

# **Maskerne falder: Vi må have en »Kreativitetskultur« til erstatning for »Dødsulturen« LaRouche PAC Internationale Webcast, 2. marts., 2018**

**Vært Matthew Ogden:** I sin afhandling, »Teatret som en moralsk institution«(original titel: Die Schaubühne als eine moralische Anstalt betrachtet), beskrev den tyske digter fra det 18. århundrede, Friedrich Schiller, noget ironisk klassisk teater og klassisk drama som det område, »hvor alle masker falder. Sminken fjernes. Sandheden er dommer«.

I klassisk drama, såsom i tragedierne i oldtidens Grækenland, eller Shakespeares tragedier, eller Schillers egne tragedier, f.eks.; eller i de største operaer af Giuseppe Verdi for at tage et andet eksempel, blev scenen, den klassiske scene, brugt som instrument for samfundets moralske og æstetiske opdragelse. Tragedie har evnen til at fremkalde i os erkendelsen af vore egne tåbeligheder, de fejl, der findes i os. Og vi ser reflekteret på scenen foran os, de ærefrygtindgydende konsekvenser af disse fejl, disse tåbeligheder, som, ifald de fik lov at bestå, udspilles på vores egen forestillingsevnes scener og tilbagekastes til os i det frygtelige spejl i form af en rædselsvækkende og frygtindgydende forudsigelse. I disse øjeblikke transformeres vi fra at være passive tilskuere til at blive levende

medlemmer af dramaet, og vi forlader teatret med ny visdom og forhåbentlig en ny vilje til at handle for, for enhver pris, at forhindre de rædsler, vi så udspilles på denne scene, i at blive til virkelighed.

Men hvis denne moralske og æstetiske opdragelse af et samfund imidlertid slår fejl, eller mislykkes, og et samfunds tåbeligheder finder sted uden at blive rettet, så ophører tragedien med at være begrænset til scenen og flyder over i det virkelige liv, hvilket undertiden fører til ødelæggende, virkelige konsekvenser.

Vi ser nu de faktiske og ligeledes de potentielle, virkelige konsekvenser af et sygt samfunds systemiske tåbeligheder, og af en forfejlet ideologi, som nu udspilles for vore øjne. I kølvandet på de forfærdelige begivenheder i Parkland, Florida, den 14. feb., ser vi nu en generel opvågning i vores befolkning, en erkendelse af, at der er noget i vores kultur, som er meget, *meget* sygt; at noget i vores samfund er råddent, og at noget har fået lov til at gå forfærdelig galt, og som har bragt os til dette punkt.

Og det er ikke slut med de forfærdelige begivenheder i Parkland. Vi har netop hørt, i dag, at der er en situation med en aktiv skytte, der fortsat er under udfoldelse på et college i Michigan. Og Parkland var på ingen måde det første skoleskyderi.

Dette er blevet identificeret af guvernør Matt Bevin fra Kentucky, som selv har måttet håndtere et af disse skoleskyderier, på Marshall County High School i januar. Han har identificeret dette som en »dødkultur«, hvor han sagde, at selve værdien af menneskelivet er blevet degraderet.

*Her følger engelsk udskrift af resten af webcastet:*

I want to just play for you a short excerpt from some remarks that Gov. Matt Bevin had after this school shooting that

occurred in his own state, at Marshall County High School in Kentucky, and this was weeks before the Parkland shooting even occurred. Here's what Gov. Matt Bevin had to say.

KENTUCKY GOV. MATTHEW BEVIN: Hi this is Kentucky Gov. Matt Bevin. I want to start a dialogue with you, I want to start a conversation about something that is imperative, not only for Kentucky, but frankly for America. We have a cultural problem.

The mores of America – there will be many that will confuse that

with morality, although morality is certainly part of it – but the mores that define who we are and what is or is not acceptable, what we do or don't tolerate, where we draw lines and

where we put boundaries, these things have been changing, and not

for the better.

You look at what's happening in popular culture; this is not a religious issue. There'll be the nay-sayers and the pooh-pooh'ers who immediately think, "oh, you're going to talk about religion." I will tell you this, I'm going to talk about

morality. Because if people don't believe they have responsibility to anyone other than themselves, that there is no

pecking order of authority, that there is no absolute right and

wrong, that everything is morally relative, when we live in that

time of morally gelatinous state, we have a problem. Because individuals, young and old alike, done assume that their actions

matter in any kind of consequential way beyond that immediate moment, and that is a problem, and this is what's happening to our culture: We are crumbling from within. And we are seeing

this throughout our society. We're seeing in our classrooms, we're seeing it in our communities, and – let's be honest – it starts in our homes.

I am challenging everybody who has anything to do with what I'm about to say, to take this to heart and let's start a conversation. Look at our popular culture. Look at our movies,

– the violence, the disregard for the value of human life; we are becoming increasingly desensitized, our young people are desensitized to it. We have a culture of death in America.

We

can pretend we don't. We can think that people can separate that

from fiction, from their lives, from that which they see, but if

they're immersed in it at every turn – in television, in movies,

in music, all of it! Listen to the lyrics of music today, it celebrates a culture of death! Not all of it – fair enough – but an amazing amount of it. And parents, I'm asking you to wake

up and be aware of what it is that your children are listening to.

Do you young people, be mindful of what you put in, because it becomes a part of your entire physiology, your entire mental

makeup. It becomes a part of who you are. You are a creation of

what you surround yourself by.

Parents and others, I'm asking you to look at what kind of movies you go to see. For those that produce movies, I'm asking

you, think about what you're feeding in – I know that we live in

a day and age, where we need to shock people, more than the last

time, or they won't pay attention, in sensationalism, in the shock value, maybe gets people to pay attention to something, puts eyes on something, and you can make a buck. But at what price? It's robbing us of the very fabric of our nation, and it's killing our young people.

Watch the television shows: We glorify murder, we glorify killing. It is becoming increasingly explicit, and we are desensitizing young people to the actual tragic reality in permanency of death. It's important for us to recognize this. Look at the video games that are played. Yes, they may be marked for "Mature audiences," but I'm telling you, those of you

who make a dollar producing these movies, and those of you who buy them and bring them into your homes, you know full well, that

many young people – and old people – are playing these games and becoming desensitized. When you get extra points and are encouraged to brutally kill people, and when the blood and the mayhem and the carnage is increasingly real, it desensitizes people.

And if it's a shock to us now, that suddenly we are seeing a prevalence of, and increasing amount of this happening, not in a

video game, not on a television show, not in a movie, not in the

lyrics of a song, but in real life as young people act out that

which they are surrounded by, that which they're immersed in, this is a cultural problem in America! And I'm asking the people

who produce this media, the people who produce this entertainment; I'm asking the people who profit from it; I'm asking for those of you who are executives in the social media ranks – and I am a big believer in the Constitution of the United States, and in our freedom of speech – but we have got to

start to think about the {filth}, let's be honest, that is

feeding through so many of the mediums, covered and protected by things that perhaps are not good for us; protected by a Constitution that is good for us, but creating an end-result that is not.

What are those boundaries? I don't know. Should there be any? Should there be some content that is not given to us, and

to children, without any kind of filter or screen? These are conversations we need to have: It is a cultural problem.

Our culture is crumbling from within, and the cost of it is high. The societal and emotional and psychological and moral cost is becoming more than our nation can bear.

I've spent time with mothers and fathers who have lost children in tragic instances. And there is no ability, there are

no words to describe the grief of a parent, the grief of a sibling, the grief of a friend, the grief of classmate, of a teacher, of a community, who have lost someone that is an immediate part of their family or their community.

Something has to be done. Let's start a dialogue. How exactly it forms, I don't know. But I'm calling on other governors, I'm calling on the President of the United States, I'm

calling on our U.S. Congress; I'm calling on anyone who's in a position of influence, every superintendent, every CEO of every

media company that produces a video game, that is violent in its

nature, the movie producers that make the movies, the record producers who produce the music that we listen to – all of you – we've got to step up. We're the adults, let's act like it!

Let's step forward, let's start a conversation, and let's figure

out how to try to repair this fabric of America, that's getting

shredded beyond recognition.

Thank you. [end video]

OGDEN: Now, Gov. Matt Bevin did something very unique there. Instead of what we've become accustomed to, in the aftermath of one of these horrific events, to point at one or another scapegoat, or one or another mechanism that failed, or one or another thing that maybe went wrong, we fail to perhaps consider that the fault lies within ourselves, that the fault lies within our own culture.

Now, it's obviously unspeakable beyond words, for an event like one of these mass shootings or school shootings to occur even once, as we were horrified to witness. But it is absolutely

inconceivable that we've allowed these shootings to occur, again,

and again, for now almost 20 years, since the first high-profile

event happened at Columbine High School, in Littleton, Colorado

in 1999, – almost 20 years ago. But the tragedy lies in the fact that it didn't just happen once, it happened over and over,

and that the society which witnesses each one of these events might be appalled and outraged, but the underlying cause remains

unaddressed.

As the father of one of the victims in the Parkland shooting said, in tears, during a listening session that President Trump

hosted at the White House, with family members of the victims, he

said, "My child is dead! I will never, ever see her again.

But

why – why do we keep letting this occur? Why does this keep happening to so many people?" And he vowed that he will not sleep until something substantive has been done to prevent

this

from ever happening again.

Now President Trump has responded to this, to Parkland in a way that no previous President has, frankly. In addition to this

listening session, which he hosted at the White House, he's held

multiple meetings with members of Congress, with governors, with

state and local elected officials to discuss actual solutions, emphasizing that something needs to be done. Action is needed,

and not just posturing and not just political talk which will make us feel as if we are doing something, he said, but we must

actually do something. So, while many of the so-called solutions

which have been put on the table are practical, and specific, such as hardening sites, and increasing police presence, and improving the early warning system to prevent persons, like this

shooter, for example, from slipping through the cracks when there

were many, many warning signs stretching over years – for the first time, in addition to these practical solutions, which are

necessary – for the first time, in addition to this, the more systemic and underlying problems of the culture have now been put

on the table, along the lines of what Gov. Matt Bevin has raised.

I'd like to share with you, first, a short clip from a roundtable that President Trump held state and local officials on

Feb. 22nd; this occurred at the White House, where President Trump himself, goes right to the core of this pervasive culture



of violence, which is promulgated through popular entertainment.

Listen to what President Trump had to say:

PRESIDENT DONALD TRUMP: We have to look at the internet, because a lot of bad things are happening to young kids and young

minds, and their minds are being formed. And we have to do something about maybe what they're seeing and how they're seeing

it. And also video games: I'm hearing more and more people say,

the level of violence in video games is really shaping young people's thoughts.

And then you go the further step, and that's the movies, you see these movies, they're so violent, and yet, a kid is able to

see the movie if sex isn't involved. But killing is involved.

And maybe they have to put a rating system for that. And you get

into a whole, very complicated, very big deal, but the fact is that you are having movies come out that are so violent, with the

killing and everything else, that maybe that's another thing we're going to have to discuss. And a lot of people are saying,

you have these movies today where you can go and have a child see

the movie, and yet it's so violent and so disgusting, so we may

have to talk about that also.... [end video]

OGDEN: Now, this came up again at a Feb. 26th roundtable meeting which President Trump hosted with the governors from around the country. And first what you'll see in this clip is a

brief mention, by President Trump in his opening remarks, of

this  
topic, and then you'll see Gov. Matt Bevin himself, who was  
present, and used that forum to repeat his point about the  
prevailing culture of death which undermines the morality of  
our  
population and degrades the image of man and the value of  
human  
life. And he challenges {every} person in a position of  
authority in this country, to use that position of authority,  
to  
address this cultural problem.

PRESIDENT DONALD TRUMP: We must strive to create a culture  
in our country that cherishes life and condemns violence and  
embraces dignity... Matt?

KENTUCKY GOV. MATTHEW BEVIN: I do think it's important for  
us to start at every level, with your office, with our  
respective  
offices as well, to seize the bully pulpits that we have to  
talk  
about the culture in this society. And I would challenge  
those  
in the media who would want to mock and ridicule this, and  
would  
want to say that anybody who advocates for this, to find some  
fault in that person as a reason why that person should not be  
the one advocating for a higher level of moral authority or  
higher mores, to think twice, because these are your children  
and  
grandchildren as well. And when we mock and ridicule the very  
foundational principles that this nation was built upon, where  
you treat people the way you'd want to be treated, where you  
respect human life, where you respect the dignity of women,  
and  
of children, and of people who we have increasingly degraded  
in

our society. This culture of death is becoming pervasive. And if it's not addressed by all the imperfect people in this room, with a sense of purpose and a sense of aspiration, I think we're going to see a continued trajectory that's not good. Many things have not changed. There have always been guns, and there were fewer restrictions. There have always been guns in homes, and fewer rules. It isn't to say that these rules and these restrictions are necessarily bad, but what has changed is what we do or don't do as it relates to acknowledging the value and the dignity of every human life. And when you couple that with the number of psychiatric drugs that are increasingly systemic and that have very severe warnings associated with them related to depression and suicidal thoughts, you put all these things in a mess and no one among us is bold enough or willing to step up and challenge the fact, that this is a problem, this is why it goes unchallenged. And I would call on you, Sir, as I'm calling on my fellow-governors and myself, to seize the opportunities we have to call America to higher action as it relates to our mores. Thank you.

PRESIDENT TRUMP: Thank you Matt.

And that's why we're here. And I think – I don't know if it's going to be mentioned, but you have to also look at videos – they're {vicious}, you look at some of these videos; I mean,

I don't know what this does to a young kid's mind. Somebody growing up and forming, and looking at videos where people are just being blown away left and right. The internet movies, you look at these movies that are out today, I see just by a commercial, the level of craziness and viciousness in the movies – I think we have to look at that, too. Maybe we have to put a ratings system on that. They have a ratings system for other subjects, maybe we have to do a ratings system for that. But it has to have an impact on – it doesn't take many months – if it was 1% or less, that's a lot. That's all it takes. It just takes one person to do tremendous damage. I think it's something we have to look at also. [end video]

OGDEN: So, we can see an awakening happening in this country. And it's very significant, when confronted with the real world consequences of a failure by our society, by our very culture itself, a failure to protect our children, to protect our young people, to protect our future; where literally, we have led ourselves to a culture where {children kill children}, and this is almost becoming commonplace. Finally, people are beginning to wake up.

But the discussion, while very good, to the extent that it has progressed, it must, must go much further, and much deeper.

Let's look back 20 years, and this was at the moment that the first such high-profile, horrific school shooting happened, which many people who were alive at that time, remember today:

Columbine. Ironically, a lot of the kids that are now in school today, have lived their life under the shadow of Columbine and were not even born at the point that that shooting occurred. But Lyndon LaRouche, in the immediate aftermath of that horrific event, wrote a paper in which he addressed the reality of what actually that horrific event represented. This is in the aftermath of that, but not only should the realization of LaRouche's prescience for what we're seeing today, and what we've seen over the past 20 years be shocking to you, and think about how many children, and how many other victims have died and have suffered in the intervening period, because nothing was done, at that time, to address what the root cause of this sickness was.

But also, you should be challenged by the depth of what he addresses as the necessary cure to this cultural sickness that has led to these events.

So let me read you some excerpts from this paper that Mr. LaRouche wrote, and this was back in June 11, 1999. ["Star Wars

and Littleton," {EIR} July 2, 1999:

<http://www.larouchepub.com/eiw/public/1999/eirv26n27-19990702/index.html>]

"Unless the U.S. government, and many relevant other influentials, change their view of this problem, abandoning the useless approach they have publicized thus far, the horror will continue, gun laws or no gun laws. Unless relevant institutions

get down to the serious business of addressing the actual causes

for this pattern of incidents, this murderous rampage will persist...My function, in this report, is to define the methods which must be brought to bear, if the danger posed by this new form of terrorism is to be brought under control... If you are willing to be serious, at long last, you will now turn your attention to the scientific roots of the problem...

"...Merely ending the sale of satanic video games, such as Doom..., will not put this horror back in the box from whence it came. This new problem of terrorism must be attacked, by focussing on the conditions which many readers have been complicitly condoning. Face the fact, that it might be your negligent tolerance which has contributed to the popularizing of

such video games and cult films, especially the spread of these

among suggestible children and adolescents.

"...What are the methods which have, similarly, turned so many among our children and adolescents into such "zombies" as those killers?...

"To grasp the horror posed by such cases, restate the same problem as a national-security topic. For that purpose, the leading subject for discussion, as posed by the Littleton and kindred cases, is {terrorism by children}. Stating the problem in

that way, brings the sheer, satanic horror of the matter into focus.

"The following pages ... will represent a serious intellectual challenge for many readers, but, for those who really wish to bring an end to the spread of more horrors like the Littleton massacre, the extra reading-time and thought this

report requires, is more than well worth every second spent...

"How does one corrupt innocent children into becoming psychotic-like killers? The quick answer to that question, is: {dehumanize} the image of man. The details of the way this

leads

to the production of youthful 'Nintendo' terrorists, are a more

complicated matter. Nonetheless, it is no oversimplification to

say, that once that first step, dehumanizing the image of man, is

accomplished, the axiomatic basis has been established, to make

war, and killing, merely a childish game...

"Before you pull that trigger, tell me: 'What is the difference between a human being and a beast?'"...

"...[T]he focus should be on the conflict between the view of mankind as specifically human, as against the intrinsically immoral view of the human species as 'just another animal.' ...

"The difference between the man and the beast lies in the quality of human cognition. This is otherwise known as those cultivatable creative mental powers through which an individual

mind may contribute to all mankind the original discovery of a single, validatable, universal physical principle. This is also

the method used in those Classical humanist modes of education,

in which the student's re-enactment of some historic discovery of

a validated universal principle, is the mode of education employed, as opposed to so-called 'textbook' learning. This is also to be recognized as the principle of metaphor central to all

Classical artistic composition since the time of Classical Greece.

"The fact that we are able to demonstrate the validity of these discovered universal physical principles, shows that the universe itself is predisposed, by design, to obey man's will when such universal principles, discovered in this way, are applied to man's increasing mastery over nature. {The act of

discovery of a universal physical principle, whose application directly increases mankind's power in and over the universe, is,

in first approximation, the only rational definition of truth, the only proof that human reason is in accord with the Creator's definition of truthfulness.}...

"This faculty, of validatable cognition, is the quality of the human individual which sets all persons apart from, and above the beasts...

"...See a child's face suffused with happiness, at the moment the child senses a validatable original rediscovery of some principle. The passion which ennobles the great performance

of any accomplished work of Classical artistic composition, whether in poetry, the performance of great tragedy, great Classical painting, or music, is the same joy with which the child is illuminated by experience of a cognitive act of discovery of some principle—whether or not the child knew that many people had made that same discovery earlier...

"...The non-deductive process of discovery, which leads to proof of principle through experimental validation of that discovery as a universal principle, is the proper strict definition of the term {Reason}. ...It is that capacity for {Reason}, so defined, which defines the unique quality of the mentally healthy human specimen, as representing a distinct species, apart from and above all beasts.

"This quality of the person, this divine spark of Reason innate to the human individual, is the kernel of the proof of Moses' formulation, that man and woman are each made (equally) in the image of the Creator of the universe...

"An idea, is any validatable discovery of universal principle, which is generated within the mind of the knower, by no different means than cognition, as I have defined cognition



above. The tragedies of Aeschylus and Sophocles, and the dialogues of Plato, or the tragedies of Shakespeare and Schiller,

are models of artistic compositions, by means of which the artist

prompts the regeneration of his idea respecting principles of social relations, within the mind of the audience...

"...[T]he underlying social relations among persons must be, axiomatically, the relations among their cognitive processes.

The

underlying issue of social relations, is how individuals interact

in terms of the ordering of, or, the inertness of their respective cognitive processes...

"The progress of civilization has been shaped by a process of humanizing the image of man, as distinct from, and higher than

the animals. Christ and his Apostles embedded this principle within European civilization. The process of Nietzsche's and others' de-civilization, is to attempt to reverse that process,

to dehumanize the image of man, to bring man's status back ... to

the status of just another lower form of life...

"...The only moral purpose of education, is to develop an entire population up to the level of scientific and moral knowledge necessary, not only to perpetuate society at no less than its present level of power in the universe, but to carry the

process of development of the whole population a step upward.

"The purpose of education is to develop the cognitive potentials of each and every person up to that standard of quality as a citizen, to develop an individual whose life qualifies as a permanent part of the simultaneity of eternity.

{The proper purpose of education, is to affirm the universality

of humanity, and to accomplish this through embodying the

history

of the discovery of universal ideas within the cultivated personality of the matriculated student.}

“See, from this standpoint, how things went so terribly wrong. Think of the successive downward steps in our educational

systems and popular culture, which brought us up to the point of

decadence that phenomena like the Littleton horror are now a typical feature of our culture in this time...

“...When we allow the natural, human nature of children and adolescents to be crushed ..., when we seek to suppress the role of the cognitive function, when we substitute the act of merely

learning for the act of actually knowing, we produce, as was done, increasingly, during the first post-World War II decades,

the kind of future adult who will come to haunt us, and menace our world, when we have become old.

“What happens, when we allow those changes in national policy, which create an economy in which the adult members of the

family household must work two or three jobs, or even more, among

them, ‘simply to make ends meet’? ... What happens when we have done to education what has been done during most of the recent three decades? Did you ever think about that, or do you avoid pangs of guilty pain by refusing to think about that?

“What happens, when your toleration of the past decades’ changes in U.S. economic policies, creates a situation, today, when the family is no longer able (between many jobs to work each

week, and much commuting between besides), to provide nurture to

the children and adolescents of the family household? If your economic situation compels you treat your children so, as if they

were stray dogs to be let into the house at feeding and sleeping

times, how are you educating them?...

"...Think! What kind of a social identity are such unfortunate children and adolescents expressing?

"Perhaps you were building the road to the Littleton massacre? Not everyone who expresses such a poor sense of personal self-identity in those ways, is necessarily going to go

all the way to becoming a Littleton-style terrorist; but, such low self-esteem is a step down in the direction which might lead

to such a horrible result in the succeeding generation of youth.

You may not have intended that outcome, but, year by year, the parents and grandparents built the road which made reaching that

destination possible.

"That explains, in part, how the road to the Littleton massacres was built..."

"To understand the kind of mentality which fosters the proliferation of horrors such as the Littleton massacre, look at

the way in which so many in the U.S.A. responded to the way in which the British monarchy's Blair government used its U.S. puppets... British financial oligarchy, and its debased monarchy, have openly stated their intent to revoke the doctrine

of international law established by the 1648 Peace of Westphalia,

this time in the case of Bloody Blair's Balkan War....

"The moral nation-state, the modern sovereign nation-state which our U.S. republic was intended to be, never conducts wars

for pleasure, as the Littleton killers and Blair have done, or wars for revenge..."

"When we examine the role of sections of the U.S. military,

in shaping the policies and techniques carried into action by the  
Littleton killers, we must take into account the fact that there  
is a connection between the recently increasing tendency for moral degeneration in our military and related institutions, and  
the causes for the Littleton horror and related cases.... If such  
thinking within our military, is among the well-springs of phenomena such as Littleton, how shall we be rid of the latter,  
without purging ourselves of the former?..

“The American way, is [to use] the power of victory to establish an order which is justly beneficial, to the victor and  
formerly vanquished, to rebuild, as Lincoln’s last public address  
proposed to rebuild the nation as if the Civil War had never occurred.

“Similarly: only by bringing that spirit back into our nation now, can we wean the damaged souls among our adolescents,  
of that wont for Nintendo warfare so horridly displayed at Littleton....

“If we take into account, together, the present physical state, and direction of the world, and also the deteriorating mental and moral condition of populations throughout most of the  
planet, as in the U.S.A. itself, we have already reached the threshold of the worst disaster known to the recorded history of  
the human species. Unless we reverse the policy-trends of the recent several decades, especially those cultural trends inside  
the U.S.A., there is little possibility of the survival of civilization in the Americas, western Europe, or Africa much

beyond the beginning of the coming century.

“For most among you, that means that you must change, must free yourself from, especially, those habits of thinking you have

built up during the recent quarter-century or longer. In a sense,

you must be prepared to go back to the way we used to think when

John F. Kennedy was President. Admittedly, there were lots of bad

habits loose back then; but, that is still a good point of reference at which to begin the process of cleaning away the mass

of cultural rubble which, unless cleared away, will ensure that

our nation does not survive.

“Look at the Littleton horror as an omen, as the hands of the clock of history, pointing to the time in which we are living

at this moment.

“You must change this nation, and perhaps yourself, too, before this nation, soon otherwise dies. Take Littleton as that

kind of warning. It is past time that you acted to change the set

of definitions, axioms, and postulates which have been controlling your opinions and other behavior during recent decades.”

OGDEN: So, that was Lyndon LaRouche on June 11, 1999, almost 20 years ago. And it is shocking how prescient Mr. LaRouche’s warning were, at that time, in the aftermath of the {first} of what has proven to be countless numbers of {horrible}

spectacles that we saw at that Littleton massacre.

Now’s the time for us to let that sink in, and not be satisfied with just halfway, practical measures and partial

solutions, but to realize in a moment of truly self-conscious reflection, in true Classical tragedy form, that the horror we're witnessing today, really is the sign of the disintegration of our society, a potential Dark Age, as Mr. LaRouche said in that report. And a stirring within ourselves of the realization that the only solution, is a clean break from those follies which have led us down that path, and decisive action to create a Renaissance in our understanding of what it means to be human, our view of man, a re-humanizing of the human individual, not to just try to negate evil, but to try to replace this reigning culture of violence and this culture of death, with rather, a culture of creativity, which recognizes and celebrates that unique nature of the human species. And cultivates that divine spark creativity within every human individual, {every} child. Now, as Mr. LaRouche pointed out in that report, one cannot separate this sort of sickness in our culture, from the policies which have been expressed by our governing leadership for the last 50 years; especially the policy of endless war, killing, endless warfare, which has dominated our nation, really, since the Korean conflict, but in ever-increasing rates since the death of John F. Kennedy. And this was very usefully pointed out, just last week in an interview podcast by Coleen Rowley, who was a former FBI agent, and a whistleblower, actually, in the months leading up into 9/11. And you can see there, on the screen, that her podcast with "WhoWhatWhy" is titled, "FBI Whistleblower: American Culture of Violence Starts with Perpetual Wars." In this interview, Coleen Rowley addresses the issue that

this kind of "domestic terror," as she calls it, as we're seeing with these mass shootings, in schools and otherwise, really does have very much to do with this culture of violence which we now have in the United States. And she pointed to the media's role in fostering this kind of widespread culture of violence. She stated that while the tendency in law enforcement is to try to treat every single one of these as the specific set of circumstances, which led down the path to every single one of these crimes, she said, the reality of what we are dealing with is really something much larger. She said: "Our culture is doing this, it's promoting this violent culture. And of course this is over and above the availability and easy access to weapons." You put all of this together and just those added up on their own "does explain the question. Columbine, why is this happening? Why are we experiencing an epidemic of mass violence? Again, our news never mentions that because ... we want to compartmentalize this and make it seem as if it's easily, it's not us as a culture." And then she pointed to some specifics. She said, it really is the influence of this perpetual war mentality on our society. She indicated that there are several studies that have come out, that veterans of these perpetual, endless wars are twice as likely to become mass shooters; and she also pointed out that the CIA and the Pentagon have had a sort of devil's bargain with the

mass media and the entertainment media, movies and video games.

And she said that "The CIA and the Pentagon have been backing, helping make about 1,800 movies," including among them are the famous "American Sniper" movie from 2014, "Zero Dark 30" from 2012, and numerous others. She said in those movies, the hero will always be someone who is wronged, and then in the end, they

shoot everyone: "A mentally impaired or emotionally troubled person is seeing themselves as that hero in those movies."

That's a very useful affirmation of exactly that point, that you cannot compartmentalize, you cannot separate out all of these

different, sick, sick phenomena. And our tendency is to try to

scapegoat one thing, as opposed to realizing that the fault, perhaps, lies within ourselves as a culture.

But it goes even further than that, and I think as Mr.

LaRouche made clear, we have to not be satisfied with partial remarks, and partial considerations. In the last number of years, more than just perpetual war and bloodshed, in an age of

thermonuclear weapons, the ultimate conclusion of a culture of death, and this culture of perpetual war and violence, in which

human life has lost its value and weapons of greater and greater

destructive capability have become the central pillar of international policy and relations of states with other states,

in this age of thermonuclear weapons, the ultimate conclusion of

this mentality is the extinction of the human race.

We've now reached a point of decision. With the announcement just yesterday by the Russian President, of a new generation of weapons which have been developed by Russia which



have the power to evade all known ballistic missile defense shields, flying at hypersonic speeds, some reaching Mach 20 – unbelievable speeds – under the power of nuclear propulsion, which allows them to fly almost endlessly, and can deliver, as he said, a doomsday payload literally anywhere on the surface of the planet at any time, truthfully, the era of belief in survivable limited nuclear war, or preventive nuclear first strike, or this global strike policy, which believed that you could knock out one nation's defenses and then launch a nuclear or conventional attack against them, that age is now definitely over. And this announcement has really caught the world by surprise.

As Helga Zepp-LaRouche characterized this: If everything which President Putin announced is in fact real, and there's no reason not to believe that to be the case, this is a complete "Sputnik-type" shock. It's also being compared to the Soviet development of the hydrogen bomb in the 1950s, which completely shifted the so-called "strategic balance of power," and took the entire idea, at that time, of a preemptive nuclear strike against the Soviet Union off the table.

What Helga Zepp-LaRouche's assessment is, is that this announcement of an entirely new weapons system, based on "completely new physical principles," an obvious echo of course, of the language that was originally used in discussing the Strategic Defense Initiative, the SDI, that this is a qualitative leap of extreme significance, which shifts the entire

international strategic framework.

And the follies of our belief in statecraft based on Mutually Assured Destruction, of dominance and so-called “deterrence” of geopolitics, all of these follies have now been

exposed. The mask has fallen away. And humanity itself now sits

before the judgment seat. Will we continue collectively, to pursue an ideology of nihilism, which necessarily, in the end, must lead to the destruction of civilization and the extinction

of the human species in its ultimate consequence, if allowed to

proceed to that point? {Or}, will we finally recognize the horror, {which we ourselves have wrought}, and awaken to the awful reality of the ultimate, real-life tragedy in the making,

which is now unfolding around us

President Xi Jinping of China talks about creating a “community of common destiny.” Now obviously, he discusses that

in a beautiful sense, a win-win relationship among nations, where

all nations are working toward the mutual benefit of others and

are working towards the “common destiny of all mankind.”

Well,

ironically, that common destiny already exists, but, in a negative sense, with this thermonuclear Sword of Damocles hanging

over our heads, the potential for a “common destiny of humanity”

for a global annihilation, is a very, very real thing. As Lyndon

LaRouche made the point with regards to the warning that he delivered in that report that I read excerpts from – which he wrote, now, almost 20 years ago – when he foresaw the horrors

which the events in Littleton presaged. Survival under these conditions will not come from within the theories, the axioms, the postulates, of the prevailing system, but survival can only

be delivered through the overturning of those failed ideologies

which form its foundations, and the construction of an entirely

new outlook, based on truth, truthful principles; based on a recognition of what it really means to be man.

The ultimate principle which must come before, and precede everything else, not only in philosophy and education, and social

relations, but in international strategic policy, and economic policy, is the recognition of the true nature of man, a species

which is unique from all other species in its capacity for creativity, and the necessary ordering and subordination of everything else, to the cultivation and promotion of that.

So how does that principle play out on the world stage?

It's through rejecting the kind of anti-human, anti-development,

anti-progress ideology, which has prevailed in the form of competitive strategic geopolitics, zero-sum economic

policymaking; and instead, to consciously and scientifically

decide, that the common destiny which man must pursue is not thermonuclear extinction and Mutually Assured Destruction

warfare, but rather, mutually beneficial development and shared

creative progress: Space exploration, the Strategic Defense of Earth from asteroids and other cosmic threats in our cosmic environment; the development of limitless power through the development of fusion energy – all of these, the list goes on and on and on.

{But this New Paradigm is already there. It's already in existence.} Just look at what China is doing, with the Silk Road, with the One Belt, One Road initiative. Look beyond all

of

the propaganda that you're being fed, about "Chinese hegemony" and so forth and so on. This is where the future lies:

Mutually

beneficial progress, development, the giving of the opportunity

for the full cultivation of creative reason to every man, woman

and child on this planet. The most beautiful example of this, just in the recent months, has been what China has already accomplished in the otherwise hopelessly destitute areas of Africa. And a beautiful report has just come out of Nigeria, where the idea of the Transaqua program to refill Lake Chad through massive water development and water-transfer projects, this idea which has been on the books for 20 years or more, is now becoming a reality.

These are the kinds of projects, these are the kinds of visions, these are the kinds of goals which bind us together as a

common humanity, and will affirm, for our children and for ourselves, the beauty of mankind, and the true creative nature of

this species. This is the antidote for a culture of death and a

culture of despair which has plagued our nation and this is the

vision which will inspire us, as we work to build this shared destiny, this common future.

It's not only through negating what is evil, but it's through cultivating what is good, that man can be redeemed, and

that we can cure this sickness which has infected our culture at

its very root.

So let us allow those masks to fall away, and let us allow the truth to sit in judgment, recognizing that that the fault lies within ourselves, within our very cultural values and

beliefs which has led us down the road of tragedy. As the nation has mourned alongside the victims and the family members of those horrible events in Parkland, Florida, but has also been inspired by the courage of those family members and those survivors who have said, "Enough is enough: Let's bring an end to the so-called status quo. This must be allowed {never} to happen again! Let us commit ourselves to action now, before we reach the point of no return, to cure this culture, and to cure this world, of the sickness which threatens our very survival. And to resolve, that out of evil {must} come greater good."

For those who were victims in Florida, for those who are victims every day, of the diseases of depression and despair, addiction, overdose, opioids and heroin, and for all of us who now live under this thermonuclear Sword of Damocles which threatens to exterminate mankind in the blink of an eye, let all

of us resolve: That we will no longer accept this culture of death, which prevails not only in our media, and in our entertainment, but underlies the very economic and strategic fabric of society. If there was {ever} a moment in which it is

clear that the necessity of a New Paradigm for civilization is literally life or death, that moment is now.

So, let me conclude by returning to that essay by the poet Friedrich Schiller that I cited at the outset of this show, and

read to you the closing section of this essay, which he titles "The Theater Considered as a Moral Institution." What Friedrich

Schiller had to say, was:

"When grief gnaws at our heart, when melancholy poisons our solitary hours; when we are revolted by the world and its affairs; when a thousand troubles weigh upon our souls, and

our  
sensibilities are about to be snuffed out underneath our  
professional burdens – then the theater takes us in, and  
within  
its imaginary world we dream the real one away; we are given  
back  
to ourselves; our sensibilities are reawakened; salutary  
emotions  
agitate our slumbering nature, and set our hearts pulsating  
with  
greater vigor.

“And then, when man at last, in all districts and regions  
and classes, with all his chains of fad and fashion cast away,  
and every bond of destiny rent asunder – when man becomes his  
brother’s brother with a single all-embracing sympathy,  
resolved  
once again into a single species, forgetting himself and the  
world, and reapproaching his own heavenly origin, each takes  
joy  
in others’ delights, which then, magnified in beauty and  
strength, are reflected back to him from a hundred eyes, and  
now  
his bosom has room for a single sentiment, and this is: to be  
truly human.”

So let us resolve to make mankind truly human, to be our  
brothers’ brother, and to usher in a culture of creativity to  
replace this culture of death.

Thank you very much. And please stay tuned to  
[larouchepac.com](http://larouchepac.com).

---

# De første responser på Putins annoncering af nye våben omfatter forbløffelse og ros og fra andre hysteri

2. marts, 2018 – De første responser på præsident Vladimir Putins tale til nationen den 1. marts, hvor han annoncerer de nye, russiske atomvåbensystemer, omfatter udtryk for forbløffelse og ros, og fra andre, hysterisk latterliggørelse.

Helga Zepp-LaRouche, der kaldte Putins fremlæggelse for »utrolig«, understregede, at man »ikke bør undervurdere den chokværdi«, dette har. Hun refererede til dagens *Bild Zeitung*, der sammenlignede Putins rapport med, hvordan en mus brokker sig over for en løve. Artiklen sagde, at Putin kom med »umulige« påstande. USA's budget er, trods alt, lød det i artiklen, på \$700 mia., sammenlignet med det russiske budget på \$60 mia.

I USA latterliggjorde Tv-værten Rachel Maddow fra MSNBC Putin for at bluffe.

Disse eksempler viser, at Vesten er så »arrogant og selvsikker«, sagde Zepp-LaRouche, at de ikke ved, hvad virkeligheden er. Man kan sammenligne russernes præstation med atomvåben med »Sputnik-chokket« i USSR's bemandede rumfartssucces, der ramte USA og Vesten. Eller med Sovjetunionens sprængning af H-bomben, som slog Bertrand Russells sindssyge planer om verdensdominans af pinden.

Skribenten Paul Craig Roberts begyndte i går sin dækning med, »Putin har holdt en bemærkelsesværdig tale for den føderale forsamling, det russiske folk og verdens folkeslag«. Roberts (»Putins State of the Union, March 1) fortsatte, »I sin tale har Putin afsløret eksistensen af nye, russiske atomvåben, som

gør det ubestrideligt klart, at Rusland besidder en enorm atomoverlegenhed i forhold til USA og dets patetiske NATO-vasalstater. Ud fra de russiske kapaciteter står det ikke klart, at USA stadig kvalificerer til at være en supermagt ...« Roberts rapporterer, at »Efter at have gjort det klart, at Vestens politik for overherredømme og intimidering er dødfødt, rakte Putin atter en olivengren frem: lad os arbejde sammen for at løse verdens problemer ...«

Websiden The Saker (<http://thesaker.is>) har stor ros til Putins tale. Artiklen fra 1. marts, » Putin's Stunning Revelations About New Russian Weapons Systems«, rapporterer om fire kategorier af nye systemer og siger, at de har »forbløffende, virkelig tektoniske implikationer«. Efter at nævne en gæsteanalyse fra en krigsekspert i flåden, konkluderer, »Det er virkelig 'set, game og match over' for Imperiet: der er ikke længere nogen militær option over for Rusland«.

*Foto: Helga Zepp-LaRouche, der kaldte Putins fremlæggelse for »utrolig«, understregede, at man »ikke bør undervurdere den chokværdi«, dette har.*

---

## **Første officielle responser fra USA på Putins bemærkninger om nye atomvåben er afvisende**

2. marts, 2018 – Pentagons offentlige respons på den russiske præsident Vladimir Putins annonceringer af nye atomvåbensystemer kunne karakteriseres som en kombination af



ringeagt og afvisning. »Vi er ikke overrasket over erklæringen [fra Putin], og det amerikanske folk bør være forsikret om, at vi er fuldt ud parat« til at forsvare os mod angreb, sagde Dana White, Pentagons cheftalsperson, under en briefing i går. »Vi er parat til at forsvare denne nation uanset, hvad Putin måtte føje til sit arsenal af atomvåben«, tilføjede hun.

Pentagons afvisninger blev ledsaget af gentagne benægtelser af, at USA's globale deployeringer af missilforsvar skulle have noget som helst at gøre med Rusland. »De ved udmærket, at det ikke handler om dem. Vort missilforsvar har aldrig handlet om dem«, hævdede White med reference til USA's politik for atomafskrækkelse. »Vi må sikre, at vi har en troværdig atomafskrækkelse, og vi er fortrøstningsfulde mht., at vi er parat til at gøre – vi er parat til at forsvare denne nation, uanset hvad.«

I Det Hvide Hus hævdede talsperson Sarah Sanders den 1. marts, at »Præsident Putin har bekræftet, hvad USA's regering hele tiden har vidst, og som Rusland har benægtet. Rusland har været i gang med at udvikle destabiliserende våbensystemer i over et årti, i direkte overtrædelse af dets traktatforpligtelser«. Dernæst pralede hun, »USA's forsvarskapacitet er og vil fortsat være uovertruffen, og nu, pga. vores nye forsvarsbudget på \$700 mia., vil vores forsvar være stærkere end nogensinde«, sagde Sanders. »Som præsidentens gennemgang af holdningen til atomvåben gjorde klart, så går Amerika frem med at modernisere vores atomvåbenarsenal og sikre, at vore kapaciteter er uden sidestykke.«

I Udenrigsministeriet kaldte talsperson Heather Nauert den 1. marts videoen, som Putin viste om atomkrydsermissiler, der rammer Florida, for »plat«. Hun hævdede ligeledes, at Putins tale viste, at Rusland havde overtrådt sine forpligtelser under traktaten om mellemdistance-atomstyrker, en påstand, der kraftigt benægtes af den russiske side.

Den russiske ambassadør til USA, Anatoly Antonov, sagde, »Vladimir Putins tale fokuserede på strategiske våben, som ligger uden for rammen af INF-traktaten«. Han tilføjede, at Ruslands udvikling af dets atomkapacitet er i fuld overensstemmelse med alle traktater om våbenkontrol og Ruslands internationale forpligtelser. »Den antirussiske propagandakampagne med henvisning til INF-traktaten indikerer i stigende grad, at Washington har kurs mod en tilbagetrækning fra denne aftale, ligesom USA for nogen tid siden forlod traktaten om antiballistiske missiler«, sagde Antonov. »Vi har gentagne gange opfordret til en professionel diskussion om dette spørgsmål med vore amerikanske kolleger. Vi har gentagne gange advaret om, og advarer nu igen om, at et sammenbrud af INF-traktaten ville være et forfærdeligt slag imod bestemmelserne om våbenkontrol og om ikkespredning«, tilføjede han.

*Foto: Pentagon-talsperson Dana White: »Vi er parat til at forsvare denne nation, uanset hvad.«*

---

**Hvorfor geopolitik fører til krig**

**– Og en sejr i Abuja, Afrika.**

**Helga Zepp-LaRouche i Nyt Paradigme**

**Webcast, 1. marts, 2018 (pdf,**

# dansk, og video)

*Er det virkelig OK med narkoepidemien, der i USA har ført til et fald i den generelle levetid; guvernør Bevin påpegede det faktum, at nogle af disse sataniske budskaber også er i teksterne i popmusikken, i filmene, i videospillene – bør vi tillade alt dette, og få vore samfund totalt ødelagt? Der er en virkning af alt dette på de kognitive evner! Hvis man ønsker Lyndon LaRouches Fire Love som den eneste løsning til at undgå systemets kollaps, jamen, især den fjerde lov kræver et forceret program for fusionskraft, for international rumforskning og rumrejser. Man kan ikke have folk med ødelagte hjerner, fordi de er afhængige af disse ting, og så få dem til at blive kreativ, produktiv arbejdskraft.*

*Så det er én og samme diskussion, vi har brug for – vi har brug for et Nyt Paradigme, og vi må have et uddannelsessystem, der understreger skønheden i klassisk kultur, der understreger karakterens skønhed som et udviklingsmål. Det var Wilhelm von Humboldts idé, som trods alt havde indflydelse på meget af undervisningssystemet i Europa og USA i det 19. århundrede, og det holdt sig endda til langt ind i det 20. århundrede, og han havde den idé, at formålet med uddannelse må være karakterens skønhed. Hvem taler om dette nu om stunder? Hvis man tager nogle af disse børn, der er afhængige af disse voldsvideospil, eller endnu værre, der kigger på forfærdeligt materiale på Internettet, hvor der bruges tortur og sådanne ting, og som virkelig bliver ødelagt. Deres hjerner bliver fuldstændig ødelagt!*

*Eftersom guvernør Bevin har krævet en national debat om dette, og præsident Trump heldigvis også ønsker at tage dette spørgsmål op, mener jeg, vi må have en sådan debat, for det er efter min mening en integreret del af USA's tilslutning til det Nye Paradigme og den Nye Silkevej, for vi kan ikke have, at dette fortsætter.*

*Schiller Instituttet har i mange år bevist, at, med klassisk musik, med klassisk poesi, med Schiller, med Shakespeare, kan man transformere folk og få en æstetisk opdragelse, og det er præcis, hvad vi har brug for lige nu.*

Download (PDF, Unknown)

---

## **Putin annoncerer nye våben og bekræfter Ruslands doktrin om atomkrig**

*Torsdag, 1. marts, 2018 – I sin årlige tale til nationen for den føderale forsamling, afslørede præsident Putin en række nye, strategiske systemer under udvikling til de russiske bevæbnede styrker, som, sagde han, specifikt har til hensigt at imødegå USA's deployering af et globalt missilforsvar. Han bemærkede, at Moskva længe har forsøgt at overbevise Washington om ikke at overtræde ABM-traktaten, som USA trak sig ud af i 2002, og at Rusland flere gange havde foreslået fælles arbejde om faktiske missiltrusler, men at det havde været forgæves. USA, sagde han, »øger antallet af jagerfly, forbedrer deres karakteristika, skaber nye positionssteder, som, hvis vi ikke handler, vil føre til ophævelsen af Ruslands atompotentiale, [alle missiler] vil blive opfanget«.*

*»Vi har derfor påbegyndt udviklingen af nye, strategiske våben, der ikke anvender ballistiske flyveruter, når de*

bevæger sig mod deres mål, hvilket betyder, at missilforsvarssystemerne er ubrugelige i kampen mod dem», sagde Putin. Han rapporterede ligeledes, at, i december 2017, testede Rusland et atomkrydsermissil. »Et lavflyvende krydsermissil med lav synlighed, og bevæbnet med et atomsprænghoved og med en praktisk talt ubegrænset rækkevidde, uforudsigelig flyvebane og med evne til at afvise praktisk talt alle linjer for opsnapping, er usårlig over for alle eksisterende og fremtidige antimissil- og luftforsvarsvåben«, sagde han. De succesfulde jordbaserede afprøvninger og flyvetests af det nye missil »gør det muligt at påbegynde arbejdet med at skabe en fundamentalt ny type våben – et strategisk atommissil, der er udstyret med et atomkraftværk«, sagde Putin.

Herefter annoncerede han, at testning af RS-28 Sarmat ICBM var begyndt og sagde, at det nye våben ville være usårligt over for at blive opfanget, da dets evner gør det muligt for det at gå uden om ethvert missilforsvar. Derudover har Moskva udviklet undervandsdroner, der kan operere i enorme dybder og i interkontinentale afstande, såvel som skabe hypersoniske våben. *»Der var ingen, der lyttede til Rusland, før vi skabte nye våbensystemer, så lyt til Rusland nu«*, sagde Putin (fremhævelse tilføjet) og sagde, at landet er et skridt foran andre stater. Putin understregede, rapporterer Sputnik, at han ikke havde afsløret alle de nye våben, som Rusland arbejder på, men at det, han havde afsløret, var »tilstrækkeligt« for én dag.

Mens Putin beskrev hvert af disse nye systemer, afspillede videoer, der viste dem, på videoskærmene bag ham. De kombinerede live actionfilm med animationer for at vise, hvordan hvert enkelt af dem forventes at operere. Det hypersoniske sprænghoved, for eksempel, afbilledes som værende deployeret fra et ICBM, men som dernæst flyver gennem de øvre lag af atmosfæren og navigerer for at undgå amerikanske missilforsvarsdeployeringer på både hav og land.

Putin sagde, at formålet med at afsløre alt dette var at afskrække en potentiel aggressor. Han sagde også, at Rusland har deployeret våben, baseret på nye, fysiske principper.

Han afsluttede dette afsnit af sin tale ved at sige: »Vi er meget bekymrede over visse bestemmelser i den reviderede (amerikanske) gennemgang af holdningen til atomvåben, der udvider mulighederne for at reducere, og reduktionen af, tærsklen for anvendelsen af atomvåben. Bag lukkede dør kan man sige hvad som helst for at berolige hvem som helst, men vi læste, hvad der stod skrevet. Og det, der står skrevet, er, at denne strategi kan sættes i gang i respons til angreb med konventionelle våben, og endda til cyberangreb.

Jeg bør bemærke, at vores militære doktrin siger, at Rusland reserverer retten til at anvende atomvåben udelukkende som respons til et atomangreb, eller et angreb med andre masseødelæggelsesvåben, imod landet eller dets allierede, eller en aggressionshandling mod os med anvendelse af konventionelle våben, der truer selve statens eksistens. Dette er alt sammen meget klart og specifikt.

Det er således min pligt at annoncere det følgende. Enhver anvendelse af atomvåben mod Rusland eller dets allierede, med våben af kort, medium eller nogen som helst anden rækkevidde, vil blive anset for at være et atomangreb mod dette land. Gengældelse vil omgående finde sted, med alle tilknyttede konsekvenser.«

(Se f.eks. hele Putins tale udlagt af RT på YouTube med engelsk voice over:  
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=idGvrdqQZVY>)

---

# Putin leverede en meget fremsynet Tale til Nationen

*Torsdag, 1. marts, 2018* – Den russiske præsident Putin leverede en meget fremsynet Tale til Nationen, eller tale om nationens tilstand, i går, hvor han talte til en fælles session i Ruslands føderale forsamling, de to lovgivende huse. Talens fulde tekst er udlagt på engelsk på Kremls website. (<http://en.kremlin.ru/events/president/news/56957>)

Putin dækkede i talen hele spektret af økonomi, sikkerhed og internationale emner.

Præsidenten lagde ud med sin økonomiske politik, der er centreret omkring at løfte den russiske befolknings vilkår således, at det muliggør dens fulde, kreative potentiale, og således også den russiske nations potentiale. Her følger nogle enkelte uddrag af nogle af højdepunkterne i Putins inspirerende tale:

»Som jeg tidligere har sagt, så bestemmes statens rolle og position i den moderne verden ikke kun eller i overvejende grad af naturlige resurser eller produktionskapaciteter; den afgørende rolle spilles af folket, så vel som også af vilkårene for hvert enkelt individs udvikling, selvhævdelse og kreativitet. Alting afhænger derfor af indsatsen for at sikre det russiske folk og garantere vore borgeres fremgang. Vi må opnå et afgørende gennembrud inden for dette område.«

Putin understregede, at »verden akkumulerer nu et enormt teknologisk potentiale, som gør det muligt at opnå et virkeligt gennembrud i forbedringen af folkets livskvalitet og moderniseringen af økonomien, infrastrukturen og statens styrelse og administration ... de næste par år vil være afgørende for landets fremtid. Jeg gentager; disse år vil blive afgørende ...«

Med en advarsel om, at en »teknologisk forsinkelse og afhængighed vil sige reduceret sikkerhed og reducerede økonomiske muligheder for landet og, sluttelig, tabet af landets suverænitet ... Som jeg har sagt, så vedrører forandringerne hele civilisationen, og den blotte skala af disse forandringer kræver en lige så magtfuld respons. Vi er rede til at yde en sådan respons. Vi er parat til et regulært gennembrud ...

I modsat fald bliver der ingen fremtid for os, for vore børn og for vort land. Det er ikke et spørgsmål om, at nogen erobrer eller ødelægger vort land. Nej, det er ikke faren. Hovedtruslen og vores hovedfjende er det faktum, at vi sakker bagud. Hvis det ikke lykkes os at vende denne tendens, vil vi sakke endnu længere bagud ... Vi må beherske den kreative evne og styrke udvikling, så ingen forhindringer står i vejen for, at vi kan bevæge os tillidsfuldt og uafhængigt fremad. Vi må selv eje vores fremtid.«

Han fremsatte krav om en halvering af de fattige fra 20 million til 10 million i løbet af de næste seks år, ved at tilbyde anstændige, velbetalte jobs og frigøre befolkningens kreative potentiale. Han fremsatte nødvendigheden af at forbedre livskvaliteten gennem en forbedret kapacitet i sundhedssektoren, boligsektoren, højere pensioner og en garanti for en høj livskvalitet for pensionister, samt en forøget forventet levealder på over 80 år.

Putin foreslog »at lancere et storskala, rumligt udviklingsprogram i Rusland, som ville omfatte at udvikle byer og andre lokalsamfund ved mindst en fordobling af udgifterne inden for dette område over de næste seks år ... En renovering af byerne bør støttes af introduktionen af den mest avancerede byggeteknologi og de mest avancerede byggematerialer, moderne arkitekturløsninger, digital teknologi til sociale tjenesteydelser, og sektorerne for transport og offentlige tjenesteydelser (dvs., vand, elektricitet mm.)...



Det er vigtigt, at udviklingen af byer bliver drivkraften i hele landet. Rusland er et land med et udstrakt territorium, og dets aktive, dynamiske liv kan ikke være koncentreret i et par metropoler. Store byer må distribuere deres energi og tjene som støtte for en afbalanceret, harmonisk, rumlig udvikling af hele Rusland.«

Om infrastruktur annoncerede Putin, at »broen til Krim vil åbne for biler om et par måneder og for tog næste år. Dette vil stimulere udviklingen af Krim og hele det russiske sortehavsområde.«

Og yderligere, »de næste seks år må vi næsten fordoble budgettet til vejbyggeri og vejreparationer i Rusland og bevilge mere end 11 billion rubler [\$198 billion]. Han nævnte også planer om at udvikle store, eurasiske transportkorridorer, inkl. en vej til bilkørsel, som vil blive en del af Europa-Asiatisk Stillehavsområde-korridoren, som allerede er ved at blive bygget i samarbejde med Kina og Kasakhstan.

»Gennemstrømningskapaciteten for Baikal-Amur-hovedlinjen og den Transsibiriske Jernbane [i den Eurasiske Landbro] vil vokse 1,5 gang, op til 180 million tons, på seks år«, sagde Putin. »Det vil tage syv dage for containere fra Vladivostok at nå Ruslands vestlige grænser ... Volumen af transitfragt på vore jernbaner må vokse med næsten fire gange. Det betyder, at Rusland vil blive en global leder inden for transitfragt mellem Europa og Asien.«

Med hensyn til fragt over vand, sagde Putin, at gennemstrømningen i de russiske havne er vokset til mere end 1 mia. ton for første gang i historien, i 2017: »Som I ser på disse grafer, så overgår dette det niveau, som Sovjetunionen opnåede, med mere end to tredjedele ... Vi må yderligere udvide denne kapacitet, inkl. ved at forøge kapaciteten af jernbaneforbindelsen til havne i Azov- og Sortehavsområdet 1,5 gang, til 131 mio. tons«, sagde han.

Putin nævnte også udvidelsen af den Nordlige Søvej og sagde, at, frem til 2025, vil fragttrafikken langs denne rute vokse ti gange, til 80 mio. tons. »Det er vores mål at gøre det til en virkelig global og konkurrencedygtig transportrute. Lad mig minde jer om, at den Nordlige Søvej blev mere aktivt brugt under sovjettiden, sammenlignet med, hvordan vi hidtil har brugt den. Vi vil afgjort udvikle denne rute og nå nye horisonter. Det er jeg ikke i tvivl om.«

Den russiske præsident talte også længe om udviklingen af Ruslands Fjernøsten.

---

## **Ruslands udenrigsminister Lavrov angriber strategi for regimeskifte**

Den russiske udenrigsminister Sergei Lavrov fordømte, i en tale til den 37. session af FN's Menneskerettighedsråd og Konferencen for Nedrustning, taktikkerne og strategien bag Vestens politikker i Syrien, som værende intet mindre end særdeles destruktive for befolkningen i landene, hvor disse politikker praktiseres. Først angreb han den udefrakommende manipulation af væbnede grupper, sådan, som det finder sted i Syrien, til geopolitiske formål. »Vi anser det for at være uacceptabelt at opdele terrorister i 'gode' og 'dårlige', især, når dette sker afhængigt af målene eller de finansielle kilder, som ekstremisterne erklærer«, sagde Lavrov. »Rusland vil fortsat konsekvent bekæmpe den forbryderiske praksis af sådanne dobbelte standarder, endda ved at yde assistance til den syriske hær for endegyldigt at fjerne terrortruslen ved dens rod«, iflg. TASS.

Lavrov beskrev som ekstremt farligt, forsøg på at bruge krænkelser af menneskerettigheder til at retfærdiggøre militære eventyr, der tilsigter regimeskifte, og mindede om bemærkninger fra pave Frans, der sagde, at det er umuligt at bekæmpe ondt med ondt. »Uanset, hvilken holdning, der måtte være over for Saddam Hussein og Muammar Gaddafi, så lad dem, der væltede dem, besvare et simpelt spørgsmål, nemlig, om den ulovlige intervention lettede folks lidelser; beskyttede det den vigtigste af alle menneskerettigheder – nemlig retten til livet? Svaret er indlysende«, påpegede Lavrov.

»Aldrig i menneskets historie har den overlagte ødelæggelse af stater været til gavn for almindelige mennesker«, understregede han. »Tværtimod, det har altid bragt humanitære katastrofer med sig for civilbefolkningen. Det har ligeledes været årsagen til hidtil usete bølger af illegal migration, der er fejet ind over Europa, og et ligeledes uhørt opsving i terrorisme og forfølgelse af kristne og mennesker tilhørende andre religioner.«

*Foto: Ruslands udenrigsminister Sergei Lavrov taler i FN's Menneskerettighedsråd, Genève, Schweiz, den 28. feb., 2018.*

---

## **Kina sender topregeringsfolk til Washington for at 'rette og stabilisere' relationer**

28. feb., 2018 – En redaktionel kommentar den 27. feb. i Kinas *Global Times* (»Højtplacerede regeringsfolks besøg i USA har til formål af undgå yderligere handelskonflikter«) påpeger, at

to af Kinas højst placerede og betroede regeringsfolks nylige besøg i Washington – statsråd Yang Jiechi (Kinas højst placerede regeringsperson inden for udenrigspolitik) for to uger siden, og i denne uge, økonomisk seniorrådgiver til præsident Xi Jinping, Liu He – er yderst usædvanligt.

Det reflekterer imidlertid det faktum, at de bilaterale relationer med USA befinder sig ved et afgørende punkt, og at Kina »håber at rette og stabilisere retningen af de kinesisk-amerikanske relationer så snart som muligt«, iflg. Diao Daming, associeret professor ved Kinas Renmin Universitet. Yang Jiechi behandlede internationale og regionale hovedspørgsmål, såsom Korea, alt imens, iflg. Udenrigsministeriet, Liu mere vil behandle bilaterale relationer, især handel og økonomi.

I betragtning af amerikanske »offensiver« mod Kina om handelsspørgsmål, kunne Lius mission »blive vanskelig«, iflg. An Gang fra Pangoal Institution i Beijing. Det bliver hans job at lade Washington vide, at Beijing kan handle proaktivt og »imødegå amerikanske handlinger i handelskonflikter«. Men han kan også briefe USA om Kinas »nye, overordnede plan for økonomisk reform«, som blev fremlagt efter Kinas Kommunistiske Partis 19. nationalkongres. Da Liu talte på Davos Økonomiske Verdensforum i år, indikerede han, at Kina planlægger en række nye forholdsregler for reform og åbenhed, minder *Global Times* om og bemærker, at Donald Trump også arbejder for økonomiske reformer. Nøglen til kinesisk-amerikansk koordinering, konkluderer avisen, er således »at realisere gensidig promovering, alt imens begge sider arbejder for reformer«. Med andre ord, understreger An, »så må de finde et nyt grundlag for win-win-samarbejde«.

Hvad der er vigtigt, så tilføjer han, at en afbalancering af de kinesisk-amerikanske, økonomiske bånd »ikke blot kan bero på stigende import fra USA og formindsket eksport til USA, og begge sider bør søge en løsning ud fra deres strukturelle reformer«.

*Foto: Økonomisk seniorrådgiver til præsident Xi Jinping, Liu He, besøger USA fra 27. feb. til 3. marts., 2018.*

---

# **Tiden er inde til at fjerne fattigdom og give vore børn en fremtid**

*Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 28. feb., 2018* – I dag anfører *New York Times* den flok hyæner i det vestlige pressekorps, som misbilliger den kinesiske beslutning om at fjerne tidsbegrænsning for deres præsidenter og vicepræsidenter. »Xi sætter Kina på kollisionskurs med historien«, hylér *Times'* overskrift og citerer ingen anden en taberen Hillary Clinton, der udtalte, at Kina er på »en taberkurs og forsøger at opretholde et regeringssystem, der ikke kan overleve i den moderne verden«.

Kesha Rogers, den uafhængige kandidat til Kongressen for Texas (9. Kongresdistrikt), og som støttes af LaRouche Politiske Aktionskomite, responderede til dette hysteri imod Kina ved at minde vore borgere om Martin Luther Kings ord (som, ulig Hillary, var *kvalificeret* til at være præsident), der sagde: »Tiden er inde for os at blive civiliserede ved totalt, direkte og omgående at afskaffe fattigdom.« Det er selvfølgelig det, Kina er ved at opnå, både for sine egne borgere (frem til år 2020) og for verden, gennem sit historiske Bælte & Vej Initiativ. Hvilken nation eksemplificerer den »civiliserede verden« i dag?

*Global Times*, det Kinesiske Kommunistpartis avis, skriver i dag, at »de vestlige medier begyndte at tale dårligt om Kina på deres sædvanlige og forskellige måder« efter meddelelsen

om, at Kina ville afslutte begrænsede embedsperioder. »Den vigtigste grund til alt dette«, fortsætter lederartiklen, »er, at Kinas fremvækst har nået et afgørende punkt, hvor nogle vesterlændinge rent psykologisk ikke kan holde det ud længere. De ønsker at se en ulykke ramme landet. Selv, hvis det skulle skade deres egne interesser, så er de villige til først at se Kina smuldre«. De skriver fortsat, »I årenes løb er både Kinas Kommunistiske Partis Centralkomitees myndighed og vort kinesiske samfunds fremgang vokset. Centralkomiteens myndighed er den mest fremragende del af Kinas konkurrencedygtighed. Den er kilden til landets effektivitet og evne til at mobilisere folk og foretage tilpasninger. Det er den ting, som den omgivende verden mest misunder Kina, og det er målet for vestlig, antikinesisk retorik.«

Mange i Vesten responderer, at, på trods af det store fremskridt i Kina, er det kinesiske folk ikke frit, har ikke basale menneskerettigheder, som om retten til et anstændigt levebrød, frihed fra fattigdom og frihed til at bidrage til nationens og menneskehedens fremtid, ikke skulle være den mest fundamentale af menneskerettighederne.

Men vi må også stille spørgsmålet, hvad er tilstanden for menneskerettighederne i USA? Hvad gør man mod vore børn, af hvilke millioner er blevet nægtet ethvert håb om en produktiv fremtid, og som i stedet tilbydes »friheden« til at tage narkotiske stoffer, til at blive »underholdt« af film og videospil og popmusik, der lærer dem at umenneskeliggøre deres medmennesker gennem vold og pornografi, og som nægtes enhver uddannelse med hensyn til den klassiske, vestlige kulturs skønhed, for slet ikke at tale om den klassiske kinesiske kulturs skønhed, eller skønheden i nogen af de andre, store kulturer i menneskets historie? Der bør ikke herske tvivl om, hvorfor hundredevis af vore børn bliver forvandlet til mordere. Der bør heller ikke herske nogen tvivl om, at hele økonomien og hele kulturen må transformeres for at denne rædsel skal stoppe, og for at verden kan gå ind i et nyt

paradigme, baseret på menneskeligt fremskridt og menneskelig værdighed.

Præsident Trump vækkede et håb i det amerikanske folk, hvor han lovede at genopbygge nationens industrielle grundlag og den kollapsende infrastruktur, at afslutte narkosvøben, mindede folk om Alexander Hamiltons »Amerikanske System« og lovede at afslutte den nytteløse og farlige konfrontation med Rusland og Kina. Det er de spørgsmål, som Lyndon LaRouche har kæmpet for i et halvt århundrede, alt imens det politiske lederskab har været i færd med at transformere nationen til en postindustriell skrotbunke og en permanent krigsmaskine på vegne af Det britiske Imperium.

Håbet om at genoprette Amerikas storhed må nu fuldbyrdes på den eneste, mulige måde – ikke stykkevist, ikke med små skridt, men gennem den fulde og hele genindførelse af det Amerikanske System gennem LaRouches program, og ved fuldt og helt at vedtage den Nye Silkevejsånd, som Kinas Bælte & Vej har lanceret. Det er, hvad et civiliseret samfund må gøre.

*Foto: Præsident Donald J. Trump og præsident Xi Jinping møder børn, der vifter med kinesiske og amerikanske flag under velkomstceremonier uden for Folkets Store Hal, 9. nov., 2017, i Beijing, Folkerepublikken Kina. (WH Photo Shealah Craighead)*

---

**Vil italiensk valg og muligt  
SPD-nej  
til stor koalition i Tyskland**

# starte finanskaos på søndag? Politisk Orientering 1. marts. 2018 v/ formand Tom Gillesberg

*»Velkommen til disse tider, hvor den sibirske kulde strømmer ind over Europa og, kunne man da håbe på, kunne have den effekt, at folk lige kunne slå lidt koldt vand i blodet og tænke sig om et par gange og måske nogen tilmed kunne få kolde fødder over denne her konfrontationspolitik, som Claus Hjort Frederiksen og andre folk, der bare marcherer i takt til de kommandoer, der kommer ud fra London; at de lige kunne begynde at tænke sig om og sige, vil vi virkelig tage en fuld konfrontation med Rusland og Kina, for, hvis vi vælger a gøre det, så kan det kun ende med én ting, og det er atomkrig og den sandsynlige udryddelse af menneskeheden ... Man kan ikke tage en 'let lille krig' med Rusland eller med Kina, og så slutter det igen; det kan i sagens natur kun blive ved, fordi Rusland og Kina kommer ikke til at kapitulere og blive loyale, vestlige lakajer, det har de gjort klart for lang tid siden. Det har både Xi Jinping og Putin igen og igen signaleret. Senest i dag holdt Putin sin store tale til nationen i Rusland, hvor selvfølgelig, ved siden af alt det andet, hvordan sikrer vi økonomisk fremgang for den russiske befolkning og sørger for, at russerne kan være et glad folkefærd; men med i alt det her var så også en gennemgang af de meget aktive tiltag, man har gang i, inkl. nye typer af atomvåben, for at sikre, at ingen skulle få den tåbelige idé, at man kunne angribe Rusland og slippe af sted med det ...«*



---

**EIR-interviews om videnskab  
og industri, inkl.  
fusionskraft, fra  
Big Science Business Forum  
2018 i København.**

**EIR interviews about science  
and industry, incl. fusion  
energy, etc. from  
Big Science Business Forum  
2018 in Copenhagen**

*København, 1. marts, 2018 – I forbindelse med det første europæiske Big Science Forum 2018 i København den 27.-28. feb., fik EIR's kontor i København mulighed for at få flere betydningsfulde interviews om forskellige facetter af ITER's tokamak fusionsenergi-projekt (ITER: International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor), der er under opførelse i Frankrig. ITER-samarbejdet omfatter EU, USA, Rusland, Kina, Indien, Korea og Japan – hvilket svarer til mere end halvdelen af verdens befolkning.*

ITER's mål er at bygge en eksperimental reaktor, der kan opnå  $Q=10$ , dvs., at selve reaktoren vil producere ti gange så meget

energi som output, i forhold til input-energien, i 2025 (eksklusiv energiforbrug uden for reaktoren), selv om det ikke vil blive forbundet til elektricitetsnettet. Det er det næste skridt i demonstrations-kraftværket, eller DEMO. En vigtig ting, som ITER-tokamakken vil blive brugt til, er at studere en »brændende plasma« – selve plasmas egen evne til at være med til at opvarme reaktionen i tillæg til udefrakommende varmekilder.

Tre af *EIR's* interviews er på engelsk: med kommunikationsdirektøren for Fusion for Energy, EU's gren af projektet; med dr. Luo Delong, generaldirektør for ITER, Kina; og så med en fransk industriel højteknologileverandør af ITER-komponenter.

Big Science Business Forum 2018 var et stort initiativ, som Danmarks Uddannelses- og Forskningsministerium har taget, med det formål at skabe det, LaRouche har kaldt en »videnskabelig drivkraft«; dvs., den videnskabelige forsknings evne til at skabe økonomisk vækst i den overordnede økonomi. Forummet samlede for første gang folk fra hele Europa. Under denne konference blev det muligt for de syv Big Science-institutioner, såsom Fusion for Energy, den Europæiske Rumorganisation (ESA), CERN-acceleratoren, et par andre neutron- og røntgen-acceleratorer, det Europæiske Molekylærbiologiske Laboratorium, det Sydeuropæiske Observatorium, mindre, tilknyttede institutioner i Europa og de high-tech-industrier, som i øjeblikket leverer, eller har potentiale til at kunne levere, komponenter eller ideer, at mødes og udveksle ideer om deres fremtidige investeringsplaner og indgå konkrete aftaler med industrien. Alle var overraskede, da flere end 1.000 mennesker tilmeldte sig arrangementet.

Flere af talerne, der repræsenterede de syv store forskningsinstitutioner, understregede, at menneskelig nysgerrighed, fascination, inspiration og motivering var afgørende for at forfølge stor videnskab og bekræftede således

LaRouches centrale idé om, at menneskelig kreativitet er udgangspunktet for videnskab, teknologi, økonomi og vores civilisation som helhed.

Den danske forsknings- og uddannelsesminister Søren Pind indledte sin tale med at sige, at denne konference var »et kig ind i fremtiden«. Portugals Carlos Moedas, EU-kommissær for forskning, videnskab og innovation, sagde, at de tilstedeværende også måtte være politiske – og tale for politikere og vælgere om, hvorfor, det er vigtigt at investere i 'Stor Videnskab' (Big Science). Som et negativt eksempel nævnte han eksemplet om en accelerator, der skulle have været bygget i Houston, USA, men som fik sit budget beskåret af USA's Kongres. Fordi den nødvendige politiske vilje ikke eksisterede i USA, blev ekspertisen centreret omkring Europa.

En anden interessant, politisk pointe, som blev rejst af flere af talerne på konferencen, var betydningen af at fortsætte det videnskabelige samarbejde med lande som Rusland, på trods af politiske spændinger.

Ud over de engelsksprogede interviews lavede *EIR* fire korte, dansksprogede interviews med Big Science Denmark og tre high-tech industrileverandører om den effekt, Big Science har haft på at øge deres teknologiske niveau.

*EIR*-Danmarks YouTube-kanals Big Science interviews er udlagt på det danske Schiller Instituts website: <http://schillerinstitut.dk/si/?p=23977>

Efterfølgende vil nogle af talerne på Big Science Business Forum 2018's plenarmøde også blive udlagt samme sted.

*Foto: EIR's korrespondent i København Michelle Rasmussen interviewer dr. Luo Delong, generaldirektør for ITER, Kina.*

### **English:**

EIR Interviews European and Chinese Fusion Energy Spokesmen in

## Copenhagen

COPENHAGEN, March 1 (EIRNS) – In connection with the first European-wide Big Science Business Forum 2018 in Copenhagen on Feb. 27-28, {EIR}'s Copenhagen bureau was able to get several important interviews on different facets of the International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (ITER) tokamak fusion energy

project, under construction in southern France. The ITER cooperation includes the EU, U.S., Russia, China, India, Korea and Japan – more than half of the world's population.

ITER's goal is to build an experimental reactor which will achieve  $Q=10$ , that is, that the reactor itself will produce 10 times the output of energy input in 2025 (not including energy use outside of the reactor), though it will not be connected to

the electricity grid. That is the next step in the demonstration

power plant, or DEMO. One important thing the ITER tokamak will

be used for, is to study a "burning plasma," – the ability of the plasma itself to help heat the reaction, in addition to outside heat sources.

Three of the {EIR} interviews are in English: with the communications director for Fusion for Energy, the EU arm of the

project; with Dr. Luo Delong, the director general for ITER China; and also with a very high-technology French industrial supplier of ITER components.

Big Science Business Forum 2018 was a great initiative taken by the Danish Ministry for Science and Education, to create what

LaRouche has called a "science-driver," the ability of scientific

research to generate economic growth in the general economy. It

gathered people, for the first time, on a European-wide basis.

During this conference, the seven Big Science institutions, such as Fusion for Energy, the European Space Agency, the CERN accelerator, a couple of other neutron and x-ray accelerators, the European Molecular Biology Laboratory, the European Southern Observatory, smaller affiliated institutions in Europe, and the high-tech industries which either currently, or potentially, supply components or ideas, were able to meet to exchange ideas about their future investment plans, and make concrete agreements with industry. Everyone was surprised when over 1,000 people registered for the event.

Several of the speakers representing the seven big science institutions underlined that human curiosity, fascination, inspiration and motivation were essential for the pursuit of big science, confirming LaRouche's central idea that human creativity is the starting point for science, technology, economy and our civilization as a whole.

Danish Minister for Science and Education Søren Pind prefaced his speech by saying that this conference was a "peek into the future." Portugal's Carlos Moedas, EU Commissioner for

Research, Science and Innovation, said that the people in the room also had to be political – to address politicians and voters on why it is important to invest in Big Science. As a negative example, he used the example of an accelerator that was

supposed to have been built in Houston, but which had its funding

cut by the U.S. Congress. Because the necessary political will did not exist in the United States, the expertise became centered in Europe.

Another interesting political point brought up by several

speakers during the conference was the importance of continuing the scientific cooperation with countries such as Russia, despite the political tensions.

In addition to the English-language interviews, {EIR} conducted four short Danish-language interviews with Big Science Denmark, and three high-tech industrial suppliers, about the effect Big Science has had on raising their technological levels.

All of the EIRDenmark YouTube channel's Big Science interviews are also posted to the website of the Schiller Institute in Denmark: <http://schillerinstitut.dk/si/?p=23977> Some speeches during the Big Science Business Forum 2018's plenary session will also be posted there.

*EIR (Executive Intelligence Review, Michelle Rasmussen)* lavede følgende interviews den 27. februar 2018:

In English:

På engelsk

Fusion for Energy, Stavros Chatzipanagiotou

Fusion and China, ITER China, Luo Delong

Fusion and Industry, CNIM, Jean-Claude Cercassi, French ITER component supplier

På dansk

In Danish:

Big Science Danmark og tre firmaer

Nogle taler fra Plenary Session I:

Fusion for Energy

# For at overvinde døds-kulturen, må der komme en renæssancebevægelse; USA må tilslutte sig Bælte & Vej

*Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 28. feb., 2018 – I dag adresserede Helga Zepp-LaRouche den globale, strategiske situation og den nationale debat, som det nylige skoleskyderi i Florida har udløst i USA, med følgende udtalelse:*

*»Der er to udviklinger, som oligarkiet er utilfreds med. Den ene er, at den kinesiske præsident Xi Jinping vil forblive efter år 2020 for at sikre, at Bælte & Vej-transformationen af verden og Kinas udviklingsmål frem til 2020, 2035 og 2050 går fremad på bedst mulig måde. Og den anden er, at Donald Trump har meddelt, at han genopstiller til præsidentvalg i 2020. Og jeg er fuldstændig sikker på, at begge disse begivenheder vil gøre visse mennesker ekstremt utilfredse – hvilket er en god ting.«*

Zepp-LaRouche identificerede de to baner, verden står overfor.

*»Den ene er en meget optimistisk bane med hensyn til Bælte & Vej Initiativets potentiale for at transformere verden. Den anden bane er, at dele af Vesten stadig lider under det,*

guvernøren fra Kentucky har kaldt en 'dødkultur'. Som VIPS-whistleblower Coleen Rowley for nylig udtalte, så er dette, i det mindste for en stor del, resultatet af en politik for evindeligt krig. Man kan i realiteten sige, at både de voldelige videospil og masseskoleskyderierne indirekte eller direkte har været et resultat af disse evindelige krige – hvilket gør det absolut klart, at vi må have et Nyt Paradigme.

På den optimistiske side går Bælte & Vej hurtigt fremad, og det er opmuntrende for alle, der kender til det. Det er også vigtigt, at der finder et meget signifikant præsident-til-præsident-diplomati sted. Den seneste udvikling er, at det kinesiske politbureauemedlem Liu He kommer til USA i fem dage til intensive diskussioner med Trump-administrationen om spørgsmål om økonomi og handel. Dette kommer kun to uger efter, at statsråd Yang Jiechi var i USA. Så denne personlige dialog mellem Trump og Xi er ekstremt vigtig.«

Zepp-LaRouche mindede om den pointe, som en kinesisk kommentator er fremkommet med, nemlig, at Bælte & Vej er en irreversibel tendens, og de lande, der ikke ønsker at komme med om bord, vil ende med at stå og kigge på kabyssens baglygter, når den forlader stationen. »Dette er en meget opmuntrende dynamik; og jeg mener, at den kendsgerning, at både Trump og Xi vil blive om bord, er ekstremt gode nyheder.«

Dernæst adresserede Zepp-LaRouche efterspillet af skoleskyderiet i Florida i USA. »Der er afgørende tegn på en omstilling. Som Kentuckys guvernør Matt Bevin udtalte, så har dette intet med skydevåben at gøre; det har til gengæld alt at gøre med den absolut morbide dødkultur, man ser i nutidens sangtekster, film osv., og det er, hvad vi må ændre. Selvfølgelig talte guvernør Bevin ikke om løsningen, nemlig at få en opløftende, klassisk kultur, som ville vaccinere børn mod dette. Men det er i det mindste en begyndelse, og han opfordrede til en national debat om problemet.«

Fr. Zepp-LaRouche opfordrede til, at LaRouche-bevægelsen



spiller en central rolle i denne diskussion.

*»Stigningen i selvmord, nedgangen i den forventede levealder, alt dette er resultatet af en manglende vision og det rædselsfulde paradigmeskift, der har fundet sted i USA, især i de seneste 50 år efter JFK's død.*

*Som VIPS-whistleblower Rowley sagde, så er henved 1.800 film blevet produceret med hjælp fra Pentagon og CIA, og hvor man har sindsforstyrrede veteraner fra krige i udlandet, der har PTSD (posttraumatisk stress-syndrom), og så går amok i skydeorgier. Dette er, hvad Lyndon LaRouche adresserede i sin udtalelse efter Littleton-skyderiet. Efter dette har der været 31 skoleskyderier med dødelig udgang i USA. Og nu, efter Florida-skyderiet, har der været 50 rapporterede tilfælde [af trusler] om dagen«,*

sagde hun med henvisning til rapporten fra 22. feb. fra Educators School Safety Network.

Dette fremkalder chokvirkninger i befolkningen, rapporterede hun, hvilket kræver, at en løsning på denne krise må fremlægges. Men denne diskussion og denne løsning, formanede hun,

*»kan ikke være begrænset til kun ét enkelt spørgsmål. Hvorfor finder dette kup mod Trump sted? Hvorfor står Det britiske Imperium bag? Hvorfor går efterforskningerne i Kongressen nu efter dette? Og hvorfor er det, at Trump spiller en potentielt ekstremt vigtig, strategisk rolle? Dette er alt sammen en del af det samme billede, og det må tackles som en helhed.*

*Vi må sige til folk, at den eneste måde, hvorpå denne dødkultur kan overvindes, er at løfte befolkningen op, atter skabe forbindelse til USA's bedste traditioner og bevæge sig ind i et Nyt Paradigme for et win-win-samarbejde med kinesernes tilbud om at gå med i Bælte & Vej Initiativet. Dette betyder naturligvis at gennemføre Lyndon LaRouches Fire Love, og det betyder, at folk intensivt må studere den*

*økonomiske metode, som LaRouche har udviklet.*

*Vi må optrappe i denne retning og virkelig forstå, at dette er et historisk øjeblik, hvor en stor del af det, der finder sted, og en endnu større del af vores organisations aktivitet og succes, er afhængigt af den subjektive faktor.*

*Det er en stor ting, men det kan gøres!«,*

konkluderede Zepp-LaRouche.

*Foto: Helga Zepp-LaRouche kort før sin optræden på et engelsksproget TV-dialogshow under sit besøg på Bælte & Vej Forum i Kina, maj, 2017.*

---

## **Medlem af Kinas politbureau Liu He besøger USA**

27. feb. 2018 – Medlem af det kinesiske politbureau Liu He, der anses for at være den mest indflydelsesrige rådgiver til præsident Xi Jinping, og som for nylig repræsenterede Kina på Davos Økonomiske Verdensforum, ankom til Washington, D.C. i dag til et fem dages besøg med intensive diskussioner med Trump-administrationen om spørgsmål om økonomi og handel. Den officielle meddelelse fra Udenrigsministeriet var kortfattet og erklærede blot, at Liu var på besøg »efter indbydelse fra USA's regering«, og at »de to sider vil udveksle synspunkter om de kinesisk-amerikanske relationer og bilateralt samarbejde inden for områderne handel og økonomi«.

*China Daily* havde lidt mere at sige den 27. feb., i en artikel med overskriften, »Lius USA-rejse tænkt at skulle mindske spændinger«. Artiklen bemærker, at »Lius rejse til USA kommer

rundt regnet to uger efter, at statsråd Yang Jiechi besøgte Washington, hvor hans besøg fremviste succesfulde udvekslinger på højt niveau mellem de to nationer, sagde eksperter«. De uddybede denne pointe ved at citere Wu Xinbo, direktør for Center for Amerikanske Studier ved Fudan Universitet i Shanghai, og som sagde, at »Beijing og Washington har fundet en måde til at styrke tillid – hovedsageligt ved at lade betydningsfulde regeringsfolk mødes oftere«. Med andre ord, så bevarer præsidenterne Xi og Trump deres direkte kommunikationskanal for fortsat at udbygge deres »fremragende« personlige relation, der blev etableret i april, 2017, på Mar-a-Lago, Florida, og dernæst under Trumps besøg til Kina i november, 2017.

Der er i øjeblikket ingen tilgængelige oplysninger om, hvem, Liu skal mødes med i Washington – men der kan udrettes meget på fem dage.

*Foto: Medlem af Kinas politbureau Liu He repræsenterede Xi Jinping på Davos Økonomiske Verdensforum i Schweiz, 23.-26. jan, 2018.*

---

## **Putin taler med Merkel og Macron om det østlige Ghouta**

26. feb., 2018 – Den russiske præsident Vladimir Putin holdt et fælles telefonmøde med den franske præsident Emmanuel Macron og den tyske kansler Angela Merkel om Syriens situation og FN's Sikkerhedsråds resolution nr. 2401.

»Siderne sagde, at de var tilfredse med, at det fælles, konstruktive arbejde havde været med til at skabe enighed om og vedtage FN's Sikkerhedsråds resolution nr. 2401 den 24.

feb. Siderne bemærkede betydningen af at fortsætte de fælles bestræbelser med det formål, fuldt ud og så hurtigt som muligt at implementere resolutionens bestemmelser«, iflg. en erklæring fra Kreml.

Putin informerede den franske og tyske leder om de praktiske skridt, Rusland tager for at evakuere civile, levere forsendelser af humanitær hjælp og give lægehjælp til den nødstedte befolkning i Syrien og understregede, at våbenstilstanden ikke gjaldt for militæroperationer imod terrorgrupper i Syrien. Kreml erklærede, at siderne »aftalte at optrappe udveksling af informationer gennem diverse kanaler, om situationen i Syrien«.

Talsmand for den tyske kansler, Steffen Seibert, sagde, at Merkel og Macron understregede betydningen af en hurtig og fuldskala opfyldelse af resolutionen og opfordrede Rusland til at lægge maksimalt pres på den syriske regering med det formål, omgående at ophøre med luftangreb og kamphandlinger.

»Tyskland og Frankrig er fortsat villige til at samarbejde med Rusland, som de hidtil har gjort, og med andre internationale partnere, for at opnå disse mål«, sagde Seibert.

---

**Meddelelse: Nyt Paradigme  
Webcast med Helga Zepp-  
LaRouche:  
Hvorfor geopolitik fører til**

# krig.

## Torsdag, 1. marts kl. 18 dansk tid

[newparadigm.schillerinstitute.com](http://newparadigm.schillerinstitute.com)

*Introduktion v/ Harley Schlanger:* Hvis nogen betvivler, hvad Helga Zepp-LaRouche har sagt om, at en manglende evne til at erstatte det Gamle Paradigme, der er baseret på geopolitiske antagelser, vil føre til krig, så bør de kigge på det seneste vanvid, der er produceret af Centret for Strategiske og Internationale Studier (CSIS). Den 20.feb. udgav CSIS deres seneste rapport, »Hvordan man håndterer overraskelse i stormagtskonflikter«. Den advarer om, at USA trues af militære »overraskelser« fra Rusland og Kina. Disse omfatter faren for, at Kina kunne lancere forebyggende, eller første, angreb med krydsermissiler imod Washington og myrde amerikanske ledere samtidig med at invadere Taiwan, mens Rusland forbereder sit militær på at løbe de baltiske stater over ende!

Alt imens de neokonservative fra London og USA er kommet med mere og mere hysteriske beskyldninger mod Rusland og Kina, så har lederne af disse nationer gjort det klart, at de i stedet fokuserer på at bevæge sig ind i et Nyt Paradigme, baseret på strategisk og økonomisk samarbejde. Dette er faktisk, hvad de neokonservative galninge er bange for, og ikke angivelige trusler fra Rusland og Kina, som de selv har brygget sammen, men det faktum, at det ustoppelige momentum for Bælte & Vej Initiativet vinder støtte over hele verden, selv i Europa. Det Nye Paradigme, der er blevet detaljeret fremlagt af fr. LaRouche på konferencer og seminarer i hele verden, har skabt muligheder for de fattigste lande til at drage fordel af den »win-win«-politik, der er skitseret af Kinas præsident Xi Jinping.

Kineserne udgav en psykologisk indsigtfuld respons til CSIS' vanvid. I *Global Times* den 25. feb. sagde en forfatter, at det, der virkelig skræmmer dem, der står bag rapporten, er, at »USA er skræmt af sit eget spejlbillede«!

Lyt til Helga Zepp-LaRouche på torsdag, når hun giver os den strategiske vejledning i at bringe den transatlantiske verden ud af dens selvmordsimpulser og ind i et samarbejde med de andre stormagter i verden sådan, som præsident Trump fortsat understreger, er hans sande hensigt.