

Næste Politiske Orienteringsmøde v/ Tom Gillesberg: tors. 31. maj kl. 19

- På vores kontor, Skt. Knuds Vej 11, kld. t.v. – 1903 Frederiksberg.
- Kom og vær med, eller deltag via Skype/telefon: ring 53 57 00 51.

Det britiske Imperium er desperat for at bevare kontrollen – der er kupoperationer i gang i USA, Italien og andre steder

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 28. maj, 2018 – Vi sidder på en krudttønde. I Europa hober destabiliseringerne sig op. I Italien fandt den seneste fase af blokering af suverænitet og vælgernes vilje sted i går aftes, da præsident Sergio Mattarella afviste den ministerplan, som koalitionspartierne M5S og Lega havde præsenteret – idet han modsatte sig valget af Paulo Savona som økonomiminister – og i stedet erklærede en ex-IMF-nedskærings-hitman, Carlo Cottarelli, som tiltrædende

premierminister med mandat til at danne regering. Når Cottarellis ministerliste bliver sendt til parlamentet, vil den blive afvist, hvilket vil udløse endnu mere kaos og nyvalg. Dette er et kup; men det Londoncentrerede »finanssamfund«, udtrykt gennem *Handelsblatt*, applauderer Mattarella for at have blokeret for »populisme«.

I Spanien truer en krise, med et mistillidsvotum, som vil blive debatteret senere på ugen, mod Rajoy-regeringen, der har ulemper; men faren hidrører fra manglen på et positivt politisk program fra nogen i det hele taget. Den potentielle ustabilitet her truer i særdeleshed de dele af den Iberiske Halvø, der har satset stærkt på Bælte & Vej Initiativet.

I USA er Mueller/Russiagate-kuppet, endskønt det miskrediteres for hver time, der går, stadig operativt og forårsager ødelæggelse i den politiske beslutningstagning over hele linjen. Og alt imens er Wall Street/City of London i færd med at krakke, hvilket ses af de lappeløsninger, der for nylig er blevet uddelt til Deutsche Bank, samt af de stadig forværrende situationer i flere nationaløkonomier, som nu er under stærk kritik, med spil om hurtige penge, der eksploderer i Argentina, Brasilien og andre steder.

Situationen er meget farlig. Men det helt åbenlyse, gennemgribende »britiske« element skaber en mulighed, der ikke må forpasses, for at slå til og levere det dødbringende slag. Det Londoncentrerede finansielle og geopolitiske magtnetværk tyer nu til stadig mere åbenlyst beskidte midler for at modgå fremskridtet for det nye, globale paradigme, der ses i den Nye Silkevej.

Vores seneste, tunge skyts er **LaRouchePAC's »Memo til præsident Trump: tiden er inde til at afslutte den særlige relation; ophæv hemmeligstemplingen af alle dokumenter, briterne har udgydt, om Deres kampagne i 2016«.**

Dette memorandum samler det hele. Som det erklærer, »Den

reelle historie ... er, at briterne og deres venner i Obama-administrationen kørte en operation for en total kontraefterretnings- og informationskrig imod Donald Trumps præsidentvalgkamp, fordi de vidste, at Trump kunne vinde valget imod Hillary Clinton, en åndløs robotkandidat, der fuldstændig havde mistet enhver forbindelse med amerikanere, der ikke var knyttet til de på de to kyster hjemmehørende eliter«.

Præsident Trump gentog selv i seks tweets hen over weekenden, hvordan Russiagate er blevet til Spygate. For eksempel 26. marts, »Hele denne Ruslands-kulegravning er rigget. Blot et påskud for, hvorfor demokraterne og Svindler-Hillary tabte valget og tabte stater, de ikke har tabt i årtier ... #SPYGATE & CONFLICTS OF INTEREST«. Selv om han forsømmer at nævne den britiske faktor, henviste han dog til den rent historisk i sin tale den 25. maj på Annapolis Flådeakademi og sagde, at »Vore forfædre jordede Imperiet ...«

Tiden er nu inde til at jorde Imperiet på verdensplan. Indikationerne på, hvordan positive skridt, for den kommende tid for en global, fælles fremtid for fred og udvikling, kunne tage sig ud, kommer fra Asien. I Beijing i dag fik vi en forpremiere at se på Shanghai Samarbejdsorganisationens topmøde i Qingdao den 9.-10. juni, hvor præsident Xi Jinping vil være formand for sessionerne, vært for den russiske præsident Vladimir Putins statsbesøg og byde gæste-observatørnationer velkommen, inklusive Iran. To dage senere vil det mulige møde mellem præsident Trump og Nordkoreas formand Kim Jong-un finde sted.

Endnu et ECB-kup i Italien

28. maj, 2018 – Det, der skete i går i Italien, kan med rimelighed beskrives som et kup. Den, der udførte kuppet, var statspræsident Sergio Mattarella; men den, der trak i trådene, er den Europæiske Centralbank.

Typisk for den generelle mediedækning, så hylder den tyske finansavis *Handelsblatt* Mattarella: »Italiens statspræsident gjorde det rigtige – *Forza Mattarella!*« *Handelsblatt* taler om det politiske kaos, der har domineret Italien i ugevis, og ignorerer det kaos, der har domineret Tyskland i månedsvis. »Sergio Mattarella har fuldt ud udnyttet sit embedes og forfatningens beføjelser og har forhindret en populistisk koalition i Italien« (*»Forza Mattarella!«* er ligesom et tilråb ved en sportsbegivenhed: »Kom så, Mattarella!«)

Avisen *Il Giornale* beretter om en fortrolig udtalelse fra Matteo Renzi, tidligere premierminister (2014-2016), til en medarbejder og sagde, »Mattarella lytter for tiden meget til Draghi og Visco«, med henvisning til hhv. præsidenten for ECB og guvernøren for Italiens Centralbank.

Mattarellas egen tale er den rygende pistol. Den var en blanding af en opstemt tale og 'fake news', leveret som et terrorist-budskab. Her følger de relevante passager:

»Jeg var enig i og godkendte alle forslag til ministerposterne, med undtagelse af økonomiministeren. Udnævnelsen af økonomiministeren udgør altid et omgående budskab, enten et tillids- eller et advarselsbudskab, til økonomiske og finansielle operatører.

»For dette ministerium udbad jeg mig, at man pegede på en fremtrædende, politisk repræsentant for flertallet, i overensstemmelse med den politiske aftale. En repræsentant, der – udover personlig agtelse og betydning – ikke ses som tilhænger af en ofte manifesteret linje, som kunne provokere,

sandsynligvis eller endda uundgåeligt, Italiens exit af euroen

'''

»Vores usikre position i euroen har alarmeret italienske og udenlandske investorer og indskydere, der har investeret i vore statsobligationer og i vore selskaber. Den daglige stigning af udbredelsen øger vores statsgæld og reducerer mulighederne for, at regeringen kan afsætte midler til nye, sociale interventioner. Tab på aktiemarkedet, dag efter dag, ødelægger resurser og opsparinger i vore selskaber og hos dem, der har investeret i dem, og skitserer konkrete risici for vore borgeres og italienske familiers opsparede midler.

Vi må også være på vagt over for truslen om en stærk stigning af renterne på ejendomsværdipapirer, og over for selskabernes finansiering. Mange af os kan huske, da, før den Europæiske Monetære Union, bankrenterne nåede op på næsten 20 %.

Det er min pligt i udførelsen af den forfatningsmæssige opgave med at udpege ministre at sørge for beskyttelse af italienske opsparinger.

... Medlemskab af euroen er et valg af den yderste vigtighed for vort lands og vor ungdoms fremtid: Hvis man ønsker at diskutere det, må man gøre det åbent og dybtgående, også, fordi det ikke var et spørgsmål i valgkampen.«

Mattarella ignorerede åbenlyst en udtalelse, som økonomen Paolo Savona tidligere var kommet med, og som var publiceret af scenarieeconomic.it, og i hvilken Savona gjorde det klart, at han ville holde sig til koalitionsprogrammet, som ikke sætter spørgsmålstegn ved Italiens medlemskab i euroen eller i EU.

Dette viser, hvor rædselsslagne de europæiske eliter er; og man kan forvente, at de vil gøre hvad som helst for at bevare deres magt.

Foto: Præsident for den Europæiske Centralbank, Mario Draghi,

(venstre) og guvernør for en Italienske Centralbank, Ignazio Visco.

Finanskredse nedstemmer regering for Italien; Endnu et skridt hen imod finanskrak

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 27. maj, 2018 – Den Europæiske Centralbank og Londoncentrerede »finanskredse« har, idet de tramper på demokrati og sandsynligvis også den italienske forfatning, brugt Italiens statspræsident som deres marionet i blokeringen af en klar flertalsregering. Den åbenlyse årsag: Den kunne krænke de forkrøblende regler for euroen i sin forfølgelse af reel, økonomisk vækst, eller endda beslutte at forlade Eurozonen.

Med sin afvisning af koalitionsregeringen mellem partierne Lega og Femstjernebevægelsen, som havde kvalificeret sig gennem et vælgerflertal i valgene den 4. marts og gennem et flertal i parlamentet, har statspræsident Sergio Mattarella åbenlyst indrømmet, hvem, det er, der har ført hans hånd. Han sagde, at finanskredse og udenlandske investorer i italienske statsobligationer havde et intenst had til regeringens nominerede finansminister og dennes potentielle brud med Eurozonen. Italienske statsobligationer købes for det meste af store banker i London og Europa og sælges for likvider til den Europæiske Centralbank, som nu besidder dem.

Vælgerne fra »finanskredsene« var de eneste, der talte, denne gang.

Men hvad er det, der foregår i disse »finansskredse«? De er ved at blive kvalt i højspekulativ selskabs-’junkgæld’, og i derivatkontrakter på denne gæld; nu driver rentestigningen i dollar dem mod massive betalingsstandsninger og sammenbrud af banker.

Se på Deutsche Bank, den største bank i Tyskland, der rent faktisk kontrolleres fra dens investeringsbankafdelinger i London. For to måneder siden beordrede den Europæiske Centralbank (ECB) Deutsche Bank til at udarbejde et »nød-scenarie« for at afslutte sin investeringsbank; ECB vidste tydeligvis, der var noget alvorligt galt i banken, som har verdens største eksponering til derivater. Nu fyrer Deutsche Bank så ansatte *en masse* fra sin investeringsbank, den afdeling, der har været drivkraften for hele banken i 15 år (og på det seneste, drivkraften bag store tab). To analytikere var, for CNBC, enige om, at bankens aktier og kapital, der for nylig faldt fra 26 mia. til 21 mia. euro, meget snart kunne nå nul »med meget dårlige konsekvenser for internationale markeder i en nær fremtid«.

Tiltag fra Tysklands side for en reorganisering af Deutsche Bank, i overensstemmelse med præsident for Schiller Instituttet, Helga Zepp-LaRouches forslag i *EIR* i 2016, bliver nu den eneste måde, hvorpå bankens kollaps og dettes forgreninger til det transatlantiske banksystem i London og på Wall Street, kan stoppes.

Disse tiltag – som omfatter en Glass/Steagall-bankopdeling for at fraskille investeringsgrenene, til beskyttelse af den industrielle/kommercielle udlånsbank – ville være forbudt under Eurozonens regler og regler for bankopløsning, inkl. nye regler, som Eurozonen i øjeblikket er ved at vedtage!

Det samme er tilfældet med banker i hele finanssystemet, der er eksponeret til den enorme »alting-boble« med spekulativ gæld, der nu er ved at blive giftig.

Det, som den nu afviste italienske regering »truede« med at gøre ved at modsætte sig disse regler og endda ved at forlade Eurozonen, om nødvendigt, er et skridt mod den eneste løsning for at forhindre endnu et krak, værre end i 2008.

Denne løsning fremlægges i Lyndon LaRouches »Fire Love« for at genrejse den produktive vækst og videnskabelige/teknologiske gennembrud, og som blev udgivet i 2014 med en understregning af økonomien USA, hvis finanssystem befinder sig i samme farefulde tilstand. Præsident Trump har, tilbage i 2016, krævet ét af disse skridt, nemlig genindførelsen af Glass/Steagall-loven; han har talt om et andet, nemlig byggeri af ny, økonomisk infrastruktur for Amerika. Men handling har ikke været til rådighed, med britiske efterretning, der har udløst voldsomme angreb mod hans præsidentskab og forsøgt den form for kup, som de netop har set, lykkedes mod Italien.

Vælgerne i begge disse nationer står nu over for valg, hvor de må gennemtvinge de handlinger, som LaRouche har foreslået, og ikke tillade noget som helst at afskrække dem.

Foto: Den Europæiske Centralbank, Frankfurt, Tyskland.

Italiens statspræsident vetoer Savona; Conte-regeringen mislykkes

27. maj, 2018 – Den italienske statspræsident Sergio Mattarella handlede som en forræder mod Italien og en marionet for Imperiet ved at afvise listen over ministre, som blev fremlagt af den aktuelle premierminister Giuseppe Conte, der dernæst opsagde sit mandat.

I sin officielle erklæring sagde Mattarella, at han accepterede alle ministre undtagen Paolo Savona som finansminister og retfærdiggjorde dette med Savonas kendte holdninger, som kunne have ført »til Italiens exit af euroen«. Dernæst tegnede Mattarella et hysterisk billede af investorer, der flygtede, aktiemarkedet, der kollapsede, rentestigningerne, der spredtes og »sparerne«, som truedes, som et resultat af det budskab, Savona ville sende ved at sidde i regeringen.

(Præsidenten har magt til at afvise medlemmer af det foreslåede regeringshold, men ved at gøre dette, er han bundet af parlamentets vilje. I dette tilfælde er den foreslåede finansministers synspunkter identiske med den af parlamentet udtrykte vilje.)

Forud for Mattarellas annoncering, havde der være en utrolig indblanding. Den franske præsident Emmanuel Macron havde ringet til Conte for at presse ham til at droppe Savona. Det mest sandsynlige perspektiv er nu et teknokratisk kabinet, som imidlertid vil blive nedstemt af parlamentet og derfor vil have mandat til at udskrive snarlige valg.

Foto: Den euroskeptiske Paolo Savona, der af Conte-regeringen var udpeget til finansminister. Savona er økonom og universitetsprofessor.

Moody's: 'En usædvanlig stor bølge af misligholdte

selskabslån' på vej

27. maj, 2018 – Kreditratingbureauet Moody's Investors Service har givet den hidtil klareste advarsel om muligheden for, at der venter et blow-out af amerikanske selskabsgæld i horisonten.

En ny rapport, udgivet af Moody's, siger, at 'junk-gælden' i den amerikanske selskabssektor nu beløber sig til omkring \$3,7 billion, og at \$2 billion heraf hører hjemme i en ny betegnelse, skabt af Moody's: »særdeles spekulativ« (med graden B1 eller lavere) i modsætning til blot »spekulativ«.

På internationalt plan, siger rapporten, får nu hele 58 % af alle ikke-finansielle selskaber en gælds-rating, der lyder på »spekulativ«, af kreditratingbureauet; og 40 % får ratingen »særdeles spekulativ«.

Idet de kommer til sagen, siger rapporten, som det citeres af CNBC den 25. maj, »Stærke investorkrav om højere afkast forsætter med at gøre det muligt for alle, undtagen de svageste udstedere, at undgå misligholdelse ved at genfinansiere [deres] gæld, der nærmer sig forfald. Flere meget svage udstedere lever på lånt tid, så længe der hersker gunstige vilkår«. Dette er de såkaldte »zombie-selskaber«, som de europæiske økonomiers finansielle analyser diskuterer så meget; og igen, så rejser Moody's muligheden for, at dette kunne nærme sig 40 % af de ikke-finansielle selskaber.

Rapporten konkluderer, at »Det rekordhøje antal selskaber, der er særdeles højt gearet, har sat scenen for en usædvanlig stor bølge af misligholdelser, når den næste periode af generel økonomisk stress kommer«.

Denne stress-periode er faktisk kommet, med renter knyttet til dollar, der stiger i et hastigere tempo, end Federal Reserve hæver sine nøglerenter. De styrtdykkende valutaværdier, som rammer de lande, der har store mængder af dollargæld, såsom

Argentina, Tyrkiet og Brasilien, er ét tegn. Selve den amerikanske selskabsgældsboble står til at implodere på et tidspunkt i denne udvikling, som Moody's og IMF for allerede et år siden advarede om. Selv om det ikke er rapporteret her, så har der hidtil været et fald på 4 % i 2018 i værdien af den gennemsnitlige selskabsobligation, internationalt.

Ind over dette krydser den indikation, at den »lange tid, det har taget Deutsche Bank at dø« måske snart er slut, medmindre denne gigantiske derivatbank bliver gennemgribende reorganiseret.

Putin gentager sin beredvillighed til at »få en detaljeret dialog« med præsident Trump

25. maj, 2018 – Den russiske præsident Vladimir Putin udtalte på plenarmødet for SPIEF 2018 i dag, at han er rede til at mødes med præsident Donald Trump. »Vi kan bestemt ikke være tilfreds med niveauet og arten af de russisk-amerikanske relationer«, sagde Putin. »Vi er rede til dialog. Hr. Trump har foreslået at afholde et separat møde, men tingene går galt for os. Mange problemer vokser frem, men vi er rede til at afholde en detaljeret dialog. Jeg mener, det er på meget høje tid.«

Ifølge en rapport, udgivet af TASS, bemærkede Putin, at Rusland har uoverensstemmelser med USA, men de to landes holdninger er ens i nogle spørgsmål – såsom at forhindre et nyt våbenkapløb. På den anden side, så gør spørgsmål som

»Nordkorea og Iran os ikke nærmere hinanden, men de udgør også en grund til at diskutere disse spørgsmål«, sagde Putin.

»Vi må, sammen med vore amerikanske partnere, aftale nogle ensartede regler for adfærd; det er afgørende, for det er det, der ligger til grund for vores diskussion i dag – tillid. Enten eksisterer der tillid, eller også gør der ikke, og så kommer der intet godt ud af noget som helst. Så er der kun magtelementet tilbage, og det kunne føre til en tragedie«, sagde Putin.

Foto: Rusland er rede til at få en detaljeret dialog med USA; det er på høje tid, sagde den russiske præsident Vladimir Putin på SPIEF 2018-plenarmødet fredag.

Den russiske udenrigsminister Lavrov: MH17-efterforskningen er ligesom Skripal-sagen: Der er ingen beviser!

26. maj, 2018 – Med henvisning til resultaterne af den hollandsk/australske efterforskning af nedskydningen af det malaysiske fly MH17 i 2014, og som gør Rusland til den ansvarlige part, sammenlignede den russiske udenrigsminister Sergei Lavrov disse resultater med sagen om Skripal-forgiftningen i London.

»Der er ingen beviser«, sagde Lavrov. Han rapporterede, at han havde fået en telefonopringning fra den hollandske

udenrigsminister Stef Blok mht. disse resultater, men »han gav mig ingen kendsgerninger og sagde, de ønsker, Rusland skal hjælpe med at fastlægge dem, baseret på grundløse mistanker«, rapporterer Channel NewsAsia, at han sagde. »Dette ligner den såkaldte Skripal-sag« – forgiftningen af den britiske dobbeltagent Sergei Skripal og hans datter Julia – »da [UK] sagde, det er 'højst sandsynligt', at det er russerne, men Scotland Yard rapporterede omgående, at efterforskningen fortsætter. Og den er endnu ikke afsluttet«, sagde Lavrov. »Det er en déjà vu-oplevelse«, sagde han.

Udenrigsministeriet påpegede ligeledes i en udtalelse fra 24. maj, at Joint Investigative Team (JIT), som efterforsker flystyrtet, ignorerede alle data, som Rusland havde leveret, og undlod at nævne, at russiske myndigheder havde mødtes med hollandske eksperter og efterforskere fra anklagerens kontor og gav dem detaljerede data.

Under sin pressekonference den 24. maj med den franske præsident Macron, umiddelbart forud for Skt. Petersborg Internationale Økonomiske Forum (SPIEF), responderede præsident Putin på et spørgsmål om det hollandsk/australske holds resultater og demonstrerede, at Ruslands tilbud om at deltage i efterforskningen – igen, lige som i Skripal-sagen – blev afvist. Ukraine deltog, men det gjorde Rusland ikke, sagde Putin. »For at vi således kan acceptere det, der lægges frem, må vi fuldt ud deltage i efterforskningsprocessen.« Han sagde, at hans regering vil »analysere alting og formulere vores standpunkt i denne sag«.

Foto: Efterforskningen af MH17-flystyrtet i Donbass minder om Skripal-sagen, eftersom der ikke findes nogen beviser, sagde den russiske udenrigsminister Sergei Lavrov på sidelinjen af SPIEF i fredags.

Spygate; Det, man giver ud, kommer tilbage i samme mål; Erynjerne i arbejde. LaRouchePAC Internationale Webcast, 25. maj, 2018.

I historiens løb kan man, om end det er sjældent, undertiden se en meget stærk kraft, som man kunne kalde poetisk retfærdighed, være virksom. I denne uge har vi set, at begivenhederne har udviklet sig på en måde, som meget vel kunne nå op på niveauet for poetisk retfærdighed.

Engelsk udskrift:

**SPYGATE: WHAT GOES AROUND, COMES AROUND.
THE ERINYES ARE AT WORK!**

MATTHEW OGDEN: Good afternoon. It's May 25, 2018. My name is Matthew Ogden, and you're joining us for our weekly Friday evening broadcast from larouchepac.com.

Sometimes in the course of history, if even rarely, one can see at work a very powerful force of what could be called poetic

justice. This week, we've seen a turn of events which very well

could be seen as rising to the level of poetic justice. As the

title of our webcast here today reads, "Spygate: What Goes

Around, Comes Around. The Erinyes Are at Work!" Now revelations have come out that the FBI and other Obama-era intelligence and law enforcement had colluded with British intelligence to embed an informant – or a spy as President Trump has characterized it – inside the Donald Trump for President campaign in 2016. When news of this dramatic story first broke last Friday, a week ago today, President Trump issued the following tweet: "Wow! Word seems coming out that the Obama FBI spied on the Trump campaign with an embedded informant, Andrew McCarthy says. There's probably no doubt that they had at least one confidential informant in the campaign. If so, this is bigger than Watergate." Then President Trump followed that up with another tweet the following day, saying "Reports are that there was indeed at least one FBI representative implanted for political purposes into my campaign for President. It took place very early on and long before the phony Russia hoax became a hot fake news story. If true, all time biggest political scandal." Now, in fact, it is now coming out that these reports are, indeed, true; and that this very well could be, as President Trump characterized it, one of the biggest political scandals in recent history, and yes, indeed, even bigger than Watergate. Earlier this week, Helga Zepp-LaRouche, in reviewing this swiftly developing story, had the following remarks to say. She said: "If you look at how this thing has turned, it started as one thing – Russia-gate – and now, it has become Spycgate. I

think the Erinyes are already at work.” Now this, of course, is a reference to the ancient Greek mythological figures also known as the Furies; famously depicted in the poem by the poet Friedrich Schiller – “The Cranes of Ibykus” – as the force of nemesis. The almost supernatural enforcers of a higher order of justice. In his letters, Friedrich Schiller discussed the figures of the Erinyes, and he described them in this way: “There is not in the Greek mythology a more terrible, and at the same time more hideous picture, than the Furies, or the Erinyes; quitting the infernal regions to throw themselves in the pursuit of a criminal. They are shown to us brandishing torches in their hands, and chasing their prey without peace or truce, from country to country until at last, the anger of justice being appeased, they engulf themselves in the abyss of the infernal regions.” So Schiller invoked this idea of the Erinyes, this force of nemesis, this force of poetic justice which is serving a higher order of justice. When justice among men is not served, this higher order of justice intervenes, if you will. In his poetry, as in the case of “The Cranes of Ibykus”, Schiller invoked this mythological figure in order to be the vehicle for this idea of a higher order of justice. He did so in a different way in his play “William Tell”, in which he evoked the American revolutionary idea of natural law and the inalienable rights of man; which he said in that play are “as indestructible as the

stars themselves.”

Now, this was the idea which built the American republic.

This is in the blood of the American people. I think as the truth of what has occurred in the course of this scandal, that President Trump has correctly identified as potentially “bigger

than Watergate”; as the truth of this comes out – including the

role of the British, who of course we declared our independence

from during that American Revolution. This will get into the blood of the American people, and will get under their skin in a

way where you will see the awakening of this kind of justified fury, and a call that we must take our Constitution and our republic back.

In her webcast yesterday, Thursday this week, Helga

Zepp-LaRouche exactly what we’re seeing now. She brought up this

series of tweets that President Trump has issued and has continued to issue in the days following, and indeed, said that

this is a scandal which is beyond the magnitude that we’ve seen

in recent years. She predicted that if this continues in the direction that it has, we will indeed see the mask falling away,

and the exposure of exactly what kind of criminality has occurred

in the course of this entire Russia-gate hoax. So, let me play

for you a clip from what Helga LaRouche had to say in her webcast

yesterday.

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE

: Yes. As a matter of fact, if you look at the recent tweets by President Trump, they are quite to the point, namely that he said the “Russiagate” turned into “Spygate,” that there was absolutely no proof of a collusion with Russia, but that all the people involved in the coup, basically, that they created a spider web of collusion between the heads of the intelligence agencies of the Obama administration with British intelligence, and that there was ongoing effort, even before any investigation officially started, by British intelligence figures to connect with all kinds of persons in the Trump election team, to try to somehow involve them in some kind of a connection with some Russians. And all of this is coming out now. So there was long before the Trump election victory, or even the nomination, the clear effort by British intelligence to lay leads, to create paper trails to manufacture and orchestrate the situation, whereby the so-called “collusion” with Russia was supposed to be hung on the Trump campaign, and Trump himself. And this is all now coming out. This is now subject to public discussions. For example, on Monday, President Trump met with several intelligence heads – I think it was [FBI head] Wray and Rosenstein from the Department of Justice in the White House. And today, as a follow-up of that, Chief of Staff John Kelly is meeting with the CIA, the FBI, the Department of Justice, together with congressmen – for example, Congressman Nunes, Senator Grassley – and they’re now

having access to all the documents, including the memorandum of Mueller, the memo defining the scope of Mueller's investigation.

This will all now be made available to the investigative committees in the Congress. And obviously, this is all criminal

violations of law and the Constitution, so this is big!

I think Trump may absolutely be right when he says that this may become the biggest scandal in the history of America. And what is now clear, is that there was a task force involving an institutional group of people, who orchestrated all of this, in

an election campaign, and Trump said, what was done against Bernie Sanders also was done on a much larger scale against him.

When all of this comes out, I think the world will really be a different place; and I think if President Trump is freed of this spider web, I think you will see, he will be in a much better position to carry through with his intentions than you have seen it so far.

OGDEN: Now, as Helga mentioned, there have been a number of meetings over the course of this week with the heads of these agencies and leaders on these Congressional committees. This was

initiated by President Trump on Sunday, when he issued a tweet which read as follows: "I hereby demand, and will do so tomorrow

on Monday, that the Department of Justice look into whether or not the FBI, DOJ infiltrated or surveilled the Trump campaign for

political purposes. If any such demands or requests were made by

people within the Obama administration." Now, this was immediately responded to by Obama's CIA director, John Brennan,

who himself very well may be implicated in this investigation. So, he issued his own tweet, hysterically demanding that the Congressional leadership shut down any investigation. This is what he said: "Senator McConnell and Senator[sic] Ryan: If Mr.

Trump continues along this disastrous path, you will bear major

responsibility for the harm done to our democracy. You do a great disservice to our nation and Republican Party if you continue to enable Mr. Trump's self-serving actions." Trump responded by tweeting a statement by Dan Bongino, who is a former

Secret Service agent and leading political commentator. This is

what President Trump responded to John Brennan with: "John Brennan is panicking. He has disgraced himself. He has disgraced the country. He has disgraced the entire intelligence

community. He is the one man who is largely responsible for the

destruction of America's faith in the intelligence community and

in some people at the top of the FBI. Brennan started this entire debacle about President Trump. We know that Brennan had

detailed knowledge of the phony dossier. He knows about the dossier, he denies knowledge of the dossier. He briefs the Gang

of Eight on the Hill about the dossier, which they then use to start an investigation against Trump. It is that simple.

This

guy is the genesis of this whole debacle. This was a political

hit job, this was not an intelligence investigation. Brennan has

disgraced himself. He's worried about staying out of jail."

So clearly, these are very high stakes. If you have this

image of the Erinyes, as Friedrich Schiller evoked this in the famous poem "The Cranes of Ibykus", the criminals who had committed a crime, but there were no witnesses except for a flock

of cranes flying overhead, arrived at a festival. The Furies, or

the Erinyes, came out and started their dance, their eerie dance.

At a certain point in this atmosphere of tension, the very same

cranes who had been the sole witnesses of this crime, fly overhead; and the criminals themselves are induced to expose themselves by pointing at the sky and saying to each other loudly, "See! See! It is the cranes of Ibykus!" That is the evidence which then brings them to trial; so they implicated themselves. The hysteria that we're seeing from these John Brennans and others is rising to that poetic level of justice.

So, not only does it potentially go all the way to Brennan, the very same day, less than an hour later, President Trump issued the following tweet: "The Wall Street Journal asks 'Where

in the world was Barack Obama?' A very good question." The next

day, on Tuesday, Trump escalated even further. He tweeted the following: "If the person placed very early into my campaign wasn't a spy put there by the previous administration for political purposes, how come such a seemingly massive amount of

money was paid for services rendered? Many times higher than normal. Follow the money," he said. "The spy was there early in

the campaign, and yet never reported collusion with Russia because there was no collusion. He was only there to spy for political reasons and help crooked Hillary win. Just like they

did to Bernie Sanders, who got duped." Then on Wednesday, yet another tweet: "Look at how things have turned around on the

criminal deep state. They go after phony collusion with Russia, a made-up scam, and end up getting caught in a major spy scandal the likes of which this country may never have seen before. What goes around, comes around.” Then he said, “Spygate could be one of the biggest political scandals in history.” Then next, very simply he tweeted “Witch hunt.” Finally, yesterday, President Trump said, “Clapper has now admitted that there was spying in my campaign. Large dollars were paid to the spy, far beyond normal. Starting to look like one of the biggest political scandals in US history. Spygate. A terrible thing.” So, I think if you look at what Trump said here, “What goes around, comes around.” He said, “Look at how things have turned around on the criminal deep state. They go after phony collusion with Russia,... and end up getting caught in a major spy scandal the likes of which this country may never have seen before.” This is, indeed, the force of poetic justice. I think President Trump’s expression “What goes around, comes around,” could be taken as a very colloquial version of the expression of this Erinyes principle, as Helga Zepp-LaRouche has identified it. Just this morning, not to be outdone, President Trump issued two more tweets on this subject. He said, “The Democrats are now alluding to the concept that having an informant placed in an opposing party’s campaign is different than having a spy, as illegal as that may be. But what about an informant who got paid

a fortune, and who sets up way earlier than the Russia hoax?
Can anyone even imagine having spies placed in a competing campaign by the people and party in absolute power for the sole purpose of political advantage and gain? And to think that the party in question, even with the expenditure of far more money, lost.”

I think this gets right at the root of how huge this scandal potentially can turn out to be. And it's clear that this is a total showdown, and President Trump is not pulling any punches at this point.

What's also becoming ever more clear, is the role that British intelligence has played in this entire process. As we've documented over and over again, all roads lead to London in this story, if you follow every single one of these threads. We've seen that time and time again. We've reviewed the role on Monday of Stephan Halper, the alleged informant, or one of the alleged informants who was placed inside the Trump campaign, and his connections to MI6 and to Sir Richard Dearlove, the former head of MI6. All of which overlaps completely with Christopher Steele, the other so-called “ex-“British spy who fabricated the entire “dodgy dossier”. A number of members of Congress are pursuing these leads in numerous ways. Senator Grassley continues to subpoena information about the role of Christopher Steele. The same thing is being done by Congressman Nunes and others. Then one in particular, is the actions that Senator Rand

Paul has taken over the last week and a half. On May 15th, in the context of the hearings around the nomination of Gina Haspel to be the new head of the CIA, Rand Paul wrote a letter to then-nominee for the CIA Director, Gina Haspell, asking the following questions:

“Dear Acting Director Gina Haspel,

“I write to ask you for clarification of some of the practices of the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA), particularly in relation to surveillance during the 2016 Presidential election. Please answer each question for each of the following

candidates: Donald Trump; Hillary Clinton; Bernie Sanders; John

Kasich; Ted Cruz; Marco Rubio; Jeb Bush; and Rand Paul.

“1. Under what circumstances does the CIA trail, monitor, or otherwise collect information on the communications and movements of US Presidential candidates both domestically and while they are travelling outside of the United States?...

“3. Have you or anyone else at CIA ever cooperated with any foreign intelligence service to surveil, monitor, or collect information on candidate Trump during his travels outside the United States in the preceding five years? Specifically, was candidate Trump ever under any surveillance or of interest to the CIA during his previous visits in Europe?

“4. Did the CIA or any other US government agency conduct surveillance on, or engage in the collection of communications or information about then-candidate Trump during his November 2016 visit to Great Britain?”

Now, Senator Paul knows the answer to those questions, as numerous news reports have reported, indeed that was the case. Here's just an example. This is an article that was in the

{Guardian} newspaper. The title is "British Spies Were First to Spot Trump Team's Links with Russia"; subtitle "GCHQ is said to have alerted US agencies after becoming aware of contacts in 2015." That's just one of numerous news articles which report that there are, indeed, multiple links between British intelligence and the CIA in monitoring candidate Donald Trump. This was the supposed information that Brennan used to put together an inter-agency task force that you heard Helga mention in that webcast clip, to launch a counter intelligence investigation into Trump. So-called counter intelligence. What Trump has identified as indeed actually just a political hit job. However, when Senator Paul questioned Gina Haspel on this question, she categorically denied it. Rand Paul said in an interview on Fox immediately after this interaction between himself and Gina Haspel, "I'm still concerned about the reports that Trump was surveilled. She did deny that the CIA had anything to do with British intelligence, and in the end she actually said to me, and this is supposed to come in writing, she says that there was no communication between British intelligence and John Brennan giving them information about the Trump campaign. If that's true, that contradicts a lot of news reports that are out there. I hope it's true, and I hope she'll actually put it in writing. But today she denied to me that there was ever a meeting between British intelligence and John Brennan where information about surveillance of the Trump campaign was transferred." Then here's a tweet quoting Rand Paul in his interview on Fox: "Gina Haspel is categorically denying that

the CIA got information from the British intelligence. If what I'm saying today is not her opinion, she needs to speak today and she needs to say did British intelligence give information to John Brennan." This is in the context of Senator Rand Paul also pointing out the fact that Gina Haspel, in addition to the very dark and murky history that she's had in running black sites and enhanced interrogation centers, and in defending that kind of practice in the past, it also is very notable that Gina Haspel was station chief for the CIA in London overlapping much of the time that this entire Russia-gate story was developing. So, the trail remains very hot.

What I would like to do is just emphasize that all of this has got to be seen in the context of the ongoing drive to continue and protect at all costs the regime of geopolitics. This is exactly what Obama was serving during his administration; this is exactly what Hillary Clinton was attempting to become the continuation of. This is what the stay-behinds in these agencies and also emphatically inside certain interests in British intelligence are trying to maintain. Which is this divide and conquer regime in which there can be no peaceful dialogue or collaboration between the great powers – the United States and Russia, and also incidentally between the United States and China. This has been the over-arching geopolitical strategy which is to drive a wedge between these powers in order to maintain the power of British imperial interests. This is exactly what President Trump made very clear that he was out to

dismantle. He was going to pursue peaceful dialogue and collaboration between the United States and Russia, and subsequently between the United States and China. This remains

of critical importance, and the touch-and-go situation on the Korean Peninsula is just an example of how crucial it is that this process of collaboration and cooperation between the United

States, China, and Russia on cooling off these hot zones, these

conflict zones around the world which are threatening to explode

and be used as the ignition points for World War III. It is {so}

crucial that this great powers relationship be allowed to continue.

In her webcast, which we played a clip of earlier in this broadcast, Helga Zepp-LaRouche also emphasized again the importance of clearing the air of this entire Russia-gate fraud

and getting it out of the way in order to create the conditions

where this crucial collaboration around resolving some of the common challenges that are facing mankind, can occur between these great powers. As she has repeatedly over the past several

weeks, she emphasized the urgency of summoning a very urgent summit between President Trump and President Putin. This was put

on the table weeks ago, but it has been sidelined and it's unclear when this face-to-face meeting will be able to take place. A petition precisely to that effect has now begun to circulate on the whitehouse.gov website, where these "We the People" petitions are placed. 100,000 signatures are required within 30 days, and then the White House has to officially respond. So, here's the title of the petition: "President Donald

Trump Should Hold Early Summit with Russian President Vladimir Putin.” The text reads as follows:

“Ronald Reagan famously said: ‘A nuclear war cannot be won and must never be fought. The only value in our two nations possessing nuclear weapons is to make sure they will never be used.’ Unfortunately, today a new Cold War between the US and Russia again poses an existential threat to the people of both nations and to the whole world. Therefore, we urge President Trump to follow in the steps of Ronald Reagan and to start a direct dialogue with President Putin in search of solid and verified security arrangements. As President Trump said repeatedly ‘only haters and fools’ do not understand that good US-Russia relations are also good for America. By all indications

President Putin feels the same way for his country. A summit should be arranged as soon as possible.”

So again, that petition is available on this We the People website at the White House

[<https://petitions.whitehouse.gov/petition/president-donald-trump-should-hold-early-summit-russian-president-vladimir-putin>]

and it’s circulating very widely and is picking up signatures as

we speak.

Now what I’d like to do is just play one more clip from Helga LaRouche’s webcast yesterday where she addressed this petition directly and put it in its necessary global strategic context. So, here’s Helga Zepp-LaRouche.

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE

: Yes: there is actually a petition on the website of the White House. This was initiated

by the President of the American University in Moscow, Professor

Edward Lozansky, and Jim Jatras, and they call for an early

summit between President Trump and President Putin, by making essentially the same point that we have been making for the last

several months, that, given the fact that President Trump is still so much up against neo-cons in the Republican Party, he's

really done a remarkable job under the circumstances, where you

have the entire intelligence apparatus not only of what they call

the "deep state," which is really an incorrect characterization,

because the role of British intelligence {is} absolutely crucial

to understand what makes this Empire tick.

So, in order to cut through that, and given the fact that the entire Russiagate operation was aimed to prevent a good relationship between Russia and the United States, which Trump all the time said would be a "good thing and not a bad thing," and he tries to do it; so the way to cut through this whole thing

would be to have this summit, which they talked about – Trump and Putin on the telephone a couple of weeks ago, and do this as

quickly as possible.

So there is this petition, and I would call all of you who are listening, or watching, to sign this petition that such an early summit would take place. Because I think it is an absolutely important initiative, and if this petition has more than 100,000 by June 30, then the White House will have to respond to it, and will respond.

Otherwise, naturally, there are many, many things, and I would again invite you, join us, join the Schiller Institute. Make sure this webcast becomes more known and is being spread, because we are in an urgent need for a political discourse: Where

should mankind go? And how can we organize the world so that

it's safe and beautiful for everybody to live in?

OGDEN: Now let me just come back one more time to the LaRouche PAC 2018 Campaign to Win the Future. You can find more information about this on <https://action.larouchepac.com>. But of course, this campaign has three facets. One of them is to end this Russia-gate coup against President Trump. This is of crucial importance, and as we can see, this is continuing to develop and is in the process of very swiftly so that the accusers have now become the accused. This of course is in the context of Pledge #2, which is that the United States should join the New Paradigm which is already sweeping much of the globe. This would entail joining, embracing the One Belt, One Road policy that China's President Xi Jinping has been championing all around the world. This great infrastructure and connectivity development program. This has emphatically already been joined by Russia. So, there you have Russia and China. We also see overtures between China and India recently. So, these three great powers are part of this emerging New Paradigm on the planet. That's exactly what the United States has to join; that's the main reason why we have to end this entire Russia-gate nonsense. And third, and absolutely not least, is to immediately implement Lyndon LaRouche's Four Economic Laws. This is to impose Glass-Steagall; we see the threat of an impending financial meltdown which is escalating every single day in the trans-Atlantic region. We need preemptive Glass-Steagall in order to erect a firewall between legitimate commercial banking interests and this speculative global casino. Number two, we

need to return to Alexander Hamilton's national banking model where you can use trillions of dollars in directed Federal credit

into great projects. Which you would clearly – and this is the

third aspect of it – know with scientific certainty would increase the productive powers of labor, the living standards, and the productivity per square kilometer of the territory of the

United States and its people. Then, last but not least, the spear point of this entire thing is a science driver program in

order to immediately catapult the United States into the future.

Leapfrogging into technologies such as controlled nuclear fusion

power, and an expanded manned and unmanned exploration of nearby

space and deep space.

Now, some updates that we'll have for you on the Monday show go to some very dramatic developments in this regard that are occurring in Europe. We know that the newly-elected government

which has now been formed by the joint cooperation between the Lega Nord party in Italy and the 5-Star movement party in Italy.

These two parties, which are so-called populist parties, have now

come together to create a government and to put in a prime minister, but emphatically a finance minister who by all indications, agrees with the facets that are in both of these parties' platforms. Which are, one, to have a separation of banking between investment and commercial banking; this would be

a Glass-Steagall type of model; and this is actually very interestingly in violation of the EU constitution or the EU agreement, which says that the only banking model which is

allowed by European Union nations is the so-called universal banking model. The one-stop shop where you have investment, commercial, and insurance operations all conglomerated together.

Then the other aspect which was in both of these party platforms is the idea of some sort of national credit bank in Italy to be used to issue national investments into rebuilding the infrastructure and other industrial capabilities inside Italy. So, this is a very interesting and developing situation,

and there's a real showdown which is developing within Europe around this matter. That's something that we'll have many more

updates on for you on Monday.

But, taking it back to the United States, we should just remember that President Trump himself, during the campaign, advocated exactly those two ideas. Number one, Glass-Steagall;

and number two, trillions in investment in infrastructure. He even invoked by name Alexander Hamilton and the American System.

So, that continues to be on the table, and it's our job to escalate the fight for Lyndon LaRouche's Four Laws and the entirety of that 2018 Campaign to Win the Future. So, again, visit <https://action.larouchepac.com>; you can become an active volunteer in whatever means that implies for you. Whether that

means participating directly in lobbying Congress, lobbying your

state legislator, collecting signatures, calling your local radio

station, being active on social media, getting out on the streets, organizing house meetings, and so forth and so on.

Becoming part of a network of literature distribution. All of these aspects are of crucial significance as we put together this

national base campaign around the LaRouche PAC program.

So, we implore you; get active, and get active soon, because this situation is developing very rapidly. And it's very clear

that, as Helga Zepp-LaRouche has said, we can be optimistic because we can see that ideas truly can move history, and the world is in great need of our ideas.

Thank you very much, and please stay tuned to larouchepac.com.

Menneskehedens strategiske kamp mellem det gamle og nye paradigme fremstår klart af udviklingerne i Italien

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 24. maj, 2018 – Intetsteds fremstår den strategiske kamp mellem det gamle og det nye paradigme klarere end i europæiske responser til udviklinger i Italien, hvor en ny regering, bestående af partierne Lega og M5S, kommer til magten, og hvor to af deres hovedprogrampunkter er forslag om Glass-Steagall og en udviklingsbank, begge forslag, som er indlejret i de Fire love, som *EIR's* stiftende redaktør Lyndon LaRouche udgav i 2014, nu fremprovokerer vildt hysteri og ondskabsfulde angreb fra deres side, der forsvarer det rådende, imperiale, transatlantiske finanssystem.

Som Schiller Instituttets præsident og stifter **Helga Zepp-LaRouche i dag bemærkede i sin internationale webcast**, så er dette fuldstændig ekstraordinært. Italien trues med finansiel

krigsførelse og regimeskifte og bliver af andre EU-medlemmer advaret om, at det vil »få en lærestreg«, hvis det vover at gå frem med anti-euro-politikker – som om Italien på en eller anden måde skulle være ansvarligt for den finanskrisen, der allerede er i gang, ifald det handler til forsvar for sin egen suverænitet og sin befolknings ønsker.

Det, man skal forstå, sagde Zepp-LaRouche, er, at valget af Lega/M5S-regeringen ikke er et isoleret fænomen, men snarere *»en lang oprørsbue imod de neoliberale politikker, som kom til udtryk i Brexit, valget af Donald Trump, 'nej'-et i den italienske folkeafstemning sidste år om en ændring af forfatningen, valget i Østrig og nu valget i Italien – dette er alt sammen resultater, der viser, at befolkninger ikke længere ønsker at underkaste sig disse fuldstændig uretfærdige nedskæringspolitikker, der udelukkende er til fordel for bankerne, spekulanterne og de rige, på bekostning af befolkningsmasserne«.*

Det er afslørende, sagde Zepp-LaRouche, at de mest hysteriske angreb på Italien kommer fra dem, *»der har skabt deres gigantiske, virtuelle formuer ... med dette højspekulative system, det neoliberale system, der er knyttet til krige, baseret på løgne, med såkaldte 'humanitære interventioner', regimeskifte og 'farvede revolutioner'. Det er hele denne model, der virkelig ikke længere fungerer«.*

Dette går virkelig til kernen af kampen mellem det afdøde, gamle paradigme og det Nye Paradigme, *»som fokuserer på økonomisk vækst for folkets almene vel«*, understregede Zepp-LaRouche. Se udviklingen i Italien som *»en gylden mulighed for at blive af med den form for ekstremt farlige, spekulative udskejelser, og sats på en samlet Glass/Steagall-bankopdeling som det første trin til at redde systemet på denne måde«*, foreslog hun. I modsat fald *»står vi på randen af en ny finanskrisen. Vi sidder på en vulkan«*. De ændringer, som nu er i gang i Deutsche Bank, der er begyndt at afhænde sin giftige bankoperation, som har base i City of London, er lærerige,

bemærkede hun, fordi Deutsche Bank er den største derivatbank i verden og står og vakler på randen af bankerot.

Direkte relateret til dette er de af britisk efterretning dirigerede 'Russiagate'-operationer imod præsident Donald Trump; et sandt edderkoppespind bestående af MI6 og GCHQ, der arbejder sammen med Barack Obamas bøller fra FBI/CIA for at omstøde valget i 2016. Disse »Spygate«-operationer, som der nu offentligt kommer detaljer frem om, blev lanceret for at sikre, at den amerikanske præsident ikke kunne gennemføre sine planer om at få gode relationer med Rusland og Kina eller trække USA tilbage fra involvering i udenlandske krige. Og neokonservative elementer i hans administration forsøger konstant at lokke ham tilbage, advarede Zepp-LaRouche, hvilket gør dagens aflysning af topmødet 12. juni med den nordkoreanske leder Kim Jong-un meget ulykkelig. Men, understregede Zepp-LaRouche, *»hvis Trump kan befries for dette edderkoppespind, mener jeg, man vil få at se, at han befinder sig i en langt bedre position til at gennemføre sine planer, end vi hidtil har set«.*

En måde at skære igennem alt Russiagate-vrøvlet, konkluderede Zepp-LaRouche, er at sikre, at Trump og den russiske præsident Putin afholder det topmøde, de diskuterede over telefonen tilbage i marts måned. Underskriv den relevante appel på Det Hvide Hus' webside, anbefalede hun, for at sikre, at 100.000 underskrifter bliver indsamlet frem til 20. juni. *»Sørg desuden for, at dette webcast bliver mere kendt og udbredt, for vi har et presserende behov for en politisk debat. I hvilken retning skal menneskeheden gå? Og hvordan kan vi organisere verden, så den bliver tryk og smuk for alle at leve i?«*

Deutsche Bank fyrer tusinder – LaRouche havde ret!

24. maj, 2018 – I dag annoncerede Deutsche Bank, at den skærer ned med 7.000 jobs – nogle siger 10.000 – af dens nuværende i alt 97.000 ansatte, og dens selskabs- og investeringsbankvirksomhed forventes at miste 25 % af sine ansatte, for det meste, iflg. Associated Press, i London og New York.

Der kommer mere frem om bankens årlige generalforsamling, som afholdtes i dag, hvor et forsøg på at afsætte Deutsche Banks formand Paul Achleitner mislykkedes med stemmerne 90-9 % til fordel for at beholde ham.

Men reorganiseringen af Deutsche Bank bringer det spørgsmål frem, som rejstes af *EIR's* stiftende redaktør Lyndon LaRouche, der krævede, at banken vender tilbage til de sunde principper for kommerciel bankvirksomhed, som praktiseredes af bankens martrede formand Alfred Herrhausen, der blev myrdet 30. nov., 1989, snarere end at fortsætte den mislykkede model med universel kasinobankvirksomhed.

Netop dette spørgsmål eksploderede i sidste måned, hvor Deutsche Bank trak finansielle hovedoverskrifter den 9. april, da bankens bestyrelse officielt dumpede sin britiske administrerende direktør, John Cryan, og i dag annoncerede massive afskedigelser for at nedskære sin afdeling for giftig investeringsbankvirksomhed.

Cryan blev øjeblikkeligt udskiftet med Christian Sewing, der har været i banken siden 1989, og som indikerede, at han ville nedskære investeringsbankdelen. »Det vigtige er at udnytte vore styrkesider og anvise vore investeringer i overensstemmelse hermed. Vi vil samtidig søge at frigive kapacitet for vækst ved at trække os ud af de områder, hvor vi

ikke har tilstrækkelig med indtjening«, sagde Sewing til *Guardian* 9. april. »Vi vil fortsætte vores aktivitet som en investerings- og selskabsbank, og vi vil forblive international, men vi må koncentrere os om det, vi virkelig har et godt kendskab til.«

Guardian citerede også Octavia Marenzi fra konsulentfirmaet Opimas for at sige, at »Vi kan forvente en langt stærkere understregning af det hjemlige, tyske marked, med fokus på kommerciel bankvirksomhed og detailbankvirksomhed, samt formueforvaltning. Det ser ud, som om bestyrelsen kapitulerer på investeringsbankfronten«. Han advarede yderligere, »dette vil ramme Deutsche Banks tilstedeværelse i London særlig hårdt, hvor hovedparten af dens investeringsbankvirksomhed er baseret. Vi forventer at se disse aktiviteter få den rigtige størrelse eller solgt fra, med ledsagende reduktion i medarbejderstaben«.

Webcast med Helga Zepp-LaRouche:

»Bøtten er vendt: Med afsløringen af det virkelige 'aftalte spil' – vil Obamas administration slutte sig til

Ledende briter på anklagebænken?« 24. maj, 2018

Introduktion: I løbet af weekenden bøjede USA's vicejustitsminister Rod Rosenstein sig for presset og udpegede Justitsministeriets generalinspektør til at efterforske, om FBI og Justitsministeriet (DOJ) infiltrerede eller overvågede Trump-kampagnen under valget i 2016. Rosenstein tilføjede, at, hvis en efterforskning afgør, at dette skete, ville de »skride til passende handling«. Nye afsløringer peger ikke alene på den rolle, som er blevet spillet af FBI/DOJ-korruption og -forbrydelser, men også på overtrædelser fra CIA's side, og især fra John Brennans side, i koordinering af en operation mod Trump-kampagnen sammen med en udenlandsk magt – Det britiske Imperium, gennem dettes efterretningsgrene, GCHQ og MI6.

De britiske netværk og Obamas netværk bag Russiagate opererede på vegne af et globalt bank-/finanskartel, centreret omkring City of London og Wall Street. I takt med, at dets beskidte tricks med at køre et regimeskifte-kup mod præsident Trump i stigende grad afsløres, bliver det ligeledes åbenbart, at dets globale spekulationskasino har direkte kurs mod et spektakulært kollaps. De tyer nu til deres gamle beredskabsstyrker – ved at bruge kup, stedfortræderkrige, terrorisme, sanktioner og afpresning – til at forsøge at bluffe sig igennem.

Men denne gang er tingene anderledes, med det Nye Paradigme, der vil erstatte den finansielle elites og dens geopolitikers gamle paradigme, og som vinder i styrke. Mandag sagde Schiller Instituttets stifter Helga Zepp-LaRouche, der har anført kampen for dette Nye Paradigme, at, for at stoppe kuppet i

USA, er det nødvendigt at gennemføre Lyndon LaRouches Fire Nye Love (til nationens – og verdens – redning) og at mobilisere for, at USA og andre, inkl. Tyskland, tilslutter sig den Nye Silkevej. »Denne krig kan vindes«, lød hendes ord. »Vi er nået langt, og der er flere kampe, der skal udkæmpes; men historien vil blive formet af Lyndon H. LaRouches ideer.«

Fr. LaRouche vil stå i spidsen for denne kamp med sin ugentlige webcast, kommende torsdag, 24. maj. Lyt med, og bliv en del af den bevægelse, der vil forme fremtiden.

Her følger engelsk udskrift:

The Worm Has Turned: Will Obama Administration Join Leading Brits

On Trial as the Real "Collusion" Is Exposed?

HARLEY SCHLANGER: Hello, I'm Harley Schlanger from the Schiller Institute. Welcome to this week's international webcast featuring our founder and President Helga Zepp-LaRouche. We are in the midst of a series of unfolding developments, and we'll address them in the context of the discussion today. There are a number of things happening, each of which is very significant and we don't full readings yet. But we want to start with what I think is something that most people are not aware of: And that is the coming earthquake that is hitting Europe, in this case from the Italian election. The new government is being put together, and there's a complete freakout from the European Union, for good reason. Helga, what is the significance of these Italian developments, in the context of the overall strategic situation?

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE: We have now, a new prime minister, who is a politically unknown law professor, but the real freakout is because of the mooted new Finance Minister Paolo Savona, who is a well-established economist. He was completely for the euro in the beginning, but then, when he saw what the consequences were for Italy of the single currency, he became completely anti-euro, and he has demanded a "Plan B" for Italy, meaning leaving the euro; and also he has called the euro a German prison for Italy, and he has given it some worse names. So the freakout is quite incredible. All kinds of people, politicians, media have threatened Italy with financial warfare. One guy said the markets will teach Italy a lesson and bring it back to the path of virtue. One of the key anchors of the 2nd channel in Germany, Claus Kleber, who is a real specimen of his profession, to put it very diplomatically, he basically said one should use the gag bit – this is a torturous bit for horses which no honest horseman would ever use, because it's really torturing horses – so he said one should use that for Italy to basically discipline them. This is incredible. Here are people who are all the time making the hugest complaint about lacking democracy in China and whatnot, and they're openly calling for regime change and using warfare techniques against one of the European Union members. Now, I think if Savona indeed becomes finance minister, people are in for some surprises, because this is an experienced

person, he's not a lightweight, as the media were saying about Prime Minister Giuseppe Conte. And given the fact that this all

occurs – this is not an isolated phenomenon. It's not Italy causing the financial crisis. This is a long arc of revolt against the neo-liberal policies which were expressed in the Brexit, in the election of Donald Trump, in the “no” to the Italian referendum changing the Constitution last year, in the Austrian election, and now in the Italian election – these are all the results that the populations do not want to submit any longer to these completely unjust austerity regimes, which only

benefit the banks, the speculators and the rich, at the expense

of the masses of the population.

So this is a very important moment, and rather than being completely shocked about it, and having hysterical tantrums.

I

think the chance should be used to take the positive elements of

the new coalition in Italy – they have, after all, both of them

in their party platform and now also in the coalition contract,

two of the basic laws of Lyndon LaRouche: Namely, the implementation of Glass-Steagall, and secondly, the creation of

National Bank for investments in the real economy. So, rather than being hysterical about, one should take this as a golden opportunity to get rid of the kind extremely dangerous speculative excesses, and go for a unified Glass-Steagall separation of the banks as a first step, and start to save the system in this way.

In one sense, this crisis around Italy can be a real chance to make the urgent change in this direction.

SCHLANGER: Helga, I would just like to make a point of

something you brought up, which is that the people who are arguing against these policies are essentially saying that the voters have no right to express an opinion if it goes against the policies of the bankers. And this is really important, because the line from the media is that we're in the midst of a robust recovery, things are improving, the European economy is improving. But the voters are voting to show that they don't believe that. And there are some important developments; there are some continuing problems with the Macron government in France; Deutsche Bank continues to be at the front end of this list of most dangerous banks. I'd like you to just emphasize this point that the real economy is what's behind the revolt, and that's why the Four Laws of Lyndon LaRouche are so crucial.

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: There is an economic website which warns of the consequences of the corporate debt bubble, which is much, much worse than in 2008, and they're warning of a new financial crisis of "biblical dimensions." Now, I don't know – "biblical dimensions," that's the Deluge, or some other fundamental crises like that. And then you have the ongoing crisis around Argentina, the currency of Turkey is falling, forcing the central banks to reverse their interest rate policies, so this is all extremely fragile. And very indicative that there is an understanding that some changes must be made, is what just happened at Deutsche Bank. Two years ago, my husband Mr. LaRouche, when the Deutsche Bank was already in a severe crisis, demanded that Deutsche Bank should change its entire policy since '89, since the assassination of Alfred Herrhausen, and go back to the

Herrhausen

model of industrial banking. At that point, many people thought

that this would never happen, that Deutsche Bank is a hopeless case. But while I don't want to make a final judgment on it, it

is a fact that last month, the leadership of Deutsche Bank kicked

out its CEO John Cryan. And then, in {Handelsblatt}, the chief

economist of Deutsche Bank, David Folkerts-Landau gave a long interview where he described how it was a big mistake for the last two decades, to have shifted the entire profile of Deutsche

Bank into a complete investment bank, going into the derivatives

trading. And while he makes some correct points, namely that Merrill Lynch was brought in, and a team which basically allowed

a reverse takeover of Deutsche Bank so that it became completely

foreign controlled and directed to high-risk speculation – I think they still have a portfolio of something like \$42 trillion

in derivatives outstanding; that's the largest derivatives bank

in the world.

What just happened, is today there was a shareholder meeting, and they apparently tried to kick out also Paul Achleitner, the supervisory board chairman of Deutsche Bank. The

only thing I can comment on this Folkerts-Landau is that as several insiders told us, he was one of them, who changed the bank's profile into the direction of this investment banking only. So he was not criticizing that for the last two decades,

and {Spiegel Online} even says that it was the last effort by

Achleitner to have some kind of show so that he would not be kicked out by making this {mea culpa} self-criticism. I think that that is true, and the new chief executive is a person called Christian Sewing, who has been in the bank since '89, and it is being said that he will totally concentrate and put the priority on investments in the real economy inside Germany – so, turning the Deutsche Bank back into a commercial bank at the service of industry. So one has to see if that actually happens, but I think the shares were already below EU10,

and that is the red line when Deutsche Bank is danger to go bankrupt.

Anyway, I'm just saying this: We are on the verge of new financial crisis. We are sitting on a volcano. The Vatican's Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith put out a couple of weeks ago a paper where they said that derivatives are a ticking

timebomb and they condemned derivatives trading as morally and economically completely unacceptable, because it just makes the

rich richer and at the losses of everybody else. So, between these warnings – also Thomas Hoenig, the former FDIC vice chairman, Sheila Bair, – there are many people warning of this.

And the Italian developments, as I said, indeed, mean the absolute opportunity to get rid of the excesses of the derivatives trading, go for banking separation, and the more it

is being done in a coordinated fashion, the better, and it must occur really quickly.

SCHLANGER: And Helga, speaking of Germany, the Chancellor of Germany is in China. Any chance that the weakened and beleaguered Angela Merkel will come back with a New Silk Road Spirit?

ZEPP-LAROUICHE: Well – [laughs] I don't think so. I think what she will come back with is, you know, the Chinese, as they did with the Trump administration, they promised more opening up for finance, for cooperation concerning e-cars and similar things, automatic cars; so I think they will come back with some kind of a package. But as long as Merkel has this attitude – she made this statement which is quoted everywhere, that she regards the rise of China as the biggest challenge for the remaining years of her being in the office of Chancellor. Now, she is typical of the people who on the one side naturally see that without China nothing functions any more in the world. But she is also a really hard-core geopolitician in her attitude towards Russia, and she always regards China at the same time as a rival, so it's a mixed situation. I would be very happy if she comes back brimming with the New Silk Road Spirit, but I have my doubts.

SCHLANGER: Now we come to probably the most complex of the situations, which is that in the United States, where it was just announced this afternoon by President Trump that he is cancelling the summit with Kim Jong-un. But this is occurring at a very interesting time, where the whole British role in Russiagate, in the attacks on Trump, is in the open. Why don't we start with that? Because this is something that we have been fighting for: We're going back to the dossier that we put out on Robert Mueller, going back to the investigations that we launched,

and

we insisted that you have to look at not just Christopher Steele

as an isolated case, but as a British-directed assault against the United States: This is moving fairly quickly, isn't it, Helga?

ZEPP-LAROUICHE: Yes. As a matter of fact, if you look at the recent tweets by President Trump, they are quite to the point, namely that he said the "Russiagate" turned into "Spygate," that there was absolutely no proof of a collusion with

Russia, but that all the people involved in the coup, basically,

that they created a spiderweb of collusion between the heads of

the intelligence agencies of the Obama administration with British intelligence, and that there was ongoing effort, even before any investigation officially started, by British intelligence figures to connect with all kinds of persons in the

Trump election team, to try to somehow involve them in some kind

of a connection with some Russians. And all of this is coming out now.

So there was long before the Trump election victory, or even the nomination, the clear effort by British intelligence to lay

leads, to create paper trails to manufacture and orchestrate the

situation, whereby the so-called "collusion" with Russia was supposed to be hung on the Trump campaign, and Trump himself. And this is all now coming out.

This is now subject to public discussions, for example, on Monday, President Trump met with several intelligence heads – I

think it was [FBI head] Wray and Rosenstein from the

Department

of Justice in the White House. And today, as a follow-up of that, Chief of Staff John Kelly is meeting with the CIA, the FBI,

the Department of Justice, together with congressmen – for example, Congressman Nunes, Senator Grassley – and they're now having access to all the document, including the memorandum of Mueller, the memo defining the scope of Mueller's investigation.

This will all now be made available to the investigative committees in the Congress. And obviously, this is all criminal

violations of law and the Constitution, so this is big!

I think Trump may absolutely be right when he says that this may become the biggest scandal in the history of America. And what is now clear, is that there was a task force involving an institutional group of people, who orchestrated all of this, in

an election campaign, and Trump said, what was done against Bernie Sanders also was done on a much larger scale against him.

When all of this comes out, I think the world will really be a different place, and I think if President Trump is freed of this spiderweb, I think you will see, he will be in a much better

position to carry through with his intentions than you have seen

it so far.

SCHLANGER: What you're describing is technically called "entrapment," that the FBI – or, actually, John Brennan, Clapper, and then bringing Comey in later, were involved in created Russiagate as a collusion with the British intelligence

services, the GCHQ, which is signals intelligence; the MI6, MI5.

The key people that are being named now, like Stefan Halper,

Mifsud, Downer, the current Australian High Commissioner to Great Britain – all of them are tied to MI6, MI5, private agencies. This was brought up by Sen. Rand Paul at the hearings, when he asked Gina Haspel whether or not the CIA was involved in getting evidence from Britain. So, a lot of this is coming out. To what extent, Helga, is this then connected to the financial crisis? Make the connection for people, because there's still some confusion about how the financial crisis is then intersecting this operation against Trump because of Trump's willingness to work with Russia and China. I think it'd be very important for you to give people an understanding of that.

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: You know, the big question is indeed the fact that China is rising and that China has a strategic partnership with Russia and that the New Silk Road is now involving 140 nations. And, as many statistics have proven, the New Silk Road dynamic is creating already a completely new paradigm: You have economic growth, you have a dynamic in science and technology, innovation. So the real momentum in many, many fields is with these alignments among Russia, China, now India, Japan, the Shanghai Cooperation Organization, the BRICS, the China-Latin America CELAC connection; the Chinese investments in Africa, so this has created a completely different dynamic in the world. And then you look at the condition of Wall Street, the condition in the City of London, and the absolute turmoil in the European Union, so the geopoliticians, basically, they see the rise of China and they are absolutely desperate to keep their model. But they're incapable of recognizing what are the

causes,
and therefore, they will not be able to correct their policies.

As I said earlier, the attacks on Italy right now, they completely fail to even ask the question, why is it that the two

euro-critic parties had the best results? It is the same reason,

and I want to repeat this – it is the same reason, why the Brexit occurred, why the people in the Midwest voted for Trump and against Hillary Clinton; and there is an absolute, hysterical

effort by the people who have made their gigantic, virtual fortunes – sometimes it's not so virtual, but sometimes it's, indeed, just virtual fortunes – with this highly speculative system, the neo-liberal system connected with wars based on lies,

with so-called “humanitarian interventions,” regime change, color

revolution. That whole model right now, is really what is not functioning any more.

President Trump won the election because he promised that he would not do these foreign wars any more, and you can see that there are all the time efforts by neo-con elements in his administration to lure him back. And therefore, I think also the

cancellation or postponement of the summit with Kim Jong-un is really unfortunate, because it would have been really better to

make one, clear step for peace, and obviously there were also difficulties, in terms of what are the procedures for the denuclearization. But, Kim Jong-un, he released the American hostages. Today the international press was invited to see the

destruction of the nuclear test site. So there are clearly signs

of goodwill, and therefore, I think it's very regrettable that

this meeting was postponed. But hopefully it will come back on the agenda.

But the connection is really the fight between the old paradigm, which is not functioning, and the New Paradigm, which is focussed on the common good of the people on economic growth.

And just as an additional element, China just completely abandoned their two-children policy, by saying that there involved a change in the view about population: That in the past,

when they adopted the one-child, and then two-child policy, thought that additional children are a burden in an economy with

limited resources; but that now, they have changed their view and

they see each new child, especially every young person, as a tremendous asset of creative power, of additional richness of the entire society.

So, look at the difference in the values, and then you can really see that this is a fundamental fight for, can mankind govern itself in a reasonable way? And the danger of a financial

collapse hangs over the world, at least concerning the trans-Atlantic part very much. So I think, LaRouche's Four Laws

are the absolute urgent question of the hour.

SCHLANGER: It's important to see, also, in terms of these two paradigms, you look at what just happened near by old hometown of Houston, Texas, where, in Santa Fe high school you had another one of these mass shootings. These are almost commonplace in the United States right now; whereas in China, you

have this total emphasis on education, on science.

This goes back to one of the fundamental economic

breakthroughs of your husband, this concept of potential relative population density. And of course, as opposed to what people like Prince Philip, the genocidal Consort of Queen Elizabeth (if he's still breathing), has been committed to his whole life. Helga, in this sense, I assume you see this change in China as an absolutely significant recognition of, again, the difference between the two paradigms, but also your husband's view of this concept of potential relative population density.

ZEPP-LAROUICHE: Yes. The Chinese have clearly totally changed, starting with Deng Xiaoping and his reforms after the Cultural Revolution; but especially in the last five, six years with the leadership of Xi Jinping, there has been an absolute understanding about the fundamental issues of life – I mean, he has given the task to the Chinese scientists to find out how the human mind works, what is the origin and importance of life in the universe; what are the laws of the universe. And he has encouraged especially an emphasis on innovation, on creativity in the education as the source of wealth. And when they now see the connection between qualitative advances in the knowledge about physical laws and the ability to have more people, and more people, again, leading to more creativity, I think they are absolutely on the right track.

SCHLANGER: Well, we didn't have a whole lot of time to talk before the program, so I want to make sure if there's something else that you wanted to bring up, you have a chance. Is there anything else on your radar screen?

ZEPP-LAROUICHE: Yes: there is actually a petition on the

website of the White House. This was initiated by the President of the American University in Moscow, Professor Edward Lozansky, and Jim Jatras, and they call for an early summit between President Trump and President Putin, by making essentially the same point that we have been making for the last several months, that, given the fact that President Trump is still so much up against neo-cons in the Republican Party, he's really done a remarkable job under the circumstances, where you have the entire intelligence apparatus not only of what they call the "deep state," which is really an incorrect characterization, because the role of British intelligence {is} absolutely crucial to understand what makes this Empire tick. So, in order to cut through that, and given the fact that the entire Russiagate operation was aimed to prevent a good relationship between Russia and the United States, which Trump all the time said would be a "good thing and not a bad thing," and he tries to do it; so the way to cut through this whole thing would be to have this summit, which they talked about – Trump and Putin on the telephone a couple of weeks ago, and do this as quickly as possible.

[<https://petitions.whitehouse.gov/petition/president-donald-trump>

-should-hold-early-summit-russian-president-vladimir-Putin]

So there is this petition, and I would call all of you who are listening, or watching, to sign this petition that such an early summit would take place. Because I think it is an absolutely important initiative, and if this petition has more than 100,000 by June 30, then the White House will have to respond to it, and will respond.

Otherwise, naturally, there are many, many things, and I would again invite you, join us, join the Schiller Institute.

Make sure this webcast becomes more known and is being spread, because we are in an urgent need for a political discourse: Where should mankind go? And how can we organize the world so that it's safe and beautiful for everybody to live in?

SCHLANGER: OK, that's good advice, and I'll just second that: Joining the Schiller Institute is absolutely crucial expression of your own human sentiments. Many of our listeners have joined, but we want to have a real membership drive, and expanding this webcast is one way to do it. So, I would urge everyone to think, over the next days, of what you can do to make sure this movement succeeds and advances, that the New Silk Road Spirit can be brought into every household throughout the Atlantic region, which otherwise is left with nothing but collapse and depression. So, Helga, thanks for joining us, and we'll be back next week.

ZEPP-LAROUICHE: Yes, good-bye.

Privatlivspolitik for Schiller Instituttet i Danmark

28. maj 2018

Vi behandler personoplysninger og skal overholde lovgivningen og principperne for god databehandlingskik. Derfor har vi

vedtaget denne privatlivspolitik, der kort fortæller, hvordan vi behandler personoplysninger, der sikrer en lovlig og gennemsigtig behandling.

Vi behandler udelukkende personoplysninger til klare og saglige formål og ud fra foreningens legitime interesser. Vi behandler kun personoplysninger, der er relevante og nødvendige for at opfylde de angivne formål, og vi sletter dine oplysninger, når de ikke længere er nødvendige – eller når du beder os om det.

Vi er ansvarlige for behandling af dine personoplysninger Schiller Instituttet er dataansvarlig, og vi sikrer, at dine personoplysninger behandles i overensstemmelse med gældende lovgivning.

Du kan altid kontakte foreningens data-kontaktperson, som er:

Kontaktperson: Michelle Rasmussen

Adresse: c/o Schiller Instituttet, Sankt Knuds Vej 11, kld. t.v., 1903 Frederiksberg

CVR:31725828

Telefonnr.: 53 57 00 51; 35 43 00 33

Mail: si@schillerinstitut.dk

Hjemmeside: www.schillerinstitut.dk

Behandling af personoplysninger

Vi behandler følgende personoplysninger:

1. Medlemsoplysninger:

Almindelige personoplysninger: Fx kontaktoplysninger som navn, adresse, indmeldelsesdato, telefonnummer, mailadresse

2. Oplysninger om frivillige:

Almindelige personoplysninger: Fx kontaktoplysninger som adresser, telefonnummer og mailadresser

Foreningens formål med behandling af dine personoplysninger

Vi behandler dine personoplysninger til bestemte formål, når vi har en lovlig grund (hjemmel).

Lovlige grunde til at behandle oplysninger om dig er:

At vi som forening har en (legitim) interesse i at behandle

dine oplysninger

At det er nødvendigt for, at vi kan opfylde en aftale med dig

At loven stiller krav om, at vi behandler dine oplysninger

At du har givet samtykke til, at vi kan behandle dine oplysninger

Formålene med at behandle oplysninger om foreningens medlemmer er:

At behandle medlemsoplysninger – herunder at opkræve kontingent og sende nyhedsbrev

At vi kan drive foreningens aktiviteter, herunder planlægge, gennemføre og følge op på dem

At opfylde lovgivning, herunder krav i serviceloven

At kunne levere varer og ydelser du har bestilt

At administrere din relation til foreningen

Formålene med at behandle oplysninger om foreningens frivillige er:

At vi kan koordinere og håndtere frivilliges opgaver i foreningen

At vi har overblik over og kan udvikle frivilliges erfaringer og kompetencer, herunder give tilbud om fx kurser og kompetenceudvikling

At kunne udbetale transport og rejsegodtgørelser, refusion og lignende

At administrere din relation til foreningen

Vi behandler kun personoplysninger ud fra legitime interesser

I det omfang vi behandler dine medlemsoplysninger, sker det alene på baggrund af foreningens berettigede og legitime interesser som er:

At håndtere dine medlemsrettigheder (fx på generalforsamlingen) i henhold til foreningens vedtægter

At du kan opfylde dine forpligtelser som medlem af foreningen, herunder betale kontingent mv.

At kunne planlægge og afholde sociale arrangementer og aktiviteter

At kunne vise situationsbilleder fra konkret aktivitet eller

arrangement i foreningen

At bevare oplysninger med historisk værdi til statistik og lignende

Samtykke

Når vi behandler oplysninger om dig, sker det ofte på et andet lovligt grundlag end dit samtykke. Vi indhenter derfor kun dit samtykke, når det i sjældne tilfælde er nødvendigt for at behandle dine personoplysninger til de formål, der er beskrevet ovenfor.

Hvis vi indhenter dit samtykke, er det frivilligt, om du vil give samtykke, og du kan til enhver tid trække det tilbage ved at give os besked om det.

Når vi indhenter personoplysninger om børn og unge under 15 år, foretager vi en vurdering af, om barnet selv er i stand til at afgive de pågældende personoplysninger. Hvis ikke, indhenter vi samtykke fra en forælder.

Indsamler vi personoplysninger på børn via informationstjenester (apps og sociale medier), kan børn fra og med de er fyldt 13 år selv afgive samtykke.

Videregivelse af dine personoplysninger

Vi videregiver ikke oplysninger om dig til andre uden for foreningen – fx til brug for markedsføring – uden dit samtykke.

Opbevaring og sletning af dine personoplysninger

Vi har forskellige behandlingsformål og opbevaringsperioder alt efter, om vi behandler dine personoplysninger som medlem af foreningen, som frivillig eller som bruger:

Medlemmer: Vi sletter oplysninger om dig når du bede om det, eller når det ikke længere er relevant for foreningens arbejde.

Frivillige: Vi sletter oplysninger om dig, når du bede om det, eller når det ikke længere er relevant for foreningens arbejde.

Vi opbevarer oplysninger (i anonymiseret form) på såvel medlemmer, frivillige og brugere til statistik og lignende, så længe de har historisk værdi.

Dine rettigheder

Når vi behandler dine oplysninger, har du iflg. persondataforordningen en række rettigheder:

Du har ret til at blive oplyst om, at vi behandler oplysninger om dig – det er det vi oplyser dig om i denne privatlivspolitik (som forening har vi oplysningspligt)

Du har ret til at få indsigt i de oplysninger vi behandler om dig (indsigtsret)

Du har ret til at få urigtige oplysninger om dig berigtiget (retten til berigtigelse)

Du har ret til at få oplysninger om dig slettet (retten til at blive glemt)

Du har ret til at gøre indsigelse mod, at dine oplysninger anvendes til markedsføring

Du har ret til at flytte dine oplysninger – dvs. at vi skal udlevere de oplysninger vi har om dig i et almindeligt anvendt format (retten til dataportabilitet)

Du kan gøre brug af dine rettigheder, herunder gøre indsigelse mod vores behandling, ved at henvende dig til os. Du finder kontaktoplysninger øverst her i privatlivspolitikken.

Henvender du dig med en anmodning om at få rettet eller slettet dine personoplysninger, undersøger vi, om betingelserne er opfyldt, og gennemfører i så fald ændringer eller sletning så hurtigt som muligt.

Du kan indgive klage til tilsynsmyndighederne – Datatilsynet – over behandling af dine personoplysninger.

Revision af privatlivspolitikken

Vi forbeholder os ret til at ændre foreningens privatlivspolitik. Når vi ændrer privatlivspolitikken ændrer vi også datoen øverst i dette dokument. Ved væsentlige ændringer vil du modtage meddelelse herom.

Den til enhver tid gældende privatlivspolitik er tilgængelig på vores hjemmeside.

**Lørdag 2. juni holder
Schiller Instituttet
møde om det Nye Paradigme ved
gæstetalere fra USA Jason
Ross,
i Studenterhuset i København.
Mødet er primært for unge
mennesker**

Jason Ross vil præsentere Schiller Instituttets vision for, hvordan verdens lande sammen kan overvinde det gamle, geopolitiske paradigme, bedst repræsenteret ved Det Britiske Imperium, og indlede en ny æra for menneskeheden. Med konkret afsæt i, hvordan vi kan løfte det afrikanske kontinent op til dets retmæssige plads i et fællesskab blandt ligeværdige nationer, vil der i den efterfølgende diskussion være rig mulighed for at overveje, hvordan vi kan nytænke fremtiden for hele menneskeheden, når vi ikke længere er bundet af idéerne om grænser for vækst, begrænsede resurser og opdigtede fjendebilleder.

Der er begrænsede antal pladser, og mødet afholdes primært for unge mennesker. Gratis adgang.

Tid: Lørdag 2. juni kl. 15-18.

Sted: Studenterhuset, Købmagergade 52, 1150 København.

Annoncering af koncert: En musikalsk dialog mellem kulturer: Torsdag, 28. juni 2018

Tid: kl. 19

Sted: Russisk Center for Videnskab og Kultur, Vester Voldgade 11 (ved Københavns Rådhus)

Gratis adgang.

Schiller Instituttet, Russisk-Dansk Dialog, Det Russiske Hus og Det Kinesiske Kulturcenter præsenterer vores anden koncert for fremme af forståelse mellem kulturer. Der vil være en skøn dialog mellem klassisk europæisk musik og traditionel musik fra Kina, Rusland og andre steder.

En hovedattraktion vil være The National Folk Music Troupe of the Heilongjiang Song and Dance Theater Folk Orchestra, et ensemble af fire kinesiske musikere, der spiller traditionelle instrumenter, og som kommer direkte fra Kina specielt for vores koncert!

Hele koncertprogrammet kommer senere på Schiller Instituttets hjemmeside: www.schillerinstitut.dk

Sidste års koncert var en bragende succes, og vi forventer, at dette års koncert bliver lige så vellykket. Kom og nyd musik fra hele verden, og tage gerne venner og bekendte med.

Koncerten fra 2017 kan høres her.

Spy-gate slog Russia-gate ud; Nu gælder det et nyt økonomisk system

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 23. maj, 2018 – 'Spy Gate' – afsløringen af briternes beskidte operationer mod de amerikanske valg og præsidentskabet – er nu i færd med at slå Russiagate, der selv var en forræderisk løgnehistorie fra de selv samme netværks side, ud. Præsident Donald Trump bemærkede dette i mange tweets i går og i dag og sagde, »Se engang, hvordan bøtten er vendt mod den Kriminelle Deep State. De angriber et falsk 'aftalt spil' med Rusland, et fabrikeret fupnummer, og ender med selv at blive taget i en stor udspioneringsskandale af et omfang, hvis lige, dette land formentlig aldrig før har set! Det, man giver ud, kommer tilbage i samme mål!« Her til morgen tweetede han, »SPYGATE kunne gå hen og blive en af historiens største skandaler!« Der fremkommer nu detaljer, inklusive om forbrydelser, begået af en specialstyrke fra flere tjenester og institutioner (FBI, Finansministeriet, CIA samt andre), og som startede i begyndelsen af 2016 – længe før der var noget »officielt« mandat til en efterforskning af Rusland og Trump, og tæt knyttet til britiske spionnetværk.

Vi befinder os nu på et tidspunkt, hvor muligheden for et nyt, økonomisk system, der skrider frem inden for rammerne af Bælte & Vej Initiativet, er til stede, og som længe har været forsinket i det transatlantiske område. Tiden er ikke til at stå på sidelinjen og se til, hvordan Trump fører en kamp, og

heller ikke til at håbe-og-tro, at nogle enkeltstående medlemmer af Kongressen vil gøre det rette. Det er derimod et opråb til os borgere om at mobilisere.

Potentialet for at blive hvervet til kampen for et nyt system demonstreres i Italien, hvor borgere den 4. marts stemte imod EU's anti-nationalstatspolitikker. Det italienske valgresultat var en fortsættelse af opstanden imod Wall Streets/City of Londons økonomiske og geopolitiske morderpraksisser, som man så det med Brexit-afstemningen i 2016, med Trumps valgsejr i 2016, valget i Østrig i 2017 samt med andre manifestationer. Denne oprørsproces er ikke stoppet, men den må føre til noget. Det er vores ansvar, med LaRouches Fire centrale love og med sandhedens optimisme.

Fjenden er fuldstændig sindsforstyrret over den blotte tanke om, at der sammensættes en italiensk regering, der er favorabel over for nogen af forskrifterne i LaRouches Fire Love. De to partier, Femstjernebevægelsen (M5S) og Lega, har officielt udtalt sig til fordel for en Glass/Steagall-bankreorganisering og for en kreditinstitution. Den italienske præsident Sergio Mattarella forventes i morgen at meddele sin beslutning om en mulig regering bestående af M5S/Lega. Modstandere er hysteriske. Tysklands næststørste Tv-kanal sagde, at Bruxelles må håndtere Italien ligesom en vild hest: Giv den et gag-bid på, og tøjlen den. Tysklands CDU-seniormedlem af Europaparlamentet, Elmar Brok, fremlægger rædselsscenerier: »Den italienske økonomi vil kollapse. De italienske banker vil kollapse.« Bemærk, at Brok støttede det britisk/Obama-orkestrerede, nazistiske Maidan-kup i 2014 mod Ukraine, efter at denne nations regering valgte ikke at alliere sig med EU.

Samtidig er det økonomiske kasinosystem, som disse hysterikere støtter, i færd med at eksplodere. Det kan Italien ikke beskyldes for. For eksempel er boblerne for global, hidsig pengespekulation bristet i Argentina og andre steder. Den argentinske peso er dykket mere end 30 % mod den amerikanske dollar siden årets begyndelse; valutaer falder i Tyrkiet,

Brasilien, Mexico og andre steder. Om tre uger forfalder i Argentina anden runde af \$30 mia. Lebac's (Obligationer i pesos udstedt af den argentinske centralbank), efter at vilde nødløsninger 15. maj blev brugt til at forlænge den første portion i år på \$30 mia.

Helga Zepp-LaRouche opsummerede i dag, at »Italiens-angrebene viser den yderste arrogance og uvillighed til at overveje, hvorfor alt dette sker ...« Men, sagde hun, »Erinyerne er i arbejde!« Eller, som Trump sagde om Russiagate, der nu forvandler sig til Spygate, »Det, man giver ud, kommer tilbage i samme mål«. Tiden er inde til at mobilisere.

Foto: Tidligere direktør for FBI James Comey, tidligere direktør for National Intelligence James Clapper og tidligere direktør for CIA John Brennan. (Photo by: Staff Sgt. Jonathan Lovelady)

Tysk hysteri over Italien: Enden på euroen er nær!

23. maj, 2018 – Den store mulighed for en ny, »statsgælds-skabende« italiensk regering, sammensat af Lega og Femstjernepartiet, er et »mareridt« for Tyskland, lyder kommentarerne fra sidstnævntes mainstream-medier; i særdeleshed, hvis den nye, italienske finansminister skulle gå hen og blive Paolo Savona, manden, der siger, at »euroen er et tysk fængsel«, er enden på eurozonen nær, advarer medier. En italiensk folkeafstemning om euroen kunne også blive afholdt – tilstrækkelig grund til, at mange bliver hysteriske. *Bildzeitung* tilføjer endnu en rædsel til sit portræt af den nye regering: Legas partiformand Matteo Salvini er en »Putin-

fan«.

Direktør for IFO (det tyske erhvervstillidsindeks) Clemens Füst, der også er økonomisk chefrådgiver til den tyske regering, sagde, at eurosystemets fremtid nu er alvorligt truet og opfordrer indtrængende finansmarkederne til at kalde italienerne til orden. Vicepræsidenten for det tyske industrikammer Achim Dercks udtrykte sin absolut største bekymring over udsigterne til politikker, som kommer fra den nye italienske regering. Europaparlamentets CDU-seniormedlem Elmar Brok fremlægger allerede rædselsscenerier: »Den italienske økonomi vil kollapse. De italienske banker vil kollapse. Mange italienere vil dernæst forsøge at flytte deres indeståender til andre lande, for at beskytte dem fra kaos.« Brok advarer imod nogen som helst solidaritet med den nye, italienske regering; en hård holdning kræves: »Der er tegn på storm.« Tja, husk på, at Brok var blandt dem, der i februar 2014 støttede Maidan-stormtropperne mod den valgte præsident, Viktor Janukovitj – tænker Brok på en finanskrig for også at fremtvinge et regimeskifte i Rom?

Daniel Caspary, formand for CDU-CSU-gruppen i Europaparlamentet, opfordrede til en finanskrig mod Italien, ifald den nye regering holder fast ved sine planer: »Om nødvendigt vil finansmarkederne skubbe dem tilbage på dydens smalle sti.« Dette skal ses sammen med en forventning om, at den nye, udpegede premierminister Conte er en politisk letvægter mod de to sværvægttere, Macron og Merkel. Finanskrig mod italienske banker foregår allerede: Intesa San Paolo tabte 12 % i aktieværdi på markedet, og Unicredit 7,5 %.

Nogle eksperter, som f. eks. ved Brueghel-instituttet i Bruxelles, advarer imidlertid om, at, hvis EU og Eurozonen strammer tommeskruerne for hårdt, kunne italienerne blive endnu mere oprørske, og desuden kunne krisen sprede sig ind i Spanien og andre sydeuropæiske lande og skabe en situation, som ikke længere kunne håndteres.

Mulig ny italiensk regering kunne forandre Europa

22. maj, 2018 – Hvis den nye italienske regering, dannet mellem de populistiske partier M5S (Femstjernebevægelsen) og Lega, bliver til virkelighed, kunne det vælte EU's hølæs. Selv om dens anti-EU-holdninger er blevet udvandet pga. pres fra Bruxelles, formidlet gennem den italienske republiks præsident Sergio Mattarella, så kræver »Kontrakten for en regering for forandring«, underskrevet af lederne af M5S og Lega, hhv. Luigi Di Maio og Matteo Salvini, forandringer i udenrigspolitik og den økonomiske politik som, dersom de gennemføres, ville bryde EU's geopolitiske intriger og EU's nedskæringspolitik.

Kontrakten karakteriserer Rusland som en potentielt mere relevant økonomisk og kommerciel partner og kræver en ophævelse af sanktionerne. Med hensyn til Bruxelles, så foreslår den at eftergå EU's jurisdiktioner ved at tilbagelevere til medlemslandene de jurisdiktioner, der ikke på effektiv vis kan styres på unionsplan.

På den økonomiske side anbefaler kontrakten budgetfleksibilitet og en national investeringsbank; og sidst, men bestemt ikke mindst, bankopdeling: »Vi må gå over til et system, i hvilket detail-kreditbanken (dvs. alm. kommerciel bankvirksomhed, der servicerer den almene offentlighed, -red.) og investeringsbankvirksomhed er adskilt, både med hensyn til den form for aktiviteter, de bedriver, og ligeledes med hensyn til supervision.«

Dette er første gang, at bankopdeling (Glass/Steagall-loven) har været en del af et regeringsprogram. Både Lega og M5S

havde faktisk Glass-Steagall i deres valgprogrammer, og så mange som 135 valgte repræsentanter, både på nationalt og lokalt plan, mest Lega-medlemmer, men også et par M5S-repræsentanter, har underskrevet en appel for Glass-Steagall, som i 2017 blev lanceret af den italienske LaRouche-bevægelse, Movisol.

En gennemførelse af Glass-Steagall ville være den enkeltstående regeringshandling, der kan gøre det af med det globale finanskasino, som kannibalisierer realøkonomien. Men for at Italien som et EU-medlem skulle kunne gøre dette, betyder det, at landet er nødt til at overtræde EU-lovgivning, som fastslår »universalbanken« som den eneste model, der kan få koncessionsbevilling. Det ville svare til at udtræde af EU eller fremtvinge en ændring af EU's traktat.

På grund af dette, og pga. den russiskvenlige udenrigspolitik, har EU-regeringsfolk og »eksperter« responderet med trusler. Den 20. maj udtalte den franske økonomiminister Bruno Le Maire, at, hvis forpligtelserne med hensyn til statsgæld, budgetunderskud og bankkonsolidering ikke opretholdes af Italien, er hele Eurozonens stabilitet truet. Dette fulgtes op af EU-parlamentets Europæiske Folkepartis (Kristendemokrater) gruppeleder Manfred Weber, der sagde, at italienske populistler leger med ilden med Italiens statsgæld. Den kendte tyske økonom Hans-Werner Sinn citeredes for at sige, »Dette vil føre til den Europæiske Unions ødelæggelse og bringe AfD [højrefløjspartiet Alternativ for Tyskland] til magten i Tyskland.«

Alberto Bagnai, senator i sit første år, og som er en progressiv økonom, der sluttede sig til Lega med det formål at gennemføre sin pro-uafhængighedskamp, forklarede i et radiointerview: »Vi ønsker ikke at føre krig mod nogen, hverken ECB eller Europa. Vi ønsker simpelt hen at sætte vort land i en sådan tilstand, at det kan rejse sig økonomisk og udtrykke sit potentiale.«

Foto: Lederne af de italienske partier M5S og Lega, hhv. di Maio (venstre) og Salvini, der har underskrevet en regeringskontrakt.

**Meddelelse: Tors. 24. maj
2018 kl. 18:**

**»Bøtten er vendt: Med
afsløringen af det virkelige
'aftalte spil'**

**– vil Obamas administration
slutte sig til ledende briter
på anklagebænken?«**

**Schiller Institut Webcast med
Helga Zepp-LaRouche**

newparadigm.schillerinstitute.com

I løbet af weekenden bøjede USA's vicejustitsminister Rod Rosenstein sig for presset og udpegede Justitsministeriets generalinspektør til at efterforske, om FBI og Justitsministeriet (DOJ) infiltrerede eller overvågede Trump-kampagnen under valget i 2016. Rosenstein tilføjede, at, hvis en efterforskning afgør, at dette skete, ville de »skride til passende handling«. Nye afsløringer peger ikke alene den rolle, som er blevet spillet af FBI/DOJ-korruption og -

forbrydelser, men også på overtrædelser fra CIA's side, og især fra John Brennans side, i koordinering af en operation mod Trump-kampagnen sammen med en udenlandsk magt – Det britiske Imperium, gennem dettes efterretningsgrene, GCHQ og MI6.

De britiske netværk og Obamas netværk bag Russiagate opererede på vegne af et globalt bank-/finanskartel, centreret omkring City of London og Wall Street. I takt med, at dets beskidte tricks med at køre et regimeskifte-kup mod præsident Trump i stigende grad afsløres, bliver det ligeledes åbenbart, at dets globale spekulationskasino har direkte kurs mod et spektakulært kollaps. De tyer nu til deres gamle beredskabsstyrker – ved at bruge kup, stedfortræderkrige, terrorisme, sanktioner og afpresning – til at forsøge at bluffe sig igennem.

Men denne gang er tingene anderledes, med det Nye Paradigme, der vil erstatte den finansielle elites og dens geopolitikers gamle paradigme, og som vinder i styrke. Mandag sagde Schiller Instituttets stifter Helga Zepp-LaRouche, der har anført kampen for dette Nye Paradigme, at, for at stoppe kuppet i USA, er det nødvendigt at gennemføre Lyndon LaRouches Fire Nye Love (til nationens – og verdens – redning) og at mobilisere for, at USA og andre, inkl. Tyskland, tilslutter sig den Nye Silkevej. »Denne krig kan vindes«, lød hendes ord. »Vi er nået langt, og der er flere kampe, der skal udkæmpes; men historien vil blive formet af Lyndon H. LaRouches ideer.«

Fr. LaRouche vil stå i spidsen for denne kamp med sin ugentlige webcast, kommende torsdag, 24. maj. Lyt med, og bliv en del af den bevægelse, der vil forme fremtiden.

Italien og Glass-Steagall kunne redde Europa – Truslen kommer fra City of London

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 22. maj, 2018 – Den seneste, forfærdelige »russiske trussel« hævdes nu at komme fra de to partier, der har aftalt at danne ny regering i Italien. Drabelige kraftkarle for europæisk stagnation og finansspekulation står i kø for at advare om enden på (deres) verden, hvis Lega- og Femstjernepartiernes koalition ikke bliver forhindret. Det er meningen, vi skal glemme, at disse partier af de italienske vælgere netop blev foretrukket for deres politikker i valgene, der afholdtes i marts måned – ligesom vi i to år har fået at vide, at vi skal glemme, at det var amerikanske vælgere, og ikke russere, der valgte Donald Trump.

Men, dersom den får lov at blive dannet, kan den foreslåede, italienske regering indlede den særdeles forsinkede proces med at redde Europa: fra 10 års stagnation efter krakket, som blev udløst af London- og Wall Street-banker; fra anslag mod dets produktive industrier fra anti-russiske sanktioner; og fra et nyt, værre finanskrak, der truer Amerika og Europa.

Nøglen er at indføre Glass/Steagall-loven, hvilket begge de førende italienske partier ønsker, med det formål at bryde de såkaldte »universelle banker«, som den Europæiske Union har krævet, op, og som absorberer billioner i bailout-penge – statslige bankredninger – fra den Europæiske Centralbank og Federal Reserve, og som intet udlåner til noget som helst, der er produktivt. Den anden nøgle: En statslig nationalbank, der kan udstede kredit til produktive beskæftigelser og ny infrastruktur, helt uden om EU's nedskæringsbegrænsninger af

statslig, produktiv kredit. Disse to politiske tiltag kan, hvis partierne kan holde sig til dem, afværge et nyt finansielt blow-out og atter igangsætte reel, økonomisk vækst.

Begge de store, amerikanske partier har Glass-Steagall i deres programmer til præsidentvalget; præsident Trump krævede det på sine valgturneer. Men hidtil er Wall Street løbet med sejren, og storbankerne er blevet endnu større, mere gældstyngede og med større eksponering til super-spekulative derivatkontrakter. Eksperter som tidligere embedsfolk fra FDIC (Federal Deposit Insurance Corp.) Sheila Bair og Thomas Hoenig er kommet med advarsler om, at rentestigninger på et hidtil uhørt ocean af selskabsgæld har gjort finanssystemet mere farligt og nu klart til at eksplodere.

I Tyskland har den tidligere cheføkonom for Europas farligste kæmpebank, Deutsche Bank, givet et eksplosivt interview om, hvad der ruinerede banken: »Anglo-amerikansk bankpraksis«. Et team af stjernespekulanter fra Merrill Lynch i London og New York overtog Tysklands daværende udlånsbank for 20 år siden og forvandlede den til en gigantisk hedge fund, der tjente enorme profitter hvert år – indtil det blev klart, at profitterne var falske, og banken stort set bankerot.

Hidtil er Wall Street og London gået af med sejren, og prisen har været økonomisk stagnation med massiv pengetrykning fra centralbankerne og endnu et krak lige om hjørnet. I Italien er der en chance for at indlede et omslag af dette, før det er for sent.

I USA har de amerikanere, der forsvare præsidentskabet fra et ubarmhjertigt kupforsøg mod Donald Trump, på den hårde måde lært, at London og britisk efterretning begyndte det, og kører det. Trump-tilhængere er ved at indse, at man ikke kan forsvare præsidentskabet uden at angribe briterne og Londons anti-russiske, anti-kinesiske geopolitikker.

Denne erkendelse er endda nået til Kongressen, i senator Rand

Pauls udtalelser. For at citere en skribents angreb af 21. maj på anti-Trump-udspionering, »Briterne – har aldrig tøvet med at blande sig i vores indenrigspolitik ... Det fandt sted i optakten til begge verdenskrige, og det finder sted i dag. Hvis vi sporer oprindelsen til Russiagate-svindlen og kampagnen for at detronisere Donald J. Trump, så fører alle veje til London«.

Denne svindels gerningsmænd vil ikke, selv ikke, når de er blevet afsløret, opgive den; de må besejres. Det britiske finansimperium, City of London, der stadig dominerer transatlantisk finansaktivitet, må ligeledes besejres.

Konfronteret med et nyt krak, denne gang værre end i 2008, kan Italien blive starten til at redde Europa. Italiens ledende partier foreslår det, som amerikanerne ønskede, da de valgte Donald Trump til præsident. Deres udfordring er den samme: At besejre City of London.

Den Nye Silkevej – den presserende nødvendige modgift mod global krig. LaRouchePAC Internationale Webcast, 18. maj, 2018

Som I ser, så er titlen på aftenens udsendelse, "Den Nye Silkevej – Den presserende nødvendige modgift mod global krig". Dette er noget, Helga Zepp-LaRouche har understreget i løbet af de seneste par uger; at den eneste nøgle til krisen, som vi nu ser, konfronterer os over hele planeten, er omgående

at gennemføre den Nye Silkevej. Initiativet for ét Bælte, én Vej, som Kina har indledt, og som indkapsler "win-win"-paradigmet, som er modgiften mod geopolitikker, der kun kan føre til krig.

Engelsk udskrift:

LaRouche PAC Friday Webcast, May 18, 2018

THE NEW SILK ROAD: THE URGENT ANTIDOTE TO GLOBAL WAR

MATTHEW OGDEN: Good afternoon! It's May 18, 2018. My name is Matthew Ogden, and you're joining us for our Friday evening strategic overview from larouchepac.com. As you can see, the title of our show here today is "The New Silk Road: The Urgent Antidote to Global War". This is something which Helga Zepp-LaRouche has been emphasizing over the last several weeks.

That the only key to the crises that we now see facing us across

the planet is by immediately applying the New Silk Road. The One

Belt, One Road initiative which China has started and which encapsulates the "win-win" paradigm which is the antidote to geopolitics, which can only lead to war.

Now, while there are many very positive developments afoot, in this regard, emphatically the situation on the Korean Peninsula; we are by no means in safe waters. We are less than a

month away from the scheduled President Trump/Kim Jong-un summit,

which will be held in Singapore. But a month is a very long time, and all sorts of mischief can occur between now and then.

We saw a vivid example just this week, which demonstrates that even within President Trump's inner circle, there are individuals

who are still seeking to derail his efforts towards peace.

John

Bolton, one of the leading war-mongers inside this administration, went on the Sunday talk shows this past weekend,

and said the very last thing that Kim Jong-un would want to hear

if you were Kim Jong-un. He said that the model to be used in North Korea for denuclearization is the Libyan model.

Now, we know that in the early 2000s, Libyan leader Muammar Qaddafi voluntarily negotiated an end to his country's covert nuclear weapons program, and other weapons of mass destruction programs in a bid to "come in from the cold" as they say, and join the international community of nations. Well, what did that

get him? It got him a Western-backed insurgency which overthrew

his government and eventually cost him his life in a very brutal

murder that occurred outside of Sirte. That is exactly what Kim

Jong-un is afraid of, and his regime has made very clear that they have viewed their weapons program as the guard, the defense

against that kind of regime-change treatment. It was only through promises that there would be no regime change that the situation has even advanced to this point.

President Trump did come out and contradict John Bolton in a discussion with reporters yesterday, after a meeting between himself and NATO Secretary Stoltenburg. Trump said the Libya model is not what he has in mind for North Korea; although he did

turn around and use the opportunity to threaten Kim Jong-un again

if he doesn't make a deal. Threaten him with Qaddafi treatment

in no less words. But, this is what he said, and we can read into it what we will. What President Trump had to say to

reporters is the following: "Well, the Libyan model isn't a model that we have at all, when we're thinking of North Korea. In Libya, we decimated that country. That country was decimated!

There was no deal to keep Qaddafi. The Libyan model that was mentioned was a much different deal. This would be with Kim Jong-un something where he'd be there; he'd be in his country. He'd be running his country. His country would be very rich; his

people are tremendously industrious. If you look at South Korea,

this would be really a South Korean model in terms of their industry, in terms of what they do. They're hard-working, incredible people.

"But the Libyan model was a much different model. We decimated that country! We went in and decimated him, and we did

the same thing with Iraq. But the model, if you look at that model with Qaddafi, that was a total decimation. We went in there to beat him. Now, that model would take place, if we don't

make a deal, most likely. But if we make a deal, I think Kim Jong-un is going to be very, very happy. I believe, I really believe he's going to be very happy." So, that was President Trump in remarks to reporters yesterday.

Now the framework for creating prosperity on the Korean Peninsula as Trump said, "harnessing the industriousness of the

people of North Korea," and producing something equivalent to the

model of what we've seen in terms of the tremendous economic success in South Korea with their industry. The framework for such an economic miracle in South Korea was actually, in effect,

an application of some aspects of the American System of Alexander Hamilton, translated through the works of Friedrich List and others. That's been discussed elsewhere on this

program, but the framework for applying that sort of economic miracle to North Korea would be the new economic map for the Korean Peninsula. What you see here [Fig. 1] is exactly what Moon Jae-in gave to Kim Jong-un on a thumb drive during their recent meeting in the Demilitarized Zone. As you can see, this model, this economic map for the Korean Peninsula would really be connecting the entire Korean Peninsula into the New Silk Road. It's this sort of H-shaped configuration where you can see North Korea connected on the left side to China; and then on the other side into Russia, connecting North Korea into mainland China in terms of rail development and also other trade routes. But also connecting North Korea into the trans-Siberian railroad in Russia. You would see internal development across the Korean Peninsula, developing the interior of the Korean Peninsula. Then you would see connected down, across the 38th parallel there, into South Korea, on the one hand connected to the Maritime Silk Road with the ports coming off the southern tip of South Korea. Then on the other hand, bringing Japan into the entire mix, which even be an incentive for Japan to develop the long-discussed Japan-Korea tunnel or bridge. So, this kind of configuration is an idea of bringing the entirety of the Korean Peninsula into this New Silk Road. It would connect both Russia and China into this region, and it would act as a bridge. North Korea would have the opportunity to act as a crucial bridge connecting South Korea and Japan into the

rest of Eurasia, and acting as a crucial hub for the New Silk Road. This is something that the LaRouche movement has discussed

for decades, and it's something that has been on the table and now is proving to be the key to actually bringing Kim Jong-un to

the negotiating table and providing the basis for a durable and

sustainable peace in that region.

This is emphatically the model that we need in the so-called Middle East, Southwest Asia. These nations where you seem to have intractable conflict; where there is no solution in terms of

the situation on the ground. You need to have something which comes in in a global context and creates this kind of connectivity in this region, where all the parties have a common

interest in embracing this sort of peace through economic development. This would be bringing the New Silk Road into the

Middle East.

Now what we're seeing in this area of the world is a renewed danger of war, which is set to explode. Not just a regional war,

not just a war between different powers in that region, but one

which would very quickly threaten to become a global war.

Dragging parties across the world into this sort of war, exactly

in the way that it was described in the lead-up to World War I;

where all of the alliances would force parties to sort of sleepwalk into such a global war. The atrocities that were committed on Monday, during the protests that occurred in Gaza,

where dozens of people were killed, and almost 2000 people were

wounded; these atrocities have caused widespread outrage across the world, including here in the United States, notably. A statement was released by 13 US Senators – all of whom are Democrats, including Senator Bernie Sanders, Senator Elizabeth Warren, Senator Diane Feinstein, Senator Ed Markey, and numerous others – calling for urgent action in addressing the crisis in the Gaza Strip. Both the humanitarian crisis, but also the urgent lack of economic development. Here's an image [Fig. 2] of the letter which they sent to Secretary [of State] Pompeo. You can see in this open letter what they say is the following:

“Dear Secretary Pompeo,

“We write to urge the administration to do more to alleviate the ongoing humanitarian crisis in the Gaza Strip. The territory's lack of power, clean water, adequate medical care, and other necessities not only exacerbates the hardships faced by Gaza's population, but redounds to the benefit of extremist groups who use this depravation and despair to incite violence against Israel. The United States should also encourage the easing of restrictions on the movement of people, goods, and equipment in and out of the territory, especially for materials and supplies related to critical infrastructure such as water projects, and health essentials such as medicines and hospital supplies. Multiple parties should also be engaged to insure greater electricity flow into Gaza to meet the territory's desperate need for energy.

“The United States should also put its weight behind proposals to build Gaza's economy through bold initiatives, such as the proposed Gaza sea port. The new port facility could boost Gaza's economy by vastly improving the territory's access to

goods and markets worldwide. The political and security challenges in Gaza are formidable, but support for the basic human rights of its people must not be conditioned on progress on

those fronts. For the sake of Israelis and Palestinians alike,

the United States must act urgently to help relieve the humanitarian crisis in the Gaza Strip.”

So, while that statement may be limited in its particulars, I think it’s very significant that this appeal includes a demand

for economic development as a pathway to alleviating the humanitarian crisis in the Gaza Strip. But also, to creating the

basis for real peace; a peace which would benefit the Israelis and the Palestinians alike.

As Helga LaRouche and Lyndon LaRouche have repeatedly emphasized, nothing can be done locally to secure peace in this

region. But rather, this region must be understood in a global

context; both in a negative sense as a playground geopolitics in

a new Great Game where geopolitical interests have sought to divide this region and to keep it at war against itself; but also

from a positive standpoint, where you understand that peace is only possible through collaboration of the great powers. A great

powers alliance between the United States, Russia – which plays

a very large role in this region with its allies – and also China. China which has the New Silk Road as the key, which would

be the key to developing this region. If these three great powers would be able to collaborate to bring the New Silk Road to

this region, it could be transformed from a crucible for war to a new crossroads of civilization.

Helga Zepp-LaRouche addressed this in detail in her international webcast this week. I would like to play just an excerpt from one of her statements during that webcast, where you

can see that she goes right at the core of the issue. That the

only way you're going to resolve this crisis in Southwest Asia,

is by bringing the New Silk Road Spirit to bear and using the pathway of peace through economic development. So, here's what

Helga Zepp-LaRouche had to say. [Technical difficulties playing

video.] We apologize for that technical error. The gist of what

Helga LaRouche got at in this statement, was that you have an atrocity which was committed, but by no means is this to be seen as

limited to the parties in this region. What you have to understand is that there is a long history in which this region

has been at war. There have been several potentials for peace agreements. Most significantly was Lyndon LaRouche's proposal going back to the 1970s, but very crucially revived in the 1990s

as we reviewed during our show here on Monday afternoon. This was the idea of an Oasis Plan for Peace, where you would have all

the parties in this region would be guaranteed their own security, but also would be guaranteed the benefits of the economic development which this Oasis Plan would provide.

Helga Zepp-LaRouche, during this clip, which unfortunately we're not able to play for you, brought up the way that Mr. LaRouche has always posed the crisis in this region. That you

have to not have a myopic view of this region, but you have to zoom out and see this region in the big picture in terms of the historic crossroads of civilization and the cradle of civilization going back thousands and thousands of years; but also currently as this sort of playground for a new Great Game, where you have British imperial interests and others carving up the region and playing one ethnic group against another in order to ensure that this region does not become a positive cradle for the dialogue between these civilizations and a crossroads between Europe, Asia, and Africa. Lyndon LaRouche delivered a speech at Connecticut State University, which is a state school in Connecticut, in May of 2009, which he titled "Only Dismantling the Empire Can Stop the War Today". Here, you can see, this is the cover [Fig. 3] of the {Executive Intelligence Review} magazine which contained the text of that speech. But let me just read you a few excerpts of what Lyndon LaRouche had to say, and I think you'll see that he gets directly at this question of placing this region in a global context. So, Mr. LaRouche said the following:

"I shall suggest it is an error to talk a Middle East policyâ. Instead of talking about a conflict in the so-called Middle East, we should talk about the Middle East as conflict that is largely globalâ. Because the conflict is not determined by the Israelis or Arabs. It's determined by international

forces which look at this region. How? As a crossover point between the Mediterranean and the Indian Ocean, the relationship of Europe to Asia, the relationship of Europe to East Africa, and so forth.

“Therefore, what you’re seeing is thatâ€¦.

“We get so involved in the issues of the Middle East that we can never solve them. The way we’re playing it, we’ll never solve themâ€¦.

“There is a solution, a solution in principle. And the solution is, end this blasted imperialist system!...

“But don’t believe that’s there’s some solution for the Israeli-Arab conflict per se. There is no solution in that, per

se. That’s why I said at the beginning here: Don’t look at the

history of the Middle East; look at the Middle East in history.

There, you find the solution.

“Because it’s being played! The whole region. It’s being played like a puppetâ€¦.

“So now we’re in a situation where we have to change our monetary system. We could reorganize our monetary system and the

world monetary system. We can cooperate with Russia, with China,

India, and other countriesâ€¦.

“So, how do you do this? Well, we have a system. We call it the American System, defined by Hamilton. We can shift the world economy from being a monetary economy to being a credit system, as specified by Alexander Hamiltonâ€¦. “We go to a

credit system: We can organize credit agreements like treaty agreements with Russia, China, India, and other countriesâ€¦.

“We have to move, therefore, from thinking about conflict among nations and regions, to the alternative to conflict. By finding that which unites us through our common purpose as

independent nations rather than seeking resolution of a conflict we are now enjoying among ourselves. That's the only chance we have. And when you look at the possibilities for this region, like Southwest Asia, the only chance will come {not} from inside Southwest Asia. We will do, and must do, what we can, for that area, to try to stop the bloodshed, the agony, to prevent the war. But we will not succeed until we change the history, change the world in which this region is contained. "And that's my mission. Thank you."

Now, that speech was delivered in 2009, well before Xi Jinping announced the New Silk Road, the One Belt, One Road Initiative. But looking at this idea of changing the world within which this region is situated, that is the attitude that Lyndon LaRouche has always had. That you needed to create a new international system, a system which he discussed there in credit terms, monetary terms. A Hamiltonian credit system, where you can have credit for infrastructure development, credit agreements among sovereign nations. He also discussed it in terms of a revived treaty of Westphalia; where you don't try to resolve conflicts between countries in terms of the conflicts per se. But you resolve these conflicts by saying what do our nations, as sovereign nations, have in common, and what can we do to benefit the other. Helga Zepp-LaRouche took this question up again in her webcast this week, and the following clip I believe should

function. And you'll hear Helga Zepp-LaRouche discuss the specific projects which are necessary to connect this region of

Southwest Asia into the movement for great project development which is now sweeping the globe in the form of the One Belt, One

Road Initiative. So, here's what Helga LaRouche had to say:

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE

: Now, what you need, is, if you have a very complex situation like that – and obviously, the many things which have happened, the terrorisms, many wars – emotions are hurt, people have an incredible accumulated rage: You need something big, and the only way how you could get it, is

if you had all the neighbors, Russia, China, India, Iran, Egypt,

the United States, and hopefully European nations all agreeing that the only way how this can be solved, is, you have to have the extension of the New Silk Road into the region and develop every country as part of one, integrated, industrial infrastructure development program.

There are already the beginnings of that. When President Xi Jinping was three years ago in Iran, he agreed already with President Rouhani at the time, that the New Silk Road would be extended into Iran. You had the Afghanistan President demanding

that the New Silk Road should be applied in Afghanistan. And at

the recent Wuhan meeting of President Xi Jinping and India's Prime Minister Modi, they agreed that China and India would cooperate in bringing the Silk Road into Afghanistan, by building, as a first step, a large train connection between Afghanistan, Tajikistan, Kyrgyzstan, Iran, China, and that way start to connect Afghanistan to the Silk Road.

That same approach must be taken for Iraq, for Syria, for

the situation in Yemen, and naturally Egypt will have to play a very important role as a bridge between Asia and Africa. I think Egypt is absolutely thinking in this direction, already. And however, naturally, these are gigantic projects and they cannot be done by any one country alone; even if China has a special envoy for Syria, they have said they want to play a leading role in the reconstruction of Syria. You have the earlier commitment of Russia to supply energy, of Iran to help in the industrial development. But that needs to be presented as a comprehensive proposal. And I'm sure that there are people in Israel, as well, who will not agree with the present course of Netanyahu – who, by the way, faces his own problems and may look into not such a bright future for his own political career. But there are people in Israel who agree, that you need to come out of this terrible paradigm of the present configuration. And if there would be an agreement, between Trump, Xi Jinping, Putin, and Modi, and then other leaders joining with them, to go in this direction, even this very difficult situation of Southwest Asia could be approached and a solution could be found. But it does require an extraordinary intervention.

OGDEN: So that kind of extraordinary intervention as Helga LaRouche just described there, must come in the form of bringing the Silk Road to bear in this region. On Monday, we featured

an
extensive clip from a LaRouche PAC video which was produced
two
years ago, which was called "Operation Phoenix", which
discussed
how to rebuild Syria, but in a broader context, how to bring
this
entire region of Southwest Asia into the New Silk Road. If we
look at this map [Fig. 4] on the screen here, just as we saw
the
map of the new economic map of the Korean Peninsula, where you
could see North Korea being connected into China, Russia, the
New
Silk Road, and being used as a crucial bridge, a hub in that
New
Silk Road route; now we can see the same thing here in
Southwest
Asia. As you can see outline, is coming in from Eurasia, a
route
of the New Silk Road which could originate in South Korea and
come up through North Korea and plug into three of the main
channels of the New Silk Road across Eurasia. But this one
would
come in and would arrive in Tehran in Iran. You see that
there
would be extensions going both south and north. South to the
Gulf region, and then north up to the northern route going up
to
the Caucuses and ultimately towards Russia, Scandinavia, and
the
Arctic. But then coming out of Tehran to the east, you would
have two different routes. One would be the route which
continue
on through Turkey and then across the straits into Europe.
But
then the other one would go southeast into Iraq, connecting
into

Baghdad; where you would have a connection along the Tigris and Euphrates Rivers down to the Persian Gulf in the southwest. But then proceeding upwards through the devastated regions of Syria which have been liberated, and then to Aleppo, across to the Mediterranean Sea at Latakia; and then a route proceeding southward towards the Red Sea – the famous Med-Red connection – and then into Egypt and then further into the rest of Africa. So, with this image in mind, you can see that this region would be a crucial crossroads of civilization and is the crucial connection between these three great continents – Europe, Asia, and Africa. This is the reason that this region has been subjected to endless wars in this kind of geopolitical Great Game, in order to interrupt the potential for this sort of development. But this development perspective is the only means by which you can resolve these conflicts. Not in the terms of the conflicts themselves per se, but in terms of creating a new zone of mutually beneficial cooperation among all the parties involved. That kind of economic development can take place if you have the sort of great powers arrangement among the four powers – Russia, China, India, and the United States. This is the core of what we continue to campaign for here in the United States. We must defeat this coup against President Trump. We are now one year into what President Trump has characterized as the Mueller witch hunt. Nothing has been found so far in terms of collusion. This attempted to coup to undermine President Trump is not aimed at Trump personally; it is rather aimed at Trump's inclinations towards just such a great

powers relationship. The second pledge in this Campaign to Win

the Future is that the United States should emphatically, wholeheartedly endorse and join China's One Belt, One Road Initiative. This is for both the benefit of the planet, this One

Belt, One Road Initiative as we discuss here, is the key towards

unlocking these conflicts around the globe; but it's also to the

benefit of the United States itself. Extending this kind of great projects development perspective into the United States, with a Hamiltonian principle – what Lyndon LaRouche discusses in

the Four Economic Laws; this is the agenda of LaRouche PAC here

in the United States.

As you can see on the back cover of this pamphlet [Fig. 5], this is the map of the World Land-Bridge. One of the crucial aspects of this map is a new Marshall Plan for a New Silk Road to

rebuild the Middle East. So, this has to continue to be kept first and foremost in view, when we're looking at how to resolve

this crisis and how to prevent just such a regional crisis from

exploding into a global war. As you can see here, LaRouche PAC's

"2018 Campaign to Secure the Future" is available on the LaRouche

PAC website if you visit action.larouchepac.com. We encourage you to become involved; to volunteer; and to help us circulate this pamphlet as widely as we can.

Thank you very much for joining us here on larouchepac.com.

The world is moving very quickly, and we encourage you to stay tuned and to visit larouchepac.com regularly. Thank you for tuning in, and please stay tuned.

Briterne skubber på for krige i Mellemøsten, for at afspore fremvoksende samarbejde mellem de Fire Magter. Helga Zepp-LaRouche i Schiller Institut Webcast, 17. maj, 2018. Engelsk udskrift

Introduktion: I oktober måned, 2009, talte Lyndon LaRouche på Forum for Dialog mellem Civilisationer på øen Rhodos, hvor han fremlagde konceptet om, at en aftale mellem fire, ledende magter – USA, Kina, Rusland og Indien – kan danne grundlaget for at skabe et nyt, globalt kreditsystem til at erstatte det håbløst bankerotte, transatlantiske finanssystem, der på spektakulær vis krakkede det foregående år. I løbet af de seneste år er dette potentiale, under ledelse af Kinas præsident Xi Jinping, begyndt at antage konkret form. Med valget af Donald Trump til præsident i 2016, og som for en stor dels vedkommende skyldtes hans afvisning af hans forgængeres politikker for krig og finansspekulation, sås det, at han var parat til at tage skridt til at bevæge USA til at gå med i denne aftale.

Dette alene forklarer de desperate handlinger imod ham, med

begyndelse i de svindelagtige Russiagate-beskyldninger, som var brygget sammen af britiske efterretningsnetværk og Obamas efterretningsfolk. Det forklarer også indsatsen for en skarpere konfrontation mellem USA og Rusland og Kina, inkl. Spripal-affæren og anklagerne om de kemiske våben i Douma, under falsk flag. De seneste, farlige handlinger fra Israels Netanyahu-regering mod Syrien, Iran, Libanon og palæstinenserne, og den fortsatte folkemorderiske krig, som saudierne fører imod Yemen, er alle en del af den samme deployering for at bruge krig til at stoppe konsolideringen af LaRouches idé om en Firemagtsalliance.

Helga Zepp-LaRouche har sagt, at det eneste, der kan stoppe denne dynamik for de Fire Magter, der har vundet frem omkring Kinas lederskab og Bælte & Vej Initiativet, er krig. Krigsfremstødet, der kommer fra Storbritannien, må nedkæmpes! Hør fr. LaRouche kommende torsdag for den seneste opdatering om denne kamp, og hvad du kan gøre for at sikre, at Imperiet og dets geopolitiske vanvid bringes til en afslutning.

Engelsk udskrift:

British Push Mideast Wars to Derail Emerging Four-Power Cooperation

Schiller Institute New Paradigm Webcast, May 17, 2018
With Helga Zepp-LaRouche

HARLEY SCHLANGER: Hello, I'm Harley Schlanger from the Schiller Institute. Welcome to this week's international webcast, featuring our founder and President Helga Zepp-LaRouche. Over the last weeks Helga has been emphasizing the deployment by British Imperial geopolitical interests out to wreck the promising potential that's emerged in Eurasia, and especially around the recent developments of a

potential peace agreement with North Korea. Helga's repeatedly emphasized that this looks a lot like sleepwalking into World War I and in fact, with the events that just took place in Gaza, in the last couple of days, the massacre there by Israeli soldiers, the threat for the situation to break out of control, obviously, Helga, this looks like this is a potential kind of pre-war kind of deployment to disrupt the emergence of this four power agreement.

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUICHE: Yes, I think what has happened around Gaza in the last days is really a tragedy. Obviously, it coincided with the opening of the U.S. Embassy in Jerusalem, which I think was an unnecessary and provocative thing to do. But the situation in the Gaza is an open-air jail; it's a new Warsaw Ghetto. If you look at what has happened there – OK, I'm not excluding, that there are some violent Hamas elements, who used the fact, that people are generally upset about the conditions. Basically, you have a very tiny area, of the size of the city-state of Bremen in Germany, which is very small, where 2 million people are crowded. They have no money for food, they have only a few hours of electricity, they have no clean water, and no medical supplies, which now, after 61 people were shot and killed, and 2,700 wounded became a real nightmare, because you had all these wounded people who were not treated. So people have been demonstrating, and the Israeli IDF and special snipers shot into the crowd, which was completely

unnecessary. If you want to dissolve a crowd, you can use water throwers, you can use such other means – you don't have to shoot people dead. So, this has inflamed the situation and as I said, after a day of mourning and funerals, now the thing is not stopping. The Israelis are firing airstrikes at installations of the Hamas in Gaza. This could easily lead to an escalation where you have a war between Israel and Hamas, Hezbollah, potentially Iran, and then, from there it goes to a big war. This is a terrible situation. And I should remind people that what is happening in this area, even though it's not in the spotlight of the mass media, when you had the Oslo Agreement, which was in 1993, already at that time, the Palestinians were supposed to get only 25% of the territory of Palestine, and the Israelis would get 75%. But in the meantime, 60% of the so-called West Bank of Jordan has been occupied by settlers, so there's only 40% left, and this is really becoming a very dire situation, and obviously the aim, and several people have said that, the aim is to demoralize the Palestinians in such a way that they give up and just quit, which won't happen. You have a situation, where the Jewish population is becoming quickly a minority and you cannot maintain a rule a hostile population which outnumbers you in such ways: We saw that in other occasions, such as in South Africa, it didn't function, and it will not function here. So even if you don't have an escalation to a big war, you

have Hell! And I have been saying this, and naturally my husband has been saying it for decades: You need economic development. Because if you have a lot of young people in Gaza and elsewhere, who are growing up, being 14, 15, 16, and already at that time have the feeling they have no future, it is a chain of violence, an escalation of violence is pre-programmed. We have been making the point, the whole time, that while you have Christian fundamentalists in the United States who think that an early Middle East war is a good thing – I have heard such people talking like that. The reality is that the Middle East, Southwest Asia, has been the playground of British Imperialism, and at a certain point also French Imperialism, which basically have treated this region as a region for proxy wars for their own geopolitical interests. This was demonstrated in the Sykes-Picot Treaty of 1916, which carved up this region in ways which was the seed for future conflicts. And right now, you can see very clear, the aim is to get a confrontation with Russia – Iran – but, Russia, China, and that way prevent the possibility of a cooperation in a New Paradigm. My husband has said this many times; emphatically he has made speeches about it at international forums, that the only way how you can break this terrible nightmare of violence and horror is by having a Four Power agreement among the United States, Russia, China, and India, and that way, you have enough people and enough military, political, and economic power to end the British Empire and their ability manipulate the situation.

This has to be put on the agenda, because if it's not, the danger is, that this thing spirals out of control, and already now it's a terrible nightmare and a tragedy for the people who are suffering these situations.

SCHLANGER: You mentioned the Sykes-Picot Agreement which was a perfect example of the British geopolitical deployment that

led to World War I, and then the immediate period afterwards where the British were moving in, to try to replace the collapsing Ottoman Empire and establish what the British call the

"Middle East" today, a bridge that they could control between Asia, Africa, and Europe.

These geopoliticians are on the march, they're threatening – in Israel you have threats against Lebanon, Israeli strikes on Iranian positions in Syria. But, Helga, I think the important

thing for people to understand, is you emphasis and your husband's emphasis on a bigger picture agreement, which would be

that of the great powers. None of these small states can maneuver effectively within this. How is this that you could get

an agreement? Isn't this a perfect opportunity for Trump and Putin to get together and sit down and talk about it?

ZEPP-LAROUICHE: Yes. I think that that particular move. They did agree on the telephone to have an early summit. President Trump even invited Putin to come to the White House. And given the extremely difficult factional situation in the United States, and anti-Trump, Russiagate coup attempt, which is

completely falling apart, but it's still not officially acknowledged, and it needs to be gotten through in terms of putting the culprits of this coup in trial instead.

Given these difficult and complex situations, I think that

if this summit between Putin and Trump would take place as quickly as possible, and take all the time needed to discuss and develop flanks to the situation, I think that is the one thing which could cut through all of this and create new options. I think we should all wish, and speak out, that such an early summit would occur.

SCHLANGER: We also see the great potential on the Korean Peninsula, somewhat set back by these comments by Bolton, the national security advisor, comparing North Korea to Libya, which is an unmistakable reference for anyone in North Korea that the threat that, when Qaddafi went along with an agreement to get rid of his nuclear weapons, less than a decade later, Obama, Cameron, Sarkozy and Hillary Clinton went in and destroyed the country. What's your sense of where things stand now, following the statement from North Korea of the cancellation of the North Korea/South Korea summit that was supposed to take place, I think today; what's your sense of where this is heading?

ZEPP-LAROUICHE: I think it is a dangerous phase. It's not yet hopeless, because after this North Korea/South Korea summit was cancelled, the State Department said the United States still assumes that the summit between Trump and Kim Jong-un will take place on June 12 in Singapore. And there were rumors in the Japanese papers that maybe even Xi Jinping would participate in such a summit. So this is not yet off the table. And the Deputy

Foreign Minister of North Korea, whose name is Kim Kye-gwan, he made a very clear distinction between the statements and the line of Pompeo and Trump; and Pompeo was in North Korea came back and reported very respectfully and very positively about Kim Jong-un and Trump clearly has taken up a very respectful tone towards Kim Jong-un as well. However, Bolton – and this Deputy Foreign Minister made this distinction very clearly – Bolton in, I don't know if it was just being unclever or deliberate, I have no way of saying, but to tell the North Koreans that the model of the denuclearization proposal by Kim Jong-un will following the Libya model!—I mean, you cannot say something worse. Because if you remember, Libya, Qaddafi, turned over all of Libya's nuclear weapons and then the result was, he was overthrown and killed, and the country has been in complete chaos ever since, basically ungovernable to the present day. This Deputy Foreign Minister basically said they will never accept such a model, obviously, and that North Korea is proposing something which is not to be taken as a weakness, but it is actually an effort by Kim Jong-un to solve a very untenable, terrible situation, but it's not a sign of weakness. And it cannot be done by unilateral commands from the side of the United States, but it has to occur in a trustful atmosphere of dialogue and cooperation. So, since I think that President Trump is intending to do that, I don't think it's completely in danger, but there clearly is a cloud over the horizon.

And obviously the events in the Middle East also have a peripheral impact, namely the question which is being raised by many people, if the United States can rip apart the nuclear agreement with Iran, which was a negotiated agreement, it took 12 years, many nations were involved, the United Nations approved it, so if you unilaterally get rid of such an agreement, you know, it also puts a question of doubt on the reliability of the United States in general.

All of this means we are really in a very dangerous situation. And, for example, there was just a new poll, where by now, 57 % of all Russians are convinced that the crisis in Syria will lead to a global war. Now, I hope not, but the air is full of worry, about war, and people who are concerned about this, they should help us to mobilize to bring in the alternative: Which is the cooperation among nations for a win-win cooperation overcoming geopolitics. And the potential clearly is there.

I think a lot of good things have happened: The rapprochement between China and Japan; careful steps in this direction between China and India; clearly a good relation between Japan and Russia; Trump clearly has stated his intention to keep, despite all trade issues, a good relationship with "his friend Xi Jinping," as he always calls him; and there is the pending summit between Trump and Putin.

So all the potentials are clearly there, but it is also clear that as the Western financial system is in absolute mortal

danger of a new blowout, the risks to the situation cannot be overstated, and make every intervention in the direction of solving these problems with the Four Laws proposed by my husband,

extremely urgent. So I would call on all of you that you should

get in contact with us, you should become a member of the Schiller Institute, you should help us to put the Four Laws of Lyndon LaRouche on the agenda, because they're not only needed in

the United States, they're equally needed in Europe and other affected by the effects of the trans-Atlantic financial system.

SCHLANGER: This just highlights the difficulty of existing in between two paradigms: On the one side you have the old geopolitical, unilateralist paradigm, which is an imperial paradigm, of war, of proxy wars, of false flags, of terrorism, of

bail-outs, of austerity; and that's being rejected by the world's

population. But we haven't yet seen the full consolidation of the New Paradigm, and that's what the work of the Schiller Institute has been from the beginning, to bring this New Paradigm

into existence.

On that, also the Iran situation is sort of hanging between these two paradigms; it's not clear where that's going. But, Helga, there's been some discussion among Europeans as to whether

or not the agreement can be salvaged. What do you know about that?

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Well, the Iranian Foreign Minister Zarif travelled to China; he travelled to Russia and then to Brussels,

because all of these countries – that, is Russia, China,

Germany

France, and Great Britain, and the EU basically have stated that

they want to try with all possible means to maintain the Iran nuclear agreement, even if the United States pulled out unilaterally. It is not clear if that will function.

Naturally,

the fact that Russia and China are backing it is a very important

point.

However, if the U.S. would impose secondary sanctions on European firms that maintain business with Iran, I don't know what will happen: Because the European Union foreign policy representative Federica Mogherini said that they will pull out some regulations which were voted in, or accepted in the '90s, but they were never used, to protect such firms from sanctions.

Now, I have a hard time to imagine how that will function, given

the fact that international banks are operating internationally,

so if the United States would impose these secondary sanctions,

it could cause absolute havoc in the whole situation.

The Europeans have now said that they demand additional negotiations with Iran, this time not concerning the nuclear program, but concerning the Iranian missile program, which is also something which President Trump had mentioned, and he said

all the time that he would come up with a better deal – well, I

hope that this better deal is a comprehensive solution for the whole region.

We have discussed this many times, but I want to reiterate it: That if you want to solve the problem in the Middle East, or

in Southwest Asia, you have to take into account the security

interests of every country and every single party, and that emphatically includes not only Israel, but it includes Iran, it

includes the Palestinians; it includes every country. And equally important is that you need to have economic development:

You have right now several situations which are turning into a nightmare. One is Yemen. You know, you have {the} largest humanitarian catastrophe of the planet right now taking place in

Yemen. You have the situation in the Gaza Strip. And naturally,

you have all the areas which have been destroyed by these wars:

The situation in Afghanistan remains quite out of control, even

so, there are hopeful signs that this could be turned around.

Now, what you need, is, if you have a very complex situation like that – and obviously, the many things which have happened,

the terrorisms, many wars – emotions are hurt, people have an incredible accumulated rage: You need something big, and the only way how you could get it, is if you had all the neighbors,

Russia, China, India, Iran, Egypt, the United States, and hopefully European nations all agreeing that the only way how this can be solved, is, you have to have the extension of the New

Silk Road into the region and develop every country as part one,

integrated, industrial infrastructure development program.

There are already the beginnings of that. When President Xi Jinping was three years ago in Iran, he agreed already with President Rouhani at the time, that the New Silk Road would be extended into Iran. You had the Afghanistan President demanding

that the New Silk Road should be applied in Afghanistan; and

at

the recent Wuhan meeting of President Xi Jinping and India's Prime Minister Modi, they agreed that China and India would cooperate in bringing the Silk Road into Afghanistan, by building, as a first step, a large train connection between Afghanistan, Tajikistan, Kyrgyzstan, Iran, China, and that way

start to connect Afghanistan to the Silk Road.

That same approach must be taken for Iraq, for Syria, for the situation in Yemen, and naturally Egypt will have to play a

very important role as a bridge between Asia and Africa. I think

Egypt is absolutely thinking in this direction, already. And however, naturally, these are gigantic projects and they cannot

be done by any one country alone; even if China has a special envoy for Syria, they have said they want to play a leading role

in the reconstruction of Syria. You have the earlier commitment

of Russia to supply energy, of Iran to help in the industrial development. But that needs to be presented as a comprehensive proposal.

And I'm sure that there are people in Israel, as well, who will not agree with the present course of Netanyahu – who, by the way, faces his own problems and may look into not such a bright future for his own political career – but there are people in Israel who agree, that you need to come out of this terrible paradigm of the present configuration. And if there would be an agreement, between Trump, Xi Jinping, Putin and Modi,

and then other leaders joining with them, to go in this direction, even this very difficult situation of Southwest Asia

could be approached and a solution could be found. But it

does
require an extraordinary intervention.

SCHLANGER: And just to inform our new viewers, and as well as to remind our regular viewers, we produced that blueprint, the

report that we've done on the New Silk Road coming into Africa and West Asia, and that's available through the Schiller Institute. ["Extending the New Silk Road to West Asia and Africa:

A Vision of an Economic Renaissance"]

[http://newparadigm.schillerinstitute.com/extending-new-silk-ro](http://newparadigm.schillerinstitute.com/extending-new-silk-road-)

[ad-west-asia-africa/](http://newparadigm.schillerinstitute.com/extending-new-silk-road-west-asia-africa/)]

And it is a comprehensive picture of what the Chinese have proposed, and what they're actually already doing, moving the earth, creating jobs, educating people, and doing the job training that's necessary.

As long as we're continuing to review the danger spots, there's one other one that won't go away, and that's the situation in Ukraine, where you had just this week the raid on the offices of RIA Novosti; you have various kinds of threats coming from Poroshenko, and the neo-Nazis in the security agencies in Ukraine.

You also have this very interesting development of a new bridge opening connecting Russia to Crimea, and this being a cause for some wild Ukrainian fascists, calling for blowing up the bridge because this is an attack on Ukrainian independence.

Helga, what's the situation on the ground as far as you can see in Ukraine right now?

ZEPP-LAROUICHE: This raid on the offices of RIA Novosti is very serious, because they arrested the office chief Kirill Vyshinsky; they compared RIA Novosti with Goebbels – I mean, talking about the free press, you can really say that in Ukraine

right now, the situation is quite dire.

Mrs. Merkel, the German Chancellor, will go to Sochi, Russia to meet with Putin and this will be one of the subjects of discussion; naturally, the other crisis spots as well. So, I think if one could somehow put pressure that the Minsk Agreement

is being put back on the table, which right now it is obviously

not, because Kiev is absolutely not cooperating, and you have the

law by Poroshenko to solve the situation in East Ukraine by military means. So this is definitely another extremely dangerous situation.

But, because it is so dangerous, I think more people are waking up to that, and that may be a first step to hopefully prevent something which could easily become World War III.

SCHLANGER: And the Ukraine issue brings up another aspect of Russiagate. I was just doing some review of this in the last

couple of days, and I noticed something that I had forgotten, which is that John Brennan, the former CIA director who is at the

center of much of the operation of Russiagate against Trump, that

Brennan had made a secret trip to Kiev shortly after the overthrow of Yanukovich, and put in motion U.S. support for the

criminal regime that came in. So this new discussion coming up

around Brennan in the Mueller, this is quite interesting what Sen. Rand Paul brought up.

Helga, do you think this adds to the weight against Mueller?

The judges are turning against him, there are exposés of the FBI

and overall corruption. Where is this thing heading? Why hasn't

it been shut down by now?

ZEPP-LAROUICHE: I think it could be shut down quickly, because the latest twist is, I just read an article that Mueller is now suspected of having had collusion with a Russian oligarch, which I'd find a little bit humorous, if the situation weren't so serious.

But I think this letter by Sen. Rand Paul is really important: He wrote a letter to Gina Haspel, the newly nominated head of the CIA, demanding that she should turn over all information the CIA has, as to was there any investigation; did the CIA bug the Presidential candidates in 2016, not just Trump but every other candidate, as well? And did they work, given the fact that the CIA is prohibited by law from surveilling Americans, did they ask other intelligence services from other countries to cooperate with them in doing that? And I think he hinted also to British intelligence directly.

And then, in an interview with NBC, he even went further, and also brought up in this context, the visit by Robert Hannigan, the then-head of GCHQ, the British equivalent of the NSA, to the United States to brief Brennan about all of this. So this is now coming out in the mainstream media that there was such a collusion with British intelligence, and this is really a very good thing, because obviously, this is completely illegal, unconstitutional; it may be even criminal. And the more quickly these things are being followed up, the better. Also Congressman Nunes, the head of the House Intelligence

Committee, basically said that it's now 100% certain that there was absolutely no collusion of the Trump team with Russia. And he said that given the fact that those who pretended that there was such a collusion knew that it did not exist, why was this whole operation instigated in the first place? I think this question must be answered: Because this was a coup attempt against an elected President of the United States, and it has shed light on exactly who are the forces of the Empire – we call it the British Empire, because it is in the continuity of the British Empire – but all the people who have come out quickly against Trump on the side of those who accused, have also shown their true colors. So, if the United States should get back to its constitutional form, there were demands that the entire FBI, and Department of Justice must be cleaned out and reorganized afresh. I think all of this is necessary. And Trump must be freed from this, because this ongoing situation is the only reason why the relationship with Russia, with China, and naturally, in an indirect form in the Middle East, why these situations are so dangerous. If world peace is supposed to be saved, the British coup must be uncovered completely. All the culprits must be held accountable. And then Trump can actually do what he promised he would do – and most of it actually went in a good direction, and even some of the critics have to see that.

However, the one Damocles Sword which is hanging over this is the danger of a financial blowout. And we need to have this debate on not only Glass-Steagall, but go to Hamiltonian economics and apply the Four Laws of my husband, Lyndon LaRouche, as an absolutely urgent matter of priority.

SCHLANGER: And Helga, in conclusion, I'd like to pose something to you, that comes back to this question of Hamiltonian economics, which is, that as all of these war provocations are progressing, as the British are pulling every string that they have, the Chinese are continuing with very bold plans around the New Silk Road. The New Silk Road Spirit, as you called it, is catching around the world. And even the efforts of some who sabotaged the U.S.-China relationship around trade, around tariffs, and things of that sort, seems to be moving in a potentially good direction, with the visit of another team of Chinese officials to Washington. How do you think this can affect the overall situation – the Trump-Xi relationship? Isn't that really one of the keys to breaking through the New Paradigm?

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Yes. If the proposal by Li Keqiang, the Chinese Prime Minister, would be taken up, that the way to balance the trade between the United States and China is not by imposing tariffs, but by increasing trade, by increasing investments in third countries, that way it could be done in a much more elegant way. And there are plenty of opportunities: The United States could join with China in investments in Latin America; in, as I said already, the Middle East; other Asia countries. And there is a new Chinese offer now to India, that

rather than being a rival in African investment, that given the fact that Chinese has a big expertise in building infrastructure, where India is really lagging behind, that they should join efforts, and India should bring in the kinds of things they can do well, and China would provide the large-scale infrastructure without which all of these investments don't function. Now, for the United States, they could also be a part of that. And I think that, to look at the world in a non-geopolitical way – I know that this is almost impossible for some people to imagine, because they are so trained that the world is a zero-sum game, that if China rises, the United States goes under – this is just not the case, the Chinese want to have for the situation. China has made many times the point that they do not want to replace the United States as an unipolar, dominant force, but they want to have a new type of relations among major powers. And that involves dramatically, the idea of joint economic projects in third countries, joint ventures, and re-define entirely how you go about it. If you look at it from a longer arc of history, it is not natural that people solve conflict with weapons or wars. This always what I call the infant diseases of mankind. Like little boys who kick each in the shins when they are four years old, or even seven years old. Eventually, you can become an adult, and you have cherish the creative mind of the other person and

work

together like Max Planck and Einstein; like Schiller and Humboldt; you can have a relationship to other countries where you address the creative potential of the other and that enriches

in turn, your own potential.

I think the future of humanity, which is after all the only species capable of creative reason, of making fundamental discoveries about universal principles of the physical universe,

again and again, and that way develop more knowledge about our planet, the universe in which we are living, about the principles

of science and technology, which we then apply in the production

process which leads to an increase in productivity, which leads

to an increase in living standards, an increase in longevity,

—

this is what we are! We are not animals. We are human beings, who are the only species, at least known so far, in the universe,

which can relate to their create power as their identity.

And if we take that approach, then, to have many nations,

and to have many cultures all based on their cultural tradition,

all based on their sovereignty, they can work together to a higher level of reason, and that is the {only} way how mankind will survive! I think we are at a crossroads: If we decide to stay with geopolitics, in this world, this will lead to World War

III, for all we know, the extinction of our species. On the other side, the New Paradigm is already working, 140 countries are already cooperating, and I think we need a mass movement of

people who say, mankind has reached a new era and we must consciously form our future, our “shared community for the

future

of mankind," as Xi Jinping always calls it.

I think we need a discussion on these.

SCHLANGER: I think you just made a compelling case for people to give up sleepwalking, and to instead catch the New Silk

Road Spirit. So, Helga, until next week, thank you, and thank you for joining us.

And take up this challenge, those you watching this: Take up the challenge to become active with the Schiller Institute. Thank you, and see you next week.

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Yes.

POLITISK ORIENTERING den 17. maj 2018: Israels Netanyahu forsøger at skabe krig for at forhindre Trump i at gå fredsvejen. 1. del. Se også 2. del her.

Video 1. del:

Video 2. del:

Lyd:

Meddelelse: Helga Zepp-LaRouche i Schiller Institut Webcast, torsdag 17. maj, 2018 kl. 18: Briterne skubber på for krige i Mellemøsten, for at afspore fremvoksende samarbejde mellem de Fire Magter

newparadigm.schillerinstitute.com

I oktober måned, 2009, talte Lyndon LaRouche på Forum for Dialog mellem Civilisationer på øen Rhodos, hvor han fremlagde konceptet om, at en aftale mellem fire, ledende magter – USA, Kina, Rusland og Indien – kan danne grundlaget for at skabe et nyt, globalt kreditsystem til at erstatte det håbløst bankerotte, transatlantiske finanssystem, der på spektakulær vis krakkede det foregående år. I løbet af de seneste år er dette potentiale, under ledelse af Kinas præsident Xi Jinping, begyndt at antage konkret form. Med valget af Donald Trump til præsident i 2016, og som for en stor dels vedkommende skyldtes hans afvisning af hans forgængeres politikker for krig og finansspekulation, sås det, at han var parat til at tage skridt til at bevæge USA til at gå med i denne aftale.

Dette alene forklarer de desperate handlinger imod ham, med

begyndelse i de svindelagtige Russiagate-beskyldninger, som var brygget sammen af britiske efterretningsnetværk og Obamas efterretningsfolk. Det forklarer også indsatsen for en skarpere konfrontation mellem USA og Rusland og Kina, inkl. Sripal-affæren og anklagerne om de kemiske våben i Douma, under falsk flag. De seneste, farlige handlinger fra Israels Netanyahu-regering mod Syrien, Iran, Libanon og palæstinenserne, og den fortsatte folkemorderiske krig, som saudierne fører imod Yemen, er alle en del af den samme deployering for at bruge krig til at stoppe konsolideringen af LaRouches idé om en Firemagtsalliance.

Helga Zepp-LaRouche har sagt, at det eneste, der kan stoppe denne dynamik for de Fire Magter, der har vundet frem omkring Kinas lederskab og Bælte & Vej Initiativet, er krig. Krigsfremstødet, der kommer fra Storbritannien, må nedkæmpes! Hør fr. LaRouche kommende torsdag for den seneste opdatering om denne kamp, og hvad du kan gøre for at sikre, at Imperiet og dets geopolitiske vanvid bringes til en afslutning.

Briterne, der frygter fremskridt i Asien, rykker ud for at sprænge Mellemosten i luften

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 15. maj, 2018 – Benjamin Netanyahus israelske regering har begået en utilgivelig massakre på palæstinensere i Gaza i løbet af det seneste døgn; og de har hermed øget faren for en ny og *generel* krig i Mellemosten, som allerede er rykket alt for tæt på. Den fare, som dette udgør

for verdensfreden, bør ikke undervurderes – og det samme gælder for den katastrofe, det kunne blive for den økonomiske genopbygning og ditto fremskridt, der potentielt står til rådighed for Mellemøsten og Afrika, på basis af Bælte & Vej Initiativet, der spreder sig i hele Eurasien.

Netanyahu er ikke den, der primært får tingene til at ske i denne optrapning, selv ikke med sin åbenlyse aggression mod Syrien og krigstrusler mod Iran og Libanon. Det er heller ikke præsident Trump, hvis hasarderede fejltagelse med hensyn til Jerusalem kom, mens han kæmpede for sit politiske liv imod en kampagne for hans afsættelse og retsforfølgelse.

Det er britisk efterretning og eliten i den britiske regering, der er de primære aktører i krigsfremstødet, og som af Trump kræver en krigskonfrontation med Rusland samtidig med, at de anstifter og optrapper kupforsøget imod ham.

Igen i dag belærte chefen for britisk efterretnings MI5, Andrew Parker, efterretningsrepræsentanter fra den Europæiske Union om nødvendigheden af at bekæmpe Rusland på enhver tænkelig måde. Siden marts måned har den britiske regering oppisket krigssvindelumre og anti-russiske konfrontationer – Skripal-forgiftningsaffæren; det særdeles tvivlsomme »angreb med kemiske våben« i Douma, Syrien. Britiske aktiver i Israel og Saudi-Arabien har iscenesat deres egne svindelumre imod Iran samtidig med at angribe Syrien og føre en etnisk udrensningsskrig mod Yemen.

Så rædselsslagne er britiske geopolitikere over udsigten til et samarbejde omkring den Nye Silkevej mellem Kina, Rusland, Indien og Trumps USA, sammen med også Japan, Sydkorea og andre, at de med overlæg har promoveret krige imod det.

Lige nu kan truslen om generel krig i Mellemøsten kun vendes på basis af, at præsident Trump og præsident Putin mødes og samarbejder om det, som de begge ønsker. Disse to præsidenter kan stoppe denne nedstigning. Men faren kan i virkeligheden

kun fjernes gennem en omfattende plan for økonomisk udvikling fra Afghanistan til Middelhavet og fra Kaukasus til Golfen, inkl. skabelse af afgørende ny infrastruktur og ved anvendelse af Kinas metoder til bekæmpelse af alvorlig fattigdom.

Dette vil være muligt gennem Bælte & Vej Initiativets nye paradigme, hvis de fire, store hovedmagter, vi tidligere nævnte, kan samarbejde om det, assisteret af de andre asiatiske nationer. Præcis et sådant niveau af – tilsyneladende umulige – gennembrud har vist sig mulige gennem fremskridtet mod fred og genopbygning på Koreahalvøen. Det er fremkommet ud fra viljen til at samarbejde mellem Trump, Kinas præsident Xi, Putin, Sydkoreas præsident Moon og Japans premierminister Abe. Denne mulighed, inkl. Indiens afgørende bidrag, må holdes frem som eksempel for Sydasiens, Mellemøsten og Nordafrika.

For USA skal der mere til. Det britiske angreb på præsidentskabet er en krig imod samarbejde med Rusland og Kina; men det er ligeledes et angreb på lederskab som sådan. Det er et halvt århundrede siden, at USA havde et præsidentielt lederskab, der blot delvist trodsede britisk geopolitik; og dette lederskab blev myrdet. I løbet af dette halve århundrede har amerikanerne i stigende grad ladet Wall Street tage over fra præsidenter og styre kongresser; de har mistet fornemmelsen for produktiv beskæftigelse og er blevet kulturelle pessimister.

»Jeg synes om denne leder, eller den leder« eller, »Jeg synes ikke om alt det kaos og al den interne strid«, vil ikke løse noget som helst. Amerikanske borgere må selv blive ledere og selvstændigt tænkende mennesker, som de engang brystede sig af. At tage deres økonomi og regering tilbage fra Wall Street er det formål, for hvilket LaRouche-bevægelsen forsyner dem med værktøjet.

Foto: Borgere i Gaza demonstrerer ved en barriere mellem Gaza og Israel 11. maj, 2018. (IDF Spokesperson Unit)