

# **Forbandede britiske løgne: Kejseren går rundt i den bare skjorte! Storbritanniens forbrydelser er afsløret! LaRouchePAC Internationale Webcast, 20. april, 2018**

**Vært Matthew Ogden:** Som I ser her på skærmen, er titlen på aftenens udsendelse »Storbritanniens forbrydelser er afsløret!«. Som Helga Zepp-LaRouche forudsagde for et par uger siden, så er, med hele litaniet af svindelnumre, der nu er afsløret som totale løgne, fra det ubekræftede og slibrige Christopher Steele-dossier, som er så centralt for Russiagate-operationen her i USA, til den angivelige Skripal-forgiftning i England og frem til Assads såkaldte angreb på sit eget syriske folk med »kemiske våben«, briterne kommet i front og centrum. Som Helga Zepp-LaRouche sagde, »briterne er gået for vidt, og maskerne vil snart falde«. Det var, hvad hun forudsagde. Hun forudsagde, at bagslaget fra disse operationer ville være så stort, at Det britiske Imperiums perfiditet snart ville stå afsløret, for hele verden at beskue.

Vi er nu her i dag, og vi har nu nået dette punkt. Husk, at, på præcis den samme aften for Donald Trumps ukloge beslutning om at foretage luftangrebene, de missilangreb, han lancerede mod de syriske militære installationer, skrev det Russiske Udenrigsministerium kæmpe avisoverskrifter. Dette var for en uge siden sidste fredag. De hævdede offentligt, at de var i besiddelse af beviser, som viste, at det angivelige angreb med kemiske våben mod den syriske civilbefolkning fandt sted under

falsk flag; at det var iscenesat og styret af britisk efterretning via deres frontorganisation, kendt som de Hvide Hjelme. Her ser vi hovedoverskriften i *New York Post*: »Rusland hævder, Storbritannien iscenesatte kemisk angreb i Syrien«. De gik virkelig i detaljer og hævdede, at britisk efterretning havde beordret – lagt pres på – de Hvide Hjelme til at iscenesætte disse videos og bruge dem som provokation for, som det lød, »at få Donald Trump til at gå i musefælden og gå ind i en krig i Syrien«.

*Her følger resten af webcastet i engelsk udskrift:*



Vasly Vereshchagin: 'The Devil's Wind'

Then, a week prior to that, the Russian Foreign Ministry had issued a statement calling into question whether British intelligence had actually been responsible for orchestrating the nerve agent attack on the Skripals – the father and his daughter – as a provocation to attempt to start a war with Russia. Here's what Sergey Lavrov had to say. He said, "There are other explanations besides those that were put forward by our Western colleagues, who declare that it can only be the Russians who are responsible." He said, "Experts say that it would be highly advantageous to the British security services as well, who are well known for their capacity to act with a 'license to kill'. It could also be advantageous to the British government, who clearly find themselves in a difficult situation, having failed to fulfill their promises to voters over Brexit. In the times of

the Cold War, there were some rules. But now, Britain and the United States have dropped all propriety.” Then the Russian Foreign Ministry put out a statement demanding that the burden of

proof lay on the British, not the Russians, to prove that they,

in fact, were not responsible for poisoning the Skripals.

What

the Russian Foreign Minister said is that they demanded that London prove that British secret intelligence agents weren’t responsible for poisoning the Skripals. Here’s the text of a statement [Fig. 2] that was put out by the Russian Foreign Ministry. They said:

“An analysis of all the circumstances, leads us to think of the possible involvement in the Skripal poisoning by the British

intelligence services. If convincing evidence to the contrary is

not presented to the Russian side, we will consider that we are

dealing with an attempt on the lives of our citizens as a result

of a massive political provocation.”

So, that was March 28th. It’s clear. The Russians are not pulling any punches when it comes to calling out the British and

identifying the methods that British intelligence is notorious for using to stage provocations; what they called “massive political provocations” intended to pull the world into a World

War. And it has to be understood as such; there is nothing short

of that as the intended goal. As Theresa May herself declared,

her ambition is to re-establish the British as what she called “a

global Britain”; to re-establish the global power of the

British

Empire.

It's clear that the Russians have made a decision at the very highest levels of their government, to openly go after the

British Empire by name. It doesn't seem that they intend to retreat from that strategy.

A major development occurred yesterday afternoon, where, in an extensive press briefing, the spokeswoman for the Russian Foreign Ministry, Maria Zakharova, waged a full frontal attack on

the British Empire by name, and its record of genocide, coups d'état around the world, and targeted political assassinations.

She presented a 17-page dossier that went through a litany of British crimes and British killing. It was a no-holds barred presentation. Now, because you're guaranteed not to see coverage

of this presentation on your local cable news network, or in the

*Washington Post* or the *New York Times*, we're going to share with you an extensive selection from this press briefing. Not everything, because we definitely would not have time. But I just want to give you a taste of what Foreign Ministry spokeswoman Maria Zakharova went through in this press briefing.

Under the subhead "Political Crimes Committed by the UK", this is what she had to say:

"And now I am asking everyone to fasten their belts. During a briefing on the OPCW report held for the international diplomatic community on April 13, UK Ambassador to Russia Laurie

Bristow said that 'the Russian state has a record in state-sponsored assassinations including in the UK.' It is not the first Russophobic statement made by a UK official, or, for that matter, not the first UK statement that is an offense to law, standards of decency or any morals. But it's not the main

point. Let's put aside morals and the law and talk about something different. Maybe the UK Ambassador does not know his own country's history, role and involvement in processes that took place in other countries over the past centuries. I don't think Mr. Bristow is to blame for absence of law in the UK. He probably just doesn't know his country's history. I think now is the time to fill this cognitive vacuum and tell the world something about Britain's history and its international activities and their consequences. Let us talk about state contracts, assassinations and Britain's reputation.

"Let's start with modern history. It is not a common subject, but Britain was one of the most ruthless metropolises in terms of the repressive actions it took in its colonies and dependent territories. On November 22, 2017, British journalist and writer Afua Hirsch wrote in the *Guardian* that 'from the Norman conquest of Ireland in the 12th century, the English began imagining themselves as the new Romans, persuading themselves they were as duty-bound to civilize "backward" tribes as they were destined to exploit their resources, land and labour.'

"This accepted view of Britain's history completely overshadows some inconvenient facts. If the motive is what matters most of all, nobody wants to know the details. But today we will be speaking about details. The establishment of concentration camps in the Boer War that later inspired the Nazis death camps, the cultural annihilation of kingdoms and palaces from Ashanti to Beijing, British army massacres in Ireland and

the devastation of Bengal, the industrial-scale exploitation of natural resources and the slave trade. These are only the most glaring facts.

"The impact of colonial rule in India was extremely devastating. In 1930, American historian Will Durant published a

book about the history and life in India, *The Case for India*. His study of India brought him to the following conclusion:

'The more I read the more I was filled with astonishment and indignation at the apparently conscious and deliberate bleeding of India by England throughout a hundred and fifty years. I began to feel that I had come upon the greatest crime in all history.'

"Britain has left fault lines across the globe, which is most acutely felt in the South Asian subcontinent, where a single

nation was forcibly split into two in 1947. Today each of these

parts is overcoming the consequences of the British colonial 'legacy' on its own. Member of Parliament, former UN

Under-Secretary General Shashi Tharoor, an astute statesman who

once ran for UN Secretary-General and deservedly enjoys respect

the world over has repeatedly stated that the British authorities

suffer from 'historical amnesia' as regards their imperial atrocities. One has to agree. Speaking at Oxford on July 22, 2015, he said: 'India's share of the world economy when Britain

arrived on its shores was 23%. By the time the British left it was down to below 4%. Why? Simply, because India had been governed

for the benefit of Britain. Britain's rise for 200 years was financed by its depredations in India.' According to Dr. Tharoor, in fact, Britain's industrial revolution was actually premised upon the de-industrialization of India. Britain repeatedly provoked famine in India, which killed between 15 million and 29 million people. The best known famine was that in Bengal in 1943, when four million Indians died. You could think this to be just journalistic speculations. But no. Addressing the Speakers Research Initiative on July 24, 2015, Indian Prime Minister Narendra Modi stressed that the discourse by Dr. Shashi Tharoor met the aspirations of his country's citizens. I am saying this to you, Mr. Bristow.

"In his book *Inglorious Empire* released in 2017, Dr. Tharoor cited the atrocities of the British Empire, stating that the former British Prime Minister, Winston Churchill, should be regarded as one of the cruelest dictators of the 20th century. This is what Churchill said in a conversation with Secretary of State for India and Burma Leopold Amery: 'I hate Indians. They are a beastly people with a beastly religion. The famine was their own fault for breeding like rabbits.' This is not what we are saying, nor are these our inventions. It's a fact.

"The Russian artist **Vasily Vereshchagin** has a famous picture, 'The Devils Wind.' This is not a symbolic comparison. The canvas shows a type of execution invented by the British to crush the 19th Century Sepoy Mutiny in India (1884) ... A victim was tied to a gun with his back to the muzzle and blown to pieces by a

gunshot. This was one of the most barbaric punishments in the history of civilizations; aimed not so much at physical extermination, but intimidation. Even without it, the British had

so many infernal instruments of torture and execution that this

option doesn't seem so original and, honestly, was rather costly

for the Brits. But from the religious and caste point of view, this method of putting to death is absolutely unacceptable for Indians. Their bodies were blown to pieces and the dead were buried together regardless of caste, which is radically at variance with the Indian tradition.

"Yet another episode of the same kind occurred in Amritsar, Punjab, on April 13, 1919, when 50 British troops under Brigadier-General Reginald Dyer fired their rifles without warning at pilgrims celebrating Baishakhi, the Punjabi harvest and New Year festival, at the centrally located Jallianwala Bagh

public garden. The gathering was mostly made up of women and children. I would like to draw your attention to the fact that these British subjects were acting on direct orders of the British authorities. According to the British government, 379 people were killed and over 1,000 wounded. The Indian National Congress said 1,000 people were killed and 1,500 wounded.

Regrettably, millions of Indians were to fall victim to the acts

committed by the British authorities, including mass executions

by a firing squad, during at least several decades after these sad events.

"Africa has also suffered its share of British abuses. Some 13 million Africans have been removed from the continent as slaves. The number of Africans who died in that period is three

or four times larger than the number of those who were removed from the continent. In other words, the overall number of



victims

runs into tens of millions of people. It is notable that English

philosopher John Locke, who advanced the theory of civil society

and whose works influenced those who wrote the US Constitution,

was a major investor in Britain's slave trade. It is a fact.

"The number of Africans who died in that period is three or four times larger than the number of those who were removed from

the continent. In other words, the overall number of victims runs

into tens of millions of people. It is notable that English philosopher John Locke, who advanced the theory of civil society

and whose works influenced those who wrote the US Constitution,

was a major investor in Britain's slave trade. It is a fact.

"The British were among the first to invent concentration camps for civilians in the Boer War of 1899-1902. These camps were created for the civilians who were suspected of sympathizing

with the rebels or who could help them. The British torched their

farms and fields and slaughtered their cattle. Women and children

were separated from men. All this happened long before World War

II. The men were taken to outlying regions or Britain's other colonies, such as India or Ceylon.

"When the world learned about this horrible invention of British military commander, Lord Kitchener, the British government published an official statement saying that the camps

had been created to keep the peaceful population of the Boer Republics safe from harm's way, and the camps were renamed

'refugee camps.' This is remindful of the story of the White Helmets: take militants, extremists and terrorists, put white helmets on them with 'Peace' written on these helmets, and then

use them to stage provocations and present mobile phone footage

of their crimes as evidence of the plight of the civilians who must be saved. Centuries have passed, yet nothing has changed. Overall, 200,000 people or half of the white Boer population was

herded into the British camps, where about 30,000 of them died from disease and hunger.

"Historians believe that Britain is the world's leader when it comes to genocide, given the millions of innocent civilians that have been killed in British colonies.

"According to different estimates, between 90% and 95% of aborigines were exterminated during the colonization of Australia. Indigenous Australians were not only killed but also

used for experiments. The British deliberately infected them with

various diseases, primarily pox.

"Remembering the notorious Opium Wars would not come amiss. London was poisoning Chinese people with drugs for decades. Britain organized a supply of opium to China making fabulous profits. The operation also pursued the military-strategic aim of

demoralizing the Chinese army and people, and depriving them of

the will to resist. In a bid to save his country, the Chinese Emperor in 1839 launched a massive operation to confiscate and destroy opium stocks in Canton. London retaliated by unleashing

the Opium Wars. China was defeated and had to sign a crippling peace with Britain.

"| 'As long as China remains a nation of opium-smokers there is not the least reason to fear that she will become a

military

power of any importance, as the habit saps the energies and vitality of the nation.' This was how Richard Hurst, the British

Consul in China, ended his speech to the Royal Opium Commission

in 1895. It was not until 1905 that the Chinese authorities managed to adopt and start implementing a program to gradually ban opium.

"One more interesting fact: According to the British national archives declassified in 2014, the British authorities

made wide use of chemical weapons to put down the Arab rebellion

in Mesopotamia (present-day Iraq) in the spring of 1920. Winston

Churchill as Britain's Secretary of State for War supported 'the

use of gas against uncivilized tribes.' According to archives, Churchill ordered the use of thousands of mustard gas shells against the rebels. The anti-British rebellion in Iraq claimed between 6,000 and 10,000 lives, according to various sources, a

negligible number from London's point of view compared to other regions.

"Now let's move on to espionage operations and pinpoint sabotage and subversive acts. From time immemorial, representatives of Great Britain have been avid fans of various

kinds of covert operations and targeted subversive acts against

specific individuals as a way to secure political benefits for Great Britain. This predisposition is richly represented in their

art, things like the James Bond gold collection. This may sound

ridiculous unless you know that the author of the series, Ian Fleming, had searched through the archives, so Agent 007 in fact

has real prototypes. This anthology of crime, artfully described

by writer and part-time naval intelligence officer Fleming is a

light version for those who are not interested in historiography

“Indeed, the Bondiana is a very symptomatic example of the British government’s love of such things. Fleming died in 1964,

but what he described lives and thrives. New James Bond episodes

are regularly released, as everyone is used to the superhero.

Times change, the actors and sets change, but the idea remains unchanged – a British agent, in the service of the Kingdom, gets

nothing less than license to kill. Once again I repeat, this is

not a fictional invention, but a result of work with archival materials. What we see in the Bondiana is actually taking place

under the cover of MI5 and MI6.

“Thanks to the films, people have a basic understanding of the license to kill concept – a term denoting the permission granted by the official government or a state agency to a secret

agent who serves this authority to independently make a decision

on the necessity and expediency of murder to achieve a certain goal. Once the mission is completed, the agent always returns to

the base.

“It is a pity that in normal life, things are not so beautiful and dignified.

“And now getting back to reality. The following historical

episodes are not fiction; they are facts. Some of them are proven, whereas others are highly likely hypotheses put forward by historians.

"Scotland Yard historians also maintained the British authorities' complicity in the murder of Grigory Rasputin.

" [T]here are similar versions regarding the murder of Russian Emperor Paul I

"Historians also write about the so-called Lockhart Conspiracy organized in 1918 by the heads of the diplomatic missions of Britain, France and the USA to Soviet Russia in order

to overthrow the Bolsheviks.

"In 2013, information was made public indicating that the MI6 intelligence service was the mastermind of the assassination

of Patrice Lumumba, the first democratically elected prime minister of Congo.

"As time went by, official London and its diplomatic missions continued to actively meddle in the domestic affairs of

other states and to influence their political regimes. Suffice it

to recall 20th Century events when British secret services 'took

part' in staging a coup d'état in Iran in 1953.

"British diplomats working in Moscow are probably listening and recording all this. They will have to send their report to London today. I have done my best, and this statement is 17 pages

long. I have one question: Are you proud of your history? Then you need to make a choice: either you advocate human rights, international law and democracy, or you are proud of what you did

in the past and continue to do today.

"In August 1953, the CIA and the British Secret Intelligence Service staged their joint Operation Ajax to overthrow the

government of Mossadegh.

“Although we were members of the Anti-Hitler Coalition, the UKs behavior during World War II can also hardly be called equivocal, due to a number of factors. Some historical episodes

give rise to major questions about the essence of the UKs policies on the international scene. This includes, for example,

Rudolf Hess mysterious flight to the UK on the eve of the German

invasion of the Soviet Union. The history of every country has some unpleasant facts, for which future generations will have to

pay the price and assume moral responsibility. But the British secret services have classified all the documents on this case for 100 years, and this deadline is being extended.

“Another example of subversive operations can be found in Kim Philby’s book *My Silent War*, which contains some interesting

evidence. In April 1951, London hosted a meeting of representatives of the British and US intelligence services regarding both countries use of Ukrainian nationalist organizations. Again, everything ties up. By that time, the secret services had supported Stepan Bandera’s Organization of Ukrainian Nationalists (OUN) for many years and used them to recruit agents and obtain intelligence on the USSR. Cooperation

between OUN and the Intelligence Service grew steadily. In 1949

and 1950, several OUN saboteur squads were para-dropped to Ukraine. In the early hours of May 15, 1951, British secret services para-dropped three reconnaissance-saboteur squads. Everyone knows about the atrocities committed by Banderas supporters, including mass executions of civilians, hundreds of

thousands of men and women, old people and children, Russians, Ukrainians, Belarusians, Jews, Poles, Czechs, Slovaks and

Yugoslavs, the Volhynia massacre, the murder of Polish professors, the Katyn tragedy, punitive operations in Slovakia, Warsaw, and Prague.

“The British authorities actively recruited professional criminals during their subversive operations. Remember, they told us that Russia is a criminal state with which there should be no cooperation? But the British authorities cooperate nicely with criminals. We are not even talking about White Helmets and people recruited into this organization who are supported all the same.

Lets talk about ‘mundane’ things. In 1973, Her Majesty’s Government officially admitted that Kenneth Littlejohn and his brother Keith had robbed banks in the Republic of Ireland for over 12 months in order to discredit the Official Irish Republican Army (IRA). This amounts to classic tactics. Kenneth

Littlejohn claims that he was instructed to kill Sean Mac Stíofáin, the former chief of staff of the IRA.

“And here is another example: Howard Marx, an Oxford graduate who became a drug dealer, was recruited for the purpose of obtaining information about the IRAs weapons supply chain. In

return, the authorities promised not to prosecute him for drug-related crimes. These are isolated examples.

“By the way, the British government is known to have created comfortable conditions in the UK for criminals from other countries. According to the UK Home Offices information for a period between 2005 and 2012, there were over 700 war crime perpetrators living in Britain.

“The British authorities also like to use prohibited methods for treating prisoners, especially when they need to get information from them. And, of course, nobody has called off

the

license to kill.

"We also remember how Qaddafi was removed and that London applauded the execution of the head of a sovereign state.

"God knows in how many other such cases the UK government is involved.

"In conclusion, I will provide the 'deadly list' of the prominent and talented people who died a strange death in the UK

in the early 21st Century."

And among many others, she includes the following case:

"July 2003: a UK authority on biological warfare, David Kelly, was found dead in Oxfordshire. The inquiry concluded that

he had committed suicide. I would like to remind you that David

Kelly criticized the Tony Blair government and claimed that the

invasion of Iraq in 2003 was based on falsified data. A decade later, the UK government admitted that the data was indeed falsified."

So, in the immortal words of Hans Christian Andersen: "The Emperor, indeed, has no clothes." The crimes of Britain stand exposed, and, as Helga Zepp-LaRouche said, they have completely

over-extended themselves. Now, it is up to us to just rip the mask away, and let the truth stand on its own. I guarantee you,

just as the American people have never forgiven Tony Blair and George W Bush for dragging us into war in Iraq on the basis of damned British lies and a British intelligence hoax, future civilization will never forgive us for allowing ourselves to be

duped again; this time into starting World War III on the basis

of these same British lies. It's time for all of us to, once and



for all, reject the agenda of the failed British Empire, and to  
instead embrace the vision of a New Paradigm of great powers' relationship for the planet, built as Franklin Roosevelt intended, following the defeat of Hitler in World War II; that this partnership would be built on the pillars of a relationship of the United States, Russia, and China. That this partnership, this alliance of great powers, would have the power to end the reign of the British Empire once and for all, by bringing peace through economic development, and great projects such as the New Silk Road to the entire planet.

This is the subject of this pamphlet [holds up Four Laws pamphlet]; this has been in circulation now for almost a year. This is "America's Future on the New Silk Road". It goes extensively into the physical economic principles which could be applied to bring about this kind of great projects, international development perspective and finally end the legacy of British colonialism, enforced backwardness, and genocide. This pamphlet is now going into a second updated printing. It is incumbent upon all of us to use this window of opportunity to go into a mobilization like we never have before. It's clear that there is still a war waging for the soul of this Presidency in the United States. Indications are very clear that President Trump himself is very reluctant to abandon his inclination towards just such a great powers relationship; a relationship between the United States and Russia, and the United States and China, to create

a  
new strategic economic order for the planet. Despite the  
ill-advised attacks under the influence of war-mongers and  
neo-cons and British intelligence fellow travelers inside his  
own  
administration, since then he has made it very clear – despite  
Nikki Haley’s declaration that there will be another round of  
sanctions on Russia. Trump has contradicted that, and despite  
Nikki Haley’s declaration that no, in fact, we will not be  
withdrawing our troops from Syria; Trump has contradicted  
that,  
and accused Nikki Haley of being “confused”.  
There is a war waging for the soul of this Presidency, and  
the stakes could never be higher. It is our role here in the  
United States to make very clear what the positive vision of  
the  
future can be, now that these British lies stand exposed.  
What I would like, is to conclude with a clip from a webcast  
which Helga Zepp-LaRouche broadcast yesterday, where she  
documents exactly this exposure of British crimes, British  
hoaxes, and British lies. She says that now is the time to  
act  
to usher in a New Paradigm for civilization. So, here’s Helga  
Zepp-LaRouche:

**HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE:** Well, there is right now, in  
certain European political layers, a big debate: Has the  
“deep  
state” in the United States won already, or is there still  
some  
options that Trump could stick to his announced policies?  
Well, obviously the “deep state” is, or what people call the  
“deep state,” which is this what President Eisenhower already  
pointed to as the “military industrial complex” combined with  
the  
intelligence services belonging to the British Empire faction

—

obviously, they're still very strong.

But on the other side, I think they have never been so exposed, and at a time when ordinary people have the feeling that

everything is falling apart, – the trust in government is collapsing, pensions are not seen as secure, there is fear of a

new financial crisis much worse than 2008 – people have a sense

that there really is no institution, I mean, in the West, people

have the sense there is no place they can turn to in terms of trust. And in such a moment, when people realize who are the war-mongers, and that they're pushing war against Russia using lies, I think this can completely backfire and once these lies are being dismantled and ostracized; and the people pushing the

lies are being ostracized, I think there can be a real return to

an international relation among nations, not only reviving the UN

Charter, reviving international law. But also, I think sometimes

you need a shock like this present experience, to move to a New

Paradigm of international relations. And I think that is absolutely something on the horizon.

I mean, you see a dynamic where more and more countries are not going along any more. The East European countries, the Central European countries, the Balkans, the South European countries, Switzerland, Austria – they all want to have a different kind of relation, and the more countries have that kind

of determination, and the more countries which are not yet there,

like Germany, France, Great Britain, the more people mobilize and

speaking out to stick to the truth – you know, there are many people in motion right now, there are many appeals being circulated among people who say “we have to return to reason”; we have to have to have a good relationship with Russia and China. Without these two countries, no problem on this planet can be solved. And the more people start to engage in such a discourse and get active; I’m in one sense a Leibnizian, believing that a great evil always generates the potential for an even greater good, because that’s the laws of the universe. I think the universe is made in such a way that there *is* this tremendous ability to improve, to become better; to have higher forms of existence. And it does require the individual action – it’s not a dialectical materialism, or historical materialism, which goes by itself. But there *is* such a thing as the combination of objective conditions and subjective intervention. And the objective conditions do exist. They exist in the form of a New Paradigm promoted by all the countries participating in the Belt and Road Initiative; and if you add to that the subjective factor, which is the courage of the world-historical individual acting on the basis of his or her knowledge, I think there is all the chances that we can move humanity into a more safe historical period. So therefore, I can only appeal to you: Join us. That’s the best thing you can do.

**OGDEN:** So, as Helga LaRouche said, with these British

crimes now exposed for the world to see, now is the time to move  
decisively into a New Paradigm for civilization. So, with that  
call to action, we conclude tonight's broadcast. Please stay  
tuned to [larouchepac.com](http://larouchepac.com); the world is changing rapidly, and  
we  
have a lot of work to do. Thank you very much.