

# USA må tilslutte sig det Nye Paradigme; Trump må ikke gå i briternes 'under falsk flag'-fælde! LaRouche PAC Internationale Webcast, 21. april, 2017; Leder

*... LPAC's mål stadig er det samme. Et meget klart mål, der har to sider:*

*1) At befri USA fra det britiske imperie-systems forsøg på at bruge USA til at buldoze verden ind i Tredje Verdenskrig; en fare, der netop nu er særdeles reel, med situation i Syrien, der er ved at blive hed efter Trumps-administrationens meget ukloge angreb mod den syriske regering dér, og som var baseret på ukorrekt efterretning. Samt den krigeriske, aggressive optrapning omkring situationen i Nordkorea.*

*2) Men på den anden side, også at fortsætte kampagnen for at bringe USA ind i det Nye Paradigme, der netop nu vokser frem i hele verden.*

*Indledning:*

**Matthew Ogden:** Godaften, det er den 21. april, og dette er vores fredag aften webcast.

For blot nogle få timer siden havde vi en diskussion med både Lyndon og Helga LaRouche; og de understregede begge, at vores mål, som LaRouche Politiske Aktions-komite (LPAC), stadig er

det samme. Et meget klart mål, der har to sider:

1) At befri USA fra det britiske imperie-systems forsøg på at bruge USA til at bulldoze verden ind i Tredje Verdenskrig; en fare, der netop nu er særdeles reel, med situation i Syrien, der er ved at blive hed efter Trumps-administrationens meget ukloge angreb mod den syriske regering dér, og som var baseret på ukorrekt efterretning. Samt den krigeriske, aggressive optrapning omkring situationen i Nordkorea.

2) Men på den anden side, også at fortsætte kampagnen for at bringe USA ind i det Nye Paradigme, der netop nu vokser frem i hele verden.

Vi befinder os naturligvis i nedtællingen til Bælt & Vej-konferencen, der afholdes i Beijing i maj, om under tre uger; Kinas præsident Xi Jinping er vært for konferencen, men tæt ved 28 forskellige statsoverhoveder fra hele verden vil deltage. Vores kampagne er selvfølgelig stadig, at præsident Donald Trump personligt bør deltage i dette topmøde; og bør gengælde præsident Xi Jinpings tilbud om, at USA går med i Bælt & Vej-initiativet og bliver en del af dette Nye Paradigme for økonomisk udvikling og fred, versus det gamle, døende paradigme med Det britiske Imperiums geopolitiske del-og-hersk-strategi, der har bragt verden på randen af Tredje Verdenskrig.

Kineserne handler nu, har optrappet deres organiseringskampagne, for at organisere verden til denne forestående begivenhed. Det er af stor betydning, at der i USA vises øget opmærksomhed over for dette, i kølvandet på Schiller Instituttets konference, der fandt sted sidste torsdag og fredag, den 13. og 14. april, med titlen: »USA og Kina: Samarbejde om Bælt & Vej-initiativet«.

Der er nogle meget betydningsfulde citater, som vi vil afspille for jer her. Det begynder med et besøg, som formanden for den Nationale Kinesiske Folkekongres' Stående Komite,

Zhang Dejiang, havde, da han rejste til Moskva for at mødes med præsident Putin i onsdags, for at lægge fundamentet for præsident Putins besøg i Beijing. Han sagde:

*»Under præsident Xi Jinpings strategiske lederskab har vore bilaterale relationer og omfattende strategiske partnerskab nået et meget højt punkt. Kina ser frem til, og byder velkommen, Deres besøg i maj i år, for at deltage i Ét Bælt, én Vej Internationale Samarbejdsforum. De vil være den højeste æresgæst dér. De vil mødes med præsident Xi Jinping på sidelinjen af forummet, og dette har stor betydning for styrkelse af venskabet og samarbejdet mellem vore lande, og for promoveringen af bilateralt samarbejde inden for alle områder, i særdeleshed i dagens situation.«*

Zhangs besøg i Moskva er en del af et større organiseringsfremstød for Bælt & Vej-topmødet, som også inkluderede bemærkninger af præsident Xi Jinping under en turné i Sydkinas autonome region, Guangxi Zhuang, i onsdags. Han sagde:

*»Bælt & Vej-initiativet har fået stor anerkendelse i det internationale samfund, siden det blev fremsat; hvilket viser, at det er i overensstemmelse med folkenes vilje. Vi vil fremme Kinas store politik for åbenhed og udvikling inden for rammerne af Bælt & Vej, og vi vil yderligere fremme virkeliggørelsen af Kinas mål gennem to hundrede år, og den kinesiske drøm om den kinesiske nations store foryngelse.«*

**Sidste del af udskriftet på engelsk:**

**(Se også: Helga Zepp-LaRouches tale på konferencens første dag: (Fuld dansk tekst.)**

**»Samarbejde mellem Kina og USA om Bælt & Vej-Initiativet«.**

Together with this, there has been a press conference which was sponsored by the Transportation Ministry of China, and the

spokesman stated that "Transportation connectivity is the founding priority of the implementation of the Belt and Road Initiative." This press conference was hosted by the Transportation Ministry in order to highlight the growing scope

of the Belt and Road projects. The Transportation Ministry spokesman stated that more than 130 regional and bilateral transport agreements have already been signed; 56 international

road routes have been opened. He said that 4200 direct flights

now connect China with 43 different Belt and Road Initiative countries. And finally – and most significantly – 39

China-Europe freight train routes are now currently in operation.

So, this is clearly highlighting the extraordinary scope and growing magnitude of the Belt and Road Initiative as the paradigm

which is sweeping the planet. Also, just this past Wednesday, Xinhua.net published an interview with the President of Greece,

where he said, "The upcoming high-level forum on the Belt and Road Initiative is of global significance. The forum is important not only to China, but also to the rest of the world.

The forum will prove that in the new historical era, China will

play an important role in promoting the harmonious co-existence

of countries in the world."

Now this theme of the "harmonious co-existence of countries in the world" is a theme that the Chinese President and the Chinese government have highlighted on multiple occasions; comparing the Silk Road Initiative to a kind of symphony orchestra, where not one voice is more prominent than another; but the voices of all the instruments mix together in one harmonious co-existence. This is a metaphor for the New

Paradigm; not a unilateral world where one country's, or one bloc's, values and system are imposed on another country; but that the best of what every country has to offer is brought to the table in dialogue – culturally, economically, strategically.

And that the New Paradigm is based on this kind of “win-win” cooperation.

Now this was a theme that Helga Zepp-LaRouche, the founder of the Schiller Institute, took up in multiple ways in both her

keynote on the first day of the international Schiller Institute

conference which I referenced, up in New York City, on US-China

cooperation; but also in a very beautiful way in her speech on the second day of that conference. We're not going to play the

full speech for you here; it's available on the Schiller Institute website. But the theme that she brought up was a very

beautiful theme of the cultural dialogue between the best of what

Western European culture has to offer, and the Confucian tradition in China. She compared the writings of Friedrich Schiller, who is obviously the namesake of the Schiller Institute, and one of the leading thinkers of revolutionary Europe at the time of the American Revolution – he was called the Poet of Freedom; compared to the writings of the thousands'

year old philosopher of Chinese civilization, the philosopher Confucius. Both of these two philosophers' writings converge on

the idea that it is the aesthetical education and the aesthetical

development of the citizens of the country, which allows for peace and prosperity to become the reigning order of that nation,

or of that land. She read several extensive quotes from Confucius in which he developed the idea that the music reflects and reciprocally reflects back on the state of development of the mind of the people. An organized and developed form of music reflects and organized and developed form of society. She compared this to the writings that Friedrich Schiller had in his writings on {The Aesthetical Education of Man}, which he published in the wake of the failed French Revolution. Schiller elaborated that a republican form of government must make as its number one priority the aesthetical development of its people. She said that it's not a surprise for a country such as China, where President Xi Jinping has put a premium on the revival of this Confucian idea. She said that there's a renaissance of Confucian ideas and Confucian philosophy that is now taking place inside China. That this metaphor of a symphony orchestra, or of a chorus of voices joining together, should be one that the President of China uses in his discussion of what this new international paradigm of "win-win" mutually beneficial relationships between countries should be; that this is what is now organizing the world as an almost gravitational force towards this upcoming Belt and Road Initiative that's going to be hosted in Beijing by China in May. Now obviously, many countries around the world are now realizing what time it is; that in fact, China is emerging as the world's leading economic power and economic leader, and is bringing that kind of development perspective that it has

already applied domestically for its own people, to countries around the world. Former colonial countries in Africa building trains, building water projects there. Bringing this as part of a dialogue in South America for canal and rail projects there; and obviously, along the entire Belt and Road Initiative corridor. What is happening is that many countries are now saying, "We're on board!" The latest is Belarus. President Lukashenko there is saying that Belarus is going to become a hub of the One Belt, One Road Initiative inside Europe. Helga Zepp-LaRouche joked that Germany is now being surrounded by countries that wish to become a part of the New Silk Road. Whereas those countries are hubs of development, Germany is a hole of development right now. But you have also the announcement in recent weeks that many countries are now joining the Asia Infrastructure Investment Bank. This was an offer that President Xi Jinping directly put on the table during the APEX summit years ago in a joint press conference with President Barack Obama. Obama rejected it. Xi Jinping offered that the United States could enter into the Asia Infrastructure Investment Bank as one of its founding investors. This obviously remains an offer which is open, and these offers have been reiterated in the recent weeks surrounding President Xi Jinping's trip to the United States during his bilateral summit meeting

with President Trump in Mar-a-Lago, Florida. Very significantly, this was reiterated during the LaRouche Movement's Schiller Institute conference in New York City by the Deputy Consul General representing the Chinese Consulate in New York City. We're going to play a very short clip from her speech. It's very clear; she says "President Xi Jinping offers the United States to join China in cooperation in the Belt and Road Initiative framework. This is an opportunity that should be seized, and it's an opportunity that will be mutually beneficial to both countries involved." So, here's that clip:

CHINESE DEPUTY CONSUL GENERAL: "Last but not least, I want to quote President Xi as saying that China welcomes the United States to participate in cooperation within the Belt and Road framework. President Xi stressed that both countries have become each other's first largest trading partners, and both peoples have benefitted a lot from it. China's economy will maintain a sound development momentum and enhancement of economic and trade cooperation between the countries enjoys broad prospects. Both countries should really seize the opportunities."

OGDEN: During that conference, it was very significant that this representative of the Chinese government attended that conference, which was on the subject of US-Chinese cooperation around the Belt and Road Initiative; and again formally extended the offer to the United States and to President Trump to join this initiative and to cooperate with China around this New Paradigm of economic development for the planet. As the Deputy Consul General stated during the rest of her speech, and as



Helga

Zepp-LaRouche reiterated, this upcoming mid-May meeting of the Belt and Road Initiative that's being hosted in China is the opportunity. This is the inflection point for the United States

to change its policy.

Very provocatively, at that conference, Helga Zepp-LaRouche began the entire conference with her keynote presentation that she has stated that if President Trump were to decide to join this New Silk Road and to join this New Paradigm, he could become

one of the greatest Presidents in the history of the United States. She said that shocked a lot of people when she said that

several weeks ago; and despite the fact that a very ill-advised

action was taken by President Trump to enter into this confrontational stance with North Korea and also to take the initiative the Syrian air base in Syria, she said that statement

and characterization still stands. Because if he were to make that choice, that would mean that he was deciding to abandon the

British geopolitics of unilateral imperial war and confrontation,

and to become a part of this New Paradigm; which would mean an end to the British imperial system once and for all. Since that

point, it's been very clear that the British Empire has been involved directly in trying to bulldoze Donald Trump into this kind of World War III confrontation and away from the kind of cooperation that was clearly part of his campaign.

At the same time that the Chinese representative at that conference in New York City made that statement, that formal offer once again for the United States to join the Silk Road; also in attendance was the chargé d'affaires for the Permanent Mission of the Russian Federation to the United Nations. He

made

a very significant point which was similar. He said the Russian

people do not wish for confrontation with the United States of America; but wish instead for bilateral cooperation, a relationship of friendship, of mutual understanding and mutual development. And that this is, in fact, the opportunity which is

posed by the types of initiatives that were being discussed at that conference in New York City; this Schiller Institute Belt and Road Initiative conference.

Unfortunately, as we've documented and as we've continued to document, the Trump administration has been taken in by the kinds

of false propaganda drumbeat that is now being propagated from many of the leading media organizations in the United States, and

very profoundly from the British government. From Boris Johnson,

from the military layers inside Great Britain, and so forth.

However, since the time that President Trump made the decision to

launch the airstrikes against the Syrian government, based on the

supposed intelligence that Assad had used chemical weapons against his own people, increasingly there has been evidence that

has been coming out from very credible sources, that in fact that

intelligence was flawed; and perhaps was even faked as a false flag initiative in order to pull Trump into this war. Very similar to the type of lies that came out of Tony Blair in 2003

in order to pull the United States into the war against Iraq with

the so-called "weapons of mass destruction". There was a very significant interview that was delivered by President Assad to

Sputnik News just yesterday, which is now being circulated today.

We're going to read a few clips from that interview for you. What President Assad had to say in this interview, as you can see on the screen, with Sputnik News, was that this was a false flag. He said, "We formally sent a letter to the United Nations, asking in that letter to send a delegation in order to investigate what happened in Khan Sheikhun. Of course until this moment, they didn't send anyone, because the West and the United States blocked any delegation from coming. Because if they come, they will find out that all their narratives were a false flag.

So for us, there was no gas attack and no gas depot. It was a false flag play, just to justify the attack on Syria. That's what happened. The attack was already prepared. They didn't want to listen; they didn't want to investigate. They only wanted to launch the attack. We believe it was a false flag for one reason and a simple reason. If there was gas leakage or an attack, and you're talking about 60 dead in that city; how could the city continue its life normally? They didn't evacuate the city. Even if you look at the pictures, you can see the rescuers, the presumable rescuers were rescuing people without masks, gloves. They were moving freely; how? This is against all specifications of sarin gas. You can fake this image; it's very easy. So you cannot just base your judgment on images and videos, especially made by al-Qaeda."

Now this was also reiterated by the Russian Defense Ministry spokesman, General Igor Konashenkov on April 20, in a similar

argument where he points to the fraud that's being perpetrated.

He said, "In the past two weeks, not a single OPCW representative

was seen there" at the site of the supposed attack in Syria.

"Where do these samples come from, that the OPCW claims prove that there was a sarin gas attack? Who of the OPCW members was

able to study them so fast, while standard procedures stipulate a

complex research which requires time; as we can see in the case

of the mustard gas use in Aleppo." Konashenkov ironically then

went after the "charlatans from the White Helmets organization,

who were hustling and bustling inside sarin gas clouds with no protective gear on. Although independent experts do not believe

that anyone could have remained unharmed in a sarin gas attack,

nevertheless, maybe the head of the OPCW," he said, "has created

his own periodic table of the elements instead of Mendeleev's one."

Then over the course of this week, a qualified source, a professor emeritus from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, the former professor Ted Postol, released a series of

very detailed exposés on the facts surrounding this Syrian sarin

gas attack; which prove, according to him, that the White House

intelligence assessment blaming the Syrian government is simply

false. Professor Postol, who – as I said – is a professor emeritus at MIT, received his PhD in nuclear engineering from

MIT; and he's worked at the Argonne National Laboratory; he's worked in the Congressional Office of Technology Assessment; he's worked as a scientific advisor to the Chief of Naval Operations; and also at Stanford University to train scientists studying weapons technology. He stated in this exposé about the alleged Syrian sarin gas attack, he said first of all, the details of the location being cited, the weather patterns at the time, other things conclude "Without a doubt, the sarin dispersal site alleged at the April 4, 2017 sarin attack in Khan Sheikhun, was not a nerve agent site. It also shows beyond a shadow of a doubt

that the only mass casualty site that could have resulted from this mass attack, is not in any way related to the sites, which are shown in video following a poisoning event of some kind at Khan Sheikhun." He continues by saying, "The allegedly high confidence intelligence assessment issued on April 11th that led to the conclusion that the Syrian government was responsible for the attack, is not correct. For such a report to be so egregiously in error, it could not possibly have followed the most simple and proven intelligence methodologies to determine the veracity of its findings."

So there you have from several different sources, a qualified questioning of the facts of the attack on the ground.

None of these obviously is conclusive one way or another, and as has been stated, an independent, non-biased, United Nations investigation should take place; and OPCW personnel should be

on

the ground. But the point is, as was realized after the fact, the lies that came out of the British Empire and from Tony Blair

in 2003, which brought the United States into a completely unjustified and aggressive war in Iraq, are being repeated at this point. There was a story earlier this week which we will cover more extensively, that in fact, the Chilcot Commission report which found that Tony Blair was at fault in those lies that were perpetrated around the 2003 attack; a lawsuit has been

brought against Tony Blair. But the UK Attorney General has determined that although aggressive warfare might be against the

law in international law, might be illegal in international law;

in fact, there are no laws on the books in the United Kingdom, inside England, that say that aggressive war is illegal. That aggressive war is a crime.

There you can see that in fact, the British do not believe that the crime of aggressive war is actually one that should be

prosecuted.

To conclude, there has been an initiative this week from {Executive Intelligence Review} to begin producing a series of video shorts which expose the crimes of the British government at

this point to try to steamroll the United States into this kind

of World War III; and to prevent the United States from participating in the kind of international cooperation that you

see around the New Silk Road. There is definitely a countdown, a

showdown in these 21 days between now and this Belt and Road Initiative conference in China; and it should be seen as such. A

fight for the soul of the United States – what direction with the US go? What direction will the Trump administration go?

As

the inaugural video in that short video series, {Executive Intelligence Review} published a 90-second clip from the conference presentation by Helga Zepp-LaRouche from last week in

New York City; where she lays out very clearly that what is happening here in the United States is, in fact, a British intelligence coup against the Trump administration. The point has got to be made: Americans should know their history and realize that over the course of our entire history, ever since the American Revolution, the British have been trying to reconquer the United States to use the United States as its dumb

giant in these wars; in order to assert this imperial agenda.

Now is the time for the American people to recognize this history; to recognize what is happening, to know who the enemy actually is; and to say that we will no longer be a tool of this

imperial system. We are now deciding to become part of this New

Paradigm and to return to our roots – Alexander Hamilton, Abraham Lincoln, Henry Carey, Henry Clay – and the American System of Political Economy that Donald Trump, less than three weeks ago was talking about his model for economic policy for the United States.

So, I'm going to conclude with this short clip from Helga Zepp-LaRouche, and encourage you to please tune in to this new video series that is coming from {Executive Intelligence Review}.

It can be found on the EIR YouTube channel, which you can subscribe to. We are also going to be sharing some of these via

social media. So you can subscribe to these social media pages

– Facebook, twitter, and so forth.

So, thank you very much; and let me conclude with this clip from Helga Zepp-LaRouche:

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE: What happened is, de facto, a coup d'état

inside the United States; which has two elements. One is the false flag operation in Syria, combined with what one could call

a palace coup inside the administration. Now, this coup is a British intelligence operation; and it must be recognized as such

in order to liberate President Trump from this great danger.

Remember that the American War of Independence, that which created the United States, was made against the British Empire;

and the British Empire never gave up the idea to reconquer the United States. The first time they did that was in the War of 1812; then the British Empire allied with the Confederacy – British banks financed the Confederacy in this war through their

affiliates in Boston and Philadelphia and so forth. The British

Empire totally got upset when Trump announced that he wants to go

back to the American System of economy – Alexander Hamilton, Henry Clay, Lincoln.