Perfide Albion: Det dødeligt sårede, britiske bestie slår fra sig;
Forgiftningen af Skripal er desperat britisk forsøg på at genoplive deres amerikanske kup

Denne artikel vil udforske den strategiske betydning af betydningsfulde begivenheder i verden, med begyndelse i februar, 2018. Vores formål er præcist at placere Theresa Mays sindssyge bestræbelse den 12.-14. marts på at fabrikere et nyt svindelnummer med »masseødelæggelsesvåben« med anvendelse af de samme folk (MI6-efterretningsgrupperingen omkring Sir Richard Dearlove) og det samme manuskript (en efterretningssvindel med hensyn til masseødelæggelsesvåben), som blev brugt til at trække USA ind i den katastrofale Irakkrig. Svindelnummeret med forgiftningen af Skripal involverer ligeledes direkte den britiske agent, Christopher Steele, den centrale person i det igangværende kup mod Donald Trump. Denne gang er den britiske operation for informationskrig direkte rettet mod at provokere Rusland samtidig med, at de fastholder den amerikanske befolkning og præsident Trump som mål for deres angreb.

Som den ophedede, krigstidslignende mediedækning og hysteriet omkring sagen gør det klart, så synes en vist lag i den britiske elite at være parat til at risikere alt på vegne af det døende imperiesystem. På trods af alt ståhejet, så synes økonomisk krigsførelse og sanktioner at være briternes foretrukne våben. Som vi vil få at se, så afslørede Putin for

nylig Vestens atomare bluff.[1] Med Russiagate-kuppet mod Donald Trump, der er ved at ebbe ud og eksponerer den britiske agent Christopher Steele og et slæng af hans amerikanske venner til retsforfølgelse for kriminelle handlinger, var der et desperat behov for et nyt værktøj til at drive USA's præsident ind i det britiske, geopolitiske hjørne, som de har til fælles med det meste af det amerikanske establishment. Dette værktøj er et efterretnings-svindelnummer, et gennemprøvet og pålideligt britisk produkt.

Foto: *Den britiske premierminister, Theresa May. (Photo: EU2017EE Estonian Presidency*

Download (PDF, Unknown)

EIR: Man det britiske kup i jorden: Muellers anklageskrifter mod russiske sociale medietrolde platter det amerikanske folk

Som vi gentagne gange har vist, så er den strategiske sammenhæng for kuppet mod Trump en fuldt optrappet bestræbelse på at bevare den anglo-amerikanske orden imod det, der opfattes som Kinas fremvoksende magt, som nu er allieret med Rusland. Kina har kontinuerligt og konsekvent inviteret USA

til at gå med i dets Bælte & Vej Initiativ, det største infrastrukturprojekt, man nogen sinde har påtaget sig i historien. Præsident Trumps fornuftige fremgangsmåde over for både Rusland og Kina ses som en eksistentiel trussel mod det fortsatte anglo-amerikanske partnerskab, der har domineret verden, siden Franklin D. Roosevelts død.

Download (PDF, Unknown)

Mueller-dossieret revideret: Hvordan briterne og Obama plattede USA
LaRouche PAC Internationale Webcast, 23. feb., 2018

Vært Matthew Ogden: I takt med, at Muellers anklageskrift mod 13 såkaldte russiske 'trolde' fortsat dominerer overskrifterne hen over weekenden, er amerikanerne i stigende grad begyndt at fatte det iboende hykleri i hele denne Russiagate-narrativ. Fra tidligere CIA-direktør James Woolsey, der af Laura Ingraham på Fox News bliver spurgt, om USA nogen sinde har blandet sig i et andet lands valg – til hvilken han måtte rømme sig og hoste og sige, »Jamen, det har vi sandsynligvis, og vil sandsynligvis fortsætte med«; og til en række blogindlæg i denne uge på tidligere forsvarsefterretningsofficer Pat Langs webside, »Sic Semper

Tyrannis«. Man ser her [Fig. 1] titlen på et af de seneste indlæg: »Robert Muellers Amerika – En farce pakket ind i hykleri«. Dette blev postet den 20. feb., og her er et kort uddrag af hans blogindlæg, hvor han siger:

Under overskriften »Robert Muellers Amerika – En Farce pakket ind i hykleri«, fremfører Tacitus, at anklageskriftet er »intet mindre end en køreplan for despotiske regeringer, der ville ønske at behandle enhver, der vover at udlægge afvigende materiale på internettet, som en kriminel.« I virkeligheden »er det ikke andet end en gang harsk butterdej. Det prætenderer at have et bjerg af beviser på russernes misgerninger. Men, hvis man begynder simpelt hen at stille kritiske spørgsmål om det underliggende bevis for disse misgerninger, opdager man hurtigt, at dette dokument er et stykke politisk teater snarere end en faktisk opremsning af kriminelle gerninger.«

»Der er ikke et eneste stykke solidt bevis i hele dokumentet, der underbygger« påstanden om Internet Research Agency (IRA), det russiske selskab, der angiveligt skulle have haft tilsyn med den beskidte propagandakrig mod intetanende amerikanske vælgere. »Det er blot en konstatering af en overbevisning. Det er ikke sådan, man skriver et anklageskrift, der beskylder en for kriminelle handlinger.«

»Denne sag er således meget langt fra at være en 'slam dunk' for Mueller-teamet. Skulle det nogen sinde komme for retten, er der signifikante huller og sårbarheder i anklageskriftet, som en kompetent forsvarsadvokat kunne splitte ad. Niks. Det her handler ikke om at straffe folk, der overtræder loven. Dette er et politisk teater, der er designet til at nære memet for at promovere antirussisk hysteri.« Tacitus understreger, at enhver objektiv efterforskning af angivelig »indblanding« fra IRA kun ville kunne konkludere, at »IRA's aktiviteter er på grænsen til irrelevante og uden indvirkning«. Ingen stor afsløring her: Rusland har gennemført efterretningsoperationer i USA i 80 år. Men USA har gennemført lignende operationer »i

og imod Rusland / USSR og har været involveret i hemmelige indblandinger i valg i hele verden. Dét er det hykleriske. Vi har et hysterisk anfald over latterlige internet-narrestreger, udført af en lille gruppe russere, der var dårligt finansieret og genererede liden aktivitet samtidig med, at vi ignorerer vores egen historie, hvor vi rent faktisk har væltet andre lovligt valgte regeringer. Der har vi det. Farce og hykleri.«

Hør så dette næste indlæg, publiceret i dag, den 23. feb., med titlen »Amerika blander sig i Ukraine« [Fig. 2]. Han siger:

»Historikere vil bemærke den enorme ironi, der ligger i USA's engagement i undergravende virksomhed og indblanding i valget i Ukraine, som overgår alt, Rusland har forsøgt.

De ideologiske spaltninger, der vokser i USA, begynder at ligne de krigsførende lejre, der karakteriserer den politiske verden i Ukraine. Splittelsen i Ukraine sætter grupper, der beskrives som »højrefløj«, og mange er ideologiske efterkommere af ægte nazister og nazi-sympatisører, op imod grupper med et stærkt tilhørsforhold til Rusland.

Hvem støtter USA's regering og medierne? *Nazisterne.* Du tror, jeg laver grin!«

Han fortsætter dernæst med at fremlægge OUN's historie [Organisationen af Ukrainske Nationalister] og Stephan banderas støtte til Hitler og fortsættelsen af denne arv med Sektor Højre i dag. Dernæst fortsætter han:

»Regn mig med blandt de mennesker, der er oprørt over det hykleri og den stupiditet, der nu kommer frem i USA.

Der foregår helt tydeligt indblanding i det det amerikanske politiske landskab. Men det er altså ikke den russiske regering. Nej. Der er fremmede og hjemlige kræfter i alliance, som er ivrige efter at portrættere Rusland som en trussel mod verdensordenen, og som må modgås med højere forsvarsudgifter og hårdere sanktioner. Det er den propaganda, der dominerer

medierne i USA i disse dage. Og det er i sandhed farligt for vores nations sikkerhed og frihed.«

Det står klart, som Pat Lang pointerer her i dette blogindlæg, og ligeledes, som Helga Zepp-LaRouche pointerede i sin internationale webcast i går, at hele denne Russiagate-historie, og desuden hele Kina-hysteriet, der i stigende grad nu oppiskes; at dette forkyndes med det formål at portrættere disse lande som en dødbringende trussel mod den herskende verdensorden, og som må tilintetgøres. Som Helga LaRouche sagde i dette klip, vi nu skal se, så man se dette som intet mindre end førkrigs-propaganda. Her er, hvad Helga havde at sige:

(her følger engelsk udskrift af resten af webcastet.)

(Hele Helga Zepp-LaRouches webcast fra 22. feb. kan læses på dansk, her: http://schillerinstitut.dk/si/?p=23890)

Henvisninger i den engelske tekst:

Nyt Paradigme undervisningsserie, Indtegning, program: http://schillerinstitut.dk/si/?p=23703

Helga Zepp-LaRouches introduktion 10. feb. (dansk): http://schillerinstitut.dk/si/?p=23855

Harley Schlanger, lektion 2 17. feb., video, (engelsk): https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xy87_gzTTTU

“The Mueller Dossier Revisited: How the British and Obama Diddled the United States”, https://larouchepac.com/20180220/mueller-dossier-revisited-how-british-and-obama-diddled-united-states

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE

: This is a case which will never go to trial, because these are people living in Russia. It’s an

old
case, it was already discussed in 2014, and since there is no extradition treaty between the United States and Russia, the trial will never take place; and therefore Mueller does not have
to provide any evidence for any of his accusations. So it's a very convenient way to keep beating the drums in an anti-Russian
hysteria and it's a big, big "nothing-burger" as people have been
pointing out. But it is actually a fraud against the population,
because if you keep building this kind of enemy image, such as against Russia and China – and people should understand, this has nothing to do with Russian hacking, or Russian collusion; as
a matter of fact, there were several people, but one of them was
a leading member of the Russian Duma who said that there are 102
very well documented cases for the United States meddling in the
internal affairs of other countries, and it's fairly well known
how many coups and regime-change operations. So obviously, at minimum, you could say is that both sides are doing it, but the
United States has a very long record of having tried to intervene
in the internal affairs of other countries in multiple ways.
So, this should be understood as pre-war propaganda, and people easily fall for things which are in the mainstream media,
and rather, they should think twice. What Russia is doing and what China is doing, is they are building a completely different
model of international relationships, explicitly modeled on

noninterference, and respect for the social system of the other country. And therefore, this propaganda is just a terribly dangerous scenario of lies which actually is serving as a preparation for war, and that is what people really must get straight.

OGDEN: So the stakes are very high, and in the same broadcast yesterday, Helga LaRouche made the point that there are ongoing investigations coming out of the House Intelligence Committee under Devin Nunes, and also the Senate Intelligence Committee under Chuck Grassley, into the role of Christopher Steele as a central figure in this entire Russiagate narrative.
As she said, this leads directly to the role of British intelligence. So, here's a second clip from yesterday's broadcast.

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE

: Yes, it is directly British intelligence. It's not "former" MI6 agent, but it is an MI6 operation, and it involves the Foreign Office of Great Britain itself, as we saw in a case which was launched by one of the Russians who were accused of hacking, who took the Steele case to court, and then the Foreign Office intervened directly to block any revelations coming from the Steele operative of theirs.
Now, that it is an incredible story: It means the British have intervened, not only in the coup against the Yanukovych government, but also in the case of the coup against President Trump. That whole Russiagate as some people funnily say is a big "regurgitated nothing-burger" – there is absolutely no substance

to it. And we should just note the fact that the continuous investigations coming from the two Houses of Congress, under the leadership of Nunes and Grassley, they are still pointing absolutely to the coup-plotters who were involved with the British in this coup.

In the recent developments, [House Intelligence Chair] Congressman Nunes has sent out 10 or 11 other letters to officials of the existing or former government, where they have to answer very pointed question – when did you know first about the Steele dossier? Did you discuss it with anybody else? Did Obama know it? When did he know it? And these individuals have to answers these questions by March 2nd, so it's not a long-term investigation, but it's something extremely hot. And it's not yet decided how this coup will go: If the Congress has the courage to go after those Obama intelligence officials who colluded with Great Britain, but if they do, a lot of people could not only lose their position, but actually end up in jail, as some judges are now already demanding.

OGDEN: So, as Helga said, this investigation continues and it continues to escalate. This is the question of the role of the British and their fellow-travellers in the American intelligence community in actually meddling in the US electoral process. Chairman Devin Nunes is scheduled to appear at the CPAC [Conservative Political Action Conference] conference today; he's scheduled to be the closing speaker. We'll see what he has to say there, but as Helga mentioned, Nunes has continued to march

forward with Phase Two of his investigation into this entire Christopher Steele matter. He issued a series of questions; this
is letter that was just published yesterday which was sent to the
FBI and officials within the State Department. The letter is asking for questions regarding information contained in the Steele dossier, which was funded by the DNC [Democratic National
Committee] and the Clinton campaign, and used in a FISA [Foreign
Intelligence Surveillance Act] application targetting Carter Page. He notified them, as Helga mentioned, that if their responses are not received by March 2nd, which is a week from today, then subpoenas will be issued. He said, “If you do not provide timely answers on a voluntary basis, the Committee will
initiate compulsory process.”
So, included in these questions is one which directly asks what did Obama know and when did he know it? So, here are a few
of the questions that are asked by Chairman Nunes [Fig. 3]:

“1. When and how did you first become aware of any of the information contained in the Steele dossier?
“2. In what form(s) was the information in the Steele dossier presented to you? By whom? …
“3. Who did you share this information with? When? …
“6. When did you first learn or come to believe that the Steele dossier was funded by a Democrat-aligned entity?
“9. Was President Obama briefed on any information contained in the dossier prior to January 5, 2017?
“10. Did you discuss the information contained in the Steele dossier with any reporters or other representatives of the media?
If so, who and when?”

So clearly it is very significant that this investigation is going all the way to the top, with Obama himself being implicated. Now recall that Chairman Grassley of the Senate Intelligence Committee, has also been asking questions about what Obama knew and when did he know it. Take the example of the very bizarre email that was sent by Susan Rice to herself on Inauguration Day at 12:15pm on the day that President Trump was inaugurated; literally right before she walked out of the doors of the White House for the last time to attend this inauguration.

The email describes a January 5, 2017 Oval Office meeting between President Obama, former FBI James Comey, former Deputy Attorney General Sally Yates, as well as Vice President Joe Biden and Rice herself. The email that Susan Rice sent to herself obviously has been publicized by Chairman Grassley, and in this letter [Fig. 4] that you're looking at, he published the relevant excerpt from this email. Again, this is Susan Rice, addressed to Susan Rice; 12:15pm, January 20, 2017. This is what she says:

"On January 5, following a briefing by IC leadership on Russian hacking during the 2016 Presidential election, President Obama had a brief follow-on conversation with FBI Director Jim Comey and Deputy Attorney General Sally Yates in the Oval Office.

[She mentions that Biden and herself were also present.]

"President Obama began the conversation by stressing his continued commitment to ensuring that every aspect of this

issue
is handled by the Intelligence and law enforcement communities
'by the book'. The President stressed that he is not asking
about, initiating or instructing anything from a law enforcement
perspective. He reiterated that our law enforcement team needs
to proceed as it normally would by the book.
"From a national security perspective, however, President
Obama said he wants to be sure that, as we engage with the
incoming team, we are mindful to ascertain if there is any reason
that we cannot share information fully as it relates to Russiaâ¦.
"The President asked Comey to inform him if anything changes
in the next few weeks that should affect how we share classified
information with the incoming team. Comey said he would."
Now, what Senator Grassley asks in his open letter to Susan
Rice is the following:
"It strikes us as odd that, among your activities in the
final moments on the final day of the Obama administration, you
would feel the need to send yourself such an unusual email
purporting to document a conversation involving President Obama
and his interactions with the FBI regarding the Trump/Russia
investigation. In addition, despite your claim that President
Obama repeatedly told Mr. Comey to proceed 'by the book,'
substantial questions have arisen about whether officials at the
FBI, as well as at the Justice Department and the State
Department, actually did proceed 'by the book.'…

"4. Did anyone instruct, request, suggest, or imply that you
should send yourself the aforementioned Inauguration Day email
memorializing President Obama's meeting with Mr. Comey about

the
Trump/Russia investigation? If so, who and why?
“12. Did President Obama have any other meetings with Mr. Comey, Ms. Yates, or other government officials about the FBI’s
investigation of allegations of collusion between Trump associates and Russia? If so, when did these occur, who participated, and what was discussed?”

So, these questions and these investigations are beginning to hit very close to home. Remember, Susan Rice was also caught
and has admitted to requesting the unmasking of several individuals associated with the Trump campaign; Americans whose
communications were collected under NSA wiretaps and surveillance. Susan Rice and other officials have now been caught on repeated occasions requesting the unmasking of these American officials; raising many questions as to what the motives
were.
Now Chairman Nunes has been appearing on several talk shows and media interviews over the last several weeks. Obviously, since the publication of his memo. But he appeared last weekend
on “The Full Measure” show with host Sharyl Atkinson. In that interview, he continued to keep a laser focus. Let me just read
you a few excerpts of what Chairman Nunes had to say in that interview.
“We have a Russian Investigation going on whether or not there was collusion between any campaign and the Russians. That’s
coming to a close. We’ve never had any evidence of collusion between the Trump campaign and the Russians¦. There’s nothing there”¦.
“[I]n that investigation, we’ve unearthed things that are

very concerning. We know that there are un-maskings that occurred
and probably were leaked to the media”¦. [W] hat we found was happening is, in the last administration, they were unmasking hundreds, and hundreds, and hundreds of American’s names. They were unmasking people for what I would say, for lack of a better
definition, were for political purposes”¦. [N]ames were unmasked.
And those names ended up in the newspaper.
“[I]t’s like political dirt to create a narrative and a spin with the mainstream media”¦. [T]here were unmaskings that we unearthed, then there are the FISA abuse that we’ve discovered.
[T]his is where the FBI and the Justice Department – because they’re involved in this FISA Abuse, because they’re the ones who
“ go before the secret court to get the warrants, they’re all involved, they’re all implicated in this”¦.
“It really boils down to this. You had a campaign. The Hillary Campaign and the Democratic Party went out and paid for
dirt”¦. Then they used that dirt and funneled it into the FBI. The FBI then used that dirt to get a warrant on a US citizen who
was part of the other campaign”¦. [T]o do that, it’s wrong.
“¦… As it relates to Department of Justice and the FBI, if they need to be put on trial, we will put them on trial. The reason that Congress exists is to oversee these agencies that we
created. DOJ and FBI are not above the law. Congress created them, we oversee them, and we fund them. And if they’re committing abuse for a secret court, getting warrants on American
citizens, you’re darn right that we’re going to put them on trial.
“I think people are just starting to learn now what really

happened. Because as we peel more and more of this back, I think more and more Americans get educated. And I think that they're gonna demand that changes are made."

Remember that this entire line of investigation is exactly what was suggested in the original LaRouche PAC special report.

Obviously, this special report on Mueller was published now over six months ago. But this continues to be very timely and very relevant. An update to that report will be forthcoming, but we have a preview now available on the website of what will be contained in that updated dossier. That preview is available under the title "The Mueller Dossier Revisited: How the British and Obama Diddled the United States". There you can see a screen shot [Fig. 5] from that updated preview. This is obviously available in full on the LaRouche PAC website, and we would encourage you to read it in its fullest extent. It's a fairly long update. But what I'd like to do is just read you from the beginning of how this report is set up, a little bit of a retrospective on the effect that this Mueller dossier has had over its six-month circulation; but also the context in which you have to understand always the big strategic picture behind the events that are now unfolding on a day-to-day basis. So, this is what this updated report has to say:

"On September 29, 2017, LaRouchePAC published the original version of the dossier 'Robert Mueller Is an Amoral Legal Assassin: He Will do His Job If You Let Him". To date, that dossier, now being circulated nation-wide by LaRouchePAC, represents the most thorough and the most accurate assessment as

to the character of Robert Mueller, as well as the utterly fraudulent nature of the ongoing treasonous effort to bring down the Trump Presidency.

"This present report is an update to that dossier, with the emphasis on the dramatic significance of two documents which were released in the first days of February. The first is the House Intelligence document known as the 'Nunes Memo', and the second is the – by far more substantive – un-redacted document authored by Senators Grassley and Graham.

"We shall examine the importance of these two documents in depth, as well as significant other developments which flow from the impact of their release. Before doing so, however, it is of critical importance that a matter of primary overriding concern be re-stated here, at the beginning of this update.

"The British Origin of the Coup

"Nothing of any truth about the current assault on President Trump can be understood, unless one addresses the question of *why* all of this is occurring, along with the subsumed question of "cui bono?" This requires transcending the world of partisan politics and inside-the-beltway gossip, and the necessity for examining the *strategic* setting and implications surrounding the coup plot.

"Everything that is now transpiring must be viewed within that truthful strategic context. During the eight years of the Obama Presidency, and the prior Administration of George W. Bush, a profound shift in U.S. strategic policy took place. Obama, working closely with – and often under the direction of – the

British, committed the United States to enforcing a global policy of Anglo-American hegemonism, what is sometimes referred to as a 'uni-polar world'. This took the form of escalating provocations against Russia, and more recently the targeting of China.

Currently, this imperial Anglo-American faction is determined to thwart China's gigantic Belt and Road Initiative infrastructure development of Eurasia, Africa, Southwest Asia (the Middle East), and nations in Central and South America. This largest infrastructure development project in human history now involves more than 68 countries.

"For the British, such geo-political designs are nothing new. British strategic policy since before World War I has been based on geopolitics. Under the theories of Lord Halford Mackinder, completely embraced by today's Anglo-American foreign policy establishment, control of Eurasia dictates strategic mastery of the world. China is now establishing vast transportation and other infrastructure throughout Eurasia, a region which Anglo-American policy up until now had reserved as a primitive looting ground.

"Unable to break from imperial axioms and join China's offer of win-win cooperation, let alone offer a viable alternative model which promotes the general welfare, Barack Obama and the British adopted a strategy of geopolitical containment and provocation, a New Cold War policy. It began with the Anglo-American coup in Ukraine in 2014, pushing NATO right up to Russia's borders, and involves hostile encirclement strategies

against both Russia and China, employing color revolutions, economic sanctions, overt economic, cyber, and information warfare, provocative military maneuvers, development of new nuclear and other warfare capacities, and military support of insurgents and terrorists in states friendly and/or trading with
Russia or China, such as Iran and Syria. All of this, of course,
threatens the extinction of the human race."

Now, the final aspect of that memo which is now available goes through the fact that with Trump's election, this entire agenda was derailed. As it says:

"In November 2016, it was the intention of Obama and the British that Hillary Clinton would continue this dangerous geo-political gambit. Donald Trump's victory in that election stopped this mad drive to war just as it was turning very hot.

"As we detailed in our original Mueller dossier,
'Russiagate,' – which has roiled our nation since Summer 2016, has driven most members of Congress into a McCarthyite insanity
so severe that you can literally picture them braying at the Moon
at night, and has critically undermined Donald Trump's Presidency
– has absolutely nothing to do with any hostile action by Russia
against the United States. Its origins are to be found in the desperation of the British and American establishments, among individuals and interests who are frantic to re-impose the strategic outlook of the Obama Administration."

I would strongly encourage you to read the entirety of this report, which is available on the LaRouche PAC website now. It's
crucial, but let me just pick up on that picture, which was just
laid out in that prefatory section. As is very apparent from developments in the recent week and a half, these frantic

attempts to impose the re-impose the strategic outlook of the Obama administration, which the Hillary Clinton administration clearly would have continued full-bore; this attempt to re-impose
that track is now in full swing. One only has to look at the escalations that have occurred in Ukraine, the escalations which
have occurred in Syria, the calls for a response to that, and absolutely the very heated rhetoric and hysterical speeches which
were delivered at the so-called Munich Security Conference which
just occurred this week. We saw just raid anti-Russia, anti-China speeches, one after another after another, attacking
the One Belt, One Road policy as an imperialistic scheme; trying
to identify a full spectrum intelligence operation that's being
allegedly run by the Chinese against every nation in the West, and so forth and so on.
In contrast to that, the spokesperson from China at the Munich Security Conference, very calmly and very undefensively laid out the picture of what the New Paradigm of win-win relations that China is offering to the world really entails. That was originally elaborated by Xi Jinping at his speech at the
United Nations General Assembly several years ago, but it involves non-confrontation, non-meddling in foreign countries' affairs, an understanding of differences in approach and differences in political and cultural systems. But overall, not
an attempt to impose one nation or one system's view of the world
on other nations in a sort of unipolar or hegemonic way; but a way to say, "Let's take our differences and use them to our collective advantage. Let's put together a system of shared,

mutual benefit under a vision of common destiny for mankind.” Which is the way the Chinese have put it. But this is characterized as a win-win approach, as opposed to the Cold War mentality of winner take all, zero-sum game type of geopolitics.

So, Helga LaRouche in her broadcast yesterday strongly encouraged people to actually read the text of the speeches from the Munich Security Conference, both the anti-China, anti-Russia war-mongering speeches so you can see for yourself just how rabid and hysterical this prewar propaganda actually is. But also, go and read that speech from the representative of the Chinese Foreign Ministry, and you can see how the Chinese are responding.

This is the time where we desperately need a New Paradigm of international relations; and it comes under the form of that win-win relationship. The way that you can see that playing out on the ground, not from 300,000 feet with rhetoric; but really look at the reality on the ground, in places such as Africa, Central and South America, countries in Eurasia. These countries are already benefitting from the infrastructure, the modern technology and the infrastructure which is being brought to those countries by China and the One Belt, One Road initiative. It’s high time that the United States and other countries in Western Europe come to the table and say what China is doing is very good. This is for the benefit of these countries, and instead of trying to shut this down and beat the drums of war, we should

finally reciprocate what China is doing. We should come to the table with intentions of good will, and we should join together and as a community of nations, build this future which will be for the common benefit of all.

So, the LaRouche PAC class series, which we've been promoting now for several weeks, and is already ongoing, could not be more timely and more urgent. This is titled, "The End of Geopolitics; What Is the New Paradigm?" You can register, if you haven't already, at discover.larouchepac.com or at the link that you see here on the screen – http://lpac.co/np2018. Again, there are public classes which have been available on YouTube; two so far. The first inaugural speech by Helga Zepp-LaRouche, and then the second follow-up by Harley Schlanger last Saturday; which was "What Is Geopolitics? Part I, the History". That was very informative and very in-depth. But there are also aspects of this class series that you cannot access unless you are a registered participant; such as the discussion period which will occur tomorrow, which will only be open to those who are registered for this class series. So, we strongly encourage you, if you haven't yet, to register. Also, to encourage other people that you know to register for this class series at that link that's on the screen and to become active participants in this entire series.

The time has come. We must take very seriously what's at stake here in this current unfolding battle over the soul of

the
United States and the soul of the US Presidency. The ugly nature
of this operation and this apparatus continues to come to light,
but we have to continue – as the LaRouche PAC dossier does very
well – to put it into its proper strategic context and to
understand *cui bono*? and what is the strategic context for this
unprecedented assault on the US democratic system and the US Presidency that we now see ongoing.
So, thank you very much for joining me here today. Please stay tuned to larouchepac.com; we have a lot of work to do.

Russiagate? Alle veje fører til London!
LaRouche PAC Internationale Webcast,
9. feb., 2018.

Vært Matthew Ogden: Titlen på vores show i dag er »Russiagate? Alle veje fører til London«. Planen er virkelig blevet

kompliceret i løbet af en uge til halvanden, med offentliggørelsen af Nunes-memoet fra Husets Efterretningskomite, der efterforsker Christopher Steele; og dernæst afklassificeringen af senator Grassleys brev, som henviser Christopher Steele til Justitsministeriet til efterforskning for kriminelle handlinger. Sandheden bag det, der er blevet kaldt Russiagate, er nu hastigt ved at komme i fokus. Hver eneste tråd i denne historie, når man trækker i dem og følger dem, fører dig direkte til London.

Denne Russiagate-skandale er faktisk blevet til »Londongate«; og historien om det virkelig, aftalte spil er nu ved at blive åbenlys. Der var virkelig en fremmed efterretningstjeneste, der forsøgte at intervenere, blande sig, og forme udfaldet af valget i USA. Men denne efterretningstjeneste havde sit hovedkvarter hvor? I London, på Themsens bredder ved Vauxhall Cross; lige dér, i MI6's hovedkvarter. Historien kommer nu i fokus. Det er præcis, som vi oprindelig beskrev det i LaRouche PAC's brochure, som vi udgav i september 2017. Det eneste aftalte spil, der fandt sted, var dét mellem USA's og UK's hemmelige efterretningstjenester, i liga med DNC og Hillary Clintons kampagne. Undermineringen af vores demokratiske valgsystem kom fra vore såkaldte nærmeste allierede – briterne; som ikke skyede noget middel for at forhindre, at deres geopolitiske verdensorden blev afsat, og der i stedet voksede en stormagtsrelation frem mellem USA, Rusland og Kina.

Lad os huske på, hvad denne brochure, som blev udgivet for seks måneder siden, sagde. Brochuren er nu i færd med at blive revideret og opdateret og vil snart udkomme i andet oplag. Men lad os se på brochuren og se, hvad den siger.

»[Præsident Trump] truede det angloamerikanske, britiske imperiesystem efter krigen … ved at afvise evindelig krigsførelse, søge bedre relationer med Rusland, kræve gennemførelse af Glass/Steagall-bankopdeling, gå ind for det, han refererer til som det Amerikanske System for politisk økonomi og love massiv infrastrukturudvikling og en moderne

varefremstillingsplatform for produktive jobs.«

»Briterne kræver skalpe, på baggrund af deres opfattelse af at være truet, der specifikt findes i ønsket om en samarbejdsrelation med Rusland og en afslutning af den 'unipolære' ramme for relationer mellem nationer.«

Den fortsætter med at sige:

»Kuppet mod Donald Trump startede faktisk i 2013-2014. Den populære forklaring på nederdrægtighederne og forbrydelserne mod præsidenten er, at Hillary Clinton og Barack Obama ansatte deres netværk, inklusive oversiddende loyalister i regeringen og efterretningssamfundet, med det formål at ændre resultatet af det amerikanske valg og at iscenesætte det igangværende kup. Denne forklaring, der primært fokuserer på begivenheder i 2016, overser, alt imens det er sandt nok i en umiddelbart national forståelse, det større billede. Som vi vil vise, så begyndte briterne at kræve Donald Trumps hoved, iflg. deres egen redegørelse, i 2015 og blandede sig og blandede sig i USA's valg og [har forsøgt at iscenesætte] et kup for at omstøde valgresultatet hver eneste dag herefter.«

Herefter sporer brochuren den relevante historie, der går helt tilbage til kinesernes annoncering af en ny, international, økonomisk orden i 2013, i form af det, de kaldte Bælte & Vej Initiativet. Som de ligeledes annoncerede, ville blive tæt koordineret med Ruslands Eurasiske Økonomiske Union i en bestræbelse på økonomisk udvikling til hele det eurasiske kontinent. Dette er præcis, hvad Lyndon og Helga LaRouche i over 20år har været fortalere for, i form af den Eurasiske Landbro, og som dernæst blev kendt som den Nye Silkevej.

Fig. 1

Denne del af verden, som Kina og Rusland nu aktivt forfølger

udviklingen af; dette eurasiske område af verden er, hvad geopolitikkens fader, den britiske geopolitiks fader – Halford Mackinder – kaldte Hjertelandet. Han skrev en artikel i begyndelsen af det 20. århundrede ved navn, »Historiens geografiske omdrejningspunkt«. Den blev udgivet i 1904. Det, han sagde i denne artikel, og som gjorde ham til faderen af moderne geopolitik, det 20. århundredes britiske geopolitik, er, at Hjertelandet er det geopolitiske omdrejningspunkt for hele verden. Vi ser her hans kort [Fig. 1], og lige i centrum finder vi Eurasien med betegnelsen, »omdrejningspunkt«. Hele hans geopolitiske teori opsummeredes i denne udtalelse: »Den, der regerer over Østeuropa, hersker over Hjertelandet. Den, der regerer over Hjertelandet, hersker over verdensøen. Og den, der regerer over verdensøen, hersker over verden.«

Spørgsmålet om, hvem, der regerer over Østeuropa, handler stort set om, hvem, der regerer over Ukraine. Og da den behørigt valgte ukrainske præsident Victor Janukovitj annoncerede, at han ikke ville underskrive Memorandaet for Samarbejde med den Europæiske Union og i stedet ville opretholde sin tætte relation med økonomisk samarbejde med Rusland, var det det sidste strå. Mange af de samme personer, vi nu ser nævnt i Grassleys og Nunes' efterforskning af Udenrigsministeriet, såsom Victoria Nuland; mange af de samme personer besluttede, at tiden for regimeskifte var kommet. Ved at aktivere et netværk af oversiddere fra højrefløjen og ekstreme ukrainske nationalister, der under Anden Verdenskrig havde samarbejdet med Hitler; denne flok – Victoria Nuland og andre – iscenesatte et voldeligt kup i Ukraine; det såkaldte Maidan. De væltede den demokratisk valgte, ukrainske regering og installerede deres egen regering; Victoria Nuland er berømt for at være blevet taget på fersk gerning i at indrømme dette, på bånd.

Den, der således regerer over Østeuropa, hersker over Hjertelandet. Den, der regerer over Hjertelandet, hersker over verden. Dette er britisk geopolitik, og i årtier har den

særlige, amerikansk-britiske relation været et instrument for håndhævelse af dette Mackinders synspunkt af, hvad verdensordenen bør være. Når som helst en præsident; når som helst en ledende, politisk person i USA kom og truede dette synspunkt, ville elementer i de amerikanske og britiske efterretningssamfund slå alarm og på den ene eller anden måde neutralisere denne trussel. Som LaRouche PAC's brochure dybtgående forklarer, så var det præcis, hvad der skete i kampagnen imod Lyndon LaRouche. Som brochuren forklarer, så må man forstå, at dette præcis er tilfældet med den operation, der køres imod præsident Trump. For at kunne forstå operationen imod præsident Trump, må man forstå det ud fra dette perspektiv. Fra det øjeblik, det stod klart, at Trump var en seriøs deltager i kapløbet om USA's præsidentskab, og at han helt tydeligt hældte mod at afslutte Obama-Clinton-Bush-politikken med inddæmning, begrænsning og konfrontation med Rusland og Kina og i stedet hældte mod et gensidigt fordelagtigt, økonomisk og strategisk samarbejde med disse to lande – Rusland og Kina. Og fra det øjeblik blev han mål for dette apparat.

Så vær ikke naiv og lad dig blive indfanget i det daglige mediespin på talkshows på fjernsynet. Dette handler ikke om, hvorvidt du rent personligt støtter eller bryder dig om Donald Trump. Dette er et opgørets øjeblik i den årelange kamp for det amerikanske præsidentskabs sjæl og kampen for at frisætte, befri, USA fra dette britiske Mackinder-synspunkt om geopolitik, der har bragt os helt ud på kanten af atomkrig. I stedet bør USA fuldt og helt deltage i det Nye Paradigme, der nu er vokset frem, med at bringe økonomisk udvikling og moderne økonomisk fremskridt til enorme områder af den tidligere tilbagestående, koloniserede og underudviklede del af verden.

Som vores brochure, der blev udgivet i september 2017, for seks måneder siden, stiller spørgsmålet: »Har vore efterretningstjenester faktisk ulovligt anstiftet aktive

forholdsregler for et kontraefterretningsprogram, imod en siddende præsident?« Vi ved nu, at Comey løj eller vildledte Kongressen om aflytningerne af Trump Tower. FISA-kendelserne beviser dette. Senator Grassley har spurgt FBI, hvorfor, hvis I aflyttede en nær medarbejder til præsidenten, ville I ikke advare præsidenten imod ham, som det er sædvanen? Det sande svar er, at præsidenten selv var og er målet for et hidtil uset og illegalt kupforsøg, udført af dem, der har aflagt ed på at overholde Forfatningen og nationens love.

Så nu ved I det. Siden valget, og før valget, har vi siddet fast i et meget uddybende og farligt, britisk svindelnummer; med et hasardspil om vores nations fremtid i et koldt kup imod en valgt præsident. Der er begået regulære forbrydelser; ikke af præsidenten, men mod præsidenten og Forfatningen. Det, der er sket, er, at divergerende, politiske standpunkter, ideer, er blevet gjort til noget kriminelt; den selv samme fare, som de fleste bestemmelser i vores Forfatning og dens borgerlige frihedsrettigheder (Bill of Rights) blev udtrykkeligt udarbejdet for at værne imod. Vi har fortalt jer den virkelige årsag til, at præsidenten er blevet angrebet af en fremmed magt – briterne og deres allierede i vort land.

Så igen: Denne brochure blev udgivet i september 2017; for næsten seks måneder siden. Men alt det, vi dengang hævdede, bekræftes nu som sandt af kendsgerningerne efterhånden, som de kommer ud; som med tilfældet med Nunes-momoet, Grassley-brevet og hvad vi ellers kan forvente, vil komme ud af disse efterforskninger i den nærmeste fremtid. Hvis man træder et skridt tilbage og ser på det store billede her, og ser på det ud fra dette perspektiv, er det nu uigendriveligt. Hvis man vil identificere den virkelige kilde til forbrydelserne mod vores republik og mod vort demokrati, så træk blot i tråden, og man vil finde, at alle veje fører til London.

I sin ugentlige webcast (torsdag) talte Helga Zepp-LaRouche meget direkte om dette. Jeg vil gerne afspille et kort klip for jer fra dette webcast af Helga Zepp-LaRouche, hvor hun

identificerer netop dette aspekt; at alle tråde i denne sag, hvis man følger dem hele vejen, viser, hvorfra den virkelige kriminalitet kommer. Her kommer Helgas klip:

Helga Zepp-LaRouche: Planen bliver mere kompliceret, som man siger. Historien er faktisk helt utrolig, og jeg er stolt over at have skrevet en artikel helt i begyndelsen af denne affære, hvor jeg sagde, at der er et aftalt spil med briterne, og ikke med russerne – og det er præcis det, der nu kommer frem og er ved at blive et offentligt spørgsmål. Jeg vil begynde med sagen mod Steele, som det var meningen, skulle for retten i Højesteret i London, hvor Steele skulle møde frem, men i sidste øjeblik blev repræsenteret af sin advokat; argumentet var, at dette kunne berøre britiske nationale sikkerhedsinteresser. Og minsandten, om ikke en repræsentant fra Udenrigsministeriet også var til stede med deres advokater, og de kom med den samme erklæring.

Så den britiske regerings, britisk efterretnings rolle er nu et spørgsmål, og det står helt klart, at Christopher Steele ikke var en eller anden tilfældig, tidligere MI6-agent, men at han derimod virkelig var en agent for ikke alene briterne, men også for FBI. Denne pointe er kommet frem i en meget interessant artikel på Pat Langs weblog, »Sic Semper Tyrannis«. En fast, respekteret bidragyder til denne blog, som udlægger på bloggen under pseudonymet »Publius Tacitus«, spørger i sin overskrift, »Forsøgte britisk efterretning at ødelægge Trumps præsidentskab?«, hvilket er præcis, hvad vi har for os.[1]

Værten for denne blog, Pat Lang – for folk, der ikke kender ham; han er en pensioneret, højtrespekteret efterretningsmand i USA, og slet ikke en eller anden russer eller en anden kilde, der kunne være tvivlsom i denne sammenhæng – han arbejdede i lang tid for Forsvarets Efterretningstjeneste (DIA) – og han er højt respekteret.

Hvorom alting er, så peger »Publius Tacitus« på den

kendsgerning, at de nye memoer, der er kommet frem fra senatorerne Grassley og Graham, og fra Senatskomiteen for Homeland Security og Regeringsanliggender, som alle bekræfter det, der står i Nunes [Husets Efterretningskomite]-memorandaet. Og der er virkelig kommet mange nye aspekter frem. De indikerer, at Comey måske løj under ed, for, da han holdt den berømte pressekonference, der frikendte Hillary Clinton, påstod han, at han ikke havde koordineret dette med nogen andre. Dette står imidlertid i skarp kontrast til nogle flere beskeder, som blev udvekslet mellem Peter Strzok og Lisa Page, to FBI-ansatte, der var involveret i både Hillary Clintons e-mail-affære og ligeledes i Russiagate. I disse beskeder indikerede de, at Hillary vidste, der ikke ville komme nogen anklager mod hende. Der er behov for yderligere efterforskning herom.

Der er desuden fremkommet et andet, meget ildevarslende resultat, og det er en anden udveksling af tekstbeskeder mellem de to, hvor de den 2. sep. 2016 siger, at »POTUS«, dvs. 'President of the United States', nemlig Obama, ønskede at vide alt, de foretager sig. Hvad refererer dette »alt« til? Det refererer enten til efterforskningen af Hillary Clinton, eller også til Russiagate, og sidstnævnte ville betyde, at Obama nu er direkte forbundet med Russiagate og ikke kun indirekte via betalingen til Fusion GPS og Steele, hvor Obama-administrationen også betalte, sammen med DNC og Hillary Clintons kampagne.

Dette er alt sammen ekstremt, ekstremt varmt, og vi har nu alle disse Senats- og Kongreshøringer og komiteer, der efterforsker det. Kongresmedlem Nunes, der havde offentliggjort dette memo – eller rettere, præsident Trump havde godkendt at få det afklassificeret og offentliggjort sidste fredag – han sagde, dette er kun »Fase 1«. Der kommer flere faser, og de vil blandt andet omfatte Udenrigsministeriet, hvilket selvfølgelig også involverer Victoria Nuland, hvis navn nu er dukket op. Der har ligeledes,

omkring et andet spørgsmål, været mange udvekslinger mellem Christopher Steele og Victoria Nuland med hensyn til kuppet i Ukraine, det berømte Maidan-kup i februar 2014.

Dette er alt sammen meget interessant, meget 'varmt'. Russiagate er praktisk taget en død sild, men det, der nu i stedet er på bordet, er den britiske regerings, britisk efterretnings indblanding i valget i USA, der forsøgte at sabotere Trumps sejr, først, og da han alligevel vandt, da at ødelægge Trumps præsidentskab ved hjælp af en totalt opdigtet anklage. Det er nu kommet offentligt frem, og det er stort! Jeg kan, selv om dette er foregået i nogen tid, stadig kun være totalt chokeret og overrasket over, hvordan de gængse vestlige medier lykkedes med ikke at dække dette, som tydeligvis er ved at nå dimensioner, der går langt, langt videre end Watergate.

(Her følger engelsk udskrift af resten af webcastet. Hele Zepp-LaRouches webcast fra torsdag kan læses på dansk her: http://schillerinstitut.dk/si/?p=23759)

OGDEN: So, worse than Watergate, in Helga LaRouche's words. As Helga mentioned in her remarks there, earlier this week, there
was a very significant article which was published on the blog "Sic Semper Tyrannis" by Pat Lang, who is former Defense Intelligence, a very high level, very connected person. The article is titled, "Did British Intelligence Try to Destroy the
Trump Presidency?" Let me read you few excerpts from Pat Lang's
article. He says:
"Last night's release of the memo by Senator's Grassley and Graham asking the Department of Justice to open a criminal investigation of Christopher Steele for possible violations of

U.S.C. Â§ 1001 provides critical confirmation of charges presented in the HPSCI memo prepared under the leadership of Devin Nunes, but it also confirms that Christopher Steele was not just some random guy offering good gossip to the FBI. He was an official intelligence asset. He was, in John LeCarre's parlance, our 'Joe.' At least we thought so. But, there is growing circumstantial evidence that Steele was acting on behalf of Britain's version of the CIA—aka MI-6. If true, we are now faced with actual evidence of a foreign country trying to meddle in a direct and significant way in our national election. Only it was not the Russians. It was our British cousins".

"[T]wo developments in the last two days suggest that British intelligence officials, at least some key officials, were witting of Steele's activities in gathering information for the FBI.

"First, Steele is resisting efforts to face a deposition in a lawsuit over his infamous dossier. Steele's lawyers argued in a court in London this week that a deposition would endanger the former spy's dossier sources as well as harm U.K. national security interests. If the Judge buys this claim then we will not have to speculate anymore about whether or not Steele was acting on his own or had a 'wink-and-a-nod' from his MI-6 bosses.

"Second, in my mind more telling, were the comments made this week by former MI-6 Chief, Richard Dearlove, on behalf of his former protege:

"Among those who have continued to seek his expertise is

Steele's former boss Richard Dearlove, who headed MI-6 from 1999 to 2004. In an interview, Dearlove said Steele became the 'go-to person on Russia in the commercial sector' following his retirement from the Secret Intelligence Service. He described the reputations of Steele and his business partner, fellow intelligence veteran Christopher Burrows, as 'superb.'

But we do not have to rely solely on Dearlove's glowing remarks about Steele. There is other information indicating that the Brits played a substantial, if not leading, role in spying on Trump and building the Russian meddling meme. The *Guardian* reported in April 2017 that:

"|'Britain's spy agencies played a crucial role in alerting their counterparts in Washington to contacts between members of Donald Trump's campaign team and Russian intelligence operatives, the {Guardian} has been told.

"|'GCHQ first became aware in late 2015 of suspicious "interactions" between figures connected to Trump and known or suspected Russian agents, a source close to UK intelligence said.

This intelligence was passed to the US as part of a routine exchange of information, they added.

"|'Over the next six months, until summer 2016, a number of western agencies shared further information on contacts between Trump's inner circle and Russians, sources said.'

"So much for our special relationship. As the evidence of British intelligence meddling in the U.S. election piles up, it will create some strains in our bi-lateral ties. It has the potential to harm cooperation on military, law enforcement,

and
intelligence fronts. I suspect there is some scrambling going on
behind the scenes to come up with a strategy to contain the damage while rooting out the sedition. Stay tuned."

Now, speaking of Richard Dearlove, the former head of MI-6 and his relationship to Christopher Steele, there is a very significant article which was published this week in the *Washington Post*. And that article is published under the title, "Hero, or Hired Gun? How a British Former Spy Became a Flashpoint in the Russia Investigation". And under the subtitle
"He's the Spy", the article lays out Steele's pedigree as a very
high-level British intelligence operative, and his extremely close relationship with Richard Dearlove, the former head of MI-6. So, here's what the article says:

"Steele had all the right credentials for the job.

"He was steeped in Russia early on after being recruited to Britain's elite spy service from the University of Cambridge. He
spent two decades working for the MI6 spy agency, including a stint in his mid-20s in Moscow, where he served undercover in the
British Embassy.

"When he returned to work for the agency in London, he provided briefing materials on Russia for senior government officials and led the British inquiry into the mysterious 2006 death in London of Alexander Litvinenko, a former KGB official and Putin critic.

"In 2009, after more than two decades in public service, Steele turned to the private sector and founded a London-based consulting firm, Orbis Business Intelligence, drawing on the reputation and network he developed doing intelligence work.

"Among those who have continued to seek his expertise is Steele's former boss Richard Dearlove, who headed MI6 from 1999

to 2004.
“In an interview, Dearlove said Steele became the ‘go-to person on Russia in the commercial sector’ following his retirement from the Secret Intelligence Service. He described the reputations of Steele and his business partner, fellow intelligence veteran Christopher Burrows, as ‘superb.’

“In the early fall, he and Burrows turned to Dearlove, their former MI6 boss, for advice. Sitting in winged chairs at the Garrick Club, one of London’s most venerable private establishments, under oil paintings of famed British playwrights, the two men shared their worries about what was happening in the United States. They asked for his guidance about how to handle their obligations to their client and the public, Dearlove recalled.
“Dearlove said their situation reminded him of a predicament he had faced years earlier, when he was chief of station for British intelligence in Washington and alerted U.S. authorities to British information that a vice presidential hopeful had once been in communication with the Kremlin.
“He said he advised Steele and Burrows to work discreetly with a top British government official to pass along information to the FBI.”
Now, that entire story sounds very much like a scene directly out of a John LeCarre novel, if you ask me. But this character, Richard Dearlove, is somebody of whom Helga Zepp-LaRouche asks “What is his pedigree, and what is he famous for when it comes to dodgy dossiers?” in that webcast that she delivered yesterday. So, here’s what Helga LaRouche had to say

about Richard Dearlove:

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE: The fact that Richard Dearlove, the former head of MI6, absolutely defended the reputation of Steele, is very interesting in this respect, because who is this Dearlove? He is the infamous author of the famous dossier which led to the attack on Iraq in the Second Gulf War, supposedly because Saddam Hussein was in the possession of weapons of mass destruction, which we know was a blatant lie, which led Colin Powell to make this infamous speech in the United Nations in February 2003, which he later characterized as the biggest mistake of his life, because it led to the intervention, including the United States, in the war against Saddam Hussein.

That is something which eventually must also be tried. And I know that Ramsey Clark tried to make that an issue before the international legal authorities.

So, this is not just the attempt of a coup against the United States, but this is a paradigm of policies which have led to the present condition in the world, including the destruction of much of Southwest Asia, including the refugee crisis. So these are not small things, and I think it is high time that this whole paradigm should come out in the open and is being replaced by a completely different policy.

So, I think the stakes here are extremely high, and I think people should really rethink everything and look at the material which is coming out, because it is an unbelievable scandal.

[T]he dossier which was published by LaRouche PAC, written by Barbara Boyd. This was written half a year ago, but if you read this dossier now, it is incredible, how absolutely on the mark this dossier was, concerning the role of British intelligence. So I think the circulation of this dossier is something which everybody can do very easily. Get it in the social media, get it in the alternative blogs, get it into any newspaper, which has the honesty to follow events in a truthful way. And right now, things are coming out in the open. There were articles by Ray McGovern, by William Binney, Pat Lang, by Russia Today, — naturally, they pick up on the fact that Russiagate is now completely falling apart. So I think the more people can do, to get the public attention on what is going on in this absolutely gigantic fight in the United States, the better; because some of these spooks shy away from daylight, and the more the Sun is shining on them, all the better.

OGDEN: So again, this pamphlet that was put out by LaRouche PAC six months ago, this was a very prescient and very insightful pamphlet. I guarantee you it has a served a major role in informing the threat of the investigations for the people who are serious about getting at the truth of this. We've witnessed Russiagate transformed into Steelegate, and Steelegate means Londongate. All threads, if you follow them and pull them, will lead you back to London. This pamphlet is being updated as we speak, and it will be going into a second [sic] printing very soon, and you can expect that this will continue to have a very

significant impact.
I just want to, in conclusion, recommend that our viewers, in understanding the context as I went through it earlier, and as that pamphlet elaborates it very clearly, the context of this entire thing is the fight over the soul of the US Presidency and the future of US policy on the world stage. We've witnessed decades and administration upon administration of this so-called US-UK special relationship; which has merely perpetuated this Mackinder geopolitics on the entire planet. It has brought us to the point of confrontation which could threaten thermonuclear war. This has become all too real. The fight over the paradigm — will we remain the satrapy of this British geopolitical world order, or will we break from that? Will we be liberated from that? Will we embrace the New Paradigm which is now sweeping the planet? That is the question which is at stake here, and the stakes could not be higher.
For that reason, I want to strongly encourage all of our viewers to return here to larouchepac.com tomorrow, February 10th at 12noon. That's 12noon eastern time. We will be treated by a live address by Helga Zepp-LaRouche, who be delivering the inaugural class in the LaRouche PAC 2018 online class series. That class series, as you can see here on the screen, is titled "The End of Geopolitics. What Is the Global New Paradigm?" It will be hosted at the url, which is on the top of the screen there — http://discover.larouchepac.com. This will be a 12-week

class
series, which will follow up on the very successful class series
which we hosted here on larouchepac.com last year during 2017 on
LaRouche's economic discoveries. The invitation to this year's
class series is available there on
http://discover.larouchepac.com. Let me just read to you from the invitation:
"The American people are faced with a historic choice: join China's revolutionary New Silk Road program and secure a new paradigm of win-win global development, or continue the suicidal
geopolitical policies of Obama and Bush, guaranteeing confrontation with Russia and China and threatening world war. While President Trump is inclined to move in the direction of cooperation with China and Russia, he is being threatened with a
palace coup by those desperately clinging to the old geopolitical
view of unchallenged Anglo-American global dominance.
"You can play a role in this decisive point in history. Help secure the New Paradigm.
"LaRouche PAC is launching a new class series, "What is the New Paradigm?" to prepare you to lead the population at this critical time. 2018 must be the year we end geopolitics."
Then, it lists what these classes will cover:
"Introduction: What is the New Paradigm?" This is Helga LaRouche's address tomorrow.
"What is Geopolitics, Part I – History
"What is Geopolitics, Part II – Philosophy
"Culture – Beauty & Freedom vs. the CCF [Congress for Cultural Freedom]
"Confucian and Western Philosophy
"Science: Man's Relation to the Universe
"Wrap-up and Mobilization – End Geopolitics"

So, the invitation invites you to register now for access to the syllabus, to the homework, to the reading assignments, and to the special live discussion sessions which will be available only for registered participants. Registration is now open at http://discover.larouchepac.com. Questions can be emailed to classes@larouchepac.com.

We strongly encourage you to register now for this class series, to become an active participant in this class series; to build class hosting sessions in your location wherever you are in the United States or even abroad, to build a group of people who will participate in these classes on a weekly basis with you. You can host it at your house, or at the local library, or on your college campus. And create a national mobilization of participants around this series of classes so that we have the cadre of people who are educated and who understand this global context for the ongoing fight that we now find ourselves in here in the United States.

So again, tomorrow at 12noon, Helga LaRouche will be addressing this class series live. This will be the inaugural address, and we encourage you to register now for the entire class series for 2018. That brings a conclusion to our webcast today. But I think if you reflect on the theme here – Russiagate has now become Londongate; all roads lead to London.

Let me put the graphic of our title right back on the screen here one more time, and you'll see the image there of the MI-6 headquarters. This is where all roads lead; pull the threads and

you'll discover the truth about who really colluded with US elections in 2016, and is continuing to meddle with our political
system.
Thank you very much for joining me here at larouchepac.com and please stay tuned.

[1] http://turcopolier.typepad.com/sic_semper_tyrannis/2018/02/british-intelligence-tried-to-destroy-the-trump-presidency.html

---

'Grassley-memoet' afslører mere om anti-Trump-kuppet; Det, der står på spil, er enten krig eller udvikling under det Nye Paradigme

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 5. feb., 2017 – Her til morgen kom endnu flere skadelige afsløringer frem om kupforsøget mod det amerikanske præsidentskab, fra offentliggørelsen af det otte sider lange memorandum af senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), formand for Senatets Justitskomite, der fører tilsyn med FBI. Endskønt det er stærkt redigeret, rapporterer dette dokument, som dækker præsidentvalgperioden i 2016, at Clinton-kampagnen 'shoppede' anti-Trump-påstande fra en ukendt, udenlandsk kilde, som gik til Obamas Udenrigsministerium, hvorfra disse påstande dernæst blev shoppet videre til »tidligere« britiske spion, Christopher Steele, som så overgav dem til medierne og

FBI. Steele udarbejdede et dossier, dateret 19. okt., 2016 – en anden dato end det kendte, »uvederhæftige dossier« – og som hidtil ikke er blevet offentliggjort.

Grassleys memo blev oprindeligt skrevet den 4. jan., da han, med tilslutning fra senator Lindsey Graham (R-SC), leverede det til det relevante kontor i Justitsministeriet og til FBI-myndigheder med krav om en efterforskning af Steele for kriminelle handlinger. Memoet fremfører, »Det er allerede foruroligende, at Clinton-kampagnen finansierede hr. Steeles arbejde; men at disse Clinton-medarbejdere samtidigt gav hr. Steele beskyldninger, rejser yderligere spørgsmål om hans troværdighed«. Grassley har krævet, at der iværksættes anklager om kriminelle handlinger imod Steele og andre indblandede i denne beskidte operation.

Udviklingerne i dag åbner for »Fase To«, som kommer efter udgivelsen af »Nunes-memoet« den 2. feb. af Devin Nunes (R-CA), formand for Husets Efterretnings-udvalgskomite. Nunes-memoet fokuserer på anti-Trump FBI- og justitsministerie-toppersoners og Steeles ulovlige brug af FISA-processen til opnåelse af en overvågningskendelse. I dette nye Grassley-memo er Udenrigsministeriet indblandet. Der kommer flere »afsløringsfaser«. Dette kan offentligt afsløre de personer i London og Washington, der var ansvarlige for det, der skete i Ukraine med det formål at tvangsindføre et nazistisk regimeskifte gennem den 'farvede' Maidan-revolution i 2013-14. Intet under, at Victoria Nuland i går forsøgte at lægge afstand til Christopher Steele på CBS News. Nuland, der er tidligere viceudenrigsminister i Obamas regering, samt Steele et. al., promoverede direkte Maidan-kuppet.

Impulsen til denne strøm af afsløringer og udsigt til fængsel for de skyldige, er LaRouche PAC's Særlige Efterforskende Rapport, »Robert Mueller er en amoralsk, juridisk lejemorder: Han vil gøre sit job, hvis I giver ham lov«, som blev udgivet første gang i september 2017.

Det, der står på spil i alt dette, er ikke blot sandheden i en abstrakt betydning, men derimod, om der bliver krig, eller der kommer et Nyt Paradigme for udvikling – den Nye Silkevej. Det Gamle Paradigme for monetarisme og geopolitik er dødt, men stadig farligt. De, der støtter det, er rede til at udløse krig. Et udtryk for dette er forsiden af Londonavisen *The Economist* af 27. jan., der erklærer, »Den næste krig: Den voksende fare for stormagtskonflikt«.

Det eneste, vindende antikrigs-fremstød er mobiliseringen for et Nyt Paradigme, især i det transatlantiske område, for de hasteforholdsregler, der fremlægges i LaRouches Fire Love og tilslutningen til Bælte & Vej Initiativet.

Præsident Trump har atter udtalt sin støtte til en genopretning af vareproduktion og jobs i USA, i en tale, han holdt i dag på en fabrik nær Cincinnati, Ohio. Hans banner lød, »USA – Åben for business«. Det var en dyb ironi, da Tv-speakeren åndeløst afbrød live-dækningen af hans tale for at rapportere, at Dow Indekset netop var styrtdykket med 1600 points!

Kendsgerningen i verden er, at det Nye Paradigme med den Nye Silkevej er i gang. Det er modellen, der virker. Det er LaRouche-parrets årtier lange dagsorden in action. Fremtiden for menneskeheden er vores.

Foto: Præsidenten og vicepræsidenten mødes med Senatets lederskab. De demokratiske senatorer Feinstein og Schumacher til venstre, og de republikanske senatorer McConnell og Grassley til højre. 24. jan., 2017. (Whitehouse Photo / twitter)

BOMBE: »Memoet« opklarede et lille skridt i sammensværgelsen; Gå efter det hele, på vegne af menneskeheden

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 2. feb., 2018 – Den lærde elite og det Demokratiske Parti er gået fuldstændig amok i ugens løb over offentliggørelsen af det fire sider lange Memo, som er udarbejdet af Husets Efterretningskomite, efter at det blev afklassificeret af præsident Trump.

De fortæller os: Lad være med overhovedet at kigge på det eller tænke på det, for det kunne hjælpe Trump. John McCain gik så vidt som til at sige, at hele Memoet var djævelens værk, fra den russiske præsident Vladimir Putin. Med hensyn til det, der plejede at hedde det »Demokratiske« parti, bliver vi nu, med overraskelse, vidne til, at de hylder og hylder vores overvågnings-politistat, vores ureglementerede forvaltning af retsvæsenet og deciderede bedrageri omkring FISA-domstolen, som om det alt sammen var lige så amerikansk som æbletærte. Se ikke på den grimme mand bag forhænget, folkens, bare lad som om, og se vores trylleforestilling.

De hyler som de stukne grise, de er.

Her er, hvad Memoet siger, inklusive vore indledningsvise kommentarer:

FBI og Justitsministeriet fik den oprindelige FISA-kendelse til at overvåge Trump-volontøren Carter Page og herefter tre fornyelser på hver 90 dage af denne bemyndigelse til at udføre overvågning. Tidligere FBI-direktør James Comey underskrev tre FISA-ansøgninger, og den nu fyrede FBI-vicedirektør Andrew

McCabe underskrev én. Vicestatsanklager Sally Yates, berygtet for sammen med McCabe at have sat en fælde for Michael Flynn, og derudover for, at hele Justitsministeriet afviste at anerkende præsident Trumps immigrationsordrer; fungerende vicestatsanklager Dana Boente og vicestatsanklager Rod Rosenstein, underskrev hver én eller flere FISA-ansøgninger. Tidligere FBI-vicedirektør Andrew McCabe sagde, at der ikke ville være blevet ansøgt om nogen overvågningskendelse af Page, uden Christopher Steele-dossieret.

De gjorde dette uden en eneste gang at fortælle FISA (Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court), at dossieret, der var sammensat af den britiske efterretningsagent Steele, var en afgørende del af FISA-ansøgningen, og at Steele-dossieret, et rent produkt af britisk efterretning, var blevet betalt for af præsident Trumps politiske modstander, Hillary Clinton og hendes DNC, på trods af den kendsgerning, at FBI og Justitsministeriet vidste dette. FBI havde ligeledes aftalt at betale Steele for den samme information.

FISA-ansøgningen, som første gang blev indgivet i oktober, 2016, nævnte en *Yahoo* nyhedsartikel fra 23. september, 2016, af Michael Isikoff, som en bekræftelse af anklagerne i Steele-memoet, når sandheden i virkeligheden var den, at *Yahoo* nyhedsartiklen var et resultat af et læk fra Steele til Michael Isikoff.

I september 2016 sagde Steele til tidligere topembedsmand i Justitsministeriet, Bruce Ohr, under uautoriserede møder, at han »**var desperat over, at Donald Trump ikke blev valgt og havde ekstremt stærke følelser imod, at han blev præsident**«. Ohrs hustru arbejdede for Fusion GPS, det Demokratiske Partis mellemmand til britisk efterretning, som var Steeles arbejdsgiver. Dette skete, før den første FISA-ansøgning mod Page blev indgivet. Steele blev suspenderet og dernæst afsluttet som en FBI-informant, fordi han afslørede sit forhold til FBI i en artikel i *Mother Jones* den 30. okt., 2016, forfattet af David Corn. Denne kendsgerning blev

tilsyneladende aldrig bekendtgjort over for FISC (Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court).

Efter Steeles afslutning, vurderede FBI selv, at hans påstande kun minimalt kunne bekræftes, og FBI-direktør Comey aflagde efterfølgende vidneforklaring om, at Steeles påstande var »slibrige og ubekræftede«. Alt dette blev heller aldrig fortalt til FISC. På trods heraf briefede Obamas efterretningschefer Trump om Steele-dossieret den 17. januar, 2017. Denne hændelse, der kun kort beskrives i memoet, er det, James Comey senere i sin forklaring for Senatet kaldte sit »J. Edgar Hoover-øjeblik«. Det blev arrangeret, at hele det slibrige og afskyelige, britiske efterretnings-bras senere, efter dette møde, blev lækket til de nationale nyhedsmedier og hilste Donald Trumps præsidentskab velkomment med den påstand, at han var en Putins 'manchurisk kandidat', der vältede sig i russiske prostituerede på den selvsamme seng, som Obama-parret havde sovet i.

Ifølge MEMOET, løj Steele over for FBI om sine mediekontakter på vegne af Clinton-kampagnen og DNC. Dette er efter al sandsynlighed grunden til, at senatorerne Chuck Grassley og Lindsay Graham henviste Christopher Steele til FBI til efterforskning for kriminelle handlinger.

Vi har altså et klart misbrug af FISA, et bedrageri over for denne domstol. Håndgribelige fakta om overvågning af en amerikansk, politisk kampagne og en amerikansk borger, og som blev sat i værk af denne kampagnes politiske modstander og deres allierede i Obama-administrationen, blev holdt skjult for domstolen af FBI og Justitsministeriet.

Men, der er meget, meget mere her. Steeles beskidte værk blev brugt af Clinton-kampagnen og Obama-administrationen, i aftalt spil med medierne, i et forsøg på at besejre Donald Trumps forsøg på at vinde præsidentskabet. De efterfølgende Russiagate- og Mueller-efterforskninger er blevet brugt til at forsøge at ødelægge Trumps præsidentskab. Hele Russiagate-

myten, forkyndt af Obama og briterne, har ødelagt de amerikansk-russiske relationer og anbragt hele verden i fare.

Vi udgav hele historien, og hele baggrunden for, at dette skete, for flere måneder siden. Læs dossieret, »Robert Mueller er en umoralsk, juridisk lejemorder: Han vil gøre sit job, hvis I giver ham lov«.

Det hele, det hele må efterforskes og retsforfølges. Vi vil have mere at fortælle i løbet af de kommende 72 timer.

(Hør også: LaRouche PAC Friday Webcast, 2. feb., 2018, med en særdeles grundig gennemgang af det nu afklassificerede Memo.)

Breaking: Hemmeligt Nunes-Memo offentliggjort! Sandheden om Russiagate. LaRouche PAC Internationale Webcast, 2. feb., 2018.

Vært Matthew Ogden: Jeg vil starte med at annoncere de seneste nyheder: Nunes-memoet, det fire sider lange memo, der blev udarbejdet af formand for Husets Efterretningskomite David Nunes, er nu officielt blevet afklassificeret af præsident

Trump og er blevet frigivet til den amerikanske offentlighed. På trods af det Demokratiske lederskabs, efterretningssamfundets, selve FBI's og endda britisk efterretnings trusler, bagvaskelser og intimidering, har præsident Trump og det Republikanske lederskab på Capitol Hill besluttet at afklassificere dette memorandum, og *det er en bombe!*

Det afslører præcis det, som LaRouche PAC har dokumenteret lige fra begyndelsen af dette: Det såkaldte slibrige og ubekræftede, britiske efterretningsprodukt, kendt som Steele-dossieret, blev brugt som såkaldt »bevis« for at indhente en FISA-kendelse til at udspionere ledende medlemmer af Trumps kampagne. Det, som dette memo fortæller, er, at det nu er officielt, at Christopher Steele selv sagde til seniorembedsmand i Justitsministeriet, Bruce Ohr, at han var »desperat for, at Donald Trump ikke blev valgt og havde meget stærke følelser imod, at han blev præsident«. Det er Christopher Steeles egne ord, og dette sagde han til Bruce Ohr, *før* den første FISA-ansøgning overhovedet blev indgivet.

Og selv om det var kendt, at dette såkaldte dossier var et helt klart partisk stykke researcharbejde for oppositionen, som blev finansieret af Hillary Clintons præsidentkampagne, imod hendes behørigt nominerede modstander i valget, Donald Trump, så blev denne anmodning om overvågning godkendt af FBI-direktør James Comey, og ligeledes af Andrew McCabe [daværende FBI-vicedirektør].

Dette memo vil helt bestemt markere et vendepunkt i hele denne operation og hele dette kupforsøg mod vores præsident og vil højst sandsynligt gå over i historien som et af de mest skamfulde kapitler i vores republiks historie. Hele Russiagate-operationen er et skamfuldt eksempel på det mest grove magtmisbrug fra FBI's side, der fuldt ud lever op til den berygtede arv efter J. Edgar Hoover, i et forsøg på at bruge dette lands efterretningstjenester, i aftalt spil med britisk efterretning, til at underminere og vælte en ledende,

politisk person og efterfølgende, USA's behørigt valgte præsident.

Som indledning til denne udsendelse vil jeg gerne dele med jer, den fulde tekst af dette memo, der netop er blevet afklassificeret. Som I ser i toppen, »Afklassificeret«, og det blev afklassificeret af præsidenten, efter ordrer, den 2. feb., 2018. Vi læser teksten [LPAC's redaktørs bemærkninger: Følgende tekst er taget fra Husets Permanente Efterretnings-Udvalgskomites pdf-dokument. I pdf-dokumentet vises den originale understregning (her med enkeltkrøllede parenteser) og fed skrift (dobbeltkrøllede parenteser); kursivering (ligeledes her vist med enkeltkrøllede parenteser) bruges udelukkende til publikationer.

https://intelligence.house.gov/uploadedfiles/memo_and_white_house_letter.pdf]

(Her følger engelsk udskrift af resten af webcastet):

{{Purpose}}
This memorandum provides Members an update on significant facts relating to the Committee's ongoing investigation into the Department of Justice (DOJ) and Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) and their use of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA) during the 2016 presidential election cycle. Our findings, which are detailed below, 1) raise concerns with the legitimacy and legality of certain DOJ and FBI interactions with the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court (FISC), and 2) represent a troubling breakdown of legal processes established to protect the American people from abuses related to the FISA process.

{{Investigation Update}}
On October 21, 2016, DOJ and FBI sought and received a FISA probable cause order ({not} under Title VIl) authorizing electronic surveillance on Carter Page from the FISC. Page is a U.S. citizen who served as a volunteer advisor to the Trump presidential campaign. Consistent with requirements under FISA, the application had to be first certified by the Director or Deputy Director of the FBI. It then required the approval of the Attorney General, Deputy Attorney General (DAG), or the Senate-confirmed Assistant Attorney General for the National Security Division.
The FBI and DOJ obtained one initial FISA warrant targeting Carter Page and three FISA renewals from the FISC. As required by statute (50 U.S.C.§1805(d)(1)), a FISA order on an American citizen must be renewed by the FISC every 90 days and each renewal requires a separate finding of probable cause. Then-Director James Comey signed three FISA applications in question on behalf of the FBI, and Deputy Director Andrew McCabe signed one. Then-DAG Sally Yates, then-Acting DAG Dana Boente, and DAG Rod Rosenstein each signed one or more FISA applications on behalf of DOJ.
Due to the sensitive nature of foreign intelligence activity, FISA submissions (including renewals) before the FISC are classified. As such, the public's confidence in the integrity of the FISA process depends on the court's ability to hold the government to the highest standard– particularly as it relates to surveillance of American citizens. However, the FISC's rigor in protecting the rights of Americans, which is reinforced by

90-day renewals of surveillance orders, is necessarily dependent on the government's production to the court of all material and relevant facts. This should include information potentially favorable to the target of the FISA application that is known by the government. In the case of Carter Page, the government had at least four independent opportunities before the FISC to accurately provide an accounting of the relevant facts. However, our findings indicate that, as described below, material and relevant information was omitted.

1) The "dossier" compiled by Christopher Steele (Steele dossier) on behalf of the Democratic National Committee (DNC) and the Hillary Clinton campaign formed an essential part of the Carter Page FISA application. Steele was a longtime FBI source who was paid over $160,000 by the DNC and Clinton campaign, via the law firm Perkins Coie and research firm Fusion GPS, to obtain derogatory information on Donald Trump's ties to Russia.

a) Neither the initial application in October 2016, nor any of the renewals, disclose or reference the role of the DNC, Clinton campaign, or any party/campaign in funding Steele's efforts, even though the political origins of the Steele dossier were then known to senior DOJ and FBI officials.

b) The initial FISA application notes Steele was working for a named U.S. person, but does not name Fusion GPS and principal Glenn Simpson, who was paid by a U.S. law firm (Perkins Coie) representing the DNC (even though it was known by DOJ at the time that political actors were involved with the Steele

dossier). The application does not mention Steele was ultimately working on behalf of—and paid by—the DNC and Clinton campaign, or that the FBI had separately authorized payment to Steele for the same information.

2) The Carter Page FISA application also cited extensively a September 23, 2016, {Yahoo News} article by Michael Isikoff, which focuses on Page's July 2016 trip to Moscow. {This article does not corroborate the Steele dossier because it is derived from information leaked by Steele himself to Yahoo News.} The Page FISA application incorrectly assesses that Steele did not directly provide information to {Yahoo News}. Steele has admitted in British court filings that he met with {Yahoo News}—and several other outlets—in September 2016 at the direction of Fusion GPS. Perkins Coie was aware of Steele's initial media contacts because they hosted at least one meeting in Washington D.C. in 2016 with Steele and Fusion GPS where this matter was discussed.

a) Steele was suspended and then terminated as an FBI source for what the FBI defines as the most serious of violations—an unauthorized disclosure to the media of his relationship with the FBI in an October 30, 2016, {Mother Jones} article by David Corn.

Steele should have been terminated for his previous undisclosed contacts with Yahoo and other outlets {{in September}}—before the Page application was submitted to the FISC in October—but Steele improperly concealed from and lied to the FBI about those contacts.

b) Steele's numerous encounters with the media violated the

cardinal rule of source handling—maintaining confidentiality—and demonstrated that Steele had become a less than reliable source for the FBI.

3) Before and after Steele was terminated as a source, he maintained contact with DOJ via then-Associate Deputy Attorney General Bruce Ohr, a senior DOJ official who worked closely with Deputy Attorneys General Yates and later Rosenstein. Shortly after the election, the FBI began interviewing Ohr, documenting his communications with Steele. For example, in September 2016, Steele admitted to Ohr his feelings against then-candidate Trump when Steele said he {{"was desperate that Donald Trump not get elected and was passionate about him not being president."}} This clear evidence of Steele' s bias was recorded by Ohr at the time and subsequently in official FBI files—but not reflected in any of the Page FISA applications.

a) During this same time period, Ohr's wife was employed by Fusion GPS to assist in the cultivation of opposition research on Trump. Ohr later provided the FBI with all of his wife's opposition research, paid for by the DNC and Clinton campaign via Fusion GPS. The Ohrs' relationship with Steele and Fusion GPS was inexplicably concealed from the FISC.

4) According to the head of the FBI's counterintelligence division, Assistant Director Bill Priestap, corroboration of the Steele dossier was in its "infancy" at the time of the initial

Page FISA application. After Steele was terminated, a source validation report conducted by an independent unit within FBI assessed Steele's reporting as only minimally corroborated. Yet, in early January 2017, Director Comey briefed President-elect Trump on a summary of the Steele dossier, even though it was—according to his June 2017 testimony—"salacious and unverified." While the FISA application relied on Steele's past record of credible reporting on other unrelated matters, it ignored or concealed his anti-Trump financial and ideological motivations. Furthermore, Deputy Director McCabe testified before the Committee in December 2017 that no surveillance warrant would have been sought from the FISC without the Steele dossier information.

5) The Page FISA application also mentions information regarding fellow Trump campaign advisor George Papadopoulos, but there is no evidence of any cooperation or conspiracy between Page and Papadopoulos. The Papadopoulos information triggered the opening of an FBI counterintelligence investigation in late July 2016 by FBI agent Pete Strzok. Strzok was reassigned by the Special Counsel's Office to FBI Human Resources for improper text messages with his mistress, FBI Attorney Lisa Page (no known relation to Carter Page), where they both demonstrated a clear bias against Trump and in favor of Clinton, whom Strzok had also investigated. The Strzok/Lisa Page texts also reflect extensive discussions about the investigation, orchestrating leaks to the

media, and include a meeting with Deputy Director McCabe to discuss an “insurance” policy against President Trump’s election.
[end memo]

So this is a bombshell. And what it absolutely makes clear is that these Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act warrants against leading members of the President Trump campaign {never}
would have been requested or obtained without the so-called evidence presented in the Steele dossier. And this Steele dossier is completely discredited, and as it made clear, in Steele’s own words, he was “desperate that Donald Trump not get
elected and was passionate about him not being president.”
Now, exactly as Congressman Matt Gaetz two weeks ago, when he first read this memo, when it was still classified, he said,
“the facts contained in this memo are jaw dropping…. There is no higher priority than the release of this information to preserve our democracy…. I think that this will not end just with firings. I believe there are people who will go to jail….
The entire Mueller investigation is a lie built on a foundation
of corruption…. This will vindicate claims by many of us: It is a real attempt to undermine the President, from the scariest
of places.”
President Trump was speaking to the media earlier today, and right before the official release of this memo, the decision to
release this memo by the Republican leadership in the House, President Trump had the following to say. This is what President
Trump’s own words were, in anticipation of the probable release

of this memo. He said the following: “I think it’s terrible. You want to know the truth? I think it’s a disgrace. What’s going on in this country, I think it’s a {disgrace}. The memo was sent to Congress, it was declassified. Congress will do whatever they’re going to do. But I think it’s a disgrace what’s happening in our country. And when you look at that, and you see that, and some of the other things what’s going on, a lot of people should be ashamed of themselves, and much worse than that.

So, I sent it over to Congress, and they will do what they’re going to do. Whatever they do is fine. It was declassified, and let’s see what happens. But, a lot of people should be ashamed.”

So those were President Trump’s words.

Now, LaRouche PAC has issued a statement, which is now posted on the LaRouche PAC website. This statement contains a short summary of what is contained in the Nunes memo, and then it has a short analysis and some commentary, and it promises to be swiftly followed up by more marching orders in the coming 72 hours.

But this is what LaRouche PAC has to say: “…We have a clear abuse of FISA, a fraud on that court. Material facts about surveillance of an American political campaign, and an American citizen, set into motion by the campaign’s political opponent and their allies in the Obama administration were concealed from the court by the FBI and the Department of Justice.

“But there is much, much more here. Steele’s dirty work was used by the Clinton campaign and the Obama Administration, in

collusion with the media, in an attempt to defeat Donald Trump's bid for the Presidency. The subsequent Russiagate and Mueller investigations have been used in an attempt to destroy the Trump Presidency. The entire Russiagate myth, promulgated by Obama and the British, have destroyed U.S. Russian relations and endangered the entire world.

"We published the full story and the reasons why this is occurring months back. It is not what you think. Read the dossier, sign the petition, all of it, {all of it}, needs to be investigated and prosecuted."

Now, this is the dossier [LPAC.co/ytdos] which LaRouche PAC released months ago. This is the full documentation on not only Robert Mueller's background in prosecuting Lyndon LaRouche, in covering up the crimes of 9/11, and now, in being a central player in this attempted coup against the President of the United States; but it was also ahead of the curve in absolutely documenting exactly what this Nunes memo is discussing.

Let me give you one short example: This is a quote from inside the Mueller dossier. It says the following: "Hillary Clinton used the Steele Dossier to paint Trump as a Russian dupe throughout her general election campaign against him. James Comey used it to justify his FBI counterintelligence probe of the Trump campaign which began in July of 2016, and has continued.

"Thus, we have the British government … {intervening in an election in the United States to sway the result.} Most certainly this raises questions about the applicability of election laws which bar foreign funding for exactly the reason that the United States elections should be decided by United

States citizens. Most certainly, once this sequence of events is fully investigated, it will become clear that all government participants intended to sway the election unlawfully, using the powers of a state to vanquish the will of the voters."

And that gets directly at the core of the matter. And we didn't need the Nunes memo to know that that what this was all about all along.

Now, what this dossier also documented – this is now, I'm talking about the LaRouche PAC dossier on the Mueller operation – what this documented is that motivation is not what you think it is. The motivation has got to be contextualized within an understanding of what is this moment in history in which we find ourselves? And this entire Russiagate coup from the very beginning was intended to prevent the kind of collaborative relationship that President Trump was clearly inclined towards in his Presidential election campaign, to say we have to end this World War III, thermonuclear game of chicken that the Bush administration and the Obama administration have been playing with the Russians, which has brought us to the very threshold of the kind of nuclear war that the citizens of Hawai'i were frightened about during that so-called false alarm a few weeks ago. This is a very, {very} real danger!

And the American people knew that to be true, and they associated Hillary Clinton with nothing less, than the further escalation of Obama's World War III policy against Russia. This is the consequence of British Imperial geopolitics: Divide and conquer.

President Trump made clear that he was willing to reach out

a hand of collaboration and cooperation with Russia, and also, as has subsequently been clear, with China, and to say, “we’re going to turn the geopolitical chessboard over, and we are going to establish a new great-powers relationship with these leading powers, in order to address the common problems that mankind must resolve.” And that was President Trump’s clear, clear inclination.

So why was the Russiagate thing cooked up from the very beginning? Well, it was to block President Trump from establishing that kind of collaborative relationship with Russia and with China, and instead, to ensure the continuation of the Obama/Hillary Clinton policy of confrontation and potential thermonuclear war.

{So that’s what’s at stake here.} And the reason that the timing is so critical, is because a New Paradigm of economics and strategic partnerships is emerging on this planet as we speak. It’s rapidly escalating and it’s a tide that very well could not be turned back short of that kind of thermonuclear war. What form that New Paradigm is taking is the Belt and Road Initiative, China’s initiative to create a new win-win economic, political, and strategic partnership among the nations of the world for peace through massive economic development. The Belt and Road has already come to Central Asia, the Belt and Road has already come to Africa, the Belt and Road has already come to Eastern Europe. And the Belt and Road, as we speak, is in the process of coming to the Western Hemisphere with Latin America and Caribbean

fully on board. The question is, will the United States join? That was the question which provoked this Russia-gate coup attempt against President Trump from the very beginning. That,
and because we must defend the very fundamental facets of our Constitutional republic, of our Constitutional democracy; that is
why we must defeat this coup. Whether you consider yourself a Republican, whether you consider yourself a Democrat, an independent, whether you even personally support President Trump
or like him; you must defeat this clear and blatant coup against
the Constitution and the Presidency of the United States.
Now, what I'd like to do for you is to point out the irony that the Democratic Party which, going back to the dirty trick operations against the Kennedys, against Martin Luther King, by
J. Edgar Hoover, the Democratic Party led the charge on investigating this FBI operation. The Democratic Party has now
sold themselves out completely to be the party of Russia-gate –
the new McCarthyism. There's a very ironic statement by attorney
Alan Dershowitz; he went on TV just yesterday, pointing out exactly this irony. And I'd like to just read you some of what
Dershowitz had to say, pointing out that the Democratic Party has
now become the cheering section for the FBI. He says:
"I'm just old enough to remember when liberals and major media organizations believed America's national security apparatus had to be closely monitored to protect our civil liberties.
"The liberals and journalists brought to light the horrific abuses of power that J. Edgar Hoover's FBI and the National

Security Agency undertook in the 1960s against Martin Luther King
and others….
"But all of that was forgotten this week, as Washington
liberals rushed to the microphones to demand that the Nunes memo
from Representative Devin Nunes, Republican from California, be
kept from the American people. Their sympathetic friends in the
media were quick to give their complaints blanket and largely
unskeptical coverage.
"The four-page Nunes document is a House Intelligence
Committee summary of Justice Department and FBI files that points
to serious abuses of power involving the surveillance of US
citizens in the run-up to the 2016 election…. But to leading
Democrats, the possible discussion of intelligence agency abuses
is akin to Armageddon.
"Representative Adam Schiff, Democrat from California, the
ranking Democrat on Nunes' Intelligence Committee, has read the
Nunes memo, but curiously dismisses it by saying … the memo is
'meant only to give Republican House members a distorted view of
the FBI.' Far from resembling the crusading liberals of the
1960s and '70s, who probed the FBI; Schiff has taken on the role
of the Bureau's lapdog.
"… All of this presents a rich stew of questions that you
might expect Washington to be curious about. Instead, you have a
frenzy of denunciation of people who want transparency in
government and a lack of curiosity about the activities of our
most powerful intelligence agencies – entities that have been
guilty in the past of clear abuses of power.

“If Americans are to have faith in their government, it must have people who watch the watchers in our intelligence agencies.
So far this week, we are seeing an awful lot of people blindly defend the bureaucracy rather than fulfill their duty to question
authority.”
Again, that was Alan Dershowitz.
So, the Democratic Party has completely bankrupted itself. This is moment in which the entire LaRouche PAC program – the Four Laws, the economic recovery program that we have documented
and we have put out, our campaign to win the future, and the campaign to bring the United States into the New Paradigm of development in the form of the New Silk Road – this has got to replace this morally and intellectually bankrupt behavior by the
Democratic Party; and this must become the policy for all American citizens who are interested in saving this country.
Now, Helga Zepp-LaRouche delivered a very significant webcast yesterday – the founder and President of the Schiller Institute. During that webcast, she was asked by the moderator
what we should expect from the release of the Nunes memo. Of course, this was before it was clear that the memo would be released; although we were all highly anticipating the release of
this classified document. But, I would like to play for you a short excerpt of what Helga LaRouche had to say. I think she very clearly situates this within the broader context which must
be understood.

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE

: Oh, I think this is reaching
very interesting dimensions. As a matter of fact, on the way

out
of Congress, Trump was asked if he would release this Nunes memo,
and he said “100%.” And then also the White House Chief of Staff
John Kelly was interviewed, and he said the White House would release this memo “pretty quick,” because the American people should make up their minds on their own, what their judgment is.
And that is very good.
So there, again, you have a complete freak-out, for example, the German media, which were absolutely not reporting about this
whole controversy, or {if} they would only report about it from
the standpoint of Russia-gate and soon Trump will be gotten out
of office. Now they have to sort of cover their behind, in reporting about it, but they’re still on the line of the FBI-leaning version, but they do have to report it.
What happened this week was naturally dramatic: You have the decision of the House Select Committee on Intelligence to release the memo. Then you had the firing of [FBI Deputy Director Andrew] McCabe; that is very good. Then you have the ongoing operation by Senator Grassley and Senator Lindsey Graham,
who, on the one side are insisting on a criminal investigation against Christopher Steele; but they also sent letters to all the
leading Democrats, Podesta, the DNC, the various other Democratic
officials, asking them detailed questions: What did they know about the Steele dossier? When did they know about Hillary? Many, many questions.
Then, McCabe is also under a new investigation, because it seems that he delayed the whole Hillary investigation concerning

her emails by three weeks, trying to push it behind the November election.

So I think there is a lot of fury: You have people warning that the outcome of this will decide the fate of the United States – for example, Paul Craig Roberts, who after all was in the Reagan administration, he had a very stern warning saying the stakes are extreme; if the coup plotters would get away with their actions, then the United States would turn into a full police-state, where the intelligence services would create a dictatorship and there would be no more accountability of the government. So this is clearly one side.

And on the other side, naturally, there is expected hope that if this memo, which is due to come out, at the latest tomorrow, because the rules are such that it has to be five days after the vote in Congress, and that can really be an earthquake.

Because if what seems to be in this memo becomes public, I think it will change not only the situation in the United States, but also it will have an earthquake effect internationally. [end video]

OGDEN: So, we can expect that earthquake to occur internationally. This is a huge development. The point is, that this must be situated within this fight, this ongoing battle for the soul of the US Presidency. We can see even at this moment that there are still opposite tendencies at work at the highest levels of this administration; people who are working against the inclination that this President has for the kind of great

powers
relationship and a new paradigm of international partnerships. We saw a very inflammatory speech by Rex Tillerson just yesterday. We've seen the release of the National Security Strategy, which accuses China and Russia of being authoritarian
dictatorships which are attempting to reorder the entire international order. And so forth and so on.
But we also see that President Trump continues to reach out to Russia. He did not impose these sanctions against the Russian
officials, even though it was sent to him by Congress, and he continues to reach out to President Xi Jinping. And he continues
to emphasize that a good relationship between the United States
and these two countries is a very good thing, and not a bad thing. That's the point, though; that he is being backed into a
corner. He continues to have the highest levels of his own administration working against him, and this political earthquake
which we can expect coming out of the declassification of this memo which makes undeniably clear that this was a political operation through the use of the intelligence agencies of this country in pure J. Edgar Hoover style through and through. It was nothing more than that, and continues to be nothing more than
that.
President Trump, obviously as we know, delivered the State of the Union address this Tuesday, just a few days ago. Whereas
the LaRouche Political Action Committee has been in an intense campaign to put on the table LaRouche's Four Economic Laws and the necessity for the United States to join the Silk Road, that
campaign has not lessened one bit in the aftermath of the

State of the Union; but has, in fact, intensified. The persisting questions will continue to be on the table. If President Trump wants $1.5 trillion in infrastructure investment, where is that going to come from? How are you going to do that? Should you use a Hamiltonian national banking approach, as opposed to this other concoction which has been put together by Steve Mnuchin and others? Also, as the trans-Atlantic financial system continues to be perched on the verge of a meltdown, how will President Trump respond to that impending threat? God forbid, if the entire came down as it did in 2008, if not worse. We must preempt that threat with a Glass-Steagall reorganization of this entire financial system, as has been called for by LaRouche for years. The threats are very clear; we're in a Catch-22. We're on the verge of either a hyperinflationary blow-out, or a total bottom dropping out of the entire trans-Atlantic system.

So, in response to President Trump's State of the Union speech, Helga Zepp-LaRouche, in that same webcast yesterday, had a little bit of analysis. I'd like to share that with you.

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE

: Obviously, he did not say what he should have said, namely to go with the Four Laws of Lyndon LaRouche, Glass-Steagall, and a new credit system in the tradition of Alexander Hamilton. Now, we don't give up hope that

that may still come, because, after all, if you remember, when my husband in 1983 had campaigned for what became the Strategic Defense Initiative, this was not mentioned by President Reagan in the State of the Union address; but then, on the 23rd of March, Reagan publicly announced the Strategic Defense Initiative. So therefore, we can absolutely hope that President Trump eventually, when he has to come to the question of financing the infrastructure he announced, he will come back to his promise from the election campaign to implement Glass-Steagall.

Otherwise, the speech was not bad. I think it's quite significant that, according to CBS, in a poll, 75% of the people who saw the speech were in great support for Trump. So I think that domestically, he definitely touched on a sense of optimism, even so there are still many problems, obviously, with the financial system which he did not address. But I think it's on a good course.

I think the strongest indicator that he is doing something good is the freak-out by the Democrats, and while he appealed to a bipartisan cooperation on the immigrant issue, on infrastructure, the Democrats who basically were sitting there, demonstrating not-applauding, and in a certain sense being quite the war-party. I think that has become crystal clear, because in the context of the State of the Union, actually one day before,

was the deadline for the implementation of the sanctions which the Congress had voted on half a year earlier; and nothing happened. The Trump administration did not implement sanctions against Russia and there was a complete freak-out by such media as the {New York Times} or think tanks like the Atlantic Council which basically accused Trump of completely going against what the Congress had mandated. But the simple answer of the Trump administration on the sanctions against Russia was that it was not necessary.

Now, that's very good. I think that in spite of the fact that Trump in terms of the foreign policy aspect of his State of the Union address where he called Russia and China "rivals," rather than partners or something more positive, to which the Chinese reacted quite strongly. They said that this was alarming and provocative. But then, the Foreign Ministry spokeswoman said that the United States and China should work together instead, for a happier future of all of mankind. So that response was on the one side, expressing displeasure, but on the other side, keep reaching out for the kind of cooperation which already was demonstrated between Xi Jinping and Trump.

The Russians even responded less harsh, because they, in a commentary said the speech by Trump was much milder those of all of his predecessors, referring obviously to Obama and Bush.

So I think this is not the end of the world. It's not what it should be, but I think in the context of what is happening in the United States, one can also not expect, given the neo-con mobilization, given the really ridiculous behavior of the

Democrats, I think he did pretty well. [end video]

OGDEN: So, that's Helga LaRouche's analysis of President Trump's State of the Union. Clearly, what this demands of us is an escalation on the front of the campaign that we've been waging. This is contained in the Campaign to Win the Future statement which is now being circulated across the country for endorsement; and in fact, has already received an endorsement from some members of state legislatures, including a Democrat member of the state legislature from Michigan. This is really on the verge of totally breaking through. This really goes to the core of what President Trump, I think, stands for in the eyes of those who elected him; especially those electoral victories which were decisive in the so-called "Rust Belt" states – in Pennsylvania, in Michigan, in Wisconsin. He flipped those three states in an unprecedented Election Night turnaround. That's what secured him the victory.
During his campaign when he went to the Midwest, when he went back after his victory, continually President Trump has continued to stand by this idea that we are a nation of builders, and we must revive and live up to that great legacy with great projects for our own generation. That was one thing that he mentioned in the State of the Union speech, but he elaborated it last night in a speech at the Greenbrier in West Virginia for the Republican Party retreat. This is the very conclusion of that speech by Donald Trump:

PRESIDENT DONALD TRUMP

: We're proud of our history, we're confident in our values, and we're grateful to our heroes, and we are determined to create a brighter future for all of our people. We are restoring the bonds of love and loyalty that unite us all, as friends, as neighbors, as citizens, as Americans. Because when Americans are united, nothing – nothing at all – nothing can stop us. We win. (Applause.)

As I said the other night, we are a nation that built the Empire State Building in one year. Actually, to be exact, it was – we built it in less than a year. Would you believe it? Working 24 hours around the clock.

We built the Hoover Dam in record time. We built the Golden Gate Bridge. We linked our nation together with railroads and highways. We dug out the Panama Canal. We're the nation that won two World Wars, defeated fascism and communism, and put satellites into space and planted our great American flag on the face of the moon. We've healed the sick, cured disease, and cared for the poor like no other nation. We've lifted millions into prosperity, and delivered millions into freedom.

This is our legacy. This is our birthright. And this is the foundation on which we build our very glorious future.

Because together, we are, indeed, making America great again. Thank you, and God bless you all. Thank you very much. (Applause.) Thank you. [end video]

OGDEN: Now, that's exactly the spirit which I think has energized the American people, and which we must continue to inform with the specific policies to honor that birthright as a nation of builders, as a nation which has accomplished unprecedented things, and which we must continue to do. The

great projects are there for the taking, and if we look at what China is now doing, China has become a nation of builders as well; not only domestically, but abroad. The building of the One Belt, One Road Initiative, this New Silk Road – both the land Silk Road and the new Maritime Silk Road which is spanning the globe – this is what the United States must decisively join. It has come to the Western Hemisphere to the southern nations; and now it is time for the United States to join that collaboration and to reach out a hand of partnership between the United States and China, and to renew our partnership between the United States and Russia.

One thing that you just heard President Trump say is that we were the nation that won two world wars and defeated fascism. Well, today happens to be the 75th anniversary of the victory at the legendary battle of Stalingrad. This was a horrific, six-month siege. The casualties are absolutely staggering; over 1 million casualties reported on the Russian side alone. Half a million Russians died during the battle of Stalingrad; but this was a great victory, led by the legendary Marshal Zhukov. It was a decisive defeat of the Nazi army, which led to the following two years of the war, which finally, in collaboration between – there you see on the screen – President Roosevelt and Stalin of the Soviet Union. Fascism, Nazism was defeated.

To conclude our show, this is a quote from President Roosevelt's letter of congratulations to Josef Stalin on the victory at Stalingrad, 75 years ago today. I would encourage us to take this as the paradigm of what we must revive in terms of that kind of great powers relationship. If we come together as great nations on this Earth against mankind's common enemies and for the common aims of mankind, we can accomplish great things.

So, here's what President Roosevelt had to say; this is addressed to Josef Stalin.

"As commander in chief of the Armed Forces of the United States of America, I congratulate you on the brilliant victory at Stalingrad of the armies under your supreme command. The 162 days of epic battle for the city which has forever honored your name and the decisive result which all Americans are celebrating today will remain one of the proudest chapters in this war of the peoples united against Nazism and its emulators.

"The commanders and fighters of your armies at the front and the men and women who have supported them in factory and field have combined not only to cover with glory their country's arms, but to inspire by their example fresh determination among all the United Nations to bend every energy to bring about the final defeat and unconditional surrender of the common enemy."

"[signed] Franklin D Roosevelt"

And as we know, it was Franklin Roosevelt's vision, as

documented in the book {As He Saw It} by his son, Elliott Roosevelt, that in the aftermath of World War II, in the aftermath of the defeat of fascism, we would work together with those allies that we had during the war, including Russia and China and India, to bring about a new era of development for the planet. To bring what Franklin Roosevelt demonstrated in the form of the New Deal with the TVA and the great projects that were built here in the United States, lifting hundreds of thousands of Americans out of poverty at that time, to bring those New Deal policies to the globe in collaboration with the allies who won the war against fascism, to continue the war after the defeat of Adolf Hitler and to fight a war against the colonial and imperialist policies of the British Empire. And to fight a war against the poverty and backwardness that had been imposed on the world from centuries of British rule. That was Franklin Roosevelt's vision for after the war. That was unfortunately and tragically abandoned with Franklin Roosevelt's death. We experienced decades of a Cold War with the nuclear sword of Damocles hanging over our heads. We are now at the point at which finally we must make the decision – will we allow that Cold War mentality of geopolitics to bring us to the point now of World War III and the potential extinction of the human race through thermonuclear war? Or, will we embrace the revival of that legacy which is now being accomplished by the Chinese and the Belt and Road Initiative and all the nations that have joined together for that New Paradigm of peace through great projects and development? Will we say now is the moment when we can

pick
up where Franklin Roosevelt left off and reach out a hand of
partnership and collaboration to Russia, to China, and to all
the
other nations of good will on this planet, to bring
development
– finally – to every corner of the globe.
Obviously today, we've seen a major turning point in the
history of the United States. This is a huge development, and
as
we promised, there will be more updates from LaRouche PAC in
the
coming hours, the coming 24, 48, and 72 hours to come. So,
please stay tuned to larouchepac.com and mobilize, mobilize,
mobilize. Thank you for joining us; stay tuned.

Amerikas udbytte af at gå med i den Nye Silkevej: Optimisme

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 17. jan., 2018 – Inkarnerede medieseere i USA er relativt sikre på, hvad der vil ske i den nærmeste fremtid: Regeringen går af; millioner af lovende, unge mennesker bliver deporteret; en epidemi af mere og mere potente opiater vil slå et voksende antal millioner amerikanere ihjel, elektronisk overvågning af alle, hele tiden, vil fortsætte i det uendelige; præsident Trumps planlagte $1 bio. store initiativ for at bygge ny infrastruktur vil ikke ske; krige vil fortsætte i Afghanistan, Mellemøsten og Afrika, og vi vil sandsynligvis gå i krig med Rusland i Europa eller over Nordkorea i Asien.

Erhvervsfolk har deres egen version: De kan ikke finde faglært

arbejdskraft til at besætte deres ledige jobs; men de hæver alligevel ikke lønnen, fordi de er usikre på, hvad der sker, når aktie- og låneboblen brister.

Sammen med masseskyderier og periodiske terrorangreb er dette blev amerikaneres, og europæeres, »informerede forventninger«. Tingene er gået virkelig galt siden århundredeskiftet – og især siden finanskrakket i 2007-08 – og pessimisme er således dagens orden.

Schiller Instituttets stifter Helga Zepp-LaRouche, som har stor erfaring med Kina og er en intellektuel ophavsmand til instituttets politik for den »Nye Silkevej«, påpeger, at forventningerne i Kina er helt anderledes. Forventningerne her er økonomisk vækst, afslutning af fattigdom, ikke alene dér, men også i meget fattige lande, at se teknologiske vidundere og ny infrastruktur, at opleve kulturelt samarbejde med andre lande og mulighederne for fred; og endda – husker I, da millioner af amerikanere drømte om dette? – udforskning af Månen og Solsystemet.

Hun påpeger, at den voksende indflydelse, som Kinas Bælte & Vej Initiativ har – og som senest har tiltrukket den franske præsident Emmanuel Macron – er en indflydelse for optimisme og en fornemmelse af at have en mission, og ikke blot »praktiske« aftaler om at bygge højhastigheds-jernbaner, selv om disse også kan sprede en kulturel optimisme med hensyn til fremtiden.

Denne mission er afgørende for at være optimistisk. Et finanskrak af »alting-boblen« er rent faktisk på vej, og det med sikkerhed. Men, ved at genindføre Glass/Steagall-bankopdelingsloven, kan vi med lethed bringe banksystemet og økonomien igennem det og *øge* kredit til at udvide reel økonomisk produktivitet. Der findes metoder, som har stået deres prøve i amerikansk historie, til at få kredit dirigeret til de store infrastrukturprojekter og de banebrydende teknologier, vi behøver, og endda et forceret program for

opnåelse af fusionskraft.

Det, der er vigtigt, er at erkende, at Kinas mission for Bælte & Vej Initiativet for stormagter, vendt mod verden, er en succesfuld mission, og at gå med i den. Kernen, som er præsidenterne Donald Trumps, Xi Jinpings og Vladimir Putins samarbejde for at afslutte 20 års permanent krig, findes stadig.

LaRouche PAC og Schiller Instituttet har lagt en klar plan for en mission. For det første, stop briternes og amerikanske imperiefraktioners og efterretningsvæseners planlagte kup mod Trump. Efter at have påført dette kup et tilbageslag gennem massecirkulation af vores »Mueller-dossier«, så cirkulér dernæst Lyndon LaRouches økonomiske politikker med de »Fire Love«, for at genoprette amerikansk produktivitet og få Amerika klar til at gå med i en ny Marshallplan i Bælte & Vej Initiativet.

Dette er de *reelt informerede* forventninger for landets nærmeste fremtid.

Foto: Præsident Donald J. Trump deltager i ceremonier i marken i 2018 College Football Playoff National Championship. 8. januar, 2018. (Official White House Photo by Shealah Craighead)

Afslut kuppet; Stop den næste krig;

Byg Verdenslandbroen.
Taler af forfatter til EIR's Mueller-dossier
Barbara Boyd og VIPS-medlemmerne
William Binney og Ray McGovern.
Video og pdf.

Vi vil lægge ud med Barbara Boyd. Barbara er forfatter af den særlige undersøgelsesrapport, som nogle af jer måske har set; titlen er »Robert er en juridisk lejemorder; Han vil gøre sit job, hvis I giver ham lov«. Hun vil være med her på skærmen. Efter hende har vi to talere; William Binney, der sidder her på min højre side, og dernæst Ray McGovern (begge medlemmer af VIPS). Jeg vil introducere dem hver især, når de taler, selv om jeg ikke ved, om de faktisk behøver ret megen introduktion. Nu til vores første taler.

(Dansk udskrift af Barbara Boyds præsentation)

Download (PDF, Unknown)

Flere hit mod kuppet med LaRouche PAC's accelererende kampagne: McCabe trækker sig

23. dec., 2017 – LaRouche Political Action Committees (LPAC) mobilisering imod det årelange britiske angreb på præsidenten ved hjælp af den »juridiske lejemorder« Robert Mueller har fordoblet sin styrke. LaRouchePAC har nu to brochurer, der cirkulerer i USA; én, der afslører Muellers korrupte historie tilbage til hans forsøg i 1980'erne på at begå juridisk mord på Lyndon LaRouche; og den anden, der fremlægger de økonomiske og videnskabelige programmer, som USA under Trump kunne forfølge, hvis Mueller-kuppet bliver grundigt besejret.

Kupforsøget bliver fortsat svækket af nye afsløringer.

* FLASH: FBI-vicedirektør Andrew McCabe annoncerede sin afsked »under angreb fra Republikanere«, som *Washington Post* rapporterede sent den 23. dec. McCabe, der er 49 år, sagde, han planlægger at blive i et par måneder, indtil hans fulde pension bliver gyldig, før han går. Som den fyrede FBI-direktør James Comeys »højre hånd«, havde McCabe tydeligvis anført en gruppe af FBI-jurister og anklagere, der pønsede på at tvinge Trump fra embedet. McCabe var netop blevet grillet af tre kongreskomiteer, hvis medlemmer sagde, hans svar ikke var tilfredsstillende og i modstrid med andre FBI-vidneforklaringer.

* Den britiske MI6-agent Christopher Steele er begyndt at afsværge sit løgnagtige dossier i en britisk retssag imod ham, rapporterede *Washington Examiner* den 20. dec. I en retssag, anlagt af den russiske forretningsmand Aleksei Gubarev (som *EIR* tidligere har rapporteret om), indsendte Steele til retten, »briefingerne [dvs., hans briefinger til MI6 og FBI/DOJ] involverende afsløringer af *begrænset efterretning*

mht. indikationer på russisk indblanding i den amerikanske valgproces og den mulige koordinering blandt medlemmerne af Trumps kampagneteam og russiske regeringsfolk«. [Fremhævelse tilføjet.] Steele sagde ligeledes, at han »forstod, at den information, der blev stillet til rådighed, kunne være brugt til yderligere efterforskningsformål, men ikke ville blive offentliggjort eller tilskrevet«. Men han havde tidligere personligt »briefet *New York Times, Washington Post*, Yahoo News, *The New Yorker* og CNN personligt. Senere briefede han magasinet *Mother Jones* via Skype«; alle klare invitationer til offentliggørelse.

Disse indrømmelser kaster yderligere lys over korruptionen hos efterretningsfolk, med James Comey (FBI), John Brennan (CIA) og James Clapper (DNI) i spidsen. De udløste bevidst den udbredte offentliggørelse af Steeles britiske dossier gennem deres bagholds- og afpresningsbriefing den 6. jan af præsident Trump, deres »J. Edgar Hoover-øjeblik«.

Og Husets Efterretningskomite og Husets og Senatets Justitskomiteer er tæt på sporet af, hvad FBI i øvrigt gjorde med det og for det.

* *Politico* rapporterede, at Husets Efterretningskomite har beviser, der muligvis viser, hvorfor FBI-chefrådgiver James Baker blev degraderet dagen efter at have gjort vicedirektør Andrew McCabe selskab med vidneforklaring for komiteen. Baker, mener de, kommunikerede med journalist David Corn, før Corn blev den første til at offentliggøre påstandene i det britiske dossier, i magasinet *Mother Jones* den 31. okt., 2016. Corn havde selv interviewet Christopher Steele: »[En] tidligere senior efterretningsofficer for et vestligt land, og som var specialist i russisk kontraefterretning, siger til *Mother Jones*, at han i løbet af de seneste måneder til Bureauet har leveret memoer, baseret på sine seneste interaktioner med russiske kilder, som hævder, at den russiske regering i årevis har forsøgt at inddrage Trump til egne formål og assistere Trump – og at FBI udbad sig flere informationer fra ham.« Men

Corn tilføjede i sin artikel fra 31. oktober, 2016: »En amerikansk seniorembedsmand, der ikke er involveret i denne sag, men er bekendt med den tidligere spion«, fortalte ham, at spionen »har været en troværdig kilde, og det er dokumenteret, at han giver pålidelig, følsom og vigtig information til den amerikanske regering«.

Republikanere fra Repræsentanternes Hus sagde til *Politico*, at denne embedsmand var FBI's Baker, der således havde fremprovokeret den første offentliggørelse af den britiske agent Steeles påstande mod Trump.

* *The Nation* skrev i en lang gennemgang i 2017 af »Mediernes uredelighed om Russiagate« af Aaron Mate den 23. dec.: »Grundlaget for de 'bogstavelig talt uimodsagte sandheder' i årets største historie er fortsat rapporten fra januar 2017 fra DNI, som beskyldte Rusland for at hacke Demokratiske e-mails og bruge sociale medier til at øve indflydelse på valget i 2016. Og alligevel erkender rapporten åbenlyst, at 'dens konklusioner ikke har til hensigt at antyde, at vi har beviser, der viser, at noget er en kendsgerning'. Næsten et år senere har vi stadig ikke set det mindste bevis.«

Foto: Den særlige anklager Robert Mueller, der leder efterforskningen af præsident Donald Trump.

Afsæt Mueller og vedtag de Fire Love som politisk, økonomisk

program.
LaRouche PAC Internationale Webcast,
22. dec., 2017.

Vært Matthew Ogden: Det er den 22. dec., 2017, og jeg er vært for vores faste udsendelse fra larouchepac.com med vores strategiske gennemgang her ved ugens afslutning.

Der er nu 40 dage til præsident Trumps planlagte 'State of the Union'-tale for den samlede Kongres den 30. januar. Hen over de kommende 40 dage vil vi se en kamp af hidtil usete proportioner udspille sig på verdensscenen og den internationale scene; en kamp om selve dette præsidentskabs sjæl. Selv om dette har taget form af en angivelig juridisk kamp mht. den såkaldte Mueller-efterforskning, må vi aldrig fortabe os i den konstant udviklende histories ugræs, med alle disse 'connectos' og skikkelser i denne virkelig tragiske komedie af meget dramatiske proportioner. Vi må aldrig glemme, at det, der til syvende og sidst står på spil her, er en krig, der raser på højeste niveau af politisk beslutningstagning i dette land om, hvad USA's fremtidige politik skal være. Dette gælder især for vore relationer med resten af verden, og i særdeleshed med Rusland og Kina. Spørgsmålet er, om USA vil fortsætte med at vedtage det 20. århundredes fejlslagne geopolitik, der har bragt verden på randen af Tredje Verdenskrig? Eller vil vi forkaste hele denne fejlslagne ideologi og i stedet vedtage en vision for verden, hvor suveræne nationer ikke blot arbejder for deres egne snævre egeninteresser og i relationer, der udgør en slags imperialistisk blok, som vi har været så vant til under den

Kolde Krig; men derimod arbejder for alles fælles fordel.

Sammenhængen i hele dette kupforsøg, som nu udspiller sig og er ved at blive optrævlet, blev fremlagt i det oprindelige dossier, som vi nu genoptrykker – 2. oplag på 10.000 eksemplarer.

Hvis man ser på det afsmit, der hedder, »The True Origins of the Coup Against the President« (Den virkelige oprindelse til kuppet mod præsidenten), så fremlægger det præcis, hvad den globale, politiske sammenhæng var, for fremkomsten af de operationer, der medgik til skabelsen af det såkaldte »Steele-dossier« og lagde fundamentet for det, der har fået betegnelsen »Russiagate«. Som forfatteren af dette dossier (EIR's Mueller-dossier) gennemgår, så er den virkelige historie her spørgsmålet om krig og fred og involverer hele spørgsmålet om det, der voksede frem fra det tidspunkt, hvor præsident Xi Jinping annoncerede Bælte & Vej Initiativet i Kasakhstan i 2013, hvor han fuldstændig styrtede den eksisterende, geopolitiske verdensorden og fastslog en fuldstændig ny vision for et potentielt »win-win«-samarbejde mellem alle verdens nationer, til alles gensidige fordel.

Som dette dossier gjorde det meget klart, så er og var »disse begivenheder i 2013-2014 en direkte udfordring af det britiske imperiesystem. De udfordrer direkte det monetære system, som er kilden til den angloamerikanske verdensdominans. De udfordrer direkte fundamental, britisk, strategisk politik, der har eksisteret siden Halford Mackinders dage. Under initiativet for 'Ét Bælte, én Vej', og i forening med Ruslands Eurasiske Union, vil Mackinders 'verdensø', bestående af Eurasien og Afrika, blive udviklet, gennemkrydset af nye højhastigheds-jernbaneforbindelser, nye byer og vital, moderne infrastruktur, baseret på den gensidige fordel for alle de derværende nationalstater. Under den britiske, geopolitiske model«, har krig, ustabilitet og udplyndring af råmaterialer været virkeligheden for hele dette område i århundreder. »Xi Jinping har også angrebet de geopolitiske aksiomer, ved hvilke

USA og briterne har opereret« i årtier. »Han foreslog i stedet en model for 'win-win'-samarbejde, hvor nationalstater samarbejder om udvikling, baseret på menneskehedens fælles mål.«

Så igen, dette er sammenhængen for hele denne krig over det amerikanske præsidentskabs sjæl. Spørgsmålet er altså, om USA vil opgive disse geopolitikker og i stedet vedtage dette totalt anderledes paradigme med menneskehedens fælles 'win-win'-mål?

Dette blev meget klart formuleret af præsident Xi Jinping, faktisk før det nylige Bælte & Vej Forum (maj 2017); dette går tilbage til FN's Generalforsamling i 2015. Præsident Xi Jinpings tale dér havde titlen, »At arbejde sammen for at udarbejde et nyt partnerskab for 'win-win'-samarbejde og skabe et fællesskab for menneskehedens fælles fremtid'. Så dette er ikke blot en abstrakt idé. I sin historiske tale for FN's Generalforsamling fremlagde præsident Xi Jinping især, hvad denne idé med et 'win-win'-samarbejde og et «fællesskab for menneskehedens fælles fremtid« i virkeligheden vil sige. Her er et par uddrag fra Xi Jinpings tale. Han sagde:

»Verden gennemgår en historisk proces med accelereret udvikling: Fredens, udviklingens og fremskridtets solskin vil være stærkt nok til at trænge igennem krigens, fattigdommens og tilbageståenhedens skyer.

Som et kinesisk mundheld lyder, 'Det største ideal er at skabe en verden, der i sandhed er fælles for alle'. Vi bør indgå en fornyet forpligtelse til at 'bygge en ny form for internationale relationer med win-win-samarbejde og skabe et fællesskab for menneskehedens fælles fremtid'.

Vi bør vedtage en ny vision, der søger win-win-resultater for alle, og afvise den forældede tankegang, at 'den enes død er den andens brød' eller 'vinderen tager alt'.

Vi bør 'opgive koldkrigsmentaliteten i alle dens

manifestationsformer og skabe en ny vision for fælles, omfattende, samarbejdende og vedvarende sikkerhed'.

Vi må 'arbejde sammen for at sikre, at alle er befriet for nød, har adgang til udvikling og lever med værdighed'.

I deres interaktioner må civilisationer acceptere deres forskelligheder. Kun gennem gensidig respekt, gensidig læring og harmonisk sameksistens kan verden bevare sin diversitet og trives. Hver civilisation repræsenterer sit folks enestående vision og bidrag. De forskellige civilisationer bør have dialog og udvekslinger i stedet for at forsøge at udelukke eller erstatte hinanden. Vi bør lade os inspirere af hinanden for at styrke den menneskelige civilisations kreative udvikling.«

Så igen, det er den vision, som Xi Jinping fremlagde i sin tale for FN i 2015, med titlen, »At arbejde sammen for at udarbejde et nyt partnerskab for 'win-win'-samarbejde og skabe et fællesskab for menneskehedens fælles fremtid«. Denne tale i 2015 ligner faktisk temmelig meget den vision, som Lyndon LaRouche fremlægger i sin bog, der blev udgivet i 2005, med titlen *Earth's Next Fifty Years* (Jordens kommende 50 år). I denne bog definerer han rammen for denne nye form for relationer mellem landene. Hvis man går tilbage til denne bog af Lyndon LaRouche fra 2005, så var det en samling af flere artikler, han skrev, og ligeledes nogle taler, han tidligere havde holdt under en turne, han foretog i Europa og Eurasien. Men i denne bogs hovedartikel, der havde titlen, »Den kommende eurasiske verden«, forklarer Lyndon LaRouche detaljeret ideen om, hvad denne vision for en ny form for relationer mellem lande bør være. Og faktisk, hvad er det princip, det videnskabelige princip, ud fra hvilket nationer kan relatere til hinanden ud fra standpunktet om den højeste fællesnævner, i modsætning til det laveste.

Her er den vision, som Lyndon LaRouche fremlagde. Han sagde, »Tag en anden fremgangsmåde. Denne anden fremgangsmåde er

menneskehedens fælles interesse. Det, vi bør tilsigte med kulturen, er ideen om menneskets natur; at mennesket har en vis, iboende rettighed, der adskiller mennesket fra dyret. Lad os individuelt og kollektivt bekræfte regeringsstyrelsens forpligtelse over for menneskets værdighed, som det kommer til udtryk i dette menneskes, denne families, rettighed til, for deres børn og børnebørn, at have udsigten til forbedrede livsbetingelser, en meningsfuld fremtid og en anerkendelse af deres personlige identitet som en person, der i sin levetid har fået muligheden for at bidrage til menneskehedens fremtid som helhed; til ære for fortiden og til fordel for fremtiden. Vi må indse, at intet folk kan være funktionelt suverænt mht. forpligtelsen over for sit eget folks overbevisninger, med mindre de er fuldstændigt suveræne mht. deres nationale anliggender. Denne suverænitets afgørende funktion må erkendes som værende kulturel i sin essens. For at regere sig selv må et folk have et fælles grundlag af viden. Relationerne staterne imellem må finde sted efter princippet om en platonisk, sokratisk dialog om ideer. Der er almene principper, der forener nationer omkring et fælles mål, men denne almenhed må udarbejdes i udviklingen af ideer; af nationale kulturer i dialog med nationale kulturer. De principper, der står frem som fornødne, fælles mål, er hovedsageligt sådanne principper som videnskaben om fysisk økonomi. Processen med udvikling af missionsorienteret samarbejde mellem denne planets kulturer må ses som en fortsættelse af en fortsat proces henover de fremtidige generationer.«

Dette var et kort uddrag af en meget omfattende bog, udgivet af Lyndon LaRouche i 2005. Men man ser harmonien mellem den vision, som Lyndon LaRouche her fremlægger, og så det, Xi Jinping siger i sin tale for FN ti år senere, i 2015. Men imellem de to ser man en vision, og nu ser man virkeligheden i det, som denne idé om et 'win-win'-paradigme for relationer mellem landene faktisk repræsenterer; i modsætning til den fejlslagne form for vision, vi kender fra den Kolde Krig, og

som har bragt verden til punktet, hvor vi har haft flere verdenskrige, og nu til punktet, som kunne være truslen om en atomar konflikt mellem nationer.

Ser man på, hvad Lyndon LaRouche sagde i denne bog, og ser man dernæst på, hvad Xi Jinping så smukt sagde i sin tale for FN, og sætter man det i kontrast til det katastrofale, beskæmmende, nationale sikkerhedsdokument, der netop er blevet offentliggjort af Trumps Hvide Hus; så ser man et meget signifikant problem mht. den kamp, der stadig raser omkring dette præsidentskabs sjæl og politik. Dette er på ingen måde en sort/hvid eller fuldført kamp. Vi ser, at, på højeste niveau, inkl. internt i administrationen, foregår der stadig denne kamp over, hvilken retning USA vil tage. Vil vi fortsat vedtage geopolitik? Eller, vil vi gå i retning af denne idé med 'win-win'-relation mellem lande, som det er blevet forklaret af præsident Xi Jinping og Lyndon LaRouche?

Her følger engelsk udskrift af resten af webcastet.

So, let me just give you a little taste of some of the attitude that is represented in this national security policy document. Here are two short quotes. Let's start with this one:
"After being dismissed as a phenomenon of an earlier century, great power competition returned. China and Russia began to reassert their influence regionally and globally. Today, they are fielding military capabilities designed to deny America access in times of crisis, and to contest our ability to operate
freely in critical commercial zones during peace time. In short,
they are contesting our geopolitical advantages and trying to change the international order in their favor." Here's another
short excerpt: "Although the United States seeks to continue to
cooperate with China, China is using economic inducements and

penalties, influence operations, and implied military threats to
persuade other states to heed its political and security agenda.
China's infrastructure investments and trade strategies reinforce
its geopolitical aspirations. Its efforts to build and
militarize outposts in the South China Sea endanger the free flow
of trade, threaten the sovereignty of other nations, and
undermine regional stability." Etc., etc., etc. Those are just
two very short excerpts from a document which is very lengthy;
but you can see from those two quotes that the inclination of the
authors of this report is to continue to view the world from the
standpoint of geopolitics, geopolitical competition between
nations and blocks of nations. And you can even see a
not-so-veiled reference to the Chinese Belt and Road Initiative
right there in that quote where they said China's economic and
trade agenda is only being used to try to advance its
geopolitical advantage.
So, that's a view straight out of the think tanks in
Washington and the {Economist} magazine of London. It's very
curious, because it actually goes contrary to exactly what
President Trump himself has represented on the world stage;
including on his recent "state visit-plus" to China, where he
talked very positively of the initiatives that China has taken
and has forged a very close personal relationship with President
Xi Jinping. Exactly contrary to this view that China is somehow
our economic and strategic rival, and that we have to compete
with them on the geopolitical world stage.
People have pointed out that when President Trump presented

this national security policy, in a highly unusual way; it's very unusual for the President himself to make the speech presenting the policy document. But when he did make that speech, he used very different language, especially in regards to China. He spoke about the importance of sovereign nations that are respecting each other and are working together. He did not use some of the more egregious and inflammatory language which is contained within this document. But still, the very fact that this document was published shows you that we have a lot of work to do to continue to wage this battle inside the United States over what our policy will be. Will we continue to embrace geopolitics, or will we embrace this new "win-win" paradigm which is emerging now as a replacement to that failed Cold War mode of thinking?

I'd like to play for you just a short excerpt from the webcast that Helga Zepp-LaRouche conducted yesterday, where she spoke about her reaction to this national security policy document. So, here's what Helga Zepp-LaRouche had to say:

(Hele Helgas tale kan ses på dansk her)

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE: This document is clearly looking at the world from the standpoint of, as you said, geopolitics, and if you look at it from that standpoint, naturally, then China and Russia, but especially China which is rising, are regarded as rivals or enemies. And I think that this paper – Trump, which is very unusual – insisted that he

present
the paper, and not the National Security Advisor who normally is
presenting such a report; and obviously, it seems that he did
that in order to soften certain formulations. For example:
Apart from going through some of the language of the report, he
also said that he wants to build a very strong partnership with
Russia and China, and for example, this had the ridiculous effect
that some European newspapers would say, “he can’t even read the
paper, because he said things which are different than in the
report.” And I think it reflects the fact that the faction fight
in the Trump administration is far from being over, that there is
still the effort by the neo-cons and by leftovers of previous
administrations, in various aspects of this administration, which
expressed themselves in this report. And Trump, who after all
had a very successful state visit to China a little while ago and
who has talked successfully on the telephone with Putin in the
last week, defeating a terrorist attack which was planned for St.
Petersburg and similar very productive things; so I think Trump
still has the inclination that he wants to work with Russia and
China.
But I think if you look at the very sharp, extremely sharp
reactions coming from the Russian Foreign Ministry, from Peskov,
the spokesman of the Kremlin, from {Global Times}, from the
Chinese Foreign Ministry, from the Chinese Embassy in

Washington,
they all basically say this doctrine reflects an outmoded kind of
thinking; they point to the fact that there is a completely new
era shaping especially the West Pacific, because in this paper,
there are six regions, one of them being the western or eastern
Pacific, and obviously this is one of the areas which is
completely changed through the Belt and Road Initiative, where
all the countries in the region are cooperating with China in a
“win-win” cooperation to the mutual benefit of each of them; and
that therefore, and since the offer was made many times to the
United States, and to Europe to cooperate with the Belt and Road
Initiative, there is actually no reason to go into such an
adversarial position. The Russians basically called it an
“imperial document,” insist it still reflects the desire to still
insist on a unipolar world, which is long gone, so it’s a
completely futile effort. And the Chinese also were extremely
critical and saying this is an “outmoded way of thinking” and
cannot lead to anything positive.
But it shows you that the world is very far from being out
of danger zones, and I’m normally giving credit to Trump because
unlike his predecessors, Bush and Obama, he has stretched out his
hand to Russia and China, and he still has the potential to move
the world into a different direction. But nevertheless, when he
does something which I’m not so happy about, I also take the
liberty to say soâ¦.

But I think we are in one of these areas, and one of the commentaries in one Chinese paper said, that there are many different conceptions how the future of mankind should be shaped, and that is not yet a settled question. And I think that that is absolutely true, but that is why it is so absolutely important to overcome this geopolitical view which has the idea that you have groups of countries, or one country which has a legitimate interest against the others, I mean, that is the kind of thinking which led to two world wars in the 20th Century, and I think it should be obvious to anybody, that in the age of thermonuclear weapons, that thinking can only lead to the possible annihilation of the human species: We should get rid of it.

OGDEN: So, as you just heard Helga Zepp-LaRouche say, we are in the midst of a continued battle over really what will be the soul of this Presidency. This national security study report reflects a very bad and failed geopolitical mode of thinking. Those who are the authors of that represent a leftover aspect of this kind of neo-con approach to the world which has gotten us into endless wars, and has really brought us to the brink of a possible world war conflict between the United States and Russia, or the United States and China. In fact, we need to embrace the new "win-win" paradigm of thinking, as Helga Zepp-LaRouche just said. On that note, there is a continued development on the

front of this battling against this attempted coup against this
Presidency, and to try to create the conditions where President
Trump can remain true to what is clearly his personal commitment
to a positive relationship between the United States and Russia,
and the United States and China, to solve the world's problems.
To take problems which are common problems to the entire world –
terrorism, economic crises, other things such as that – and to
work together in a great powers relationship to resolve those
problems.
Now, a couple of updates on the continued unravelling of the
so-called "Mueller-gate" as we continue to see that there was
really, as it's been characterized, a fifth column inside this
apparatus; who really before Trump was elected, already had made
it clear through those text messages from Peter Strzok and others
for example, that they were completely opposed to the election of
Donald Trump and politically biased beyond hope. But then have
allowed that political bias to be continued in after his
election, and even after his inauguration to try to bring down
this Presidency from the inside. More and more people are now
beginning to see that there was an actual collusion between the
intelligence agencies and the Obama administration and the
Clinton campaign to try and set this thing in motion. That has
continued to operate. Here is an article from a news publication
called {The Tablet} magazine. The title of this article is

“Did President Obama Read the Steele Dossier in the White House Last August?” The question that they have is a very legitimate question. The beginning of this article reads as follows, and I think it raises some very important aspects of exactly how this collusion operation worked. Here’s the beginning of the article.

It says:

“To date the investigation into the Fusion GPS-manufactured collusion scandal has focused largely on the firm itself, its allies in the press, as well as contacts in the Department of Justice and FBI. However, if a sitting president used the instruments of state, including the intelligence community, to disseminate and legitimize a piece of paid opposition research in order to first obtain warrants to spy on the other partyâs campaign, and then to de-legitimize the results of an election once the other partyâs candidate won, weâre looking at a scandal that dwarfs Watergate – a story not about a bad man in the White House, but about the subversion of key security institutions that are charged with protecting core elements of our democratic process while operating largely in the shadowsâ¦.

“Understanding the origins of the ‘Steele dossier’ is especially important because of what it tells us about the nature and the workings of what its supporters would hopefully describe as an ongoing campaign to remove the elected president of the United States. Yet the involvement of sitting intelligence officials – and a sitting president – in such a campaign should

be a frightening thought even to people who despise Trump and oppose every single one of his policies, especially in an age where the possibilities for such abuses have been multiplied by the power of secret courts, wide-spectrum surveillance, and the centralized creation and control of story-lines that live on social media while being fed from inside protected nodes of the federal bureaucracy."

Then the story goes on, using public-source documentation to link together this entire apparatus going all the way back to the origins of the Steele dossier. But this question – Was a sitting President involved using his intelligence agencies to try to bring down a political opponent? That is a story that rises to the level of Watergate and beyond. What Helga Zepp-LaRouche has pointed out, is that this entire thing – that as an example – the questions are now being asked; including by members of the United States Senate and United States House. Devin Nunes, Grassley, Trey Gowdy, Jim Jordan. And she acknowledges that there has been a full mobilization of activists here in the United States to distribute this Mueller dossier that's been circulated in the Congressional offices and the Senate offices.

There's been very in-depth interest from the relevant people involved in this counter investigation into what's contained in this dossier. As Helga Zepp-LaRouche said in her webcast yesterday, "The tide is now beginning to turn."

So, let me play another short excerpt from Helga Zepp-LaRouche's webcast from yesterday:

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: There are rumors circulating that Trump may come out with a "Christmas surprise." Now if that would happen, it would be an interesting thing, and it obviously would be somebody to investigate this whole complex in the form of a special investigator. But I think also, already now, these Congressmen and Senators you mentioned, Nunes, Grassley in the Senate, Gowdy, and Gaetz, and various others, I think they're quite fired up already about what they're finding.

And even the media are not entirely covering it up any more. There was a quite good article in Denmark, in the conservative daily {Berlingske Tidende}, which said: Obama bureaucrats conspired to prevent the election of Trump and after that failed they're trying to topple him; and then they go through the whole story of who are the culprits. So it is coming out. Even the [major German daily] {FAZ} could not avoid reporting it, even though, in their typical way, they tried to downplay it and say, all these people who say "Deep State," these are conspiracy theorists, and so on. But the truth is coming out.

Now, we in the United States that is, our colleagues from LaRouche PAC, they made a full mobilization with a lot of activists; they distributed the dossier about Mueller in all the Congressional offices and all the Senate offices, and as they were saying they had many in-depth discussions where the interest about what is happening has been increasingly there. Because it seems that some people in the Congress realize that what's at stake is the Constitution of the United States. Congress has oversight rights against the intelligence agencies, and if these

agencies are loyal to a previous administration who was involved in such incredible schemes, they are aware of the fact that if they don't act right now, then you can throw the Constitution of the United States in the wastepaper basket.
But I think it will require a continuous effort and mobilization, because these people are quite desperate. Because they see that their whole system is coming down, and if this investigation continues, I mean, there were several people who said what was done by the Department of Justice, or some people in it and in the FBI, were felonies. So they are trying to twist the situation to avoid the consequences of their doing, but I think it's reaching a very, very serious point where the tide is turning already. But it is a fight, so stay tuned with us, and don't be complacent, don't eat too many cookies over Christmas:
Stay tuned and stay mobilized.

OGDEN: Well, as Helga LaRouche said, the tide is indeed turning, and we're seeing evidence of that. But the sense of urgency has to be there. Over this next 40 days, through the holiday period, all the way up to this State of the Union, the fight to protect the constitutionality of the US Presidency and the integrity of that, is definitely something which is continuing to rage. However, at the same time, we have to continue to have a sense of urgency around the fight for the economic program. The positive economic solutions to the crisis that we face, which is this Four Economic Laws campaign. To bring the United States into this New Paradigm of development.

That sense of urgency for a victory on that Four Economic Laws package came into stark perspective again this week with this horrific tragedy, this horrific train derailment that occurred up near Tacoma, Washington. The Amtrak train that jumped the tracks and came over the bridge and onto the I-5 interstate below. An absolutely horrific tragedy. President Trump actually responded quite properly to that horrible accident by issuing the following tweet. As you can see on the screen here, he said "The train accident that just occurred in Dupont Washington shows more than ever why our soon-to-be-submitted infrastructure plan must be approved quickly. $7 trillion spent in the Middle East, while our roads, bridges, tunnels, railways and more crumble. Not for long."

Indeed, this brings the attention to the necessity for a massive infrastructure plan. And as President Trump said all the way back to the beginning of his administration, he's called for a $1 trillion infrastructure plan. Now, we don't know what that infrastructure policy will be once it's finally submitted, and once it finally becomes public. We don't know what kind of funding mechanisms the Trump White House is thinking about; we don't know what kind of form that's going to take. But the form that it must take is the form that's contained in those Four Economic Laws by Lyndon LaRouche. There can be no variation, there can be no compromise. We need to have an immediate Glass-Steagall reorganization in order to erect a firewall between productive credit that should be going into

infrastructure and productive employment, and speculative gambling that takes place on Wall Street. But we need to have a national bank; we need to go back to what Hamilton originally conceived when he created the first national bank. And we can apply it in the way that Hamilton did, or we can apply it in the way that Franklin Roosevelt did. He had an idea for a national infrastructure bank. But you need to have this kind of direct Federal credit that is directed into these projects and into productive employment.

Unfortunately, we haven't seen anything from President Trump in now almost a year, even though he's professed that his number one agenda item was infrastructure. According to some accounts, the reason why President Trump won the Rust Belt was because of his commitment to infrastructure. These areas of the country where infrastructure has been crumbling, responded to what President Trump was talking about with $1 trillion of infrastructure investment. However, under the current situation, first President Trump's attention was completely focussed on repealing Obamacare; now it's completely focussed on the so-called tax reform package, which has done nothing. It's done nothing but continue to delay the follow-through on President Trump's stated, professed agenda of $1 trillion for infrastructure investment. It's also, by the way incidentally, set the stage for Paul Ryan and others of that ideological bent, to admit that they're already setting things in motion to come right on the heels of the so-called tax reform package with major

cuts to Social Security and Medicare and Medicaid – so-called "entitlement reform".

But this is a distraction. This so-called GOP agenda is a distraction and we must stay focussed on exactly what the agenda must be. And it's these Four Economic Laws. As Helga LaRouche said in her webcast yesterday, she was asked directly by the moderator what her reaction was to this so-called tax reform package. She stated unequivocally that this much ballyhooed tax bill will do nothing without the full package of Glass-Steagall, national banking, and the rest of the Four Economic Laws. So, I'd like to actually play for you in her own words what Helga Zepp-LaRouche had to say yesterday in response to this tax reform bill during her webcast. Here's Helga Zepp-LaRouche:

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: obviously, this is celebrated as the first big victory of President Trump. I don't think it will solve anything, if you don't put it in the package of other measures, like for example Glass-Steagall, a credit system, like Roosevelt's Reconstruction Finance Corp. or like the National Bank of Alexander Hamilton; and basically ending the speculation in the derivatives sector. If you only lower the taxes under these circumstances without curbing the other factors I just mentioned, what it probably will do, it will attract some investment in the United States for sure. But people in Germany already say, "well, we have to protect ourselves, take countermeasures against it," so it will lead to an increased tension internationally; and probably in the United States, the present big corporations and banks will just use these tax

cuts
to invest more in the stock market, in buying up their own shares, what they have been doing since the crisis of 2008 with
quantitative easing and the zero-interest-rate policy. And I think one reason why this is to be feared is Jamie Dimon, for example, laughed, and said: This is wonderful, this is quantitative easing four.
I think it just requires a continuation of our mobilization. I know our colleagues in the United States from LaRouche PAC, they have produced a new pamphlet with the demand to implement the Four Laws of my husband, of Lyndon LaRouche, and why the United States must join with China in building the New Silk Road,
both domestically and internationally. This pamphlet
["LaRouche's Four Laws & America's Future on the New Silk Road"]
is out. I would encourage you, our viewers and listeners to get
ahold of this document: Read it, because it has all the solutions, what are the correct economic conceptions for the United States and the rest of the world to get out of this present crisis.
This is all extremely urgent, because we could have a meltdown of the system any minute. And just to mention it briefly, this bitcoin mania which is going on, is really a reminder of the Tulip Bubble [in 1637] before it burst. China has recognized that danger, they're basically banning speculation
in bitcoins. And all of these crazinesses make just clear, the
urgent need to implement Glass-Steagall, and the entire Four Laws
of Mr. LaRouche, which especially includes a massive increase in
the productivity of the workforce through a crash program in fusion technology, in space cooperation, in high-tech

investments in general; and unless that is done, including high-technology infrastructure — and the recent Amtrak accident in Washington State just underlines that this absolutely is necessary — unless this is all done as a package, I don't think the world will get out of this crisis.

OGDEN: So, as you just heard Helga Zepp-LaRouche state, we have in fact published a new pamphlet. This is LaRouche PAC's newest pamphlet, called "The Four Economic Laws: The Physical Economic Principles To Create a Recovery in the United States. America's Future on the New Silk Road". This is available both in print form and in digital form; it's on the LaRouche PAC website. You can see the front cover there, also the back cover which has got a map of some of the key nodal points of the connectivity of the planet through this idea of a World Land-Bridge. This is what would happen if the United States were to join the New Silk Road. Then, there listed in summary form, are the Lyndon LaRouche's Four Economic Laws. So, the contents of that pamphlet, as LaRouche said, absolutely must be studied; must be emulated by the citizens of the United States; and must be made the policy of the United States Presidency. That's in fact how we started this program with the 40-day countdown to President Trump's State of the Union address on January 30th. As you heard, there is a battle which is raging for the soul of this Presidency. The role that the LaRouche movement is playing is indispensable. We have not achieved victory yet. We

have very clear indications that victory is close at hand on many fronts, and that victory is indeed attainable. But it must be viewed from the highest possible standpoint; not just piecemeal victories here and there. We have to view this from the standpoint of a total policy shift in terms of how the United States sees itself in the world. We have to abandon geopolitics; we have to embrace the new paradigm of "win-win" relationships between countries. We have to return to the Hamiltonian principles of economics – credit creation for high technology investment. And we have to join the New Silk Road. This is our job over the next 40 days; and we can take encouragement from the standpoint of the fact that indeed, we have absolutely gained major victories in the past period. Both in terms of the victories against this attempted coup against the Presidency of the United States, but also victories in terms of securing the New Paradigm abroad. We should take a look at what President Xi Jinping said in that speech to the United Nations General Assembly, and continue to keep that vision in mind. In fact, we should continue to go back to what Lyndon LaRouche himself said in 2005 in that historic document, {Earth's Next Fifty Years}. That's our mission. We have 40 days between now and the State of the Union. With the new pamphlet that's just been issued – that "The Four Economic Laws: The Physical Economic Principles To Create a Recovery in the United States. America's Future on the New Silk Road" – we have everything that we need to gain a victory over the course of the next 40 days.

So, thank you very much for watching, and please stay tuned

to larouchepac.com. We wish you a Merry Christmas, and we will
continue to be bringing you breaking developments over the coming
days. Thank you very much, and please stay tuned. Good night.

Danske Berlingske Tidende offentliggør historien, »Lagde Obamas embedsmænd skumle planer mod Trump?«

København, 20. dec., 2017 – I en kronik i *Berlingske Tidende* rapporterer journalist Bent Blüdnikow, tilhænger af Trump og Netanyahu, om de seneste skandaler om Robert Mueller, Peter Strzok og Bruce Ohr. Indledningen lyder: »Sensationelle nye afsløringer i USA tyder på, at præsident Obamas ledende embedsmænd i FBI og Justitsministeriet lagde planer, så Trump ikke skulle vælges og han derefter skulle væltes med en slibrig rapport«.

Efter at have refereret til det skumle dossier, skrevet af Christopher Steele og betalt af Fusion GPS, og til, at der ikke fandtes noget bevis for disse beskyldninger, skriver Blüdnikow:

»Nu synes oplysninger at vise, at Steele-rapporten alligevel blev benyttet af Obamas venner i FBI og Justitsministeriet til at iværksætte aflytning af Trump og hans folk. De nye oplysninger er nok til, at vigtige borgerlige medier mener, at Obamas embedsfolk både før og efter valget af Trump

modarbejdede ham. Der er en voksende fornemmelse af, at en Trump-opposition eksisterede både i FBI og Justitsministeriet, og at den undersøgelse, som Robert Mueller leder for at klarlægge, om Trump-staben havde intriger gående med Rusland, er politisk inficeret.«

Efter at citere amerikanske mediekilder, fortsætter han, »Sager myldrer frem, der synes at vise, at Trump skulle standses og Hillary Clintons sag fremmes«. Blüdnikow rapporterer om de seneste afsløringer omkring FBI's Peter Strzok: at han var central i undersøgelserne af Hillary og Trump; var inde over Steele-rapporten og var den, der ændrede ordlyden af Hillarys e-mails, så hun ikke blev kriminelt tiltalt for sin skødesløshed. Han citerer dernæst Strzoks tekstbeskeder med Lisa Page, og han ender med at citere, at »Mistanken er, at Strzok med sine stærke antipatier også var den, der fremmede Steele-rapporten og sørgede for, at Hillary ikke blev tiltalt«.

Næste afsnit handler om, at Muellers kommission har fået problemer, fordi mange af dens jurister har vist sig at være økonomiske bidragsydere til Hillarys kampagne, inkl. Andrew Weismann, tidligere ansat i Justitsministeriet, der på ukorrekt vis støttede den fungerende justitsminister Sally Yates' afvisning af at gennemføre Trumps immigrationsforbud fra visse lande.

Så er der historierne om Bruce og Nellie Ohr: at Bruce Ohr var en gammel ven til Christopher Steele, og at de havde møder, før dossieret blev en del af FBI's efterforskning. Nellie Ohr arbejdede for Fusion GPS, der betalte for rapporten. »Mistanken er, at Steele-rapporten, som altså spillede en mulig afgørende rolle for FBI's og Justitsministeriets mistanke mod Trump og hans stab, blev fremmet af medarbejdere, der modarbejdede Trump.«

»Disse og andre sager har fået borgerlige medier til at kræve en kritisk granskning af FBI, Justitsministeriet og Muellers

kommission.« Kronikken slutter med relevante citater fra *Wall St. Journal* og *National Review*.

Muellers og Londons undergravende virksomhed er i vanskeligheder – Dette er en tid, hvor udviklingerne hastigt kommer frem for dagens lys

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 19. dec., 2017 – I Washington, D.C., i dag, uddelte et hold af frivillige et trykt eksemplar af LaRouche PAC's Særlige Undersøgende Rapport, »Robert Mueller er en umoralsk, juridisk morder: Han vil gøre sit job, hvis I giver ham lov«, til alle 100 senator-kontorer på Capitol Hill og relevante komiteer. Dette sker midt i en bølge af afhøringer og erklæringer i Kongressen om, hvorvidt et netværk mellem Justitsministeriet, FBI og britisk efterretning er skyldigt og hen over de seneste par år har handlet for at forsøge at ødelægge Donald Trumps kandidatur, og i særdeleshed hans præsidentskab, og for at forhindre ethvert initiativ generelt for, at USA kan have produktive udenrigsrelationer med Rusland, Kina og andre for at skabe en dybtgående stigning i den amerikanske økonomi.

Andrew McCabe. Denne FBI-vicedirektør er fremtrædende blandt dem, der undergraver. I dag blev han tilsagt til møde for

Husets Efterretningskomite for at stå til ansvar for sine mange forseelser i løbet af det seneste år. Senator Charles Grassley (R-IA), formand for Senatets Juridiske Komite, sagde i går meget ligefremt, at McCabe burde gå: »han burde blive skiftet ud«. Grassley sagde, dette var et job for FBI-direktør Christopher Wray.

Debbie Wasserman Schultz. Dette kongresmedlem (D-FL) var leder af Demokraternes Nationalkomite (DNC) i den periode, hvor DNC kommissionerede det Washington, D.C.-baserede firma, Fusion GPS, til at smide skidt på Trump, hvilket job blev givet til »eks«-britisk efterretningsagent, Christopher Steele. Wasserman Schultz blev tilsagt til at stå til ansvar for dette i går, for Husets Efterretningskomite.

Michael Sussman. Denne advokat handlede for at foretage DNC-betalinger til firmaet Fusion GPS, der igen betalte den London-baserede Steele for det beskidte dossier, han forfattede. Sussman var tilsagt til møde for Husets Efterretningskomite i går, for at besvare spørgsmål.

Bruce Ohr. Denne degraderede, tidligere associerede vicejustitsminister udførte operationer sammen med briterne og Fusion GPS, udover, at hans hustru også arbejdede for dette firma i 2016. Hans fremmøde for Senatets Efterretningskomite er netop blevet udsat fra i går og til senere på ugen, fordi Komiteen netop er kommet i besiddelse af nye, relevant beviser. Og der er endnu flere, mindre betydningsfulde vidner.

Vi befinder os på et stadium, hvor mønstret af ulovlige, umoralske handlinger er åbenlyst. Selv i Europa bliver dette nu dækket. Den danske avis, *Berlingske Tidende*, havde i denne uge en artikel om skandalen, med overskriften, »Lagde Obamas embedsmænd skumle planer mod Trump?«; og med indledningen, »Sensationelle nye afsløringer i USA tyder på, at præsident Obamas ledende embedsmænd i FBI og Justitsministeriet lagde planer, så Trump ikke skulle vælges og han derefter skulle væltes med en slibrig rapport«.

For USA, er det selve den forfatningsmæssige regering, der står på spil. Dette er en tid, hvor udviklingerne hastigt kommer frem for dagens lys, og hvor mange af disse afsløringer er blevet iværksat af LaRouche-bevægelsen og kan bruges til endelig at stoppe de fjendtlige operationer og bane vejen for at afslutte den gamle æra med økonomisk nedgang og geopolitik.

I dag kom der erklæringer fra Rusland og Kina om at gøre netop dette, i respons til Det Hvide Hus' offentliggørelse i går af den nye »Nationale Sikkerhedsstrategi« (som var kommissioneret af Kongressen). Det 68 sider lange dokument er for det meste opvarmede formuleringer fra det Gamle Paradigme, og som, som det erklærer, fremlægger måder, hvorpå USA kan »lykkes i det 21. århundredes geopolitiske konkurrence«.

Den kinesiske ambassade i Washington, D.C. opfordrede USA til at opgive en sådan »forældet tankegang«. Win-win-relationer er dagens orden. »Den kinesiske side er villig til at have fredelig sameksistens med alle lande i verden, inklusive USA, på basis af gensidig respekt.«

I dag kom der 10.000 eksemplarer frisk fra pressen, af LaRouche PAC's rapport om politikken, »LaRouches Fire Love: De fysisk-økonomiske principper for USA's økonomiske genrejsning – Amerikas fremtid på den Nye Silkevej«.

(Rettelse: Til abonnenter af *Alert:* Henvisningen i mandagens *Alert* til NDI (National Democratic Institute) var forkert; der skulle have stået, DNI (Director of National Intelligence, dvs., Clapper).

Foto: Tidligere præsident George W. Bush taler til medierne under en rundtur i FBI's Hovedkvarter sammen med tidligere direktør Robert Mueller (venstre) og tidligere justitsminister John Ashcroft. 2. sep., 2001.

Besejr Mueller-kuppet: ’Porten står åben, så gør det’

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 18. dec., 2017 – Med den særlig anklager Robert Mueller, der af en førende juraprofessor i dag er blevet sammenlignet med J. Edgar Hoover – med overtrædelse af det Fjerde Forfatningstillæg og det privilegerede advokat-klientforhold i sin stræben efter at få ram på præsidenten – er tilstanden i efterretningssamfundets kupforsøg mod Trump ved at falde fra hinanden.

Hoover afpressede notorisk præsidenter i et forsøg på at kontrollere dem og afsætte dem, om nødvendigt. Mueller er en »juridisk morder« i et to år langt fremstød, »Stop Trump«, på vegne af britisk og amerikansk efterretning og sikkerhedstjenester. Men, tidevandet er ved at vende. På nuværende tidspunkt kunne afskedigelsen af blot nok et forudindtaget medlem af Muellers team bringe hans ryggesløse operation til fald. Denne kamp kan vindes på kort sigt, under jule- og nytårsferien.

Den storstilede cirkulering af LaRouche PAC’s dossier, »Robert Mueller er en umoralsk, juridisk morder; Han vil gøre sit job, hvis I giver ham lov«, har været afgørende for at nå til dette punkt. Med yderigere 10.000 eksemplarer ude og endnu flere kongresmedlemmer, der går ind i kampen, kan denne heksejagt besejres.

Men i denne kamp er der kun én vinder. Ikke alene står præsidentskabet på spil, men den konstante trussel om et de facto kup kunne også tvinge præsidenten til at forsøge at »gå

på line« for at afværge det, ved at give efter for »fjendtlig oppositionsretorik« mod Kina og Rusland.

Har Kina ikke netop forpligtet sig til mere end $80 mia. i investeringer for at genoplive og genskabe Vest Virginias industrielle økonomi? Potentialet for USA's samarbejde med Kinas Bælte & Vej Initiativ for store infrastrukturprojekter, og for en fuld og hel genoplivelse af NASA's rumforskning sammen med de andre rumfartsnationer, kunne gå tabt. USA kunne gå, ikke ind i et nyt paradigme for økonomisk fremgang, men derimod tilbage ind i Bush' og Obamas krigskonfrontationer med Rusland og Kina.

Det, LaRouche PAC og Schiller Instituttet kan gøre nu, vil blive afgørende. Kongressen må handle for at forsvare den Amerikanske Forfatning og de amerikanske institutioners myndighed mod et sådant »deep state« kup. Men en total mobilisering af de små styrker med sandheden i denne sag som de store våben, vil blive afgørende.

I dag sagde Lyndon LaRouche til medarbejdere, at resultatet af denne kamp i det store og hele er op til dem. »Tal omhyggeligt med folk om, hvad vi må gøre … Dette er et vigtigt øjeblik. Porten står åben. Gør det.«

Foto: Fra venstre: Robert Mueller og J. Edgar Hoover.

Forræderi i FBI og i Muellers 'Få ram på Trump'-team er

afsløret

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 17. dec., 2017 – De forræderiske handlinger, der er begået af ledende personer i FBI, CIA og National Democratic Institute (NDI) under Obama, er nu ubestridelige. Og afsløringen af deres fælles indsats for at gennemføre et statskup imod USA's valgte regering, er nu uigendrivelig.

Netop i den forgangne uge fordømte en tidligere assisterende direktør for selve FBI, James Kallstrom, i flere interviews i medierne, »kliken« omkring James Comey, som brugte FBI til at køre en »femte kolonne for grundlæggende set at fjerne USA's præsidentskab«. Han kaldte Russiagate for en »farce« og påpegede MI6-dossieret i centrum for Russiagate-svindlen og sagde, at, hvis det bekræftes, at dette fupnummer var blevet brugt for at få FISA-domstolen til at vedtage operationerne imod Trump og hans kampagne, og hvis Comey-teamet »vidste, det var falsk information, så er det en alvorlig, alvorlig kriminel handling«.

Kongresmedlem Trey Gowdy fra South Carolina, der den 15. dec. talte om den nuværende FBI-vicedirektør Andrew McCabe, sagde, »Jeg bliver lidt overrasket, hvis han stadig er ansat i FBI samme tid næste uge«. McCabe udeblev fra sin planlagte vidneforklaring for Kongressen i sidste uge, da det blev vist, at hans underordnede, Bruce Ohr, havde konspireret med MI6-agent Christopher Steele og Fusion GPS-holdet, der arbejdede for Hillary Clinton og DNC og betalte Steele for skidtet, og at Ohrs hustru arbejdede på Russia-sagen for Fusion GPS. McCabe er nu tilsagt til at møde for Husets Efterretningskomite på tirsdag. (Gowdy sagde, han ville blive »chokeret«, hvis han dukker op!)

Det kan være nyttigt her at reflektere over FBI's historiske rolle. Så langt tilbage som i 1983, afslørede *EIR* de faktum, at FBI havde brugt kriminelle metoder for at ødelægge resterne

af Franklin Roosevelt-traditionen i det Demokratiske Parti, for at forvandle det til et parti, der kontrolleredes af Wall Street og London ved hjælp af den såkaldte Abscam-operation. *EIR* skrev: »FBI indrømmede at have brugt 1$ mio. til at aflytte [præsident for Teamster-fagforeningen] Roy Williams telefoner og, som i Abscam, forlod sig på vidnesbyrd fra en dømt kriminel for at etablere sin sag. Juryen indrømmede, at vidneforklaringen var 'forvirrende og modsigelsesfuld'. Det politiske formål med retssagen blev klart, da embedsfolk fra Justitsministeriet efter sigende tilbød Williams en betinget dom, hvis han indvilligede i at træde tilbage som præsident for fagforeningen. Som smæk for at nægte dette fik han en dom på 55 år.«

EIR skrev samtidig, »Senator Harrison Williams fra New Jersey … står til en dom på tre år og en bøde på $50.000. Han blev dømt for 'villighed' til at begå en forbrydelse, som FBI's egne, i smug optagede film viser, han ikke begik«. Kongresmedlem Neil Gallagher fra New Jersey, der bekæmpede forbrydelserne, begået af J. Edgar Hoovers drenge i FBI, blev tvunget på lignende vis.

EIR-rapporten fra 1983 påpegede det faktum, at FBI blev oprettet i 1908 med det specifikke formål at skabe et hemmeligt, føderalt politi for at kontrollere Kongressens og vælgerorganisationers politikker i USA, i modstrid med den amerikanske forfatning. »Kongressen blev første offer for Bureauets afpresning, dets falske anklager«, siger artiklen, »som blev udført efter direktion af præsident Theodore Roosevlt og hans justitsminister Charles J. Bonaparte«. Og ingen overraskelse: »New York Times bakkede op om Roosevelts og Bonapartes sag« og skrev, at »Nu er senatorerne blevet behørigt advaret«.

[Se: http://www.larouchepub.com/eiw/public/1983/eirv10n15-19830419/eirv10n15-19830419_058-how_the_fbi_was_set_up_as_a_nati.pdf]

Amerikanere må ligeledes komme til forståelse af den kendsgerning, at Robert Muellers første opgave for London/Wall Street-kliken var at oprette »Få ram på LaRouche«-specialstyrken i 1980'erne, og af samme grund – for at standse LaRouches arbejde, sammen med præsident Ronald Reagan, for at bringe USA og Rusland sammen, baseret på fremme af samarbejde om videnskabelige opdagelser og en afslutning af den britiske imperiesplittelse af verden i krigsførende fraktioner. Pamfletten, der afslører Muellers utallige forbrydelser, »Robert Mueller er en umoralsk, juridisk morder – Han vil gøre sit job, hvis I giver ham lov«, er nu blevet udgivet af LaRouchePAC i andet oplag på 10.000 eksemplarer, og blev i fredags uddelt til hvert eneste Kongreskontor.

Foto: Fra venstre: Obama, Comey, Mueller i Det Hvide Hus.

Russiagate kupforsøg imod Trump i problemer – kommer kupmagerne i fængsel og USA med i Kinas Ny Silkevej? Politisk Orientering og diskussion med

Schiller Instituttets formand Tom Gillesberg den 14. december 2017.

https://soundcloud.com/si_dk/russiagate-kupforsog-imod-trump-i-store-problemer-kommer-kupmagerne-i-stedet-i-faengsel

Tom Gillesberg: »God aften, og velkommen her, ti dage inden juleaften. Jeg tror godt, jeg kan fortælle, at det er ikke alle, der får rare julegaver under juletræet. Én af dem, som nok ville have været vores julegave foruden, det er Robert Mueller; denne særlige anklager i USA. Og beskeden om, at vi lige har genoptrykt i USA 10.000 yderligere kopier af en meget speciel rapport, der hedder, 'Robert Mueller er en umoralsk, juridisk morder: Han vil gøre sit job, hvis du lader ham', er de nok ikke så glade for, fordi det, vi over den sidste over en måned har lykkedes med at gøre med det dossier, som afslører denne Robert Mueller for, hvad han egentlig er, nemlig som sagt en juridisk lejemorder; en person, som på vegne af oligarkiet, på vegne af de globale, private, finansielle interesser, fjerner ubelejlige sten på vejen, som f.eks. lige nu, Donald Trump, der uheldigvis blev valgt til USA's præsident. Men også tidligere, folk som Lyndon LaRouche, der jo i USA og internationalt repræsenterede et alternativ til det globale, umoralske system, man satte op. Jamen, dengang nu for årtier siden, tilbage i 1980'erne, fik Robert Mueller dengang opgaven at fjerne Lyndon LaRouche og hans organisation. Og tilsyneladende var han fremgangsrig, for det lykkedes ham faktisk, på falske anklager, at få kørt en retssag igennem, som satte LaRouche og seks af hans folk bag lås og slå i de næste fem år; og det lykkedes ham også at sætte andre folk i LaRouche-organisationen endnu længere i fængsel, folk, som Rochelle Ascher og Michael Billington fik over 60 års fængsel, som de dog heldigvis ikke fuldt ud kom til at afsone. Så han lykkedes med det, han blev sat til dengang;

fjern LaRouche, og derfor blev han promoveret, derfor kørte han op gennem graderne, derfor blev han tilsat som FBI-chef, hvor han så sad, da 11. september 2001 fandt sted, og hvor han så sad i en position til at dække op, hvad der egentlig var foregået, og sørge for, at ingen af de ansvarlige kræfter bag terrorangrebet 11. september 2001, med over 3.000 døde amerikanere, trods alt; at ingen af de ansvarlige blev stillet til ansvar, alle fik lov til at gå fri, bortset fra dem, der meget belejligt døde i forbindelse med terrorangrebet … «

Hør hele Tom Gillesbergs analyse:

En plan for at besejre et kup og gå med i et nyt paradigme for økonomisk fremskridt

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 13. dec., 2017 – LaRouche Political Action Committee (LPAC) udgiver to dokumenter til massecirkulation for at besejre kuppet mod præsident Trump, og for at realisere – og gå hinsides – de økonomiske politikker, amerikanere troede, han stod for under sidste års valgkampagne.

I dag begyndte uddelingen af det andet masseoplag af dossieret, »Robert Mueller er en umoralsk, juridisk morder: Han vil gøre sit job, hvis I giver ham lov«; det vil blive uddelt i Washington, D.C., og i hele landet, frem til fredag, 15. dec. Det er lykkedes det første masseoplag af dette dossier at rette spotlyset, og heden, mod den politiske

dagsorden for et kupforsøg mod Donald Trump, der indledtes med britisk efterretning, og som bruger Mueller som sit våben. Det afsløres nu, dag efter dag, i kongreshøringer og endda i nogle af de medier, som for blot få uger siden *handlede som en del af dette kup*.

De 10.000 nye dossierer, der er uddelt på tryk, og dossierets virkning på Internettet, må gøre det af med dette kup, inklusive Muellers såkaldte »efterforskning«.

Præsidentens politikker er kendt; han fastslår dem klart og gentagent. De omfatter en hensigt om at samarbejde med Rusland og Kina for at opløse arven efter Bush/Obama om »krige for regimeskifte« og undgå truslen om en konfrontation mellem atommagter.

Men kupmagernes politikker og ekstreme partiskhed mod Trump – med start i britisk MI6-efterretningstjeneste fra 2015 og frem – er blevet dulgt, mens de »ganske enkelt efterforskede russisk indblanding«. Dette hemmelighedskræmmeri er nu blevet sprængt vidt åbent; deres partiskhed er til offentligt skue og må snart komme under formel efterforskning.

Og deres politik – som, igen, starter med britisk efterretning – er en totalt optrappet konfrontation med Rusland og Kina, der endda vil gå så langt som til en atomar konfrontation.

»Efterforskerne« er i virkeligheden et netværk af angloamerikanske spioner – Christopher Steele, Glenn Simpson, FBI's Andrew McCabe, justitsministeriets folk Bruce og Nellie Ohr, Mueller selv og hans »chefefterforsker« Peter Strzok, et al. – der havde anstiftet Obamas hardline anti-russiske politik i årevis, før kandidat og siden præsident Donald Trump blev mål for dem.

Alt imens præsidenten må befries for dette kupforsøg, så vil LaRouche PAC's anden publikation, »Amerikas fremtid på den Nye Silkevej« genoplive potentialet for et »nyt økonomisk paradigme«, som engagerede ham i hans 14 dage lange rejse til

Kina og andre asiatiske nationer. Resultatet heraf var næsten $300 mia. i investeringer i USA og et forpligtende engagement fra kinesernes side til at opbygge industri i Amerikas tredjefattigste stat.

Præsidenten og Kongressen må ubetinget begynde med genindførelsen af Glass/Steagall-loven, før de hyper-gearede amerikanske og europæiske banker atter krakker. Dette var et løfte under Trumps valgkampagne. Gå dernæst med i Kinas Bælte & Vej Initiativ (»Nye Silkevej«) og følg op på kredit fra Kina til store infrastrukturprojekter med kredit fra en Reconstruction Finance Corporation (kreditanstalt for genopbygning) eller en statslig, amerikansk bank efter Hamiltons principper. Infrastrukturbyggeri var Trumps hovedkampagneløfte, men det Republikanske lederskabs gniere har hidtil nedkæmpet hans plan.

Byg højhastigheds-jernbanekorridorer; byg havsluser og reservoirer; byg kernekraftværker og vær ikke afhængig af energi fra gas, som de nu fortrydende briter. Tag til Månen igen, og derfra dybere ind i Solsystemet med en mobilisering som Apolloprogrammet. Trump har atter erklæret, at USA vil gøre dette. Men uden de politikker for kredit og videnskab, som LaRouche forklarer i sine »Fire Love«, og uden samarbejde med Kina, Rusland og andre rumfartsnationer, vil det ikke lykkes.

Brochuren »Amerikas fremtid på den Nye Silkevej« er udgivet for at få disse love gennemført.

Det tegner til en livlig jule- og nytårsferie. Den bliver forhåbentlig god for fred og for menneskehedens fælles fremtid.

Robert Mueller dossier.

Hold jer sejren for øje!

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 11. dec., 2017 – Dette er et enestående øjeblik. Det gamle, geopolitiske paradigme smuldrer, selv om det stadig sparker og er farligt, som det er tilfældet i det aktuelle kaos omkring Jerusalem. Men det nye paradigmes gensidige fordelagtighed vinder hastigt grund; og den potentielle alliance mellem Kina, Rusland og USA betyder et muligt, episk fremskridt for menneskeheden. Vi bør holde os sejren for øje.

I USA hører vi nu hyleri fra imperieslænget i Washington og London om, at deres Mueller/Russiagate-komplot ikke har held til at bringe Trump og det amerikanske præsidentskab til fald. *Washington Post* og Londonavisen *Guardian* stønner, at Robert Mueller og hans team ikke længere er »respekteret« og ikke ses som værende en »legitim myndighed«.

Det, der sker med Robert Mueller, viser »Ibykus-princippet« i kraft, med henvisning til Friedrich Schillers berømte digt, *Ibykus' traner*. I dag påpegede Schiller Instituttets præsident, Helga Zepp-LaRouche, denne kendsgerning. Mueller slap længe godt fra sine forbrydelser – fængslingen af Lyndon LaRouche og hans medarbejdere, medskyldighed og mørklægning af Saudi-Arabiens involvering i 11. september. Men nu kredser Ibykus' traner om ham. Mueller står for fald.

I Sydvestasien er der positive udviklinger, der giver håb for fremtiden, på trods af de af USA- og U.K.-støttede, saudiske grusomheder med angreb på Yemen. I dag var den russiske præsident Vladimir Putin på en russisk flybase i Syrien, hvor han takkede russiske soldater for deres indsats i Syrien og annoncerede, at kontingenter og udstyr nu er beordret hjem. »Vi vil aldrig glemme de dødsfald og tab, vi har lidt i anti-

terrorkampen, både her i Syrien og i Rusland … mindet herom vil give os yderligere styrke til at udrydde denne absolutte ondskab – international terrorisme …« Den syriske præsident Bashar al-Assad takkede personligt Putin for at hjælpe Syrien. Dernæst mødtes Putin i Kairo med den egyptiske præsident Abdel Fattah el-Sisi, hvor økonomiske aftaler blev underskrevet, inklusive aftaler om, at Rusland kunne gå videre med byggeri af kernekraftværket med fire reaktorer på kysten, og om at samarbejde om Suezkanalens industrizone.

Samtidig er regionen i oprør over meddelelsen fra 6. dec. om, at USA vil flytte sin ambassade i Tel Aviv til Jerusalem. Trumps erklæring om dette – som senere blev modereret af udenrigsminister Rex Tillerson, kommer midt i en månedlang hyperaktivitet på vegne af fjernsynsprædikanter, såkaldte kristne, fundamentalistiske netværk, der kræver, at vi »står side om side med Israel«. Disse netværk er gamle kapaciteter for beskidte, britiske operationer, som går årtier tilbage i tiden. Vicepræsident Mike Pence, der er dybt involveret i disse kredse, og USA's FN-ambassadør Nikke Haley, gik ind for, at Trump skulle tage dette provokerende initiativ før Pences planlagte rejse til Israel (17.-18. dec.) og Egypten (19.-20. dec.). Denne rejse – der tidligere var programmeret som en måde til at fremme Trumps ønske om en fredsproces, ligger nu i ruiner, endnu før den er begyndt. Den palæstinensiske præsident Mahmoud Abbas har aflyst et planlagt møde med Pence, og det samme har den egyptiske, koptiske patriark, pave Tawadros II og Egyptens øverste, muslimske klerikale repræsentant, Ahmed Al-Tayeb.

Denne form for handlinger for at skabe konflikt – hvor man sætter Israel op imod Palæstina, jøder op imod muslimer, sunnier op imod shi'iter samt andre geopolitiske spil, er det gamle paradigme i arbejde. Der er ingen mulighed for sikkerhed for nogen – inklusive Israel – i dette system af orkestrerede stridigheder. Den eneste løsning er gennem en ny æra for win-win-relationer mellem nationer, i den »Nye Silkevejsånd«.

Dette forklares på smukkeste vis i Schiller Instituttets nye rapport, »Udvid den Nye Silkevej til Sydvestasien og Afrika: En vision om en økonomisk renæssance (vil snart kunne bestilles i USA).

LaRouche-medarbejdere i USA går nu frem mod målet om at opnå et skifte i politikken frem til 30. januar, 2018, Trumps Tale om nationens tilstand. Som det blev sagt i dag i LaRouche PAC's mandags-opdatering: »50 dage til at forme de næste 50 år«.

Præsident Trumps underskrivelse af »Direktiv 1 for Rumpolitik« under en ceremoni i Det Hvide Hus er et skridt hen imod netop denne fornødne ændring. Vi vil »løfte vort blik mod himlene« og »turde drømme store ting«, erklærede præsidenten.

Foto: Præsident Trump får en pilotjakke fra NASA i Det Hvide Hus, marts, 2017. (Whitehouse Photo)

Særlige anklager Muellers kupmagere i panik: Deres forbrydelser er afsløret

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 6. dec., 2017 – De kræfter i USA, der tjener briternes bestræbelser på at fjerne Donald Trump fra embedet – Obamas efterretningsfolk; Obama/Clinton-demokraterne; samt de neokonservative republikanere – er ved at gå i panik. Deres udvalgte lejemorder til at udføre arbejdet med at ødelægge Trumps præsidentskab, Robert Mueller, vandt sine udmærkelser som chef for »Få ram på LaRouche«-

specialstyrken i 1980'erne, som havde til hensigt at ødelægge den bevægelse, som Lyndon LaRouche har stiftet. På trods af, at han sendte LaRouche og flere af hans medarbejdere i fængsel på falske anklager, mislykkedes for Mueller at ødelægge denne bevægelse, og nu betaler han prisen for sin fiasko. *EIR's* dossier, »Robert Mueller er en umoralsk, juridisk morder: Han vil gøre sit job, hvis I giver ham lov«, har gennemsyret USA's politiske og diplomatiske institutioner og animeret Kongressen og andre til handling for at standse kuppet og har peget direkte på denne forræderiske sammensværgelses britiske arkitekter – MI6's Christopher Steele og andre.

Bestræbelserne på at ødelægge LaRouche og den tilsvarende bestræbelse på at ødelægge Trump, har samme grundlæggende formål – både LaRouche og Trump har til hensigt at afslutte den britiske opsplitning af verden i Øst og Vest, som fastholder verden vaklende på randen af atomkrig mellem USA, og Rusland og Kina. Ligesom LaRouche foreslog en forening af de »Fire Magter« (Rusland, Kina, Indien og USA) for at afslutte Det britiske Imperium og udløse global udvikling, således insisterer Trump også på venskab og samarbejde med Rusland og Kina. Som Trump sagde i sin tale i Beijing: »Der er meget, der forbinder Øst og Vest«. For britiske geopolitikere er dette krigsord.

Men det britiske korthus smuldrer. Mueller-teamet er afsløret som partisankrigere, med deres bidende had til Trump og deres slaviske efterfølgelse af Obama/Hillary-krigspolitikken, der er afsløret i e-mails fra deres egen, beskidte, personlige liv. Og nu er de ved at gå i panik.

Joe Biden har, måske i et forsøg på at bevise sin underdanighed over for Imperiet med det formål at blive valgt til erstatte den mislykkede Hillary Clinton i den næste præsidentvalgkamp, været medforfatter af et psykotisk udfald mod Rusland i *Foreign Affairs* fra 5. dec., kvartalsmagasinet for den britiske imperieforpost i USA, Rådet for Udenrigsanliggender. Med titlen, »Hvordan vi hævder os over

for Kreml – At forsvare demokrati mod dets fjender«, siger Biden, at USA må »afskrække russisk militær aggression«, gennemføre tankepoliti for at »rykke russisk misinformation og underminering op med rode og finde måder for myndigheder til at samarbejde med den private sektor for at modgå denne indblanding«. De onde russere, himler han op med en lidenskab à la McCarthy, har »med succes sammensmeltet organiserede kriminelle grupper, efterretningstjenester og korrupte erhvervsfolk« (det lyder virkelig som en beskrivelse af Obama/Bush-regimerne i USA). Han tilføjer, at Trump har nægtet at tro på (løgnen om), at Rusland stjal valget, »selv efter, han var blevet briefet af efterretningstopfolk« – hvor han her naturligvis refererer til forbryderne Clapper, Brennan og Comey.

I mainstream-medierne kommer der nu voksende krav om Muellers afsættelse – stanken er for stærk til at fortsætte mørklægningen.

Endnu et tegn på sindssyge ramte Kongressalen i dag, da demokrat fra Texas, Al Green, fremsatte lovforslag om at stille præsident Trump for en rigsret (impeachment). Forslaget blev hurtigt nedstemt, men 58 kongresmedlemmer afslørede sig selv ved at stemme for Greens forslag.

Det, som briterne mest frygter, er, at den amerikanske og europæiske befolkning vil opsnappe ånden af den Nye Silkevej, det nye paradigme, der nu gennemføres i næsten alle andre dele af verden gennem reelle, fysiske udviklingsprojekter, der anføres af Kina og Rusland. I hele Afrika, Latinamerika og Asien er nationer, der i århundreder er blevet holdt i et limbo af kolonial og post-kolonial kontrol, nu hastigt ved at blive transformeret til at blive moderne industrinationer, hvor deres befolkninger kan undfly fattigdom og får håb, ligesom Kina har transformeret sig selv på tredive korte år. Faktisk er denne ånd i færd med at vække nationer og borgere selv i Europa og USA, især i kølvandet på Trumps højst succesfulde møde med Xi Jinping, og efter kinesernes

forpligtelse til at investere $283 mia. i genopbygning af den forfaldne, amerikanske infrastruktur og industrielle kapacitet – til en begyndelse.

Der er ikke længere noget, der kan retfærdiggøre, at det økonomiske og kulturelle forfald i Vesten bliver tolereret. De ideer, som Lyndon LaRouche har fremlagt hen over de seneste halvtreds år, bliver nu gennemført og antager en fysisk kraft i verden. Dette er vores tid.

Foto: Den afgående FBI-direktør Robert Mueller (2001 – 2013) i ærbødig underdanighed foran præsident Barack Obama. Til højre Obamas udpegede, nye FBI-direktør, James Comey (sep. 2013 – maj 2017).

Tiden er kommet til at begrave Muellers kupforsøg, så USA kan komme med om bord i den Nye Silkevej

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 5. dec., 2017 – Kupforsøget mod Trumps præsidentskab, som orkestreres af den særlige anklager Robert Mueller efter et manuskript fra britisk efterretning, tager vand ind til højre og venstre og er nu i alvorlig fare for helt at synke. I takt med, at der kommer flere og flere beviser frem for dagens lys om den centrale rolle, som spilles af FBI-topagent, og makker til Mueller, Peter Strzok, og om relateret aktivitet fra 'Få ram på Trump'-specialstyrken, der klart har overskredet grænsen fra det blot beskidte og

tvetydige til deciderede forbrydelser mod forfatningen, går det op for Mueller et al., at, hvis det ikke lykkes for dem, vil de snart selv ende i fængsel.

Krav om en afslutning af anti-Trump-heksejagten vokser i medierne, inklusive i et indlæg i dag i *Wall Street Journal*, underskrevet af redaktionen, og som insisterer, at »Offentligheden har ret til at vide, om [britisk efterretnings] Steele-dossier var anledningen til Comeys kulegravning, og om det førte til nærgående aflytning af regeringen«. *WSJ's* redaktører skriver, at de betvivler »hr. Muellers evne til at gennemføre en fair og troværdig kulegravning af FBI's anselige andel i Rusland-Trump-dramaet«.

Men, det er ikke nok blot at udskyde Muellers bestræbelser, eller endda alvorligt skade dem. De skal begraves. Til dette formål har *EIR* annonceret, at andet oplag af dets specialrapport, »Robert Mueller er en umoralsk, juridisk morder: Han vil gøre sit job, hvis I giver ham lov«, snarest bliver udgivet.

Den bydende nødvendige grund til dette er at skabe de betingelser, under hvilke USA kan gå sammen med Kina, Rusland og andre nationer i opbygningen af den Nye Silkevej, til fordel og gavn for hele menneskeheden. Som Helga Zepp-LaRouche i samtale med sine medarbejdere i dag sagde:

»Lande, der ikke samarbejder med Bælte & Vej Initiativet, vil blive kørt ud på sidelinjen, til skade for deres egne befolkninger.«

Zepp-LaRouche forklarede, at der er tektoniske skift i gang på globalt plan, med det gamle, transatlantiske finanssystems, med dets spekulation, undergang, og den hastige fremvækst af det nye system, Bælte & Vej Initiativet.

»Der finder en utrolig dynamik sted«, rapporterede Zepp-LaRouche.

»Der finder konferencer sted hver dag, hvor flere lande, flere kræfter, flere foretagender og industrier tilslutter sig dette Nye Paradigme. Den japanske premierminister Abes erklæring om, at Japan vil samarbejde med Bælte & Vej, er virkelig vigtig. Lande, der ikke samarbejder, vil blive kørt ud på sidelinjen, til skade for deres egne befolkninger.

Denne kinesiske politik er ikke imod nogen nation, og den udgør heller ikke på en eller anden måde en konflikt mellem USA og andre lande. Dette her drejer sig om at gå med i fremtiden; om at gå med i et absolut fantastisk perspektiv. Den tidligere rumænske astronaut, Dumitru-Dorin Prunariu, har netop udtalt, at, 'efter Bælte & Vej Initiativet tror jeg, at Kina har et galaktisk initiativ i tankerne'. Dette er absolut tidens ånd.

Vores kampagne fokuserer på Lyndon LaRouches Fire Love, på LaRouches økonomiske metode, på USA's tilslutning til den Nye Silkevej og på USA's samarbejde med andre lande om rumforskning. Og jeg mener, dette er et perfekt tidspunkt til at forvandle hele USA til en optimistisk kampagne. Dette kan blive til den absolut afgørende kraft for hele USA. Tiden er kommet til at forsvare præsidenten, besejre kuppet, besejre Det britiske Imperium og til at gå ind i en fuldstændig ny og fremgangsrig, skøn fremtid. Jeg mener, dette er et vidunderligt perspektiv.«

Lyndon LaRouche, på sin side, opsummerede den strategiske situation og de opgaver, der ligger foran os, på følgende vis:

»Der kan gøres mere. Vi har midlerne til at gøre det. De er i vore hænder. Tag dem, og skab det, der må gøres. Handl på det!«

Foto: Tidligere direktør for FBI, Robert Mueller, besøger Estland, 14. februar, 2012. (USA's Ambassade i Tallinn)

Alle træerne i briternes skov kunne falde

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 4. dec., 2017 – Selv om 'fake news'-pressen og de anti-russiske, politiske kræfter i begge partier fortsætter den daglige polemik imod præsident Trump, så konfronteres heksejagten, anført af den »juridiske morder«, Robert Mueller, for at afsætte præsidenten og bringe den amerikanske regering til fald, med afsløring og eskalerende modangreb fra patriotiske kræfter. Det første, 10.000 eksemplar store oplag af *EIR's* undersøgende rapport, »Robert Mueller er en umoralsk, juridisk morder: Han vil gøre sit job, hvis I giver ham lov«, har gennemtrængt Kongressen og andre nationale, politiske kredse og har en stærk virkning. Den trykkes nu i andet oplag.

I løbet af weekenden truede Husets Efterretningskomite under kongresmedlem Devin Nunes med at bringe anklager om foragt for Kongressen mod både Justitsministeriet og FBI, hvis de ikke fremlagde de længe krævede dokumenter om FBI's rolle i det miskrediterede dossier, der blev udarbejdet af MI6-agent Christopher Steele, og FBI's brug af dette falske dokument til at lancere det juridiske angreb mod præsident Trump.

Mandag morgen forsøgte Justitsministeriet at berolige Nunes ved at annoncere, at de vil give flere af de FBI-agenter, der er involveret i alvorlige forbrydelser med deres kupforsøg mod Trump, lov til at aflægge forklaring for Husets Efterretningskomite. Mens dette skrives, står det ikke klart, om Nunes vil gå frem med sagsanlæg for foragt og forlange fuld overholdelse fra de slyngelagtige efterretningsfolks side, under Kongressens forfatningsmæssige mandat til at føre tilsyn.

Bestræbelsen på at give russisk indblanding i valget skylden for Trumps valgsejr er blevet udslettet: af Trump selv, der holdt fast ved nødvendigheden af at arbejde sammen med Rusland på venskabelig basis for at bekæmpe terrorisme i Syrien og andre steder; af førende eksperter i forfatningen, som Alan Dershowitz, der har vist, at Trump har handlet fuldstændigt inden for rammerne af præsidentskabets forfatningsmæssige myndighed; og af Veteran Intelligence Professionals for Sanity (VIPS), der beviste, at der ikke fandt nogen hacking sted af det Demokratiske Partis computere, hverken fra Ruslands eller nogen andens side.

Men, der ligger en sårbarhed i præsident Trumps vision. Selv om han er forpligtende engageret over for en genopbygning af amerikansk infrastruktur og industrielle kapacitet, og over for at arbejde sammen med Kina og andre om global udvikling, så har han hidtil accepteret myten om de tårnhøje aktiemarkedsværdier som et tegn på fremskridt snarere end en farlig, spekulativ boble, der ikke kan opretholdes, og hvis kollaps er uundgåelig. I hele Europa og Amerika ringer bankerne selv med alarmklokkerne i panik (se advarslerne fra Bundesbank og Den internatonale Betalingsbank, BIS), men har ingen idé om, hvordan de skal løse problemet.

Der er kun én redningsbåd – genindførelsen af politikker i Hamiltons tradition (det Amerikanske Økonomiske System) i USA og Europa, som det fremlægges i LaRouches Fire Love, samtidig med, at Øst og Vest bringes sammen i ånden fra den Nye Silkevej, der anføres af Kina.

Helga Zepp-LaRouche talte på Forum for det 21. Århundredes Maritime Silkevej i Zhuhai, Guangdong-provinsen, den 2. nov., og kaldte sin tale, »Bælte & Vej Initiativet og en dialog mellem kulturer, baseret på deres højere udtryk«. I dette uddrag indfanger hun arten af den nuværende fare og dens nødvendige løsning:

»Geopolitik, ideen om, at en nation eller gruppe af nationer

har ret til at forfølge deres interesse imod en anden gruppe af nationer, har ført til to verdenskrige i det 20. århundrede. Det turde være indlysende for enhver, at, i atomvåbenalderen, kan krig ikke længere være en metode til at løse konflikter, hvis vi som menneskelig art ikke skal frembringe vores egen udslettelse. Menneskeheden adskiller sig fra alle andre, hidtil kendte arter i universet derved, at vi har evnen til skabende fornuft. Dette betyder at vi, til forskel fra dyrene, bevidst kan forandre måden, vi eksisterer på; uophørligt kan opdage nye, universelle principper inden for videnskab og kultur og udvikle en dybere og mere intens kundskab om det fysiske univers, som vi udgør den vigtigste del af. Så det er på en vis måde iflg. lovmæssighed, at menneskeheden ville få ideen om, hvordan man overvinder geopolitik og etablerer et system for selvstyre, der vil garantere menneskehedens langsigtede overlevelse.

Ideen om et »fællesskab for menneskehedens fælles fremtid«, som blev fremlagt af præsident Xi Jinping, udgør netop denne idé. Ved at anbringe begrebet om den ene menneskehed, defineret ud fra standpunktet om vores fælles fremtid, som referencepunkt for, hvordan vi skal tænke på politiske, økonomiske, sociale og kulturelle spørgsmål, har præsident Xi etableret et højere fornuftsgrundlag, en idémæssig basis for en fredsorden for hele planeten.«

Hun understregede, at den amerikanske præsident Donald Trump har taget et lille, men afgørende skridt i denne retning gennem sin historiske Asienrejse. Alt imens han må forsvares mod det britiskstyrede kupforsøg, så må han også af inspirerede borgere blive bevæget til fuldt og helt at gå med i det Nye Paradigme, der nu er inden for rækkevidde.

Foto: Vagtparaden foran Buckingham Palace.

’Nu begynder det alt sammen at give mening’

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 3. dec., 2017 – En udenlandsk fjende af USA – Det britiske Imperiums efterretningstjenester og deres allierede i FBI og på Wall Street – har *de facto* invaderet USA med den erklærede hensigt at iscenesætte et statskup imod den valgte præsident og forfatningen, for at omstøde hans politikker for at opbygge fredeligt samarbejde med Rusland og Kina og marchere verden tilbage, væk fra randen af krig. Briterne kan ikke klare nogen som helst afvigelse fra deres Bush- og Obamaadministrationers krigskurs, fordi overlevelsen af hele deres system med global geopolitik og finansiel udplyndring afhænger af det. Efter præsident Trump blev valgt af det amerikanske folk for at omstøde Obamas politikker og gøre præcis dette, har briterne et al. forsøgt at afsætte ham ved en rigsret og fængsle ham, og giv pokker i sandheden.

Den fremgangsmåde, de anvender, og selv den involverede rollebesætning, er næsten identisk til den »Få ram på LaRouche«-specialstyrke, de deployerede for henved 30 år siden, for uretmæssigt at fængsle Lyndon LaRouche og flere af hans medarbejdere, i et mislykket forsøg på at gøre ham tavs.

Disse enkle kendsgerninger, der i månedsvis har været kendt af LaRouche-bevægelsen og dens tilhængere, og som er blevet cirkuleret vidt og bredt med vores Mueller-dossier (nu i andet oplag), er nu kommet helt frem i offentligheden i løbet af de seneste 72 timer. Som præsident Trump selv tweetede i dag: »Nu begynder det alt sammen at give mening.«

Det er denne internationale kamp, der står på spil, og ikke

noget, der har med »indenrigsspørgsmål«, eller andre følelsesladede emner, hverken til højre eller venstre, at gøre.

Den største trussel mod Det britiske Imperiums greb er det uophørlige fremstød fra Kinas Bælte & Vej Initiativ, der trækker den ene nation efter den anden ind i sit kredsløb, såvel som også den enestående rolle, som LaRouche-bevægelsen spiller i denne proces – som det endnu engang reflekteredes i Helga Zepp-LaRouches deltagelse som hovedtaler på konferencen den 29. nov. i Zhuhai, Guangdong, Kina, *Forum for det 21. Århundredes Maritime Silkevej.*

Et typisk eksempel på den hastigt skiftende, globale dynamik er de kommentarer, som formanden for Bank of China, Chen Siqing, kom med i en tale, han holdt den 2. dec. på et forum i Rom, »Den Tredje Middelhavsdialog«:

»Bælte & Vej Initiativet har skabt en ny platform for internationalt samarbejde … Middelhavsområdet har en unik beliggenhed af stor strategisk betydning, på korsvejen for Silkevejen over land og den maritime Silkevej … I fremtiden bør vi accelerere samarbejdet omkring industriel kapacitet med lande, der ligger langs Bælte & Vej, og fremme regional udvikling og fredelig sameksistens mellem folkeslagene.«

Denne fremtid er nu, og den inkluderer USA. Den inkluderer ikke Det britiske Imperium.

Foto: Præsident Donald J. Trump deltager i APEC-topmødet. 11. nov., 2017.

Trumps udfordring i Asien er hans økonomi derhjemme; Kinas Bælte & Vej tilbyder vejen til en løsning

Sidste nyt: 5. nov., 2017: Et forsøg på at køre præsident Donald Trumps forestående møder med Kinas præsident Xi, Ruslands præsident Putin og andre ledere, af sporet, blev søndag lanceret i tyske og britiske medier. Disse medier rapporterer, at handelsminister Wilbur Ross, der ledsager præsidenten til Asien, er under russisk indflydelse, baseret på en indirekte investering i et shippingfirma på Marshall-øerne. Den underliggende rapport er tydeligvis blevet udarbejdet forud for rejsen og er udkommet fra International Consortium of Investigative Journalists.

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 5. nov., 2017 – Præsident Donald Trump blev den 4. nov. hårdt presset af interviewer fra Fox News, Laura Ingraham, om krav om strategisk adgang til Kinas finansielle markeder, store handelskoncessioner, bekendelser om at »stjæle intellektuel ejendom«, og så videre, og så videre, når han skal mødes med Kinas præsident Xi Jinping. Det skal især bemærkes, at Trump svarede, at han *ikke* tog til Asien for at stille disse krav, fordi hans store interesse ligger i at løse atomvåbenkrisen omkring Nordkorea, og fordi han har en særdeles god arbejdsrelation med præsident Xi.

I nattens løb den 4. nov., mens han fløj fra Hawaii til Japan, bekræftede præsident Trump, at han skal have et tredje møde med den russiske præsident Putin i forbindelse med APEC-topmødet i Da Nang, Vietnam.

Præcis, som han har gjort, siden før han blev valgt, trodser Trump atter Londons og Wall Streets politik med hensyn til

Kina og Rusland, og det er grunden til, at disse kræfter fortsat indsætter den juridiske morder, Robert Mueller, og britisk efterretning, til at forsøge at tvinge præsidenten ud af embedet.

Tidligere chef for Goldman Sachs og tidligere finansminister Hank Paulson repræsenterede Wall Street og City of London i en kronik i *Washington Post* søndag, hvor han krævede, at, med Xi, »burde Trump være strategisk og insisterende mht. at forsvare Amerikas industrier i fremtiden, i særdeleshed inden for finansielle tjenesteydelser«, og burde insistere på, at Kinas finansmarkeder åbner op for investeringsbank-/hedgefund-modellen. Samme dag udløste Londonavisen *Times* et langt angreb på Putin og Trump, og som hævdede, at det »endelig står fuldstændig klart«, at både Brexit og valget af Trump var indbydes forbundne resultater af den samme, onde indflydelse fra »Putins venner«. (Dette er utvivlsomt også kilden til de transatlantiske økonomiers 10 år lange stagnation!)

Trumps afgørende opposition mod disse 'Rumleskaft-lignende' imperietiltag betyder, at der er et enormt potentiale for »win-win«-samarbejde som resultat af denne Asien-rejse.

Men den virkelige udfordring for Trump er at forstå, hvor stort, behovet for USA's økonomi – for slet ikke at tale om Europas – er for fordelene ved at tilslutte sig Kinas Bælte & Vej Initiativ, og for at forfølge et nyt paradigme for gensidigt fordelagtige, nye infrastrukturprojekter og videnskabelige gennembrud.

Trump tog til Asien, mens han pralede med USA's aktiemarkeder og »2 million nye jobs«; men Amerikas arbejderes reelle lønninger falder fortsat, 90 % af disse jobs er ikke produktive; og den amerikanske arbejdsstyrke holdes i en nedgangstilstand pga. den eksploderende epidemi af narko- og alkoholafhængighed, affødt af afindustrialiseringen.

Og, i kølvandet på de mange katastrofer med orkaner og brande,

fremkommer der nu krav om omgående byggeri af ny infrastruktur til beskyttelse af befolkningen, og som løber op i hundreder af milliarder af dollar i investeringer, der er nødvendige *nu*. Dette er, bortset fra at være nødvendigt for at redde liv og bevare økonomisk produktivitet, det enkeltstående område, hvorfra produktiv, vellønnet beskæftigelse vil komme.

Dette var Trumps valgsignatur, som hidtil ikke er blevet initieret. Den eneste, nye infrastruktur, der foreløbig er blevet lanceret i de orkanramte områder i USA, er en ny kystpipeline i Texas, som finansieres af PowerChina. Linjerne af økonomisk innovation, som Wall Street hævder, Trump må tvinge ned i halsen på Kina, bliver allerede hurtigst forfulgt i Kina, takket være præsident Xi.

Den enkeltstående vej til det, Trump førte kampagne for, er igennem en genindførelse af Glass/Steagall og en nationalbank efter Hamiltons principper således, som det er skitseret af *EIR's* stiftende redaktør, Lyndon LaRouche, og gennem at USA tilslutter sig »Bælte & Vej«-politikken.

Dette er værd at kæmpe for; det inkluderer at lukke Muellers heksejagt ned sådan, som medlemmer af USA's Kongres er begyndt at kræve.

Foto: Præsident Donald J. Trump holder tale på Yokota Flybase, Japan, 5. nov., 2017. (Official White House Photo by Shealah Craighead)

Kupmagerne i vanvittig

aktivitet for at sabotere Trumps rejse til Asien

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 31. okt., 2017 – Med præsident Trump, der forbereder sig til at tage af sted til Asien i denne uge til afgørende møder med Xi Jinping, Shinzo Abe, Rodrigo Duterte, Moon Jae-in og muligvis også Vladimir Putin – møder, der kunne bringe USA direkte ind i overensstemmelse med det nye paradigme for menneskeheden, der vokser frem af Kinas Nye Silkevejsproces – forsøger Det britiske Imperiums lakajer i USA hektisk at miskreditere eller endda anklage præsidenten for at sabotere hans plan om at danne venskabelige og konstruktive relationer med Kina og Rusland.

Den juridiske morder, Robert Muellers anklageskrifter mod Paul Manafort, der i en kort periode var Trumps kampagnemanager i 2016, og mod George Papadopoulos, der sandsynligvis var plantet af briterne i Trumps overgangshold (uden nogen synlig indflydelse), er blevet spredt ud over den nationale presse, som om det var det første stød i at bringe Trump til fald. Trump responderede med tweets og sagde, at anklagerne mod Manafort intet havde at gøre med hverken ham selv eller Rusland og tilføjede, at »kun få mennesker kendte den lavt rangerende, frivillige arbejder ved navn George, der allerede har vist sig at være en løgner«. David Gergen, CNN's kommentator, røbede det hele mandag aften ved at advare Trump om, at han ikke burde foretage denne rejse til Asien, mens han konfronteres med et sådant dødeligt alvorligt angreb. Pladder.

I mellemtiden ruller Kina den røde løber ud for Trumps præsidentielle besøg – det første statslederbesøg siden den historiske KKP's 19. Nationalkongres, der konkretiserede den transformation af verdenshistorien, som blev initieret af Xi Jinpings lancering af det Nye Økonomiske Silkevejsbælte og det 21. Århundredes Maritime Silkevej under sin første femårsperiode.

Spærreilden af angreb i medierne i USA og i den britiske presse – han er en hensynsløs diktator, »den nye Mao« og »den nye Stalin«, blandt andet – kan ikke skjule virkeligheden omkring det utrolige udviklingstempo, internt i Kina og i landene i verdens udviklingssektor, gennem Bælte & Vej Initiativet. Selv IMF og sådanne historiske, britiske bankinstitutioner som HSBC tvinges af virkeligheden til at rapportere den transformation, der finder sted internationalt som følge af Kinas initiativ – om ikke af anden grund, så fordi de ønsker at begrænse det.

Forskellen mellem den to former for fremtid, som konfronterer menneskeheden, er stærk. Xi Jinping fremlagde en vision for Kina og for verden frem til 2050, med Kina, der skal blive en fuldt udviklet nation, mens de samtidig er med til at løfte verdens tidligere koloniserede lande ud af fattigdom, for at blive moderne industrinationer. Han baserer dette på begreberne om et »fællesskab for en fælles skæbne« og en »ny type relationer mellem store magter«. Han støtter fuldt ud præsident Trumps erklærede hensigt om at arbejde tæt sammen med Kina og Rusland i denne nye type stormagtsrelationer, der som sit grundlag har visionen om den Nye Silkevej for en fælles skæbne for fremskridt for alle.

Så har vi den nuværende mangel på vision i Vesten. De britiske imperieinteresser bag kupforsøget mod Trump er de samme City of London- og Wall Street-kræfter, der har drevet de vestlige økonomier ud i industrielt forfald, kollaps af infrastruktur, kernekraftindustriens og vort rumprograms død, en forfærdelig narkoepidemi, massiv arbejdsløshed og voksende rater af fattigdom og selvmord.

Og dog er midlerne til at undgå denne døende kultur i vore hænder. Alt imens den Nye Silkevej er ‘vejen ad hvilken’, så må imidlertid den ondskab, der består i Londons og Wall Streets bankerotte hasardspilsinstitutioner, der har taget kontrollen over de fleste af vore regeringsinstitutioner, ødelægges, hvis vi skal virkeligøre en sådan vision. Franklin

Roosevelt viste, at dette er muligt med sin New Deal, gennemførelsen af Glass-Steagall for at rense skidtet ud på Wall Street og etablere statslig kredit i Hamiltons tradition for at genopbygge nationens infrastruktur og udløse arbejdskraftens produktive evne og videnskabelig udvikling.

På samme måde, som Robert Mueller gennemtvang »Få ram på LaRouche-specialstyrken« i 1980'erne netop, fordi Lyndon LaRouche var spydhovedet for en bevægelse for at opnå denne højere vision for menneskeheden, sådan fungerer Mueller stadig væk i dag på vegne af Imperiet, der hektisk forsøger at bevare verden opsplittet, for at stoppe Trump i at bringe USA ind i samarbejde med Kina og Rusland.

LaRouches Fire Love inkorporerer FDR's fremgangsmåde og tilføjer det presserende nødvendige i at skabe en ny æra med kulturelt og videnskabeligt samarbejde for menneskehedens fremtid. Tiden er ikke til selvtilfredshed. Vejen er klar, og sejr på kort sigt er mulig, og nødvendig, hvis verden skal undgå globalt kaos og global krig.

Foto: Den kinesiske præsident Xi Jinping (2. fra højre) og hans hustru Peng Liyuan (2. fra venstre) stiller op til fotografering sammen med Donald Trump (midten), hans hustru Melania Trump (1. fra højre) og hans datter Ivanka på Mar-a-Lago-feriestedet i Florida, 7. april, 2017. (Xinhua/Lan Hongguang)

Særlige anklager Muellers anklager ændrer

intet: Hans heksejagt mod Trump bygger på et britisk svindelnummer

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 30. okt., 2017 – Præsident Trump står umiddelbar for at rejse til Asien, hvor han vil have betydningsfulde møder om økonomi og sikkerhed med præsident Xi fra Kina, premierminister Abe fra Japan og muligvis med præsident Putin fra Rusland. Hans gentagent erklærede politik er, at USA bør have gode, produktive relationer med disse nationer.

Det betyder, at vi nu befinder os ved det afgørende punkt i afsløringen af historien og anvendelsen af et britisk efterretningsdokument – »Steele-dossieret« – og en juridisk morder – Robert Mueller – for at forsøge at afpresse Trump til at behandle Rusland og Kina som USA's hovedfjender.

Hidtil er det ikke lykkedes denne afpresning, der har sin oprindelse i britisk efterretning og er eskaleret til trusler om afsættelse ved en rigsret, et kup eller endda mord, at forhindre præsidentens plan om at forme samarbejdsrelationer til gensidig fordel med disse store magter. Det er ikke alene fred i Mellemøsten og på Koreahalvøen, der er involveret; men også samarbejde omkring udvikling af store infrastrukturprojekter, rumforskning og nye energikilder, i USA såvel som internationalt.

Tiden er inde til at optrappe ødelæggelsen af det britiske dossier og den juridiske morder.

Robert Muellers første anklager ændrer ikke dette, selv ikke, når disse anklager med overlæg og ulovligt er lækket for at skræmme børnene til Hallowe'en-weekend. Det var ventet; de anklager begivenheder, der fandt sted længe før præsident Trump overhovedet blev kandidat, og som ikke involverer ham;

de udgør forsøg på at »chokere« og intimidere.

Mere sigende er de fortsatte afsløringer om det britiske dossier.

Ikke alene Clintons, men også Obamas politiske komite betalte et Washington-advokatfirma millioner for »juridiske tjenester« (dvs., troværdig benægtelse af en påstand pga. manglende beviser, selv om påstanden er sand), som frembragte et svindelagtigt »dossier«, et opkog af tidligere, falske påstande fra britisk efterretning om Donald Trump og russere. Ikke alene dossieret, men også den dunkle rapport om »hacking af DNC's computere« blev produceret gennem de millioner, som Clinton og Obama betalte dette advokatfirma.

Før dette blev kendt, behandlede Clinton, Obama og de medskyldige medier dette britiske dossier som århundredets rygende pistol. Efter disse afsløringer begyndte at komme frem, begyndte man at kalde dossieret for blot »oppositions-research« – og ingen Obama-konservativ eller Clinton-tilhænger vil sluttelig indrømme, at de ved, de betalte millioner for det!

Men nej: Dette britiske dossier blev brugt af James Clapper, John Brennan og James Comey, alle fra amerikansk efterretning, i et møde den 6. januar med nyvalgte præsident Trump, og de forsøgte at afpresse ham til at føre en antirussisk – og antikinesisk – administration. Det var dette, den forfængelige Comey kaldte sit »J. Edgar Hoover-øjeblik«. Han fortjente at blive fyret for det, som Hoover burde have været fyret af de præsidenter, han afpressede med sine »dossierer«.

Med Trump, der afviste denne afpresning, måtte det britiske dossier nu spredes gennem medierne og førte snart til udnævnelsen af den juridiske morder Mueller for at fjerne Trump og genoprette City of Londons/Wall Streets kontrol over præsidentskabet.

Der vil snart fremkomme flere afsløringer, med Husets

Efterretningskomite, der i dag har fået Fusion GPS' regnskaber, og som snart vil få FBI's »Steele-fil« om, hvordan det britiske dossier blev brugt, og hvem, der i øvrigt betalte, eller blev betalt.

Alt afhænger af, at vi kan nå meget længere ud med *EIR's* eget anti-britiske dossier, »Robert Mueller er en umoralsk, juridisk morder: Han vil gøre sit job, hvis I giver ham lov«.

Den potentielle belønning er et nyt, politisk paradigme, der kommer som resultat af præsidentens rejse til Asien. Så kan en infrastrukturbank i Hamiltons tradition, fælles rumforskning og forcerede programmer for energi, samt en Glass/Steagall-reorganisering af bankerne til fordel for produktion, for alvor komme i gang med arbejdet.

Foto: FBI-direktør Robert Mueller modtager applaus under præsident Barack Obamas bemærkninger i Det Hvide Hus' Rosenhave, 21. juni, 2013. Præsidenten annoncerede James Comey, til højre, som sin nominerede til at efterfølge Mueller. (Official White House Photo)