

Schiller Instituttets Afghanistan-konference: Spred ideen om et fælles udviklingsprogram med det samme

31. juli (EIRNS) – Schiller Instituttet samlede i dag diplomater og eksperter fra mange nationer, herunder Afghanistan, Rusland, Kina, Pakistan, USA, Italien og andre til en intens diskussion på en international virtuel konference om temaet: "Afghanistan: Et vendepunkt i historien efter tiden med de fejlslagne 'regimeskifter'.

Helga Zepp-LaRouche (Tyskland), præsident og grundlægger af Schiller Instituttet, som har ledet en proces med institutionel og uformel dialog igennem de sidste 18 måneder, sagde ved afslutningen af dagens begivenhed, at vi nu "har et perspektiv på hvilken vej vi skal gå". Prioriteten er "at lægge 'udvikling' frem på bordet, hvilket vil være svært for nogen at afvise", og at give al den støtte, der er mulig for at få det til at ske. Dagens sidste taler, Hussein Askary (Sverige), Sydvestasien-koordinator for Schiller Instituttet, udtrykte på det kraftigste, at vi skal "gøre 'udvikling' til det første punkt" i enhver forhandling, ikke det sidste. Han advarede: "Hold krigsherrerne og briterne ude!" Askarys præsentation, der dækkede konkrete aspekter af udvikling, havde titlen: "Sæt Afghanistan på bæltet & vejen til fred".

Arrangementet blev åbnet af ordstyrer Dennis Speed (USA), der sagde, at drøftelserne ville ændre den sædvanlige opfattelse af krig eller fred gennem deltagelse i diplomatiske bestræbelser på at formulere politik for

gensidig forståelse og udvikling. Han introducerede en kort video fra 1985 af statsmand og økonom Lyndon LaRouche, der med henvisning til præsident Abraham Lincolns gerninger pointerede, at indflydelsen af infrastruktur forvandler en økonomi. Zepp-LaRouches indledende bemærkninger understregede, at vi befinder os i et særligt øjeblik i historien, hvor geopolitisk konfrontation må afsluttes og et nyt paradigme påbegyndes – ikke kun for eurasisk integration og velstand, men for universel historie. Hun viste det smukke kunstværk "Gyldne Maske" for at pointere den 5.000 år lange historie i den centralasiatiske region.

Professor Pino Arlacchi, der deltog fra Italien, spillede en hovedrolle i diskussionen fra start til slut. For indeværende er han professor i sociologi ved Sassari Universitet, tidligere var han administrerende direktør for FN's kontor for narkotikakontrol og kriminalitetsforebyggelse (1997-2002) og tidligere observatør for Afghanistan i Europa-Parlamentet. Han talte om: "Udryd opium i Afghanistan, udvikl moderne landbrug, opbyg nationen nu." Han beskrev sin oprindelige plan, som i 2001 næsten havde elimineret opiumsvalmuens vækst i Afghanistan, hvilket sidenhen vendte i de følgende år fra 2001 pga. amerikanske og NATO's militære operationer. Arlacchi foreslog igen i 2010 en plan, som blev forpurret af EU, Storbritannien og USA. I dag er Afghanistan kilden til over 80% af verdens opiumsstoffer. Arlacchi fremlagde hvad der kan og må gøres i dag. Den nødvendige metode baserer sig på alternativt landbrug – støtter landmænd til at skifte til andre afgrøder og lignende realistiske metoder. Arlacchi understregede, hvor relativt billigt dette er i betragtning af narkotikakartellernes enorme indflydelse. Landmænd i Afghanistan får muligvis 300 til 350 millioner dollars for deres opiumafgrøde, som derefter er 20 milliarder dollars værd for organiseret kriminalitet i Europa. Der er mange

alternative afgrøder med stor brugsværdi, for eksempel safran.

Diplomaterne præsenterede et bredt udsnit af den nuværende situation. Ambassadør Hassan Shoroosh (Afghanistan), Afghanistans ambassadør i Canada, talte fra Ottawa og sagde, at der er et "nyt kapitel i partnerskab" forude, som skal udarbejdes. Hans tale hed: "Vejen frem for Afghanistan." Han sagde, at hans land er "positioneret til at tjene som landbro" i Eurasien og gennemgik i detaljer forskellige transportkorridorer, fra Lapis Lazuli-korridoren, til 'Five Nations Railway route'.

Ambassadør Anna Evstigneeva (Rusland) talte fra New York City, hvor hun er stedfortrædende fast repræsentant ved Den russiske Føderations mission i FN. Hendes præsentation havde titlen: "Ruslands udsigter for Afghanistan og Eurasien". Hun understregede, at målet er stabilitet, og at der ikke er nogen militær løsning. Der er vigtige rammeinstitutioner blandt naboerne i regionen, herunder CSTO og SCO og bilaterale forbindelser. Der er en særlig rolle for den 'udvidede trojka', som har været på plads i mange år. Der vil finde møder sted i den nærmeste fremtid. Transport og infrastruktur har stor betydning.

Dr. Wang Jin (Kina), stipendiat ved Charhar Institute, talte om emnet "Afghanistan og Bælte- og Vejinitiativet". Han præsenterede fire centrale aspekter af Kinas bekymringer: 1) at der ikke er nogen spredning af ustabilitet; 2) at der er en fremtid med fremskridt for Afghanistan; 3) at ekstremisme og terrorisme ikke vinder frem; og 4) at Kina og Afghanistan har positive bånd.

Hr. Hassan Daud talte fra Pakistan. Han er administrerende direktør for 'Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Province Board of Investment & Trade'. Han påpegede, at Afghanistan er et af "de mindst økonomisk integrerede [lande]" i den central- og sydasiatiske region efter årtiers stridigheder. Han talte

om den store "økonomiske oversvømmelse" der vil følge, idet Pakistan udnytter sin position og sine ressourcer til at blive et logistisk knudepunkt og udvider fordelene for Afghanistan gennem Bælte- og Vejinitiativets flagskib, 'Kina-Pakistan-økonomisk Korridor' (CPEC). Vi skal have "ånden fra den gamle silkevej" igen. Han efterlyste flere seminarer om dette, der involverede forskere, handelskamre og andre.

Fra USA talte Ray McGovern. Han er tidligere analytiker i USA's Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) og medstifter af Veteran Intelligence Professionals for Sanity (VIPS). Han tog fat på emnet: "The Real Interest of the United States in Asia" og fremførte mange stærke punkter, herunder at rækken af militære chefer, der løj om hvad USA foretog sig i Afghanistan, i Irak samt andre steder må "drages til ansvar". Han påpegede dramatisk, at der ikke engang var blevet foretaget kompetente "situations-estimer", hvilket der ellers skulle, om terræn, vejr, LOCS-kommunikationslinjer og forsyninger og andre standardvurderinger af, hvad USA foretager sig på steder. I 2010 betalte den amerikanske flådes logistik 105 \$ pr liter for at fylde brændstof på kampvogne og militære køretøjer i Afghanistan! Han langede hårdt ud efter den racisme, der var involveret i at formode, at man kan foretage sig alt muligt, hvor som helst; han citerede Kipling.

Mange andre var involveret i de to spørgerunder med vigtige udvekslinger om centrale emner. F.eks. fremhævede Earl Rasmussen, næstformand i Eurasian Society, nødvendigheden af at opbygge tillid. Dr. Stephen Fischer, en amerikansk læge, rapporterede om et år han tilbragte i sundhedsforvaltningen i Afghanistan, hvor han arbejdede sammen med et lokalt genopbygningshold. Zepp-LaRouche understregede mange gange, at det, i forbindelse med den langvarige pandemi, er bydende nødvendigt, at vi i Afghanistan og overalt tager skridt for folkesundhed og

moderne lægelig infrastruktur. Dr. Walter Faggett og generalmajor Peter Clegg (fhv.), begge med i 'Komitéen for modsætningernes Sammenfald, deltog i den første spørgerunde. Alaha Ahrar, administrerende direktør for Kindness Sharing Project ringede ind fra Virginia for at spørge, hvad der ville ske med de fremskridt, som kvinder havde opnået i Afghanistan, hvis Taleban skulle tage magten?

Ambassadør Anna Evstigneeva drog den konklusion, at det er "vigtigt at hæve sig over geopolitikken". Hun sagde, at vi i Rusland "på alle niveauer, inklusive præsident Putin er klar til samarbejde". Helga Zepp-LaRouche opfordrede paneldeltagere og alle blandt seerne til at bidrage til perspektivet om udvikling, der blev diskuteret, og mobilisere herfor. Professor Arlacchi, der har udgivet en ny bog, *Against Fear: Violence Is Diminishing, The True Threats to World Peace* (på italiensk) talte i sine afskedsord om, at "fred er stærkere end krig. Lad os være mere modige. Ikke ofre for store bedrag". Hele konferencen er arkiveret til visning. Nu er det tid til at slutte sig til Schiller Instituttet.

**POLITISK ORIENTERING den
23. juli 2021:
Vi kan løse problemerne –
men kun hvis vi bryder fri**

af den mentale spændetrøje

Med formand Tom Gillesberg

Lyd:

Schiller Instituttet · Vi kan løse problemerne – men kun hvis vi bryder fri af den mentale spændetrøje

**POLITISK ORIENTERING den
25. juni 2021:**

**Hvad der mangler i
billedet:**

**Det enorme potentiale Kina
skaber i rummet og på
jorden**

Med formand Tom Gillesberg

Se Schiller Instituttets internationale videokonference den
26.-27. juni 2021 eller bagefter:

**Invitation: For det almene vel af alle mennesker,
ikke regler, som er til fordel for de få.**

**Schiller Instituttets online internationale
konference den 26.-27. juni 2021 kl. 15 dansk tid**

Lyd:

Schiller Instituttet · Hvad der mangler i billedet: Det enorme potentiale Kina skaber i rummet og på jorden

Vil menneskets historie nu ende i en tragedie, eller sejre i et nyt paradigme? Lær af Nikolas fra Kues (Cusanus), video ved Helga Zepp-LaRouche

Helga Zepp-LaRouche henvender sig til et publikum af unge mennesker fra hele verden om den tankemetode, som Nikolas fra Kues opdagede.

“(Nikolas fra Kues) udviklede en tankemetode, at tænke noget helt nyt... Det var ideen om, at menneskelig fornuft har evnen til at definere en løsning på et helt andet og højere niveau, end dem hvor alle konflikter og modsætninger opstod. Det tager fat på evnen til at tænke på en Enhed, der er af højere størrelsesorden og kraft end de Mange. Og når man først har trænet ens eget sind til at tænke sådan (i overensstemmelse med modsætningernes sammenfald), har man den ufejlbarlige nøgle til kreativitet, og man kan anvende denne måde at tænke på i stort set alle tankedomæner. ” – Helga Zepp-LaRouche

Helga Zepp-LaRouche, stifter og formand for Schiller Instituttet og en af verdens førende Cusanus-eksperter, insisterer på, at for at komme ud af den nye, mørke tidsalder må menneskeheden lære af faderen til Den gyldne

Renæssance fra det 15. århundrede, Nikolas fra Kues. Vi må begynde med den underliggende krise: den i tankemetoden.

Billede: Nicholas of Cusa. credit: Nick Thomsen, CC BY-NC 2.0

POLITISK ORIENTERING den 31. maj 2021: Hvad er Danmarks interesser? At være spion for NSA imod Danmarks naboer? Eller noget helt andet?

Med formand Tom Gillesberg.

Lyd:

Resumé:

Rettet:

COVID-19: USA hamstrer vacciner mens Rusland og Kina sender vacciner til fattige lande.

Vi kan ikke besejre pandemien, med mindre vi sikrer vacciner til alle lande uanset om de kan betale for dem. USA, Rusland, Kina og andre nationer må samarbejde om at

bygge et moderne sundhedsvæsen i alle lande.

NSA-FE og Operation Dunhammer:

Efter Tom Gillesberg beskrev DR's afsløring, stillede han spørgsmålet "Hvad er Danmarks interesser?"

Er det regimeskiftepolitik, som i Afghanistan, Irak, Libyen, Syrien og Iran?

Eller Ukraine, Rusland, Kina og Hviderusland?

Er det at medvirke til at spionere mod Tyskland, Frankrig, Sverige og Norge på vegne af NSA, USA's overvågningstjeneste?

Eller skal vi arbejde for et nyt paradigme, hvor USA og Europa samarbejder med Rusland, Kina, Indien og andre nationer for at skabe økonomisk udvikling – at løse konflikterne gennem at gå op i en højere enhed/modsætningernes sammenfald, hvor vi løser problemerne gennem at finde vores fælles interesser.

Hviderusland: Aktivist Roman Pratashevich, der blev arresteret efter hans fly blev tvunget til at lande i Hviderusland, har været aktiv militært i Ukraine med den højreekstremistiske Azov-batallion. Havde møder på højt niveau i USA og fik masser af støtte derfra. En britisk-amerikansk efterretningsoperatør?

USA-Rusland: Topmøde mellem Biden og Putin den 16. juni i Schweiz. Et skridt i den rigtige retning, hvis det ikke bliver saboteret.

Helga Zepp-LaRouches talte til Moskvas Akademiske Økonomisk Forum bla. om den blindgyde grøn omstilling og grøn New Deal er, da det er et fatalt tilbageskridt at sænke energikildernes og samfundets energigennemstrømningstæthed i stedet for at øge den.

Den grønne New Deal betyder en massiv sænkning af

levestandarden, især i de fattige lande. Vi har brug for økonomisk udvikling i stedet for.

Finansverdenen: Centralbankernes massive pengepumpning begynder at vise sig i stigning i råstofpriserne. Hvis de hæver renten for at bekæmpe inflationen, går mange firmaer, som lever på lånte penge, konkurs. Vi har brug for LaRouches fire økonomiske love, begyndende med en Glass/Steagall-bankopdeling.

Følg med i Schiller Instituttets onlinekonference den 26.-27. juni og organiserer andre til at gøre det samme. Kontakt os og bliv aktiv.

Schiller Instituttet · Hvad er Danmarks interesser? At være spion for NSA imod Danmarks naboer? Eller noget helt andet?

Retfærdighed for landene i Sydvestasien (Mellemøsten). Tale af Hussein Askary, Schiller Instituttets Sydvestasien koordinator den 22. maj 2021

På engelsk:

This sections starts at 24:20 in the video above:

Regarding Palestine: We have a major presentation which will be done by Hussein Askary in just a few minutes on this.

But I just want to point out the following to you

concerning Lyndon LaRouche. Back in 1983, looking at and anticipating the kinds of problems that we're seeing today in Gaza, East Jerusalem, and the West Bank, Lyndon LaRouche wrote a proposal with respect to Israel. I think we have a view of that. "A Proposal to Begin Development of a Long-Range Economic Development Policy for the State of Israel." As I said, that was back in 1983. Subsequent to that, we've done much work from both *Executive Intelligence Review* and from the Schiller Institute to promote this conception of development that he puts forward. A bit about the subject and why this segues us into talking about the real issue of Palestine and the real issue of Israel.

We're talking about an area which is about 27 miles long and about 7 miles wide. We're talking about an area that has 2 million people inside of it, in which you have the borders completely controlled. Nothing can move in or out. On one side is Egypt, on the other side there's Israel. You're talking about 96% of the drinking water being unusable; 50% unemployment; 75% youth unemployment. 50% of the people are under 18 years old. The internet is controlled; electricity is controlled. Movement of food or any other commercial capability is controlled. And some Israeli activists have referred to Gaza in particular as the largest open-air prison in the world. Baruch Kimmelman, who is I believe deceased now, back in 1983 wrote a book called *Politicide* in which he talked about this as being a form of concentration camp. And he knew what he was saying; he was very clear about what he was saying. He was a professor at a university in Israel at the time when he made those statements. People found him very controversial then, but the fact of the matter is, that when you're looking at this issue of the control of population, whether we're talking about Mark Carney in the case of Africa, or we're talking about the case of Palestine, or we're looking around the world in other ways, this matter of the Great

Reset so-called, the great First Global Revolution as Alexander King called it, this takes us into a different province. And it's this province that we are going to discuss with you today concerning both the issue of Southwest Asia as a whole, not merely Israel in particular, but more importantly, this concept of the method of the Committee for the Coincidence of Opposites.

With me today are Hussein Askary, who is the Southwest Asia director of the Schiller Institute, and also Diane Sare, who is a candidate for United States Senate, running in 2022 against Chuck Schumer of New York. So, we're going to go right to Hussein, whose presentation is called "Justice for the Nations of Southwest Asia."

HUSSEIN ASKARY: Thank you very much, Dennis. Hello to Diane. I'm very happy to be with you, and thank you for the nice introduction you just made.

As Lyndon LaRouche said in the clip you saw, you don't have any problem in this region especially which is not created by the British Empire. This is a classic case of geopolitical manipulation of religion and politics and geography to pit nations against each other, peoples against each other. Before the British Empire got its nose into this region in 1917, we didn't have any problems between Jews and Arabs and Christians and so on. This is a very classic case, but also it's a tragic situation in which, as you just mentioned, the situation in Gaza, for example, is a horrendous situation where the living conditions are similar to an open prison. Now you have the lying Western media talking about Xinjiang in China being an open-air prison and a concentration camp, which is a complete lie, but they are completely blind to the fact that what the Palestinian people—especially in Gaza—have been subjected to is prison camp or concentration camp conditions.

The thing with tragedies is that the people who are inside the tragedy are not able to solve the problem per se, because they are locked into a dead end. Both Hamas and the current Israeli government of Benjamin Netanyahu are into a game which they believe has nothing to do with anything else than their own goals. The reality is that there is a much bigger picture in which we, who are outside the tragedy so to speak, can situate this problem and find a solution to it. So, the problem does not come from the Palestinians or Jews or anybody, although they are now in the news media the major players. The Israelis are shooting rockets, so the Palestinians are shooting rockets and so on and so forth. But that's not really the real story.

Last week on your show, Harley Schlanger did a fantastic job of explaining the historical background for this. I'm just going to touch on a very few things on that issue, because as I mentioned, there is this British game which continues up to today. The people who planned this knew it was going to continue. But the thing which is important for us today is to situate these events in Gaza of today and in Southwest Asia in the larger context. When and where these things are happening. This is something we have learned from Lyndon LaRouche, because we cannot understand any event by itself without looking at the larger context. And we won't be able to find a solution for that. Now, these attacks, and I wrote a few weeks before this on Facebook that there are very interesting moves in the region, that can point to a different direction than what we have seen in the past six—we count the years by how many American administrations there have been—so we had two Obama administrations and one Trump administration. That's the diary, and during these three administrations we had a terrible situation in the region, but recently we had very important developments taking place concerning countries in this region. But also it involves Russia and China. The new

Biden administration, if you remember the first foreign policy declaration by President Biden is that the United States is back. Now, that was a terrifying message as I recollect when I heard it. The thing is that what Biden means is that what the Trump administration did in this region by disengaging from many issues there, for example, regime-change wars and launching new wars, that made the United States to lose its leadership in the region and in the world. And therefore, the United States should take the leadership in this region back from whom? From China and Russia, because according to the Biden administration, the vacuum created by the lack of U.S. leadership was filled by Russia and China, but it was filled by Russia and China for a good reason with a good policy.

Recently we had the possibility of the 5+1—the 5 permanent members plus Germany—reopening the negotiations with Iran for the nuclear deal, the JCPOA, the Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action. Lifting the sanctions against Iran and having Iran cooperate with the international community so to speak, on its own nuclear program to limit Iran's possibility to produce a nuclear weapon, although Iran never had that intention. In any case, these negotiations were going well, it was also still going on in Vienna, and at the same time, Iran and China signed a very strategic and economic joint agreement for 25 years, mostly on economic development along what the Chinese now call the Belt and Road Initiative, the New Silk Road. Iran and China will work intensively to build infrastructure, develop industry, technology transfer, and other strategic and military cooperation. We had at the same time Saudi Arabia and Iran, who are rivals in this region, the big Sunni-Shi'a rivals, starting negotiations in Baghdad, the Iraqi capital to ease the tension and find ways of ending their so-called proxy wars in other parts of the region. We had the prospect of Syria re-entering the Arab League again,

and ending the war there in which Russia has played a key role. We also the prospects of a possibility of having a new Yemen envoy to the UN instead of the British diplomat who has been actually playing a dirty game in Yemen in the last few years. A new envoy who will start negotiations. The Iran-Saudi negotiations will have a positive effect on solving the horrible situation in Yemen, which you have discussed many times in your shows, and Mrs. Helga Zepp-LaRouche has made an issue of lifting the blockade and sanctions on Yemen, which is genocidal. At the same time, we had the Libya situation becoming calm due to interventions by many nations, but especially Russia, Egypt, and Turkey working together to stabilize the situation. Egypt and Turkey which have been rivals for the past 10-12 years are now re-approaching each other diplomatically.

So, you had a situation in the region where things were going in the right direction, and suddenly we had the increase of tension in Palestine and Israel, with East Jerusalem first with the Sheik Jarrah neighborhood, which was about to be taken over by Israeli Jewish settlers from its Arab inhabitants. But the core decision was delayed, but then you had all the rioting and the treatment by the Israeli police of the Palestinians. And Hamas, from Gaza, intervening with its rockets. So, we had this development which everybody saw on the news.

The problem is, there are people who, if we don't look at the general context, if we don't look at the history of this conflict, there are certain fallacies which people push out. For example, that Israel and Palestine are treated as equal, but Israel is one of the strongest military powers in the world. It's backed by the most advanced military power in the world—the United States. They have the most advanced weapons and intelligence and everything. The Palestinians don't have that. The other

thing is that the Palestinians don't really have a state. So, you cannot demand from the Palestinians to take certain actions when they are living in a state-less condition and being oppressed both in the West Bank and the Gaza Strip. People say, "Why can't the Palestinians live in peace with the Jews and the Israelis?" The problem is, the Palestinians are not treated as equals, they are not treated as humans, even. Also, what they are seeing—and this is something which Harley Schlanger discussed last week—that we have an ideology in Israel, especially in the right wing like Netanyahu's party, the Likud and other extreme so-called Zionist political parties and religious groups, who really don't consider the Palestinians or the Arabs as somebody whom they should live with and exist there. We remember Ariel Sharon's old slogan that "Jordan is Palestine." His idea was that the Palestinians should be moved, transferred to Jordan where they can have their Palestinian state, but not on the so-called Holy Land. Therefore, we have many issues that are not resolved; but solving them could become easier if we look back at the history of the situation. There are UN resolutions that can give the Palestinian people and the Arab countries a fair solution to this problem, and make sure that the moderate forces in the Arab world and in Palestine are the ones who have the upper hand, not the extremists.

Just to recall, one thing we have discussed and developed, the LaRouche movement and *Executive Intelligence Review*, that Hamas, for example, has its own agenda. It's part of the international Muslim Brotherhood movement, and it does not do things just for national interest. The problem with the Muslim Brotherhood is they have been the creation of the British Empire, and have been manipulated, including by the CIA, to oppose the nationalist anti-imperialist forces in the whole Southwest Asia region and the Arab world. So, Hamas itself, and I'm making myself unpopular now in the

Arab countries, has its own agenda; exactly as Benjamin Netanyahu and his people have their own agenda.

I just want to share with you a few things on the historical background to understand how the British manipulated the situation. While World War I was going on, you had young people dying on the Western Front so to speak. Germans, French, and even Americans were involved later, by the tens of thousands. The British were planning, together with the French, other things somewhere else in the world. We have described it as the Sykes-Picot Agreement. The British and the French would divide the territories of the old Ottoman Empire, which also includes what is today Palestine, Israel. It was still under control of the Ottomans, the Turks, until that point. So, they were planning that, and then they had also at the same time—November 1917—the British presenting what is historically called the Balfour Declaration. The Balfour Declaration was a letter sent by Lord Arthur Balfour, the Foreign Secretary of Britain, to Lord Rothschild, who was the head of the UK-based Zionist organization. In that letter, the British, as you see in the text, are willing to have a Jewish Zionist state in Palestine. This is an admission that there was a such a place called Palestine, but the British wanted to offer that as a homeland for the Jews in Europe.

The thing is, what the British set up is actually a trap, both for the Jews and for the nations of the region. Because prior to that—it's a bit humorous how some of these Jewish organizations in the 19th Century and even the early 20th Century were thinking where their future Jewish state should be. And you can find it on the internet if you look for proposals for a Jewish state. There are about 10-11 proposals, none of them include Palestine. These are places in the U.S., there is a place in Uganda, there was one in Russia, Japan, Madagascar, in Guyana, Ethiopia, and so on.

But the British chose to have Palestine because they had their own plans how to divide and conquer this region and also use it because it is the crossroads of the continents and the oceans. So, the British can control that region forever. Or manipulate others like the United States is being manipulated now into supporting Israel in whatever Israel does so that the conflict continues. There is no way out of such a conflict. This is one of the sinister things the British created like you have in Kashmir and so on, which is a big problem.

So, the problem is now, how to get out of that situation. We cannot get out of that problem by rolling back history. You cannot negate the existence of the Israeli state; you cannot either ask the Palestinians to leave for Jordan as Sharon wanted to do to have their own state. We should follow certain steps, make certain compromises to allow the Palestinian people to have their own state. Because without the Palestinian state, you would have this continuous problem where the Palestinians continue to lose territory and power, and they will have to resort to either rioting, or as we have seen now recently, rocket attacks on Israel. Hamas knows it cannot defeat Israel with military means, but what they want to show Israel is that they cannot be safe being there and exerting that kind of force and policy against the Palestinians. Which is correct. It's not only the Palestinians. You cannot exist in that region where you have 100 million Egyptians, you have 40 million Iraqis, millions of Jordanians, 30 million Syrians, 5 million Lebanese, and so on. And you think you can live like an island of peace and tranquility in the midst of a hell you are contributing to create. Like Israel played a key role in the war on Syria in recent years; also in Lebanon. So, there are certain ways of getting to diplomatic ways of resolving the Palestinian issue. We have United Nations resolutions, which clearly mark where the Palestinians

could have their own state, and the Israelis could have their state. There is UN Resolution 242, which came after the 1967 War, in which Israel occupied the West Bank and Gaza and Golan Heights and other parts and the Sinai Peninsula. This is a resolution which was voted unanimously by the United Nations Security Council, including the United States. But people have been dragging their feet on that.

This is an old map of the partition plan of 1947, before Israel was officially established. The first Arab-Israeli war, where in the blue you have Israel. The UN Partition Plan was supposed to solve the problem at the time. Remember, President Roosevelt was very active in the last year of his life, when he met with leaders of the region. In his discussions with the Saudi king, Abdul Aziz ibn-Saud, he suggested the king intervene with the other Arab leaders to resolve the problems created by the British in the region in this Palestinian area. Because Roosevelt was sensing that he had to stop this British game in the region, but also that there were moves inside the United States to entangle the United States into this conflict through what we saw later emerging, especially under Harry Truman; so-called election considerations forced the United States to side completely with the Israeli side. Roosevelt was trying to get the Arabs to accept a compromise to establish this so-called Two-State Solution and stop the British geopolitical manipulation. But that did not happen. Roosevelt died; the British continued to control there. But then the British pulled out and allowed the Israelis to take even more of the Palestinian land, as you see in the pink and green colors. These were taken in 1949, but also later the green zones, the West Bank and Gaza were taken in 1967, including eastern Jerusalem.

This is the United Nations demarcation plan after the war in 1967, which marks where the different territorial claims

of the different parties would be. And then, what has happened since then is that the Israelis have been building illegal settlements in these occupied areas, like you have in the dark red areas. So, the Palestinians are living in these enclaves in the West Bank which are cut off by a series of settlements by the Jewish settlers, and also walled off. They had walls built between the different parts of the West Bank. The Palestinians see their land shrinking more and more, and their rights disappearing. The Palestinians are told they should stop complaining, and accept whatever they are offered. The problem is that the Palestinians having looked at this history, and their country shrinking, their water being stolen from them, and every other right. And they know that Netanyahu and his supporters have no intention of establishing a Palestinian state. Actually, even the Arab Israelis, there are Arabs inside Israel who are Israeli citizens, who are also being targeted right now. Last year the Israeli Knesset removed Arabic language from existence inside Israel; they decided there shouldn't be any Arabic language. So, the Arabs in Israel also see themselves threatened. They are the ones who are making lots of demonstrations in the previous weeks. They are Israeli citizens, but they have Arab ethnic background.

Asking the Palestinians to stop complaining is like asking the woman who is beaten by her husband the whole time, that she should stop complaining so her husband stops beating her. The problem is, the husband continues beating her, because he's a sociopath; he's an insane person. Somebody comes with a statistical study like we see all the time—people like Jared Kushner is typical. The theory is that the more the woman complains, the more there is abuse. Therefore, complaining is counterproductive. So, the Palestinians should stop complaining, because that creates the problem. So, you have that enormous injustice, and the

Palestinians can see that they are not being treated, that their future is threatened. There is no future in the view to see, and there are major powers who are against them, and they have no allies. That's what creates the enormous frustration among the Palestinians who see no other way than fighting back. That's really terrible.

The other thing which I think we should discuss, besides these diplomatic solutions I mentioned—either a two-state solution going back to the Oslo Agreement, going back to these United Nations' solutions. We need to have a solution for the whole region, which is the issue which Lyndon LaRouche has been fighting for since the 1970s. The only way out of these wars is economic cooperation, economic development; especially in terms of water resources, transportation, power, electricity, education, and health care. I think this is the important issue to discuss now, because if we don't take whole so-called Palestinian issue back to the big powers, as Helga Zepp-LaRouche has mentioned, we should have a summit of the major powers. LaRouche called it the Four Powers—the United States, Russia, China, and India. We can also discuss the United Nations Security Council powers and others, to have a discussion about establishing peace in this whole region with economic development. I think the best solution which we have had, which has worked, is achieving things on the ground, is what China is doing with the Belt and Road Initiative, the New Silk Road. Building infrastructure, health care, and so on. Russia is also playing a key role in providing specific technologies like nuclear power to nations in the region; to Iran, now Egypt. We have also with other African nations. So scientific and technological cooperation, economic cooperation is the solution. It has been since LaRouche announced that in the early 1970s, and it continues to be in the future. I think this is one of the big issues that has to be put on the table for people,

so we don't get entangled into these ethnic, religious matters. There was no real ethnic or religious problems between Jews and Arabs before the British Empire stuck its nose into this region.

I stop here, and I allow more matters to be discussed in the discussion period.

SPEED: Thank you a lot for that Hussein. There are going to be several questions. There's a lot of ways we can take this.

Trailer: Schiller Instituttet: Fred gennem økonomisk udvikling (4 min.)

Schiller Instituttet i Danmark tog initiativet til at lave video for at forklare, hvem vi er på en kort og spændende måde. Schiller Institut medlemmer i Frankrig, Tyskland og Canada hjalp til.

Del gerne videoen så bredt som muligt

De seneste år har været vidne til en optrapning af alvorlige og turbulente kriser.

Økonomisk kaos, flygtningekriser, COVID-19-pandemien, samt væbnede konflikter.

Der er snak om 'Den store Nulstilling' (The Great Reset),

"alting-boblen", katastrofale storme og sågar atomkrig.

Men, heldigvis, er der håb for vores fælles fremtid.

Schiller Instituttet er en international, politisk organisation og tænketank, etableret i 1984 af den tyske politiske leder og Friedrich Schiller-ekspert, Helga Zepp-LaRouche.

Den amerikanske økonom, statsmand og filosof, Lyndon LaRouche, har inspireret os med sin idé om fred gennem økonomisk udvikling.

Vi går lidenskabeligt ind for skabelsen af en ny, retfærdig, økonomisk verdensorden, gennem at uddanne og involvere borgere i de påtrængende, internationale problemer og at bidrage med løsninger.

Ved hjælp af vores lange erfaring i international politik har Schiller Instituttet organiseret hundredvis af internationale konferencer, for at forene de intellektuelle og moralske kræfter fra hele verden, fra det højeste akademiske, kulturelle og politiske niveau til bekymrede borgere, samt ungdommen på gaden og på universiteterne.

Vi er engageret i at skabe global opmærksomhed om Lyndon LaRouches Fire økonomiske Love, herunder:

- En global Glass/Steagall-bankopdeling
- Nationalbank-kreditskabelse til produktive investeringer
- Samt programmer for rumfart og fusionsenergi.

Schiller Instituttet kæmper for etableringen af et Nyt Bretton Woods-kreditsystem og for at udvide Den nye Silkevej til Verdenslandbroen – et nyt niveau af forbundenhed.

Kina har gjort brug af mange af disse idéer til at løfte 800 millioner mennesker ud af fattigdom, og andre nationer kan gøre det samme.

Vi arbejder hårdt på at harmonisere USA's og Europas relationer med Rusland og Kina for at undgå krig, og

opfordrer til et topmøde mellem stormagterne, herunder USA, Rusland og Kina.

Vores vision for en ny, retfærdig, økonomisk verdensorden kan opnås gennem et samarbejde om økonomisk og infrastrukturel udvikling, samt opbygningen af moderne sundhedssystemer, i hvert land, inklusiv i Afrika, Asien og Sydamerika.

Schiller Instituttet bestræber sig på at skabe intet mindre end en kulturel renæssance og et afgørende politisk skifte til et nyt paradigme – grundlaget for en fredelig fremtid.

Vi opfordrer dig derfor til seriøst at reflektere over dette.

Lyt til dit moralske kompas.

Slut dig til vores mission for "menneskehedens fælles mål", for at forbedre vores verden og vores univers.

Sammen kan vi skabe en bedre fremtid!

Bliv en del af Schiller Instituttet i dag!

**Schiller Instituttets
konference Panel 2 resumé:
Metoden bag Modsætningernes
Sammenfald: Kun en samlet**

verdensomspændende sundhedsindsats, uden sanktioner, kan vende en global pandemi

Ingen tilbagevenden til normaltilstand:

Kun et paradigmeskifte kan afhjælpe den eksistentielle
krise

af Janet G. West

8. maj (EIR årgang 48, nr. 20) – Det andet panel, "Metoden med 'modsatningernes sammenfald': Alene en samlet verdensomspændende sundhedsindsats uden sanktioner, vil kunne vende en global pandemi", under Schiller Instituttets konference den 8. maj, samlede ledere fra USA, Europa og Sydamerika for at tackle den trefoldige krise med krig, hungersnød og pandemi.

Ordstyrer Dennis Speed brugte to videoklip til at perspektivere diskussionen i forhold til den overskyggende fare for krig, og til at behandle hvordan krige opstår. Først var et klip fra Lyndon LaRouches tv-udsendelse fra 1999, 'Storm Over Asia', hvori han understregede, at Rusland vil kæmpe tilbage, hvis det presses op i et hjørne – "det er deri faren ligger". For mange mennesker agerer under den vildfarelse, at de kan gøre som de vil i udlandet, "uden at det giver bagslag". Hvis London og Wall Streets kontrol over den amerikanske politik fortsætter, vil sandsynligheden øges for at Rusland vil kæmpe tilbage til grænsen af atomkrig.

Derefter et klip fra et nyligt interview med tidligere admiral Marc Pelaez (USN, fhv.), som har været kommandør for en atomubåd under Den kolde Krig, om karakteren af

beslutningen om at påbegynde atomkrig. Pelaez sagde, at hvis han havde modtaget en ordre om at skride til handling, ville han have gjort det. "Afskrækkelsen skal være troværdig," sagde han, "Min ubåds kapacitet kunne udslette alle større byer i USA øst for Mississippi. Og det er bare én ubåd!" Hvis man gjorde det, "vidste man imidlertid, at der ikke var noget at vende hjem til".

At løse det uløselige

Helga Zepp-LaRouche, grundlægger af Schiller Instituttet, kom med indledende bemærkninger, hvor hun henviste til civilisationskrisen i 1453 med Konstantinopels fald, da Det osmanniske Rige erobrede det byzantinske imperiums hovedstad og effektivt knuste det. Befolkningen blev brutaliseret af angriberne, og mange døde. Nicolaus Cusanus stod over for udfordringen med at forholde sig til denne krise, og hvordan det enorme had fra den ene side til den anden kunne forliges på en sådan måde, at man kom frem til en løsning på et højere niveau. Resultatet af denne inspiration var hans dialog: "'Freden i Troen' (De Pace Fidei), hvor repræsentanter fra mange nationer og trosretninger kom i samtale med Gud i en dialog om, hvordan de kunne leve i fred.

Efter en række spørgsmål om tilsyneladende modstridende ideer og Guds svar, var konklusionen, at der kun er én sandhed – at universet er lovmæssigt, og at hele menneskeheden bærer den guddommelige gnist inden i sig; at alt menneskeliv er helligt. Så derfor, fortsatte hun, kan de tilsyneladende forskelligartede perspektiver og problemer kun løses ud fra et højere princip, og dette var 'Modsætningerne Sammenfald'; at hinandens udvikling bliver af primær interesse for én selv. Det er ud fra dette synspunkt, at hun gentog sit krav om oprettelsen af et globalt sundhedssystem, at starte opbygningen af den nødvendige infrastruktur i alle nationer, og at alle sanktioner skal elimineres. Og for at gøre det må vi tackle

det moralske sammenbrud, især inden for USA.

Standarden: 'moralisk excellence'

Dr. Joycelyn Elders, tidligere cheflæge for militærets sundhedskorps i USA, talte om ideen med at genoplive "standarden for moralisk excellence" – at gøre mere end hvad vi mener, vi er i stand til; ikke at give op, før målet er nået. Denne standard for moralisk excellence er ikke bare et godt ideal – det er en nødvendighed. Hun gennemgik statistikken: over 2 milliarder mennesker har ikke adgang til rent drikkevand; over 2,5 milliarder oplever fødevareusikkerhed, og 270 millioner mennesker står over for potentiel hungersnød. Omkring 1,2 milliarder mennesker har ikke adgang til elektricitet, og uden elektricitet kan sygdomme ikke overvindes... og [samtidig] hævde, at pandemien er et resultat af moralsk, og ikke lægelige svigt.

Eftersom uafhængighedserklæringen taler om umistelige rettigheder, herunder: "Liv, frihed og higen efter lykke," sagde dr. Elder, at vi nu skal inkludere adgangen til midlerne [for] livets opretholdelse – rent vand, rigelig mad og billig elektricitet skal være tilgængeligt for alle nationer, for uden disse ting er der ingen måde at have et sundhedssystem på eller [at opretholde] livet. Hun kaldte den nuværende politik for "ligegyldighedens selvmordsøkonomi" og afsluttede med at opfordre alle til at blive involveret, genvinde "standarden for moralisk excellence" og understregede, at apati er den værste pandemi af alle.

Dr. Khadijah Lang (USA), formand for 'National Medical Association (NMA) Council on International Affairs' og præsident for 'Golden State Medical Association'; samt Marcia Merry Baker, en ekspert inden for fysisk økonomi og medlem af EIR's-redaktionskomité, præsenterede de succeser og udfordringer, som udbuddet af medicinsk behandling, mad, vand og sanitet internationalt står overfor. Dr. Lang

diskuterede NMA's tidligere arbejde med at indsætte hold og medicinske forsyninger til Mozambique i samarbejde med lokale myndigheder for at yde sundhedspleje og bidrage til uddannelsen af kirurger. Hun beskrev de barske forhold i Østafrika med den nylige græshoppeplage, der ødelagde afgrøder efterfulgt af successive kriser, som alle ramte Mozambique hårdt. Da COVID-19 ramte, var det ødelæggende, for så kunne folk ikke engang gå ud for at skaffe mad...

Mere senere fra dette afsnit.

Vi må overvinde COVID-krisen overalt:

Pastor Robert Smith, bestyrelsesformand for den Nationale baptistorganisations udenlandske Mission talte til konferencen fra Michigan under titlen: "'Læge, helbred dig selv': Red en nation i krise gennem kærlighed". Han beskrev prøvelserne med at håndtere de mange COVID-dødsfald i sit eget samfund og den styrke det krævede for at opfriske os med kærlighedens kilder, kilder der er en gudgiven kerne i vores menneskehed.

Luis Vasquez talte fra Peru og præsenterede: "Glem ikke: Den globale koncentrationslejrsovn er nu nuklear". Som mangeårigt medlem af Schiller Institutet, begyndte han med at fortælle, at han og hans kone for nylig var syge med en ny variant af COVID-19, og havde det ikke været for hjælp fra deres familie, var det usandsynligt, at de ville have overlevet. Under ødelæggelsen fra en nyliberal økonomi har deres nation nu ingen offentlige tjenester, ingen skoler, ingen transport, og at få COVID-19 svarer til en dødsdom. I hele Lima er der ingen ledige intensiv-senge med respiratorer; enhver intensiv-seng har en venteliste på op til 300 personer. En iltcylinder koster \$1.000; en genopfyldning koster \$200. "Hvis du ikke kan betale, dør du. Dette er en holocaust ... ekstremene er nået ... vi er i en global koncentrationslejr".

Vi kan betragte de forkerte økonomiske beslutninger, der er

taget siden 1974, som anstifter af denne nuværende tragedie; verdensøkonomien er et kasino på kanten af konkurs. Vi må mobilisere vores forsknings- og udviklingskapacitet i alle lande; vi ved, at vi kan udrette disse ting, fordi mennesket er kreativt. Vi er alle forbundne nu, og omtanke for andre er ikke kun moralsk, men videnskabeligt sandt.

Dr. Walter Faggett (USA), tidligere cheflæge i Washington, D.C.'s sundhedsafdeling, og i øjeblikket medformand for D.C. område 8 Sundhedsråd, berettede om de succeser, de har haft med at koordinere samarbejde mellem samfundsorganisationer, kirker, universiteter og sundhedspersonel for at øge graden af COVID-19-vaccination i Washington. Han fik følgeskab af Genita Finley (USA), 2. års medicinstuderende og designer af Mississippi Deltas medicinske skoleprogram, der diskuterede, hvordan hendes program lykkedes med at bringe flere studerende ind på sundhedsstudier og hjælper dem med at overvinde problemer med deres identitetsfølelse af ikke at være "kloge nok" til at blive sundhedsarbejdere. Mississippi Delta har en af de største koncentrationer af fattigdom i landdistrikterne i nationen.

Mere kommer senere.

**Resumé:
Instituttet**

**Schiller
Konference**

Panel 1: Dårskabens fremmarch: Kan menneskeheden stadig nå at slukke den nu antændte lunte til atomkrig?

Den 8. maj 2021 vil gå over i historien som den dag, hvor stemmer fra hele verden krævede et nyt retfærdigt paradigme uden fare for atomkrig og sanktioner – og de blev hørt. Konferencen med titlen: "Den atlantiske verdens moralske sammenbrud råber på et nyt Paradigme" samlede talere fra USA, Europa, Syrien, Afghanistan og Japan, der hver især beskriver den usikre strategiske situation, som verden er blevet bragt i. Harley Schlanger var ordstyrer i det første panel og krydrede dialogen med sine indsigter, og udfordrede endog i slutningen tilhørerne til at "genoverveje, hvad man mener at vide".

Helga Zepp LaRouche startede Panel 1, "Dårskabens fremmarch: Kan menneskeheden stadig nå at slukke den nu antændte lunte til atomkrig?" med sin hovedtale, "Er menneskeheden en udødelig art eller dummere end dyr?" idet hun rettede "en indtrængende appel om at ændre retning" i forhold til den farlige vej, menneskeheden er slået ind på. Med udgangspunkt i helheden af de strategiske kriser, som menneskeheden står overfor, tegnede Zepp-LaRouche et detaljeret forfærdende billede af den nuværende verdensorden, der er omfattet af tre store realiteter, som truer med udryddelse: 1) atomkrig, 2) pandemi og hungersnød og 3) en neo-malthusiansk infektion af de hjerner, der kræver affolkning gennem dekarbonisering af landbrug og industri, dvs. midlerne til opretholdelse af livet. Hun

skitserede hurtigt et billede af, hvor tæt vi er på atomkrig, og påpegede hvordan en af []de fremprovokerede kriser, Rusland-Ukraine henholdsvis Kina-Taiwan, kunne være snubletråden. Idet hun mindede om admiral Charles Richards udtalelser i februar om behovet for at ændre muligheden af en atomkrig fra "usandsynlig" til "sandsynlig" modstillede hun røsterne fra mange specialister, f.eks. Henry Kissinger, Peter Beinart, Scott Ritter og andre, der forklarer, at sådanne krige mod Rusland eller Kina "ikke kan vindes" og "er vanvittige"

Zepp-LaRouche, mindede publikum om, at den syriske kardinal Zenaris indtrængende appel om at afslutte 'Cæsar'-sanktionerne mod Syrien, idet disse sanktioner samt ti års krig har bragt 90% af befolkningen under fattigdomsgrænsen, var en af grundene til at afholde denne konference. Sådanne "ensidige sanktioner" er fuldstændigt "ulovlige" baseret på folkeretten; de gør intet andet end at "ramme de fattige," insisterede hun. Med henvisning til sanktionsspecialisten i Obama-æraen, Brian O'Tooles, nylige opfordring til at bringe "smerte-meteret" (hans udtryk) mod Rusland fra 10% til 70% ved hjælp af sanktioner, påpegede hun, at det i Syrien betyder millioner af dødsfald. Elitens grovheder, såsom Madeleine Albright, der sarkastisk bemærkede, at "en halv million irakiske børns død var dét værd", blev af Zepp-LaRouche sat i relief i forhold til den nylige "Hunger Ward"-dokumentar, der blev frigivet af Verdensfødevareprogrammets direktør, David Beasley, for at påvise, at denne påførte sult faktisk "ikke er det værd." Hun foreslog, at de døde børns ansigter skulle dukke op i Albrights mareridt for at hjemsøge hende, indtil hun får sin menneskelige medfølelse gendannet.

Med sin tredje pointe satte Zepp-LaRouche stigningen på 236% i sult, der blev rapporteret af WFP, de millioner af COVID-dødsfald, alvorlige pandemiske forhold i Indien, Brasilien og andre steder i relief i forhold til

advarslerne i 1972 fra hendes mand, Lyndon H. LaRouche, om, at den fortsatte anvendelse af IMF's 'betingelser' for udviklingslande på det tidspunkt ville føre til nye pandemier, krig og en ny fascisme. Det er klart, påpegede hun spidst, "at man ligger som man har redt". Hun afrundede dette billede med påtvungen ødelæggelse, idet hun pegede på de oligarkiske bankmænds nyeste planer for at skabe en 'Great Reset', grøn superboble, for at redde deres bankerotte finanssystem. Den akkumulerende kvantitative lempelse, pengeindsprøjtning osv. skaber en hyperinflation som i 1923, men nu på global skala. Igen brugte hun de kritiske røster mod denne grønne ordning, såsom den indiske energiminister Raj Kumar Singh, for at demonstrere, at ikke alle er blevet gale [endnu].

Men verden behøver ikke nødvendigvis at være på denne vej til helvede eller udryddelse. Fru LaRouche tegnede et billede af håb, idet hun opfordrede til, at hjælpeorganisationer, US Army Corps of Engineers og andre enheder, endelig vil opbygge et moderne verdenssundhedssystem. Trods alt blev der bygget et hospital med 1000 senge på 10 dage i Wuhan, Kina, sidste år, mindede hun sit publikum om. For at gennemføre alt dette henviste hun til sin afdøde mand, Lyndon LaRouche, og hans plan om et nyt 'Franklin D. Roosevelt'-lignende program: et globalt Glass-Steagall, hamiltonisk bankkreditsystem – et Nyt Bretton Woods. Kinas Xi Jinping har med sin Nye Silkevej vist, at krig og fattigdom kan overvindes, bemærkede hun. Alt dette kan gøres, hvis der, som den russiske præsident Putin efterlyste i 2020, indkaldes til et P5-topmøde i FN's Sikkerhedsråd (5 permanente medlemmer af FN's sikkerhedsråd, red.) for at få nationer til at arbejde sammen om at opbygge dette moderne sundhedsvæsen, udvikle fusionskraft, have internationalt samarbejde i rummet, og "bygge en landsby på Månen og derefter en by på Mars".

Med denne kulisse af en smuk fremtid afsluttede Zepp-LaRouche med det inspirerende klip med direktøren for Emiraternes rumfartsagentur, Sarah Al Amiri, der peger på billioner af galakser og fremhævede, hvor dumt det er, at vi ikke udvikler alt dette. Hermed vendte hun tilbage til sin indtrængende appel og sluttede af med at udfordre os alle til ikke at være "dummere end dyrene".

Dr. Shaaban

Derefter gav Dr. Bouthaina Shaaban, politisk og medierådgiver for det syriske præsidentskab, en værdig, lidenskabelig opfordring: "Gendan international ret: Respekter Syriens perfekte suverænitet", hvor hun fortalte tilhørerne, at Syrien i de sidste ti år har 'udkæmpet en krig på to fronter'; en på gaden med mennesker "ledet af velorganiserede hemmelige styrker", der siger hvad der skal gøres; og en anden parallel krig med mediefortællingen, der vildleder og forvirrer det syriske folk. "Underlæggelsen af Syrien er vigtig for vestlige styrker, fordi Syrien. . . er 'kronjuvelen' i den arabiske verden: Derfor afsatte de milliarder af oliepenge, våben og terrorister til at gøre jobbet for dem". Hun pegede direkte på den britiske rolle i disse aktioner. Hun opfordrede til ophør med ulovlige sanktioner – en "form for kollektiv afstraffelse af det syriske folk". Og dog bemærkede hun, at syrernes udholdenhed, sammen med andre der har været nødt til at udholde sanktioner, har vist, "at vestlig politik. . . har spillet fallit". Hun konkluderede, at "vi er vidne til det gradvise sammenbrud af 500 år gamle vestlige koloniale imperier og fremgangen af Østens skæbne".

Der fulgte en livlig udveksling af spørgsmål mellem Dr. Shaaban og fru LaRouche efterfulgt af både publikums spørgsmål og udveksling af ideer og forespørgsler mellem de to kvinder. På et tidspunkt sagde Dr. Shaaban, at hun meget

gerne ville cirkulere Zepp-LaRouches hovedtale "verden over". Som svar på et spørgsmål om, hvordan hun kan være optimistisk omkring Syriens fremtid, pegede ministeren på Syriens 7.000 år kontinuerlige historie, og at landet har stået over for angreb og trusler før, at det syriske folk altid har været "fri for uretfærdigheder". Fredelig sameksistens mellem flere religioner i Syrien er en egenskab, "som vi får ind med modermælken". Dr. Shaaban erklærede, at "dette er tiden for Schiller Instituttet til at være den førende fortælling; dens noble sag gennem de sidste 50 år" må og skal sejre.

Oberst Black (pens.)

Dernæst var det oberst Richard H. Blacks tur – tidligere senator for (Virginia), tidligere officer i det amerikanske marinekorps, og tidligere leder af den amerikanske hærs strafferetlige afdeling, 'US Pentagon' – til igen, som på to tidligere Schiller-konferencer, at udfordre publikum til at "afvise" de "foragtelige" og "afskyelige" handlinger i den amerikanske regerings navn, der har samarbejdet med og finansieret al-Qaida-terrorister i Syrien, og fortsætter med at indføre ulovlige sanktioner. Han leverede også et detaljeret billede af de sidste 10 års krig og dens frygtelige indvirkning på det syriske folk.

Daisuke Kotegawa

Daisuke Kotegawa, tidligere embedsmand i det japanske finansministerium og tidligere direktør for Japan ved IMF, havde givet sin præsentation titlen: "Obama-sanktionerne saboterede udviklingen i Japan og Rusland". I en kort gengivelse af de japansk-russiske forhandlinger i 2012, som resulterede i otte områder med økonomisk, politisk og socialt samarbejde mellem de to lande, demonstrerede Kotegawa, hvordan den tunge hånd af amerikansk 'sanktionsdiplomati' bragte det russisk-japanske økonomiske

samarbejde til afslutning. I dette tilfælde "pressede Obamas nationale sikkerhedsrådgiver, Susan Rice, der sammen med Obama rejste til Japan kort efter Maidan-kuppet i 2014, den japanske premierminister Abe til "at indføre økonomiske sanktioner mod Rusland". Med japanske banker truet af amerikanske repressalier for eventuelle lån til russiske virksomheder, ligger projektaftalerne fra 2012 nu næsten stille.

Caleb Maupin

Sidste taler på dette første panel var Caleb Maupin, journalist og analytiker, grundlægger af Center for politisk Innovation: Maupin talte om "Sanktioner mod Syrien, konflikt med Kina: Hvem drager fordel heraf?" Maupin gav en stærk bekræftelse af, hvad alle talere før ham hævdede: De "strafferetlige sanktioner", som USA har indført, må ophøre. Han havde evnen til at aflive myterne, idet han citerede detaljerede kendsgerninger om, hvordan den syriske regering fra 1970'erne til 2011 har forøget den forventede levealder, sænket børnedødeligheden, opbygget infrastruktur og "udslettet" analfabetisme i Syrien. "I stedet for at blive venner med Syrien, har amerikanske ledere søgt at styrte Syriens regering", og han bemærkede, at "Biden-administrationen gennemfører [sanktioner]". (Senere i spørgerunden langede Maupin igen ud efter hykleriet med, at Bidens udenrigspolitik var i strid med den økonomiske dagsorden, idet han latterliggjorde ham og sagde, "de venstreorienterede plejede at være anti-krig".) Han sammenlignede disse syriske kendsgerninger med Kina, som også har "trukket millioner af mennesker ud af fattigdom". Maupin kaldte Kinas succes med at gå fra at være "en dybt forarmet" nation til nu en "økonomisk supermagt" for "en af de smukkeste historier i det 20. og 21. århundrede". Han afsluttede med: "Der er kun én menneskelig familie", og ligesom fru LaRouche udtrykte han håbet om, at denne konference vil uddanne folk til give USA og menneskeheden

en "ny retning".

Sammenfatning af europæiske talere, Panel 1

8. maj (EIRNS) – Sanktioner er kollektiv straf mod befolkningen – Dr. Hans Koechler, præsident for 'Den internationale Fremskridtsorganisation', der for mange år siden intervererede til fordel for Lyndon LaRouche, holdt en meget slagkraftig tale imod ensidige økonomiske sanktioner, som han kaldte "en fortsættelse af krig med andre midler". Sanktioner er en ny version af asymmetrisk krigsførelse med det klare mål at tvinge det udsete land til underkastelse; en skandaløs og moralsk oprørende form for middelalderlig belejringskrig, som har vundet indpas i vores moderne verden. Dr. Koechler kom flere gange tilbage til eksemplet med Irak, hvor de totale sanktioner, der blev gennemført indtil den endelige invasion af landet, udgjorde en af de mest alvorlige internationale forbrydelser i historien efter Anden Verdenskrig, med hundredtusinder af mennesker som døde.

Dr. Koechler undsagde den "skandaløse situation" i det internationale retssystem, som er utilstrækkelig til at håndtere disse situationer. FN's kollektive sikkerhedssystem er heller ikke i stand til at beskytte suveræniteten på grund af veto retten, der tillader en eller en gruppe permanente stater at kapre Sikkerhedsrådets politik.

Han fordømte den situation, hvor den mest magtfulde nation, USA, i stigende grad arrogant har tiltaget sig selv magten til at gennemføre sanktioner i egen interesse. Vi har her at gøre med en imperialistisk krænkelse af suveræniteten i fuldstændig modstrid med folkeretten, sagde han. 'Caesar'-sanktionerne mod Syrien, der følger efter ti års krig mod dette land, er en forbrydelse imod menneskeheden. De "afslører en holdning af arrogance og selvretfærdighed, der er typisk for imperialistisk herredømme".

Dr. Koechler opfordrede folk til at mobilisere det romersk-katolske og andre kirkesamfund for at presse statsoverhovederne til [at ændre] overbevisning, blandt dem Biden, selvfølgelig, for at få dem sat i bevægelse.

Verdensregering? Et bidrag fra Rusland og Kina – Wilfried Schreiber, forsker ved World Trends Instituttet for International Politik, i Potsdam, efterfulgte Dr. Koechler og fortsatte diskussionen om problemerne i den nuværende verdensorden.

Efter at have højtideligholdt den 8. maj med sejren over nazismen og Sovjetunionens bidrag til denne sejr, fordømte han den farlige nuværende tendens, hvor had og ondskab hver dag udøves over Rusland af massemedierne. 76 år efter krigens afslutning er situationen langt "farligere end i Den kolde Krig på grund af de enorme teknologiske fremskridt de sidste 30 år".

Prof. Schreiber understregede dog det positive bidrag til at løse denne situation i erklæringen fra de russiske og kinesiske udenrigsministre efter mødet i Guilin i marts. Første signal i denne erklæring, at Kina og Rusland ikke accepterer Vestens "regelbaserede orden". For prof. Schreiber opfattes denne "fuldkommengørelse af vestlige værdier" som en neokolonialistisk påstand, en slags strukturel racisme.

Det andet signal er, at netop selvsamme mangfoldighed i verden kræver samarbejde og dialog, ved at sætte forskelle til side og arbejde hen imod en fælles sikkerhed. I denne søgen efter en fælles og juridisk international orden tildeles FN-organisationen den centrale rolle. Ikke overherredømme eller den stærkes ret, men et fælles ansvar.

Refleksioner over en ny udenrigspolitik – Eric Denécé, direktør ved Det franske center for Efterretningsforskning (CF2R), kritiserede dybt den franske udenrigspolitik, der siden 00'erne i stigende grad kom under amerikansk

indflydelse, især i udenrigsministeriet, hvor en håndfuld diplomater med amerikanske neokonservative idealer har kørt løbet.

Han foreslog en række ændringer for at genskabe Frankrigs mistede internationale troværdighed og dets evne til at spille en gavnlig formidlende rolle i forhold til verdens "modsætninger". Hovedsageligt ved at øge sin uafhængighed og ekspertise inden for efterretning, militær og økonomi. Frankrig skal genbalancere sit forhold til USA på grund af sidstnævntes bekymrende fremmarch mod overherredømme; aggressiv og uansvarlig politik i Irak, Syrien og dets støtte til radikal islamisme i det arabiske forår.

Han fordømte også i vid udstrækning det "økonomiske svindelnummer", som USA udsætter sine allierede for, gennem et helt arsenal af metoder for at opnå herredømme på verdensmarkederne. Blandt de overnationale sanktioner, hvorigennem kampen mod korruption er blevet kapret for at afpresse milliarder af euro fra franske virksomheder. Vi kan ikke acceptere en sådan praksis fra en "allieret". Denécé er gunstigt indstillet i forhold til at trække sig ud af NATO's integrerede kommando.

Endelig ville han gå ind for en reform af EU, fordi den forhastede udvidelse til Østeuropa førte til en for stor indflydelse fra USA og imod Rusland. Han ønsker at genskabe traditionelle franske bånd til Rusland, Kina og Afrika.

Afghanistan og den Nye Silkevej – Historisk set ligger Afghanistan ved korsvejen af den historiske silkevej, hvor forskellige kulturer og samfund fra hele verden mødtes og udvekslede ideer og varer med hinanden, rapporterede Sayed Mujtaba Ahmadi, ministerråd ved Afghanistans ambassade i Canada.

I dag arbejder Afghanistan hårdt med de pågældende lande for at genoplive Silke- og 'Lapis Lazuli'-ruterne. Afghanistan integrerer Bælte- og Vejinitiativet, herunder

den operative drift af gasrørledningen mellem Turkmenistan, Afghanistan, Pakistan, Indien (TAPI) og også CASA-1000 (Centralasien og Sydasien). Sidstnævnte er en el-transmissionslinje. Chabahar-havnen vil bidrage til at øge handel, transport, transit og samarbejde mellem regionale og andre regioner.

Afghanistan ligger centralt i forhold til Den persiske Golf, Det kaspiske Hav og Centralasien, som omfatter verdens tre største kulbrinte-reserver. Ved at udnytte sin geostrategiske position såvel som mineral- og energirigdom har landet et stort potentiale til at spille en vigtig rolle i stabiliseringen af Mellemøsten og verden ved at etablere Afghanistan som en økonomisk bro mellem Sydasien og Centralasien, Mellemøsten, Europa, Canada, USA og derudover.

Dette er vores perspektiv for den økonomiske udvikling af Afghanistan i forhold til den Nye Silkevej, konkluderede hr. Ahmadi.

Spørgerunden

Der fulgte en times udveksling af spørgsmål og svar, spændende over en skala fra hvad man skal gøre ved sanktioneerne, er der andre internationale veje at gå for at få dem løftet, over hvorvidt USA kan ødelægge Kina-Rusland-alliancen, til historien om den regelbaserede orden; men kun ét spørgsmål berørte let risikoen for atomkrig. Et spørgsmål til Helga om, hvordan man bygger det globale sundhedssystem, som hun har opfordret til i Sydvestasien, gav hende muligheden for at fremhæve, hvorfor der ikke har rejst sig et ramaskrig; denne pandemi burde afgjort have fået nationer til at arbejde sammen, men desværre har det ikke vist sig sådan. Faren for atomkrig er heller ikke blevet anerkendt. Hun sagde slagfærdigt, "vi er nødt til at skære igennem umoraliteten".

En spørger ville vide, om der var nogen måde at få kardinal

Zenari til at tale i Den amerikanske Kongres. Helga sagde, at dette ville være en meget nyttig ting at gøre, og hun opfordrede deltagerne til at få deres kirkesamfund og andre trossamfund til at kræve dette. Vi har brug for et offentligt oprør.

**Video: Menneskehedens valg:
Selvdestruktion er ikke
uundgåelig.**

**Inkl. rapport om Syrien,
Yemen og Egypten**

Inkl. Hussein Askarys (Irak/Sverige) tale (som begynder 41.38 min inde i programmet) om den katastrofale situation in Syrien og Yemen, samt et optimistisk syn på Egyptens infrastruktur initiativer.

I

**POLITISK ORIENTERING den
16. april 2021:**

Vestens civilisationskrise: krig eller fred gennem udvikling

Med formand Tom Gillesberg

Lyd:

Schiller Instituttet · Vestens civilisationskrise: krig eller fred gennem udvikling

POLITISK ORIENTERING den 26. marts 2021: Biden-administration øger konfrontationen med Rusland og Kina. SI-konference viser et alternativ

Med formand Tom Gillesberg

Video:

Lyd:

Schiller Instituttet · Biden-administration øger konfrontationen med Rusland og Kina. SI-konference viser et alternativ

POLITISK ORIENTERING den 4. marts 2021:

**Det er ikke i Israel, men i
samarbejde med Kina og
Rusland,
at COVID-19 og andre
problemer løses**

Med formand Tom Gillesberg

Lyd: (Der er ingen lyd i et par korte udfald, hvor der blev stillet spørgsmål.)

Schiller Instituttet · Det er ikke i Israel, men i samarbejde med Kina og Rusland, at COVID-19 og andre problemer løses

Dødsfald fra strømsvigt i Texas er et forvarsel om

**hvad der vil ske,
hvis der kommer en Grøn New Deal.**

**Schiller Instituttets
ugentlige webcast med
Helga Zepp-LaRouche, den
17. februar 2021**

c

I sin ugentlige dialog advarede Helga Zepp-LaRouche om, at de totalt unødvendige dødsfald og lidelser i Texas og andre amerikanske delstater på grund af en polarkoldfront giver et tegn på hvad der vil ske, hvis den "store nulstilling" og dens grønne New Deal ikke stoppes. Disse dødsfald er ikke resultatet af en "naturkatastrofe", men en advarsel om hvad for en fremtid vi står overfor, hvis nedlæggelsen af kul- og atomkraftbaseret elektricitetsproduktion ikke tilbagerulles. Den nye EIR-rapport, "The Great Leap Backwards" ("Det store spring bagud"), giver både en analyse af de tydelige farer ved at vedtage en grøn dagsorden, og et alternativ baseret på hendes afdøde mands, Lyndon LaRouches, videnskabelige idéer.

Helga Zepp-LaRouche diskuterede også, hvordan kampagnen for konfrontation med Rusland og Kina udsætter menneskeheden for truslen om atomkrig på et tidspunkt, hvor samarbejde ikke kun er bydende nødvendigt, men også opnåeligt. Hvis NATO insisterer på sanktioner mod Rusland over den meget opblæste Navalny-affære, burde nationer som Tyskland,

Frankrig og Italien forlode NATO. Tilsvarende viser EU's manglende evne til at beskytte sine borgere mod COVID-pandemien ved igen at forkludre leveringen af COVID-vacciner, at denne form for overnational institution ikke er i stand til at sørge for borgernes behov – en fiasko, der også ses i de sandsynlige ødelæggende virkninger af dets kampagne for en europæisk Grøn New Deal, hvilket kunne føre til en nedbrydning af det europæiske energinet.

Hun stillede de økonomiske og strategiske tragedier, der udvikler sig i de transatlantiske nationer, i modsætning til det optimistiske potentiale i de tre samtidige rummissioner til Mars. Det faktum, at De forenede arabiske Emirater startede sit rumprogram for kun seks år siden, giver håb om at, med internationalt videnskabeligt samarbejde, kan nationer bevæge sig hen imod en fredelig udforskning af vores univers, med enorme fordele for alle.

Afskrift på engelsk:

Deaths from Power Outages in Texas Give a Foretaste of Things To Come with the Green New Deal

The LaRouche Organization Webcast with Helga Zepp-LaRouche
Wednesday, February 17, 2021

HARLEY SCHLANGER: Hello, I'm Harley Schlanger with our weekly dialogue with Helga Zepp-LaRouche, the founder and chairwoman of the Schiller Institute. It's February 17, 2021, and Helga, we have an extremely dramatic development, which seems ironically to coincide with the release of our Special Report, and that is the cold front that has hit Texas, leaving between 3 and 4 million people freezing in the dark. This is really quite dramatic, isn't it?

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Yes, it is actually very horrible, because already 26 people died. Now, this is incredible,

and you have the state of Texas, where the wind turbines froze up, the solar panels were covered with snow, so the energy production went down from an average of 25,000 MW to only 12,000 MW, and naturally you have blackouts, not only in Texas, but now there are rolling blackouts in 14 other states in the United States.

Now, this is absolutely unnecessary, and it's not a natural catastrophe. People should not look at it this way, because if you had normal coal-generated energy and nuclear energy, you would not have this situation, so people should not say this is a "natural" catastrophe. Because I would rather say, if we want to have a good note about it, we should take it was a warning from St. Peter, a warning sign what could happen with the weather if you don't have the energy required to deal with it.

Since we have this new report out, "The Great Leap Backward—LaRouche Exposes the Green New Deal," and the Great Reset, there we have warnings in it, that this will lead to blackouts and the blackouts could be even more dramatic. We have the case of the EU, where studies were made by the scientific advisory service to the German Parliament, already nine years ago, that you could have a collapse of the entire European energy grid, and that would have much more devastating consequences than even this. But this is bad enough. I think 4 million people in Texas, in the U.S., and 5 million people in the north of Mexico are without electricity. Now, that means people can die in the cold, they can die of the effects of it in various ways, and I think it's quite important that the former governor of Texas, Rick Perry, who was also the Energy Secretary in the Trump administration, blasted this in a very powerful way, saying that if you cut out coal, if you cut out nuclear energy, then you are completely dependent on an ideologically based energy policy, and people are dying! And that is what would happen if you have an energy policy

defined by such people as AOC [Rep. Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez] and the like.

So, this is a very serious warning, and I can only advise people to get the Special Report *EIR* has put out, because the consequences of what the Great Reset would do, the Texas developments give you a meager foretaste of the kind of economic collapse which would result as a consequence of the implementation of this policy. And this could lead to very dramatic developments, social chaos; it would have a devastating effect on the strategic situation, because some parts of the world are not so stupid—Japan, for example, when they had a snowstorm, I think it was last December, the Energy Minister immediately said that Japan must turn back on all of its nuclear plants; and obviously, Russia, China, India, they are all massively investing in the production of fission energy, of the third generation fission energy, and naturally, very much emphasis on fusion power [research]. But the idea that the world can live without coal plants, modern coal plants which are absolutely environmentally friendly, I think this is really an illusion and must be corrected immediately.

SCHLANGER: One of the things I found most interesting, is that Rick Perry, in his discussion also mentioned the advances of nuclear fusion, so that's a very good sign that there are at least some people thinking.

But Helga we have another problem that this comes up against, which is the absolute dysfunction of the political parties in the United States, with a feud going on in the Republican Party which broke out this week; with the Democrats somewhat chaotic and stuck with nothing but the Green New Deal. How does this look to you?

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: It looks very worrisome, because also the fact that Kamala Harris is now conducting foreign policy with President Biden resting in Camp David. This has caused

the raising of quite some eyebrows, because normally a Vice President participates maybe, in overseas phone calls, but here, Kamala Harris is conducting foreign policy all by herself. So the question is, in what condition is President Biden? Naturally, the situation in the Republican Party is one of utmost chaos.

And I think the only way how this can be addressed, is that we have to organize with The LaRouche Organization and the Schiller Institute to really promote, absolutely, the solutions of my late husband Lyndon LaRouche, and hopefully large segments of the population will understand that a change of the paradigm is absolutely necessary. At this point, the only voice of reason is really coming from The LaRouche Organization and the policies promoted by my late husband. But it needs a broad mobilization of the population to change the course of these developments.

SCHLANGER: One of the things that The LaRouche Organization is doing is conducting a series of dialogues, such as the one from last Saturday on U.S. Russia policy. [https://laroucheorganization.nationbuilder.com/forum_worsening_u_s_russian_relations_reverse_them_with_new_paradigm_or_face_nuclear_war] It is clear that the war machine that was never removed under President Trump is now back on all gears, targetting Russia and China. Where do you see this headed?

ZEPP-LAROCHE: It is extremely dangerous. We had the Atlantic Council Paper, "The Longer Telegram," so-called, basically referring to the "long telegram" paper by George Kennan from 1946, now referring to the need to have regime change against China, especially targetting Xi Jinping to be toppled. Now, if you put yourself in the shoes of such a government as China, and you hear that coming from the largest nuclear power, and probably still the largest economy in the world, it has consequences. It leads to a hardening of positions. And in a certain sense, this is

going on against Russia, with the Navalny campaign. So I think it's quite interesting that Prof. Lyle Goldstein, who is from the Naval War College, he made a couple of warnings, both in the radio and also in the *Washington Times*, basically saying that this is leading to a situation where there is practically a warlike situation between the United States and Russia, and that the people who are pushing the Navalny campaign should be aware of the fact, is it really in the interest of the West to have a very sizable nuclear power like Russia to have chaos, or is it not in the interest of the Western countries, that the nuclear weapons of Russia should be under the control of a stable, unified force—I mean, just imagine, you have a civil war in Russia and then these nuclear weapons would get into the hands of some strange, terrorist kind of forces!

I think that there is actually the need to really be aware of that, and come to the conclusion that this whole policy of sanctions against Russia is not functioning; this was, for example, just made as a statement by the head of the Kiel Institute for the World Economy [<https://www.ifw-kiel.de/>], Mr. Gabriel Felbermayr, who said that the whole idea of sanctions against Russia does not function, because you don't get countries like China, or India, or other partners of Russia to cooperate, so therefore, the only forces which are hurt by the sanctions, is, in this case, emphatically Germany. So, this whole policy of geopolitical confrontation can only lead to a complete catastrophe, if it is pursued.

SCHLANGER: There's also a very sharp warning coming from Sergey Lavrov, the Russian Foreign Minister, about the policies of the EU, which are definitely part of this anti-Russian grouping.

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Yes. He said that if this is stopped, if these sanctions are not stopped, that Russia is prepared to

break off all relations to the EU. Now, there was a rather stupid article in the *Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung*, basically pooh-poohing it, saying this is just meant to cause people to now say, "Oh, we should do something now that this doesn't happen." But these liberals, and the *FAZ* is full of them, they don't understand the connection between cause and effect, but these policies, as I said, they lead to dramatic changes.

I mean, if you put yourself in the shoes of Russia and China, what is the natural consequence of these policies coming from the U.S., from the EU, from Great Britain? Already in October 2020, at the annual Valdai conference, Putin raised the possibility—this is not the first time it was raised, but he raised it publicly at this Valdai conference—the possibility of a Russian-Chinese military alliance. And this was brought up again on Feb. 4, this year, in a meeting between Wang Yi, the Chinese Foreign Minister, and Sergey Lavrov, discussing this option. Now, Putin in some context, also said it's not necessary, but obviously, it would be a major change in the strategic situation. What it would do is, it would protect China, if China would sort of come under the nuclear umbrella of the Russian nuclear forces, which are sizable, they're extremely modernized; Putin had introduced these new weapons systems, the hypersonic missiles, the nuclear-powered submarines—all weapons systems which sort of make the previous plans for a global missile defense system by the U.S. and by NATO obsolete; obviously, all these countries are working high-speed in their own hypersonic missiles, so it's a dangerous arms race.

But, it would mean, if China would come under the nuclear umbrella of Russia, it would completely change the situation for good; it would basically make a limited nuclear attack on China impossible, unless you want to have World War III all the way. It would basically allow China a

greater flexibility in dealing with the problems in the South China Sea, in respect to Taiwan. It would definitely have an incredible signal effect on all the countries participating in the Belt and Road Initiative. It would basically give them assurance that there can be a peaceful win-win cooperation.

Now, obviously, the efforts by the U.S. is to counter that, and that was going on already with the Trump administration, Pompeo and Esper, to build the Quad, that is, the Indo-Pacific alliance, trying to pull India into an alliance with the United States against Russia and China. But that is the kind of geopolitical games which really is what led to World War I and World War II, and I think it is really something we have to overcome: Because if this kind of geopolitical maneuvering is going on, the Damocles Sword of nuclear extinction hangs over the world. And people should really wake up.

The only consequence for European nations is to stop the sanctions campaign against Russia, to stop supporting Navalny, who is—it's a typical Western intelligence-promoted operation for regime change in Russia. I think his support in Russia is very little. He has maybe a few hundreds of supporters—that looks big when they go on the street—but in reality it's a very tiny fraction of the Russian population, and as we discussed previously, Ahurkov, one of the campaign managers of Navalny had begged the British second in command in the Moscow Embassy for money so they could do these operations. This is really something which should not happen! Regime change policy is a complete interference into the sovereignty of a country, and it is what Obama and Tony Blair were doing, the so-called "humanitarian interventions," "spreading democracy"; democracy has gotten a very bad name as a result. And what should happen instead, is that the European nations, like Germany, France, Italy and others should leave NATO and

rethink what is their security interest. I think we need to discuss a new security architecture, and that must represent the security interests of every single country on the planet, if we want to overcome the danger of nuclear war.

So, I think the consequence of this is to really leave the kind of NATO alliance, which has become obsolete in any case, after the dissolution of the Warsaw Pact, and right now, the idea to expand NATO as a global force, is really—it will lead to World War III if it's not stopped.

SCHLANGER: You mentioned China possibly going into an alliance with Russia: The Chinese made a threat that they may withhold rare earth materials that are necessary for aircraft construction and other kinds of defense contracting. How serious is that threat?

ZEPP-LAROUICHE: Well, I think it's being seriously looked at. I think the Chinese government has started an investigation, exactly of what the effect would be, as you say, on the military sector, on the production of fighter jets, and if this escalation increases, one could actually see that happening. That would be a sort of nuclear bomb, but it would be one of these signs of a prewar situation if it happens.

SCHLANGER: And speaking of pre-war, we're seeing a number of developments in Southwest Asia around Yemen, also around Syria with the Israeli strikes on Syria, threats to Iran. How does this situation look from your standpoint?

ZEPP-LAROUICHE: The situation in Yemen is a complete tragedy, and also I can only say the world community which allows this to happen—I mean, the Yemen population is the worst humanitarian catastrophe in years; it's escalating; everybody knows it, nobody does anything decisive about it. Right now you have 2 million Yemeni children under the age of 5 who are in acute malnutrition; 400,000 of those are in

acute severe malnutrition, which is acute danger of starvation. Now how easy would it be to tell the Saudis, "you open the ports, you allow the entrance of food aid," and if the EU and the United States and some other countries would really put their foot down, it could be remedied, practically in a week! The fact that this is not happening, I really think that the EU policies on the question of refugees, what they have done with Frontex [EU's border guard] backing and participating in the pushback operations against refugees, all of these policies are completely inhuman, and I think any nation in Europe that wants to have a decent policy should leave the EU! The EU and NATO, right now, are really alliances which are completely against the interests of the member states, and there is no need to have a bureaucracy in Brussels.

Look what they did in terms of getting vaccines: Ursula von der Leyen is a complete failure; this woman was a problem when she German Defense Minister. Now her record as the so-called President of EU Commission is a disaster. Why does she not resign? She should resign! And I think the European nations should leave the EU and form an alliance as republics of "fatherlands" as de Gaulle was calling for it, and you can have a multinational cooperation for the development of Africa, for the reconstruction of Southwest Asia, and you don't need a supranational bureaucracy.

These things have to be remedied, and these policies are clearly not in the interests of the European nations. And in the case of Yemen, I really appeal to all of your viewers—that is, you—to help to change the policy in respect to this genocide which is going on before our very eyes.

SCHLANGER: Now, speaking of the EU, we have the man from the British royal yacht *Britannia*, who is now moving into power in Italy, Mario Draghi, former head of the European Central Bank: This is just another disaster, and he's

committing himself to the entire policy of so-called “monetary integration.” Is this going to go over in Italy?

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: We have to see. Right now, you have the Lega being in the government, and they have one minister post; I think one big test case will be what happens to the Messina Bridge and also the Taranto steel plant, which Draghi basically wants to shut down, and the EU wants to shut down: This steel plant is the production facility which could actually produce the amount of steel needed for the Messina Bridge [to Sicily], which obviously would completely change the dynamic in terms of the Mezzogiorno, bringing real development to Southern Italy and Sicily. And the Lega basically wants to convince Draghi to go ahead with this bridge. Let’s see how this plays out: Draghi made his first speech in the Senate which was unfortunately, everything one could expect. He made the absurd statement saying that the more there is European integration, the more Italian, the Italians become. He also called for Schumpeter-like “creative destruction,” saying that some industries are not worth saving. So this is exactly what one could expect from somebody who has been in the ECB for many years, and demanding all kinds of “reforms” which created the problems in which Italy right now finds itself. So this does not look good.

SCHLANGER: To conclude, we want to go back to this question of Lyndon LaRouche’s solutions, and you’ve been speaking very enthusiastically about the development of the space program in the United Arab Emirates. We now have a Chinese mission on Mars, and as of tomorrow, there will be U.S. rover landing on Mars. How significant is this? This really does represent—when you talk about the Texas situation being the foretaste of the bad things that could come from the Great Reset, doesn’t this project around Mars give us a foretaste of the good things that could come out of international scientific cooperation?

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Obviously. Look, for the Mars missions—I'm still most impressed by this U.A.E. operation, because this was a Mars mission which was only started, I think six years ago; so, in an incredible speed, they caught up, at least with Japanese help, but nevertheless, and they have now an spacecraft in Mars orbit. This shows you that any developing nation—after all the Gulf States only discovered oil less than 30 years ago—and turned from total desert states into, in some cases, states which are really doing quite remarkable things, in terms of for example, the Emirates have an island which they irrigated and turned into beautiful gardens and forests. And when my husband and I were in Abu Dhabi in 2002, he made a speech there on the future of oil; this was organized by the Zayed Center. And he basically said, look, forget oil as a fossil fuel, it's too precious and should be used for chemical production, for pharmaceutical production, and use the revenue to invest in the production of water, that will green the deserts.

[https://larouchepub.com/eiw/public/2002/eirv29n23-20020614/eirv29n23-20020614_006-the_middle_east_as_a_strategic_c-lar.pdf]

And he advised basically to go for innovation and leapfrog—and this is exactly what the Emirates have done, and other Gulf States are going in a similar direction. They are cooperating with China on the Belt and Road Initiative, and now you have this Mars mission.

Now, if you think what incredible technologies are opened up with space research and space travel, we have seen it many years ago with the Apollo Project, where it's often cited that every cent investment brought back fourteen cents in terms of value as computers, as all kinds of spinoff products. But we are now on the verge of getting fusion power as a propulsion, which is the only way how human beings could safely get to Mars. There is discussion

about studying the weather patterns, the underground water, the traces of life. And obviously, not only manned Mars missions are what is being looked at, but also a village on the Moon, a city on Mars, creating the conditions for longer term existence of man on these planets, as a stepping stone for future interstellar travel. Now, that means that the character of humanity will completely be transformed, because it's very clear that once you undertake such endeavors, you cannot have a geopolitical war on Mars, or else you will not live, and you will not exist.

And the kind of international cooperation among astronauts which we have seen on the International Space Station (ISS), that is the model for the future cooperation among nations, like the United States, Russia, China, India, Europe—the best policy of Europe is their work on ESA, the European Space Agency, where its head, Mr. Jan Wörner, is enthusiastically speaking about the village on the Moon all the time; and ESA has just put out a request for young people to be trained as astronauts. That program should be enlarged. Europe should have a much, much larger space program, and if a small country like the Emirates can have a Mars mission, why cannot Germany have a Mars mission on its own? You know, Germany right now is in place 27, in terms of the number of people being vaccinated; the Emirates are in place 6 or 7.

So there's something right which the Emirates are doing, and something fundamentally wrong what Germany is doing and the EU is doing. However, this is the future, and if mankind is supposed to live as an immortal species—and that was a notion which was coined by my late husband—because we are different from other species, because we have creative reason. We can solve any problem through scientific and technological breakthroughs, by discovering new laws of the universe. And since our mind is the most advanced part of

that universe, there is all the reason for optimism that once we attune our own existence and our own practice with the laws of the universe, our chances to become the immortal species is absolutely there. But it does require space travel as a precondition, and I think this idea of nations working together to discover the beautiful secrets of the universe, that gives you a taste of what the future of man can look like, when we decide to become adults.

SCHLANGER: Well, Helga, it's always good to end with a healthy dose of optimism, as you just did. For our viewers, let me remind you: You can get the new report "A Great Leap Backward—LaRouche Exposes the Green New Deal" on why we have to defeat the Great Reset and the Green New Deal, go to <https://schillerinstitute.com> and get an invoice for it. And Helga, I guess that's what we have now, so we'll see you next week.

ZEPP-LAROCHE: And join the Schiller Institute!

**Lyndon LaRouche: Et talent,
der blev brugt godt;
live stream med
mindehøjtidelighed på 2-
året for hans død**

14. februar (EIRNS) – 12. februar 2021 markerede toårsdagen for Amerikas største statsmand og filosof, Lyndon H.

LaRouche, Jr, der døde på Abraham Lincolns fødselsdag i 2019 i en alder af 96 år. LaRouche-organisationen og Schiller Instituttet, som blev grundlagt af hans hustru Helga Zepp-LaRouche, fejrede dagen med en 19-timers live stream af Lyndon LaRouches taler og foredrag; dagen blev indledt og afsluttet med den mindeværdige koncert, der blev afholdt i New York City den 8. juni i året for hans død.

Livestream'en indeholdt nogle af LaRouches mest afgørende, historieførende interventioner – men for dem, der kender ham og hans arv, ville det være nødvendigt med langt mere end 19 timer til at gense blot en brøkdel af de taler og lektioner han holdt, der ændrede historien. I den henseende var Lyn en ægte videnskabsmand, der altid udfordrede dagens fremherskende antagelser, inklusive sine egne, og udviklede en "højere hypotese".

For dem der begyndte at se med, uanset på hvilket tidspunkt i løbet af den daglange begivenhed, var det "næsten umuligt at løsrive sig", sagde flere af LaRouches medarbejdere, inklusive denne skribent. At tillade sig selv, gennem denne videotur, at tilbringe timer i nærværelse af et sådant sind, var en velkommen og glædelig kontrast til det forræderiske og bedrageriske skuespil, der fandt sted i USA's Senat i den anden rigsretssag mod tidligere præsident Donald Trump; retssagen er endeligt afsluttet med den forventede frifindelse, men fortsætter i pressen.

Lige så foruroligende er de nylige bemærkninger fra 'StratCom'-kommandør Adm. Charles Richard om nødvendigheden af at forberede sig på muligheden for atomkrig samt den fortsatte dæmonisering af Rusland og Kina. Den nye COVID-nødhjælpslov ville af LaRouche blive betragtet som en syg vittighed, og er blot en yderligere bekræftelse af hans 'Triple Curve'-funktion fra 1996, som blev illustreret i dagens næstsidste video.

Selv efter at have set blot et par minutter af Lyndon

LaRouche, eller fra andre at have hørt om den dybtgående indflydelse han havde på deres liv, er det klart, at USA lider meget under manglen på en sådan inspirerende ledelse, som LaRouche legemliggjorde i årtier, herunder i særdeleshed gennem sine otte præsidentvalgkampagner. Og USA lider fortsat under hans uretfærdige, femårs fængselsdom som politisk fange, og det faktum, at han den dag i dag ikke er blevet rensset og frifundet.

Som Helga Zepp-LaRouche beskrev sin afdøde mand i hendes introduktion til sin videoerklæring for 'LaRouche Legacy Foundation, så udviklede Lyndon LaRouche fra starten af en analyse af, på den ene side hvad der gik galt med systemet, og på den anden side den nødvendige løsning: "Jeg tror, at en af de vigtigste opfattelser var, at han i 1975 for første gang præsenterede en omfattende idé om, hvordan et sådant nyt verdensøkonomisk system skulle se ud: Den internationale Udviklingsbank – ideen om, at der skulle være en overførsel af teknologi til en værdi af 200 mia. dollar hvert år til at overvinde underudvikling gennem store projekter. Denne idé greb øjeblikkeligt om sig. I 1976 traf de "Alliancefrie landes Bevægelse" på deres sidste konference i Colombo grundlæggende en mere eller mindre endelig beslutning om vedtagelsen af denne politik, ord for ord.

"I de følgende år arbejdede Lyn sammen med Indira Gandhi og med López Portillo om disse idéer. Jeg synes, det er en meget rig historie. Vi rejste i disse årtier til mere end fyrrer lande. Vi mødtes med ledere fra praktisk taget hvert eneste land på planeten. Fra min egen erfaring kan jeg forsikre jer om, at alle disse mennesker så på Lyn med et utroligt håb om, hvad USA kunne være".

Videoen kan ses her

Se en videomaratton, der fejrer Lyndon LaRouches liv og arbejde i dag den 12. februar

Se en videomaratton, der fejrer Lyndon LaRouches liv og arbejde i dag den 12. februar

Til minde om Lyndon LaRouches bortgang den 12. februar 2019, inviterer vi dig til at stifte bekendtskab med, eller gense, LaRouche, et sind og en personlighed som var et af de største genier i de sidste 100 år.

Genialitet uden skønhed er slet ikke genialt.

Deltag i vores LaRouche-maraton, og tag dine venner med, både store og små. Den 24-timers videomaratton begynder kl. 12 dansk tid.

“Vi har så meget at opdage” om vores univers Schiller Instituttets

ugentlige webcast med Helga Zepp-LaRouche den 10. februar 2021

I sin ugentlige dialog i dag stillede Helga Zepp-LaRouche de spændende horisonter, der blev åbnet af tre næsten samtidige rumflyvninger med ankomst til Mars, i modsætning til det igangværende polariserende cirkus i det amerikanske senats retssag mod tidligere præsident Trump. Mars-missionerne, sagde hun, "viser, hvor menneskeheden skal hen... Vi har så meget at opdage." Hun pegede på de russiske og kinesiske forskeres vellykkede udvikling af COVID-vacciner og deres vilje til at dele dem, som et andet eksempel på den form for samarbejde, der kræves i en krisetid som denne.

Se i stedet på den utrolige situation i USA rettet imod Donald Trump og hans tilhængere. De seneste afsløringer der viser, at ledere af oprøret fra de "Stolte drenge" (Proud Boys) og "Ed-vogtere" (Oath Keepers) var forbundet til FBI, gør det klart, at angrebet på kongresbygningen den 6. januar ikke blev tilskyndet af Trump, men faktisk var en "fælde". Og mens skueprocessen fortsætter, er der en optrapning for regimeskifter mod Putin og Xi, der anføres på vegne af dem, der presser på for den store nulstilling (Great Reset). Hun opfordrede seerne til at studere den kommende EIR-specialrapport om den store nulstilling/grønne New Deal (www.larouchepub.com/eir) og til at deltage i rundbordsdiskussionen om optrapningen af konflikten mod Rusland denne lørdag kl. 19 dansk tid (www.schillerinstitute.com), som nødvendige skridt til at blive de oplyste borgere, der kræves for at skabe et nyt paradigme for samarbejde blandt suveræne stater.

Se Helgas webcast her: [Webcast](#)

Afskrift på Engelsk:

We Have So Much to Discover' About Our Universe

The LaRouche Organization Webcast with Helga Zepp-LaRouche
Wednesday, February 10, 2021 <https://laroucheorganization.-nationbuilder.com/20210210-zepp-larouche-webcast>

HARLEY SCHLANGER: Hello, I'm Harley Schlanger. Welcome to our weekly dialogue with Helga Zepp-LaRouche. It's February 10, 2021.

And we're seeing some extraordinary developments in the United States, of chaos, of the circus-type environment around the impeachment. Helga, what's your best reading of what's going on around this situation?

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE: It is a circus, but let me say something optimistic in the beginning: because you have three Mars missions—one is already in orbit from the U.A.E., another one today from China, and then still another one from the United States on Feb. 18. So that shows you where mankind should be going. But before we come to that, let's look at what needs to be overcome, and one of those situations is exactly what you are referring to, the unbelievable situation in the United States. Looking at it from a distance, so to speak, I think it's a very, very dangerous development because you have a clear effort to declare former President Trump a non-person; you have a trampling on the Constitution. Yesterday, 56 Senators voted for impeachment, which means they don't respect the Constitution, because the Constitution says you can only

impeach a sitting President or official, but not a former one. And it is clearly designed to completely confuse the population, brainwash the population.

It will increase the polarization, and the whole thing is phony, because there is now plenty of evidence that the storming of the Capitol was planned long before Jan. 6, probably immediately after the election took place, there were preparations. The FBI now has evidence that there were 200 people, whom they arrested on Jan. 6, who were involved in the preplanning: Some of these people were FBI informants, or had high-level security clearance from the FBI, such as some of the people from the Proud Boys, and also the so-called Oath Keeper head Caldwell. So, this is a sting operation if you ever have seen one, and it is clearly designed to make sure that Trump can never run for office again, so the whole thing is fraudulent.

But you have to see it in the context of other things which are going on in the United States as well: And that is, censorship. What we had seen already beginning against then-President Trump when he gave press conferences, the major TV stations intervened and said, "no, we don't agree with the content of what he is saying," overriding and overruling the President of the United States. Now you have a whole pattern of blogs and websites are being banned by the social media. You cannot mention certain words any more. If you say "vote fraud" you are being banned; if you say certain other things which don't fit the official narrative, so it completely suppresses any kind of open discussion and the First Amendment. And then, you have this very worrisome effort to criminalize the Trump base: Naturally one is against violence of any kind, but when the former CIA station chief for Afghanistan and Pakistan, and Director of the CIA Counter Terrorism Center—a person called Robert Grenier—says one has to use the same methods one used against insurgencies in Afghanistan and Iraq against

those domestic terrorists, because they swim in a larger environment of support in the population.

This is really incredible: This should upset any person who loves democracy, for that matter, or freedom. And I think it is creating an atmosphere of real—I think McCarthyism is a mild word for saying it—and it's a very dangerous development. So I can only call on all people to keep free debate, keep open truth-seeking of matters, and—I think it's a very dangerous development, that's all I can say.

SCHLANGER: What I find interesting is that parallel to what's being done against Trump and the Trump supporters, is the escalation for regime change in Russia against Putin, which sort of goes back to the whole issue of Russiagate as targetting both the United States *and* Russia. What's the latest you have on this anti-Putin move?

ZEPP-LAROUICHE: Well, this Navalny story is really a concoction. First of all we should remember that Navalny was not very well-known in Russia until recently, until this so-called "poisoning" through the Russian government was supposedly happening.

As a matter of fact, if you look at his older statements, he is a rightwing person, he called some people "cockroaches" and using a language which we know from Germany from 80 years ago, so he's not exactly a hero or a nice person. But he is being used to absolutely go for street demonstrations to finance those; there is this video which was published by RT, where one of his top campaign managers, Vladimir Ashurkov, is seen in 2012, where he talks to a member of the British embassy who in reality was an MI6 agent, where Ashurkov asks this British so-called diplomat for \$10-\$20 million a year, because that would enable them to organize mass demonstrations in Russia.

So this is the reality of this operation, and Maria Zakharova just said in a press conference, that it is very

clear that there are powerful circles behind Navalny from the West, and some of them demonstrated in these street demonstrations, members from the embassies and consulates, from Germany, from Poland and from Sweden, which is going against any statutes and standards of the diplomatic service. So Russia expelled these individuals; then, in a reciprocal move, these countries expelled Russian diplomats. But then the thing was crowned by an unbelievably provocative event, which just took place in Brussels, in the Polish mission to the EU, with EU participation, but also U.K., U.S., and Canada, and they basically discussed with Ashurkov and also another person, Leonid Volkov, who is another person from the Navalny team. And they discussed how all these countries should respond together to the jailing of Navalny.

This is a real intelligence operation, and it is aimed to create an environment where you have internal opposition emerging against Putin, and it has the same character as we discussed last week, with the paper of the Atlantic Council targetting Xi Jinping for regime change. [<https://www.atlanticcouncil.org/content-series/atlantic-council-strategy-paper-series/the-longer-telegram/>]

Now, needless to say, that if from one nuclear power, and the EU, they are running regime change operations against the other two major nuclear powers, this is really very, very dangerous. And I think, it's completely disgusting. Then also the so-called "foreign minister" of the EU Josep Borrell went to Moscow; he had discussions with Lavrov, supposedly they were very relaxed and friendly. But when Borrell went back to Brussels he gave a press conference and said that he mainly discussed Navalny and the question of human rights, so that then Lavrov said there are two stories: One is what Borrell said at the press conference in Moscow, and another was what he said when he was back in Brussels, so who is running EU foreign policy?

Lavrov also said, and this is extremely worrisome, that Germany would have opened secret files to Navalny when he was in Germany making this so-called movie about luxury mansion of Putin. In other words, the German secret services gave Navalny files which is extremely provocative. And it turns out now that this so-called luxury palace has been empty for many years; Putin has never been in it, and it is being restored to become a hotel. But it was manipulated with Photoshop methods, to look like a private mansion. So these are these methods, which are really prewar creating an enemy-image of a country, and I think it's completely disgusting.

Even more disgusting is that the German foreign minister Heiko Maas spent €21 million, obviously, of taxpayers' money, to finance the opposition in Belarus.

Now, I don't know—this is all really counterproductive, and it should be denounced. It's a kind of warmongering, and any clear-thinking citizen should really distance himself or herself from these kinds of operations.

SCHLANGER: You mentioned the Atlantic Council paper targetting Xi Jinping. There's also the commander of StratCom, the Strategic Command for the nuclear defense of the United States, talking about the likelihood of nuclear war, and the Chinese are continuing to very strongly discuss that. At the same time, they're holding open the possibility of a better relationship. What's your reading on that?

ZEPP-LAROUICHE: There was a very interesting article in *People's Daily*, where the author makes the point that if the United States is worried about a nuclear war, then the easiest thing not to have it, is not to make a strike against Russia or China, because it is China's policy under all circumstances, to have a no-first-nuclear-strike policy. And as you say, they again and again say now is the

time to have a new definition of the relationship between the United States and China. So it is very clear that the warmongering comes not from Russia and people should not fall into this trap, because once you are in the dynamic where you keep shouting negative things, you know, you get a reaction. And the *Global Times* chief editor Hu Xijin, answered and said, "Well, obviously, China must increase its nuclear arsenal, and build at least 1,000 ICBMs to be credible," but that is the kind of reaction you get. And I think the world is really confronted with so many real problems, that this kind of geopolitical warmongering is really more superfluous than anything else on this planet.

SCHLANGER: One of the problems we've been focussed on is the push for the Green New Deal, a global green economy, a green financial bubble, and this just continues to unfold with Biden's initial executive orders. But there is a real reaction against it from certain countries. How is this developing over the last week?

ZEPP-LAROCHE: It is a bubble, as we discussed many times. The financial system is hopelessly bankrupt. The central banks have pumped money, trillions and trillions into the system since 2008, when you already had a systemic crisis, and it is very clear this cannot be maintained forever. So in one sense, this idea to pump another \$30 trillion into the system for green investments, which will ruin the economy! If you go to these low energy flux-density levels, you cannot maintain a modern industrial power, and it really is a last-ditch effort to bail out the banks, to bail out the speculators. And it is more than cynical: One aspect is, and this is unbelievable, that the EU, otherwise famous for their pushback operation in the context of Frontex, which shows you what the mindset is of these bureaucrats; but what they have now done is they have made agreements with 31 African countries to mass produce solar and wind energy and turn it into hydrogen; ship the

hydrogen then from Africa to Europe because if you go all green technology and energy in Europe, you cannot build as many wind parks (one shouldn't call them "parks")—offshore and onshore wind hubs and solar panels in Europe, because it would cover the entire territory with these things. So what they are planning to do, is to import 80% of their energy from these operations in Africa.

Now, obviously the African nations are poor and they are desperate for all kinds of deals, but it ruins the environment in Africa, it means no industrial development, which is what they really would need, and just shows you the absolutely cynical character of this Green policy, which one can only call an "eco-dictatorship" and in reality, "eco-fascism": Because it does reduce the population capacity of the Earth, and therefore, one can call it an eco-fascist policy, for sure.

SCHLANGER: One thing we're seeing in Europe, particularly in Germany, is rising prices for electricity, and also a new wave of industrial layoffs, and this is also pretty troubling.

ZEPP-LAROUICHE: Yes, the economy is collapsing. You have massive layoffs in all major industries, Thyssen, MAN, and various others, but also many small and medium industries are going bankrupt. And the energy prices increase, I think there was in January alone, in Germany, an energy price increase of 7.5% at once, and when all of these schemes are implemented, it will get worse and worse. And the idea behind it is what the Greens have discussed since a long time: To change the behavior of the population by increasing the prices such that most people cannot afford electric appliances, or warm heating, or going by train somewhere. So it is really an absolute imposition of massive austerity policy, de facto, in respect to the living standard of the population. We should really fight against it.

We will be bringing out tomorrow, *Executive Intelligence Review* will publish a Special Report on the Green New Deal, on the Great Reset, and I can only encourage our viewers to get this report, because this is designed to explain to people what will be the effect of such a policy and what can be done against it.

SCHLANGER: The other thing we should take up is this question of what went wrong in Europe, in particular, with the vaccines, what EU Commission President Ursula von der Leyen did to make it impossible for people to get vaccinated in many European countries. But at the same time, the Russian vaccine, which everyone was scoffing at and making fun of, now is becoming almost a prize for the Russians. What can you say about this?

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: It is very clear that the EU—that's now being discussed everywhere—I mean, the EU does not care about its member states and their population. So they were falling behind very massively in ordering vaccines, and now there is a recognition that if European are going to get themselves vaccinated, they'd better buy vaccines from Russia and China. Even Alexander Dobrin from the [Bavarian Christian Social Union] CSU said that this is what should be done. And Merkel talked with Putin and also with Xi Jinping, so this is underway.

But if you look at China, they have now said that they will donate vaccines to 53 developing countries, and in addition to that, they have agreements for sale of vaccines to another 22 countries. They have developed altogether six vaccines, and I think all the slanders against China are really contradicted by what is happening in terms of deeds. So, I think there is a clear tendency to recognize that all these accusations against China are completely ill-founded.

SCHLANGER: I find it interesting: We started with the question of the Mars missions, and I know we'll talk about

in just a moment, but at the same time, we're seeing the potential that exists in Russia and China for scientific breakthroughs that are of benefit to the whole world. And of course, in the United States as well, the vaccine program is moving ahead, although there are problems.

But let's go back now to the question of Mars. This is really extraordinary—this is a big week. I don't know if there's an air traffic control system on Mars, but there's a lot of incoming spacecraft!

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: This is really exciting, because yesterday, the orbiter Hope from the United Arab Emirates, the U.A.E., arrived in orbit of Mars, and they will make images of weather patterns on Mars for future expeditions. This is very exciting, because if you look at some of the pictures from the laboratories in the United Arab Emirates, you see all these men and women—the men dressed in their typical Arabic garb—and it's just such a completely different image about the future of the Arab world. The last time the Arab world was in the forefront of science was in the Abbasid dynasty, around the time of Haroun al-Rashid, al-Mansur, al-Mamun, and at that time Baghdad was the most advanced science city in the world. The Caliphs gave gold to anybody who would bring a discovery, from Egypt, from Spain, from Italy, and weigh them in gold. And this time, the head of the U.A.E. Space Agency said that they chose one of the most difficult missions, namely to go to Mars, because they thought the challenge would be such that it would provoke the greatest leap forward, the leapfrogging in science and technology. And you know what the average age is of these engineers? Twenty-seven years! And one-third of them are women. So if there is *any* way to catch up with the future, and modern world, it is exactly that.

And they quote especially a poet, whose name is Al Mutanabbi, but he was born in 915, and they quote him saying, "If you ventured in pursuit of glory, don't be

satisfied with less than the stars.” So I think this is very beautiful.

And as I said, today is the Tianwen-1, it has reached the orbit of Mars. It will be there for a while, and then they will plan very carefully the landing on Mars; I think it will happen in three months. But then, they have a lander and a rover, so this will be a very carefully preplanned operation.

And then, on Feb. 18th, you will have the Perseverance mission from NASA, also a lander and a rover.

So I think this idea that the future of mankind is the colonization of space, and that the best thing which could happen is that all countries work together. The way to overcome geopolitical conflict on Earth is to start to really reach for the stars, to colonize the Moon and Mars, and prepare for future interstellar travel of mankind as one.

I mean, if you look at mankind from space, you see that our little, blue planet is very small, it's very fragile, and the universe is incredibly big. We have not discovered the first secrets yet—there's so much to discover, in 2 trillion galaxies, which we know for sure to exist. So it's really time to overcome what I call the infancy of mankind, where people squabble over territory. If we go for space science, we can completely transform everything which is called a “resource,” and conflicts now which seem to be so big, will completely vanish once we reach the next phase, the next era of human civilization. So I think this is good reason for optimism.

SCHLANGER: Yes, of course, and in keeping with your late husband's life work, to have that kind of cooperation, what your friend Krafft Ehrlicke called the Extraterrestrial Imperative. And we should note that we're coming up on the second anniversary of Lyndon LaRouche's passing. And on

that date, Feb. 12, there will be on the website, 24 hours of videos for people to become more familiar with Lyndon LaRouche.

And Helga just to conclude, in terms of addressing the geopolitical crisis, the Schiller Institute is sponsoring a roundtable this weekend to discuss the situation in Russia. Do you want to say something about that?

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Yes, this will be a roundtable of experts; we already have very renowned speakers, Sen. Mike Gravel, I think agreed; then, Professor Edward Lozansky from the American University in Moscow, we also have possibly; Mr. Alex Krainer, the author of *Grand Deception*, the book on Bill Browder; possibly another expert from Russia; maybe Ray McGovern. So it will be a very important round of people to discuss both what's behind the operation and why the truth has to be gotten out to neutralize it. So you should all tune in on Saturday at 1 p.m. Eastern Time. So, see you then.

SCHLANGER: Yes, 1 p.m. this time. And I think people would find it not just fascinating but crucial, to address the crises we've been discussing. Helga, thanks for joining us, and we'll see you again next week.

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Till next week!

**Samarbejde imod COVID-
pandemien og i rummet**

bevæger menneskeheden mod et nyt anti-Davos-paradigme. Schiller Instituttets ugentlige webcast med Helga Zepp-LaRouche den 4. februar 2021

Schiller Instituttets ugentlige webcast med Helga Zepp-LaRouche den 4. februar 2021, kan også ses her:

Mens det globale oligarkiske etablissement i London følger en politik, der sigter mod at påtvinge et globalt diktatur af bankdirektører og indlede nye krige, fremhævede Helga Zepp-LaRouche, hvad hun optimistisk beskrev, som "babyskridt" hen imod et nyt paradigme, baseret på videnskabeligt samarbejde mellem suveræne stater. I sin ugentlige dialog pegede hun på aftaler indgået imellem Rusland og Kina på den ene side og nationer i Europa – inklusive Tyskland – og Sydamerika, om at levere COVID-19-vacciner, som bevis for, at der er bevægelse mod at realisere hendes opfordring til at udvikle et "globalt sundhedssystem", som den eneste måde at besejre pandemien, og forhindre udbrud af andre pandemier i fremtiden. Hun talte også om den spændende udsigt til, at tre nationer – De forenede arabiske Emirater, USA og Kina – har Mars-missioner, der når planeten i løbet af de næste uger i februar.

Denne positive udvikling stillede Helga Zepp-LaRouche i modsætning til de dystre udsigter, der blev præsenteret i Davos, da finansoligarker desperat forsøgte at påtvinge en grøn finansiel boble for at redde deres kollapsende system; med EU's manglende evne til at håndtere pandemien og den økonomiske krise, kendetegnet ved udsigten til en Draghi-regering i Italien; og med regimeskiftsoperationer rettet mod Rusland omkring Navalny-affæren og mod Kina med Atlanterhavsrådets hvidbog, "Det længere telegram (The Longer Telegram)", der anvender den geopolitiske metode, som førte til den langvarige kolde krig mod Sovjetunionen, hvilket kunne provokere en atomkrig i dag.

Helga Zepp-LaRouche talte også om den dysfunktionelle polarisering af den amerikanske politiske scene med rigsretssagen i Senatet planlagt til næste uge. Hun beskrev Nancy Pelosi, flertalsleder i Repræsentanternes Hus, og andre som folk, der er "gået grassat", især med deres ubehagelige hævngherrighed overfor Trump og hans tilhængere.

Disse babyskridt hen imod samarbejde kan danne grundlag for at fremprovokere en nødvendig "ændring i tænkningen" på verdensplan for at bryde ud af det farlige paradigme skabt af City of London og dets allierede i USA og Vesteuropa.

Mens det globale oligarkiske etablissement i London følger en politik, der sigter mod at påtvinge et globalt diktatur af bankdirektører og indlede nye krige, fremhævede Helga Zepp-LaRouche, hvad hun optimistisk beskrev, som "babyskridt" hen imod et nyt paradigme, baseret på videnskabeligt samarbejde mellem suveræne stater. I sin ugentlige dialog pegede hun på aftaler indgået imellem Rusland og Kina på den ene side og nationer i Europa – inklusive Tyskland – og Sydamerika, om at levere COVID-19-vacciner, som bevis for, at der er bevægelse mod at realisere hendes opfordring til at udvikle et "globalt sundhedssystem", som den eneste måde at besejre pandemien, og forhindre udbrud af andre pandemier i fremtiden. Hun

talte også om den spændende udsigt til, at tre nationer – De forenede arabiske Emirater, USA og Kina – har Mars-missioner, der når planeten i løbet af de næste uger i februar.

Denne positive udvikling stillede Helga Zepp-LaRouche i modsætning til de dystre udsigter, der blev præsenteret i Davos, da finansligarker desperat forsøgte at påtvinge en grøn finansiel boble for at redde deres kollapsende system; med EU's manglende evne til at håndtere pandemien og den økonomiske krise, kendetegnet ved udsigten til en Draghi-regering i Italien; og med regimeskiftsoperationer rettet mod Rusland omkring Navalny-affæren og mod Kina med Atlanterhavsrådets hvidbog, "Det længere telegram (The Longer Telegram)", der anvender den geopolitiske metode, som førte til den langvarige kolde krig mod Sovjetunionen, hvilket kunne provokere en atomkrig i dag.

Helga Zepp-LaRouche talte også om den dysfunktionelle polarisering af den amerikanske politiske scene med rigsretssagen i Senatet planlagt til næste uge. Hun beskrev Nancy Pelosi, flertalsleder i Repræsentanternes Hus, og andre som folk, der er "gået grassat", især med deres ubehagelige hævngherrighed overfor Trump og hans tilhængere. Disse babyskridt hen imod samarbejde kan danne grundlag for at fremprovokere en nødvendig "ændring i tænkningen" på verdensplan for at bryde ud af det farlige paradigme skabt af City of London og dets allierede i USA og Vesteuropa.

Billede: Mars Rover. Licens: CC0 1.0 Universal (CC0 1.0) Public Domain Dedication

POLITISK ORIENTERING den 29. januar 2021: Regimeskifte i USA og den "grønne genstart" fjerner ikke verdens problemer

Med formand Tom Gillesberg

Video: (via Zoom)

eller her på YouTube.

Lyd:

Schiller Institutet · Regimeskifte i USA og den "grønne genstart" fjerner ikke verdens problemer.

**Video: En mere perfekt
union gennem
modsætningernes sammenfald:
Martin Luther King og det
amerikanske præsidentskab**

(opdateret 20/1)

De tragiske begivenheder den 6. januar 2021 ved Capitol, Kongres-bygningen, i USA har overskygget de omstændigheder, der gik forud herfor – et langvarigt sammenbrud af offentlighedens tillid til regeringens institutioner, herunder valgprocessen. Denne mistillid er epidemisk i hele den transatlantiske sektor, og har skabt en strategisk ustabilitet der bekymrer hele verden. Den fortsatte manglende evne til at samarbejde med Rusland, Kina, Indien og andre nationer – nationer der er styret af ideer, som på forskellige måder afviger fra vores egne – for at vende de tåbelige økonomiske betingelser og mislykkede politik, der gjorde Covid-19-truslen til en global pandemi, vil, hvis ikke situationen vendes, snart vælte hver eneste regering i den transatlantiske verden.

Når man står over for en eksistentiel trussel, er det enhver borgers pligt i en fri republik at gøre som Benjamin Franklin og Martin Luther King – at skabe en mere perfekt union.

Denne begivenhed, den 18. januar, finder sted i weekenden, årsdagene, til minde om to fødselsdage. Grundlæggende fader, Benjamin Franklin, den førende videnskabsmand i det attende århundrede og den intellektuelle leder af Den amerikanske Uafhængighedskrig, formanede sin eftertid, at de havde fået "en republik, hvis I kan bevare den". Præsten Dr. Martin Luther King, Amerikas førende talsmand i det 20. århundrede for opfyldelsen af USA's forfatning gennem ikkevoldelig direkte handling, forsøgte at gøre dette og lykkedes med det.

Nu er det vores tur. Lad os benytte denne dobbelt

betydningsfulde lejlighed til at afvise giftig partipolitik til fordel for en afmålt, nøgtern undersøgelse af de alvorlige krænkelser af den nationale tillid, der ikke alene er blevet påstået, men også dokumenteret af dem i vores nation, der ofte ikke har en stemme.

Introduktion:

“Uretfærdighed ét sted er en trussel mod retfærdigheden overalt” – Martin Luther King & dagens krise for det amerikanske præsidentembede

Dennis Speed – Schiller Instituttet, New York, New Jersey

Skønhed frem for vold: Beethoven, Schiller og ideen om det sublime Helga Zepp-LaRouche – grundlægger og formand for Schiller Instituttet

Kærlighed besejrer had: En Schiller Institut-konference i 1995 om kreativ, Ikke-voldelig direkte handling (videouddrag med borgerrettighedslederne pastor James Bevel og pastor Wade

Watts)

Dialog: “Du har ikke omvendt en mand, bare fordi du har lukket munden på ham” – Den dybere betydning af det digitale oligarkis undertrykkelse af tanker Medlemmer af Schiller Instituttets Internationale undersøgelseskommission om sandfærdighed i forbindelse med valg

Panel debat:

“Sandhed og forsoning: For et borgerudvalg om sandfærdige valg”

Deltagerne vil diskutere de sidste to årtiers utilfredshed med og dysfunktion i den amerikanske valgproces, der kulminerede i krisen i 2020.

Spørgsmålet fra Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.: “Hvor går vi hen herfra: Kaos eller samfund”? er diskussionens udgangspunkt.

Spørgerunde

Hvornår:

18. januar 2021

Webcast den 9. januar 2020 med Helga Zepp-LaRouche, m.fl.: om angrebet på kongresbygningen: Hvordan skal man tænke på menneskeheden i en krisetid.

Helga Zepp-LaRouches tale:

Hele programmet med taler af bl.a. Harley Schlanger:

As with September 11, 2001, the strategic realities of world politics were suddenly altered by the violent suppression of the challenge to the results of the United States Presidential elections on January 6.

However the attack on the Capitol actually occurred, the response to the attack, in the form of new draft bills calling for the re-impeachment of President Trump (despite the fact that Trump's term ends in only twelve days;) the statement by House Speaker Nancy Pelosi that "Trump gave Putin the greatest gift" through the lethal attack; and

Pelosi's letter imploring the head of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, Gen Mark Milley, to, in effect, illegally assume the powers of the Presidency with respect to thermonuclear war, have worsened everything, and only further alarmed all responsible world leaders. A higher concept of humanity, and therefore a higher concept of self-government, must now guide the American Republic, and the world. These concepts exist, and were often discussed by the late economist and statesman Lyndon LaRouche. Today, Schiller Institute founder and chairman Helga Zepp-LaRouche, joined by Harley Schlanger and Diane Sare, will discuss how any citizen, anywhere in the world, who seeks to reverse the impending doom being brought about by the failed trans-Atlantic "world order," should one think in this time of crisis.

**Spil ikke i et aftalt spil:
Hvorfor præsident Trump bør
nu acceptere Putins P5
topmøde tilbud.
Schiller Instituttets
ugentlige webcast med Helga
Zepp-LaRouche den 30.
december 2020**

As President Trump continues to fight to overturn the

biggest election fraud in U.S. history, he has an opportunity to turn the tables on those who have attempted to destroy him and wreck his presidency over the last four years. While the enemies of the U.S. in the City of London and Wall Street are moving to turn the U.S. into a banana republic, and are trying to divert attention away from their treachery by blaming Russia and China, and calling banker's puppet Joe Biden a Communist (!), their system is collapsing.

Helga Zepp LaRouche urged President Trump to outflank them, break out of the rigged game, by accepting Putin's offer for an emergency summit, to change the dynamic from war and collapse to cooperation against today's corporatist fascists. With the Davos billionaires desperately scheming to use a would-be Biden administration to impose Green fascism and push for new war provocations, there is an alternative solution: work with Russia and China, and adopt Lyndon LaRouche's Four Economic Laws. She again reiterated her conviction that immersing oneself in great classical culture, especially the works of Beethoven, one can find the inner strength and beauty needed to win this world historic battle.

For at knuse den globale oligarki, anvende Schillers og LaRouches princip om

statskunst.

**Schiller Instituttets
ugentlige webcast med Helga
Zepp-LaRouche den 26.
december 2020**

In her weekly dialogue, Schiller Institute Founder Helga Zepp-LaRouche evoked the principle of statecraft as developed by Friedrich Schiller as the means by which the present dominant global paradigm can be swept away. She reviewed how that paradigm is threatened by a systemic collapse, but as long as the method of the thinking imposed by the oligarchy remains hegemonic, we face a worsening world situation of pandemics, famine and war.

The alternative requires a new idea of politics, in which citizens elevate the quality of thinking to a new level. Zepp-LaRouche said that Schiller provided the means to achieve this, in his Aesthetical Letters, in which he argues that “true political freedom is the highest form of art.” In the battles ahead, including that of reversing the election theft of the 2020 election, the defense of the ideals of a constitutional republic requires that citizens come to distinguish between the real enemies of the U.S., centered in the City of London, and potential allies, such as Russia and China.

She concluded by urging that to accomplish this essential goal of elevating the level of thinking of the citizenry, the “Beethoven Year” should be extended, until a majority of people are able to “Think like Beethoven.”

POLITISK ORIENTERING den 18. december 2020: Vil den amerikanske befolkning tage magten tilbage i deres land?

Med formand Tom Gillesberg

Video 1. del:

Video 2. del (3 min.):

Lyd:

Skal en ny præsident træde til eller den siddende genindsættes?

På trods af mediernes påstand om manglende beviser på valgsvindler, er der tydelige beviser på dette. Fremfor at berette om dette gentager de danske medier blot, hvad de hører fra Reuters og andre.

Hvordan kunne Trump være årsag til en republikansk sejr i både Senatet og Repræsentanternes Hus (hvor demokraterne flertal mindskedes), men ikke en sejr til sig selv?

Klager i retssystemet underkendes på teknikaliteter og pragmatisme.

Det amerikanske retssystem handler ikke om rigtigt eller forkert.

Eksempelvis blev LaRouche og sine nærmeste medarbejdere

uskyldigt dømt til fængsel i 1980'erne.

I USA er der en kamp om magten og den ender ikke den 20. januar.

Det samme apparat, som støtter Joe Biden, diskuterer hvordan man kan vinde en atomkrig gennem brugen af taktiske atomvåben. Det var præcist denne krigfraktion, som Trump blev valgt til at stoppe.

Indtil den 20. januar er Trump stadig præsident. Han burde f.eks. benåde Julian Assange og Edward Snowden, og frikende Lyndon LaRouche. Han burde udnævne en særlig anklager:

“Var der en kriminel sammensværgelse for at underminere den demokratiske proces?”

Trump bør også afklassificere den information, der afslører efterretningstjenesterne ugerninger.

Kampen er ikke mod den “dybe stat”, men mod det britiske Imperiums kontrol over USA.

Angående COVID-19 huserer en del konspirationsteorier, men det egentlige spørgsmål er: Hvorfor gjorde vi ikke som Kina? De havde kun problemer det første kvartal og er nu tilbage med de samme vækstrater som før pandemien begyndte. Kina har lige fuldført en succesrig Chang'e 5 rum mission til Månen, hvor en sonde samlede månestøv. De har en langsigtet plan om at udvinde Helium-3 til fusionskraftreaktorer og de er også meget engageret i et forskningsprogram til udvikling af fusionsenergi.

Hvad der er sket i Kina kan sammenlignes med 18.- og 19.-tallets USA, under Lincoln og Franklin DRoosevelt.

Hele verden er kaotisk, men på en sådan måde, at potentialet for en bedre fremtid viser sig.

Glem, i denne sammenhæng, ikke at se den seneste konference fra Schiller Instituttet fra weekenden.

**Opbygning af en
massebevægelse for at
imødegå systemets
sammenbrud.**

**Schiller Instituttets
ugentlige webcast med Helga
Zepp-LaRouche den 16.
december 2020**

Helga Zepp LaRouche understregede i sin tale ved det fjerde panel af Schiller Instituttets nylige internationale videokonference betydningen af det spørgsmål, som Jacques Cheminade, tidligere præsidentkandidat i Frankrig, stillede i sin konferencetale. Cheminade spurgte: "Hvorfor er der ingen massebevægelse til at imødegå den nuværende globale krise?"

I sin gennemgang af udviklingen i den sidste uge – fra den igangværende kamp for at omstøde valgsvindel i USA, optrapningen af anti-Rusland, anti-Kina-aktioner fra det samme netværk bag den falske Russiagate, og aggressive tiltag for at pålægge den "globale nulstilling" (global reset), Zepp-LaRouche kom tilbage til vigtigheden af at skabe en kulturel renæssance for at løse det igangværende systemiske sammenbrud. Det er afgørende, at vi tager rådet fra hendes afdøde mand, Lyndon LaRouche, om at "Tænke som Beethoven", så vi kan stige op til det niveau af tænkning, som er nødvendigt for at overvinde hvad der ellers bliver en krise, som truer menneskehedens overlevelse. Hun

opfordrede folk til at fejre Beethovens 250-års fødselsdag (den 16. december) ved at lytte til hans musik, se Schiller Instituttets videokonference og derefter slutte sig til Schiller Instituttet for at bevæge menneskeheden ind i et nyt paradigme.