rich by creating things that nobody needs.

Just to conclude, I think it's important to give people a sense of the broader scope of what's happening around the New Silk Road. We're almost the only ones who are reporting on some of these things, but maybe you have something you'd like to add – the developments now from the Dominican Republic, on top of what Panama is doing, which is in our own hemisphere in the West. Peru has just moved ahead with some agreements with China, and now Portugal, with the Maritime Silk Road: The Chinese are definitely on the move.

So, what do you have from the U.S. Congress? The Senator from Florida, whom Donald Trump calls "little Marco Rubio" threw a fit, saying that China's about to take over the Western Hemisphere.

Instead of embracing these initiatives, you see the hysteria. But I think, Helga, I think it's important for you to emphasize the scope of this development, how it is, as you said, "unstoppable."

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Yes. I'm very convinced that this genie is out of the bottle, never to return. Because it is simply appealing to the best inspiration and aspiration of the people. If you look at the world map, the majority of the countries are already onboard: That's why I think it's unstoppable. And if you look at Europe, for example, it's Eastern and Central European countries who are working with the Silk Road, the Balkan countries; Italy, Spain, Portugal, all want to be hubs of development, not only on the Eurasian connections, but also to the Spanish- and Portuguese-speaking countries in Asia and Africa and Latin America. Then Switzerland is onboard. And Austria,

where the new government has adopted a clause to cooperate strategically with the New Silk Road, and now, they announced that they want to take leadership in Europe, to bring the European Union into connection with the Chinese New Silk Road. And even Holland and Belgium, the Scandinavian countries – so if you look at the map, it is really the majority of countries which are not part of it.

That's why I'm absolutely optimistic that if you help us to spread the news that there is a new era of civilization which is not based on war, subversion, regime-change, coups, but to the advantage of the other in the spirit of the Peace of Westphalia, I think the Spirit of the Silk Road is contagious, and it will catch on: So, help us to spread this word.

SCHLANGER: And one of the ways you can help us is to go on the New Paradigm Schiller Institute website, and we should have there a box for people to sign up to become members, at whatever monthly rate you can afford.

[<http://newparadigm.schillerinstitute.com/sign-up/>] But this is

the most important organization in the world right now, informing people about these developments, and as Helga keeps emphasizing: We need your support! We need your involvement. So go there, and sign up and become a part of this.

Helga, is there anything else you want to cover today?

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: I think people should really have the sense that we are on the verge of a new hot war, and I would not underestimate that danger. The Ukrainian development is extremely dangerous. If there is a war between Israel and Iran, it does have the potential to immediately escalate – so don't be complacent.

But, on the other side, I think we have never been so close to putting all of this behind us, because once the Four Power agreement comes into being, there is no problem on the planet which cannot be solved. So, don't sit on the fence: Become active, and help us to turn this into a winning direction.

SCHLANGER: Thank you Helga, and we'll see you again next week.

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Yes, I hope so. See you then.

Lyndon LaRouche: En Firemagtsaftale kan skabe et nyt, globalt kreditsystem

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 2. maj, 2018 – Det britiske Imperium og dets allierede kræfter har lanceret en række koordinerede angreb på globalt plan, som er udtænkt at skulle sikre, at ingen holdbar koalition for udvikling og fred skabes omkring planetens fire, ledende magter – Rusland, Kina, Indien og USA. Disse angreb inkluderer eskalerende provokationer, der har til formål at udløse surrogatkrig i Mellemøsten (Iran og Syrien); i Ukraine; og på Koreahalvøen – og som alle igen har til formål sluttelig at eskalere til en global krig, med USA imod Rusland og Kina.

Samtidig, og med en omhyggeligt koreograferet timing, har samme britiske kræfter optrappet deres heksejagt mod præsident Donald Trump og tyer til at fiske efter potentiel skadelig information – en aktivitet, der er lige så ekstrem, som den er farlig. Den seneste af disse er den *lækkede* trussel, at den særlige anklager Robert Mueller måske vil indstævne præsident Trump til at besvare Muellers *ligeledes lækkede* 40 spørgsmål, ifald præsidenten nægter at besvare dem »frivilligt«.

Præsident Trump svarede selv skarpt i et tweet her til morgen: »Der er ikke tale om nogen forhindring af rettens gang (det er et set-up & en fælde). Det, der er tale om, er pågående forhandlinger med Nordkorea om atomkrig ...«

For nu at sætte sagen på spidsen: Det britiske fremstød for krig, og det britiske fremstød for at afsætte USA's præsident, er parallelle operationer, der har et enkeltstående formål. Der kan ikke være noget heldigt forsvar af præsidentskabet imod Muellers beskidte operationer uden samtidig at stoppe fremstødet for at bruge Iran, Syrien, Korea og Ukraine til at udløse krig mod Rusland og Kina. Og modsat; det britiske fremstød for krig og for at kuldaste hele det westfalske system ved at rive gyldig, international lov, folkeretten, i stumper og stykker med militærangreb og krige, kan ikke stoppes uden at besejre Mueller-FBI-kuppet imod præsident Trump.

Både Demokrater, Republikanere og Uafhængige – såvel som også folk i andre nationer – må vågne op til denne kendsgerning, før det er for sent. I kan ikke vælge, hvilken del af denne forenede, britiske operation, I ønsker at gå imod, og hvilken del, I ønsker at støtte, baseret på de ideologiske fordomme, I inderligt holder fast ved.

Tiden er inde til moral, anført af videnskab, sådan, som det eksemplificeres af Lyndon LaRouches forslag om en Firemagtsaftale.

LaRouches første, betydningsfulde præsentation af denne idé til en politik, kom på et Forum for strategiske og sikkerhedsmæssige studier i New Delhi, Indien, 3. dec., 2008. Dernæst udvidede LaRouche denne strategi i en tale den 10. okt., 2009, han holdt på det Globale offentlige Forum for Dialog mellem Civilisationers syvende årlige forsamling. LaRouche opsummerede denne tale fra Rhodos under et privat frokostmøde for diplomater i Washington, 4. nov., 2009.

Bemærkningerne ved dette frokostmøde, som vi her bringer uddrag af, blev fremsat næsten fire år *før* den kinesiske præsident annoncerede sin Nye Silkevejspolitik, som i dag kendes som Bælte & Vej Initiativet.

»Det var min opgave [på Rhodos] klart at præsentere, hvad en Firemagtsaftale mellem USA, Rusland, Kina og Indien, samt andre lande, der bringes ind i samme aftale, ville betyde for planeten. Det ville betyde, at vi kunne få en konvention, en aftale, om skabelse af et nyt system, der skrotter det nuværende, monetære system, som kan skrottes, fordi det allerede er bankerot ...

»Rusland og Kina stod på randen af en aftale, i denne periode, i hvilken Kina har for en billion dollar i amerikanske statsobligationer, som USA skylder Kina ... Det, der grundlæggende set skete i forhandlingerne mellem Rusland og Kina, var et arrangement om at anvende den legitime gæld, som skyldes til Kina mod en forpligtelse fra USA's side, og til at bruge dette; monetisere det, ved at investere det i noget, der er produktivt, som ville være gavnligt for Asien. Og Rusland og Kina aftalte et storskala-projekt for transport og relaterede systemer.

Planen var, ikke at stoppe dér: Planen er at udvide denne form for aftale til Sydøstasien generelt, og til at inkludere Indien. Nogle af os har en plan for at bringe USA ind i samme arrangement. For, den kombinerede magt af USA, Rusland, Kina, Indien og nationer, som ønsker at være tilknyttet dem i denne form for venture, er den største, økonomiske magt på planeten. Hvis denne planetære magt beslutter at gøre det, der må gøres, kan vi reorganisere og genopbygge verden og hurtigt skifte over fra en nedadgående udvikling og til en opadgående udvikling.

Det er den valgmulighed, vi har.

Omdrejningspunktet er: USA, Rusland, Kina og Indien. Uden en aftale mellem disse fire nationer vil en sådan økonomisk genrejsning af verden ikke være mulig. Med disse fire nationer, samt andre nationer – såsom, umiddelbart, Sydøstasien, Korea, Japan og så fremdeles – andre nationer kommer med ind, og nu taler vi om muligheden for et generelt program, om skabelse af et nyt fastkurssystem, som et kreditsystem, ikke et monetært system, men derimod et kreditsystem baseret på fastkursaftaler, til langfristet samarbejde om infrastrukturinvesteringer, som vil være drivkraft for udviklingen af produktive investeringer.

Med infrastruktur mener vi først og fremmest storskala-transport: moderne jernbaner, og overlegne jernbanesystemer [maglev], til transport over land. Formålet er at kunne rejse over land igennem Eurasien, gennem Beringstrædet og ind i landene på det amerikanske kontinent; og gennem Mellempøsten og ind i hele Afrika. Vi kan således skabe forbindelser med denne form for massetransit, som er mere effektive end transport over vand, og som vil udgøre en drivkraft for udvikling i alle de områder, igennem hvilke et sådant jernbanesystem og relaterede systemer rejser.«