

Generalløjtnant i det russiske forsvar: Der eksisterer intet bevis på syrisk angreb med kemiske våben

11. april, 2018 – I udtalelser, der i dag rapporteres af Sputnik, rapporterer generalløjtnant, første vicechef i den russiske generalstabs Operationelle Hoveddepartement, Viktor Poznikhir, at, dagen efter det angivelige angreb med kemiske våben den 7. april i Douma, Syrien, var russiske eksperter i stråling og kemisk og biologisk forsvar på scenen for angrebet for at analysere jordprøver og fragmenter fra det »såkaldte sted for et angiveligt angreb med kemiske våben«. Specialisternes analyser »viser ingen nervegifte og giftsubstanser med indhold af klorin«.

»Som resultat af undersøgelsen af territoriet viste besøget til den lægefacilitet, der vises på de Hvide Hjelms scene, og interviewet af det lægefaglige personale og af patienterne, ingen spor af hverken fakta som følge af anvendelse af giftige substanser eller tilskadekomne blandt indbyggerne i denne by«, udtaler generalen.

Han rapporterer ligeledes, at, med startdato 12. april, vil russisk militærpoliti blive deployeret til Douma for at yde sikkerhed, opretholde lov og orden og »organisere assistance for lokalbefolkningen«.

General Poznikhir mindede om det, der tidligere var blevet rapporteret, at, den 3. marts, blev undergrundstunneller opdaget i byen Hazram, »som viste sig at være en militant workshop for en baggårdsproduktion af sprængstoffer med

giftige substanser«. Han fremførte ligeledes, at det aktuelle, angivelige kemiske angreb blev »iscenesat og filmet« af de »berygtede« Hvide Hjelme, »der opererer som en del af en terroristgruppe«.

Foto: Generalløjtnant Viktor Poznikhir. Arkivfoto.

Interview: Der var ikke noget kemisk angreb i Syrien: Sandheden om Syrien og Trump

Jeg er Will Wertz fra LaRouche Political Action Committee, og vi interviewer i dag senator fra Virginia Richard Black, der er pensioneret oberst i den amerikanske hær; han var ligeledes chef for JAG (Judge Advocate General's Corps, United States Army) afdeling for kriminalitet i Pentagon. Han har ekstraordinær stor erfaring især med Syrien, som han har besøgt flere gange. Formålet med interviewet er at få senator Blacks synspunkter om den aktuelle krise omkring beskyldningerne om et angreb med kemiske våben i det østlige Ghouta i byen Douma, Syrien.

Video, engelsk.

Tony Blair i spidsen for briterne krav om krig mod Syrien

10. april, 2018 – Den tidligere britiske premierminister og anstifter af præsident George W. Bush' krig mod Irak, Tony Blair, optrådte på BBC, hvor han krævede, at Theresa Mays regering »støttede præsident Donald Trump« med bombninger og missilangreb mod Syrien. Med rapporteringen af Blairs krav, forudsagde Londons tabloidaviser selvsikkert »et missilangreb mod Syrien inden for få timer«. Alt dette, mens det var tydeligt, at Trump-administrationen endnu ikke havde truffet beslutning om angreb mod Syrien.

Blair svarede interviewerens spørgsmål om parlamentets godkendelse af et britisk luftangreb ved at hævde, at dette ikke ville være nødvendigt »blot for et bombardement fra luften«; da han blev udfordret, forklarede han blot dette ved at tilføje, at »folk føler, der er en forskel«.

Lederartiklen i londonavisen *Times* fra 10. april opfandt en endnu mere kunstfærdig »alliancedækning« for sit krav om krig. »Theresa May var under pres fra ministre og allierede for at gå med i et amerikanskledet militærangreb mod Assad-regimet, med Frankrig, der satte tempoet for gengældelse for det mistænkte kemiske angreb«, lød lederen og placerede således krigsfremstødet alle mulige andre steder undtagen dér, hvor det faktisk ligger, nemlig i London. Med hensyn til Mays »ministre«, så anbefalede én af dem, udenrigsminister Boris Johnson, afgjort britiske luftangreb mod Syrien. Johnson skulle angiveligt have ringet til fungerende amerikanske udenrigsminister John J. Sullivan den 10. april for at briefe ham om nødvendigheden af krig.

Times gik endnu videre for at sløre sit krigshyl: »Med

Storbritannien, der forbereder muligheder, inklusive anvendelsen af Tomahawk-missiler, advarede seniorfolk om, at det løb risikoen for at miste indflydelse i Washington til Frankrig, hvis det afviste en anmodning fra præsident Trump om at deltage i et gengældelsesangreb.«

Foto: Tidligere britiske PM Tony Blair optrådte 10. april på BBC og forklarede, at det ikke var nødvendigt med parlamentets godkendelse af et luftangreb mod Syrien til forskel fra 'folk på jorden', da folk følte »der var en forskel«.

Meddelelse: International webcast med Helga Zepp-LaRouche, torsdag 12. april kl. 18: Britiske provokationer under falsk flag sætter faren for krig på »Rød Alarm«

newparadigm.schillerinstitute.com

I skrivende stund, hvor denne meddelelse går ud ved middagstid på USA's østkyst den 10. april, er der »rød alarm« over muligheden for, at en generel krig i de kommende dage bryder

ud pga. en række provokationer, der kommer fra Det britiske Imperiums højeste niveauer. Med forsøget på at give Rusland skylden for den angivelige forgiftning af den britiske dobbeltagent Skripal og hans datter, og som kollapsede, da eksperter fra UK's laboratorium for kemiske våben i Porton Down ikke kunne bekræfte, at stoffet, der blev brugt mod Skripal og datter, kom fra Rusland, blev de Londonbaserede »Hvide Hjelme« udkommanderet og hævdede – uden beviser – at syriske regeringsstyrker brugte kemiske våben i Ghouta. Dette udløste krav i FN's Sikkerhedsråd om aktion mod Syrien, men også mod Iran og Rusland – et krav, der kom fra briterne, franskmændene og USA's neokonservative.

Tredje provokation var raidet mod præsident Trumps personlige advokat Michael Cohens kontor, baseret på en henvisning fra den særlige anklager Robert Mueller, relateret til anklagerne imod Trump fra pornostjernen Stormy Daniels. Selv om Muellers efterforskning af Cohen intet har at gøre med beskyldninger om, at Trump indgik et 'aftalt spil' med Putin for at vinde valget i 2016, så er kilden til alle tre provokationer den samme – de er alle en del af kampagnen fra City of Londons imperiekræfter og deres neokonservative allierede på Wall Street, for at forhindre Trump i at lykkes med sit kampagneløfte om at afslutte krige for regimeskifte og skabe en positiv samarbejdsrelation med Ruslands præsident Putin.

En **publikation fra LaRouche Politiske Aktionskomite** kaldte raidet mod Cohens kontor for »et direkte forsøg på at afpresse denne præsident [Trump] ind i den krig, han blev valgt til at stoppe«. Helga Zepp-LaRouche sagde om denne situation, at vi »sidder på en krudttønde«.

Hør med denne torsdag, hvor Helga fremlægger inside-historien bag det desperate, britiske krigsfremstød og de nødvendige skridt, der må tages for at stoppe det.

USA's Forfatning står nu på spil, og det samme gør Tredje Verdenskrig

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 10. april, 2018 – Det betyder ingenting, om så 10 Rod Rosenstein'er i Justitsministeriet »godkendte« de ekstensive FBI-raids mod præsident Trumps personlige advokat; beskyttelsen fra det fjerde og femte tillæg til USA's Forfatning bliver fjernet fra den amerikanske præsident. Målet er at fjerne præsidenten fra embedet; og det umiddelbare mål, og den umiddelbare fare, er krig med Syrien og Rusland.

USA's Forfatning bliver tilsidesat med det formål at tage magten over udenrigspolitikken, og selve det Ovale Kontor, fra præsident Trump og føre USA tilbage til Bush' og Obamas status for »evindelig krig« og »krig for regimeskifte«. De amerikanske vælgere overlades til hjælpeløst at se til – med mindre de handler nu, i stort antal.

Hele optrapningen af dette »juridiske mord« på præsident Trump er den særlige anklager, og korrupte, tidligere FBI-direktør, Robert Muellers værk. Mueller har nu langt, langt overskredet sit mandat til at efterforske valget i 2016, fordi denne »Russiagate-efterforskning« er mislykkedes. Hans mål er nu at anklage præsidenten for hvad som helst for at bringe ham til fald. Mueller overgav ganske enkelt opgaven med at udføre disse raids mod Trumps advokat Michael Cohen til New Yorks distriktsanklager. Denne distriktsanklager, udnævnt af Trump, er allerede blevet tvunget til at redde sig selv –

retsforfølgelsen af Cohen er returneret til Mueller, der vil forsøge at tvinge præsidentens personlige anklager gennem 25 år til at vende sig mod Trump.

Hvis Donald Trump var en saudisk prins, ville Robert Mueller nu beskytte ham. Hvis han var administrerende direktør for banken Wells Fargo, ville Mueller nu forhandle en aftale om ikke at retsforfølge igennem med ham. Men Donald Trump er præsident for USA og er imod de britiske geopolitikeres politikker for konstant krig.

Dette nye angreb på præsidenten og Forfatningen fulgte efter Trumps forsøg på, for 10 dage siden, at beordre det Nationale Sikkerhedsråd og Generalstabscheferne til at trække USA's militær tilbage fra Syrien. Muellers angreb er direkte knyttet til svindelnummeret med angrebet med kemiske våben i Syrien, et svindelnummer udført af den britiskdirigerede, såkaldte organisation for først responderende redningsfolk, de »Hvide Hjelme«, der samarbejder med jihadi-terroristerne i Syrien.

Da præsident Trump, i marts 2017, sagde, at »regimeskifte i Syrien er ikke vores mission«, fandt det iscenesatte angreb med kemiske våben mod børn i byen Khan Sheikoun sted, for at få ham til at skifte mening, og den britiske forsvarsminister overbeviste USA's forsvarsminister James Mattis om at angribe Syrien med krydsermissiler. Mattis har for nylig indrømmet, at der ikke fandtes nogen beviser. Nu, da præsident Trump siger, »Vi forlader snart Syrien«, iscenesætter de Hvide Hjelme endnu et angreb med kemiske våben, og Storbritannien og Frankrig kræver krig mod Syrien.

Enhver kan se, at dette – fuldstændig grundløst – kunne føre til en ny verdenskrig, som ingen kan overleve.

Denne krigspolitik er det mål, til hvilket den »juridiske lejemororder« Mueller er blevet hvervet, imod præsidenten og Forfatningen. Ledere i Kongressen, såsom senatorerne Chuck Schumer og Mitch McConnell, har reageret ved at forsvare

Muellers handlinger. Ethvert medlem af Kongressen, der gør dette, bør smides ud.

LaRouche Political Action Committee mobiliserer for at stoppe Mueller, og stoppe krigsfremstødet, med en presserende national bredside, »**NU ER DET NOK! Ring til Kongressen og din senator og sig til dem, at de skal lukke Robert Mueller ned og stoppe briternes krigsfremstød**«. Den siger, »I 2013, da Obama truede med krig med Rusland over Syrien, intervenserede det amerikanske folk og løftede taget på Kongressen, og stoppede det. Det er, hvad vi nu har brug for ... Stop fremstødet for krig, og luk Robert Mueller ned, NU!«

En af disse amerikanere, der intervenserede højlydt i 2013 for at stoppe krig med Rusland over Syrien, var Donald J. Trump.

Foto: Præsident Donald J. Trump og regeringslederne fra de Baltiske Stater. 3. april, 2018. (Official White House Photo by Joyce N. Boghosian)

Rusland og Kina: Stop FN's Sikkerhedsråds 'Skyld'-resolution, med OPCW, der gør klar til efterforskning

10. april, 2018 – En resolution i FN's Sikkerhedsråd, der er sponsoreret af USA, og som ville have skabt en »ny mekanisme for efterforskning, der kan placere skyld«, blev tirsdag vetoet af Rusland, med Bolivia, der opponerede og Kina, der

afholdt sig fra at stemme, men verbalt kritiserede resolutionsforslaget. De øvrige 12 medlemmer af FN's Sikkerhedsråd stemte for resolutionen. Dette fandt sted samtidig med, at et efterforskningshold fra Organisationen for Forbud mod Kemiske Våben, OPCW, var på vej til Douma, Syrien, på invitation fra den syriske regering og med støtte fra Rusland.

Washington Examiner rapporterede, at Kinas FN-ambassadør Wu Haitao understregede, »Der bør ikke være nogen domsfældelse på forhånd af udfaldet«, med skabelsen af en ny Fælles Efterforskningsmekanisme. Men alle de store, vestlige nationer erklærede samstemmende, at Rusland og Syrien havde skylden for det angivelige angreb i Douma, før hændelsen efterforskes. Den britiske FN-ambassadør Karen Pierce sagde, »Ruslands troværdighed som medlem af rådet er nu tvivlsom«.

En resolution, som Rusland foreslog på tirsdagens møde, ville have støttet en efterforskning af OPCW for at afgøre, hvad der skete, men ville ikke have skabt en mekanisme, ved hvilken skyldsspørgsmålet for det angivelige angreb kunne placeres. Denne resolution fik seks stemmer i FN's Sikkerhedsråd, inkl. Rusland og Kina, med 2, der ikke stemte. Den blev vetoet af USA, Storbritannien og Frankrig, og fire andre medlemmer af rådet opponerede mod den.

I det tidligere møde om mandagen havde FN's særlige udsending til Syrien, Staffan de Mistura, sagt, »FN er ikke i stand til uafhængigt at verificere eller placere ansvar for dette angreb; men vi har bedt alle parter om at udvise den største tilbageholdenhed og undgå alle yderligere optrapninger eller konfrontationer«. Han havde advaret om, at »Nylige udviklinger bærer mere end nogensinde før risici for ... brudlinjer i Mellempøsten, der kunne få absolut katastrofale konsekvenser, som man næppe kan forestille sig«.

Xi Jinpings hovedtale for Boao Forum: Vi må søge medinddragelse og harmoni

10. april, 2018 – Den kinesiske præsident Xi Jinping holdt sin hovedtale for Boao Forum for Asien her til eftermiddag, hvori han advarede imod »arrogance«, men tilbød en olivengren mht. spørgsmålet om importtold.

Xi opfordrede andre lande til at afholde sig fra at »søge dominans« og til at »afvise magtpolitik«.

Alt imens han ikke nævnte uoverensstemmelsen med USA over importtold, sagde han, at de, der ignorerer »tidens tendenser« mod åbenhed, ville blive »ladt tilbage og henvist til historiens skraldespand«.

Han understregede: »Den Kolde Krig og nulsums-mentaliteten ser malplaceret ud i dagens verden. Arrogance, eller en fokusering kun på egne interesser, kommer ingen vegne. Kun fredelig udvikling og samarbejde kan virkelig bringe win-win-resultater, eller 'alle vinder'-resultater«. Han sagde: »Vi har brug for en koordinering af politikker. Nationer bør ikke forsøge at underbyde hinanden, men bør forblive forpligtet til gensidige fordele og til at støtte det multilaterale handelssystem. Vi har brug for at søge medinddragelse og harmoni«.

Alt imens han gentog sit principielle standpunkt og sin bekymring over lande, der træffer »ensidige forholdsregler« uden at tage hensyn til de passende internationale

institutioner, så tilbød han også en olivengren mht. spørgsmålet om skænderiet mellem USA og Kina over handel. Han gentog de forpligtelser, som premierminister Li Keqiang indgik på Folkets Nationalkongres om, at Kina ville åbne mere op, ikke ville kræve teknologioverførsel fra firmaer, der ønskede at investere i Kina, ville fremme procedurerne for udenlandske firmaer, der investerede i Kina, og ville styrke beskyttelse af intellektuelle ejendomsrettigheder (IPR). Han sagde også, at Kina ville overveje at reducere importtold på biler og slække på restriktionerne for udenlandsk ejerskab i automobilsektoren »så snart som muligt«, en direkte respons på Trumps tweet mandag, hvor han klagede over importtolden på biler. Præsident Xi gentog, at Kina var gået ind i en »ny fase for åbenhed« og var forpligtet over for at gøre Kinas finansielle sektor og varefremstillingssektor mere åbne for udenlandske investeringer, og han erklærede dernæst yderligere, at Kina i år ville »sænke betydeligt« importtolden på biler.

Kina ville aldrig søge at »true nogen andre« eller afsætte det internationale system, sagde han. Xi sagde, at han for fem år siden lancerede Bælte & Vej Initiativet, hvor over »80 lande har underskrevet aftaler med Kina; »det er måske nok Kinas idé, men dets ideer vil være til gavn for hele verden. Kina har ingen geopolitiske beregninger, søger ingen ekskluderende blokke og påtvinger ingen andre forretningsaftaler«, sagde han.

Foto: Kinas præsident Xi Jinping holder hovedtalen på Boao Forum Asien, 10. april, 2018.

Østrigs præsident Van der Bellen holder gæstetale på Boao Forum for Asien

10. april, 2018 – Den østrigske præsident Alexander Van der Bellen, der for tiden er på rundrejse i Kina med en delegation bestående af 230 folk fra erhvervslivet og fra videnskabelige og kulturelle organisationer, holdt i dag en gæstetale på Boao Forum for Asien, 8.-11. april, der afholdes i Boao, Hainan, og hvori han forventes at præsentere sit land som »først på markedet« i Europa for samarbejde med den Nye Silkevej. »En handelskrig er det sidste, vi har brug for«, understregede Van der Bellen i sine bemærkninger, der var på engelsk, på åbningsceremonien. Hans korte bemærkninger blev filmet af Kinas CGTN Tv-netværk.

Hollands premierminister Marke Rutte, der ligeledes er på rundrejse i Kina med en delegation bestående af over 200 erhvervsfolk og eksperter, er endnu en gæstetaler fra Europa.

Den østrigske udenrigsminister Karin Kneissl havde i mellemtiden et to timer langt møde med den kinesiske udenrigsminister Wang Yi, og som også var en forberedelse til hendes møde med Ruslands udenrigsminister i Moskva i næste uge. Kneissl, der er ekspert i mellemøstanliggender, briefede Wang omfattende om sine vurderinger af området, i særdeleshed Syrien, Irak og Iran.

Kneissl sagde til Wang, at Østrig ikke i øjeblikket ville blive medlem af »16+1«-mekanismen for øst- og centraleuropæiske lande plus Kina, og som Kina har indbudt Østrig til at blive del af, men vil forblive en opmærksom iagttager. Wang sagde til hende, at Kina forventer, Østrig vil

spille en konstruktiv rolle som en 'bro' mellem Europa og Kina, når Wien overtager formandsskabet af EU, der varer et halvt år, i juli måned.

*Foto: Kinas præsident Xi Jinping afholder en velkomstceremoni for Østrigs præsident Alexander Van der Bellen før deres forhandlinger i Folkets Store Hal i Beijing, 8. april, 2018.
[Photo: Xinhua]*

Søndag aftalte Xi og Van der Bellen at etablere et kinesisk-østrigsk, venligtsindet strategisk partnerskab og fremme bilateral, pragmatisk samarbejde.

Førkrigs-propaganda og stunts er nu på højeste alarmberedskab; Rød Alarm

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 9. april, 2018 – Vi befinder os i en situation med rød alarm, i betragtning af mønstret for provokationer fra den britiske, geopolitiske floks side, for at optrappe konfrontationen til et punkt, hvor det udløser generel krig. Mandagens tre timer lange møde i FN's Sikkerhedsråd reflekterer faren. Det var et frontalt sammenstød mellem UK, USA og Frankrig på den ene side, og hvis talpersoner udspyrede løgne, fornærmelser og spydigheder, og Rusland og Kina på den anden. Kina talte for en diplomatisk og politisk løsning. Rusland havde anmodet om mødet, der havde titlen, »Trusler mod international fred og sikkerhed«, og truslerne lå i de vilde anklager fra ambassadørerne Karen Pierce (UK), Nikki Haley (USA) og François DeLattre

(Frankrig).

Det umiddelbart foreliggende spørgsmål er den falske påstand om den syriske regerings brug af kemiske våben i Douma, 7. april. Der eksisterer ingen beviser; iscenesatte videoer, udsendt af de berygtede aktører for britisk efterretning De Hvide Hjelme, kører konstant på de store medier. På mødet i FN's Sikkerhedsråd fordømte Haley Syrien som et »monster« for at udføre dette (fantom)-angreb med kemiske våben; hun fordømte Rusland som et »regime, der ikke kan føle skam«.

Præsident Donald Trump, der er mål for alt dette, talte til sit kabinet her til morgen og sagde, at angrebet i Douma var »grusomt«, og »hvis de [Syrien, Rusland] er uskyldige«, hvorfor vil de så ikke give folk lov til at gå ind og bevise det«. Faktisk sagde både den russiske og den syriske ambassadør til Sikkerhedsrådet: send efterforskningsteams ind omgående. Lige med det samme. Kom i morgen.

Men, som i Skripal-sagen, og som med tidligere, ubegrundede anklager imod den syriske regering om kemisk krigsførelse, så er sandheden irrelevant for dem, der anklager.

Det er pointen. Dette aktuelle svindelnummer er ikke nogen separat begivenhed, men er en begivenhed i et mønster af provokationer i en sindssyg, igangværende strategi for konfrontation, der sætter hele planeten i fare. Er tankegangen den, at det ville være bedre at føre krig nu, snarere end senere, fordi det ville være mindre »kostbart«? Der har for ikke længe siden været en RAND-undersøgelse, som præcist hævdede dette vanvid. Der er galninge, der er tilbøjelige til at tænke på denne måde, især efter den russiske præsident Putins annoncering den 1. marts af nye våbentyper, og som, i stedet for at blive set som begrundelse for nødvendigheden af ægte forhandlinger om international sikkerhed, ses som en slutspilsmanøvre.

Den russiske ambassadør Vasilij Nebenzia talte strengt til

sine modparter i FN's Sikkerhedsråd, »Jeg vil stille det retoriske spørgsmål: Forstår I den farlige tærskel, I bringer verden til?«

Helga Zepp-LaRouche opsummerede det mandag: vi sidder på en krudttønde. Hvis Trump går i fælden og går med i dette her, og, f.eks., en russisk soldat i Syrien bliver dræbt på en eller anden måde, befinder verden sig i akut fare for en generel krig.

Tidlig mandag morgen affyrede to israelske kampfly otte missiler mod et mål i Syrien, en flyvebase i Homs, som indtil for nylig blev brugt af russiske styrker. Det syriske luftforsvar skød fem missiler ned, men tre ramte målet og dræbte 14 mennesker, inkl. tre iranere. Ingen russere blev ramt. Israel gav ikke Rusland noget forhåndsvarsel; Israel informerede imidlertid Det Hvide Hus. Hvad sker der nu?

Præsident Trump, der mandag nat skulle mødes med militærrådgivere, gentog om morgenen, at han er 24 til 48 timer fra at beslutte, hvad han vil gøre mht. anklagerne om syrisk giftgas. Han og den franske præsident Emmanuel Macron talte i telefon søndag og sagde, de ville koordinere deres respons, sammenholdt med det, der sker i FN's Sikkerhedsråd mandag, og udstede deres handleplaner dagen efter, eller kort tid derefter.

Samtidig med, at alt dette finder sted, rykkede FBI mandag ud med endnu et træk mod Trump, på vegne af den britiske Trumpgate-operation, med et raid om morgenen mod Michael Cohens kontor i New York City; Michael Cohen, der er mangeårig personlig advokat for præsident Trump.

I USA kommer der nu nogle responser, inkl. et åbent brev i dag til forsvarsminister James Mattis fra pensionerede oberst i hæren, Pat Lang, der kræver en kompetent efterforskning af, hvad det var, der skete i Douma, med det formål, ikke at blive offer for »et omhyggelig konstrueret propaganda-

svindelnummer«. Blandt kongresmedlemmer advarede Thomas Massie (R-KY) om, at kun Kongressen har retten til at beslutte en militæraktion, såsom i Syrien. Han angreb hårdt ideen om »evindelig krig«.

Vær i højeste alarmberedskab; rød alarm. Dette er meget alvorligt. Kontakt alle med sandheden.

Se den nye Erklæring fra LaRouchePAC: »Nu er det Nok!«

Erklæring fra LaRouchePAC: NU ER DET NOK! Ring til Kongressen og din senator og sig til dem, at de skal lukke Robert Mueller ned; STOP BRITERNES FREMSTØD FOR KRIG

9. april, 2018 – Vi, De forenede Stater, står over for at lancere et angreb mod Syrien, med de russiske styrker, der er til stede dér, baseret på perfide, britiske løgne; baseret på det, der kunne vise sig at være historiens endegyldige og sorteste efterretningssvindler, den, der gjorde det af med den menneskelige race. Samtidig blev præsident Trumps personlige advokats kontor i dag, 9. april, 2018, udsat for et raid, baseret på en henvisning fra den særlige anklager Robert Mueller. Spørgsmålet skulle angiveligt dreje sig om beskyldninger i forbindelse med, at præsidenten skulle have

haft en årelang affære med den løgnagtige og afskyelige pornostjerne, Stormy Daniels. Dette anses af Mueller og vores korrupte FBI for at være så alvorligt, at det Sjette Tillæg til USA's Forfatning også blot kan kastes til side. Disse to uhyrlige begivenheder er fuldstændig relateret. Med mindre I nu rejser jer sammen med os for at stoppe det, er dette land i alvorlig, alvorlig fare. Det kategoriske forsøg på at afpresse denne præsident ind i den krig, han blev valgt til at stoppe, er nu blevet optrappet ud over enhver forstand.

I 2016 stemte millioner af amerikanere for Donald Trump, fordi han sagde, han ville afslutte unyttige, evindelige krige på vegne af et intellektuelt dødt og finansielt bankerot, angloamerikansk system, dette imperium, der går tilbage til tiden umiddelbart efter Anden Verdenskrig. Dette system, centreret omkring Wall Street og City of London, har snydt og bedraget sig til verdens værdier og ødelagt den engang storslåede amerikanske nationaløkonomi, en kendsgerning, der blev fuldstændig indlysende for tænkende mennesker, da systemet krakkede i 2008. Donald Trump søgte bedre relationer med Kina, der nu vokser frem som verdens mægtigste økonomi, samt med Putins Rusland. Trump faste beslutning om at etablere anstændige relationer med Rusland og Kina, og udelukkende kun denne faste beslutning, igangsatte det djævelske kup mod præsidenten, under anførelse af briterne og de mange nyttige idioter blandt vores elite, der er i trældom for briterne.

Dette kup, hvis manifestationer var det falske »beskidte dossier« om Donald Trump, forfattet af MI6's Christopher Steele og betalt af Hillary Clinton, sang på sidste vers, da Storbritannien indledte sin nuværende offensiv. Senatorerne Charles Grassley og Lindsay Graham havde henvist Christopher Steele til USA's Justitsministerium, til retsforfølgelse for kriminelle handlinger, og patrioter i Kongressen forfulgte en reel bestræbelse på at identificere og retsforfølge dem, der er ansvarlige for kuppet mod vores præsident. Så, den 4. marts, 2018, blev en russisk spion, der spionerede for

Storbritannien, Sergei Skripal, samt hans datter, angiveligt forgiftet i Salisbury, England. Skripal færdes i de samme britiske spionkredse, som Christopher Steele er knyttet til. Premierminister Theresa May proklamerede omgående for hele verden, at Rusland stod bag angrebet, men har aldrig nogensinde fremlagt noget som helst bevis for nogen af sine krigsgale erklæringer. Præsident Trump blev af sine forræderiske rådgivere, inklusive H.R. McMaster, der i hele sin militære karriere var en fange af Storbritanniens Internationale Institut for Strategiske Affærer, hastigt puffet til at støtte Storbritanniens totalt ubegrundede påstande. Budskabet til præsidenten fra vore forrædere er klart: gå med i vores march mod krig og måske; måske, vil vi slække på kuppet.

Sluttelig nægtede Storbritanniens egne eksperter i kemiske våben i Porton Down at sige, at det kemiske stof, der blev brugt mod Skripal og hans datter, var fremstillet i Rusland, på trods af Theresa Mays og hendes vanvittige udenrigsminister Boris Johnsons påstande, uden beviser. Åbenlys tvivl var allerede blevet udtalt af flere europæiske lande, som afholdt sig fra at gå med i dette Storbritanniens opråb til krig. Blandt de kendsgerninger, der står til overvejelse: Den dødbringende nervegift, som Theresa May beskriver, ville omgående have dræbt Skripal og hans datter. Alligevel er de begge i live og ikke længere i kritisk tilstand. Redegørelser for, hvor giften blev givet, har varieret; den seneste lyder, at det blev smurt ud på hoveddøren til Skripals hjem. Alligevel lykkedes det på en eller anden måde for Skripal at forlade huset et par timer og spadsere rundt i landsbyen, spise og drikke på restauranter, indtil han pludselig blev syg. Formlen for den angiveligt anvendte gift var blevet offentliggjort vidt og bredt af dens russiske opfinder, en dissident, der nu bor i USA. Med dette svindelnummer, der nu er i færd med at falde fra hinanden, får vi at vide, at Skripal og hans datter, samt alle tilgængelige beviser, er i færd med at 'blive forsvundet'. Ifølge britisk presse vil

Skripal og hans datter komme ind i et vidnebeskyttelsesprogram fra CIA. Deres hus, og alle påståede lokaliteter, der er involveret i dette svindelnummer, bliver nu bulldozet ned og ødelagt. Ingen mulighed for en reel efterforskning af dette svindelnummer må lades åben.

På trods af, at Donald Trump udtalte støtte til Theresa May, søgte han stadig at honorere sit løfte til det amerikanske folk. Han lykønskede Putin med valgsejren og inviterede ham til et snarligt møde i Det Hvide Hus til forhandlinger og nævnte det eskalerende og farlige våbenkapløb mellem USA og Rusland. Briterne og deres amerikanske venner gik, som respons hertil, amok. Man måtte lade en hammer falde på denne præsident, der nu oven i købet talte om at trække amerikanske styrker ud af Syrien og genopbygge USA.

Ind på scenen kommer så endnu et af briterne opfundet forgiftnings-svindelnummer, nu i Syrien, hvor russere, iranere og syrere ikke alene assisterede i besejringen af Isis, men nu var i færd med at feje de sidste tilbageværende jihadistiske grupper, såsom Jaish al-Islam, en omdøbt, salafistisk jihadistisk gruppe, der kontrolleres af saudierne, samt al-Nusra-front, eller al-Qaeda. De endegyldige militære operationer, som konsoliderede sejren, er i løbet af de seneste dage blev afsluttet i Gouta, en forstad til Damaskus. Og efter således at have opnået sejr, lancerede Assad – sådan lyder den narrative, som vore krigsmagere til medier vil have os til at tro på – et angreb med kemiske våben for at fejre denne sejr, vel vidende, at han således ville udløse helvede over sig selv fra Vesten.

Fotos af døende børn, som præsident Trump reagerede så følelsesmæssigt på for et år siden, da han lancerede missilangreb mod Syrien, er atter blevet præsenteret for ham. Der er al mulig grund til at tro, de er falske. Rusland og Syrien havde netop advaret om et sådant angreb under falsk flag, og som ville involvere kloringas, i over en måned, hvor de kom nærmere til den endelige sejr i Gouta. Den eneste

information, der hævder, at et sådant angreb fandt sted, kommer fra De Hvide Hjelme, en nødhjælpsorganisation grundlagt af briterne, og som er involveret i at være involveret militært med al-Qaeda og er dybt involveret i tidligere svindelnumre omkring Assads angivelige anvendelse af kemiske våben. Sy Hersh dokumenterede denne historie fuldt og helt i London Review of Books, med hensyn til de falske nyheder om, at Assad brugte saringas i august, 2013. <https://www.lrb.co.uk/v36/n08/seymour-m-hersh/the-red-line-and-the-rat-line>

MIT's Ted Postal og andre har vist, at det angivelige angreb med saringas, som præsident Trump responderede på med militære midler for et år siden, ligeledes var et britisk svindelnummer. Se Robert Parry, <https://consortiumnews.com/2017/09/07/a-new-hole-in-syria-sarin-certainty/>

og James Carden, <https://www.thenation.com/article/the-chemical-weapons-attack-in-syria-is-there-a-place-for-skepticism/>.

De Hvide Hjelme finansieres i fællesskab af britiske og amerikanske efterretningselementer, der er dedikeret til regimeskifte i Syrien. De har modtaget millioner og atter millioner af dollar til dette formål. De udgør afgørende komponenter i den interventionistiske udenrigspolitik for regimeskifte, som Donald Trump blev valgt til at udrydde.

I 2013, da Obama truede med krig mod Rusland over Syrien, intervenserede det amerikanske folk, løftede taget på Kongressen og stoppede det. Det er, hvad vi nu igen har brug for. Rusland ser, at der fra briterne og deres naive tåber i USA kommer en ubønhørlig offensiv for informationskrig. De ser dette, korrekt, som de første skridt mod krig. Vi må vende dette, med omgående start nu. Ring til din repræsentant i Kongressen eller din senator og sig til dem, at de skal stoppe dette fremstød for krig og lukke Robert Mueller ned, nu.

Omstillingsbordet på Capitol Hill har nummer 202-224-3121.

Foto: Den amerikanske præsident Donald J. Trump, vicepræsident Michael R. Pence og deres hustruer byder tidligere præsident Barack Obama farvel under afskedsceremonien efter den 58. præsidentielle indsættelse i Washington, D.C., 20. jan., 2017. (DoD photo)

Ruslands FN-ambassadør: Forstår I den farlige tærskel, I bringer hele verden til?

9. april, 2018 – Det tre timer lange møde i FN's Sikkerhedsråd blev indkaldt på anmodning af Rusland, under emnet, »Trusler mod international fred og sikkerhed«. Det blev brugt som en scene for vilde anklager imod Rusland og Syrien fra de britiske, amerikanske, franske og hollandske ambassadørers side; men før dette, gav den russiske ambassadør Vassily Nebenzia en svidende, detaljeret fremlæggelse imod de løgne, der kastes mod Rusland og Syrien. Han fastslog med eftertryk over for hele forsamlingen, at vi befinder os ved et ekstremt farligt punkt. Han sagde, »Jeg vil stille et retorisk spørgsmål: Forstår I den farlige tærskel, I bringer hele verden til?«

Nebenzia gjorde opmærksom på de gentagne advarsler fra Rusland og Syrien til FN og OPCW om, at terrorister var i færd med at planlægge iscenesættelse af en hændelse med kemisk krigsførelse, for at kaste skylden på Damaskus.

»I har hørt disse advarsler.« Men der skete intet. Han krævede, at der omgående blev iværksat en OPCW-efterforskning for at verificere situationen i Douma. Vi sagde, »vi garanterer adgang«. Han sagde, at OPCW-missionen omgående må besøge; tage jordprøver og interviewe folk på stedet. »Vores udkast til en resolution er klar til at blive vedtaget i dag.«

Trioen, den amerikanske ambassadør Nikki Haley, Storbritanniens ambassadør Karen Pierce og Frankrigs ambassadør François DeLattre, med tilslutning fra den hollandske ambassadør Karel Van Oosterom, overgik hinanden med løgne og grove fornærmelser mod Rusland og Syrien. Haley sagde, »kun et monster gør dette«, – hvormed hun mente, at Syrien gasser sit folk; og hun tilføjede, at »Det russiske regime kender ikke til skam« og godkender det. Storbritanniens ambassadør Pierce lovpriste sin nation for den måde, den gennemfører en »uafhængig« efterforskning af Skripal-forgiftningen. Ambassadør Oosterom talte, som en tilbagevenden til Tony Blair, om behovet for »R2P« – Responsibility to Protect (Forpligtelse til at beskytte), dvs., at opfordre stiltiende til angreb mod Syrien under dække af en humanitær intervention. Oosterom sagde, »Vi støtter de humanitære Hvide Hjelme«.

Haley udvandrede på iøjnefaldende vis, da den syriske ambassadør Bashar al Ja'Afari begyndte at tale. Han rapporterede om den aktuelle situation i sit land og spurgte skarpt om morgenens missilangreb mod sit land, »Hvorfor ikke sige, Israel udførte denne aggression?« Ud over en kort, veltalende rapport om tidligere mangel på handlinger fra FN's side, gav al Ja'Afari en aktuel, specifik redegørelse om, hvad Syrien har overleveret til FN og OPCW om steder, hvor regeringen har fundet, at terroristerne havde giftige våben. Damaskus har sendt 145 breve frem til 1. april i år, om denne fare. Men, sagde han, de læste dem ikke engang, endsige handlede på dem.

Ja'Afari sagde, »Jeg meddeler, at vi ønsker en mission fra

OPCW til at indsamle kendsgerninger så snart som muligt. Vi hilser et sådant besøg velkommen så snart som muligt. Jeg håber, det ikke lider samme skæbne som Ban Ki-moons mission i 2013 ...«, som ikke ankom før efter »fire måneder og 11 dage«.

Ambassadører tilføjede mødet fornuft og alvor, med kommentarer som dem fra ambassadør Kaivat Umarov fra Kasakhstan. Han spurgte, hvem andre, ud over De Hvide Hjelme, har nogen som helst rapport om denne tilskrevne hændelse i Douma med kemiske våben? Umarov bekræftede, at der var rapporter fra Syrien og Rusland om, at terrorister planlagde et kemisk angreb, og de blev ikke lyttet til af internationale organisationer.

Ambassadør Tkeda Elemu fra Etiopien talte, ud over også at kræve en mission til at indsamle kendsgerninger, også om den internationale situation. Han sagde, at »tilliden mellem stormagterne er lav« og har alvorlige følger for fred. Det har »følger for bæredygtige udviklingsmål i udviklingsssfæren«. Han talte bevægende om behovet for et »globalt sikkerhedsmiljø«.

Mod slutningen af mødet fastlog Nebenzia, der bad om at tale igen, tre punkter: 1) Rådets formand må sørge for, at Haley afholder sig fra at referere til legitime regeringer såsom Rusland som »regimer«; 2) Den britiske såkaldte efterforskning af Skripal-forgiftningen er ikke en efterforskning. Han knuste Pierces påstand; 3) »Vi bevæger os mod en farlig tærskel ... det bliver ikke forstået af nogen af vore vestlige kolleger.«

Foto: Den russiske FN-ambassadør Vassily Nebenzia taler i FN's Sikkerhedsråd: »Vi bevæger os mod en farlig tærskel ... det bliver ikke forstået af nogen af vore vestlige kolleger.« (Videograb, RT).

Ruslands udenrigsminister Lavrov: Husk før I angriber militært, at Rusland har forpligtelser over for Syrien

9. april, 2018 – Den russiske udenrigsminister Sergei Lavrov gentog i en pressebriefing i dag det, som russiske militærfolk tidligere har advaret om, nemlig, at Rusland måske ikke ville se passivt til, hvis der kommer et militært angreb mod Syrien. Lavrov formulerede sin udtalelse specifikt som en respons til »advarsler fra den amerikanske præsidents regeringsfolk« – minister for Homeland Security Thomas Broussard, forsvarsminister Jim Mattis (og senere fra præsident Trump selv) – om, at Washington ikke ville udelukke et militært angreb mod Syrien. Han gentog Ruslands politik:

»Vores militær har allerede reageret på dette. Vi har vore forpligtelser over for Syrien. Forpligtelser, der er baseret på vore indgåede aftaler med den legitime regering for den Syriske Arabiske Republik på anmodning af dennes regering, som i øvrigt er et medlemsland i FN.«

Udenrigsministeren mindede reportere om, at Rusland var kommet med mange advarsler, fra direkte på stedet i Syrien, om, at en provokation var planlagt med det formål at lægge skylden på Damaskus, for at bruge kemiske våben.

»Vi havde allerede mulighed for at kommentere den aktuelle situation, endnu før den blev til virkelighed«, understregede han. »Vore tjenestegørende folk, der opholder sig i den Syriske Arabiske Republik, på jorden, advarede gentagne gange – og det samme sagde den syriske regering – om, at en alvorlig

provokation er under forberedelse, rettet mod at give Damaskus skylden for at bruge kemisk gift mod civile.«

Rusland »støtter fuldt og helt en fair og omgående efterforskning, når de beder om det. Men, når efterforskningen har til hensigt at komme frem til et ganske bestemt punkt – nemlig, at det blev gjort af Assad med Putins støtte – er der intet grundlag for en seriøs diskussion«, sagde Lavrov, iflg. en rapport fra TASS. »Med hensyn til reaktionen fra vore udenlandske kolleger i Washington, Paris, London og andre steder, så er det alt sammen ganske enkelt: Dette blev gjort af regimet [det syriske], og hvis Rusland støtter regimet, så er det både Ruslands og Irans skyld, og det er nødvendigt at gennemføre en efterforskning. Det er noget vanskeligt for en logisk person at forstå sammenhængen mellem det ene og det andet.

Lavrov gentog, at hverken det russiske militær eller Røde Halvmåne har fundet beviser for et angreb, med henvisning til de ikke troværdige billeder fra tidligere, angivelige angreb, og som publiceres af organisationer som De Hvide Hjelme. »Men, som I ser, dette blev alt sammen grunden til udfoldelsen af den kolossale anti-syriske kampagne, der nu deployeres imod os under det påskud, at Rusland dækker over det kriminelle regime, som de siger«, sagde Lavrov til journalisterne.

Foto: Ruslands udenrigsminister Sergei Lavrov erklærede mandag, at hans land har »forpligtelser« over for Syrien og dets »lovlige, legitime regering«.

Afrikas lysende fremtid på

Kinas Bælte & Vej Initiativ. Schiller Institut-konference i New York, 7. april 2018. Hovedtale af Jason Ross. (Video)

Briterne optrapper med et svindelnummer i Syrien; Denne propaganda kunne føre til verdenskrig

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 8. april, 2018 – Londonmedierne og de britiskskabte »Hvide Hjelme« har i løbet af de seneste 24 timer lanceret et nyt, groft svindelnummer, et »angreb med kemiske våben mod et hospital i Douma«, Syrien, som krigspropaganda rettet mod den amerikanske præsident Donald Trump. Selv om militære efterretningseksperter gennemskuede svindelen, og russiske regeringsfolk havde forudsagt det nøjagtigt, var dagens overskrift i *Sunday Telegraph* typisk for den britiske fest, »Donald Trump fordømmer Vladimir Putin, efter syriske kemiske angreb dræber dusinvis«. Samtidig

indrømmede Reuters og BBC, som var kilden til den opflammende historie, at de ikke havde nogen beviser på, at et kemisk angreb havde fundet sted, bortset fra rapporter og (særlig tvivlsomme) videoer, der var sendt af den jihadistiske gruppe, Jaish al-Islam, og de pro-terroristiske »Hvide Hjelme«.

Helga Zepp-LaRouche sagde her til morgen, at dette nye svindelnummer, der følger umiddelbart efter den svigtende, britiske krigspropaganda over sagen med Skripal-forgiftningen, er ekstremt farlig for verden – men kan slås tilbage.

Hun bemærkede ligeledes, at denne propaganda ligger nøjagtig på linje med den åbenlyse opstand, der nu anstiftes mod præsident Trumps offentlige ordre til en snarlig afslutning af amerikanske militæroperationer i Syrien, som det står at læse i *Washington Posts* lederartikel for søndag, forsiden.

»Storbritannien gennemfører ikke den ene førkrigs-propagandaoperation [Skripal forgiftningsanklagerne], for dernæst at stoppe, når dette svigter og ikke frembringer fuld og hel konfrontation«, sagde Zepp-LaRouche. »Nej, det optrapper den selv samme mobilisering for førkrigs-propaganda. Hvis dette ikke stoppes omgående, kan det få meget alvorlige konsekvenser, inklusive en sluttelig verdenskrig.«

Hun påpegede advarslen fra det Russiske Udenrigsministerium: »Militær intervention [dvs., et nyt missilangreb fra NATO] i Syrien under falske og fabrikerede påskud, hvor russiske militærfolk er stationeret efter anmodning fra den legitime regering, er absolut uacceptabelt og kan udløse meget alvorlige konsekvenser« – og hun påpegede ligeledes udtalelsen fra gen. Evgeny Buzhinsky (pensioneret), nu i Russisk Center for Politiske Studier (PIR-center), der på BBC anklagede Storbritannien for at lyve, som kunne føre til »virkelig krig – den sidste krig i menneskehedens historie«.

Hun pegede også på den amerikanske veteran fra amerikansk

forsvarefterretning, oberst Pat Lang (pensioneret), der kaldte disse kemiske angreb for svindelagtige »nyheder fra rebellernes propagandaapparat, af hvilket meget støttes finansielt af den britiske regerings udenrigsministerium og leveres via MI6. Hvad i alverden motiverer UK til denne djævelskhed?«

Helga Zepp-LaRouche har netop, den 7. april, talt for en Schiller Institut-konference og for udenlandsk presse i New York, hvor hun direkte placerede truslen om et nyt krak i det Londoncentrerede finanssystem som baggrunden for Londons eskalerende krigspropaganda. »Hvorfor«, spurgte hun, »er der denne dæmonisering af præsident Putin, som kommer fra samme hold som også dæmoniserer præsident Trump og præsident Xi Jinping? Dette er den samme form for tåbelighed, der førte til Anden Verdenskrig, og som meget let kunne udløse en Tredje Verdenskrig ... Det, der ligger bag, er ... desperation, fordi finansmagterne i City of London og hos deres kollaboratører på Wall Street klart ser, at deres system er ved at gå ned ...«

»Forestil jer«, sagde hun, »hvis vi kunne mobilisere det amerikanske folk til at udøve pres på præsident Trump ... og han accepterede Xi Jinpings tilbud om at samarbejde med den Nye Silkevej ... og de europæiske lande ligeledes sluttelig ville indse – de fleste af dem gør det allerede – men selv de tilbageværende lande ville indse, at samarbejde med Rusland, med Kina og de andre nationer, der allerede er gået med i Bælte & Vej Initiativet – at dette ville være langt mere i deres interesse end den aktuelle kurs med britisk konfrontation med Rusland og med Kina.«

Foto: De syriske 'Hvide Hjelme' under arbejdet i Arbin City. 6. feb., 2018. (Qasioun News Agency / Screen Grab)

USA's oberst Pat Lang til Trump: Hold op med at lytte til briterne og MI6 om Syrien

8. april, 2018 – Den amerikanske militære efterretningsveteran oberst Pat Lang (pens.) nævnte briterne og deres medietjenere i dag som gerningsmændene bag forsøget på at opstille en fælde for endnu et amerikanske angreb på den syriske præsident Bashar Assad. Dette forsøg er, skriver Lang, baseret på endnu et britisk svindelnummer – at Assad brugte kemiske våben i Douma-distriktet i det østlige Ghouta, hvor den syriske hær næsten allerede har vundet kampen.

Præsident Trump angreb »Dyret Assad« i et tweet her til morgen og indikerede, at præsidenten således var hoppet med på endnu et britisk svindelnummer. De militante kæmpers forsvar er kollapsede, rapporterer oberst Lang, i en grad, hvor de ikke engang har en teoretisk chance for at vinde kampen om Douma imod regeringsstyrkerne.

Oberst Lang citerer præsident Trumps tweet om »Dyret Assad« og skriver, »Vores kære præsident har endnu engang set lidt for meget tv-nyheder. Falder det ham mon nogensinde ind at tage telefonen og ringe til den vagthavende officer i CIA, NSA, eller hvor som helst, og spørge, om de mener, nyhedsrapporterne er korrekte? Alle disse informationer, der går til MSM (mainstream media) og derfra videre til [præsident] DJT's ører er nyheder fra oprørernes propagandaapparat, og meget af det støttes finansielt af den britiske regerings udenrigsministerium og leveres via MI6. Hvad i alverden motiverer UK til denne djævelskhed? Og så er der selvfølgelig den ekstreme floktankegang på vegne af de amerikanske og europæiske medier, der som papegøjer

efterskræpper disse påstande, der ikke er objektive beviser for.«

Dernæst går Lang ind i, hvordan Pinnochios næse voksede, med de første rapporter fra oprørsgruppen Jaish al-Islam, som sagde, blot 15 mennesker er skadet efter angrebet. 8. april skriver Lang, »historien har nået MSM og dødstallet er nået op på mindst 70«. Han fortsætter, »Den berygtede, al-Qaeda-tilknyttede propagandaorganisation De Hvide Hjelme spillede, som altid, en hovedrolle i at udbrede historien« og udtalte, at de »Hvide Hjelme samarbejdede tæt med den syriske gren af al-Qaeda – Jaish al-Islam, og fortsatte med at samarbejde med gruppen, efter den blev omdøbt til Jabhat Fatah al-Sham og dernæst Hayat Tahrir al-Sham.

Lang gav sin artikel overskriften: »Animal Assad Strikes Again! – This Time To Celebrate Victory?« (Dyret Assad slår til igen – denne gang for at fejre sejren?)
http://turcopolier.typepad.com/sic_semper_tyranis/2018/04/

Foto: Pensionerede oberst Patrick Lang. Arkivfoto.

**Storbritanniens Hvide Hjelms
hidtil mest
absurde historie om kemiske
angreb
har til formål at skabe**

konfrontation for Tredje Verdenskrig

8. april, 2018 – De store amerikanske medier og Londonmedierne er her søndag morgen fulde af den hidtil mest utrolige påstand om et massivt kemisk angreb i Syrien, som påskud for britiske, franske og/eller amerikanske angreb på Syrien, som det er Theresa Mays britiske regerings ønske, og som således truer med verdenskrig.

Da den manipulerede video med ofre og overlevende fra dette falske angreb først vistes på BBC lørdag aften, kunne man undre på, om Saddam Hussein var stået op af graven med sine masseødelæggelsesvåben, eller endda undre på, om »polske styrker har overskredet den tyske grænse« igen. Militære efterretningseksperter, ikke kun i Rusland og Syrien, men også i USA, proklamerede omgående, at det »kemiske angreb« var et svindelnummer. Ruslands Forsvarsministerium havde, så langt tilbage som 17. marts, mindst, *nøjagtigt* forudsagt, at en sådan operation under falsk flag ville finde sted.

Den første »rapport« om denne angivelige grusomhed – og skam ikke kun mod byen Douma, men på et hospital, selvfølgelig – kom fra den jihadistiske gruppe Jaish al-Islam, som bekæmper den Syriske Arabiske Hærs styrker og har haft kontrol over det relevante område (og hospitalet) i nogen tid. Rapporten blev udbredt af den britisk-kørte, pro-terroristiske redningsorganisation »Hvide Hjelme«, der nu er blevet omdøbt til Syrisk Civilforsvar, og det besynderligt navngivne Syrisk-Amerikanske Lægeselskab i Washington, D.C. Den påstod, at et konstant stigende antal mennesker var blevet dræbt og 500 såret af et bombeangreb med kemiske våben.

Én video på BBC viste »redningsmandskab«, de fleste uden masker, der bevægede sig rundt i en korridor og videregav børn til hinanden, spulede andre med vand, osv. En anden video

viste folk i alle aldre i en bunke, nogle med fråde om munden. Reuters og det Londonbaserede Syriske Observatorium tog historien op samtidig med, at de rapporterede, at de ikke kunne bekræfte noget kemisk angreb.

Rusland, som er det egentlige mål for denne teaterforestilling, havde i ugevis advaret om, at desperate jihadistiske grupper var i besiddelse af kemiske stoffer, både i et østlige Ghouta og i det nordlige Syrien, og var i færd med at planlægge hændelser med kemiske våben under falsk flag, med det formål at fremtvinge NATO-angreb mod Syrien, og mod russiske militære lokaliteter.

Præsident Donald Trump er det næste mål for briterne pga. sit ønske om at samarbejde mod terrorisme med Vladimir Putins Rusland. Søndag morgen tweetede Trump: »Mange døde, inkl. kvinder og børn, i sanseløst KEMISK angreb i Syrien. Området for grusomhederne er lukket ned og omringet af den Syriske Hær, hvilket gør det fuldstændig utilgængeligt for omverden. Præsident Putin, Rusland og Iran er ansvarlige for at støtte Dyret Assad. Stor pris at betale. Åbn omgående området op for lægehjælp og bekræftelse. Endnu en humanitær katastrofe uden nogen som helst grund. SYGT! Donald J. Trump«.

Mays britiske regering er den virkelig mest partiske over for rapporten. Dens krav om total konfrontation med Rusland fik langt mindre end total støtte fra europæiske allierede og præsident Trump, da den anklagede Rusland for mordforsøg via nervegas på britisk jord. Storbritanniens eget laboratorium for kemiske forsvarsvåben (!) bakkede ikke op om fr. Mayhem ('fr. Kaos') og udenrigsminister Boris Johnson.

Det Russiske Forsvarsministerium responderede til det britiske Festspil for Mageløse Ting på følgende vis: »Svindelnummer med angreb, hvor den syriske regering angiveligt skulle anvende kloringas eller andre giftige substanser, fortsætter med at komme frem. Endnu et sådant svindelnummer, der angiveligt skulle have fundet sted, er det påståede kemiske angreb i

Douma i går. Samtidig har man refereret til de berygtede NGO'er, de 'Hvide Hjelme', der gentagne gange er taget i at handle sammen med terrorister, såvel som også andre såkaldte humanitære organisationer, baseret i Det forenede Kongerige og USA«, iflg. Sputnik i dag. Forsvarsministeriet understregede, at det gentagne gange havde advaret om mulige provokationer, der ville omfatte brugen af kemiske våben i Syrien. Disse provokationers hovedformål er at anklage de syriske regeringsstyrker for at bruge kemiske våben og retfærdiggøre en mulig militær intervention i Syrien fra udlandet, iflg. ministeriets erklæring, skrev Sputnik her til morgen under overskriften, »Moskva advarer mod udenlandsk angreb i syriske områder, hvor russiske tropper befinder sig«.

Foto: Røgskyer stiger op over Douma efter det angivelige luftangreb med kemisk gift fra den Syriske Arabiske Hær 7. april.

**»En dialog om tre
præsidentskaber:
Bøj universets moralske bue
mod retfærdighed«
Hovedtale af Helga Zepp-
LaRouche på
Schiller Institut Konference**

i New York, 7. april, 2018

(Video og engelsk udskrift)

Introduktion:

Den amerikanske præsident Donald Trump, den kinesiske præsident Xi Jinping og den russiske præsident Vladimir Putin kunne, i løbet af de næste par måneder, sammen træffe en række af de absolut vigtigste beslutninger, som ville indvirke på menneskeheden, siden renæssancen i det 15. århundrede. Den mulige løsning på Korea-spørgsmålet er blot et enkelt eksempel. De rette beslutninger, truffet af disse tre nationer og deres allierede i de næste par uger, kunne, i den nærmeste fremtid, begynde at fjerne fattigdom, kolonialisme og krig fra planeten. Løsningerne for at fjerne dette tredobbelte onde ligger både i stjernerne og i os selv.

Britiske imperiekræfter har midlertidigt mistet kontrollen over den svigtende transatlantiske, geopolitiske proces. Nu forsøger de at genvinde fordelene. Ligesom med den britiske efterretningsagent Christopher Steeles Russiagate-svindler, er det nu svindlen med »Rusland forgiftede Sergei Skripal og hans datter«, der efter planen skal drive en kile ind mellem præsident Trump og Vladimir Putin. Hvis denne bestræbelse lykkes, vil alt det arbejde, der er udført af Devin Nunes' Husets Efterretningskomite og andre, for at afsløre den korrupte rolle, som FBI, Justitsministeriet, Udenrigsministeriet og andre har spillet i det britisk-kørte kup imod det amerikanske præsidentskab i 2016, have været forgæves.

Evindeligt krig, som de amerikanske administrationer Bush 41, Bush 43 og Obama var fortalere for, kan nu erstattes med en ny økonomisk platform og en ny kulturel platform.

Lørdag, 7. april, er Schiller Instituttets stifter Helga Zepp-

LaRouche hovedtaler på denne konference, der skal samle amerikanerne omkring dette optimistiske perspektiv. En vedtagelse af de økonomiske forholdsregler og standpunkter, der kendes som LaRouches Fire Love[1] samtidig med en accept af det stående, kinesiske forslag [om USA's deltagelse i den Nye Silkevej] ville give grundlaget for at skabe en hurtig forøgelse i amerikansk, produktiv beskæftigelse, levestandarder og uddannelse af ungdommen i USA.

Grundlaget for en dialog mellem de »tre store« præsidentskaber er indeholdt i et dokument af Lyndon LaRouche fra marts, 1984, med titlen, »Udkast til aftalememorandum mellem USA og U.S.S.R.«[2]

Indledningen lyder således:

»Det politiske fundament for varig fred må være: a) Alle nationalstaters ubetingede suverænitet, og b) Samarbejde mellem suveræne nationalstater med det formål at fremme ubegrænsede muligheder for at blive delagtig i fordelene ved teknologisk fremskridt, til gensidig fordel for enhver nationalstat, og alle nationalstater.

Det mest afgørende aspekt ved en aktuel implementering af en sådan politik for varig fred er en dybtgående ændring i de monetære, økonomiske og politiske relationer mellem de dominerende magter og de relativt underordnede nationer, som ofte klassificeres som »udviklingslande«. Med mindre de uligheder, der stadig dvæler i kølvandet på moderne kolonialisme, gradvist afhjælpes, kan der ikke være nogen varig fred på denne planet.«

Sidstnævnte tema vil blive behandlet på mødet 7. april i en præsentation af Jason Ross, medforfatter af Schiller Instituttets Specialrapport, »Forlæng den Nye Silkevej til Vestasien og Afrika: En vision for en økonomisk renæssance«.[3] Med en befolkning på størrelse med Indiens og med den yngste befolkning i noget kontinent i verden, ville

Afrikas fysisk-økonomiske udvikling gennem fælles arbejde, udført af USA sammen med Kina, gøre de gamle koloniregimers racister tavse for altid. Verdens to største økonomier kunne, ved hjælp af Sun Yat-sens og Abraham Lincolns »Tre principper for folket«[4], udgøre spydspidsen for en anti-koloniudvikling og fjerne den fattigdom, som er udløser af racisme og krig.

Her følger engelsk udskrift af Helga Zepp-LaRouches tale:

**Schiller Institute Conference with Helga Zepp-LaRouche
New York City, April 7, 2018**

A DIALOGUE OF THREE PRESIDENCIES:

BENDING THE ARC OF THE MORAL UNIVERSE TOWARD JUSTICE

DIANE SARE: Good afternoon. I'm Diane Sare with the Schiller Institute here in Manhattan and at the conference called "The Dialogue of Three Presidencies: Bending the Arc of

the Moral Universe toward Justice."

Fifty years ago this year, our nation suffered two major assassinations: The first, on April 4th, 1968, was that of Martin Luther King, Jr., [5] who was gunned down while he was participating in organizing for a sanitation workers' strike in

Memphis, Tennessee; then, on June 6th, Robert Kennedy – the second Kennedy to be assassinated – who was likely on a trajectory to become the President of the United States. I think

it's very important to reflect on that change in the United States 50 years ago. I was very struck a few weeks ago, having

heard about a speech by Chinese President Xi Jinping, where he spoke in China of the Century of Humiliation. Starting in 1840,

the Opium Wars against China, which were absolutely devastating and destructive, run by the British Empire – which is still the enemy of civilization today; to the Japanese occupation in the 1940s, under which 35 million or more people died. What President Xi said to these young people is that, in effect, we have to take this as a source of strength; that our sacred honor is that we will never allow ourselves to be humiliated in such a way again. And that we will never impose such humiliation upon any other human being.

So, I was reflecting on the last 50 years in this country, what we have tolerated. And before I came here today, I was reading a little bit from Martin Luther King's book about the process leading into his leadership of what became the Montgomery Bus Boycott. He described that the unity of the people – because people may know, it wasn't just that Rosa Parks refused to move to the back of the bus and got arrested and somehow there were demonstrations. People went on for nearly a year, refusing to ride the bus. That meant that people with the postal service were organizing all these elaborate carpools; and people in their 60s and 70s were walking 12 miles a day to not take the bus. And I was thinking to myself, how many Americans today would be prepared to walk 12 miles a day until we got the Manhattan subway system fixed, for example? Or until we found out who actually was behind the 9/11 terrorist attacks? Or until the torture

of
people, which is completely degrading to man as in the image
of
God? How many Americans would be prepared to do that kind of
hard work over an extended time? I began to think that this
is
the – when Lyndon LaRouche a few years ago, we did a series of
memorial concerts on the 15th anniversary of 9/11; and he
talked
about the humiliation of Americans not having done anything.
I
thought that's kind of an odd term; what does he mean
"humiliation"? When I was reading what Dr. King had to say
this
morning, I thought, "Well, of course. We should be
humiliated."
In a sense, we should be ashamed that we have allowed our
nation
to be in the shape that it is, and not have acted sooner. If
we
would take this opportunity this year, to come to that
conclusion
firmly as strongly as Xi Jinping means it in China, then there
is
absolutely nothing that can stop us.
The person whom I am about to introduce, has been a very
important leader for 40+ years, 50+ years, in that fight. It
is
a very challenging world right now. The American people
clearly
rejected a continuation of British imperial perpetual war and
Wall Street bail-out policies when they rejected the election
of
Hillary Clinton. Because President Trump represents an
opportunity, as this conference is called "Three Presidencies:
Trump, Putin, and Xi Jinping." Because there is a potential
represented by this administration to end the long reign of

the

evil British Empire; everything is going a bit crazy. I heard this morning, apparently there was a car that plowed into a crowd

in Muenster, Germany, killing several people and injuring many others, today while we're here. In the United States, we are bombarded; the American news media is violent in its coverage, because what it does to you is, it causes whipsaw. You're reading one thing one day, another thing the other day.

President

Trump says he wants to get the troops out of Syria; and then we

hear, "The White House says the troops must remain in Syria."

Well, who is the White House? It's apparently not the same thing

as President Trump. So, this causes a great deal of confusion and anxiety among the American people.

Mrs. LaRouche, who not only is the founder and chairwoman of the international Schiller Institute, is also a brilliant writer

and scholar. She is an expert on Nicholas of Cusa, who wrote a

very important paper called "The Coincidence of Opposites."

So,

I am confident that her address to us here today, will help all

of us to make sense of the situation and give us an idea of how

we can conduct ourselves to end this 50 years of humiliation in

the United States. So, with that, I'd like to introduce Helga Zepp-LaRouche.

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE: I want to say "Hello" to you, and I'm very happy to talk to you, at least via video, so I can share with you my ideas.

I think in the recent weeks, many people in many countries

have been very distraught about the so-called Skripal affair. This was the assassination attempt, the poison gas attack on the former double agent Sergei Skripal and his daughter. Immediately, the Theresa May government accused Russia that they did it. I think that this particular situation has demonstrated in a way we have not seen it ever, what is the role of the British Empire, the British government, British policies in the present escalation against Russia, and in a certain sense against China. This affair was immediately made an issue of NATO, of the European Union. Many EU members immediately declared unconditional solidarity with Theresa May, and they agreed on the formulation that there is no other plausible explanation than Russia did it. I think this reaction is very telling, because it shows on the one side, the degree of British control in NATO, and in part in the European Union. Fortunately, about half of the European Union members did not agree. But it also demonstrated the incredible Orwellian character of the present Western democracies of the so-called "liberal" Western system. Because the idea that you immediately abandon the principle that {in dubio pro reo}, that the innocence is relevant until proven guilty; that this was abandoned and that truth was replaced by a consensus among countries. If that is the principle of international policy, then we are all in very bad shape. The immediate danger is naturally that this thing is not just leading to mass expulsions of diplomats. The United States expelled 60 diplomats; the British expelled a similar number,

and

Germany four. Altogether, I think 23 diplomats in the other European countries. But obviously, this has the implication of

leading to a broader escalation of confrontation with Russia and

possibly even war; because this is a prewar propaganda. If you

look at the timing of this affair, first of all the two Skripals

fortunately seem to be in much better condition. That raises a

whole bunch of questions because if it was Novichok nerve gas, then the question is, how did the British have so quickly an antidote that they are now happily surviving? Or, maybe it was

not Novichok. How could they come so quickly to the conclusion

that it was Russia, when Scotland Yard said it would take several

weeks to find out what really was the nerve gas agent used in this attack.

The timing was at a point where, in the United States, the whole focus of Congressional investigations of the House Intelligence Committee, the House Judiciary Committee, similar committees in the Senate, was about the role of the British Empire in the Russia-gate affair, or the Trump-gate, or the Mueller-gate, depending on how you want to call it. The focus of

several committees started to really put into the limelight the

role of Christopher Steele, the so-called "former" MI6 agent, the

role of the British government, the collusion not with Russia but

with the British in the whole attempt to make a coup against President Trump. So, that was very convenient, because all of

a sudden, it was the Russia issue again. May, in these days, you could always say that the days of Theresa May seemed to be numbered; because she was in such an unstable position. Now, *cui bono*? Who has the motive? In whose interest would be such an affair? Well, Russia really has no motive; why would this occur just weeks before the Presidential election in Russia? Would Putin really want to have such notoriety just before the election, and just before the World Soccer World Cup? So, also Russia would have had many opportunities to kill Skripal; he was, for many years, in a Russian jail, he lived for many years in Great Britain without any problem. Nevertheless, despite that, Merkel and Macron, half of the EU immediately came out saying, "No, it is the only plausible explanation that it was Russia." Boris Johnson gave an interview to a German radio called Deutsche Welle, where he said that he had absolute scientific proof from the scientists of the Porton Down laboratory, who had definitely said that they had 100 % proof that it was Russia. In the meantime, the scientists refused to provide the after-the-fact evidence, and the head of the lab, Mr. Aitkenhead, said that they could identify that it was Novichok; but that they absolutely could not identify the source of the origin of this poison gas. This was a very lamentable situation, so the Foreign Office immediately deleted the tweet in which this

was stated; which now has Boris Johnson's stand there as a liar.

That does not prevent the Theresa May government from continuing to push the lie that Russia did it.

Many officials in Russia – Foreign Minister [Sergey] Lavrov; Foreign Ministry spokeswoman [Maria] Zakharova, the head of foreign intelligence [Sergey] Naryshkin – the all pointed to the fact that the {cui bono} and the likelihood, and who has the capacity and motive, actually points to British intelligence. This whole operation – and this was pointed out by many experts and commentators – this absolutely parallels what the British did in the Iraq case in 2003; where also MI6 produced a dossier supposedly proving that Saddam Hussein was in the possession of weapons of mass destruction which could reach every city within 45 minutes around the globe. That Saddam Hussein supposedly had absolute connections with al-Qaeda; which was a blatant lie, because Saddam Hussein used to throw al-Qaeda people into jail and other things. But this was then used as a pretext. So, Colin Powell gave the famous speech in the United Nations motivating U.S. participation in the Iraq War. Then, the war against Iraq occurred, with many hundreds of thousands of people losing their lives as a result.

This is what some people in Russia in the meantime have called “Goebbels” propaganda. Why is there such a demonization of Russia? Why is there a demonization of President Putin coming

essentially from the same people who are also demonizing President Trump and President Xi Jinping? This is the same foolishness which already led to the Second World War and which could easily trigger a Third World War. There is the danger that these war-mongers are repeating the same methodological mistake, stupidity, which led to two world wars. What is behind that is a mixture of desperation because the financial powers of the City of London and their Wall Street backers and collaborators see clearly that their system is failing. Obviously, they have a complete fear that this would go with a complete loss of their political and financial power. But it is also an obsession that their schemes will function, and if they just have enough containment and escalation then their system will be proven superior. They are confronted with their system not succeeding, but failing; they don't have the intended unipolar world, but they are confronted with the emergence of a completely New Paradigm in the world. If you want to understand why Russia is such a focus of Russophobia right now, you have to take the situation back to the end of the Soviet Union. Because in the United States, at a point when the Soviet Union started to disintegrate and there would have actually been the possibility for a peace order for the 21st Century, you had in the United States the consolidation of the neo-cons. They revived the American Century doctrine, which originally was formulated by Walter Lippmann in 1943, when

he published a book with that name which then became the entire basis for the post-war order; the legitimacy of NATO, the whole Cold War. It was the idea to revive that with the project for a new American Century and the idea that you would replace the two superpower system with an unipolar world based on the Anglo-American special relationship, and a neo-liberal monetarist system. This was essentially a continuation of the idea that you would control the developing countries, keep them in relative backwardness, and deregulate the financial system in order to bring back the power of Wall Street and the City of London, and basically control the world that way. In 1989, when the German reunification happened, this was actually combined with the promise that NATO would never expand eastward. You have to remember that the Soviet Union agreed to the dissolution of the GDR and German reunification without the use of force. You could say, in light of the history of the Second World War, where the Soviet Union had suffered tremendous losses of life and naturally had a very terrible memory of Nazi Germany that it was extremely generous of the Soviet Union to agree to that. The promise was clearly given not to expand NATO eastward; this was emphasized many times by the former American ambassador in Moscow at that time, John Matlock. In the recent

publications of the archives from George Washington University,
it was also clear that this was, indeed, a promise made. In 1990, the General Secretary of NATO at that time, Manfred Wörner, made a speech in Brussels which is worth remembering. He
at that time said, "The goal for the next decade is the creation
of a European security structure, including the Soviet Union and
the states of the Warsaw Pact," and that the Soviet Union would
play an important role in the construction of such a security system, and that he would understand the wish of the Soviet Union
not to be excluded from Europe. "The West cannot answer to the
erosion of the Warsaw Pact with a weakening or dissolution of [NATO]"; and therefore, "the only answer is the creation of a security framework which includes both alliances" and which includes the "Soviet Union into a cooperating Europe... The
very fact that we are ready not to deploy NATO troops beyond the
territory of the Federal Republic [of Germany] gives the Soviet
Union firm security guarantees," Wörner said.
This is all proven by these new documents which have been published that the West obviously, or the neo-cons and their British partners, were clearly promoting a different policy and
making fake promises. On the surface, the offer to the Soviet Union continued. Still in 1994, President Clinton said the NATO
expansion is not anti-Russian; it means inclusion instead of exclusion. But then, things became more dramatic. In 1999, there was the famous Tony Blair speech in Chicago, which was the

definite elimination of whatever relic of the Peace of Westphalia system existed; and by that, also the elimination of the principles of the UN Charter – namely, guaranteeing the sovereignty of every country. This was clearly a foreshadowing of what Blair did later in 2003 with the Iraq War. What replaced the idea of respect for the sovereignty of countries was the idea of “humanitarian” interventions. Naturally, then in 2001 with the September 11th attack, which was a complete assault on all civil liberties and civil rights which had been fought for, for decades. And it imposed an international regime with the pretext of the war against terrorism. What followed then was regime change, color revolution. You had the Orange Revolution in 2004 in Ukraine; you had the Rose Revolution in Georgia. In the meantime, both the Russian and Chinese militaries respectively stated that they regarded color revolution as an absolute total form of warfare. Naturally, the Maidan coup against the Ukraine government belongs in this chain. Also, already in 2002, the United States abandoned unilaterally the ABM [Anti-Ballistic Missile] Treaty, and proceeded to build up a global ABM system, which Russia had said at the very beginning, they could not tolerate the Phase 3 and Phase 4 of it to be implemented, because it would completely undermine the strategic stability and therefore be a threat to the security interests of Russia. In the 16 years of Bush, Jr. and Obama, these interventionist wars continued. Bush declared the “Axis of Evil,” and the various wars in the Middle East and northern

Africa started to eliminate governments which were not agreeable

to this idea of a unipolar world. The world was slowly and steadily going to more Hell, more refugee crises, more misery; millions of people dying in the Middle East and northern Africa.

Then, in 2013, the world suddenly changed for the better. President Xi Jinping announced a new model of international relationships in Kazakhstan – the New Silk Road. In the tradition of the ancient Silk Road, which was an incredible exchange not only of goods, technologies, cultures, ideas, but also laid the foundation of a dialogue among nations; this New Silk Road took on a development which is unprecedented I think in

all of history. In the last 4.5 years, this new Spirit of the New Silk Road started to catch on, so that by now, more than 140

countries are cooperating in Asia, in Latin America, in Africa,

even in Europe, with the New Silk Road. You have a tremendous sense of optimism in Latin America, where practically all Latin

American countries are now building and planning to build bi-oceanic projects; bi-oceanic railway between Brazil and Peru,

bi-oceanic tunnels between Argentina and Chile, and many other projects. So, the Spirit of the New Silk Road has definitely caught on in the Caribbean and Latin American countries. It is

for sure the case in the Asian countries, and many corridors are

being built. Africa has completely changed with the building of

railways from Djibouti to Addis Ababa; all along the eastern African countries, the western African countries. If you look at

the map of Chinese investments in railway systems and industry

parks and hydropower in many other agricultural projects, there is a completely new spirit and self confidence among the Africa nations that they can now overcome poverty and under-development for the first time, in the near future. Even in Europe, where the EU has been absolutely blocking any cooperation, the New Silk Road Spirit has absolutely caught on. You have the 16+1 Eastern and Central European countries; you have the Balkan countries. Italy is now engaged together with China in a major project called Transaqua, which will change the lives of 12 African nations and bring industrialization into the heart of Africa. But also, Portugal and Spain want to be the hubs not only for the western end of the Eurasian part of the New Silk Road, but to be also a hub for the Spanish- and Portuguese-speaking countries in Africa and Asia and Latin America. So, the New Silk Road Spirit is absolutely on the agenda. Also in Switzerland, in Austria, and even in Holland, Belgium, and some of the Scandinavian countries. This is based on the idea of a win-win cooperation of respect for the sovereignty of the other country and respect for the other social system. This has been an incredible development. It's already 12 times larger than the Marshall Plan was, but the amazing thing is that for 4.5 years where this project is now progressing, the Western mainstream media and Western politicians have virtually ignored it; they have not reported it, and only in the recent period have they suddenly realized this is unstoppable. What is now occurring is a

flood

of attacks from the main think tanks, saying this is just an authoritarian effort by the Chinese to replace the Anglo-American

imperialism with a Chinese one, and they want to take over the world. It was quite a sudden change in the coverage and in the comments.

A similar shock happened when they realized that Russia was absolutely not a regional power as Obama had told, but that basically it was about to become, under the leadership of President Putin, a major power again. So therefore, when Trump

suddenly won the election, the same apparatus which is now behind

the Skripal affair – British intelligence in collusion with the

intelligence heads of the Obama administration – started a policy of a coup against President Trump. There was an article

in January 2017 by the British paper {The Spectator}, which said

that President Trump would be gotten out of the White House either through a coup, impeachment, or an assassination attempt.

That was obviously the policy which these people followed, and the aim clearly was to prevent President Trump – who had promised in the election campaign to improve relations with Russia and bring it back on a stable and good basis – to prevent

Trump from doing it by saying, “If you dare to speak to President

Putin, that just proves you are a Russian agent.” It took indeed

until the G-20 meeting in Hamburg last year, before Putin and Trump had a personal meeting and actually hit it off very well.

Also, between President Trump and President Xi Jinping, contrary to what Trump had said in the election campaign where he was actually on a quite strong China-bashing mode, he received President Xi Jinping in April last year at his private residence in Mar-a-Lago. And they established a very good positive relationship between the two of them. Then, when President Trump went to Beijing for a visit in October last year, President Xi Jinping returned this and gave Trump what they called a "state visit plus." President Xi Jinping had the Forbidden City closed down to visitors for an entire day, and gave a huge long history lecture on Chinese history to President Trump and his wife. They established and deepened their relationship. In the meantime, also Russia and China established the deepest strategic partnership in their history. Putin gave a speech on March 3, 2018 to the Federal Assembly, where he announced new weapons systems; basically, a long-range missile which does not follow the ballistic curve, but is highly maneuverable. Then also, a nuclear-powered cruise missile which the West absolutely does not have, and a nuclear-powered underwater drone which is quicker than above-water ships, and laser weapons. This combination of these and other weapons means that all of sudden, the entire global ABM system the United States had proceeded to build is obsolete. President Putin said, well, the West refused to even respond to all the offers made by Russia since 2002; but now, they have to respond. It is quite amazing that, except the demand of four American ambassadors, they have not yet responded. Western media tended to belittle

these new weapons systems, or ignore them for the most part. [Chinese Foreign Minister] Wang Yi and the Chinese Defense Minister Wei Fenghe just attended the very large 7th Moscow International Security Conference, which was attended by 900 guests and 700 media. Wang Yi said that Russia can pursue its own interests and play a larger role in the international and regional stages. The Chinese Defense Minister Wei Fenghe said he

came in order to send a signal to Washington that the Russian-Chinese alliance is absolutely the strongest and that there is a very close cooperation between the Russian and the Chinese armed forces.

All of these things have to be seen as a dynamic process, where we are now on the verge also of a full-fledged trade war.

Admittedly, the trade deficit of the United States with China is

untenable; but when President Trump said that he wants to impose

tariffs first on \$60 billion trade deficit, and then on another

\$100 billion trade deficit, this was met by an unusually sharp response from the Chinese. *Global Times* wrote yesterday that China will not submit to the U.S. trade intimidation; that China

is prepared to react with a full list of their own tariffs on American imports; that the trade war will cause pain for China,

but the Chinese society will rally and unite around the government and the Party; and that they will also present a detailed plan to respond, and then the Americans would have to choose if they back their President in doing so, or if they hold

him accountable for the consequences. *China Daily* even mentioned that the Chinese countermeasures could include the dumping of U.S. Treasuries, of which they have \$1.4 trillion as

securities.

All of this comes at a moment where, at any moment, we could have a new financial crash much worse than that of 2008, because

all the central banks did absolutely nothing to remove the root

causes of the crisis of 2008. They just did quantitative easing,

zero interest rates, and naturally many corporations took that gratis money to buy back their own stocks so that their stock exchange values would go up, but the corporate debt would increase. Now, as the Federal Reserve is trying to increase the

interest rate, the blow-out of these corporate debt situations could trigger a complete systemic collapse. That is just one of

the many facets of this crisis.

An insider in the banking system, a well-placed one, told us very recently that there is actually the possibility that some of

the financial forces could even deliberately trigger a crash which they know is inevitable to come, as a deliberate plan to pull the rug out from underneath President Trump; to bring back

the neo-cons, and that way to solve the problem which they could

not solve with the failed Russia-gate attempt. One thing is very

clear. If that would happen and the neo-cons would get fully back in the United States, World War III is as good as secure and

certain.

In the middle of this Skripal affair, President Trump and President Putin telephoned; and President Trump absolutely refused to send out tweets on this affair or otherwise join in the present Russia bashing.

I want to make the strong point that there is a solution to

all of the problems I just mentioned. That is, that there are many possibilities. For example, when Presidents Trump and Putin

will have a summit in the near future, they could discuss this.

Also, the Chinese Prime Minister Li Keqiang had recently pointed

to the fact that there is actually another way to solve the trade

deficit; namely, by massively increasing the trade. President Xi

Jinping has offered to the whole world, including all the European nations and the United States, that they should cooperate with the Belt and Road Initiative. China could decide

and choose not to dump U.S. Treasuries as a punishment for the U.S. trade measures, but they could invest the \$1.4 trillion in

U.S. Treasuries in infrastructure in the United States. Diane mentioned the Manhattan subway system in her remarks, and if you

look at the infrastructure – not only in Manhattan, but in all of the United States – the condition of the highways, the absolute absence of a fast train system; it is very clear that the United States urgently needs investment in infrastructure. President Trump had promised in the election campaign that he would invest \$1 trillion in infrastructure build-up; but so far,

he has not been able to find any financing, because the private

investors want an 11 % to 12 % return and a complete return of their capital within 10 years. Which means it is not possible to

finance it through private investment. The neo-cons in the Senate and in the Congress do not want to spend it in the Federal

budget. The idea to distribute it to the regional and state

governments is just not practical.

So, if on the other side, China, which has a fantastic fast train system of I think 25,000 km of fast train, and is planning

to connect every major Chinese city with a fast train system and

build 40,000 km of fast train systems by 2020; China could help

to build such a fast train system in the United States and connect every major city with a fast train system going 350 mph

and in that way, completely transform the infrastructure of the

United States. This would help not only to overcome the trade deficit, but it would open the way for joint ventures between the

United States and China in third countries. In Latin America where, contrary to what former Secretary of State Tillerson had

said, China is not trying to build an imperial system in Latin America. But China and the United States could join hands in building up the industries of the Southern Hemisphere. Also, the

same could happen in Asian countries along the Belt and Road; and

also naturally in Africa. It could happen in the reconstruction

and economic build-up of the war-torn region of Southwest Asia,

and naturally of Africa in general.

This could even include Great Britain eventually, if they change their government and if they get their crimes cleared up

which they clearly have committed. But it would mean absolutely

the necessity to reform the financial system of the United States

and Western Europe.

My husband, Lyndon LaRouche, has already developed several years ago a package which together would absolutely remedy the situation. It would mean that the United States should go back

to a Hamiltonian banking policy, to a banking system in the tradition of Alexander Hamilton; including the Glass-Steagall banking separation of Franklin D Roosevelt. Then, have a national bank, a credit system, then have a crash program for thermonuclear fusion and joint space cooperation with other countries in order to increase the productivity of the economy in a qualitative way.

What people really don't realize, or most people don't realize, is that the present Chinese model of economy and the early U.S. republic model are very similar. They're based on Hamiltonian principles. In China, they have now made a huge effort to eliminate the speculative area, to forbid Chinese investors abroad to invest in speculation. It is very clear that

China, even if they don't call it way, is actually very close to

the American System. And it is no coincidence that the most popular economist in China is Friedrich List, the German economist who was sort of the predecessor to Henry C. Carey, and

who wrote important writings about the different between the British and the American systems. Germany also has a tradition

of that; namely, the Kreditanstalt für Wiederaufbau, the Credit

Bank for Reconstruction, which was based on the Roosevelt Reconstruction Finance Corporation and was the basis for the German economic miracle in the post-war reconstruction. So, also

in Europe, you have some relevance and memory of this system. Now after Xi Jinping had announced the New Silk Road, the

Schiller Institute and our organization published a study which

we had worked on for 26 years with the name "The New Silk Road Becomes the World Land-Bridge," which is actually the absolute blueprint and outline for an international economic cooperation

of all nations overcoming geopolitics.

Now just imagine if we could mobilize the American people to exert pressure on President Trump and give him backing, and he would accept the offer of Xi Jinping to cooperate with the New Silk Road in this way and also the European countries would eventually recognize – most of them are doing it already – but even the remaining ones would recognize that the cooperation with

Russia, with China and the other nations who already have jointed

the Belt and Road initiative—that this would be much more in their self-interest, than the present course of the British confrontation with Russia and with China.

If such an international economic cooperation could be realized, it would also be the realistic basis for a global security architecture which would include among others also Russia and China. It would then require that we do exactly what

Xi Jinping has said many times, that mankind needs to move in a

new phase of international cooperation, what he calls the "shared

community of the future of mankind" or a "community of destiny,"

then we could start to focus on the real problems, the common aims of mankind. We could build a system to make nuclear weapons

obsolete, a new form of the SDI, what my husband had proposed, in

the end of the 1970s and then it was in the works for several years; and then on March 23, 1983, President Regan had

announced

the SDI as a way for both superpowers to cooperate to make nuclear weapons obsolete. I think in light of the present danger

of a new arms race and the already-existing arms race and the danger that this gets out of control, we need such an approach as

a new SDI; and also a new SDE [Strategic Defense of the Earth],

because the planet as a whole is threatened by dangers from space, from asteroids, from comets, which could really extinguish

life on this Earth.

We should instead concentrate on the common aims of mankind—the alleviation of poverty, the creation of a living standard for a decent life for every human being on this planet,

and a system of earthquake precursors and joint space research and travel. We should concentrate on space colonization as the

necessary and possible next phase of the evolution of the human

species. I think that if we combine that with a dialogue of cultures where each nation would emphasize and revive the best traditions of its own culture, and then have a dialogue among all

of these nations and cultures, we could absolutely create the basis for a new Renaissance.

Skeptics would say that this is completely unrealistic. But I'm saying that the fact that you have these three Presidents—President Putin, who is obviously recognized and loved by the Russian people, and has just been reelected with an

overwhelming majority; with Xi Jinping, who is an exceptional leader who obviously is equally loved by the Chinese population,

and basically they decided to eliminate the limits to his term

in
office so that he can guide China in these very, very
important
coming years; and President Trump, who is absolutely not what
the
media are making out of him, but who has shown again and again
that he has outflanked a pretty difficult factional situation
in
his own party, and naturally with a Congress and a Senate
which
are very obstructive for the most part. I think that if the
three Presidents join hands and do what they clearly did very
successfully so far, in the attempt to solve the crisis of the
Korean Peninsula, I absolutely think this is a realistic
option.

However, we should not sit on our hands, but we should
really get into an international mobilization to propose this
agenda, and do everything in our means to make it possible.
It
is the life of civilization which depends on it.
Thank you. [Applause]

SARE: Thank you. We can now take questions from the
audience here. Please say your name, and if you represent an
institution or a press agency, please state what you're
representing as well.

Q: I would like to ask you a question on behalf of Weiwei
TV. As you may know, President Donald Trump has already
instituted trade policies on China and China made a serious
response. So I would like to know how you see the
relationship
between the United States and China? And what direction do you
think this relationship is going to? Thank you.

ZEPP-LAROUICHE: I think that we have a very serious danger,
because if it comes to this trade war, as I mentioned, you
have

already a collapsing financial system of the trans-Atlantic region. And a trade war could easily be one of the elements triggering a complete meltdown of the financial system and that would obviously be much worse for the West than for China, which has taken certain measures to eliminate speculation and put the whole Chinese economy on a solid ground. Nevertheless, the consequences of a financial crash would be potentially extremely dangerous. As I said, if the neo-cons would come back and Trump would be ousted in this context, we would be back to Hell in no time.

On the other side, the trade war has not yet started. So far, it's just lists, and there is room to put on the agenda a different proposal. I think Prime Minister Li Keqiang already pointed to it, to increase the trade in joint ventures in third countries. I think that the more people talk about this idea of U.S. investments in infrastructure and, for example, Xi Jinping could reiterate the proposal for the United States to join the Belt and Road Initiative, I think the trade war can still be avoided. But it does need determined action.

And I think that the possibility exists simply because the relationship between Xi Jinping and Trump has so far lasted over a year, and they have telephoned around many crises; and basically the Korea situation is on a very good course. There will be a summit between [Shinzo] Abe and Trump, who also wants to play a positive role. There will be a meeting between Putin

and Trump, hopefully very soon; and Kim Jong-Un and Trump. So I think there is a diplomatic framework where many initiatives can be made, and I think the New Silk Road is definitely the answer to solve all of these problems.

Q: Hello. I think what you have said today is just enlightening. My name is Alan S. I'm a screenwriter and producer of a World War I mini-series, called "The 42nd Rainbow Division." I think history is our greatest weapon and if we start actually thinking back to what Russia actually did, for not only World War II, but also World War I. We would have lost both world wars. And actually the United States wouldn't have even been in World War I, because we would have lost it before we even got in. They were a huge ally. I think history needs to be taught to the young and that's why I'm doing this series, is because the younger generations don't realize that Russia has been an ally. And now we're vilifying Russia and making them into a villain when it should be the opposite. How do we actually teach this to the young? The younger generations are our hope and they're our future.

ZEPP-LAROUICHE: I think we need to change the narrative of the neo-cons. Because when the Ukraine crisis started to develop, President Putin said if it would not have been Ukraine, they would have found another way to escalate the confrontation with Russia. And I think that this is absolutely the case.

I think to change the narrative of the Ukraine, because this is really when the total escalation against Putin as the demon started, is a very urgent matter because right now President Poroshenko has announced that he wants to basically have a military solution for the east Ukraine, which could easily provoke a war with Russia.

I think the narrative has to be replaced by the truth. The truth is that Victoria Nuland bragged that she and the State Department spent \$5 billion in building up NGOs to cause regime change in Ukraine. The former Chancellor Helmut Schmidt—even he admitted that the Ukraine crisis started with the EU expansion summit of Maastricht in 1992, when the eastward expansion of the EU was decided, and the crisis was triggered when the EU wanted to have the association of Ukraine (basically at the end of 2013, which was the point when President Yanukovich decided he couldn't do it because it would have given NATO access to the Black Sea; it would have flooded Russia with EU products. This then triggered the Maidan, which was immediately supported by these NGOs financed by Nuland and the West, supported by neo-Nazis which were then causing the violence, and finally the coup in February 2014, imposing a fascist government as a reaction to that. And the threat to forbid the Russian language, the people in the Crimea decided to hold a referendum and they voted to be part of Russia, so Crimea was not annexed, but it was a vote for self-determination of the Crimean people.

I'm telling you all of this because part of the demonization of Putin, is the Ukraine story and what he supposedly did with

Crimea, and all of this is not true. I think we have to really make an effort, to maybe produce many more movies and maybe we can work together to this effect because we have documented many of these wrong narratives and we must make them known. Because if the mass media are just portraying this idea that Russia is about to do everything, and behind every – it is worse than the McCarthy period and people are just hyped up which can only be characterized as a prewar propaganda. Because why do you build up an enemy image, because you want to make war against this nation.

This is a mortal danger in which the whole world is. And I think

this Skripal affair—the fact that it backfired, the fact that the British were caught lying, is really also a chance.

I would suggest that we work together on making more movies. We have already put out a lot of them, but I think we need and call upon all of you to help to distribute them, and make them known to as many young, middle-aged, and old people as we can.

Q: Mrs. LaRouche. Thank you very much for your speech. I think everyone here—we're very pleased to hear what you said.

My

name is Amber J. I'm political activist right now working with several groups for supporting Trump and also for the midterm election. And also, I'm working for fighting for Chinese-American minority civil rights kind of thing.

I have a question – I believe everybody came to this conference understands your speech and understands the principle

of three countries cooperating with each other. But there are some Trump supporters, they stand for Trump because Trump is starting a trade war right now. How would you persuade those

Trump supporters to understand this win-win cooperation between

these three countries, to maximize the effort for these three countries to cooperate together?

And also I believe a while ago, I heard India and Japan and probably the U.S.A. talking about starting another kind of international cooperation in terms of the infrastructure. That

is the kind of thing similar to the Silk Road, the One Belt, One

Road. How would you like to define that, or could you say about

something about it? Thank you.

ZEPP-LAROUICHE: I think this is again another narrative which needs to be replaced by truth. And that is, what is actually the Chinese policy? Part of this problem is that for a

long period of time the Western media and certain political circles in the United States have also painted a very negative picture about China. I think Chinese-Americans, and you yourself

could help to correct that.

I must say, my image of China is incredibly positive;

because I was there for the first time in 1971. This was in the

Cultural Revolution. And this was an unbelievable experience because at that time, the country was completely distraught.

People there were unhappy. The Red Guards took people out of their homes in the night. They painted all the cultural

buildings, the Summer Palace and other places in Beijing, with red paint.

Anyway, I'm just reporting that to say that when I returned to China after 25 years, in 1996, already with the idea of the Eurasian Land-Bridge, the idea of the New Silk Road, where I attended a major conference on that subject in Beijing as a

speaker, the country had already been completely transformed as a result of the policies of Deng Xiaoping.

But if you now go to China, it is unbelievable. The country is prosperous; there is a large well-to-do middle class. People

are optimistic about the future. They have an absolute vision, a self-confidence about China, about eliminating poverty by 2020.

President Xi Jinping has a hands-on policy, going to the villages, talking to individuals; finding out what measures must be taken to eliminate poverty.

It's just such an incredibly optimistic situation—where also, culturally, China is pursuing the revival of Confucianism.

Xi Jinping personally has made a big emphasis that Confucian philosophy is being taught on all levels of society.

I think that if people, especially in the Chinese-American community would amplify our efforts to show the real, true picture of China, I think the Trump supporters would absolutely

understand, that it {is} in the best interest for the United States and China to cooperate. If you think about it, if the two

largest economies in the world cannot cooperate, the danger of world war is very big.

Many people have talked about the Thucydides trap. This refers to the rivalry between ancient Athens and Sparta, which led to the Peloponnesian War, and the final disappearance of Classical, ancient Greece. If there would be a Thucydides trap

between the United States and China; if the United States would react to the rise of China by a military confrontation, the world

as a whole would not survive it.

China has (especially the Chinese ambassador in Washington, Cui Tiankai stressed that it is not the intention to replace the

U.S. as the strongest power, but to have a special great power relationship, where both of them respect the sovereignty of the

other, respect the different social system of the other, and then

join hands and cooperate in all strategic matters.

I think there must be a very big mobilization where the image of China in the United States is being straightened out, because once people know the beauty of Chinese culture, the optimism of the Chinese population, everything will change.

It is right now that the United States has a big moral and cultural crisis. You have for the first time the life-expectancy

going down. For two years in a row, you have the life-expectancy

of all categories of life in the United States shrinking. If there is *any* parameter for a collapsing economy, it is the life-expectancy. And that is naturally due to the new opium epidemic, the rate of suicides because of depression, alcoholism,

and the terrible culture of death, which expresses itself in the

youth culture, violence of the video games, in the whole entertainment industry, which is contributing to these many school shootings.

You do have a cultural problem. And I think you have to go back to the philosophy of Benjamin Franklin, the founder and father of America, who used to be a complete Confucian philosopher. He recognized the wonderful aspects of the moral philosophy of Confucius and modeled his own moral system on the

basis of Confucius. There are many parallels. You have the Confucius tradition with Benjamin Franklin, and in China, you

have the American System of Alexander Hamilton in the early phase of the American republic, and now, in the Chinese model. And you have many similarities which, once you see, you can see that there are universal principles uniting these two countries, which are much more deep and much more important than the superficial conflicts.

I would say the best thing one can do to intervene in this situation is, we have proposed the project for the China investment in infrastructure. This has been picked up by a Chinese professor recently, John Gong. It has been covered by CGTN TV. There is a very famous Trump supporter in California, who just made a similar proposal. I think that has to be talked up. I think we have to talk up the idea of overcoming the danger of a trade war, by putting instead on the table Chinese investment in infrastructure, U.S. and China joining in joint ventures in third countries, and start a real cultural dialogue, so that the two people start to know each other and know the best of each other. And that way we can overcome this crisis.

SARE: Helga, I have a question which I think is related. You may want to say more. It comes from Sr. Pat C., of the Dominican Sisters of Peace who is also a member of the alto section of the Schiller Institute chorus.

She writes, "In your view, what concrete actions now will help catalyze the transition from a competition of nations to cooperation and mutual respect?"

I think you largely have addressed that, but there may be more that you want to say.

ZEPP-LAROUICHE: I think that the knowledge about the incredible dynamic of the Belt and Road Initiative, once people know that, it changes their view. For example, take the case of Austria. Austria is a small country, but they want to take a leading role in becoming a hub for the New Silk Road. They just had a conference planning to broaden the gauge of the railway from Vienna all the way to Moscow, so that they can be better integrated in the container trains and similar things. All the Balkan countries are completely on board. The Eastern European countries, the Central European countries are all planning to be hubs and bridges.

The excitement in Africa— I mean, if people would know, there is a completely different spirit! No longer do the Africans want to be receivers of donations. They want to be treated as equal partners. They want to have investments, and the spirit of the New Silk Road has absolutely changed the self-esteem and self-confidence of all the African leaders and many of the people.

Just take this case of the Transaqua project. Transaqua is a project which was originally proposed by Bonifica, an Italian engineering firm, already more than 30 years ago. And the Schiller Institute and the LaRouche movement were campaigning for that for decades, because it is one of the key projects for the entire continent. What it would essentially mean is that you would take about 3-4% of the water from the tributaries of the Congo River, at a 500 meter height, and then by gravitation, you

can bring this water through a system of canals all the way to Lake Chad, which is now dried out to less than 10% of its original volume. This affects the lives immediately of 40 million

people in the Lake Chad Basin. When you bring this water back into Lake Chad, not only do you fill up this lake again, and create large volumes of water for irrigation for agriculture; you

also create an inland shipping system for 12 countries in the heart of Africa; you create hydropower; you create a system of industry parks, of industrialization. So you bring in the industrialization in the middle of Africa, and that with all the

other infrastructure projects, will mean *Africa has a future*.

By the year 2040, there will be 2 billion people living in Africa, and they need these jobs, they need education, they need

the kinds of projects, so that people are no longer marching through the Sahara and dying of thirst, which is happening now more than people even dying in the Mediterranean—it's just not being reported. These young people would instead help in the building up of the African continent.

This is such a fantastic development, and if the Americans would know about it – I mean, I'm only talking about the tip of

the iceberg – but if people would see the sheer volume of change

and the magnitude of change which is already happening, they would become absolutely optimistic and change their view, and recognize that in the history of mankind, geopolitics is something that absolutely has to be overcome, if we are supposed

to survive as a human species. In the age of thermonuclear weapons, if you do not overcome geopolitics, we are going to be

the destruction of our own species; and nobody in their right mind can really want that because even those warmongers, who

are

pushing it, would be eliminated themselves, too.

I think that the moment has absolutely arrived. If we go into a mass advertising campaign, a mass education campaign, about the existence of this New Paradigm, I think it can absolutely inspire the Americans and make the change which is necessary in the short term.

Q: Hi, I am an American citizen and a Confucian, I believe in Confucianism. I'm an independent scholar of language and civilization. I was an instructor of Chinese at Harvard University, in the Department of Eastern Language and Civilization.

I have the same idea as you that America needs to join China's One Belt and One Road plan. I grew up 10 years ago, during the age of reform of China. I worked as at the FESCO, the

Foreign Enterprise Service Corporation. I think more than 20 years ago, many American, European and Japanese companies invested in China, and gave us was a better economy, and I think

it was very important.

Now, I think in the 21st century, China's economy is much improved. It's time to bring China's investments into America and to help America's economy. That's why in 2016, I was for Donald Trump. I want to work with American people; I want to be

the bridge to connect China and America, to bring China's investment into America, to best help America's economy.

ZEPP-LAROUICHE: Very good! So many you can join with the Schiller Institute to help us, to get this message out widely. Because you know, already now the states which are doing large business with China, see the advantage. When President Donald Trump was in China last November, he had with him delegations from several states – West Virginia, Alaska, and some others. And in the case of West Virginia, he brought back trade deals

and investment deals worth \$83 billion! And the governor of West Virginia is completely optimistic that this will give back hope to all the people in West Virginia.

And there are many projects, for example, one very exciting idea is that Beijing, and the region of Hebei province and Tianjin, this is a region of about 130 million people, and there is a now a huge project whereby this region will be changed, where the heavy industry, which still has some environmental problems, causing smog and pollution is now being outsourced into Hebei province and modernized; a new city is being built, I think its name is Xiong'an, which is in the middle between Beijing, Tianjin and Hebei, and it's completely modernized. Beijing on the other side, will have lots of research and development, which is much cleaner for the environment, and all of this is supposed to be connected through an infra-urban modern transport system, including modern maglev. And the recent "Two Sessions" conference and the National People's Congress in China, the party discussed building a new maglev system of 600 kph speed, for the connection between the cities, and an inter-urban slow maglev system of 160 kph.

This is very good for urban transportation, because the beauty of the maglev system is that it accelerates immediately:

You are in a few seconds at full speed, with the slow maglev you're only going 160 kph, which is enough for inner city transport; and they want to connect this entire region with this

modern transport system, so that essentially no job will be more than 20 minutes away from the home of the working person. So you save all this commuting time.

And my idea is that this model of the Tianjin-Hebei-Beijing region could be a model for the modernization of New York, New Jersey, San Francisco, Los Angeles, the Midwest, and you actually do something like that inside the United States. And I think President Trump is a developer; he knows about infrastructure, and I think we just have to make sure that the Trump supporters know about these plans, and that we create an environment where this is actually intersecting the present crisis and danger of a trade war.

If you move quickly enough, and get the Trump voters all inspired with this idea, I think we can do a miracle. And I definitely believe in miracles, as long as we do them ourselves.

Q: [follow-up] Thank you very much. I totally agree with you. I believe that to bring China's investment and enterprise is more important in the trade market. And secondly, I was a professional Chinese instructor: I want to educate more Americans and Chinese people to understand each other, and make a friendship to develop together.

Q: I'm José V.: I'm here from New York City. Earlier you touched upon the youth culture and the culture of death, and I was hoping you could touch more upon that, because in my experience – and I'm only 19 myself, too – but from what I see of people around me, but also my nephew who will be turning 15

this year, I see he's more interested in violent video games and yelling into the microphone to imaginary people who aren't there, and spending a lot of money on things that will never really help him out in life: for example, he brought a \$300 belt buckle, because it said somebody's name on it, I think it's Gucci. He's more interested in reading violent comic books that display gore and showing people's insides – I don't have to go into that, you know about that.

My question is, how do we overcome this violence? How do we overcome this culture of death, and how do we overcome this culture of violence? And more importantly, how do we stop getting young people wanting to escape from reality by taking drugs and whatnot? So that's my question.

ZEPP-LAROUICHE: I think what we need for that is a mass movement for development. Because, first of all, I studied this question some years ago, when we had some guests and the children who came with the guests asked me if I knew about Pokémon, and naturally I had never heard about Pokémon. And they said: Oh, it's beautiful, it's violent, it's fighting. So I was so shocked,

I started to look into it, and I discovered Pokémon as a sort of

introduction drug to video games, and then naturally, the evolution, where these video games come from.

Well, they were developed by the military in the postwar period, because people had recognized in the Second World War,

only 15% of the soldiers were ready to shoot the enemy, because human beings have a sort of natural barrier inside them, which they don't want to overcome, and when they kill somebody it goes against that. So many people have a healthy block, – or had a healthy block. So the military developed these quickly changing targets, like in target practice, not having just one target but having many, and they change and move, so that you would learn to shoot quickly – shooting, shooting, one shot after the other – in order to train people to overcome the normal adrenalin shock which happens if you shoot at another person; if you are not brainwashed, then you have an adrenalin reaction and you start shaking and so on. So they wanted to get away from this, by having these video simulations, where people would learn to shoot, to increase the killer ratio of the soldiers. So this is the basis for these video games which then became commercial. And while, in the military, and obviously it's a terrible thing in the military also, but at least you have an officer, you have some guidance, you have military discipline; but when these video games, which have become more insane over the decades, if they are accessible to young children, and these young children have not had any kind of an inoculation through a humanist education, through the recognition of beauty in Classical culture, through moral guidelines given to them by their parents, but where you have a culture where everything is allowed, everything goes – movies become more violent, more perverse, more pornographic; even snuff movies, where killing is being filmed, or at least the illusion that people are killed

is

being filmed, *this is really deadly stuff!* This destroys the cognition of anybody, but especially of young people.

And when young people, then, children, pupils, students, become autistic because they are only living in their social media, and have completely lost the ability to relate to each other, this is the death of a culture. And I think this is what's happening in the United States. You would not have these

unbelievable numbers of mass school shootings: Like after Columbine in 1999, there were 38 mass shootings. And after the Parkland shooting, you had 50 alarms in the schools per day, where pupils would see another pupil having a weapon, or having

crazy messages.

Now, obviously, this brings us to the question of, who is promoting this? President Trump had a meeting in the White House, where he met with the pupils of the school in Parkland, and the producers of these videos. So obviously, President Trump

is aware of it, and I think we have to strengthen his resolve to

move against it. And it happens to be that the Parkland incident, in particular, was also the work of the FBI, because they established a system which is run by some uneducated call center, and so, many of the hints which were clearly given before, were missed. And it now turns out that in the Orlando case, the father of the shooter was a longtime FBI informant.

So

there is a lot of these things to be pursued.

But I think the key thing is a mass movement for development. Because, if young people have no hope for the future, and have no perspective, because it's now the common view

that the coming generations will be worse off than the present one – this is the first time ever this has happened; because it used to be a moral standard for families, for everybody,

that

you work so that your children will have a better life than yourself. And this has been abandoned for the first time. So what will young people have as a perspective? Well, they have no

future. And that is a *huge* difference! And I can assure you, I have seen it in all cases: There is a gigantic difference between the optimism of the youth in China, and the pessimism of

the young people and the population in general in the United States, and in countries like Germany, for example.

So the absence of a vision, where the future of a nation, of the world will be, is what is feeding this kind of culture of death, because then it doesn't matter, life doesn't matter, life

is worth nothing, whether you shoot somebody or not it makes no

big difference.

So I think a mass movement for the kind of economic development which we were talking about before, is an absolute ingredient, so that people have a reason to study, to develop their minds, to develop their cognitive powers, to be productive.

If you have the feeling that you can be an astronaut, that you can be a scientist in the realm of a thermonuclear fusion economy; that you will travel to the Moon Village in your lifetime, you have a motivation to study! And I think without such a motivation, it is very, very difficult.

So I would not look at it as a separate issue: I would look at it as an integral question to the whole discussion we are having here.

Q: Thank you for your work, today, and throughout all your time.

I'm Father Richard D., Franciscan Servants of God's Grace.

My question to you, is we know that the President has written a

book showing that his way of dealing with a problem is to take an {extreme} view, so he has room to compromise, to come back to what he actually wants. Do you believe he's doing this with the international trade situation?

ZEPP-LAROUICHE: I don't know; it may be. Because I think President Trump has said of himself many times, that he knows how to make deals, that he would get better agreements than most other people. And it may very well be that such an idea exists, that he makes big announcements of tariffs and so forth, and then in reality, he's negotiating and has his ambassador and other people, trade negotiators, making such a discussion. I don't know. I find it a little bit risky, because I saw some Chinese articles where they said that some people may think that they can get a better result this way, but that China will not be intimidated into making compromises and basically will answer back. I don't know. I think it is not necessary. I think this particular idea that you make a huge attack, and then you go for something less, is still, in my view, – and as you probably have realized, I'm very positive about the potential of President Trump; I've stuck my neck out a year ago, when I said that if Trump is able to put the relationship with Russia and China on a positive basis, he will go into history as one of the greatest American Presidents, and I stuck my neck out. And I'm repeating this, here. So, as you can see, I'm very optimistic and

positive

that it could happen.

But I also think that this particular style of negotiation is very dangerous, especially in an environment which is fraught

with dangers as I touched upon in my earlier remarks. A much better way, in my view, would be to just say, "We want the United

States and China to work together on a New Paradigm." There is already the Belt and Road Initiative. The United States could have some program, they could call it the American Silk Road, or

the American FDR Revival, or the American Founding Fathers Celebration, if they don't want to be part of something which already has been put out by China, it doesn't matter, as long as

the content of the policy is the same on.

And I think the potential for things to grow into a higher level of reason – I mean, here we are talking about the one humanity. I mean, I think the spiritual dimension, if you want,

has to be brought into this matter, because man is different from

all creatures, because we are gifted by God with creative reason.

And you don't have to be a Christian, you can be a Confucian philosopher, you can be a Buddhist, you can be just a good person, to understand that we have reached a point in human history, where we either recognize that we are all part of the one

humanity, or we will not make it as a species.

Since Diane mentioned earlier Nicholas of Cusa, I can only say, that Nicholas developed a way of thinking which – she mentioned in the *Docta Ignorantia*, the "coincidence of opposites," which is the idea that because we are capable of creative reason, we can think the One as having a higher quality

and a higher power than the Many: The one humanity being first,
and then the many nations being also important, but being not in
contradiction to the progress and wellbeing of the one
humanity.

So I think if we understand that it is really the question
of addressing that in us, which makes us human, the creative
potential, then I think we can just find a way of shaping a
New

Paradigm where mankind is defined from a common future, how do
we

want to be existing as a human species, in 100 years from now,
in

1,000 years from now, or even in 10,000 years from now?

Because

we can *think* the future! No dog, no donkey, not goose can
think the future. If you tell a dog, "Let's have a walk
tomorrow," the dog will hear the word "walk," which the dog
probably knows, and jump to the door and wag its tail, and be
happy. But if you say "tomorrow," it doesn't mean anything to
the dog!

But I think we need to raise the level of our communication,
and just really do our duty as a human species, and prolong
our
existence indefinitely, by working together.

Q: My name is J. I'm the author of two books, *Evidence Not
Destroyed*, and *Spread Real Love*. I want to thank Mrs.
LaRouche; I want to thank this organization. You're some fine
people. As I travel around America, I see many things: I
just

had to buy a new car, because the one I had had over 205,000
miles on it; and the one before that had 186,000 miles on it.
But

I'm going around the countryside, and other countries, also,
spreading, putting this literature out for so many years. And

I'm impacted with this organization. It has some very find, smart brains, that are sitting here in this auditorium today, and

I just thank God for you.

And when we come to a situation like we have today, I want to know how we can go forward? How we can promote civilization?

How we can carry on? But if we have learned anything from our history, we have to look back and look at our history, and look

at our results. We have something that's so profound here today; we don't get this kind of information on the TV no more. I

used to watch so much news, but now, they say the news is not absolutely real! They say something about "fake" – I heard that

over and over again, so I turned my television off from the news.

And when I can come and get this type of *real* information, in a

setting like this, it makes one want to go forward.

So I'm here to help anyway I can. I'll put another 100 and some thousands miles on the car I just bought, to get this information out to the people, because if you don't get it, the

news is not going to give it to you correctly, the way it should

be given. So, I'm just thanking each one, and all of you that's

working – just as I am; Matt Guice, I've been working with him

since the '90s; Lynne Speed and Dennis Speed, I've been working

with these people since the early '90s. And I'm so proud.

One thing, let me say, I think the reverend right before me, a religious man – I sit in a church now, and I'm the only deacon

there! Why is this? Look where we're going? Why is that?
We're
reforming, we're conforming, we're complying to every
situation
that's not good. And I think we have some real strength here,
and we can do some great things. The main thing is, keep
going
forward. Thank you.

ZEPP-LAROUICHE: Well, I think people have to be courageous,
because the paradigm shift which occurred in the United
States,
which you, Diane, referenced in the beginning, which really
started with the murder of John F. Kennedy and then the murder
of
Martin Luther King, and the assassination of Robert Kennedy,
you
know, we had several years ago, a Mozart *Requiem* performance
in
Vienna, in the suburbs of Washington, and also in the Boston
Cathedral, commemorating the paradigm shift which has occurred
in
the last 50 years of America, where, the fact that the Kennedy
murder, and also the murder of Martin Luther King, was really
not
avenged – or, not avenged, but not even investigated, and the
real culprits made known and punished, which has led to people
becoming depressed. I said many times, the Americans almost
have
become like the Germans, because if you ask a German person to
do
something, 99 % of the people say “Oh, you can't do anything,
anyway,” so people are really depressed, and feel that they
are
powerless in the face of what is happening.
And that has happened to America as a result of these
unclarified murders. And since we have this event today,

because
of the 50th anniversary of the assassination of Martin Luther King, I think it's a very good moment in history, to say, we will
not allow the murderers of King to be successful in eliminating
the hope which he represented. I mean, Martin Luther King was murdered at a moment when he had started to pick up many of the
same issues which are now being, in reality, changed by China. Because he had started not only to take up the question of economic justice *inside* the United States, but also he had started to take on the question of jobs and overcoming poverty in
developing countries. And that is what China is doing, exactly
today. And in the same way as the Schiller Institute has been campaigning for, and LaRouche and his movement have been working
for, for almost half a century, is now becoming a reality. So there is reason for optimism. And I think that the best thing we can do in a moment like this, thinking about the memory
of Martin Luther King, is to say, we will pick up the torch, we
will not allow the American people to be passive and desperate and ignorant and all of these things, but we will all turn into
active members of the Schiller Institute, help to spread the message; make the Schiller Institute a Renaissance movement, a moment fighting not only for the economic buildup of the United
States, but also for a cultural Renaissance. I think the two things absolutely have to go together.
So I would encourage all of you to absolutely work with us, because I think the solution to all of these problems are absolutely within reach.

SARE: As the next person is coming up I would just tell everybody, during the break you will have the opportunity to do exactly what Mrs. LaRouche has said, which is to become a member of the Schiller Institute at our literature table. And to purchase copies of these very important, world-changing reports: This is the one she mentioned, "The New Silk Road Becomes the World Land-Bridge," which we produced right after Xi Jinping announced it. And this report, of which Jason Ross is a coauthor on "Extending the New Silk Road to West Asia and Africa: A Vision of an Economic Renaissance."

Q: Hi, I'm Donald C. My quick question is about the liberals. How are they teaching curriculums to our kids, and they're not giving them the chance to learn the right stuff, and they're just forcing the kids what their beliefs are?

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Yes, that is a big problem, because it started with John Dewey, to basically develop this liberal pragmatic idea of education. And unfortunately, you have generations of people who have gone through different phases of such elimination of Classical literature, of natural science, so it is a real problem. And I would think that the best way to proceed on that, is if you look at the kind of materials which we present in the present [What Is the New Paradigm?] class series, which you can find on the LaRouche PAC site, and you can register to be part of it, this is the kind of curriculum which was

developed especially with the ideas of my husband, Mr. LaRouche,
who did an incredible job, because he revived the best traditions
of the 2,500 years of European civilization, the traditions in science which were the source of qualitative progress, the great
Classical arts; and this is something which is not taught in American schools, for the most part.
And I think we have to form, basically, educated people, who then hopefully, we can influence this present administration to
change that. I think President Trump has repeatedly shown, at least for certain areas, an understanding; he talked about the American System of economy; he talked about Lincoln and Carey, he
talked about Hamilton. So in the economic field there is definitely something there, which we can build on. I think there
are many other people are equally concerned about the condition
of the school system. But I think the best thing is that you register for these classes [<http://discover.larouchepac.com/>].
And
if you haven't already done it, you can also watch some of the previous classes in the series.[6] Get yourself absolutely a firm
grip on universal history, of the great advances in science and
culture, and then, you know, basically help us to organize change.
Because it will come from many places. There are many people are realizing that at this point it is the scientists, the
engineers and such people, who will be much more important in the
shaping of things, than many politicians who are part of a

party

system and partisan, and therefore, don't really regard these issues are the important ones.

But the best advice I can give you right now, is if you join with our efforts, we find ways to address all of these issues, and build a growing movement to demand such a change.

Q: Thank you very much. Your comments were very insightful. I believe in the paradigm where the United States, Russia and China, essentially a triumvirate is essentially going to lead the

world, hopefully forward and out of the morass that we've been in. Especially over the prior eight years before this current President came into office.

The question, I want to ask is, what do you perceive would be the case – because I don't believe this economy in this country

would have lasted another year, under the current policies.

We

would have had a significant economic drop which would have led

to, since this country's GDP is 25 % of the world's, would have

had a worldwide, negative impact. Having said that, what do you

perceive would be the consequences in this country, or the for that matter the world, on the movement forward that has occurred,

if President Trump did not have the position he has? He may be

President, but he may have a weakened political system, in the sense of a House and the Senate: Would we be able to move forward? And what would be the consequences, and under what conditions could we move forward? Could this economy continue to

grow if he can't implement his policies? What would be the international consequences of that, from your perspective?

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: I think the strongest situation is Trump's relation with his voters. That despite all of the attacks by the FBI, by the British, by the heads of the intelligence services from the Obama administration, – I mean, he had a pretty tough environment, and nevertheless, he goes back to his voters, he holds a rally, and the support for him is actually growing in the polls. So I think that that is for sure, a very strong point which we should build on, because if we keep strengthening that, and if we keep informing the Trump voters on all the issues we are discussing here, that can actually help to outdo the Congress and the Senate.

And since there is a midterm election, there is actually a very good moment to do that. I mean, the danger is naturally that Trump could be convinced that to take an anti-China stance would help him in the midterm election. I mean, I'm not sure; I'm not close enough to the situation to make a judgment on that.

But I think the strong point is, Trump is close to his voters, the voters still recognize what a change he means, and I think that we need to have a mass mobilization – I think there is no shortcut from that; because the danger is very acute. What I said in my initial remarks, when we talk to some really well-placed figure in Europe, who said that there is a discussion to pull the rug out from under Trump with a new financial crash, and if you think that this is a conspiracy theory – well, maybe before the Skripal case, you also thought that such things are conspiracies, but we have just seen a classic example of how

you

can manipulate a whole international community of nations to go

into an attack on Russia, based on a lie! So these things do happen and they can happen.

Now, there are also many warnings. Just today, I think some representative of the firm of Guggenheim put out a warning on this corporate debt question that a financial crash can happen at any moment. And basically, you have the European banking system, the Italian banks are in terrible shape, you have a policy where the trigger point of a collapse of the financial system is many-fold. It's also like a minefield where it's not

clear which mine will trigger the explosion, but once it happens,

you could have a systemic blowout, much worse than that of 2008.

Because the central banks have done absolutely zero, to eliminate

the root causes of the crash of 2008. They have, instead, used

the so-called tools and instruments – namely quantitative easing, negative interest rate, money pumping – but this has reached the point where now the Fed is forced, or think they are

forced, to increase the interest rate, because a negative interest rate is very bad for the real economy, it's bad for the

savings of the people, it's bad for life insurance, it's bad for

real investment; and the hyperinflationary consequence of such money-pumping is already visible on the horizon in the form of the totally overvalued stock market, in the form of real estate

prices, in the form of many other such phenomena. So the Fed needs to increase the interest rate, but that is already bringing

the immediate potential for a new crash.
If that happens, I think we are in {real} trouble: So our whole point, is we need the implementation of Glass-Steagall, and the Four Laws developed by Lyndon LaRouche, before the crash happens. I think this is also a subject – there are these four dialogues which have been established between President Xi Jinping and Trump; one of them concerns the dialogue on economic matters; China has put a lot of emphasis on the dangers to the international financial system, at the G20 meeting in Hangzhou [in 2016] and on other occasions. So I think that this question needs to be urgently addressed, also between the United States and China in these negotiations. And then, if you put the whole package together, the Four Laws – Glass-Steagall, a National Bank, a credit system in the tradition of Hamilton, a crash program for the increase in the productivity of the labor force, and then joining hands in the Belt and Road Initiative – all of these measures together are a very, very practical and realistic way to overcome these dangers. But it is very urgent, because we are sitting on a powder keg, and I think it can be done, but we need a lot of people of good will to become active with us.

SARE: Helga, we're just about up on time. We have two more questions. Do you want to take both, or one, or?

ZEPP-LAROUICHE: Well, maybe both together, and then I'll answer both.

Q: Hi Mrs. LaRouche. My question to you is, is that right after the shooting at Parkland, [Broward County] Sheriff Israel

was all over the news speaking about going to all members of Congress to use the Baker Act, to detain and profile people that have experienced some sort of depression. And that's of great concern to me, because there are many people who have experienced that, and I feel this country is becoming more like Germany back in World War II. So I'm kind of scared, and I'd like to know, what's your opinion on it? Thank you.

SARE: OK, next question.

Q: Hello, my name is Steve S. I would just like to ask, how much of a role do you think that psychological warfare plays in everything that's going on? And how can we counter it? Are there people out there who specialize in psychological warfare? I hear people talk about history being erased; you know, the projection of violence through videos and commercials and that matter. So, a lot of people are very confused, as well as myself, even when you find something that you believe in sometimes, it's presented in a way that you accept it in the beginning, and then it comes out to be a lie. And right now, clearly, lie is just pounding on the truth. I mean, you have one truth, but you have so many lies that it seems too overwhelming to survive.

SARE: Thank you.

ZEPP-LAROUICHE: I think the concerns that both of you expressed is very real. I mean, it is the fact that the West is

already living in a police-state. Just take the recent example of the Facebook firm, Cambridge Analytica – they sold data on 50 million people for commercial purposes, for election manipulation and who knows for what else? If you go on the internet and you go on any website, you immediately have the advertisement for the next years of your life of whatever you looked at. So we are already in a completely surveillance state, where the NSA and the British equivalent, the GCHQ, are monitoring everything – your phone, your smart TV, your laptop – it's omnipresent. And obviously this needs to be reversed. In the time when I was growing up, being a young person, we had a big concern about data protection. It was a civil right to make sure that your privacy was protected. All of this has gone out of the window! And also, naturally, the big change came on September 11th. Because September 11th was the pretext for a lot of the elimination of civil rights which used to be a constitutional right up to that moment. And therefore, I think the inquiry of what really happened on September 11th, is still one of the big tasks to be solved, because it led to police-state measures inside the United States. It led to a similar kind of change internationally. And right now, you have the ongoing trial of the families of the victims of the World Trade Center suing the government of Saudi Arabia for their role in the September 11th attacks. And the Saudi government tried to appeal against the lawsuit, and a court in

New York overruled that, so the court case can go ahead. Now, this goes very slowly, but this is a very important aspect; because eventually, we have to go back to a constitutional state. So you are quite right to be concerned, because there *is* a lot of this going on. Again, I think there is no shortcut: We need more people taking an active role, and force the coming Congress to pass laws to protect the rights of the people again. This is absolutely possible. The whole argument, for example, that you cannot control these things, or not control the internet, is absolutely not true: You can block certain things, you can prevent things, you can make laws which prohibit the profiling; you can make laws which it a criminal act to do all of these things you are worried about. So it's not a self-evident development. But I think it does require that more people become state citizens: A state citizen, I would define a somebody who takes responsibility not only for his life, his family, his country, but for the outcome of human history. And I think to be such a world historical individual in a moment like that, where the options are so rich, and so beautiful that there is no reason to despair, but it is really the individual decision, to be part of the solution which can and will make the difference. [applause]

SARE: Thank you. That was very beautiful and appropriate. Do you wish to say anything else to us?

ZEPP-LAROUICHE: Well, just be happy, and be productive, and

feisty, and courageous, and then you can do everything you plan to do.

SARE: Thank you very much! [applause]

[1]

<http://schillerinstitut.dk/si/category/nyheder/alle-kategorier/oekonomi/larouches-fire-love-feature/>

[2] Kan læses på engelsk her: http://www.larouchepub.com/eiw/public/2018/eirv45n06-20180209/22-28_4506.pdf Dansk oversættelse er undervejs.

[3] Læs en dansk introduktion til rapporten af de to forfattere, Jason Ross og Husein Askary, her: <http://schillerinstitut.dk/si/?p=23600>

[4] Abraham Lincolns Gettysburg-tale: » – *and that government of the people, by the people, for the people, shall not perish from the earth*«.

[5] Martin Luther Kings berømte tale 'I have been to the mountain top' fra 3. April, 1968, kan læses her: <http://www.americanrhetoric.com/speeches/mlkivebeentothemountaintop.htm>

[6] Se lektionerne i dansk oversættelse her: <http://schillerinstitut.dk/si/category/lpac-new-paradigme/>

Den Nye Silkevej former strategiske anliggender. Helga Zepp-LaRouche i strategisk webcast, torsdag 5. april 2018

Introduktion: Den hysteriske og bidende retorik mod Rusland, der kommer fra Storbritanniens imperiale oligarker og deres efterretningstjenester og kanaliseres gennem Theresa May og Boris 'BoJo' Johnson, narrer ingen. Alt imens nogle regeringer underdanigt er gået med i de farlige provokationer, så er andre, inklusive USA, blot kommet med symbolske handlinger. Mange nationer synes at ligge mere på linje med tankegangen hos den russiske udenrigsminister Lavrov, der om May-regeringens ubegrundede beskyldninger i Skripal-affæren sagde, at det er »kun alt for åbenlyst, at vore britiske kolleger har mistet deres realitetssans«.

De ledere, som derimod ikke har mistet deres realitetssans, har i stedet været engageret i et imponerende opbud af diplomatisk og økonomisk aktivitet og har indgået aftaler om at deltage i Kinas Bælte & Vej Initiativ (BVI). Parallelt med disse bestræbelser er et russisk initiativ for at bringe fred i Syrien og arbejde sammen med Syriens naboer. De britiskdirigerede geopolitikere har uden tvivl bemærket, at, i takt med, at dette initiativ går fremad, har præsident Trump gentaget sit kampagneløfte om at afslutte al amerikansk militær involvering i Syrien og har gentaget sit ønske om et topmøde med Putin i den nærmeste fremtid.

Det, der ligger bag de britiske angreb mod Putin og Rusland, er ikke den svindelagtige påstand, at Putin beordrede forgiftningen af en tidligere russisk efterretningsofficer,

lige så vel som at Mueller-efterforskningen intet har at gøre med »russisk indblanding« i det amerikanske valg. Målet for disse provokationer er det Nye Paradigme, der er knyttet til BVI, som City of London og dets Wall Street allierede korrekt har identificeret som efterfølgeren til deres fallerede system. Hvis USA tilsluttede sig Rusland, Kina og Indien sådan, som Lyndon LaRouche opfordrede det til i kølvandet på krakket i 2008, ville det være umuligt at forhindre fremvæksten af det Nye Paradigme.

Her følger engelsk udskrift:

HARLEY SCHLANGER: Hello, I'm Harley Schlanger

from the Schiller Institute. Welcome to this week's Schiller Institute

webcast for April 5, 2018, featuring our founder and President Helga Zepp-LaRouche.

In the last couple of weeks, Helga has spoken about the potential for a backfire as a result of the Skripal affair, that

Theresa May and her somewhat unhinged Foreign Secretary Boris Johnson have been using as a way of attacking both Russia and the

United States. Now, we've seen this play out in a very big way

in the last couple of days: The fact that they came out in their

own name, and the name of their intelligence services and their

government, to attack Russia, has in fact, put “egg on their faces” as some have said. So, Helga, why don’t you catch us up

on what’s happened in the last days, because this is quite significant, in terms of shaping the strategic relationships?

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUICHE: Yeah. I think it is incredibly serious, because now you have a situation where I think the whole

group of nations which committed themselves to sort of unprincipled solidarity with May and Johnson, they really have to

reflect on what has actually happened. Just to mention some of

the recent developments: The head of the research lab Porton Down, Gary Aitkenhead, came out actually and said they could not

find any proof that the origin of this nerve agent was Russia; that they could establish that it was Novichok, or belonging to

the group of Novichoks, but that they could not say that it came

from Russia.

This has led to quite a series of events. One was that the Foreign Office removed the tweet in which they had said very clearly that there was no doubt that the origin was Russia, and I

think they even mentioned that the scientists of the Porton Down

lab had said so. So, they were obliged to remove the tweet, because that also is evidence that Boris Johnson was lying, because he had said that he had heard from the scientists that there was absolutely unrefutable proof that this came from Russia. This is the first thing.

Then the London {Times} had a comment about this, where they say that the statement by Aitkenhead is threatening to bring down

the international coalition against Russia. Well, that's indeed

the case, because now naturally everybody is reviewing this, and

I think in the case of the German government, for example, they

gave a press conference afterwards, in which journalists were asking, did this statement mean that you've changed your perspective? And they basically refused to do so, which shows you really the absolute grip in which these people are in, namely

the grip of the British Empire.

So, I think this is now backfiring very clearly. The role of the British government and the British Empire, for that matter, is completely exposed, but they are not stopping the confrontation with Russia, so that some of the Russian responses,

for example, people speaking at the Seventh Moscow Conference on

International Security which is now taking place in Moscow,

[Sergei] Naryshkin, who is the head of Russia's foreign

intelligence [SVR], he said that this is basically as serious as

the Cuban Missile Crisis in 1962. Others were saying, this time

these idiots went way beyond any line, and that is clearly the case. But you also have a whole series of people who are saying,

look, we cannot continue like that, we have to resume a dialogue

with Russia; we have to go back to straighten out the relations.

And I want to really point to the fact that, despite the fact that naturally the United States expelled 60 Russian diplomats, which is clearly part of this escalation, that President Trump himself, who did not at any point use this

incident to attack Russia as the origin. And I think this stands

clearly out. And people who are always totally freaked out about

Trump, they should really review this and ask if their perspective and their optical approach actually the correct one?

Because in many cases, it turns out that Trump is actually the one who is not going for confrontation, and some of the people who are so much for "democracy and human rights" that they can't

even walk straight, because they're so heavily burdened with their responsibilities, that they are the actual warmongers. So

I think this is really something to reflect about.

But I think the kind of procedure that NATO, however, the European Union, the German and French government, they were all

immediately jumping on this, without evidence, condemning Russia.

And I think if you look at this, when the dust settles down, it

{is} a blow to the whole Western system, because if there is not

an establishment of scientific fact, first, and the condemnation

first so that basically Russia is declared guilty, and then maybe

you find the evidence sometime down the road, or not, I think this does big damage to the Western system, because if you play

with these things lightly, it is contributing to the discreditation of the governments that did that, and that is not

a good thing.

SCHLANGER: Well, minimally, we could say this is a rush to judgment, but more importantly, this is part of an established pattern of British intelligence. We've seen it with the repeated

charges, without evidence, that the Assad government was using chemical weapons against his population; and of course, the famous case of Tony Blair and Iraq's weapons of mass destruction,

which turned out to be another fabrication of the highest levels

of British intelligence.

But there's another aspect of this which I think you may want to comment on, which is the case of David Kelly, because this also hits at home, where there was opposition from within the scientific community in the United Kingdom against the

actions of the government and the intelligence community.

ZEPP-LAROUICHE: Yeah. This is clearly a pattern. And before the statement by the head of the Porton Down lab came out, the former British ambassador to Uzbekistan, Craig Murray, had actually said that he had from high-level sources in the intelligence community or the science community, that the scientists would not basically produce the evidence – and they didn't. And [Porton Down weapons inspector] David Kelly, at the time of the Iraq war, had basically blown the whistle, saying there were no weapons of mass destruction, and then he found an early death under extremely dubious circumstances which were said to be a suicide, but nobody really believes that. So, I think this is really something – if you think the Iraq War was based on lies, and I think Willy Wimmer, the former vice president of the OSCE and former state secretary to the minister of defense, pointed to the fact that the Iraq war, after all, has caused hundreds of thousands of deaths in Europe, in the

Middle East, in North Africa, and that the Chilcot Commission, which from our standpoint was a relative cover-up, but nevertheless, pointed to the fact that Tony Blair had willfully, intentionally exaggerated the danger coming from Iraq and Saddam Hussein at the time. And then [Bush Secretary of State] Colin Powell used the MI6 "dodgy dossier" [on Iraq's alleged WMD] from that period, to argue in the UN for the U.S. joining the Iraq War.

I mean, the fact that governments can do these things which cost – really – if you look at the totality of these wars, millions of people's lives, and then, it just goes by and there is no accountability. And it's a complete hypocrisy and duplicity, when the people who are saying that they are the defenders of human rights and democracy, then go around and make these interventions into sovereign countries, which have these horrible results. And then they are self-righteous and pretend that they are the good ones, and the Russians and the Chinese are the bad ones.

I think we need to have, really, a review of this, because this cannot continue. It is very dangerous to world peace.

SCHLANGER: And another aspect of this is that this was a major feature of President Trump's election campaign in 2016, where he, at a very important debate in South Carolina, openly accused George W. Bush of lying to create the Iraq War, and he said that his administration would oppose these kinds of wars. Now, this week the President announced that he's preparing to remove U.S. troops from Syria, despite demands from some in the military, and the CIA, that the U.S. remain in Syria. Helga, this is a fairly significant departure from the standard Bush/Obama policy of pursuing these wars, isn't it?

ZEPP-LAROUICHE: Oh, yes! And, again, you can see certain representatives of the U.S. military and others, who say, "no, no, we still have a lot of fighting to do against ISIS," but Trump I think is clearly sticking to guns, and he has promised to stop the interventionist wars, and I think he is going very far to do so. Especially, if you consider that in in this middle of

this whole hysteria, he telephoned President Putin, and has reiterated that he wants to have a summit with Putin in the near

future. And he was also meeting with the three Presidents of the

Baltic countries, who as everybody knows are extremely

anti-Russians, and he reiterated that to have a good relationship

with Russia "is a good thing and not a bad thing."

So I think people should really review their slanders, or

their believing the slanders against both Trump, Putin and Xi

Jinping because it comes from the same circles: it comes from

the neo-con/neo-liberal geopolitical faction who are seeing that

their system is clearly in bad shape and who are obviously

stopping short of nothing, if you look at this recent affair.

SCHLANGER: You mentioned earlier the Moscow International

Security Conference. Clearly, there's a discussion going on

there, about something that your husband Lyndon LaRouche brought

up many, many years ago, and that you've been calling for, which

is the establishment of a new security architecture. How is this

proceeding in Moscow? Do you have some reports on what the discussion process has been, there?

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: I think it's an extremely important event.

There are 95 countries represented, 840 guests, 700 media; and obviously, this alone speaks to the fact that Russia is very far

from being isolated, as some people in the West are trying to portray.

The discussions were very focussed on the need to have an international alliance to combat terrorism. There was a warning

by the head of the FSB [Alexander Bortnikov], that there are signs that ISIS and al-Qaeda are merging, and he basically said

this means you will have sleepers and cells in every country around the globe, and the only way you can defend against that,

is to work together internationally.

Now, another very important aspect of this conference, is that the Defense Minister of China went to this conference and made a statement that this was meant as a signal to the West that

the Russian and the Chinese military are in an extremely close

strategic partnership, and that this is meant as a signal to the

West.

So there were many warnings, as I mentioned already, that the present confrontation is approaching the danger of a Cuban Missile Crisis, so people are obviously extremely attuned to what

is coming there from the British and their allies. But on the other side, it also shows who is talking in favor of international solidarity, cooperation; who is addressing the real dangers of the world: It is clearly not the West, but it is

clearly Russia, China and the countries that are participating in

this conference.

And again, this is really something people should reflect about, rather than believing the propaganda. If you read {Bildzeitung}, this morning on page 2, they have a picture of Putin, Erdogan, and Rouhani, and they say this is the "axis of evil." This is ridiculous! These three countries [Russia, Turkey, Iran] have collaborated to bring about a solution to the

terrible crisis in Syria, and this is a very good thing. Now, not all aspects of the policies of these countries I would

always subscribe to, – I mean, there's the unresolved tensions between the Kurds and Erdogan, between Turkey and Greece – so not everything is perfect.

But I think on the larger picture, if you think that the misery of the Syrian people who have had war for seven years [is being addressed] because of the intervention of these countries, and not to forget the cooperation between the U.S. and Russian military under the leadership of Trump and Putin; I think people should not just fall for these propaganda lines. Because there are some people who have suffered with their lives and their livelihoods and their happiness, as a result of these [geopolitical] policies, and for Syria, this [intervention] is a good thing.

SCHLANGER: Especially, this should have meaning for people in Europe, because in 2015-2016, there was the explosion of the refugee crisis, and with all the hand-wringing and crocodile tears that were shed, nothing was done to support the Russian intervention to stop the war in Syria. And the fact that the

Russians, the Iranians and the Turkish government were meeting to

discuss this, is something that should be welcomed, as opposed to

a source for criticism.

Now, on the Russia-Turkey cooperation, there was another aspect to it, because when you deal with these problems in the real world, there's always an economic element, and there was just an agreement between Putin and [Turkish President Recep Tayyip] Erdogan to move ahead with nuclear energy development.

Helga, this is part of the broader package of the New Silk Road

and economic cooperation that you've been talking about, isn't it?

ZEPP-LAROUICHE: Yes. I think the remarks of President

Putin, who was at the opening ceremony of this [Akkuyu] nuclear

plant was to emphasize the extreme importance of nuclear energy,

giving a country cheap and secure energy, and leading to an

increase in the productivity of the entire economy; which is

absolutely the case. And you have many, many projects, Russia,

China, India, having with developing countries the building of

nuclear energy in Africa, in Latin America, and in Asia.

So, soon, countries like Germany will be the only ones that will not have nuclear energy, and if they keep this course, they

will be sidelined at the disadvantage of the population. So, I

think this is really something we should change.

SCHLANGER: The other story that's getting a lot of coverage internationally, and I think it's being covered typically by the

media as a way of trying to drum up war, is this whole argument

that the discussion and the negotiations under way between the U.S. and China on tariff policy is nothing but a trade war. Now,

there's a danger to this, as the Chinese have pointed out, but I

think it's important for people to hear your perspective on this:

Because obviously, there are problems in the U.S.-China relationship, a huge trade imbalance, but it's not just a trade

war, there's actually a much broader discussion under way. How

do you see this evolving, from what you've seen over the last

few

days?

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: There are negotiations going on, and it must not necessarily come to the execution of these tariffs, which both sides have now drawn up, up to the value of \$60 billion in

terms of products. One thing is that the Prime Minister Li Keqiang has pointed out that there is another way to overcome the

trade imbalance: namely by increasing trade, by especially investments in joint ventures in third countries, that there are

many ways how you can get rid of this trade imbalance.

And there is a renewed discussion, something which we have brought into the discussion early on, namely, that you have the

possibility of Chinese investments in the infrastructure in the

United States. And that would also be a way to completely change

this dynamic. If the Chinese investment in American

infrastructure would create many, many productive jobs for

Americans, it would create the infrastructure precondition for a

real industrial revolution: for the building of new cities, science cities, connecting all American cities with fast trains systems.

There are so many ways of changing this dynamic for the better, and I'm absolutely convinced that China is having this mind. There was a program on the Chinese TV channel CGTN, proposing exactly that, that there should be a dialogue on infrastructure. Then you have some Americans, a Trump supporter

who had already made such a proposal early on, also. So I think

there is a discussion. And I would imagine that President Xi Jinping, who will give a very important speech at the "Asian Davos" as they call it, the Boao Forum for Asia, which will start

in three days, where he is expected to make a major speech on the

continuation on international reforms, and opening up. So I think you can expect something important to come from there.

And I think the Chinese are also extremely aware of the fact that we are sitting on a powder keg in terms the financial

system. Xi Jinping has defined three priorities: One, to

overcome the risks of the financial system; to alleviate poverty;

and to get rid of air pollution. So I think the Chinese are very

much aware of the dangers of this present Western financial system. And you know, you had several articles warning that with

the outbreak of a new 2008 could happen at any moment, one of the

many new aspects which were mentioned is the difference between

the LIBOR rate and the Fed rate; and that was exactly the beginning sign of the 2008 crisis.

So that really requires that the discussion which we and our colleagues in the United States and in Europe have formulated, to

implement the Four Laws of Lyndon LaRouche – Glass-Steagall, national bank, a credit system, and then cooperation of the Western countries, with the financial systems of the New Silk Road, the AIIB, the New Silk Road Fund; all of these things need

to be urgently discussed. Because one danger which is clearly there, that if you had now a financial crash, and some people are

even speculating that the same people who are making these provocations against Russia, could also trigger, deliberately, such a financial crash, to pull the rug out from underneath

President Trump, to bring the neo-cons back in, and just get rid

of this phenomenon of Trump.

So anybody who thinks this is conspiracy theory, or this is totally over the top, well, look at the Skripal case, and learn

the lesson from that, how things can be manipulated and orchestrated.

So I think the urgency is really to draw the lesson out from all of this, and end this system of looting, which is only for the privilege of the very few rich; it's destroying the middle class, it's making the poor, more poor. And we need really a return to Hamiltonian economics. This is what is the basis of the Chinese economic miracle, as I have said many times: The Chinese economic miracle, or Chinese economic model, is much, much closer to the economic policies of the young republic of the

United States than people think. It's no coincidence that the distinction which Friedrich List, for example, made between the

American System and the British System, that that is exactly what

is playing out today, and we need {clearly} a return to the American System of economy.

SCHLANGER: It's also important to keep in mind that President Trump has repeatedly referred to his great friendship with Xi Jinping, and the strategic importance of a China-U.S. relationship is also clear when it comes to the question of the collaboration to bring a peaceful solution to the Korean Peninsula. There's a lot of diplomacy coming up: The Trump-Putin meeting; Trump meeting with Prime Minister Abe of Japan; and also the coming meeting with Kim Jong-un. So there's a lot more at stake here than just the question of a few dollars off the trade imbalance.

And Helga, just to go back to one final note on the Belt and Road Initiative: I'm sure you took note of the importance of the visit recently of the Swiss government to China and also a very large delegation heading to China from Austria. Maybe there's a lesson here for Germany, huh?

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Well, one would hope so!

I mean, I'm very happy, because all the neighbors of Germany are clearly joining the Silk Road, it increases the pressure on

those who are obviously too stupid or too arrogant to see the potential for German industry which lies in this initiative.

Now, the Swiss Foreign Minister was just in China and he and his Chinese counterpart, both [Foreign Minister] Wang Yi and also

[former Foreign Minister] Yang Jiechi, they declared that the collaboration of China and Switzerland in the New Silk Road is at

the best historical level ever, and both emphasized the importance of Xi Jinping's visit last year to Switzerland, where

he addressed Davos as a keynote speaker, and then went to Geneva,

emphasizing the importance of Switzerland. So they're deepening

the relationship between China and Switzerland.

And the Austrian government, they have a huge delegation,

the largest ever: It is President Van der Bellen, Chancellor Sebastian Kurz; four cabinet ministers, and 170 CEOs from large

corporations, spending five days in China. And what Kurz said

is, there is no ceiling to improve the relationship between Austria and China on the New Silk Road. The same, by the way, is happening with Zimbabwe, where the new President [Emmerson Mnangagwa] is going with a large delegation of 12 ministers and also many, many CEOs. So, you can see almost every day, a little breaking development. And as I have said many times, the Spirit of the New Silk Road is, in my view, absolutely unstoppable, except if we have World War III, which obviously some people are risking.

But nevertheless, the idea of a new relationship among nations, of respect for the sovereignty of the other nation, respect for the difference of the social system, the ending of internationalist wars, the idea of a win-win cooperation, this is just a new model of international relations and a New Paradigm.

And the biggest problem is that because of the Western media being so much in control of this geopolitical faction that most people don't know enough about it.

So, please, I would appeal to you: Join the Schiller Institute, help us to spread the knowledge about the New Silk Road, and also the options to solve the present financial crisis and many other crises around the world with such an approach. I would really appeal to you: Don't sit on the fence. This is an incredibly important historic moment, and the British have just suffered a terrible defeat, which freaks them out, but it's visible for everybody and so therefore, it's a good moment to move forward and establish a completely different political, social, and economic system on this planet.

SCHLANGER: And we will be launching a new membership drive for the Schiller Institute, and if you want to increase the misery of the British intelligence establishment and the City of London, become a member of the Schiller Institute, and help us build the audience for these webcasts, so people have an alternative to the lying media that otherwise is the only option they have to allegedly find out about the world. So Helga, I think that covers quite a bit. Thank you for

joining us again, and we'll see you next week.

ZEPP-LAROUICHE: Yes. Till next week.

Briternes løgn er afsløret og de kan besejres, men de forsøger stadig at sætte verden i brand. Politisk Orientering med formand Tom Gillesberg, 5. april, 2018.

Tom Gillesberg: Velkommen til endnu et dramatisk kapitel i kampen om verden; kampen om, hvem der skal regere planeten Jorden og dermed også kan få mulighed for at få en betydende indflydelse på det univers, vi befinder os i, på den lange bane. Det er bare så ufattelig spændende, hvad der foregår netop nu, for på den ene side har vi jo de her absurde tosserier, den seneste af hvilke har været denne her kampagne, man har kørt de seneste par uger med den såkaldte Skripal-sag, hvor ud af det blå pludselig fra London tonede Theresa May frem på Tv-skærmene og sagde, 'Der har været et angreb med biologiske våben her på efterretningsagenter, og vi er sikre

på, at det kun kan være Rusland, der har gjort det, og derfor kræver vi af resten af verden, at de nu går sammen med os om at straffe Rusland for denne uhørte, ubehagelig dåd, som de har begået'. Det har jo bl.a. ført til, at USA udviste 60 diplomater, Danmark udviste 2 osv., og vi ligesom er i et kraftigt momentum frem til, at nu skal vi have en konfrontation med Rusland.

Igen, det kommer ikke ud af det blå; det kommer efter man har haft et NATO, som har stået på og drevet på og sagt, nu skal vi mobilisere mod den russiske fare; vi skal have en brigade ovre i Baltikum for at kunne beskytte de baltiske lande mod den store russiske bjørn. Igen og igen har Stoltenberg fra NATO's talerstol sagt, og vi ved jo godt, at vi er under angreb, og frem for alt cyberangreb; men I skal vide derude, frem for alt jer i Rusland, at vi betragter et cyberangreb på et af vore lande som et angreb, der kan udløse Artikel 5 og derfor være et angreb på hele NATO, så hele NATO må svare igen med alt, hvad de har. Og dermed har man i princippet sagt, at, hvis der er et såkaldt cyberangreb på et NATO-land, så kan det være, at vi svarer igen med atomvåben over for Rusland. Det er ligesom det, man har sagt, og det er vildt, og det er farligt! Og det er helt vanvittigt.

Men, når det så er sagt, så er det også et vanvid, der står mere og mere afsløret for hver dag, der går. Dette sidste vanvid kommer jo efter, at vi nu i over et år har haft en ny amerikansk præsident, Donald Trump, som har haft svært ved at regere, fordi der har været skandale på skandale, der har kørt i medierne mod ham; 'Trump-gate', 'Russia-gate' osv., med det fokus at få Trump afsat, så man kunne fortsætte den politik for konfrontation og krig, man havde før, og som Hillary havde svoret, at hun ville fortsætte. Men alle disse skandaler har det til fælles, at deres udspring er London. ...

Hør hele Toms analyse:

https://soundcloud.com/si_dk/britisk-pastand-imod-rusland-er-ved-at-smuldre-lnu-kan-vi-besejre-den-britiske-imperium

Det britiske Imperium er afsløret, men desperat

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 4. april, 2018 – De britiske, imperiale Lords befinder sig i choktilstand. Deres hektiske forsøg på at redde Imperiet brasede sammen tirsdag, da forskerne på Porton Down nægtede at lyve for Imperiet – nægtede at sige, at nervegiften i Skripal-sagen kom fra Rusland. Husk, at det var David Kelly, chefen for Afdelingen for Mikrobiologi til Forsvarsformål i Porton Down og medlem af inspektionsteamet i Irak, som afslørede Tony Blairs »udmajede« dossier, der hævdede, at Saddam Hussein havde masseødelæggelsesvåben. Som videnskabsmand nægtede han at lyve. Kelly blev »bragt til selvmord« som resultat, og den ulovlige folkemordskrig fortsatte.

Denne gang er hverken George W. Bush eller Barack Obama til stede for at yde tilsløring af Imperiets løgne. Præsident Trump har, til de britiske og amerikanske oligarkers og pressehorers forfærdelse, nægtet at sige (eller tweete) ét ord om russernes rolle i Skripal-sagen. Han talte med Putin efter hændelsen uden at nævne den og sagde så sent som i går, at, at »komme godt ud af det med Rusland er en god ting, ikke en dårlig ting«.

Imperiet er afsløret og alvorligt såret – men endnu ikke dødt

og derfor i stand til hvad som helst for at redde sit skind. I halvtreds år har Lyndon LaRouche advaret amerikanerne og andre om, at Det britiske Imperium ikke er et fænomen fra fortiden, men derimod er centrum i den finansielle udplyndring og spekulation, der har drevet det vestlige finanssystem til randen af ruin, mens det samtidigt manipulerer den »dumme kæmpe« i Washington til at udkæmpe kolonikrige på Imperiets vegne, først i Indokina og dernæst i Melleømsten. Han har ligeledes advaret om, at Imperiet ville foretrække en global krig, selv en atomkrig, snarere end de ville se deres Imperium forsvinde.

I dag fokuserede Helga Zepp-LaRouche opmærksomheden på denne dødbringende kendsgerning. Russiagate-kampagnen kollapsede, og dens gerningsmænd i MI6 og i Obamas efterretningsteam står nu over for anklager om forbrydelser, for deres forræderiske handlinger. Dernæst lancerede Theresa Mays controllers Skripal-hændelsen og krævede, at de vestlige nationer skulle gå med i beskyldningerne mod Rusland, uden noget som helst bevis. Kun halvdelen af de europæiske nationer gik med til det, og, alt imens Trump gav sin administration lov til at udvise russiske diplomater, så lagde han ikke selv nogen skyld på russerne og annoncerede, at Moskva kunne erstatte deres diplomater.

Men nu har UK's egne eksperter i kemiske våben afsløret de onde og farlige løgne, der kommer fra premierminister May og hendes klovn af en udenrigsminister Boris Johnson. I dag hersker der hysteri i London. Vil Imperiet ty til endnu mere desperate handlinger ved at starte en krig? Vil de bruge det forestående kollaps af den multi-billiondollar store boble i det vestlige finanssystem, som de har skabt, til at gøre dette?

LaRouches politiske platform – for en genindførsel af metoderne i det Amerikanske Økonomiske System og for videnskabeligt fremskridt i USA, og for USA's tilslutning til den Nye Silkevej, hvor det arbejder sammen med Kina og Rusland

i opbygningen af nationer i hele verden, som USA engang erklærede – må vedtages og kæmpes for nu, i dag, af alle mennesker af god vilje. Imperiet er tæt på at lide nederlag, men er farligere end nogensinde før.

Foto: Dr. David Kelly. »Begik selvmord« efter at have aflagt forklaring imod Blairs »udmajede« dossier, som førte til Irakkrigen.

Chefen for Ruslands udenrigsefterretningstjeneste kommer med en alvorlig advarsel om en ny Cuba-missilkrise

4. april, 2018 – Med bemærkninger, der faldt i stærke vendinger ved åbningsceremonien for den Syvende Moskva-konference om International Sikkerhed, sammenlignede Sergei Naryshkin, chef for Ruslands udenrigsefterretningstjeneste (SVR), Vestens konfrontation med Rusland, der har eskaleret over Skripal-forgiftningen, med den cubanske missilkrise i 1962, hvor USA og Sovjetunionen kom meget tæt på atomkrig.

»Vi må holde op med at forhøje indsatsen på uansvarlig vis og med at projicere magt over i relationer mellem staterne, for at undgå en ny krise«, sagde han. Den måde, hvorpå Vesten i dag projicerer magt, er »forbløffende hyklerisk«.

Naryshkin beskrev ligeledes forgiftningen i Storbritannien af den tidligere militære efterretningsofficer, der blev britisk

dobbeltagent, Sergei Skripal, og hans datter Yulia, som »en grotesk provokation, der blev groft iscenesat af britiske og amerikanske efterretningstjenester«. Vesten, anklagede han, bruger »orwellsk tvetunge-tale« med anvendelse af ord, der betyder det modsatte af deres sande betydning, for at retfærdiggøre sine politikker. »Situationen strider imod almindelig sund fornuft er virkelig farlig«, advarede han, rapporterer RT.

I dag, fortsatte Naryshkin, er der et større behov for at »tilbageføre det internationale system for relationer, så det fungerer«. For at det kan ske, må lande opgive deres hykleri »og begynde at tale i et sandt fælles menneskeligt sprog, før det er for sent«. Så ofte, sagde han, blev »store ord om menneskerettigheder og demokrati ledsaget af militære interventioner ind i suveræne nationer. Disse nationer blev kastet ud i et blodigt kaos, hvor der ikke var plads til så fundamental en rettighed som retten til at leve. I løbet af de seneste to årtier er hundrede tusinder af uskyldige mennesker blevet ofre for NATO's aggression i Europa, Mellemøsten og Nordafrika«.

I en antydning reference til fremvæksten af et nyt paradigme, som Vesten ignorerer, formanede SVR-chefen også, at de vestlige nationer »ikke kan og ikke vil konfrontere sandheden og erkende, at deres egen indflydelse, som plejede at være uantastet, nu er i færd med at mindskes. De forsøger stadig at bygge relationer med andre nationer, baseret på gamle principper, der har deres rod i kolonialisme og bygger på tvang og diktater«. Vesten retter vilde anklager mod Rusland, fordi det opfatter Rusland som »drivkraft bag forandring«.

Washingtons fiksering på kampen »mod en ikkeeksisterende, såkaldt russisk trussel ... har nået sådanne proportioner og fået sådanne absurde karaktertræk, at det er muligt at tale om en tilbagevenden til den Kolde Krigs mørke tider«, fremførte Naryshkin.

Foto: Chefen for Ruslands udenrigsefterretningstjeneste Sergei Narushkin taler på den Syvende Moskva-konference om International Sikkerhed.

Imperiets frygt går grassat over, at den europæiske alliance mod Rusland kan være ved at smuldre

4. april, 2018 – Med en afsløring af Londons desperation over, at offensiven med »Rusland gjorde det« er ved at smuldre, bemærkede Londonavisen *The Times*, at Porton Downs adm. dir. Gary Aitkenheads indrømmelse i går af, at det ikke er muligt at bestemme, hvor den Novichok-gift, der blev brugt til at forgifte Sergei og Yulia Skripal, kom fra, »risikerer at underminere den internationale koalition imod Moskva«. En unavngiven »højtplaceret minister« citeres for at klage over Aitkenheads udtalelser: »Jeg ville give dem [Porton Down] topkarakter for deres videnskabelige arbejde, men bundkarakter for dagens fremlæggelse. Dette er tydeligvis ikke nyttigt.«

Nej, sandelig ikke. Som Sputnik i dag rapporterer, så vrimler det med frygt for, at den såkaldte »forenede« europæiske alliance mod Rusland faktisk er ved at falde fra hinanden. Ud over bemærkninger, som den tyske udenrigsminister Heiko Maas (SPD) kom med, og som sagde så meget som, at Tyskland ønsker at bevare en dialog med Rusland, så har en CDU-leder, Armin Laschet, ministerpræsident for Nordrhein-Westfalen – Tysklands mest befolkede forbundsstat – revset London for at kræve udvisningen af russiske diplomater uden solidt bevis.

I et Tweet stillede Laschet spørgsmålet, »Hvis man tvinger næsten alle NATO-lande til at demonstrere solidaritet, bør man så ikke have solide beviser? Uanset, hvad man tænker om Rusland, så lærte mine studier af international lov (eller folkeretten) mig en anden måde at behandle andre stater på«. Ifølge *The Times* har Laschet, som er én af fem viceformænd for Angela Merkels Kristendemokratiske Union, tidligere kritiseret angrebskampagnen mod Rusland og har hilst Ruslands rolle i Syrien og Ruslands genforening med Krim velkomment.

**Meddelelse: Politisk
Orientering v/ formand
Tom Gillesberg torsdag 5.
april kl. 19
– kom og vær med**

- på vores kontor, Skt. Knuds Vej 11, kld. t.v., 1903 Frederiksberg.
 - Kom direkte, eller vær med over Skype; ring 53 57 00 51.
-

Meddelelse: Helga Zepp-

LaRouche i strategisk webcast, torsdag 5. april 2018 kl. 18 dansk tid

newparadigm.schillerinstitute.com

Den Nye Silkevej former strategiske anliggender

Den hysteriske og bidende retorik mod Rusland, der kommer fra Storbritanniens imperiale oligarker og deres efterretningstjenester og kanaliseres gennem Theresa May og Boris 'BoJo' Johnson, narrer ingen. Alt imens nogle regeringer underdanigt er gået med i de farlige provokationer, så er andre, inklusive USA, blot kommet med symbolske handlinger. Mange nationer synes at ligge mere på linje med tankegangen hos den russiske udenrigsminister Lavrov, der om May-regeringens ubegrundede beskyldninger i Skripal-affæren sagde, at det er »kun alt for åbenlyst, at vore britiske kolleger har mistet deres realitetssans«.

De ledere, som derimod ikke har mistet deres realitetssans, har i stedet været engageret i et imponerende opbud af diplomatisk og økonomisk aktivitet og har indgået aftaler om at deltage i Kinas Bælte & Vej Initiativ (BVI). Parallelt med disse bestræbelser er et russisk initiativ for at bringe fred i Syrien og arbejde sammen med Syriens naboer. De britiskdirigerede geopolitikere har uden tvivl bemærket, at, i takt med, at dette initiativ går fremad, har præsident Trump gentaget sit kampagneløfte om at afslutte al amerikansk militær involvering i Syrien og har gentaget sit ønske om et topmøde med Putin i den nærmeste fremtid.

Det, der ligger bag de britiske angreb mod Putin og Rusland, er ikke den svindelagtige påstand, at Putin beordrede

forgiftningen af en tidligere russisk efterretningsofficer, lige så vel som at Mueller-efterforskningen intet har at gøre med »russisk indblanding« i det amerikanske valg. Målet for disse provokationer er det Nye Paradigme, der er knyttet til BVI, som City of London og dets Wall Street allierede korrekt har identificeret som efterfølgeren til deres fallerede system. Hvis USA tilsluttede sig Rusland, Kina og Indien sådan, som Lyndon LaRouche opfordrede det til i kølvandet på krakket i 2008, ville det være umuligt at forhindre fremvæksten af det Nye Paradigme.

Lyt med i denne uge, når Helga Zepp-LaRouche giver os en presserende opdatering af de seneste par dages ekstraordinære udviklinger.

Skripal-affæren giver nu bagslag mod Det britiske Imperium i et globalt paradigmeskifte

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 3. april, 2018 – Forgiftningen af to russiske borgere i England i sidste måned, der fra UK's May-regerings side på så bombastisk vis tilsigtede at isolere Ruslands præsident Vladimir Putin og indfange det ligeledes britiske mål, præsident Donald Trump, i en fælde, kan ende med at efterlade May og udenrigsminister Boris Johnson med æg i ansigtet.

Situationen er ved at vende. Den nye virkelighed med de store

fordele for nationer i hele verden ved Kinas Nye Silkevej, og fordelene ved samarbejde mellem stormagterne for fred sådan, som præsident Trump har forstået det, får nu Mays totalangreb til at give bagslag. Briterne blev allerede stukket, da det viste sig, at kun halvdelen af EU's lande gik med til at udvise russiske diplomater og andet kaos mod Rusland, og nu er de dybt krænkede over præsident Trumps »mindre end fulde støtte«, som deres medier udtrykker det.

Faktisk har Trumps pressesekretær igen 2. april bekræftet, at Trump har inviteret præsident Putin til et topmøde »på flere forskellige lokaliteter, inkl. Det Hvide Hus«. Præsident Trump har nu tre afgørende topmøder i sigte – med Japans premierminister Shinzo Abe, med Vladimir Putin og med den nordkoreanske leder Kim Jong-un – hvilket kunne vende verdenssituationen til det bedre. Det andet og tredje topmøde imødegås rasende af briterne og alle slags amerikanske og europæiske neokonservative og liberale imperialister. Men også dette giver nu bagslag.

Nu, med dagens rapport fra UK's eget Forsvarsvidenskabelige og Teknologiske Laboratorium i Porton Down om, at det *ikke har* fastslået bevis for fr. Mayhems (fr. 'Kaos') russiske forgiftningssag, er morgendagens (4. april) sammentræde af lederne i Organisationen for forbud mod kemiske våben (OPCW) – hvis regler UK har ladet hånt om, for at skynde sig at »føre an i angrebet« mod Rusland – stillet i et nyt lys. Et par modige briter har sagt, at forskerne ikke var tilfredse med at blive afkrævet at »producere« til en politisk heksejagt, og de havde ret.

Desuden »udvikler Kina og Rusland tættere bånd«, ikke mindst, fordi »vestlige lande lægger politisk pres på Rusland [i Skripal-sagen], og USA provokerer Kina ind i en handelskrig«, skrev *Global Times* i går. Kina har været fuldstændig upartisk og ønsket, at OPCW's procedurer blev fulgt, men har sendt en officiel delegation til den Syvende Moskva-konference om International Sikkerhed, der starter 4. april, sammen med 95

andre nationer.

Vi har set, at det forsøgte »Russiagate«-kup mod præsident Trump står til at give bagslag, et bagslag, der er frembragt af den samme, nye virkelighed i kombination med en lang mobilisering fra Lyndon LaRouches bevægelse i USA's side. Nu bliver FBI, endelig langt om længe, efterforsket og udrenset i toppen.

Men, vi må ikke glemme, at de transatlantiske finanssystemer endnu engang er klar til at krakke. Og, siddende på denne vulkan, kan vi ikke lave langsomme og langsigtede planer for realisering af den Eurasiske Nye Silkevejs nye paradigme. Det skal ligge på bordet, for såvel USA som for Europa, nu. Det starter med at vedtage eller genindføre Glass/Steagall-bankopdeling – som Kina allerede gør med sine kommercielle banker – for at bryde Wall Streets og City of Londons kolosser op, før de trækker os ind i endnu et mareridtskollaps.

Som Helga Zepp-LaRouche i dag advarede, så udgør de regeringer, der allerede fuldt og helt *har* bakket op omkring Storbritanniens marts-kaos mod Rusland, en fare for deres egne befolkninger, og for verdensfreden. At præsident Trump går frem med topmøderne, inkl. med præsident Putin, er afgørende og må støttes.

Men de projekter, han har lovet amerikanerne, inkl. nye store infrastrukturprojekter og kolonisering af rummet, kræver, at Lyndon LaRouches forslag for »Fire Nye Love til Nationens Redning«, bliver gennemført.

Foto: Den britiske PM Theresa May besøger Salisbury, stedet for det angivelige »russiske giftangreb«. 16. maj, 2018. (Number 10 / Flickr)