

# **Spygate; Det, man giver ud, kommer tilbage i samme mål; Erynjerne i arbejde. LaRouchePAC Internationale Webcast, 25. maj, 2018.**

*I historiens løb kan man, om end det er sjældent, undertiden se en meget stærk kraft, som man kunne kalde poetisk retfærdighed, være virksom. I denne uge har vi set, at begivenhederne har udviklet sig på en måde, som meget vel kunne nå op på niveauet for poetisk retfærdighed.*

*Engelsk udskrift:*

**SPYGATE: WHAT GOES AROUND, COMES AROUND.  
THE ERINYES ARE AT WORK!**

MATTHEW OGDEN: Good afternoon. It's May 25, 2018. My name is Matthew Ogden, and you're joining us for our weekly Friday evening broadcast from [larouchepac.com](http://larouchepac.com).

Sometimes in the course of history, if even rarely, one can see at work a very powerful force of what could be called poetic

justice. This week, we've seen a turn of events which very well

could be seen as rising to the level of poetic justice. As the

title of our webcast here today reads, "Spygate: What Goes Around, Comes Around. The Erinyes Are at Work!" Now revelations

have come out that the FBI and other Obama-era intelligence

and  
law enforcement had colluded with British intelligence to  
embed  
an informant – or a spy as President Trump has characterized  
it  
– inside the Donald Trump for President campaign in 2016.  
When  
news of this dramatic story first broke last Friday, a week  
ago  
today, President Trump issued the following tweet: “Wow! Word  
seems coming out that the Obama FBI spied on the Trump  
campaign  
with an embedded informant, Andrew McCarthy says. There’s  
probably no doubt that they had at least one confidential  
informant in the campaign. If so, this is bigger than  
Watergate.” Then President Trump followed that up with  
another  
tweet the following day, saying “Reports are that there was  
indeed at least one FBI representative implanted for political  
purposes into my campaign for President. It took place very  
early on and long before the phony Russia hoax became a hot  
fake  
news story. If true, all time biggest political scandal.”  
Now,  
in fact, it is now coming out that these reports are, indeed,  
true; and that this very well could be, as President Trump  
characterized it, one of the biggest political scandals in  
recent  
history, and yes, indeed, even bigger than Watergate.  
Earlier this week, Helga Zepp-LaRouche, in reviewing this  
swiftly developing story, had the following remarks to say.  
She  
said: “If you look at how this thing has turned, it started as  
one thing – Russia-gate – and now, it has become Spygate. I  
think the Erinyes are already at work.” Now this, of course,  
is  
a reference to the ancient Greek mythological figures also

known

as the Furies; famously depicted in the poem by the poet Friedrich Schiller – “The Cranes of Ibykus” – as the force of nemesis. The almost supernatural enforcers of a higher order of

justice. In his letters, Friedrich Schiller discussed the figures of the Erinyes, and he described them in this way:

“There is not in the Greek mythology a more terrible, and at the

same time more hideous picture, than the Furies, or the Erinyes;

quitting the infernal regions to throw themselves in the pursuit

of a criminal. They are shown to us brandishing torches in their

hands, and chasing their prey without peace or truce, from country to country until at last, the anger of justice being appeased, they engulf themselves in the abyss of the infernal regions.” So Schiller invoked this idea of the Erinyes, this

force of nemesis, this force of poetic justice which is serving a

higher order of justice. When justice among men is not served,

this higher order of justice intervenes, if you will. In his poetry, as in the case of “The Cranes of Ibykus”, Schiller invoked this mythological figure in order to be the vehicle for

this idea of a higher order of justice. He did so in a different

way in his play “William Tell”, in which he evoked the American

revolutionary idea of natural law and the inalienable rights of

man; which he said in that play are “as indestructible as the stars themselves.”

Now, this was the idea which built the American republic.

This is in the blood of the American people. I think as the

truth of what has occurred in the course of this scandal, that President Trump has correctly identified as potentially “bigger than Watergate”; as the truth of this comes out – including the role of the British, who of course we declared our independence from during that American Revolution. This will get into the blood of the American people, and will get under their skin in a way where you will see the awakening of this kind of justified fury, and a call that we must take our Constitution and our republic back.

In her webcast yesterday, Thursday this week, Helga Zepp-LaRouche exactly what we’re seeing now. She brought up this series of tweets that President Trump has issued and has continued to issue in the days following, and indeed, said that this is a scandal which is beyond the magnitude that we’ve seen in recent years. She predicted that if this continues in the direction that it has, we will indeed see the mask falling away, and the exposure of exactly what kind of criminality has occurred in the course of this entire Russia-gate hoax. So, let me play for you a clip from what Helga LaRouche had to say in her webcast yesterday.

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE

: Yes. As a matter of fact, if you look at the recent tweets by President Trump, they are quite

to the point, namely that he said the "Russiagate" turned into "Spygate," that there was absolutely no proof of a collusion with

Russia, but that all the people involved in the coup, basically,

that they created a spider web of collusion between the heads of

the intelligence agencies of the Obama administration with British intelligence, and that there was ongoing effort, even before any investigation officially started, by British intelligence figures to connect with all kinds of persons in the

Trump election team, to try to somehow involve them in some kind

of a connection with some Russians. And all of this is coming out now.

So there was long before the Trump election victory, or even the nomination, the clear effort by British intelligence to lay

leads, to create paper trails to manufacture and orchestrate the

situation, whereby the so-called "collusion" with Russia was supposed to be hung on the Trump campaign, and Trump himself. And this is all now coming out.

This is now subject to public discussions. For example, on Monday, President Trump met with several intelligence heads – I

think it was [FBI head] Wray and Rosenstein from the Department

of Justice in the White House. And today, as a follow-up of that, Chief of Staff John Kelly is meeting with the CIA, the FBI,

the Department of Justice, together with congressmen – for example, Congressman Nunes, Senator Grassley – and they're now having access to all the documents, including the memorandum of

Mueller, the memo defining the scope of Mueller's

investigation.

This will all now be made available to the investigative committees in the Congress. And obviously, this is all criminal

violations of law and the Constitution, so this is big!

I think Trump may absolutely be right when he says that this may become the biggest scandal in the history of America. And what is now clear, is that there was a task force involving an institutional group of people, who orchestrated all of this, in

an election campaign, and Trump said, what was done against Bernie Sanders also was done on a much larger scale against him.

When all of this comes out, I think the world will really be a different place; and I think if President Trump is freed of this spider web, I think you will see, he will be in a much better position to carry through with his intentions than you have seen it so far.

OGDEN: Now, as Helga mentioned, there have been a number of meetings over the course of this week with the heads of these agencies and leaders on these Congressional committees. This was

initiated by President Trump on Sunday, when he issued a tweet which read as follows: "I hereby demand, and will do so tomorrow

on Monday, that the Department of Justice look into whether or not the FBI, DOJ infiltrated or surveilled the Trump campaign for

political purposes. If any such demands or requests were made by

people within the Obama administration." Now, this was immediately responded to by Obama's CIA director, John Brennan,

who himself very well may be implicated in this investigation. So, he issued his own tweet, hysterically demanding that the Congressional leadership shut down any investigation. This is

what he said: "Senator McConnell and Senator[sic] Ryan: If Mr. Trump continues along this disastrous path, you will bear major responsibility for the harm done to our democracy. You do a great disservice to our nation and Republican Party if you continue to enable Mr. Trump's self-serving actions." Trump responded by tweeting a statement by Dan Bongino, who is a former Secret Service agent and leading political commentator. This is what President Trump responded to John Brennan with: "John Brennan is panicking. He has disgraced himself. He has disgraced the country. He has disgraced the entire intelligence community. He is the one man who is largely responsible for the destruction of America's faith in the intelligence community and in some people at the top of the FBI. Brennan started this entire debacle about President Trump. We know that Brennan had detailed knowledge of the phony dossier. He knows about the dossier, he denies knowledge of the dossier. He briefs the Gang of Eight on the Hill about the dossier, which they then use to start an investigation against Trump. It is that simple. This guy is the genesis of this whole debacle. This was a political hit job, this was not an intelligence investigation. Brennan has disgraced himself. He's worried about staying out of jail." So clearly, these are very high stakes. If you have this image of the Erinyes, as Friedrich Schiller evoked this in the famous poem "The Cranes of Ibykus", the criminals who had committed a crime, but there were no witnesses except for a

flock

of cranes flying overhead, arrived at a festival. The Furies, or

the Erinyes, came out and started their dance, their eerie dance.

At a certain point in this atmosphere of tension, the very same

cranes who had been the sole witnesses of this crime, fly overhead; and the criminals themselves are induced to expose themselves by pointing at the sky and saying to each other loudly, "See! See! It is the cranes of Ibykus!" That is the evidence which then brings them to trial; so they implicated themselves. The hysteria that we're seeing from these John Brennans and others is rising to that poetic level of justice.

So, not only does it potentially go all the way to Brennan, the very same day, less than an hour later, President Trump issued the following tweet: "The Wall Street Journal asks 'Where

in the world was Barack Obama?' A very good question." The next

day, on Tuesday, Trump escalated even further. He tweeted the following: "If the person placed very early into my campaign wasn't a spy put there by the previous administration for political purposes, how come such a seemingly massive amount of

money was paid for services rendered? Many times higher than normal. Follow the money," he said. "The spy was there early in

the campaign, and yet never reported collusion with Russia because there was no collusion. He was only there to spy for political reasons and help crooked Hillary win. Just like they

did to Bernie Sanders, who got duped." Then on Wednesday, yet another tweet: "Look at how things have turned around on the criminal deep state. They go after phony collusion with Russia,

a made-up scam, and end up getting caught in a major spy



scandal

the likes of which this country may never have seen before.

What

goes around, comes around.” Then he said, “Spygate could be one

of the biggest political scandals in history.” Then next, very

simply he tweeted “Witch hunt.” Finally, yesterday, President Trump said, “Clapper has now admitted that there was spying in my

campaign. Large dollars were paid to the spy, far beyond normal.

Starting to look like one of the biggest political scandals in US

history. Spygate. A terrible thing.”

So, I think if you look at what Trump said here, “What goes around, comes around.” He said, “Look at how things have turned

around on the criminal deep state. They go after phony collusion

with Russia,... and end up getting caught in a major spy scandal the likes of which this country may never have seen before.”

This is, indeed, the force of poetic justice. I think President

Trump’s expression “What goes around, comes around,” could be taken as a very colloquial version of the expression of this Erinyes principle, as Helga Zepp-LaRouche has identified it.

Just this morning, not to be outdone, President Trump issued two more tweets on this subject. He said, “The Democrats are now

alluding to the concept that having an informant placed in an opposing party’s campaign is different than having a spy, as illegal as that may be. But what about an informant who got paid

a fortune, and who sets up way earlier than the Russia hoax?

Can

anyone even imagine having spies placed in a competing

campaign

by the people and party in absolute power for the sole purpose of

political advantage and gain? And to think that the party in question, even with the expenditure of far more money, lost.”

I

think this gets right at the root of how huge this scandal potentially can turn out to be. And it's clear that this is a total showdown, and President Trump is not pulling any punches at

this point.

What's also becoming ever more clear, is the role that British intelligence has played in this entire process. As we've

documented over and over again, all roads lead to London in this

story, if you follow every single one of these threads. We've seen that time and time again. We've reviewed the role on Monday

of Stephan Halper, the alleged informant, or one of the alleged

informants who was placed inside the Trump campaign, and his connections to MI6 and to Sir Richard Dearlove, the former head

of MI6. All of which overlaps completely with Christopher Steele, the other so-called “ex-“British spy who fabricated the

entire “dodgy dossier”. A number of members of Congress are pursuing these leads in numerous ways. Senator Grassley continues to subpoena information about the role of Christopher

Steele. The same thing is being done by Congressman Nunes and others. Then one in particular, is the actions that Senator Rand

Paul has taken over the last week and a half. On May15th, in the

context of the hearings around the nomination of Gina Haspel

to

be the new head of the CIA, Rand Paul wrote a letter to then-nominee for the CIA Director, Gina Haspell, asking the following questions:

“Dear Acting Director Gina Haspell,

“I write to ask you for clarification of some of the practices of the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA), particularly

in relation to surveillance during the 2016 Presidential election. Please answer each question for each of the following

candidates: Donald Trump; Hillary Clinton; Bernie Sanders; John

Kasich; Ted Cruz; Marco Rubio; Jeb Bush; and Rand Paul.

“1. Under what circumstances does the CIA trail, monitor, or otherwise collect information on the communications and movements of US Presidential candidates both domestically and while they are travelling outside of the United States?...

“3. Have you or anyone else at CIA ever cooperated with any foreign intelligence service to surveil, monitor, or collect information on candidate Trump during his travels outside the United States in the preceding five years? Specifically, was candidate Trump ever under any surveillance or of interest to the

CIA during his previous visits in Europe?

“4. Did the CIA or any other US government agency conduct surveillance on, or engage in the collection of communications or

information about then-candidate Trump during his November 2016

visit to Great Britain?”

Now, Senator Paul knows the answer to those questions, as numerous news reports have reported, indeed that was the case.

Here’s just an example. This is an article that was in the {Guardian} newspaper. The title is “British Spies Were First to

Spot Trump Team’s Links with Russia”; subtitle “GCHQ is said

to

have alerted US agencies after becoming aware of contacts in 2015.” That’s just one of numerous news articles which report that there are, indeed, multiple links between British intelligence and the CIA in monitoring candidate Donald Trump. This was the supposed information that Brennan used to put together an inter-agency task force that you heard Helga mention

in that webcast clip, to launch a counter intelligence investigation into Trump. So-called counter intelligence.

What

Trump has identified as indeed actually just a political hit job.

However, when Senator Paul questioned Gina Haspel on this question, she categorically denied it. Rand Paul said in an interview on Fox immediately after this interaction between himself and Gina Haspel, “I’m still concerned about the reports

that Trump was surveilled. She did deny that the CIA had anything to do with British intelligence, and in the end she actually said to me, and this is supposed to come in writing, she

says that there was no communication between British intelligence

and John Brennan giving them information about the Trump campaign. If that’s true, that contradicts a lot of news reports

that are out there. I hope it’s true, and I hope she’ll actually

put it in writing. But today she denied to me that there was ever a meeting between British intelligence and John Brennan where information about surveillance of the Trump campaign was transferred.” Then here’s a tweet quoting Rand Paul in his interview on Fox: “Gina Haspel is categorically denying that the

CIA got information from the British intelligence. If what I’m

saying today is not her opinion, she needs to speak today and she needs to say did British intelligence give information to John Brennan.” This is in the context of Senator Rand Paul also pointing out the fact that Gina Haspel, in addition to the very dark and murky history that she’s had in running black sites and enhanced interrogation centers, and in defending that kind of practice in the past, it also is very notable that Gina Haspel was station chief for the CIA in London overlapping much of the time that this entire Russia-gate story was developing. So, the trail remains very hot.

What I would like to do is just emphasize that all of this has got to be seen in the context of the ongoing drive to continue and protect at all costs the regime of geopolitics. This is exactly what Obama was serving during his administration; this is exactly what Hillary Clinton was attempting to become the continuation of. This is what the stay-behinds in these agencies and also emphatically inside certain interests in British intelligence are trying to maintain. Which is this divide and conquer regime in which there can be no peaceful dialogue or collaboration between the great powers – the United States and Russia, and also incidentally between the United States and China. This has been the over-arching geopolitical strategy which is to drive a wedge between these powers in order to maintain the power of British imperial interests. This is exactly what President Trump made very clear that he was out to dismantle. He was going to pursue peaceful dialogue and collaboration between the United States and Russia, and subsequently between the United States and China. This

remains

of critical importance, and the touch-and-go situation on the Korean Peninsula is just an example of how crucial it is that this process of collaboration and cooperation between the United

States, China, and Russia on cooling off these hot zones, these

conflict zones around the world which are threatening to explode

and be used as the ignition points for World War III. It is {so}

crucial that this great powers relationship be allowed to continue.

In her webcast, which we played a clip of earlier in this broadcast, Helga Zepp-LaRouche also emphasized again the importance of clearing the air of this entire Russia-gate fraud

and getting it out of the way in order to create the conditions

where this crucial collaboration around resolving some of the common challenges that are facing mankind, can occur between these great powers. As she has repeatedly over the past several

weeks, she emphasized the urgency of summoning a very urgent summit between President Trump and President Putin. This was put

on the table weeks ago, but it has been sidelined and it's unclear when this face-to-face meeting will be able to take place. A petition precisely to that effect has now begun to circulate on the whitehouse.gov website, where these "We the People" petitions are placed. 100,000 signatures are required within 30 days, and then the White House has to officially respond. So, here's the title of the petition: "President Donald

Trump Should Hold Early Summit with Russian President Vladimir Putin." The text reads as follows:

"Ronald Reagan famously said: 'A nuclear war cannot be won

and must never be fought. The only value in our two nations possessing nuclear weapons is to make sure they will never be used.' Unfortunately, today a new Cold War between the US and Russia again poses an existential threat to the people of both nations and to the whole world. Therefore, we urge President Trump to follow in the steps of Ronald Reagan and to start a direct dialogue with President Putin in search of solid and verified security arrangements. As President Trump said repeatedly 'only haters and fools' do not understand that good US-Russia relations are also good for America. By all indications

President Putin feels the same way for his country. A summit should be arranged as soon as possible."

So again, that petition is available on this We the People website at the White House

[<https://petitions.whitehouse.gov/petition/president-donald-trump-should-hold-early-summit-russian-president-vladimir-putin>]

and it's circulating very widely and is picking up signatures as

we speak.

Now what I'd like to do is just play one more clip from Helga LaRouche's webcast yesterday where she addressed this petition directly and put it in its necessary global strategic context. So, here's Helga Zepp-LaRouche.

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE

: Yes: there is actually a petition on the website of the White House. This was initiated

by the President of the American University in Moscow, Professor

Edward Lozansky, and Jim Jatras, and they call for an early summit between President Trump and President Putin, by making essentially the same point that we have been making for the last

several months, that, given the fact that President Trump is still so much up against neo-cons in the Republican Party, he's

really done a remarkable job under the circumstances, where you

have the entire intelligence apparatus not only of what they call

the "deep state," which is really an incorrect characterization,

because the role of British intelligence {is} absolutely crucial

to understand what makes this Empire tick.

So, in order to cut through that, and given the fact that

the entire Russiagate operation was aimed to prevent a good relationship between Russia and the United States, which Trump all the time said would be a "good thing and not a bad thing," and he tries to do it; so the way to cut through this whole thing

would be to have this summit, which they talked about – Trump and Putin on the telephone a couple of weeks ago, and do this as

quickly as possible.

So there is this petition, and I would call all of you who are listening, or watching, to sign this petition that such an early summit would take place. Because I think it is an absolutely important initiative, and if this petition has more than 100,000 by June 30, then the White House will have to respond to it, and will respond.

Otherwise, naturally, there are many, many things, and I would again invite you, join us, join the Schiller Institute. Make sure this webcast becomes more known and is being spread, because we are in an urgent need for a political discourse: Where

should mankind go? And how can we organize the world so that it's safe and beautiful for everybody to live in?

OGDEN: Now let me just come back one more time to the



LaRouche PAC 2018 Campaign to Win the Future. You can find more information about this on <https://action.larouchepac.com>. But of course, this campaign has three facets. One of them is to end this Russia-gate coup against President Trump. This is of crucial importance, and as we can see, this is continuing to develop and is in the process of very swiftly so that the accusers have now become the accused. This of course is in the context of Pledge #2, which is that the United States should join the New Paradigm which is already sweeping much of the globe. This would entail joining, embracing the One Belt, One Road policy that China's President Xi Jinping has been championing all around the world. This great infrastructure and connectivity development program. This has emphatically already been joined by Russia. So, there you have Russia and China. We also see overtures between China and India recently. So, these three great powers are part of this emerging New Paradigm on the planet. That's exactly what the United States has to join; that's the main reason why we have to end this entire Russia-gate nonsense. And third, and absolutely not least, is to immediately implement Lyndon LaRouche's Four Economic Laws. This is to impose Glass-Steagall; we see the threat of an impending financial meltdown which is escalating every single day in the trans-Atlantic region. We need preemptive Glass-Steagall in order to erect a firewall between legitimate commercial banking interests and this speculative global casino. Number two, we need to return to Alexander Hamilton's national banking model where you can use trillions of dollars in directed Federal credit

into great projects. Which you would clearly – and this is the third aspect of it – know with scientific certainty would increase the productive powers of labor, the living standards, and the productivity per square kilometer of the territory of the United States and its people. Then, last but not least, the spear point of this entire thing is a science driver program in order to immediately catapult the United States into the future.

Leapfrogging into technologies such as controlled nuclear fusion power, and an expanded manned and unmanned exploration of nearby space and deep space.

Now, some updates that we'll have for you on the Monday show go to some very dramatic developments in this regard that are occurring in Europe. We know that the newly-elected government which has now been formed by the joint cooperation between the Lega Nord party in Italy and the 5-Star movement party in Italy.

These two parties, which are so-called populist parties, have now come together to create a government and to put in a prime minister, but emphatically a finance minister who by all indications, agrees with the facets that are in both of these parties' platforms. Which are, one, to have a separation of banking between investment and commercial banking; this would be a Glass-Steagall type of model; and this is actually very interestingly in violation of the EU constitution or the EU agreement, which says that the only banking model which is allowed by European Union nations is the so-called universal banking model. The one-stop shop where you have investment, commercial, and insurance operations all conglomerated

together.

Then the other aspect which was in both of these party platforms is the idea of some sort of national credit bank in Italy to be used to issue national investments into rebuilding the infrastructure and other industrial capabilities inside Italy. So, this is a very interesting and developing situation,

and there's a real showdown which is developing within Europe around this matter. That's something that we'll have many more

updates on for you on Monday.

But, taking it back to the United States, we should just remember that President Trump himself, during the campaign, advocated exactly those two ideas. Number one, Glass-Steagall;

and number two, trillions in investment in infrastructure. He even invoked by name Alexander Hamilton and the American System.

So, that continues to be on the table, and it's our job to escalate the fight for Lyndon LaRouche's Four Laws and the entirety of that 2018 Campaign to Win the Future. So, again, visit <https://action.larouchepac.com>; you can become an active volunteer in whatever means that implies for you. Whether that

means participating directly in lobbying Congress, lobbying your

state legislator, collecting signatures, calling your local radio

station, being active on social media, getting out on the streets, organizing house meetings, and so forth and so on.

Becoming part of a network of literature distribution. All of these aspects are of crucial significance as we put together this

national base campaign around the LaRouche PAC program.

So, we implore you; get active, and get active soon, because this situation is developing very rapidly. And it's very clear

that, as Helga Zepp-LaRouche has said, we can be optimistic because we can see that ideas truly can move history, and the world is in great need of our ideas.

Thank you very much, and please stay tuned to [larouchepac.com](http://larouchepac.com).