

Afskrift: Mens neokonservative forsøger at inddæmme Trump, peger udvikling i Italien på vejen til det nye paradigme. Schiller Instituttets internationale webcast med Helga Zepp-LaRouche den 15. marts 2019

Her er begyndelsen af Helga Zepp-LaRouches webcast:

HARLEY SCHLANGER: Goddag, jeg er Harley Schlanger fra Schiller Instituttet. Velkommen til vores ugentlige webcast med Helga Zepp-LaRouche, Schiller Instituttets grundlægger og præsident. I dag skriver vi den 15. marts, »idus martiae.« Der sker meget i Italien og der tales om »idus martiae« og Julius Cæsar. Italienerne satser stærkt på at arbejde med Kina. Helga, du deltog i denne uge i en meget betydningsfuld konference med repræsentation fra den kommercielle sektor i Italien, men også fra regeringen. Jeg synes vi skal starte der, fordi dette har givet anledning til en fortsat panik blandt unilaterale, geopolitiske kræfter i Den Europæiske Union, der forsøger at holde Kina ude. Så hvad laver italienerne? Hvad er det, der foregår?

HELGA ZEPP-LAROCHE: Som du måske ved, kommer præsident Xi Jinping på officielt besøg i Italien den 22. og 23., altså i næste uge. Det er kommet frem, at italienerne og kineserne i anledning af dette besøg vil underskrive en hensigtserklæring

(MoU) om at samarbejde omkring Bælte og Vej Initiativet (BRI). Dette har forårsaget stor uro; Financial Times flippede ud, Garrett Marcus – som på en eller anden måde står [USA's nationale sikkerhedsrådgiver John] Bolton nær i Det Hvide Hus – sagde i hovedtræk, at italienerne absolut ingen gavn vil have af dette, og at det vil ødelægge deres image i verden i meget lang tid fremover. Så det kom temmelig rettidigt, at vi havde denne begivenhed i Milano, som var en begivenhed arrangeret i fællesskab mellem Movisol, som er Movimento Solidarita – LaRouchebevægelsens italienske søsterorganisation, ledt an af Liliana Gorini – og regionen Lombardiet. Emnet var netop Italiens samarbejde med Bælte og Vej Initiativet. Arrangementet havde længe været planlagt, så det er helt tilfældigt, at det fandt sted lige før Xi Jinpings statsbesøg.

Den første taler ved denne begivenhed var Michele Geraci, som er ministersekretær i ministeriet for økonomisk udvikling, og han er en person, der ved meget om Kina, hvor han i ti år virkede som professor. Han er nu leder af den italienske regerings ekspertgruppe angående Kina. Han gav en præsentation, hvor han nedtonede postyret omkring denne hensigtserklæring ved at gøre opmærksom på, at den ikke vil ændre noget; den vil ikke ændre Italiens alliance med NATO eller EU. Den betyder blot, at Italien vil få øgede eksportmuligheder på det hurtigst voksende økonomiske marked i verden, som udgøres af Kina. Italien prøver udelukkende at indhente det forsømte i forhold til andre europæiske lande, som Tyskland og Frankrig, der allerede har meget mere handel med Kina. Så han gav et meget roligt og optimistisk billede af, hvordan dette vil gavne udviklingen af havnene Trieste, Genova, Palermo – samt udviklingen af anden infrastruktur. Han sagde, at det ikke betyder, at Italien opgiver andre projekter, fordi disse alle er nye investeringer. Kineserne vil bygge nye kajpladser i nogle af disse havne, så kineserne investerer i ny-etableringer, som vil øge den italienske økonomis produktivitet; der er altså ingen grund til at være

bekymret. Så efter min mening var det meget informativt.

Efterfølgende holdt jeg en tale, hvor jeg i hovedtræk sagde, at alt dette foregår i en større sammenhæng, fordi det, der sker, tydeligvis er, at den kinesiske regering forsøger at skabe et nyt paradigme, som Xi Jinping altid kalder »et samfund med en fælles fremtid for menneskeheden« eller »menneskeheds skæbnefællesskab« (胡锦涛 – red.). Og de forsøger at opbygge et nyt sæt internationale relationer, som vil overvinde geopolitik. Jeg nævnte det faktum, at der historisk findes 16 tilfælde, hvor en sekundær magt ville overtage den hidtidigt dominerende magts rolle, at det i 12 tilfælde førte til krig, og at det i 4 tilfælde betød, at den anden magt trådte i stedet for den første magt og overgik den uden krig. Jeg understregede det faktum, at den kinesiske regering og især Xi Jinping har gjort det klart adskillige gange, at det ikke er intentionen at erstatte USA's dominerende rolle i verden, og at de naturligvis heller ikke vil have en krig; men at de tilbyder en helt ny måde at relatere til hinanden på, som grunder på hensyntagen til den andens suveræniteten, på hensyntagen til forskellen mellem de sociale systemer, og på simpelthen at samarbejde på win-win basis.

Jeg udpegede følgende bemærkelsesværdige fænomen; efter Xi Jinping for omkring fire år siden annoncerede Bælte og Vej Initiativet i september 2013 i Kasakhstan, har dette verdens største infrastrukturprogram udviklet sig meget hurtigt. Nu er der 112 lande, der har forskellige grader af samarbejde og yderligere 30-40 internationale institutioner. I omkring fire år skrev de vestlige medier og de store tænketanke nærmest intet derom; de forbigik det eller lod som om, at dette verdens største infrastrukturprojekt ikke var til. Derefter, efter omkring fire år, begyndte alle medier og alle tænketanke på en tydeligvis koordineret måde pludselig et angreb mod Kina, hvor det udlagde BRI som ren kinesisk imperialisme: kineserne forsøger bare at få landene ind i

gældsfølden; det er et autoritært system. I en vis forstand er det meget klart, at dette er en geopolitisk refleks, der er udløst af, at verden er i hastig forandring, og at magtcentret allerede er flyttet til Asien, idet Kina har påtaget sig lederskabsrollen i denne udvikling.

Så jeg synes, at den indlysende løsning på denne krise – og en stor beskyldning er naturligvis også, at Kina splitter EU, opdeler EU. Der er faktisk allerede 13 EU-lande, som har underskrevet MoU'er med Kina, og Italien bliver det første G7 land. Det er derfor, at de er så rystende nervøse over det. Det er klart, da det er den tredjestørste økonomi på kontinentet. Jeg understregede kraftigt, at de ikke behøvede Kina til at opdele EU; Den Europæiske Union er opdelt helt af sig selv. Se på splittelsen mellem nord og syd på grund af EU-Kommissionens brutale nedskæringspolitik, som helt forarmede Grækenland, Italien, Spanien og Portugal. Der er en åbenlys kløft på dette punkt og en anden omkring problemet med immigration, som Øst- og Vesteuropa er helt uenige om. Faktisk har Kina mange gange argumentet for – og jeg er helt enig – at et samarbejde omkring Bælte og Vej Initiativet faktisk er måden, hvorpå Europa kan forenes, forudsat at f.eks. Frankrig og Tyskland ville anerkende, at det også er ensbetydende med en enorm forretningsmulighed for dem at deltage.

Hvis alle disse europæiske lande kort sagt ville sige, at det er i vores bedste interesse at samarbejde, ikke kun i multilaterale handels- og investeringsaftaler på det eurasiske kontinent, men især om at udvikle Afrika sammen, som er den eneste menneskelige måde at håndtere flygtningekrisen på – det kunne føre til en meget god udvikling. Men EU vil holde et topmøde om dette emne den 21. marts, og man har allerede udarbejdet en 10-punkts handlingsplan; det er klart en indsats for at imødegå denne indflydelse fra Kina. Så vil man holde et topmøde mellem EU og Kina i begyndelsen af april, og det er meget klart, at EU-Kommissionen er på en helt anden kurs end Italien. Men lad os nu se. Jeg tror, at Xi Jinpings besøg

vil lykkes, og det på ny vil vise enhver, hvordan et samarbejde er i alles bedste interesse...

Se mere i videoen ovenover.

Mens neokonservative forsøger at inddæmme Trump, peger udvikling i Italien på vejen til det nye paradigme. Schiller Instituttets internationale webcast med Helga Zepp-LaRouche den 15. marts 2019

Den igangværende kamp mellem to paradigmer var i centrum i Italien i denne uge, da konferencen, der var sponsoreret af MoviSol og regionen Lombardiet i fællesskab, viste, at Bælte- og Vejinitiativet (BRI) har potentialet til at bryde Londons netværk af geopolitikeres magt i Europa. Helga Zepp-LaRouches rapport til konferencen, hvor hun talte og fik tilslutning af Michele Geracci, undersekretær i det italienske ministerium for økonomisk udvikling og leder af regeringens 'taskforce Kina', fremhævede betydningen af Xi Jinpings kommende tur til Italien og underskrivelsen af et memorandum vedrørende Italiens deltagelse i BRI. Hvis Italien og femten andre EU-medlemslande kan deltage, og drage fælles fordel af BRI, hvad så med Frankrig og Tyskland? Hvorfor er EU's bureaukrater og

Londons neoliberale så trængte af denne udvikling?

Sæt dette potentiale i kontrast til hysteriet der kommer fra EU-bureaukrater, fra NATO-embedsmænd som Gen. Scaparroti, og fra den amerikanske udenrigsminister Pompeo, som er en del af et kontingent af neokonservative, der forsøger at tøjle Trump. Helga præsenterer et klart billede af hvordan de neokonservative manøvrerer med sigte på at sabotere handelsforhandlingerne med Kina, den atomare afvæbning af Nordkorea samt presser på for regimeskifte i Venezuela for at tvinge Trump til at lægge afstand til sine kampaneløfter.

Hun appellerede til seerne om at bruge mobiliseringen for en frifindelse af Lyndon LaRouche som et middel til at vælte krigsfraktionen, som fortsætter sine bestræbelser på at ødelægge potentialet i Trumps præsidentskab.

Hr. Præsident – lyt til Bill Binney – Russiagate er et større fupnummer end man hidtil har antaget.

I dette interview beviser tidligere teknisk direktør i NSA, Bill Binney, at den vigtigste præmis i Russiagate, at russisk efterretningstjeneste hakede DNC (Demokraternes Nationale Komité) og forsynede WikiLeaks med de stjålne filer for offentliggørelse, er svindel. Hvis Russerne havde hacket DNC,

ville NSA være i stand til at fremvise specifik og detaljeret information og spore dette angreb i forhold til tid, datoer, steder, men disse beviser er ikke blevet fremskaffet. Binney skabte eller overvågede de NSA-programmer som har den kapacitet. Binney har foretaget to uafhængige kriminaltekniske studier af DNC-filerne: Dem som blev udsendt af Guccifer 2.0, og de der blev offentliggjort af WikiLeaks. Begge studier, baseret på indblik indsamlet fra fil-metadata og internetoverførselsfart, indikerer, at filerne er blevet downloadet til USB-stik eller anden lagerplads og ikke sendt over internettet i et russisk cyber-angreb. Binneys resultater matcher med WikiLeaks' forklaring om hvordan filerne blev leveret til dem.

Den tidligere britiske Ambassadør Craig Murray har oplyst, at han mødtes med en person, som ikke var en russisk statsansat på et amerikansk universitet i Washington D.C., hvor han modtog et USB-stik med filer.

I dette interview drøfter Bill Binney også hans 32-årige lange karriere hos NSA, hvor han var kendt som nationens ledende kodeknuser. Binney opfandt det NSA-program som automatiserer indsamlingen af internet baseret data verden over, og opfandt programmet Thin Thread, som brugte målrettede tilegnelsesmetoder til analyse og samtidig beskyttede amerikanske borgeres konstitutionelle rettigheder, og retten til privatliv.

Da det blev klart, at NSA var engageret i massiv datatilegnelse og massive konstitutionelle overtrædelser efter den 11. september 2001, forlod Binney NSA. Efter sin afgang beviste Binney og hans kollegiale whistleblowers at Binneys program, Thin Thread, kunne have forhindrede angrebene som fandt sted 11. september. Da Binney og andre gennem officielle regeringskanaler oplyste om korrruptionen i NSA og de konstitutionelle overtrædelser, blev de ofre for en 2 år lang FBI-undersøgelse baseret på falsk og fabrikeret information.

Læs Binney og Larry Johnsons nyeste artikel: Hvorfor DNC ikke blev hacket af Russerne.
<https://larouchepac.com/20190226/bill-binney-and-larry-johnson-shred-robert-mueller-s-russian-hack-fable>

Italien slutter sig til Kina i marchen mod det 'nye paradigme'

– geopolitikerne flipper ud.

Schiller Instituttets internationale webcast med Helga Zepp-LaRouche den 7. marts 2019

Helga Zepp-LaRouche hilste det entusiastisk velkommen: Italiens beslutning om at underskrive en hensigtserklæring (MOU, Memoranda Of Understanding) med Kina om at deltage i Bælte- og Vejinitiativet (BVI), da dette viser, at City of Londons geopolitiske netværk har mistet deres evne til at diktere politikken i Europa. Den italienske beslutning vil fremskyndes af Xi Jinpings kommende besøg i Italien. Og mens forfatterne af falske nyheder hos Financial Times og andre medier ser dette som en trussel og citerer ledende neokonservative, der autoritativt kræver at dette stoppes, er der tolv andre EU-medlemmer, som har underskrevet aftaler med Kina, og dermed sluttet sig til mere end 150 andre nationer.

Den unipolære verdens dage er overstået!

Det er stadig en farlig verden, som det fremgår af skvalderen fra den amerikanske øverstbefalende for NATO i Europa, Gen. Scaparrotti, der advarede Senatet om, at der skal gøres mere for at imødegå "russisk aggression". De samme netværk, der presser på med strategiske provokationer mod Rusland og Kina, er blevet mere åbne omkring, hvordan de har til hensigt at bruge den "Grønne New Deal" til dramatisk at reducere befolkningen, og de optrapper deres planer om at vælte Donald Trump, da hans strategiske orientering er en hindring for disse planer.

Geopolitikerne og deres unipolære verdensbillede må og skal afgørende besejres. Dette kan mest effektivt gennemføres ved at bringe USA ind i det nye paradigme gennem en afvisning af geopolitik. En stor flanker, der kan fremskynde denne proces, er Lyndon LaRouche, som har været den førende modstander af de britiske imperialistiske kræfter i det sidste halve århundrede. Vores opgave er at opfylde LaRouches mission om at besejre dette imperium, og bringe USA ind i fællesskabet for en fælles fremtid.

**POLITISK ORIENTERING den 7.
marts 2019:**

**Vil det defekte vestlige
paradigme tvinge os til**

fortsat selvdestruktion og ødelæggelse af verden? Se også 2. del: diskussion

Med formand Tom Gillesberg

Video 2. del: diskussion

Lydfil:

https://soundcloud.com/si_dk/vil-det-defekte-vestlige-paradigme-tvinge-os-til-fortsat-selvdestruktion-og-odelaeggelse-af-verden

**Bekæmp det morderiske
Britiske Imperium –
opløft menneskeheden til et
nyt niveau af kreativ
tænkning.
Schiller Instituttets
internationale webcast med
Helga Zepp-LaRouche den 1.**

marts 2019

Det underliggende tema for Helga Zepp-LaRouches strategiske præsentation i denne uges webcast fra Schiller Instituttet er, at i takt med at sammenstødet mellem det gamle og nye paradigme bliver mere og mere åbenbart, er det alene den kvalitet af tænkning, der karakteriserer menneskelig kreativitet, som kan bringe verden til at virkeliggøre de fælles mål for menneskeheden, som det nye paradigme repræsenterer.

Ingen steder var dette mere åbenlyst end i kontrasten mellem begivenhederne i Hanoi, hvor præsident Trump mødtes med Nordkoreas præsident Kim og det ubehagelige cirkus i Washington DC med den beskidte advokat Michael Cohen og de dumme demokrater, der savlede i håbet om at Cohens løgne vil bane vej for en rigsretssag mod Trump. Trump agerede i sine samtaler i Hanoi eksplicit ud fra en samarbejdsvillig strategisk alliance med Rusland og Kina, samt med Sydkorea og Japan, mens pro-kup-styrkerne i Washington gjorde det Britiske Imperiums beskidte arbejde med at holde menneskeheden som gidsler for deres døende system.

Helga præsenterede hvad der kræves for at flytte menneskeheden, ikke blot for at undgå atomkrig, men for at skabe en fremtid der er drevet af den mest spændende udforskning af universet. Med henvisning til de smukke ideer om at lade fremtiden forme nutiden, som hendes mand diskuterede i sin bog, "Der er ingen grænser for vækst", udstedte hun en passioneret opfordring til mobilisering for at vinde denne kamp. erliggende tema for Helga Zepp-LaRouches strategiske præsentation i denne uges webcast fra Schiller Instituttet er, at i takt med at sammenstødet mellem det gamle og nye paradigme bliver mere og mere åbenbart, er det alene den kvalitet af tænkning, der karakteriserer menneskelig

kreativitet, som kan bringe verden til at virkeliggøre de fælles mål for menneskeheden, som det nye paradigme repræsenterer.

Læs begyndelsen af Helga Zepp-LaRouches webcast nedenfor.

Bekæmp det morderiske Britiske Imperium – opløft menneskeheden til et nyt niveau af kreativ tænkning

HARLEY SCHLANGER: Hej, jeg er Harley Schlanger fra Schiller Instituttet. Velkommen til vores internationale strategiske webcast i dag, den 1. marts 2019. Grundlægger og præsident for Schiller Instituttet, Helga Zepp-LaRouche, vil slutte sig til os.

Disse sidste dage skiller sig ud ved dybden af det politiske rænkespil, forskydninger i alle facetter af det strategiske billede, og på en måde ser vi sammenstødet mellem de to paradigmer i et meget skarpt relief. Jeg tror at det rigtige sted at begynde, er hvad der skete i Hanoi, topmødet mellem præsident Trump og Nordkoreas formand Kim Jong-un. Helga, hvad er din udlægning af hvad der skete der?

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Jeg synes det var et skridt fremad. Jeg mener, at både vurderingen fra Trump og også fra den nordkoreanske side er, at de to sider er kommet tættere på hinanden end de var før topmødet. Klart nok opnåede de ikke at få det mulige forventede gennembrud, men i et komplekst spørgsmål som Nordkorea og Sydkorea, som involverer alle de andre strategiske spillere – USA, Kina, Rusland – er dette ikke nødvendigvis en katastrofe, og vel ikke helt uventet at man ikke opnår et gennembrud af den størrelsesorden i løbet af et eller to møder. Så jeg synes, at det er interessant at se, at begge sider – Trump og Kim Jong-un – udtrykte ønske om at fortsætte forhandlingerne. Hvis man ser på kontrasten, hvor

absolut hysterisk de vestlige medier er, så sagde de: "Åh, man ser at dette var en fuldstændig fiasko for Trump. Han troede selvfølgelig, at han bare kunne forhandle en forretningsaftale, og han ved bare ikke, hvordan man gør disse ting."

Der var en helt anderledes reaktion fra Rusland, fra Kina, fra Sydkorea, fra Japan; som alle udtrykte den absolutte overbevisning om, at dette er det rette spor, og at det skal fortsætte. Jeg tror absolut, at det er tilfældet. Jeg mener, at de helt utrolige omstændigheder, som viser hvor modbydeligt og absolut ondskabsfuldt det gamle paradigme forsøger at bekæmpe muligheden for, at Trump kan få en aftale om atomafvæbning af Nordkorea med Kim Jong-un, var den Senatshøring med den tidligere Trump-advokat Michael Cohen, der var planlagt til at finde sted præcist samme dag. Hvis man så ser på hvordan dette blev orkestreret, at denne fuldstændige slimede original, Michael Cohen, brugte alle slags ord mod Trump fra plattenslager til racistisk og værre endnu. Helt absurd blev dette, naturligvis af de internationale vestlige mainstream-medier, fremstillet som om at Trump kun holdt Nordkorea-mødet for at aflede opmærksomheden fra det meget vigtigere spørgsmål om Michael Cohens høring; lige nøjagtig det modsatte var tilfældet. De besluttede at bruge Michael Cohen-historien til at skabe det mest ugunstige miljø for et så vigtigt møde at finde sted.

Denne hændelse alene burde sige folk hvad der virkelig foregår, fordi der førhen var en udtalt – eller måske endda udtalt – regel om, at når en præsident eller et statsoverhoved fører vigtige diplomatiske forhandlinger i udlandet, blev det respekteret, og intet ville blive gjort som kunne forstyrre det. Denne hændelse viser virkelig, hvor nedbrudt enhver adfærdskodeks, enhver civiliseret adfærd hos Trumps modstandere er, eller snarere modstanderne af det nye paradigme, og ideen om at man rent faktisk kan have en verden, hvor konflikter bliver overvundet gennem forhandlinger. Jeg

synes det viser os hvor barbarisk tonen er blevet, og jeg mener, at det bør falde tilbage på de mennesker, der har udført det. Så med hensyn til situationen omkring Nordkorea som sådan, tror jeg ikke det er en katastrofe. Jeg tror, at processen vil fortsætte, og den russiske talsmand for Vladimir Putin, Peskov, sagde faktisk, at denne diplomatiske situation mellem de to lande igen er et bevis for, at man ikke opnår fremskridt på en trinvis måde. Det er faktisk det der er tilfældet, fordi verdenssituationen er så kompleks, at man er nødt til at finde løsninger, der tager højde for alle problemerne, ellers gør man ikke fremskridt nogen steder.

SCHLANGER: Lad mig for et øjeblik vende tilbage til denne sammenstilling mellem Trump, der udfører diplomati på højt niveau, og Demokraterne i Kongressen, der bruger Michael Cohen – ikke bare til at distrahere fra hvad Trump gør – men for at afsætte ham fra embedet. Trump pointerede på pressekonferencen bagefter, og også i sine diskussioner der førte op til mødet, at han arbejder sammen med Rusland, arbejder sammen med Kina, med Japan og Sydkorea. Efterfølgende talte han med lederne fra disse lande, så det er klart, at der er et løbende samarbejde på højeste niveau blandt de vigtigste nationer i verden. Dette er ikke alene noget som modstanderne af Trump, – ‘Never Trumpers’ – ignorerer, men noget de forsøger at stoppe. Det synes jeg virkelig, som du siger, står i skarpt kontrast til den måde, som dette har udspillet sig på i løbet af de sidste par dage.

ZEPP-LAROUICHE: Undskyld; jeg fik ikke fat i din pointe.

SCHLANGER: Altså, min pointe er, at det demonstrerer kuppets natur. Det handler ikke om noget, som Trump gjorde i relation til Rusland; det handler om, hvad Trump forsøger at gøre med hensyn til at forandre det strategiske paradigme.

ZEPP-LAROUICHE: Ja, vi har diskuteret dette mange gange. Det er hele Russia-gates natur. I det øjeblik Trump i valgkampen i 2016 indikerede, at han gik ind for at forbedre forholdet til

Rusland, og hvad han efterfølgende gjorde for at forbedre forholdet til Kina i startfasen af hans administration; dette er faktisk det absolut værste mareridt for den geopolitiske fraktion på begge sider af Atlanten. Fordi, når først man har en konstellation med USA-Rusland-Kina – og muligvis Indien og andre nationer – hvis de samarbejder, går hele det britiske rænkespil i vasken. Jeg synes, at Trump under disse omstændighederne gør et fantastisk job, hvis man tager i betragtning de kræfter, som han er oppe imod...

Se resten på videoen.

Schiller Instituttets Konference på Præsidentens Dag – panel I, II & III

Schiller Instituttet afholdt den første amerikanske nationale konference i mere end femten år i weekenden på Præsidentens Dag, hvilket var en enorm succes i henseende til kvaliteten af præsentationerne og deltagelsen af tilhængere fra hele verden der deltog på konferencen. Konferencen, der nu præsenteres i sin helhed nedenfor, giver et sandfærdigt og optimistisk syn på mulighederne for menneskeheden som helhed for at overvinde den krise, som verden står overfor, mens det tidligere regerende, nu døende Britiske Imperium, kæmper for sin overlevelse mod den nye verdensorden, som tager fat i visionen fra Lyndon og Helga Zepp-LaRouche.

Panel I

Lyndon

LaRouche taler:

Et talent, der blev brugt godt

Jacques

Cheminade,

Præsident for Solidarité & Progrès: Lyndon LaRouches kommende verden

John

Gong,

Professor i økonomi ved 'University of International Business and Economics', Beijing: Kinesiske investeringer og amerikansk infrastruktur under nye sino-amerikanske relationer

H.E.

Ambassadør Vassily A. Nebenzia,

Ambassadør and Permanent Repræsentant for den Russiske Føderation

ved de Forenede Nationer, præsenteret af **rådgiver**

Theodore Strzhizhovskiy,

den Russiske Føderations mission ved FN: Prospekter for øst-vest

samarbejde: Den Russiske Føderations Synspunkt (transkript)

William

Binney,

tidligere teknisk direktør, NSA

Jason

Ross,

Schiller Instituttet, medforfatter af "Udvidelse af den Nye Silkevej til Vestasien og Afrika": Det presserende behov for et nyt paradigme i Afrika

Dennis

Small,

EIR's redaktør for Latinamerika: Retfærdighed i Verden –

Hvorfor

Donald Trump må rense Lyndon LaRouche nu

Panel II

Video

af 'Den æstetiske uddannelse af mennesket for skønheden af sindet

og sjælen' – Panel II

Schiller

Instituttets kombinerede kor:

Benjamin

Lylloff, arrangement: "Mo Li Hua" ("Jasmin Blomst")

Benjamin

Lylloff, dirigent

H.T.

Burleigh,

arrangement: "Dyb flod" ("Deep River")

William

L. Dawson,

arr: "Hver gang ånden kommer over mig" ("Ev'ry Time I Feel the Spirit")

Diane Sare, dirigent

Megan

Beets,

LaRouchePAC Videnskabelige Forskningsteam, "Kunstnerisk og moralsk

skønhed" ("Artistic and Moral Beauty")

Bruce

Director,

kasserer, Schiller Instituttet i USA:

"Om LaRouches begreb om

betydningen af kunst for videnskaben, og videnskab for kunsten"

Diane

Sare,

administrerende direktør for Schiller Instituttets kor i New York

City: "Kor princippet"

Johannes

Brahms:

"Dem dunkeln Schoß der Heil'gen Erde"

(tekst fra Schillers

"Sangen om Klokken" ("Song of the Bell")

Schiller

Instituttets kor

John Sigerson, dirigent

Johann

Sebastian Bach:

Brandenburg Concerto No. 5 in D-dur, BWV 1050

I. Allegro

Schiller

Instituttets Orkester

John Sigerson, dirigent

Solister: Gregor

Kitzis, violin; Laura Thompson, fløjte; My-Hoa Steger, klaver

Ludwig

van Beethoven:

Choral Fantasia, Op. 80

Schiller Instituttets Orkester, Kor, og

Solister

John Sigerson, dirigent

My-Hoa Steger, klaver

Spørgsmål

& svar session

Panel III

Kesha

Rogers,

LaRouchePAC Politiske Komité, tidligere kandidat for den amerikanske

Kongres – Rummets grænseområder: Opfyldelsen af menneskehedens skæbne som mennesket i universet

Thomas

Wysmuller,

Grundlæggende medlem af 'Det rette klima stof' ("The Right Climate Stuff"): Hvad NASA har gjort, og hvor NASA er på vej hen

Larry

Bell,

Grundlægger, Sasakawa Internationalt Center for Rumarkitektur, 'College of Engineering', Universitetet i Houston: Hvad der gør

mennesker enestående

Benjamin

Deniston,

LaRouchePAC Videnskabelige Forskningsteam: LaRouches Strategiske

Forsvar af Jorden

Hal

BH Cooper, Jr. PhD PE: Infrastrukturelle

behov for jernbane-, energi- og vandsystemer til at fremme den fremtidige økonomiske udvikling af Afrika

**POLITISK ORIENTERING den 21.
februar 2019:**

**Lyndon LaRouche er død, men
hans ånd og ideer lever
videre**

**i det nye paradigme vi
skaber.**

Se også 2. del her.

Med formand Tom Gillesberg

1. del:

2. del:

Lyd:

https://soundcloud.com/si_dk/20190221-191122a

**POLITISK ORIENTERING den 7.
februar 2019:**

**Briterne forsøger at narre
Trump til konfrontation og**

nye krige i Sydamerika og Afrika

Med formand Tom Gillesberg

Lyd:

Inkluderer:

Den

britiske elites modangreb mod partnerskabet mellem Kina og Rusland og BRIKS-samarbejdet

Forsøget

på regimeskifte i Venezuela er nu skueplads for konflikt mellem USA/Europa og Rusland/Kina

Kina er

igang med at overhale Vestens økonomi og teknologi

Integrity

Initiativets internationale propaganda krig imod Rusland på vegne af den britiske efterretningstjeneste

Trumps

tale om nationens tilstand

Farerne i

forbindelse med USA's tilbagtrækning fra INF-aftalen mellem USA og Rusland der forhindrede mellemdistance atomvåben

Flere

advarer om et kommende finanskrak

Konsekvenser

af, at der er ingen åbenlys løsning til Brexit

Schlesvig-Holstein

giver grønt lys til Femern-forbindelsen

Fremtidens

energibehov

Klimahjernevask

af unge mennesker

Afsløringerne

om grundlaget for Danmarks deltagelse i Irak krigen

Vil

Anders Fogh Rasmussen blive stillet til ansvar for at lyve Danmark i krig?

For at stoppe kuppet, frifind LaRouche

Regimeskifte-kuppet mod præsident Trump er blevet mere synligt, i takt med at positive udviklinger baseret på hans initiativer har tvunget kupmagerne ud i det åbne. Se på de folk i efterretningstjenesterne, der præsenterede den 'Verdensomspændende Trusselsvurdering' (Worldwide Threat Assessment) til Senatets 'efterretningsudvalg' (Select Committee for Intelligence) den 30. januar. På næsten hvert eneste punkt modsagde de der fremlagde rapporten – direktør for den nationale efterretningstjeneste, Coats, CIA-direktør Haspel og FBI-direktør Wry – præsidentens strategiske politik. I sit svar til dem kaldte Trump dem "naive", og udtrykte

optimisme i forhold til at hans diplomatiske initiativer har været effektive.

Helga Zepp-LaRouche gav en kortfattet rapport om disse modsætninger, om Nordkorea, Syrien, relationerne med Rusland og Kina osv. Det gamle paradigme, den neoliberale britiske imperialistiske model, har fejlet, sagde hun, og meget af verden har bevæget sig ind i et nyt paradigme, baseret på win-win samarbejde. Typisk for dette gamle paradigme er George Soros, der i sin tale i Davos angreb Kina som værende den største trussel mod hans 'åbne samfund' ("Open Society"). Dette er sandt, sagde Zepp LaRouche, idet hans 'åbne samfund' ikke er andet og mere end det kollapsende britiske neoliberale system.

De samme regimeskifte-kræfter, der står bag Russiagate, presser nu på for et regimeskifte i Venezuela med potentielt farlige konsekvenser. Hun spurgte: Hvordan kan vi, der bekæmper dette kup, undgå at føle, at vi befinder os på en rutsjebane, der går op og ned? Det er et spørgsmål om at forstå princippet om det 'fælles gode' ("common good"). Studér Lyndon LaRouches værker for at blive sande repræsentanter for det 'fælles gode'. Og kæmp for hans frifindelse, eftersom de der satte ham i fængsel for tredive år siden, er de samme som står bag kupforsøg rundt om i verden, og i USA i dag.

Ny

LaRouchePAC

foredragsrække:

Koncepterne bag Lyndon LaRouches internationale Nye Bretton Woods-kreditsystem

Muligheden for, at LaRouches koncepter for, hvordan samarbejdet mellem nationer bør udfoldes, bliver gjort til virkelighed, er større end nogensinde. Samtidig har disse koncepter aldrig været mere nødvendige end nu. De kan blive til virkelighed gennem det fremspirende samarbejde mellem USA, Rusland, Kina, Indien og andre ledende økonomiske magter.

Foredragstitlerne er følgende:

1. Det menneskelige sinds kreative ånd reflekterer universets underliggende kreative princip.
2. Nøglen til at forstå økonomi er videnskab og ikke matematik.
3. Eksistensen af nationalstater er en nødvendighed.
4. Hvad er kreativitet nøjagtigt, som er den sande kilde til økonomisk vækst?
5. Friedrich Schiller, frihedens digter.
6. Vladimir Vernadsky, Biosphæren og Noosphæren.

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

```
<iframe width="560" height="315"
src="https://www.youtube.com/embed/cyGJstd52-4"
frameborder="0" allow="accelerometer; autoplay; encrypted-
media; gyroscope; picture-in-picture"
allowfullscreen></iframe>
```

Invitation til Schiller Instituttets Internationale konference i USA

Lad os skabe en ny og mere human epoke for menneskeheden

Schiller Instituttets konference, den 16. februar 2019 i USA

Sjældent, om nogensinde før, er verden blevet grebet af en sådan tummel, som nu. Med Brexit-afstemningen i Storbritannien, Trumps valgsejr mod det neoliberale etablerement i USA, valget af en anti-EU-regering i Italien, De gule Vestes oprør i Frankrig – alt sammen udtryk for et globalt oprør mod den neoliberale økonomiske politik i det transatlantiske system, et system, der står overfor at opleve et nyt økonomisk krak, som er meget værre end i 2008. Samtidigt har initiativet fra Kina med Den Nye Silkevej, det

største perspektiv for infrastrukturudvikling i menneskets historie, skabt en hidtil uset dynamik for økonomisk vækst og optimisme. Et nyt sæt af internationale relationer udvikler sig, hvilket skaber et potentiale for at overvinde det gamle paradigme med geopolitik og krig.

Da præsident Nixon forlod Bretton Woods-systemet i august 1971, var det Lyndon LaRouche, som profetisk og offentligt advarede om, at en fortsættelse af den monetaristiske politik, der erstattede det, uundgåeligt ville føre verden til faren for en ny depression og en gentagelse af fascismen, medmindre der blev oprettet en ny, retfærdig økonomisk verdensorden.

I dag manifesterer faren for en ny fascisme sig tydeligt gennem bestræbelserne fra det gamle paradigmes kræfter på at opretholde en unipolær verden gennem løbende geopolitiske konfrontationer, selv med risiko for at kaste verden ud i en ny verdenskrig, som denne gang indebærer faren for udslettelsen af den menneskelige art med atomvåben. På den anden side er en ny, meget mere retfærdig økonomiske verdensorden hurtigt på vej frem, en verdensorden, som for første gang giver udviklingslandene mulighed for at overvinde underudvikling og fattigdom. Det bedste eksempel på dette er Kinas fantastiske præstation med at løfte 800 millioner mennesker ud af fattigdom i løbet af de sidste 40 år, et ubestrideligt bevis på et princip for hele verden. Hvis Kina kan gøre dette, hvorfor kan vi så ikke? Hvis Vesten forsøger at undertrykke fremkomsten af dette nye system, står Verden i fare for at falde i "Thukydids fælde", nemlig faren for at den nuværende dominerende magt forsøger på at forhindre den nye magt i at opstå ved krig: en apokalypse – i en tidsalder med atomvåben.

Lyndon LaRouche udviklede en unik videnskabelig teori om fysisk økonomi, hvilket etablerede ham som verdens fremmeste økonom, hvis analytiske tilgang og kreative kraft i formulering af løsninger er mere presserende end nogensinde før. Han har krævet etableringen af et nyt Bretton Woods-

system, i samme ånd som Franklin D. Roosevelt havde til hensigt: nemlig at erstatte det nuværende spekulative system gennem konkursbehandling og omorganisering, og oprette et nyt internationalt kreditsystem, hvis hovedformål er at skabe en videnskabelig drivkraft for verdensøkonomien, som det presserende grundlag for at muliggøre teknologioverførsel til udviklingslande for at overvinde resterne af kolonialisme for altid. Den næste økonomiske platform, som defineret af LaRouche, skal være en fusionsbaseret økonomi med internationalt samarbejde inden for rumforskning og rumrejser, der er rettet imod etablering af landsbyer på månen. Dette bliver den nødvendige næste fase i udvidelsen af infrastrukturen i Den Nye Silkevej – som bliver til Verdenslandbroen – ud i det nærliggende rum.

I 2005 udviklede LaRouche en smuk vision for planetens næste 50 år, en vision om samarbejde på grundlag af et nyt sæt af internationale relationer, inspireret af ideerne og forståelsen af universets lovmæssighed fra sådanne tænkere som Nicholas af Cusa, Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz, Vladimir Vernadsky og Albert Einstein.

LaRouche har også specificeret, at fire nationer, nemlig USA, Kina, Rusland og Indien, er unikt positioneret til at indlede et nyt system, hvor alle suveræne nationer kan deltage som ligestillede. Det Britiske Imperium er yderst opmærksom på denne fare for dets eksistens, hvilket for en stor del forklarer, hvorfor de har gjort alt for at vælte Trumps præsidentskab i USA, og for at forhindre udviklingen af et gavnligt forhold mellem USA og især Rusland og Kina.

Det har været en integreret del af LaRouches filosofi, at der ikke er nogen skelnen mellem naturvidenskab og stor klassisk kunst, da det er de samme kreative evner i det menneskelige sind, der skaber gyldige opdagelser både indenfor videnskab og kunst, opdagelser, som tjener som drivkraft for al økonomisk udvikling. I dag oplever vi i Vesten en dyb moralsk og kulturel krise, hvilket ikke alene truer vort samfunds

moralske fibre, men fører til ødelæggelsen af det kreative potentiale i nutiden og fremtidige generationer.

Det er derfor et eksistentielt spørgsmål for civilisationen at skabe en ny renæssance af klassisk kultur i samme tradition som Bach, Mozart, Beethoven, Schubert, Schumann og Brahms. Samtidig må og skal menneskets højeste idealer, som udtrykt af de største digtere og filosoffer, genoplives. Den kulturelle krise kan kun overvindes gennem æstetisk uddannelse af mennesket med det udtrykkelige sigte at skabe smukke sind og sjæle.

Det er formålet med den kommende konference med Schiller Instituttet i USA at samle tænkere fra USA og andre lande, der er motiveret af det presserende behov for at præsentere ideer i den hensigt at forme det nye paradigme – den nye epoke af menneskeheden – på en sådan måde, at den langsigtede overlevelse af den menneskelige art garanteres.

Panel 1: En ny verdensorden, baseret på naturlov: Kan menneskeheden regere sig selv for at sikre vores eksistens som en art? Lyndon LaRouches koncept om en Fire-Magts-aftale: De næste 50 års menneskelige udvikling.

Panel 2: Principperne for fysisk økonomi: Leibniz, Hamilton, List, Carey, LaRouche. Systemet med amerikansk økonomi og den kinesiske model. Afrika som det nye Kina, med afrikanske egenskaber.

Panel 3: Det fantastiske perspektiv med en international renæssance af klassisk kultur. Den æstetiske uddannelse af mennesket for sindets og sjælens skønhed.

Panel 4: Videnskabens grænser: Hvordan vil menneskeheden se ud om 100 år? Den videnskabelige metode, der ligger til grund for LaRouches økonomiske principper. Hvad adskiller mennesket fra dyrene?

Klassisk musikkoncert om aftenen.

Deltag den 16. februar 2019!

For mere information, kontakt:

Schiller Instituttet

Sankt Knuds Vej 11, kld. t.v.

1903 Frederiksberg C

E-mail: si@schillerinstitut.dk

Tlf.nr.: fastnet: 35 43 00 33, mobil: 53 57 00 51

Kontaktpersoner

Michelle Rasmussen ● 53 57 00 51

Feride Istogu Gillesberg ● 25 12 50 33

**POLITISK ORIENTERING den 24.
januar 2019:**

**EU og dets bankerotte
paradigme disintegrerer**

lige så hurtigt som den britiske elites kontrol. Klik her for diskussionen.

Med formand Tom Gillesberg

Diskussion: 43 min.

Lyd:

Den underliggende positive dynamik i Verden som det Britiske Imperium prøver på at holde skjult for dig

Hvis man lytter til de vestlige nyhedsmedier, skulle man tro, at verden er helt kaotisk og uden noget ordnende princip overhovedet. Ved imidlertid at træde to skridt tilbage og sætte amerikanske og europæiske "aktuelle begivenheder" i sammenhæng med det der sker i resten af verden, er det lettere at se, at hvad der foregår i Vesten er etableringsreaktion på de positive kræfter for forandring, der er på spil over hele kloden. Samtalerne mellem Xi og Kim bevæger sig

fremad, og foregriber et muligt andet topmøde mellem Trump og Kim; potentielle fremskridt i samhandelsdrøftelserne mellem USA og Kina; Trumps beslutning om at trække USA ud af Syrien i forbindelse med Astana-processen; Kinas succesfulde landing af Chang'e 4-fartøjet på den fjerne side af månen, og meget mere. Hør med når Schiller Instituttets grundlægger, Helga Zepp-LaRouche, og Harley Schlanger diskuterer alt det, som medierne forsøger at skjule for dig!

POLITISK ORIENTERING den 10. januar 2019:

Velkommen til 2019: Ud af kaos kan skabes en ny bedre verdensorden

Med formand Tom Gillesberg

Kinas Chang'e-4 mission til Månens bagside indleder en ny æra.

Tirsdagens brexit-afstemning: Hvad sker der bagefter?

De gule Vestes er en massestrejke i Frankrig.

Trump trækker de amerikanske styrker ud af Syrien.

Finanssystemets fortsatte disintegration.

Yemenitiske fredsforhandlinger.

Folketingsvalg i Danmark.

Kattegat-broen: Bilbro eller en kombineret bil- og togbro?

Lydfil:

Løgnene imod Kina, Rusland og Trump kommer fra de britiske neoliberale, som har bragt deres eget system på fallittens rand.

Uddrag fra Helga Zepp-LaRouches webcast –

Løgnene imod Kina, Rusland og Trump kommer fra de britiske neoliberale, som har bragt deres eget system på fallittens rand.

Med den succes som Kina har haft med at hæve levestandarden for befolkningen i de sidste fire årtier, hvorfor bliver landet så udsat for en kampagne med sådanne “ondsindede fabrikationer” (i *Foreign Affairs*, ARTE-dokumentar og i medierne generelt) – især da Kina arbejder for at hjælpe andre nationer med at opnå lignende resultater med sit Bælte og Vej-Initiativ? Hvorfor de konstante angreb på Putin og Rusland? Og hvorfor optrapningen af Mueller imod Trump, da han ikke har fremlagt noget bevis for “russisk indblanding”, hvilket er hvad Russiagate skulle dreje sig om?

I dagens webcast opfordrer Helga Zepp-LaRouche seerne til at studere de store filosofers værker, herunder Platon, Cusa, Leibniz, Schiller, Einstein og hendes mand Lyndon LaRouche, for at udvikle deres evne til at lytte til deres egen indre stemme for at være i stand til at “tænke selv”, så de kan besvare disse spørgsmål for sig selv.

Mens det britiske Overhus beklager udsigten til afslutningen

af det "særlige forhold" (mellem Storbritannien og USA, red.), tog Trump to store skridt væk fra det gamle paradigme, og indvilligede i at arbejde sammen med Mexico i projekter om økonomisk udvikling i Mellemamerika, og annoncerede afslutningen af USA's militære intervention i Syrien.

Hun opfordrede vores seere til at bruge ferien til at læse og diskutere gode ideer med familie og venner.

POLITISK ORIENTERING den 13. december 2018: EU i opløsning: Fransk protestbevægelse seneste udtryk for oprør fra Vestens befolkning

Med formand Tom Gillesberg

Lyd:

1. del: Indlæg:

2. del: Diskussion:

Afskriftet af Helga Zepp-LaRouches tale til Schiller Instituttets og EIR's seminar for diplomater den 29. november 2018

(Vi er i gang med at oversætte talen til dansk.)

Helga Zepp-LaRouche addressed the seminar via video conference from Germany. In addition EIR Economics Editor Paul Gallagher by pre-recorded video from Virginia, and Hussein Askary in person addressed the seminar in Copenhagen. Diplomats from nine countries attended the seminar, as well as members and contacts of The Schiller Institute.

TOM GILLESBERG: Helga Zepp-LaRouche was very beautifully introduced, I think, by Hussein Askary, in his presentation going through the fantastic road. She as the founder of the Schiller Institute has taken upon herself as the "Silk Road Lady" in bringing about this Belt and Road policy, the New Silk Road policy. So we are very proud and very happy to be able to have her on here live to discuss what is in the situation the world is in right now, after the U.S. midterm elections, and here, the day before we'll have the G20 summit taking place in Buenos Aires.

So, Helga, thank you for being here with us. The word is yours.

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Well, I want to say hello to you and I'm very happy to be able to speak to you, even if it's only via

video. Obviously, we have reached a very important point in history, and for once, I have to agree with President Macron of France, who just said that the upcoming G20 meeting better produce some real results, or else, such a gathering of the heads of state would be even counterproductive.

Now, I agree with that.

We have made a campaign for the last several weeks and actually months, that basically what should be the outcome of this G20 meeting. Given the fact that we have both war danger, as was demonstrated very acutely again in the case of the incident in near the Kerch Bridge in the Black Sea, and the general situation between the major nuclear powers is not exactly a calm one, so we have the danger of nuclear war, potentially. Then, we have the immediate danger of a repetition of the financial crash of 2008, except this time, it could be much, much worse, because all the parameters are much worse than in 2008.

In light of these two existential crises, we have defined what should be the outcome of either the G20 meeting or, at least, of those heads of state where one can expect they can go in the direction of establishing a new paradigm: And that refers to President Trump, Putin, Xi Jinping, Prime Minister Modi, and possibly others, Prime Minister Abe, and maybe some other heads of state and government. And what we defined as the absolutely necessary outcome, is that they establish a New Bretton Woods system to replace the presently completely bankrupt financial system, with a New Bretton Woods, which would be in the position of the old Bretton Woods system, however it would include the added features which Franklin D. Roosevelt originally wanted, namely, to end colonialism for the developing sector, which then, unfortunately was not implemented, because Roosevelt died, and the Bretton Woods was established by Truman and Churchill, at least under their political guidance.

And obviously, a New Bretton Woods would only function if it is accompanied by Four Laws which were defined by Lyndon LaRouche already in 2014, as the absolutely necessary changes in the financial and economic system, being: first, the implementation of Glass-Steagall banking separation; secondly to go to a national bank, to bring the power of credit generation back under the control sovereign powers, under the sovereign control of governments; and thirdly, to create an international credit system; and fourthly, to increase the productivity of the world economy by going for a crash program for a fusion economy and establish more close international space cooperation to get the necessary increase in the productivity of the world economy.

Given the fact that it cannot be expected that all countries of the G20 will agree with that – I can easily imagine that those which are absolutely tied to the City of London and the opposition to Trump coming from Wall Street, that there will be some countries that will absolutely oppose such a solution. And therefore, we have proposed that it can only be the cooperation of those countries which are powerful enough to resist the power of these financial centers, London and Wall Street, and that can only be: President Trump, President Xi Jinping, President Putin, and prime Minister Modi, the four powers together, which represent both the largest nuclear powers, the largest economies, the largest populations, that they have to work together.

Obviously, that potential exists. And since President Trump has again and again reiterated, in the election campaign and again after he became President, that he wants to improve the relationship with Russia, and the entire Russiagate was launched in order to prevent that from happening. Now, Russiagate, by now, is pretty much discredited, and despite the tensions with China on the trade issue, there are positive signs that both China and the United States may be willing to find an agreement to overcome the present war of tariffs.

That potential clearly exists, and it is very obvious, that on that hangs the question, will mankind be able, in light of existential dangers, be able to give itself a governance, structure of government, which allows the long-term sustainability of the world population. And that that is a very acute question you could see, for example, by the fact that the present Chinese ambassador to the United States Cui Tiankai just yesterday issued a very clear warning that the world must learn the lessons of the Great Depression of the 1930s, resulting in World War II, and he warns that the inability to resolve the present tensions could lead to a new world war, and a new financial crisis worse than the Depression of '30s.

The same Ambassador Cui, already in a speech about a year ago in New York, had basically posed the question: What is going to be the relation between the United States and China? He said that in history, there were 16 cases where the dominant power was replaced by a rising power – referring obviously to the present situation between the United States and China – and that in 12 cases, this led to a big war; in 4 cases, it led to a situation where the rising power simply replaced the up to that point dominant power, and it did not lead to war. And he emphasized that China does not want, at all, either, naturally the situation of war, but it also does not attempt to replace the United States as a dominant power in the world, but that the policy of China is propose a completely new set of international relations of a win-win cooperation between sovereign powers and respect for the sovereignty of the other, respect for the different social system of the other country without interfering into the internal affairs, and simply have a cooperation for the mutual benefit of all participating in this new system.

And that is, in my view, what we have seen in reality in the last five years, since President Xi Jinping proposed the New Silk Road in Kazakhstan in September 2013. And we have seen

the enormous development of the New Silk Road in the meantime, including more than 100 countries and having completely transformed the spirit in Africa, which has been caught by the Spirit of the New Silk Road due to massive investments in infrastructure, industrial parks, energy projects and similar things. The same is true for almost all of Latin America, many countries in Asia and even some Europe countries which are absolutely seeing the advantages of cooperating with this new system.

Now, it took the West, or better, Western think tanks and media, almost four years before they even admitted that this was going on. I mean, this was going a spectacle: Because here you have the largest infrastructure project in history, ever, already now about 30 times as big as the Marshall Plan, and the Western media would not take note of it! Then, about a year ago, they realized this was absolutely unstoppable, so they started a whole barrage of slanders and attacks on this New Silk Road, and quite telling, was that the channel of the Anglo-American establishment, the New York Times, over the last weekend and the weekend before, had I think altogether some 10 or 12 articles on the New Silk Road, in which they admit, basically, well, it's unstoppable, it's here, the West was completely wrong by thinking that if you offer to China to be a member of the WTO and join the free trade system, that eventually China would take over the Western liberal system, Western democracy, or, if they would refuse that, they would simply collapse under the burden of an autocratic leadership.

And the New York Times begrudgingly admits that this was a wrong estimate, that China had not adopted the Western democracy model, and it for sure has not collapsed. But it has the astounding recording of 40 years of uninterrupted economic growth rates, which have completely transformed not only China, but also much of the world economy, and that China now has a middle-class, well-to-do part of the population of 400 million, and this will be doubled in the next 10 years. And

basically, the Chinese model is attractive to many countries in the world.

They basically say, as a conclusion, that the only way to deal with that is the effort to contain China, and if need be, confrontation and even war. And some American general has already said a couple of weeks ago that a war between the United States and China is more likely in the next 50 years, than not.

So this is obviously the famous “Thucydides trap”: This refers to the situation in ancient Greece, the rivalry between Athens and Sparta, which led to the Peloponnesian War, and as a consequence – which people don’t usually mention, when they talk about the “Thucydides trap” – the demise and collapse of ancient Greece.

That is the obvious question: Can the West relate to the fact that China is rising, that there is nothing you can do about it, because, first of all, China was a country which was the leading economic and cultural power over many centuries for the last millennia, with only a very short interruption. And it is deciding to go back, not to replace other countries, but to take a leading role on the world stage. And since the Chinese government has a policy which allows it to do that, mainly by putting the emphasis on continuous innovation, of leapfrogging to the most advanced technologies, of putting a lot of emphasis – they have the most advanced fusion power research program; they have a very, very advanced space exploration program; and they are putting a lot of emphasis on education, excellence in education for the young people, combined with Xi Jinping emphasizing the need to have especially an aesthetical education of the youth, and also the older people. Because aesthetical education goes in the direction of the beauty of the mind and the beauty of the soul.

And given the fact that China has a population of 1.4 billion

people, the idea of the West that it would be possible to contain this without war is ludicrous. And if it comes to war, it should be noted that there are many military experts who make the point that once you start to use only one nuclear weapon, it is the logic of nuclear weapons, in complete contrast to traditional, conventional weaponry, that all weapons will be used. And that obviously would mean the annihilation of human civilization.

So, this paradox obviously exists, and it is the view of the Schiller Institute that it can only be overcome by winning the West, winning countries of the world over to join in the new paradigm in a win-win cooperation.

Now, that the danger of war is very real, we just saw in the incident involving provocation by Ukrainian warships in the Black Sea, close to the Sea of Azov and the Kerch Bridge. And some people in Ukraine already having proposed some weeks ago, that the newly built bridge between Russia and Crimea, should be blown up. This was obviously an incident a couple of days ago [Nov. 25], whereby Ukrainian warships did not follow the rules which are otherwise established between Russia and Ukraine, to announce their intention to pass through the Kerch Strait; so they were held up by the Russian military; the crews were basically arrested and interrogated, and in the meantime, written material has been found with this crew, which instructs them not to announce themselves, to go to this point of the Kerch Strait in secrecy, clearly indicating a provocation.

What happened, was, immediately, the war-hawks, like NATO General Secretary Jens Stoltenberg, immediately said NATO fully on the side of Ukraine; and some other hawks, immediately, like representatives of the Integrity Initiative – about which I will say something in a second – immediately said that NATO should send a whole flotilla into the Sea of Azov.

Now, this was absolutely denounced by President Putin yesterday, who waited a couple of days, and then basically said this was a clearly preplanned provocation, and the big fault lies with the nations of the West, who, without thinking immediately take the side of Ukraine. And in his typical Putin humor, even said, if Ukraine would demand to eat babies for breakfast, the West would immediately agree.

And this was also strongly denounced by the former Chairman of the NATO Military Committee, who had also been the Chief of Staff of the German military before that, Gen. Harald Kujat, who denounced Stoltenberg, and said he made a grave mistake: That in an incident like that, it is of the utmost importance that it be investigated and the other nations should not beat it up, but try to deescalate the whole situation. Fortunately, most of the West European governments did, because they obviously realized that a war between Ukraine and Russia could completely go out of control in no time and lead to a World War III.

So I think that this incident, in which the danger is not yet over because Poroshenko announced martial law in parts of Ukraine, and our dear friend Natalia Vitrenko, a Ukrainian politician, warned that this means Poroshenko is actually establishing a dictatorship in Ukraine – martial law, eliminating all kinds of civil rights, being an extremely hot situation.

Now, who is the instigator of this whole provocation? If you look at the fact that just recently, a couple of days ago, it was revealed that there is this thing called “Integrity Initiative.” This is a very strange institution. It’s basically run out of British embassies all over the world, and it is actually a subdivision of British intelligence. One of their spokesmen, Edward Lucas came out in the context of this Ukraine crisis, and immediately said: This is completely like Nazi Germany attacking Poland in 1939, and demanding an immediate escalation, sending warships into the Black Sea, in

a clear provocation.

What is this Integrity Initiative? The new British Chief of General Staff Gen. Mark Carleton-Smith said Russia is a greater danger to the U.K. than ISIS or al-Qaeda, in a completely war-mongering statement. So what this Integrity Initiative outfit does, is they have defined so-called "cluster groups," in all kinds of countries; and if you look at their website and look at the names of the people involved, it is the Cold War faction all over the world. For example, in the United States, it involves Ian Brzezinski, the son of infamous Zbigniew Brzezinski, of the {Great Chessboard} infamy, and this Ian Brzezinski is a leading member of the Atlantic Council, which can be really regarded as one of these subdivisions of the Anglo-American empire, or the British intelligence. And in Germany, it involves Gen. Klaus Naumann, who is famous for his Cold War attitudes towards Russia. So it is this apparatus which is basically sticking to the idea that you need to have a geopolitical confrontation with Russia and with China. And this is the faction which brings about the war danger in the closest fashion.

Now, we have to get over the idea that the world forever needs to be divided into geopolitical blocs, where you have one nation, or one group of nations being in complete conflict with another group of nations. And unfortunately much of the European Union thinks that way; Macron thinks that way; Mrs. Merkel in the same way. When Macron recently demanded the establishment of a European army, to be able to defend Europe against Russia, China and even the United States, he said! That is typical for this kind of thinking, which under conditions of a financial breakdown crisis and general tensions is exactly a prescription for the danger of a repetition of the two catastrophes of the 20th century.

Now, the alternative is fortunately, also very clearly there. I already mentioned the New Silk Road Spirit having transformed Africa, where people for the first time have the

legitimate hope that with the help of China, and now, more and more other countries, such as India, Japan, Indonesia, Turkey and many others, having recognized that Africa, which will have 2.5 billion people by the 2050, and as Xi Jinping at the Johannesburg BRICS summit in September correctly noted, Africa having the biggest development potential of all the continents on the planet, that one can say that Africa will be the “new China with African characteristics.” That you will have a very young population, which if they are educated and provided with the necessary infrastructure investments, can really become the most productive continent on the planet.

That obviously, is a nightmare for those people who have tried to suppress the development of the developing countries, such as the IMF, with their “conditionalities,” which was the real debt trap. You know, the IMF conditionalities basically made sure that the developing countries would remain indebted, and that they were not allowed to use their income for either investment in social expenditures, education, infrastructure, but that they had to pay debt as a priority, and that was one of the main means how the development of these countries was suppressed.

And naturally, you had the very unholy role of the World Wildlife Fund, preventing infrastructure, for the sake of snails rather than human beings. And you had the whole unholy ideology of the Club of Rome that supposedly the resources on the planet were limited, and therefore development of the developing countries had to be suppressed.

When China launched the New Silk Road, all of that went out of the window, because, now, for the first time, there is the real possibility to overcome the underdevelopment of the developing countries, and that has been understood by the countries of the Global South – these are all the major organizations, such as the G77, the Organization of Islamic Countries (OIC), Mercosur [Mercado Común del Sur], the African Union, all of these organizations are now basically inspired

by the New Silk Road idea.

And even in Europe, this is dramatically quickly changing: You had the 16+1, Eastern and Central European Countries plus China, which very happy to be hubs between Europe and Asia, in the Silk Road development. You have Greece, which is completely transformed because of Chinese investments in Piraeus and other infrastructure projects. The new Italian government had completely changed: They no longer listen to the EU in respect to China. The leading ministers, like Giovanni Tria, and the undersecretary for Economic Development Michele Geraci, Prime Minister Giuseppe Conte, himself, they all basically look to cooperation between China and Italy, not only for mutual investments, but especially to invest in joint projects in Africa. And one of the most glorious examples, is the agreement between China and Italy to jointly work together with the countries of the Lake Chad Basin Commission to implement the Transaqua project, which I'm sure Hussein already talked about.

But then you have also Spain, where President Xi was just there on a state visit, and having very far-reaching cooperation agreement between China and Spain, emphasizing the 2,000 years of cultural ties between the two countries. And then Xi Jinping will also go to Portugal when he comes back from the G20. And between Portugal and China there is an absolute agreement that Portugal, also, will become the hub – Spain and Portugal will not only be the hub for the Eurasian connection of the New Silk Road, but also the hub for all the Spanish- and Portuguese-speaking throughout the world. So this is what the Schiller Institute emphasized in our “World Land-Bridge” report, to work on the so-called Atlantic route, and in this way, combining the Caribbean, Central America, South America, with those Portuguese- and Spanish-speaking countries and therefore Europe.

So the World Land-Bridge is coming into being. And we had just had a very interesting and hopeful even in Hamburg, between

China and the Hamburg Chamber of Commerce, where, with the exception of one Greenie, who was completely beside himself, but all the other speakers were more or less very optimistic in talking about how Hamburg is the natural link not only for the land connection – and I think per week now, 23 trains are coming from China into Hamburg – but obviously, also a crucial aspect of the Maritime Silk Road, given the fact that Hamburg is the largest port in Germany.

This is all very, very interesting. Then, I should not forget to mention Switzerland, which is also onboard. Austria: Austria's new government even has it in its coalition treaty that Austria wants to become a hub for the New Silk Road. So I think the development is actually, objectively very, very promising. But obviously, a lot more has to be done, because if you reflect on what I said in the beginning, what should become the outcome of the G20, a New Bretton Woods system and a new system in order to safeguard our nations against the danger of a new financial blowout, that has not yet been accomplished.

Otherwise, objectively, despite all the dangers I've referred to, I think we can be very optimistic, because a new system is within reach. And I just want to say, that economic development absolutely must be combined with a Classical Renaissance. Because, if you look at the values of the West right now, – I want to identify very briefly what I mean by that – the neo-liberal or liberal philosophy or ideology which has taken over in the West, it is correctly rejected by Russia and by China – it's the principle "everything is allowed." You have not two genders, but now you have about 49 genders; you have pornography without limits; children can go on the internet and see the worst-possible atrocities, the violence which is now, unfortunately having roots in the United States – you have the mass shootings in the schools almost every day. In the United States, there were already more than 300 mass shootings [this year]. You have the opioid epidemic in the

United States. You have an increase of [inaudible 35:42] alcoholism, drug addiction; longevity in the United States has gone down for the first time in the last two years! If there is any parameter for a collapsing economy it is that the life expectancy goes down.

And in Europe, we are not that far behind, if you look at the violence in the schools in Germany, just to name one element. I think we are in an absolute dire need to have a Renaissance of human culture, of Classical culture, of Classical music, poetry, and other areas of art.

This has been understood in China. I mentioned already Xi Jinping's emphasis on the need for aesthetical education, and the main Chinese speaker at this Hamburg conference yesterday, Vice Premier Liu He, who is the main economic advisor of Xi Jinping, he deviated from his written text and said that he wanted to share a story with the audience, that during the Cultural Revolution, when he was young, he had to hide in one of the hutongs in Beijing – these are the old buildings – and he had to listen in secrecy to the Violin Concerto in C-minor of Felix Mendelssohn, and that meant that he fell completely in love with Classical music and he has pursued that passion ever since.

I think this is very promising. Because as you know, we are convinced that human nature is that all human beings essentially are good, that man has the limitless capability to self-perfect, not only in terms of intellect, but also that the aesthetical education means that you can educate your emotions until you can blindly follow them because they would never tell you anything different than what reason commands. This is the Friedrich Schiller's definition of the beautiful soul. He says, necessity and passion, free will and duty all fall in one, and this is the condition where man is truly free: Because you do with passion what is necessary, because you cannot think in any different way other than on the level of reason.

And I think that is not a utopia, but that is something which can be absolutely accomplished and is inherent in the philosophy of Confucius, who also had almost the same idea of aesthetical education as Friedrich Schiller, that is, through music, through poetry, through learning [inaudible 38:49], that you can actually transform the character of people to become beautiful characters, and to becoming wise and serve the common good.

Now, I think that if mankind is supposed to reach the New Paradigm, not only will the relations among nations be like that, that each nation will refer to the best tradition of the other and be enriched by discovering the beauty of the most advanced culture of the other nation, but that that will increasingly become the nature of relations among nations: that we will stop behaving like little four-year-old boys, kicking each other in the shins, meaning, conducting war and things like that, and we will become adult as a human species and renovate our relations, like the astronauts, who all, – all the astronauts who have been in space, who were on the ISS, they all report the same thing: That once you are in space, you recognize that you can only cooperate on the basis of reason, because otherwise you won't exist, and that when you look at our little, blue planet from space, you recognize that there is {so} much to be discovered! First of all, there are no borders, there are no races, there is only one humanity, and you recognize that our universe is so huge! And that we as a human species have to cooperate, to be able to have a sustained existence in this universe, over the next thousands and thousands of years.

And the Hubble telescope discovered that we presently know of the existence of 2 trillion galaxies! Now, that is boggling the minds – if I try to think of the Solar System, the Milky Way, the galaxy, that is already gigantic. But the idea of 2 trillion galaxies, that shows you that we as a human species have just made the first baby steps in the direction of the

perfection of our species.

Anyway, I just think we are at the change of an epoch. I think we have a very good chance to leave the epoch of geopolitics behind us, that we can really create a system of governance which makes the coexistence in peace and development possible for all of humanity. And I would encourage you to be optimistic about it, and join efforts with the Schiller Institute, because this has been our perspective for the past 40 or 50 years – in the case of my husband, 50 years – and I think we are on the verge of seeing the realization of that vision.

Thank you. [applause]

Et nyt paradigme eller økonomisk kaos og krig. Helga Zepp-LaRouches ugentlige webcast den 6. december 2018

Første afsnit: oprør i Europa, fra de Gule Veste, over Brexit, til den politiske krise i Tyskland – masseoprør imod den sammenfaldende neoliberale orden fortsætter med at gære. Undtagelsen i Europa er Italien, som er den eneste stabile regering, der laver gode ting (senere tilføjede HZL Portugal og Spanien på den positive side). Befolkningen vil ikke længere acceptere den neoliberale orden – Macron, May og Merkel har alle problemer.

november 2018

Kun Glass/Steagall-bankopdeling og et Nyt Bretton Woods kreditsystem kan forhindre finanssammenbrud

Jeg er Tom Gillesberg, formand for Schiller Instituttet i Danmark. Tak fordi vi måtte komme.

Den danske offentlighed har haft en brat opvågning til den sande tilstand i den danske finansverden. Danske Bank har i årevis været dybt involveret i hvidvask af enorme pengebeløb og både bankens ledelse og Finanstilsynet svigtede totalt, når de gennem mange år blev gjort opmærksom på problemet. Andre af de store SIFI-banker i Danmark er samtidigt blevet afsløret i medhjælp til skatteunddragelse og svigt i deres bekæmpelse af svindel og hvidvask. Endelig har mange af de danske banker, i lighed med deres internationale kolleger, været medvirkende til at den danske og udenlandske statskasser er blevet plyndret gennem svigagtige udbytteskatrefusioner. Alt dette viser, at det ikke drejer sig om enkelte problemer eller enkelte brodne kar, men er et systemisk problem, hvor hele bank- og finansverdenen er gennemsyret af ukontrolleret grådighed, hvor man sætter sin egen finansielle vinding over loven og det almene vel. Politikken med afregulering, og med at lade finansverdenen styre sig selv, har spillet fallit.

Dette var egentlig allerede tydeligt i forbindelse med nedsmeltningen af det internationale finansielle system i 2007-2008. Jeg, og andre aktivister fra Schiller Instituttet, advarede om dette allerede i 2007, da jeg stillede op til Folketinget med sloganet: Efter finanskrakket – Magnostog over Katttegat. Men man sad vore advarsler overhørigt. Da vi så efter krakket i 2008 – hvor kun en dansk statsgaranti, der dækkede alle finansielle institutioner, forhindrede en nedsmeltning i den danske bankverden – kom med forslag til, hvordan vi kunne rense op i finansverdenen gennem en gennemførelse af Glass/Steagall-bankopdeling, hvor man i

processen vil skille skidt fra kanel – udskille samfundsvigtig normal bankaktivitet fra kasinoøkonomi – så nægtede man på institutionelt hold i Danmark og resten af den vestlige verden atter at lytte. Man ville ikke gøre op med den usunde adfærd i finansverdenen, der havde skabt sammenbruddet i 2008. Havde man nemlig ikke afreguleret hele den finansielle sektor, og i 1999 afskaffet Glass/Steagall-bankopdelingen i USA, så havde vi nemlig undgået finanskrisen.

Men nu blev fokuset at redde bankerne – og alle andre aktører i finansverdenen – med bankpakker og kvantitative lempelser; på bekostning af realøkonomien og almindelige menneskers levestandard. Derfor står vi i dag over for en kommende finanskriser, der er potentielt langt værre end den, som vi oplevede i 2008. De danske tiltag med at lade banker og realkreditselskaber polstre sig (med kundernes penge) vil ikke forhindre en ny krise. Uden en opdeling af aktiviteterne i de finansielle supermarkeder har vi stadig, som i 2007-2008, ingen branddøre, der kan forhindre, at ildebrand i en del af finansverdenen spreder sig til hele det finansielle hus.

Det forestående finanssammenbrud

Der er mange tikkende bomber under det internationale finanssystem. I kølvandet på den amerikanske føderalbanks rentestigninger er der stadig flere advarsler om en kommende nedsmeltning af det 3.500 mia. dollars store marked for amerikansk virksomhedsgæld, hvor stadig mere usikre lån bliver pakket om og gensolgt i mange forskellige forklædninger – i lighed med dårlige amerikanske boliglån i 2007-2008. Dette har fået følgeskab af nedgang på børserne og et kraftigt fald i den økonomiske vækst i USA og Europa. Bank for International Settlements advarede om denne farlige udvikling i sin årlige rapport i juli og noget lignende gjorde Bank of England i oktober. Så kom IMF's udtrykkelige advarsel om faldende virksomhedsobligationsmarkeder i IMF-bloggen den 12. november.

Et kollaps af markedet for virksomhedsgæld vil have større konsekvenser end kollapset af den amerikanske subprimelånboble i 2008. Når først krisen er udløst, vil det ramme bankerne verden rundt, og bag den blankpolerede overflade er de blevet endnu større og endnu mere bankerotte, end de var i 2008. I lighed med optakten til krisen i 2007-2008 har der også været et kollaps og en kapitalflugt fra de såkaldte "emerging markets" og det gigantiske uregulerede marked for finansielle derivater kan implodere når som helst.

Løsningen

Der er ingen lille lappeløsning, der kan rette op på dette, men Schiller Instituttet og den internationale LaRouche-bevægelse har fremlagt en sammenhængende løsning for, hvordan vi kan bortoperere den spekulative kræftsvulst og skabe kreditter til produktive investeringer – både på internationalt plan og her i Danmark.

1. Vi skal med i Den Nye Silkevej

Mens størstedelen af økonomien i den vestlige verden har ligget underdrejet i de seneste 10 år – hvor de fleste har oplevet en faldende levestandard – så har man i Kina oplevet fortsat kraftig økonomisk vækst og været i stand til at løfte stadig flere mennesker ud af fattigdom. Man brugte krisen i 2008 til at påbegynde massive infrastrukturprojekter, så Kina har nu flere kilometer højhastighedstog end resten af verden tilsammen. Man har bygget nye byer, vandprojekter, kraftværker og anden infrastruktur, der gør det muligt at tage sig af en voksende befolkning med en stigende levestandard.

I 2013 lancerede Kinas præsident Xi Jinping så denne udviklingspolitik på internationalt plan med Bælte- og Vej-Initiativet, også kaldet Den Nye Silkevej, der i dag er 12

gange større end USA's Marshallplan efter 2. verdenskrig og som over 60 lande nu deltager i. Danmark burde være en fremtrædende del af denne udviklingsdagsorden, specielt i Afrika og Sydvestasien.

2. Et Nyt Bretton Woods kreditsystem

Den 30. november er der G20-topmøde i Argentina, og Schiller instituttet har foreslået, at topmøderne mellem præsident Trump, Xi Jinping og Putin bruges til at etablere et nyt Bretton Woods kreditsystem, i samarbejde med Indiens premierminister Modi. En ny version af det gamle fastkurssystem, der blev etableret efter 2. verdenskrig, men nu for at skabe langfristede kreditter til udvikling af alle nationer. Dette er det eneste, som kan forhindre, at den igangværende disintegration af det nuværende City of London- og Wall Street-baserede finanssystem fører til kaos og mulig krig.

3. LaRouches fire love

I Danmark kan vi i mellemtiden forberede tiltag, der kan beskytte vores økonomi imod den kommende finansielle tsunami. Schiller Instituttet har fremlagt Lyndon LaRouches fire love, der er en konceptuel drejebog for at få vores økonomi drejet væk fra finansiell spekulation og tilbage til fysisk økonomi og videnskabeligt og teknologisk fremskridt:

- Gennemførelsen af en Glass/Steagall-bankopdeling af den danske finanssektor, hvor vi sanerer banksystemet og adskiller normal bankaktivitet fra finansiell spekulation. De finansielle supermarkeder skal opdeles i normale banker, investeringsbanker, realkreditaktivitet

og forsikringsvirksomhed. Bankerne og andre finansielle institutioner skal opdeles og reduceres i størrelse, så de ikke længere udgør en systemisk risiko, og den statslige indskudsgaranti vil kun gælde for normale banker.

- Vi må skabe statskreditter til produktive investeringer i økonomien;
- Vi må kanalisere en del af disse kreditter ind i store infrastrukturprojekter og andet, der kan øge produktiviteten og energigennemstrømningstætheden i økonomien og skabe den næste højere økonomiske platform for Danmark, som f.eks. en Kattegatbro og et nationalt magnettoget, og faste forbindelser mellem Helsingør og Helsingborg og under Femern Bælt.
- Vi må investere massivt i forskning og udvikling af de områder, som skaber fremtidens teknologier som f.eks. kernekraft, fusionsenergi, rumforskning etc.

Den største fejl, som vi kan begå, er at tro, at vi kan overlade disse spørgsmål til den finansielle verden. Den har bevist, at den hverken har det moralske kompas eller de nødvendige løsninger, til at sikre vores fremtid. Derfor må staten nu påtage sig sit ansvar, og etablere de nødvendige love og regler, der kan sikre det almene vel og Danmarks og danskernes fremtid. Det er der, i lyset af de seneste bankskandaler, en bred offentlig opbakning til.

Tak for ordet.

Slides fra mødet:





POLITISK ORIENTERING den 13. november:

1. del: Efter USA's midvejsvalg, vil Trump og demokraterne samarbejde om infrastruktur og bankopdeling?

Klik her for 2. del. om et møde med Hussein Askary torsdag den 29. november på Frederiksberg

Med formand Tom Gillesberg

Video 2. del om mødet med Hussein Askary den 29. november på

Frederiksberg (2 min.)

**POLITISK ORIENTERING den 1.
november 2018:
Fem dage til amerikansk
midtvejsvalg//
Danske Irananklager ligeså
falske som Skripalsagen**

Med formand Tom Gillesberg

Lyd:

**POLITISK ORIENTERING den 18.
oktober 2018:
Udbytteskat skandalen**

understreger behovet for Glass/Steagall-bankopdeling. Her er også supplerende materiale.

Med næstformand Michelle Rasmussen

Lyd:

LaRouchePAC video som sætter spørgsmål ved global opvarmning fra februar 2017.

75 år for redningen af de fleste danske jøder: fra mindehøjtidlighed i synagogen i København den 11. oktober 2018.

Personer EIR talte med ved mindehøjtigheden i synagogen i København den 11. oktober 2018.

1. Jan Willem Norden som flygtede til Sverige.
2. Torbjörn Adelsson, en svensk mand, hvis dansk kone havde flygtede til Sverige.
3. En mand som blev født i Sverige et par måneder efter hans forældre havde flygtet.
4. Moses Schwartz, en polsk mand som overlevede fem koncentrationslejre.
5. En mand som flygtede til Sverige, nu bosat i Israel, som kom til København for mindehøjtigheden.

6. En modstandsmand som var aktivt med Sorø akademiets gruppe og blev interneret i Neuengamme koncentrationslejre. Hans kone var ansat ved plejehjemmet Bernadottegaarden for modstandsfolk.

7-8. Modstandsmænd Uffe Preuss og Poul Høeg fra Nybodergruppen.

9. Modstandsmand fra Odense.

Musiken er en vuggeviser skrevet af den tjekkisk-jødisk forfatter og digter Ilse Weber, da hun var i Theresienstadt med hendes mand og ældste søn. Deres yngste søn kom med "kindertransport" via Storbritannien til Sverige. Ilse insisterede at komme med sin mand til Auschwitz, hvor hun og sønnen blev dræbt så snart de ankom. Hun har skrevet andre sange og tekster i Theresienstadt.

Anne Sofie von Otter synger.

Krig og fred på spil i USA's midtvejsvalg: Schiller Instituttes ugentlige webcast med Helga Zepp-LaRouche den 11. oktober 2018

Afskrift på engelsk:

Schiller Institute New Paradigm Webcast, October 11, 2018

With Helga Zepp-LaRouche

The Stakes In the U.S. Midterm Elections: War or Peace

HARLEY SCHLANGER: Hello, I'm Harley Schlanger with the Schiller Institute. Welcome to our webcast for today. It's Oct.

11, 2018: Our webcast will feature, as always, Helga Zepp-LaRouche, our founder and chairwoman Helga Zepp-LaRouche. We were just reviewing events prior to this webcast, and there's so much going on, as we come now with less than five weeks to the midterm election in the United States, which is going to be an extraordinarily important in determining whether

the American people are going to step up to the responsibility of

joining the New Paradigm, or whether they're going to succumb to

the demoralization and the media control.

We're also seeing things that the LaRouche movement is famous for, which is economic forecasting. And Helga, why don't

we start with that: We saw a very big drop in the stock market

yesterday, there's jitters on Wall Street, anxiety around the world. What's going on?

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE: The system is disintegrating, and it's just a question of time when this will happen. I find it very remarkable that the IMF is pronouncing the famous "D" word. Remember that the "D" word was never to be mentioned, because the

market would supposedly follows the psychology of the markets, and when you mention the word "Depression," then that could bring

it on and so was the tale. But now the IMF meeting in Bali, Indonesia has been warning that challenges are to be faced, otherwise, the second Great Depression would be looming.

Now, I find this really remarkable, and I think what they're trying to somehow prepare the population that thing is really coming down. This stock market plunge of more than 800 points

—
I think something like 820 — you had President Trump who basically said the Federal Reserve is “crazy” with their interest

rate policy, which caused a complete reaction by [IMF Managing Director Christine] Lagarde and so forth, but he kept repeating

it twice. He said the situation is much too tense to continue this. Naturally, this is the reason why you have the reverse carry trade from the emerging markets, which was mentioned by the

IMF as the biggest threat to the system, and the second biggest

threat or maybe on an equal level, being the indebtedness. Now,

Lagarde also mentioned the indebtedness of the governments, the

corporate firms, and also other categories, like student loans and car loans and all of this, is 60% higher than it was in 2008.

Then, in addition to all of these things, you have the collapse of the real economy, with for example home-building peaked in the United States in January and has been in a free fall and since about May this was also the case for copper and timber — all of these have lost between 15 and 20% since the beginning of the year. So, these are all markers that this thing

is not continuing.

And then, you have, naturally, on top of that the growing fight between the EU and Italian government. You had the famous

letter, or infamous letter by the two EU Commissioners [Pierre]

Moscovici and [Valdis] Dombrovskis, to the Italian government

warning them that their intention not to stick to the EU-imposed budget deficit of 2.4%, that this would not be allowed, which was the trigger for a run on the Italian bond, and as a result, the spread between the Italian and the German values have gone up to 300 points; and it is generally said if it goes up to 400 – basically that means that the Italians have to pay 3% and more to refinance their loans – and that could actually really all contribute to a crisis.

On top of it, by the 15th of this month, that is in four days, the Italian government will publish the details of their budget. And it is expecting that the rating agencies immediately afterwards will put out some rating, in all likelihood downgrading the Italian bonds, or downgrading Italy as a country, which then basically, depending how they are formulating it, if the outlook is basically neutral, people say this could just go through; but if they put a negative outlook on it, then that could lead to a big banking crisis – actually not only of Italy, but of the entire Western financial system.

It is clear that some of these people in the European Central Bank and EU Commission obviously think they can force the Italian government to capitulate, that they can control the consequences of this, but this is playing with fire: Because you have a highly, highly volatile financial system, and I can only say, in 2008, the whole world was more or less unprepared for

the

crash, because they were not listening to the warning this my husband had already put out, very clearly, on July 25th, 2007

–

this was one week before the secondary mortgage crisis in the United States exploded. And he had said at that time, this system is finished. All you can see now, is how it comes down.

And people didn't listen to it. So the crash occurred in 2008 and

they didn't draw any conclusions out of their own mistakes, and

just kept pumping money – quantitative easing. And basically all these instruments of the Central Bank are now completely exhausted and used up.

And contrary to 2008, when everybody was unprepared, those people who are now trying to cause the Italian government to capitulate and continue with the austerity, which the Italian government was voted in, because they rejected that austerity. So, if they push too hard, I think one should not forget that both Italian government coalition parties, the Lega and the Five

Star Movement party, they have Glass-Steagall in not only their

party program, but also in the coalition treaty.

Now, obviously, the Italian government knows what they're up against. They have seen speculators moving in on countries, driving them into the ground, so they are relatively careful, and

they're not saying anything terribly provocative. But if somebody from the outside pushes them into a crash, I would not

exclude the possibility, or I would actually say it's quite probable that they would implement Glass-Steagall as a self-defense.

So it is quite different from 2008, and I think the only lesson that one can draw out of all of this, is we need to

amplify our efforts to go for a New Bretton Woods system, which we have a campaign on internationally, we have a petition; this has been signed by many people in the meantime, and I would urge you, our viewers, sign this petition, get it around, prepare anybody you know – elected officials, mayors, parliamentarians, congressmen – to prepare for Glass-Steagall, and not only that, but the Four Laws of Lyndon LaRouche. Because unless we reorganize this entirely bankrupt financial system in an orderly fashion, the danger is an uncontrolled collapse. You need a New Bretton Woods system, you need Glass-Steagall, we need to get rid of the casino economy; we have to have credit for the financing of the real economy, and we have to have a new credit system to basically finance investments on a multinational level among all the countries of this world, to get the world out of this danger of a depression. So, if the IMF talks about the danger of a Great Depression, people should take it to heart. Think about what happened in the Great Depression in the '30s – in the United States it was devastation, but in Europe it was even worse, because it led to fascist movements and that to world wars. So people should not take these things lightly: Get onboard with us. Join the Schiller Institute, join our campaign for New Bretton Woods, because that's the only answer one can give to this danger of a

looming crash.

SCHLANGER: I had a chance to read through the Executive Summary of the IMF report, and there were two omissions – they were there in a sense, but they didn't really acknowledge them, – both of which your husband was out in front of for many, many years, in dealing with the IMF. On the one side, it's clear that it's {their} policy which has failed. The austerity regime which the IMF is famous for, these have never led to any economic development. And then, secondly, the quantitative easing, the low-interest credit for speculation, instead of Glass-Steagall – the IMF was promoting that. And so, the two things they promoted, they're now admitting are failed. Do you have any thoughts on that, Helga?

ZEPP-LAROUICHE: I think the possibility that the IMF would reform itself is probably going in the probability towards zero. I think it requires a different kind of action. In a certain sense, you have right now in the whole world, already, a revolt against these failed neo-liberal policies. This was expressed in the Brexit; this was expressed in the election victory of President Trump; the new Italian government is an expression of that; also the Austrian government. And I think that – in a certain sense, national sovereignty has to be reasserted, and I don't think these supranational institutions which were the main reason why these policies were imposed, which had created

havoc:

Look at what happened to Greece. The Greek economy was diminished by one-third. The Italian economy was destroyed.

The

fact that we have now a totally different government in Italy, which is pro-growth for the most part, which is for the relationship with China, for the relationship with the New Silk

Road, all of this is a response to the failure of these policies.

And there are many people in Europe who are expecting that, given

the fact that the EU is basically doing exactly the same thing in

principle, namely, sticking to the neo-liberal austerity, that you will have an earthquake in the coming European Parliament elections [in April] which will show that these present policies

will be absolutely out.

I think this is much too long term. I think the crisis is upon us now, so I can only say: The only solution is for the package I just said before: The IMF is really a bankrupt organization, and that was stated by my husband in 1975, when he

proposed to replace this IMF with an International Development Bank, which would provide large-scale, low-interest credit for development projects in the developing sector; and if that would

have been done, we would not have a migration problem, we would

have prosperous countries around the globe; but now, with the New

Silk Road, this policy is on a good way.

So I can only say, "listen to the wise words of Lyndon LaRouche," belatedly, but better late than never.

SCHLANGER: And that was a very popular item, "How the

International Development Bank Works," that Lyn wrote back in '76, I think it was. We used it as part of his campaign – for his first campaign for President.

Coming up on the midterm elections, there's a lot of turmoil that's been unleashed. What's clear is that Hillary Clinton has

not learned anything from the results of November 2016. You have

a real civil unrest that's being built, deliberately, because the

Mueller case, the Mueller Russiagate story, is collapsing.

This

can become a very dangerous situation. Let's start with what

Trump said – Trump said, the Democrats have gone crazy. I

assume you would share that assessment, Helga?

ZEPP-LAROUICHE: If calling for violence is a sign of insanity, then I would agree with him. And it's funny, I was looking at the German media, and they all portray this fight, this very hot situation in the United States, as if it's all just

electioneering by Trump that he would call the Democrats a "mob."

But, it is a fact that Maxine Waters already some months ago, called on everybody to get into the face of Trump cabinet members, whenever you them – there were some actually violent incidents; people were not served in restaurants; and also some

other Democrats basically called that you should be "in their faces" of the Trump camp. And that has created a complete hysteria, which was amplified by the Kavanaugh case, where even

Alan Dershowitz, who said that he's a liberal Democrat, he said

that the attempt, for example, Senator Feinstein and Eric Holder,

they said that Kavanaugh should not be accepted, that this

would

put into question the legitimacy of the Supreme Court! I mean this is really incredible. Dershowitz then correctly said, forget

it, Kavanaugh is now Supreme Court Justice and he will be there

for his lifetime; this was due process, and the Democrats should

go back to being civilized and not violate all the norms and rules.

I mean, this is incredible: I'm not an expert on American constitutional questions, but it seems to me if the Senate, or some Senators, are putting into question the legitimacy of the Supreme Court, this is heading towards a constitutional crisis,

or some kind of a state crisis if these people are not stopped.

I think they have lost all barriers, and they have lost all sense of limit! This is a completely hysterical situation, and I

can only say that this is very dangerous. And we have said many

times that Trump is being attacked, essentially, – I mean, you

can pick on tiny points here and there – but that's not the point: The main reason why Trump is being attacked, is because he

tried to get the relationship with Russia on a good basis. He had a very successful in Helsinki with Putin. And at least, in

the initial phase, he had an excellent relationship with China and Xi Jinping. And that is why the geopolitical establishment

went absolutely crazy – as a matter of fact, they're escalating

their campaign, both against Russia and against China, in unprecedented ways. And it is the question of war and peace,

and
people should really understand that, that the Democrats have
really gone crazy on the issue of Russia and also China, and
they
should not fall into this trap, because in the consequence,
this
would mean World War III.

SCHLANGER: One of the other important points, I think is
that what we're seeing, is again, people like George Soros
funding these rent-a-mobs. And Soros, of course, has been
involved in this for many, many years. And I believe, Helga,
you
first identified the operation against Trump after the
election,
as similar to the "color revolutions" that Soros, combined
with
people like John McCain, the National Endowment for Democracy,
the Clinton State Department, to run coups and regime changes,
throughout the former Soviet bloc countries. I think we're
now
seeing that what you said about the "color revolution," is
totally accurate, including the danger of a Maidan Square-type
chaos being unleashed. Sen. Rand Paul said yesterday that he
fears that there could be assassinations.
Is this pretty much what you had seen two years ago, this
color revolution scenario?

ZEPP-LAROUICHE: Yeah, because whenever you have George Soros
involved in such, and he financed, according to reports, \$50
million to a private group which, according to these reports,
which was called into being by a "senator," not named, but the
individual involved was formerly an aide to Senator Feinstein,
so
the speculation naturally goes in this direction. This was an
outsourcing of the whole Steele operation to a private group,
basically using \$50 million so that this could go on, after

Steele was officially fired from his liaison with the FBI. Now, this is incredible. I think this will all come out, and also following the James Baker testimony, which even if it was behind closed doors, nevertheless, what came out in various Fox TV programs and various other revelations, that it was Michael Sussman, the lawyer of Hillary Clinton's campaign and the lawyer for the DNC, which was the original trigger for the whole Russiagate affair. I find this absolutely incredible and I can only hope that the American voters draw their conclusion out of it, because that means that the Hillary Clinton campaign was involved with a foreign power, namely great Britain, against her opponent in the election campaign, and then afterwards, basically against an elected President. Now, I don't find this very "democratic," to say the least, to use these kinds of secret service methods, deep state methods, to work against your own President, who has been democratically elected. This, in my view, is the biggest scandal, and if it is all coming out, if all the documents are being declassified, I think it will go down in history, as the biggest scandal in American history. And that is what's at stake with this midterm election.

SCHLANGER: I think it's very important that Baker, who was the legal counsel to Comey and the FBI, in his testimony before a private Congressional hearing, that he acknowledged that Sussman gave him the Steele report, which was then incorporated into the

original FISA warrant against [Trump campaign advisor] Carter Page. That just makes clear that all these Democrats who have been protesting what Representative Nunes did in his House Intelligence Committee, that they were wrong, they were lying; they were trying to cover up for the connection between the British, the Clinton campaign, and the FBI.

Now, Helga, a couple of other things we need to cover before we finish today: One is the very significant stopover in Beijing

by Secretary of State Pompeo. He had just come from North Korea,

where there was what appears to be quite a successful meeting with Kim Jong-un. But when he got to China, it was a slightly different environment, largely because of the moves toward trade

war. What happened when Pompeo got to Beijing?

ZEPP-LAROUICHE: This was shortly after Vice President Mike Pence had made this absolute diatribe at the Hudson Institute, attacking China in the {worst} possible way, and this was taken

very badly by the Chinese government and Chinese media, who really questioned, does that mean there is a shift in the Trump

Administration, in a total hostile attitude towards China?

Basically, when then Pompeo arrived in Beijing, just a few days

afterwards, he basically reiterated that it is the policy of the

United States to have a good relationship with China, to agree to

the One-China policy, and that the United States is not trying to

stop the rise of China. And there were several Chinese media which noted the fact that this was an extremely important statement to come at this moment.

There was one interesting article in the {Global Times} by

the American analyst and expert, Clifford Kiracofe, who made the point that there is a clear difference between Pence, who sort of speaks for the deep state, and Trump, who is trying to change the U.S. foreign policy, but is being “hamstrung” by this crew on the side of the deep state. And Kiracofe basically said the U.S. establishment is unwilling to learn the lesson from what’s going on strategically, that the world is changing and that there is a multipolarity already now.

Now, the significance of this is not only Kiracofe saying that, but that the {Global Times} is publishing that, which is a paper which is very close to the Chinese government. So I think it’s important that the Chinese are still, despite the trade war and the escalation coming from ridiculous – like Elizabeth Economy, who was already on a rampage in 2014, came out with another major piece, accusing China of all kinds of things – I don’t need to repeat this stuff; and I already in 2014 said that Elizabeth Economy obviously has an “economy-class mind” if she says these things, and obviously, she has not improved since. But in any case, I think it is very important that Pompeo was there to set the record straight, because obviously, the need to find solutions to the world does require the collaboration among the major powers of the world. Those people who are pushing this insane confrontation, like Hillary Clinton did in her speech in Oxford, where she was on an absolute rant against Russia, I mean, these people should really not be listened to,

at
all.

SCHLANGER: Another group that shouldn't be listened to, but unfortunately is, is the IPCC, which is in the news again, with the so-called "manmade climate change" theories they have, demanding that carbon dioxide be eliminated from the universe. This goes back to the campaign you waged against the British-backed, German figure John Schellnhuber, who has been pushing these policies. And now it looks as though this is going to be aimed as dagger at the heart of the German auto industry. And it's a good thing that Trump pulled the United States out of the Paris climate change agreement. But where is this heading?

ZEPP-LAROUICHE: This is report which says the climate accord from Paris was not good enough, we need not stop 2% temperature increase, but we should stop at 1.5% by 2050. And obviously, this is basically what Schellnhuber put out some years ago with the "great transformation" as he called it, the decarbonization of the world economy, getting rid of nuclear, getting rid of coal, oil, gas, just go to "renewable" energy sources; and there, it is very, very clear that what my husband has developed in terms of the cohesion and the correlation between energy flux-density in the production process, and the potential relative population density, which can be maintained with that energy flux-density, means that the goal which Schellnhuber set forth that the Earth can only carry 1 billion people, that

that
is what they now want to put back on the agenda. It's a
desperate effort by the forces of the Empire against Trump,
who
has promised to reindustrialize the United States; especially
against the New Silk Road, against the Belt and Road
Initiative,
which now is the new spirit which has captured more than 100
countries already, which work with the Belt and Road
Initiative
to their benefit. And it's an effort to really throw a monkey
wrench into this dynamic.
But I think it's desperate, I think it's dangerous, but I
don't think it will work. I don't think that these people
will
convince China, India, Latin America, Africa, the Asian
nations,
even some of the European nations, to lie down and die,
because
this is what that would mean.
It's dangerous anyhow, because you can see that it's needing
a mass hysteria on the CO2 question. I mean, first of all, we
have long debated that the CO2 emissions is really a minimal
factor in climate change. Climate change is taking place,
there's no question about it, but as we have documented many
times in the past, it has to a very large extent to do with
the
position of our Solar System in the Galaxy, and it is long-
term
cycles from Ice Ages to warming periods, and within that, you
have still smaller fluctuations; and this is determining the
climate.
So the whole discussion of whether the CO2 emission of man
causing these climate changes is just completely absurd. Now,
it's very dangerous, because, as you can see, this
brainwashing
of the population – in Europe, for example, one day after the

IPCC put out this quack report, the EU Environment Ministers met and they decided that the CO2 emission from cars should not be 30%, like they had previously argued, but it should be 35%; and the German government, which basically initially said 30%, and then agreed to this compromise – it's just crazy.

Now, what will that do? The boss of VW, the largest German carmaker today came out and said that if this aim is being implemented to have 35% cut in cars' CO2 emissions, then this will cause, alone for VW, 100,000 jobs – and that's just one carmaker. Now, you can add all the other ones, and you will end up with millions of people going unemployed and the whole industry collapsing! Germany, as an economy, is already on extremely fragile ground because of the exit from nuclear energy, and if they now are pushing to eliminate coal altogether, this will be the death of Germany as an industrial country. Obviously, we will make a big campaign against that.

There is a big worry, because obviously, for example, Poland is 90% dependent on coal in terms of its electricity production.

And if they force countries to implement that, you will have a populist explosion in the next vote, if not earlier.

So this is all completely crazy, and it should be stated very clearly, that with the presently existing technologies, for a very long time, the world population cannot be maintained without coal, and there are safe and modern coal plants which are completely environmentally friendly; and it's completely motivated by, not the environment, but by an anti-population attitude. We had put out in 2015, a report “‘Global Warming’ Scare Is Population Reduction, not Science,” and in that report, we had the Queen of England on the cover, because obviously,

Schellhuber, who wants to be addressed all the time as "CBE," Commander of the British Empire, – we had documented in that report that these are British policies. And I think what we see

with this IPCC report, and anti-coal emission campaign; and in Berlin, they now forbid diesel cars, cars which fueled by diesel

are forbidden from driving in 11 zones in Berlin! Now, the craftsmen's association has said that this means that 50,000 cars

of craftsmen will not be allowed to drive in the city, and everybody who needs the services of a craftsman, who needs a new

roof, or needs a new pump, or whatever, they will not be serviced

any more.

This has nothing to do with real issues: This is mass psychosis, and it's driven by the hedge funds, by Wall Street, because the CO2 emission trade is a quackery: We denounced that

in the past, and now, to impose a global carbon tax, which is also what is being pushed, would mean they have again a good weapon against national sovereignty, because once you agree that

national economies have to submit to the policing in terms of their carbon emission, here you go again in the direction of this

globalist eco-fascism.

It's not scientific, I think it's the opposite: It's oligarchical and it's an effort, really aimed, in my view primarily against the New Silk Road, but naturally also against

Trump, also against Germany, and many other countries. So, we should really denounce that, and we will have a whole bunch of articles about that on this webpage; we will have a whole section

on the Schiller page, where we will have interviews and

statements. And we invite you, if you have some scientific contribution to make to this subject, we will publish it on this

website and have a public debate. Because this is really dangerous for the future of civilization.

And we have to have the opposite approach: We have to have an optimism about man being able to go into fusion power, to develop completely new scientific methods for energy, safety, for

raw materials security, space travel – I think we should not get

into this scare which is really a tool of the oligarchy to try to

stop the development of the people.

SCHLANGER: Helga, we've gone on a little bit longer than usual, but I think there's one other thing we have to bring up,

because we teased it last week, which is endorsements for Independent Congressional candidate Kesha Rogers in Texas. In case, people don't know this, in the last couple of days, we've

two very prominent American Republicans and conservatives – actually, they may not even be Republicans in the party sense

– but Roger Stone, a longtime friend of Donald Trump, a self-proclaimed “political provocateur” issued a very strong endorsement of Kesha Rogers. And then, Senator Richard Black, a

Virginia state senator, who's been very involved in exposing the

coup and also exposing the deep state operations against Syria,

he issued a statement endorsing Kesha Rogers. Helga, do you have

any thoughts on these two endorsements?

ZEPP-LAROUICHE: I think it's great, and I can also add that the French former Presidential candidate Jacques Cheminade also

endorsed Kesha Rogers, saying that even though he's not an American, Kesha's campaign has international significance, because she is the flagship against everything which is going wrong in the United States, right now. So I think we will have

more such statements, and I really wish all of you to come out and support Kesha Rogers, because this is a campaign of national

importance and international importance. [Rogers is an Independent running in the 9th CD in Texas, against incumbent Democrat Al Green, who promotes impeaching President Trump regardless of whether he has committed a constitutionally defined crime or not – ed.]

SCHLANGER: OK, I think that about does it. Until next week, Helga, we'll see you.

ZEPP-LAROUICHE: OK, till next week.

Formand Tom Gillesbergs tale til LaRouchebevægelsens franske partikongres den 29. september 2018

Lyd (engelsk med fransk oversættelse):

<http://schillerinstitut.dk/si/wp-content/uploads/2016/10/tg-fr>

ankrig.m4a

Rapport fra Frankrig:

LaRouche co-thinker Solidarité & Progrès party day a success

During the weekend of Sept. 28-30, Jacques Cheminade's Solidarité & Progrès

held its yearly General Assembly in Paris, an event which we have

transformed in a few years into a three-day education and action

process: 1) the party congress formalities rapidly, 2) a full day

of presentations on the most urgent questions for the nation, and

3) a morning of market areas and street deployments for all the

participants.

Some 130 persons participated this year, coming from throughout the country: Finistère, Montpellier, Ardèche, Strasbourg, Bordeaux, Lille, Rennes, and other areas. Thirty of

them deployed on Sunday to the market places in the Paris area and some of the more shy sympathizers ended up getting the most

contacts. The deployment teams distributed an excellent leaflet,

on one side warning of the crash, calling for a new Bretton Woods

organized by the four powers, and denouncing the danger of war,

and on the other side proposing a Rooseveltian policy to create 5

million jobs in France.

Tom Gillesberg, chairman of the Schiller Institute in Denmark, was our special guest this year from a sister organization abroad...

Tom Gillesberg first thanked Solidarité & Progrès for its presidential campaigns, a beacon of hope for all of us, because we were able to defend our ideas at the highest levels in an important country of the EU, even though, like in Denmark, those electoral battles are not really based on the principle of equality. Those battles oppose candidates of the same elite, and those who are not part of that are treated like shadow movements.

In Denmark, he said, even though we don't have the resources of the large parties, we defend the ideas and the visions that others don't have, thus creating a reservoir of true alternatives which at a certain point in the crisis will play a decisive role.

Tom then proceeded to show the series of effective posters they have been issuing in Denmark for local or national elections. This is the way by which the population has come to know us, since most people have never read about us in the media.

The only way journalists are allowed to talk about us, is by criticizing our posters, which, allegedly, nobody can understand.

Who can dare say they are incomprehensible? In 2005: "When the Bubble Bursts: New Bretton Woods," in 2009 "Economic Collapse, Only a New Credit System Can Save Denmark," in 2015: "Win-Win with the BRICS: Neither Collapse, Nor War." But it's their 2007 poster, published before the bubble burst that became

quite famous: "After the Crash, Build a Maglev over the Kattegat." Many were asking Tom, how did you know it would burst?

The Danish Schiller Institute participation in the 2019 elections next June is absolutely necessary, since the current debate is no better or any different than the one in France: Competition to see who will be stricter against immigrants; who will be greener than the other, and where will most taxes be cut, for the rich, or in the health care.

The huge scandal rocking the Dansk Bank at this point, however, creates an opening to relaunch the Glass-Steagall campaign. The existence of a EU200 billion dirty money laundering operation of Russian oligarchs between the Estonian branch and the Danske Bank has been known since 2006, but it's only the FBI campaign against Russia that has brought it to light at this point. The scandal and the state of bankruptcy of Danske is very real, but since 30% of all accounts in Denmark, plus the majority of the public payments, all go through Danske, any attempt to blow it up, said Tom, would lead to a Danish revolt against the Anglo-American masters, something which they would want to avoid.

On French issues, Tom Gillesberg debunked the idea circulated by Macron in France, that the "flexi-security" model of unemployment payments in Denmark is the most socially advanced. The system worked back in the 1970s, said Tom, but since the early '90s, "security" for the unemployed has totally

been gutted, and the only thing that has been reinforced in the crisis is the flexibility to hire and to fire workers.

Finally, if Tom does not believe Denmark is ready to lead the way for a big change in Europe, because there is still relative prosperity still in the country, he concluded by calling

for some help from France, to move things in Denmark, and from Italy, where the attempt to muzzle the Italian opposition to the

EU austerity policies has not been successful and the Italian government is moving towards China...