

Helga Zepp-LaRouche: Lad os konsolidere det Nye Paradigme, Nu, hvor Det britiske Imperies kup mod Trump er afsløret. pdf og video

Derfor er det så meget desto mere vigtigt, at den eneste løsning på denne finanskriser, nemlig gennemførelsen af Glass/Steagall-bankopdelingen og de Fire Love, min mand, Lyndon LaRouche, har udarbejdet; at de nu kommer frem på bordet, og at der kommer et krav fra befolkningerne i alle landene om, at deres regeringer responderer til Xi Jinpings tilbud om at samarbejde med den Nye Silkevej. Europa, Tyskland, Italien, Frankrig, USA; de har alle et presserende behov for en forbedring og modernisering af infrastruktur.

Download (PDF, Unknown)

Britisk efterretning nu afsløret som anfører af kuppet imod Trump; Vi kan overvinde dem

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 7. feb., 2018 – Brochuren fra LaRouche PAC Action Committee, der afslører historien bag Robert Mueller, den »umoralske, juridiske lejemorder«, som er deployeret for at fjerne Donald Trump fra præsidentskabet, har nu cirkuleret i seks måneder og haft en enorm indvirkning.

Med dele af »Få ram på Trump«-specialstyrken, der nu er totalt miskrediteret, er det muligt at gå efter selve uhyrets hoved – britisk efterretning og britisk geopolitik, som Trump truer med at vælte.

Brochuren erklærer dristigt lige fra begyndelsen, at Mueller og hele fremstødet for at stoppe Trump *siden 2015*, kom fra britisk efterretning og det britiske »imperieoligarki«.

I de seneste 48 timer er det, af det Britiske Udenrigsministerium i en sag for retten i London, og ligeledes af Washington Post i en lang artikel, blevet afsløret, at ikke kun »tidligere« MI6-agent Christopher Steeles dossier, men derimod mange britiske efterretningsagenter er involveret – som f.eks. i Udenrigsministeriet – og fra toppen er deployeret til at få ram på Trump. Og hvem deployerer dem fra toppen? »Tidligere« chef for MI6, sir Richard Dearlove. Samme Dearlove, der kommissionerede sit eget, berygtede »dossier« for Tony Blair, som »beviste«, at Saddam Hussein havde atomvåben og kemiske våben!

Dette dossier vanærede udenrigsminister Colin Powell og lancerede den katastrofale Cheney-Bush-invasion af Irak 2003-2011. Den nuværende, britiske kampagne havde, gennem at

bruge »Steele-dossieret«, til formål at diktere USA, at det ikke havde lov at have en præsident, der ønsker samarbejdsrelationer med Rusland eller Kina.

Det var britiske efterretningstjenester, der blandede sig i vore valg i 2016. Londons MI6, den hemmelige efterretningstjeneste, kolporterede britisk skidt om Trump og Rusland gennem Obamas efterretningsfolk og Clinton-kampagnen, med det formål at ødelægge Trumps kampagne, og hans præsidentskab.

Rusland og USA har været reelle eller potentielle allierede i århundreder, gående helt tilbage til det væbnede neutralitetsforbund (First League of Armed Neutrality), der var med til at vinde vores Revolutionskrig, og til den russiske flådes indgriben mod britisk støtte til slavemagten i vores Borgerkrig, frem til det amerikansk-russiske samarbejde mod Hitler, som Sir Winston Churchill arbejdede på at ødelægge.

Det samme er sandt om USA's relationer med Kina, under Anden Verdenskrig og tidligere. Nu inviterer Kina USA til at gå med i forlængelsen af Bælte & Vej Initiativet, et projekt for økonomisk genopbygning og udslettelse af fattigdom i langt større skala end Marshallplanen.

Vi har brug for internationale aftaler for at bygge den mest afgørende, nye infrastruktur på verdensplan og brug for at acceptere Kinas lederskab i dets Bælte & Vej Initiativ. Selve Amerika har enorme underskud med hensyn til ny, økonomisk infrastruktur og må skabe en national (statslig) kreditinstitution for at deltage; en ny Reconstruction Finance Corporation i Roosevelts tradition, eller en nationalbank i Hamiltons tradition.

Vi må have en koordineret genindførelse af Glass/Steagall-bankopdeling i hele USA og Europa, før City of London og Wall Street bringer vore økonomier ind i et nyt, denne gang langt

værre, krak.

USA ville ikke præstere disse ting, hvis det gav lov til, at en præsident med overlæg blev fjernet for at være i overensstemmelse med den britiske, geopolitiske doktrin for krige for regimeskifte og konfrontation mellem stormagter.

De memoer, der nu er kommet frem fra Husets Efterretningskomite og Senatets Justitskomite, har sprængt Steele-dossieret vidt åbent, med samt dets anvendelse imod præsident Trump. De, der er blevet afsløret af disse memoer, er ret utilfredse og vil forsøge at genvinde fremstødet for at fjerne præsidenten, med mindre de besejres.

Foto: Sir Richard Billing Dearlove, KCMG (født 23. jan., 1945) var chef for den Britiske Hemmelige Efterretningstjeneste (MI6) fra 1999 og til 6. maj, 2004. (Domusrulez / Wikimedia)

Briterne har bekendt kulør

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 6. feb., 2018 – Mandag intervenserede det britiske Udenrigsministerium i en høring i Højesteret i London i sagen om tidligere MI6-medarbejder, Christopher Steele. Steeles advokat forsøger at omstøde en tidligere retskendelse om, at Steele skal fremstille sig til afhøring i forbindelse med en af de mange løgne i det nu berømte dossier, han udarbejdede med det formål at bringe USA's præsident til fald. Sagen er blevet anlagt af en russisk borger, Aleksej Gubarev, hvem Steele løgnagtigt har anklaget for at have hacket ind i det amerikanske Demokratiske Partis computere (hvilket, som tidligere tekniske ekspert i NSA, Bill Binney, har vist, aldrig fandt sted). Detaljerne kan læses nedenstående – men det afgørende er, at Udenrigsministeriet, der fører tilsyn med MI6, sendte en advokat til retten »for

det tilfældes skyld, at regeringen fandt det nødvendigt at kræve, at Steeles vidneforklaring blev begrænset, for at beskytte statshemmeligheder«, som det rapporteredes af BBC.

Hvorfor er briterne så interesseret i at forhindre sandheden om Steeles rolle, og briternes rolle generelt, i kupforsøget mod præsident Trump, i at komme frem? Kendsgerningen er, at hele »Russiagate«-sagen mod Trump er ved at nedsmelte, alt imens senator Chuck Grassley og kongresmedlem David Nunes har fokuseret på den primære rolle, som den britiske efterretningsagent Steele har spillet som den kriminelle hovedmedsammensvorne. Briternes desperate bestræbelse for at genvinde kontrollen over deres tidligere koloni, er i alvorlig fare.

Og dette er ikke en isoleret udvikling. Verden er gået ind i en kombineret krise, som ikke kan opretholdes i ret mange måneder, eller endda dage. Finanssystemet er oppustet og står ikke til at redde, med udstedelse af fiktiv gæld for at dække over spekulationsboblen med endnu flere spekulative 'værdi'-papirer. De krav, der kommer fra Wall Streets og City of Londons herrer, om, at verdens nationer skal acceptere nedskæringspolitikker og følge IMF's diktater, finder nu kun døve øren, med næsten hele verdens udviklingssektor, der nu tilslutter sig det nye paradigme, som Kina tilbyder dem gennem den Nye Silkevej, og som bringer jernbaner, vandprojekter, industri og – håb – til verdens tidligere kolonisationer.

Og i USA har præsident Trump forsvaret sig mod de korrupte efterretningschefer fra Obamatiden, fordømt denne heksejagt og endda inviteret de russiske efterretningschefer til Washington (til regimeskifte-slængets absolutte rædsel), med det formål at samarbejde om at løse de vigtige spørgsmål i reelle, globale problemer.

Dette er et gunstigt øjeblik for præsidenten. I løbet af de seneste to uger har han ikke alene arrangeret de russiske efterretningschefers besøg, på trods af de sanktioner, som

Kongressen har påtvunget dem, men han har også afvist Kongressens krav om nye sanktioner mod Rusland. Han godkendte offentliggørelsen af »Nunes-memoet«, der afslører de forbrydelser, der er begået af Steele og oversidderne fra Obama-tiden i FBI og Justitsministeriet. Han holdt en State of the Union-tale, der fik 70 % eller mere støtte fra det amerikanske folk, netop, fordi han har inspireret til håb om en genrejsning af den forfaldne, amerikanske økonomi, en afslutning af epidemien med opiater og andre narkotiske stoffer, samt en genrejsning af Amerikas tidligere storhed.

Præsident Trump befinder sig således i en relativ favorabel situation til at konfrontere det overhængende kollaps af finansboblen i aktie- og lånemarkederne. Der findes kun én måde, hvorpå de vestlige økonomier kan reddes fra dette uundgåelige sammenbrud – en proces, der har sit fortilfælde i de politikker, som Franklin D. Roosevelt gennemførte i 1930'erne, og som reddede USA fra depressionen og verden fra fascisme. De fiktive kapitaler må fjernes gennem en Glass/Steagall-reform af banksystemet således, at regeringen kan bruge den magt, den er givet af USA's Forfatning, til at etablere en nationalbank, som kan udstede kredit, der dirigeres ind i realøkonomien og igangsætte en proces for massiv videnskabelig forskning og udvikling, for at udforme en optimistisk fremtid for menneskeheden.

Det er dette, LaRouche har kaldt de Fire Love. Resolutioner til støtte for de Fire Love bliver nu introduceret i de lovgivende grene (delstatskongresser) over hele landet. Præsident Trump, der tidligere officielt har krævet en tilbagevenden til en politik for dette »Amerikanske Økonomiske System« i Hamiltons tradition, befinder sig nu i en position, hvor han kan gennemføre det, til trods for den kendsgerning, at han er omgivet af agenter for Wall Street, som er modstandere af det, og som kræver nationens underkastelse under den værdiløse spekulationsgæld selv, hvis det betyder økonomisk kaos og global krig. Den aktuelle ustabilitet på

aktiemarkedet er blot en antydning af, at et krak i denne boble er overhængende.

Men, hvis gode mennesker i USA og Europa mobiliserer sig selv, og andre, til at gå sammen med LaRouche for at gennemføre de Fire Love og gå med i den Nye Silkevej, som LaRouche har været fortaler for og har promoveret i de seneste halvtreds år, så er et Nyt Paradigme for Menneskeheden inden for rækkevidde.

Foto: SIS-bygningen, eller MI6-bygningen, eller 'Legoland', eller 'Babylon-ved-Themsen'; den britiske hemmelige efterretningstjenestes hovedkvarter ved Vauxhall Cross på Themsens sydlige bred. Designet af Terry Farrell og færdigbygget i 1994. (Photo flickr.com/photos/duncanhl/)

'Grassley-memoet' afslører mere om anti-Trump-kuppet; Det, der står på spil, er enten krig eller udvikling under det Nye Paradigme

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 5. feb., 2017 – Her til morgen kom endnu flere skadelige afsløringer frem om kupforsøget mod det amerikanske præsidentskab, fra offentliggørelsen af det otte sider lange memorandum af senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), formand for Senatets Justitskomite, der fører tilsyn med FBI.

Endskønt det er stærkt redigeret, rapporterer dette dokument, som dækker præsidentvalgperioden i 2016, at Clinton-kampagnen 'shoppede' anti-Trump-påstande fra en ukendt, udenlandsk kilde, som gik til Obamas Udenrigsministerium, hvorfra disse påstande dernæst blev shoppet videre til »tidligere« britiske spion, Christopher Steele, som så overgav dem til medierne og FBI. Steele udarbejdede et dossier, dateret 19. okt., 2016 – en anden dato end det kendte, »uvederhæftige dossier« – og som hidtil ikke er blevet offentliggjort.

Grassleys memo blev oprindeligt skrevet den 4. jan., da han, med tilslutning fra senator Lindsey Graham (R-SC), leverede det til det relevante kontor i Justitsministeriet og til FBI-myndigheder med krav om en efterforskning af Steele for kriminelle handlinger. Memoet fremfører, »Det er allerede foruroligende, at Clinton-kampagnen finansierede hr. Steeles arbejde; men at disse Clinton-medarbejdere samtidigt gav hr. Steele beskyldninger, rejser yderligere spørgsmål om hans troværdighed«. Grassley har krævet, at der iværksættes anklager om kriminelle handlinger imod Steele og andre indblandede i denne beskidte operation.

Udviklingerne i dag åbner for »Fase To«, som kommer efter udgivelsen af »Nunes-memoet« den 2. feb. af Devin Nunes (R-CA), formand for Husets Efterretnings-udvalgskomite. Nunes-memoet fokuserer på anti-Trump FBI- og justitsministerietoppersoners og Steeles ulovlige brug af FISA-processen til opnåelse af en overvågningskendelse. I dette nye Grassley-memo er Udenrigsministeriet indblandet. Der kommer flere »afsløringsfaser«. Dette kan offentligt afsløre de personer i London og Washington, der var ansvarlige for det, der skete i Ukraine med det formål at tvangsindføre et nazistisk regimeskifte gennem den 'farvede' Maidan-revolution i 2013-14. Intet under, at Victoria Nuland i går forsøgte at lægge afstand til Christopher Steele på CBS News. Nuland, der er tidligere viceudenrigsminister i Obamas regering, samt Steele et. al., promoverede direkte Maidan-kuppet.

Impulsen til denne strøm af afsløringer og udsigt til fængsel for de skyldige, er LaRouche PAC's Særlige Efterforskende Rapport, »Robert Mueller er en amoralsk, juridisk lejemorder: Han vil gøre sit job, hvis I giver ham lov«, som blev udgivet første gang i september 2017.

Det, der står på spil i alt dette, er ikke blot sandheden i en abstrakt betydning, men derimod, om der bliver krig, eller der kommer et Nyt Paradigme for udvikling – den Nye Silkevej. Det Gamle Paradigme for monetarisme og geopolitik er dødt, men stadig farligt. De, der støtter det, er rede til at udløse krig. Et udtryk for dette er forsiden af Londonavisen *The Economist* af 27. jan., der erklærer, »Den næste krig: Den voksende fare for stormagtskonflikt«.

Det eneste, vindende antikrigs-fremstød er mobiliseringen for et Nyt Paradigme, især i det transatlantiske område, for de hasteforholdsregler, der fremlægges i LaRouches Fire Love og tilslutningen til Bælte & Vej Initiativet.

Præsident Trump har atter udtalt sin støtte til en genopretning af vareproduktion og jobs i USA, i en tale, han holdt i dag på en fabrik nær Cincinnati, Ohio. Hans banner lød, »USA – Åben for business«. Det var en dyb ironi, da Tv-speakeren åndeløst afbrød live-dækningen af hans tale for at rapportere, at Dow Indekset netop var styrtdykket med 1600 points!

Kendsgerningen i verden er, at det Nye Paradigme med den Nye Silkevej er i gang. Det er modellen, der virker. Det er LaRouche-parrets årtier lange dagsorden in action. Fremtiden for menneskeheden er vores.

Foto: Præsidenten og vicepræsidenten mødes med Senatets lederskab. De demokratiske senatorer Feinstein og Schumacher til venstre, og de republikanske senatorer McConnell og Grassley til højre. 24. jan., 2017. (Whitehouse Photo / twitter)

Kampen for at afslutte 'Russiagate' er ingen sportsbegivenhed; Vi må intervenere for at stoppe det

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 4. feb., 2018 – USA befinder sig ved et vendepunkt i sin årtier lange, »interne« kamp mod britisk efterretnings brug af amerikanske efterretningstjenester til at kontrollere og afpresse præsidenter. Det er også et vendepunkt i udenrigspolitik, der enten vil føre verden hen mod en umiddelbar konfrontation med atomkrig, eller også et nyt paradigme for samarbejde mellem stormagter omkring at afslutte regionale krige, bygge storslået infrastruktur, overvinde fattigdom og besejre international terrorisme.

Disse to vendepunkter har samme mål – bekæmpelse af britisk geopolitik. Det langvarige kupforsøg imod denne amerikanske præsident, skandalen med »Russiagate«, hvor tidevandet nu måske er ved at vende, blev bragt til Washington af chefen for britisk MI6-udenrigsefterretning, for næsten to år siden. Spydhovedet i denne bestræbelse var et britisk »dossier«, og misbruget af dette dossier er nu blevet afsløret af Husets Efterretningskomite. Dets formål var at afpresse USA med hensyn til den udenrigspolitik, som dets præsident kunne få lov at gennemføre.

Storbritanniens Royal Institute of International Affairs (RIIA) udstedte den 25. jan. instruktioner til Amerika om at

»se Rusland som en trussel« og erklærede, at, »at indgå en aftale« om samarbejde med Rusland – f.eks. om bekæmpelse af international terrorisme – »implicit ville acceptere, at den nuværende verdensorden ikke længere er i kraft«. RIIA kunne ikke have sagt det tydeligere: at den britiske, geopolitiske verdensorden er beroende på konfrontation mellem USA og Rusland. Ultimatummet til præsident Donald Trump blev overleveret af cheferne for efterretningstjenesterne allerede under et møde den 6. januar, 2017, i Trump Tower; konfronter og angrib Rusland (og Kina), eller også vil vi skandalisere dig med dette britiske dossier og smide dig ud.

Dette er deres kriminelle, ja forræderiske handling, som nu bliver afsløret. Men verden lever imidlertid med konsekvenserne heraf, med den voksende trussel om en *virkelig* atomkrig, og vi vil leve med det, indtil, og med mindre, denne britisk-amerikanske sammensværgelse godt og grundigt bliver ødelagt.

Nogle kongresmedlemmer har nu krævet det næste skridt – en efterforskning for kriminelle handlinger og en potentiel retsforfølgelse af de efterretningsfolk, der handlede med den hensigt at udelukke og diskvalificere præsident Trump fra præsidentskabet, udelukkende på grund af hans politik over for Rusland. Formand for Husets Efterretningskomite, David Nunes, kaldte sammensværgelsen mod Trump for »noget, der finder sted i banarepublikker«.

Faktisk har der i længere tid ikke været nogen bananrepublikker i Sydamerika, kun republikker, der samarbejder med Kina og BRIKS, og som lykkes med at blive ledere inden for industri og videnskab. Med andre ord: at overtræde den britiske, geopolitiske verdensorden. Og præsidenterne og de tidligere præsidenter for disse republikker – Brasiliens Lula og Dilma Rousseff, Argentinas Fernández de Kirchner, Ecuadors Rafael Correa – har været udset som mål for skandale og afsættelse, præcis, som Trump skulle afsættes, og af de præcis samme teams i FBI og CIA,

under anførsel af Robert Mueller, James Comey et al.

For 30 år siden anførte Robert Mueller, som daværende vicestatsanklager i Boston, den retsforfølgelse, der havde til formål at fjerne Lyndon LaRouche som politisk leder. I 1984 udfordrede LaRouche den britiske, geopolitiske verdensorden som ingen anden. Han havde øvet indflydelse på præsident Ronald Reagan for at tilbyde amerikansk-russisk missilforsvarssamarbejde i det **Strategiske Forsvarsinitiativ (SDI)**, og han arbejdede for store infrastrukturprojekter og foreslog oprettelse af internationale udviklingsbanker for at sprede de revolutionerende SDI-militærteknologier til industrien i hele verden.

Mange republikanske ledere bekæmper nu det angreb mod præsident Trump, der havde sin oprindelse i britisk efterretning, mens de på samme tid selv arbejder for den britiske politik for skarp konfrontation med Rusland og Kina! Som chef for den kendte München Sikkerhedskonference (Wolfgang Ischinger) netop har bemærket, så forhindrer Kongressen præsident Trump i at gennemføre presserende tiltrængte forbedringer i de amerikansk-russiske relationer.

Det amerikanske folk, og lederne af dets valgkredse, må intervenere for at redde nationen, i de kommende midtvejsvalg 2018.

Vi har brug for en koordineret indsats for at genindføre Glass/Steagall-bankopdeling i hele USA og Europa, før City of London og Wall Street bringer vore økonomier ind i endnu et, denne gang langt værre, krak.

Vi har brug for internationale aftaler om på verdensplan at bygge den mest afgørende infrastruktur, med en accept af Kinas lederskab i dets Bælte & Vej Initiativ. Amerika selv har et enormt underskud af presserende nødvendig, ny økonomisk infrastruktur og må skabe en national kreditinstitution, der kan medvirke i dette; et nyt Reconstruction Finance

Corporation i Roosevelts tradition, eller en nationalbank i Hamiltons tradition.

Og vi kunne få en international aftale om at udvikle forsvar med ny teknologi, der er effektiv i elimineringen af atommissiler. Det er for nyligt blevet bekræftet («The British Sabotaged the Second Try for an SDI with Russia»[1]), at Rusland i 25 år har været parat til at genoptage Reagans SDI sammen med USA for at udvikle reelle anti-missilforsvar og nye spin-off teknologier – og at Storbritannien kraftigt er gået imod dette.

Måden at intervenere på er at tilslutte sig LaRouche Political Action Committees mobilisering for LaRouches »Fire Økonomiske Love« i forbindelse med det kommende midtvejsvalg, 2018.

Foto: Præsident Donald J. Trump i Det Hvide Hus, 22. jan., 2018. (Official White House Photo by Joyce N. Boghosian)

[1] *EIR Daily Alert*, Jan. 23, 2018

BOMBE: »Memoet« opklarede et lille skridt i sammensværgelsen; Gå efter det hele, på vegne af menneskeheden

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 2. feb., 2018 – Den lærde elite og det Demokratiske Parti er gået fuldstændig amok i ugens løb over offentliggørelsen af det fire sider lange Memo, som er

udarbejdet af Husets Efterretningskomite, efter at det blev afklassificeret af præsident Trump.

De fortæller os: Lad være med overhovedet at kigge på det eller tænke på det, for det kunne hjælpe Trump. John McCain gik så vidt som til at sige, at hele Memoet var djævelens værk, fra den russiske præsident Vladimir Putin. Med hensyn til det, der plejede at hedde det »Demokratiske« parti, bliver vi nu, med overraskelse, vidne til, at de hylder og hylder vores overvågnings-politistat, vores ureglementerede forvaltning af retsvæsenet og deciderede bedrageri omkring FISA-domstolen, som om det alt sammen var lige så amerikansk som æbletærte. Se ikke på den grimme mand bag forhænet, folkens, bare lad som om, og se vores trylleforestilling.

De hyler som de stukne grise, de er.

Her er, hvad Memoet siger, inklusive vore indledningsvise kommentarer:

FBI og Justitsministeriet fik den oprindelige FISA-kendelse til at overvåge Trump-volontøren Carter Page og herefter tre fornyelser på hver 90 dage af denne bemyndigelse til at udføre overvågning. Tidligere FBI-direktør James Comey underskrev tre FISA-ansøgninger, og den nu fyrede FBI-vice direktør Andrew McCabe underskrev én. Vicestatsanklager Sally Yates, berygtet for sammen med McCabe at have sat en fælde for Michael Flynn, og derudover for, at hele Justitsministeriet afviste at anerkende præsident Trumps immigrationsordrer; fungerende vicestatsanklager Dana Boente og vicestatsanklager Rod Rosenstein, underskrev hver én eller flere FISA-ansøgninger. Tidligere FBI-vice direktør Andrew McCabe sagde, at der ikke ville være blevet ansøgt om nogen overvågningskendelse af Page, uden Christopher Steele-dossieret.

De gjorde dette uden en eneste gang at fortælle FISA (Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court), at dossieret, der var sammensat af den britiske efterretningsagent Steele, var en

afgørende del af FISA-ansøgningen, og at Steele-dossieret, et rent produkt af britisk efterretning, var blevet betalt for af præsident Trumps politiske modstander, Hillary Clinton og hendes DNC, på trods af den kendsgerning, at FBI og Justitsministeriet vidste dette. FBI havde ligeledes aftalt at betale Steele for den samme information.

FISA-ansøgningen, som første gang blev indgivet i oktober, 2016, nævnte en *Yahoo* nyhedsartikel fra 23. september, 2016, af Michael Isikoff, som en bekræftelse af anklagerne i Steele-memoet, når sandheden i virkeligheden var den, at *Yahoo* nyhedsartiklen var et resultat af et læk fra Steele til Michael Isikoff.

I september 2016 sagde Steele til tidligere topembedsmand i Justitsministeriet, Bruce Ohr, under uautoriserede møder, at han **»var desperat over, at Donald Trump ikke blev valgt og havde ekstremt stærke følelser imod, at han blev præsident«**. Ohrs hustru arbejdede for Fusion GPS, det Demokratiske Partis mellemmand til britisk efterretning, som var Steeles arbejdsgiver. Dette skete, før den første FISA-ansøgning mod Page blev indgivet. Steele blev suspenderet og dernæst afsluttet som en FBI-informant, fordi han afslørede sit forhold til FBI i en artikel i *Mother Jones* den 30. okt., 2016, forfattet af David Corn. Denne kendsgerning blev tilsyneladende aldrig bekendtgjort over for FISC (Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court).

Efter Steeles afslutning, vurderede FBI selv, at hans påstande kun minimalt kunne bekræftes, og FBI-direktør Comey aflagde efterfølgende vidneforklaring om, at Steeles påstande var **»slibrige og ubekræftede«**. Alt dette blev heller aldrig fortalt til FISC. På trods heraf briefede Obamas efterretningschefer Trump om Steele-dossieret den 17. januar, 2017. Denne hændelse, der kun kort beskrives i memoet, er det, James Comey senere i sin forklaring for Senatet kaldte sit **»J. Edgar Hoover-øjeblik«**. Det blev arrangeret, at hele det slibrige og afskyelige, britiske efterretnings-bras senere,

efter dette møde, blev lækket til de nationale nyhedsmedier og hilste Donald Trumps præsidentskab velkomment med den påstand, at han var en Putins 'manchurisk kandidat', der væltede sig i russiske prostituerede på den selvsamme seng, som Obama-parret havde sovet i.

Ifølge MEMOET, løj Steele over for FBI om sine mediekontakter på vegne af Clinton-kampagnen og DNC. Dette er efter al sandsynlighed grunden til, at senatorerne Chuck Grassley og Lindsay Graham henviste Christopher Steele til FBI til efterforskning for kriminelle handlinger.

Vi har altså et klart misbrug af FISA, et bedrageri over for denne domstol. Håndgribelige fakta om overvågning af en amerikansk, politisk kampagne og en amerikansk borger, og som blev sat i værk af denne kampagnes politiske modstander og deres allierede i Obama-administrationen, blev holdt skjult for domstolen af FBI og Justitsministeriet.

Men, der er meget, meget mere her. Steeles beskidte værk blev brugt af Clinton-kampagnen og Obama-administrationen, i aftalt spil med medierne, i et forsøg på at besejre Donald Trumps forsøg på at vinde præsidentskabet. De efterfølgende Russiagate- og Mueller-efterforskninger er blevet brugt til at forsøge at ødelægge Trumps præsidentskab. Hele Russiagate-myten, forkyndt af Obama og briterne, har ødelagt de amerikansk-russiske relationer og anbragt hele verden i fare.

Vi udgav hele historien, og hele baggrunden for, at dette skete, for flere måneder siden. Læs dossieret, »**Robert Mueller er en umoralsk, juridisk lejemorder: Han vil gøre sit job, hvis I giver ham lov**«.

Det hele, det hele må efterforskes og retsforfølges. Vi vil have mere at fortælle i løbet af de kommende 72 timer.

(Hør også: **LaRouche PAC Friday Webcast, 2. feb., 2018**, med en særdeles grundig gennemgang af det nu afklassificerede Memo.)

Breaking: Hemmeligt Nunes-Memo offentliggjort! Sandheden om Russiagate. LaRouche PAC Internationale Webcast, 2. feb., 2018.

Vært Matthew Ogden: Jeg vil starte med at annoncere de seneste nyheder: Nunes-memoet, det fire sider lange memo, der blev udarbejdet af formand for Husets Efterretningskomite David Nunes, er nu officielt blevet afklassificeret af præsident Trump og er blevet frigivet til den amerikanske offentlighed. På trods af det Demokratiske lederskabs, efterretningssamfundets, selve FBI's og endda britisk efterretnings trusler, bagvaskelser og intimidering, har præsident Trump og det Republikanske lederskab på Capitol Hill besluttet at afklassificere dette memorandum, og *det er en bombe!*

Det afslører præcis det, som LaRouche PAC har dokumenteret lige fra begyndelsen af dette: Det såkaldte slibrige og ubekræftede, britiske efterretningsprodukt, kendt som Steele-dossieret, blev brugt som såkaldt »bevis« for at indhente en FISA-kendelse til at udspionere ledende medlemmer af Trumps kampagne. Det, som dette memo fortæller, er, at det nu er

officielt, at Christopher Steele selv sagde til seniorembedsmand i Justitsministeriet, Bruce Ohr, at han var »desperat for, at Donald Trump ikke blev valgt og havde meget stærke følelser imod, at han blev præsident«. Det er Christopher Steeles egne ord, og dette sagde han til Bruce Ohr, før den første FISA-ansøgning overhovedet blev indgivet.

Og selv om det var kendt, at dette såkaldte dossier var et helt klart partisk stykke researcharbejde for oppositionen, som blev finansieret af Hillary Clintons præsidentkampagne, imod hendes behørigt nominerede modstander i valget, Donald Trump, så blev denne anmodning om overvågning godkendt af FBI-direktør James Comey, og ligeledes af Andrew McCabe [daværende FBI-viceleder].

Dette memo vil helt bestemt markere et vendepunkt i hele denne operation og hele dette kupforsøg mod vores præsident og vil højst sandsynligt gå over i historien som et af de mest skamfulde kapitler i vores republiks historie. Hele Russiagate-operationen er et skamfuldt eksempel på det mest grove magtmisbrug fra FBI's side, der fuldt ud lever op til den berygtede arv efter J. Edgar Hoover, i et forsøg på at bruge dette lands efterretningstjenester, i aftalt spil med britisk efterretning, til at underminere og vælte en ledende, politisk person og efterfølgende, USA's behørigt valgte præsident.

Som indledning til denne udsendelse vil jeg gerne dele med jer, den fulde tekst af dette memo, der netop er blevet afklassificeret. Som I ser i toppen, »Afklassificeret«, og det blev afklassificeret af præsidenten, efter ordre, den 2. feb., 2018. Vi læser teksten [LPAC's redaktørs bemærkninger: Følgende tekst er taget fra Husets Permanente Efterretnings-Udvalgskomitees pdf-dokument. I pdf-dokumentet vises den originale understregning (her med enkeltkrøllede parenteser) og fed skrift (dobbeltkrøllede parenteser); kursivering (ligeledes her vist med enkeltkrøllede parenteser) bruges udelukkende til publikationer.

https://intelligence.house.gov/uploadedfiles/memo_and_white_house_letter.pdf

(Her følger engelsk udskrift af resten af webcastet):

{{Purpose}}

This memorandum provides Members an update on significant facts relating to the Committee's ongoing investigation into the Department of Justice (DOJ) and Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) and their use of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA) during the 2016 presidential election cycle. Our findings, which are detailed below, 1) raise concerns with the legitimacy and legality of certain DOJ and FBI interactions with the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court (FISC), and 2) represent a troubling breakdown of legal processes established to protect the American people from abuses related to the FISA process.

{{Investigation Update}}

On October 21, 2016, DOJ and FBI sought and received a FISA probable cause order (not under Title VII) authorizing electronic surveillance on Carter Page from the FISC. Page is a U.S. citizen who served as a volunteer advisor to the Trump presidential campaign. Consistent with requirements under FISA, the application had to be first certified by the Director or Deputy Director of the FBI. It then required the approval of the Attorney General, Deputy Attorney General (DAG), or the Senate-confirmed Assistant Attorney General for the National Security Division.

The FBI and DOJ obtained one initial FISA warrant targeting Carter Page and three FISA renewals from the FISC. As required by statute (50 U.S.C. §1805(d)(1)), a FISA order on an American citizen must be renewed by the FISC every 90 days and each renewal requires a separate finding of probable cause. Then-Director James Comey signed three FISA applications in question on behalf of the FBI, and Deputy Director Andrew McCabe signed one. Then-DAG Sally Yates, then-Acting DAG Dana Boente, and DAG Rod Rosenstein each signed one or more FISA applications on behalf of DOJ.

Due to the sensitive nature of foreign intelligence activity, FISA submissions (including renewals) before the FISC are classified. As such, the public's confidence in the integrity of the FISA process depends on the court's ability to hold the government to the highest standard— particularly as it relates to surveillance of American citizens. However, the FISC's rigor in protecting the rights of Americans, which is reinforced by 90-day renewals of surveillance orders, is necessarily dependent on the government's production to the court of all material and relevant facts. This should include information potentially favorable to the target of the FISA application that is known by the government. In the case of Carter Page, the government had at least four independent opportunities before the FISC to accurately provide an accounting of the relevant facts. However, our findings indicate that, as described below, material and relevant information was omitted.

1) The “dossier” compiled by Christopher Steele (Steele dossier) on behalf of the Democratic National Committee (DNC) and the Hillary Clinton campaign formed an essential part of the Carter Page FISA application. Steele was a longtime FBI source who was paid over \$160,000 by the DNC and Clinton campaign, via the law firm Perkins Coie and research firm Fusion GPS, to obtain derogatory information on Donald Trump’s ties to Russia.

a) Neither the initial application in October 2016, nor any of the renewals, disclose or reference the role of the DNC, Clinton campaign, or any party/campaign in funding Steele’s efforts, even though the political origins of the Steele dossier were then known to senior DOJ and FBI officials.

b) The initial FISA application notes Steele was working for a named U.S. person, but does not name Fusion GPS and principal Glenn Simpson, who was paid by a U.S. law firm (Perkins Coie) representing the DNC (even though it was known by DOJ at the time that political actors were involved with the Steele dossier). The application does not mention Steele was ultimately working on behalf of—and paid by—the DNC and Clinton campaign, or that the FBI had separately authorized payment to Steele for the same information.

2) The Carter Page FISA application also cited extensively a September 23, 2016, {Yahoo News} article by Michael Isikoff, which focuses on Page’s July 2016 trip to Moscow. {This article does not corroborate the Steele dossier because it is derived from information leaked by Steele himself to Yahoo News.} The Page FISA application incorrectly assesses that Steele did not directly provide information to {Yahoo News}. Steele has

admitted

in British court filings that he met with {Yahoo News}—and several other outlets—in September 2016 at the direction of Fusion GPS. Perkins Coie was aware of Steele’s initial media contacts because they hosted at least one meeting in Washington

D.C. in 2016 with Steele and Fusion GPS where this matter was discussed.

a) Steele was suspended and then terminated as an FBI source for what the FBI defines as the most serious of violations—an unauthorized disclosure to the media of his relationship with the

FBI in an October 30, 2016, {Mother Jones} article by David Corn.

Steele should have been terminated for his previous undisclosed

contacts with

Yahoo and other outlets {{in September}}—before the Page application was submitted to the FISC in October—but Steele improperly concealed from and lied to the FBI about those contacts.

b) Steele’s numerous encounters with the media violated the cardinal rule of source handling—maintaining confidentiality—and demonstrated that Steele had become a less than reliable source for the FBI.

3) Before and after Steele was terminated as a source, he maintained contact with DOJ via then-Associate Deputy Attorney General Bruce Ohr, a senior DOJ official who worked closely with

Deputy Attorneys General Yates and later Rosenstein. Shortly after the election, the FBI began interviewing Ohr, documenting

his communications with Steele. For example, in September 2016,

Steele admitted to Ohr his feelings against then-candidate Trump

when Steele said he {"was desperate that Donald Trump not get elected and was passionate about him not being president."}}

This

clear evidence of Steele's bias was recorded by Ohr at the time

and subsequently in official FBI files—but not reflected in any

of the Page FISA applications.

a) During this same time period, Ohr's wife was employed by Fusion GPS to assist in the cultivation of opposition research on

Trump. Ohr later provided the FBI with all of his wife's opposition research, paid for by the DNC and Clinton campaign via

Fusion GPS. The Ohrs' relationship with Steele and Fusion GPS was

inexplicably concealed from the FISC.

4) According to the head of the FBI's counterintelligence division, Assistant Director Bill Priestap, corroboration of the

Steele dossier was in its "infancy" at the time of the initial Page FISA application. After Steele was terminated, a source validation report conducted by an independent unit within FBI assessed Steele's reporting as only minimally corroborated. Yet,

in early January 2017, Director Comey briefed President-elect Trump on a summary of the Steele dossier, even though it was—according to his June 2017 testimony—"salacious and unverified." While the FISA application relied on Steele's past

record of credible reporting on other unrelated matters, it ignored or concealed his anti-Trump financial and ideological motivations. Furthermore, Deputy Director McCabe testified before

the Committee in December 2017 that no surveillance warrant would

have been sought from the FISC without the Steele dossier information.

5) The Page FISA application also mentions information regarding fellow Trump campaign advisor George Papadopoulos, but there is no evidence of any cooperation or conspiracy between Page and Papadopoulos. The Papadopoulos information triggered the opening of an FBI counterintelligence investigation in late July 2016 by FBI agent Pete Strzok. Strzok was reassigned by the Special Counsel's Office to FBI Human Resources for improper text messages with his mistress, FBI Attorney Lisa Page (no known relation to Carter Page), where they both demonstrated a clear bias against Trump and in favor of Clinton, whom Strzok had also investigated. The Strzok/Lisa Page texts also reflect extensive discussions about the investigation, orchestrating leaks to the media, and include a meeting with Deputy Director McCabe to discuss an "insurance" policy against President Trump's election.
[end memo]

So this is a bombshell. And what it absolutely makes clear is that these Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act warrants against leading members of the President Trump campaign {never} would have been requested or obtained without the so-called evidence presented in the Steele dossier. And this Steele dossier is completely discredited, and as it made clear, in Steele's own words, he was "desperate that Donald Trump not get elected and was passionate about him not being president."

Now, exactly as Congressman Matt Gaetz two weeks ago, when he first read this memo, when it was still classified, he said,

“the facts contained in this memo are jaw dropping.... There is no higher priority than the release of this information to preserve our democracy.... I think that this will not end just with firings. I believe there are people who will go to jail....

The entire Mueller investigation is a lie built on a foundation

of corruption.... This will vindicate claims by many of us: It is a real attempt to undermine the President, from the scariest of places.”

President Trump was speaking to the media earlier today, and right before the official release of this memo, the decision to

release this memo by the Republican leadership in the House, President Trump had the following to say. This is what President

Trump’s own words were, in anticipation of the probable release

of this memo. He said the following: “I think it’s terrible. You want to know the truth? I think it’s a disgrace. What’s going on in this country, I think it’s a {disgrace}. The memo was sent to Congress, it was declassified. Congress will do whatever they’re going to do. But I think it’s a disgrace what’s

happening in our country. And when you look at that, and you see

that, and some of the other things what’s going on, a lot of people should be ashamed of themselves, and much worse than that.

So, I sent it over to Congress, and they will do what they’re going to do. Whatever they do is fine. It was declassified, and

let’s see what happens. But, a lot of people should be

ashamed.”

So those were President Trump’s words.

Now, LaRouche PAC has issued a statement, which is now posted on the LaRouche PAC website. This statement contains a short summary of what is contained in the Nunes memo, and then it

has a short analysis and some commentary, and it promises to be

swiftly followed up by more marching orders in the coming 72 hours.

But this is what LaRouche PAC has to say: “...We have a clear abuse of FISA, a fraud on that court. Material facts about

surveillance of an American political campaign, and an American

citizen, set into motion by the campaign’s political opponent and

their allies in the Obama administration were concealed from the

court by the FBI and the Department of Justice.

“But there is much, much more here. Steele’s dirty work was used by the Clinton campaign and the Obama Administration, in collusion with the media, in an attempt to defeat Donald Trump’s

bid for the Presidency. The subsequent Russiagate and Mueller investigations have been used in an attempt to destroy the Trump

Presidency. The entire Russiagate myth, promulgated by Obama and

the British, have destroyed U.S. Russian relations and endangered

the entire world.

“We published the full story and the reasons why this is occurring months back. It is not what you think. Read the dossier, sign the petition, all of it, {all of it}, needs to be

investigated and prosecuted.”

Now, this is the dossier [LPAC.co/ytdos] which LaRouche PAC released months ago. This is the full documentation on not only

Robert Mueller's background in prosecuting Lyndon LaRouche, in covering up the crimes of 9/11, and now, in being a central player in this attempted coup against the President of the United

States; but it was also ahead of the curve in absolutely documenting exactly what this Nunes memo is discussing.

Let me give you one short example: This is a quote from inside the Mueller dossier. It says the following: "Hillary Clinton used the Steele Dossier to paint Trump as a Russian dupe

throughout her general election campaign against him. James Comey used it to justify his FBI counterintelligence probe of the

Trump campaign which began in July of 2016, and has continued.

"Thus, we have the British government ... {intervening in an election in the United States to sway the result.} Most certainly this raises questions about the applicability of election laws which bar foreign funding for exactly the reason that the United States elections should be decided by United States citizens. Most certainly, once this sequence of events is

fully investigated, it will become clear that all government participants intended to sway the election unlawfully, using the

powers of a state to vanquish the will of the voters."

And that gets directly at the core of the matter. And we didn't need the Nunes memo to know that that what this was all about all along.

Now, what this dossier also documented – this is now, I'm talking about the LaRouche PAC dossier on the Mueller operation

– what this documented is that motivation is not what you think

it is. The motivation has got to be contextualized within an

understanding of what is this moment in history in which we find ourselves? And this entire Russiagate coup from the very beginning was intended to prevent the kind of collaborative relationship that President Trump was clearly inclined towards in his Presidential election campaign, to say we have to end this World War III, thermonuclear game of chicken that the Bush administration and the Obama administration have been playing with the Russians, which has brought us to the very threshold of the kind of nuclear war that the citizens of Hawai'i were frightened about during that so-called false alarm a few weeks ago. This is a very, {very} real danger! And the American people knew that to be true, and they associated Hillary Clinton with nothing less, than the further escalation of Obama's World War III policy against Russia. This is the consequence of British Imperial geopolitics: Divide and conquer. President Trump made clear that he was willing to reach out a hand of collaboration and cooperation with Russia, and also, as has subsequently been clear, with China, and to say, "we're going to turn the geopolitical chessboard over, and we are going to establish a new great-powers relationship with these leading powers, in order to address the common problems that mankind must resolve." And that was President Trump's clear, clear inclination. So why was the Russiagate thing cooked up from the very beginning? Well, it was to block President Trump from establishing that kind of collaborative relationship with Russia and with China, and instead, to ensure the continuation of the

Obama/Hillary Clinton policy of confrontation and potential thermonuclear war.

{So that's what's at stake here.} And the reason that the timing is so critical, is because a New Paradigm of economics and

strategic partnerships is emerging on this planet as we speak. It's rapidly escalating and it's a tide that very well could not

be turned back short of that kind of thermonuclear war. What form that New Paradigm is taking is the Belt and Road Initiative,

China's initiative to create a new win-win economic, political,

and strategic partnership among the nations of the world for peace through massive economic development. The Belt and Road has already come to Central Asia, the Belt and Road has already

come to Africa, the Belt and Road has already come to Eastern Europe. And the Belt and Road, as we speak, is in the process of

coming to the Western Hemisphere with Latin America and Caribbean

fully on board. The question is, will the United States join? That was the question which provoked this Russia-gate coup attempt against President Trump from the very beginning.

That,

and because we must defend the very fundamental facets of our Constitutional republic, of our Constitutional democracy; that is

why we must defeat this coup. Whether you consider yourself a Republican, whether you consider yourself a Democrat, an independent, whether you even personally support President Trump

or like him; you must defeat this clear and blatant coup against

the Constitution and the Presidency of the United States.

Now, what I'd like to do for you is to point out the irony

that the Democratic Party which, going back to the dirty trick operations against the Kennedys, against Martin Luther King, by

J. Edgar Hoover, the Democratic Party led the charge on investigating this FBI operation. The Democratic Party has now

sold themselves out completely to be the party of Russia-gate

–
the new McCarthyism. There's a very ironic statement by attorney

Alan Dershowitz; he went on TV just yesterday, pointing out exactly this irony. And I'd like to just read you some of what

Dershowitz had to say, pointing out that the Democratic Party has

now become the cheering section for the FBI. He says:

"I'm just old enough to remember when liberals and major media organizations believed America's national security apparatus had to be closely monitored to protect our civil liberties.

"The liberals and journalists brought to light the horrific abuses of power that J. Edgar Hoover's FBI and the National Security Agency undertook in the 1960s against Martin Luther King

and others....

"But all of that was forgotten this week, as Washington liberals rushed to the microphones to demand that the Nunes memo

from Representative Devin Nunes, Republican from California, be

kept from the American people. Their sympathetic friends in the

media were quick to give their complaints blanket and largely unskeptical coverage.

"The four-page Nunes document is a House Intelligence Committee summary of Justice Department and FBI files that points

to serious abuses of power involving the surveillance of US citizens in the run-up to the 2016 election... But to leading Democrats, the possible discussion of intelligence agency abuses

is akin to Armageddon.

“Representative Adam Schiff, Democrat from California, the ranking Democrat on Nunes’ Intelligence Committee, has read the

Nunes memo, but curiously dismisses it by saying ... the memo is ‘meant only to give Republican House members a distorted view of

the FBI.’ Far from resembling the crusading liberals of the 1960s and ’70s, who probed the FBI; Schiff has taken on the role

of the Bureau’s lapdog.

“... All of this presents a rich stew of questions that you might expect Washington to be curious about. Instead, you have a

frenzy of denunciation of people who want transparency in government and a lack of curiosity about the activities of our most powerful intelligence agencies – entities that have been guilty in the past of clear abuses of power.

“If Americans are to have faith in their government, it must have people who watch the watchers in our intelligence agencies.

So far this week, we are seeing an awful lot of people blindly defend the bureaucracy rather than fulfill their duty to question authority.”

Again, that was Alan Dershowitz.

So, the Democratic Party has completely bankrupted itself.

This is moment in which the entire LaRouche PAC program – the Four Laws, the economic recovery program that we have documented

and we have put out, our campaign to win the future, and the campaign to bring the United States into the New Paradigm of development in the form of the New Silk Road – this has got to

replace this morally and intellectually bankrupt behavior by the Democratic Party; and this must become the policy for all American citizens who are interested in saving this country. Now, Helga Zepp-LaRouche delivered a very significant webcast yesterday – the founder and President of the Schiller Institute. During that webcast, she was asked by the moderator what we should expect from the release of the Nunes memo. Of course, this was before it was clear that the memo would be released; although we were all highly anticipating the release of this classified document. But, I would like to play for you a short excerpt of what Helga LaRouche had to say. I think she very clearly situates this within the broader context which must be understood.

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE

: Oh, I think this is reaching very interesting dimensions. As a matter of fact, on the way out of Congress, Trump was asked if he would release this Nunes memo, and he said “100%.” And then also the White House Chief of Staff John Kelly was interviewed, and he said the White House would release this memo “pretty quick,” because the American people should make up their minds on their own, what their judgment is. And that is very good. So there, again, you have a complete freak-out, for example, the German media, which were absolutely not reporting about this whole controversy, or {if} they would only report about it from

the standpoint of Russia-gate and soon Trump will be gotten out of office. Now they have to sort of cover their behind, in reporting about it, but they're still on the line of the FBI-leaning version, but they do have to report it.

What happened this week was naturally dramatic: You have the decision of the House Select Committee on Intelligence to release the memo. Then you had the firing of [FBI Deputy Director Andrew] McCabe; that is very good. Then you have the ongoing operation by Senator Grassley and Senator Lindsey Graham, who, on the one side are insisting on a criminal investigation against Christopher Steele; but they also sent letters to all the leading Democrats, Podesta, the DNC, the various other Democratic officials, asking them detailed questions: What did they know about the Steele dossier? When did they know about Hillary? Many, many questions.

Then, McCabe is also under a new investigation, because it seems that he delayed the whole Hillary investigation concerning her emails by three weeks, trying to push it behind the November election.

So I think there is a lot of fury: You have people warning that the outcome of this will decide the fate of the United States – for example, Paul Craig Roberts, who after all was in the Reagan administration, he had a very stern warning saying the stakes are extreme; if the coup plotters would get away with their actions, then the United States would turn into a full police-state, where the intelligence services would create a dictatorship and there would be no more accountability of the government. So this is clearly one side.

And on the other side, naturally, there is expected hope that if this memo, which is due to come out, at the latest

tomorrow, because the rules are such that it has to be five days after the vote in Congress, and that can really be an earthquake. Because if what seems to be in this memo becomes public, I think it will change not only the situation in the United States, but also it will have an earthquake effect internationally. [end video]

OGDEN: So, we can expect that earthquake to occur internationally. This is a huge development. The point is, that this must be situated within this fight, this ongoing battle for the soul of the US Presidency. We can see even at this moment that there are still opposite tendencies at work at the highest levels of this administration; people who are working against the inclination that this President has for the kind of great powers relationship and a new paradigm of international partnerships. We saw a very inflammatory speech by Rex Tillerson just yesterday. We've seen the release of the National Security Strategy, which accuses China and Russia of being authoritarian dictatorships which are attempting to reorder the entire international order. And so forth and so on. But we also see that President Trump continues to reach out to Russia. He did not impose these sanctions against the Russian officials, even though it was sent to him by Congress, and he continues to reach out to President Xi Jinping. And he continues to emphasize that a good relationship between the United

States

and these two countries is a very good thing, and not a bad thing. That's the point, though; that he is being backed into a

corner. He continues to have the highest levels of his own administration working against him, and this political earthquake

which we can expect coming out of the declassification of this memo which makes undeniably clear that this was a political operation through the use of the intelligence agencies of this country in pure J. Edgar Hoover style through and through. It was nothing more than that, and continues to be nothing more than that.

President Trump, obviously as we know, delivered the State of the Union address this Tuesday, just a few days ago.

Whereas

the LaRouche Political Action Committee has been in an intense campaign to put on the table LaRouche's Four Economic Laws and the necessity for the United States to join the Silk Road, that

campaign has not lessened one bit in the aftermath of the State

of the Union; but has, in fact, intensified. The persisting questions will continue to be on the table. If President Trump

wants \$1.5 trillion in infrastructure investment, where is that

going to come from? How are you going to do that? Should you use a Hamiltonian national banking approach, as opposed to this

other concoction which has been put together by Steve Mnuchin and

others? Also, as the trans-Atlantic financial system continues

to be perched on the verge of a meltdown, how will President Trump respond to that impending threat? God forbid, if the

entire came down as it did in 2008, if not worse. We must preempt that threat with a Glass-Steagall reorganization of this entire financial system, as has been called for by LaRouche for years. The threats are very clear; we're in a Catch-22. We're on the verge of either a hyperinflationary blow-out, or a total bottom dropping out of the entire trans-Atlantic system. So, in response to President Trump's State of the Union speech, Helga Zepp-LaRouche, in that same webcast yesterday, had a little bit of analysis. I'd like to share that with you.

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE

: Obviously, he did not say what he should have said, namely to go with the Four Laws of Lyndon LaRouche, Glass-Steagall, and a new credit system in the tradition of Alexander Hamilton. Now, we don't give up hope that that may still come, because, after all, if you remember, when my husband in 1983 had campaigned for what became the Strategic Defense Initiative, this was not mentioned by President Reagan in the State of the Union address; but then, on the 23rd of March, Reagan publicly announced the Strategic Defense Initiative. So therefore, we can absolutely hope that President Trump eventually, when he has to come to the question of financing the infrastructure he announced, he will come back to his promise from the election campaign to implement Glass-Steagall. Otherwise, the speech was not bad. I think it's quite

significant that, according to CBS, in a poll, 75% of the people who saw the speech were in great support for Trump. So I think that domestically, he definitely touched on a sense of optimism, even so there are still many problems, obviously, with the financial system which he did not address. But I think it's on a good course.

I think the strongest indicator that he is doing something good is the freak-out by the Democrats, and while he appealed to a bipartisan cooperation on the immigrant issue, on infrastructure, the Democrats who basically were sitting there, demonstrating not-applauding, and in a certain sense being quite the war-party. I think that has become crystal clear, because in the context of the State of the Union, actually one day before, was the deadline for the implementation of the sanctions which the Congress had voted on half a year earlier; and nothing happened. The Trump administration did not implement sanctions against Russia and there was a complete freak-out by such media as the {New York Times} or think tanks like the Atlantic Council which basically accused Trump of completely going against what the Congress had mandated. But the simple answer of the Trump administration on the sanctions against Russia was that it was not necessary.

Now, that's very good. I think that in spite of the fact that Trump in terms of the foreign policy aspect of his State of

the Union address where he called Russia and China "rivals," rather than partners or something more positive, to which the Chinese reacted quite strongly. They said that this was alarming and provocative. But then, the Foreign Ministry spokeswoman said that the United States and China should work together instead, for a happier future of all of mankind. So that response was on the one side, expressing displeasure, but on the other side, keep reaching out for the kind of cooperation which already was demonstrated between Xi Jinping and Trump. The Russians even responded less harsh, because they, in a commentary said the speech by Trump was much milder those of all of his predecessors, referring obviously to Obama and Bush. So I think this is not the end of the world. It's not what it should be, but I think in the context of what is happening in the United States, one can also not expect, given the neo-con mobilization, given the really ridiculous behavior of the Democrats, I think he did pretty well. [end video]

OGDEN: So, that's Helga LaRouche's analysis of President Trump's State of the Union. Clearly, what this demands of us is an escalation on the front of the campaign that we've been waging. This is contained in the Campaign to Win the Future statement which is now being circulated across the country for endorsement; and in fact, has already received an endorsement from some members of state legislatures, including a Democrat member of the state legislature from Michigan. This is really on the verge of totally breaking through. This really goes to the core of what President Trump, I think, stands for in the eyes

of those who elected him; especially those electoral victories which were decisive in the so-called "Rust Belt" states – in Pennsylvania, in Michigan, in Wisconsin. He flipped those three states in an unprecedented Election Night turnaround. That's what secured him the victory.

During his campaign when he went to the Midwest, when he went back after his victory, continually President Trump has continued to stand by this idea that we are a nation of builders,

and we must revive and live up to that great legacy with great projects for our own generation. That was one thing that he mentioned in the State of the Union speech, but he elaborated it

last night in a speech at the Greenbrier in West Virginia for the

Republican Party retreat. This is the very conclusion of that speech by Donald Trump:

PRESIDENT DONALD TRUMP

: We're proud of our history, we're confident in our values, and we're grateful to our heroes,

and we are determined to create a brighter future for all of our

people. We are restoring the bonds of love and loyalty that unite us all, as friends, as neighbors, as citizens, as Americans. Because when Americans are united, nothing – nothing

at all – nothing can stop us. We win. (Applause.)

As I said the other night, we are a nation that built the Empire State Building in one year. Actually, to be exact, it was

– we built it in less than a year. Would you believe it?

Working 24 hours around the clock.

We built the Hoover Dam in record time. We built the Golden Gate Bridge. We linked our nation together with railroads and highways. We dug out the Panama Canal. We're the nation that won two World Wars, defeated fascism and communism, and put satellites into space and planted our great American flag on the

face of the moon. We've healed the sick, cured disease, and cared for the poor like no other nation. We've lifted millions

into prosperity, and delivered millions into freedom.

This is our legacy. This is our birthright. And this is the foundation on which we build our very glorious future.

Because together, we are, indeed, making America great again.

Thank you, and God bless you all. Thank you very much.

(Applause.) Thank you. [end video]

OGDEN: Now, that's exactly the spirit which I think has energized the American people, and which we must continue to inform with the specific policies to honor that birthright as a

nation of builders, as a nation which has accomplished unprecedented things, and which we must continue to do. The great projects are there for the taking, and if we look at what

China is now doing, China has become a nation of builders as well; not only domestically, but abroad. The building of the One

Belt, One Road Initiative, this New Silk Road – both the land Silk Road and the new Maritime Silk Road which is spanning the globe – this is what the United States must decisively join.

It

has come to the Western Hemisphere to the southern nations; and

now it is time for the United States to join that collaboration

and to reach out a hand of partnership between the United

States

and China, and to renew our partnership between the United States

and Russia.

One thing that you just heard President Trump say is that we were the nation that won two world wars and defeated fascism. Well, today happens to be the 75th anniversary of the victory at

the legendary battle of Stalingrad. This was a horrific, six-month siege. The casualties are absolutely staggering; over

1 million casualties reported on the Russian side alone. Half a

million Russians died during the battle of Stalingrad; but this

was a great victory, led by the legendary Marshal Zhukov. It was

a decisive defeat of the Nazi army, which led to the following two years of the war, which finally, in collaboration between

— there you see on the screen — President Roosevelt and Stalin of

the Soviet Union. Fascism, Nazism was defeated.

To conclude our show, this is a quote from President Roosevelt's letter of congratulations to Josef Stalin on the victory at Stalingrad, 75 years ago today. I would encourage us

to take this as the paradigm of what we must revive in terms of

that kind of great powers relationship. If we come together as

great nations on this Earth against mankind's common enemies and

for the common aims of mankind, we can accomplish great things.

So, here's what President Roosevelt had to say; this is addressed

to Josef Stalin.

"As commander in chief of the Armed Forces of the United States of America, I congratulate you on the brilliant victory at

Stalingrad of the armies under your supreme command. The 162 days

of epic battle for the city which has forever honored your name

and the decisive result which all Americans are celebrating today

will remain one of the proudest chapters in this war of the peoples united against Nazism and its emulators.

"The commanders and fighters of your armies at the front and the men and women who have supported them in factory and field have combined not only to cover with glory their country's arms,

but to inspire by their example fresh determination among all the

United Nations to bend every energy to bring about the final defeat and unconditional surrender of the common enemy."

"[signed] Franklin D Roosevelt"

And as we know, it was Franklin Roosevelt's vision, as documented in the book {As He Saw It} by his son, Elliott Roosevelt, that in the aftermath of World War II, in the aftermath of the defeat of fascism, we would work together with

those allies that we had during the war, including Russia and China and India, to bring about a new era of development for the

planet. To bring what Franklin Roosevelt demonstrated in the form of the New Deal with the TVA and the great projects that were built here in the United States, lifting hundreds of thousands of Americans out of poverty at that time, to bring those New Deal policies to the globe in collaboration with the allies who won the war against fascism, to continue the war after

the defeat of Adolf Hitler and to fight a war against the colonial and imperialist policies of the British Empire. And to fight a war against the poverty and backwardness that had been imposed on the world from centuries of British rule. That was Franklin Roosevelt's vision for after the war. That was unfortunately and tragically abandoned with Franklin Roosevelt's death. We experienced decades of a Cold War with the nuclear sword of Damocles hanging over our heads. We are now at the point at which finally we must make the decision – will we allow that Cold War mentality of geopolitics to bring us to the point now of World War III and the potential extinction of the human race through thermonuclear war? Or, will we embrace the revival of that legacy which is now being accomplished by the Chinese and the Belt and Road Initiative and all the nations that have joined together for that New Paradigm of peace through great projects and development? Will we say now is the moment when we can pick up where Franklin Roosevelt left off and reach out a hand of partnership and collaboration to Russia, to China, and to all the other nations of good will on this planet, to bring development – finally – to every corner of the globe. Obviously today, we've seen a major turning point in the history of the United States. This is a huge development, and as we promised, there will be more updates from LaRouche PAC in the coming hours, the coming 24, 48, and 72 hours to come. So, please stay tuned to larouchepac.com and mobilize, mobilize,

mobilize. Thank you for joining us; stay tuned.

Helga Zepp-LaRouche: Global politik formes i stigende grad af Kinas Nye Silkevej; Tiden er inde for USA at tilslutte sig. pdf og video

Så inden for dette system befinder man sig i et 'Punkt 22', og den eneste løsning er at gå tilbage til det, som Franklin D. Roosevelt gjorde i 1933: gennemfør Glass-Steagall, afslut kasinoøkonomien og så gå over til et banksystem efter Hamiltons tradition – man kan kalde det, hvad man vil, Reconstruction Finance Corporation eller Kreditanstalt für Wiederaufbau (kreditanstalt for genopbygning) – og når man først har gjort finanssystemet sundt igen, er der absolut intet til hinder for, at de vestlige lande fuldt og helt kunne samarbejde med AIIB, Silkevejsfonden og andre finansinstitutioner, der støtter Bælte & Vej Initiativet. Og dette er den eneste måde, hvorpå man kan undgå en total katastrofe, og det er, hvad folk virkelig bør være med til at gennemføre.

Download (PDF, Unknown)

Russiagate-kupforsøget smuldrer hastigt, med den amerikanske befolkning, der ønsker, Trump skal lykkes

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 31. jan., 2018 – Præsident Donald Trumps første State of the Union-tale tirsdag aften, har fået en overvældende positiv respons. CBS News, der generelt spiller en førende rolle i »fake news« angrebene på Trump, rapporterede en 75 %'s støtte til Trumps tale fra sine seere, og selv CNN indrømmede, at 70 % af deres seere var »meget positive« eller »noget positive«.

Trump annoncerede ingen nye, vigtige politikker, men understregede, at optimismen i befolkningen og i erhvervssamfundet, som følge af hans indgåede forpligtelse til at genopbygge den amerikanske økonomi og hans skattelettelser, havde produceret 2,2 million jobs, med 200.000 af disse inden for vareproduktion, »endelig« var begyndt at forøge indkomster og havde stimuleret til løfter fra sådanne som Apple og Exxon om at investere milliarder i den amerikanske økonomi.

Han tog et etisk udgangspunkt ved ikke at sige noget om det faktum, at Mueller-kuppet er ved at falde fra hindanden, og at mange af folkene i efterretningsteamet fra Obamas tid næsten med sikkerhed vil blive anklaget for deres officielle løgne og kriminelle handlinger. Det Demokratiske lederskab, især Husets

minoritetsleder Nancy Pelosi, befandt sig i en tilstand af chok under talen og skulede og skar tænder og nægtede at applaudere selv, når Trump opfordrede til tværpolitisk samarbejde for at behandle spørgsmålene om immigration og infrastruktur. Det demokratiske kongresmedlem Joe Manchin fra Vest Virginia fordømte, som et voksende antal demokrater, der er rasende over, at deres lederskab absolut ikke har nogen politik ud over at være anti-Trump, sine demokratiske kolleger for at »sidde mut hen«.

Men »Mueller-gate« var ikke fraværende til trods for, at det ikke blev nævnt i hans tale. På vej ud af Kongressen blev Trump spurgt, om han ville godkende offentliggørelsen af Nunes-memoerne, der afslører FBI's forbrydelser med deres overvågning af Trumps kampagneteam på falske forudsætninger. Han svarede, »Ja, 100 %«. Stabschef John Kelly gik i dag på radioen for at annoncere, at memoet »vil blive offentliggjort her temmelig snart, tror jeg, og hele verden kan se det«.

Russiagate-korsfarerne skummer ligeledes over det faktum, at Trump i bund og grund ignorerede den falske McCarthy-heksejagt imod Rusland og intet sagde om hverken Rusland eller Kina ud over, at de var »rivaler«. Dette kom 24 timer efter Trumps afvisning af at udstede sanktioner mod Rusland, eller nogen andre, som det var blevet krævet af Kongressen for seks måneder siden, med en frist på seks måneder. Fristen udløb i mandags, med administrationen, der simpelt hen konstaterede, at sanktionerne »ikke var nødvendige«.

»Fake news«-*New York Times* og det neokonservative Atlantic Council (amerikansk tænketank) kom med hysteriske udfald imod præsidenten med *Times*, der anklagede Trump for at »stikke en finger i øjet på Kongressen« ved ikke at gennemtvinge sanktionerne, alt imens Atlantic Councils Anders Aslund sagde, at Trump »generelt hånede de amerikanske sanktioner mod Rusland«.

I hele verden viser virkeligheden sig, med bogstavelig talt

nye, daglige udviklinger i den igangværende Nye Silkevejstransformation af civilisationen. Alene i løbet af de seneste 24 timer: En kinesisk delegation i Oslo planlægger en højhastigheds-jernbanelinje fra Oslo til Stockholm; et kinesiske ingeniørselskab påbegyndte arbejdet på en ny dybvandshavn i Nigeria; det Amerikanske Handelskammer udgav en rapport, der viser, at amerikanske foretagender er optimistiske med hensyn til amerikansk-kinesiske relationer; Mexicos udenrigsminister sagde til sin kongres, at præsident Peña Nietos største præstation var at hæve de mexicansk-kinesiske relationer; Kinas finansminister er i Argentina, hvor han diskuterer G20-mødet, der skal afholdes dér i november, men også enorme argentinsk-kinesiske infrastrukturprojekter, inkl. tunneller, kernkraftværker og samarbejde om rummet.

En potentielt set betydningsfuld udvikling – Trump-administrationen annoncerede, at navnet Victor Cha var blevet fjernet fra listen over folk, der kom i betragtning som USA's nye ambassadør til Sydkorea. Cha, en ledende neokonservativ, der nu er i CSIS (Center for Strategic and International Studies), var Bush-administrationens repræsentant i Sekspartforhandlingerne, hvor han spillede en førende rolle på vegne af Dick Cheney i at forhindre ethvert fremskridt. Hvis præsident Trump kan udpege en person med samme fremragende kvalifikationer, som han fandt til at repræsentere Amerika i Rusland og Kina – ambassadørerne Jon Huntsman og Terry Branstad – vil det være et stort skridt på vejen til at muliggøre en fredelig løsning på Koreakrisen. Alt imens mange ledende personer i Washington og i Pentagon, inklusive nogle internt i Trumps administration, puster til flammerne for konflikt og krig med Rusland og Kina, så taler Huntsman og Branstad i sandhed for Trumps hensigt om, at USA skal være venner og partnere med Rusland og med Kina.

Med Russiagate-kuppet, der nu er i færd med at smuldre, og med Trumps højere position efter hans State of the Union-tale, er

tidspunktet nu opportunt og nødvendigt for ham til at tilslutte USA den Nye Silkevej og vedtage Glass-Steagall og det komplette program, der er indeholdt i LaRouches Fire Love.

Foto: Præsident Donald Trump forlader Repræsentanternes Hus efter sin State of the Union-tale tirsdag, 30. januar, 2018.

Det nye paradigme eksisterer allerede; Tiden er inde til at handle på optimisme!

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 30. jan., 2018 – Vi befinder os ved et punkt, hvor det Nye Paradigme for global udvikling allerede eksisterer. Folk i Vesteuropa og USA ved det måske ikke; men det Gamle Paradigme er forsvundet. Den Nye Silkevej er nu den fremtrædende politik internationalt og giver solid grund til optimisme og handling.

Alle andre steder end i de transatlantiske nationer er der strategiske alliancer i gang. I Østasien arbejder den japanske premierminister Shinzo Abe aktivt sammen med Rusland og Kina om økonomiske projekter og projekter for fred. I Afrika er Bælte & Vej Initiativets fremskridt dramatisk og blev yderligere fremmet med den kinesiske udenrigsministers årlige, afrikanske turne her først på året. Selv i Europa er nationer nu fuldt og helt engageret i Silkevejshandel og infrastrukturbyggeri. I de amerikanske lande, syd for USA, udstedte nationerne i Sammenslutningen af Latinamerikanske og Caribiske Stater (CELAC) på topmødet i denne måned, en formel erklæring om forpligtelse til at arbejde sammen med Kina om

Bælte & Vej Initiativet. I sidste uge anerkendte selv præsident Michel Temer fra Brasilien BVI's momentum under sin deltagelse i Davos Forum.

»Det Nye Paradigme går frem på en meget dramatisk måde«, understregede Helga Zepp-LaRouche i dag. »Amerikanere bør være optimistiske med hensyn til, at USA kan bevæges.« Med sin »Kampagne for at vinde fremtiden« 2018 Platform for de amerikanske midtvejsvalg, fremlægger LaRouche PAC Political Action Committee de eneste løsninger til USA's manifesterede problemer: LaRouches Fire Love for økonomisk genrejsning, og USA's tilknytning til BVI. Det forholder sig således, uanset, hvad præsident Donald Trump siger eller ikke siger i sin State of the Union-tale tirsdag aften.

Zepp-LaRouche påpegede et parallelt tilfælde, hvor annoncering af et politisk skift kom i sin tid: nemlig det Strategiske Forsvarsinitiativ, SDI. Udarbejdet af Lyndon LaRouche, hvis medarbejdere, inklusiv i republikanske kredse, i årevis arbejdede for vedtagelse af en ny politik for laserforsvar og økonomi, i samarbejde med Sovjetunionen, blev dette LaRouche-koncept slet ikke anerkendt af præsident Ronald Reagan i dennes State of the Union-taler. Og så, lige pludselig, den 23. marts 1983, indkaldte Reagan til en særlig tv-transmitteret tale om national sikkerhed og annoncerede SDI, og hvor han, som LaRouche havde specificeret, foreslog, at USA og Sovjetunionen arbejdede sammen for at udvikle teknologi, baseret på nye, fysiske principper for forsvar mod ballistiske missiler, og som understregede de heraf følgende fordele på økonomi- og fredsområdet, for hele menneskeheden.

I dag står det frygteligt klart, at alternativet til LaRouche-programmet for de »Fire Love«, er kaos, hvis ikke krig. Der kommer nu alle mulige advarsler frem om det umiddelbart forestående, finansielle blow-out. Selv Goldman Sachs har udstedt advarsler til sine kunder. Faldet på Dow Jones Index på 400 points i dag er et varsel og tegn for dem, der tilbyder »markederne«.

Vi befinder os i en periode med tumult, i enhver henseende, men, hvis tilstrækkeligt mange mennesker handler med beslutsomhed og mod, kan det transatlantiske område vindes for det Nye Paradigme. I dag tilskyndede seniorstatsmand Lyndon LaRouche: »Lad os så gøre det!«

Foto: Kina i rødt; medlemmerne af Asiatisk Infrastruktur-Investeringsbank i orange. De 6 foreslåede korridorer i sort. (Lommes / Wikimedia)

State of the Union: Vi behøver mere end 'tværpolitisk overenskomst'; Vi har brug for et Nyt Paradigme

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 29. jan., 2018 – Præsident Donald Trump kunne faktisk ikke have overset det på Davos Økonomiske Verdensforum. Alt imens han var anfører for en hyldest til investering i USA med dets nyligt indførte lavbeskatning og billige dollar, og herved glædede nogle multinationale administrerende direktører, så så konferencen hen til Kina og dets Bælte & Vej Initiativ som den afgørende faktor for at føre verdensøkonomien ud af den krise, der har varet siden 2008. Den kinesiske præsident Xi Xinpings økonomiske rådgiver Liu He var den taler, man lyttede mest intenst til. Kinas politik for udvikling af højteknologisk, økonomisk infrastruktur som fortrop frem mod målet med at udslette

fattigdom – nu sammen med mange nationale partnere i Asien, Afrika og Latinamerika – blev anerkendt som et nyt »win-win«-paradigme, der kan overvinde både årsagerne til og de blivende virkninger af det transatlantiske, økonomiske kollaps, der fandt sted for et årti siden.

Selv Bloomberg News, i en angivelig nyhedsartikel her til morgen, sagde, »Med tilføjelsen i sidste uge af det arktiske område og Latinamerika, er den kinesiske præsident Xi Jinpings signaturpolitik, Bælte & Vej Initiativet, i sandhed blevet global. Kun USA, dets nabo Canada og dets allieret Japan mangler endnu at blive inkluderet i planen, der søger at bygge eller opgradere et netværk af hovedveje, jernbaner, havne og pipelines«. Senere anerkender det, at Japan faktisk samarbejder i Bælte & Vej Initiativet, og at præsident Xi gentagne gange har inviteret USA til at gå med i det.

I sin State of the Union-tale tirsdag aften er den eneste måde, hvorpå præsidenten kan transformere sin administrations udsigter, at tilslutte sig den Nye Silkevej, i hvert fald som en overordnet forpligtelse, der følger tråden i hans nylige besøg til Kina, Japan og andre asiatiske økonomiske ledere.

Selv om han appellerer til det, venter der ingen »tværpolitisk overensstemmelse« i den splittede og miskrediterede Kongres, af hvilken flere end 50 af dets medlemmer er fratrådt i løbet af blot én kongressamling.

Det to år lange fremstød fra britiske og amerikanske efterretningstjenesters side for at ødelægge Trumps kandidatur og præsidentskab, har trukket et stort antal demokratiske opportunister ind. Dette må enten nedkæmpes, eller også må vi overlade USA til at være en Ny Kold Krig-politistat, hvor alle præsidenter kontrolleres af efterretningsfolk gennem hemmelige skandaler. Udviklinger, der har fundet sted i løbet af de seneste 24 timer, giver håb om, at det ikke vil lykkes, men, hvis det ikke knuses, vil disse personer, der følger en ny McCarthy-tradition, ikke acceptere noget mindre end en intenst

antirussisk, antikinesisk præsident Pence.

Der findes ikke engang nogen »tværpolitisk overensstemmelse« at appellere til: Hvis Trump fremlægger sit totalt utilstrækkelige program for infrastrukturbyggeri og baserer den lille, statslige finansiering i dette på en forhøjet benzinskat, vil de vildledte, republikanske kongresmedlemmer i kammeret modsætte sig det. USA vil fortsætte med afindustrialiseringen; amerikanere vil fortsætte med at dø af opiat-overdosis; deres forventede levealder vil fortsætte med at falde. Byer og delstater, der er ambitiøse omkring udvikling, vil fortsætte med at sende delegationer til Kina.

Der findes et nyt paradigme at appellere til og gå med i, og som kan involvere den form for økonomisk genopbygning, rumforskning og teknologisk fremskridt, som Trumps vælgere stemte for. Præsidenten må tænke på verdensøkonomien fra toppen og ned, og gå med i den Nye Silkevej.

Foto: Præsident Trump taler for den samlede Kongres i februar, 2017. (Official White House Photo by Shealah Craighead)

Hvad lærte Trump af Davos?

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 28. jan., 2018 – Med præsident Trump, der forbereder sin State of the Union-tale til kommende tirsdag – og som angiveligt skal fokusere på den nationale økonomi og infrastruktur – er det spørgsmål, der må stilles, hvorvidt han tænker over temaet på Davos Økonomiske Verdensforum. Trumps tale i Davos var begrænset til en hyldest til det, han beskrev som et stort, økonomisk boom, som finder sted i USA, men hvor han desværre peger på den massive aktiemarkedsboble som et tegn på dette fremskridt, snarere end som et enormt advarselstegn på det forestående krak.

Selv *Wall Street Journal* advarede for nylig præsidenten og sagde, han burde ophøre med at bruge aktiemarkedet som målestok for økonomisk fremskridt – Wall Street-drengene ved ganske udmærket, at krakket er umiddelbart forestående. Men, hvad der er mere væsentligt, så har, som *EIR* har understreget, William White, den indsigtfulde, tidligere cheføkonom for Den internationale Betalingsbank, BIS, den 25. jan. advaret om, at det vestlige banksystem ikke har nogen som helst mulighed for at undfly et sådant krak, eftersom den »fælde«, som de har skabt for sig selv med deres politik for 'pengetrykningsorgie' gennem QE (kvantitativ lempelse), der dækkede over 2008-krakket, ikke efterlader dem nogen monetære løsninger på den nuværende, langt større boble, vi i dag konfronteres med.

Ingen monetær løsning – men der findes en løsning i form af en *kreditpolitik*, og som er blevet anbragt i hænderne på hvert eneste kongresmedlem og indtil flere folk i Trumps kreds, i form af brochuren, »**LaRouches Fire Love: De fysisk-økonomiske principper for USA's økonomiske genrejsning – Amerikas fremtid på den Nye Silkevej**«. [1]

Heraf kommer det ovenstående spørgsmål: Tog præsidenten til sig, at temaet på Davos Forum i år var »Skab en fælles fremtid i en opsplittet verden«, et koncept, der er taget direkte fra Xi Jinpings tale for Davos Forum 2017? Ikke alene blev dette tema hentet fra Kina, men, som *New York Times* i dag anerkendte i en artikel med overskriften, »I Davos var Kina, og ikke USA, måske den store stjerne«, så var hovedtalen af Liu He, Xi Jinpings førende økonom, én af dem, der trak flest deltagere, alt imens »nationale ledere syntes at konkurrere med hinanden i Davos med hensyn til at kræve tættere samarbejde med Kina«.

For at Trump kan træffe beslutning om fuldt og helt at genindføre en politik i det Amerikanske Systems tradition – Hamilton-systemet, der ligger til grund for LaRouches Fire Love – og fuldt og helt tilslutte USA den Nye Silkevej, må britisk efterretnings og deres amerikanske lakajers forræderiske kupforsøg imod ham knuses, og gerningsmændene til

deres »Russiagate«-svindelnummer må selv stilles for retten.

Senator Chuck Grassley, med tilslutning fra senator Lindsey Graham, tog endnu et stort skridt i denne retning den 25. januar, hvor de sendte breve til flere topledere i Obamaæraens Demokratiske Parti og her krævede, at alle dokumenter vedrørende Fusion GPS' og Christopher Steeles miskrediterede dossier blev afsløret for hans senatsretsudvalg – hvordan og hvornår, de hver især fik det forevist, hvordan det fandt vej til Justitsministeriet og FBI, alle deres diskussioner og ordvekslinger med disse retshåndhavende myndigheder, samt alle deres kontakter med Steele selv og med hans britiske agentkolleger.

Interessant nok er navnet Victoria Nuland (tidl. viceudenrigsminister for europæiske og eurasiske anliggender i Obamas regering, -red.) med på denne liste; husk, at Fusion GPS også sammensatte rapporter om Ukraine til Victoria Nuland, da hun kørte Obama-administrationens opbakning af de nazi-bander, der kørte kuppet i 2014 imod den valgte regering i Kiev.

Skriften på væggen er tydelig for alle at se. Det britiske Imperium og dets dødbringende, geopolitiske krige har ingen plads i det Nye Paradigme, der nu bliver virkeliggjort i hele verden. Trump har forpligtet sig til Amerikas venskab med Rusland og Kina, for at opnå dette ædle mål, baseret på en fælles skæbne for alle nationer. Alle verdensborgere må arbejde på at bringe denne plan til udfoldelse.

(Præsident Donald Trumps fulde tale i Davos kan høres [her](#) eller læs talen [her](#))

Foto: USA's præsident Donald J. Trump taler for Davos Økonomiske Verdensforum, 26. jan., 2018.

[1] Se også vores danske banner, »[Vedtag LaRouches Fire Love](#)«

Genopbyg Amerikas hjerteland: Fra 'Rustbæltet' til 'Bælte & Vej'.

LaRouche PAC Internationale Webcast, 26. jan., 2018

Vært Matthew Ogden: I dag har jeg en særlig gæst, Bill Roberts, som er med fra Detroit, Michigan. Bill Roberts er LaRouche PAC's kampagnekoordinator for Midtvesten, og vi har også set hans succes mht. at være kandidat til kongressen, hvor han vandt 41 % af stemmerne i det demokratiske primærvalg i Michigan.

Titlen på vores udsendelse i dag er »Genopbyg Amerikas hjerteland: Fra 'Rustbæltet' til 'Bælte & Vej'«. Vores tema i dag er at se på kampagneplatformen til 2018-valget, som LaRouche PAC har udgivet og nu mobiliserer for på nationalt plan, og se på dette gennem Midtvestens linser, det såkaldte 'Rustbælte', der engang var motor for økonomisk vækst i hele USA. Dette er vort lands produktive hjerteland, og dette har været epicentret for kollapset i vareproduktion og den specialiserede arbejdsstyrke i USA. Dette udgør kernen i vores evne til at bringe USA ind i en ny æra for store projekter og økonomisk udvikling, der typificeres af Kinas Bælte & Vej Initiativ; heraf titlen på vores udsendelse, »Genopbyg Amerikas hjerteland: Fra 'Rustbæltet' til 'Bælte & Vej'«.

Kerneindholdet i LaRouche PAC's valgplatform 2018 er, at USA's

præsidentskab omgående må vedtage Lyndon LaRouches fire økonomiske love og gå ind i en win-win-relation med Kinas Nye Silkevej. LaRouches fire økonomiske love er præcis det, der er nødvendigt lige nu, hvis vi ønsker at få midlet til at gå ud af det, der synes at være en »ingen udgang«-situation. Vi er nu i en nedtælling på fire dage til præsident Trumps State of the Union-tale på tirsdag. I takt med denne nedtælling, har vi optrappet vores kampagne nationalt for at sætte dette på dagsordenen: LaRouches fire økonomiske love, og USA må gå med i den Nye Silkevej.

At dømme umiddelbart ud fra præsident Trumps tale her til morgen på Davos Økonomiske Verdensforum, så vil han få brug for en 'omvendelse på vejen til Damaskus' i løbet af weekenden for at komme til at forstå, at, nej – at tale om en aktiemarkedsboble og \$7 billion i såkaldt »tilføjet værdi« eller merværdi på Wall Street, udgør ikke en økonomisk genrejsning! Faktisk udgør det selve problemet. Dette er præcis, hvad William White, tidligere cheføkonom for Den internationale Betalingsbank (BIS), advarede om i et interview, han gav i Davos til Ambrose Evans-Pritchard, og hvor han diskuterede det faktum, at vi praktisk taget uundgåeligt har kurs mod det transatlantiske finanssystems kollaps, af præcis denne grund: de billige penge, nulrentepolitikken, der er blevet gennemført af Federal Reserve og den Europæiske Centralbank (ECB), har skabt det, som William White kaldte et »Catch-22«, et Punkt 22. Hvis disse rentesatser forbliver lave, vil vi have kurs mod en hyperinflationsekspllosion af penge i systemet, og det vil føre os til en Weimar-stil hyperinflation, som vi så det i 1923. Men hvis ECB og Fed beslutter at hæve renten, vil »zombiebankerne« og »zombieselskaberne«, der i de seneste flere år har eksisteret, baseret på denne politik med nulrente, billige penge og kvantitativ lempelse, kollapse indad, og vi vil få et kollaps af systemet i denne retning.

Ud fra William Whites standpunkt, så har denne advarsel »ingen

udgang«.

Der er faktisk en udgang, og vi ved nøjagtig, hvad det er, og dette er, hvad præsident Trump omgående må vedtage. Udgangen består i Lyndon LaRouches fire økonomiske love: Rejs en brandmur i form af Glass-Steagall mellem kommerciel bankvirksomhed og de produktive investeringer, og så alt det mæg, vi har i form af spekulativ værdi på Wall Street og City of London. Lad dette mæg tørre ud og blæse væk; men beskyt de nødvendige, produktive, kommercielle bankvirksomhedsaspekter af vores økonomi. Erstat den spekulative økonomi med et kreditsystem i Hamiltons tradition, hvor man tager milliarder af dollars i statslig kredit, via en ny Nationalbank, og dirigerer det, ikke til spekulation, men derimod til reel, fysisk værdi: til storskala infrastrukturprojekter, store projekter, nye industrier, vareproduktion og til en forøgelse af arbejdskraftens produktive evne i USA's arbejdsstyrke; og især – som vi skal diskutere her i dag – i Midtvestens tidligere produktive arbejdsstyrke, og ligeledes bringe USA ind i dette store nye projekts Nye Silkevej.

Dette er, hvad præsident Trump må forstå om økonomi, og vi er i en nedtælling på fire dage til State of the Union, til at sætte dette på dagsordenen. Vores job slutter på ingen måde her; men formålet med denne 2018-valgplatform, som LaRouche PAC har udgivet, er tværtimod at vinde en kampagne, essentielt, for USA's præsidentskab. Vi er naturligvis ikke i et præsidentvalgår, og præsident Trump er den behørigt valgte præsident og vil være vores præsident for de næste tre år, mindst, på trods af bestræbelserne fra Russiagate-kuppets side og hans opponenter, der forsøger at vælte hans præsidentskab; men den kampagne, vi kører, er en kampagne for USA's præsidentskabs *politik*: Det er en kampagne for at vinde *kampen om præsidentskabets politik*.

I dag skal vi diskutere strategien, og Midtvesten, eller USA's industrielle hjerteland, er et af de afgørende elementer i denne strategi. Om lidt vil I få at se, at præsident Trumps

sejr i 2016-valgene, i realiteten skyldtes hans sejr i Midtvesten. Han var i stand til at bryde det, der kaldes den »Demokratiske blå brandmur«, og han vendte fire store, tidligere industrielle rustbæltstater, der havde stemt demokratisk, siden valget af FDR i præsidentielle valg; og han vendte dem og vandt disse stater: Pennsylvania, Michigan og Wisconsin, for ikke at tale om hans sejre i Ohio.

Hvordan gjorde han det? Han adresserede selve det faktum, at både det Republikanske og det Demokratiske Parti havde indgået en 'aftale med djævelen' om en konsensus om, begge at være partiet for frihandel og post-industrialisering. Kandidat Trump sprængte hele denne konsensus i stykker, gik ind og sagde, »Jeg er imod frihandel, vi vil nedlukke NAFTA«, og han sagde i særdeleshed, »vi vil bringe industri tilbage til hjertelandet«. Vi vil få ny vareproduktion, nye jobs, og han krævede endda en »ny industriel revolution«.

Jeg vil gerne give lidt baggrund, før vi kommer til diskussionen, om, hvad det var, præsident Trump fik adgang til, hvad enten, han helt var klar over det eller ej. Men dette er i produktivitetens ånd, og jeg vil faktisk hævde, at dette ikke er Trump-vælgerskaren, men at det er »LaRouche-vælgerskaren«. Og det, vi vil gøre med denne kampagne for at lægge 2018 LaRouche PAC-plattformen på bordet, er, at vi vil organisere denne vælgerskare omkring denne vision, de Fire Loves økonomiske program, og vi vil bruge denne indflydelse til at skabe en revolution i USA's præsidentskabs økonomiske politik.

Lad os gå lidt tilbage i tiden, til det industrielle kraftcenter, som Midtvesten var kendt som, før det fik lov at sygne hen og blive til 'rustbæltet'. Dette skete pga. Franklin Roosevelts mobilisering under Anden Verdenskrig, med at tage det, som var bilindustriens maskinværktøj til biler – i Michigan, Ohio, Wisconsin og i det vestlige Pennsylvania – og at tilpasse disse bilfabrikker og bruge den specialiserede arbejdsstyrke til at lancere det, der blev kaldt »Demokratiets

arsenal«.

Så lad os nu gå lidt tilbage i tiden og se på denne nyhedsfilm fra Anden Verdenskrig, og I vil få at se, hvad vi mener, når vi taler om Franklin Roosevelts Demokratiets arsenal.

(Engelsk udskrift af resten af udsendelsen:)

[Video]

FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT: We must be the great arsenal of democracy.

NARRATOR: President Roosevelt makes an unprecedented 9,000 mile tour of the United States, to see for himself the nation at war. Visiting armament plants from coast to coast, he stops at the giant Chrysler tank arsenal, where he sees the Army's latest mechanized monsters, tested as they come from assembly lines. Then, on to one of Henry Ford's great bomber plants, where the President and First Lady are greeted by Mr. Ford and General Manager Sorensen. Plane workers, delighted with the surprise visit, show the President that wartime production is meeting the goal set, many plants exceeding their quotas.

PRESIDENT ROOSEVELT: We shall send you, in ever-increasing numbers, ships, planes, tanks, guns: That is our purpose and our pledge!

NARRATOR: And the President's words meant action. America became the Arsenal of Democracy. ...

Working 24 hours a day, seven days a week, where General Motors is undertaking to produce more than 10% of all war matériel fabricated from metal. Thousands of workmen in four GM

divisions turn out machineguns in a mass-production basis. Output is months ahead of schedule...

General Motors has pioneered in applying mass production methods to the manufacture of aircraft. Work goes on day and night under the adept fingers of General Motors men and women. They are producing an avalanche of weapons for victory in General

Motors manufacturing centers all over America. Machine tools, the master tools of industry and of victory are made at a constantly increasing rate... [end video]

OGDEN: So “machine tools, the master tools of industry and victory” are made at an ever-increasing rate. *That* was the Arsenal of Democracy. *That* was Franklin Roosevelt’s economic program.

Now, what happened? President Trump, in the 2016 election did what all other candidates have refused to do: He refused to

take what he called the “forgotten men and women” of the United

States, very much so, these formerly industrial, skilled labor force, and he said, you will be the forgotten men and women no more.

Contrast that to what Hillary Clinton did, where she took these states – Pennsylvania, Michigan and Wisconsin – took them

for granted, and in fact, never even *went* to Wisconsin for a campaign event – and lo and behold, on Election Night, surprise,

surprise, Pennsylvania, Michigan and Wisconsin, all went for Trump. And in fact, that was the key to his winning the U.S. Presidency.

How did he do it? Well, let me play this clip for you from

President Trump's going to Ypsilanti, Michigan to the Willow Run auto factory, and where he discusses the Arsenal of Democracy, and calls for the creation of new industrial revolution.

PRESIDENT DONALD TRUMP: Great Americans of all backgrounds built the Arsenal of Democracy, including the legendary Rosie the Riveter, who worked here at Willow Run. You know that. [cheers]

Seventy-five years ago, during the Second World War, thousands of

American workers filled this very building, to build the great new airplanes, the B-24 Liberator, at peak production – listen to this – it's not the country that we've been watching over the

last 20 years: They were building one B-24 *every single hour*.

[cheers] We don't hear that, we don't hear that any more, do we?

We'll be back, we'll be back, soon. The most amazing people.

And while that's incredible, it's a tribute, really, to the teamwork, determination and patriotism that lives on today, in each and every one of you. Great people – you're great people.

Now, these hundreds of acres that defended our democracy are going to help build the cars and cities of the future. So I ask

you, – that's fine, 'cause you're rushed – so I ask you today to join me in daring to believe that this facility, this city, and this nation, will once again shine with industrial might.

[cheers]

I'm asking you to place your faith in the American worker and these great American companies. [applause] I'm also asking

you, to respect, and place your faith in companies from

foreign

lands that come here to build their product. We love them, too.

Right? We love them, too. [applause]

I'm asking all of the companies here, today, to join us, in this new industrial revolution: Let us put American workers, American families, and American dreams first, once again. May God bless the American worker. May God bless the Motor City. And may God bless the United States of America. Thank you, thank

you. [cheers]

[end video]

OGDEN: And there you have it. So let's put on the screen here, the electoral map, and this is a very interesting map [Fig.

1] and I'm actually going to ask Bill Roberts to discuss it with

us a little bit. But this was published by the *Washington Post*

immediately after the election victory by President Trump. And you'll see here, the title is "The Former Obama Strongholds Sealed the Election for Trump." And I'll let Bill describe what

we're looking at, but you'll see there, the concentration is in

the rust belt, in the former industrial heartland, there, and that's the region of the country we're talking about here, right

now.

So Bill, tell us what we're looking at in that map and explain to us exactly what the strategy for victory here, has to be.

BILL ROBERTS: Sure. Matt, let me just start out by saying that there was recently an article published by a local

representative, representing Macomb County [Michigan], I think one of those counties that was an Obama-voting county, probably voted for Obama twice, and then shifted and voted for Trump. What this local elected official was arguing for a decent high-wage, what's called a prevailing wage, for union employees. And he made the point in that document that this policy actually started with Henry Ford; it started with Henry Ford's decision that he was going to pay his workers \$5 a day to produce cars, and this wage would allow them to be able to buy the car that they were producing. So this is the coalition of producers which we now have to mobilize to define, the standard of competence on which national elected officials are going to run their campaigns. Now, let me bring back up this map, here: What you're looking at, these are the districts across the country that voted for Obama twice, as in the dark yellow; and in the light yellow are districts that voted for Obama once. But all of these shaded areas then were the counties that switched, that swung and voted for Donald Trump in 2018. So these are traditionally Democratic areas, where Trump went in and campaigned, where Hillary Clinton did not, and he really made his focus the "forgotten men and women," who were part of this very advanced – I think "rust belt" is a kind of derogatory term, because in fact, the labor forces associated with these regions, whether they be farmers or

skilled workers, produce extremely advanced products, to the tolerances of a thousandth of an inch, or even smaller. And Trump tapped into something that Lyndon LaRouche identified later, which is that, this was part of actually a global process

of voters rejecting the failures, the failed policies of the trans-Atlantic financial system, the destruction of the skilled

workforce; the overrunning of these areas with an epidemic of drugs, of opiates; the failed regime-change wars. And they voted

for the policy, and not the party.

And so, these are obviously going to be areas in which both the parties are going to be looking in the election to try to swing the vote. The problem is, neither party has the policies

that can address the dire situation that these forgotten men and

women find themselves in. Neither party's leadership has a competent program to be able to directly address these blue collar and rural districts in the upper Midwest, in terms of the

kind of economic destruction they've seen.

So, it really falls upon the campaign of the LaRouche Political Action Committee, and what we have to find is the standard of competent that can actually rebuild these areas.

The

LaRouche Four Laws, the identification of the necessity of the United States cooperating with China and countries that we can align our credit systems with, in order to actually capitalize a

national infrastructure bank and a full economic recovery.

I would just say that, you know, you have Democrats on the one hand, who continue to push the fraud of the Russiagate investigation, as if this hasn't been disproven, and moved to other slanders against Trump, such as the Durbin fraud of the racist remarks that Trump allegedly said. None of the voters

in these swing areas, they absolutely hate this kind of stuff. And then, on the Republican side, Trump has really got to be able to break with this GOP/Wall Street backed leadership orientation within his own party, in order to be able to address, similarly, this voting base. Because Wall Street- backed policies are not going to finance an economic recovery. Trump has already said that the public-private partnerships are not going to function to build the vast amount of infrastructure that's required. So the LaRouche Political Action Committee and our team here in the Midwest, are looking at races of interest, not necessarily ones that are going to come down to Republicans versus Democrat, but maybe even ones where there's an interesting difference in the party primary first – in other words, in the immediate period, in the immediate campaigns, is there an Obama-backed candidate, for example, who is running against someone who has the support of building trades, of engineering societies? Has a real interest in the revival of the productive economy, and this is our domain to shape. As you said Matt, this is really a LaRouche constituency. And I've been in these areas: I mean, these are people, that voted Democrat in every election in their entire life, and then they voted for Donald Trump. And it was the question of the "fair trade not free trade," it was the issue of bringing back manufacturing; it was the commitment to seeking solutions beyond geopolitics, beyond the regime-change wars that have been,

really

disproportionately hitting these post-industrial and urban communities that have made up a disproportionate number in the Armed Forces recruitment.

So, if you look, there is 53% of these communities that shifted over and voted for Trump after having voted for Obama: This is an interesting demographics of producers who are clamoring for real leadership. There is a profound, profound vacuum of leadership, that I know from our forays into the state

legislatures in the recent weeks, are really demanding a way in

which – and they have not found this solution outside of what we

have presented to them – but a way in which you can actually capitalize, a sustained and thorough, scientific-driven, infrastructure-driven economic recovery over the next 10, 15, 20

years. And what strikes these local elected officials more than

anything, is that they have not been presented with any other plan at all that even identifies an approach to amassing the kind

of investment that you will get with the LaRouche plan and that

you will get with the cooperation of the United States with China

and with countries like Japan.

So, I think it's an extremely fertile situation if we intervene with a kind of vigor now to define the only competent

solution which exists for candidates and for constituency groups

to demand that those candidates campaign on.

OGDEN: And that's exactly the declared intention of this 2018 Platform from LaRouche PAC, is to seek out those

constituency groups, but more so to create those constituency groups that are going to, through leadership and organization, will demand this scientifically informed economic agenda; what LaRouche has laid out. Glass-Steagall to erect a firewall; reorganize the financial system; national banking as Alexander Hamilton did it; trillions of dollars in Federal credit for infrastructure, new industries, productive employment; and then all under a driver. Like the same kind of driver you saw there for the Arsenal of Democracy; that was a mission orientation. The kind of mission orientation that we need today is the space program and for fusion power. These are the kinds of drivers that create the top-down organization that economic activity can participate in, and then will feed into and have a self-reproducing kind of increase in productivity. Now what happened in Detroit, and what happened in Michigan, and what happened in the Midwest, was not something that was just a crisis of the last few years. This has been decades and decades in the making, and it goes back even before NAFTA. What occurred was a loss of that commitment that Franklin Roosevelt had to productivity and to productive employment. We actually, Bill, you and I worked together to produce a video several years ago, around the time that Detroit was forced to declare bankruptcy. It was called "Detroit: A Test Case for Genocide". In that video, we put together an animated graphic that showed the population increase in Detroit due to the mobilization around the Arsenal of Democracy; but then following that, and with the

abandonment of that commitment to industrial production, the population decrease which has occurred for several decades, and which has now gotten to a critical point. So, this is actually an animated population graphic, and I would like to just put this on the screen. You can listen to the narration there. This is from the original video, "Detroit: A Test Case for Genocide".

VIDEO: The population of Detroit began to explode around the turn of the 20th Century; increasing exponentially around 1910. However, with the crash of 1929 and the onset of the Great Depression, the population of Detroit began to level off and even decline for the first time in its history. It wasn't until Franklin Roosevelt's Arsenal of Democracy that the population began to grow again, surging to its maximum in 1950 with a population of over 1.8 million people; making Detroit the fifth largest city in the United States at the time. However, after 1950, the population began to drop once again, slowly at first, but accelerating over time. By the year 2000, the population had collapsed to under a million, and by 2010 to 713,000; less than the population was a century before. A more than 60% drop from its peak in 1950; a loss of over 1 million people. This will only continue to accelerate at an ever-increasing rate under the bankers' dictatorship now controlling the city. [END VIDEO]

OGDEN: That was the despair and the crisis which really has been many generations in the making in Michigan, in Detroit, that

Lyndon LaRouche was seeking to resolve when he called for a new re-tooling of the auto industry back in 2012 to 2013, and even prior to that around the bankruptcy of the Big Three [automakers]. What he was calling for at that time, was to say "Let's re-tool the auto industry, and let's use this machine tool capability – the 'make anything' industry – to build the kinds of lock and dams, the bridges, the high-speed rail, the components for nuclear power plants; the kind of materiel that you would need to mobilize an emergency economic recovery of the United States. The fact that that wasn't done, has created even worse conditions of impoverishment and despair. As you pointed out, Bill, some of the pockets of the worst opioid epidemic are in these former industrial, former skilled labor communities. This is the constituency which elected President Trump, but what has to happen if President Trump is going to deliver on the promises that he made? How is this going to be mobilized? What kind of economic recovery, what form is that going to take now from the standpoint of the Midwest?

ROBERTS: Well, if the news media had actually reported what Trump did when he was in China, Trump secured \$254 billion in direct investment into these various states you're talking about.

West Virginia, which has been decimated by Obama and by the drug epidemic, West Virginia is set up to receive \$84 billion in direct investments from a Chinese company, as a result of the trip that Donald Trump took to China and the friendly cooperation

of China and the United States, facilitated through these two leaders – the President of China and President Trump. Now, that's more money than any known proposal proposes to have the Federal portion, the Federally-funded, matched portion of investment in U.S. infrastructure. You look at any plan coming from Democrats, that's more money than the Federal government is going to capitalize in an infrastructure program. So, the first question on anyone's mind who now knows that – if you tell that to them – since the media is not readily reporting that is, "How is China able to invest so much in infrastructure?" Of course, the answer is that China has an American System policy bank; that's how China is able to capitalize these vast development programs across the continent of Asia into Africa. Now of course, China and Japan are both willing to put probably a total of about \$1 trillion or more into capitalizing a policy bank in the United States. It doesn't have to be a direct investment. A number of direct investments by China were rejected on the basis of supposed security concerns. So, they don't have to be direct investments, but we can simply capitalize a national bank and then utilize the approach we have in the past, such as a new gas tax, to finance such debt, such a national banking structure. I think this is something that used to be very commonplace; this is how Franklin Roosevelt did things, this is how Abraham Lincoln did things, and it's a kind of forgotten method. If this would have been reported that, in

fact, this is the dynamic that exists in the world that is driving massive development throughout the planet, then everyone would be talking about this already. Everyone would be wanting to know how China is able to do this. They would be demanding that the very Henry C Carey system that the Chinese love to study so much is exactly the basis on which we now unleash a 10-15 year process of massive infrastructure investment; and that the way that you pay for this, is through massive revolutionary breakthroughs in technology keyed off of breakthroughs in the manned space mission and the expansion of NASA, and in fusion power. That it's the revolutionary scientific advancements, not money per se, which actually is what pays for this process. The Chinese understand this, too; which you can see in their fusion program and in their highly developed and growing space program. The media has certainly been aiding and abetting an unfortunate process in this country; where the elections will tend to be very partisan, low level, least common denominator kinds of discussions; hot-button topics. But it doesn't have to be. Everything that we've just gone through here in terms of the history of how the United States has been a productive country and has been a scientifically revolutionary country driven by the machine tool sector, and has had institutions that make it possible to finance such revolutionary developments; that these are not only available to the United States, but that this is already a process driving most of the world. In fact, the

President of the United States has been the most open President, and is very open to working with these other countries within this very sort of dynamic. So again, our objective – we have to sort of evangelize; because there are so many people out there who, if they simply knew what was happening in the world and if they had the LaRouche Four Laws solution at their fingertips, they would gladly demand it. They would gladly reach across the aisle to work – Republicans working with Democrats on mobilizing big Federal expenditures for infrastructure; Democrats gladly dropping the insane anti-Trump tirades, and instead urging him to break with Wall Street, and reach across the aisle and work with Republicans who are willing to collaborate on an anti-Wall Street policy, an American System policy along with Trump. So, we found tremendous openness.

But we don't want to just go to the candidates for the endorsement and for them to campaign on these policies – on the New Silk Road, on ending the coup against the President, and on LaRouche's Four Laws. But rather, we want to get to their base of support – the skilled labor unions, the professional organizations, the engineers, the voting blocs in general and the state legislatures, the super constituents. We have to have an accelerated process of educating these individuals on the unique

LaRouche solution that you are not going to get from the party leadership at this point, who are really too much stuck in the old paradigm. But if we introduce these constituents to the New Paradigm, sure, of course, gladly they will take that instead of this lame discussion that you'll otherwise get at these candidates' debates.

OGDEN: And LaRouche PAC is uniquely positioned to do that; that's why it's so necessary that we put out this platform, this statement of intent and that we're conducting a national mobilization. LaRouche PAC, especially there in the Midwest with the productive labor force, the working class constituency, LaRouche PAC has an extraordinary amount of authority on the ground among those kinds of labor organizations and productive workers. I would say also Bill, you personally have an extraordinary amount of authority because of what you have been engaged in there for years; including, as I mentioned at the beginning, a Congressional campaign that you ran in 2012 there. You got 41% of the vote in the 11th Congressional District there in the 2012 Democratic primary. Now, I'd actually like to play a clip for our viewers of testimony that you gave in front of the Detroit City Council in 2012, when this entire rigging of the LIBOR rate came up and the city was dealing with "Oh my gosh! How are we going to repay these debts and are we going to have to declare bankruptcy?" Here's the intervention that you made around Glass-Steagall and the necessity of immediately instituting this kind of Franklin

Roosevelt policy. So, this is testimony from July 24, 2012 at the Detroit City Council.

ROBERTS

: My name is Bill Roberts. I am running for U.S. Congress, and I do so for the same reason I'm here today, which is that if I were not here to say what I'm saying today, no one would say it. I'm calling on the Detroit City Council to reject any cuts that endanger the lives of human beings, and instead to publicly call for and fight for the reinstatement of House Resolution 1489, the reinstatement of Glass-Steagall; which both Congressmen from Detroit are co-sponsors of, to break up the too-big-to-fail banks. The reason why I bring this up today is because it is clear that 75% of major cities enter into interest rate swaps. These interest rate swaps were rigged against cities at the highest level; at the LIBOR – the London Inter-Bank Offered Rate. This is murder. This is not insider trading; this is murder. It has resulted in cuts to departments that have killed people. There are people at the highest level involved in this. I call upon the Detroit City Council to stand up and have the guts to tell the private bankers that they are going to jail.

OGDEN: Now, within the next year, Detroit was forced to declare bankruptcy under Rick Snyder and financial manager Kevyn

Orr. And exactly one year later, in July of 2013, Lyndon LaRouche went on record and was asked what has to be done to save the city of Detroit, to save the entire industrial heartland, and what kinds of solutions are on the table? He talked about Glass-Steagall, but he talked about an expanded Glass-Steagall solution. So, I'd like to just play this clip from Lyndon LaRouche for you.

LYNDON LAROUCHE: What is the situation of the United States in terms of its economic development over the period, say the last really effective Presidency went down? What happened, particularly with two terms of the Bush family and this latest phenomenon, is that the economy of the United States virtually does not exist. And that's true in the case of the auto industry in particular, which is the center of this whole thing with Detroit, is the auto industry. It's not just the auto industry in Michigan, nor is it in the northern states around Michigan. It goes all the way through the entire system – north, south, east and west. The U.S. economy does no longer function! And there is no hope for this nation under the present conditions, unless we change those conditions radically. Therefore, we have lost the auto industry. Do you know how important the auto industry was? Do you know how important back in 2005 and so forth when we fought to save the auto industry? And I was playing a leading part in that fight. Do you realize what happened when the auto industry went down? Do you realize that we no longer are a nation capable of meeting our own needs? Look at the food supply. What's the food supply of the United States? How do parts of the farm area work? Nothing works!

Especially

since George W Bush became President. Since that point, there has been a disintegration throughout Europe and throughout the United States and other parts of the world. We no longer have a

sustainable economy. What we have is the possibility, with special efforts, to revive the economy.

Now, what we're going to have to do – we've got some people in Detroit, for example. They're unemployed, essentially.

There

are few of them left in the other odd industries that they fled

into as machine tool specialists and so forth. What we're going

to do is create a new industry, based on the core of the skilled

people who can play a key leading part in assembling a replacement for what used to be called the auto industry. The real name for the auto industry as it was since World War II, is

the machine tool industry; that's its character. So, our job is,

in the case of Detroit, you cannot solve this economic problem by

sitting there or by following some politician's recipes. What we

can do is seize control of the situation. Only through Glass-Steagall can we save the United States; otherwise the United States is doomed without Glass-Steagall. Because there is

no agriculture, there is no machine tool system, there is no labor production of any significance; that's it. So therefore,

unless we get Glass-Steagall in, we will not be able to make an

immediate change from the kind of economy on which you're operating now, which is a hopeless failure. By changing

quickly

to bring agriculture back, to build up the water systems that we

need for feeding our people; all these things depend upon Glass-Steagall. Not just Glass-Steagall itself, but an expanded

version of Glass-Steagall.

Therefore, the issue is, unless we can seize the hands of power in the United States and organize the government to behave

like the government, not like it's been doing recently; and go in

there and put Glass-Steagall into effect quickly. Having done that, we're going to have to – in addition to Glass-Steagall – we're going to have to create a credit system to supplement Glass-Steagall in order to finance the things that have to be built up in terms of production which are needed to restore this

nation. Without those actions, there's no hope. You don't have

a chance; there is no other option. Grab the United States; put

it back to business as best you can, and use some innovation.

But above all, apply Glass-Steagall as I know how to do it; and

some other people also know. The very fact that we restore the

confidence of the people in their own nation – that is, the United States – by taking immediate action; which means large amounts of fundraising and fund contributions to get farming and

industry back going immediately. We have to have – just as Roosevelt did during the period of the onset of the Depression,

his first years. We had people; we put them to work. They weren't really producing anything; they were stuck in there with

shovels and picks and so forth out in the streets. They weren't really producing things, but they were there; and they had a job. And they had the beginnings of a family income, and they had a future. That's what Roosevelt gave them, and that's what we now have to give the people of the United States. We cannot give them much, because the friends of the Bush family have stolen so much there's not much left for real people. But we can restart the process of production; restart that; and that we can do. And that we {must} do. Without Glass-Steagall, we cannot do it. So, the lives of the people of the United States depend upon Glass-Steagall. And Glass-Steagall can only be delivered by Glass-Steagall Plus. Glass-Steagall Plus means that we're going to take the junk that is junk, and we're going to cancel it. Most of this banking crap is worthless; there's no value in it. So why are we continuing to bail it out in a hyperinflationary rate? We don't need it. Put the thing through processing, and you will find that when you go through the paperwork, all these banking systems, the Wall Street crowd, all of them; how much of these things they claim they own are actually real? I don't know if they could come out with a penny of it for a giant. So therefore the point is, we have to restore the United States; get rid of this crap, and do what Franklin Roosevelt did. It's going to be more difficult than what Franklin Roosevelt faced in his

time, but the principle is, we've got to do it. That's the answer; we've got to do it and get the message across to the people. That's the only thing; there is no other chance. Forget

this Republican nonsense; they're just wolves trying to find a place to bark in. But that's the answer, and there is no other answer.

OGDEN: So, that was from 2013, but as you can see, that's the core of Lyndon LaRouche's Four Economic Laws; that's the entirety of the program. That's the kind of voice of authority

that we have to come into the scene with, and speak with that kind of forcefulness, that kind of authority. That's exactly the

voice of Lyndon LaRouche that this constituency bloc can be formed around. As I said, what Trump tapped into – whether he knew it or not – is indeed the LaRouche constituency there in the heartland, in the industrial Midwest.

So, Bill, I just wanted to let you make some concluding points, but this is the theme. We can very rapidly take this so-called Rust Belt and bring it into the Belt and Road Initiative; and bring the New Paradigm of great projects into the

Midwest and awaken that kind of optimism. So, Bill, I invite you to just go ahead.

ROBERTS: Well, I think what Lyn said right there is absolutely key; that's it. People got brainwashed into thinking

that money is the key to wealth; that money is economy. And Lyn

said "No. Cancel a lot of that money. We don't need the money."

People said, "Cancel the money? How can we do that?" The

point

is, you don't need it, and what you need is, you need the machine

tool capability, you need the advanced farming, and you need the

things that go along with that. I wish we would have had a graphic in terms of where the funding goes in a national credit

system, because that's really what he was addressing here.

But

the key is, you need the credit. We can build everything we need

to. The people, the "toolies" in these areas as they call them,

in these counties where people switched profile and voted for Trump; they understand this. They understand how what is central

to an economy; what is essential to a productive workforce.

The

issue is credit. You don't need Wall Street trying to make 11%-12% off of any money that they loose from their hands.

What

you need is to organize the credit; then the people can build the

economy. You don't need the straitjacket of this monetary system. In fact, if Trump doesn't move against this Wall Street

financial bubble, this will bring the country down. It's a ticking time bomb right now, waiting to go off; as William White

and others have said. This thing is ready to go. If this is not

moved against with the Glass-Steagall policy, we're looking at a

complete and utter disaster. But the good news is, we don't need

it. It's simply that the American citizenry, the people

watching

this today, have to take it as a personal challenge that we have

to create among these constituencies of the country, the notion

that there is a standard of competence for Federal office.

That

standard of competence is the comprehension of this principle; this non-monetarist credit system principle that we have been discussing today.

I guarantee that if you do that, people will listen.

OGDEN: Well, let me put on the screen one more time "A Campaign for Victory: The Campaign to Win the Future". This is

the electoral platform that LaRouche PAC has put out for 2018.

And Bill, you're right in the middle of mobilizing the constituencies there in the Midwest. We need a national mobilization to endorse this platform; not only candidates for office, but Bill, as you said, the building trades, the labor unions, the productive workers, the agricultural organizations.

These are the constituency bases that need to come to understand

this as principle. The link is there on the screen:

LPAC.CO/YT2018. This is the LaRouche PAC election platform for 2018.

We've got a lot of work to do, because it is our responsibility to communicate what you just said, Bill. This is

a non-monetarist principle; it means that you have to raise your

level of comprehension of what economics is really all about.

This is not monetarism, this is not Wall Street; this is a question of what makes mankind a unique creative species, and how

is that reflected in national economic policy. So, that's what

is contained in the LaRouche PAC 2018 Campaign to Win the Future.

We ask you to join our mobilization; endorse this, and become a

part of what we're doing nationally. This is our strategy for victory.

So Bill, thank you very much for joining me here today.

It's good to hear from you; it's good to hear what's happening out there in the Midwest, and we look forward to being in touch a

lot more. I think we can look forward to a real mobilization.

So, thank you very much.

And thank you for tuning in to larouchepac.com. Please stay tuned; we have a lot of work to do, and we'll see you next week.

Thank you. Signing off, this is Matthew Ogden. Good night.

**Forrykte neokonservative
sætter**

krig på dagsordenen:

**Bestræbelserne på at
gennemføre**

Russiagate-kuppet må

nedkæmpes .

Helga Zepp-LaRouche i

Schiller Institut

Nyt Paradigme Webcast, 25.

jan., 2018

pdf og video

Vi har brug for en politisk diskussion om, hvor skal menneskets fremtid være om 50 år, om 100 år fra nu, og ønsker vi at blive voksne, som art, hvor folk skatter andre ting end blot materielle ting? Folk bør, mener jeg, tænke over det faktum, at vi befinder os ved en korsvej, hvor, hvis vi gør vores job ordentligt, lige nu, og bringer USA og de europæiske nationer ind i samarbejde med den Nye Silkevej, så kan vi få en totalt ny civilisationsæra, sandsynligvis i vores egen levetid. Og jeg vil appellere til vore lyttere, til dig, om at kontakte os, gå sammen med os, hjælpe vore bestræbelser og gør de ting, vi siger, mere kendt.

Download (PDF, Unknown)

Sandheden siver ud om Russiagate -svindelen; Vi skal optrappe for at besejre det britiske kup

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 24. jan., 2018 – Sandheden siver ud om Russiagate-svindelen, og om, at den særlige anklager Robert Mueller blev sat ind for at være en »juridisk lejemororder«. Næsten hver dag kommer der nye afsløringer om dette, som åbner op for muligheden for at en optrapning for at besejre hele den britiske kupoperation kan finde sted i takt med den voksende fare for et finansielt blow-out og en krig, der drives frem af de neokonservative.

Blandt de seneste bekræftelser på kuppets *modus operandi* er tidligere topmedarbejder i den Nationale Sikkerhedstjeneste (NSA) Bill Binneys vurdering, at FBI's påstand om at have »mistet« fem måneders tekstbeskeder mellem to anti-Trump topagenter i FBI, Peter Strzok og Lisa Page, »stinker langt væk«. Binney har i løbet af denne uge i flere interviews forklaret, hvilke NSA-kapaciteter, der er involveret, til at opfange, sikre og spore kommunikationer.

Én af tekstbeskederne mellem Strzok og Page, der rent faktisk er offentliggjort, og som er fra dagen efter valget 8. nov., 2016, henviser til deres plan om at ty til et møde i et »hemmeligt selskab« nu, da Trump har vundet. I går sagde senator Ron Johnson (R-WI), formand for Komiteen for Indenlandske Sikkerheds- og Regeringsanliggender, at han har en meddeler, der ved, at sådanne private samtaler i et »hemmeligt selskab« faktisk fandt sted, på lokaliteter uden for FBI. Han og senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), formand for

Retsudvalget, sendte et brev i går til Justitsministeriets generalinspektør, og som med frist til 29. jan. krævede at vide alt om disse »mistede« tekstbeskeder, inklusiv, hvorfor Justitsministeriet/FBI med hensyn til dem svarede Kongressen undvigende.

Med hensyn til Robert Mueller selv, så kommer afsløringer af hans forbrydelser i forbindelse med 11. september, 2001, nu i stigende grad frem i offentligheden, med rapporten fra *Florida Bulldog* fra 18. jan., der siger, at Mueller, som en FBI-topembedsmand, med sin underskrift godkendte FBI's mørklægning af saudiarabere i Sarasota, der havde forbindelse til angrebene 11. september, en rapport, der nu tages op i andre medier.

Kravet om at få disse forbrydelser og alle andre løgne og tilsløringer frem i dagens lys, og dernæst gøre en ende på dem, vokser. I dag kom kongresmedlem Walter Jones (R-NC) med en erklæring, der støttede det nye memorandum fra formanden for Husets Efterretningskomite, kongresmedlem David Nunes (R-CA), om FBI/FISA's meddelagtighed i 2016. Jones brugte historiske vendinger: Jeg har altid været stærk tilhænger af gennemskuelighed og åben regering. Jeg ledede de succesfulde initiativer for at afklassificere de '28 sider' af rapporten om 11. september og afklassificere tidligere ikke-frigivne statslige filer om mordet på præsident John F. Kennedy ...«

I dag gentog senator Grassley sin anmodning til Justitsministeriet om at åbne en kriminel efterforskning af den »forhenværende« britiske efterretningsagent Christopher Steele. Både Grassley og Nunes har et memorandum, som de arbejder for, skal afklassificeres og offentliggøres.

Netop, som Russiagate synker, foregår der en optrapning for et fremstød for regulær krig, og som er anstiftet af den samme hoben britiske kupmagere, gennem neokonservative og neoliberale aktiver. Det seneste udtryk herfor er en ny, multinational enhed, der blev dannet i Paris den 23. jan. og

brugt som platform for at angribe Rusland: »Internationalt Partnerskab imod Straffrihed for Anvendelse af Kemiske Våben«. Den syriske regering anklages for at bruge kloringas i denne uge. Desværre gik USA's udenrigsminister Rex Tillerson med på sagen og sagde, at Rusland er »ultimativt ansvarligt« for angreb med kemiske våben i Syrien. Han sagde desuden, »Ruslands fiasko mht. at løse spørgsmålet om kemiske våben i Syrien sætter spørgsmålstegn ved Ruslands relevans i løsningen af den overordnede krise«.

Det bør bemærkes, at Tillerson kom til Paris direkte fra London, hvor han i sidste weekend mødtes med geopolitikens inderste kredse. Man ser den britiske hånd i Det Hvide Hus mht. Syrien; og ligeledes i den historiske udredning af den berygtede, britiske efterretningsagent Christopher Steeles involvering i Ukraine, og senere, i Russiagate.

Bagtæppet for alt dette er det monetaristiske finanssystems forestående undergang, som den kendte BIS- og OECD-økonom William White i denne uge identificerede som et »Catch-22«, et Punkt 22, hvor politikken med den kvantitative lempelse (QE) ikke kan fortsætte uden, at der kommer et blow-out; men at stoppe det vil også indebære et blow-out.

Op over alt dette hævdede de rapporter sig, der blev aflagt på Davos Økonomiske Verdensforum i dag, på panelet, »Bælte & Vejs indvirkning på verden.

Præsident Xi Jinpings topøkonom, Liu He, talte om, hvad Kina gør på den hjemlige og den internationale front for fælles udvikling. Der er rent konkret et nyt momentum for dette på den vestlige halvkugle, hvor der i denne uge blev lagt planer for Bælte & Vej Initiativet i Syd- og Mellemamerika og Mexico, af Kina og Sammenslutningen af Latinamerikanske og Caribiske Stater (CELAC).

Ved at bryde det britiske kup, kan dens modstandere, USA, blive befriet til at deltage i den Nye Silkevej i alle de

amerikanske kontinenter og videre endnu, til fordel for verden.

Foto: FBI-direktør modtager applaus under præsident Barack Obamas bemærkninger i Det Hvide Hus' Rosehave, 21. juni, 2013. Præsidenten annoncerede James Comey, højre, som sin nominerede kandidat til at efterfølge Mueller. (Official White House Photo)

Muellers Russiagate-fupnummer er i færd med at falde sammen, men de neokonservative vil med djævelens vold og magt have krig

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 23. jan., 2018 – Der skal ikke herske nogen misforståelser med hensyn til, at de to, modsatrettede udviklingsforløb internt i USA i dag på en eller anden måde skulle være rent tilfældigt.

På den ene side befinder den nu to år lange kampagne for at stoppe Donald Trump, det såkaldte »Russiagate«, orkestreret af den britiske efterretningsagent Christopher Steele og hans aktiver i Obamas efterretningssamfund, sig på randen af kollaps. Denne konspirationskliges deciderede kriminelle handlinger er nu afsløret – og mange af dem bør ende i

fængsel. Peter Strzok, der ledede meget af Ruslands-efterforskningen i FBI, og som medlem af Robert Muellers Russiagate-specialstyrke, er blevet taget i at prale i en tekstbesked til sin elskerinde (og FBI-agentkollega) om et »hemmeligt selskab«, der skulle bringe Trump til fald umiddelbart efter dennes valgsejr. Strzok er også blevet afsløret i at give udtryk for, at der ikke var noget hold i anklagerne om aftalt spil med Rusland selv, da han gik med i Muellers heksejagt.

På den anden side har de neokonservative høge på begge sider af gulvet og i London, og endda inden for Trumps umiddelbare kreds, udløst et skingert hyl om at forberede til krig mod de falske »trusler« fra Rusland og Kina. Kineserne har taget denne fare til efterretning; russerne har mere end taget den til efterretning. Der er mange advarsler, der kommer fra alle dele af det russiske etablissement, om, at Vesten synes at være gået fra forstanden – at, på trods af Trumps klare og gentagne opfordringer til fredelige og venligtsindede relationer med Rusland, nærmer krigsfremstødet sig hastigt det punkt, hvorfra det ikke er muligt at vende om.

På Davos Økonomiske Verdensforum, der åbnede i dag, sagde Andrei Kostin, adm. dir. for VTB, en af Ruslands førende banker, til *Financial Times*, at hans største bekymring er den farlige situation, som skabes af NATO's våbenoprustning i Europa, der kunne udgøre en fare for en »ulykkeshændelse« mellem NATO og russiske styrker. »Vi står ved indledningen til et nyt våbenkapløb«, sagde Kostin, der er meget tæt på Putin. »NATO kræver flere våben og spreder flere våben i Europa, og Rusland vil absolut give igen i samme mål.« Den tidligere amerikanske forsvarsminister William Perry har fastslået samme pointe – at holdningen med »affyr på befaling« og den uforsvarlige amerikanske militære oprustning både i Europa og i Stillehavsområdet, har bragt verden nærmere til krig, end det nogensinde var tilfældet under den Kolde Krig.

Kostin kom med endnu en advarsel, i lyset på trusler i USA's

Kongres om endnu flere sanktioner mod Rusland: »Sanktioner mod institutioner overhovedet ville, siger jeg personligt, være ligesom at erklære krig. Jeg ser ingen grund til, at den russiske ambassadør skulle blive i Washington længere efter det, eller at den amerikanske ambassadør skulle blive og svømme i koldt vand i Moskva. Jeg mener, at dette er en 'værre end den kolde krig'-situation, og det er meget farligt. Og jeg mener, at Kongressen leger med ilden, for relationerne går fra dårlige til værre, og det har vi ikke ansvaret for.«

Der findes kun én måde at stoppe galskaben på. De geopolitiske blænder må rives bort fra de amerikanske og europæiske befolkningers øjne, og i særdeleshed fra deres ledes øjne. Det nye paradigme for menneskeheden, som for længst er blevet foreslået af Lyndon og Helga LaRouche, er ikke længere blot en vision for fremtiden – det ligger lige foran os, i den Nye Silkevej, der allerede er i færd med at transformere flertallet af nationer i verden, i Asien, Afrika, Latinamerika og selv i dele af Europa og USA. At tilskrive denne transformation, der hviler på et tæt samarbejde mellem Kina og Rusland i både økonomiske og strategiske anliggender, onde hensigter, er det rene galskab. Der er intet, der holder de vestlige nationer tilbage fra fuldt og helt at deltage i denne nye organisering af relationer i verden – ikke ved at blive erstattet som den dominerende magt af de fremvoksende magter (sådan, som de sande geopolitiske troende siger, det forholder sig), men derimod som fulde partnere i et partnerskab mellem suveræne nationer, der er forpligtet over for én fælles vision: en bedre fremtid for menneskeheden.

LaRouche Political Action Committee's afsløring af det britiskorkestrerede »Russiagate« som et kupforsøg imod USA's regering, er nu brudt ud i offentlighedens søgelys, men City of Londons og Wall Streets finansielle Herrer ville foretrække krig frem for en afslutning på deres imperierige. Denne kamp kan vindes, men desperationen hos den døende art, der kendes som oligarkiet, efterlader meget lidt tid til at opnå denne

store transformation. Tiden for handling er nu inde for alle gode sjæle.

Foto: »Kupmagere 'in action'.« (Fotoet er faktisk taget efter Boston-bombningerne; Whitehouse photo)

Præsident Trumps egen nye Nationale Forsvarsstrategi er en større trussel mod ham end Mueller og 'Russiagate'

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 23. jan., 2018 – Hvordan går det til, at premierminister Shinzo Abes lederskab i Japan nu ser både Kina og Rusland som nære partnere for samarbejde, alt imens præsident Trumps forsvarsminister og nationale sikkerhedsrådgiver erklærer Rusland og Kina for større trusler mod USA, end international terrorisme?

Japan er Amerikas nærmeste allierede i Asien, nærmeste partner mht. at lægge pres på Nordkorea om at blive atomvåbenfrit, og er en umiddelbar nabo til både Kina og Rusland, og til Koreahalvøen. Premierminister Abe er, ligesom Trump, gentagne gange mødtes med den russiske præsident Putin over samme tidsperiode, og har, ligesom Trump, haft en *intention* om at udvikle samarbejde.

Men, Abe har gennemført denne intention, og gennemfører nu

også Japans tilslutning til Bælte & Vej Initiativet; det er Trump blevet forhindret i. Som både Rusland og Kina klart ser, så er han blevet forhindret af sin 'McCarthy'-opposition, som på det bestemteste omfatter det Republikanske Parti og militæret.

»Russiagate«-kupforsøget mod Trump, anført af den juridiske lejemorder Robert Mueller, har lidt alvorlig skade gennem vores egen og andres afsløringer, og kan besejres.

Nu udgør det strategiske vanvid, der omgiver præsidenten – og som det nye udkast til en National Forsvarsstrategi, der blev afsløret af forsvarsminister Mattis – en større fare: for ham, for USA og for menneskeslægten. Tag tidligere forsvarsminister William Perrys advarsel til efterretning, om, at det falske missilvarsel på Hawaii ikke var falsk alarm, men derimod alarmklokken om at vågne op, der ringede, og som fortalte, hvor tæt vi er bare på atomødelæggelse pr. fejltagelse under en konfrontationskrise mellem atommagter. Tag til efterretning de advarsler, der kommer fra kongresmedlem Tulsi Gabbard fra Hawai'i om, at Bush-Obamas »krige for regimeskifte« skal afsluttes – som det var Trumps hensigt – eller også vil truslen om atomkrig ligge foran vores dør.

Selv, hvis vi undgår denne ødelæggelse, så vil strategien for konfrontation mellem stormagter, som for nylig er udtalt af vicepræsident Spence, forsvarsminister Mattis, gale Napoleon'er i GOP (det Republikanske Parti) såsom senatorerne Marco Rubio og Ted Cruz, osv., fjerne enhver chance for samarbejde med Kina i Bælte og Vej Initiativet for store infrastrukturplaner, eller med Rusland om fred i Sydvestasien.

En ny Kold Krig? Glem det. I den Kolde Krig havde USA flere allierede. Med sin erklæring om krig med Kina, Rusland og den »Nye Silkevej«, har Amerika kun én fjende: Storbritannien, den store udnytter af amerikansk militærmagt og store ødelægger, indefra, af amerikansk, økonomisk magt.

Præsidenten bør – hvad han muligvis også vil – erklære den nye Nationale Forsvarsstrategi for ugyldig, og så i stedet benytte muligheden i Davos (Økonomiske Verdensforum, 23.-26. jan., -red) eller med sin State of the Union-tale til at erklære, at USA tilslutter sig den »Nye Silkevej«. Det vil for Amerikas indenrigspolitik indebære det, Lyndon LaRouche har kaldt »Fire Nye Love til Nationens Redning«, som vi nu mobiliserer for at sætte i centrum for de forestående midtvejsvalg.

Foto: Præsident Donald J. Trump underskriver H.R. 2810, National Defense Authorization Act for budgetåret 2018. 12. december, 2017. (Official White House Photo by Stephanie Chasez)

En ny Kold Krig? – Nej, men det kunne blive værre end en Kold Krig

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 21. jan., 2018 – Fra Rusland, fra Kina og fra New York Universitets dr. Stephen F. Cohen i USA taler eksperter om begyndelsen til en ny Kold Krig – og hermed faren for en varm krig mellem supermagterne, og endda atomangreb.

For dem, der gennemlevede det, fremmaner udtrykket »Kold Krig« billedet af et endeløst, endimensionalt mareridt uden mulighed for flugt. Det slår tankeprocessen ihjel – hvilket vi har meget dårligt råd til lige nu.

I realiteten er den historiske parallel helt forkert – men resultaterne af de neokonservatives og neoliberales vilde kasten USA ind i konfrontation med Rusland og Kina i dag kunne

rent faktisk være værre end forrige århundredes Kolde Krig. Husk, at, efter forbrydelsen med to atombomber over Japan, blev atomvåben aldrig brugt under den Kolde Krig – selvom der var mange tilløb og tilfælde af ren held. Amerikanske tropper har aldrig kæmpet mod russiske tropper. Selvom amerikanske og kinesiske tropper kæmpede i Korea, var de to nationer aldrig rent faktisk i krig med hinanden. Det var på et hængende hår i missilkrisen på Cuba – hvor civilisationen kun blev reddet af den snart herefter myrdede præsident Jack Kennedy. Men på en eller anden måde blev de galninge, som fandtes i mængder på begge sider, sluttelig holdt stangen – ofte kun lige.

Men, holdes de stangen nu? Er det, hvad vi ser i det aktuelle opgør i regeringen i USA, eller i den demokratiske senator fra Illinois, Dick Durbins obskøne bagvaskelse? Nej, tværtimod! Betydningen er her, at »modstandsbevægelsen« har overtaget galeanstalten, som i Edgar Allan Poes »Doktor Tjære og professor Fjers system«. Deres »strategi« er »bare kom an!« »Jo værre, desto bedre!« Især nu, hvor kendsgerninger er ved at blive offentliggjort, som vil sprænge hele »Russiagate«-svindlen imod præsident Trump og Forfatningen. De håber, imod alt håb, at hvis de simpelt hen endevender alting og smider det ud ad vinduet, så vil det på en eller anden måde føre til fjernelser af den forhadte præsident Trump.

En mand, der brugte sin hjerne helt, mens han levede det halve af sit liv i den Kolde Krig, er statsmand Lyndon LaRouche. Med forbløffende nøjagtighed forudsagde han vejen ud af skyggerne, kastet af et atomholocaust. Bælte & Vej, den Nye Silkevej, er blot nutidens version af hans vision, der går tilbage til 1960'erne. Selvom hans Strategiske Forsvarsinitiativ aldrig blev virkelig vedtaget af begge supermagterne – selvom vi var tæt på – så bragte det ikke desto mindre den Kolde Krig til en afslutning ved udgangen af 1980'erne sådan, som LaRouche så præcist havde forudsagt.

LaRouche og hans metode, med de Fire Love, som inkorporerer det Strategiske Forsvarsinitiativ i hele hans karriere som en

banebrydende videnskabsmand og økonom, kan bringe os gennem denne krise tids nok til at undgå den katastrofe, der truer.

Foto: Præsident Donald J. Trump og præsident Nursultan Nazarbayev fra Kasakhstan under en arbejdsfrokost. 16. januar, 2018. (Official White House Photo by Joyce N. Boghosian)

»Forlæng den Nye Silkevej til Vestasien og Afrika« LaRouche PAC Internationale Webcast 19. jan., 2018, med Hussein Askary og Jason Ross, forfatterne af Schiller Instituttets nye rapport

*Vi har et helt særligt program i dag; med mig i studiet har jeg Jason Ross, og via video fra Sverige har jeg Hussein Askary. Jason og Hussein er begge medforfattere af en ny rapport, der netop er udgivet, med titlen, **»Forlæng den Nye Silkevej til Vestasien og Afrika: En vision for en økonomisk renæssance«**.*

(OBS! Se invitation til seminar i København 5. febr. med Hussein Askary)

[Bemærk: Der er mange billeder, der hver er separat nummererede af de forskellige talere; det er selvfølgelig bedst at se videoen, -red.]

Vært Matthew Ogden: Det er 19. januar, og dette er vores ugentlige fredags-webcast fra larouchepac.com.

Vi har et helt særligt program i dag; med mig i studiet har jeg Jason Ross, og via video fra Sverige har jeg Hussein Askary. Jason og Hussein er begge medforfattere af en ny rapport, der netop er udgivet, med titlen, »**Forlæng den Nye Silkevej til Vestasien og Afrika: En vision for en økonomisk renaissance**«.

Det bliver emnet for aftenens udsendelse; men før vi kommer til det, vil jeg gerne lægge ud med at sige, at LaRouche Political Action Committee har indledt en national kampagne for at sætte betingelserne for valgene 2018. Som I ser her, er titlen for vores kampagne »**Kampagnen for at vinde fremtiden**«, og det er titlen på en erklæring, der nu cirkuleres i hele landet. Erklæringens indhold fremlægger de politiske prioriteter, der vil bestemme udfaldet af valgene her i USA i år, med hensyn til dette lands overlevelse. Vi er i det indledende stadie for at indsamle underskrifter på denne erklæring, og vi opfordrer seerne, især her i USA, til at underskrive denne kampagne. URL ses her på skærmen, og I kan også få organisationer i valgkredsene, medlemmer af delstatskongresserne, siddende medlemmer af USA's Kongres og i særdeleshed kandidater til offentligt (føderalt) embede, til at underskrive denne kampagne.

Indholdet af denne programerklæring er meget signifikant. Den kræver, at USA vedtager Lyndon LaRouches Fire Økonomiske Love, dvs.: Vedtag Glass-Steagall for at rejse en brandmur mellem kommerciel, produktiv bankaktivitet og spekulativ bankaktivitet på Wall Street; for det andet, at indføre et nationalbanksystem (statsligt banksystem) i Alexander Hamiltons tradition; for det tredje, brug billioner af dollar

i føderal (statslig) kredit til at løfte det amerikanske folk og for at skabe produktiv beskæftigelse på det højeste og mest avancerede teknologiske niveau; og for det fjerde, sæt et forceret program i gang, der går i retning af udvikling af fusionskraft og udvidelsen af bemanded rumfart.

Det er meget, meget vigtigt, at vi har indledt denne kampagne nu, for vi går nu ind i de sidste 11-dages nedtælling fra nu og frem til præsident Trumps State of the Union-tale den 30. jan. Indholdet af dette politiske programforslag må være bestemmende for præsidentskabets politiske program her i USA. Som I ser, er vore to punkter på dagsordenen 1) Vedtag Lyndon LaRouches Fire Love, og 2) Gå med i den Nye Silkevej.

Det bliver emnet for vores diskussion i dag. For de seere, der evt. ikke ved det, så blev ideen om den Nye Silkevej først udarbejdet af Lyndon og Helga LaRouche i 1980'erne. Det var den daværende Eurasiske Landbro for at udvikle det eurasiske kontinents indlandsområder, som forbinder Øst og Vest. Det blev til den Nye Silkevej og blev kaldt således af præsident Xi Jinping i Kina, da han i 2013 vedtog dette. Det udviklede sig så til Bælte & Vej Initiativet, som var en forbindelse mellem den landbaserede Silkevej og udviklingen af en Maritim Silkevej.

Gennem LaRouche-bevægelsens lederskab udvides dette nu til ikke blot en eurasisk Ny Silkevej, men en Verdenslandbro, der omfatter alle Jordens kontinenter, inklusive Vesteuropa, Central- og Sydamerika, Nordamerika og for vores udsendelse her i dag i særdeleshed, Afrika.

Udviklingen af Afrika har ligesom været en slags lakmusprøve for menneskeheden i dag: Kina har taget denne udfordring op og har bestået prøven og sat standarden, som resten af verden må følge. Vi har set dette inspirere andre nationer, og for nylig har vi haft et meget signifikant gennembrud med den franske præsident Emmanuel Macrons besøg i Kina, hvor han mødtes med præsident Xi Jinping og erklærede, at Frankrig favner billedet

af udvikling af verden gennem den Nye Silkevej, inklusive, at Frankrig ønsker at arbejde sammen med Kina om Afrikas udvikling. Dette er måske en bodsgang for Frankrigs kolonialistiske imperiefortid, men det, præsident Macron havde at sige, var meget signifikant.

Som I ser, så holdt han en meget signifikant tale i Xi'an, og i denne tale diskuterede han, hvad Kina har gjort for at udvikle Afrika og for at løfte 700 millioner af sin egen befolkning ud af fattigdom, og at Frankrig nu må imødekomme opfordringen til at deltage i denne udvikling, især udviklingen i Afrika, i partnerskab med Kina. Her følger et par citater af, hvad præsident Macron havde at sige:

»Det er lykkedes Kina i de seneste par årtier at løfte 700 millioner mennesker ud af fattigdom ... Men jeg tænker også på Afrika. Kina har i de seneste par år investeret stort i infrastruktur og råmaterialer med en finansiell styrke, som europæiske lande ikke har. Samtidig har Frankrig historisk og kulturel viden om Afrika, som giver det mange aktiver for fremtiden.

Vi må ikke gentage fortidens fejltagelser, med at skabe politisk og finansiell afhængighed under påskud af udvikling ... det turde være unødvendigt at sige, at denne udvikling kun vil ske i fællesskab ... Frankrig har erfaringen med en ensidig imperialisme i Afrika, der undertiden har ført til det værste, og i dag, med disse nye Silkeveje, der åbner op ... Jeg mener, at partnerskabet mellem Frankrig og Kina kan gøre det muligt at undgå en gentagelse af disse fejltagelser ... Det er en moralsk udfordring, og jeg håber oprigtigt, at vi kan imødekomme den sammen ... Det enorme arbejde, der gøres med infrastruktur og økonomisk udvikling, vil give et nyt ansigt til disse nye Silkeveje på det afrikanske kontinent.«

Som præsident Macron sagde, »det er en moralsk udfordring«; og nu får Afrika, der har været et af de mest underudviklede, fejllærte, forarmede og tilbagestående steder på planeten,

muligheden for en renæssance og for at blive et knudepunkt for udvikling for hele dette område af planeten.

Som jeg sagde, så er titlen på aftenens udsendelse »Forlæng den Nye Silkevej til Vestasien og Afrika«, og jeg vil lade Jason Ross introducere jer til Hussein Askary, og vi kan diskutere indholdet af denne specialrapport, der netop er udgivet.

Jason Ross: Jeg tror, vi skal gå direkte til Hussein nu. Hussein Askary har arbejdet i området i mange år. Han er den, der oversatte *EIR's* Specialrapport, »Den Nye Silkevej bliver til Verdenslandbroen« til arabisk og lancerede denne oversættelse i Kairo på et møde med den egyptiske transportminister.

Hussein har arbejdet meget på dette. Sammen har vi skrevet denne 274-siders rapport, I ser her. I kan få en kopi af denne rapport på Amazon og direkte gennem vores site også, [LPAC.CO/ExtendedSilkRoad], I ser linket her for neden, for at få en kopi.

Og hermed, lad os høre fra Hussein.

(Her følger et engelsk udskrift af resten af udsendelsen).

HUSSEIN ASKARY: Thank you, Jason and Matt. I'm very happy to be on this show. The writing of this report, actually, which took us several months last year, together with you, Jason, and a great team of collaborators in the Schiller Institute, it was a bit of a paradox, because we were writing this report from the standpoint of the future, and therefore the tone is optimism in

the report. But at the same time, when you look at the news from Southwest Asia, which people wrongly call the "Middle East,"

and Africa, the news that these regions are, you know, hell-holes and people are fleeing from there by tens of thousands, there's famines, there's wars, and all kinds of things. But, if you keep digging your feet into that so-called

"reality," which is artificially created by geopolitics, you will

never come out and you will never be able to think clearly to solve the problem.

And therefore, as Lyndon LaRouche always says, it's the future that determines the present. It's our vision of the future which gives us the inspiration and the means of thinking

to change our behavior today. And this is something which we hope that with this report, too, and all the other campaigns we

are having, to change the minds of people, and of leadership, whether it's in the United States or Europe, or Southwest Asia,

or Africa – anywhere.

At the same time, we are not naïve, we are not in the ivory tower, sitting and drawing nice baths, but this is a very scientific study, based on LaRouche's idea of physical economy,

but also they are philosophical and humanist principles throughout this whole report and the project we are designing, which goes both humanist Christian tradition and also the Confucian humanist Chinese tradition. We have provided for the

readers of this report, a complete picture of what are the tools

needed, whether physically, or intellectually, scientifically and

morally, to be able to reach this future we are outlining in

the
report.

And we are not simply just reporting on “great things” that have already happened, that China is doing, but we are drawing a map towards the future: A future which Lyndon LaRouche already, more than 30 years ago, when the African Union published the Lagos Plan of Action for the development of Africa, he criticized the reaction to that policy by saying that you cannot adhere to the existing financial and economic and moral policies of the existing order, and at the same time achieve the development goals of Africa. You have to have a complete shift. And that shift is what Helga Zepp-LaRouche, the president of the Schiller Institute now says is the New Paradigm, the New Paradigm which has been launched by China and its partners in the BRICS, Russia and other nations, and many more nations are joining. Now, if we look at the first slide, the Silk Road, this is what Matt said in terms of our development of the idea – the LaRouches’ development of the idea of the World Land-Bridge, to bring all the continents together. Now, the New Silk Road is already reaching West Asia and Africa. Egypt has been building the new Suez Canal to adapt to the Maritime Silk Road, and the other nations, like Ethiopia, Kenya, and others are already in collaboration and new railway systems have been built. So already on the ground, that’s taking place. But what is needed is a larger vision which we provide. Now, also we have to reverse many of the old policies which have been followed, which have kept Africa impoverished, such as, for

more than 200 years, Africa has been considered by the European colonialists and their partners across the Atlantic, as a looting ground – whether it is slavery, whether it is raw materials, plantations, and so on. And unfortunately, after World War II, the vision of Franklin Roosevelt was not implemented, because he died before the end of the war, and a wholly new type of creatures took over in the United States. And the United States also, with the “special relationship” with the British Empire became a partner in the looting of Africa. And companies we have, like Anglo American, which is a corporation called Anglo American, very active in mining in Africa – I mean, the name tells you all about it. But we just take a look at what has been happening in Africa in at least the last 10-15 years, the attitude, – that’s what is fascinating with the New Paradigm – the attitude of Europe and the United States toward Africa has always been that “Africa is a problem,” while the Chinese see Africa as an “opportunity.” Therefore, the focus by Europe and the United States, while they were looting the continent, were just pushing aid programs. Now, the slide we have, number 2, here, is the “Foreign Direct Investments in Africa,” where we see the United States is the blue line on the top, and China is the red line, which is increasing steadily. The United States, something funny happened in 2008 – there was the financial/economic crisis – then you have a dip in investments in Africa, but also what happens in the

United States is that the first African-American President is elected. And you see, from 2009, U.S. investments in Africa completely collapsed and came down to zero by 2015, while the Chinese investments increased.

Now, there's a flip side to this argument, is because most of the U.S. investments in Africa are in the oil and mining sector. And with the collapse of the oil and mining prices, there

was no more interest; and Mr. Obama also launched the largest fracking operation on Earth in the United States, to make the United States the biggest producers of fossil fuels in the world.

But China's investments continued all the same.

In the next slide, number 3, we see the level of investments by the Export Import Banks of the United States on the one hand,

which is the blue line which is completely dead, on the bottom;

the United States does not issue credit for exports any more to

Africa. But then we have the China Exim Bank increasing its investments, and more interestingly, is that the World Bank, which is the top, and you see where the failure of Western policy

in Africa has been: The World Bank has been investing more than

China in Africa, but it's a completely misdirected investment. It's on tiny, tiny, small programs, there is no financing of large-scale infrastructure as China does; there are no transformative projects, and no new technology.

In the next slide, we can see we have a lot of hypocrisy, saying that the Chinese want to come into Africa to loot African

natural resources, and this image, number 4, shows a very clear

picture that it is actually the United States and the Western countries, but with the United States, the investments in

Africa

have been mostly in the mining sector and the Chinese investments

have been very diversified, in construction, manufacturing, mining, and others, such as agriculture, for example.

We can see also, the next slide, is Britain. Now, China is the largest, and people think, is not the largest investor in Africa, yet. It's the United States and Britain which have been

the biggest investors in Africa. But as we showed the United States is mostly interested in mining, energy, and metals; and here we have Britain, you can see the last 10 years of investments. ["U.K. Foreign Direct Investment Positions in Africa, 2005-2014"] And the last two columns in the breakdown into types of investments: The red one is mining, and the light

blue is in the financial sector, which is also looting Africa's financial resources.

So that's really the picture. And in the final slide in this group, number 5, we have where the investments of the Import Export Banks have gone: The United States has 71% of all loans

from the Exim Bank, although it has been very, very little, but

70% of it is in the mining sector; while China, the greatest chunk of the Exim Bank investments has been in the transportation

sector. And of course, there's mining and energy, communications, water, and other – very, very important sectors

for Africa's development.

Now, what we have, in addition to this looting of Africa, we have the hypocrisy which is very rampant in the West, like in Europe and the United States, that "we have to help Africa."

Now,

when they talk about "helping Africa" is simply very small

relief

projects to keep things as they are. And they usually talk about

“sustainable development.” Now, “sustainable development” does

not mean that you build modern technology, technologies that we

have in the United States or in Europe, whether it’s in transport

or power generation; it is absolutely forbidden to support roads,

railways, nuclear power, hydropower – there is nothing like that. What they are proposing is simply, as President Obama, as

we show in one of the slides, when he went to Africa, his idea,

he had projects called “Power Africa,” for power generation in Africa, and we looked at the numbers and you know, the goal of Obama’s Power Africa is to keep Africa exactly as it is, with very, very slight changes here and there. And also what was being proposed was this idea of using solar energy, which everybody knows is not efficient to have a modern, industrialized economy.

So this has been a real problem in dealing with Africa. And as we have seen, that China has completely different idea about Africa –

ROSS: Hussein, why don’t we switch over to a clip we have of President Obama explaining what he thinks about African energy development?

PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA: It’s going to be your generation that suffers the most. Ultimately, if you think about all the youth that everybody’s mentioned here in Africa, if

everybody's
raising living standards to the point where everybody's got a
car, and everybody's got air conditioning and everybody's got
a
big house, well, the planet will boil over. [end video]

ROSS: That was President Obama in South Africa.

ASKARY: And in fact, that's really revealing, because
that's his soul speaking, because they consider human beings
as a
burden. Now, the United Nations statistics say that by 2050,
the
bulk of the world's population growth will take place in
Africa.

And of the additional 2.5 billion new people, projected to be
born between 2015 and 2050, 1.3 billion will be added in
Africa,

which means Africa's population will reach about 3 billion
people. Now, for Obama and the Malthusians this is a huge
problem. But for China, this is a great opportunity!

And if we look, in 2015, which is very interesting, a
complete contrast with what Obama's saying, when President Xi
Jinping went to South Africa, the same place where Obama was
speaking, in December 2015 at the Forum on China-Africa
Cooperation (FOCAC), this is slide number 10, President Xi
Jinping said something very interesting, which is really the
spirit of the New Paradigm: What he told the African leaders
is,

I quote, "Industrialization is an inevitable path to a
country's

economic success. Within a short span of several decades,
China

has accomplished what took developed countries hundreds of
years

to accomplish and put in place a complete industrial system
with

an enormous productive capacity.” And then he continues and says,
“It is entirely possible for Africa, as the world’s most promising region in terms of development potential, to bring into play its advantages and achieve great success. The achievement of inclusive and sustainable development within Africa, hinges on industrialization, which holds the key to creating jobs, eradicating poverty, and improving people’s living standards.”
Now, wow! What a contrast! President Xi Jinping said that by using modern technology as scientific development, we have achieved miracles in China and this really applies to Africa, too, as developing nations. And he means it. So the Chinese now have turned the whole idea of “sustainable development” upside down. What people think in Europe and the United States about sustainable development means, pumps for water, the small solar panels – no! China’s talking about [industrialization] and it’s also the latest, the state-of-the-art technology available. Because this is also interesting from an economic-scientific standpoint, because what China experienced that instead of going back to square one, going back to the industrialization process where the United States and Europe started, with the steam engine – no, you start not with that, you start with the best technology available today, and that’s high-speed railway for example. The same thing applies to Africa.

ROSS: You know, Hussein, you and I were both at a conference in November in Germany, in Bad Soden, and one of the

speakers there was a Chinese professor He Wenping, who gave some talks about Chinese approach towards Africa. And since you're bringing up what China's policy is, why don't run a short clip of what she had to say, to hear it from a Chinese person directly?

DR. HE WINPING: But now, I think One Belt, One Road is entering 2.0 version—that is, now facing all the countries in the world. As President Xi Jinping mentioned to the Latin American countries, “you are all welcome to join the Belt and Road.” In the Chinese “40 Minutes,” Xi said, all the African continent is now on the map of the One Belt, One Road, the whole

African continent, especially after the May Belt and Road Summit in Beijing had taken place. ...

China's One Belt, One Road initiative is relevant to countries, their own development strategy. For example, Ethiopia.

Ethiopia has now been named as the “next China” on the African continent. It's not my invention, these words—many scholars have

been published talking about which country in Africa is going to

be the China in Africa, which means, developing faster! Faster and leading other countries forward. Most of them refer to Ethiopia.

Ethiopia has now reached an GDP growth rate, last year, as high as 8%...

So very quickly, let's move to Africa. In Africa, we have commitment, that is the FOCAC, the full name is the Forum on China-Africa Cooperation. This forum was established in 2000, and

every three years there is a FOCAC meeting. The FOCAC meeting in

2015 took place in Johannesburg, South Africa. In that meeting, President Xi Jinping joined the meeting, put forward ten cooperation plans, and pledged the money—as high as \$60 billion—to cover all ten areas: industrialization, agriculture, infrastructure, finance, environmental protection, and more. The Belt and Road is very good for Africa's job creation. A lot of money has been earmarked to use for the industrialization of Africa. Let me just highlight in my last two minutes, the two areas, like two engines—like in an airplane, if you want to take off, you need two engines: One is industrialization, another is infrastructure. Without good infrastructure, there's no basis for industrialization—short of electricity, short of power, short of roads, and then it's very hard to make industry take off. We have done a lot. Africa now is rising. Before, Africa was regarded as a hopeless continent, more than 15 years ago. But now, with kite flying over, now it's Africa's rising time... Just to show you another infrastructure map: the Mombasa to Nairobi railway that was just finished at the end of May. We are going to build the second phase, from Nairobi all the way to Malaba in Uganda, and then that's an East African Community network. When this railway was finished—this is President Uhuru Kenyatta, saying this laid the foundation for industrialization. This shows people celebrating this railway connection, and this shows a man holding a paper saying "Comfortable, convenient, very

soft, safe, and very beautiful.” And here, very beautiful at 100 years old, a grandmother. [applause] [end video]

ASKARY: Yes, that’s the spirit, that’s the spirit of things that are happening in Africa, which is fantastic. But it’s also a certain projection of the happiness of the Chinese people and their leadership in what they have achieved in their own country.

So China’s saying, we have done this ourselves, you can do it, and we are committed to offering you everything we have achieved, so you can also achieve yours. It’s a win-win policy: It’s good for you, it’s good for us.

It’s completely different from what we have seen in the Western policy, which hopefully will change – what we mentioned

about President Macron, what he had said is really shocking for

me, too. And you see that the New Paradigm, it changes people’s

souls. And this is very, very important that we are becoming more human than before, with these great achievements

So in any case, what we do in this report is, we took for example, if you look at slide 12, this is a map which the African

Union put together in the Lagos Plan of Action in 1982. But nothing has been done. This is for highways. Now, we don’t prefer to have trucks travelling 10,000km from north to south; we

prefer more high-speed railway, standard gauge railways, and so

on. But this is the kind of vision which existed, but it was never implemented.

Our vision of connecting the whole African continent, and also with the so-called Middle East, that this could be done now.

We also believe that the Chinese intention is the same: To integrate all of the African nations, the populations and the natural resources of these nations, and utilize them for the development of Africa itself. Now, in 2014, which is my next slide [slide 13], the Prime Minister of China, Li Keqiang, went

on a tour in Africa. This picture is his meeting with the leaders of the East African Community, which Professor He Wenping

just mentioned in her speech in the video you showed. He told the African leaders that China's intention is to help connect all

the African capitals with high-speed railway. One interesting thing which the Africans themselves say, is that when the Chinese

want to do something here economically, when they want to help,

they are not like the Europeans. The President of Uganda said,

they don't come here with lessons in democracy; they come here to

build things, they are not lecturing us. This is very interesting because China is not imposing anything on any nation.

It's inviting others and offering its capabilities. This was in

May 2014, and in just three years, we have the first standard gauge railway which is in the next slide [slide 14]; Uhuru Kenyatta, very proud, inaugurating the railway from Mombasa to Kenya. There was a British line which was called the Lunatic train, which was very slow, but it was designed to loot African

wealth. And also the Djibouti to Addis Ababa railway was built,

also in three years in record time, and so on and so forth.
So,
China is winning African hearts and minds by doing these investments, but doing them in record time and with no conditionalities involved.
In addition of course, some of the mega-projects which we are demanding be built and encouraging being built in Africa with
China's help, for example we have in slide 15 the Transaqua Project, which is an Italian-designed project to both refill Lake
Chad, which is drying up and threatening 30 million people's lives with drought. To bring just 5% of the water of the tributaries of the Congo River to Lake Chad through an artificial
canal. But at the same time, connect East and West Africa with
railway and roads to open these countries, which are Rwanda, Burundi, and Eastern Congo, the Central African Republic, Chad,
and so on. These nations need outlets to world markets and also
to import useful machines and so on. So, we have been propagating, as the Schiller Institute, for many years and trying
to get the European Union and the United States to support this
project; but they rejected it. Now China is proposing to start
looking at this project, and a Memorandum of Understanding was signed with the Lake Chad Commission to have a feasibility study
of this project; which is a huge project, but it will transform
large parts of Africa, not because of the water itself, but because of the old infrastructure involved in the central part of
of

Africa. The next slide [slide 15] outlines the impact area of this whole project. It will create massive agro-industrial centers in that part of Africa which is suffering the most. The biggest migration from Africa is from these regions into Europe. But instead of having all those young people drowning in the Mediterranean, trying to flee to Europe looking for a decent life, they can stay in their countries now and build their countries by giving them the tools to do that. Of course, there are also other projects, but what's interesting about the Belt and Road is that it's also inspiring, not just helping countries, but inspiring countries to undertake plans which have been dormant for many years. But now the time has come; for example, the new Suez Canal project. There is also connecting to Europe from Morocco, which is the next slide [slide 16]; building a tunnel under the Strait of Gibraltar, connecting Morocco and Spain; and building a high-speed railway, the first high-speed railway in Africa is being built now in Morocco. There are new ports being built, and also a scientific, industrial city being built in cooperation with China. We have another connection between Africa and Europe; we have still not given up on Europe. We want Europe to its and technological potential to contribute to this project and help itself by contributing to Africa's development. We have the Sicily to Tunis tunnel and bridge connection to connect North Africa also to Europe; this is a mega-project, and so on and so forth. We

have also the Grand Inga Dam which China is now interested in building on the Congo River, which will produce a huge amount of

hydropower – 40,000MW of power – which is twice as big as the biggest dam in the world which the Chinese built in China; the Three Gorges Dam. The Inga Dam, or series of dams, will be twice

as big as the Chinese Three Gorges Dam, and a Chinese company has

made an offer to the government of the Democratic Republic of Congo; and there's also a counterbid by a Spanish company.

People should read the report; they should look at all the content and try to understand it with a completely new eye.

The

eye of the New Paradigm, which I think is very important. In conclusion, what I wanted to say initially, is that as we have in

the last slide [slide 19] is this region which people call the Middle East; we call it Southwest Asia. It has been a horrific

scene for the worst results of geopolitics and power politics.

Regime change in Libya; regime change in Iraq; attempted regime

change in Syria supporting terrorist groups. We have a horrible

war in Yemen which should end immediately. It's the worst humanitarian catastrophe in the world right now, taking place in

Yemen. You look at this region and say "How could this region get out of this Hell?" This is what Helga Zepp-LaRouche said: This year we should kill geopolitics. We should end geopolitics.

The idea that nations have to undermine other nations; that nations are in competition with each other; that you have to weaken your adversaries; you have to undermine them, you have to

kill them, you have to ruin their economy, destroy their

infrastructure, so you can become a winner. That ideology is not really human. This has to end now and be replaced by the "win-win" idea, which is the more human kind of idea. The potential for enormous development exists in this region. It's the crossroads of the continents. Both the Belt and the Road pass through there. Forty percent of world trade passes through there. You have natural resources, you have human resources, you have rivers; you have every element necessary to have a massive development process in this region, which will be the basis for establishing peace among the nations of this region and also the big powers. If the United States joins Russia and China in developing this region, this would be the biggest test for mankind. Of course, Africa is very important, but we have things happening in Africa. But, we still have a horrible situation in Southwest Asia, which can lead into new and maybe bigger wars than before. Therefore, I think what Helga is saying that if we use the Belt and Road idea, the idea of "win-win", to crush geopolitics, this would be victory not only for the countries of this region; this will be a victory for all humankind.

ROSS: Absolutely! It's a victory for a concept of mankind. One example that comes to mind is Yemen. Yemen is under constant Saudi bombardment; they've been victims of a war by the Saudis for some time now. Yemen has a very powerful movement within it

for integration with the BRICS; a real sense of “Hey! Even though our conditions right now are what they are, this is our future; and we’ve got to have that future in mind. That’s what

we’re going to make happen.”

You think about the economic potential of Africa, and as you said, it’s so clear, it’s so obvious the economic potential in West Asia and Africa. Geopolitics is what has prevented this development. It’s not that Africa didn’t get the help that it needed; China is showing that it’s an obvious thing to do. It was a deliberate decision to prevent development and to hold Africa back for the purposes – as you described – of looting. A couple of examples that you brought up, just to bring out the

contrast a little bit more: You brought up the Grand Inga Dam which would be located in the Democratic Republic of Congo; one

of the poorest, most energy-poor per capita, very low energy availability. It’s got the perfect site for a hydroelectric dam

complex, making enough electricity for tens of millions of people. The World Bank pulls out funding on it, because it’s a

big project which of course, they’re not going to touch because

it would have a major development impact.

What I’d like to actually show is another voice from Africa.

Professor He Wenping had mentioned that Ethiopia is sort of the

China of Africa, and other African diplomats will say this as well; that Addis Ababa is sort of the unofficial capital of Africa. I don’t know if everyone in Africa agrees with that.

But I’d like to hear from Dr. Alexander Demissie, who also spoke

at the Schiller Institute conference in November, and hear from

him from a direct African perspective, what the impact of

Chinese

investment has been and what the future can be in Africa.

DR. ALEXANDER DEMISSIE: So today, what I'm trying to discuss with you, or to present to you, is what is actually this

Belt and Road Initiative and how is that connected to Africa? What kind of long-term impacts when we talk about the Belt and Road Initiative and Africa?

So, this is a map [Fig. 1] I always present when I do presentations, and I ask people, "What do you see here?" It's a

very simple question. But what do you see here? Yes, you should

see something. So, it's a rhetorical question; I'm not expecting

you to answer me. But it takes usually several minutes until people realize what they see here. You see the absence of the American continent; that's what you see here. The absence of the

American continent. By saying this, you see that the Belt and Road Initiative, the Chinese version of the Belt and Road Initiative, is absolutely Eurasian-oriented; meaning that starting in China, it is primarily Eurasian-oriented. The idea

of the Belt and Road Initiative – probably even your idea back in the '70s – is the Land-Bridge that we have been discussing yesterday and today. Within this picture or map, you will see also Africa. Africa is prominent, Africa is not entirely in the

center, but on the left side; and it should be part of the Belt

and Road idea. It's primarily an infrastructural undertaking, so

the Belt and Road Initiative we don't have yet political institutionalization. We have infrastructural ideas, we have corridors; but we don't have yet political institutions. If we

talk about the Asia Infrastructure Investment Bank or the Silk Road Bank, these are just connected to infrastructure; they are not political ideas. And interestingly, this idea fits perfectly into the current African needs. What are the current African needs? The current Africa need is infrastructure development. Africa wants infrastructure and the aspiration – I'm going back here to the Agenda 2063, that has also coincidentally been coming up 2013 together with the Belt and Road Initiative. Africa wants a good infrastructure connection, a good internal interconnectivity. So, the idea coming from China is perfectly fitting into the idea actually happening or discussed within the Africa continent.

We see now an actor coming in. China is an actor coming in and literally taking or doing part of those needed works. This is a huge – at least from the African perspective – this is a huge plus for many African countries. The idea of the Belt and Road Initiative, which is actually coming only in 2013; we see that it is helping what has been taking place on the continent between China and African countries since the year 2000. We see this that China has clearly declared that they would like to see Chinese-African cooperation moving into development of highways, regional aviation networks, or industrialization. Also we see that China has been given a lot of clarity to the African Union's infrastructure development for Africa. This program has

approximately 51 different programs, and this is translated into 400 different physical projects. I speak about ports, and streets, and telecommunication lines, whatever you require for a nation to function, or for a continent to function. What we see in Africa now is that since at least two years, there is a growing corridorization in the China-Africa relationship. As corridorization, I mean that not single countries are any more important, but entire regions are becoming more important for China. This is a huge departure from a single, bilateral country-based approach towards corridor development. If you look at Africa corridors, the map on the right [Fig. 2], we see right now as we speak today, there are around 33 different corridors that have either been developed, or are under development, or are thought out and need to be developed. Corridors do nothing else than combine two different areas, and by doing so also creating a development initiative, a development paradigm.

Let's go to East Africa. So now, this is Africa; I'm aware that the plans for these things have been in the drawer for a long time. We know also that a lot of American research institutes played a very good role in creating those plans in the '50s and '60s, especially in Ethiopia. The Grand Renaissance Dam that is being built in Ethiopia, goes back to American scientists that have been creating those ideas in the '60s. It's being built already now. So, a lot of ideas in East Africa have been already on the table for decades, but no one was able or willing

to pay for it. But now a lot of money is coming out of China, so these infrastructure – and how this can change the life of the people is easily described. The transportation of cargo from the Djibouti port to Addis Ababa used to take three days. Now, with the train, it's already 10 hours. So now we can imagine what kind of economic activity will happen to this one corridor development, or one infrastructure within this community. [end video]

ROSS: I just wanted to read another short excerpt from Alexander Demissie. Towards the end of his presentation, he said, "The problem as I see it, is that the traditional partners are still in the old paradigm of thinking. They still think with traditional assumptions. Africa is seen as an aid-dependent continent; not a continent full of opportunities. It is still seen with the wrong mindset. This is one of the biggest problems, and it has to change."

So, I think our report does a very thorough job of addressing the whole gamut of issues here. What the historical errors have been, or not errors, but cruelties or injustices that have occurred towards Africa, towards Southwest Asia with the use of geopolitics, with the use of looting rather than development.

As well as what some of the ideas are today that hold back the potential for development. The ways that environmentalism is used; the ways that there shouldn't be any net growth of the human species are used. This is the basis, for example, for the World Bank refusing any loans to coal or to large hydro

plants.

But you're not going to develop a continent with solar panels, as

much as Obama might have wanted to have done that.

The other issues are in regards to economics. That there is this prevailing and totally wrong view about economics that looks

for financial returns as being the metric; as opposed to going beyond GDP and saying how are we changing life expectancies?

How

are we changing productive potential? What's the long-term value

of helping a nation to develop in a partnership? This is the sort of thing. So, the report goes through all of this; it goes

through what the specific projects are that are needed. It goes

through something that's very important for policymakers – how to finance it. How the hopes of trying to get investment, of trying to get loans from private banks for these big projects; it's simply not going to fly. The use of national banking, as China has done both domestically as well as with its ExIm Bank with these two large rail projects in Africa in particular in Kenya and the Addis Ababa to Djibouti railroad.

So, I think we've heard from China, we've heard from

Southwest Asia, we've heard from Africa. Let me ask you,

Hussein, if you have any words that you would like to direct towards our American viewers. What would you tell Americans?

What should we be doing?

ASKARY: Exactly! I had also in mind to say that, because we need to hear from Americans. I don't think it's a good idea

that the United States is not on the map of the Belt and Road; but I think a different United States should be involved. I'm very sure that if President Franklin Roosevelt, President Kennedy, Dr. Martin Luther King must be very happy now for

what

is now already starting to happen in Africa. They might feel sorry for the lost time, but I'm sure they are happy.

Americans

should look back at that best of American tradition and work with

ideas of Lyndon LaRouche and the LaRouche PAC and LaRouche's associates, because the United States will not become great again

with the team that President Trump has. America will be great again with the ideas that the LaRouche PAC, the ideas of Franklin

Roosevelt, the Hamiltonian idea of a national credit system, rather than depending on Wall Street. These things will make America great again, but it also will help the United States to

have a completely different policy in the world; which will make

the people around the world see the United States with completely

different eyes. Right now, the United States is not so liked around the world; not because of Trump, but because of previous

administrations' war policies, their hypocrisy. As you showed in

Obama's case, their policies would lead to genocide. So, the United States is not really a popular country around the world,

but this can shift. In order for that shift to happen, there should be a shift inside the United States in the mind and the soul of the American people. I'm sure the kind of work you are

doing in LaRouche PAC would help greatly.

OGDEN: And that's exactly what we are doing with this campaign to win the future statement. As I said in the beginning

of the show, we're initiating a national mobilization to bring together all of the constituent layers – regardless of party, political orientation – around a vision of economic development for the United States and for the world. If you just imagine the kind of way that the world could be transformed in the next 15 or 20 years with what China has begun doing in Africa; something that people thought was impossible. They just disregarded Africa and said well, this is just where you're going to have impoverishment and backwardness. Now, this could seriously become a hub of development for the planet. But take that and extend it across the Bering Strait into the Americas; have a rail link between Eurasia and North America. Then imagine an entire development corridor down through the central part of North America, through the heartland, the farm country in the Midwest; down through Mexico, across the Darien Gap into Central and South America. Then also, extend the Maritime Silk Road to the Caribbean. That vision of what could happen in the Western Hemisphere is the extension of the sort of optimism that you now see China bringing to Africa. So, as I said, I think it's the great moral test. Emmanuel Macron was absolutely right; he said it's a moral challenge what the nations of the world do to collaborate to bring development to the African continent. I think we can be very happy that it's because of the leadership over decades of the LaRouche movement,

of you Hussein. What you've been doing; what you did to collaborate with Jason to put together this extraordinary Special

Report. I know that this is being listened to in the highest levels of power across the African continent and in Southwest Asia; we have evidence of that. The invitation that you received, Hussein, from the Egyptian Transportation Ministry, and

other examples. So, we have to proceed with that kind of confidence that we are, indeed, shaping the policy for the future.

So, let me put on the screen one more time; this is the vision of an economic renaissance – this is the Special Report that Jason and Hussein collaborated in authoring. That is available; you can find the link to that on the screen here – LPAC.CO/ExtendedSilkRoad. It's a very thorough, book-length Special Report. This is something that is not just important for

the African leaders and for China. This is something that is very important for the United States. This is something that we

should be considering when we talk about what is US foreign policy, and those disgraceful graphics about the plummeting of US

investment into Africa over the course of the last eight years during the Obama administration. That needs to be reversed; and

it needs to be reversed by bringing the United States and China

into a "win-win" collaboration for the development of these areas.

We are going to proceed with this campaign to win the future. And we're asking you to endorse this, to join our mobilization, and to make sure that this becomes the policy parameter for the 2018 election. None of the melodrama, not the

soap operas, not all of the secondary and tertiary issues.

These
are the questions which will determine the future of the
United
States and the survival of our country and what our role is in
respect to this New Paradigm that we've just been discussing
on
the show today.

So, again, we have 11 days between now and President Trump's
State of the Union address. We are putting these two items on
the agenda. The United States must adopt LaRouche's Four
Economic Laws, and the United States must join the New Silk
Road.

So, Hussein, is there anything that you want to say in
conclusion before we end this show today? Any special
messages
for our viewers, both in the United States and
internationally?

ASKARY: I think it's a great opportunity for people now to
get this report, take to themselves the scientific, even
philosophical and other ideas that are in the report which are
necessary. As you said, it's for everyone; it's not only for
Africans. I think the main target of the report should be
Europeans and Americans, because we need these kinds of ideas
more than at any time before. We have problems here in Europe
with the infrastructure, with unemployment. You have massive
problems in the United States. You need to have these ideas
for
your own sake, too; but there is enormous potential that
exists
in Europe and the United States that could be revived. But
that
has to be done in the right way; and the right way was
outlined
by Mr. LaRouche, but we put it in very clear terms in this
report. I hope people will get the report and learn something
and push the policymakers in the United States to also do the

same.

OGDEN: Wonderful. Thank you very much, Hussein, for joining us. And thank you to Jason for joining me here. I think

we have a lot more to come. So, a very exciting report here today. Help us circulate this video; send it out to everybody that you know; share it on social media. Let's get these ideas

to permeate the United States. Thank you very much and please stay tuned to larouchepac.com.

»Tiden er inde til at lukke britiske imperieoperationer ned« Helga Zepp-LaRouche i ugentlig international webcast. pdf og video

Så vil jeg gerne sige noget om de subjektive grunde til, at jeg, på trods af alle disse farer, er fundamentalt meget optimistisk: Og der er ikke er nogen pointe i at være bekymret. Man må have en vision for, hvor man med sit liv vil bidrage til forbedringen af den menneskelige race. Jeg har en vision, der ikke er helt identisk med Xi Jinpings, men min vision er også meget lig min mands, med hvem jeg i 40 år har arbejdet på dette, at vi har en verden, hvor hvert enkelt

menneske på denne planet kan få et anstændigt liv, kan opnå at opfylde hele det potentiale, som det enkelte menneske har, og at menneskeheden kan blive voksen! Vi kan gå tilbage til de værdier, der er karakteristiske for den Amerikanske Revolution, for den Tyske Klassik, for den Italienske Renæssance og andre af kulturens højdepunkter. Jeg er forhåbningsfuld mht., at vi kan få en kulturel renæssance for klassisk musik, klassisk poesi, og eftersom Kina allerede er på denne kurs ved at genoplive den konfutsianske tradition og lægger stor vægt på klassisk kultur og videnskabelige gennembrud, mener jeg, at Vesten virkelig bør gentænke, hvad vore bidrag til universalhistoriens fremme var, og dernæst genoplive dem og få en dialog mellem kulturer med alle landes bedste traditioner.

Jeg mener, at dette er menneskets natur.

Download (PDF, Unknown)

Amerikas udbytte af at gå med i den Nye Silkevej: Optimisme

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 17. jan., 2018 – Inkarnerede medieseere i USA er relativt sikre på, hvad der vil ske i den nærmeste fremtid: Regeringen går af; millioner af lovende, unge mennesker bliver deporteret; en epidemi af mere og mere

potente opiater vil slå et voksende antal millioner amerikanere ihjel, elektronisk overvågning af alle, hele tiden, vil fortsætte i det uendelige; præsident Trumps planlagte \$1 bio. store initiativ for at bygge ny infrastruktur vil ikke ske; krige vil fortsætte i Afghanistan, Mellemøsten og Afrika, og vi vil sandsynligvis gå i krig med Rusland i Europa eller over Nordkorea i Asien.

Erhvervsfolk har deres egen version: De kan ikke finde faglært arbejdskraft til at besætte deres ledige jobs; men de hæver alligevel ikke lønnen, fordi de er usikre på, hvad der sker, når aktie- og låneboblen brister.

Sammen med masseskyderier og periodiske terrorangreb er dette blev amerikaneres, og europæeres, »informerede forventninger«. Tingene er gået virkelig galt siden århundredeskiftet – og især siden finanskrakket i 2007-08 – og pessimisme er således dagens orden.

Schiller Instituttets stifter Helga Zepp-LaRouche, som har stor erfaring med Kina og er en intellektuel ophavsmand til instituttets politik for den »Nye Silkevej«, påpeger, at forventningerne i Kina er helt anderledes. Forventningerne her er økonomisk vækst, afslutning af fattigdom, ikke alene dér, men også i meget fattige lande, at se teknologiske vidundere og ny infrastruktur, at opleve kulturelt samarbejde med andre lande og mulighederne for fred; og endda – husker I, da millioner af amerikanere drømte om dette? – udforskning af Månen og Solsystemet.

Hun påpeger, at den voksende indflydelse, som Kinas Bælte & Vej Initiativ har – og som senest har tiltrukket den franske præsident Emmanuel Macron – er en indflydelse for optimisme og en fornemmelse af at have en mission, og ikke blot »praktiske« aftaler om at bygge højhastigheds-jernbaner, selv om disse også kan sprede en kulturel optimisme med hensyn til fremtiden.

Denne mission er afgørende for at være optimistisk. Et finanskrak af »alting-boblen« er rent faktisk på vej, og det med sikkerhed. Men, ved at genindføre Glass/Steagall-bankopdelingsloven, kan vi med lethed bringe banksystemet og økonomien igennem det og øge kredit til at udvide reel økonomisk produktivitet. Der findes metoder, som har stået deres prøve i amerikansk historie, til at få kredit dirigeret til de store infrastrukturprojekter og de banebrydende teknologier, vi behøver, og endda et forceret program for opnåelse af fusionskraft.

Det, der er vigtigt, er at erkende, at Kinas mission for Bælte & Vej Initiativet for stormagter, vendt mod verden, er en succesfuld mission, og at gå med i den. Kernen, som er præsidenterne Donald Trumps, Xi Jinpings og Vladimir Putins samarbejde for at afslutte 20 års permanent krig, findes stadig.

LaRouche PAC og Schiller Instituttet har lagt en klar plan for en mission. For det første, stop briternes og amerikanske imperiefraktioners og efterretningsvæseners planlagte kup mod Trump. Efter at have påført dette kup et tilbageslag gennem massecirkulation af vores »Mueller-dossier«, så cirkulér dernæst Lyndon LaRouches økonomiske politikker med de »Fire Love«, for at genoprette amerikansk produktivitet og få Amerika klar til at gå med i en ny Marshallplan i Bælte & Vej Initiativet.

Dette er de *reelt informerede* forventninger for landets nærmeste fremtid.

Foto: Præsident Donald J. Trump deltager i ceremonier i marken i 2018 College Football Playoff National Championship. 8. januar, 2018. (Official White House Photo by Shealah Craighead)

**»Tiden er inde til at lukke
britiske imperieoperationer
ned«**

**Helga Zepp-LaRouche Nyt
Paradigme**

**Webcast, tors. 18. jan. kl.
18 dansk tid**

<http://newparadigm.schillerinstitute.com/>

I en artikel i *Consortium News* den 11. jan., skrev Ray McGovern, leder af Veteran Intelligence Professionals for Sanity (VIPS) og tidligere højtplaceret efterretningsanalytiker hos CIA, at det er åbenlyst for alle, undtagen dem, der er forblindede af deres had til præsident

Trump, at et »blødt kup« er i gang, dirigeret af britisk efterretning og deres amerikanske allierede, med det formål at drive ham ud af embedet. Formålet med dette »regimeskifte« i USA er at bevare dets koordinators geopolitiske rænkespil selv, når det betyder at sætte verden på en kurs mod atomkrig. Sidste uges »missilvarsel« på Hawaii bør være en påmindelse om, hvor faretruende tæt vi er på en atomar udslettelse.

Schiller Instituttet har fået selskab af VIPS-ledere i afsløringen af dette kup, med flere nylige, offentlige begivenheder i New York City. I sin artikel påpeger McGovern, hvilken betydning den »tidligere« MI6-agent Steeles svindelagtige dossier har haft i udførelsen af dette kup. McGovern opfordrer Kongressen til at tage sagen op med denne »J. Edgar Hoover-stil afpresning på steroider, som er blevet mulig gennem overvågning af stort set alt og alle ...« Med flere beviser for FBI-korruption, der vælger frem, siger han, at »Russiagate er ved at blive til FBI-gate«.

At gøre en ende på Russiagates svindelagtige angreb, der som sit mål ikke alene har Trump, men også lederne af Rusland og Kina, ville åbne døren for USA's fulde samarbejde med Bælte & Vej Initiativet. Det ville gøre en ende på æraen for imperial konfrontations-geopolitik, hvilket er, hvad Kinas præsident Xi mener, når han taler om »win-win«-samarbejde.

Fr. Zepp-LaRouche vil give os en strategisk opdatering af fremskridt i denne proces, og hvad der er nødvendigt for at opnå dette ønskværdige resultat, i denne uges webcast.

Vi går frem fra et

fordelagtigt udgangspunkt: LaRouche PAC's 2018 Platform – »Valgkampagnen for at vinde fremtiden«

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 16. jan., 2018 – Vi bliver presset fra alle sider, i USA og i den transatlantiske sektor, til at synke ned på et lavpunkt, med hensyn til økonomi, kultur og moral. Under angreb fra dem, der forsvarer City of Londons/Wall Streets døende, monetaristiske system, presses vi til at fiksere på spørgsmål og »emner«, der har til formål at holde vores tankegang fangen, som i en fælde: »Hvad sagde Trump, eller hvad sagde han ikke?« Alt imens kendsgerningerne står klart: Vi må gå med i den Nye Silkevejs impuls for udvikling. USA skal med om bord. **LaRouche PAC's 2018 Platform; »Kampagnen for at vinde fremtiden«**, blev udgivet i går for at mobilisere en styrke, der kan få dette til at ske.

En ny erklæring er nu under udarbejdelse, om at bringe den Nye Silkevej til de amerikanske kontinenter. Se på størrelsesordenen af krisen i Caribien og Mellemamerika! Fejlernæring af børn er f.eks. på over 17 % i Caribien. I Haiti er 47 % af børn fejlernærede; 80 % lever i fattigdom. I dele af Mellemamerika ser vi samme billede. Dette er de rene helveder på vores halvkugle.

Der findes ingen måde, hvorpå vi kan »løse« de »dagens spørgsmål«, der er åbenbare i USA – dvs., narkoepidemien, »migranter«, grænsesikkerhed, mistede jobs osv. – uden samtidig også at styrke Caribien, Mellemamerika og Mexico; samt de amerikanske kontinenter i deres helhed.

Det samme kan siges om Afrika, Sydvestasien og Europa. I Subsahara-Afrika har vi en fejlernæringsprocent på 22.

Lægehjælp er en sjældenhed. De kampe, der er en følge af den onde politik for »regimeskifte«, har gjort millioner af mennesker fra Nordafrika og Sydvestasien, i Libyen, Irak, Syrien og Yemen, hjemløse. Godt og vel 1 million mennesker har søgt tilflugt i Europa siden 2015. I 2017 druknede flere end 3.000 mennesker, mens de forsøgte at krydse Middelhavet.

Se så på, hvad Kina gør i samarbejde med nationer i Afrika. Foreløbig har man bygget 6.200 km moderne jernbaner, eller de er under konstruktion, sammen med også kraftværker, dæmninger og andre projekter. Den kinesiske udenrigsminister Wang Yi har netop afsluttet en turne til fire afrikanske nationer, hvor flere projekter blev planlagt. Præsident for Asiatisk Infrastruktur-Investeringsbank (AIIB), Jin Liqun, udtalte i denne uge, på toårsdagen for bankens oprettelse, at den vil udvide lån til Afrika og også til Sydamerika. (Se Schiller Instituttets Specialrapport: »**Forlæng den Nye Silkevej til Vestasien og Afrika: En vision for en økonomisk renæssance**«, af Hussein Askary[1] og Jason Ross.)

Se så på de amerikanske lande, og på, hvad Kina gør dér. Den 19.-22. jan. vil Wang Li deltage i Sammenslutningen af Latinamerikanske og Caribiske Staters (CELAC) møde for at diskutere udviklingsplaner og øge det strategiske samarbejde mellem de to områder »til et højere niveau«, hvorefter han vil tage på statsbesøg til Chile og Uruguay, der begge er entusiastiske tilhængere af Bælte & Vej Initiativet.

Forestil jer en »rygrad«, der består af en udviklingskorridor, som løber fra Sydamerikas sydligste spids mod nord gennem Darién-gabet (en sump- og skovafbrydelse af den panamerikanske hovedvej mellem Panama og Columbia, -red.) og Mellemamerika, fortsætter mod nord over USA's og Canadas højsletter og ind i Alaska og videre til Beringstræde-tunnelforbindelsen til Asien og Europa. I USA ville denne nye korridor skabe en vej til at »genbefolke« (med nye byer, industri og landbrug) landbrugsamterne i de centrale, amerikanske stater, som i de seneste år har haft de højeste rater af udvandring,

narkomisbrug og selvmord i nationen.

At virkeliggøre udvikling på en sådan skala kan ikke simpelt hen gøres »fra bunden og op«, men kræver derimod prioriterede forsknings- og udviklingsprojekter og lokaliteter, der har evnen til at hæve produktiviteten med en kvantespringsvirkning. Blandt de vigtige centre er centrene for rumraketopsendelse i det ækvatoriale, nordøstlige Sydamerika. I Puerto Rico – som stadig er hjemsoøgt efter orkanerne Irma og Maria, samt af manglen på genopbygning – er der mulighed for en »Indfaldshavn til de amerikanske lande« på øens sydkyst ved Ponce, som vil være et knudepunkt på den Nye Silkevej.

Dette storslåede perspektiv for de amerikanske kontinenter blev i dag beskrevet af Helga Zepp-LaRouche, der pointerede, at vi må arbejde ud fra et fordelagtigt udgangspunkt. Fra et økonomisk perspektiv, fra et moralsk perspektiv: positionér jer fra et fordelagtigt udgangspunkt.

[1] [Se Husseins Askarys tale \(dansk\) over samme emne](#)

Trump skal have mulighed for at styre USA's politik over for Rusland: Vi må knuse Muelers Russiagate- svindel

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 15. jan., 2018 – Præsident Trump har vedvarende og gentagnt erklæret, at Robert Muelers

Russiagate er en svindel; et forsøg på at vælte nationens vilje i præsidentvalget og i flere aspekter et direkte forræderi. Han har ligeledes, i hele sin kampagne og som præsident, om og om igen gentaget, at det, at have venskabelige relationer med Rusland, er en »god ting« og afgørende for en succesfuld amerikansk politik i verden.

Men politikken over for Rusland køres fortsat af andre. Præsident Putin og udenrigsminister Lavrov siger næsten altid, i forbindelse med diverse protester over amerikanske politikker, der er skadelig for Rusland og amerikansk-russiske relationer, at præsident Trump har forpligtet sig til at forbedre relationerne, men at bestræbelserne på at få ham fjernet holder ham tilbage. Dette er sandt, og må hurtigt ændres.

En gennemgang af den russiske udenrigsminister Lavrovs bemærkninger på sin pressekonference ved årets afslutning i dag demonstrerer, hvor meget det haster med, at LaRouche PAC's kampagne for at afsløre og ødelægge Robert Muellers britiskdirigerede kupforsøg mod Trump og mod Rusland, lykkes.

Idet han pegede på USA's ulovlige beslaglæggelse af russisk, diplomatisk ejendom i USA; på truslen om, at det succesfulde samarbejde i Syrien for at besejre ISIS nu forvandles til en opdeling af Syrien eller et fuldskala regimeskifte; på udvidelsen af NATO-militærstyrker til Ruslands grænser; på ensidige sanktioner og ensidig anvendelse af militærstyrke – kom Lavrov med en streng advarsel:

»Vi er vidne til en devaluering af international lov og de multilaterale institutioners svindende rolle.« USA og dets allierede »ønsker stadig at tackle anliggender udelukkende på basis af diktater og udstedelse af ultimatummer. [De] ønsker ikke at høre andre globale politiske centres synspunkter og ønsker i realiteten ikke at anerkende kendsgerningen med den fremvoksende, multipolære verden. De metoder, de tyer til for at begrænse deres rivaler, er for det meste snarere tvivlsomme

og skruppelløse. De spænder vidt i deres metoder – fra deployering af et globalt missilforsvarssystem til ensidige sanktioner, ekstraterritorial anvendelse af deres lovgivning og trusler om at tackle alle internationale spørgsmål udelukkende i overensstemmelse med deres eget scenarie, hvor de ikke viger tilbage for noget, inklusive anvendelsen af brutal militærmagt.«

Disse ting er sande – men, det er af yderste vigtighed at understrege, at vi befinder os ved et punkt i historien, hvor denne globale krise kan ændres, at USA kan befries fra den britiske politiks indflydelse og fra britisk ideologi. Geopolitik kan og må tilintetgøres – denne darwinistiske hund-æder-hund-mentalitet med nulsums-konflikter og konfrontation – til fordel for det win-win-koncept, der ligger til grund for den Nye Silkevej. Dette er, hvad Trump favnede på sin rejse til Kina i november. Det er det, som den franske præsident Macron ligeledes favnede på sin rejse til Kina tidligere på måneden. Og det er det, som Lyndon LaRouche igangsatte for halvtreds år siden ved at skabe de nye ideer, der kræves for at løfte menneskehedens tankegang op til et højere niveau: til niveauet for lovene for det fysiske univers og se halvtreds eller tusinde år frem for at opdage, hvad det er, vi i dag må gøre.

Kupmagerne mod Trump er i store vanskeligheder. Den Amerikanske Kongres har nu i sine hænder de dokumenterede beviser på, at hele kampagnen for at dæmonisere Rusland og fjerne Trump fra embedet har været bygget på løgne, på fabrikationer af MI6-agent Christopher Steele og hans medskyldige. Meget af det er klassificeret og kan endnu ikke offentliggøres, men nøglepersoner i Kongressen har haft mod til at gøre det kendt, at alvorlige forbrydelser er blevet begået af FBI, Justitsministeriet og CIA-ledere fra Bush/Obama-æraen, og som i dag opererer som en kriminel klike uden for regeringen.

Bestræbelsen på at redde deres kup ved at erklære, at

præsidenten er racist og en galning, udtrykker en tilstand af hysteri og panik fra netop de personers side, der er ansvarlige for de racistiske og sindssyge politikker, der har holdt verden nede i fattigdom og evindelige krige i de seneste to årtier.

Der er ingen tid at spille. Den Nye Silkevej er vejen til at forene verden bag et højere niveau af tænkning og samarbejde. Det vil kun virke med en hermed parallel kampagne for at omorganisere det korrupte, vestlige finanssystem, gennem Glass-Steagall og de hermed forbundne »Fire Love«, som LaRouche har fremlagt. Det ligger i vore hænder.

Foto: Præsident Donald J. Trump afholder video-telekonference med medlemmer af USA's militær. 24. dec., 2017. (Official White House Photo by Shealah Craighead)

Hvem står bag atomterror- »fejltagelsen« på Hawaii?

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 14. jan., 2018 – Fremstødet for at ødelægge præsident Trump har nu nået feberhøjder. Bogstavelig talt hele den vestlige presse, og en stor del af det politiske lederskab, der har himlet op imod Rusland og imod Trumps angivelige »aftalte spil« med Putin, er nu klar over, at »Russiagate«-operationen, der blev iværksat af den britiske MI6-agent Christopher Steele, er ved at kollapse – og at de rent faktisk nu selv står over for afsløring og mulig kriminel retsforfølgelse for deres egne forbrydelser, inklusive forræderi. I deres desperation for at stoppe Trumps bestræbelser på at genoprette gode relationer med Rusland og Kina, og for at redde sig selv fra en sådan retsforfølgelse,

har de udløst en kampagne, der skal portrættere Trump som en afsindig galning og racist.

Midt i alt dette blev delstaten Hawaii, og forlænget til hele landet, drevet til en tilstand af absolut rædsel søndag morgen, da Hawaiis Nødberedskabs-tjeneste udsendte et »Nødvarsel« til alle delstatens mobiltelefoner, og som lød: »TRUSSEL OM MISSIL PÅ VEJ TIL HAWAII SØG OMGÅENDE BESKYTTELSE. DETTE ER IKKE EN ØVELSE.«

Inden for tre minutter blev advarslen af militærfolk bestemt til at være fuldstændig falsk, men i de næste 38 minutter blev størstedelen af indbyggerne og turisterne på Hawaii ikke informeret om denne kendsgerning og var offer for den rædsel, at de troede, de og deres familier skulle dø. Folk ringede til deres kære for at sende et budskab om, at de elskede dem. Biler blev efterladt på hovedvejene, og folk løb for at finde beskyttelse, vel vidende, at der ikke var nogen steder, de kunne søge ly.

Der er indledt en efterforskning, men rapporten om, at det simpelt hen var en fejltagelse – nogen kom til at trykke på den forkerte knap – er næppe troværdig. Det bør bemærkes, at de amerikanske medier knap nok har dækket det store gennembrud i relationerne mellem Nord- og Sydkorea, eller at den sydkoreanske præsident Moon Jae-in offentligt har takket præsident Trump for dennes rolle i at frembringe forhandlingerne gennem både strenge sanktioner og samtidige, diplomatiske tiltag. I stedet har medierne og de fleste politiske leder ignoreret denne kendsgerning, alt imens skabelse af frygt og advarslerne om en forebyggende krig var næsten uundgåelig.

Det næste nummer af *EIR*-ugemagasinet vil indeholde en artikel med titlen: »Trump versus Durbin: Hvem er den virkelige hykler, der nærer institutionel racisme? Hvorfor sker det netop nu?«[1] Den viser, at kampagnen for at male Trump som en racist, under anførsel af den demokratiske senator fra

Illinois, Dick Durbin, kommer fra præcis de mennesker, der har forvandlet en stor del af Centralamerika og Caribien til narkoinficerede og økonomisk ødelagte helveder, gennem deres gennemførelse af »frihandelsaftaler« og legalisering af narkotiske midler og således har overladt disse nationer til bankernes og narkobandernes nåde og forgodtbefindende. De har intet gjort for at vende den økonomiske ødelæggelse af Afrika, som først nu, gennem Kinas Nye Silkevejsproces, begynder at komme ud af kolonialismens og den post-koloniale udplyndrings helvede. Tror de, de kan tillade sig at kalde nogen for racist?

Den direkte forbindelse mellem denne beskidte kampagne mod Trump og Russiagate-svindlen blev klart afsløret af pastor Al Sharpton, der bruger racisme som lokkemad og er agent for Obama, og som lørdag aften på CNN himlede op om, at det »virkelige bevis på, at Trump er racist«, er, at han »aldrig har noget dårligt at sige om Vladimir Putin, vores edsvorne fjende«.

Denne nye fremgangsmåde med æreskrænkelse af Trump vil også give bagslag på samme måde, som den britiske svindel med »Russiagate« gjorde – *på betingelse af*, at Trump-administrationen går fremefter med sin erklærede forpligtelse til at lancere et massivt infrastrukturprogram, der kan vende den afindustrialisering af USA, der har fundet sted hen over de seneste årtier, og tilslutter sig den Nye Silkevejsproces for at opbygge nationer over hele planeten. Som Lyndon LaRouche altid har fremført, så findes der ingen løsning på de racespændinger, der stadig findes i USA, og heller ikke på spændingerne over immigrationen, uden en fuldskala udvikling og industrialisering af vores nation og ligeledes af andre nationer, og som vil give alle mennesker jobs og håb. Det er netop dette, der er sat i gang gennem den Nye Silkevej, som først blev foreslået af LaRouche i 1990'erne og nu entusiastisk er taget op af kineserne, der opfordrer USA til fuldt og helt at gå med i bestræbelserne. Kina har løftet 700

mio. borgere ud af arg fattigdom og har planer om at gøre en ende på fattigdom i det hele taget frem til år 2020. Europa og USA kan ganske bestemt gøre det samme, hvis vi forviser geopolitik til historiens skraldespand, går med i den Nye Silkevej og genindfører det Amerikanske System i form af LaRouches Fire Love.

Foto: Hawaii; et skilt på en hovedvej meddeler borgerne, at »Missil-advarslen var en fejltagelse«.

[1] Se <http://schillerinstitut.dk/si/?p=23353>