

Russiagate? Alle veje fører til London!

LaRouche PAC Internationale Webcast, 9. feb., 2018.

Vært Matthew Ogden: Titlen på vores show i dag er »Russiagate? Alle veje fører til London«. Planen er virkelig blevet kompliceret i løbet af en uge til halvanden, med offentliggørelsen af Nunes-memoet fra Husets Efterretningskomite, der efterforsker Christopher Steele; og dernæst afklassificeringen af senator Grassleys brev, som henviser Christopher Steele til Justitsministeriet til efterforskning for kriminelle handlinger. Sandheden bag det, der er blevet kaldt Russiagate, er nu hastigt ved at komme i fokus. Hver eneste tråd i denne historie, når man trækker i dem og følger dem, fører dig direkte til London.

Denne Russiagate-skandale er faktisk blevet til »Londongate«; og historien om det virkelig, aftalte spil er nu ved at blive åbenlys. Der var virkelig en fremmed efterretningstjeneste, der forsøgte at intervenere, blande sig, og forme udfaldet af valget i USA. Men denne efterretningstjeneste havde sit hovedkvarter hvor? I London, på Themsens bredder ved Vauxhall Cross; lige dér, i MI6's hovedkvarter. Historien kommer nu i fokus. Det er præcis, som vi oprindeligt beskrev det i LaRouche PAC's brochure, som vi udgav i september 2017. Det eneste aftalte spil, der fandt sted, var dét mellem USA's og UK's hemmelige efterretningstjenester, i liga med DNC og Hillary Clintons kampagne. Undermineringen af vores demokratiske

valgssystem kom fra vore såkaldte nærmeste allierede – briterne; som ikke skyede noget middel for at forhindre, at deres geopolitiske verdensorden blev afsat, og der i stedet voksede en stormagtsrelation frem mellem USA, Rusland og Kina.

Lad os huske på, hvad denne brochure, som blev udgivet for seks måneder siden, sagde. Brochuren er nu i færd med at blive revideret og opdateret og vil snart udkomme i andet oplag. Men lad os se på brochuren og se, hvad den siger.

»[Præsident Trump] truede det angloamerikanske, britiske imperiesystem efter krigen ... ved at afvise evindelig krigsførelse, søge bedre relationer med Rusland, kræve gennemførelse af Glass/Steagall-bankopdeling, gå ind for det, han refererer til som det Amerikanske System for politisk økonomi og love massiv infrastrukturudvikling og en moderne varefremstillingsplatform for produktive jobs.«

»Briterne kræver skalpe, på baggrund af deres opfattelse af at være truet, der specifikt findes i ønsket om en samarbejdsrelation med Rusland og en afslutning af den 'unipolære' ramme for relationer mellem nationer.«

Den fortsætter med at sige:

»Kuppet mod Donald Trump startede faktisk i 2013-2014. Den populære forklaring på nederdrægtighederne og forbrydelserne mod præsidenten er, at Hillary Clinton og Barack Obama ansatte deres netværk, inklusive oversiddende loyalister i regeringen og efterretningssamfundet, med det formål at ændre resultatet af det amerikanske valg og at iscenesætte det igangværende kup. Denne forklaring, der primært fokuserer på begivenheder i 2016, overser, alt imens det er sandt nok i en umiddelbart national forståelse, det større billede. Som vi vil vise, så begyndte briterne at kræve Donald Trumps hoved, iflg. deres egen redegørelse, i 2015 og blandede sig og blandede sig i USA's valg og [har forsøgt at iscenesætte] et kup for at omstøde valgresultatet hver eneste dag herefter.«

Herefter sporer brochuren den relevante historie, der går helt tilbage til kinesernes annoncering af en ny, international, økonomisk orden i 2013, i form af det, de kaldte Bælte & Vej Initiativet. Som de ligeledes annoncerede, ville blive tæt koordineret med Ruslands Eurasiske Økonomiske Union i en bestræbelse på økonomisk udvikling til hele det eurasiske kontinent. Dette er præcis, hvad Lyndon og Helga LaRouche i over 20år har været fortalere for, i form af den Eurasiske Landbro, og som dernæst blev kendt som den Nye Silkevej.



Fig. 1

Denne del af verden, som Kina og Rusland nu aktivt forfølger udviklingen af; dette eurasiske område af verden er, hvad geopolitikkens fader, den britiske geopolitiks fader – Halford Mackinder – kaldte Hjertelandet. Han skrev en artikel i begyndelsen af det 20. århundrede ved navn, »Historiens geografiske omdrejningspunkt«. Den blev udgivet i 1904. Det, han sagde i denne artikel, og som gjorde ham til faderen af moderne geopolitik, det 20. århundredes britiske geopolitik, er, at Hjertelandet er det geopolitiske omdrejningspunkt for hele verden. Vi ser her hans kort [Fig. 1], og lige i centrum finder vi Eurasien med betegnelsen, »omdrejningspunkt«. Hele hans geopolitiske teori opsummeredes i denne udtalelse: »Den, der regerer over Østeuropa, hersker over Hjertelandet. Den, der regerer over Hjertelandet, hersker over verdensøen. Og den, der regerer over verdensøen, hersker over verden.«

Spørgsmålet om, hvem, der regerer over Østeuropa, handler stort set om, hvem, der regerer over Ukraine. Og da den behørigt valgte ukrainske præsident Victor Janukovitj annoncerede, at han ikke ville underskrive Memorandaet for Samarbejde med den Europæiske Union og i stedet ville opretholde sin tætte relation med økonomisk samarbejde med Rusland, var det det sidste strå. Mange af de samme personer,

vi nu ser nævnt i Grassleys og Nunes' efterforskning af Udenrigsministeriet, såsom Victoria Nuland; mange af de samme personer besluttede, at tiden for regimeskifte var kommet. Ved at aktivere et netværk af oversiddere fra højrefløj og ekstreme ukrainske nationalister, der under Anden Verdenskrig havde samarbejdet med Hitler; denne flok – Victoria Nuland og andre – iscenesatte et voldeligt kup i Ukraine; det såkaldte Maidan. De væltede den demokratiske valgte, ukrainske regering og installerede deres egen regering; Victoria Nuland er berømt for at være blevet taget på fersk gerning i at indrømme dette, på bånd.

Den, der således regerer over Østeuropa, hersker over Hjertelandet. Den, der regerer over Hjertelandet, hersker over verden. Dette er britisk geopolitik, og i årtier har den særlige, amerikansk-britiske relation været et instrument for håndhævelse af dette Mackinders synspunkt af, hvad verdensordenen bør være. Når som helst en præsident; når som helst en ledende, politisk person i USA kom og truede dette synspunkt, ville elementer i de amerikanske og britiske efterretningssamfund slå alarm og på den ene eller anden måde neutralisere denne trussel. Som LaRouche PAC's brochure dybtgående forklarer, så var det præcis, hvad der skete i kampagnen imod Lyndon LaRouche. Som brochuren forklarer, så må man forstå, at dette præcis er tilfældet med den operation, der køres imod præsident Trump. For at kunne forstå operationen imod præsident Trump, må man forstå det ud fra dette perspektiv. Fra det øjeblik, det stod klart, at Trump var en seriøs deltager i kapløbet om USA's præsidentskab, og at han helt tydeligt hældte mod at afslutte Obama-Clinton-Bush-politikken med inddæmning, begrænsning og konfrontation med Rusland og Kina og i stedet hældte mod et gensidigt fordelagtigt, økonomisk og strategisk samarbejde med disse to lande – Rusland og Kina. Og fra det øjeblik blev han mål for dette apparat.

Så vær ikke naiv og lad dig blive indfanget i det daglige

mediespin på talkshows på fjernsynet. Dette handler ikke om, hvorvidt du rent personligt støtter eller bryder dig om Donald Trump. Dette er et opgørets øjeblik i den årelange kamp for det amerikanske præsidentskabs sjæl og kampen for at frisætte, befri, USA fra dette britiske Mackinder-synspunkt om geopolitik, der har bragt os helt ud på kanten af atomkrig. I stedet bør USA fuldt og helt deltage i det Nye Paradigme, der nu er vokset frem, med at bringe økonomisk udvikling og moderne økonomisk fremskridt til enorme områder af den tidligere tilbagestående, koloniserede og underudviklede del af verden.

Som vores brochure, der blev udgivet i september 2017, for seks måneder siden, stiller spørgsmålet: »Har vore efterretningstjenester faktisk ulovligt anstiftet aktive forholdsregler for et kontraefterretningsprogram, imod en siddende præsident?« Vi ved nu, at Comey løj eller vildledte Kongressen om aflytningerne af Trump Tower. FISA-kendelserne beviser dette. Senator Grassley har spurgt FBI, hvorfor, hvis I aflyttede en nær medarbejder til præsidenten, ville I ikke advare præsidenten imod ham, som det er sædvanen? Det sande svar er, at præsidenten selv var og er målet for et hidtil uset og illegalt kupforsøg, udført af dem, der har aflagt ed på at overholde Forfatningen og nationens love.

Så nu ved I det. Siden valget, og før valget, har vi siddet fast i et meget uddybende og farligt, britisk svindelnummer; med et hasardspil om vores nations fremtid i et koldt kup imod en valgt præsident. Der er begået regulære forbrydelser; ikke af præsidenten, men mod præsidenten og Forfatningen. Det, der er sket, er, at divergerende, politiske standpunkter, ideer, er blevet gjort til noget kriminelt; den selv samme fare, som de fleste bestemmelser i vores Forfatning og dens borgerlige frihedsrettigheder (Bill of Rights) blev udtrykkeligt udarbejdet for at værne imod. Vi har fortalt jer den virkelige årsag til, at præsidenten er blevet angrebet af en fremmed magt – briterne og deres allierede i vort land.

Så igen: Denne brochure blev udgivet i september 2017; for næsten seks måneder siden. Men alt det, vi dengang hævdede, bekræftes nu som sandt af kendsgerningerne efterhånden, som de kommer ud; som med tilfældet med Nunes-momoet, Grassley-brevet og hvad vi ellers kan forvente, vil komme ud af disse efterforskninger i den nærmeste fremtid. Hvis man træder et skridt tilbage og ser på det store billede her, og ser på det ud fra dette perspektiv, er det nu uigendriveligt. Hvis man vil identificere den virkelige kilde til forbrydelserne mod vores republik og mod vort demokrati, så træk blot i tråden, og man vil finde, at alle veje fører til London.

I sin ugentlige webcast (torsdag) talte Helga Zepp-LaRouche meget direkte om dette. Jeg vil gerne afspille et kort klip for jer fra dette webcast af Helga Zepp-LaRouche, hvor hun identificerer netop dette aspekt; at alle tråde i denne sag, hvis man følger dem hele vejen, viser, hvorfra den virkelige kriminalitet kommer. Her kommer Helgas klip:

Helga Zepp-LaRouche: Planen bliver mere kompliceret, som man siger. Historien er faktisk helt utrolig, og jeg er stolt over at have skrevet en artikel helt i begyndelsen af denne affære, hvor jeg sagde, at der er et aftalt spil med briterne, og ikke med russerne – og det er præcis det, der nu kommer frem og er ved at blive et offentligt spørgsmål. Jeg vil begynde med sagen mod Steele, som det var meningen, skulle for retten i Højesteret i London, hvor Steele skulle møde frem, men i sidste øjeblik blev repræsenteret af sin advokat; argumentet var, at dette kunne berøre britiske nationale sikkerhedsinteresser. Og minsandten, om ikke en repræsentant fra Udenrigsministeriet også var til stede med deres advokater, og de kom med den samme erklæring.

Så den britiske regerings, britisk efterretnings rolle er nu et spørgsmål, og det står helt klart, at Christopher Steele ikke var en eller anden tilfældig, tidligere MI6-agent, men at han derimod virkelig var en agent for ikke alene briterne, men også for FBI. Denne pointe er kommet frem i en meget

interessant artikel på Pat Langs weblog, »Sic Semper Tyrannis«. En fast, respekteret bidrager til denne blog, som udlægger på bloggen under pseudonymet »Publius Tacitus«, spørger i sin overskrift, »Forsøgte britisk efterretning at ødelægge Trumps præsidentskab?«, hvilket er præcis, hvad vi har for os.[1]

Værten for denne blog, Pat Lang – for folk, der ikke kender ham; han er en pensioneret, højtrespekteret efterretningsmand i USA, og slet ikke en eller anden russer eller en anden kilde, der kunne være tvivlsom i denne sammenhæng – han arbejdede i lang tid for Forsvarets Efterretningstjeneste (DIA) – og han er højt respekteret.

Hvorom alting er, så peger »Publius Tacitus« på den kendsgerning, at de nye memoer, der er kommet frem fra senatorerne Grassley og Graham, og fra Senatskomiteen for Homeland Security og Regeringsanliggender, som alle bekræfter det, der står i Nunes [Husets Efterretningskomite]-memorandaet. Og der er virkelig kommet mange nye aspekter frem. De indikerer, at Comey måske løj under ed, for, da han holdt den berømte pressekonference, der frikendte Hillary Clinton, påstod han, at han ikke havde koordineret dette med nogen andre. Dette står imidlertid i skarp kontrast til nogle flere beskeder, som blev udvekslet mellem Peter Strzok og Lisa Page, to FBI-ansatte, der var involveret i både Hillary Clintons e-mail-affære og ligeledes i Russiagate. I disse beskeder indikerede de, at Hillary vidste, der ikke ville komme nogen anklager mod hende. Der er behov for yderligere efterforskning herom.

Der er desuden fremkommet et andet, meget ildevarslende resultat, og det er en anden udveksling af tekstbeskeder mellem de to, hvor de den 2. sep. 2016 siger, at »POTUS«, dvs. 'President of the United States', nemlig Obama, ønskede at vide alt, de foretager sig. Hvad refererer dette »alt« til? Det refererer enten til efterforskningen af Hillary Clinton, eller også til Russiagate, og sidstnævnte ville betyde, at

Obama nu er direkte forbundet med Russiagate og ikke kun indirekte via betalingen til Fusion GPS og Steele, hvor Obama-administrationen også betalte, sammen med DNC og Hillary Clintons kampagne.

Dette er alt sammen ekstremt, ekstremt varmt, og vi har nu alle disse Senats- og Kongreshøringer og komiteer, der efterforsker det. Kongresmedlem Nunes, der havde offentliggjort dette memo – eller rettere, præsident Trump havde godkendt at få det afklassificeret og offentliggjort sidste fredag – han sagde, dette er kun »Fase 1«. Der kommer flere faser, og de vil blandt andet omfatte Udenrigsministeriet, hvilket selvfølgelig også involverer Victoria Nuland, hvis navn nu er dukket op. Der har ligeledes, omkring et andet spørgsmål, været mange udvekslinger mellem Christopher Steele og Victoria Nuland med hensyn til kuppet i Ukraine, det berømte Maidan-kup i februar 2014.

Dette er alt sammen meget interessant, meget 'varmt'. Russiagate er praktisk taget en død sild, men det, der nu i stedet er på bordet, er den britiske regerings, britisk efterretnings indblanding i valget i USA, der forsøgte at sabotere Trumps sejr, først, og da han alligevel vandt, da at ødelægge Trumps præsidentskab ved hjælp af en totalt opdigtet anklage. Det er nu kommet offentligt frem, og det er stort! Jeg kan, selv om dette er foregået i nogen tid, stadig kun være totalt chokeret og overrasket over, hvordan de gængse vestlige medier lykkedes med ikke at dække dette, som tydeligvis er ved at nå dimensioner, der går langt, langt videre end Watergate.

(Her følger engelsk udskrift af resten af webcastet. Hele Zepp-LaRouches webcast fra torsdag kan læses på dansk her: <http://schillerinstitut.dk/si/?p=23759>)

OGDEN: So, worse than Watergate, in Helga LaRouche's words.

As Helga mentioned in her remarks there, earlier this week, there was a very significant article which was published on the blog "Sic Semper Tyrannis" by Pat Lang, who is former Defense Intelligence, a very high level, very connected person. The article is titled, "Did British Intelligence Try to Destroy the Trump Presidency?" Let me read you few excerpts from Pat Lang's article. He says:

"Last night's release of the memo by Senator's Grassley and Graham asking the Department of Justice to open a criminal investigation of Christopher Steele for possible violations of 18 U.S.C. Â§ 1001 provides critical confirmation of charges presented in the HPSCI memo prepared under the leadership of Devin Nunes, but it also confirms that Christopher Steele was not just some random guy offering good gossip to the FBI. He was an official intelligence asset. He was, in John LeCarre's parlance, our 'Joe.' At least we thought so. But, there is growing circumstantial evidence that Steele was acting on behalf of Britain's version of the CIA—aka MI-6. If true, we are now faced with actual evidence of a foreign country trying to meddle in a direct and significant way in our national election. Only it was not the Russians. It was our British cousins".

"[T]wo developments in the last two days suggest that British intelligence officials, at least some key officials, were witting of Steele's activities in gathering information for the FBI.

“First, Steele is resisting efforts to face a deposition in a lawsuit over his infamous dossier. Steele’s lawyers argued in a court in London this week that a deposition would endanger the former spy’s dossier sources as well as harm U.K. national security interests. If the Judge buys this claim then we will not have to speculate anymore about whether or not Steele was acting on his own or had a ‘wink-and-a-nod’ from his MI-6 bosses. “Second, in my mind more telling, were the comments made this week by former MI-6 Chief, Richard Dearlove, on behalf of his former protege: “Among those who have continued to seek his expertise is Steele’s former boss Richard Dearlove, who headed MI-6 from 1999 to 2004. In an interview, Dearlove said Steele became the ‘go-to person on Russia in the commercial sector’ following his retirement from the Secret Intelligence Service. He described the reputations of Steele and his business partner, fellow intelligence veteran Christopher Burrows, as ‘superb.’ But we do not have to rely solely on Dearlove’s glowing remarks about Steele. There is other information indicating that the Brits played a substantial, if not leading, role in spying on Trump and building the Russian meddling meme. The *Guardian* reported in April 2017 that: “|’Britain’s spy agencies played a crucial role in alerting their counterparts in Washington to contacts between members of Donald Trump’s campaign team and Russian intelligence operatives, the {Guardian} has been told. “|’GCHQ first became aware in late 2015 of suspicious

“interactions” between figures connected to Trump and known or suspected Russian agents, a source close to UK intelligence said.

This intelligence was passed to the US as part of a routine exchange of information, they added.

“|’Over the next six months, until summer 2016, a number of western agencies shared further information on contacts between

Trump’s inner circle and Russians, sources said.’

“So much for our special relationship. As the evidence of British intelligence meddling in the U.S. election piles up, it

will create some strains in our bi-lateral ties. It has the potential to harm cooperation on military, law enforcement, and

intelligence fronts. I suspect there is some scrambling going on

behind the scenes to come up with a strategy to contain the damage while rooting out the sedition. Stay tuned.”

Now, speaking of Richard Dearlove, the former head of MI-6 and his relationship to Christopher Steele, there is a very significant article which was published this week in the *Washington Post*. And that article is published under the title, “Hero, or Hired Gun? How a British Former Spy Became a Flashpoint in the Russia Investigation”. And under the subtitle

“He’s the Spy”, the article lays out Steele’s pedigree as a very

high-level British intelligence operative, and his extremely close relationship with Richard Dearlove, the former head of MI-6. So, here’s what the article says:

“Steele had all the right credentials for the job.

“He was steeped in Russia early on after being recruited to Britain’s elite spy service from the University of Cambridge. He

spent two decades working for the MI6 spy agency, including a stint in his mid-20s in Moscow, where he served undercover in

the

British Embassy.

“When he returned to work for the agency in London, he provided briefing materials on Russia for senior government officials and led the British inquiry into the mysterious 2006 death in London of Alexander Litvinenko, a former KGB official and Putin critic.

“In 2009, after more than two decades in public service, Steele turned to the private sector and founded a London-based consulting firm, Orbis Business Intelligence, drawing on the reputation and network he developed doing intelligence work.

“Among those who have continued to seek his expertise is Steele’s former boss Richard Dearlove, who headed MI6 from 1999

to 2004.

“In an interview, Dearlove said Steele became the ‘go-to person on Russia in the commercial sector’ following his retirement from the Secret Intelligence Service. He described the

reputations of Steele and his business partner, fellow intelligence veteran Christopher Burrows, as ‘superb.’

“In the early fall, he and Burrows turned to Dearlove, their former MI6 boss, for advice. Sitting in winged chairs at the Garrick Club, one of London’s most venerable private establishments, under oil paintings of famed British playwrights,

the two men shared their worries about what was happening in the

United States. They asked for his guidance about how to handle their obligations to their client and the public, Dearlove recalled.

“Dearlove said their situation reminded him of a predicament he had faced years earlier, when he was chief of station for British intelligence in Washington and alerted U.S. authorities

to British information that a vice presidential hopeful had

once

been in communication with the Kremlin.

“He said he advised Steele and Burrows to work discreetly with a top British government official to pass along information to the FBI.”

Now, that entire story sounds very much like a scene directly out of a John LeCarre novel, if you ask me. But this character, Richard Dearlove, is somebody of whom Helga Zepp-LaRouche asks “What is his pedigree, and what is he famous

for when it comes to dodgy dossiers?” in that webcast that she delivered yesterday. So, here’s what Helga LaRouche had to say

about Richard Dearlove:

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE: The fact that Richard Dearlove, the former head of MI6, absolutely defended the reputation of Steele, is very interesting in this respect, because who is this Dearlove? He is the infamous author of the famous dossier which led to the attack on Iraq in the Second Gulf

War, supposedly because Saddam Hussein was in the possession of

weapons of mass destruction, which we know was a blatant lie, which led Colin Powell to make this infamous speech in the United

Nations in February 2003, which he later characterized as the biggest mistake of his life, because it led to the intervention,

including the United States, in the war against Saddam Hussein.

That is something which eventually must also be tried. And I know

that Ramsey Clark tried to make that an issue before the international legal authorities.

So, this is not just the attempt of a coup against the

United States, but this is a paradigm of policies which have led to the present condition in the world, including the destruction of much of Southwest Asia, including the refugee crisis. So these are not small things, and I think it is high time that this whole paradigm should come out in the open and is being replaced by a completely different policy.

So, I think the stakes here are extremely high, and I think people should really rethink everything and look at the material which is coming out, because it is an unbelievable scandal.

[T]he dossier which was published by LaRouche PAC, written by Barbara Boyd. This was written half a year ago, but if you read this dossier now, it is incredible, how absolutely on the mark this dossier was, concerning the role of British intelligence. So I think the circulation of this dossier is something which everybody can do very easily. Get it in the social media, get it in the alternative blogs, get it into any newspaper, which has the honesty to follow events in a truthful

way. And right now, things are coming out in the open. There were articles by Ray McGovern, by William Binney, Pat Lang, by Russia

Today, – naturally, they pick up on the fact that Russiagate is now completely falling apart. So I think the more people can do, to get the public attention on what is going on in this absolutely gigantic fight in the United States, the better; because some of these spooks shy away from daylight, and the more the Sun is shining on them, all the better.

OGDEN: So again, this pamphlet that was put out by LaRouche PAC six months ago, this was a very prescient and very insightful

pamphlet. I guarantee you it has served a major role in informing the threat of the investigations for the people who are

serious about getting at the truth of this. We've witnessed Russiagate transformed into Steelegate, and Steelegate means Londongate. All threads, if you follow them and pull them, will

lead you back to London. This pamphlet is being updated as we speak, and it will be going into a second [sic] printing very soon, and you can expect that this will continue to have a very significant impact.

I just want to, in conclusion, recommend that our viewers, in understanding the context as I went through it earlier, and as

that pamphlet elaborates it very clearly, the context of this entire thing is the fight over the soul of the US Presidency and

the future of US policy on the world stage. We've witnessed decades and administration upon administration of this so-called

US-UK special relationship; which has merely perpetuated this Mackinder geopolitics on the entire planet. It has brought us to

the point of confrontation which could threaten thermonuclear war. This has become all too real. The fight over the paradigm

– will we remain the satrapy of this British geopolitical world

order, or will we break from that? Will we be liberated from that? Will we embrace the New Paradigm which is now sweeping the

planet? That is the question which is at stake here, and the stakes could not be higher.

For that reason, I want to strongly encourage all of our viewers to return here to larouchepac.com tomorrow, February 10th

at 12noon. That's 12noon eastern time. We will be treated by a

live address by Helga Zepp-LaRouche, who be delivering the inaugural class in the LaRouche PAC 2018 online class series. That class series, as you can see here on the screen, is titled

"The End of Geopolitics. What Is the Global New Paradigm?"

It

will be hosted at the url, which is on the top of the screen there

– <http://discover.larouchepac.com>. This will be a 12-week class

series, which will follow up on the very successful class series

which we hosted here on larouchepac.com last year during 2017 on

LaRouche's economic discoveries. The invitation to this year's

class series is available there on

<http://discover.larouchepac.com>. Let me just read to you from the invitation:

"The American people are faced with a historic choice: join China's revolutionary New Silk Road program and secure a new paradigm of win-win global development, or continue the suicidal

geopolitical policies of Obama and Bush, guaranteeing confrontation with Russia and China and threatening world war.

While President Trump is inclined to move in the direction of cooperation with China and Russia, he is being threatened with a

palace coup by those desperately clinging to the old geopolitical

view of unchallenged Anglo-American global dominance.

"You can play a role in this decisive point in history. Help

secure the New Paradigm.

“LaRouche PAC is launching a new class series, “What is the New Paradigm?” to prepare you to lead the population at this critical time. 2018 must be the year we end geopolitics.”

Then, it lists what these classes will cover:

“Introduction: What is the New Paradigm?” This is Helga LaRouche’s address tomorrow.

“What is Geopolitics, Part I – History

“What is Geopolitics, Part II – Philosophy

“Culture – Beauty & Freedom vs. the CCF [Congress for Cultural Freedom]

“Confucian and Western Philosophy

“Science: Man’s Relation to the Universe

“Wrap-up and Mobilization – End Geopolitics”

So, the invitation invites you to register now for access to the syllabus, to the homework, to the reading assignments, and to

the special live discussion sessions which will be available only

for registered participants. Registration is now open at <http://discover.larouchepac.com>. Questions can be emailed to classes@larouchepac.com.

We strongly encourage you to register now for this class series, to become an active participant in this class series; to

build class hosting sessions in your location wherever you are in

the United States or even abroad, to build a group of people who

will participate in these classes on a weekly basis with you.

You can host it at your house, or at the local library, or on your college campus. And create a national mobilization of

participants around this series of classes so that we have the cadre of people who are educated and who understand this global

context for the ongoing fight that we now find ourselves in here

in the United States.

So again, tomorrow at 12noon, Helga LaRouche will be addressing this class series live. This will be the inaugural address, and we encourage you to register now for the entire class series for 2018. That brings a conclusion to our webcast

today. But I think if you reflect on the theme here – Russiagate has now become Londongate; all roads lead to London.

Let me put the graphic of our title right back on the screen here

one more time, and you'll see the image there of the MI-6 headquarters. This is where all roads lead; pull the threads and

you'll discover the truth about who really colluded with US elections in 2016, and is continuing to meddle with our political system.

Thank you very much for joining me here at larouchepac.com and please stay tuned.

[1]

http://turcopolier.typepad.com/sic_semper_tyrannis/2018/02/british-intelligence-tried-to-destroy-the-trump-presidency.html