

SPECIAL LaRouchePAC webcast 30. december 2015: Det er ét minut før midnat; vi må gennemtvinge handling nu!

Engelsk Udskrift. Vi står nu på tærsklen til året 2016, og hr. LaRouche advarer om, at dette er en af de farligste perioder i nyere historisk tid. Vi står over for en umiddelbart forestående nedsmeltning af det transatlantiske finanssystem, med mindre der tages skridt til de nødvendige og presserende forholdsregler for at forhindre dette. Vi står også over for en umiddelbart, overhængende konfrontation mellem USA under Obama, og bade Rusland og Kina, der, hvis den får lov til at udløses, ville føre til en global, atomar storbrand.

Engelsk udskrift.

SPECIAL International LaRouche PAC Webcast Wednesday December 30 2015

IT'S ONE MINUTE TO MIDNIGHT; WE MUST FORCE ACTION NOW!

MATTHEW OGDEN: Good evening. It's December 30, 2015. My name is Matthew Ogden and you're watching an emergency New Year's Eve

broadcast here from larouchepac.com. I'm joined in the studio tonight by both Jeffrey Steinberg from {Executive Intelligence Review}, and Benjamin Deniston from the LaRouche PAC Science Team, and this broadcast is immediately following a meeting that

the three of us had earlier this morning with both Lyndon LaRouche and Helga Zepp-LaRouche.

Now, right off the bat, I want to emphasize that immediately

following the conclusion of this broadcast here tonight, there will be a live question and answer session with Mr. Lyndon LaRouche personally, which is taking place as a broadcast of the regular weekly LaRouche PAC Fireside Chat. Many of you may have participated in this before. It's a national telephone discussion, which takes place at 9 o'clock Eastern Time. If you do not yet have the information on that, please contact the LaRouche PAC national office.

Again, let me just emphasize: Immediately upon the conclusion of this broadcast, we encourage you to participate in this live Fireside Chat with Mr. Lyndon LaRouche.

Now, to begin our broadcast tonight, let me just summarize very quickly what you're about to hear. Obviously, we're on the threshold of the end of 2015, and the beginning of 2016, and Mr.

Lyndon LaRouche is warning that this is among one of the most dangerous periods in recently recorded history. We have the impending blow-out of the trans-Atlantic financial system, if the

necessary urgent measures to prevent that are not taken. And we

also have the impending, looming confrontation between the United

States, under Obama, and both Russia and China, which, if it were

allowed to be unleashed, would lead to a global thermonuclear conflagration.

Now 2015, I think, can best be summarized by a series of failures that have been taken by those who should be the responsible leadership of the United States. Number one – the failure to have effectively opposed and defied Obama's unlawful

violations of the United States Constitution, which are indeed impeachable offenses. Number two – the failure, going all the way back to 2007-2008, to restore the Glass-Steagall Act, and to

reorganize and shut down the entire Wall Street casino speculative system. Number three – the failure, going all the way back to 2000-2001, to dismantle and expose the Saudi-British

apparatus that was responsible for the terrorism of September 11,

2001, and continue to exist, and continue to plague the world with the increasing threat of this kind of terror. And number four – perhaps most fundamentally, the failure to fundamentally

reverse the 100-year trend toward scientific and cultural degeneration, which has reigned increasingly since the turn of the 20th Century. This has brought the entire trans-Atlantic, extended European system to the point of an existential breakdown

crisis, and this will not be addressed unless we address the fundamentally failed model which has reigned over the last 100 to

120 years.

Now, this will be the subject of a much broader discussion later in the broadcast, but I think it sets us up directly for our first question, which was the subject of a lot of discussion

earlier today with Mr. LaRouche.

The question is our institutional question for the evening, which addressed exactly this coming, looming failure of the extended European system. The question reads as follows:

“Mr. LaRouche. Columnist Leo McKinstrie in an article featured by the

{Daily Telegraph} predicted that 2016 could be the year that the

EU falls apart. What are your thoughts on the EU’s immigration

and economic challenges in 2016?"

So, in order to answer that question directly, and also to give us a broader context from the discussion earlier today with

Mr. LaRouche, I'd like to ask Jeff Steinberg to come to the podium.

JEFF STEINBERG:

Thanks, Matt. I would say that, barring a dramatic change in policy, really a revolutionary change in policy, the future of the European Union is absolutely doomed, and that what we're looking at is the fact, as of January 1, under the dictates of the European Union, under agreements that were reached at the very outset of the Obama Administration during the very first meeting of the Group of 20, a system of bail-in has been established. It goes into effect in Europe, European-wide, as of the 1st of January of 2016. In the United States it has already been policy, although the overwhelming majority of Americans have no idea of this. In fact, most members of Congress don't even know that Section 2 of the Dodd-Frank bill of 2010, which was written on Wall Street, and dictated through the likes of the Obama White House and people like Barney Frank on Capitol Hill, already provides for bail-in. What this means is that, as financial institutions go through a spiralling collapse, which is already underway – you've had quite a number of hedge funds, a number of European regional banks in Italy and elsewhere, have already collapsed in recent weeks and months. Under bail-in, depositors' funds, bondholders' money, shareholders' funds in those banks will be looted as the first step towards trying to salvage a system that

is already hopefully and irreversibly bankrupt. In other words,

the entire trans-Atlantic region is on the very edge of extinction. The danger of a complete catastrophic financial collapse is imminent, as of the beginning of next week. Friday obviously, New Year's Day, the banks are closed; the weekend they're closed. But as of January 4, Monday, this coming Monday,

anything goes; and there is an increasing likelihood that the whole trans-Atlantic system will blow up in the early days, if not the early weeks of 2016.

Now, those are knowable and virtually irrefutable facts.

It's been widely discussed in the trans-Atlantic financial press.

The {Daily Telegraph} article referenced in the institutional question is but one of the recent flurry of articles that have basically said, 2015 was a nightmare, but 2016 will be radically

worse, and could be the end of the system, the European Union, and most trans-Atlantic nation-states as we know them.

There's a report today in the international edition of {Handelsblatt}, the major German financial daily newspaper, which

talks about a proliferation of zombie banks in Europe, and says,

get on with the bail-in immediately. The whole system is coming

apart. Yes, it's Mediterranean regional banks, but it's German banks as well, and therefore we've got to take advantage of these

new laws, and literally loot the population to the point that there's nothing left to loot. That's the significance of policies

that go into effect as of the first of January.

Now, the discussion that we had with Mr. and Mrs. LaRouche today took this question up from a very different standpoint.

Because the appropriate question that really must be asked

right

now, well, if these facts are known, if the doom of the trans-Atlantic financial system is widely known and understood,

then why is it that nothing is being done to stop it from happening? Why is it that Congress did not stay in Washington to

enact Glass-Steagall, before they left for the Christmas recess?

That would have meant the doom of Wall Street. It would have bankrupted the entire British system, and set forward at least an

initial framework for beginning an economic recovery, modelled on

the policies of Franklin Roosevelt.

Why in the case of Europe, is there toleration for the continuing existence of a Eurozone which was bankrupt conceptually from the day it was created? Why is there a continuing toleration for a European Commission in Brussels, and

a European Union, that is a purely destructive, virtually a Satanic institution? What is there toleration and a tip-toeing around the fact that the Pope, in his encyclical on global warming, embraced a British policy of population genocide?

Well, the simple answer comes down to the fact that the population of the trans-Atlantic region has become generally very, very stupid, very corrupt, very immoral, bordering on Satanic. In fact, some leading political figures in the trans-Atlantic – Schäuble in Germany, Blair in Britain and the whole Blair tradition, the entire Bush-Obama succession of presidential administrations over the last 15 years in the United

States – these could all very appropriately and scientifically be defined as outright Satanic.

This was the point that Mr. LaRouche was making emphatically.

But to understand why we have reached this point, you can't

just look at explanations that date back a week, or a month, or even a decade. You can't look at 2008, or the end of Glass-Steagall in 1999, and appreciate why these things happened, unless you're willing to take a much longer-term and deeper look at the actual roots of this entire degeneration of the trans-Atlantic region. It goes back to the transition from the 19th to the 20th Century. Look at the 19th Century. You had enormous scientific breakthroughs. You had the work of Gauss, you had the work of Riemann. You had the revolution in Classical culture through the likes of Beethoven, of Brahms, of Schubert, of Schumann. The 19th Century was a period of a Renaissance in the trans-Atlantic region. In the domain of politics, you had the emergence of Germany as a modern and sovereign nation-state under American System economic policies during the period of Bismarck. There was a spreading, a proliferation, of the Hamiltonian concepts of how to build a nation-state, and how to create truly cooperative relationships among modern nations. Today, most everyone alive is familiar with the fact that China has initiated a "One Belt, One Road" policy, otherwise known as the New Silk Road, as the Eurasian Land-Bridge, and that this policy offers a tremendous opportunity for the integration and economic development of not just the Eurasian region, but the Eurasian region stretching into Africa. Through the prospect of the Bering Straits tunnel program, which has been on the books since the end of the 19th [Century], you could integrate the

entire Western Hemisphere into this Eurasian-African development region.

Well, the fact of the matter is that these ideas were not only prevalent, but were being fully implemented in the last decades of the 19th Century. You had in 1869 the completion of Lincoln's great project to bind the nation together under the Transcontinental Railroad. Although Lincoln was assassinated by

the British, precisely for those policies of saving the Union and

going on for this kind of economic development, those policies nevertheless continued, and were realized.

President Ulysses S. Grant was the continuation in many respects of the policies represented by President Lincoln. You had leading American friends and advisers working closely with Bismarck in Germany. Bismarck, in his office as Chancellor, had a

portrait of Ulysses S. Grant on the wall. They visited together

when Grant made his world tour following his Presidency. In Russia, beginning in 1890, you had the construction of the Trans-Siberian Railroad. Many of the American Army Corps of Engineers participated in that project, which was completed by the end of the 1890s. The original locomotive that was the first

to pass along the Trans-Siberian Railroad was built in Philadelphia.

You had a flourishing of international collaboration for great projects of development. Bismarck himself had the Berlin to

Baghdad rail project. In France, under Hanotaux and Carnot, you

had plans for a crisscrossing of the African continent with railroads. You had the Paris to Vladivostok planned rail routes.

Czar Nicholas himself proposed in the 1890s that there should

be

a bridge or tunnel across the Bering Straits, to establish the obvious and natural links between the Western Hemisphere, and the

Eurasia. You had Sun Yat-sen in China, during the transition into

the 20th Century, and in the 1870s you had the Meiji Restoration

in Japan. Again, leading American advisers were involved in all

of these projects.

What was the response? There was a proliferation of Classical culture, of great Classical musical composition. There

were scientific breakthroughs. The work of Riemann anticipated a

20th Century that should have been an era of man beginning to venture out into the Solar System, and on into the Galaxy, to make great discoveries about the nature of mankind in the universe. Instead, the British Empire stepped in decisively.

You had the British manipulation of regional wars throughout Eurasia. You had the Japanese wars against China, the Japanese wars against Russia. You had wars in the Balkans. You had the Crimean War, and ultimately the British strategy was to destroy

the American System expansion into Eurasia, by launching what came to be known as World War I.

On a much more profound level, individuals like Lord Bertrand Russell launched a vicious assault against the foundations of science that had been established through people

like Kepler, like Gauss, like Riemann. Earlier, [people] like Leibniz, and before that, Nicholas of Cusa, and back in the Renaissance, Brunelleschi. This entire sweep of scientific progress coming out the Renaissance was crushed and destroyed, and a tyranny was established. Europe went through one of the most hellacious wars in history in World War I. Atrocious

crimes

were committed. Cities were destroyed. Populations were ruined.

At the end of that war, the Versailles Treaty imposed a looting scheme on Germany that led inevitably to another world war; in effect, the First World War never really ended. There was

an interwar period of preparation for the next phase of that war.

Science was destroyed. Education came under vicious attack because the Classical culture tradition that had been alive in the 19th Century, was destroyed in the sweep of cultural pessimism that hit Europe and the United States throughout the 20th Century.

The British resorted frequently to political assassinations of leading figures. You had the assassination of Sadi Carnot in

France; you had the assassination of William McKinley in the United States, following off of the assassination earlier of Abraham Lincoln and, in fact, going all the way back to Aaron Burr's assassination, for the British, of Alexander Hamilton.

You had the assault on science as I indicated, through the work of Bertrand Russell and his cohorts in the Solvay Conferences, to where you could say that the entire sweep of the

20th Century – now well into the 21st Century – the only truly sovereign scientific genius of that entire period was Albert Einstein. There were engineering discoveries, but the general course of science was a digression, not an advancement. So, we

find ourselves today with a population in the trans-Atlantic region that has been deprived of a competent education; with each

successive generation the degeneration has accelerated. At one

point, it was something important to be a physicist or a bio-chemist; now, if you study these areas, you're told that

it's

a waste of time and that the only true science is computer science.

So, we've got this process of cultural, educational, scientific degeneration; and about the only point during the entire 20th Century when you had any kind of significant pushback

against the trend line, was during the Presidency of Franklin Roosevelt. And if the truth be told, the assault against the policies of Franklin Roosevelt had already reached the point where his Presidency had been destroyed even before his untimely

death. The Republican Party was used as one of the instruments

of that destruction; the FBI emerged as the literally blackmail

arm of Wall Street, deployed against Franklin Roosevelt. So, you

had effectively only a brief period in the entire sweep of the 20th Century, where there were genuinely American System policies

being carried out here in the United States; the place of origin

of those concepts. Now you look at the last 15 years, it makes

sense how it is that a degenerate population could vote in a George W. Bush; could then follow that up by voting in a Barack

Obama. And then tolerate the bail-out of Wall Street after the

2008 crash; could tolerate President Obama openly holding kill meetings at the White House every Tuesday, to map out the latest

targets for assassination. There is no accounting for how many

American citizens have been assassinated under Obama orders without any due process, or without any even public

acknowledgment.

So, it's very important today to realize that the current generations are the fruits of 100 years or more of persistent, cultural moral degeneration in which science has been destroyed.

Now, this is not irreversible, because human beings are fundamentally creative; but it's very important to recognize that

we are at a minute before midnight. And the reality is, that we

have very few opportunities left to buy the time to turn this situation around. If President Obama remains in office as this

financial blow-out hits, then the prospects of being able to avert a catastrophic destruction and a degeneration into chaos across the entire trans-Atlantic region converge on zero. And that's if we are lucky enough, through the strong leadership in

China and Russia, to avoid the kind of thermonuclear war that Matt mentioned a few moments ago; because that is the policy of

the British Empire. And President Obama is really not a President of the United States; he's a stooge of that British Empire system.

Now, that system is, itself, bankrupt and doomed; but they are more prepared to bring the entire population of mankind down

with them, if there's a prospect of them genuinely losing power.

So, there are a few options: The removal of President Obama is

absolutely existentially essential. The immediate reinstatement

of Glass-Steagall as the concrete measure that wipes out Wall Street's existence; and along with it, wipes out the power of the

City of London and the power of the British Empire. These are

the measures that have to be taken in the immediate days ahead.

As soon as the new year commences, we are already well into the

danger zone, where there is no alternative left to those critical

actions. The question is whether or not there will be enough of

a return of reality to where the successive degeneration of thinking among leading strata and the general population of the

trans-Atlantic region, reaches a point where the threat is so immediate and existential that the right steps will be taken.

Up

until this moment, that has not happened; and therefore, we go into the new year facing the greatest peril that mankind has faced probably in history.

OGDEN: Very quickly, I just want to address one thing, and ask Jeff to comment on it briefly before we get to Ben Deniston.

But the bail-in law that is going into effect in Europe on January 1st – just within a few hours – is something that as Jeff said, is already written into the law in the United States;

in Dodd-Frank, Title II, where derivatives get priority and people's deposits are no longer protected as they formerly were.

Now the architect of this law is none other than Barney Frank; who, despite the fact that he is no longer an active member of Congress, is still playing a very active and destructive role within the politics of the United States as an agent of the Wall

Street faction inside the Democrat Party. And as one of the leading proponents of the lies that are being told against Glass-Steagall; for example, in an article which exposes the fact

that Barney Frank is one of the leading economic advisors of the Hillary Clinton campaign. Hillary Clinton being up to this point, an opponent of the restoration of Glass-Steagall. Barney

Frank says, "The Glass-Steagall debate is an artificial debate at

this point. It's 85 years old. Most people can see if it had an

effect, it wouldn't have stopped AIG; it wouldn't have stopped sub-prime mortgages that shouldn't have been granted. This is

the lie that has been used for the last five years or more against the restoration of Glass-Steagall; and I think that I

would like Jeff to address this just very quickly. When Franklin Roosevelt became President, he became President despite the fact

that most of the leadership of his own party were agents of the

Wall Street interests; and he had to, in order to both secure the

nomination and also in order to win the election as the United States President, had to identify and root out exactly who were

serving the interests of Wall Street in the leadership of his own

party.

If we're going to save the United States, Obama has to be identified as an agent of those Wall Street interests; Barney Frank as well, and others. And I would just like Jeff to comment

very quickly what Mr. LaRouche's remarks were concerning how we

can restore the Franklin Roosevelt precedent on an emergency basis right now, in opposition to these agents of the Wall Street

interests who are dominating the Democratic Party as we see it right now, as well as the Republican Party.

STEINBERG: Well, I think that you've got to take the case of Barney Frank as a perfect example of what I was discussing just a few moments ago. Long before the Glass-Steagall which Barney Frank personally played a leading role in, and long before the 2008 crisis, Barney Frank got in a whole lot of trouble because his roommate, his lover was running a pedophile prostitution ring out of his apartment. And this was not something that was a deep dark secret; it came out in all of the major Washington DC and related newspapers at the time. The fact that there was a toleration for this kind of person, this kind of behavior, is indicative of the deeper cultural issues that I addressed earlier. In effect, Barney Frank was the Roy Cohn of the Democratic Party.

So, I think that the measures themselves are clear and straightforward; there are bills in both houses of Congress to re-instate Glass-Steagall. The very first act of business when Congress returns next week should be a debate and vote and immediate passage of Glass-Steagall. Time is running out; it may even be that as of Monday of next week, we see the first explosions, the detonations of this crash. Glass-Steagall merely clears the decks; it means that undercapitalized commercial banks can be restored, and all of the zombie debt of Wall Street, all of the derivatives, all of the other kinds of exotic financial instruments that are un-payable and worthless, are going to be written off the books. And as a result of that, Wall Street will disintegrate; the power of Wall Street to dictate terms to

Congress will disintegrate. And I think along with the disintegration of Wall Street, you will see an immediate meltdown

of the Obama Presidency.

But once you've re-instated Glass-Steagall, all you've done is created a clean platform to begin a much more significant and

challenging process. There has to be a massive emission of new

Federal credits into the commercial banking system, for earmarked projects of real economic development, job creation.

We've got to have a clear concept of reversing the last 100 years

of decline in productivity of labor power here in the United States, in Europe. So, we've got to develop, on the model of Franklin Roosevelt, a series of initiatives that will create jobs, that will rebuild infrastructure; but on a higher level.

We've got to do several other things as well. Number one, we've got to really launch a serious revival of science; we've got to basically revive all of the NASA programs. We've got to

conquer the final remaining steps towards having thermonuclear fusion power. These are big projects, and they're more challenging today than they were 20 or 30 years ago. When President Kennedy announced that we were going to put a man on the Moon before the end of the decade, and launched the Apollo project, there was a scientific capability still in place to have

done that. That capability has been severely, severely eroded;

so we're going to have to do an enormous amount of rebuilding. In a sense, the productive powers of labor today are less than they were on the day Franklin Roosevelt took office as President

in March of 1933.

We've got to launch a cultural revival. We had a foretaste

of what can and must be done in the Handel's {Messiah} concerts

that took place in Manhattan and Brooklyn one week ago. They were a shining example of how you can begin to draw out the humanity in people, using Classical music as a medium to do that.

So, all of these things have to be done; but they will not happen

if the American people don't immediately develop the moral courage to face reality. To face the fact that it's a minute before midnight; and take the necessary steps, starting with removing this President from office, re-instating Glass-Steagall, and going on from there.

OGDEN: Now, let me just announce that, as many of the viewers of this webcast may already know, the LaRouche Political

Action Committee has released a new very important Special Report, which is entitled "The United States Joins the New Silk

Road; a Hamiltonian Vision for an Economic Renaissance". This is

a supplement to the much longer report that was published by {Executive Intelligence Review} a number of months ago, called "The New Silk Road Becomes the Eurasian Land-Bridge". And this

specifically addresses the role the United States must play in this development perspective for the entire globe. The electronic version of this is available on the LaRouche PAC website; however, we do intend to print a large number of physical copies of this to get out across the United States, particularly in Manhattan and elsewhere.

Now, I'm going to ask Benjamin Deniston to address some of the crucial factors that are contained within this report, which

apply directly to what's been discussed here tonight. But let

me

just put it into the context of the fact that if you look at over

just the last few days, there has been a concerted propaganda effort in some of the leading United States media, in order to slander China, and especially China's President Xi Jinping; who

as you all probably know has made the Silk Road policy, the One

Belt-One Road policy as he calls it, the cornerstone policy of the Chinese economic development perspective. Now, the Chinese

Foreign Minister responded to these slanders that have been appearing in the {New York Times} yesterday, and today in the {Washington Post}; saying the One Belt-One Road policy, the Silk

Road policy, which was put forward two years ago, has now attracted the interest of more than 60 countries and other organizations along the One Belt-One Road corridor, who have responded positively to participate in its initiative. And he stated, "Many countries have signed cooperation agreements or reach consensus on aligning their strategies for development with

China. These include multi-lateral and bilateral cooperation projects. Emphatically, the One Belt-One Road initiative is not

a tool for geopolitics," the Foreign Ministry said. "China has

not political motives to seek in so-called 'spheres of influence'. The principle is that of jointly building the initiative in order to meet the interests of all, and to deepen

cooperation in various fields of development along the One Belt-One Road corridor in order to achieve win-win results."

Now, it's exactly this principle of the "win-win" policy which serves as the principle behind the composition of this Special Report; why the United States must join the New Silk

Road. And if you just look over the last 8-16 years of the United States, the period defined by the Obama Presidency, and then preceding that, the Bush/Cheney Presidency, and compare it to a similar period in China, you can see exactly what the effect of these two opposite policies have been. During Obama's Presidency, you've had a substantial increase in poverty in the United States; where prior to Obama's Presidency, 37 million Americans were officially living in poverty. Now, that's risen to 47 million Americans. Prior to Obama's Presidency, those receiving food stamps were 28 million; now that has risen to 47 million. And currently, one in every five children in the United States, lives below the Federal poverty line. And if you look at blacks, African-Americans, that's two out of every five children live below the Federal poverty line. Compare that to what China's done over the last decade, over the last several decades. Over the last 30 years, China has lifted 600 million people out of poverty; they have built 11,000 miles of high-speed rail in scarcely a decade, and they have plans on the books to triple that number of miles by the year 2020. In comparison, the United States has a grand total of just over 450 miles of so-called high speed rail, and it barely fits the definition. Now, as people might recall, during the APEC summit of 2014, contrary to this being a geopolitical strategy on the part of Xi Jinping for some sort of revival of Chinese imperialism – as

is

being claimed by the {New York Times} and the {Washington Post}

– Xi Jinping actually offered to President Obama that the United

States could join this New Silk Road policy in addition to the new Asian Infrastructure Development Bank policy. This was an offer to say you can participate in this win-win policy. Now, of

course, Obama has not reciprocated that offer, but we can see the

foundations for a completely new vision of international economic

and strategic relationships among nations; based on this win-win

policy. So, that is the substance of this new report from LaRouche PAC, “The United States Joins the New Silk Road”; and that’s what I want to ask Ben Deniston to elaborate on in a little bit more depth in the conclusion of tonight’s broadcast.

BENJAMIN DENISTON: Thanks, Matthew. I think just picking up off of what Matthew said, I think that’s the most – obviously, if you believe the media today in the United States,

I’ve got some unfortunate news for you. This is ridiculous propaganda that’s been coming out, attacking China, attacking Russia. So, if you still believe that stuff, you’ve got to start

reading our website much more in depth and thoroughly.

This is ridiculous; this is an offer to the United States to join in a new orientation for the planet. And I think this report we put out is – not only should you read this, you should

be circulating this to your friends, to your neighbors. This is

a life or death issue for the United States right now; this is

an
opportunity for us to actually save our nation by moving into
a
new future of cooperation and development. So, we have put
out
now what's on the site, and what we're asking you to
contribute
to support the printing of, is a life or death roadmap for the
United States to join into this new orientation led by China,
Russia and other nations.

I just want to take a couple of minutes just to emphasize
the importance of this offer. Because as Matt said, this is
explicitly not a geopolitical move by China; this is not an
attempt to defeat the United States. This is not an attempt
by
China, or China in cooperation with Russia, to control
resources
to the detriment of the United States; or to control regions
of
the planet to the detriment of the United States. This, as
was
stated repeatedly, explicitly by China, is based on a
conception
of win-win cooperation. And understanding that the
development
of fundamental science for mankind in cooperation with
different
nations, creates a net increase in the amount of wealth and
resources available to everybody. And we're at the point in
mankind's development that if we don't rise to a level of
international relations and global cooperation premised on
that
understanding, we're not going to be able to exist as a
species
on this planet. If we continue this mode of geopolitical
conflict, we'll destroy ourselves; as Obama is threatening to
do

right now.

But as Mr. LaRouche was discussing on Monday earlier this week, there is a true higher form of natural law that we have to

come to now organize ourselves around; to rise to. And that is,

mankind's fundamental nature is to progress, is to develop; this

idea of win-win cooperation. I think you see maybe the most stark difference between China's orientation, the New Silk Road

orientation, premised on this idea of win-win cooperation and development; and you compare that to what the Pope is now supporting with this Green policy, with this British Malthusian,

global population reduction program. Premised somehow on this insane idea that the climate never changes unless mankind eats a

hamburger or drives his car around the corner or something ridiculous like that. You have this typification of the genocidal, zero-growth imperial policy, with this Green movement,

with this climate change fraud. And with the Pope now supporting

this entire fraud; on the one side typifying the evil of this anti-human anti-mankind view, which needs to be eliminated, versus this other direction that's now available for us.

But the fundamental premise of the whole thing is that mankind has to progress, that creative progress is not just nice;

it's not just good, it is absolutely necessary for mankind to exist. If we ever stop progressing, society degenerates like we've been discussing here; like the 20th Century typifies, already shows us. If you stop progressing, society destroys itself. But progress not in mathematical forms, not in logical

forms, but the type of unique, human, creative scientific

progress typified by Kepler, typified by Einstein. That that's what's been attacked by Russell; attacked by this British imperial system, attacked throughout the 20th Century. It's this understanding of human creativity as a unique principle in the universe that is the only substance; the cause of what enables mankind to act differently than animals, to fundamentally increase his relationship to the universe. As we've discussed, to in effect, begin to separate himself from being just an Earth-based species; and being able to exist in the universe by mediating his existence through his relationship with the Solar System as a whole. That's a creative act that doesn't come from the fraudulent type of science that Bertrand Russell had attempted to impose on the world; that comes from a unique form of human creative generation, unique acts of the human mind that do not come from sense perception, do not come from your empirical study of the world. But come from human creativity per se; the process of human creative development, which again, has been attacked throughout the 20th Century. So that I think is the challenge we have; is not just to reverse the degeneration that's occurred. But we need a new fundamental law of human creative progress to rise as the guide stone for where mankind must go. Mr. LaRouche has been explicit on this; we're not just talking about reversing some policy. Mankind's survival today depends upon a new Renaissance. A new creation of a higher understanding of mankind's nature and unique purpose and mission

in this universe as a creative force going into the Solar System.

Going beyond the Solar System into the Galaxy; and understanding

that it is something unique about the human mind and its creative

potential that gives mankind the ability to do that. So, this is

not just about reversing some bad policy; this is about developing a positive conception, a new discovery of what it is

that enables mankind to progress. What it is that enables mankind to fulfill his true nature; what it is that makes mankind

a unique force on this planet. A potential that no animal species exhibits. If we don't understand that, if we don't premise the future on a new pursuit of those capabilities, mankind is not going to make it. Because that is what defines our existence; that is what defines the future. And if we don't

rise to that level, as Mr. LaRouche has been warning, we're not

going to make it through the current crisis.

So, I think that's the challenge we have before us. And I would refer back to Mr. LaRouche's remarks on Monday, in his discussion with the Policy Committee on the LaRouche PAC website.

We have to come forward with this higher conception of the true

natural law that mankind must rise to; and I think we have to come to it today.

OGDEN: I would like to thank both Jeff Steinberg and Ben Deniston very much for joining me here tonight. Again, the full

contents of this "US Must Join the New Silk Road" report is available on the LaRouche PAC website; but we would ask you to

donate and make sure that this can be spread as widely as possible. This is a crucial document for the future of the United States.

Now, as I announced at the very beginning of this broadcast, immediately following the conclusion of our broadcast tonight, there will be a live question and answer session with Mr. Lyndon

LaRouche on the normal channels of the Fireside Chat. This is a

telephone discussion; if you've not been a participant in these

before, please contact the LaRouche PAC office, and you can get

the information to become a participant. And please ask Mr. Lyndon LaRouche a question; these are crucial opportunities for

the American people to engage in a live question and answer dialogue with Lyndon LaRouche. So we would ask you to go immediately from viewing this broadcast to participating in this

emergency Fireside Chat, which is taking place tonight, December

30, as part of our emergency initiatives on the threshold of the

new year. So thank you, and please stay tuned to larouchepac.com.