

Opgør med Obama over JASTA- loven og Glass-Steagall. LaRouchePAC Internationale Fredags-webcast, 16. sept. 2016

Med indgangen til denne weekend har vi set en dramatisk række udviklinger, inklusive det faktum, at netop på tærsklen til 15-års dagen for 11. september, vedtog Repræsentanternes Hus JASTA-loven, Loven om retsforfølgelse af sponsorer af terrorisme. Dette sker kort tid efter, at Senatet ligeledes vedtog loven for et par måneder siden. Men det blev fra Obamas Hvide Hus gjort meget klart, at han agtede at nedlægge veto imod loven. I sammenhæng med denne dramatiske optrapning af kampen, deltog Schiller Instituttet i en række meget, meget historiske koncerter, sponsoreret af Fonden for Genoplivelse af Klassisk Kultur i New York City-området og New Jersey: Fire koncertopførelser efter hinanden af Mozarts *Rekviem* – koncerter med stor deltagelse af publikum, inklusive en koncert, der fandt sted i sammenhæng med en messe, som biskop [Nicholas] DiMarzio fra Brooklyn-bispedømmet holdt i fælleskatedralen St. Joseph i søndags, den 11. september.

Engelsk udskrift.

Showdown with Obama over JASTA & Glass-Steagall

IS CONGRESS MORE COWARDLY AND GUTLESS THAN
THE BRITISH HOUSE OF COMMONS?

LaRouche PAC International Webcast
September 16, 2016

MATTHEW OGDEN: Hello! Today is September 16th, 2016.

My name

is Matthew Ogden, and you're joining us for our weekly broadcast

here on Friday evening with the LaRouche PAC webcast. I'm joined

in the studio today by Jeff Steinberg, from {Executive Intelligence Review}; and via video, by Diane Sare, member of the

LaRouche PAC Policy Committee, and coordinator of activities up

in New York City.

We're meeting here in the immediate aftermath – really in

the midst – of the further developments that came out of this past weekend. Going into this weekend we had a dramatic series of

developments, including the fact that right on the eve of the 15th Anniversary of 9/11, the House of Representatives unanimously passed the JASTA Bill, the Justice Against Sponsors

of Terrorism Act. This is on the heels of the Senate doing the same thing a few months ago. But it was made very clear from the

Obama White House that he was going to veto this Bill. In the context of that dramatic escalation on this battle, the Schiller

Institute participated in a series of very, very historic concerts sponsored by the Foundation for the Revival for Classical Culture in the New York City area and in New Jersey: four concerts in a row of the Mozart *Requiem* – very, very well-attended concerts, including one that happened in the context of a mass celebrated by Bishop [Nicholas] DiMarzio of the

Brooklyn Diocese at the Co-Cathedral of St. Joseph on Sunday, September 11th.

We're going to begin our broadcast tonight with a very

short

set of remarks that were delivered Terry Strada, the widow of Tom

Strada, and leader of the 9/11 Families Against State Sponsors of

Terrorism, who attended the concert in Morristown, New Jersey on

Monday, September 12th, at which she endorsed the concert and was

invited to the stage to express her views about the crime of 9/11. You'll see what Terry Strada had to say, which was delivered before the music began, during that Monday concert.

I'd

like to just begin with a quick excerpt of Terry Strada's remarks.

LYN YEN (pre-recorded): At this point I would like to introduce somebody very special to all of you. Many of you probably know of her. Her name is Terry Strada. She is the national chairwoman of the 9/11 Families United for Justice Against Terrorism. She and her organization were instrumental in

the release of the 28 pages of the congressional report on 9/11.

Without further ado, I'm going to turn the mic over to her.

[applause]

TERRY STRADA (pre-recorded): Thank you! Thank you very much!

My name is Terry Strada, and I lost my husband on September 11th,

2001. Tom was 41 years old when he went to work that day, and never came home again. We have three children. At the time, they

were 7 years old, 4 years old, and our youngest was only 4 days old.

On September 12th, 2001 I woke up – well, I probably didn't sleep that night – so when the sun came up, the questions were,

"Who did this? Why would they do this?", and "How could they possibly do this? How could they attack our country like this, and how could they kill so many innocent people in one day?"

Because I wanted to know the answers, I started to ask the questions more and more. And so did more and more 9/11 families.

United to Bankrupt Terrorism was our first title, and now we're

United Together for Justice Against Terrorism. Of course it was

the 28 pages that we focused on in the beginning that needed to

be released. And when they were released, there were two key things that we learned. One is that Saudi Arabia has never been

fully investigated for the role that they played in 9/11; and two, that it is indisputable that the Saudis played a very important role in 9/11.

The second piece of legislation that I've been working on

for over four years now is called the Justice Against Sponsors of Terrorism Act. This bill is intended to fix a minor problem in

our current Foreign Sovereignty Immunity Act of 1976. So, it's a

40-year-old law that has stood for 40 years, until we looked further into the Saudi's role in 9/11, found the evidence, and tried to hold them accountable.

What happened next was that the courts decided to misinterpret the law and dismiss them on sovereign immunity. Make

no mistake. No country, no entity, no individual is entitled

to
immunity – sovereign immunity, any type of immunity – in the
case of a terrorist act. This bill is intended to hold {any
nation} accountable for a terrorist attack on U.S. soil that
kills United States citizens.

We've chosen this path because it's a peaceful way to
fight
terrorism. We don't want to see more bloodshed; we don't ever
want to see more people die over 9/11. And we also want to
protect our borders; we want to protect our country; we want
to
protect {you}; I want to protect my children. And the way that
we
do this, is by holding the nations accountable that fund known
terrorist organizations, like al-Qaeda, ISIS, Boko Haram.

People say, "You can't fight the lone wolf." I say,
"Yes, we
can!" If we cut off the funding, and we destroy their
capabilities to recruit and incite, and bring on more terror
and
to brainwash people, we can eliminate ISIS. And that is our
long-term goal. The short-term goal, right now, is to get
Saudi
Arabia off of this crazy [situation] that they're not held
accountable.

In May, the Senate passed unanimously this Bill, and
on
Friday, September 9th, it went to the U.S. House of
Representatives. I was in the gallery and was honored and
proud
to see each and every one of our 435 Members in the House vote
"Yes" for JASTA. [applause] Thank you, thank you. I don't
really
know how many times this has ever happened on our history,
that
we have both Chambers of Congress voting "Yes" unanimously.
What

this means for the President: as of 4:00 today, he was still threatening to veto the Bill. The Bill will be sent over to his

office for signature later on tonight, at the latest tomorrow. We're doing everything we can to convince him to not do this.

You probably hear things in the news – and I'll kind of wrap this up, because I want to hear the music as badly as you do

– but you may hear in the news, things about the Bill. They're simply not true, if they're coming from the Administration. Unfortunately, they are the mouthpiece for the Saudis at this point. We just need to point out to them how important this is,

to hold them accountable – any nation, going forward – would be

held accountable, and how important it is for our country to have

that type of security net.

If the President does decide to veto this Bill, it will be

our last hurdle; it may be our biggest. But we plan to overcome

it, and override the veto. Hopefully the Senate and the House will fall into line and do that for us.

If there's anything that you'd like to do to help, going

forward, it's passtjasta.org. That's our website. It's updated as

often as I can get to a computer and update it. There are usually

just simple instructions of how you can reach out to the White House, your Representative, or a co-sponsor of the bill. This is

very important legislation. I thank you very much for taking the

time to listen to me, and now I'm so honored to have these

wonderful musicians. I have heard them practice. You are in for a treat. This is going to be a very wonderful time now for us to just transcend ourselves from the evil, to a higher place – to a place where Good is. I believe Good will win, and I thank you for coming. [applause]

OGDEN: So, as you can see, we're right in the midst of a {very} active battle on the JASTA front, as Terry Strada had to say, right there. It's all dependent on action that is taken in the next coming days and hours, in order to create the conditions where Obama is boxed in, and to create the kind of upsurge that is necessary around this. I know there are actions being planned to be taken in Washington, D.C. in the coming days. I'll let Jeff talk about some of that, and also mention some of the activities that {you} were involved in, Jeff, up in New York City, at the same time, this past weekend, as these events were taking place.
So...

JEFFREY STEINBERG: Yes, there will be a series of demonstrations on Tuesday in Washington, D.C., which is when the House and the Senate will be back in town. There'll be a demonstration in front of the White House. And then, also, another demonstration, I

believe, on the Senate side of the Capitol grounds.

The point is very obvious. I think Mr. LaRouche put it succinctly: everything that Obama has done as President has been

a reflection of the fact that he's an agent of the British System, the British Monarchy. Therefore, it should be presumed that, even though he will make himself an avowed enemy of the American people – and especially the 9/11 families – if he does

go ahead with this veto, we should assume that that's exactly what he will do, because that's what the British want him to do.

They don't care about justice for the 2,997 people who perished

on 9/11, or the perhaps-by-now tens of thousands of people – first responders, others who were at the scene at the World Trade

towers, at the Pentagon, in Pennsylvania – who suffered tremendously, and have developed diseases, illnesses related to

9/11. There's one estimate that 40,000 people have been afflicted

with serious illnesses because of their heroic actions immediately following the 9/11 attacks.

Obama is on the other side. These are impeachable crimes.

Being an agent of a foreign power, while serving in the Executive

Branch of the U.S. government – I mean, that is treachery. The fact of the matter is that Obama can be *forced* by an enormous amount of public mobilization, to go forward and actually sign the Bill into law, or simply let it pass into law without his signature. But that's going to be only on the basis that he is convinced that there will be an overwhelming, bipartisan, near-unanimous voice vote, if he attempts to veto the Bill.

This is an existential issue, not just for the Saudis, but

for the British as well. Remember, a month or so back, at the height of the mobilization after the Senate unanimously passed JASTA, a British Tory Member of Parliament wrote in the {Daily Telegraph} that if the Saudis can be sued by the families, then the British can be sued also. Now, he claimed it was because of failure to crack down on the jihadist networks that were given safe haven in Britain, but we know that the reality is, that Prince Bandar was the central figure organizing the support for the 9/11 hijackers. We know it through the 28 pages, and that barely scratches the surface of the evidence. Bandar's another British agent. Bandar and Margaret Thatcher, in 1985, created the Al-Yamamah program, and it was funds from that Al-Yamamah program that were probably going into the hands of the 9/11 hijackers.

So this is a {very big deal}. And it's going to come down to a head-to-head political battle. Everyone listening to this broadcast should contact your senators, contact your representatives. Deliver a very blunt message to the White House, that this will be considered an act of treachery, perhaps an act of treason, on the part of President Obama, if he fails to sign JASTA into law and allow the 9/11 families, at last, their day in court. No matter where the evidence goes, no matter what's proven or not proven, they have every right under our Constitutional system to take appropriate legal action in a court against the Saudis, and the JASTA Bill removes what in this case was an illegitimate sovereign immunity.

So, I think that's where we stand.

This past weekend of 9/11 in New York, the Schiller Institute had an extraordinary event. And the full video of that is up on this website; and I would urge everybody to watch it. There was another event that took place at the historic Cooper Union campus in lower Manhattan; and I was honored to speak on a panel on the 28 pages at that conference. There were a number of other speakers: Judge Imposimato from Italy, who was the judge who went after the Gladio apparatus around the strategy of tension attempted coups in Italy in the 1970s and '80s, the Moro assassination. He was one of the keynote speakers. Dan Sheehan, the lawyer who broke open the Iran/Contra affair spoke. But everybody was laser focused on the implications of the 28 pages and JASTA; and the importance now of making sure – as Senator Bob Graham said at a recent event in Washington, DC, the release of the 28 pages just simply pops the cork. Now we go after the full content of the bottle. There are millions of pages of classified material that are yet to come out that deal with Sarasota, Florida, San Diego, California, Paterson, New Jersey, Herndon, Virginia, and other locations unknown. And of course in Europe, Hamburg, Germany. The point I made both at the Schiller Institute event and at the Cooper Union event, is that there is no statute of limitation on the truth; and we've got to drive that point forward.

OGDEN: At the Schiller Institute event in New York on Saturday, September 10th, not only did Jeff speak, not only

did

Virginia State Senator Dick Black deliver a very dramatic and sharp speech. But also, the Ambassador from Syria to the United

Nations was the featured guest, and delivered a very strong speech in which he denounced the Obama administration and the Bush administration campaign of regime change that has taken place in the region over the course of the last 15 years since 9/11. Starting with Saddam Hussein, then with Qaddafi, and then

with the attempted regime change against Assad in Syria. I think

that the developments of this week, with the lower house of the

UK Parliament where they delivered a very strong rebuke to David

Cameron in terms of the grounds on which the Libyan invasion was

launched; which led to Cameron's resignation. This also applies

just as much to the case of Obama; and this is something which Obama is continuing to push during his time in office. So, I think all of these are coming together in the context of Obama's

rejection on his trip to Asia, during the ASEAN and G20 summits.

He is being boxed in; and his true colors are very clear as he sides with the Saudis against the American people. I don't think

it can be taken for granted that the conjunction of all of these

events up in New York City over the weekend has set up the most

dramatic political showdown of Obama's entire career, as we go into the coming days here in Washington.

So Diane, you probably want to give a little bit more of a

context to that.

DIANE SARE: I think what we're talking about is a certain profound question of justice; not retribution, but the ability for mankind to actually move forward. What distinguishes Asia and Russia under Vladimir Putin from the United States and the trans-Atlantic system is that these nations are actually committed to a world in which the future is brighter than the present or the past. That is, the leaders of these nations are saying, my children, my grandchildren, my great-grandchildren are going to live in a world in which their standard of living is higher, their educational level is superior, the number of diseases they're subjected to is fewer; the way that Americans used to think not so long ago. Part of the significance of the work of the chorus, which is here directed by John Sigerson and myself, is to remind the American people what is actually a human standard; as opposed to a bestial standard. I have to say in that regard, I found Senator Richard Black's comments and particularly his passion and his anguish when he was describing what was being done in Syria by these so-called "moderate" Syrian rebels who the US is funding; the people we are funding who are beheading children that they're kidnapping out of hospitals, or the rebels we are funding who are carting women around in cages. Where our own State Department spokesman says, "Well, how can we stop funding them? We're not going to judge what they do on one single

incident of beheading. Other than the fact that they behead children, they might be wonderful." It is so evil! And the idea

that Americans have lost the capacity to feel outrage when you are confronted with this kind of evil; where it's all kind of numb, and people say, "Well, there's nothing you can do."

I think the process of the election of Hillary Clinton, who

may be suffering some serious illness, we don't know; who was destroyed by her capitulation to Barack Obama. And Donald Trump,

who's just a pure FBI thug lunatic. What's happening is that the

population is putting up a kind of teflon veneer where they are

trying not to think about anything. Of course, that's death; we

have to think. What you have in the rest of the world is a break-out of the human spirit, of this quality of creativity.

So, what happened this weekend, the involvement of our chorus in this series of concerts sponsored by the Foundation for

the Revival of Classical Culture, is that you got a glimmer of the power – I think both the fact that JASTA had passed the Senate and then the House on that Friday going into the weekend;

and then what we were presenting musically from the African-American spirituals to the Mozart to the Handel, in terms

of what it actually means for us to be human. And a certain quality of human life which we hold sacred; and which is not in

our physical being. And perhaps this was most powerfully sensed

at the Catholic mass which was dedicated to the firefighters and

those who had died on September 11th. The Bishop made the

point

that these are people who are giving their lives not simply for

their brothers or for their friends, but for complete strangers.

At the end of the Mass, it's not typical that people applaud and

give standing ovations; but when the Mass closed, the standing ovation of the crowd – it was very clear it was not simply for the musicians; but it really was in deep and profound appreciation of what these people had done.

I have a sense that we really are in a moment in the United

States like Germany in 1989. Maybe we're in May of '89 and not October yet; but there's a kind of awakening of the better spirit

of the American population. People are not prepared to sit back

and tolerate criminal injustice. If we will act with courage, since about two-thirds of the world is already on the other side

of this; and China, for example, the idea of talking about legalizing drugs? They would think you are completely insane; being addicted to drugs, distributing drugs is not tolerated. There's certainly a harsh crackdown on drug trafficking in the Philippines which we're seeing now; and I don't think Duterte appreciates Obama's accusations of human rights violations for someone who's trying to shut down the drug trade. In other words,

there's a whole different standard in the world which, when we find our courage and stand up, is going to resonate. There's no

reason for people to believe that what happens in this country is

going to be determined by the fraud of what's call the elections.

I would just say that what Jeff, you were saying earlier about

Obama; we have to really stick to this. There's no point in talking about the candidates unless Obama, who is the evil who is occupying the seat of leadership of this country right now, is removed from office and prosecuted in a criminal court.

STEINBERG: I just wanted to add something; a footnote on what Matt mentioned earlier, about the actions by the British House of Commons this week. The Foreign Affairs Committee produced a report, which was a damning indictment of Cameron, Sarkozy, and Obama over the disastrous consequences of the overthrow and assassination of Qaddafi in Libya. On a certain level, the British House of Commons – with everything that we know; with all the caveats about the power of the British monarchy and all of that – the House of Commons puts the US Congress to shame. They forced Cameron out of office; they forced his resignation from the House of Commons on the grounds of his role in the Libya disaster. Above all else, the United States, Britain, and France lied to the world – they also lied directly to Russia and China – when they promised at the outset that there would be no regime change in Libya; but it was strictly a humanitarian intervention.

Well, it turns out that the House of Commons report is, if anything, an even more stunning indictment of Obama than of Cameron. The report makes very clear that Cameron and Sarkozy were the drivers behind the need to intervene to prevent the humanitarian disaster in Benghazi. In fact, they were protecting British-created terrorist networks that were back in Libya from

having fought the wars in Afghanistan; the same wars that created al-Qaeda. But what was made clear is that it was President Obama who insisted that a no-fly zone was insufficient; a no-fly zone failed in Iraq, it failed in Bosnia, and it would fail in Libya. Therefore, the UN resolution had to have much more teeth; it had to be a "no-drive" zone. It had to give a carte blanche to defend the so-called victims of Qaddafi forces by all out military action.

On the one hand, everybody swore up and down to Russia and China that it was not about regime change. As a result, Russia and China abstained from the vote when the Security Council resolution was passed to create the no-fly zone and the no-drive zone; and to use all forms of military force that were deemed necessary to "save" the civilization population of Benghazi. Well, the report makes clear that after the first 24 hours, they were safe; there was no threat to them anymore. From that moment on, the whole exercise was all about regime change. There was one exchange where one of the ministers in the Cameron government at the time, Liam Fox, lied and said no, this was never about regime change; we didn't have any agenda. The questioner pointed out that on April 14, 2011, the *New York Times* published a signed op-ed by Barack Obama, David Cameron, and Sarkozy; in which the three of them said, "Qaddafi must go, and go for good." So,

they

were lying openly; and if anything, Obama did more heavy lifting

to create this disaster than either Sarkozy or Cameron. It included a conscious decision to assassinate a foreign leader.

So, the British House of Commons has delivered a new bill of

impeachment against President Obama; and I hope that Congress will take that seriously. Needless to say, the US media has blacked out the story totally up to this point.

OGDEN: In a very real way, this is like a Chilcot Report 2.0

in the case of Libya and Obama's role in that. Honestly, that's

exactly what you called for, Diane, in the petition that you were

circulating about a month or a month and a half ago. Look at what's developed since the publication of that petition: We've won the fight on the release of the 28 pages; although there are

tens of thousands of other pages that need to be released. JASTA

has gone through – this was your point, too – JASTA has gone through both the Senate and the House; and despite the fact that

Obama is still threatening to veto, as it stands, it could be overridden in the first veto override of the Obama administration. Although he's trying to delay it, and deploying

Saudi agents crawling Capitol Hill right now. We have reports that the Saudi Foreign Minister is personally going to Capitol Hill and meeting with members of the Senate; threatening that you

have to withdraw your support for this JASTA bill. But that is a

fight which is active; this Chilcot Report type of approach,

as

you called for in your petition, Diane, this is what we now see

coming out of the UK House of Commons. Also, the overarching question of cooperation between the United States and Russia to actually defeat terrorism worldwide.

I thought it was very important that this was one of the themes around these concerts with the image of the 9/11 Teardrop

Memorial in Bayonne, New Jersey; which was contributed by Russia

in support of the world's struggle against terrorism after 9/11.

And also, during this Schiller Institute seminar in New York City

on Saturday, Senator Dick Black pointed out that we're right in

the midst of this ceasefire negotiation between Kerry and Lavrov.

And according to reports, Kerry has really isolated himself from

the Obama administration; or at least there's a faction which is

trying to actively undermine these efforts to work with Lavrov.

So, these are ongoing battles; and it's all around the question

of the type of leadership that was exhibited in that petition that you put out about a month and a half ago, Diane. Obviously,

we're now preparing for the convening of the United Nations General Assembly this week and next week in New York City. I think these events that were happening at the Schiller Institute

conference with the Syrian ambassador and so forth, should be

seen as preparing the way for what will be the defining questions

– hopefully – put on the table at the UN General Assembly.

Both

that and the question of the new international financial architecture, coming out of the G20 meetings; we have a big responsibility on that as it comes to the question of Glass-Steagall, which is something that I'd like to get to in a moment.

But maybe, Diane, you want to say a little bit more about the events leading up to this UN General Assembly meeting.

SARE: Sure. Actually, I'm thinking, I don't know what the conspiracy is this time. Last year, as followers of our website know, President Putin had gone to China for the V-J Day parade and then come to the UN and proposed his coalition to wipe out ISIS; and created that to great effectiveness. Now, the UN General Assembly comes in the wake of the G20 meeting, the ASEAN meeting, the Vladivostok conference, where all of these nations which have been represented, signed more and more agreements with each other on various economic projects and so on. And, I think it's significant that, going into the G20, Xinhua ran that interview with the Russian representative; who said that Beijing and Moscow must work with Washington. We have to bring Washington in on this; and Washington is a complex and unpredictable partner, I think were the words that were used. So, clearly,

I'm
sure that they're not coming into this General Assembly with
no
plans. I don't know what plans they have; I think it'll be
very
interesting as it unfolds. I think it's very important for
Americans to do our part to make the United States less full
of
complexes; I'll put it that way, and less unpredictable by
addressing this Obama criminality problem. I think what Jeff
said
about Cameron – it really is something. Tony Blair first with
the Chilcot Inquiry; now you have this whole report on Libya.
Any
American, any member of Congress can get their hands on this;
and
it is absolutely damning in terms of Obama's role. I think
that
would be an important contribution for the United States,
because
the truth of the matter is, we should be part of the Belt and
Road; we should be part of the New Paradigm. The American
people
are suffering horrifically, horrendously with this economic
collapse; and I guess that brings us to the point that you
were
talking about, which is the Glass-Steagall moment.

OGDEN: Right; exactly. On that subject, let me just read the
text
of the institutional question we got for this week. I know Mr.
LaRouche had a direct response to this. It reads: "Mr.
LaRouche,
Massachusetts Senator Elizabeth Warren is marking the 8th
anniversary of Lehman Brothers' bankruptcy with a new push to
investigate and potentially jail more than two dozen
individuals

and corporations who were referred to the Justice Department for criminal prosecution in 2011 by the Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission, a government-appointed group that investigated the roots of the 2008 financial crisis. None was ever prosecuted. In a letter to the Justice Department's Inspector General, Warren calls the lack of prosecutions 'outrageous and baffling', and asks the Inspector General, Michael Horowitz, to investigate why no charges were brought. 'The DoJ record of action on these individuals nearly six years after DoJ received the referrals, is abysmal,' she writes.

"In your view, is Senator Elizabeth Warren's new push to investigate and potentially jail nearly two dozen individuals and corporations who were referred to the Justice Department for possible criminal prosecution a step in the right direction; and will it help the prospects of Glass-Steagall's passage?"

So, Jeff, maybe you want to say what Mr. LaRouche's response was on this.

STEINBERG: I think the point is, again, we're looking at the irresponsible behavior of leading government elected officials. The fact is, that in 1933, you had the Pecora Commission, which was a Senate investigation into the crimes of the too-big-to-fail banks of the Depression era; and bankers did go to jail. They were called to testify under oath before Congress; they were forced to produce their tax records; and there was a climate

that

was created through that process that led to the passage of Glass-Steagall and a number of other critical legislative initiatives in the first 100 days of the FDR Presidency. Where we

are today is in the midst of a financial crisis that's much larger, that's much more global in its scope than what we were dealing with in 1933. Yet, there's been virtually no significant

action by either the Justice Department or by Congress against these criminal swindlers who are CEOs of major banks. Just an illustration: The woman who was the head of the division of Wells

Fargo that was caught basically creating phony accounts for their

own customers in order to charge fees so that employees of the bank could get special bonuses; that person resigned from the bank, but received a \$125 million golden parachute on her way out

the door. It's night and day. So, Mr. LaRouche's comment on Glass-Steagall was, {"Do it!} It's needed right now; we can't wait another minute."

Today, Deutsche Bank was given a \$14 billion fine by the

Justice Department for their involvement in mortgage-backed securities fraud in the run-up to 2008 and beyond. Yet, no official of Deutsche Bank has gone to jail. In fact, Deutsche Bank's \$14 billion fine virtually bankrupts it; the entire bank

capitalization, market capitalization of the bank is \$19 billion.

Were they to pay the fine out of their own deposits, they'd be out of business tomorrow morning. So, we're at a moment where this is all deadly serious. Yes, of course, these bankers should

be put in jail; but why is Elizabeth Warren not doing more to push Glass-Steagall? Why is Elizabeth Warren instead tiptoeing

around the issue because she's basically been anointed by Hillary

Clinton as the attack dog against Donald Trump? Again, hold it up

to the gold standard of Franklin Roosevelt, the Pecora Commission, and you'll see that once again – as we just discussed with the British House of Commons actions compared to

the complete inaction on impeaching Obama or taking other measures to deal with these problems – it's shameful; and it's all part of a recurring pattern.

As Diane just said, there may only be four or five months

left in the Obama Presidency, but every day that he remains in office is a threat to the survival of this country and the survival of humanity. Libya was all about starting the process of

war provocations against Russia and China. Lyndon LaRouche warned

about that the day that Qaddafi was assassinated back in 2011; and we're still in that trajectory towards war. So, yes, we urgently need Glass-Steagall; it should be taken up immediately.

There are bills in both Houses. Yes, the Justice Department should reverse its policy of no jail time for too-big-to-fail bankers; throw them all in the slammer – they all deserve it. All of the top executives of all of the big eight US commercial

banks – they're all involved in multiple crimes, whether it's mortgage-backed securities fraud, LIBOR fraud; the crimes are manifest and the actions have been pathetic.

OGDEN: As you referred to, there is a major problem with the

Democrats right now being compromised because of their defense of

Obama and Hillary; both of whom are avowed – as it stands

right

now – opponents of the restoration of Glass-Steagall. As Terry Strada said, right now the Obama White House is a spokesman for

the Saudi regime; but in the exact same way, it's a spokesman for

Wall Street. You have Glass-Steagall in both party platforms; you

have the biggest mobilization in years from some of the trade union movements – the AFL-CIO – other activist layers around Glass-Steagall. Getting this to a vote – preferably {before} the

elections take place, if not sooner – but the problem is, you have an intention from the top to suppress this from within the

ranks of the Obama faction of the Democratic Party, which Hillary

Clinton finds herself in right now.

I would say that there is also a broader, a little bit of a

deeper agenda here; and this came up in the discussion we had yesterday with Lyn and Helga. Helga pointed out that there's a recent report that has now been put out by the Club of Rome, which has been around for 40 years now – an avowedly neo-Malthusian movement to reduce population; that's been their

agenda – and the title of the report in German is "One Percent Is Enough"; explicitly saying that the kind of dramatic growth percentages that you see year on year on year, coming out of China and other countries that are now part of this New Silk Road

dynamic, is somehow dangerous to the planet. And that we must enforce a zero-growth or at least very low growth agenda; which

is what is coming out of the British monarchy and is coming out

of a lot of these trans-Atlantic circles. That is really the

foundation around which this fight between the new international economic order, this New Paradigm that you see coming out of China, the BRICS, and the Silk Road countries, versus the Obama, trans-Atlantic regime that's taking place. It's a longstanding, deeply rooted, ideological opposition to the idea of the no limits to growth, perpetual increases in productivity kind of idea of mankind; which Mr. LaRouche has made a career out of defending and deepening with his approach to physical economics.

So, you have this as a deeper agenda which, again, Obama has found himself as a spokesman for, and has made it very explicit on multiple occasions. Remember his trip to Africa, where he said you guys aren't allowed to have access to modern technology such as electricity; because if you do, the planet will boil over. And on other occasions, he said, we don't need any fancy stuff like fusion power or anything like that.

So again, I think it comes down to this much deeper idea of what is your conception of man; and what is your scientific idea of this perpetual progress; or the conception that there is no limit to creative discovery, there are no limits to growth. That's the deeper agenda that we now see also bubbling to the surface.

STEINBERG: These guys are genocidal lunatics; they've been that way for 40 years. The LaRouche Movement was in a certain sense, launched as a war against the Club of Rome when they

came

out with their 1972 report, "Limits to Growth". The whole history

has been a battle between those led by Mr. LaRouche and Mrs. LaRouche, who represent the principle of real human creativity;

versus people who work for an oligarchical system that is consciously out to suppress it. You've got Prince Philip, the British royal consort, calling for the population reduction of this planet by 80%. If the Club of Rome report, 1% cap on growth,

were to be put into effect, this would represent mass genocide on

an unprecedented scale in human history; and it would happen right away.

OGDEN: Right. As was made very clear in these concerts over the

weekend, there's an element of inspiration which, when it is unleashed in the American population, it is overwhelming. The

kind of turn-out that we saw at these concerts in New York – full to capacity audiences in almost every single venue;

including downtown Manhattan, the cathedral in Brooklyn, a concert that happened in Morristown, one that happened in Lehman

College in the Bronx; there's an undercurrent of desire for this

kind of beautiful celebration of the nature of mankind. I thought

it was really significant to place Mozart's *Requiem*, which is an incredibly profound and has a resonance which goes deep in the

American population; including the fact that the last time it was

celebrated as part of a Mass was 50 years ago at the request of

Jackie Kennedy, at a memorial service for the slain President,

John F Kennedy, at the Cathedral of the Holy Cross in Boston. That was the last time this was presented in the context of the mass itself. But the conjunction of that, together with these four African-American spirituals, which is this call for justice, for freedom, and for the dignity of the human being; putting these together in the counterpoint between the two, it really did awaken something very profound and very deeply rooted in the people who participated in this community chorus which is growing in an exponential way, but also in the people who participated as members of the audience. So Diane was the conductor of the first part of these concerts, of the African-American spirituals, and I would personally say, I think you were channeling the spirit of Sylvia Olden Lee and her collaborators. It was very moving, and I think it's part of something that we're going to see continue to grow; in not just the desire for justice, but the desire for human dignity and creativity among the American people.

So, maybe you want to say a little bit about what the plans are for the community chorus in that context, Diane.

SARE: I hope it's going to grow, and there are a lot of plans. But my parting words to our audience would be: One, that people should join the actions in front of the White House on Tuesday in support of the JASTA bill and against Obama's veto; and a challenge to the American people and the members of the

US

Congress. Which is, are you more cowardly and gutless than the British House of Commons? If they can throw out Cameron and expose the crimes against humanity that he has participated in, and if they are already naming Obama; what is holding you back?

OGDEN: Good. Well, those events in front of the White House are scheduled currently for 12:30pm on Tuesday, and apparently there may be another rally in front of the Senate at 2pm the same afternoon. So, if you are in the area, or you can make it into DC, that's something to participate in. There will probably be more information on the passJASTA.org website that Terry Strada mentioned at the beginning of this broadcast in her remarks at that Morrystown concert. And please, circulate tonight's webcast as widely as you can so that Terry Strada's very emphatic statement that she made at that concert can be heard more widely. I think this is something that needs to be heard by the American people; and that's something that you have a responsibility to assist in.

So, I'd like to thank both Diane and Jeff for joining me here today. Please stay tuned to larouchepac.com; we will have coverage of this rally next Tuesday, and you can join us for our regularly programming as well. So thank you very much, and good night.