

**Tiden løber ud for Gaza. FN's  
generalforsamling må handle  
nu.**

**Den Internationale  
Fredskoalition møde #117 den  
29. august 2025**

På engelsk:

International Peace Coalition Meeting #117, Friday, August 29,  
2025

ANASTASIA BATTLE: Hello, everyone; welcome! This is the International Peace Coalition. This is our 117th consecutive meeting. Thank you all for participating in this process. My name is Anastasia Battle, and I'll be your moderator along with Dennis Small and Dennis Speed, my co-moderators. I like to remind everyone at the start of each meeting that we created this forum in order to bring the entire world peace movement together around a consolidated idea to create true peace. Whatever philosophy you're from, whatever nation you're from, language you speak, religion, it doesn't matter; if you are for true peace, then you are welcome here.

We have an excellent line-up of people today going through the world situation, which has been quite tumultuous. So, please take a moment to share this invitation with your friends, your organizations, reasonable enemies if you have some, to invite them to this discussion today. It will be very important. Coming up we have the September 3rd event and SCO meeting; but I'll leave that to our next speaker to update everyone.

So, I'd like to have Helga Zepp-LaRouche, the founder of the Schiller Institute and the initiator of the International Peace Coalition to start us off. Please go ahead, Helga.

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Hello to all of you. It is very difficult to determine where one should start, because the strategic situation is so full of dangerous spots which could go out of control, and the whole dynamic in general is not going in a positive direction at all.

But let me start with the most pressing issue, because time is running out in Gaza and the West Bank, where the genocide is continuing. Every single day people are dying; famine is there. The offensive against Gaza City by the IDF has started, and the international community is so far absolutely impotent and doesn't act. We are coming up now to the UN General Assembly, and that creates probably the last chance to do something to stop this. The military operation against the occupied Palestinians—it's not a war where Israel has declared war on the Palestinians. Israel is the occupying force in a very small contained area called Gaza. It is unbelievable! I think we should be aware of the fact that if the whole world community is proving unable to stop a genocide which is going on in front of the eyes of the entire world community, that is not only potentially meaning the deaths of more than 2 million Palestinians. I think it is the end of civilization, if you can call it civilization after this. So, it's the last chance. On the 18th of September—this is in the middle of the UN General Assembly—the deadline runs out for a provision which was concluded and agreed upon one year ago by the UN General Assembly that Israel has to comply with the rulings of the International Court of Justice or further measures can be adopted by the UN General Assembly. That creates a window, because obviously Israel has not been compliant; nobody has been putting pressure on it. The United States has been backing whatever Israel is doing; Western governments have done absolutely nothing substantial to intervene. That means

that the only remedy left is to go to the 1950 Uniting for Peace Resolution #377, which says that if the UN Security Council is blocked by, for example, the permanent veto of one of the Permanent Five, then the decision-making can go to the UN General Assembly, which can then decide to adopt measures. What would be required would be a resolution in the UN General Assembly which specifies which measures must be taken, including sending forces—either Blue Helmets or some other military force. That depends naturally on the discussion in the UN General Assembly. Then, by a two-thirds majority, they can decide to send such a force.

There are all kinds of proposals which are side traps and actually not functioning to remedy the situation, such as a two-state solution [which the Schiller Institute otherwise supports- editor]; because this does not change the genocide. UN Special Rapporteur Francesca Albanese has pointed that out. There is now growing resistance mounting; for example, there is a letter signed by more than 200 former EU diplomats and other such people demanding action. Also, the staff of Volker Türk, the UN Special Rapporteur for Human Rights, are demanding that he take action. So, I would suggest that we discuss this, and that we deploy the International Peace Coalition to support such an action of the UN General Assembly uniting for a peace resolution to go into effect.

As I said, this is probably the last chance there is; because if we wait any longer, the majority of people in Palestine will be dead or simply transported to a small area in the south somewhere, amounting to a concentration camp, and maybe shipped out. Ethnic cleansing is on the agenda. So, that's my first point. One should take note of the fact that of all people, Tony Blair and Jared Kushner were in a meeting at the White House discussing some proposals for Gaza. That clearly smells of the Gaza Riviera proposal.

If that genocide would not be enough—and let me just restate it again for emphasis. If the world community fails to act on that, I think we are losing everything. We are not just threatening to lose the Palestinian people; we are losing the ability to look into the mirror every morning. We are losing the idea that there is something like international law. It's really a massive issue which is at stake.

As if this would not be enough, I think the situation that we discussed last week with the IPC meeting where Prof. Postol was putting out this extreme warning that in his view—and he is the expert—and he has reiterated that he has the absolute knowledge and evidence. There is no doubt about what he is saying. He said basically that Iran has enough nuclear material ready so that either they already have nuclear weapons, or they could have them in a few weeks or days. So, he said that we have two undeclared nuclear powers in the Middle East. To make things worse, the European Three—that is Great Britain, France, and Germany—have now activated something which is called the Snapback, which refers to reinstituting the sanction regime which was abandoned after the JCPOA was affected. Now basically they are giving Iran a 30-day deadline to again allow the International Atomic Energy Agency in for inspections to find out where their remaining nuclear material is. Obviously, the answer from the Iranian government has been negative; they say they have no reason to believe that there is any legitimacy in the request, because the last time they cooperated, they were cheated. The United States was negotiating while preparing to back up the Israeli military attack. So they say they have absolutely no reason to believe any of the statements being made are true.

The Russian government in the person of Dmitry Polyanskiy has denounced this method of what he calls coercion and blackmail by the Europeans. Basically the Iranians also say that they have no capability, because who wants to listen to these

Europeans when they have not been able to intervene with the U.S. attack in the first place? Polyanskiy says there are two ways of dealing with issues; one is diplomacy, and the other is coercion, blackmail, and threats. That is obviously what the Europeans are now doing. There is also a study out which says that the sanctions regime has caused more than 500,000 civilians to die because what do these sanctions aim at? They hit the civilian population; they lower the living standard, make everything more expensive; and people die from hunger and lack of medicine. So, that is a ticking time bomb, because the 30-day deadline means that the situation is on absolute fire.

Just to mention one more item which I think is important, there was just the arrest of the so-called coordinator of the Nord Stream sabotage in Italy. A Ukrainian man, who supposedly was the commander of the operation whereby the 50-meter sailing yacht was the tool with which the sabotage was carried out. The German authorities say that all seven who participated in this have now been identified. One man died on the battlefield in Ukraine; the other five are known, at least their identities are known. That story I think is extremely doubtful. There are many experts around the world in many countries who absolutely doubt that this very sophisticated operation could have been carried out from a small sailboat with practically amateur divers. It's completely ludicrous, and Russia, China, and other countries have demanded an independent investigation to establish complete transparency as to what happened. This is not just a terrorist attack. Remember, the Nord Stream sabotage changed the geopolitical landscape, because it not only started the present economic downfall of Germany and all of Europe which is now going at high speed, but it was the beginning of completely geopolitically separating Europe from Russia. That obviously was to the total benefit of the United States during the Biden administration, because now Germany and other Europeans are buying LNG gas from the United States at prices three to four

times higher than the cheap gas from Russia. That was the beginning of the collapse of the German economy. I can only tell you, France is bankrupt. On September 8th, there will be a vote of no-confidence of Prime Minister Bayrou. In all likelihood, he will lose the vote. Then there will be a new prime minister which is very unlikely that an agreement can be found. Therefore, the National Assembly will be dissolved, and there will be parliamentary elections or Macron will resign and then Presidential elections will take place. In any case, there is no stable government, and the French economy is in freefall; as is even more dramatically the German economy. There you have bankruptcies, insolvencies, rising unemployment. I can only see that in the next several weeks and months into the fall and winter, we will have a complete collapse of Germany and the European economies. That is the result and late consequence of the sabotage of Nord Stream 2; and therefore we absolutely have to demand that the demand from Russia in particular, but also China which has made the point that if that state terrorism is not investigated, no critical infrastructure at no place in the whole world is secure. So, an independent real investigation is absolutely required.

Now, the ongoing militarization of Europe, and unfortunately of Germany in particular, is also going full speed ahead. The so-called Coalition of the Willing do not give up their intention to keep the war going in Ukraine; which has clearly been lost on the battlefield. Nevertheless, there are ominous developments going on. The latest of which is that there was a meeting of the German Cabinet in the Defense Ministry in a room which is called the Submarine Room, because it is completely isolated. You cannot eavesdrop on that room; it's protected against surveillance. So, why do they meet there? Well, the Supreme Allied Commander of NATO, General Grynkewich, was there, and it's only my guess what they may have been discussing. But when these meetings are taking

place, one should be extremely alarmed because of the ongoing armament and operational Plan Germany, whereby the Army is going to the schools trying to recruit pupils to join the Army. There is a general narrative that there will be an attack by Russia on a European country by 2029 at the latest, which is being repeated by all these mainstream media on a daily basis. So, this is the situation.

Now fortunately, there is something else going on entirely concerning the Global Majority. Tomorrow starts the Shanghai Cooperation Organization meeting in Tianjin in China, where Prime Minister Modi will probably meet with Xi Jinping on the sidelines. President Putin is the guest of honor. 34 leaders of other countries will participate. Maybe President Trump will not go there, which we had tried to evoke with our appeal. It does not seem that he will go there, but maybe he has deserved the Nobel Peace Prize because he got India and China much closer together, and that can only serve peace, so that's a good thing.

But jokes aside, I think at this event of the Shanghai Cooperation Organization, they will present their ten-year strategic plan. You should be very interested to hear that, because I am sure there will be a lot of economic and other integration of Eurasia around that. Then, on the 3rd of September, you will have on the one side the military parade in Beijing, commemorating the 80th anniversary of the end of the Second World War in the Pacific. That will be a super important event as well. And I'm sorry, Putin will be the guest of honor at that; I mixed it up before. That will be a very important demonstration. And on the same day, September 3rd, the Vladivostok Eastern Economic Forum will also start. One of the main topics is the development of the Arctic. More than 6000 firms will be there; many countries of the Global South will see their chance to invest together with Russia and others to develop the kinds of raw materials which they are in

need of. If the German leadership were still in the realm of sanity, they would say, "Let's cooperate with Russia," because Germany has no raw materials, and we need to develop such secure raw materials in cooperation with Russia and the BRICS. I'm not sure that such sane statements are possible, at least at this moment. This will hopefully be a place where the continuation of the potential of building the Bering Strait between Alaska and Eurasia will also be on the table. At least, we will try everything we can to make sure that it's being discussed, because that would be a core piece of our World LandBridge proposal as the economic basis for a lasting peace in a new security and development architecture which must take into account the interests of every single country on the planet.

So, that is what my short introduction in the beginning is. As you can see, the dangers are extremely severe and acute. On the other side, a way out is already visible; namely for the Western countries to simply join the Global Majority. Then everything would be very easy to be solved. That's my initial report.

{{Remarks during the Discussion:}}

[In answer to Prof. Toloraya:] I would like to answer that briefly. I think you need a little bit more differentiated analysis, because the Golden Billion [Western population] is not a homogeneous group. The governments which are the war mongers right now in the Coalition of the Willing have very thin support. Macron's government will not be there next week. Starmer has maybe one-digit support in the British population. Merz has at best 29%, and the CSU-CDU 24%. So that is not exactly a majority. The reality is that because of the dramatic collapse, the vast majority of people will absolutely lose everything. Merz just said that the present German economy cannot maintain the social welfare state. That means they will go for massive cuts which will ruin the livelihoods



of many people. That's why I'm saying that if there would be a clear offer coming from the Global Majority of cooperation, like joint ventures in the development of Africa, joint ventures in the development of the Far East whereby it is becoming clear to the majority of the people of Europe that there is another way. Because people don't want to go to war; it's just that when they only hear the mainstream media, then they are despairing. I can tell you, people in Germany and France are getting quite desperate; in the United States they're getting quite desperate. So, I think it does require a little bit more intervention. Because the elites are like you say—Merz was the CEO of BlackRock in Germany for many years, and he obviously has more the interests of the military-industrial complex in mind than the OCs[ph] were to defend the common good of the people. But I think that there is much more room for intervention which is what we should concentrate on.

[Response to Graham Fuller and Ray McGovern:] I have a better part of me which is an optimist, and in that sense I agree with you, Ray, that if you look at the long arc of history, it bends towards progress and justice. So, I'm absolutely certain that if we get out of this present crisis, there will be a beautiful future for humanity; because I think man is capable of reason. If you think about all the incredibly beautiful things mankind has already produced, all the pieces of art in China, in India, in Persia, in Europe, there is something about human beings which I think is making them capable of facing every challenge.

Having said that, I however think that we are in the short-term in an extremely dangerous situation, because this idea that the Russians are now saying that the Fourth Reich is reappearing in Germany and Europe is extremely worrisome. Anybody who considers what happened in World War II should really have sleepless nights over that. I was talking with a contact earlier today, and I said, "I'm a political battle

horse; I have been in politics now for a very long time. But still I cannot understand what is driving these people to for no good reason risk the existence of our country." Then this person said, "Well, maybe it is that these people are bought. That they have some advantage from what they do, and that weighs more than their consideration of the country in the long term." I don't know. What does it help you if you get riches if everything is being destroyed in the end? I think there are many Russians who even wrote books that said if Germany continued on its present course, it will be wiped out. Germany is the one country which is most in danger if it comes to war of being completely wiped out. Already in the past in all nuclear maneuvers of NATO, the existence of Germany does play a consideration at all. People who participated in NATO maneuvers know that, and they have been reporting that.

So, therefore I welcome what both Prof. Toloraya and Graham and Ray are saying. You have to shout loudly into Germany, because I think especially Russians and Americans and people from the outside have to make themselves heard so that maybe some of these Germans who are sleepwalking right now will wake up. So, thank you.

[In answer to comment re West not wanting to relinquish control, etc.] I just want to say connecting both what Pamina said and what Elsa now said. I'm following world developments every day; and I take into account what's going on in the Global South, in Africa, in Latin America, in Russia, China, India. I can only tell you, please do not concentrate on the way the world looks from inside Germany. Because I can tell you that this is a completely distorted view. If you are only listening to German media, you get depressed. I can only warn you that the whole country becomes depressed because you think that you are surrounded by enemies. There is no positive development occurring anymore; there will be cuts occurring in all social fields; the hospitals get closed; the schools don't function; the Russians are evil, the Chinese as well. You go

crazy! I make it a question of my mental health to not think about Germany first thing in the morning, because I would go crazy, too. That is why I want to encourage people like you from India, to speak out. Maybe Putin doesn't want to address these demonstrations; maybe you should. Because I think the most important thing right now is to hear voices from the outside. The Global Majority is the Global Majority. Even the British think thanks admit that the Global Majority is 85% of the world population, and it is rising.

Look at India. India has right now in my view a very beautiful revival of its Non-Aligned tradition. The more Trump is pushing India, the more that Non-Aligned tradition comes out and becomes active. India has I think 6.5% growth. India will soon be the largest country in the world, bypassing the number of people living in China. So, it's 1.4 billion-plus people; together with China, it's 3 billion people. Two countries, 3 billion people! What are the 80 million Germans as compared to that? So, I think we have to really readjust the way people look at the world. The more we get the cross-national border dialogue going, and people speak with each other, I think there is an absolute possibility that people will recognize we are sitting in one boat. Either we join our efforts and work together, or we all are destroying each other. So, I think we have reached that point in human history.

I have said this in the past, but let me repeat it. In the past, when the Roman Empire collapsed, in India there was the Gupta Period, and it took years before people even realized that the Roman Empire had collapsed because you had to travel by ship, and it would take very long. You would get sick, you would die, and before you could return. In other words there was no handy [cell phone], there was no internet. You could have parts of the world collapsing and other parts rising. If you look at the last several thousand years, it was always like that; the torch of progress would go from one culture to

the next. It was shifting. This is now different; this time we are all sitting in one boat. If there is a nuclear exchange anywhere, it is my firm belief that it will lead to such a disaster that the chances that we all may not make it are very high.

That is what we have to start with, because once you realize that we have to change because if we get into a war right now, there will be no winner—nobody. There will not even be an historian to investigate what went wrong. If you don't start with that, you are not realistic. But if you start with that, and say we must have a dialogue of cultures, and we will recognize how beautiful this world is, you have a completely different approach. I think that is what we have to strengthen.

[In response to collapse of German economy] Briefly, I think that there will be a huge reaction. I just read earlier today that the Verdi[ph] trade union will start demonstrations against the cuts. I'm absolutely certain that that will grow, because the dismantling of the welfare state in Germany is so dramatic. They're cutting hospitals everywhere. They now have training sessions where the nurses and doctors are advised that in the coming war with Russia, they will have to treat wounded NATO soldiers first before ordinary people who have illnesses. This is just crazy! I personally think we are going to have a very hot autumn. Whatever the temperature in the winter will be, it will be a hot winter as well. This cannot function! You cannot do what they are trying to do. The Bundeswehr is a joke! They dismantled the Bundeswehr for so long, and now they want to basically fill it up with money. They will try; they will try very hard to militarize all of Europe. But I think the economic bottom will fall out before they succeed.

So, I think we are going into a very stormy period. I think it

will require a lot of people with cool heads, and providing solutions. I think chaos is a big danger, because we may have an uncontrolled collapse. The United States is bankrupt; the U.S. debt issue is becoming a big topic. France is bankrupt. I think the reason why these elites are so unnerved is because they know perfectly well that we are sitting on an unsustainable system, and you could have an uncontrolled collapse which will make 2007-8 look like peanuts. The countries of the BRICS are trying to create a different mechanism for credit creation. Putin was talking about a new industrial platform as a financing mechanism. I think the West should start thinking about how we fix this bankrupt system. My late husband, Lyndon LaRouche, has provided the Four Laws of Lyndon LaRouche, which are still absolutely valid. The first is generalized Glass-Steagall; tell the investment banks to take care of themselves, they will no longer get taxpayer money. Put the commercial banks under state protection, because they are the institutions which have to provide credit for the productive parts of society. Create a national bank in every country, and then create a New Bretton Woods system with heavy emphasis on providing lots of opportunities to the Global South for credit generation to overcome their under-development. Then, focus on scientific and technological breakthroughs in fusion technology; because this will mean a gigantic jump in the productivity of the economy. Then, go for international cooperation in space research and travel, and similar things to get the necessary increase in productivity like what China is doing. China does a lot of things correctly, and India is not very far behind. Other parts of the world are much smarter than the Europeans at this point. So, let's learn from them.

{{Closing Remarks:}}

I just want to say a word about this Reagan question. The one thing which sticks out in my mind is President Reagan's positive response to my late husband Lyndon LaRouche and the

whole SDI question. You should go and look at the video of the TV appearance President Reagan made on March 23, 1983, when he offered collaboration to the Soviet Union to jointly make nuclear weapons obsolete. That was a very serious idea which my last husband was elaborating in a protocol for the superpowers, which was a whole plan for how to make nuclear weapons obsolete. The only way to do it, was that the two superpowers would jointly develop new technologies based on new physical principles and then jointly deploy these systems. I think that is still a very valid conception, although it may have changed in terms of the predicates. I think the Russians have in part acted on their own on that basis with hypersonic weapons and similar things. But I think Reagan reiterated that same proposal eight months later in an open letter to the Soviet Union. So, if you are an historian, or interested in getting the historic record straight, go to these sources. Go to the {EIR} archive and you will find the relevant leads to find these things.

I think this was very important, and to do Reagan justice, I agree with you, Graham, that he should be upheld for that reason. He is, of all the conservatives for sure, the most reasonable one, and deserves to be upheld.

Otherwise, I can only repeat what the course of the discussion was. We will go into dramatic changes in the next weeks. The SCO meeting I think will have a clear perspective for the next ten years. Then you will have the two events on September 3rd in Beijing and Vladivostok. Then in the following weeks, there will be the UN General Assembly. So, a lot of things will happen in that period, and I think this is the period to try to inject this idea of a new security and development architecture. Because one of the things which is wrong with the European approach at this point is that they are talking a lot about providing security guarantees for Ukraine. But what about security guarantees for Russia? The Helsinki Accord

concluded with one very important idea, and that was the concept of indivisible security: That you cannot have security for one and not for the other. The Europeans [internet freeze] ...

I was just talking about the concept of indivisible security. I think that requires some discussion, because one way of countering this Russo-phobia question is, is there a legitimacy of having security guarantees for every country involved? The reason why the Versailles Treaty was really the first step toward World War II was because it did not take into account the security interests of everybody. I think that lesson needs to be learned. If you want to have a new world war, then you deny that. So, I think more discussion about that is required.

Otherwise, I can only appeal to you to become active with us, because we need to build the International Peace Coalition to be an absolutely strong voice. If you are from countries which are not yet that represented, please bring all your friends and colleagues to the next session. We definitely should internationalize the IPC much more than we are doing already. So, please get active with us.

---

**Den presserende behov for en Trump-Putin-Xi topmøde. Den internationale fredskoalition**

# møde #116 den 22. august 2025

---

## Den tidligere israelske premierminister Ehud Olmert fordømmer Gaza-krigen på DR2's Deadline

KØBENHAVN, 25. august 2025 (EIRNS) – Under det danske tv-program, DR2's meget sete aftennewsinterviewprogram »Deadline« den 21. august, der fandt sted efter den israelske regering havde meddelt sin intention om at overtage Gaza City, fordømte den tidligere israelske premierminister Ehud Olmert planen, fortsættelsen af krigen og Netanyahu-regeringen. Se programmet her.

Han havde støttet krigens begyndelse som en reaktion på angrebene den 7. oktober 2023, men sagde, at tingene har ændret sig.

»Alle tidligere kommandører i den israelske hær, højtstående generaler, chefen for Mossad og sikkerhedstjenesterne i Israel er enige om, at der i dag ikke er noget mål, der er værd at fortsætte krigen for, måske miste gidslerne, dræbe mange israelske soldater unødigt og dræbe mange palæstinensere, der ikke er involveret i terror. Under sådanne omstændigheder er der ikke noget grundlag for at fortsætte krigen, og det er opfattelsen hos mere end 70 % af israelerne...«

»Hvis denne plan [om at overtage Gaza City] betyder deportation af hundredtusinder af indbyggere i Gaza, er det



fuldstændig ubærligt og uacceptabelt... Det vil være tvungen deportation, og i så fald kan det ikke fortolkes på anden måde end som etnisk udrensning. Jeg håber, at det ikke vil finde sted.«

Om Stor Israel-fraktionen sagde han, at »Gaza aldrig har været historisk forbundet med det jødiske folk...« Der var israelske bosættelser, men de blev nedlagt. Han fordømte ministrene Ben-Gvir og Smotrich og de "messianske grupper's" fantasier og drømme. »Disse drømme er en overhængende og umiddelbar fare for velfærden, fremtiden, sikkerheden og stabiliteten samt status for Staten Israel. Og jeg håber, at vi vil have tilstrækkelig magt inden for Staten Israel til at forhindre det.« Han opfordrer til at vælte Netanyahu-regeringen, og til at det internationale samfund skelner mellem regeringen og det israelske folk.

»Deadline« interviewede derefter en Mellemøsten-ekspert fra Forsvarsakademiet, der også kraftigt fordømte den nuværende israelske politik.

I andre udviklinger fandt den største pro-palæstinensiske demonstration siden krigens begyndelse sted i København i går med muligvis 70.000 mennesker (ifølge en deltagende Schiller Instituttet-arrangør), organiseret af 100 humanitære organisationer, fagforeninger og andre. Den prominente dækning af FN-rapporten om hungersnøden i Gaza øgede deltagelsen.

I dag udsendte tretten humanitære organisationer en appel til den danske regering, der nu har EU-formandskabet, om at stoppe våbeneksporten til Israel, annullere EU's handelsaftale med Israel, støtte retssagerne om folkedrab og bruge EU-formandskabet til at lægge pres på Israel.

Den 15. august sagde den danske statsminister Mette Frederiksen i et interview med Jyllands-Posten efter bekendtgørelsen af offensiven i Gaza City, at »Netanyahu er et problem i sig selv nu«, og at Israel ville være bedre stillet

uden ham, hvilket skabte overskrifter i Israel. På trods af dette nægter den danske regering stadig at anerkende Palæstina som selvstændig nation med den undskyldning, at det ikke vil hjælpe de sultende palæstinensere nu.

Desuden var Danmarks overrabbiner, Jair Melchior, en af 80 internationale ortodokse rabbinere, der underskrev en erklæring, der kritiserede Israel for at tilbageholde humanitær hjælp i Gaza og for vold på Vestbredden.

---

## Den første uge i september

*by Dennis Speed (EIRNS) – Aug. 29, 2025*

På engelsk.

Should President Donald Trump journey to Beijing, on the occasion of China's Sept. 3 80th anniversary commemoration of the worldwide Victory Against Fascism? Many, including Schiller Institute Founder Helga Zepp-LaRouche, have called for him to do so. It is fitting and proper to do so, in that the September 3 ceremony, as stated by the Chinese Foreign Ministry, "also symbolizes the unity and determination of the two countries to defend the outcome of the victory in World War II."

American President Franklin Roosevelt's idea of the world that must emerge from the ashes of the Second World War became, through the force of his intervention, the dominant policy-outlook in the world in 1945. FDR proposed in late 1941 a template for post-war world security, a council for which Russia and China were permanent members. They were to be two (in addition to Great Britain and the United States) of what FDR would call the "Four Policemen," a phrase he coined in 1942, for a first approximation of what would ultimately

become the United Nations Security Council.

FDR would not have allowed the United States to be the sole dissenting voice in the recent UN Security Council vote, pertaining to Gaza. The United States refused to endorse the statement, "The use of starvation as a weapon of war is clearly prohibited under international humanitarian law. Famine in Gaza must be stopped immediately." The forced starvation violates two of FDR's Four Freedoms: Freedom From Want, and Freedom From Fear, and he would have never allowed the United States to do that.

In the next days, a combination of meetings, starting with the August 31-September 1 Shanghai Cooperation Organization, followed by Beijing Sept 3, followed by the Vladivostok Eastern Economic Forum Sept. 3-6, represent an extraordinary opportunity for a dialogue of civilizations. 70 nations will attend the Vladivostok meeting alone. These are nations that are already assembled, prepared, and positioned to discuss, in general outline form, a new security and development architecture, including with the Presidency of the United States. Many projects are already underway among these nations. A post-August 15 overture from the White House, of the "Good Neighbor" form that FDR projected so well on the world stage, would be an anomalous, unexpected, welcome shift, especially away from the tariff wars.

As with the meeting that Trump held with President Vladimir Putin August 15, there are things of great moment, and that will determine the fate of the human race, that can only be handled through face to face deliberations, of what might be called a "dialectical nature." "Dialectical" refers here to what Plato expressed as the method of the Socratic pursuit of truth, portrayed in each of his famous dialogues, and not what German philosopher G.W.F. Hegel spoke about as "the dialectical method," a term bandied about today by Frankfurt school escapees like Palantir's Alex Karp, or the neo-Marxists. It is a process of dialogue that forces a change of

axioms through a “coincidence of opposites.” In this way, we are able to arrive at a higher idea, giving us the capacity, as individuals, or a society, not only “to see ourselves as others see us,” but to see the seed-crystal of the future in the present and to thereby act, including with our “opposites,” in such a way as to make that future a reality.

As an example, take the morally horrific situation regarding Gaza, with its now-undeniable forced starvation of scores of thousands of children. There is nonetheless, something transformative happening, unexpected by the criminal perpetrators, presently erupting in Israel itself. There, since Sunday, there have been two large street demonstrations. The one that occurred Sunday reportedly had 500,000 people. The one on Tuesday had about 300,000.

The population of Israel is approximately 10 million people. Compared to the United States’ 340 million people, an American demonstration, to be the same proportionate size as that which just occurred in Israel last Sunday, would require 17.5million people. An appeal was made, not to Netanyahu, but to President Donald Trump, to put an end to the killing.

The population in both Gaza and in Israel, are in upheaval. People, there and elsewhere, because they are human, sense that a higher conception of humanity is required, or Israel will cease to exist—not because it is bombed out of existence, but because it becomes morally unfit to sustain itself. Our Ten Principles for A New Security And Development Architecture contains that higher conception of humanity that must be the starting point for the end of war. Nations of the world are conveying in Beijing to reaffirm the resolve to uphold what FDR and they achieved 80 years ago, in founding the United Nations. Will the President of the United States miss the opportunity of the next seven days, or seize it?

---

# **FN erklærer officielt hungersnød i Gaza, mens Netanyahu forbereder sidste offensiv mod Gaza City**

27. august 2025 (EIR Strategic Alert, Wiesbaden) – Den 22. august erklærede et FN-støttet organ »officielt«, at der hersker hungersnød i Gaza-provinsen. »Efter 22 måneders uophørlig konflikt står over en halv million mennesker i Gazastriben over for katastrofale forhold præget af sult, fattigdom og død«, og over en million flere står over for »nødsituationer«, ifølge konklusionerne fra Integrated Food Security Phase Classification.

Ikke desto mindre fortsætter det israelske regime med at begrænse leveringen af fødevarer og humanitære varer, samtidig med at det forbereder en fuldstændig militær overtagelse af Gaza City med den hensigt at »anvende alle sine kapaciteter«, som det hedder i en udtalelse fra forswarets stabschef.

Schiller Instituttet opfordrer derfor FN's Generalforsamling til at overveje at gennemføre »Resolution 377, Forenet for fred«, når den træder sammen i september. I henhold til denne resolution kan Generalforsamlingen, hvis FN's Sikkerhedsråd blokeres af veto fra et eller flere af de permanente medlemmer (i dette tilfælde USA), anbefale foranstaltninger, herunder brug af væbnet magt, hvis det er nødvendigt, for at genoprette freden. Behovet for at gribe ind nu for at standse rædslerne understreges af data fra det israelske militær selv, som er rapporteret i Guardian og Local Call. Ifølge IDF's klassificerede efterretningsdatabase var mindst 83 % af de

palæstinensere, der blev dræbt i Israels angreb på Gaza i maj, civile. Dette er en chokerende stor andel af civile dødsfald i enhver krig i de seneste årtier. Alligevel hævder den israelske regering, at Hamas efter 22 måneders krig stadig ikke er blevet elimineret, og at gidslerne ikke er blevet frigivet.

Gaza-tribunalet, hvis formand er Richard Falk, den tidligere FN-specialrapportør for menneskerettigheder i de palæstinensiske områder (2008-2014), udsendte en »nødopfordring« med titlen »Tid til handling: Mobilisering mod Israels planlagte erobring af Gaza City og det centrale Gaza.« Richard Falk havde også drøftet sine synspunkter under mødet i Den Internationale Fredskoalition den 15. august. Erklæringen advarer om, at Israels nuværende optrapning, på trods af modstand fra nogle af sine egne militære ledere, med henblik på den endelige besættelse af Gaza City, »udgør en stor udfordring for FN's medlemslande samt FN som organisation og andre multilaterale institutioner, som nu må træffe drastiske foranstaltninger.«

Gaza-tribunalet foreslår "intet mindre end en øjeblikkelig godkendelse af en væbnet intervention i Gaza for at standse folkemordet og tilvejebringe de nødvendige midler til at sikre succes... I betragtning af alvoren og omfanget af den katastrofale situation i Gaza opfordrer vi Generalforsamlingen til på nødbasis at godkende den øjeblikkelige dannelsen af en væbnet intervention, der er passende udstyret og finansieret til at sikre en afslutning på det israelske folkemord.» Dette kunne ske enten i henhold til resolution 377 eller i henhold til »Ansvar for at beskytte«, der blev vedtaget i 2005. Tribunalet opfordrer også alle regeringer til at suspendere våbenleverancer til Israel og yderligere isolere landet fra verdensanliggender.

Billede: Displaced Palestinians in Deir el-Balah line up to receive food provided by charitable organizations. August 2024. Ashraf Amra, CC BY-SA 3.0 IGO, via Wikimedia Commons

---

# Den Globale Majoritet har sin egen dagsorden for udvikling

*Billede: Møde mellem Modi og Xi i 2016*

26. august, 2025 (EIR Strategic Alert, Wiesbaden) – Det er altid nyttigt for europæere at se ud over deres egen »boks« for at erkende, at der i andre dele af verden udarbejdes ambitiøse, optimistiske planer for at forme fremtiden.

Om få dage afholder Shanghai Samarbejdsorganisationen (SCO) sit største topmøde nogensinde med ledere fra over 20 lande og chefer for 10 internationale organisationer til stede i byen Tianjin, ikke langt fra Beijing. SCO's 10 medlemsstater repræsenterer over 40 % af verdens befolkning (ca. 3,4 milliarder mennesker), og seks af dem (Hviderusland, Kina, Indien, Iran, Kasakhstan og Rusland) er også BRIKS-medlemmer, som spiller en ledende rolle i organiseringen af en mere retfærdig verdensøkonomisk orden. Deltagerne forventes at vedtage en 10-årig »udviklingsstrategi« for hele regionen.

Efter topmødet den 31. august til 1. september afholder Kina den 3. september en højtidelig fejring af 80-årsdagen for afslutningen af 2. verdenskrig i Stillehavet i nærværelse af mange verdensledere, herunder dette års æresgæst, Vladimir Putin. Bare en dag før vil præsident Xi Jinping og den russiske præsident have afholdt et bilateralt topmøde for yderligere at styrke samarbejdet på alle niveauer mellem de to lande.

Også den 3. september åbner det Østlige økonomiske Forum i Vladivostok i det fjerne østen i Rusland, hvor politiske og erhvervsmæssige ledere fra hele verden vil samles for at undersøge fælles infrastruktur og andre store projekter.

En afgørende faktor i disse udviklinger er den rolle, Indien vil spille. Dets herskende eliter har i årevis holdt sig tilbage med at indgå i et fuldt samarbejde med »den Globale Majoritet« af frygt for at forstyrre deres økonomiske og militære relationer med især USA og den engelsktalende verden generelt. Men den toldkrig, som Trump-administrationen har erklæret, oven i en stadig mere truende NATO-alliance og genopståen af den alliancefrie tradition, har ændret det.

Nu vil premierminister Narendra Modi deltage i SCO-topmødet, hans første rejse til Kina i syv år, og afholde et topmøde med præsident Xi i den forbindelse. Det forlyder, at han også vil deltage i 3. september-fejringerne, hvilket ville signalere en endnu mere markant ændring. Den kinesiske udenrigsminister Wang Yi var i New Delhi den 18. august for at forberede det kommende bilaterale møde. Det første punkt på dagsordenen er at løse den langvarige grænsestrid mellem de to lande. Det andet punkt er etableringen af grundlaget for et samarbejde til gensidig fordel, et samarbejde som Wang Yi har kaldt »elefantens og dragens dans«, der i betragtning af de to landes samlede befolkning på 2,8 milliarder mennesker og deres voksende økonomier bør fremskynde fremkomsten af den Globale Majoritet.

Desuden var den indiske udenrigsminister Jaishankar i Moskva fra 19. til 21. august for at styrke Indiens »strategiske partnerskab« med Rusland, hvor en række fælles økonomiske og videnskabelige projekter blev aftalt. Mens han var der, truede Trump-administrationen med, at der ville blive indført yderligere straffetold på Indien – 25 % mere end de 25 %, der allerede er indført – på grund af landets køb af russisk olie.

Som svar herpå søger Modi-regeringen at øge sin eksport til andre lande, herunder i Mellemøsten og Afrika.

Billede: Prime Minister's Office, Government of India, CC BY-SA 2.0, via Wikimedia Commons



---

**Hvem bombede egentlig Real Nord Stream?**

**Schiller Instituttets webcast med Helga Zepp-LaRouche den 27. august 2025**

Ingen afskrift endnu.

---

**Vil europæerne gerne spænde ben for Trump-Putin-fredsinitiativet?:**

**Schiller Instituttet Webcast med Helga Zepp-LaRouche den 21. august 2025**

DIANE SARE: Good morning, good afternoon wherever you are. This is the weekly webcast with Helga Zepp-LaRouche. Today is August 20, 2025. I think we'll get right into it, because there have been some truly major developments which the British and their lackeys in Europe and the American intelligence agencies have wanted to stop; namely that we had

at the end of last week the meeting in Alaska of President Donald Trump and President Putin. Helga, as you and I were discussing yesterday, I'm certainly finding here in the United States a great deal of confusion among the American people about what the significance is of this, what really happened. Was it a failure because they didn't get a ceasefire right away? If you don't mind, I'd like to start there, if you can elaborate for people your understanding and view of the significance of this meeting.

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE: I think it was a major breakthrough, because the real issue is not Ukraine. In the same way the war in Ukraine was not a war in Ukraine, but a proxy war between NATO and Russia. Therefore, the fact that President Trump and President Putin were able to have this meeting in Alaska and reestablish a direct communication between the leaders of the two largest nuclear powers in the world is of the highest significance. Everybody who is not blinded by ideological spectacles and prejudices should be happy about that.

This morning, President Trump gave an interview to an American journalist—I think his name is Mark Levin—and he made the point that people can relax. We are no longer on the verge of World War III, which we would have been in the end-phase of the Biden administration, and that that immediate danger was no longer there. I tend to agree with that, although with some reservations. But I think anybody who is not a complete moron really should be happy first of all to recognize that we were on the verge of World War III, and if that danger is reduced significantly to the point that it's no longer the most pressing topic on the planet, that is really the big news.

Obviously the Ukraine issue is an important aspect of this discussion, and there I can say that things are moving relatively rapidly in a positive direction. Foreign Minister Lavrov just indicated that an official invitation to President Zelenskyy [she mistakenly says Putin] has been issued to come

to Moscow, which President Trump had commented on, saying this will create a lot of trouble for him, but he thinks it's possible. Obviously given Russiagate and the whole effort to demonize Putin, Trump knows that that will not be so easy; given the fact that the real problem is the mainstream media, because they are clearly in the pocket of what people on both sides of the Atlantic generally call the Deep State. But I think it's an important step. Next, probably if President Zelenskyy agrees to it, he will go and meet with Putin. Trump said that if need be, he is willing for a trilateral meeting after that with Trump, Zelenskyy, and Putin. Obviously the fact that Trump no longer insisted on the ceasefire first before any negotiations, is very important. Because, as Trump emphasized, ceasefires are broken frequently. On the other side, he claims that he got several conflicts settled without going to a ceasefire first. Putin's in my view justified concern was that if you just go for a ceasefire, if there is the intention by the so-called Coalition of the Willing to "defeat Russia," which they have said many times; if that intention is not changed, a ceasefire would only serve to re-arm the Ukrainians with more lethal, far-reaching weapons. And therefore, it would be very much against any effort to settle this conflict. Russia, on the other side, has emphasized repeatedly, for anybody who was willing to listen, that what was necessary to be addressed was the so-called core issues. The core issues in the Ukraine crisis are not what people say; that this was an unprovoked war of aggression by Russia. It definitely was not. But the five-time NATO eastward expansion which occurred after the end of the Cold War despite the promises which were given to Gorbachev and Shevardnadze at the time by Secretary of State Baker and Foreign Minister Genscher that NATO would not move one inch to the east, was indeed the core issue. Because that meant that offensive weapons systems were moved into Ukraine up to the borders of Russia; meaning that the warning time for any pending attack would be reduced to four, five, six, seven minutes and therefore creating a reverse Cuban Missile Crisis.

Anybody who does not put themselves in the shoes of Putin and the Russians. ... You have to understand that given the fact that Russia has a long history of Western attacks: Napoleon tried to invade and conquer Russia, which was very bloody. It was an incredible military campaign which was launched. Napoleon was shamefully defeated. Then, naturally, Hitler and the Nazis tried likewise, and it was a horrible war in which 27 million or maybe even more Soviet citizens were killed. That was only 80 years ago, so that memory is still very vivid in Russia. The memory of the Great Patriotic War is very much alive in the minds of the people.

Therefore, if you have now again NATO with a long history of Hiroshima and Nagasaki, with the British intention to launch a preemptive war with Operation Unthinkable of Churchill; all of these are in the memory of Russia. Therefore, when they say that these core security interests have to be addressed, anybody who knows anything about history and about military matters will agree with Putin that he is absolutely right to say that that is what has to be settled. That is apparently what Putin got across to Trump in Alaska, because in Alaska Trump all of a sudden dropped the idea that there must be a ceasefire first. Because obviously, he recognized that Russia has a legitimate security interest—not only Ukraine, but also Russia has a legitimate security interest. So, basically he agreed to go for peace negotiations directly without a ceasefire.

SARE: I wanted to just raise something, because you know of course yesterday here in the United States we had this very large delegation of Europeans showing up at the White House. I think Americans don't really have any idea what that was all about. The media of course tried to say that they were all very unified; and perhaps some of the people there were unified—Ursula von der Leyen of the European Commission and Finnish President Stubb and the head of NATO, Rutte, who is the one who calls Trump "Daddy." They were all there, and the

{New York Times} headline was, "Well, at least they stopped Trump from giving away everything." My sense of this is that once the Alaska summit actually concluded, and it looked like Presidents Trump and Putin had come to some kind of understanding on the situation, Zelenskyy of course wanted to somehow get involved or be deployed to be involved; because I don't think he does much on his own. There was a great panic among these warmongers and they quickly put together the most powerful delegation they thought they could come up with, and rushed to Washington to see if they could somehow derail this thing. I don't think it's a show of strength at all, but I'd like to get your thoughts on this. I don't think there's been anything like this in quite some time of this number of European heads of state and the European Commission and NATO all meeting in Washington. What was this all about?

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Ray McGovern, who has an unbeatable sense of humor, called it "Trump and the Seven Dwarves." He emphasized that he does not imply that Trump is Snow White. So, these people—and as you say, they were not united, because I think there is a difference between some of them. Meloni is not exactly on the same line as Merz and Macron and von der Leyen. But I think they tried to "prevent the worst"; namely that Zelenskyy would be influenced too much by Trump. But I think they all felt compelled to pay lip service that this was a productive and wonderful discussion. However, that did not prevent them from returning and immediately once they were back in Europe, going back to their old lines. Merz saying "There must be a ceasefire first before anything more can happen." Right now in Germany there is a mad debate which has broken out, talking about how there should now be European troops on the ground, German Bundeswehr troops. Even the British said they will do something, but they will not put their troops at risk. That is quite clever.

This is ridiculous. If they believe their own ideology that this was an unprovoked war of aggression and that it would be

true that Putin is planning to attack the next European country once he is finished with Ukraine, which is denied by any competent military experts, that Russia has no intention and no motive. Why would Russia want to invade Europe? It could not occupy Europe, for sure, because you need a lot of people to do that. I think the Russian population altogether is maybe 150 million or so, if I'm not mistaken. At best, they can mobilize 600-700,000 troops. They have a huge country; they have the largest country on the planet, with 11 time zones, which they hardly can populate. So, for them to then invade Europe and occupy with a lot of troops European countries, why would they even want to even? They have all the raw materials in their own country. There is nothing they would gain by doing that. Therefore, this whole thing is a NATO scare narrative which only has one purpose—to keep the population scared and agreeing to the militarization of Europe—but it is not founded in reality.

I think people should really stop believing this, and think through the situation themselves. There are enough military experts—Colonel Macgregor, for example, in the United States; former head of the NATO Military Committee Gen. Harald Kujat in Germany; General Vad; General Mini [Italy], and many others in France and Italy and so on—who all say this is completely absurd. So, I would really urge people who are seriously concerned about this matter to do some of their own research and not fall for these narratives, because they could prove to be devastating.

Anyway, so then Ischinger, the former head of the Munich Security Conference, this morning gave an interview, and said, “No, we have now to arm Ukraine, until it is like a—” how do you call—

SARE: Yes, “a steel porcupine,” that’s what Ursula von der Leyen said.

ZEPP-LAROCHE: If I would be a Ukrainian, I would

really reject being called a “steel porcupine.” This is so derogatory, and it shows an ugly mind. How can you call somebody like that? But it shows you the degree of hysteria of these people. Unfortunately—I don’t think this is going to last very long, because first of all, this Coalition of the Willing do not have the military forces to carry through with what they say. Why would they even do it? Because up to now, it was very clear that to put NATO troops on the ground in Ukraine is a red line, it’s a {casus belli}. It would mean a direct war with Russia, a nuclear power—the largest nuclear power. So, they didn’t do it, because they knew that that was a war they could only lose dramatically. So, why would you put the equivalent of NATO troops on the ground? From the Russian standpoint, it doesn’t make a big difference if they are German, French, British, or other troops who call themselves French, German, British, or call themselves NATO: It’s the same people. So therefore, I don’t think this is a realistic proposition.

I can only hope that Zelenskyy listens to what his own people want, namely, peace. He can only get that peace when he goes along with Trump and Putin, and not listen to the Coalition of the Willing who want to prolong the war on the back of the Ukrainians. I think the more quickly the people in Europe realize that it is them who are being isolated from the world majority, if they go along with this Coalition of the Willing, and that there is a completely different option in the world—namely to ally with the Global Majority—that way, we can get out of the crisis very quickly.

SARE: In that regard, I wanted to ask if you’d like to say something about your initiatives; namely, the proposal that Trump, Putin, and Xi meet at the 80th anniversary celebration of the end of the War in the Pacific, which is occurring in Beijing; and also at the same time, the Vladivostok conference on the development of the East.

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: When the Alaska meeting became known,

we immediately pulled out of the archives our previous work on the Bering Strait. Because my late husband, Lyndon LaRouche, and myself had fought for decades for the World Land-Bridge to connect all the continents through tunnels and bridges. The connection from Alaska to Siberia over the Bering Strait—which is just a few kilometers—you could build a corridor there with a tunnel or a bridge or both, and in that way connect the Americas with the Eurasian continent. That would obviously open tremendous economic potential; it would mean that the entire wealth of raw materials could be developed by Russia and other countries Russia is inviting. But the possibilities are that you have Americans, Japanese, Chinese, Global South countries all participating in the development of the Far East of Russia where all the raw materials and elements of the Periodic Table are located, but undeveloped. So, this potential I'm sure—even if that did not hit the news headlines—that was on the table in Anchorage as well. There are certain indications that that is the case.

Now, the next step in that could be—and that's what you are referring to—I issued an appeal to President Xi Jinping that he should absolutely invite President Trump to the 80th anniversary of the end of the Second World War in the Pacific at the commemoration in Beijing on the 3rd of September. President Putin already has agreed to be there, and this will be a huge event. Many leaders of the Global South will attend that as well. Prime Minister Modi from India will be there, and probably Lula and others. So, this would send a very powerful message to the world that if the United States, Russia, and China, and others are celebrating the victory over fascism and Nazism, it would really send a message of hope to the whole world that all conflicts can be solved through cooperation.

I'm quite hopeful that this could happen. We are collecting signatures for this appeal, so if you agree with that idea, please sign this appeal. Get it around to all your



contacts and networks and friends to also sign, because I think we should build up a momentum for this idea; that a peaceful solution would be easy if the great powers cooperate.

It happens to be that on the same day, the East Economic Forum in Vladivostok opens. There, more than 6,000 firms are participating. On the table will be the development of the Arctic. So, I'm absolutely certain that in the aftermath of the conference in Anchorage, the issue of the development of Siberia and the Far East will be the hottest issue of that conference. I'm hopeful that this will then lead to a complete change in direction. If everybody would put their effort into this, I think we could see—if President Trump goes to Beijing and if the Vladivostok meeting takes up the development of this with international participation—we could be at the beginning of a completely new era of mankind overcoming all economic problems. Because if we should shift from military spending into real economic development; in the aftermath of which, you could see the United States building a fast train system connecting all American big cities through maglev and other high-speed trains. You could have a completely different spirit, like the one which you see right now in China and many other countries of the Global South that are determined to overcome underdevelopment and really start to have international relations in a completely new way.

I think this is all very exciting, and I'm actually moderately optimistic.

SARE: Well, that's very good. I think optimism also comes from the work that you are doing, when one knows that you are going to fight no matter what, then you don't have room for pessimism.

I wanted to shift to a situation which has many people very despairing; which is, of course, what's happening in the so-called Middle East-Southwest Asia-Gaza-Israel. I want to get your thoughts on the relationship between these two

processes, because something that's very hard for people to get their minds around is how you can have this really incredible breakthrough dynamic going on in one arena, and then you have the absolute atrocities being committed by the Netanyahu regime on the Palestinian people. It seems that the world is sitting there watching, although I understand I just saw the figures of the protest in Israel on Sunday may have been as high as over 2 million Israelis protesting Netanyahu's invasion of Gaza City. But what are your thoughts on how we bring this to an end? And what's the relationship between these processes? It is the same planet, after all.

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Yes, but there seems to be a parallel universe where some people find themselves; like your Ambassador Huckabee, who I heard an interview with, who is out of this world. He claims that Israel has the right to take over the West Bank; he endorses the new settlements there, which, according to some of the Cabinet members of the Israeli government, are intended to make a two-state solution impossible.

SARE: Yes, and I have to add, even killing American citizens while they do this, which makes it so unbelievable that the U.S. ambassador would condone it. Go ahead.

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Yeah, it is unbelievable. But I think this is also, if I may dare that prognosis, going to be short-lived, because what is happening is so absolutely unbelievable that while it may look for now that the lives of 1 million Palestinians are in acute danger—actually 2 million, but 1 million in Gaza City. Because the IDF is preparing the military occupation which puts people in acute danger there. Nevertheless, the overwhelming majority of the governments of the world and the countries of the world are isolating Israel. The resolution for the two-state approach is gaining more and more support. Also, the momentum to reform the United Nations to pay tribute to the fact that the UN Security Council has not functioned because of the veto power of the five permanent

members. They could block—essentially the United States and most of the time the British—just block any kind of an approach. That has led to a situation where the momentum for the Uniting for Peace Resolution #377 is gaining massive momentum. That simply says that if there is an acute danger to the world order, which I think this Gaza situation clearly represents, that then the power of negotiation and carrying the business further goes to the General Assembly. That would basically mean that with the annual session coming up in September, that the UN General Assembly could decide that, given the fact that the UN Security Council has proven to be incapable of addressing this, that the power of decision goes to them. And they could at a minimum decide to send Blue Helmets to the Gaza border.

One can say, “What can Blue Helmets do?” But I just talked with a top UN expert about this matter just before our discussion here, because I wanted to have advice. I said, “What can we do?” He said that the simple fact that you would have a large number of countries represented in these Blue Helmets would make clear that Israel is getting completely isolated. It would impact the present ferment inside Israel. Given the fact that you cannot send a military force because you don’t want to get into a war with Israel and then the United States backing them up; it obviously doesn’t work this way, unless you get a change inside the United States. The United States could end it with one phone call. If Trump would call up Netanyahu and read him the riot act, it would stop. But that I think depends on the American people expressing clearly what they think about this.

Short of that, I think this Resolution #377 and the UN General Assembly expressing the will and the view of the vast majority of the world population of 85% of the people living on the planet. Therefore, I think it’s very horrible for the Palestinians who keep dying, but I don’t think this can last very much longer. The more the atrocities go on, and everybody

knows that every single day it does. Can you imagine, Merz said when these six journalists were killed, he said, "One has now to investigate if this killing was justified or not." Can you imagine?

SARE: Oh my gosh! Well, this is the guy who they're getting ready for a war with Russia, so I guess he's irrational in general.

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: He said the Israelis are doing the "dirty work" for the rest. This and the steel porcupine, or previously Borrell talking about the jungle and the garden; sometimes you get the real insight of the mind. Not by formal declarations, but by these sort of slips of the tongue, where they reveal their philosophical mindset. Sometimes you get insights you wish you would not have gotten, because they are so disgusting.

SARE: I think that's right. I just want to say, because we're getting to the end of our time, that I do think you're right on this shift. Yesterday, Tulsi Gabbard, the Director of National Intelligence, yanked the security clearances from another 37 people. I was speaking with one of our colleagues about this. I didn't recognize the names; they were sort of less prominent people. But he was familiar with many of them, and many of them were directly involved not only in Russiagate, but the Ukrainian censorship of American social media and things like this. I think that her investigation is not going away, not only because of her loyalty to President Trump and the administration, but because she herself is a veteran of these wars and knows the human cost when you lie, as leaders in the United States and Britain did over the weapons of mass destruction in Iraq. I do think this is going to be a factor in shifting things.

I just want to say that the {New York Post}, which is one of the most disgusting British-run, pro-war crazy newspapers—poor Alexander Hamilton would turn over in his

grave. When they released her document, they actually left a phone number in it which was her direct contact for the implementation of this. That was later redacted, but I can't help but think that some of these FBI intelligence operatives had leaked this right away to see if they could at least get some harassment going.

Do you have any comments on what's happening with the Russiagate unfolding? Particularly since your late husband, Lyndon LaRouche, was a target of exactly this operation. Many of these people, while they may have been too young to have been the ones involved, they certainly come out of the same tradition that railroaded Lyndon LaRouche into prison and tried to stop the progress and recruitment of his ideas.

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Well, I can only applaud Tulsi Gabbard for her unwavering efforts to try to get justice in the United States. My late husband was slandered recently again by a Belgian newspaper for having been the first one to identify what was going on on September 11th. It is true that he recognized immediately what that was, because he was familiar with the air defense of the United States. He said this could not have happened without complicity by some of the rogue elements of our own security apparatus. This obviously is the problem, what Eisenhower was warning at the end of his term—the military-industrial complex. Some of these permanent bureaucracies are what have been carrying on all these policies. I'm actually relatively confident that sooner or later all of this will come out, because there is now a growing discussion among people and institutions and forces of the Global South in this country and that country about the number of wars conducted by the United States since 1945, and especially since the end of the Cold War. The number of people who have been killed; nothing of this has ever been admitted or tried to be put back on a just level. So, I think this idea of a dominant position in a unipolar world, where you have the right to protect your privileges by all these illegal means,

has to come to an end. I think President Trump, by continuing on the course which he has now fortunately found his way back to after his first term, was almost destroyed by these networks. The Russiagate just put him in an impossible position. Naturally these forces are now completely freaked out that he has gotten back to talking to Putin directly, which is what they tried to prevent by all means.

So, while the battle is obviously not yet over by any means, I think if Tulsi Gabbard is continuously unraveling this thread leading from one thing to the next, hopefully this whole policy can be ended. I think that would be the right birthday present the United States could give to itself for its 250th birthday.

SARE: I certainly agree, and I would love to see some of these people actually behind bars. I think it would definitely send the right message.

I guess we should wrap up. Thanks very much for your time and your insights. Please, if there are things that you think people should do, why don't you take a minute to tell them what they should be doing?

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Well, the one thing you should do is to come on the IPC discussion on Friday. This is a very important institution because we have in more than two years of uninterrupted weekly meetings, assembled experts and forces, parts of the peace movement from all over the world. It is a place to discuss every week where we stand and what needs to be done. So, go to the Schiller Institute website and register and participate in that. Because we need to build the peace movement until it is the dominant force in the world.

And, please sign this appeal and get active with us. This is a period you do not want to sit on the fence and be passive and observe; you want to be an actor on the world stage of history, and you are with the right people with us to

do exactly that.

SARE: Great! Thank you very much, and the IPC is only two days away on Friday, so please visit [schillerinstitute.com](http://schillerinstitute.com) and sign up for that. Sign up to get our emails and to donate and support our work.

Thank you very much, Helga.

---

# Fra arkivet: Vitus Bering og rejsen til Amerika

*af Tom Gillesberg*

*Denne danske resumé (nedenfor) er et redigeret sammendrag af en artikel »Vitus Bering and the Rediscovery of America« (nedenfor), der blev skrevet som et bidrag til et festskrift for Lyndon LaRouche i anledning af hans 85 års fødselsdag den 8. september 2007.*

Med bygningen af en magnettogforbindelse mellem København og Århus over Kattegat, som den første del af et dansk magnettognet, bryder vi med opfattelsen om Danmark som et lille land, der blot kan følge i de større landes fodspor. I stedet er det os, der går foran og gør et afgørende teknologisk kvantespring muligt. Med bygningen af et dansk magnettognet revolutionerer vi ikke blot den danske økonomi, i og med at hele Danmark bliver til et sammenhængende lokalområde, men vi sætter også en ny standard, som vil betyde magnettog i hele Europa. Med det russiske initiativ til at bygge en tunnelforbindelse under Beringstrædet, vil vi med tiden kunne tage magnettoget hele vejen fra Aalborg til Los

Angeles.

Det er et af historiens smukke sammenfald, at Danmark har fået mulighed for at spille denne historiske rolle netop nu, for derigennem går vi faktisk i fodsporene af den berømte danske skibsfører og opdagelsesrejsende Vitus Bering, der gennem sit modige lederskab ud i det ukendte genopdagede Amerika og lagde navn til Beringstrædet... Læs mere:

Dansk resumé: [Klik her](#).

English, full article: [Click her](#).

---

**POLITISK ORIENTERING med  
formand Tom Gillesberg den  
21. august 2025:  
Efter Alaska-topmøde: Rusland  
og BRIKS er styrket og  
de krigsgale europæiske  
ledere ydmygede**

---



# Sikkerhed for hele menneskeheden: Udvikling er kernen i fred

af Megan Dobrodt (EIRNS) – 18. august 2025

Mandag den 18. august var præsident Donald Trump vært for den fungerende ukrainske præsident Zelenskyj og syv europæiske ledere i Det Hvide Hus til en række møder om afslutningen på konflikten i Ukraine, som opfølgning på hans topmøde i Alaska sidste fredag med den russiske præsident Vladimir Putin. I en erklæring efter drøftelserne rapporterede Trump om to primære resultater af disse møder: 1) fremskridt mod et bilateralt møde mellem præsident Putin og præsident Zelenskyj, efterfulgt af et trilateralt møde med deltagelse af præsident Trump, og 2) drøftelser om sikkerhedsgarantier for Ukraine, »ydte af de forskellige europæiske lande i samarbejde med Amerikas Forenede Stater«.

Før og under mødet var disse sikkerhedsgarantier [et krav] for de europæiske ledere, der var strømmet til Washington for at støtte Ukraine... Det vides ikke præcis, hvilken slags sikkerhedsgarantier der blev drøftet bag lukkede døre, og som med så mange ting ligger djævelen i detaljen. Mens Rusland aldrig vil acceptere europæiske og NATO-tropper på ukrainsk jord – især med langtrækkende missiler – er det netop det, briterne har krævet, og det er ganske usandsynligt, at koalitionen af villige så hurtigt har skiftet mening.

Hvad verden har brug for, er ægte sikkerhed, som ikke opnås ved at forberede sig på krig og afskrække fjenden gennem militær magt... Det sande indhold af sikkerhed og fred er udvikling.

Dette ubestridelige faktum hviler på naturlovens autoritet: Menneskeheden er én – en samlet art, hvis grundlæggende

kendetegn er kreativ opdagelse. Den maksimale udvikling af vores arts kreative evner på hele planeten er i alle menneskers interesse i alle nationer. Dette princip er nedfældet i den amerikanske Uafhængighedserklæring som Leibniz' »stræben efter lykke«.

Den forandring i verden, der begyndte på topmødet i Alaska den 15. august mellem præsident Trump og præsident Putin, væk fra afgrunden af konfrontation mellem atommagterne, må ses på baggrund af den større tektoniske forandring, der finder sted i verdensordenen. Det geopolitiske, unipolære system er forbi, og flere og flere nationer i verden, såsom BRIKS+-landene, omorganiserer sig i nye former for samarbejde og samspil for at opnå en længe ventet udvikling.

Dette blev udtrykt i en erklæring fra præsident Putin på hjemmesiden for Vladivostok Eastern Economic Forum, hvis næste møde begynder den 3. september: "Vi har identificeret udviklingen af Fjernøsten som en national prioritet for hele det 21. århundrede.... [Den globale økonomis udviklingsvektor] orienterer sig i stigende grad mod Østen og det Globale Syd."

Helga Zepp-LaRouche har opfordret til, at der tages de afgørende næste skridt efter topmødet mellem Trump og Putin: Hun opfordrer Præsident Trump til at rejse til Beijing til 80-årsdagen for afslutningen af Anden Verdenskrig den 3. september og der mødes med præsident Xi Jinping og Putin. For at cementere en ny retning for verden, væk fra det Britiske Imperiums evige krige, må disse tre præsidenter blive enige om at lede en ny form for økonomisk udvikling mellem nationerne, med Berings Strædet-tunnelprojektet som spydspids.

I sin opfordring til disse ledere om at indlede det, hun kalder den »perfekte politik til undgåelse af krig«, erklærer Zepp-LaRouche: "Men I kan gøre noget endnu mere ophøjet ved ikke kun at bekæmpe de trusler, der truer menneskeheden, men ved at give hele verden en smuk vision for fremtiden. I kunne blive enige om at bygge en korridor over Berings Strædet og

med dette jernbane- og tunnelprojekt forene jernbanesystemerne i Eurasien med dem i Amerika. Dette projekt ville åbne for udnyttelsen af de enorme uudnyttede ressourcer i Sibirien samt de amerikanske arktiske ressourcer af olie, gas, alle former for ædle metaller og ferskvand. Sibirien og det russiske Fjernøsten rummer de største forekomster af råstoffer af alle de grundstoffer, man kan finde i Dmitrij Mendelejevs periodiske system, og en fælles udnyttelse af disse ressourcer, som mange andre ressourcefattige lande kunne inviteres til at deltage i, kunne blive det perfekte program til at undgå krig og i høj grad øge verdens velstand.”

Dette er ægte sikkerhed for menneskeheden.

Billede: [president.gov.ua](http://president.gov.ua)

---

## **Den perfekte politik til at undgå krig: Beringstrædet-tunnelprojektet.**

## **Den Internationale Fredskoalition møde #115 den 15. august 2025**

På engelsk:

*Aug. 15, 2025 (EIRNS)—What follows are the opening remarks, some remarks during the discussion and closing remarks of Helga Zepp-LaRouche at the International Peace Coalition meeting Number 115 today:*

ANASTASIA BATTLE: Welcome everyone. This is the International Peace Coalition. This is the 115th consecutive meeting we've had. Thank you all for joining us. My name is Anastasia Battle; I'll be your moderator along with my co-moderators Dennis Small and Dennis Speed.

I like to remind everyone why we created this forum 115 weeks ago, which was to unite the international peace movement. As everyone is well aware, there are many efforts to split us apart, divide us, and keep us from communicating. But if we actually want to achieve true peace in the world, we need to bring together people of many different philosophies, ideas, cultures, and religions in order to accomplish this goal. I thank you all for joining us in that effort. Please take a moment to share this invitation with other people who you know; other organizations, your friends, family, respectable enemies who can understand what we're doing here today.

To start us off, we have Helga Zepp-LaRouche, who is the founder of the Schiller Institute and initiator of the International Peace Coalition. Please, go ahead Helga, and start us off for the meeting.

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Let me say hello to all of you. Today is obviously an extremely potentially very fateful day, because today you have the meeting between President Trump and President Putin and their delegations in Anchorage, Alaska. A lot has been said, a lot of people have voiced either panic or hope, sarcasm, cynicism. I think we will know in a few hours from now what the outcome of this meeting will be. Let's not speculate, because our approach is not the reading of tea leaves but rather an organizing approach. The reason we were totally excited when we heard the news about the location of that meeting, was because the location of Alaska brings forward the potential of building the tunnel through the Bering Strait to connect the Eurasian landmass with the Americas through an infrastructure corridor. If you look at the composition of the delegation on the Russian side, you

have very powerfully, Lavrov, Shoigu, Ushakov, but also Kirill Dmitriev, who is the present president of the sovereign wealth fund of Russia. He has come out repeatedly in the past for the construction of the Bering Strait tunnel.

Why is this so important? Obviously, this is a project which goes back to the middle of the 19th century to the time of Lincoln. It has encouraged the vision of many people ever since, but in the recent several decades, this has been part of a program which was very much promoted by my late husband and myself. Namely in the context of the World Land-Bridge, the idea that eventually very soon one could connect all continents through infrastructure corridors—either tunnels or bridges—so that soon you would be able to travel with maglevs or other fast train systems from the southern tip of Argentina and Chile all the way up through Latin America, Central America, North America, Canada, Alaska, and then through the Bering Strait into Russia, and from there all of Eurasia, then through a tunnel at Gibraltar, or maybe from Sicily a bridge to North Africa, all the way through Africa to the Cape of Good Hope. And likewise, other connections through the Indian Subcontinent and tunnels and bridges into Indonesia and other countries in that region. So, eventually, the idea that we would be united through a network of infrastructure connecting the economies and civilizations around the globe into one interconnected one. Provided that we don't have World War III, that is the natural course of events that will happen sooner or later. But if it happens now, it could be a very important piece of war avoidance.

I'm hopefully optimistic that this may be on the agenda, simply because it is such an obvious potential. President Putin has mentioned in the recent several years repeatedly—especially in the context of the Vladivostok Eastern Economic Forum—the incredible economic potential which lies in the development of the untapped raw materials in the Far East and Siberia, much of which is under permafrost

conditions and therefore has not yet been developed. But if there would be such an infrastructure connection, even these climatic difficulties could be overcome. There are modern ways of building cities even under permafrost conditions.

Given the fact that also President Trump is known to be a real estate expert, he has for sure a sense of it; and I'm absolutely certain that that potential has not escaped his mind. It would be a perfect way to outflank all of his opponents, including the very strange Europeans who are presently calling themselves the Coalition of the Willing, who have tried everything to prevent Trump from ending the Ukraine war. The recent example being Chancellor Merz of Germany, who just two days ago had a meeting in his office in which the only other physical participant was Zelenskyy. But he was connected via Zoom with the other European heads of state who are part of the Coalition of the Willing. They said, "We have absolute conditions for what must come out of this meeting in Alaska. There can be land swap; there must first be a ceasefire." Basically repeating the conditions, including Ukraine's access to NATO, listing all the reasons why the war is happening in the first place, because it did encroach on the core interests of Russia's security interests; and therefore it came to this very unfortunate development of the Ukraine war. I'm pretty sure that while ending the Ukraine is a very important topic, also for Trump and Putin, I don't think it's the most important topic. First of all, the military gains of Russia in Ukraine are spectacular, and all experts expect that that war will end anyway in a few weeks, simply because of the collapse of the Ukrainian forces. And just two days ago, Russia destroyed a factory which was building long-range missiles, the Sapsan missile which was financed by a consortium of European nations. It's basically like the Taurus missile, but enabling Ukraine to build it on their own soil. That has been destroyed, and with it the option of equipping Ukraine with these long-range missiles.

I think much more important for Russia is the potential to normalize relations with the United States. I think if you study the recent Russian formulations, articles, statements, it is very clear that what matters to them is the immediate potential that the present crisis over Ukraine could escalate into a global nuclear war. Given the fact that you have a warmonger faction on the side of the Europeans in particular, I think they are looking at the potential of normalizing relations with the Trump administration as the primary desired outcome of the process of discussion. Hopefully going beyond Alaska, and having follow-up meetings also including the prolongation of the new START Treaty, which otherwise will run out in the beginning of 2026; maybe negotiating a new INF Treaty. These kinds of things are, in my view, much more the core security interests of Russia than even the battlefield situation in Ukraine, which I think Russia thinks they have pretty much under control.

So, I think that is the immediate situation there. As I said, we will know in a few hours.

But let me just bring in one more dimension of why this infrastructure development is so important. It's not just a way to increase trade. If the tunnel in the Bering Strait will be built, it is the estimate of a railway expert named Scott Spencer that it will make possible the transport of 400 million tons of cargo every year, which is enormous. But more than just one specific aspect, I think if you look at the role of infrastructure in the development of the human species in general; just think back over the last 10,000 years. Then infrastructure developed from the coastlines, from the rivers, eventually with the development of railways, it went more into the interior of the continents. More and more it opened up all continents for human population. Friedrich List, who is probably the best German economist, the head of the Customs Union, who wrote very important books about the difference between the English system of economy and the American System

of economy. He had a beautiful vision of what could happen if all of mankind would be united through infrastructure connections. He coined the notion in an article he wrote in 1837 for the French Academy of Sciences, in which he developed the notion of a space and time economy. He said that the permanent completion of the transport and communication systems would be the precondition for the progress of humanity, and enable them to fulfill all of their potentials and increase all areas of knowledge to inspire the sciences and arts to cause people to make inventions in all kinds of disciplines. The more quickly people could move from one place to the next, and the more closely space would come together in this way, it would increase the efficiency and development of all human powers and increase the living standard of the population for the benefit of all. He said it much more beautifully than I'm paraphrasing it now, but he gave to this development of infrastructure this civilizational quality that it uplifts people; it humanizes them and makes them work together more.

This is also important for the other crisis area which I want to address, and that is naturally the unspeakable situation around Gaza, where the genocide is fully going on. It makes you speechless, because one asks what more does it take until humanity intervenes in this genocide which is in front of the eyes of the whole world, because all the TV stations transmit it? There is now no more question that mass starvation, famine, malnutrition of thousands of children is going on every day. It seems that the General Chief of Staff Zamir is preparing the invasion of Gaza City. [National Security Minister Itamar] Ben-Gvir is escalating the annexation of the West Bank, where new settlements have been ordered so that the option of a Palestinian state is made impossible simply by these mass settlements.

So, this is the situation where we absolutely have to escalate our campaign. But I think Francesca Albanese is absolutely to



the point when she said that people should not be fooled by the sudden and very late recognition of many European and other leaders from Canada, Great Britain, New Zealand, France, who all of a sudden call for the creation of a Palestinian state. That must not be a distraction from the ongoing genocide. That brings me to the point of the connection of infrastructure and solving the crisis for the Palestinian people. We have said the whole time that the only way you can solve it is not just a political solution which would be a Palestinian state. It must be combined with economic development: with the Oasis Plan which we have been promoting now for several decades. This was the idea of my late husband, Lyndon LaRouche, and which has now been discussed by many people in the Middle East. There are many forces who agree that if there is any hope for a peaceful future, it must be economic development; greening the desert in the entire region from India to the Mediterranean to the Caucasus to the Gulf States, to transform that region into economic prosperity. Connecting it with the tradition of the ancient Silk Road, when that region of the world was already once the hub connecting Asia, Africa, and Europe. That is what it has to become again in the future.

I think this is a very dramatic moment in history, and I'm very happy that we have very knowledgeable speakers today to enrich our discussion. We should really look at this whole situation not as something to comment on, but we plan to bring this idea of the World Land-Bridge as a solution to these crises to more and more fora. On September 3rd, there is not only the historic 80th anniversary of the end of the Second World War in the Pacific in Beijing with a big parade. We have made an appeal to President Xi Jinping to absolutely invite President Trump to participate. President Putin will already be a guest of honor, and on the same day in Vladivostok is the start of the Eastern Economic Forum, where the issue of Arctic development will already be on the agenda. So, that is a perfect opportunity to bring in the Bering Strait development,

but also the idea of a new security and development architecture, which I think is the precondition to finding a solution for the crisis in the Middle East.

So, let's discuss all of that, because this gives us plenty of opportunity to intervene to try to shape the outcome of this historical moment.

### **Remarks during the Discussion:**

I just would like to bring in one more consideration. That is that in observing how the international community has proven to be incapable of reacting to what I call a genocide before our eyes, that means that we, as humanity as a whole, are in a deep cultural and moral crisis. Not just the genocide going on in Gaza. I can go through all the different reactions, but the fact that we are not capable of doing something about it. You can say if you want to fight it, you have to fight the United States, and who can do that? But obviously the UN Security Council has been blocked: They were completely unable—even the provision that you can go to the UN General Assembly if there is an injustice which cannot be resolved by the UN Security Council did not resolve the situation. That creates a real problem which I think needs to be addressed very urgently.

The second thought I want to mention is that there is a need to separate, to get a clarity on the fact that we cannot allow—even in the face of the greatest evil—to in any way let that evil impact our souls. I know that many Palestinians say you cannot have an Oasis Plan, because first, you need justice. Well, that is true in one sense, but there is a very important line in Friedrich Schiller's play, *Wallenstein*. I'll say it in German, and then try to translate it. It says [in English], "It is the curse of the evil deed that it permanently has to give birth to more evil." I think that is a very important consideration, because if we are not capable of breaking through the cycle of violence, of revenge, and doing justice in the name of justice, getting back at the other one,

I think we have reached a point in the history of humanity where we have to be able to take the level of the Sublime. Nicholas of Cusa had this idea of the Coincidence of Opposites, that the human mind always has to be able, and is able, to conceptualize a solution which is on a higher plane than the lower plane on which the problem arose. I think that challenges us to make a cultural leap. We have to apply the principle of aesthetic education to solve this problem by bringing in relation to the best of the other. I know this is very difficult when you are dealing with a concrete situation like in Gaza, but I would like to bring in these considerations, because I think they are extremely important.

I'm not claiming that I have the final answer to them, but they seem to be extremely important to me.

**[re role of British Empire in escalating crises and alternative of Alaska meeting]** I also want to address what Mr. Berg said, that he doesn't totally know what the Global South is up to. What the Global South is up to is a reaction, a blowback to the policies which you can take back 500 years. They want to end the colonial system of the last 500 years. What started in one way with the Non-Aligned Movement and the Bandung Conference in 1955 could not succeed at the time, because these countries were not strong enough to realize their intention and their right to global development. Then they tried it again in the 1970s, when we had a big role by my late husband Lyndon LaRouche making the proposal for a new development bank, the International Development Bank, which was adopted by the Colombo summit in 1976 of the Non-Aligned Movement.

But again, they were not strong enough to carry it through. There was an immediate blowback against Indira Gandhi, Mrs. Sirimavo Bandanaraike from Sri Lanka; Zulfikar Ali Bhutto was even killed. It took a long time for them to come back. Even if you don't agree with it, I still absolutely insist that it is because of the rise of China that these countries

of the Global South now have, for the first time, a partner who provides them with economic cooperation so that they can develop some of their aspirations to become developed countries in the near future.

So, the British Empire in short, which is not limited to a country—it's not Great Britain—what we call the British Empire is the remnant of the system of empire trying to suppress them; to build the power of colonialism, of imperialism. And which, after the Second World War, in the person of Churchill, started the Cold War at a point it was absolutely not necessary, by drawing up "Operation Unthinkable," which was the idea of a preemptive [nuclear] attack on the Soviet Union, which had just courageously defeated the Nazis in the Second World War. The same British Empire, together with their partners in the United States, the neo-cons, decided to create a unipolar world with the not so nice means of regime change, color revolution, and whatnot. What you see right now in terms of the blowback coming from the Global South is that they do not agree to that system of oppression anymore. That in the recent period has very clearly put the British Empire in the form unfortunately also of the British government in total opposition to the emergence of this new system. They have been the key instigators of every escalation in Ukraine, and in many other parts of the world. So, I think that is just a short answer.

Then I just want to comment also that you attacked what you called the illiberal countries. I mean I find it quite illiberal to attack these countries in this way, because I think they deserve a much closer view. Since you said that it is the elected governments that should not be attacked, you had argued earlier, and now you are attacking all of them. All of these were democratically elected by their people. Their people obviously have the feeling that they are doing a very good job, like in the case of [Hungarian Prime Minister Viktor] Orbán, with whom I do not agree on his Israel policy,

but with whom I fully agree on his policy towards the European Union. So, I think we need to have a little bit more differentiated view on all of this.

But I really want to come back to one key idea. I said in my initial remarks, and I agree with whoever mentioned this just now, maybe Dennis or Ray, that what is at stake in Anchorage is the potential for a new security architecture, which we are urgently in need of; because the old one has just fallen apart in front of our eyes. If you can have a government negotiating on the nuclear issue in Iran, and then at the same time preparing a military attack, that means there is no more order. It means that every rule and every decency in international law has just been abandoned and thrown out the window. In the same way as the condoning of the genocide in Gaza means the international order no longer exists. It's a matter of the past. Therefore, I think we are in absolute urgency to establish a new security and development architecture which I have argued the whole time needs to take into account the interests of every single country on the planet; or else it will not work. There is a precedent for that—the Peace of Westphalia; where people came together to establish principles like the first one, that in order to have peace, you need to respect the interests of the other. That means all others. I unfortunately have come to the conclusion that as much as I think the Oasis Plan is the only way we can save the Palestinians and the whole region, I think that the Oasis Plan can only work if it is an adjunct to such a new security and development architecture. Because otherwise you don't get the power combination to make it happen. So, that's why I really urge people to think through these matters. I think we have reached a point where we need to establish a New Paradigm in international relations, or else we will not make it.

### **Closing Remarks:**

I would like to now conclude with an emphasis on going back to

the historic moment in which we find ourselves. Today probably in two or three hours, we will know what comes out of this Anchorage meeting; and that will be decisive. Because if it goes in the direction that there is no understanding, we are back to square one on the verge of World War III; because then the Ukraine war can go out of control in the short term.

If however, there is an understanding that there should be a return to disarmament discussions, to a normalization between the two largest nuclear powers in the world, then there is hope. It does not solve the problems; it does not remedy all the problems which were mentioned about President Trump and whatnot. That is a different matter. But if the idea of development is back on the agenda, as it would be in the case of the Bering Strait as the bridge between the Americas and Eurasia, we are in a different universe, or the beginning of a different universe. And therefore, given the urgency of the situation, I would like the participants in this panel to reflect on what can be done in the short term to formulate a policy which could save the lives of the Palestinians; because obviously time is running out.

The forum would be the United Nations General Assembly. I don't know if the Uniting for Peace Resolution gives a handle on that; I think Mr. Falk you are the expert on that matter, so please come forward with ideas. Otherwise, I would say we have now two weeks until the coincidence of the 80th anniversary of the end of the Second World War in the Pacific. Part of the history which has been completely neglected in the Euro-centric view of history, is that China played a very important role in the defeat of the Nazis by fighting against the Japanese militarism and preventing a two-front war for the Soviet Union, because they tied down the Japanese in the Pacific. They lost all together about 30 million people, and that has been completely left out of history; at least European Western history. That will be remedied on this occasion of the military parade in Beijing on September 3rd. I

think the fact that the Chinese choose the form of a military parade, where they will for sure display their most modern weapons systems—at least that's what I would assume—is a message to the world to remember not to have world war ever again. That is still the big Damocles sword which hangs over all of us.

Therefore, I will call on the members of the International Peace Coalition—at least those who agree with that approach—to really try to put on the agenda of many people the idea of a new security and development architecture combined with the idea of a global development perspective as I suggested in my Ten Principles for discussion as to what such an architecture could look like, and that we really try to increase the IPC. We need to reach out to many more people, because if we manage to get President Trump to this event in Beijing, I think the impact of that will help to make things clearer not only for Trump, but also all the people who are watching this.

Secondly, at the same time—September 3rd—the Vladivostok Eastern Economic Forum will start. I would strongly advise you to put your eyes on that. I'm sure many countries of the Global South will be represented there, and they will discuss how to overcome colonialism by investing in development projects. I think people in the West have to start to really understand what are the aspirations of the countries of the Global South, because they do want to end the time of colonialism. People have to understand that much better.

So, to sum it up, get active with us, because we have a short window of opportunity to still save this poor human species and bring it to a better era. So let's not miss it.

---

**Dialogue of cultures:  
Introduction to Russian  
culture with historian Jens  
Jørgen Nielsen.  
See also part 2 here.**

---

**Hiroshima og Gaza – Aldrig  
igen!  
Den internationale  
fredskoalition møde nr. 114  
den 8. august 2025**

På engelsk:

DENNIS SMALL: Good morning everybody! Welcome to the 114th consecutive meeting of the International Peace Coalition. My name is Dennis Small and I will be co-moderating this session with my colleague, Dennis Speed. Anastasia is with us, but she is feeling a little under the weather.

We will proceed with this rather important 114th gathering of the International Peace Coalition, which is all the more urgent under current circumstances that the original mission statement, so to speak, of the IPC be accelerated and broadened everywhere internationally. That is to bring



together all forces independent of ideology or other political differences around a common commitment to peace and peace through development. The IPC was founded over 114 weeks ago at the initiative of the Schiller Institute's founder, Helga Zepp-LaRouche. She will be the first to address the meeting today, which occurs at a very dangerous moment, but also a moment of great potential. Today is August 8th; it's 80 years since the August 6th bombing of Hiroshima, the August 9th bombing of Nagasaki. We meet today under the theme of Hiroshima and Nagasaki, never again.

Helga Zepp-LaRouche has personally written and issued a call for an urgent meeting of the Presidents of Russia, China, and the United States to meet at the soonest possible opportunity to take up the pressing issues which otherwise threaten the planet at this point. So, with that in mind, with the urgency of the moment as well as the potential, I now turn the floor over to Helga Zepp-LaRouche for her opening remarks.

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Hello to all of you. As Dennis just said, we have today the 80th anniversary of the bombing of Nagasaki, and there are many comments around the world on that day; some with a clear worry and concern and anxiety that we are not safe from experiencing the use of nuclear weapons again. As a matter of fact, there are many people who are acutely aware that the world has never been as close to nuclear extinction as it is today. All the mechanisms which prevented a disaster during the Cuban Missile Crisis are no longer there, and we are experiencing the old order and the new order is not yet in place. As a matter of fact, it's not there. I think that fact, that Hiroshima and Nagasaki happened, and as we have done our own studies many years ago, it was not necessary if you can even say that concerning the use of nuclear weapons, because the Japanese emperor, Hirohito, was already in a process of negotiation with forces from the Vatican about surrender. So, it is now very clear that that bomb was—the order to drop that bomb was given by Truman; not because it was necessary to save

the lives of 1 million American soldiers as the official line goes, but more as a demonstration of schrecklichkeit [terribleness] and the beginning of the Cold War against the Soviet Union. That part of history has urgently to be worked through, and historians are called upon to finally straighten the record on that matter, because we are so close to a similar but even much worse fate for all of humanity.

Now, we are in a dramatic situation; the situation seems to shift daily. A couple of days ago it looked almost hopeless, then in a big surprise—which we should almost expect these days—President Trump did signal that he is willing to meet Putin. This was after the meeting of his representative Witkoff for three hours in the Kremlin. It seems now that meeting (Trump-Putin) could take place three days from now on August 11th; maybe in the Emirates, maybe in some other neutral country so to speak which is not subject to the ICC ruling, because of the arrest order against President Putin. But if that meeting takes place, it obviously would be the absolutely necessary signal that the worst catastrophe in Ukraine can be avoided. There are also signs that Zelenskyy has said that he also thinks this war has to come to an end, and it is mooted that there could be a meeting among Trump, Putin, and Zelenskyy afterwards.

That is obviously only one part of the strategic picture. The other one is naturally the unbelievable escalation of what can only be called genocide, and what is now being called genocide out of Israel from a broad spectrum of forces; the genocide in Gaza. Yesterday evening, the war cabinet of Netanyahu approved that the operation in Gaza will be expanded. Not the entirety of Gaza as Netanyahu had demanded, but “only in Gaza City.” I must say that there is now a growing awareness of what is actually happening. It took much too long, but now in the alternative media in Germany, there has appeared documentation which is quite breathtaking. A person named Willy Wall put up a comparison between what was said by the different members of

the Cabinet and Defense Forces in Israel in respect to Gaza; comparing it to what Reinhard Heydrich, the chief of the SS in Nazi Germany, wrote on October 20, 1941 to Heinrich Himmler about how Warsaw and Leningrad must be attacked much more brutally because the destruction of these two cities had been insufficient so far, and there should be a use of firebombs and explosives. These cities had to be exterminated. All of this is documented very well in the Nuremberg Tribunal, which brought to justice at least some of the main criminals. This is then being compared step by step with pictures of the Warsaw Ghetto, from Leningrad, with the pictures from Gaza. All of this is prefaced with a picture of the Michelangelo fresco in the Sistine Chapel about the Final Judgment; implying that many of the forces which are condoning this genocide eventually will be brought to justice.

For normal people, this is what they call "heavy, heavy stuff," but I think it is what is determining the picture. I think that also goes for the situation; and people who are condoning the war mongering which can only lead to a catastrophe for all of humanity. This is extremely dramatic. President Trump is very difficult to read these days. He made this sudden move, and hopefully this summit will take place. At the same time, President Xi Jinping just had a telephone call with President Putin. Obviously this is a matter of strategic concern for every head of government. But another action which Trump is doing is also having potentially gigantic effects; namely, that he did go ahead and impose these tariffs against 70 countries on the planet—the EU, Switzerland, anywhere from 10% to 50%. All the BRICS countries and many more have been affected. This is now meeting massive resistance. President Lula from Brazil is not backing down; he is now in contact with Prime Minister Modi. The BRICS countries are coordinating their actions, and Trump may involuntarily trigger by his actions exactly what he claims he wants to prevent—namely the de-dollarization. Because he is forcing the countries of the Global South into a joint action,

and that may actually lead to things which Trump has not intended.

There are many other things which one could discuss, and maybe we will get to it in the discussion. For example, there is a quite incredible report in *Le Monde* which says that there was massive pressure from the United States, Israel, Great Britain, and Germany harassing the chief prosecutor of the ICC, Karim Ahmad Khan, to take back the arrest orders against Netanyahu. All of this signifies that there is a breakdown of any rules that any treaty, any arrangement, disarmament treaty, Helsinki Accord, all of these things have basically gone into disarray; they don't exist anymore. This is why I issued this call; an appeal to the three Presidents of the United States, China, and Russia, that they must make a step; and the most obvious place would be the upcoming 80th anniversary of the end of the Second World War in the Pacific. On September 3rd there will be a big military parade on Tiananmen Square, which President Putin has already agreed to attend. Obviously, if President Xi Jinping would invite President Trump to attend, that would be the appropriate setting commemorating the fact that China also lost millions of people in the Second World War; a fact which has been almost forgotten in the history accounts. But it is a fact that by fighting against Japanese militarism, China played a very important role in bringing about the result of World War II. And then to make a solid renewed commitment for never again war, never again fascism. If these three Presidents would meet at such an historic occasion, they could indeed agree to settle all of these problems I touched upon before by diplomacy, and by starting a new era for all of mankind by cooperation. Because the only way we will get out of this crisis is to convince the countries of the West to cooperate with the Global South, with the Global Majority; then everything will become relatively easy.

So, those are some of the subjects we should discuss, and we

should absolutely mobilize, because the danger of the world going into a final catastrophe has never been more clear than right now.

Remarks during the Discussion:

[re presentation by Lt. Col. (ret.) Anthony Aguilar] I just want to thank you, because it is always patriots who step forward who make sure that there is a future honor for your country. I just want to thank you for what you are doing.

[just before open discussion period] This is a very wide field of topics, which all would deserve in-depth discussion. I just want to mention one thing concerning Palantir, that their AI programs have been used in targetting some of the Palestinians. I think that's a whole other chapter to be investigated, because talk about dehumanization—when machines give orders about killing people using programs to lure them on the basis of offering food; luring them out of their houses to shoot them. As I said, there is no time now to go into it in depth. I fully agree with you, Kirk, about the danger of this; because if there is no awareness, people are defenseless against it. I can only say there is a common thread in all the topics which have been brought up, and that is the dehumanization of what people call the enemy. That started with Hiroshima and Nagasaki; that was the basis for Churchill's Operation Unthinkable, which ended a fruitful cooperation between the Soviet Union and the United States in defeating Nazism and opening the Cold War. This is what was the basis of all these interventionist wars. If you think about the millions of people who have died as a consequence of lies, like the Iraq War, which was based on lies; Afghanistan; Libya; it's a long list. I think one cannot try to remedy all of these situations one by one; it's in any case the past.

But I think what it requires is an urgent change of the image of man. It always starts with the fact that if you think that only some people are human and others are not, it's not only

colonialism, racism, imperialism, all of these things, but it goes against the very nature of man. Because we are supposedly and hopefully, and I fully believe that we are, the only species capable of creative reason. When you deny that ability of creative reason of some other human beings, you are destroying your own humanity as much as you deny the rights to this other person or group. And I think we have reached in the history of mankind the point where we must redefine that every human being on the planet is as human as we are. We have to really start to reorder a new security and development architecture which starts with the idea of the image of man that man is good by nature, and all evil is the result of a lack of development, and can be overcome by development. If you start with that image of man, everything else falls into place.

I really would urge that we do discuss these matters, because with all the many problems mentioned, you have to agree on principle if you want to find a solution.

#### Closing Remarks:

I think that the discussion for the last 2.5 hours makes very clear that we are in an extraordinary moment in history which is very dangerous to the existence of the human species. So, I'm asking all of you to indeed get active; get active with the Schiller Institute; make the IPC grow. Let's make the IPC the voice which is so strong that it cannot be drowned out. And by having total programs like today, it's already convincing more and more people that that is the institution internationally where you have to be once a week to be informed on the breaking developments and solutions.

But I also ask you to consider all the aspects which were discussed. The genocide going on in Gaza, which is a threat to the moral existence of humanity. The fact of what Prof. Starr was saying, that we are at best 7 minutes away from nuclear war; all the human errors and failures and intentions as a

possibility. Mankind is in peril like never before. We have the blow-out of the system looming over the situation. Trump does not know that the biggest threat to de-dollarization is crypto, Stable Coin, all of these things which take the power of credit creation out of the hands of government into the hands of private people who will listen to the client and not say no to the client if push comes to shove. The complexity of the situation is such that I think that we absolutely need a New Paradigm; we need a new security and development architecture which must take into account the interests of every single country. That is why I am asking you to sign the petition where we are appealing to the three Presidents—President Xi Jinping, President Trump, and President Putin—to all convene on September 3rd on the occasion of the 80th anniversary of the end of World War II in the Pacific in Beijing. Because I think the world has reached such a point that you need the voice of history, the voice of everything the human species ever produced which is embodied in that moment, reflecting about World War I, World War II. Hopefully, these three Presidents can then forge a basis, a platform to solve all of these problems. They are all solvable; but it does require an attitude of cooperation. So, please sign this appeal; get it around, especially to all people who have access to Trump, to Xi Jinping, to Putin, so that we create an environment where indeed Xi Jinping offers an invitation, and the three men meet on September 3rd, which may be the last opportunity for a solution without catastrophe.

These are my questions and demands and requests to all of you.

---

# **Webcast dialog med Helga Zepp-LaRouche og Larry C. Johnson:**

## **Krig eller fred i balance – topmødet i Alaska.**

### **13. august 2025**

På engelsk:

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Hello Larry Johnson, I'm happy to greet you for our discussion on a very important topic. Larry Johnson, for those who don't know, is a cofounder of the Veteran Intelligence Professionals for Sanity (VIPS), a longtime CIA analyst and expert, and very active observer of strategic events today. So I'm very happy to welcome you today.

LARRY C. JOHNSON: Thank you for the invitation to be with you. Normally we don't have a chance to chat, just one-on-one like this.

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: As I said, the whole world has been looking at the upcoming summit in Anchorage, Alaska, this coming Friday, and I would like to discuss this with you today, because it is of the highest strategic importance what comes out of it. Because, on the one side, you know, when President Trump came into office, there were a lot of expectations that he would make good on all of his election promises to end the Ukraine war in 24 hours, to normalize relations with Russia. And now, more than half a year later, a lot of hopes had somehow been put in question because of—also, one has to say, in all fairness, because of an enormous effort by some people to prevent Trump from accomplishing what he claimed he wanted to



do: Namely, the so-called "Coalition of the Willing" in Europe who want to prolong the war in Ukraine, with all kinds of arguments.

Let me just preface our discussion with a short description of what we are trying to do, because we are not looking at this event, just as passive observers: Because as the fates sort of gave us the chance that this summit is taking place in Alaska, naturally, what this brings to mind is a campaign which we were engaged in for decades, one can say, namely, that the possibility to connect Alaska with Russia via the Bering Strait, which is only a short distance of less than 100 km, and we have been promoting to build a tunnel or a bridge, or maybe both—a corridor connecting the Eurasian landmass with the Americas. This was a very favorite project by my late husband, Lyndon LaRouche, and we did a lot about that: We participated in discussions in Russia about it. And naturally, I issued, therefore, a call to President Trump and President Putin that they should not only end the Ukraine war in this discussion, or at least start to discuss how to end it, but they should also, in a positive way, put this vision for the future on the agenda, with the promise to build the Bering Strait corridor.

Now, this is an enormously important topic, and already, the initial responses to my open letter to Trump and Putin were quite interesting: We had positive responses from Russia, from Mexico, from Brazil. And also in the past, many countries had expressed interest to participate in such a project. Because the Bering Strait corridor would potentially open up the vast areas, not only of Alaska oil and gas, and rare earth assets to be explored, but also open up the Far East and Siberia for development. Much of it is under permanent frost conditions, but you find there, all elements of the Periodic Table, and therefore, this would be a vast resource, not only for Russia, but also for every country that would want to invest in this. And this was a topic in the Vladivostok Economic Forum many

times.

So, this is a very interesting prospect, and before we go into more economic aspects of it, what is your take on this perspective?

JOHNSON: Well, you know, I had never really thought about it until actually I heard it discussed within your organization. And it's one of those sort of out-of-the-box thinking kind of ideas, because, if for no other reasons, to put the United States and Russia working together on something, to build something together rather than destroy each other, is just—it brings with it, I'll call it a "positive karma." You know, it's the kind of thing, you know, inevitably, when you put people working together on a project, particularly on something of that magnitude, they can't help but end up developing a respect for each other. And one of the greatest challenges I think we face right now, at least from the standpoint of the West, is this inexplicable hatred of Russia, this Russophobia. And yet, we have had joint projects in space, where the United States was virtually dependent on Russia for more than 20 years to ferry its astronauts to and from the Space Station. Yet, despite the cooperation of that, it was largely kept out of sight.

So, while I think it's an unlikely outcome of the meeting this Friday, it would be remarkable if they did emerge from that meeting, and say, "You know what? We've agreed that we're going to begin working on a plan to either build a tunnel or build a bridge, or build some combination of the two, to join our two lands." So, I think you're to be commended for at least having that vision and being able to support it to your own actions, in writing to the leaders.

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Well, you know, that when President Xi Jinping put the New Silk Road on the agenda in 2013, we updated all our development projects which we had worked on over the decades: the Africa development plan, the Eurasian Land-

Bridge, the plan to develop Latin America with Operation Juárez, where we had worked López Portillo on. And so, all these projects we had worked on for, really, decades, we put them together and we called it "The New Silk Road Becomes the World Land-Bridge." And in it, you have the idea that if you look at the longer development of mankind, infrastructure developed from the coastal areas to the interior of the continents, and eventually grew more and more to the inside of the continents, so the World Land-Bridge would be basically the last phase of that, whereby you would integrate all continents through bridges and tunnels, to become, indeed, World Land-Bridge, so that soon, you could go by rail, by fast train, all around the world. And what this Bering Strait project would do, you could soon, maybe in a few years, maybe five years, ten years, maybe twenty years, but not more, you could travel by fast train from the southern tip of Argentina and Chile, all the way up through the Americas, Latin America, Central America, North America; and then cross through the Bering Strait into the Eurasian Land-Bridge. And that would then go from Europe, you could build (and that is also on the agenda) a tunnel through the Strait of Gibraltar, and now the Bridge of Messina from Italy is back on the agenda, with a corridor or tunnel to North Africa, so you could travel all the way to the Cape of Good Hope in South Africa. And naturally, another line would go through India, through Indonesia with a mixture of tunnels and bridges, so eventually you would connect the whole world. And that is very much associated with the economic basis for the kind of new paradigm of thinking, which I think is the only way how we will avoid to end up in a geopolitical confrontation between the nuclear superpowers. And by building these bridges and tunnels, you end up with a new era of mankind, which, if we are reasonable will come anyway.

So we have now the choice of either going to a nuclear extinction, by trying to prevent the emergence of a new system, or we make the jump and say, "We are part of the one

humanity, and why don't we make that transition now?" So I think the more people can start thinking about that, the more it can actually catch fire.

JOHNSON: Yes, I think it's a very bold vision.

You know, what bothers me, is I'm not sure who's driving the ship or driving the car when it comes to foreign policy, and particularly in the United States. This recent signing of a peace agreement between Armenia and Azerbaijan, so-called "peace agreement" that was orchestrated by Donald Trump, or it's presented as if it's a Trump initiative: It makes absolutely no sense. Because, you're wondering, who is behind the scenes organizing and prioritizing some of these things? Because a year ago, if you talked to Donald Trump about Armenia and Azerbaijan, he wouldn't even know what you're talking about! He couldn't find it on a map.

So, when I step back and look at this, this is an effort to extend U.S. colonial power, and it's both an attack on Russia and an attack on Iran. And I raise that in the context of the vision you're presenting of this interconnection of the different continents, is not based on confrontation, it's based on cooperation. And yet there is this force that's out there, that is constantly promoting confrontation, destruction, and death. And so, in a sense, your project is a push-back against that.

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Well, just today, probably as we are talking here, there is still this virtual meeting going on in the Chancellor office, of Chancellor Merz in Berlin. Zelenskyy [cross talk 13:20] ... is [inaudible] there and I think they will have online Trump and Vice President J.D. Vance. But, basically, they're trying to sabotage the Anchorage meeting before it takes place, by convincing Trump to agree to their conditions. And those conditions are: absolutely, a ceasefire first, no other agreements, no territorial swaps; and assurance that Ukraine will join NATO. And these demands are

the reason for the war! I mean, the reason why the war is taking place in the first place is because of the NATO expansion to the East, and the Russians have made a zillion times clear that that is a red line for them, because it's the reverse Cuban Missile Crisis, because it brings offensive weapons systems close to their border with a warning time of a few minutes. So, for the Europeans to keep pushing this—I must say, to the honor of the Europeans, it's not all of them. It's the British, the French, the Germans, the Polish, and Scandinavians, and Baltic countries, but it's not, emphatically, the East Europeans, it's not Hungary, it's not the South Europeans. But in any case, it's that so-called "Coalition of the Willing."

And behind that, I have been asking myself that question also: Why is it? And I think they are just freaked out about losing control of a system which is emerging, trying to end colonialism, the BRICS countries: You know, the BRICS have always said, they are not an anti-Western bloc, they don't want to compete with NATO; they are open if the United States and European nations would say "we want to join them," they would immediately welcome them. But I think it is being tied to an oligarchical outlook, and I think the leading role in that is definitely Great Britain.

What do you think?

JOHNSON: Well, before responding to that, can you explain—it appears there's a complete disconnect between the majority of the German people and the current government, with the current government pursuing this aggressive posture towards Russia. Whereas, it strikes me that if the actual voice of the people was expressed, they wouldn't be seeking confrontation, they would be seeking cooperation. Is that correct?

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: The reason, Larry, is that Merz only has 29% approval rating, and that, after only a few months being in office, which is abysmal. The AfD [Alternative for Germany] is

now leading in the polls, with 26%, and the CDU-CSU only 24%. And I can only say, from our own action with segments of the population, people are freaked out in Germany, in ways I think the rest of the world does not even comprehend yet, because the German economy is in a free fall! I see that we are going into weeks of social explosion, because when you take the economic bottom out of the German system, all the social programs cannot be financed any more. And, therefore, I think, we are in a very short-term phase, and that's why I think an initiative like the Bering Strait would send a signal of hope—also to the population in Germany—if it's voiced strongly enough that it cannot be overturned. You know, I think that would really make a difference.

JOHNSON: Well, yes, Germany's gone through that, let's call it the "green phase," where it was obsessed with green energy, wind power, solar power. And you know, I spent a lot of time in Germany, in a 20-year period, when I was working with the U.S. military. And the idea of solar power as an effective alternative for producing energy in Germany, particularly when you get into the months of October, November, December, January and February, night comes pretty early and the Sun comes up pretty late. But the fact that Germany has sort of rolled over on this whole energy issue, when, allowing the destruction of the Nord Stream pipeline, cutting itself off from cheap natural gas and petroleum that was provided by Russia, it's almost like it's committing suicide economically! And I've been shocked that nobody stood up to scream, "Stop! We can't be doing this."

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Well, I think it's because the mainstream media are promoting this, one could say, the oligarchical line of NATO, the European Union, von der Leyen; so there is a big disconnect between the mainstream media and those people who believe that that is true what they say, and increasingly, a larger segment of the population who feels completely left without leadership, left in the dark. And concerning the

alternative energies, Merz, or the new government made a promise in the coalition papers which they signed, that they would make Germany a key place for artificial intelligence and digitalization: But they don't have the energy, they don't have the grids! So I think we are in for a big awakening.

And the only problem is, if you think back that the Russians are now targetting Germany, because of what Merz is pushing, the Taurus; and they just signed a treaty with the British called the Kensington Treaty, by which Germany and Great Britain committed themselves that they will build long-range missiles, even longer range than the Taurus, against Russia. So all of that has led to a situation, where the Russians are now talking about Germany as the "Fourth Reich," and all of Europe as the "Fourth Reich."

And that should really ring the alarm bells for anybody who knows anything about history, because, if you think how deep in the conscience of Russia is their experience of the Second World War, what they call the "Great Patriotic War," in which Germany, naturally, played a very unwholesome role. And for them to now say that the present-day Germany is the "Fourth Reich," and that they take that into their planning accordingly, anybody who thinks that through means that any more actions like the Taurus, or any such deployments, will make Germany a prime target in what could become the beginning of a large war. And that is something which I'm trying to cope with, because I cannot understand the historic amnesia going on in Germany! Because I was born in the post-war period, but I remember what my relatives were telling me about how bombed-out the German cities were, what happened during the war, the horror of the bombing nights, where people had to go into the cellar every second night because of the bomb attacks—and that seems to be all erased!

And what do you, as an American, think about all of this?

JOHNSON: I would first note that the people responsible for

bombing German cities and killing German civilians with those bombs, were England and the United States, not Russia. To my knowledge, Russia did not have a campaign of dropping tons of bombs on German cities. I mean, they were fighting the ground war, in particular.

But this brings up, really, this broader issue of the hypocrisy of the West, when it comes to using civilians as cannon fodder, that, on the one hand, we want to criticize and accuse Russia, today, of recklessly killing civilians, yet, when you look at the actual numbers, yeah, there have been some actual civilians who have died, but Russia has gone out of its way to avoid killing civilians. Whereas the West stands by silently, while Israel carries out a genocide, murdering, the minimal number is 60,000, and the more likely number could be up around 200,000 to 250,000. And the world stands largely mute against that!

So, what I see at the heart, this struggle, this is not a war between Russia and Ukraine: This really is—and it's not really an economic struggle between Russia and the West. I think there's also, if you will, a spiritual dimension to it, a moral dimension to it, because the West, the history of colonialism going back now five centuries, has been one of pillaging, exploitation, death, not one that's built on empowering people to realize their abilities.

And that's what I see coming out of, if you will, BRICS, sort of a new vision of how people around the world can interact. And I know that's been at the heart of your movement, trying to promote that kind of vision that is based upon building, not destroying.

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: I'm of the deepest conviction that there is only one way how we can avoid World War III: If we cannot get the West, that is the powers behind the military-industrial complex of both sides of the Atlantic, because the absurd aspect is that now all the military firms in Germany, their



stocks go up and they say they want to solve the problem of huge job losses, and bankruptcies of firms, by rearming Europe, which is really threatening to become a self-fulfilling prophecy leading to war: The only way how we can, in my view, avoid that this will end sooner or later, be it over the Ukraine crisis or some escalation in the Middle East, or even a war in the Pacific, ending up in World War III, would be that we really have to draw the line and say that the Western countries of Europe, United States and others aligned with them, that they should stop this geopolitical effort to contain Russia, contain China, and start to reach out and cooperate! Because it would be so easy! I have had enough discussions with people in China, in Russia, in India, and other countries from the Global South, there would not be *one minute's* hesitation, to welcome this! And I think that the only thing the BRICS countries should do better than they are doing, is to make that once again very clear, so that the effort by the mainstream media, and the warhawks to portray them as a threat, would be countered by them making a more positive message and invitation to the West. Because, I'm absolutely certain that that is the only way how we will avoid the annihilation of all of mankind.

JOHNSON: No, yeah, I agree with that. One of the reasons—you know, people now consider me a “pro-Russian puppet.” But if you look at the experience of Russia since the collapse of the Soviet Union, and the depth of despair that seized Russia in the 1990s, with the two periods of hyperinflation, that were akin to what Germany experienced in the Weimar Republic in the 1920s, and the number of suicides; the decline in life expectancy particularly among men; the complete collapse of the middle class, and the poverty that ensued; and then, if you were positioned then, and you wanted to say, “Look, let me tell you what Russia's going to be like in 2025, let me tell you that it's going to be the fourth largest economy in the world, let me tell you that it's going to have full employment; let me tell you that the cities are beautiful,

they're clean, they're safe; that public transportation actually works; that the stores are filled with everything that people could want or need. And, that even though it is a Christian nation, it is founded on Christianity that dates back more than 1,000 years in the Russian experience, nonetheless, they welcome and embrace, people of other faiths, and live in peace with them." Now, some may accuse me of being incredibly naïve, but I can only tell you, that's what I have seen!

In fact, just thinking today, something that had dawned upon me—I hadn't really appreciated it: The West, we're very much oriented towards a hierarchical, pyramid system, that there's always sort of this person at the top, who controls and directs, whether it's the Catholic Church, or the Pope, or the heads of the banks, Jamie Dimon with JPMorgan Chase; or the President. One of the curious things that struck me about the Russian Orthodox Church and the Orthodox Church in general, is, it's not hierarchical. Yes, they have senior clerics, but it's not a power structure, in the same way that, say, the Catholic Church is a power structure, where you've got these cardinals who select the Pope, etc. And what I've seen in terms of Russia's interaction, like when I attended the St. Petersburg International Economic Forum, they really have perfected the art of treating other people from other countries with respect! They're not patronizing it. There's no condescending manner. It is one built on respect, and the people, whether they were from Africa, or from other Asian countries, or from Latin America, they sense that! They realize that, and they respond! It's such a positive thing. And that is what, I think, actually, Russia has to offer to the world, a vision of how to do that, without becoming a slave to an ideology.

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Some people in the West have a hard time of understanding why the countries of the Global South did not buy the NATO narrative on why the Ukraine war occurred. And it

has a lot to do with what you were just saying, because the experience of the countries of Africa, Asia and Latin America, with Russia, but also with China, is exactly what you are describing: That they are being treated with respect, and they also remember who helped them in their anti-colonial fight, earlier.

So, I think people should reflect about that, and not take the high ground, sitting on a high horse and looking down on other people, because the whole world is right now not going to take this any longer. And I think we really have to make an effort to convince—I don't know what else we should be doing, rather than talking about it like on programs, organizing conferences, having as many webcasts as we can; interaction. But I think we are really in this window of history, where either we cause this change to occur, or the window will close!

Now, that is why, I think we have a great opportunity just in front of us, not only the upcoming Anchorage meeting between Putin and Trump, but just two weeks later, there will be another great occasion, which is the 80th anniversary of the end of the Second World War in the Pacific. And there, in Beijing, you will have an even on September 3rd, with a huge military parade, where Putin will participate, and naturally, Xi Jinping; Lula will go, Modi will go. And I have issued an appeal to the three Presidents, President Xi Jinping, President Trump and President Putin, that they should absolutely make sure that President Trump is invited, that President Trump should go, and in that historic setting, where the end of World War II in the Pacific is being thought about: And in the West, the role of China in bringing the Second World War to an end is much underestimated and not mentioned. But the fight against Japanese militarism was as important as the fight against the Nazis in Europe, and the Italian Fascists.

So, I think that that that setting, having the whole world

look moment, remembering the enormous implication of what World War II really was, making that conscious again, I think that could also be—if the Anchorage meeting is a first step, if there would be a meeting of the three Presidents in the context of the other BRICS leaders there, that would be such an historic opportunity, that I think President Trump, under no circumstances, should miss that. Because he could really earn the Nobel Peace Prize, which he seems to want so badly, if he would do that. Because it could really be the beginning of a new era of mankind.

I have issued a call, and if you, the listeners, and you, naturally, Larry, if you agree, please sign that call, because we want to make that heard all over the world as loudly as we can.

JOHNSON: Yes. In fact, Trump missed a tremendous opportunity, had he attended the May 9th celebration, commemoration of the victory over Nazi Germany in Moscow. Again, he could have been there with Xi Jinping, and with Putin—he missed that.

I think you're exactly right, that this is an opportunity that he should embrace.

But the United States has created an entire mythology surrounding World War II, that places the United States at the center of that. And that we're the ones that "won the war." We are the ones that were victimized the most. What the average American doesn't understand is that between China and Russia, or the Soviet Union, those two countries alone, accounted for about 80% of the fatalities that occurred in World War II: I made it between *47 and 55 million* just between Russia and China! Staggering numbers! You know, the United States doesn't have anything in its experience to compare with that, to begin to even comprehend what that means.

And I told this story before, but I was in Moscow in March, with Judge Napolitano, and we were having lunch with five

other Russians, and I asked them at the time, I said, "How many of you had a direct family member, father, grandfather, uncle, that died in the Great Patriotic War?" and every single one of them raised their hand! Every single one. Whereas, I turned to the judge and said, "Hey, Judge, did you have any family members perish in World War II?" "No!" Not for him; not for me! No even distant relative. And that's the difference.

The Russians and the Chinese, I think, understand the sacrifice of blood, and how terrible that cost is, which is why they've actually been more reluctant to engage in war, and I would argue, it's that *lack* of having paid a terrible price in human loss, that the West has been so eager to embrace war, and to engage in it!

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Well, that obviously is not the case for Germany, because, Germany was very—you know, the Dresden bombing. I met several people who lived through the Dresden bombing, and that's why it's all the more incomprehensible that people like Merz would be such warhawks right now. And I always thought that given the fact that the German unification took place only a few decades after the end of that World War, given the fact that the Russians had such an incredible loss, that it was really incredibly generous of them to agree to the terms of the German unification, whereby Germany was allowed to be part of NATO, all of the unified Germany, with one condition: That there would be no foreign troops deployed on the territory of the former G.D.R. And that is being violated right now: You have in Rostock, which is on the Baltic Sea, you have now a headquarter which was formerly only German, but in reality it's rotating NATO troops all the time.

So, I think that the sacrifice of the Russians in the Second World War, and then, their generosity in terms of the German reunification, makes the behavior of the present leadership in Germany all the more despicable, really. Because it is as if they have no historic memory. I mean, the Holocaust has been discussed many, many times, and it is well-integrated into the

German consciousness, the guilt feeling and everything; but they leave out, what was the guilt towards Russia! And that is why this whole history—I really think we need, probably in all countries of the world, it would be very valuable to re-study, what happened, how did it come to the First World War? How did it come to the Second World War? What were the real motives behind it? Because I think the official narrative of all of these wars is quite painted, to get across a certain version. But if you think about who helped to bring Hitler to power? It was Montagu Norman from the Bank of England; it was Averell Harriman; it was Prescott Bush—so I think a lot of addition, it would be very valuable to understand.

And going back to the question you asked earlier, of who is driving this evil? Who is always trying to pursue it? I mean, like in the Potsdam Declaration, you had while they were talking about the reorganization of Germany, politically and geographically, Churchill was commissioning and overseeing the Operation Unthinkable, which was for a preemptive attack against the Russia, and Truman had already given the order to drop the bombs on Hiroshima and Nagasaki! And these bombs were politically, completely unnecessary, because, as now becomes clear, the Japanese had already indicated that they wanted to surrender; they were in negotiations with the Vatican about it. So the killing of Hiroshima and Nagasaki was a political message to the Soviet Union, rather than a punishment of the Japanese.

So I think we really need historians, who go to the sources, who go to the archives, and have an unbiased, real research of what actually happened in all of this. Because I think this historic record must be set straight, if you want to avoid future catastrophes.

JOHNSON: I must confess my ignorance previously, but thanks to a friend, Ryan Dawson, who published what Dwight David Eisenhower said about the bombing of Hiroshima and Nagasaki. What Douglas MacArthur said about it. What Hap Arnold said

about it: He was Army Air Corps, involved with a lot of the bombings that took place in Germany and Japan. And what even Curtiss LeMay, whose son later described as crazed—but *all* of them, *all of them* said the bombings were completely unnecessary, not needed to win the war. Whereas I had been raised and propagandized for 60 years to believe otherwise. So you're exactly right.

And we'll have to see, now, what comes out of the history of this Friday. I think Donald Trump is looking for a way to exit—at least, I hope he's looking for a way to exit the Ukraine war. Russia is not going to surrender, and I think the most likely outcome is that Trump and Putin will agree, that the United States will recognize the four new republics of Russia: Donetsk, Lugansk, Kherson, and Zaporozhye, along with Crimea. That Russia, Putin will say we'll withdraw from Dnepropetrovsk, Sumy, Poltava, Kharkiv. That'll be the offer, and then, if you will, the de-NATO-ization of Ukraine. And that'll be presented to Ukraine, and it'll be rejected. But at that point, Trump will say, "Hey, we put a legitimate offer. If Ukraine now is refusing to find a peace," and Russia will press on with its military campaign, which really appears to be on the verge of breaking the entire defensive line of Ukraine. So, I think we could actually see, as some in the general staff of Russia predicted, an end to this war within two to three months.

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Well, I think that the majority of the population in Ukraine, right now, is in favor of ending the war, because it simply is so unbearable. That I'm hopeful, because the head of the Russian sovereign wealth fund, Kirill Dmitriev, he actually came out in favor of the Bering Strait, and he has been a proponent of the Bering Strait, building of the tunnel and the bridge corridor, because he looks at it, obviously, from the standpoint of the incredible economic potential, which would open up if a decision would be made. And my hope would be that there are enough people around

Trump, and Trump himself, who is always described as a developer and a real estate knowledgeable person, that he would see the economic potential. So, I think the best thing we can do is to spread the idea of how that could be a game-changer as far as possible: Today we have Wednesday, so if we would get this around in the next two days to as many circles as possible, maybe we can create an environment to reverse what the British like to do, “flooding the zone” around Trump with bad ideas—that we flood the zone with positive ideas: And let’s just try to do that!

JOHNSON: I think that’s a great idea. I’ll do what I can.

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: We will put the links under the broadcast. We have two old pamphlets in German and in English from 2007, when this issue was already big on the agenda. And people are welcome to download it and distribute it as far as possible. And let’s try our best.

So, thank you very much for your very insightful knowledge, and hope to talk to you soon on this channel.

JOHNSON: It’s an honor, a privilege, and pleasure to be with you and have this intimate chat with you, Helga. Thanks so much!

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Till soon! Bye-bye.

---

## **Helga Zepp-LaRouches brev til Trump og Putin søger at**



# fjerne truslen om atomkrig og bygge Beringstrædet-korridor

10. august 2025 (EIRNS) – Den 11. august offentliggjorde Schiller Instituttets grundlægger, Helga Zepp-LaRouche, følgende åbne brev til præsident Donald Trump og præsident Vladimir Putin. Brevet er sendt i kopi til den kinesiske præsident Xi Jinping.

Brevet ledsages af tre artikler fra tidsskriftet {EIR} fra 4. maj 2007 om anlæggelse af en tunnel under Beringstrædet, hvorigennem der vil kunne føres en jernbanelinje, der forbinder jernbanesystemerne i Eurasien med dem i Amerika. Adresserne til de tre {EIR}-artikler, der ledsager Helga Zepp-LaRouches brev, findes nedenfor:

“Russisk-amerikansk team: Verden har brug for en tunnel under Beringstrædet!”;

“Mendelejev ville have været enig;”

“Oprindelsen til Beringstrædet-projektet.”

Fru Zepp-LaRouche skriver i sit brev:

Til præsident Donald Trump og præsident Vladimir Putin:

Når I mødes i Alaska den 15. august, ligger menneskehedens skæbne i jeres hænder. På trods af alle forsøg fra fredens modstandere, kan I ikke blot bringe krigen i Ukraine til ophør og dermed fjerne Damoklessværdet i form af den atomare udryddelse af menneskeheden, i det mindste i forbindelse med denne konflikt, men I kan også genindføre diplomatiet i forholdet mellem de to mest magtfulde atommagter på kloden.

Men I kan gøre noget endnu mere ophøjet ved ikke kun at bekæmpe de trusler, der truer menneskeheden, men ved at give hele verden en smuk vision for fremtiden. I kunne blive enige om at bygge en korridor over Beringstrædet og med dette

jernbane- og tunnelprojekt forene jernbanesystemerne i Eurasien med dem i Amerika. Dette projekt ville åbne op for udnyttelsen af de enorme uudnyttede ressourcer i Sibirien samt de amerikanske arktiske ressourcer af olie, gas, alle former for ædle metaller og ferskvand. Sibirien og det russiske Fjernøsten rummer de største forekomster af råstoffer af alle de grundstoffer, man kan finde i Dmitrij Mendelejevs periodiske system, og en fælles udnyttelse af disse ressourcer, som mange andre ressourcefattige lande kunne inviteres til at deltage i, kunne blive det perfekte program til at undgå krig og i høj grad øge verdens velstand.

I en ikke så fjern fremtid vil man kunne rejse med højhastighedstog rundt om jorden, fra de sydligste spidser af Argentina og Chile i Ushuaia og Puerto Williams, hele vejen gennem Amerika, derefter gennem Beringstrædet, tværs over Eurasien og med en tunnel under Gibraltarstrædet, hele vejen gennem det afrikanske kontinent til Kap Det Gode Håb.

Beringstrædet-tunnelprojektet er blevet undersøgt og fremmet i årtier af førende videnskabelige og politiske personligheder i USA, Rusland og Kina, som det er dokumenteret i vedlagte artikler fra tidsskriftet EIR, der går tilbage til 2007, samt en 8 minutters video udarbejdet af Dr. Victor Razbegin, næstformand for SOPS, Ruslands Råd for undersøgelse af produktive Kræfter, som vandt Grand Prize for Innovation på Shanghai World Expo 2010.

Beringstrædet-tunnelen og relaterede store infrastrukturprojekter kunne også danne grundlag for yderligere indgående drøftelser mellem præsident Trump, præsident Putin og Kinas præsident Xi Jinping, hvis præsident Trump bliver inviteret og accepterer at deltage i 80-årsdagen for afslutningen af Anden Verdenskrig, der afholdes i Kina den 3. september – som jeg tidligere har foreslået. [link]

Dette projekt for en integreret infrastruktur for hele verden som grundlag for udvikling vil lægge grundlaget for at

afskaffe krig som middel til konfliktløsning for altid.  
Menneskets håb hviler på jer!

Med venlig hilsen

Helga Zepp-LaRouche

Stifter, Schiller Instituttet

11. august 2025

cc.: Præsident Xi Jinping

---

Historisk baggrund:

Læs Tom Gillesbergs 2007 artikel, "Vitus Bering og rejsen til Amerika".Klik her.

Resumé på dansk, fuld artikel på engelsk.

---

# Hasteappel til præsidents Xi Jinping, Donald Trump og Vladimir Putin!

Skrevet den 4, august 2025 af Helga Zepp-LaRouche.

Hvis man foretog en undersøgelse blandt verdens befolkning, ville man sandsynligvis finde, at langt størstedelen af borgerne i de fleste nationer er bange for fremtiden, at de ser store katastrofer truende, såsom en ny depression, fattigdom, sult, tab af arbejdspladser og vigtigst af alt: en ny – denne gang atomar – verdenskrig, som kan betyde civilisationens undergang.

Blandt verdens militæksperter er mange overbevist om, at den

militære strategiske situation i dag er farligere end under Cuba-krisen, i betragtning af det næsten fuldstændige sammenbrud af tilliden mellem nogle af atommagterne, ophævelsen af alle våbenkontroltraktater, og faren for at flere geopolitiske kriser, såsom krisen i Ukraine, Mellemøsten og en truende krise i Stillehavet, kommer ud af kontrol.

Hvordan kan det ske, at kun 80 år efter afslutningen af Anden Verdenskrig, hvor de overlevende højtideligt svor: »Aldrig mere fascisme! Aldrig mere krig!«, befinder menneskeheden sig igen ved helvedes port, som om mindet om de millioner af døde i den verdenskrig var glemt, og at de havde kæmpet, lidt og døde forgæves?

Vi står over for en stor historisk mulighed, hvor lederne af tre store nationer kan sende et magtfuldt signal til verden. Hvis præsident Xi Jinping inviterede præsident Trump til den militærparade, der er planlagt til den 3. september på Den Himmelske Freds Plads i Beijing for at markere 80-årsdagen for afslutningen af Anden Verdenskrig, og præsident Trump accepterede invitationen, da præsident Putin også forventes at være til stede, kunne verdens befolkning finde håb om, at disse tre ledere ville åbne et nyt kapitel i menneskehedens historie. Fra 1941 til 1945 var USA og Sovjetunionen allierede mod tysk nationalsocialisme, mens Kina og USA i samme periode var allierede mod japansk militarisme. Hvis de tre præsidenter ville deltage i militærparaden den 3. september og sammen fornyer den hellige ed »Aldrig igen!«, ville det sende det stærkeste budskab til hele verden om, at en ny æra med fred vil begynde.

Præsident Trump blev valgt for anden gang med et mandat til at skabe fred og afslutte sine forgængeres evige krige, og det er, hvad den Globale Majoritet forventer af præsident Xi og præsident Putin.

Vi, underskriverne af denne appel, appellerer til jer om at trække menneskeheden tilbage fra udslettelsens afgrund og

blive grundlæggere af en ny æra i menneskehedens historie!

Underskrivere:

Helga Zepp-LaRouche, grundlægger, Schiller Institut

---

**Gaza har ikke brug for tomme ord.**

**Det har brug for mad, værdighed, retfærdighed og handling – nu.**

**af Dr. Izzeldin Abuelaish.**

Følgende kronik blev offentliggjort i Toronto Star den 5. august 2025. Her er linket til begyndelsen af artiklen på Toronto Stars hjemmeside. Forfatteren har givet os tilladelse til at offentliggøre den.

**Gaza doesn't need empty statements. It needs food, dignity, justice and action – now**

By Izzeldin Abuelaish, Contributor

*Izzeldin Abuelaish, a Palestinian Canadian medical doctor, was born and raised in Jabalia Refugee Camp in the Gaza Strip and is an author and professor emeritus, clinical public health at the Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto.*

The images of Gaza tell us everything we need to know: charred children, weeping mothers clutching lifeless infants, fathers

burying their children in plastic bags and entire families erased in a single airstrike have been shown repeatedly alongside the long lines of thirsty and hungry people waiting for what will never come.

What more must Gaza show for the world to act? It's what countless experts, and a UN special committee have named a genocide. And it's happening in real time, livestreamed on the internet. The world knows and the response from the international community has failed a moral test.

The destruction of Gaza is a deliberate and direct policy of the Israeli government, which is being enabled by the silence, indifference, or endorsement from the many governments that fund, arm, or politically shield Israel from accountability.

For nearly two years, Gaza has been dying in slow motion and burning. And before that, for many years, it has been suffocating. Today, many people in Gaza are closer to death than to a loaf of bread.

Gaza is a moral mirror. It reflects the fragility of international law, the hypocrisy of global diplomacy and the dangerous elasticity of human empathy. When children are starving to death in full view and are still not being rescued, we must ask: What have we become?

The people of Gaza are not statistics, they are human beings, students, doctors, musicians, mothers, people who dream. They are trapped by an international order that sees their lives as expendable.

What is happening in Gaza today is an engineered humanitarian collapse executed in full view of the international community. This is not war – it is annihilation; an unarmed, captive population being erased.

The most unbearable aspect is global inaction. The world is failing every day when it settles for another statement

calling for calm, or “we are monitoring the situation,” “expressing concern,” or “urging restraint.” Gaza doesn’t need monitoring. It needs mercy, food and an end to impunity. The gap between Gaza’s suffering and the world’s response is no longer just injustice, it is complicity.

Far too many people no longer have water, medicine, electricity or shelter. The people there are not asking for the impossible. They simply want food and water, a moment of peace to bury their dead, a chance to sleep without fear of bombardment. They want to survive.

What Gaza needs is an immediate lifting of the siege. It needs open crossings. It needs unrestricted access to food, water, medicine and fuel. It needs protection – not just from airstrikes, war crimes, but from the slow, daily violence of hunger, disease and despair.

What Gaza needs most of all from the international community is accountability. The Israeli government’s deliberate policies of destruction must not be rewarded with silence, weapons, or diplomatic cover. Those responsible must be named, investigated, and tried under international law – not applauded or excused.

Canada, as a country that claims to uphold human rights and international law, must stop enabling this injustice through political complicity. It must support binding mechanisms of accountability, including referrals to the International Criminal Court and advocate for immediate humanitarian access, protection of civilians, and an end to impunity.

The people of Gaza are not asking for your pity. They are demanding your action. They are calling not just for life – but for a life with dignity.

History is watching. So are the survivors. And so are the children who remain – not yet buried, not yet starved, but still waiting for the world to choose justice over delay and

humanity over politics. History will remember not only the atrocities, but also the apathy. Not only the bombs, but the indifference and silence.

Gaza will rise – not by miracle, but by the unbreakable will of its people. And even if Gaza must start from zero, let that zero not be seen as emptiness, but as possibility.

Gaza's rebirth must be global in solidarity and Palestinian in spirit. It must honour the lives lost, uplift the survivors, and proclaim that never again is now – and it applies to every child, every mother, every dream buried beneath the ruins.

Gaza will rise because it must. Because its people still breathe, still believe and still belong to a future where they are no longer caged, starved, or erased – but free.

---

# **I anledning af 150-året for H.C. Andersens død: Poesiens Californien: H.C. Andersens videnskabelige optimisme**

Oprindeligt udgivet den 24. marts 2013

I Poesiens Californien taler Andersen lidenskabeligt for videnskab som den gyldne kilde til poetisk inspiration, en lampe, der kaster lys på vejen til fremtiden, holdt højt af digteren, »Lysbæreren for Tider og Slægter.«

Download (PDF, Unknown)



## **English versions:**

Complete version:

Download (PDF, Unknown)

Abridged version as published in EIR, Executive Intelligence Review on April 19, 2013:

Download (PDF, Unknown)

---

# **Livmoderne i Gaza vil bære arrene fra krigen. Artikel af Dr. Izzeldin Abuelaish**

Følgende artikel af Dr. Izzeldin Abuelaish blev offentliggjort i Toronto Globe and Mail den 1. august 2025. Dr. Abuelaish er gynækolog og fertilitets ekspert, der er født i Gaza og nu er professor ved Toronto University's Dalla Lana School of Public Health. Forfatteren har givet os tilladelse til at offentliggøre debatartiklen.

Dansk resumé:

Denne krig udkæmpes ikke kun med bomber og kugler, den udkæmpes i kroppene af kvinder, der bærer liv, mens de er

omgivet af død, hvor den endda kan ændre, hvordan gener udtrykkes...

Gennem sult, fordrivelse, frygt og vold forankrer den sig i livmoderen og siver ind i de ufødte børns gener...

Hvor er den globale erkendelse af, at hvert missilangreb ikke kun kan dræbe nutiden, men også skade fremtiden?

Verden må huske, hvad Gazas børn ikke kan glemme. De fortjener mere end blot at overleve. De fortjener retfærdighed, lighed, frihed, at stå til ansvar og en fremtid uden arvelig sult og frygt.

[Download \(DOCX, 1.18MB\)](#)