

»Det er forkasteligt, at én mand kan stå imellem retfærdighed for mordet på 3000 mennesker, og så denne lovs ikrafttræden«.

Kristen Brightweiser, 11. september-enke.

**LaRouchePAC Internationale
Fredags-webcast, 23. sept.
2016.**

Som I alle ved, så befinder vi os netop nu i en nedtælling til »sandhedens time«. Fra og med kl. 1:00-2:00 her i eftermiddag, under Det hvide Hus' pressebriefing, står det klart, at [præsident] Obama vil nedlægge veto mod JASTA-loven (Senatslov 2040). Vi vil straks skrive telefonnummeret på skærmen, så I kan ringe til Kongressen og komme i kontakt med senatorerne og kongresmedlemmerne (i Repræsentanternes Hus, -red.) fra jeres stat, så I kan være mobiliserede under vores udsendelse. I skal slet ikke udsætte det. Ring. Sørg for, at jeres stemme bliver hørt. Sig til hvert enkelt kongresmedlem, at han/hun skal være stærk i sin forpligtelse over for at gøre Obamas veto ugyldigt. [Capitols omstillingsbord: 202-224-3131]

Et længere, dansk uddrag vil blive udlagt på hjemmesiden senere i dag.

Engelsk udskrift:

"IT IS REPREHENSIBLE THAT ONE MAN IS STANDING BETWEEN JUSTICE FOR THE MURDER OF 3000 PEOPLE AND THIS LEGISLATION BECOMING LAW."

—
Kristen Brightweiser, 9/11 widow

LaRouche PAC International Webcast Sept. 23, 2016

MATTHEW OGDEN: Good afternoon! It's September 23rd, 2016. My name is Matthew Ogden, and I would like to welcome all of you to a special broadcast here from LaRouchepac.com on Friday {afternoon}. We are broadcasting {early} our regularly scheduled webcast this week at 4:00 Eastern Time (1:00 pm Pacific Time) because we are in the midst of a showdown in Washington, D.C., where every minute counts.

I'm joined in the studio by Jeff Steinberg, from {Executive Intelligence Review}; and we're joined via video by Elliot Greenspan, coordinator for LaRouche PAC activities in New York City.

As all of you know, we're in the countdown to the "moment of truth" right now. As of 1:00-2:00 this afternoon, during the White House press briefing, it remains clear that [President] Obama is committed to vetoing the JASTA Bill (Senate Bill 2040).

We're going to put, right now, the phone number on the screen for you to call in to Congress in order to contact the Senators and Representatives from your State, in order for you to be mobilized {during the show}. Don't even delay. Call in. Make sure that your

voice is heard. Tell every single Member of Congress to remain strong in their commitment to override Obama's veto. [Capitol Switchboard: 202-224-3131]

This Justice Against Sponsors of Terrorism Act (or JASTA, so-called), despite {unbelievable} pressure, threats, lies, money, intimidation coming from the Saudi lobbying machine and from the White House directly, at last reading on Capitol Hill, Congress is still set to override this veto, delivering a very historic first veto override of Obama's entire Presidency. There's a {New York Times} article just earlier today, "Obama is on the cusp of a rebuke he has long avoided – a veto override."

What's become clear from the coverage, is that this has been an all-out battle behind the scenes. Very, very quickly I'd like to read just the beginning of a {New York Times} that came out on Wednesday, September 21st, which was headlined "Fight Between Saudis and 9/11 Families Escalates in Washington." I think this gives a dramatic overview of exactly what has gone down behind the scenes, and in public view, during the course of just the few days that have occurred this week. It reads, in the beginning:

"On Monday, a constellation of lobbyists for Saudi Arabia, which has spent more than \$5 million this past year to buy influence in Washington, called a crisis meeting to try to stop legislation allowing the families of victims of the Sept. 11 attacks to sue the Saudi government for any role in the plot.

"On Tuesday, the 9/11 families, represented in their

multibillion-dollar lawsuits by lawyers including Jack Quinn, a former White House counsel with deep relationships in Washington, demonstrated outside the White House to pressure President Obama not to veto the legislation, as he has vowed to do.

"On Wednesday, these two powerful forces, one operating in the shadows and the other more in the open, converged on Capitol Hill in the culmination of one of the biggest and most emotional lobbying fights of the year. The battle is a reflection of the enduring dominance in Washington of the 9/11 families and the diminishing clout here of Saudi Arabia, which once advanced its agenda unencumbered in the West Wing and corridors of Congress."

Now, I think it's very clear that what has happened, is the central role that the 9/11 families – but also other citizens, including those of you who are watching this broadcast here today – have played in fueling this showdown. This showdown has been fueled, despite \$5 million in Saudi lobbying money, by the activism of citizens like you. And that's why we're asking you, {right now}, if you haven't called in to your Congressmen, to call right now – 202-224-3121 – to say "Override Obama's threatened veto of JASTA."

This couldn't have been made more clear than during the rallies that occurred in front of the White House on Tuesday morning, and later in the day in front of the United States Senate, by members of the 9/11 families and others. We do have some clips from the press conference that occurred on the

Senate side of the Capitol on Tuesday afternoon, where Terry Strada, Kaitlyn Strada, Alison Crowther – all three members of the 9/11 families – and also Sen. [Richard] Blumenthal absolutely demolished and debunked the lies that were coming out of the Obama Administration and the Saudi lobbying machine.

But before we get to that, I'd like to just very briefly ask Jeff Steinberg to say, in brief, what Mr. LaRouche's marching orders for this moment were, in the face of Obama's upcoming threatened veto of the JASTA Bill. And then we will play this press conference clip. So, Jeff, I'm going to let you just summarize what Mr. LaRouche's comments were today.

JEFF STEINBERG: It's pretty straight forward. He just simply said that if Obama goes ahead with this veto, then he should be immediately impeached. This is such an act of overt and witting treason against not just the 9/11 families, but against the United States as a whole.

One of the news accounts today said that if Obama goes ahead with his veto, then once again he's siding with the terrorists, against the interests of the United States.

In the Preamble to the U.S. Constitution, one of the first responsibilities of the President is to defend the national security of the United States: to "provide for the common defense, and to "promote the general Welfare," and if President Obama cannot see why it is in the urgent interests of all Americans, and of anyone around the world, who wants to actually fight against this terrorist scourge emanating from Saudi

Wahhabism and from the Saudi Royal Family, then they basically better think about what the implications of this are.

So, Mr. LaRouche's "marching orders" are very simple: Get the veto override. Mobilize your Senator, mobilize your Representative. But, on top of that, anyone who is unclear about the strategic implications of an Obama veto, should think long and hard and should join in the chorus that must immediately demand his impeachment from office. This is a dead serious matter, and that's the step that must be taken.

OGDEN: Great! We're going to elaborate a little bit more on the context of that a little bit later. Also, with the developments that are occurring internationally. But right now, I want to play a very short excerpt from the speeches of Terry Strada, Kaitlyn Strada, Alison Crowther, and Sen. Blumenthal, where they debunk every single media propaganda line that you're going to hear coming from the White House and the Saudi lobbying machine on the subject of the JASTA Bill. So, here's that excerpt:

TERRY STRADA: In our quest for the truth, accountability, and justice for the murder of my husband and the thousands of other innocent souls lost and injured, my colleagues and I have worked tirelessly with Congress for over four years advocating for the 9/11 families in support of the Justice Against Sponsors of Terrorism Act (JASTA).

I am frustrated, angry, and tired of the mis-truths being

carelessly spewed about this legislation, and I am here today to set the record straight. The President's rationales to veto JASTA hold no weight. They are 100% wrong. The issues the White House and [unclear: 0:08:35.4] are raising now, concerns of reciprocal laws or lawsuits, have all been considered, repeatedly, and addressed.

This issue about the possibility of threats of reciprocal laws and lawsuits is a kneejerk reaction, raised by all novices looking at the Bill, until they actually read the text and consider the policies. Then, only those who would favor Saudi interests seem to cling to the mis-truths. Those who favor sound, anti-terror-financing policies, support JASTA.

The most recent statement from the White House Press Secretary, Josh Earnest, last Monday, when he told the White House press corps that there were concerns about judges all over the country designating terror organizations for U.S. sanctions, is nonsensical. No judge overseeing a civil jury trial for injuries would ever be imposing U.S. sanctions. That is simply outlandish.

To be crystal clear: JASTA does not and cannot have anything to do with suing our diplomats. That issue is controlled by something entirely different from JASTA – the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations. And, if {I} know it, I suspect the White House knows this, too.

Very importantly, JASTA has nothing to do with whether

a
private citizen, or even a private company, can be sued for
alleged wrong-doing. JASTA deals with immunity of foreign
States.

So, the White House's press comments that enacting JASTA will
threaten suits against the U.S. – which Mr. Earnest emphasized
as a risk of JASTA – are categorically untrue. I am sure the
White House knows that, too.

And most importantly, our military is not at risk for
being
sued if JASTA is enacted. The narrow text of JASTA, like our
legal history, specifically distinguishes between acts of war
and
acts of terrorism. The text of the Bill, for anyone who cares
to
read it – and it is surprisingly short – specifically excludes
acts of war. I'm sure the White House knows that, too.

No one who seriously opposes terrorism disagrees with
a bill
that at its core, accuses no one. All JASTA does, is simply
ask
those accused of sponsoring terrorist attacks on U.S. soil, to
answer on the merits and to stand account for those
accusations.

For us, the 9/11 families and survivors, all we're
asking
for is an opportunity to have our case heard in a courtroom.
Denying us justice is un-American. In our case, the existing
and
still growing mountain of evidence against the Kingdom of
Saudi
Arabia, demands that they address those accusations, if for
nothing else, to normalize our relations with a nation that
claims to be our ally.

I would suggest, that if the Kingdom had no part in
the
horrific attacks of 9/11, they should welcome the opportunity

to
address the allegations head-on, and fix our relationship.
Instead, they have hired dozens of highly-paid lobbyists, who
roam the halls of Congress, intimidating our Members of
Congress,
and trying to strong-arm our legislative process. This, too,
is
un-American.

We, the 9/11 community, have suffered far too long at
the
hands of far too many that would prevent us from seeking
accountability before a jury of our peers. Neither the
President,
nor Congress, nor the lobbyists for foreign kingdoms should be
permitted to make us wait another day to pass JASTA.

SEN. RICHARD BLUMENTHAL: The basic objective here is
to hold
accountable wrong-doers and law-breakers and evil-doers.
Because
that is the rule of law. Behind that over-arching, seemingly
abstract ideal, is a loop-hole in the law. And it's a modest
loop-hole. The loop-hole is that a foreign actor or agent can
commit an atrocity in this country, but be immune from any
kind
of legal accountability if the aiding and abetting is done
outside our boundaries. That's basically what may well be
shown
in a court of law about the Saudi agents or operatives who
aided
and abetted the 9/11 terrorists. There's a basic principle
here.
If a foreign agent or actor gives a bag of money or a ton of
explosives to someone who then does harm in our country, and
it
happens outside the country, there still should be legal
accountability. That's a loop-hole that Congress never

intended.

Take an analogy: If another country launched a missile from [within] its borders, from its soil, that blew up an American citizen, nobody would say, "Well, that's okay, because they did it within their own borders." And the same principle applies here. A foreign government that aids and abets an act of terror that does harm in our country, should be held accountable, even if those actions occurred outside our borders.

I want to deal with the reasons given to oppose this measure. And I want to say, with great respect to the President of the United States, that he has a different perspective. He conducts our nation's diplomacy. I respect him and his office and his reasons for opposing this measure. But I disagree. Strongly, and powerfully, with those reasons.

If the Saudi Government is innocent, it has nothing to fear from a day in court. If it is culpable, it should be held accountable. And there is mounting evidence, revealed in the 28 pages, kept secret for so long, about potential Saudi complicity.

So, I'm urging the President, as I did in a letter with my colleague Sen. [Chuck] Schumer about ten days ago, to sign this measure, and I believe that there are now, and there will be, well more than the necessary votes to override this veto. It was unanimously passed, and I believe it will be overwhelmingly approved again, if need be.

KAITLYN STRADA: After hearing our cries for justice, our US Senate and House of Representatives unanimously passed legislation called the Justice Against Sponsors of Terrorism Act – JASTA. This bill would give me and thousands of other children who lost their parents on 9/11, the opportunity to see some degree of accountability. JASTA does not determine whether the accused foreign state is actually responsible; the accused just wouldn't get a free pass on accountability. Under existing law, a foreign state alleged to be responsible for a car wreck has no free pass and must face the music; the same should be for terrorist attacks that kill or cause injury to Americans on US soil. It is the right thing.

So, I am imploring Congress to override the President's impending veto, and help my family and all the 9/11 families and survivors seek the justice we deserve. Fifteen years is far too long for us to have to wait already. The veto should be overridden at [the] first opportunity, and we should not be forced to wait a day longer. Please show that you, our elected officials, stand on {our} side; that of the American citizens. Do not yield to the pressure of powerful foreign influences looking to escape accountability. It's past time to enact JASTA.

ALISON CROWTHER: I've come here today along with many other 9/11 families and victims in support of the Justice Against Sponsors of Terrorism Act. The purpose of this act is to hold accountable individuals in nations that fund terrorist

activities
in our country. JASTA, if passed, will open pathways to choke
off
foreign sources of funding and bankrupt terrorism. We first
and
foremost exhort President Obama to approve JASTA. We are
engaged
in an insidious war of hearts and minds; an epic battle for
the
soul of humanity. To quote President Franklin Delano
Roosevelt,
"The only thing we have to fear, is fear itself." If we allow
fear of potential consequences to rule over right action, the
bad
guys will win. JASTA is a weapon in our nonviolent arsenal to
fight against those who would intentionally target and
perpetrate
heinous crimes against innocent men, women, children, and the
unborn. If President Obama decides to veto JASTA, we trust
that
the Senate and House, who represent the will of the 9/11
families
and the citizens of our great country, will stand by their
unanimous convictions and override a Presidential veto of
JASTA
without delay. Thank you very much.

OGDEN: Now while you were watching that video, we
received a
breaking news announcement; and I'm going to let Jeff announce
to
you what has just developed.

STEINBERG: At 4:27 this afternoon, President Obama
signed
the veto of JASTA. Which now means that the onus is on all of
you

and on the members of the US Senate and then the US House of Representatives to deliver a unanimous rebuke to a President who puts his future business dealings with Saudi Arabia and his commitment to cover up the crimes of 9/11, ahead of the interests of the American people. This is a shameful moment for the institution of the Presidency; and I hope all of you will take that absolutely seriously and do your part as citizens. As Mr. LaRouche said, "This is an unconscionable act by President Obama that deserves his immediate impeachment. But first and foremost, he's got to be delivered a brutal message by the Congress, by the Senate; but first by you, the American people that this is thoroughly unacceptable. He will go down in infamy for this hideous act on his part."

I just want to amplify a little bit what the stakes are in this fight. We all know that 2,997 people perished on 9/11; but the death and destruction from that event continues to this day. I want to read you a news item that I wrote earlier this afternoon, just before coming to the studio; and I think you'll understand why this is an appropriate thing to be reading to you right now.

"On the weekend of the 15th anniversary of the September 11, 2001 attacks earlier this month, {Newsweek} magazine published an extensive report on the tens of thousands of New Yorkers and other first responders who are suffering severe medical conditions as the result of their heroics following the 9/11

attacks. The {Newsweek} story was graphically headlined, '9/11's Second Wave; Cancer and Other Diseases Linked to the 2001 Attacks Are Surging'. While much of the article was devoted to heartbreaking case studies of the first responders who are now either dead or are suffering serious illnesses as the result of their efforts, the statistics provided in the article are harrowing, and reveal the extent to which the consequences of the 9/11 attacks continue to take lives."

This is a quote from {Newsweek}: "'Doctors with the World Trade Center Health Program, which the Federal government created in the aftermath of the attacks, have linked nearly 70 types of cancer to Ground Zero. Many people have fallen victim to cancers their doctors are rare, aggressive, and particularly hard to treat.' More than 411 emergency rescue workers died in the immediate aftermath of the 9/11 attack on the World Trade Center; and that number has reached 1064 as of July 2016, according to data that {Newsweek} obtained from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and OSHA. But the full account is staggering: 'As many as 400,000 people are estimated to be affected by diseases such as cancers and mental illnesses linked to September 11th.' 400,000. That figure includes those who lived and worked within a mile and a half of Ground Zero in Manhattan and Brooklyn. The vast majority of whom still don't know they're at risk. Mark Farfel, director of the World Trade Center

Health

Registry, which tracks the health of more than 71,000 rescue workers and survivors, says 'Many people don't connect the symptoms they have today to September 11th.'

"Dr. Michael Crane, director of a clinic at Mount Sinai

Hospital which treats 22,000 rescue and recovery workers from 9/11, told {Newsweek} that of the 75,000 people enrolled in the

World Trade Center's Health Program, 5,441 have been diagnosed with 9/11 cancers. {Newsweek} reported that 'Today, 15 years after the attacks, doctors are starting to understand why people

are still dying. When the towers came down, they say, they released a massive plume of carcinogens; turning lower Manhattan

into a cesspool of cancer and deadly disease.'

"A National Resources Defense Council report issued soon

after the 9/11 attacks estimated that the North Tower alone released 400 tons of asbestos into the atmosphere, along with lead, mercury, volatile organic compounds, and deadly poisons.

Among New York City firefighters, the cancer rate after 9/11 increased by between 19% and 30% over pre-9/11 rates. The Environmental Protection Agency, however, issued a report one week after the 9/11 attacks, declaring that the air within a 16-acre area around Ground Zero was safe to breathe. An EPA Inspector General's report in 2003 found that there was no basis

for EPA Administrator Christine Todd Whitman making that statement. The IG report concluded, 'The White House Council on

Environmental Quality influenced, through the collaborative process, the information that the EPA communicated to the public

through its early press releases when it convinced the EPA to add

reassuring statements and delete cautionary ones.' So far, at least 1140 people who lived and worked in the immediate vicinity

of Ground Zero, have died from diseases brought on by the attack;

and that number is incomplete. An unknown number of first responders and those who were in the immediate area of Ground Zero, are suffering from mental illnesses similar to the PTSD suffered by returning Iraq and Afghan war veterans."

So, the body count is continuing, and therefore, the magnitude of the President's crime in failing to sign JASTA into law, is that much greater.

OGDEN: Now, we had a memorial series of concerts that was

sponsored by the Foundation for the Revival of Classical Culture,

and members of the Schiller Institute chorus participated in these two weeks ago. This was the context in which Terry Strada

made some very prescient remarks in the prelude to the Monday concert that occurred in Morristown, New Jersey; at which she said Obama is nothing but a spokesman for the Saudi regime. And

despite overwhelming pressure from the entire Congress, from the

American people, Obama and a lot of the other members of the Saudi lobbying machine have acted on orders from Riyadh and from

London to shut down the JASTA bill. The threats have been immense. The kinds of blackmail and intimidation – including the

European Union entering this discussion and saying that this would be a systemic crisis; the European Union said, "harm to the

international order, if the JASTA bill were to take effect."

So, as you can see, the activities of the LaRouche Movement in collaboration with the 9/11 Families and other citizen activists have really, really changed the course of history and have put the entire Obama-Saudi-London establishment on the rails.

STEINBERG: I want to say something about this EU issue, because people may remember that President Obama was in London prior to the Brexit vote that took place in June, and intervened into the internal political affairs of Britain; by basically threatening that if Brexit passed, then Britain's role as a critical ally of the United States within the European Union would be diminished. It was considered to be a crass and really impolitic intervention. The idea that the White House clearly solicited this comment from the European Union, I hope will have a backlash effect on legislators and all of you out there, and that the message will be that this kind of foreign interference is a slap at the sovereignty of the United States and it should assure that the overwhelming vote of both Houses of Congress goes to overriding President Obama's shameful veto.

Yesterday, at hearings on Capitol Hill, Defense Secretary Ash Carter and Chairman of the Joint Chiefs Gen. Joseph Dunford lied through their teeth shamelessly; and claimed that the passage of JASTA would jeopardize the security of American soldiers overseas. As you heard from Senator Blumenthal, these arguments are preposterous; they're the height of sophistry,

and
they reflect the actual morality of this administration. This
is
disgusting.

OGDEN: I'm going to hand it over to Elliott right now
in New
York City. The activity on the ground is intense, both in
terms
of forcing an override on this JASTA veto; but also activity
on
ushering in a New Paradigm, a new international economic
order,
which is now on the table for discussion at the United Nations
General Assembly meeting. So, I'm going to let Elliott say a
little more about the situation there.

ELLIOTT GREENSPAN: Thank you, Matt. Let me begin by
picking
up on what you indicated. Four concerts – this is now two
weeks
ago – four concerts; a living memorial to the 3000 who
perished
on 9/11, to the first responders, to the survivors, to the
families, to the millions of victims in regime-change wars
caused
by the Bush and Obama administrations. A living memorial. We
had
upwards of 3000 New Yorkers at these concerts; we had reached
at
least 100,000, probably hundreds of thousands of New Yorkers
in
the run-up to this, for the purpose of focussing the city, the
metropolitan area, the nation, on 9/11; on the implication of
the
declassification of the 28 pages, on the potential of a
breakthrough with JASTA. So, this goes back a couple of weeks.

Then, we come to the UN General Assembly.

Among other events at the General Assembly, is the intervention by the Saudi government spokesman [inaud; 30:49] before the General Assembly – that is, before the world – saying that JASTA was an affront to the sovereignty of Saudi Arabia. At the same time, you have the {Wall Street Journal} in New York editorializing against JASTA; you have the {New York Post} with a Congressional op-ed supporting JASTA; you have two {New York Times} major articles in the last two days. This is super-charged. In that context, we released – twice this week – the {Hamiltonian} editions 5 and 6 of the LaRouche newspaper {Hamiltonian}; which people can see on this website. Which had headlines "Traitor Obama Stalls on JASTA; Impeachment Is Nigh", and "Obama's Doomsday: First JASTA; Next Glass-Steagall". Insofar as Obama has perpetuated the Bush-Cheney policy, the British-Saudi policy of regime-change war, of international terrorism, we took the gloves off in Manhattan over these last days, targetting Obama. We had banners at our rallies throughout especially the East Side near the UN, midtown, Upper West Side: "LaRouche: Cameron Is Out; Make Obama Next!"; "Good-bye Cameron: Take the Pig with You", which had a picture of a pig with Obama's face on the pig; "Duterte Was Right! Obama is a Son-of-a-B****"; "Obama's Legacy – 5 Wars, 60 Million Refugees"; "Obama Backs ISIS in Syria"; and it goes on like this. That is, we hammered away at this.

Let me, however, locate this in the broader context of the

UN General Assembly. You have a revolution to finish off the British Empire on the 4th and 5th of September at the Hangzhou summit of the Group of 20; led by the Chinese under the direction of President Xi Jinping. You have the creation of a new financial architecture, of an international governance agency – the G20 – which is committed to a new economic order; the New Silk Road, a World Land-Bridge, which ideas were put forward in 1975 by Lyndon LaRouche. And brought by LaRouche's allies, in particular Ambassador Fred Wills, to the United Nations General Assembly for the first time 40 years ago this week. Without going through this history, those ideas, which we've organized for day by day over 40 years, have now created such a new economic foundation based on scientific and technological progress, great projects of infrastructure. This occurs at a moment when Deutsche Bank, the Italian banks, the London and Wall Street banks are facing their demise; far beyond the 2008 explosion.

In that context, you have the creation of this new system. The Chinese government, under Premier Li Qeqiang, over the last several days, has brought the breakthrough, the commitment of the Group of 20 summit to the United Nations General Assembly. What he has done, is to address on the sidelines of the General Assembly, 16 international organizations; and he put forward

the
conception of the UN Sustainable Development 2030 Agenda,
which
is a commitment adopted a year ago by the UN General Assembly
to
eliminate poverty by the year 2030. Except much of the
trans-Atlantic leadership, the Obama leadership, much of the
Western European leadership, the London-Wall Street oligarchy
posed sustainable development in terms of an orientation
toward
global genocide. What the Chinese have done, is to emphasize
the
development part of sustainable development; and they've
enlisted
the United Nations Development Program in a first Memorandum
of
Understanding between the UNDP and the Chinese government that
there is a transformation building as a result of the
leadership
of President Xi with President Putin, with the BRICS nations
as a
unit, which has taken the several summits – the G20 of early
September – into the General Assembly and from here into the
BRICS summit in mid-October in India. You have the unfolding
of
this new economic potential for humanity. The Chinese have
standing on the matter. As President Xi put it at Hangzhou,
they
have eliminated for 700 million Chinese; they will eliminate
poverty for 57 million more by 2020. They're spreading this
through the New Silk Road already to at least 70 nations, and
soon 100.

The great question is, what is the United States doing
in
response? What is Western Europe doing? In a discussion with
Mr.
and Mrs. LaRouche this morning, Mrs. LaRouche emphasized that

China is the stellar nation fighting for this perspective; but you do not have any such thing coming from the US or from Western

Europe yet, and therefore, the treason of Obama. Obama, who beyond aiding and abetting ISIS, al-Qaeda, al-Nusra, international terrorism – the irony at the UN this week of Obama

convening a summit on the refugee crisis; well, Obama created the

refugee crisis. What we have created with an international alliance under President Putin's leadership, and an international

development orientation into Syria and Iraq and the entire region, is that we've created the solution to the refugee crisis.

So, finally in this regard, in our work on the streets of

Manhattan this week, you had a super-charged environment. You had

a battleground there. There was, for example, a demonstration heavily funded by George Soros and the neo-cons attacking the Presidency of Rouhani of Iran; about 500 demonstrators attacking

Rouhani. Joe Lieberman becomes the spokesman of that demonstration; and Joe Lieberman announces that it's Iran that is

responsible – he says – for the mass murder of Syrians. Daniel Burke, LaRouche PAC leading organizer in the city, happens upon

this demonstration; and he yells out, "Lieberman, you're lying!

Obama, the Saudis are responsible for the mass murder in Syria."

And there's a short dialogue and Lieberman at some point seems to

agree with Daniel Burke. Then there was a demonstration organized

by the Syrian-American Forum, large numbers of Syrian-Americans and others there, and Lynne Speed – leading LaRouche organizer in New York – takes the microphone and she outlines what we have done to create the potential for this transformation in Syria. One of the Syrians there said, "What about Senator Richard Black?" And Lynne said, "Yes, he just spoke at a Schiller Institute conference which was addressed by Jeff Steinberg, by Helga LaRouche, by Ambassador Jafari of Syria." And Lynne develops the potential to end this regime-change policy by virtue of the G20 agenda, of the Chinese and Russian agenda. To crush terrorism militarily, and to develop, to reconstruct that region.

Daniel was interviewed at the same time by ABC Television in New York, who said, "Well, President Obama is giving his final UN address. What do you anticipate?" And Daniel said, "It's going to be treason; it's going to be a disaster. Obama has created the refugee crisis," and he spelled out the alternative policy which is what we now have on the table.

Let me conclude this way. A few hours ago, in our midtown New York organizing with the new {Hamiltonian} – and I should emphasize that the lead report in the {Hamiltonian}, the banner headline is "Appeal to the UN General Assembly: A New Paradigm for the Common Aims of Mankind". Helga LaRouche penned this appeal explicitly to build upon the G20 breakthrough; the G20 revolution. And the challenge that she posed to the governments of the world, many of whom are receiving this {Hamiltonian}; we've gotten out about 4000 {Hamiltonian}s in several days. We

will intensify this over the weekend and into next week, with that LaRouche PAC – Schiller Institute appeal. The idea is the challenge to the governments of the world to join China and Russia and India and the BRICS; to join LaRouche under LaRouche's leadership. What happened this morning as we were distributing this and organizing, a Chinese businessman came to our table, and he said, "If the US and China work together, we can solve all the problems of the world. Drugs; terrorism; war. But the US doesn't want to do it. India and China are doing things; in the US, it's just talk and fighting. If you want to be rich, build a road. It makes everyone richer. If more Americans were like you," he said to our organizers, "we could solve all problems." I think that's a useful microcosm. The LaRouche Movement, the new Presidency, which LaRouche is creating, centered in New York with the {Hamiltonian}; working with China with the BRICS countries. That's my report.

OGDEN: Thank you very much Elliott. As can be made no more clear, the lever of history is in our hands in terms of every single element. I think if you're inspired by that kind of intensity of organizing, as many members of the LaRouche PAC New York City chapter, activists with the New York City were engaged in on Tuesday; they took the bus down to Washington DC to be involved in these JASTA rallies. That made a huge difference in the White House rally and the Senate rally. These are people

who

have the physical means to do that. You, right now, can pick up

the telephone and call Congress. We still have a few more minutes

on the East Coast before Congress leaves for the weekend. Call them now! That's why we're recording at this early time; we're going to display again the phone number on the screen. This is the Capitol switchboard; you can call both of your Senators, and

you can call your House of Representatives member, and tell them

now is the time to override Obama's veto.

Jeff, I know you want to follow up on that report from Elliott. I think some of these developments over the weekend also

in terms of the situation in Syria on the ground, underscore even

more how critically important it is right now that Obama is receiving this sort of rebuke from inside Washington DC.

STEINBERG: I want to say two things. Number one, I think for

those citizens out there who've not engaged in this kind of level

of political mobilization, let me be very clear. If you walk into

any office on Capitol Hill, the first thing you encounter are several young people, usually they're new employees – fresh out

of college – sometimes they're even interns. They're there taking phone calls. And they are instructed by every member of Congress, to take detailed notes on every constituent call that

comes in there. Those members of Congress – and of course, we're

two months away from elections in which every member of the

House

and one-third of the Senate are up for re-election. They want to

know what issues are on their constituents' minds. When Congress

was considering whether to give President Obama the authority to

bomb Syria back in September of 2013, the switchboards were ringing off the hook on Capitol Hill. Every office received massive numbers of phone calls, emails, letters; and they were running 100 to 1 against any kind of new wars in the Middle East

involving the United States. So, you do make a difference.

I think the other thing that needs to be remembered in the

context of what Elliott just reported, is that one of the things

that is going on, on both sides of the Atlantic, is that the entire financial system is crumbling at an accelerating rate. If

anything, we are also at a moment where not only is it necessary

to pass JASTA and to get this treacherous President out of office

– not wait 'til January, not wait 'til the November elections –

but now! After what he's just done, it should be clear as day.

But the other thing that's got to be done immediately, is

Congress must take up the Glass-Steagall Act. There are bills in

both Houses of Congress to reinstate Glass-Steagall; which means

breaking up the too-big-to-fail banks.

Now, the FDIC, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation

which insures your deposits in the Federal commercial banks across the United States, has issued a report in the last few

days in which they reported in great detail and with great alarm, that the major banks of Europe and the United States have once again built up such a mountain of leveraged debt that they could blow at any moment. The bank that stands out above all others is Deutsche Bank, which is notionally a German bank but really is headquartered in London and is the largest bank in the world in terms of derivatives exposure. But Societe Generale of France, Credit Suisse, the Union Bank of Switzerland, Goldman-Sachs, and a number of the other major European banks – Banco Santander of Spain; the leverage that these banks have built up criminally, is worse than where things stood at the time that Lehman Brothers blew out. The leverage of debt to reserves of Deutsche Bank is over 37 to 1; and all the other banks that we're talking about here have leverage ratios of 25 to 1, 30 to 1. So, this whole system is about to blow; and once again, the Obama administration, in the same way it's opposed to JASTA, is opposed to reinstating Glass-Steagall. Congress has to really stand up; and you've got to be the spine that pushes that issue forward. As well as what we've been talking about in the first part of this show; about the urgency of a veto override of JASTA and moves to bring this President down all together.

OGDEN: I could foresee Glass-Steagall being the second veto override of Obama's administration.

STEINBERG: Right; exactly. And it's got to happen in September; we can't wait 'til after November for this, it's too risky.

OGDEN: Absolutely. I want to leave you with a quote from Kristen Brightweiser, who's one of the widows of 9/11; her husband was killed in the 9/11 attacks. She said – and I think this is right to the point: "It is reprehensible that one man is standing between justice for the murder of 3000 people and this legislation becoming law. The President and the Congress should be listening to American citizens, not a bunch of lobbyists who represent a foreign nation."

So, I think it couldn't be more clear at this point that this is the most dramatic development of recent history. The degree to which the Obama administration has been forced to put itself out there, to show its true colors in the face of unanimous opposition from both parties of the United States Congress; we never could have reached this point without the concerted action of citizens of the United States under the leadership of LaRouche PAC and allied forces. It is a testament to the fact that the kind of focussed deployment of our operations into New York City and elsewhere across the country, have had a substantial historical effect. We do have our finger on the lever of history; not only in terms of what's happening now at this very moment with JASTA and the showdown there with the Obama White House. But also what's happening on the world

stage, with what's occurring now in the United Nations General Assembly; the transition into a completely New Paradigm, a new international economic world order. Which is what has been on the table for 40 years plus, coming out of the leadership of Lyndon and Helga LaRouche, and the development of the entire New Silk Road, the World Land-Bridge. This is the future of the United States. If we are able to take our government back, to take our Constitutional republic back into the hands of the American citizens and out of the hands of this Obama-London-Riyadh triangle; and if we are able to force through the Glass-Steagall Act in a timely and urgent manner, we can immediately become participants in the New Paradigm, as has been so clearly illustrated by what is happening in China, what's happening with China's alliances with the other BRICS nations, and what I'm sure will be the number one issue on the table this weekend at the United Nations General Assembly summit.

So, that appeal that Elliott referenced from Helga Zepp-LaRouche, which is now going into the hands of UN ambassadors and others as we speak, this is critical; at the same time that I know that over the course of this weekend, everything will be dominated by this fight over the JASTA veto override. Congress will be in session at the beginning of next week; and as much pressure as can be brought right now before they leave their offices for Friday evening, and everything that can be done in the districts. The district offices, high-profile appearances, op-eds, call into radio shows; everything that you can do over the next 24-48 hours, will determine what happens on Monday

morning when Congress comes back into session.

So again, the number is on the screen – 202 224-3121 – you can call into your Congressional office; you still have a few moments left to do so. Circulate this broadcast as widely as you can. That video excerpt that we showed you with Terry Strada, the other 9/11 families, and Senator Blumenthal; that's available on the LaRouche PAC website. You can circulate that, too. That debunks all of the lies that are coming from the Obama White House and the Saudi political lobbying machine; you can use that to inform your own activism over the course of this week.

So, I'd like to thank Jeff Steinberg for joining us here today. Elliott, do you have any final words from New York that you want to tag onto the end of this show here?

GREENSPAN: Insofar as Lyndon LaRouche's objective with the creation of the {Hamiltonian} newspaper was to launch a new Presidency of the United States, a new institution of the Presidency independent of these hated candidates, independent of Obama, this is a fascinating and decisive moment; where Obama, for the first time in his entire Presidency, is about to be overridden. Where Obama can be brought down. Jeff emphasized LaRouche's point about impeachment; we will now take the {Hamiltonian} onto the streets in a heightened way tomorrow morning and Sunday and into next week, to build both this process of override, potential impeachment, Glass-Steagall, and the new Presidency. And you ought to come to the New York town meeting tomorrow, the dialogue with LaRouche.

OGDEN: Right; and that will broadcast live also on the LaRouche PAC website. So, thank you very much. This is a revolutionary moment; I'm glad that we were able to join you live today as this news broke. We hope that you will be very active over the coming 24 hours. Please stay tuned to larouchepac.com; and good night.