

**For at besejre det britiske
imperium, studer Lyndon
LaRouche!**

**Ugentlig dialog med Helga
Zepp-LaRouche den 11. juni
2025**

På engelsk denne gang:

Wednesday, June 11, 2025

HARLEY SCHLANGER: Hello, and welcome to our weekly dialogue with Helga Zepp-LaRouche: She is the founder and chairwoman of the Schiller Institute. Today is Wednesday, June 11, 2025. I'm Harley Schlanger and I'll be your host. You can send questions or comments via email to Helga Zepp-LaRouche at questions@schillerinstitute.org or post them to the chat page.

Helga, the pace of events continues to be more than dizzying: We've had several breaking developments, just in the last hour, so I think what we should do is—I'll just turn it over to you to get started.

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Yes, hello to all of you. I have to, on short notice, change what I wanted to communicate with you, because I just received a rather breathtaking, short video clip from Tulsi Gabbard, the Director of National Intelligence. So, I would like our assistant to show this. Please watch this, and then we'll talk about it.

TULSI GABBARD: A single nuclear bomb dropped in 1945, eighty years ago. It's hard for me to find the words to express what I saw, the stories that I heard, the haunting sadness that

still remains. This is an experience that stay with me forever.

This attack obliterated the city, killed over 300,000 people, many dying instantly, while others died from severe burns, injuries, radiation sickness and cancer that set in, in the following months and years. Nagasaki suffered the same fate. Homes, schools, families, all gone in a flash. The survivors, the *hibakusha*, they carried the pain of extreme burns, radiation sickness, and loss for decades.

Survivors of this attack were asked to put in paintings and drawings their own memories and how they felt and what they saw, and those paintings and the suffering that they conveyed, the pain and the sense of loss were almost more powerful than the photos themselves.

Yet, this one bomb, that caused so much destruction in Hiroshima, was *tiny* compared to today's nuclear bombs. The bomb that was dropped on Hiroshima had a yield of just 15 kilotons of TNT, whereas today's nuclear warheads range in size from 100 kilotons to *over 1 megaton*. A single nuclear weapon, today, could kill millions in just minutes. Just one of these nuclear bombs would vaporize everything at its core, people, buildings, life itself. The shock wave would crush structures miles away, killing and maiming countless people.

And, then, comes the fallout: Radioactive poison spreading through the air, water and soil, condemning survivors to agonizing deaths or lifelong suffering. A nuclear winter could follow, with smoke and ash completely blocking the Sun, plunging the world into darkness and cold, killing crops and starving billions. Acid rain would scar the Earth, wiping out entire ecosystems.

This isn't some made-up science-fiction story: This is the reality of what's at stake, what we are facing now. Because, as we stand here, today, closer to the brink of nuclear

annihilation than ever before, political elite warmongers are carelessly fomenting fear and tensions between nuclear powers. Perhaps it's because they are confident that they will have access to nuclear shelters for themselves and for their families, that regular people won't have access to.

So, it's up to us, the people to speak up and demand an end to this madness. We must reject this path to nuclear war and work toward a world where no one has to live in fear of a nuclear holocaust. [end video]

SCHLANGER: Helga, this is coming in the context of a growing tension, but also tremendous changes: So, why don't you start from there?

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: I think the fact that Tulsi Gabbard, in her position as the Director of National Intelligence, put out such a video, I think, while we don't know at this point, yet, the background or circumstances other than that she apparently did travel to Hiroshima, I think probably reflects something we don't know yet—maybe a discussion in high circles. It is quite possible, very probable, that this video would not have been released without the knowledge of President Trump. And given the fact that at the end of the Biden administration, according to credible leaks, the Pentagon was convinced that the possibility that it would come to an exchange of nuclear weapons if long-range missiles were given to the Ukrainians for delivery deep into the territory of Russia, that there was a 50% estimate that this would lead to nuclear war, and that was accepted. There was almost no discussion about that in the mainstream media, but they're not known to be very truth-seeking these days anyway. But it is quite possible that there is a relationship to the fact that the Ukrainians launched this drone attack on the strategic bombers in Russia, which are part of the nuclear triad of Russia.

Now, there is still a lot of uncertainty of what were the circumstances of this Ukrainian drone attack: There are some

people, including the Russians themselves, who say that this would have been absolutely not possible without assistance from MI6, possibly knowledge and cooperation of the CIA. Lavrov himself basically stated that the Russians are 100% sure that this was conducted and aided by British intelligence, and the role of the British is being emphasized in the entire escalation.

Other people are saying, "No, this was the Ukrainians, all by themselves. They have the drone warfare perfected." There is an article in *Financial Times* which claims this was entirely only the Ukrainians; they have the most advanced warfighting capability concerning drone warfare right now. So, I'm not making a final judgment on this debate, but I think if the Director of National Intelligence releases such a video, in this context, I think we should take it *extremely* seriously. And since we have been warning about what could happen, how easily a war can escalate and the almost incidents and accidents which have taken place in the past, the heightened tension, we are sitting on a powder keg, and I can only say that I personally extremely welcome the fact that Tulsi Gabbard put out this statement: Because most people are worse than sleepwalking—you know, people are absolutely indifferent to the escalation of the danger. And there *are* warmongers in the West, there is no question about it!

So, I fully agree with her conclusion that it does require the people to send an unmistakable message to the so-called "leaders," who are, after all, only employees of the people, who are the sovereign, and that this warning should be spread as widely as possible. So, take this video, repost it as widely as you can, and get a discussion going about that danger: That would be my initial response.

SCHLANGER: I think this is a reflection of the courageous and persistent work that you've been doing, the Schiller Institute has been doing, to put forward Lyndon LaRouche's, your late husband's understanding of the specific role of the British

oligarchy and the British Empire.

This has really broken out in the last days, in the discussions. You mentioned Sergey Lavrov, a number of Russian commentators, but also the Kit Klarenberg column on Project Alchemy, the role of the British, this really gets at the core of the problem of who's trying to stop the normalization of relations with Russia?

What can you say about what you see around Trump, because it's still a confusing picture?

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: There are, indeed, very confusing and even contradictory signals. Just today, you know, President Trump announced that he apparently concluded a deal with China, that everything is now fine, again; that China will export rare earths to the United States; that vice versa, that Chinese students can again study at American universities. So that's a positive sign.

Then, there are reports that President Trump apparently also was on the phone with Netanyahu, and basically told him that he is not going have a nuclear, or any strike against Iran, and that the whole issue has to be settled peacefully.

Then, on the other side, you have this unbelievable situation in Los Angeles, where you have the policy by the Trump administration to expel so-called "illegal aliens"—immigrants. This is causing heartbreaking scenes where families are being drawn apart. So there are demonstrations now spreading in many American cities, and that seems to be a legitimate concern. But then, you have provocateurs among them, who are creating violent acts, and who are getting into struggles with the police force, the National Guard; Trump has deployed the National Guard, which I think is a very problematic step, because it is definitely an escalation against the population; even the Marines have been sent there. And then, Trump made a speech at some American military camp, where he used the

language which I absolutely abhor—I don't even want to repeat it here—in terms of how he characterized these demonstrators.

So, I think President Trump, probably—I still keep being convinced of it—he definitely wants to normalize relations with Russia, and I think, given the dangers which Tulsi Gabbard's video points to, namely, the annihilation of all life on the planet, I think that is the most important, and therefore I think one should somehow stick to the priority, namely, the most important issue, because the deployments of so many forces—you know, the British, for sure; the so-called "Coalition of the Willing"; and many other factors are trying to derail this effort by Trump to normalize the relationship with Russia—the U.S. and Russia being the two most powerful nuclear weapons forces in the world. And if you start with the top, then that is the most important to concentrate on.

Does that mean one should approve of everything else? Absolutely not, because I think President Trump would need in some respect some better advisors than he seems to have; because especially on the Middle East, it is very, very problematic.

So, I can only say, this is the hour, when ordinary citizens have to become active again: Remember the spirit of the American Revolution, which after all was a revolution against the British Empire! The War of Independence was the first anti-colonial war in the history of mankind, and the more Americans now shortly before the 250th anniversary of the American Revolution would think back and revive the spirit not only of the American republic, but of the American Revolution, I think that that would be the most important. And since the American Revolution was not just an American local affair, but it was an international project, in which humanist and republican forces from Europe and elsewhere, but especially from Europe, basically decided to make the American project the first true republic in the world: Therefore, what happens to America is not just America's business, but if this project

fails, if they submit to the “special relationship” and run the world as modeled on the British Empire, then that would be the absolute, ultimate failure of the American project.

So, a lot is at stake here, and I think that ordinary people have to start to take responsibility, because the world is in such a state of chaos, and you cannot rely on the institutions which existed in the last 80 years, because they are crumbling! They are clearly in a process of disintegration, and I think we are just seeing the beginning of that, and therefore, the more people become state citizens, the better for mankind to have a hope to get out of this without a catastrophe.

SCHLANGER: Helga, I think we’re getting a reflection of the awakening of the broader network of people in the questions that I’ve gotten in the last hour or two. Someone wrote in and said, “There’s a report on a communiqué from the NATO summit upcoming, that seems to be moving away from an anti-Russian/pro-Ukraine posture.” Do you have anything on that?

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Yes. This is very interesting, because unlike the communiqué of 2024, which declared Russia to be the enemy and so forth, it still mentions Russia in the context of the Ukraine war—or, rather, the other way around: It still mentions Russia as a threat to NATO, but it does not any more point to the role of Russia as the “aggressor” in the Ukraine war, and it does not talk about Ukraine having access to NATO, which the 2024 communiqué did.

I think it is clearly a reflection that there is a deep rift between those Europeans who call themselves the war alliance, or the “Coalition of the Willing”; it’s not all of Europe, and it’s for sure not all of the EU and NATO, because a large part of these two institutions do not agree with that, otherwise, they wouldn’t have to form the “Coalition of the Willing.” But there is clearly a rift, and I think that’s a very first positive step in the right direction, so let’s see how this

NATO summit plays out.

SCHLANGER: Along those same lines, I mentioned earlier the Kit Klarenberg article, where he brought up Project Alchemy, as an MI6 operation, which was involved in such things as targetting the Kerch Bridge and others. We have a question here from a contact in United Kingdom, who said, if you put Klarenberg's article together with the articles earlier this year in the *New York Times* and *The Times* of London about the CIA and MI6 role in preparing for war with Russia going back to the 2014, he writes: "How long can the supporters of a long war against Russia hide behind their assertion that Putin's special military operation was 'unprovoked'?"

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Well, I don't think much longer. Because there is now a whole—not an array, but several books are being written by very good, credible historians, which detail the pre-history. You can either go to the Maidan coup, which was clearly instigated by Victoria Nuland, who bragged that the State Department spent \$5 billion on NGOs in Ukraine, alone, preparing the Maidan coup. We published a lot at the time, when it happened. And in any case, you can either start there, that this was an illegitimate coup against a legitimately elected government in Ukraine. And all the things which happened afterwards, the vote in Crimea, where the population decided to reunite with Russia; developments in the Donbass as a reaction against the prohibition against using the Russian language, and the beginning civil war against Donbass, all of these things have been part of the pre-history. You can discuss the Minsk 2 sabotage by Merkel and Hollande: This is all on the public record. You can look at the various efforts by Putin to get legally binding security guarantees for Russia, as recently as December 17, 2021. And the refusal by the Biden administration and NATO to answer that in any way. And we could make a long, long list, and we have actually, as it happened on a day-to-day basis, published all of that. Or, you can even take it back earlier, to the broken promises that

NATO would not move one inch Eastward, at the time of the end of the Cold War.

In any case, it is a well-documented pre-history, and as I said, many very important books are being published about that, around the world, so it's increasingly difficult by those who are trying to keep the wrap on that and stick to the NATO narrative about the "unprovoked war." I think that story is crumbling. In any case, it has never been believed by the countries of the Global South, who have their own independent judgment, and they don't fall as easily for the NATO narrative as some of the duped people in Europe or the United States.

So, I think that story is going to evaporate. And maybe, in the future, people will take a fresh look at that history and come to quite different conclusions, than the present mainstream media try to evoke.

SCHLANGER: In addition to the books that you say are being written, every week, in fact every day, *Executive Intelligence Review* puts out a *Daily Alert* that's way ahead of virtually everyone else, and that's something that people can subscribe to and circulate.

Now, there are a few more questions on the emergence of the citizenry as an active part in changing history. From the U.K., there's someone who writes in about a headline story that quoted former U.S. intelligence operative Fiona Hill, who was very much involved in the Russiagate story, putting out a statement saying, "Russia Is at War with the United Kingdom and the U.S. Cannot Be Relied On." And the contact writes: "When can we expect the population in Europe to take their heads out of their you-know-what and move to bring down their unpopular governments?" And along those lines, there's a question specifically for you—someone wrote: "I heard about your rally in Schrobenshausen on Saturday [June 7], opposing Merz's intent to send Taurus missiles to Ukraine." She writes, "Can you give us an update on the response? And what can

people do to protest this policy in Germany?"

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Well, my answer to the first question would have been to refer to the rally in Schrobenhausen, which we did last Saturday, and this was very, very, I would say, refreshing. And there is a video out, which I think we have posted on the Schiller site, if I'm not mistaken. If we haven't done it, we will do so.

It was really very excellent, because, you know, Schrobenhausen is, together with a city in Sweden, these are the two places where the Taurus is being put together. And given the fact that the Russian response to a deployment of the Taurus to Ukraine, this has been mooted by several Russian military experts, could very well be that if the Taurus would ever be deployed, let's say, against Moscow, or other places, widely into the territory of Russia, that a possible response by Russia would be to send one or more of these Oreshnik missiles, hypersonic missiles which cannot be stopped; they're undefeatable. So there would be the possibility to take out the factory where the Taurus missile is being produced, and put together. That would be a very, very sharp signal: This is Schrobenhausen, which is a city, I think 13,000 inhabitants, 40 km from Munich. So that's why we had a rally; that's the Schiller Institute, and another organization called [Bases 24:27], and also a parliamentarian from the AfD, and some other people. Citizens were speaking! What was really good, there were about 800 people, most of them from Schrobenhausen itself, what was really incredible, the speeches were on a very high level, not just your typical campaign speeches or rally speeches, but very thoughtful, high-level, intellectual discussion; and the population—you can see that in the video—they were listening attentively, being extremely serious. Then several of them came to the microphone and stated unbelievably beautiful things. For example, there was one woman who said, "We are telling these politicians who are pushing these provocations that they are *our* employees. We are employing

them; they are not our bosses.” This was an expression of a sovereign spirit. I would really like to hear from German citizens more often, because, if there would be a majority of citizens, or even a very large number of citizens who would remind the politicians that they have sworn an oath to defend the common good of the people, and prevent damage from being done to them, they would have to fear that if they don’t obey their oaths, that they will be chased out of office in the nearest possible time. So I think these kinds of manifestations of the real sovereignty of the people was very heart-warming, and I can only hope that the example that Schrobenhausen will become a model to be repeated by many cities and citizens all over Germany, and all over Europe.

SCHLANGER: On the question of people trying to cover their ass: Four of the Five Eyes countries announced sanctions against the Zionist extremists Itamar Ben-Gvir and Bezalel Smotrich, but the question came in: “Why not announce instead a cut-off of weapons and financial aid to Israel? We had this report on Trump’s call to Netanyahu; the UN issued a report today, saying that Israel is committing war crimes, and former Prime Ministers of Israel were saying the same thing. Do you see this situation finally changing? And, with the United Nations having hearings shortly, to take up the question of the Oasis Plan as a solution, as opposed to just some vague notion of stopping the war?”

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Well, I think that there is, right now, unfortunately mixed signals coming from the Trump administration, because both Rubio and also Hegseth, basically said the United States is no longer supporting the two-state solution. That’s tragic! That must be reversed! Obviously, this has to do a lot with the workings of Netanyahu’s influence inside the United States. That would be a catastrophe, and I can only hope that this is reversed, because obviously, if the United States would cut off all weapons and financial aid, the whole horror show would stop

quickly, and that's the reality of the matter!

Now, the public opinion in the world is clearly shifting. And I think the tiny little reversals you have seen, for example, in Germany, where even Merz started to take an attitude that this all goes too far, even if it's too late and insufficient. But nevertheless, I think, this shift is the result of the fact that the reputation of those countries that are still backing what the UN has characterized as "genocide," is devastating! And I don't think it will be recovered! The fact that Germany, for example, had for a very long period, this time, given the German history, I think this is something which will not be remedied very easily, and I can only say, the only redemption would be, that Germany and European countries, together with the United States would put an end to this horror show, and instead revert to rebuilding the region where the Oasis Plan would be a centerpiece, and peace could be brought to the entire region, and not just Israel and Palestine.

But right now, I think it's still on the edge, and there are the forces that thing the annexation of the West Bank is a legitimate goal. It's a huge battle: On the other side, you have the Gaza Peace March, where I think thousands of people have started to march from Algeria and Tunisia all the way through the Maghreb to Gaza. I think they are now in Egypt; they will give a press conference, trying to make the point that the citizens of the world have to march for the right to distribute, at least, humanitarian aid to the people who are locked into the Gaza almost cemetery.

So this is an issue which I think morally this will be one of the issues that will decide over our moral fitness to survive as a human species. Because if you tolerate something so absolutely horrendous, it says everything about yourself and your moral fiber

So I can only say, let's help to mobilize for putting the

Oasis Plan on the agenda at the UN conference which will start in a few days. We have mobilized a lot of embassies, and a lot of ambassadors, a lot of forces in the different countries to come out and demand the implementation of the Oasis Plan. So please add your support to our campaign.

SCHLANGER: I have one more question for you, Helga, which is from a Mexican-based podcaster, who said, with everything going on in the world, he hasn't been hearing much about the mobilization of the BRICS countries to establish a new development architecture. And he asks if you have any update on that?

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: There is obviously the BRICS summit coming up in Brazil I think in July; there are already a lot of preparatory meetings going on. I'm absolutely confident that there will be more countries joining; there is a tremendous push by the Africans, for example, to have a much larger role. We will have a very important conference of the Schiller Institute in July in Germany, which will feature the absolute necessity of Europe and China and the BRICS to collaborate, to finally go for a crash program for the development of Africa: bringing electricity to 600 million people who don't yet have electricity; to address all of the other urgent issues, in terms of water infrastructure, and that will be a major point of discussion. So you should register for this conference; if you are in Germany, you should register to attend it in person. If you are anywhere else in the world, you should participate online. But I think we have to absolutely put the agenda of the BRICS countries as the alternative. And you know, all the problems of the world could be easily changed and solved, if the Europeans and the Americans can just say, "we will stop the geopolitical confrontation with the Global Majority, and we will just shift fears and we will cooperate." That would be so easy!

And hopefully, what Trump announced in terms of the agreement with China could be a baby step in this direction. So, let's

see—not waiting, but mobilizing to put this idea of a New Paradigm, a new security and development architecture on the table, because that is the level where the solution to all problems can and must be found.

SCHLANGER: Looking back on the extend of events that we were discussing today, it's obvious that the work of the International Peace Coalition is having an impact. You have another meeting coming up this Friday, June 13. What's on the agenda for the Friday meeting?

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: For sure, the Tulsi Gabbard video and what caused this to happen will be a topic. We will have top speakers. Last week, we had a very remarkable group of people speaking: former Virginia Senator Richard H. Black; Scott Ritter, Ray McGovern, several others from the VIPS; and naturally people from the Middle East. So I'm sure that the background of what caused Tulsi Gabbard to make such an absolutely alarming video, will be a topic, and some insiders will talk about it. And naturally, the situation in the Middle East, as always, will be a subject, because this will be practically immediately before the UN General Assembly meeting. So, absolutely make sure you participate in it. I also know that we will have a very important speaker from India, Mr. M.K. Bhadrakumar; he will also give the view of an Indian diplomat on this whole matter.

And I always think it's so important for people in the West to not just listen to the European or American view, but to also put yourself in the shoes of people from Africa, Asia, Latin America, to get a balanced judgment of where we stand in the world.

So, please join us by all means!

SCHLANGER: Helga, thank you for joining us today. We'll see you on Friday. And keep inspiring people! We're obviously making some progress in an extremely tense and difficult

world.

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: And don't forget to spread the Tulsi Gabbard video, and repost it as many times as you can!