

# **Valg i Tyskland: Helga Zepp-LaRouche holder hovedtalen på BüSo-valgkonference i Hessen: 'For en ny, retfærdig verdensorden og en kulturel renæssance!'**

3. sept., 2017 – Hovedbegivenheden i BüSo's, Bürgerrechtsbewegung Solidaritäts, valgkampagne i delstaten Hessen fandt sted i Frankfurt lørdag eftermiddag, den 2. sept., hvor partiets landsformand Helga Zepp-LaRouche holdt hovedtalen. Hun sagde til publikum, at, i betragtning af de særdeles dramatiske udviklinger på det globale, strategiske niveau, har etablisements partier, med den valgkampagne, de fører, gjort sig selv totalt irrelevante. De ignorerer, at det haster med det nye paradigme med Kinas Bælte & Vej; de ignorerer det almene vel, og de anser disse begivenheder for at være en trussel mod deres eget globaliseringssystem, der er dømt til undergang, fordi det er ondt, afskyeligt og endda farligt.

Zepp-LaRouche beskrev den sammensværgelse, der oprindeligt kom fra britiske imperiekredse allerede i 2015, for at sikre, at Hillary Clinton blev valgt som USA's nye præsident i november 2016 – dette mislykkedes, og Donald Trump vandt på trods af den udbredte smædekampagne, der blev iscenesat imod ham, en kampagne, der endda er eskaleret siden hans valgsejr.

»Russia-gate«-narrativen er en fabrikeret historie, hvilket blev tilstrækkeligt dokumenteret i *Veteran Intelligence for*

*Sanity's (VIPS')* memorandum til præsidenten. Det, som kredsene bag anti-Trump-kampagnen frygter, er, at han skal genindføre Glass-Steagall og stoppe finansspekulationen; at han måske ville lancere det største, amerikanske infrastrukturprogram nogensinde, med de nødvendige investeringer, der langt overstiger \$1 billion. De frygter, at Trump kunne få USA til at samarbejde med den Nye Silkevej – et koncept med LaRouche-bevægelsen som en vigtig medforfatter: Fra 1988-forslaget om den Produktive Trekant, til 1989-forslaget om den Eurasiske Landbro og den Nye Silkevej, som for første gang blev adresseret i 1996 i Beijing af Helga Zepp-LaRouche. Hun er siden dengang kendt som »Silkevejs-ladyen«.

EU-bureaukratiets massive sabotage af infrastrukturudvikling i Øst- og Sydeuropa har sit sidestykke i Tyskland med sabotage af byggeri af jernbaner ved alle de afgørende forbindelser over grænserne, til Tysklands nabolande. Denne økonomiske degeneration står i modsætning til Kinas koncept med Bælte & Vej Initiativet, med gensidigt win-win-samarbejde med andre stater og et nyt paradigme med en ny og retfærdig, økonomisk verdensorden. Kampen for også at gøre dette til en realitet i tysk politik er på BüSo-partiets dagsorden, som fortsætter ud over valgdagen, den 24. september, sagde den tyske statskvinde. Den offentlige diskussion, der i disse dage er blevet genoptaget vedr. Transaqua-projektet for at genopfylde Tchadsøen, og vedr. Kra-kanalprojektet i Thailand, udgør to af de store projekter, som har haft en fremtrædende plads på LaRouche-bevægelsens dagsorden i flere årtier; hertil kunne man føje diskussionen om genopbygningen af Syrien som startskuddet til udviklingen af hele Mellemøsten, som også i årevis har været på LaRouche-bevægelsens dagsorden.

Zepp-LaRouche fortsatte med, at, ud over den økonomiske sfære, er en genoplivning af klassisk kultur, en ny, kulturel renæssance, lige så vigtig, idet det, der sluttelig vil gøre menneskeheden menneskelig, er en livslang opdragelsesproces, sammen med de idealer, som Konfucius, såvel som også Friedrich

Schiller og Nicolaus Cusanus, formulerede. En harmonisk orden i naturen er afhængig af menneskets konstruktive, moralske impuls, sagde hun og fremførte, at kunst, som nu om dage er blevet grim, med computerspil og lignende modkulturer, atter må blive virkelig kunst, som tilskynder til skønhed og opløfter mennesket.

Hovedtalen, forud for hvilken Wiesbadens Schiller Institut-kor opførte *Verleih uns Frieden* (Giv os fred) af Mendelssohn, og *Arirang* (navn på et bjergpas), den uofficielle nationalsang af begge Korea'er, blev efterfulgt af to medlemmer af den amerikanske LaRouche-bevægelse, der opførte tre klassiske, tyske Lieder: *Mainacht* (Majnat) af Brahms, sunget af Ema Reuter; *Nachtlied* (Nattesang) af Mendelssohn, og *Die Hoffnung* (Håbet), sunget af Sarah Ciampini.

Efter Zepp-LaRouches hovedtale kom en tale om det afrikanske fremstød for udvikling, der understregede Kinas konstruktive rolle, og som blev holdt af Melaku Almu, en etiopier, der har boet i Tyskland i 26 år og har udviklet en god indsigt i tyske anliggender.

*Følg BüSo's valgkampagne på valgportalen*

*BüSo's valgbrochure*

---

**Houston, vi har en løsning:  
Hvad der nu må gøres.  
LaRouche PAC Internationale**

# Webcast,

## 1. september, 2017

*... denne orkan Harvey, der virkelig er uden fortilfælde mht. ødelæggelser ... dette må være momentet for at initiere en fuldstændig ny holdning hos amerikanerne og vores nationale regerings skifte til en helt anden politik, og det er formålet med den nøderklæring, vi har udstedt.*

*... det må genkalde en holdning, som vi mistede i dette land, der går tilbage til mordet på John F. Kennedy, men faktisk går tilbage til Franklin Roosevelts død. Og den måske mest signifikante case study, vi kan anvende, er TVA, som du nævnte, Tennessee Valley Authority.*

**Vært Matthew Ogden** indleder webcastet med at oplæse Lyndon LaRouches erklæring, »Ikke flere Houston-katastrofer: Lyndon LaRouche siger, hvad det er, der må ske 'lige med det samme'«

Det efterfølges af en rapport fra repræsentant for LaRouche-bevægelsen i Texas, Brian Lantz, om den aktuelle situation i Houston og en analyse af de nødvendige forholdsregler, der må tages.

Herefter vises et klip fra en film, der blev produceret under Franklin Roosevelts præsidentskab, om det store infrastrukturprojekt, kaldet TVA – Tennessee Valley Authority.

Her følger en dansk oversættelse af dette videoklip og Matthew Ogdens kommentarer.

Efter dette følger komplet engelsk udskrift af hele webcastet.

**Min. 31:20 (FDR-klip minuttal 35:15):**

**Brian Lantz (slutning):** Hvor skal pengene komme fra? Det er selvfølgelig det forkerte spørgsmål at stille. Spørgsmålet er, hvad er det for institutioner, vi må skabe? Det er præcist,

hvad LaRouche foreslår: Glass-Steagall, statslig kredit, en nationalbank, der kan finansiere strømmen af statslig kredit til at bygge de nye TVA'er, de nye projekter, der kræves for at sikre ikke alene kysten her, men også for at påbegynde en stor, økonomisk genrejsning af USA til dets position i verden.

**Matthew Ogden:** Netop, og denne orkan Harvey, der virkelig er uden fortilfælde mht. ødelæggelser, som du gjorde det meget, meget klart, Brian – dette må være momentet for at initiere en fuldstændig ny holdning hos amerikanerne og vores nationale regerings skifte til en helt anden politik, og det er formålet med den nøderklæring, vi har udstedt.

Og, som du sagde, så må det genkalde en holdning, som vi mistede i dette land, der går tilbage til mordet på John F. Kennedy, men faktisk går tilbage til Franklin Roosevelts død. Og den måske mest signifikante case study, vi kan anvende, er TVA, som du nævnte, Tennessee Valley Authority.

Hvis vi går tilbage til før Franklin Roosevelt og før New Deal, havde vi hele områder af landet, der var underkastet såkaldte naturkatastrofer, og som simpelt hen var forsømte. Det måske mest signifikante område i landet, det mest bemærkelsesværdige, var den såkaldte Tennessee-dal. Det er meget ironisk, at Tennessee-dalen, der er et helt område ikke blot i Tennessee, men omfatter alle disse tilgrænsende stater, er det område, der har den største totale regnmængde på det amerikanske kontinent.

Og hvad er så den årlige regnmængde i Tennessee-dalen? Den er på 51 inches (ca. 130 cm) om året; det, vi netop har oplevet i Houston, er 51 inches på fem dage eller mindre. Det er simpelt hen en svimlende mængde vand, der kom ned fra himlen! Men Tennessee-dalen var også udsat for meget lange perioder med voldsomme regnmængder, for det meste som følge af orkaner, der spredte sig – virkningen af orkaner, der kom op fra Golfkysten (den Mexicanske) og så fortsatte ind over denne centrale, sydøstlige del af USA.

Hvad skete der? Franklin Roosevelt, der blev citeret i vores nøderklæring, sagde, at det, der behøvedes, er »handling, og handling nu. Nationen kræver handling«. Han sagde: Vi vil ikke tillade denne ødelæggelse, som Tennessee-dalen oplever år efter år, og som skaber et af de mest forarmede områder i hele verden: Dette var Appalacherne – evnen til at læse og skrive var i bund, malaria, det øverste jordlag, der blev vasket bort. Man havde virkelig vilkår som i den Tredje Verden, lige midt i kontinental-USA. Roosevelt sagde, nej, vi vil gøre noget, som ingen før har gjort, og vi vil ikke blot forsøge at kontrollere en enkelt flods strøm, men vi vil tage et helt flodbækken, og vi vil ikke alene kontrollere dette vand hydrologisk, men vi vil indsamle energien fra dette vand og sætte det til at arbejde til gavn for de mennesker, der bor dér.

Jeg har en video, jeg gerne vil vise vore seere, et ca. 4 min. langt klip, som blev lavet af Roosevelt-administrationen. Den blev ironisk nok produceret det år, Roosevelt døde, i 1944. Men den viser, hvad Tennessee-dalen var udsat for, og det bør faktisk minde jer om de videoklip, I netop så fra Houston; men den viser også, hvad der blev gjort fra Roosevelt-administrationens side og filosofien, der lå bag TVA. Her kommer klippet:

**Fortæller:** Ødelæggelse fra himlen. Sådan var det, år efter år, i et glemt område af USA. Dette var den ødelæggelse, der var forårsaget af grådighed og neglekt, med mænd, der arbejdede alene og uden hjælp mod naturens kræfter. Landbrug, byer, industri – smadret; hundreder druknede, tusinder blev hjemløse. Flodens energi, der gik til spildevand – og menneskenes energi ligeså.

[Landmand] Henry Clarks problemer var 3 millioner amerikaneres problemer i Tennessee-dalen. Det blev 130 millioner amerikanere i 48 staters direkte anliggende, en udfordring for demokratiet og dets evne til at drage omsorg for sine egne folk.

Tennesseefloddalen [kort] ligger i det sydøstlige USA. Det dækker et område på 40.000 kvadratmil, næsten lige så stort som England. Det var et problem, der handlede om genopbygning; genopbygning af land og af mennesker. Demokratiet bestod prøven: Det fandt mændene, der kunne overvåge jobbet – James P. Polk, national senator fra det vestlige USA; Harcourt Morgan, præsident for Tennesseees Universitet, og som havde udarbejdet et landbrugsprogram for hele området; David Lilienthal, administrator og fortaler for en lov om kooperativ elektricitet; George Norris, en stor, amerikansk statsmand, der længe havde drømt om regional planlægning, om at etablere et nationalt eksperiment i én region, der kunne fungere som målestok for alle regioner. Dette var planen [kort over progressiv udvikling], at tøjle floden gennem en række gigantiske dæmninger, der kunne kontrollere oversvømmelserne, og åbne floden for sejlads fra dens udspring til dens biflodere tilstrømning; at lade fordelene ved moderne videnskab og forskning komme farmerne til gode; at hjælpe dem til at kontrollere vandet på deres jorder og genoprette jordens frugtbarhed, at genbeplante millioner af acres med skov på de hærgede bjergsider, at udvinde områdets mineralressurser; at bruge elektriciteten, skabt af dæmningerne, til at udvikle og rehabilitere industri i byerne, at føre elektricitet til landbrugsejendommene gennem et landligt kooperativ; og frem for alt, at bevise, at menneskelige problemer kan løses gennem fornuft, videnskab og uddannelse.

Tennessee-dalen skulle atter engang blive en fremskudt grænse, denne gang for at blive udviklet, ikke udplyndret; denne gang ikke til fordel for de få, men for de mange, som boede dér. Disse mennesker var de nye pionerer, arkitekterne, forskningskemikerne, landbrugseksperterne, elektricitetsfolkene, ingeniørerne, der designede vandkraftværker: Deres metode var at kontrollere naturen, ikke ved at trodse den som i den ødsle fortid, men ved at forstå den og tøjle den til gavn for menneskeheden ...

(Slut video)

**Ogden:** Det var sådan, amerikanere plejede at tænke; dette var Franklin Roosevelt-administrationen, dette var New Deal. Lad mig blot lige gentage for jer, hvad I netop har hørt: Fortælleren sagde, hvad var formålet med Tennessee Valley Authority, dette projekt over alle projekter? »Frem for alt, at bevise, at menneskelige problemer kan løses gennem fornuft, videnskab og uddannelse. Tennessee-dalen skulle atter engang være en fremskudt grænse ... for at blive udviklet ... ikke til fordel for de få, men for de mange ... Disse mennesker var de nye pionerer ... Deres metode var at kontrollere naturen, ikke ved at trodse den ... men ved at forstå den og tøjle den til gavn for menneskeheden ...«

Det er sådan, amerikanere tænker.

## **Engelsk udskrift:**

HOUSTON, WE HAVE A SOLUTION': WHAT MUST BE DONE NOW

MATTHEW OGDEN: Good afternoon. It's September 1, 2017. My name is Matthew Ogden, and I'd like to welcome you to our Friday evening webcast here on larouchepac.com; our strategic overview for the end of this week.

This has been a very dramatic week, and I've asked Brian Lantz, who is a LaRouche PAC organizer in Houston, Texas to join

us for our broadcast here today. He's been on the ground; thank

you Brian. Welcome. He's been on the ground there in Houston.

He survived Hurricane Harvey, and he has seen firsthand the devastation. Those of you who were on the LaRouche PAC Fireside

Chat last night, got to hear a preliminary overview report



from

him. Also, Brian Lantz will be the featured guest at the LaRouche PAC Manhattan Town Hall meeting tomorrow afternoon in New York City on Saturday. But we've asked Brian here to review

with us the extent of the devastation and the necessary solutions

that Hurricane Harvey should prompt us to usher in for the nation.

Before I get to Brian, I am going to read to you in full the emergency policy statement that has been issued by LaRouche PAC,

which is available at the LaRouche PAC website. You'll see the

text here right on the screen from [larouchepac.com](http://larouchepac.com) [Fig. 1].

The

title of this statement is "No More Houstons! Lyndon LaRouche Says What Must Happen Right Now!" So, the statement reads as follows:

"The catastrophe in Texas is a man-made disaster accomplished by the criminal negligence of this nation's elected

officials, who have continued to support Wall Street's speculative economy and imperial ambitions while arguing that the

nation cannot afford to rebuild and replace its ancient and broken-down economic infrastructure. For the third time since 2005, major American cities have been flooded and their people devastated, because the plans for new infrastructure to protect

the people, requiring tens of billions in investments, have been

ignored and turned down. Hurricane Harvey now looms as the worst

national disaster in our nation's history and it is a disaster which did not have to happen.

"In 2005, Hurricane Katrina killed nearly 2,000 people and

wreaked \$130 billion in economic losses. Only then, slowly,  
new  
flood-control and sea gate infrastructure was built – at last  
–  
for New Orleans, at a fraction of the human and monetary costs  
of  
the damage inflicted by the storm. How many unnecessary deaths  
and suffering could this project have averted?  
“Four years later, the American Society of Civil Engineers  
met in Manhattan to discuss several storm surge barrier  
options  
for the New York City region. The estimate for the largest of  
these was \$9 billion. The government decided to do nothing.  
Then,  
in 2012 Superstorm Sandy killed more than 100 people and  
caused  
\$65 billion in economic losses. New York area residents now  
are  
going through a ‘Summer of Hell’ as the 100-year-old regional  
transportation system, flooded and damaged five years ago,  
also  
was not repaired or replaced at the necessary pace.  
“The staggering economic and human suffering caused by  
Hurricane Harvey in the Texas and Louisiana Gulf region are  
not  
yet known, and will grow in magnitude as the water recedes;  
but,  
what has been known for many years, is that Texas Gulf cities  
are  
flood-prone, and have repeatedly flooded. Yet, no flood  
control  
or storm protection infrastructure has been built since the  
end  
of World War II. Plans for a new system for the Houston area  
had  
been drafted, but their \$25 billion cost was deemed ‘too high’  
a

price tag for our Wall Street-dominated agencies and elected officials. Now, hundreds of billions of dollars, and priceless human lives, are lost.

All of these disasters, and others in the recent period, could have been averted for a fraction of their eventual cost in lost

wealth, let alone in lost lives. The media insist to Americans that each city's disaster is caused by its particular economic habits, its choice of location, its squabbling jurisdictions, its

ignoring of climate change, or its being close to water! This is

nonsense. Wall Street, which has been bailed out repeatedly to the tune of trillions of dollars, with nothing but increased impoverishment of the American people to show for it, must no longer be allowed to dictate the economic policy of the United States of America.

"|'The nation calls for action, and action now!' in President Franklin Roosevelt's words. During his presidency, and

through the 1940s, the new infrastructure to prevent such 'natural disasters' – such as the Tennessee Valley Authority – was funded by national credit, as through the Reconstruction Finance Corporation and the Works Progress Authority.

"Hurricane Harvey drowning cities in East Texas should be the national alarm which ends 70 years in which the country has

been without any such national credit institutions."

The next subhead is titled "A Sea Change Is Required".

"Lyndon LaRouche on August 30 called for a 'sea change' in policy 'right now.' He called for the immediate creation of a national credit institution for new, high-technology infrastructure, like that employed by Franklin Roosevelt when the

vast majority of our current infrastructure was built. There is

no alternative to creating a national credit institution, like

that employed by Alexander Hamilton and in accord with our Constitution, to fund the necessary trillions in new infrastructure investment.

There must also be action on reinstating Glass-Steagall banking

separation right now, as a new financial crisis looms and Wall Street speculation continues to prevent actual productive investment. Allowing Wall Street to eliminate the Glass-Steagall

Act in the 1990s led to a crash that caused {\$10 trillion} in lost wealth, mass unemployment, and untold loss and shortening of human lives.

“LaRouche insists that his ‘Four Economic Laws To Save the Nation’ must be implemented right now if this country is to recover from Hurricane Harvey and prevent similar disasters stemming from our rotting physical economy now ticking like a time bomb:

1. Re-institute Glass-Steagall: break up Wall Street and its power;
2. Create national credit institutions based on FDR’s Reconstruction Finance Corporation and Alexander Hamilton’s national banks;
3. Invest the credit in new infrastructure with frontier technologies, including high-speed rail, fourth-generation fission and fusion power technologies, and modern storm protection and water management systems;
4. Adopt a fusion-driver ‘crash program’: Let a great expansion of NASA space exploration provide a driver for productivity and productive employment.”

Then, the final section is titled “A New Paradigm Takes Hold”.

“China’s Belt and Road Initiative, an international program of new rail ‘land-bridges’ and great projects of infrastructural development, offers immediate cooperation for the credit and the

building of a new infrastructure in the United States. This initiative is now moving on great projects they have long identified as absolutely essential, such as the Kra Canal in Southeast Asia, and the revival of Lake Chad in sub-Saharan Africa; projects long championed by Lyndon LaRouche and his wife,

Helga Zepp-LaRouche.

“Helga and Lyndon LaRouche are leading a national mobilization focused on moving President Trump to immediately bring America into the China-initiated Belt and Road Initiative

of worldwide building of new infrastructure. That ‘win-win’ initiative, and the United States joining in its worldwide projects and also building its own new infrastructure, means the

revival of the United States as an industrial power.”

The statement goes on to quote Helga Zepp-LaRouche in her speech to the Manhattan conference on August 26th. Then, after

that quote, it concludes by saying:

“LaRouche PAC has taken the responsibility to drive President Trump and the Congress into this action. But this is also the responsibility of all Americans that think of themselves

as citizens: those who have been actively supporting the President, or supporting Sen. Bernie Sanders; those who supported

no one, out of disgust at the manipulation, and continued manipulation of the election, but who have wanted a drastic change in the deindustrialization and Wall Street speculation policy ruling the country; those who know people killed, or made

homeless and impoverished by Wall Street’s induced ‘natural disasters.’ All must now act and make their voices heard.

Because, watching what is happening, again, to great American cities, leads anyone sane to the same conclusion: {There is no alternative}.”

So, that statement, which is available in full on the LaRouche PAC website, again, under the title "No More Houstons!

Lyndon LaRouche Says What Must Happen Right Now!" is available for you to circulate. That is our call to action, which we're here to discuss today.

So Brian, I'd like to just introduce you and give you a chance to give us an overview of what the conditions are on the ground in Houston; what the impact is on the national economy, and what must be done. While you begin, we're just going to play some drone footage that was taken and was posted on Facebook by a user chaseboogie; and we thank him for the permission to use this footage. It will just give our viewers a very visceral on-the-ground sense of what exactly the conditions look like there in Houston as you speak. So, Brian, thank you for joining us here today.

BRIAN LANTZ: Good to be here. I think first of all, I should emphasize that this hurricane and hydrological disaster is still unfolding. Just a matter of a few hours ago, this is Friday; Mayor Sylvester Turner requested more evacuations from the Atticks Reservoir area, the Atticks Dam area, because of the continued releases of water in the spillway. Uncontrolled releases into the area, which are necessary to relieve pressure behind these dams. These dams are in Houston on the Buffalo Bayou. Here today, the sun is out; but that doesn't change really the picture you're seeing in this video, this drone footage. Just the extent, and what you're seeing really is only

a small portion of Houston; the devastation extends over 50 counties – {50 counties}. An area encompassing some 11 million people.

Now, besides continued rising water on the Buffalo Bayou – slowly rising; which runs through Houston itself, right through the downtown area and so forth. That's what this footage largely

covers is the area around downtown. You also have rising water

still coming down the San Jacinto River, but also from the broader San Jacinto Basin, draining into the San Jacinto River and on down to the ship canal. You have the Brazos River and the

Colorado River on the west side of Houston; these are still continuing, particularly the Brazos, to rise. There's been ruptures of the levees along the Brazos River; that's something

they're combatting. There are mandatory evacuation orders and so

forth that have been placed in parts of that for days.

This all continues to unfold. Smaller towns, over 300 smaller towns and cities are dramatically affected. Off to the

east, roughly let's call it two hours east of Houston down I-10,

going towards New Orleans, is Beaumont and Port Arthur. You may

have been seeing some footage from there. Beaumont lost its water supply. This is a city of 120,000 people; they've lost their water supply. They're now evacuating their evacuation centers because of the rising Natchez River. This is a disaster

that's still unfolding. Beaumont is largely under water. Port

Arthur, next door, is under water. Rescue efforts are

ongoing.

Here in Houston, we have over 30,000 people registered in various evacuation centers around the city. But of course, far

more are staying with neighbors or relatives. You can imagine,

hotels and so on and so forth. Also, out of some of the surrounding areas down by the coast; Dickinson, for example.

You

had military cargo planes flying people out of the area through

Galveston up to Dallas. This is still all unfolding.

I guess I could give a couple of just simple examples. It's thought that at least 500,000 cars are lost in the flooding.

I'm

sure that's an underestimate when you take in the broader area.

I think those figures are regarding Houston itself.

I think also to go to the heart of this, you've got to go to the industrial side of this picture. So, I'll do that in just a

second. But first, I want to just bring forward the other side

of this; which there has been reporting on. That is, the outpouring of aid that Houstonians and Texans have provided to their fellow citizens and our neighbors from as far away at least

as New York. I believe the first responders who came into Houston were from New York City; fire teams from New York City to

take part in the rescue. So, we have them here from Kansas, we

have them of course Fort Worth, Texas; 4.5 to 5 hours to the north. We have them from Dallas. Hundreds of police have now come in, arriving really as brigades into the city to relieve policeman who, up until yesterday, hadn't slept for days and days. A tremendous rescue effort; you might have heard about



the

“cajun navy”; loosely organized, bringing over their boats, their

high-rise trucks and behind them pulling their boats. This is thousands of people, and hundreds and hundreds of boats coming in, that have been playing a critical role at the request of law

enforcement, of the mayor, or the first responders here in southeast Texas.

So, if you go to some of the slides, just the first [Fig. 2] you have a still of some of the damage in downtown Houston. I think if you follow that up, you’ll see what Hurricane Harvey looked like coming in [Fig. 3]. Partly I’m putting that up just

to give you a sense of the extent of this hurricane in terms of

its length and breadth. Those outer bands – that picture was taken basically at landfall down near Rockport, which is just close to Corpus Christi to the south. Those outer bands, particularly as you see them on the east side; this is the “dirty

side” as they say, of the hurricane. This was coming in simultaneously more or less into the rest of the coast to the east of landfall there in Rockport; which was, of course, this small town just devastated along the coast.

If you go to the next picture [Fig. 4], I’m putting these up partly to give you a sense of the geography in terms of where we’re talking about relative. You see there the state of Texas

off to your left, the broader state of Texas. You see the swath

of area; that was a prediction early on. You see the top of the

monitor there, the peak they’re expecting is 15 inches [of rain].

Well of course, we got between 30 and 50 inches in rainfall in the course of just a few days. The next slide [Fig. 5] gives

you

a sense of how this process has then trailed off to the east  
up

through Louisiana; now moving off into Arkansas and Tennessee.  
But again, that's to give you just a kind of sense of the  
geography of this. And all along there, you're talking about,  
across the bottom, you see the Gulf Coast.

So, having spoken a bit about the tremendous effort and so  
forth, I want to return to the physical economic impact of  
this,

if I can. Think of a compromised human body from disease or  
aging, you name it. Then think of that body being slammed by  
some new effect, a shock to the system. You have to ask, how  
many shocks can that person take? Well, think of our US  
economy

and the world economy in a different way, but related, in that  
context. For example, as some of you know, the Colonial  
Pipeline, which is the largest pipeline in the United States,  
which carries oil and gas and so forth; that an other  
pipelines

have stopped because the refineries are shut down. 20% to 30%  
of

the nation's refining capacity is right now shut down here  
along

the Gulf Coast. Particularly in Houston, but extending over  
to

New Orleans, Baton Rouge, the South Louisiana port – which is  
the largest port by tonnage in the United States. All of  
these

are affected to varying degrees.

That means that New York right now is not getting oil from  
the major pipeline serving the New York area; but also serving  
Atlanta, Washington DC, and so forth. Gas prices are spiking  
and

so forth and so on as a result of this. That pipeline, the  
Colonial Pipeline, is not going to be back online until at  
least

the end of the weekend. So, this is not disconnected; we're talking about one systemic process here, already weakened by the horror stories of the Bush and Obama years, the financial blow-out of 2008, Wall Street's predatory speculation. The British Empire's choking off of the American System increasingly since the passing of Franklin Roosevelt in 1944. So, this is having consequences across the United States. Just to give you a sense of this, if you skip forward to the last slide [Fig. 6], you'll see a map of the ports along the Gulf Coast. Just so you have a fair assessment of this, eight of the twelve largest ports in terms of tonnage are along this coast, the third Coast, the Gulf Coast. You see those in red, but also now of course, Port Arthur; those ports are all still closed, including the little barge port of Victoria, Texas. Corpus Christi is a major port. You see Houston, it's also next to it in that same block as Texas City, which is a separate port also on the Galveston Ship Canal to Houston. So, those ports are all closed down. This is a long-term disruption. Rail lines are disrupted, the Union-Pacific Corporation, Berkshire Hathaway's BNSF – Burlington Northern Santa Fe – they are currently closed for operations. Likewise Kansas City Southern or regional railroad. This is going to have follow-on repercussions, not only in terms of cargo moving out of the United States, but cargo moving into the United States. The Port of Houston just opened up today for truck traffic; but this is not easy. You can imagine the amount of muck they've got to clean out of there.

Containers have shifted; they've got to be restacked. This is not an easy process to put some order back into this chaotic situation.

The ship canal itself, the currents coming down the ship canal are such that that itself interferes with the possibility

of ships coming in. That's coming down from the San Jacinto River and the San Jacinto Basin and so on and so forth.

There's

debris in the ship canal. How much has shifted underwater?

The

Army Corps of Engineers has got to go in; they've got to make sure that the ships can get up that canal safely. Is there going

to be emergency dredging required? All of these things have to

be worked out.

Corpus Christi has opened up to small traffic today; smaller ships. But at last report, you still have a major obstruction at

the port there in Corpus Christi. A ship that broke loose.

Not

some sailing skiff or something; but a major merchant ship broke

loose and is blocking part of the harbor. So again, these have

knock-on follow-on effects; and I'm hoping that I'm giving you at

least some sense of that.

In terms of the ranking of ports, the port of South Louisiana, which is 54 miles long, the breadth of it, between New

Orleans and Baton Rouge, is the largest port in the United States. The second largest is Houston, Texas – by tonnage.

Third is New York-New Jersey. Fourth is Beaumont, Texas; that wasn't even on that map. That's now also shut down. Then comes

Long Beach, California; then Corpus Christi, which we mentioned.

New Orleans; Baton Rouge; Mobile, Alabama; Plaquemines, Louisiana; a little bit further down, Texas City. So, this is enormous. This whole area, this entire area is lowlands; people

live on coasts because it's close to cheap transport and communications and all the rest. If you ask people to move, as

some Greens would like to say, "Well, people should move away."

Well, excuse me, where are they? Montana? What are you suggesting? The lifeblood of the country, its arteries of its body are still largely these three coasts; and then of course, the river systems and the canals and so on, including the Great

Lakes and all the rest. This is what what's left of the entire

US economy depends on today. The compromise that's been made, the disastrous bloody compromise that's been made has been to withhold the resources to build the kinds of systems that would

protect from storm surges and hurricanes like Hurricanes Sandy,

Katrina, Ike and so forth. That's one issue; we can take that up.

But also what we're talking about here is not simply meteorology and storms and hurricanes coming in. Most of the damage from Hurricane Harvey has been from rainfall; as we mentioned, 30-50 inches of rainfall in the course of a few days.

All of these low-lying areas – the high point in Houston is 50 feet above sea level. A dam built here, say on Lake Conroe on the San Jacinto River, it holds 14% of what the Oroville Dam holds in northern California; because it's built on flat land. It's a low-lying dam; broad, but shallow. The capacities of these dam structures are limited. What's required is an

enormous

investment in canal building, retention ponds, and a whole hydrological approach; which the Army Corps of Engineers has engaged in, but under increasingly tight budget restrictions. And of course, with this blind-sided approach of politicians and policymakers looking the other way and ignoring the consequences.

Well, the consequences are now here.

Maybe I should stop there, and we can have some discussion on this. But I hope that gives people an essential overview of

what we've got to deal with now as a nation; with the Four Laws

of Lyndon LaRouche, with national credit. This storm is going to

cost more than Katrina that hit New Orleans in 2005. That was \$130 billion simply in terms of Federal funds; simply in terms of

Federal funds essentially after the fact. This is going to outstrip that by far.

Where's that money going to come from? Well, obviously, that's the wrong question to ask. The question is, what are the

institutions we have to create? And it's exactly what LaRouche has proposed: Glass-Steagall, national credit, a National Bank to

finance that flow of national credit, to build out the new TVAs,

the new projects required to safeguard not only the coast here,

but to begin a major recovery of the United States to its position in the world.

OGDEN: Yeah, exactly, and this Hurricane Harvey, which is really unprecedented in its devastation, as you made very, very

clear, Brian, this must be the moment of initiating an entirely new attitude among the American and an entirely new change in the policy of our Federal government, and that's the purpose of this emergency statement that we put out on <https://larouchepac.com/20170831/no-more-houstons-lyndon-larouche-says-what-must-happen-right-now>.

And, as you said, it must recall an attitude which we lost in this country at least going back to the assassination of John F.

Kennedy, but really going back to the death of Franklin Roosevelt. And perhaps the most significant case study that we can use would be the TVA, as you mentioned it, the Tennessee Valley Authority.

Going back to before Franklin Roosevelt and before the New Deal, you had whole regions of the country which were subject to so-called natural disasters, and which were just neglected.

And perhaps the most significant region of the country, the most notable, was the so-called Tennessee Valley. And what's very ironic is the Tennessee Valley, which is an entire region, not just in Tennessee, but it's all of these bordering states, is the area of the highest annual rainfall total of any watershed in the continental United States.

Now, what's the annual rainfall in the Tennessee Valley watershed? It's 51 inches per year; what we just experienced in

Houston was 51 inches in five days or less. It's just mind-boggling the amount of water that came out of the sky! But

the Tennessee Valley was also subject to very extended periods of high rainfall, mostly because of the dissipating hurricanes – the effect of hurricanes that were coming up off the Gulf Coast, and then going into this Central Southeast region of the United States.

But what happened? Franklin Roosevelt, who as we quoted in this emergency statement, said what's necessary is "action, and action now. The nation calls for action." He said: We're not going to allow this devastation that the Tennessee Valley experienced year-in and year-out, creating one of the most impoverished areas of the entire world: This was Appalachia – literacy was through the floor, malaria, top soil was washing off. You had a really Third World kind of conditions, right there in the continental United States. And Roosevelt said, no, we're going to do something that has never been attempted before, and we're going to not just try to control the flow of one river, but we're going to take an entire river basin, and we're going to not just control that water hydrologically, but we're going to harness the power of that water, and put it to work for the benefit of the people who live there.

I have a video I just want to show our viewers, a roughly four minute excerpt, a video that was put out by the Roosevelt administration. Ironically, it was produced the year before Roosevelt died in 1944. But it shows you what the Tennessee Valley was subjected to, and it should actually remind you of the video footage that you just saw from Houston; but it also shows



you what was done by the Roosevelt administration and the philosophy that was behind the construction of the TVA. So, here's a clip from that video

[<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SfJt-W7fwTY>]:

[BEGIN VIDEO]

NARRATOR: Destruction from the sky. This is the way it was, year after year, in a forgotten part of the United States.

This was the havoc caused by greed and neglect, and men working

alone and unaided against the forces of nature. Farms, towns, industry – smashed; hundreds drowned, thousands made homeless. The energies of the river running to waste, – the energies of the people, too.

[Farmer] Henry Clark's trouble was the trouble of 3 million Americans in the Tennessee Valley. It became the direct concern

of 130 million Americans in the 48 states, a challenge to democracy and its ability to care for its own.

The valley of the Tennessee River [map] lies in the Southeastern United States. It covers an area of 40,000 square

miles, nearly as large as England. It was a problem of reconstruction, reconstruction of land, reconstruction of people.

Democracy met the test: It found the men to supervise the job

–

James P. Polk, United States Senator from the West; Harcourt Morgan, president of the University of Tennessee, who had worked

out an agricultural program for the whole area; David Lilienthal,

administrator and champion of legislation for cooperative electric power; George Norris, a great American statesman who long had dreamed of regional planning, of setting up a national

experiment in one region which could serve as a yardstick for every region. This was the plan [progressively developing map], to chain the river through a series of giant dams, checking the floods, to open it to navigation from its mouth to its headwaters; to give the farmers the benefit of modern science and research, to help them control the water on their land and restore the fertility of the soil, to reforest millions of acres on the ravaged hillsides, to exploit the mineral resources of the area; to use the electric power generated by the dams to develop and rehabilitate industry in the cities, to electrify the farms through a rural cooperative; above all, to prove that human problems can be solved by reason, science, and education. The Tennessee Valley was to be pioneered again, this time, to be developed, not plundered, this time not for the benefit of a few, but for the many who lived in it. These were the new pioneers, the architects, the research chemists, the agricultural experts, the power men, the designers of hydroelectric dams: Their method was to control nature, not by defying her as in the wasteful past, but by understanding her and harnessing her in the service of humanity....

[END VIDEO]

OGDEN: This is how we as Americans used to think, this was the Franklin Roosevelt administration, this was the New Deal. Let me just repeat back to you, what you just heard: The narrator said, what was the purpose of the Tennessee Valley

Authority, this project above all other projects? “Above all, to prove that human problems can be solved by reason, science, and education. The Tennessee Valley was to be pioneered again ... to be developed ... not for the benefit of a few, but for the many... These were the new pioneers... Their method was to control nature, not by defying her ... but by understanding her and harnessing her in the service of humanity...”

That’s how Americans think.

So Brian, what lessons can we learn from what Franklin Roosevelt did? What do we have to do right now, what should have been done before this disaster of Harvey, but what do we have to do now to rebuild the United States?

LANTZ: Well, we’ve already touched on it in terms of Lyndon LaRouche’s Four Laws, and that’s what’s required. But specifically, it is up to us, we Americans who understand ourselves to be citizens of the United States, to now mobilize at this moment where there’s a receptivity among Americans to face what has been building as a crisis across the nation, in many dimensions, – the opioid epidemic, the crises generated by continuing storms – not the storms themselves, but the inadequacy of our ability to respond and defeat them; all of this and more requires that we step up to the plate and create a future looking 50 to 100 years into the future, beyond our own life spans.

I think we have, in the example of China, China that’s lifted 700 million people out of poverty in the last three decades. Looking at the TVA dam footage, obviously, the Three Gorges Dam that China built on the Yangtze River, an enormous

project exactly to continue the process of taming that river for mankind, and the upcoming projects for Lake Chad and related projects throughout Africa. This should be an inspiration: We can unite with the Belt and Road Initiative of China. This is a very important element.

We now have, with the Trump administration, we have a sea change, at least relatively speaking, from what we have with Bush and Obama. Think back to Bush's response to Katrina in 2005. Ike hit here in Texas in 2008. Trump, working with the governor of the state, Governor Abbott, enormous resources have been mobilized. There's at least 12,000 National Guardsmen in here, now; there'll be as many as 24,000, Governor Abbott has stated in the coming weeks. I spoke earlier about the influx of first responders as well as volunteers.

That's a start, but we cannot allow the United States to now dish out on itself the Haiti treatment: Look at the island of Haiti. What was proposed there by the Joint Chiefs of Staff at the time, by the LaRouche organization, by Mr. LaRouche himself, was a massive mobilization of the Army Corps [of Engineers], C-5 cargo planes to rescue Haiti, move people out of the flood areas, the earthquake areas in 2010, get them onto high land. Build new cities, build new infrastructure that never existed there, and pick up where Roosevelt had left off with his projects in Haiti among other nations. Instead, a cold shoulder was given to

Haiti. Obama turned his back on Haiti, and instead, all we had was NGOs and various private relief efforts – band aids applied

to Haiti, and it's arguable that the situation in Haiti today is

no better off, fundamentally, than it was before the 2010 earthquake, perhaps worse.

We have to rectify that. We have to rectify the situation in Puerto Rico.

So this is a wake-up call to mobilize a national effort. If this is left to sports figures and Hollywood stars and a few billionaires to wave around their largesse in millions of dollars, and we have "GoFundMe" accounts and the Red Cross and that's the extent of it, the whole nation will be further damaged! New Orleans has never recovered, has never been allowed

to recover, much less develop. So we have to address that, as you said, Matt: We have to address this now, the American people

have got to mobilize now: Our congressmen, our senators, and President Trump have got to hear very clearly from the American

people that the Four Laws have to be implemented now.

OGDEN: Well, the truth is that there is a development dynamic which is already sweeping the globe and you mentioned it,

it is the Chinese New Silk Road: It's the Belt and Road Initiative, which frankly was something which was originally initiated and conceptualized by the LaRouche movement, going back

30 years. But this is now the policy of the most populous nation

on the planet, and not only are they using it for the development

of China and for the development of the Chinese people, but it's

also being something which is for the general welfare of the people of the planet as a whole!

You mentioned the Three Gorges Dam, this really could be characterized as the Chinese TVA, but if you look at the history

of China and the amount of devastation that the Chinese people have suffered because of flooding, exactly what you saw in that

drone footage which is happening right now in Houston, exactly what you saw in that eerily familiar footage from the Tennessee

Valley, prior to the TVA, that we showed just now – going back

hundreds of years you had that kind of devastation in China.

There's a very famous flood in 1954, which was the flood of the

Yangtze River, and you'll see on the screen here a memorial to the victims of that flood. [Fig. 7] The Yangtze River flooded,

and killed 33,000 people and displaced 18 million people! The city of Wuhan, which is where this memorial is, was a city of 8

million people, and it was literally under water for over three

months. So, 1954, that was only ten years after that video that

we just saw about the TVA was made. But this was an absolutely

unprecedented natural disaster.

There was another flood in 1998 in China, which killed over 1500 people and was equally devastating in terms of the flooding

of the Yangtze River. But the next item that I just had on the

screen there, this is an image of the Yangtze River, before [1987] and after [2006] the construction of the Three Gorges Dam,

[Fig. 8]. You can see the extent of the engineering marvels that the Three Gorges Dam is: This is a picture from space. There was another flood of the Yangtze River in 2010, but that time, the Three Gorges Dam and the reservoir created by it was able to absorb that excess run-off, and in fact, not only did it alleviate the flooding and the effects of the flooding downstream, but it was able to hold that run-off for later to be distributed downstream during the dry months of the year. So at the time there was a drought and a necessity for water, the water was available, and not only was it available for farming and for use in the cities downstream, but it was also available to produce electricity, through this magnificent hydroelectric dam that's been built there. The Three Gorges Dam produces almost 100 terawatts of electric power. So you're harnessing the power of this river, which before was a menace, and you're putting each one of those little water droplets to work. There's no unemployed water in the Yangtze River any more. Every single water droplet has a meaningful purpose to its existence. But that's what China has built domestically, and then look at abroad. You also mentioned what's being done in Africa. I have a slide here from the proposal which was put together by the Schiller Institute for the Transaqua program. [Fig. 9] You'll see here, this is the Transaqua water transfer project which would refill Lake Chad, which has been drying up over the past several decades, by transferring 100 billion cubic meters of water, a distance of 2,500 km from the Congo River, all the way

northwest to Lake Chad and replenish Lake Chad. So this is not just on the books, but in fact, we've had the really optimistic news over the past few weeks that a deal has been reached by a Chinese corporation, PowerChina, which was involved incidentally in building the Three Gorges Dam, and an Italian firm, Bonifica Spa.; they're going to conduct the feasibility studies, they're going to get started with making this Transaqua project a reality on the ground. Again, this is something that the LaRouche movement has been fighting for for decades. So these are the kinds of projects that are already ongoing. We could also take a page out of Japan's book: There's a wonderful project in Tokyo, which is called the Metropolitan Area Outer Underground Discharge Channel, and Tokyo is very similar to Houston, in the fact that urbanization has created a very dense population, but there's monsoons which creates these flooding conditions. So you'll see a picture here [Fig. 10]. This is one of the engineering marvels of the world: This is Tokyo's underground floodwater diversion facility. You could say it's the Notre Dame cathedral of flood-water control infrastructure. There's some videos you can watch, but it's the magnitude of what Tokyo has built underground, under that city, is amazing. You have five concrete containment silos, each one of them distributed at various strategic points around the city; each one of them could fit the Space Shuttle inside, or the entire



Statue

of Liberty. Those containment silos are then connected by 6.5 km

of underground tunnels that are buried 50 meters under the ground

level of the city and then all of those empty into that chamber

that you just saw, which you could see the proportions of it, with the human being standing in there; it's 65 meters high.

And

the magnitude of this kind of containment tank has caused every

storm since it was built in 1992, to be something that could be

– they were still devastating storms, but they could be controlled, through the powers of science and the powers of this

engineering marvel.

So why hasn't something like that been built in Houston?

Why hasn't something like that been built in New York City?

Why

hasn't something like that been built in the various areas of the

continental United States, which are just as much under threat as

Tokyo was? So all of these projects, the Three Gorges Dam, the

Transaqua water transfer project, which really should be the

model for what we do with the NAWAPA project – the North

American Water And Power Alliance – which is an equal

water-transfer project; and then this Metropolitan Discharge

project in Tokyo, these are things that can be built! We've

already built them! This is the power that engineering and

mankind have if we just put our minds to it, and if we direct the

necessary national credit to the construction of these.

So you know, President Trump has called for \$1 trillion in

infrastructure in the United States. The magnitude of the investment should be far beyond that, \$8, \$9, \$10 trillion is the estimate that some people have given, but it's not a problem, if you use Hamiltonian credit. The question has to be asked, could we have already built some of these necessary projects and mitigated the disaster, if you hadn't had 16 years of failed presidencies, with the Bush/Obama years, and if you hadn't had the full-scale mobilization for a political coup against this current Presidency, in his six months in office up to this point. But this is now the point of decision: We have to make the decision now, this is a sea change in U.S. policy. Let's now get onboard with the great development dynamic which is sweeping the planet, and {join} with this One Belt, One Road initiative, not only to build projects abroad, for the benefit of people across the planet, such as in Africa, but also to build these great projects right here at home. So Brian, is there anything that you want to say just in terms of maybe the specifics of what could be done in Houston, but also just some of the broader, actual physical projects that could be built here in the United States?

LANTZ: First, thanks for correcting me on Three Gorges as being on the Yangtze, not the Yellow. But I wanted to say, this is what great civilizations do, reaching back to the Grand Canal that connected the Yellow and Yangtze Rivers back 600 A.D. or thereabouts. You can go back further to Egypt. This is what

great civilizations do as opposed to empires, the defining distinction, this question of the development of mankind. When we look today, at the United States, why not build, as Helga Zepp-LaRouche has proposed, 50 new cities in the United States, rather a sprawl of concrete that only increases the dangers? It does the opposite, by mitigating the danger of these hurricanes and storms. For instance, why not build the equivalent of the Tokyo facility for the greater Houston area? Why not really conquer this problem? We can channel water, we can store it, but we've really got to move it off the area, fast. And what Tokyo has done is an exemplar. Likewise, as we've discussed on prior programs, Hurricane Sandy and what has to be done, not just for New York City, but for essentially a 100-mile diameter area reaching down to Philadelphia as one great, mega-city of development, modeled on similar projects in China. Their process of building mega-cities and transforming regions into mega-cities. This is some of what's occurred. All of this requires energy: It requires nuclear energy, it requires the development of fusion energy. And I just wanted to conclude, if Kesha Rogers could be here today, she would stress the role of science, as you brought it up in regard to the approach to the TVA project, but also brought up otherwise. This hurricane – just think about it – this hurricane would have been far worse, if we didn't have the kind of satellite capacity that we have, the kind of

resolutions in terms of cameras and all of that: The whole space

program gave us a capability. Just imagine if this had hit without forewarning.

And that leads into the broader question of the broader development of the U.S. space program and our cooperation again

with countries, including the leading country of China, in advancing mankind's knowledge of our relationship to our planetary system, but beyond that to the galaxy, to these larger

processes that we know are at work, that man needs to gain control of. And really, it's from that standpoint that we can really begin to measure the projects we need to build now.

OGDEN: And that's reminiscent of the point that was made in exactly that video produced by the Roosevelt administration on the TVA, that our human problems can be solved by "reason, science, and education," and we can harness nature, control nature, not by "defying her, but by understanding her," and harnessing those powers for the benefit of mankind and the entire

planet. So this is done not just through infrastructure on the

ground, – which absolutely must be built, the hardcore physical

infrastructure on the ground – but also through, as you mentioned, understanding what are these meteorological processes,

what are the atmospheric processes, how do you direct these atmospheric water flows? Is that possible through ionization and

other ways? And also, how do you understand how Earth's weather

is created through our interface with the cosmic environment that

we exist in. And how does this impact the actual large

changes

in cycles in terms of our climate and how climate changes over time, and the relationship that mankind plays to that, in a positive way?

And we can see instances of that positive power of mankind to improve nature, in the Tennessee Valley, in the Yangtze Valley

with the Three Gorges Dam, and now what's happening in Africa with the Transaqua project: That's mankind's nature and I think

that's the ultimate point here: When will mankind recognize what

we are as a species, and change our view of ourselves, in order

to harness the full powers of that unique creative quality that

mankind as a species possesses, which really lies at the core of

all of the science of economics as Lyndon LaRouche has defined it.

So I would recall for people, these Four Economic Laws, which are contained in the emergency statement which I read at the beginning of this broadcast, this is embedded in a larger policy document that Lyndon LaRouche wrote in which he explores

exactly this question: What is the noëtic characteristic of mankind? How have we progressed over our history as a species?

How have we harnessed the powers of nature, not just through water control, but also through different forms of fire and energy; and what is this Vernadskyian idea, the ideas of Vladimir

Vernadsky, of the planet as a "noëtic planet" – the noösphere –

shaped by the creative powers of man? How can we initiate that

noëtic age of man through these types of great projects which

are  
typified by the One Belt, One Road initiative out of China,  
but  
which we must now initiate here in the United States, in the  
wake  
of this {horrible} devastation, wrought by Hurricane Harvey.  
So, thank you so much Brian for joining us here today. I'm  
glad that you could join us from on the ground there in  
Houston,  
and give us this very visceral picture of what's happening,  
but  
also what must be done. And I'd like to ask all of our  
viewers  
to take this as your call to action, as was said in the  
statement  
on the LaRouche PAC website: "No more Houstons." We must now  
initiate this revolution in U.S. economic policy, we cannot  
watch  
and wait for the next Harvey to happen, but we must act now.  
"There is no alternative."  
I'd like to invite people to tune in to the broadcast of the  
Manhattan Town Hall meeting tomorrow, where Brian Lantz will  
also  
be the featured guest, and it will be the opportunity for some  
dialogue with him if you're there in person in New York City.  
And otherwise, please stay tuned to [larouchepac.com](http://larouchepac.com), as we  
issue marching orders over the coming days and weeks.  
So thank you one more time, Brian for joining us. And we  
give our condolences to the family of Kesha Rogers, who lost  
her  
father and her stepmother, tragically, in the floods there in  
Houston, in the wake of Hurricane Harvey, and we dedicate our  
future mission to the memory of not only her father, but also  
all  
who have been lost in these preventable manmade, natural  
disasters.  
Thank you for joining us and please stay tuned to

## **Del II af MANHATTAN-MØDE, 26. aug.:**

**»Bring USA ind i det Nye  
Paradigme, Nu!«**

**Spørgsmål til Helga Zepp-  
LaRouche**

*Jeg talte med nogle mennesker i Europa i de seneste par dage i forskellige lande, og de siger alle: »Jeres politik vinder. Det kommer. I ved, I var en meget vigtig indflydelse. Dette er jeres politik.« Så folk, der har kendt os længe, ved dette, og derfor skal I blot sikre jer, at mange folk kender til dette alternativ, for når folk først ved, at der er et helt andet system, begynder de at tænke på en helt anden måde, og de bliver vrede over, at man fortæller dem løgne, eller at sandheden holdes tilbage fra dem. I øjeblikket er det væsentlige, at være overbevist om, at, når folk først kender til dette, så forandrer de sig. Jeg mener, vi har et fantastisk moment, for det eneste, der behøves, er, at præsident Trump annoncerer noget stort, som Roosevelt gjorde med New Deal. Jeg ville ikke forsværge, at Trump ikke kan gøre det. Jeg mener, at Trump har karakteren til det, han har temperamentet til at overraske sine modstandere, og jeg mener, at vi skal skabe betingelserne i landet, der kan tilskynde ham til at gøre dette. Vi må øge presset på befolkningen for, at Trump skal satse på et overordnet design for USA, som er*

*større end presset fra Wall Street på ham, for at blive inde i kassen. De ønsker at inddæmme ham. De truer ham; hvis han ikke kapitulerer, så vil de dræbe ham eller impeache ham.*

Download (PDF, Unknown)

---

# **Valg i Tyskland: Udvikling af Mellemøsten og Afrika er den eneste menneskelige løsning på flygtningekrisen. Af Helga Zepp-LaRouche**

*28. august, 2017 – Der er ingen, der ved, hvor mange mennesker, der i det forgangne år er druknet i Middelhavet eller er døde af tørst i Sahara – titusinder, måske flere. Arten og måden, hvorpå EU behandler flygtningekrisen, opfattes i hele verden som Europas moralske sår. Pave Frans har ret, når han sammenligner interneringslejrene for flygtninge med koncentrationslejre.*

Men forslagene fra de andre partier, der deltager i Forbundsdaysvalget, reflekterer hele spektret af fremmedfjendtlig populisme, helt til de absurde forslag som skabelse af alternative arbejdspladser for menneskehandlerne. Forslagene rækker over afskærmning af EU's ydre grænser,



»solidarisk« fordeling af flygtninge i EU, forsyning af den libyske kystvagt med »det nødvendige udstyr«, udskiftning af de libyske interneringslejre med FN-ledede lejre til legal indvandring for flygtninge med gode erhvervskvalifikationer, varslingsystemer til tidlig opdagelse af potentielle flygtninge osv. De reflekterer alle den udtalte antagelse, at Afrika i al evighed vil forblive i en tilstand af underudvikling.

BüSo og Schiller Instituttet har længe foreslået en helt anden politik: et reelt, økonomisk infrastruktur- og opbygningsprogram for hele det afrikanske kontinent. Vi udgår fra det perspektiv, at fattigdom og underudvikling i Afrika – resultatet af århundreders kolonipolitik og, i de seneste årtier, af IMF's betingelsespolitik – kan overvindes for altid gennem et integreret infrastrukturprogram, gennem industrialisering og gennem udvikling af et moderne landbrug.

Til dette formål har vi udarbejdet en rapport med titlen, *Den Nye Silkevej bliver til Verdenslandbroen*. Et centralt projekt i dette udviklingsprogram, Transaqua-projektet, bliver nu realiseret i samarbejde mellem Kina og Italien. Transaqua bliver det største infrastrukturprojekt i Afrika, hvor ikke-udnyttet vand fra Congoflodens bifloder i 500 meters højde over havoverfladen føres til Tchadsøen gennem et system af floder og kanaler. Gennem dette projekt bliver tolv afrikanske stater forsynet med et system med intern sejlads, elektricitet fra vandkraftværker og anlæg til kunstig vanding af landbrugsjorder, og Tchadsøen, der er indtørret til blot 10 % af sin tidligere vandmængde, bliver igen opfyldt. Dette projekt vil betyde en kvalitativ betydelig forbedring af levestandarden for 40 millioner mennesker

Vi appellerer til de europæiske regeringer om at skabe de rammebetingelser, der gør det muligt for mellemstore og andre firmaer at deltage i investeringer i byggeriet af Transaqua og de andre infrastrukturprojekter, vi har foreslået, og som hermed vil skabe produktive arbejdspladser til mange hundrede

millioner mennesker i Afrika. Kina har, med byggeri af jernbaner, industriparker, vandkraftværker osv. allerede sørget for, at mennesker i Afrika for første gang har et berettiget håb om at overvinde fattigdom og underudvikling. Hvis Den Nye Silkevej bliver bygget i hele Afrika, kan Afrika endda i løbet af de næste årtier overgå Kinas økonomiske mirakel!

BüSo er det parti i Tyskland, der ikke blot har ideerne, men som også kan virkeliggøre dem. [Artiklen om vores arbejde igennem årtierne i People's Daily](#) bør læses af alle, der vil være med til at overvinde flygtningekrisen.

***Stem på BüSo-partiet, hvis De er interesseret i virkelige løsninger!***

*Redaktionens bemærkninger:*

Helga Zepp-LaRouche, der i Danmark er mest kendt som stifter og præsident af det internationale Schiller Institut, er også formand for det tyske parti, BüSo, (Bürgerrechtsbewegung Solidarität, Borgerretsbevægelsen Solidaritet), og hun er spidskandidat til kanslerposten i Berlin-kredsen til forbundsdagsvalget, der finder sted den 24. september. Læsere, der er specielt interesseret i det tyske valg, kan holde sig løbende informeret på [BüSo's valgportal](#) på BüSo's hjemmeside. EIR's 384-sider lange rapport *Den Nye Silkevej bliver til Verdenslandbroen* er nu også udgivet på tysk – interesserede bedes henvende sig til vores kontor. (Kan også købes som pdf for €35).

[Se en udførlig introduktion på dansk, ved Helga Zepp-LaRouche.](#)

Se også Helgas video-valgspot:

---

# **Ikke flere Houston-katastrofer:**

**Lyndon LaRouche siger, hvad det er, der må ske 'lige med det samme'**

*Leder fra LaRouche PAC, 31. august, 2017* – I dag udstedte LaRouche PAC en erklæring om, hvad der må gøres i betragtning af, at orkanen Harveys ødelæggelser ikke er en »naturlig« katastrofe, men er et resultat af kriminelle politikker. Erklæringen vil ligeledes udkomme på tryk i næste nummer af *The Hamiltonian*, LaRouche-bevægelsens plakat-avis, der uddeles i New York, sammen med hele Helga Zepp-LaRouches tale på konferencen den 26. august i New York City, om den amerikanske infrastruktur-nødsituation. [Erklæringen kan læses på engelsk her.](#)

## **Ikke flere Houston-katastrofer:**

**Lyndon LaRouche siger, hvad det er, der må ske 'lige med det samme'**

Katastrofen i Texas er en menneskeskabt katastrofe, der skete som følge af denne nations valgte repræsentanternes kriminelle neglekt; de valgte repræsentanter, der har fortsat med at

støtte Wall Streets spekulationsøkonomi og imperieambitioner, alt imens de samtidig fremfører, at nationen ikke har råd til at genopbygge og erstatte sin forældede og nedbrudte, økonomiske infrastruktur. For tredje gang siden 2005 er store, amerikanske byer blevet oversvømmet og deres indbyggere bragt til fortvivlelse, fordi planerne for ny infrastruktur, der kræver investeringer for titals milliarder, til beskyttelse af befolkningen, er blevet ignoreret og afvist. Orkanen Harvey er nu den overhængende, værste, nationale katastrofe i nationens historie, og det er en katastrofe, som ikke behøvede indtræffe.

I 2005 dræbte orkanen Katrina næsten 2.000 mennesker og anrettede økonomiske skader for \$130 mia. Først da, og langsomt, blev nye sikkerhedsforanstaltninger til oversvømmelseskontrol og barrierer mod havet langt om længe bygget for New Orleans, til en brøkdel af de menneskelige omkostninger og omkostningerne for skaderne, som stormen forårsagede. Hvor mange unødvendige dødsfald og lidelser kunne dette projekt have afværget?

Fire år senere mødtes det Amerikanske Civilingeniør-selskab i Manhattan for at diskutere flere muligheder for barrierer mod flodbølger i New York City-området. Det økonomiske overslag for den største af disse muligheder lød på \$9 mia. Regeringen besluttede ingenting at gøre. Så dræbte superstormen Sandy i 2012 flere end 100 mennesker og forårsagede økonomiske tab for \$65 mia. Netop nu gennemlever indbyggere i New York-området »Helvedessommeren«, med det 100 år gamle, regionale transportsystem, der for fem år siden blev oversvømmet og beskadiget, og som heller ikke blev repareret eller erstattet i det nødvendige tempo.

De svimlende økonomiske og menneskelige lidelser, forårsaget af orkanen Harvey i Texas' og Louisianas golfområde, kendes endnu ikke, men vil vokse i størrelsesorden i takt med, at vandet trækker sig tilbage; men, hvad man i mange år har vidst, er, at Texas' byer ved golfen er i fare for

oversvømmelser, og gentagne gange er blevet oversvømmet. Alligevel er der ikke blevet bygget nogen sikkerhedsforanstaltninger mod oversvømmelser eller infrastruktur til beskyttelse mod orkaner, siden slutningen af Anden Verdenskrig. Man havde udarbejdet planer for et nyt system for Houston-området, men omkostningerne på \$25 mia. blev vurderet til at være »for høj« en pris for vore Wall Street-dominerede regeringskontorer og valgte repræsentanter. Nu er hundrede af milliarder af dollars, og uvurderlige menneskeliv, gået tabt.

Alle disse katastrofer, samt andre i den seneste tid, kunne have været afværget for en brøkdel af de sluttelige omkostninger i tabt rigdom, for slet ikke at tale om tabte liv. Medierne insisterer over for amerikanerne, og katastrofen i den enkelte by skyldes byens særlige økonomiske vaner, dens beliggenhed, dens jurisdiktioners indbyrdes skænderier, dens ignorering af klimaforandring eller dens beliggenhed tæt på vand! Dette er nonsens. Wall Street, der gentagne gange er blevet reddet ved statslige midler (bail-out) til billioner af dollars uden, at det har medført noget som helst andet end øget forarmelse for det amerikanske folk, må ikke længere have lov at diktere USA's økonomiske politik.

»Nationen kræver handling, og handling nu!«, med præsident Franklin Roosevelts ord. Under hans præsidentskab og op igennem 1940'erne blev ny infrastruktur – såsom Tennessee Valley Authority – til afværgelse af sådanne »naturkatastrofer« finansieret af statslig kredit, såsom via *Reconstruction Finance Corporation* og *Works Progress Authority*.

Orkanen Harvey, der drukner byer i det østlige Texas, bør være den alarmklokke, der afslutter 70 år, hvor landet har været uden sådanne statslige kreditinstitutioner.

**Et kursskifte er nødvendigt**

Den 30. august krævede Lyndon LaRouche et »kursskifte« i politikken »med det samme«. Han krævede den omgående skabelse af en national (dvs. statslig) kreditinstitution til ny, højteknologisk infrastruktur, ligesom det, Franklin Roosevelt anvendte, da langt størstedelen af vores nuværende infrastruktur blev bygget. Der er intet alternativ til skabelse af en statslig kreditinstitution som den, Alexander Hamilton brugte, og som er i overensstemmelse med vores Forfatning, til at finansiere de nødvendige billioner i nye infrastrukturinvesteringer.

Vi må ligeledes handle for at genindføre Glass/Steagall-bankopdeling med det samme, med endnu en overhængende finanskriser, og med Wall Street, der fortsætter med at forhindre reel, produktiv investering. At gøre det muligt for Wall Street at fjerne Glass/Steagall-loven i 1990'erne førte til et krak, der var årsag til tabt rigdom for \$10 billioner, massearbejdsløshed og ufortalte tab og afkorting af menneskeliv.

LaRouche insisterer på, at hans »Fire Økonomiske Love til at redde nationen« omgående må gennemføres, hvis dette land skal komme sig over orkanen Harvey og forebygge lignende katastrofer, der stammer fra vores rådende, fysiske økonomi, der er som en tikkende bombe:

- Genindfør Glass-Steagall: bryd Wall Street og dets magt op;
- Skab statslige kreditinstitutioner baseret på FDR's Reconstruction Finance Corporation og Alexander Hamiltons nationalbanker;
- Investér kreditten i ny infrastruktur med frontlinjeteknologier, inklusive højhastighedsjernbaner, fjerde generations fission, samt teknologier til fusionskraft og moderne systemer til beskyttelse mod storme og til vandmanagement;
- Vedtag et »forceret program« for opnåelse af fusion, som økonomisk drivkraft: lad en stor udvidelse af NASA's

rumforskningsprogram forsyne os med drivkraften bag produktivitet og produktiv beskæftigelse.

## **Et Nyt Paradigme begynder at virke**

Kinas Bælte & Vej Initiativ, et internationalt projekt for nye »jernbane-landbroer« og store projekter for infrastrukturudvikling, tilbyder omgående samarbejde om kreditten til og byggeriet af ny infrastruktur i USA. Dette initiativ iværksætter nu store projekter, som de længe har identificeret som værende absolut nødvendige, såsom Kra-kanalen i Sydøstasien og genoplivningen af Tchadsøen i Subsaharisk Afrika, projekter, som Lyndon LaRouche og hans hustru, Helga Zepp-LaRouche, længe har været fortalere for.

Helga og Lyndon LaRouche leder en national mobilisering, der fokuserer på at få præsident Trump til omgående at bringe Amerika ind i det af Kina initierede Bælte & Vej Initiativ for byggeri af ny infrastruktur i hele verden. Dette »win-win«-initiativ, og USA's tilslutning til dets projekter i hele verden, samt ligeledes USA's byggeri af sin egen, nye infrastruktur, betyder genoplivningen af USA som en industrimagt.

Den 26. august talte **Helga Zepp-LaRouche på en konference i Manhattan** om infrastruktur-nødsituationen i USA, hvor hun kom med følgende forslag:

*»Tænk engang på det enorme potentiale, der åbner sig, hvis USA ville samarbejde med Bælte & Vej Initiativet«,*

sagde Zepp-LaRouche til konferencen.

*»Jeg mener, det er vigtigt, at man forestiller sig et helt andet system. Hvis USA i dag ville gøre, hvad Franklin D. Roosevelt gjorde – en New Deal, Glass-Steagall, samarbejde med Kina – kunne USA opleve en industriel revolution, større end på noget andet tidspunkt i sin historie. Folk må simpelthen forestille sig, at vi nu befinder os ved et systems*

*afslutning, et system, der ikke kan reddes. Vi må erstatte det med et totalt andet system, og det har de fleste mennesker simpelthen svært ved at forestille sig, men der er eksempler på sådanne forandringer. Marshallplanen i Europa var f.eks. et sådant eksempel, og Meiji-restaurationen i Japan var et sådant eksempel – det, som Roosevelt gjorde med New Deal, så folk må simpelthen tænke, at en sådan dramatisk forandring absolut er mulig i dag.»[1]*

LaRouche PAC har påtaget sig ansvaret for at få præsident Trump og Kongressen til at udføre denne handling. Men det er ligeledes alle amerikaneres ansvar, der tænker på sig selv som borgere: de, der aktivt har støttet præsidenten eller senator Bernie Sanders; de, der ikke støttede nogen, på grund af afsky for manipulationen, og den fortsatte manipulation, af valget, men som har ønsket en drastisk ændring i politikken med afindustrialisering og Wall Street-spekulation, der styrer landet; de, der kender mennesker, der blev dræbt eller gjort hjemløse og forarmede af de af Wall Street forårsagede »naturkatastrofer«. De må nu alle handle og lade deres stemmer blive hørt.

For, at se på, hvad der, nu igen, sker med store, amerikanske byer, fører alle ved deres fulde fem til den samme konklusion: Der er intet alternativ.

*Foto: Oversvømmelser forårsaget af orkanen Harvey nær Houston Downtown. 27. august, 2017. (Youtube Screengrab / Evan Mallett)*

[1] Citatet er fra 'Spørgsmål til Helga Zepp-LaRouche', efter hendes indledende indlæg. (-red.)

---



# Det må ske nu!

*Leder fra LaRouche PAC, 30. august, 2017* – I de næste 48 timer vil LaRouche PAC Politiske Aktionskomite udstede en politisk hensigtserklæring om de nødvendige handlinger, der må tages skridt til af USA – dets folk og dets regering – for at adressere den eksistentielle krise, som er blevet bragt i forgrunden af den hen over flere stater eksistentielle krise, som orkanen Harvey og dens følgevirkninger har forårsaget. USA kan ikke længere leve i vrangforestillingernes domæne med skyhøje spekulative værdipapirer og kollapsende, fysisk økonomi. Vi kan ikke længere agere, som om vi på en eller anden måde kan overleve uden at kere os om at investere i broer, dæmninger, jernbaner, kernekraft, kanaler, diger ... og mennesker.

De fornødne politiske forholdsregler blev fremlagt af **Helga Zepp-LaRouche i hendes bemærkninger til Manhattan-mødet** den 26. august, og de omfattes helt af **Lyndon LaRouches Fire Love**.

Det er nødvendigt med en kursændring i nationaløkonomisk politik, og det må ske nu.

Bælte & Vej Initiativet bringer allerede en håbefuldst fremtid til nogle af de mest formørkede og krigshærgede områder på planeten. Transaqua-projektet i det centrale Afrika har fået grønt lys, og det vil blive udført med deltagelse af det samme, kinesiske selskab, der stod for byggeriet af de Tre Slugters Dæmning. Ligeledes har Kra-kanalen fået grønt lys, et af de store projekter i Verdenslandbroen, der behøves for at skabe et samlet verdensocean for handel og udvikling, som Lyndon LaRouche længe har forklaret. Og i Syrien – hvor russisk militærhandling og/eller diplomatisk handling, i kombination med Kinas Bælte & Vej-initiativer er begyndt at vende tidevandet bort fra folkemorderiske krige og terrorisme – er Schiller Instituttet nu i færd med, i sin fulde

udstrækning, at bringe LaRouches politik for Verdenslandbroen til det højeste niveau af dette lands regerings- og uddannelsesinstitutioner, som vi rapporterer andetsteds.[1] Dette er et ægte bevis på principperne i LaRouches politikker og metoder.

Hvis Centralafrika, Thailand og Syrien kan vågne og handle, kan vi så ikke gøre det samme? Er det ikke orkanen Harveys virkelige budskab og lektie? Ved at få USA til at vedtage LaRouches Fire Love og fuldt ud samarbejde med Bælte & Vej Initiativet, kan vi kaste nationen – og med den, verden – frem på et fuldstændig andet niveau. Nu.

*Foto: Agenter fra U.S. Border Patrol Mario Fuentes, venstre, og Marc Gonzales undersøger et ødelagt mobilt hjem for evt. overlevende i kølvandet af orkanen Harvey nær Rockport, Texas, 27. aug., 2017. U.S. Customs and Border Protection photo by Glenn Fawcett*

[1] Se Ulf Sandmark fra det svenske Schiller Instituts rapport her:

<http://www.larouche.se/artikel/ulf-sandmark-atervande-till-syrien-med-sidenvagsboken>

---

# **Billioner i kredit til ny infrastruktur – Orkanen viser os, der er intet alternativ!**

*Leder fra LaRouche PAC, 29. august, 2017 – Den igangværende ødelæggelse af liv, levebrød, økonomi og produktivitet, som*

orkanen i Texas forårsager, er et signal til landet: USA's valgte repræsentanter må nu, for sidste gang, have afvist og opsat genopbygning og erstatning af nationens forældede og nedbrudte økonomiske infrastruktur.

Lad ikke ordene »naturkatastrofe« blive misbrugt. En storby er ved at blive ødelagt, fordi den er i fare for oversvømmelser, og gentagent udsættes for oversvømmelser, og dens sikkerhedsforanstaltninger mod oversvømmelser – designet og bygget for 70-80 år siden – er ikke længere denne betegnelse værdige.

Orkanen Katrina dræbte næsten 2.000 mennesker og ødelagde økonomiske værdier til \$130 mia., og af samme årsag. Superstormen Sandy dræbte flere end 100 mennesker og forårsagede tab for \$65 mia., og af samme årsag. Disse katastrofer kunne have været forebygget for en brøkdel af deres sluttelige omkostninger, i tabt rigdom, for slet ikke at tale om tabte liv. Indbyggerne i New York-området gennemlever i øjeblikket »Helvedessommeren«, med det regionale transportsystem, der bryder sammen, og af samme årsag. Vi ved endnu ikke, hvor mange mennesker vil miste livet i Houston og det østlige Texas, men de økonomiske og menneskelige tab dér bliver svimlende.

»Nationen kræver handling, og handling nu!«, med præsident Franklin Roosevelts ord. Der er intet alternativ til at gå fremad for en national kreditinstitution i Hamiltons tradition, som vil finansiere de nødvendige billioner til nye infrastrukturinvesteringer.

LaRouche PAC har påtaget sig ansvaret for at få præsident Trump og Kongressen til at gribe til disse handlinger. Men det er ligeledes de borgeres ansvar, som aktivt har støttet præsidenten, eller Bernie Sanders, og som har ønsket en ændring i den politik for afindustrialisering og Wall Street-spekulation, der styrer landet.

Når vi tænker over, hvor forfærdelige, omkostningerne for ikke-handling er, må vi ikke glemme, at det, at tillade Wall Street at fjerne Glass/Steagall-loven i 1999, førte til et krak, der forårsagede tabt rigdom til en værdi af \$10 billioner, massearbejdsløshed og ufortalt tab af menneskeliv.

Der må også komme handling for at genindføre Glass-Steagall og for hele det, Lyndon LaRouche har kaldt sine Fire Love for at redde nationen, inklusive store investeringer i videnskab som drivkraft, i gennembrud i fusionsteknologi og i en genoplivning af NASA's rumforskningsprogram.

Kinas Bælte & Vej Initiativ, et internationalt program for nye »jernbane-landbroer« og store infrastrukturprojekter, tilbyder umiddelbart samarbejde om den nødvendige kredit og for byggeri af en ny infrastruktur i USA. Dette initiativ, som i årtier er blevet promoveret af Lyndon og Helga LaRouche, udfører nu, via BVI, store projekter, der længe er blevet identificeret som absolut afgørende, såsom Kra-kanalen i Sydøstasien og genoplivelsen af Tchadsøen i Subsaharisk Afrika.

I USA er handling ligeså presserende nødvendig, omgående. Når vi ser, hvad der sker med Houston, er der intet alternativ.

*Foto: Texas nationalgarde fra 386. ingeniørbataljon arbejder sammen med lokale redningsfolk for at redde indbyggere og dyr fra den alvorlige oversvømmelse i Cypress Creek, 28. aug., 2017 (Army National Guard photo by Capt. Martha Nigrelle)*

---

**Bring USA ind i det Nye**

# Paradigme, Nu!

## Af Helga Zepp-LaRouche

*Jeg er meget glad for at tale for jer, for dette giver mig lejlighed til understrege for mit eget perspektiv, hvorfor jeg mener, at dette er det mest dramatiske øjeblik i historien, i vores levetid. Hvis dette går den rigtige vej, kunne vi være i et fuldstændig nyt paradigme, i en ny form for relationer mellem nationer på meget kort tid. Og, hvis det går den forkerte vej, ville vi meget hurtigt være tilbage i en kurs for konfrontation med Rusland og Kina, som vi havde med den tidligere administration. Og, i betragtning af alle krisepunkterne og situationens drama, kunne det føre til Tredje Verdenskrig og civilisationens udslettelse.*

*Så imellem disse to muligheder kunne spændingen ikke være større, og det sted, hvor denne kamp udkæmpes, er selvfølgelig USA.*

Download (PDF, Unknown)

---

## En fortælling om to verdener

*Leder fra LaRouche PAC, 28. august, 2017 – Som Charles Dickens skrev i En fortælling om to byer:*

*»Det var den bedste tid, det var den værste tid; det var visdommens århundrede, det var dårskabens århundrede; det var troens periode, det var vantroens periode; det var lysets tid, det var mørkets tid; det var håbets vår, det var fortvivlelsens vinter; vi havde alt i vente, vi havde intet i*

*vente.«*

I dag er det ikke to byer, men to visioner af menneskehedens fremtid, og af menneskets grundlæggende natur.

Verden er vidne til ødelæggelserne i Houston – en naturkatastrofe, selvfølgelig, men én, der fandt et »lille folk« (som Schiller sagde om den franske befolkning på det tidspunkt, hvor Dickens' fortælling foregår, før og under Den franske Revolution), der har ladet nationens infrastruktur forfalde til randen af sammenbrud. Houston er notorisk uforberedt til at håndtere oversvømmelser, selv under de årligt tilbagevendende storme, for slet ikke at tale om orkaner eller den aktuelle 1000-års oversvømmelse. Allerede i 2012 gav det Amerikanske Ingeniørselskab byen et C- i byens »standpunktsbog« mht. sikkerhedsforholdsregler mod oversvømmelse. De to hoveddæmninger til sikring mod oversvømmelse af Houston, som begge er på randen til at flyde over eller endda kollapse i den aktuelle storm, blev bygget i 1940'erne, og deres levetid udløb for 20 år siden.

Men Houston er i bund og grund ikke anderledes end alle dele af USA. Vores største by, New York, oplever for tiden et generelt sammenbrud i systemerne for transport, kloakering, vand og mere endnu – en kendsgerning, som blev adresseret den 26. august på et Schiller Institut forum i Manhattan. Infrastrukturunderskuddet har skabt krudttønder i hele nationen, der blot behøver en enkelt gnist for at ryge i luften, som vi så det under orkanen Katrina i New Orleans, med superstormen Sandy i New York City og med tørken i det sydvestlige USA.

På den anden side har vi visionen om »håbets vår«, der er ved at blive til virkelighed med Silkevejen, som bringer storstilet infrastruktur i hele Asien, Afrika og Latinamerika, infrastruktur, som de er blevet nægtet under hele koloni- og postkolonitiden. Særligt er to af de store projekter, som Lyndon LaRouche har foreslået hen over de seneste par årtier –

Kra-kanalen i det sydlige Thailand og projektet for genopfyldning af den næsten udtømte Tchadsø i Afrika, gennem omdirigering af vand fra Congofloden (Transaqua) – nærmer sig nu deres virkeliggørelse. Disse projekter er blevet voldsomt modgået af de tidligere kolonimagter og modgås stadig i Vesten under den falske forklædning af, at det 'gør skade på miljøet' og 'ikke er rentabelt'. Bag begge disse store projekter er drivkraften Kinas Bælte & Vej Initiativ, med den anskuelse, at menneskeheden ikke er et oligarkis undersåtter, men at den derimod er formålet for regeringers eksistens.

Hvorfor kan USA og Europa ikke deltage i denne verdensforandrende udviklingsproces? Den eneste årsag er den fortsatte magt, der oppebæres af det bankerotte finansimperium centreret i London og New York. Det vestlige banksystems degeneration, til at blive et uhæmmet spillekasino, der kun er villigt til at »investere« i spekulationsorgier og er drivkraften bag stadigt større forfald af den fysiske økonomi og levestandarden for de »laveste 90 %« af befolkningen – dette er den virkelighed, der førte til valget af Donald Trump. Dette er den virkelighed, der er drivkraften bag det igangværende kupforsøg imod ham, fra magterne i Londons og Wall Streets og deres slaviske, totalejede politiske ledere og mediehorers side. »USA må ikke gå med i den Nye Silkevej«, brøler Ozymandias, fra Shelleys digt. Og han tilføjer, »Regeringen må ikke intervenere i Wall Street«. »USA må forberede krig mod Rusland ... Mit navn er Ozymandias, Kongernes Konge; Betragt mit Værk, I Mægtige, og fortvivl!«

Begivenhederne i Houston må blive alarmklokken, der ringer og vækker os. Selvfølgelig vil det amerikanske folk vågne af deres slummer for at hjælpe befolkningen i Houston i denne katastrofe – men det må også, langt om længe, handle for at genindføre det Amerikanske System, for at forlange afslutningen af dette *casino mondial* og den omgående skabelse af et statsligt kreditsystem i Hamiltons tradition, til skabelse af den nødvendige kredit til at genopbygge vore byer

på et moderne niveau, vore transportnetværk, vore vandsystemer, vores energiproduktion, vore skoler og hospitaler. Som Helga Zepp-LaRouche sagde om infrastrukturkrisen på forummet i Manhattan den 26. august[1]: »Hvorfor ikke bygge 50 nye byer?« Kina har gjort det og bringer det ud til hele verden gennem Bælte & Vej. Vi kan, og må, gå sammen med dem.

*Foto: Texas' redningsfolk redder en indbygger i Houston i båd, under øversvømmelserne forårsaget af orkanen Harvey. 27. aug., 2017.*

[1] Se video her: <https://larouchepac.com/20170827/manhattan-town-hall-revive-hamilton-s-american-system-and-presidency-through-larouche-s>

Helgas tale vil blive oversat og udlagt her på hjemmesiden.

---

## Danmarks ambassadør til Rusland udfordrer Vestens krigsparti

*København, 28. august, 2017* – Den 21. august skrev Danmarks ambassadør til Rusland, Thomas Winkler, en kronik i Berlingske Tidende med titlen: »Truslen fra Rusland: realiteter og reaktioner«, på anmodning af avisens redaktion, hvor han modgik den vestlige anskuelse, inklusive den danske forsvarsministers, at Rusland skulle udgøre en overhængende, militær trussel. Her følger nogle af de vigtigste punkter:

Alt imens det er sandt, at Rusland styrker sit militær, så bør deres militære kapaciteter ikke overvurderes. Ruslands



militære budget er på 48 mia. dollar, alt imens NATO's er på 915 mia., inkl. USA, der alene står for 616 mia. dollar.

For det andet, så er eksistensen af en militær kapacitet heller ikke det samme som vilje til at anvende den. Rusland, herunder præsident Putin, er ikke mindre logisk end alle mulige andre, og der er intet belæg for, at Rusland vil anvende sine militære kapaciteter, bare fordi det er der. Der må udføres en analyse af, hvorfor Rusland skulle vælge at anvende det, og som må gå bag om både de røgslør, som man fra russisk side lægger ud, samt koldkrigsrygmarvsreaktioner fra alle sider.

Vi må forstå, at årsagen til, at Rusland, ud fra Moskvas synspunkt, indsatte sit militær, i strid med internationale spilleregler, i Georgien, Ukraine og Syrien, var, at de fra Vestens side konfronteredes med akutte og alvorlige trusler mod deres vitale interesser, med få andre muligheder end den militære. I Georgien, frygten for en udvidet NATO-tilstedeværelse langs grænsen samt bevarelsen af den russiske indflydelse i Kaukasus og Centralasien; i Ukraine, bevarelsen af flådebasen i Sevastopol på Krim og en levedygtig Euroasiatisk Økonomisk Union; og i Syrien sikring af russisk indflydelse i Mellemøsten og for at vise USA, at de ikke skal have held til at gennemføre endnu en farverevolution.

Rusland under Putin vil sandsynligvis overveje at anvende sit militær som en del af sin udenrigspolitik, men det er ikke det samme som, at det rent faktisk vil ske. I langt de fleste tilfælde søger Rusland også at sikre sine egne interesser gennem fredelige midler. Man bør derfor være forsigtig med at konkludere en mere generel tese om overhængende, mere omfattende russisk aggression ud fra individuelle situationer.

Set fra Moskva er en direkte udfordring af NATO i sig selv uønsket. Rusland er NATO underlegent og ved det. Kreml vil derfor også i fremtiden være tilbageholdende med militære skridt, inklusive hybridoperationer, der kan eskalere til en

reel konfrontation med NATO eller EU, for den sags skyld.

Set fra Moskva er der intet, der peger på en fordel i militært at true Danmark og dets naboer i Østersøen, Baltikum, Norden, Arktis. Som min analyse viser, siger han, kun, hvis Ruslands vitale interesser blev truet dér, hvilket de i dag absolut ikke er.

Ambassadør Winkler konkluderer med en opfordring til økonomisk samarbejde, der kunne bidrage positivt til at opbygge Ruslands økonomi og til at genopbygge tilliden og reduktionen af spændingerne. En regering, der frygter for sin eksistens, og som primært har militært isenkram i værktøjskassen, er langt farligere end et Rusland med økonomisk vækst. I længden vil Europa og USA derfor få mest ud af økonomisk samarbejde, handel og offentlige og private investeringer i både Rusland og Ukraine, frem for at engagere sig i et nyt våbenkapløb.

Konkrete russiske provokationer bør modgås, men Rusland bør ikke efterlades uden for de internationale samarbejdsstrukturer, og vi har en fælles interesse i øget dialog i forhold til terror-, cyber og narkotikabekæmpelse, samt i kommunikationskanaler for at undgå en udvikling af militære provokationer, samt at Rusland ser sig selv som en del af løsningen på internationale udfordringer, inkl. sikkerhed og stabilitet i Europa.

Kronikken har fremkaldt store politiske bølger i Danmark, inkl. i medierne, med udtalelser som, at det var uhørt, at en fungerende ambassadør modsagde landets forsvarsminister, der har gået og sagt, at 'russerne kommer, russerne kommer'. Alle de enkelte partier har taget et standpunkt for eller imod, og ambassadøren blev grillet på debatprogrammet DR2 Deadline[1], hvor han fastholdt sine synspunkter, men desværre også gav udtryk for, at han troede på historien om russisk hacking. Om fredagen (25. august) udsendte det danske Schiller Institut sin månedlige **Nyhedsorientering**, som inkluderede en indledning om ambassadørens kronik med efterfølgende analyse, samt også

hele den **danske oversættelse af VIPS-memoet** – den hidtil eneste mediedækning i Danmark, så vidt vi ved. Foruden at blive leveret til alle abonnenter, blev Nyhedsorienteringen leveret både trykt og elektronisk til alle ministre og folketingsmedlemmer og, elektronisk, til de fleste byrådsmedlemmer.

*Foto: Danmarks ambassadør til Rusland, Thomas Winkler, optrådte på DR2 Deadline den 22. august, 2017, efter sin kronik i Berlingske Tidende den 21. august.*

[1]

<https://www.dr.dk/tv/se/deadline/deadline-tv/deadline-2017-08-22#!/>

Min.: 16:57

---

## **Amerikanere, mobilisér: Trump må tilslutte USA til den Nye Silkevej**

*Leder fra LaRouche PAC, 27. august, 2017* – I dag sagde Helga Zepp-LaRouche, at Trumps tilhængere må mobilisere for, at præsidenten bringer Amerika ind i det af Kina initierede Bælte & Vej Initiativ for byggeri af infrastruktur i hele verden. Spørgsmålet om dette »win-win«-initiativ og om, hvorvidt USA går med i dets globale projekter og ligeledes bygger sin egen infrastruktur på basis af ny teknologi, er afgørende for fred mellem USA og Rusland og Kina; det er afgørende for, at Trump kan overleve det igangværende kup imod ham; og for genoplivelsen af USA som en industrimagt.

Den 20. aug. havde både *China Daily* og *People's Daily* store artikler, der satte fokus på Lyndon og Helga LaRouches rolle, både i udarbejdelsen af konceptet med politikken for den Nye Silkevej, eller Verdenslandbroen, for flere årtier siden, og også i at bringe denne politik til USA og Europa i og med, at den blev vedtaget af Kina. Deres bevægelse er den magt, der kan bringe Trump-præsidentskabet til at vedtage den. Helga LaRouche sagde, at denne bevægelse nu må mobilisere Trump-tilhængere til at få Trump ind i Bælte & Vej. Bestræbelser, med briterne som drivkraften, fra f.eks. Steven Bannons side på at forgifte Trumps bagland imod Bælte & Vej Initiativet, må modgås af denne bevægelse.

Den 26. aug. talte Helga Zepp-LaRouche på en Manhattan-konference med temaet, »En genoplivelse af Alexander Hamiltons Amerikanske System gennem LaRouches Fire Love«, en konference, der bragte kredse med sammenfaldende interesser, bestående af Trump-aktivister og kinesisk-amerikanske aktivister, tillige med ingeniører og borgere, der mobiliserer for at genopbygge New York-områdets smuldrende transportsystem, sammen.

*»Forestil jer engang, hvilket enormt potentiale, der åbner sig, hvis USA samarbejder med Bælte & Vej Initiativet«, sagde Helga til konferencen. »Det kunne genopbygge USA's egen industri på mellemlivniveau. USA kunne investere i alle projekterne i Latinamerika, Afrika og langs den Eurasiske Landbro. Det ville simpelt hen fuldstændig ændre situationen og også genopbygge USA. Man kunne få en total forandring i USA. Man kunne få 50 nye byer. Hvorfor ikke bygge 50 nye byer? Fra kyst til kyst er der mange stater, der er meget tyndt befolket og næsten ikke har nogen byer; man kunne forbinde disse byer med dem på kysten gennem højhastigheds-togsystemet; man kunne få videnskabsbyer ...*

*Jeg mener, det er meget vigtigt at forestille sig et helt andet system. Hvis USA nu ville gøre, hvad Franklin D. Roosevelt gjorde – en New Deal, Glass-Steagall og samarbejde med Kina – kunne USA opleve en industriel revolution større*

*end noget, landet nogensinde tidligere har oplevet. Folk må simpelt hen forstå, at vi nu befinder os ved afslutningen af et system, der ikke kan reddes. Vi må erstatte det med et fuldstændig nyt system, og det har de fleste mennesker simpelt hen svært ved at forestille sig, men der findes eksempler på sådanne forandringer. Marshall-planen for Europa var f.eks. et sådant eksempel, og Meiji-restaurationen i Japan var et andet – og det, som Roosevelt gjorde med New Deal; så folk må simpelt hen forstå, at et sådant dramatisk skifte absolut er muligt i dag.«*

De virkelige problemer, som præsidenten må tackle – det være sig svøben med afhængighed af opiater, eller netop nu, den værste storm over den Mexicanske Golf, der nogensinde har ramt Texas, og som kræver mange tusinde redningsfolk og vidtstrakt genopbygning af hjem og infrastruktur – fordrer *princippet om det almene vel*, om fælles velfærd for hele menneskeheden. Trump kan ikke gøre dette alene, og under angreb. Amerikanere må mobilisere, så »dramatiske forandringer absolut er mulige i dag«.

*Foto: Præsident Donald J. Trump og præsident Xi Jinping på G20-topmøde, 8. juli, 2017.*

*(Official White House Photo by Shealah Craighead)*

---

# **At bevæge verden i en fuldstændig anden retning. Af Helga Zepp-LaRouche**

*Jeg har flere gange understreget det faktum, at den kinesiske model er meget nærmere ved det oprindelige amerikanske*

*økonomiske system, end folk forestiller sig. Alle Kinas banksystemer er faktisk meget mere ligesom banksystemet efter Hamiltons principper, eller man kunne også sige, ligesom Friedrich Lists rammpolitik; Friedrich List, den tyske økonom, der i øvrigt er den mest berømte økonom i Kina i dag.*

Download (PDF, Unknown)

---

**Gå fremad for en fælles  
fremtid for hele  
menneskeheden.**

**LaRouche PAC Fireside Chat,  
24. august, 2017**

*Helga Zepp-LaRouche talte om den særdeles dystre og farlige situation i landet; det faktum, at kupoperationen er i højeste gear for at inddæmme præsident Trump til at gøre præcis det modsatte af, hvad han havde lovet (dette vil vi uddybe nærmere under den efterfølgende diskussion), og af hvilken grund han naturligvis vandt valget, nemlig, at genrejse økonomien, og specifikt at begynde med at genindføre Glass-Steagall, og dernæst at standse de forskellige krige; kort sagt, at gøre en ende på den britiske imperiepolitik.*

**Vært Lynne Speed:** God aften. Jeg er Lynne Speed og vil være aftenens mødeleder. Det er torsdag, 24. august, 2017. Jeg vil gerne indlede aftenens telefonkonference med at citere en diskussion, som Lyndon og Helga LaRouche havde i går med kolleger, og som blev yderligere understreget i deres

diskussion med kolleger i dag.

Helga Zepp-LaRouche talte om den særdeles dystre og farlige situation i landet; det faktum, at kupoperationen er i højeste gear for at inddæmme præsident Trump til at gøre præcis det modsatte af, hvad han havde lovet (dette vil vi uddybe nærmere under den efterfølgende diskussion), og af hvilken grund han naturligvis vandt valget, nemlig, at genrejse økonomien, og specifikt at begynde med at genindføre Glass-Steagall, og dernæst at standse de forskellige krige; kort sagt, at gøre en ende på den britiske imperiepolitik.

Denne situation vil først og fremmest kræve vores energiske aktivitet. Og, som Helga udtrykte det, så er det afgørende spørgsmål fortsat at erstatte det gamle paradigme med det nye paradigme for udvikling og fred. Husk, at Donald Trumps valgsejr den 6. november, 2016, ikke var et nationalt spørgsmål, men derimod et internationalt spørgsmål af stor og historisk betydning. Man må se på nutidens spørgsmål ud fra menneskehedens lange, historiske bue. Faren for et Maidan II i USA er i slægt med faren i USA og Europa på Weimarrepublikkens tid. Præsident Franklin Roosevelt fik USA ud af depressionen med sin New Deal, men Europa sank ned, og vi så fremkomsten af fascismen. Folk må lære af historien.

Lyndon LaRouche tilføjede følgende; han udstedte en opfordring til handling og sagde: *»Jeg er dybt bekymret over den situation, vi her diskuterer. Men pointen er, at vi må påtage os ansvaret for at bakke det op, vi har talt om, og som mine medarbejdere gør. Vi må vinde dette her. USA's fremtidige eksistens afhænger af, at vi gør vores job. Det drejer sig ikke om at komme med forslag, men om at vinde en sejr over de ting, der er i færd med at ødelægge USA og forhindre, at det udfører sin mission. Og held og lykke til os alle!«*

Så dette var aftenens indledning. Vores emne her i aften er »at tænke på det niveau, der kræves for at vinde«, og vores gæst i aften er Will Wertz. Mange, der er med os i aftenens

telefonkonference, er bekendt med hans arbejde. For to uger siden gav han en fremragende præsentation på Manhattan Town Meeting.

(<https://larouchepac.com/20170805/manhattan-town-hall-event-will-wertz>)

Han har ligeledes talt på LaRouche PAC Internationale Webcasts og har givet en dybtgående fremlæggelse af briternes forræderiske rolle i deres forsøg på at underminere præsidentskabet og USA's Forfatning. (<https://larouchepac.com/20170818/charlottesville-was-staged-event>)

og

<https://larouchepac.com/20170811/larouchepac-friday-webcast-will-wertz>).

Jeg vil stoppe her, og hvis du er på, Will, så fortsæt herfra, og når du er færdig, vil vi fortsætte med spørgsmål og svar.

**Will Wertz:** Tak, Lynne. Jeg mener, at vi må se på dette historiske øjeblik sådan, som den tyske digter, skuespilforfatter og historiker, Friedrich Schiller, så på perioden med Den franske Revolution. Han skrev et kort digt med to linjer kort tid efter Den franske Revolution, med titlen, »Øjeblikket«; og det lyder som følger: »Århundredet har affødt et stort øjeblik. Men dette store øjeblik har fundet et lille folk.« Og det er netop den udfordring, der ligger foran os på dette historiske tidspunkt: at overvinde en småtskåren tankegang og ikke lade sig opsluge af infantile besættelser, for nu at udtrykke det således. Vi er i en situation, hvor vi har potentialet til at gå fremad for en fælles skæbne for hele menneskeheden. Valget af præsident Trump, især i visse afgørende områder, repræsenterer et potentiale for, at USA kan tilslutte sig denne storslåede strategi for menneskeheden. Og som Lynne Speed netop sagde, så er der især to områder, der er afgørende. For det første, så afviste han hele politikken med regimeskifte, som vi har været



involveret i, med evindelige krige, hen over de seneste par årtier, især under George W. Bush og dernæst under præsident Obama. Han afviste krigen i Irak, han kritiserede stærkt indsatsen i Libyen, der resulterede i mordet på præsident Gaddafi; han har, om end langsomt pga. angrebet på ham for hans angivelige 'aftalte spil' med Rusland, satset på at arbejde sammen med Rusland for at besejre ISIS og al-Nusra i Syrien. Dette er meget positive udviklinger og det er selvfølgelig lydhyrt over for det, præsident Putin foreslog tilbage i september 2015 på FN's Generalforsamling, og som er en forenet, international koalition for at bekæmpe terrorisme i lighed med den koalition, der voksede frem for at besejre nazisterne under Anden Verdenskrig.

Det andet område har han i det mindste givet udtryk for sin forpligtelse til i løbet af kampagnen og siden. Det er at gennemføre Glass-Steagall, der, som de fleste mennesker ved, ville adskille legitim bankaktivitet, der er involveret i investering i reel produktion, reelle samfundstjenesteydelser, fra spekulativ bankaktivitet af kasino-typen, der har ødelagt vores økonomi. Han har ligeledes, siden han blev valgt, holdt en række taler, hvor han har krævet en tilbagevenden til det Amerikanske Økonomiske System, og han har i denne sammenhæng citeret Alexander Hamilton, Henry Clay og Abraham Lincoln, blandt andre. Selv her i den seneste situation i Charlottesville kom han med en udtalelse, der sagde, at måden, hvorpå de menneskelige relationer, inklusive relationerne mellem racerne, kan forbedres i dette land, er en reel forhøjelse af levestandarden for alle mennesker i landet, og det forudsætter skabelsen af produktiv beskæftigelse til en højere løn, så folk ret faktisk har råd til at forsørge en familie og har råd til at forpligte sig til en lysere fremtid for den næste generation, der, når alt kommer til alt, er én af de afgørende ting i ethvert menneskes liv; nemlig gennem sit eget liv at bidrage til at forbedre vilkårene for ens børns liv, eller, hvis man ikke selv har børn, for alle børn, for de efterlevende. Dette er altså de to områder, der

virkelig er afgørende.

Med hele operationen, der går ud på at udføre et kup imod præsident Trump, har han ikke fuldt ud handlet på disse spørgsmål, og har i visse områder faktisk handlet i modstrid med dette løfte. Det var tilfældet, da han, uden nogen beviser for, at Syrien rent faktisk var engageret i brugen af kemiske våben, som det blev påstået, bombede den syrisk luftbase. Den nylige tale, han holdt om Afghanistan, har tilknyttede farer, fordi der ikke findes en militær løsning i sig selv i Afghanistan, og vi har allerede været der i seksten år uden de store resultater; ja, faktisk er én ting, man har opnået i Afghanistan, at verden er blevet oversvømmet med heroin fra opiumsafgrøden i dette land.

På hjemmefronten er der blevet skabt mange jobs gennem de forholdsregler, han hidtil har truffet, inklusive ikke at overholde frihandelsaftalerne, og andre lignende bestræbelser for at bringe jobs tilbage til USA, i modsætning til at outsource dem under disse frihandelsaftaler, men generelt er situationen ikke forbedret. For at gøre dette må vi vedtage Glass-Steagall, og vi må vedtage Lyndon LaRouches Fire Love, der omfatter Glass-Steagall; som omfatter at udstede statskredit gennem en (statslig) Nationalbank, hvor vægten ligger på kapitalintensive former for varefremstilling, og det omfatter, at vi forpligter os til fremtiden ved at udvikle fusionskraft og ved at genoplive rumprogrammet (der, der i LaRouches Fire Love kaldes en videnskabsdrevet økonomi, -red.). Det er, hvad der er nødvendigt lige nu, og Helga og Lyndon LaRouche har krævet, at vi lancerer en hasteaktion i dette land for at sikre, at disse politikker rent faktisk nu bliver implementeret, for man er i færd med at inddæmme præsident Trump. Han er ganske bestemt kampklar over for sine fjender, men han bliver i stigende grad inddæmmet. Vi har vilkår i landet, som kineserne sammenligner med Kulturrevolutionen under Mao Zedong med hensyn til det tyranni, der etableres af nyhedsmedierne og af de tidligere

efterretningsagenter som Clapper, Brennan, Comey, Mueller og hans stilling som særlig anklager, og af Demokrater og også modstandere af præsident Trump i det Republikanske Parti. Vi har betingelser som under McCarthy-perioden, der er i færd med at blive skabt i landet, og som er ekstraordinært farligt, og det forhindrer den form for samarbejde, der kræves, med især russerne og kineserne.

Vi har længe været fortalere for, at USA tilslutter sig BRIKS-nationerne, dvs., Brasilien, Rusland, Indien, Kina og Sydafrika, i forbindelse med det, der nu er blevet kendt som Kinas politik for Ét Bælte, én Vej, eller Silkevejen, eller det, vi har kaldt Verdenslandbroen, og som blev initieret af Lyndon og Helga LaRouche for årtier siden, og som kineserne har vedtaget. Vi må arbejde med på dette projekt, som er et projekt for fred, der er baseret på økonomisk udvikling. Vi ser, at der er mulighed for succes gennem de fremskridt, der nu gøres i Syrien som resultat af det faktum, at USA, efter præsident Trumps møde med præsident Putin, har aftalt at etablere en deeskaleringszone i det sydvestlige Syrien. Og vi har nu en situation, hvor der ganske vist ikke er direkte, fælles militæraktioner mellem USA og Rusland imod ISIS og al-Nusra, men ikke desto mindre, så, som den russiske forsvarsminister netop har udtalt, er borgerkrigen i Syrien *de facto* forbi. Og vi har nu en meget succesfuld indsats for at udslette ISIS, ikke alene i Irak, men også i Syrien.

Dette er altså et eksempel på, hvad der kan gøres. Tager vi andre områder i verden, Nordkorea, så kunne man få samarbejde mellem Kina, Rusland, Japan og Sydkorea for at løse denne situation, men man må aftale at gøre det, russerne og kineserne har krævet, og som tyskerne faktisk også har været fortalere for, og som er en dobbelt indefrysning, hvor nordkoreanerne indvilger i ikke at afholde flere missiltests, ikke flere atomraket-tests, og USA og Sydkorea indvilger i ikke at afholde flere militærøvelser, som i hvert fald før i tiden omfattede at fjerne den nordkoreanske regering. Dette er

de tiltag, man må gennemføre. På samme måde i Afghanistan; se på situationen dér. I stedet for, at USA går sammen med NATO efter seksten års nederlag, så bør man i stedet gå i samarbejde med Rusland, Kina, Indien, Pakistan og Iran for at afgøre krisen i Afghanistan. Det er der en fremtrædende mulighed for at gøre, men det kræver, at man faktisk træffer beslutningen om at gøre det. Lignende kriser, som den i Ukraine, kan løses på samme måde.

Dette repræsenterer altså et Nyt Paradigme for tankegang, hvilket er, hvad Helga Zepp-LaRouche har refereret til. Man har det gamle paradigme, der bygger på geopolitik, bygger på frihandelsøkonomi og en ganske bestemt form for selvished. Og så er der det Nye Paradigme, der bygger på det, kineserne kalder en »win-win«-fremgangsmåde. Dette er ikke kun en kinesisk opfindelse; det er faktisk den måde, hvorpå man fik en afslutning på Trediveårskrigen i Europa. Dette var en religionskrig mellem katolikker og protestanter, der lagde Europa øde i 30 år. Den blev afsluttet i den Westfalske Fredstraktat, i hvilken det princip, der afgjorde fredsprocessen, var, at man, når man handler, tager den andens fordel i betragtning. Det er den form for fremgangsmåde, vi må have. Det er en win-win-strategi.

På en vis måde har vi det fænomen, at størstedelen af resten af verden har vedtaget princippet fra den Westfalske Fredstraktat, og som vil sige, at man har en udenrigspolitik, der bygger på den andens fordel, og ikke på ens egen, snævert definerede egeninteresse. Vi har resten af verden, der er forpligtet over for en politik for fred og udvikling, hvilket var den politik, som nu afdøde Pave Poul VI (1963-1978) var fortaler for, i en encyklika ('pavebrev') med titlen *Populorum Progressio*, og som grundlæggende set sagde, at det nye navn for fred er økonomisk udvikling. Det er det nye paradigme, og hvis vi ikke gennemfører dette nye paradigme nu, og kæmper for det nu – det er et globalt paradigme, men det må gennemføres her i USA i form af LaRouches Fire Love – men det må være en

del af en global strategi for at opnå succes. Det er, hvad vi må mobilisere det amerikanske folk for, for at de forstår, at enten, så gør vi dette, eller også står vi over for en fare for, at situationen vil udvikle sig ude af kontrol; faren for totalt kaos i USA, og fjernelsen af en behørigt valgt præsident fra sit embede, af politiske årsager, ja faktisk af en udenlandsk regering, Det britiske Imperium. Og dét ville betyde faren for atomkrig.

Det er grunden til, at Lyndon og Helga LaRouche har sagt, at dette er en dystre situation, der kræver nødhandling. Og her vil jeg slutte, og vi vil sige mere om det i besvarelse af jeres spørgsmål.

**Speed:** Fint. Mange tak. Vi går nu over til spørgsmål; folk trykker stjerne 6 for at komme i køen, og mens folk tænker over deres spørgsmål, mener jeg, at det, Will sagde, giver stof til eftertanke; vi må tænke 'ud over kassen'; det er det afgørende netop nu.

*Herefter følger spørgsmål og svar i engelsk udskrift.*

*Telefonkonferencen kan høres her:*

[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=h\\_4ZjBMcgXk](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=h_4ZjBMcgXk)

SPEED: Okay, great. Thanks very much, Will. We will be moving to

the question portion of this. People hit star 6 to the queue and

while people are thinking of their questions, and I think what Will said gives a lot of food for thought, we have to think outside of the box; this is the key thing right now.

We have two important national meetings that are coming up which will emanate out of Manhattan, but which are really national and international meetings to address precisely the crises we have just been identifying. The first will be this Saturday and it's a meeting, "Revive Hamilton's American System

and the Presidency through LaRouche's Four Laws." That meeting

will be keynoted by Helga LaRouche via video on Google Hangouts.

She'll be joined by Hal Cooper; she'll be joined by others who are leading construction managers and engineers in the area, as

well as by Jason Ross from the LaRouche Science Team. Everyone should tune in for that. And then, in two weeks, we will be hosting a very special meeting on September 9th, which is of course the weekend of 9/11, and since we first conceptualized this meeting the situation has changed rather dramatically.

This

is the weekend where it would be very appropriate and lawful to

mobilize people to crush the British Empire by implementing LaRouche's Four Laws, and to implement the Four Laws by crushing

the British Empire, so it's a self-reflexive idea. You can't do

one without the other. We need everyone who is on the phone this

evening and those who will be listening subsequently to decide in

what way you can best expand the reach of particularly the Saturday, September 9th webcast, that will be a live webcast.

Once again we will be joined and keynoted by Helga LaRouche, I believe. Will Wertz, who is with us tonight, will be at that meeting, and we will also have William Binney from the VIPS organization and Raymond McGovern from the VIPS organization. Let

me correct that, I don't know if Helga will be on that, but we'll

have Will Wertz and the other two that I mentioned. We want to have standing room only for the event, so any of you who can come

into New York at that time should do that, but we also want to look into holding a series of satellite meetings around the country where we could have possibly tens of thousands of

people

watching the meeting in real time. And in this way we can create

a kind of surprise effect. Between now and then one of the ways

we could build this is through the gathering of the signatures on

our petition demanding that Trump investigate the charges of the

VIPS, that Russia-gate was not a hack, it was a hoax and to get

many people to subscribe to our publications. So I think this a

very appropriate period and opportunity right now to in fact use

the crisis to wake people up, shake people up and many people are

just disgusted with what is otherwise going on. They are hungry

for solutions and this is certainly what we are seeing in a lot

of our organizing in the field.

We are going to go to the questions that are lined up. Hit Star 6 to get in the queue. Go ahead, can you hear me?

Q 1: This is Sarah from Indiana. And I just wanted to make a comment, but I think it's very important in light of what the

gentleman was talking [about], is that China has, within three years raised up 700 million people out of poverty in only 3 years. There's a new article floating on the internet that in the last 15 years, the United States has increased terrorism by

6500%; so kind of a little bit of a difference there. The fact

that 700 million is over twice the population of the United States. So, it is so vital for people to realize that within

three years the United States could be totally out of deficit, if people choose it.

WERTZ: Well, I think the other thing to look at is that China, under Mao Zedong and the Cultural Revolution, was a horrendous, tyrannical society in which the population was very much oppressed; particularly intellectuals. People who actually thought, and not just the politically correct views of Chairman Mao and his Little Red Book. Now what you have is a situation where, not only – as you point out – over 700 million people have been lifted out of poverty, but China is playing a very positive role on a global scale, if you look at what they're doing. They're a member of the BRICS, which I mentioned earlier, which is Brazil, Russia, India, China, and the Union of South Africa; a very unique organization because it represents a wide range of countries in the world. They're committed to a policy of economic development. Now the BRICS will have, I think it's its 9th annual summit in China in early September; this will be the 3rd to the 5th of September. The title of the conference is "Stronger Partnership for a Brighter Future". Of course the Chinese have invited the United States to join this effort, which is involved with the One Belt, One Road – or the Silk Road – perspective. Obama, of course, refused. Obama put massive pressure on other countries, including Japan, Australia, South Korea, not to work with China in terms of the major development



bank which they set up. On the other hand, after his meeting with President Xi, President Trump did send a delegation to the One Belt, One Road summit which occurred in Beijing earlier this year. So, the point here is that you've got – and this One Belt, One Road effort now includes something like 69 countries; and it's a conception of nations throughout the world working together to lift all of their populations out of poverty, and also to counter the tendency under conditions of poverty for people to be pitted against each other for racial, religious, ethnic, tribal reasons. Not really good reasons, but to be manipulated against each other under conditions of poverty. So this, in a certain sense, is a model which we should absolutely join at this point; and see it along with the implementation of LaRouche's Four Laws in the United States as the means of actually accomplishing rates of growth in the range of 7%-8% per year, as they have achieved in China previously. That's the kind of thing that we have as a potential which we have to move with right now. Again, I stress, this is urgent; it's not something to be done in the distant future. It's urgent because it will also be a tremendous flank on the current effort to unseat the duly-elected President of the United States. If he moves with that, that will mobilize the entire population – the forgotten men and women of this country that he references, as did Franklin Roosevelt. It's the way to actually unite the country, as he said after Charlottesville. It's also something which Andy Young, who worked with Martin Luther King, emphasized this past Sunday. He said the biggest problem in the country

is  
poverty, and that that's what you have to focus on, as opposed  
to  
turning everything into a race issue.

SPEED: OK, very good. If you would like to ask a question,  
hit star 6. If you would like to get in the queue and ask a  
question, you have to call in from a line in which your number  
ID  
is registered. We do not take anonymous calls, and there's  
one  
caller in here now with an anonymous number, so maybe you  
could  
hang up and call back in on a line that can be seen; and then  
we  
can call on you. So, it's star 6 to get into the queue, and  
we'll go to the next question. Go ahead.

Q 2: Hi, this is Sherry in New York. I'm very much aware  
of what's been going on. I have called into the White House  
probably about three times in the last six days. One young  
woman  
with whom I spoke yesterday had never heard about the program  
of  
what's been going on against Trump. What's wrong with the  
Congress; I think they all need Xanax, which is an anti-  
anxiety  
drug. It's a joke, I don't mean it seriously.

SPEED: Sherry, we have a lot of people in the queue, so I'm  
going to ask you and everyone else to be succinct and get to  
your  
question.

Q 2 [cont'd]: Again, it is the problem that there is such a  
barrage against the President. The fact that he can function  
at  
all is amazing. Outside of our street demonstrations and

calling

the White House with encouraging words, I don't know what else to do.

WERTZ: Well, first on the anti-depression drugs. I know it's a joke, but really what's required is creativity. And an actual passion for the good, which is traditionally been identified with love for humanity, love for the truth. That which goes to the issue of the Treaty of Westphalia again; that you act to the benefit of others. But the problem is, we've got a situation where the American people have to realize that they also have to think out of the box in terms of how they've been conditioned. I want to give you just one example, which I find very useful. It's the battle of Cannae, which occurred in 2016BC. This was in Italy, and it was fought between the Romans and the Carthaginians. The Carthaginians were led by Hannibal, a Carthaginian general. I'm citing this because it's a good example; it's a classical military example. Of course we're not talking about a military implementation in terms of our action, but we're talking about a state of mind. What he did was, he encircled the Roman troops. The Roman troops amounted to 85,000 total; Hannibal's forces were much less – 56,000. They had their backs to a river and the Romans were massing for a frontal assault on Hannibal's forces. What he did was, he created like a V, and he drew the Romans into a frontal assault, just

marching

straight into this V. They actually became entrapped, and they

were so densely packed that they couldn't even use their own weapons. Then what he did was, he used his cavalry to encircle

the Roman forces and to strike them from the rear. It's an enveloping flanking operation. The Romans were completely devastated; Hannibal lost less than 6000 troops, and the Romans

– out of 85,000 – lost over 70,000 dead or captured.

What I'm getting at here is an encircling action; I'm getting at getting outside of the box. You've got to actually encircle the enemy from the standpoint of the mind, the standpoint of being creative. That's why there are really two initiatives which we've been engaged in. One is the petition against the intervention in the United States by the British Empire to overthrow a duly-elected US President. Also, to get President Trump to move on the evidence presented by the VIPS

–

the Veteran Intelligence Professionals for Sanity – that it wasn't a Russian hacking; that it was a leak. The whole thing is

just a big lie, that's all this is. Just like Adolf Hitler, a big lie; that's what Mr. Binney said.

Q 2 [cont'd]: If you're going to lie, make it a big one.

WERTZ: Right. And even Scott Ritter, the weapons inspector for Iraq said that having read this report, this borders on sedition against a duly-elected President of the United States.

Now, that's one initiative. The second initiative is to move to

get President Trump to realize that he has got to encircle the enemy and hit them from the rear. The best way to do that is to

go with LaRouche's Four Laws and to join the Silk Road; that's the policy that he needs to move on. So, that's the use of creativity, and you don't get boxed in, you don't operate on the basis of the options which you think you're presented with; which are not good options. They lead to self-destruction. So, you look for the flanking operation. And these are the two flanking operations which Lyndon and Helga LaRouche have identified.

Q 2 [cont'd]: That's exactly the point I made when I called the White House. I think I call them two or three times a week.

I find that the number I use, instead of the 1111, I call the 1414; that's 202 456-1414. I always get in on that line. They ask me if I want the comment line; I say yes, and I get heard.

SPEED: OK, that's good information, Sherry. We're going to go on, because we have a number of other callers, and we want to get to a lot of people. It's star 6 to get into the queue.

One question I would certainly have for everyone is, what is happening on the petitioning? That's a major flank we had actually outlined last week. We got, while we were on the phone and immediately subsequent to the conference call, we added about 50 signatures. It turned out that a number of the people who were on the call had not yet signed, and I don't know if that is still the case. I see some new people on, so if you have not signed, go to the LaRouche PAC website. It is the approximately third item down; "President Trump: Investigate British

Subversion

of the US" and click on that and sign the petition. Also, once you sign it, you should immediately send it out to others to sign it. I believe we're at about 1381 signatures as of right now, so it would be good if even during this phone call we can get over 1500. And then build from that. I think we certainly should take as a goal over the period between now and the weekend, of trying once again to get to 2500 as a basic plateau. So, people should definitely sign on to that. OK, so we are going to go to the next caller right now.

Q 3: Hi, this is Dallas in Florida. Several things. On this petition, how many signatures are we looking for on the petition?

SPEED: I don't think we set a certain number, because we don't know what it will require to get Trump to move. He might move if we have, certainly I would say a plateau of 5-10,000 signatures. We should be able to do much better than that, but I think the idea would be to get to some sort of plateau like that as rapidly as possible and see if we can get some motion, even before the activities that we have that I mentioned a little bit earlier that we have coming up on the weekend of September 11th; that's the September 9th conference.

Q 3 [cont'd]: OK, I'd like to suggest, what I do is I put something up on Facebook, and I put something up on twitter,

and

something on Tumblr, and then something on LinkedIn, and then I'll put the links let's say on twitter. Then, there's a website

called the 40billion.com; and they'll get your twitter out to 2.5

million for \$20 for a day. So, that's an inexpensive way to get

that out there, get the tweets. I'm not real effective but I'm

up to like 7000 hits on my tweets for the last month.

SPEED: That's great. Have you sent the petition out yet?

Q 3 [cont'd]: Yeah, I put it on my Facebook, but I didn't cross link it. See, you know, internet kinda goes on about where

you got it over here and over here and over here. It rises up in

the hits categories, you know? The search engines.

SPEED: OK. The tweet thing sounds great, where you're saying you can get it to an additional 20,000.

Q 3 [cont'd]: No, 2.5 million for \$20!

SPEED: 2.5 million, OK! Sounds great. You should add to that, notify people now that they should tune in live on September 9th, where the authors of the VIPS memo, the leaders who submitted the report to the President, will be directly addressing a crowd in New York. We should see if we can create

the kind of shock effect surprise that I was describing a few minutes ago; get something like 50,000 viewers all at one time.

Cause it to go viral instantly, and cause all of the people that

are tuning in to get more people to get involved. So, we will

send out in the follow-up, a link to how people can tune in to the webcast and so on; and let's just really build that over the next couple of weeks and organize like Hell between now and then.

Q 3 [cont'd]: OK, that'd be good. Now one observation here, one real quick successful action is, I got friends in Panama, and there's a Colonel Prado[ph] down there in Colombia that took out that 100,000-strong rebel army down there; they call it the Colombian Miracle. So, thinking out of the box, you might call Colonel Prado in, and see what he did down there and solve some things.

With the British, this is very good. The main thing that's caused me concern in the last 48 hours is this idea that it's a civil war that we're having, and they're promoting that. I think it's the Trojan Horse. Trump warned us about having a Trojan Horse with these immigrants coming in, and I think that's really what it is. We don't have a civil war, but we have a Soros-funded mercenary army out there fighting with their baseball bats and whatnot. The situation, they upped the ante in the last 24 hours by saying they're bringing in the UN, might come in to protect the anti-fa and the Black Lives Matter as a matter of human rights in case we got to having an armed conflict with them, and it looks like they're going to lose. So, that's a potential flare-up that needs to be squelched, and see what kind



of British influence is influencing the UN, when we're talking about getting the British influence out. Start squelching them

so we don't have that possibility of a UN invasion.

WERTZ: I wouldn't, I don't think it occurs on that level.

The level on which you've got to look at this is how this entire

operation against President Trump started. It was started by MI-6, which is the British equivalent of the CIA. A so-called "former" MI-6 agent, Christopher Steele, was paid to put together

a dossier of unverified material which he then circulated very widely to Obama's intelligence agency stooges like Brennan and Comey and Clapper. This is the roadmap on which they're operating; so this is straight British intelligence MI-6. The second indication of this is something called the Government Communication Headquarters, which is a pretty dull name for what

is the British equivalent of the NSA. They were the ones who, according to the published accounts – and the published accounts

may not be completely true, but what the published accounts say

is that the head of the GCHQ went directly to Brennan. In other

words, they don't have to operate under the US Constitution, and

the restraints of the US Constitution surveilling Trump associates, so they surveilled Trump associates all over the world. Then they go to Brennan, the head of the CIA, who's not

supposed to operate domestically according to the charter; and he

puts together a six-intelligence agency taskforce to begin to investigate Trump in the middle of the Presidential campaign.

There are various reports as to when this occurred, some say

it

was in the Summer of 2016, which is the time of the Republican convention; others say it was before that. But the point is, once Trump was a serious threat to win the nomination, the GCHQ

began to conduct what would be illegal surveillance in the United

States against Trump and his associates. This is all done in collaboration with Obama and with Comey and with Clapper, and with Brennan in particular. And of course, we've gotten Wikileaks that Brennan put together a cyber warfare unit in the

CIA of over 5000 employees; it rivals the NSA.

So, this is what we're talking about. And you see how prominent Clapper and Brennan – even in the last few days – in going after President Trump. So the point is, you've got a Clinton-Obama-Comey-Brennan-Clapper operation, which is in fact,

funded by Soros. Soros, his pedigree is British; that's what his

pedigree is. So, if you go after this and investigate this, then

you will upset the entire coup plot. But then combine that – it's got to be combined with the economic program. So, that's the way we have to do it. The other stuff becomes a lower-level

fixation which gets you not to think strategically. That's what

you've got to do. You've got to clear your mind so you can think

strategically and creatively in terms of who the enemy is, and how to defeat the enemy. What we've defined is two initiatives

which are critical to defeating the enemy right now; and we've got to get President Trump to move on these as quickly as possible.

Q 3 [cont'd]: What about Obama giving the internet to the UN?

WERTZ: Listen, the UN has got all sorts of problems; but frankly, it's not the primary problem in the world. It's basically an assembly of nations; it's as good as it's made. There are good things that are done at the UN when people collaborate. When they don't collaborate, and it's used for geopolitical purposes, including by the British, then it's a mess. For instance, the UN has backed all of the initiatives that have been taken – I mean, look at Syria. You've got Turkey and Iran working together with the Russians. A Sunni country, a predominantly Shi'a country, and they're working with the Russians to defeat terrorism and to restore stability and sovereignty to Syria. That's a positive development which has been backed by the UN Security Council. The UN as a whole in a number of cases has actually positively responded to the Chinese Silk Road, or One Belt, One Road Initiative. So, it's really a question of, do the countries who are members of the UN change the way in which they function so they collaborate to solve problems and create a prosperous future for all of mankind? That's the real issue. It has nothing to do with the UN per se as an institution; it's as good or as bad as its members make it. But you have to look beyond the UN to the question of the British; and you look at it through the whole history. We're talking about two systems, and it goes back before the British. For instance, the German poet Friedrich Schiller, who I mentioned earlier, he wrote a piece called "On Solon and Lycurgus". Solon was the head of Athens; Lycurgus was the

head

of Sparta. They had two completely different systems. Under Solon, as Schiller wrote, he had respect for human nature and never sacrificed the people to the state. Never the ends to the

means; rather he let the state serve the people, and all paths were open to genius. And the basic principle was, the progress

of the mind should be the purpose of the state. So, that's [inaud; 53:07], that's like what our country was designed to be;

it hasn't always been that, but that's what we would want it to

be. That's what you would want other nations to be like that. Lycurgus, on the other hand, the way Schiller characterizes it is

as follows: The laws were iron chains which pulled down the mind. All industry was barred; all science neglected. His state

could only persist under one condition – that the mind of the people stagnates. If you look at it, there's another Greek mythology. Zeus on the one hand, was a tyrannical, Olympic so-called "god". He wanted to suppress mankind; he was threatened by the idea that mankind might actually develop technology, develop science, educate themselves, learn languages

and so forth. Prometheus gave man fire; that is, technology. He

also gave him a Promethean method of thinking, which is the creative method of thinking. So, you have two systems. This is,

in a certain sense, like when Helga LaRouche talks about the New

Paradigm, she's talking about the paradigm of Solon, the paradigm

of Prometheus; versus the imperial policy of depressing the mental creative capacity of the population in order to

maintain

political control. The British are like the Roman Empire, like the Venetian Empire; the British Empire has a policy of reducing the world's population and keeping people dumb in order to politically control them.

Q 3 [cont'd]: Exactly right.

SPEED: OK, great. We're going to go on to the next question here. Once again, hit star 6 to get into the queue. Just say your first name and what state you're calling in from.

Q 4: Hello, this is Wally in Denver. I was reading on the computer about a problem. The Ukrainian government was complaining that Russia was impinging on its sovereignty by constructing a road to Crimea. Do you have any information about that?

WERTZ: Yeah, sure. Here's the, the picture is just straightforward. Obama put Nazis in power in Kiev with the backing of the British and many of the members of the European Union. It's basically part of a strategy to move eastward to the borders of Russia. When the Soviet Union collapsed, it was agreed upon between George HW Bush and Gorbachev and other participants, that NATO would not move eastward. But that's precisely what they've done, which is part of a geopolitical strategy. So, they're basically moving to try to encircle Russia, and Ukraine was a critical aspect of that policy. So what they did was, they backed Nazi groups in Ukraine to take power. Now you had the duly-elected President – Yanukovych – in Ukraine; and under the Constitution of Ukraine, a President cannot be removed from office unless he's impeached. They never

impeached him; they never brought impeachment. What they did was, the thugs in the street who were members of what is called the Right Sector, and these guys trace themselves back to an actual Nazi who worked with Hitler, named Stepan Bandera. During World War II, his organization was involved in killing tens of thousands of Poles and Jews, working with Hitler. That's what this group traces its background to. The US knows that, because after the war, people like Allen Dulles and MI-6 of Britain brought Bandera and his top aide to London and the United States. Because at that point, they wanted to use the Nazis against the Soviet Union, particularly in Ukraine. The Soviet Union fell, but nonetheless, that's the policy which they've continued to this day. So, they carried out a coup against the duly-elected President, and among the things that they were going to do, is outlaw the use of the Russian language as a second official language in Ukraine. So, the people of Crimea voted in a referendum, called self-determination under the UN Charter, to sever themselves from Ukraine where a coup d'État had been carried out by Nazis, and to join Russia. So, Russia acknowledged that democratic vote, based on the principle of self-determination in the face of a Nazi coup. See, here you've got people in the United States up in arms against Nazi KKK white supremacists; but in fact, Obama put Nazis in power in Ukraine. John McCain backed Nazis in power in Ukraine. The political establishment in Washington DC is backing Nazis in Ukraine; white supremacists in Ukraine. These people who support Nazis then get

upset about a staged incident in Charlottesville, which was staged probably with provocateurs both among the Nazis and KKK,

and also within the anti-fa – the anti-fascist organization – the guys with the black masks, black helmets, and black uniforms

who carry out violence in all of these events.

So, this thing was set up. If you look at the people involved on the Democratic Party side in Charlottesville, they're

all former employees of the State Department, they're all funded

by George Soros. This is the mayor, Michael Signer; it is the guy who took the video of the deranged guy who mowed the protester and injured others – his name is Brennan Gilmore; and

another guy, Tom Periello. They are all funded by, they all worked with John Podesta's Center for American Progress; which is

the center of the so-called Resist movement against Trump in the

United States. And they were all there, along with McAuliffe, who's a longstanding supporter of the Clintons. They basically

immediately used this to go after Trump; it was like you put two

chemicals together which you know will react with an explosion.

You don't separate the demonstrators, and then you prepare to use

the incident – whether you planned the specific incident or not

– you use the incident to go after the President of the United States. These are the same guys who backed Nazis in Ukraine.

That's the hypocritical irony of this entire operation.

SPEED: OK, excellent.

Q 4 [cont'd]: Then CNN wants to call it civil war, and we're calling that fake news; that it's not civil war.

WERTZ: Sure. I mean, it's like Syria. It wasn't a civil war. It was a deliberate policy on the part of Obama to carry out regime change against countries which had nothing to do with

al-Qaeda. Iraq had nothing to do with al-Qaeda; Libya had nothing to do with al-Qaeda, except to put them in prison. Syria

had nothing to do with al-Qaeda. Saudi Arabia did. Britain did.

Because Saudi Arabia is just a satrap of the British Empire. But

the point is, that what happened in Syria was that they brought

in terrorists from all over the world – from Chechnya in Russia,

from Europe, from Tunisia, from Libya, and so forth – in a war of aggression against a sovereign state which is a member of the

UN; and then they call it a civil war. But this was Obama; this

was one of the great crimes of Obama. This a guy who committed

extra-judicial murders against – among others – American citizens after meetings that he held on Tuesdays every week with

Brennan in the Oval Office. It would be like Caligula at the Coliseum; he puts his thumb up or down; this guy is to be killed.

And that's what they did. So, this is what we're talking about

here. This is the real evil in this thing, is people like Obama.

As Lyndon LaRouche has always emphasized, Obama was trained by his stepfather, who was involved in the genocide in Indonesia



back in the 1960s; that's where he grew up, with that stepfather.

Obama's a murderer and a supporter of Nazis.

SPEED: OK, thank you. We have quite a few more questions, so I'm going to move on to the next questioner.

Q 5: This is Greg from St. Louis. Just wanted to make a couple of points for the question out there. One, obviously the analysis of the regime change. The same thing is happening that's attacking the Trump administration, is an attempt to have a regime change, if you will. We simply call it an administration change. So we know all the tools and all the games that they play are related to that. My real issue becomes for me, is the psychosis of Donald Trump himself. I mean, we're putting a lot of marbles in this guy's basket, so to speak. We know he's a wild card; we really didn't know, but for me, it's important that we say what's happening with him as well. It's not like he's not aware of the VIPS report; not like he's not aware of many of these things. My question is, how do we really get him to understand the need to push that VIPS report and get that out there so that we can get at the crux of the Deep State that's attacking him, as well as pushing for this whole war issue, not only within the United States, but across the world?

WERTZ: Well, that's – it's not like there's some particular series of tactics that will do this. What we've talked about is two flanks in terms of what he needs to do. In

terms of going with the VIPS, going after the British, and on the other hand, going with LaRouche's Four Laws and the Silk Road. But what you've got to do is, you've got to reach a certain threshold of activity in the country, including among those who support President Trump; but you've also in the process got to create a situation where it becomes much more difficult as the truth gets out, for certain Democrats and certain Republicans who ran against Trump from carrying out the kind of insanity that they're engaged in. So, that's the only way you can do this. You have to mobilize people who support Trump to demand that he take action on these, and that they will support him if he does that. And similarly, you've got to create an environment in which these people like Clapper and Brennan and Comey or Mueller, that they're not actually – you've got to box them in. That's why I raised this battle of Cannae in terms of encirclement. The VIPS boxes in Mueller, it boxes in Comey and Brennan and Clapper. But you've also got to box in the Democrats; these people say they're for Glass-Steagall – many of them. They say they're for working people, some of them; not all that many of them. Certainly Clinton wasn't too interested in working people. But the point is, that is the party of FDR, the party of Kennedy; or it used to be. So, you've got to really create the situation in which you basically make it clear to them that if Trump takes the initiative on this, that calls the bluff on these Democrats,

who  
are running around. They say they're for Glass-Steagall, and  
yet  
they're calling for the impeachment of a President who's for  
Glass-Steagall when Obama was absolutely opposed to  
Glass-Steagall; as was Hillary Clinton. And they know that.  
So, they're engaged in a certain kind of fraud, which needs  
to be exposed by calling their bluff. If they're real human  
beings – and you hope that they are on some level – then  
they'll respond. So you've got to basically do both things by  
a  
mobilization of the population.

Q 5 [cont'd]: How do we box in Trump? I understand boxing in  
some of those people around him, but Trump himself? His own  
psychosis is, you're not sure what you're going to get out of  
this guy at any given time, so you have to force the office of  
the President to do what you want it to do. So what is that  
that  
has to box in Trump, so to speak? I know we've talked about  
boxing in all these other folks, but he's going to be the head  
at  
the head of the arrow; so what are we doing to box him in?

WERTZ: We're mobilizing in these two respects; which  
includes "OK, you said you're for Glass-Steagall. You say  
that  
the best way to actually improve human relations, but  
specifically race relations in this country, is to create  
jobs."  
Look at the drug plague. If you don't have decent jobs, which  
we  
used to have in urban areas. Baltimore used to have  
shipbuilding; we used to have steel building, steelworks in  
Baltimore. Now they've got a tourist harbor, and that's it.  
You  
don't have the high-paying jobs that you need, so that people

aren't prey to drugs and sales of drugs and so forth, and to gangs – which are related to drugs. So, that's what you've got

to actually get him to move on that, but in a certain sense, I think you've just got to convince him that he's combative, but he's not really being combative on the level that he needs to be.

He clearly thinks that he is under complete fire; and you can't

deny that. They called for his assassination. I put together a

list for a webcast last Friday, of the calls for his assassination, impeachment, or forced resignation, or the use of

the 25th Amendment against him. This started with the {Spectator}, which is a British paper, started out saying "Will

Donald Trump be assassinated, impeached, or forced to resign?"

That was on January 21st. You know the other cases: Johnny Depp, Madonna, Kathy Griffin. You can go through the list.

So,

you know that this guy really feels that he is under siege.

So,

he is combative with his tweets and so forth, but the issue here

is, if you put this out on the table, if you get this spread widely enough, and he sees that there is support for taking these

kinds of actions; and sees that this is an effective flanking operation against those who would destroy this country, then you've got a shot at actually getting him to move on it.

That's

the only thing I can say.

SPEED: Yeah, and if I could just add to that. That is precisely what the meeting we're holding this Saturday is all about. You may have gotten on a little after I went through

this, Greg. This Saturday, we're having a major meeting on Hamilton and LaRouche's Four Laws as a solution for this crisis.

The only way you're going to be able to crush the British Empire

is with the added flank of the Four Laws and the solution.

Conversely, the only way you're going to actually get the Four Laws is by crushing the British Empire. So, the two things really work hand-in-glove. What we have to do, is – and this is

really, we've got to go out and we've got to just get to the American population. The support for Trump from Trump's base up

until now has been completely inadequate. People might come out,

but very often these people have to be educated. They'll come out, and they're talking about a wall or monument; they really should be talking about Trump joining the One Belt, One Road; the

Silk Road perspective. That's what we've got to have; and going

after the British. So, that's a matter of us educating people.

But similarly, we talked about the Democrats. Frankly, the Bernie Sanders supporters, which may have been greater than the

Hillary supporters; let's bear in mind if anybody stole anybody's

votes and manipulated the election, it was some things that were

going on around that campaign. Which, by the way, when that came

out, they've never said, "Oh, gee, that's not true." They said,

"Oh, well, the Russians did that." So, therefore, yeah, it might

be true, but we can't listen to it, because it was the

Russians.

Why aren't the Sanders supporters up in arms? I'm not really asking that rhetorically; I think that's a matter of us really organizing and drawing this out.

Now, that is what we have been doing increasingly in the streets in New York City; and we should expand this, and also somewhat in the Midwest. But I'll just give you a sense of some

of the results. On Monday, we had three teams out in Manhattan,

Staten Island, and Long Island which raised \$1400; which is extremely good. We got out about 500 copies of the {Hamiltonian}; we collected a number of petition signatures.

What was interesting is that one of these deployments was in the

middle of Manhattan, which obviously did not go overwhelmingly for Trump; in fact, it went overwhelmingly for Hillary. So, we

were deployed in front of the Fox News building in midtown; and

pretty much everyone who considered themselves a Trump supporter

who came up to our table had already come to the conclusion that

Russia-gate and Charlottesville were part of the same operation.

We were expecting far more hostility than what existed, and there

were several African-Americans who signed on to the petition.

Some of them had voted for Trump, some people had not, and so on.

And then you got a certain amount of confusion that existed.

We had another deployment, I think this was out in Queens

yesterday, where we actually had a gaggle of these women –

literally witches; they had everything but the black skirts and

broomsticks with them. They came out with signs and so on.

It

was a very interesting deployment; it was about 10 or 12 of them

who rotated through the day, attempting to yell at people, dissuade them from signing up, signing the petition and so on.

Their polemic – and this is how you could see it was really organized – it was not around Trump, it was not around Charlottesville; it was actually “Oh, Lyndon LaRouche. You don't

want to sign up with LaRouche; that's a cult. Stop signing up.”

The response by and large from people coming up was basically, “Get out of my face! I don't want to talk with you; I'm signing

up with these people” and so on. So, we ended up, I believe on

that deployment, getting something like six or seven people got

memberships; lots and lots of people giving their names – over 25 contacts – and so forth and so on.

So, that's what's out there, and I think part of it is that we've got to out and tell the population themselves they're not

doing enough. That's how you box in Trump. We've got to mobilize more of the population; they've got to be organized around a strategic objective. Stop these wars, and go with the

economic policy. That's what Trump was voted in for, that's what

he's got to do, and that's what the American people have got to

demand. That's what was put so beautifully and clearly by Andy

Young in his statement on “Meet the Press”. Everybody should really read that, and I think that can be very useful in our organizing. So, that's just what I would add to what Will said.

WERTZ: It's a very principled issue. The countries are destroyed to the extent to which citizens of the countries don't take responsibility for the republic, for their Constitution. That's why the basic concept expressed in the Declaration of Independence is the principle of government by the consent of the governed. Similarly, what Lincoln said – government of, for, and by the people. The basic point is, don't depend on Congress; don't depend on a President. As good as the President may be, they very often are going to operate upon pragmatic conceptions, or what they think is opportune, or what they think is possible for them to do. For instance, the Civil Rights movement had a force. They had a force with Eisenhower, they had a force with Kennedy, they had a force with Johnson to take action. That's the way you have to really look at this. The point is, if you are operating from the standpoint of the vital interests of the nation and of humanity as a whole, you're operating on the basis of principle and of reason; then you have authority within yourself as a citizen of a country – and also the responsibility as a citizen of a country, and as a citizen of the world – to take action and see that those actions which are required are acted upon by an elected official. They're supposed to represent us; they get elected by us, and they're supposed to represent our best interests. I think that's really the issue. And you have to educate yourself so that you know for certain with scientific



certainty, that what you're advocating is actually a policy which is required and must be implemented.

So, what we've defined is a policy that must be implemented. Take the Four Laws. You have Democrats who say they're for Glass-Steagall, but they're brainwashed in terms of Green ideology. The rest of the Four Laws that Lyndon LaRouche has put forward, put an emphasis on capital intensive forms of investment, including nuclear energy, nuclear desalination, the development of fusion, the expansion of the space program. Many of these Democrats say they're for Glass-Steagall, but what do they mean by Glass-Steagall if they're Green? Then on the Republican side, many of them are not Greenies, in the sense of being opposed to technological progress, but they've been brainwashed in respect to a balanced budget or merely reducing a deficit. So, they have no conception of the idea of public credit, and no conception of what Hamilton put forward with a National Bank, or what Lincoln put forward with greenbacks, or what Franklin Roosevelt put forward with the bank that he used to actually engage in investment in the economy – it was the Reconstruction Finance Corporation. After the war in Germany, they had the Kreditanstalt für Wiederaufbau, which is the Credit Institution for Reconstruction; same principle. But the idea is that the government can extend credit as a sovereign nation, can extend credit for productive investment. The problem here is that many Republicans have no conception of that. So, you've got to educate both Democrats and Republicans to understand a scientific conception of economics; which they

don't

have. It's not clear exactly whether Trump has it; he may reference Hamilton and Henry Clay, and Abraham Lincoln, but it's

not clear from his actions so far that he has those conceptions.

So, it's a question of educating, it's a question of mobilizing

your fellow citizens to ensure that the policies the nation needs

– the world needs – are enacted. It's a very basic principle that the power of government to govern derives from the people;

but it has to be an educated people, not a mob.

SPEED: OK, great. Will, we have about five more minutes, but we have about six more questions. So, we're going to try to

get to as many of them as possible. I want to ask everybody to

keep your questions and comments at this point short and succinct

so we can try to get through as many of these as we can. OK, go

ahead.

Q 6: Yeah, this is Ken in Moline, Illinois. Is the CIA a subsidiary of MI-6?

WERTZ: You have to go back to World War II and the aftermath of World War II. The British Empire backed Hitler, and

they wanted him to go east against the Soviet Union; but Hitler

at a certain point decided that he was going to go west.

Churchill knew he couldn't defeat Hitler on the continent, so he

needed to bring the US into the war. Roosevelt certainly

wanted

to defeat fascism, but the British actually set up covert operations of British intelligence, MI-6, MI-5, in the United States and Rockefeller Center. They worked closely with Allen Dulles who became Deputy CIA Director and then later CIA Director

after Roosevelt died. So, the point is, in 1946 there was an agreement signed which was called the UK-USA Agreement. Then later it became what's called the Five Eyes, which is Australia,

New Zealand, Canada, Britain, and the United States.

Basically

the problem here is that our intelligence agencies here in the United States are working directly with British intelligence and

with other members of the British Empire, or what's called now the Commonwealth.

There may be patriots within these intelligence agencies, many of them have become whistleblowers. But yet, this is how the

British have subverted US intelligence.

SPEED: OK, very good. We're going to take two more questions now; and in about three minutes or maybe we'll go a little bit over. Go ahead.

Q 7: This is Steve from Pennsylvania. What I've noticed with the different organizations I've worked with – I work with

several different patriot organizations, including the Oath Keepers and the Three Percenters and some militia that were there

in Charlottesville the day of that event. From my different sources, I understand that these groups on both sides were all hooked in with State Department and Obama appointees and employees and Occupy Wall Street and those groups. Could Charlottesville be considered like a false flag to try to push

this narrative of this race card thing, since the Russia thing completely failed and they now want to push the 25th Amendment thing and they want to push that Trump somehow has dementia?

WERTZ: You're right; it's a false flag operation. For instance, one of the things that came out is that one of the organizers of the demonstrators – a guy named Kessler was basically, I think it was Charles Grassley who asked the question, or another Senator – this guy was involved in Occupy Wall Street. He was apparently a supporter of Obama. Then all of a sudden, you're expected to believe that there was this transformation, and he ends up being an organizer of this demonstration. So that's on the one side. On the other side, as I said at the beginning – I don't know if you heard it or not – all of the key players in Charlottesville are Democrats who have worked with John Podesta at the Center for American Progress; which described itself as the institutional center of the Resist movement against Trump in the United States. And Podesta, of course, is Obama, he's Clinton – both Hillary and Bill. This is all funded by Soros. So, the whole thing was in that sense, a set-up. And it's modelled upon what they did in Ukraine; where it was the State Department, it was Soros, and so forth. Think about how that thing operated. For instance, when Yanukovich was forced to flee, he was accused of ordering snipers to shoot demonstrators. But he denies that that was the case, and there's evidence that the snipers may have actually been members of the

Right Sector, the Nazis; or organized by them. So, it is a false flag operation; and it's like this whole operation was set to take off after Charlottesville. Remember, Charlottesville was declared by the mayor of Charlottesville, Signer, as a capitol of the Resistance in a speech he gave on January 31st earlier this year. The point is, Charlottesville was designed as a center of the resistance to Trump before this incident occurred.

SPEED: OK, great. So, we are going to go to the next and final question. We have a few more people in the queue, but unfortunately we're running out of time. So, OK, go ahead.

Q 8: Hi, it's June from New York. My question has to do with the two petitions and whether or not there's been an effort to contact the distribution list for Trump's PAC from either Eric or Don Trump? Maybe you could go through that way to get to them to get it communicated out to the people who actually support Trump?

WERTZ: I can't answer specifically who we've contacted with this. I know that we are trying to get the petition into the hands of people who are close to Trump. But I can't really say whether we've gotten to those specific individuals either ourselves directly, or indirectly through other people who are supporters of Trump. But certainly, if anybody knows members of the Trump family, they should be encouraged to speak to them; to get this material to them. In general, we do want to get to

supporters of Trump to really give them a strategic conception of what needs to be done right now.

SPEED: We've reached out to a lot of groups that are working closely with Trump. I think there are people on the call who are involved in that; who are involved in various networks.

So, if you have such networks, reach out; get in contact with them, and try to come yourself if you're based in New York. Come to the meeting on Saturday at the Beacon Hotel; we can talk more

about this. We also are generally out in mid-Manhattan in the vicinity of the Trump headquarters at least once a week. A number of people affiliated and who are very active in his campaign come by regularly there. So that's another good way of

reaching out to people. We should expand this as much as possible. We are doing that, we're reaching out; and we would urge anybody else with contacts to do that.

Also, again, I'll just emphasize, we are just as interested in those who oppose Trump; because we have to neutralize – or better, win this group of people over. They should be fighting

against these foreign wars; they should be working for economic

development. Therefore, we want to free this President up to do

exactly that. I think there are a number of these people who can

be approached and recruited. Certainly the Veterans Intelligence

Professionals for Sanity give us a way that they can understand

this and act on it. But join us! Get people to sign the

petition. Let's call people out.

Q 8 [cont'd]: Another question real quick. Is there a way, or a contact list that you have for people that are located within your area? I'm up in northern, up in Dutchess County, and I don't know if there are any other people who are members that are up in Dutchess County that you could coordinate with to do stuff up in this region. Is there some sort of list, or some way you could get in contact with them?

SPEED: Yes, sure. That's not too far away, June. What we'll do is, you can contact me; I will text you my cell phone when we get off of this call, and we can be in touch in terms of reaching out and contacting other people. We'll also put that out in the email, and other people on the call that have this same number can contact various people in their regional offices for further direction in terms of how we can get groupings of people together.

So, Will, I wanted to invite you to make some final remarks. This has clearly been an exciting phone call; we couldn't even get to all the callers. Those of you whom we could not get to, I want to urge you to get on on Monday night. We're doing these activists' calls now twice a week, on Monday and Thursday nights.

I want to urge everyone on to try to get additional people on the calls. But Will, why don't you give us some concluding remarks?

WERTZ: I just want to go back to the remarks that you cited from Lyndon LaRouche at the very beginning to underscore the urgency of the situation. Again, what he said is that we have

to

win now; if we lose, we are finished because we will be destroyed

by the people opposed to what he is doing in terms of the initiatives we're taking. The existence of the United States depends upon doing the job. It's not making suggestions; it's getting victory against the causes of the things that are destroying the ability of the United States to express itself properly.

So, I just wanted to end with that. I thought the questions tonight were very responsive and showed that people have a sense

of the urgency of this. So, our job is to organize a lot of other people. I just encourage people to do that and just figure

out creative ways in which they can do that. Like the lady who

just spoke, get in touch with us in terms of what you might be able to do with us or with others in our movement who may be in

your vicinity.

SPEED: OK; excellent. So, that concludes the LaRouche activist call for Thursday. We'll be talking with all of you very soon. Hopefully, with lots more results on the petitioning and other activities. Good night.

---



# Tænk og handl uden for 'kassen'

*Leder fra LaRouche PAC, 24. august, 2017* – »Dette er virkelig et alvorligt øjeblik«, understregede Helga Zepp-LaRouche under en diskussion med kolleger i går, »og vi må få folk til at forstå, at kuppet imod præsident Trump stadig er i gang og må omstødes ved fuldstændig at ændre dagsordenen«, gennem at organisere en dramatisk, national mobilisering for fysisk-økonomisk genrejsning, baseret på fuldt samarbejde med Kinas Bælte & Vej Initiativ.

»Spillet går tydeligvis ud på at inddæmme Trump, så han ikke kan opfylde sin indenrigspolitiske dagsorden for jobskabelse, og så han ikke kan overholde sine løfter med hensyn til den internationale politik for at standse disse krige«, sagde Zepp-LaRouche. Visse militære kredse i USA forsøger tydeligvis at få Trump til at trække sig fra sin erklærede politik om at afslutte Bush/Obama-ærens krige; men »hvis vi fastholder geopolitik, er vi dømt til undergang«, udtalte Zepp-LaRouche.

De politikker, der nu gennemføres mht. Ukraine, Afghanistan og andre steder, er forfærdelige; kun i Syrien finder vi et eksempel på den rette politik for samarbejde med Rusland for i fællesskab at bekæmpe ISIS-terrorisme.

Både Rusland og Kina strækker fortsat hånden frem til Trump-administrationen for at tilbyde veje ud af kassen, inklusive samarbejde om økonomiske og politiske spørgsmål internationalt. Og det globale, økonomiske udviklingsprogram under Bælte & Vej går fortsat fremad og er nu fokuseret omkring to afgørende, internationale møder i begyndelsen af september: BRIKS-topmødet i Xiamen, Kina (3.-5. sept.); og det Østlige Økonomiske Forum i Vladivostok, Rusland (6.-7. sept.)

Men nøglen til løsningen af den strategiske krise ligger

fortsat i USA, hvor det haster med at vende bøtten i det igangværende kupforsøg mod Trump ved at få præsidenten og hans team til at *tænke* ud over kassen, og *handle* ud over kassen. Trump har hidtil ikke følt tilstrækkelig opbakning fra sin bagland af tilhængere, bemærkede Zepp-LaRouche, til, at han kan bryde ud af det negative pres, han udsættes for fra dem, der forsøger at afsætte ham som præsident. Trumps støttebagland i den amerikanske befolkning er fortsat stærk, men alt for mange af dem har givet sig selv lov til at blive manipuleret til at blive ophidset over fjollede sidespørgsmål – muren, Obamacare og et værtshusslagsmål om statuer.

Dette er afledninger. Debatter ikke sådanne spørgsmål; afvis dem. Det politiske spørgsmål, der gælder liv eller død, og som dette land konfronteres med, er at få Trump-administrationen til at gå sammen med Kina og Rusland om at bygge Verdenslandbroen (hvilket vil kræve en konkursbehandling af det bankerotte Wall Street og City of London med Glass-Steagall), og at gøre en ende på britisk geopolitik og krige én gang for alle.

At gøre dette – blot at begynde at gøre dette – vil have en særdeles positiv effekt på omgående at standse forsøget på at gennemføre et statskup.

*Foto: Med anvendelse af tysk transrapid-teknologi er Shanghais maglevtog et ud af blot ganske få kommercielle maglevtog (svævetog) i drift i verden. (photo edwin\_steele / flickr / CC BY 2.0)*

---

**Situationen i USA er**

# alvorlig: Den Nye Silkevej er vejen op og ud!

*Leder fra LaRouche PAC, 23. august, 2017* – Situationen i USA er særdeles alvorlig og farlig. Kupoperationen er i højeste gear for at tvinge præsident Trump til at gøre præcis det modsatte af det, han har lovet, og af hvilken grund han vandt valget: at genrejse økonomien (og helt specifikt begynde med Glass-Steagall) og standse en udenrigspolitik for krige – Det britiske Imperiums politik.

Trumps stævne i går aften i Phoenix blev heldigvis ikke den blodige slagmark, som de 'farvede revolutionister' søgte at opnå. Da folkemængden skulle til at gå hjem, blev et skænderi i gaderne, der blev igangsat af et angreb på politiet af et par demonstranter (sandsynligvis antifa'er), holdt på et minimum. Kup-flokken brugte ufortrødent hændelsen som en anledning til at komme med hysteriske anklager om, at Trump var uegnet, afsindig, sindsforvirret og måtte sættes fra bestillingen, hvis ikke via en rigsretssag, så via det 25. forfatningstillæg.

I spidsen for disse anklager så vi James Clapper, tidligere direktør for den Nationale Efterretningstjeneste (2010-2017) under Obama. Clapper, der ihærdigt mørklagde de saudiske netværk, der stod bag 11. september, optrådte på CNN ud på de små timer den 23. aug., for at kommentere stævnet i Arizona og tale imod, at Trump fortsætter som præsident! »Jeg sætter virkelig spørgsmålstegn ved hans evne til at være – hans egnethed til at være – i dette embede ... Hvor længe må landet – for nu at bruge en frase – endnu udholde dette mareridt?« CNN's vært, Don Lemon, kom med lange fordømmelser af Trump som værende »sindsforvirret«. CNN's kommentator Ana Navarro tweetede, at Trump »muligvis« udviser »tidlige tegn [sic] på

demens«.

Faren i alt dette ligger selvfølgelig ikke ud i fremtiden; dvs., ikke »hvis og når« de fjendtlige operationer vil lykkes med at afsætte Trump og trække USA's nationale institutioner med i faldet. Faren er her og nu.

Se engang på den amerikanske økonomi. Millioner lider under sammenbruddet. Men Trump er under pres for at blive i Wall Streets klør; i særdeleshed mht., at der ikke kommer nogen Glass-Steagall. I Arizona i går aftes gentog Trump korrekt sit mål med at skabe jobs; han gentog, at dette ville have en »enormt stor virkning på racerelationerne«. Men, bortset fra et par jobs her og der, såsom i kulstaterne West Virginia og Pennsylvania, der blev reddet gennem eksport af kul til Europa, er situationen fortsat dystert. En dramatisk refleksion af kollapset ses i hele godstogssystemets forværrede præstation øst for Mississippi-floden. På CSX (en af de to hovedoperatører) tog en togtur, der normalt tager et par dage fra Chicago til det vestlige Tennessee, hvilket i forvejen er ret længe, for nylig 18 dage! Forsinkelserne og trafikkkøerne spreder sig i hele CSX-nettets 21.000 miles.

Se på de internationale konfliktzoner. I Afghanistan kræver den eneste fremgangsmåde, der kan opbygge nationen og skabe fred, samarbejde mellem alle i regionen: Iran, Pakistan, Indien, de centralasiatiske nationer, Rusland og Kina. Men Trump er under pres for at forfølge ensidig handling, og endda at give efter for kneb for at prøve at få Indien i et modsætningsforhold til BRIKS.

Midlerne til at løfte os op og ud af dette sammenbrud og denne geopolitik, er at vedtage det Nye Silkevejsperspektiv, og i særdeleshed i USA at haste-iværksætte Lyndon LaRouches »Fire Love«. Sats på masse-jobskabelse. Som Helga Zepp-LaRouche sagde i dag, så er det afgørende spørgsmål fortsat at erstatte det gamle paradigme med det nye paradigme for udvikling og fred. Husk, som Lyndon LaRouche understregede på det

tidspunkt, at Donald Trumps valgsejr den 7. nov., 2016, var ikke et »nationalt« spørgsmål, men var en international begivenhed af stor og historisk betydning.

Se engang på nutidens spørgsmål i lyset af historiens lange bue. Faren for et Maidan II i USA er i slægt med den fare, der var til stede i USA og Europa på tidspunktet for Weimarrepublikken. Præsident Franklin D. Roosevelt fik USA ud af depressionen med sin New Deal. Men Europa sank ned og oplevede fascismens fremkomst. Folk må lære af historien.

Under en diskussion af dette i dag udstedte Lyndon LaRouche en opfordring til handling: »Jeg er dybt bekymret over den situation, vi her diskuterer ... Men pointen er, at vi må påtage os ansvaret for at bakke det op, jeg har talt om, og som mine kolleger gør ... Vi må vinde dette her ... USA's eksistens afhænger af, at vi gør jobbet. Det handler ikke om at komme med forslag, men om at sejre over de ting, der er i færd med at ødelægge USA og afholde det fra at gennemføre sin mission.

«Og held og lykke til os alle!«

*Foto: Præsident Donald J. Trump holder en tale til nationen, 21. august, 2017. (Whitehouse Photo)*

---

## **Vil amerikanerne give en »kulturrevolution« lov til at ødelægge nationen?**

*Leder fra LaRouche PAC. 22. august, 2017 – Amerika oplever i øjeblikket at blive kastet ud i kaos, med sårbare unge mennesker og/eller desperat fattige mennesker, af alle racer*

og alle politiske tilhørsforhold, der drives til at vælge side i en konflikt, forudbestemt til at blive voldelig, og i hvilken der ikke kan være nogen vindere, og alle vil tabe. Det er en klassisk, britiske imperietaktik, som den britiske brigadegeneral Frank Kitson i en bog af samme navn har kaldt »bander og kontra-bander« (*Gangs and Counter-gangs*; (1960)), en metode, briterne siden har erkendt blev brugt til at knuse opposition mod deres kolonistyre i Kenya, Malaysia, Nordirland og flere andre steder. Konceptet er, at man konstruerer en kunstig konflikt, der vender grupperinger i befolkningsmålgruppen mod hinanden, med *agents provocateurs*, der styrer begge sider, og som fører til mange dødsfald, oppisker dyriske følelser og ødelægger enhver evne for at få en forenet kamp mod den faktiske fjende – Det britiske Imperium.

En redaktør og journalist for Kinas *People's Daily*, det kinesiske kommunistpartis officielle avis, skrev en artikel i mandagens udgave, hvor han stillede spørgsmålet: »Er Amerika opslugt af en kulturrevolution?« Skribenten, Curtis Stone, beskriver mareridt med Kinas kulturrevolution i 1960'erne, hvor rasende flokke af unge mennesker (rødgardisterne) blev sat til at deltage i vold mod landets institutioner, som »kastede Kina ud i års kaos, hvor mange af landets kulturelle og historiske genstande blev fjernet eller ødelagt«. Han fortsætter: »Nylige racespændinger i USA har fået mange kinesere til at spørge sig selv, om et land, der engang var kendt som verdens 'smeltedigel', nu gennemlever sin egen kulturrevolution, og de er forvirret over, hvordan en så uhyggeligt lignende begivenhed kunne udvikle sig i Amerika. Kaosset i Amerika er dybt foruroligende. Den amerikanske kulturrevolution, som den er blevet benævnt i nogle kinesiske medier, har alle kendetegnene på Kinas turbulente oplevelse ... Fra en Chicago-pastors krav om fjernelse af en statue af George Washington ..., og til et kongresmedlem, Nancy Pelosi, der kræver, at alle Konføderations-statuer omgående fjernes fra Capitol; og til en diskussion om, hvorvidt tiden er inde

til at sprænge Mount Rushmore-nationalmindesmærket i Keystone, South Dakota, i luften, er der et fremstød for at skaffe sig af med i det mindste nogle af landets kulturelle og historiske genstande.«

Helga Zepp-LaRouche tilføjede endnu et dybt foruroligende billede – Weimarrepublikkens sidste dage i Tyskland, hvor nazister sloges med kommunister i gaderne og drev det kaos frem, der førte til den legitime regerings sammenbrud og det nazistiske diktators rædsler.

Forsøget på at fremstille de ynkelige, impotente smågrupper af »hvide herrefolk« og nynazister som folk, der på en eller anden måde støttes af præsident Trump, eller på at fremstille de sortmaskede 'antifa'-anarkister, der kaster Molotovcocktails, som »ikke-voldelige demonstranter«, er ikke alene vanvittigt, men ignorerer det faktum, at disse grupper er præcis det, som brigadegeneral Kitson beskrev: totalt kontrollerede bander og kontra-bander, der afleder borgernes opmærksomhed fra det seneste årtis økonomisk forfald og det finansielle og økonomiske kollaps, der stormer frem. Den kendsgerning, at de, der kontrollerer disse grupper, er de samme elementer i FBI, CIA og andre korrupte efterretningstjenester fra Obama-administrationens tid, der har styret svindlen med »Russia-gate« imod præsidenten, bør fjerne enhver tvivl om, at dette alt sammen er en del af den samme »farvede revolution«, der blev lanceret af George Soros og Barack Obama, og som tilsigter at afsætte præsidenten og ødelægge ethvert potentiale for, at USA kan tilslutte sig den Nye Silkevej, som Trump har indikeret, at han ville, og som er impulsen bag en militær konfrontation med Rusland, der ville blive afslutningen af civilisationen, som vi kender den.

Præsident Trumps meget omtalte tale om en ny politik for krigen i Afghanistan i mandags var en statsmands-lignende tale, alt imens den dog med overlæg manglede indhold. Alt imens det rapporteres, at han har godkendt en ny »bølge« af tropper til Afghanistan, så var det, han faktisk rapporterede,

at han overlader beslutninger om militære deployeringer til generalerne, men at han ikke vil annoncere militære beslutninger på forhånd. Han fordømte kraftigt Pakistan for at give husly til terrorister og opfordrede Indien til at påtage sig en større rolle i Afghanistan.

Men udenrigsminister Tillerson udstedte omgående en erklæring, og afholdt tirsdag en pressekonference, som gjorde det klart, at hensigten med den nye Afghanistan-politik er dobbelt: brug de militære metoder, der har vist sig succesfulde i Syrien og Irak i bekæmpelsen af ISIS; og frem forhandlinger mellem den afghanske regering og Taliban om en forhandlet fredsaftale. »Vores formål er at overbevise Taliban om, at de ikke kan opnå sejr på slagmarken«, sagde Tillerson i dag og tilføjede: »vi kan måske heller ikke vinde en sejr«. Den officielle erklæring mandag aften fra Udenrigsministeriet siger: »Taliban har en vej til fred og politisk legitimitet gennem en forhandlet politisk afgørelse for at afslutte krigen. Vi er parat til at støtte fredsforhandlinger mellem den afghanske regering og Taliban uden forhåndsbetingelser.«

Bemærk, at, under præsident Trump, har USA arbejdet tæt sammen, og med held, med Rusland i bekæmpelse af terrorisme i Syrien, og, i Afghanistans tilfælde, er Trumps nye politiske linje med forhandlinger med Taliban hen imod en forhandlet afgørelse, der inddrager alle etniske grupperinger i landet, præcis det, som russerne har promoveret.

Det er denne politik – med samarbejde med Rusland og Kina for at skabe et nyt paradigme, der bygger på menneskehedens fælles mål om fred gennem udvikling og en afslutning af Imperium én gang for alle – som briterne og deres aktiver i USA ikke kan tolerere og er villige til at gennemføre et kup og fremprovokere en atomkrig for at forhindre. Dette er et øjeblik med stor fare, men også med endnu større muligheder. Præsidenten må forsvares, og det nye paradigme må virkeliggøres.



*Foto: Demonstranter fra den ekstreme venstrefløj vælter en Konføderations-statue i Durham, NC. Flere personer blev arresteret i forbindelse med hændelsen. 14. august, 2017. (photo Rodney Dunning / Flickr / CC BY-NC-ND 2.0)*

---

# **Den økonomiske løsning kan forene amerikanerne: LaRouches »Fire Love« og Kinas Nye Silkevej**

*Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 21. august, 2017 – USA's præsident, der i går var et 'instrument for Putins Rusland', er i dag racist og tilhænger af neo-nazisternes 'hvide overherredømme', for slet ikke at tale om mentalt syg. Denne forrykte propaganda flyder i stride strømme: fra Obama/Podesta, der styrer »Resist«-bevægelsen, som har overtaget en stor del af det Demokratiske Parti; fra de britiske og amerikanske efterretningstjenester, der har samarbejdet om at fjerne præsident Trump, lige siden han først annoncerede sit kandidatur; og fra alle Wall Street-medieoperationerne. Præsidenten bliver offentligt truet – af kongresmedlemmer! – hvis han vover at tale offentligt i Phoenix tirsdag aften, og hans tilhængere bliver truet, hvis de vover at komme for at høre ham.*

Nationen bliver manipuleret hen mod kaos og muligvis sin egen ødelæggelse. Vildskaben i propaganda-spærreilden stammer fra Londons og Wall Streets frygt for endnu et umiddelbart

overhængende finanskrise. De er fortsat bange for, at præsident Trump – som aldrig var under deres kontrol – kunne gå imod Wall Street og samarbejde med Kinas initiativ for global infrastruktur for en reel, »stik spaden i jorden« økonomisk genopretning for USA.

Ikke som Barack Obama, der indtog embedet og vred armen om på kongresmedlemmer for at vedtage de massive bailouts til Wall Street gennem TARP (Troubled Asset Relief Program) og Federal Reserve, og som fulgte i hælene på London, der førte an i at gøre G7 til en enorm maskine for bank-bailout. Hans og »W« Bush' krige, bailouts, massearbejdsløshed og sammenbrud i vareproduktionen har siden ført til masseafhængighed af narko og alkohol og øget dødsraterne i Amerika – en virkelig, national nødsituation.

Det, som præsident Trump nu må gøre, er præcis at gå op imod Wall Street og City of London, og han må gøre det som en nødforholdsregel.

Han har netop taget ét skridt: »Millioner af jobs er vejen til at forene amerikanerne«, sagde han den 18. aug. Andrew Young – tidligere FN-ambassadør og veteranleder for borgerretsbevægelsen – sagde det samme under et interview til NBC i søndags. »De fleste af de problemer, vi står med nu, er relateret til spørgsmålet om fattigdom. Men vi ønsker stadig at sætte alt i relation til racespørgsmål ... Det var vores opgave at løfte alle op sammen, så vi kunne lære at leve sammen som brødre og søstre snarere end at gå til grunde sammen som tåber.«

Forholdsreglerne for at »løfte alle op« findes, i Lyndon LaRouches »Fire Økonomiske Love til at redde nationen«.

Lyndon LaRouche er den førende økonom inden for det »Amerikanske Økonomiske System« – som Trump har talt om, men ikke forstået. Ved omgående at begynde med at føre en politik for genindførelsen af Glass/Steagall-bankopdelingsloven i

Kongressen for at bryde Wall Street op og begynde at udstede statskredit gennem en infrastrukturbank efter Hamiltons principper, kan USA gå sammen med Kinas infrastrukturopsving med den »Nye Silkevej« for at skabe billioninvesteringer i fornyelsen af Amerikas økonomiske infrastruktur. Ligesom i de kinesiske investeringer, må videnskab – rumforskning og fusionskraft – være den økonomiske drivkraft.

I dag understregede Lyndon LaRouche, der har sagt, at den aktuelle, falske racekrig, der nu oppiskes mod Trump, truer med at udløse kaos og national ødelæggelse, »Den økonomiske løsning er det, der skal fremmes«.

Den 18. aug. havde *China Daily* en lang profil af Helga Zepp-LaRouche og Lyndon LaRouche, der beskrev, hvordan Kinas nuværende »Bælte & Vej Initiativ« udvikledes hen over flere årtier. Nu kører det for fuldt tryk i hele Eurasien, Afrika og potentielt endda i det krigshærgede Mellemøsten. *EIR* har udgivet *USA må tilslutte sig den Nye Silkevej* som brochure. Sammen med LaRouches »Fire Love«, er dette den økonomiske løsning.

Trump har ofte talt om »Alexander Hamiltons, Henry Clays og Abraham Lincolns Amerikanske System«. Den første, amerikanske organisation, der var helliget en afslutning af slaveriet og lige muligheder uden hensyn til race eller etnicitet, blev stiftet i 1788, og kørt frem til hans død, af Alexander Hamilton. Hamilton lancerede ligeledes USA's økonomiske udvikling til at blive verdens største industrination, der sluttelig skabte bogstavelig talt millioner af jobs, med øget produktivitet, ved at opfinde statslig bankkredit til infrastruktur og fremme af varefremstilling. Hans to mål var reelt set forenet: Skab en forenet nation ved at udvikle alle dens menneskelige evner og kreativitet, og ved produktiv beskæftigelse af alle, der levede i denne nation, eller kom til den.

Dette må nu genoplives gennem en nødintervention i denne

politiske krise med LaRouches forslag til økonomiske love, og gennem en opkobling til Kinas Nye Silkevej.

Hvis vi tillader, at Trump tvinges ud, vil vi stå over for en umiddelbar krigskonfrontation med Rusland og Kina, hvor det anti-russiske og anti-kinesiske hysteri, der har overtaget Kongressen og det Demokratiske Parti, er det, der bærer ved til bålet.

*Foto: Præsident Donald Trump på plænen foran Det Hvide Hus, med bl.a. vicepræsident Mike Pence; 8. aug., 2017. Whitehouse Photo*

---

## **Borgerkrig og truslen om mord må stoppes nu, med den Nye Silkevej, udvikling og jobskabelse**

*Leder, 20. august, 2017* – Bestræbelserne på, ved hjælp af Russia-gate, at afsætte præsident Trump, er døden nær. **To ledere af Veteran Intelligence Professionals for Sanity (VIPS), Ray McGovern og William Binney, talte lørdag på et LaRouche PAC forum i Manhattan,** hvor de gennemgik deres tekniske beviser for, at der ikke fandt noget hack af DNC-computerne sted, og at korrupte efterretningsfolk fra Obama-tiden havde fabrikeret beviser, der pegede på Rusland, for at standse Trumps bestræbelser for at bringe USA sammen med Rusland og Kina for fred.

Men kupmagerne har ikke opgivet – de er nu gået videre til at bruge racespørgsmålet som lokkemad og bruger provokatører på begge sider, og bruger medierne, til at oppiske racehad, ligeledes på begge sider, og skaber en potentiel borgerkrig og betingelser, der er befordrende for et mordforsøg, gennem den berygtede »enlige morder«, mod præsidenten.

Det faktum, at præsident Trump havde fuldstændig ret, da han udtalte, at der var voldelige provokatører på begge sider i katastrofen i Charlottesvillie, bliver tydeligere for hver dag, der går. Forsøget på at gentage Charlottesvillie-kaosset i Boston resulterede blot i, at en håndfuld konservative marcherede for ytringsfrihed og blev mødt af omkring 10.000 »anti-nazistiske« og »anti-fascistiske« moddemonstranter. Politiet udførte deres arbejde og holdt de to sider adskilt (ikke som i Charlottesvillie), men der var 33 anholdelser af voldelige »antifa«- og »Black Lives Matter«-militante, der udfordrede politiet. Bostons politikommissær William Evans sagde, at der var tre kategorier på gaden: »De, der kom for at deltage i rallyet, de, der kom for at protestere mod det, og de, der ønskede at starte ballade.«

Tidligere FN-ambassadør og borgerretsforkæmper Andrew Young skar igennem labyrinten af løgne i et NBC-interview i søndags:

*»De fleste af de problemer, vi nu har med at gøre, er relateret til fattigdom. Men vi ønsker stadig at sætte alt i relation til race«, sagde ambassadør Young. »Grunden til, at jeg ikke har det godt med at fordømme Klan-typerne, er, at de næsten er de fattigste af de fattige. De er de glemte amerikanere. Og de er blevet brugt, og misbrugt, og forsømt. I stedet for at give dem billig sundhedsforsikring, giver de dem 'sorte lunger'-jobs ... Vores opgave [i Borgerretsbevægelsen] var ikke at nedgøre hvide mennesker. Vores opgave var at løfte alle op sammen, så vi ville lære at leve sammen som brødre og søstre snarere end at gå til grunde som tåber.«*

Helga Zepp-LaRouche var enig i, at ambassadør Young havde

identificeret problemet, men insisterede på, at han, og alle velmenende mennesker, også må identificere løsningen, der kan frembringe den udvikling og jobskabelse, han kræver, på den eneste måde, der er mulig – at gå med i LaRouche-bevægelsens bestræbelser på at få præsident Trump til at gå fremefter med sin plan om at tilslutte sig Bælte & Vej Initiativet, skabt af Kina, nu, og gennemføre **LaRouches Fire Love** – Glass-Steagall, statslig bankpraksis (Nationalbank), skabelse af statskredit efter Hamiltons økonomiske principper og en politik for videnskab, der er centreret omkring forcerede programmer for udforskning af rummet og skabelse af fusionskraft. Der er et voksende antal Demokrater, der afviser Russia-gate, der afviser det kontrollerede »bande-kontrabande«-fremstød for borgerkrig, og som vil gå sammen med Trump-tilhængere for at kræve en sådan reel udvikling som den eneste løsning. Tiden er nu inde til handling.

*Foto: Kaos og vold under »Unite the Right«-rallyet i Charlottesville, VA. 12. august, 2017. (photo © 2017 Rodney Dunning / flickr / CC BY-NC-ND 2.0)*

---

# **Lyndon LaRouche fortsætter kampen mod Det britiske Imperium og dets Konføderation**

*18. august, 2017* – Kort tid efter, at *Veteran Intelligence Professionals for Sanity* beviste, at »Russia-gate« byggede på et svindelnummer, udtalte Lyndon LaRouche den 4. aug., at dette var et svindelnummer fra britisk efterretnings side, der

havde til formål at omstøde valget af Donald Trump som en præsident, der var uden for Londons politiske kontrol. LaRouche sagde:

*»Det amerikanske folk må kræve, at det igangværende, forræderiske, britiske kup mod det amerikanske præsidentskab og selve nationen stoppes, og gerningsmændene retsforfølges og fængsles. Det britiske system må opgives, og præsidenten må ikke sky nogen indsats for at redde dette lands befolkning, og resten af menneskeheden, fra yderligere britiskdirigeret udplyndring af deres liv. Opgiv det britiske system; red folket.«*

I dag, hvor racekrig organiseres mod Trump med »Konføderations-statuer« som udløser, udtalte LaRouche igen, at det er det britiske system, der er drivkraften bag dette kupforsøg.

*»Fremlæg mit standpunkt om dette offentligt«, sagde han. »Jeg arbejder stadig for denne sag. Det er den eneste måde, hvorpå USA kan reddes.«*

Den 17. aug. blev en enorm statue i Washington, D.C., af Albert Pike – konføderationsgeneral, britisk frimurerleder og stifter af Ku Klux Klan – ét af mange tilfældige mål for en britisk/George Soros-styret »antifa« (anti-fascistisk) bevægelse, der forsøger at bringe præsident Trump til fald gennem optøjer.

Lyndon LaRouches lederskab af fredelige demonstrationer, der var tæt på at fremtvinge fjernelsen af Albert Pike-statuen for 25 år siden, er stadig så kendt, at selv *Washington Post* – en medieleder af kupforsøget mod præsident Trump – i løbet af de seneste par dage har forespurgt om et interview af LaRouche om Pike-statuen. I 1992 havde *WP* i en lederartikel sagt til utilfredse byrådsfolk i Washington, at Pike *må forblive stående* på Judiciary Square – fordi at fjerne ham ville give Lyndon LaRouche en sejr!

Det vil sige, en sejr mod det «britiske system». Her er, hvad LaRouche, der i 1992 var opstillet som kandidat for Demokraternes nominering af præsidentkandidaten, sagde under en Tv-udsendelse i oktober 1992, mens der fandt demonstrationer sted for at fjerne Pike:

*»Det, der kaldes Den amerikanske Borgerkrig, den mest ødelæggende krig i vores historie, var ikke en krig mellem staterne. Det var en borgerkrig i den forstand, at en gruppering, inklusive Boston-folk som Albert Pike, New York-folk som John Slidell, og så fremdeles, alle var med i en britisk sammensværgelse, der blev kørt gennem den Sydlige Jurisdiktion af den Skotske Frimurerrite, der overtog kontrollen over staterne – ved hjælp af en forræder i spidsen for det Demokratiske Parti, August Belmont, en erklæret forræder – med det formål at ødelægge De forenede Stater ved at opsplitte det i flere dele således, at briterne kunne kontrollere det ...*

*Dette var ikke en sydstats-sammensværgelse; det var sammensværgelse af britiskkontrollerede forrædere, der forrådtte De forenede Stater, og som gik ud på at overtage ... slavestaterne, som en måde at opsplitte De forenede Stater i flere dele, som kunne holdes i en evindelig konflikt mod hinanden, med det formål at eliminere De forenede Stater som både en faktor i verdenspolitik, men også for at svække det i en grad, hvor billedet af De forenede Stater, af Den amerikanske Revolution, ville blive slettet i erindringen, og i forhåbningerne, hos mennesker i hele verden.«*

Dette er, hvad der står på spil i det britiskstyrede kup imod det amerikanske præsidentskab i dag. I dag sagde LaRouche:

*»Jeg gør stadig dette her. Jeg er for menneskeheden, og jeg er villig til at hjælpe enhver person, der ønsker at kæmpe for retfærdighed.«*



---

# EIR: Hvorfor Den barmhjertige Samaritaner?

*I vores tid, det 21. århundrede, bragte de nylige præsidentvalg de »glemte« mænd og kvinder i vores samfund, der var ladt i stikken af årtiers neoliberale politikker, som beroede på grådigheden hos et finansoligarki, og hvor Forfatningens grundlæggende tema om »det almene vel« næsten fuldstændigt forsvandt, frem i forgrunden. »Guds veje er uransagelige« og gav os en ny præsident, Donald Trump, der i sin sejrstale sidste november erklærede: »De glemte mænd og kvinder i vort land vil ikke længere blive glemt. Nu lytter alle til jer.« Han opfordrede dernæst til enhed, til, at både Demokrater og Republikanere gik sammen og reddede landet fra den nuværende krise.*

Download (PDF, Unknown)

---

# China Daily udgiver stor profil af Helga Zepp-LaRouche og LaRouche-bevægelsens arbejde

*18. aug., 2017 – China Daily's weekendudgave har en profil i fuld længde af Helga Zepp-LaRouche, af China Daily's USA-*

korrespondent Chen Weihua, med titlen, »*Identifying with China*« (Identificering med Kina) og med en indledning, der lyder, »Helga Zepp-LaRouche ser Bælte & Vej Initiativet som opfyldelsen af sin og sin mands, amerikanske politiske aktivist Lyndon LaRouches, livslange indsats«.



Helga under sit første besøg  
i Kina, i 1971.

Artiklen fortsætter dernæst med at diskutere Zepp-LaRouches første, lange besøg til Kina som ung journalist under perioden med Kulturrevolutionen. »Min generation var stadig nysgerrig over for verden«, siger Zepp-LaRouche. »I dag googler de unge mennesker blot om ting fra deres søgemaskine. Jeg ville se, hvordan verden ser ud.« I interviewet fortæller Zepp-LaRouche om nogle af sine indtryk fra dengang, inklusive, at nogle af de kinesere, hun mødte, talte tysk og beredvilligt talte om deres situation. »Hun fandt, at folk var 'venlige', men sagde, 'Folk var slet ikke lykkelige'«, skriver Chen. På samme rejse, siger han, besøgte Zepp-LaRouche også Afrika og andre dele af Asien og så den enorme fattigdom. »Jeg kom tilbage fra denne rejse med den absolutte overbevisning, at verden måtte ændre sig, måtte blive forbedret«, sagde hun. Efter hjemkomsten søgte hun efter løsninger og stiftede bekendtskab med den amerikanske, politiske aktivist Lyndon LaRouches arbejde, skriver Chen, »som er bedre kendt som ophavsmanden til LaRouche-bevægelsen«.

»Bevægelsen, der har omfattet mange organisationer og selskaber i verden, promoverer en genoplivelse af klassisk kunst og større helligelse til videnskab; den er fortalere for udviklingen af store, økonomiske infrastrukturprojekter på global skala; og kræver en reform af det globale finanssystem, der tilskynder til investering i den fysiske økonomi og undertrykkelse af finansspekulation.«

»Helga fandt, at Lyndon var den eneste, der talte om behovet for udvikling og industrialisering af Afrika og den Tredje Verden, såvel som også etableringen af en international udvikling, noget i lighed med Asiatisk Infrastruktur-Investeringsbank (AIIB)«, skriver han. Helga Zepp og Lyndon LaRouche blev gift i 1977.



Helga Zepp-LaRouche var inviteret til at deltage på Bælte & Vej Forum i Beijing, 14.-15. maj, 2017, hvor hun deltog i flere diskussionspaneler.

Artiklen fortsætter med at diskutere Helgas og hendes mands arbejde med at forfølge disse mål sammen med den indiske premierminister Indira Gandhi og Mexicos præsident José López Portillo. Zepp-LaRouche roser Kinas arbejde med Bælte & Vej og AIIB og fortæller om nogle af sine aktiviteter om dette spørgsmål i løbet af de seneste tre år, inklusive hendes deltagelse på Bælte & Vej Forum i Beijing. »Jeg var meget glad for at deltage«, siger Zepp-LaRouche i interviewet, »for vi har kæmpet så længe for dette. Jeg identificerer mig på en måde med dette projekts succes.«

I interviewet taler hun også om betydningen af Trump-administrationens åbne holdning til Forummet, ved at sende en amerikansk delegation på højt niveau, og understreger, hvor fordelagtigt, samarbejde omkring Bælte & Vej ville være for genopbygningen af den amerikanske infrastruktur. Hun siger, at, under sine diskussioner med afrikanske delegerede på Beijing-forummet, var det tydeligt, at de nu har et håb for fremtiden, et håb, der var svært fraværende før det kinesiske initiativ. Chen fortsætter: »Helga siger, at det, Kina gør, har berettiget det, som hun og hendes kolleger har gjort i de seneste 40 år.« Vi er meget glade» for BVI, siger Zepp-LaRouche. »Det er én ting, at en lille organisation som vores producerer ideer, men det er en ganske anden ting, at verdens største land begyndte at gennemføre dem.« Hun beklager det

faktum, at hendes mand, Lyndon LaRouche, der er 94 år, sandsynligvis ikke kommer til at besøge Kina. »Han elsker Kina«, siger Zepp-LaRouche, »og han er overbevist om, at det kinesiske initiativ (BVI) lige nu er den vigtigste ting på planeten.«

*China Daily* USA udkommer overalt i USA. Interviewet skal efter planen også udkomme i den europæiske udgave af *China Daily* i næste uge.

<http://europe.chinadaily.com.cn/>

---

# **Charlottesville var en iscenesat hændelse! LaRouche PAC Internationale Webcast, 18. august, 2017**

*For at sætte scenen for aftenens diskussion, der vil handle om en ny flanke af kupforsøget mod præsident Trump, vil jeg begynde med meget positive nyheder fra Kina. Her ser vi [Fig. 1] forsiden af en historie, der blev publiceret i China Daily, med titlen, »Identifikation med Kina«. Det er en historie om Helga Zepp-LaRouche og hendes arbejde over mange årtier sammen med sin mand, Lyndon LaRouche, for udvikling, for bedre forståelse og for samarbejde med Kina. Artiklen begynder med Helgas rejse til Kina i 1971 under Kulturrevolutionen, da hun var passager om bord på et svensk fragtskib. Hun så nationer i Afrika, hun så Kina, og hun kom tilbage fra denne rejse med den absolutte overbevisning, at verden måtte ændre sig, at den*

*måtte blive forbedret.*

**Vært Jason Ross:** Det er 18. august, 2017... Med mig i studiet i dag har jeg Will Wertz fra *Executive Intelligence Review (EIR)*, og remote, Diane Sare, medlem af LaRouche PAC Policy Committee.

For at sætte scenen for aftenens diskussion, der vil handle om en ny flanke af kupforsøget mod præsident Trump, vil jeg begynde med meget positive nyheder fra Kina.



Fig. 1

Her ser vi [Fig. 1] forsiden af en historie, der blev publiceret i *China Daily*, med titlen, »Identifikation med Kina«. Det er en historie om Helga Zepp-LaRouche og hendes arbejde over mange årtier sammen med sin mand, Lyndon LaRouche, for udvikling, for bedre forståelse og for samarbejde med Kina. Artiklen begynder med Helgas rejse til Kina i 1971 under Kulturrevolutionen, da hun var passager om bord på et svensk fragtskib. Hun så nationer i Afrika, hun så Kina, og hun kom tilbage fra denne rejse med den absolutte overbevisning, at verden måtte ændre sig, at den måtte blive forbedret.

I artiklen opstiller *China Daily* kontrasten mellem det potentielle samarbejde mellem Kina og USA under henholdsvis Obama-administrationen og Trump-administrationen. Artiklen siger, efter at have citeret Helga for at sige, at »Det kinesiske, økonomiske mirakel er virkelig den mest succesfulde model«, og at »i modsætning til Obama-administrationen, der var mere modvillig over for kinesiske initiativer fra Asiatisk Infrastruktur-Investeringsbank (AIIB) og [Bælte & Vej Initiativet]; så har den amerikanske præsident Donald Trump sat infrastruktur øverst på sin dagsorden og sendt en mellemorganisatorisk delegation under lederskab af Matthew

Pottinger, seniorrådgiver i det Nationale Sikkerhedsråd, til Beijing-forummet.« Helga Zepp-LaRouche refererer her til Bælte & Vej Forum i maj måned, som Helga Zepp-LaRouche også deltog i.

Jeg mener, at artiklen virkeligt beviser, hvor stor betydning, Kina tillægger Helgas rolle, og den slutter med et citat af hende. Hun siger: »Vi er meget glade. Det er én ting, at en lille organisation som vores producerer ideer; en ganske anden ting er, at verdens største land begyndte at udføre dem«, med reference til Kinas vedtagelse af Bælte & Vej Initiativet i kølvandet på Schiller Instituttets mangeårige organisering til fordel for den Nye Silkevej og Verdenslandbroen. Hun afslutter med at sige, at hun håber, Lyndon LaRouche vil kunne besøge Kina, og han helt bestemt har stor kærlighed til landet.

Så det reelle potentiale, der eksisterer for et Nye Paradigme i verden, for USA's tilslutning til Kinas utrolige succes med at komme fri af finansspekulation, fri af Wall Street, fri af London, og for at gå i retning af udvikling i Franklin Roosevelts stil, er enormt. Det er på grund af dette potentiale, at der er en massiv indsats for at afsætte præsident Trump. Vi har været meget aktive i dette, gennem f.eks. vores reklame for *Veteran Intelligence Professionals for Sanity's* (VIPS) Memorandum; VIPS har foreløbig udarbejdet 50 memoranda om USA's politik. Deres seneste memo om, at hele historien om Russia-gate er et svindelnummer, har virkelig haft enorm trækraft. Det er blevet taget op af Salon, Bloomberg og især *The Nation* i en meget stor artikel.



Fig. 2

Dette har i de seneste uger fremkaldt angreb fra f.eks. *The Hill*, som vi ser her [Fig. 2]; der udgav en artikel, »*Why the Latest Theory about the DNC Not Being Hacked Is Probably Wrong*« (Hvorfor den seneste teori om, at DNC ikke blev hacked,

sandsynligvis er forkert).



Fig. 3

Vi så et angreb komme ud i *Washington Post* [Fig. 3], der sagde, de ikke tror på, at *The Nation*, det magasin, der udgav en historie om VIPS-memoet; at *The Nation* er i færd med at revidere deres historie, der sår tvivl om russisk hacking af DNC. *Washington Post* siger, der virkelig håber, *The Nation* får »rigtigt fat« på denne historie.

***Her følger resten af webcastet i engelsk udskrift:***

There has been a response that came out from two media outlets. One of them, Disobedient Media [Fig. 4], is the publication that first put out the results from the Forensicator, who had analyzed meta-data that came from files released by the Guccifer 2.0 persona; and also from Adam Carter [Fig. 5], who maintains a website that goes through the Guccifer 2.0 persona.

I just want to review a few highlights of what these articles have to say, because I think it's very important. The VIPS memorandum is correct; a deliberate attempt was put in place to create false Russian footprints, false Russian evidence, to make

it appear that the DNC leaks were actually a Russian hack. The

fact of the matter is that no actual evidence has ever been ..PAGE

presented showing that Russian actors hacked the DNC and provided

the material that Wikileaks later published, that caused such a

commotion that it forced the resignation of Debbie Wasserman-Schultz and other top officials in the Democratic National Committee.

The attacks on the VIPS memo focus on something about data transfer speeds, which were used to show that the files that Guccifer 2.0 released, had been copied to a flash drive rather than being hacked over the internet. That's pretty much the only

thing that these articles have to complain about. They say that

the speed of transfer could have been possible over the internet.

I'll just review a very few aspects of this, which is that the information that was processed, the analysis that came from the

Forensicator and from Adam Carter, showed that not only that the

speed was too high for many internet connections but that it very

specifically matched the typical transfer speed of a USB2.0 flash

drive. They also showed that file manipulation occurred on the

East Coast time zone. They showed that the files showed evidence

of being used in a FAT file system, which is only used on flash

drives – at least in the past decades; and of course the fact that there is absolute proof that the Russian fingerprints that

were found and discovered in the documents released by Guccifer

2.0, were put there deliberately so that they could be found.

Those aspects simple are not even touched by the legacy media's

attacks on the VIPS' revelations.

So, this whole Russia-gate thing is falling apart. The



attempts by the {Washington Post}, {New Yorker} magazine to cover things up, are really a dismal failure if you read the articles.

That brings us to the topic that we're going to be hearing from

in depth from Will Wertz; which is the latest flank in the attempt to unseat President Trump – namely, the events and the reactions to those events in Charlottesville. So Will, what can

you tell us about this?

WILL WERTZ: First of all, what I want to point out, is that what

President Trump said in his first press conference following the

Charlottesville event, that there is bigotry and violence on many

sides, is in fact true. I think that not only applies to the Charlottesville case per se, where you had Nazis on one side, you

had anti-fa on the other side, which is an anarchist, violent organization; and it's most likely that you may have had provocateurs. It's hard to believe that the FBI was not involved

in some way under the guise of monitoring the situation. But if

you stand back and look at the overall climate in the country, it's also the case that there is violence and bigotry on many sides; and specifically directed at President Trump. I want to

review some of the highlights of that, which represent an unprecedented situation in terms of violent threats against a President of the United States.

First of all, just as he was being inaugurated, the British publication {The Spectator} wrote "Will Donald Trump Be

..PAGE

Assassinated? Ousted in a Coup? Or Just Impeached?" You had a number of statements from the would-be Hollywood royalty, including Madonna; who said, "I thought an awful lot about blowing up the White House." Then you had CNN correspondent – former correspondent now – Kathy Griffin, who held up a mock decapitated head of the President of the United States. You also had, over the summer, Shakespeare in the Park doing a performance of {Julius Caesar} in which Julius Caesar was portrayed as Donald Trump and was viciously assassinated on the stage. You had another Hollywood actor – Johnny Depp – who joked, "When was the last time an actor assassinated a President? It has been a while, and maybe it's time." In July of this year, there was a book released by a {Guardian} reporter by the name of Jonathan Friedland. It's entitled {To Kill a President}. Just within the last 48 hours, a Missouri state senator, Maria Chappelle-Nadal, wrote in her Facebook "I hope Trump is assassinated." And we should not forget that on June 14th of this year in Alexandria, Republican Congressman Steve Scalise was shot while practicing with 20-25 other Republican Congressmen for a Congressional baseball for charity. If the police present on the scene had not responded appropriately, you could have had a massacre of multiple Republican Congressmen or Senators. So, let's be honest about the threat of violence. This is virtually unprecedentedly directed at a President of the United States, and we have had Presidents who have been assassinated, as

people know. So this is the actual reality of the situation. Now what I want to do, is to look at this situation in Charlottesville, which is merely the most recent escalation of an ongoing attempted coup against the President of the United States. It's modelled upon what was done in Ukraine – the Maidan, or the various color revolutions which preceded the coup in Ukraine. On January 17th, just before President Trump's inauguration, President Putin of Russia said, "I have an impression they practiced in Kiev, and are ready to organize a Maidan in Washington" against President Trump. On February 21, 2017, {Executive Intelligence Review} released a 17-page dossier, which was entitled "Obama and Soros Color Revolutions; Nazis in Ukraine 2014, USA 2017?" If you look at the situation in Ukraine, you get a direct parallel to what is being orchestrated in the United States. In the dossier what we disclose is that there were more than 2000 non-governmental organizations – NGOs – in Ukraine; funded by the US government, the United Kingdom, the European Union, and George Soros' Open Society. In fact, Victoria Nuland, the State Department representative for Ukraine, testified on December 13, 2014 as follows: "We have invested over \$5 billion to assist Ukraine in building democratic skills and institutions."

The coup that was carried out in Ukraine was carried out by an organization called the Right Sector, and various other organizations associated with it. The Right Sector is an organization which traces its origin back to Stepan Bandera and

..PAGE

his Organization of Ukrainian Nationalists, or the OUN. Which organization collaborated with Hitler during World War II, and carried out mass exterminations of Poles and Jews. The Right Sector celebrates Bandera and actually carries out marches in Kiev and elsewhere in Ukraine celebrating him to this day. After World War II, Bandera, this Nazi war criminal, was recruited by Britain's MI-6; and his top official, Mykola Lebed, who carried out the Ukrainian exterminations, went onto a CIA payroll as of 1948, thanks to CIA Deputy Director Allen Dulles. The intention was to use the OUN, the Organization of Ukrainian Nationalists, to carry out uprisings against the Soviet Union in the post-World War II period. People will perhaps recall that Allen Dulles and James Angleton were very much involved in the Nazi ratlines after World War II; helping Nazi criminals to escape. Some to South America; others like Bandera and Lebed to London or to the United States. Soros himself, who helped fund the Ukrainian coup, was 14 years old when the Nazis occupied Hungary, and he has publicly admitted that during that period, his father and he hid their Jewish background and worked with the Nazi occupation to confiscate the property of fellow Jews who had been sent to the concentration camps. He actually views this experience very positively, with no regret whatsoever. Now, let's turn to Charlottesville with this in mind. As I said, the events in Charlottesville last Saturday, I think are very clearly a pre-staged event with Nazis on the one side,

anti-fa or anti-fascist violent anarchists on the other side. Remember in thinking about this what happened in Ukraine. There were snipers who fired on demonstrators, and this was blamed on Yanukovich, the President of Ukraine, who says that he never gave any such orders. It is believed that the snipers were actually organized by a third force, or by the Right Sector itself, or a combination of the two; in order to carry out the coup by blaming the violence on Yanukovich. So that should be kept in mind. There's also a longstanding methodology of the British. This was formulated in a book called {Gang Countergang} by a British general by the name of Kitson, who used this methodology in Kenya as part of a counterinsurgency operation against the Mau-Mau. Please show graphic #11 [Fig. 6]. If we look at Charlottesville, what stands out? It's that all of the key Democratic Party operatives involved in the Charlottesville event have direct connections to George Soros, to the Obama-Clinton State Department, and to John Podesta's Center for American Progress; which has become the center for the entire so-called Resist Movement against President Trump in the United States. The Center for American Progress was founded in 2003 by John Podesta. At the time, George Soros promised to donate \$3 million to its foundation. John Podesta, of course, was the campaign manager of Hillary Clinton, and had previously been a senior counselor to President Obama.

..PAGE

The mayor of Charlottesville, a man by the name of Michael Signer, gave a speech on January 31, 2017 in Charlottesville

in  
which he said: "I am here today to declare that  
Charlottesville,  
the historic home of Thomas Jefferson, is the capital of the  
resistance." So, this is January 31st; President Trump had  
only  
been in office for about ten days. It's obviously several  
months  
before the events which occurred last Saturday. So, this is  
what  
we're dealing with in the city of Charlottesville; it is the  
capital of the resistance to President Trump. Mayor Signer  
had  
previously been a senior policy advisor to John Podesta's  
Center  
for American Progress. In 2008, he worked with John Podesta  
on  
President-elect Barack Obama's State Department transition  
team.  
Two years later, he travelled to Panjshir province,  
Afghanistan  
as a member of a USAID-sponsored mission to monitor  
Afghanistan's  
parliamentary elections. So what you have is a mayor of  
Charlottesville who works closely with John Podesta's Center  
for  
American Progress, which is the center of the resistance;  
which  
is obviously a Clinton-Obama operation, which is funded by  
George  
Soros. He's also someone who has experience with respect to  
the  
State Department.  
The individual who took the video of the car driven into the  
counter protesters, which resulted in the death of Heather  
Heyer  
and the injury of many others, was an individual by the name

of

Brennan Gilmore. Gilmore is a former State Department employee.

In 2011, Gilmore was deputy chief of mission in the Central African Republic. In 2015, he was the top aide to Tom Perriello,

a former Congressman who was appointed by Obama to be Special Envoy to the Great Lakes Region and the Democratic Republic of Congo. In 2016, Tom Perriello ran for the Democratic nomination

for Governor in the state of Virginia; a race which he eventually

lost. Brennan Gilmore was his campaign chief of staff. In his

campaign, Perriello received a total of \$500,000 from George Soros personally. He also received \$50,000 from George Soros' son Gregory. Two other sons of George Soros, not to be left out,

Alexander and Jonathan, gave a total of \$135,470 to Tom Perriello. So, Perriello received a grand total of \$685,470 from

the Soros family in his campaign. Perriello also received \$300,000 from Donald Sussman, a hedge fund manager who sits on the board of directors of Podesta's Center for American Progress.

Tom Perriello, like Charlottesville mayor Michael Signer, also worked directly for Podesta's Center for American Progress.

In

fact, from 2010 to 2014, Tom Perriello was the President and CEO

of the Center for American Progress Action Fund.

We know that Brennan Gilmore was present at the scene of the Charlottesville events, because it was his video which has circulated very widely. But Tom Perriello was also present, and

he indicates that with an article which he wrote the day after

—

August 13 – for the publication {Slate}. The article is entitled “There Is Only One Side to the Story of Charlottesville”. Of course, this is also the point made by Joe

..PAGE

Biden, former Vice President, who tweeted at the time, “There is only one side to Charlottesville,” in opposition to what President Trump had said.

Perriello is a native of Charlottesville, and during his campaign for governor, he tried to position himself as the candidate of the anti-Trump resistance movement.

Let me just add that there were three other organizations that were present as part of the counter demonstration, all of which were funded by George Soros: Progressive Change Campaign

Committee; Standing Up for Racial Justice; and Refuse Fascism. But what you have here, in summary, is a US State Department, George Soros-funded nest of operatives who have worked for John

Podesta’s Center for American Progress, which is the institutional center of the resistance movement against President

Trump. This is the operation which went into action immediately

after the events in Charlottesville, to escalate the campaign against President Trump; which we now see spreading throughout the country. I would point out that this, again, as I stressed

at the beginning, these personnel – State Department, Soros – are the same personnel that were involved in the Maidan coup d’etat in Ukraine against President Yanukovich. It should also

be pointed out that the only way you can remove a President in Ukraine, according to the Constitution, is through impeachment.

He was never impeached; it was a violation of the Constitution



of  
Ukraine. What happened was, an agreement was reached which  
was  
signed on to by European countries as guarantors. When it was  
presented to the Maidan, they rejected it, and said that they  
were going to storm the Presidential residence if Yanukovich  
didn't reverse his position in respect to the EU association.  
He  
fled the country for fear of his life.  
Now we all know what happened to Allende in Chile, so is  
that unreasonable for him to have fled? And yet, there was an  
unconstitutional coup and it was backed by the United States;  
by  
Obama, by Hillary Clinton. These are the people who back  
Nazis  
who are attacking President Trump because he says there's  
violence on both sides; which there very clearly is.  
After the incident in Charlottesville, [former] President  
Obama tweeted a quote from Nelson Mandela: "No one is born  
hating  
another person because of the color of his skin or his  
background  
or his religion." According to twitter, this is the most  
popular  
tweet that has ever been communicated on twitter in its entire  
history. I think that the Russian Foreign Ministry  
spokeswoman,  
Maria Zakharova, gave a very good response to this. She  
quoted  
from Mandela as follows: "No country can claim to be the  
policeman of the world, and no state can dictate to another  
what  
it should do. Those that yesterday were friends of our  
enemies,  
have the gall today to tell me not to visit my brother  
Qaddafi.  
They are advising us to be ungrateful and forget our friend of

the past.” Then Zakharova addresses Obama directly: “Mr. Obama, a person was killed with your direct involvement who Nelson Mandela called his brother and thanked for help in gaining . . .PAGE democracy. True democracy; not one invented in the Oval Office.”

She might also have pointed out, as implied by President Putin’s statement, that it was Obama who installed Nazis in power in Ukraine; and forced a duly-elected President to flee the country for his life.

So, I think what we have here is a very clear case of a deliberate policy being carried out in the United States to overthrow through a coup, through impeachment, or through assassination as {The Spectator} said, a President of the United States. This has to be stopped. We are circulating a petition on LPAC, which I would certainly encourage everyone not only to sign, but to circulate to others. At this moment in history, it’s absolutely crucial that Americans stand up and insist that the President move on an investigation of the VIPS’ charges as critical to undermining the entire Maidan-style color revolution which is being attempted against the President of the United States at this moment. That is crucial because, as the article in the {China Daily} indicates, Trump – as opposed to Obama – is someone who could potentially; and he’s given indications that he would like to do this; would potentially work with China and Russia on the One Belt, One Road Silk Road. At the same time,

work with President Putin in a coordinated campaign to defeat terrorism. We just had more terrorist attacks in Spain within the last 24-48 hours; so this is not a fight which has been won.

Yet, it's absolutely crucial.

ROSS: I think that's a very strong case you pulled together there, Will. This is very clear; very clear this is a coup.

In

terms of the response that this type of material is getting, although the people in the media, or the way that the legacy media report things, you'd think that everybody believes that Donald Trump was put in office by the Russians, and that that's

something that is a really important issue; that's not the response we've been getting when we've been talking to the population more generally. So, I'd like to bring on Diane Sare

at this point, and ask you, Diane, what can you tell us about the

opportunity to organize people around this One Belt, One Road Initiative and get past this Trump coup operation?

DIANE SARE: What I can report is that the American population is

not having any of this psycho Goebbels-style propaganda against

the President. It is so over the top that it is impelling and propelling people who were not even Trump voters or Trump supporters to stop at our tables. I will also say, as I told Mr.

LaRouche yesterday, that it's very important to remember in this

context that Lyndon LaRouche has a history in the United States

as being the spokesman, the leader of something known as the American intellectual tradition. That is, there is no person

alive today who has a greater understanding of the work of Alexander Hamilton, John Quincy Adams, Abraham Lincoln, the fight

for our republic and its roots in the work of people like

..PAGE

Nicholas of Cusa, Leibniz, and others. Then Lyndon LaRouche.

As

people know, LaRouche has been organizing this fight to get our

republic to live up the principles in the Constitution and the Declaration of Independence for at least the last 50-60 years; his entire adult life since he was in the military in World War

II. So, over these decades, LaRouche has been the founder and editor of {Executive Intelligence Review} magazine; we had various newspapers published – {New Solidarity}, the {New Federalist}. We have online news services, a Facebook page.

He

ran for President eight times. As a result, LaRouche has actually a very large following in the American population, who

remember his work; who remember his taking a principled stand on

their behalf when others would not. And who paid the price for

that by undergoing a criminal witch-hunt run by exactly the same

people, down to the individuals like Robert Mueller, who are going after Trump today.

So that combination – LaRouche's record, his authority, his voice; and the fact that the American people have suffered incredible hardship over these last 16 years in particular of Bush and Obama, and the bail-outs of Wall Street and a perpetual

war policy since 9/11 – the media simply does not carry the weight. So what we are getting in the New York metropolitan area, for example yesterday, we had three teams out across the

area in Westchester County, Long Island, and New Jersey. Combined, they signed up 32 new members to the LaRouche Political Action Committee; which also means a financial contribution, etc. They got probably 80-100 signatures on the petition; that we'll just mention. The kind of things that are happening is that people are coming up and identifying themselves. In one case, a person came up and said "I'm the chairman of the county Democratic Party. I don't want my party controlled by George Soros. I know this Russia-gate crap is a lie." Republican Party members are coming up and saying "We think the Republican Party should get rid of the elephant, and instead have as its mascot the Cowardly Lion from the Wizard of Oz. Why won't they stand up and defend the President?" People are really furious with both parties. They're furious with the Republican Party for not taking a stand; they're furious with the Democratic Party for taking a stand in the wrong direction. And they remember Lyndon LaRouche very well from these years of fighting. I would say, I think it's crucial what Will mentioned earlier, and what Mrs. LaRouche has been insistent on; that if President Trump were to bring the United States into collaboration with the Belt and Road of China, which would require the reinstatement of Glass-Steagall, a national banking system to direct credit into fusion research; things that generate real growth or a real increase in productivity as Mr. LaRouche outlined in his Four Laws; Trump would go down in history as one of the great leaders of all time. Now so far what

we've seen is that he has a very productive relationship with President Vladimir Putin of Russia; they met for two hours at the

..PAGE

G-20 meeting in Hamburg. You have a ceasefire in Syria as a result. ISIS is getting crushed. You have his relationship with

Xi Jinping of China, which seems to be productive; it makes it possible to resolve the North Korea situation without resorting

to war or military action of any kind. And that's the potential.

On the other hand, what you have is the death of this trans-Atlantic system; namely the British Empire, the City of London, the owners of George Soros – who may think that he owns

the Queen because he handles her offshore accounts, but I would

say it's the other way around – who are desperate. If the United States returns to its American System tradition, which is

what Lyndon LaRouche embodies, then they lose; it's the end of the empire. And it's an era of a New Paradigm for mankind where

Americans can once again have the dream that our children and our

grandchildren will live longer, be better educated, be geniuses

like Beethoven and Einstein, be free to produce from the potential of their God-given talents; that's what the Founding Fathers intended in our nation. That's the potential.

What we're seeing in the streets – and this is across the country; you have to think, this is the greater New York metropolitan area, this is not the part of the country where President Trump won the election. We got a report yesterday from

the West Coast; in the San Francisco, a couple set up in front

of  
a post office. While the postmaster wasn't that thrilled, and had them move their table a little away from the door; they were  
with their signs to defend President Trump and got a very positive response. Yesterday morning we had organizers up on the  
Upper West Side of Manhattan; for people who know what that means, that's a liberal Democratic area. They were getting out  
that {Hamiltonian} that Jason, you were holding up earlier with  
the big headline "Russia-gate Is a Fraud!" They said when they  
put up the giant sign that said "Defend President Trump", it got  
a little bit testier; but they reported really only 1 out of 15  
people getting a look on their face like they just sucked on a lemon. Everyone else was either non-responsive or downright supportive; they got out 400 copies of the newspaper there in just 2-3 hours' time.  
So, the truth of the matter is, the media has lost its mandate. What is published in the {New York Times}, on CNN, the  
{Washington Post} is simply no longer credible. People who took  
it upon themselves to watch President Trump's press conference with this pack of howling hyenas, saw that he actually did a very  
competent job; and therefore the population is prepared for a fight. But I would say that it's very urgent that everyone here  
take personal responsibility to not be a coward; to add your name  
to the petition; to speak about the coup that is going on against

the President. There is an attempt by the British to depose through one means or another, another American President; and what would be put in place if such a thing were to occur, would

be catastrophic for the United States and mankind. We cannot allow this to occur. I think the American people don't want it

..PAGE

to occur; this is what we've been seeing. The LaRouche Political

Action Committee and Lyndon LaRouche personally, are at the leadership of this fight.

ROSS: I think that's right on. Why don't we take a look at some of the responses that we've been getting from Facebook and

from twitter from the use of this newspaper in particular; that

"Russia-gate Is a Fraud!" Here we go; have a look at some people

on Facebook [Fig. 7]. And we've got some pictures that were sent

into us from twitter as well [Fig. 8]. If you're organizing around this, please tweet things out. #Russia fraud;

#Russia-gate; #Russia-gate fraud; use those hashtags. Make sure

that people are able to find this material, and make it very public. This is a fight that absolutely has to be won to prevent

a coup that will tie the hands of President Trump and prevent us

from being able to have the kind of future that we could have; of

development and growth and cooperation with China. I guess you

can see a few more here [Fig. 9].

So, get out there! Do this kind of activity. Get people



signing up; sign this petition, share it with everybody. When you post your picture on twitter, on Facebook, on Instagram, make sure you're using the hashtag #Russia Fraud, or #Russia-gate Fraud, or #Russia-gate, or all three of them. And include a link to the petition. You can contact us for your own personal link; you can also use the link that we have displayed on the screen several times during this show – that's [lpac.co/yt17](http://lpac.co/yt17) – to share that petition with others. Do outreach. Do it in this manner, do it in other ways. We need to raise this call to President Trump to take this British apparatus on directly. Unless it's defeated, it's not just going to give up and go away; it has to be taken on explicitly and taken down. That's the way that we can insure the opportunity to have a different orientation for our country.

So, I think that will do it for the show this week. Very grateful to have had Will Wertz with us in the studio again for his very comprehensive look on the theme that Charlottesville was a very directed operation; staged event. Also, that we were able to have Diane Sare with us today, joining us from the Manhattan area. Thank you for watching. Please subscribe to the YouTube channel; make sure you send out this video as well. This is a very comprehensive and excellent statement on the events of a week ago. We'll be seeing more of you here at [larouchepac.com](http://larouchepac.com).

---

# Enestående muligheder og store farer i dag – Et LaRouche-øjeblik for lederskab

*Leder fra LaRouche PAC, 17. august, 2017* – Der er både enestående, nye muligheder og store farer i det aktuelle, hidtil usete historiske sammenfald af begivenheder.

Til en begyndelse, så ses mediehistorierne om Charlottesville, og at præsident Trump skulle være racist, som 'fake news' af flere og flere amerikanere, på trods af, at denne kupoperations britiske afstamning endnu ikke er gået op for dem. Der har været et skifte i denne retning, med at fatte aspektet med 'fake news', blot fra tirsdag og frem til i dag. Mange har set Trumps pressekonference den 15. aug., hvor han ikke fik lov at tale om infrastruktur, men blev overdøvet af pressens mænader. Men han kæmpede imod meget effektivt og sandfærdigt. Trump kæmper, og det er med til at vende befolkningen fra frygt til vrede. »Vi støttede ham. Vi er ikke racister. Vi mener ikke, han er racist.«

Men økonomien er Akilleshælen. Livsbetingelserne er faldende for millioner af mennesker, og der synes ikke at være nogen lettelse i sigte. Det er fortsat vores opgave at udbrede kendskabet til de handlinger – som det skitseres i Lyndon LaRouches »Fire Love« – der vil genoprette kursen mod fremskridt. Folk kan løftes til at forstå Alexander Hamiltons principper om statskredit. Man kan se dette princip virke i Eurasien, langs den Nye Silkevej! Store og skønne projekter. Amerikanere bør stille sig selv spørgsmålet – som der nu også

er nogle, der gør: Hvis Silkevejen kan bygges derovre, hvorfor så ikke her?

Folk søger et lederskab, der kan dirigere løsningen på krisen. Dette er et »LaRouche-øjeblik«. For, mange mennesker, især dem, der var blandt de første til at respondere til LaRouchePAC's nye appel (»Præsident Trump, efterforsk britisk underminering af USA«), har allerede kendt til Lyndon LaRouches lederskabsrolle og historie, som går mange år tilbage. Det er, som om LaRouches identitet som en stor leder nu er i færd med at tone frem, nærmest spontant, gennem denne stormfulde periode.

Da Lyndon LaRouche i dag blev briefet om dette, svarede han, i store træk: »Ja. Eftersom folk roser mig lige nu, bør jeg igen lade min stemme høre. Eller i det mindste gennem nogen, der er i det her. Det er den eneste måde, hvorpå man kan få en indvirkning på de ændringer, som folk nu efterspørger. Jeg lever, og jeg kan gøre det, jeg hidtil har gjort i hele mit liv. Og det har ikke ændret sig. Det er ikke bare mig, som person. Det er det, jeg repræsenterer, som en akse for at slå til der, hvor det er nødvendigt. Det er det eneste, jeg faktisk nogensinde har gjort, som var vigtigt. Og jeg gjorde det – og jeg håber, vi kan gøre det nu.«

USA burde for længst have tilsluttet sig den Nye Silkevej – det største program for infrastruktur og udvikling i menneskehedens historie. Blot fordi det ikke bliver rapporteret i de amerikanske medier, betyder det ikke, at det ikke eksisterer!

*Foto: Lyndon og Helga LaRouche under en Schiller Institut-konference i Berlin, juni, 2016.*

---

# **FBI-kupmagere optrapper med racekortet**

## **– Rigget situation med optøjer og mord rettet mod Trump**

*Leder fra LaRouche PAC, 16. august, 2017* – Kupmagerne og deres FBI-kamphunde har valgt at bruge racekortet for at optrappe fremstødet for at fjerne Donald Trump – enten politisk eller psykologisk. Samtidig med, at McCarthy-heksejagten mod Trumps »aftalte spil« med Rusland skrider frem under tidligere FBI-chef Robert Mueller (husk, Mueller fik tænderne filet til som chef for »Få-ram-på-LaRouche-specialstyrkerne« i 1980'erne), blev de FBI-kontrollerede stormtropper på både højre- og venstrefløjnen deployeret til den iscenesatte, blodige konfrontation i Charlottesville den 12. august. Nu er mainstream-medierne, 24 timer i døgnet, fulde af hysteriske udfald, der fordømmer Trump som racist og tilhænger af hvidt overherredømme, alt sammen, fordi han sagde højt, hvad der var synligt for enhver på videoerne af volden i Charlottesville – at »antifa«-anarkister (militante anti-fascister) også var bevæbnet med køller og også tævede folk til blods. Et display af klassisk bande-kontrabande oprørsbekæmpende metoder – denne gang ikke for at vælte et ikke samarbejdsvilligt regime et andet sted, men for at vælte USA's valgte regering.

De, der råber, at alt er tilladt imod KKK, og som fordømmer Trump for ikke at benåde antifa-anarkisternes vold, er de samme mennesker, der støttede og hyldede de svastika-vajende nazister i Ukraine i 2014, mens de smed Molotovcocktails mod politiet og indebrændte regeringstilhængere i mordbrande – de mente tilsyneladende, at dette var »gode nazister«, der hjalp Obama-regeringen med at vælte en valgt regering.

*Washington Post*, der udspyrede løgne om FBI's iscenesatte optøjer i Charlottesville, bekendte atter kulør som nationens førende stemme for Det britiske Imperium (en tvivlsom ære, de muligvis deler med *New York Times*). *WP* gik til frontalt angreb mod magasinet *The Nation*, USA's mest fremtrædende liberal-progressive avis, for at nægte at støtte den »farvede revolution« imod præsident Trump og den hysteriske krigsmagervirksomhed mod Rusland og præsident Vladimir Putin.

Som *EIR* har dokumenteret, så har *The Nations* publicering den 9. aug. af Patrick Lawrences artikel, der omhyggeligt dokumenterer *Veteran Intelligence Professionals for Sanity's* (VIPS) afsløring af svindelnummeret med »russerne blandede sig i valget«, spredt sig vidt og bredt i diverse medier. Mainstream-medierne har derimod udelukket VIPS' beviser for, at Obamas' efterretningskredse fabrikerede hele historien med det formål at forhindre Trump i at gå sammen med Rusland og Kina om at gøre en ende på briternes, Bush' og Obamas politikker for permanent krigsførelse og deres ødelæggelse af de vestlige økonomier i det »post-industrielle samfund«.

Angrebet fra *Washington Post*, der forsøger at tvinge udgiver af *The Nation* Katrina vanden Heuvel til at trække tilbage eller fordømme Lawrence-artiklen, handler ikke kun om selve artiklen, men om, at *The Nation* vovede at publicere advarsler fra flere af vor nations faktiske ruslandseksperter, inklusive vanden Heuvels ægtemand, Stephen Cohen, der advarer om, at det anti-russiske hysteri hastigt er i færd med at bringe verden til randen af et atomart Armageddon, baseret på løgne og oppiskning af frygt. (Interessant nok, så tweetede journalist ved *Washington Post* Dave Weigel den 14. aug.: »Ulykkeligvis for *The Nation* blev det indfanget i DNC-konspirationshistorien af LaRouchePAC« og linkede til [LaRouchePAC's interview med Ray McGovern fra VIPS](#).

Men, katten kan ikke stoppes tilbage i sækken. Russia-gate-operationen er afsløret og parat til at kollapse. Det er grunden til, at operationen for at oppiske de absolut mest

skumle og hæslige passioner imod Trump nu er sat i højeste gear. Det mest ironiske i alt dette er, at den eneste, sande udtalelse om race i tirsdagens pressekonference med præsident Trump, kom fra Trump selv: »Det handler om jobs. Det, folk vil have nu, er jobs. De vil have gode jobs med en god løn. Og når de får det, skal I bare se, hvordan racerelationerne bliver.«

Den eneste løsning ligger i at samle det amerikanske folk til at afvise krigshylene og gribe potentialet for, at USA kan tislutte sig det Nye Silkevejsparadigme, der anføres af Kina. Vi må forsvare Trumps forpligtende engagement over for at bryde den britiske imperieopsplitning af verden, for at fuldføre sine bestræbelser på at alliere USA med Rusland og Kina for at besejre terrorisme og for at forhindre, at det vender tilbage, gennem store infrastrukturprojekter, der vil løfte verdens befolkning ud af fattigdom og tilbagestående. Dette er uafviseligt sandt for selve USA – den fabrikerede splittelse af nationen kan kun løses gennem en massiv proces for infrastrukturudvikling og genindustrialisering. Dette er formålet med **LaRouches Fire Love**, som må implementeres.

*Foto: Politiet afspærrer stedet for sammenstødet. Charlottesville, VA, 12. august, 2017. (photo Evan Nesterak / flickr / CC BY 2.0)*

---

## **Russia-gate står for fald – Gå i offensiven for det Nye Paradigme**

*Leder fra LaRouche PAC, 15. august, 2017 – Den eksplosive afsløring af svindlen bag »Russia-gate«, gennem offentliggørelsen af Veteran Intelligence Professionals for*

*Sanity's* (VIPS) rapport, der afliver myten om, at russerne hackede det Demokratiske Partis computere, har spredt sig som en løbeild, på trods af de hektiske bestræbelser fra de såkaldte »førende mediers« side på at udelukke det. Dette har kastet de britiske kupmagere ud i defensiven. *New York Times*, *Washington Post* og CNN, blandt andre, har ikke skrevet ét eneste ord om VIPS-rapporten, på trods af den stærke dækning, der blev udgivet i *The Nation*, landets mest fremtrædende, liberale avis, og på trods af, at VIPS-rapporten nu rapporteres internationalt i tusindvis af aviser, websider og tv-stationer. Som Danielle Ryan fra *Salon* i dag rapporterer: »At medierne og de mainstream-liberale afviser den i *The Nation* fremlagte information for manglende beviser, ville være åndeløst ironisk i betragtning af, hvor lidt bevis, de krævede for at bygge en narrativ, der passede dem, og frikende Clinton for ethvert ansvar for at tabe valget. Forfatterne til denne rapport er særdeles erfarne og velanskrevne professionelle. At de rask væk kan afvises, eller totalt ignoreres, er en sørgelig kommentar til tilstanden i medierne, der foregiver at være bekymret over plagen med 'fake news'. Hvis disse nye efterforskningsresultater er korrekte, har de, der var fortalere for narrativen om russisk hacking med meget lidt bevis, en masse at stå til regnskab for.«

Disse løgnagtige medier var udstillet i dag på en pressekonference, som Donald Trump holdt for at bebude planer om at lempe kravene til tilladelse til nye infrastrukturprojekter. Medierne gik amok og råbte op om Charlottesville og forsøgte hektisk at fremstille Trump som en racist, der på en eller anden måde var ansvarlig for det myrderi og kaos, som blev begået under direction af professionelle provokatører på begge sider. Trump bemærkede korrekt, at videoerne var tydelige – der var bevæbnede bøller på begge sider, der viste sortklædte anarkister med køller, som angreb demonstranterne. Dette var tæt på sandheden – nemlig, at FBI kontrollerede begge sider i en klassisk, bande-kontrabande-operation. Den 'farvede revolutions' metoder, som

de angloamerikanske efterretningsnetværk har brugt i hele verden for at fremkalde regimeskifte mod 'fjendtlige' regeringer, bliver nu brugt mod det amerikanske folks uvelkomne valg af en præsident, der hverken vil leve op til profilen af en Demokrat eller en Republikaner, og som afviser den britiske imperieopsplitning af verden i Øst versus Vest.

I en specialrapport fra februar med titlen, »Obama-Soros 'farvede revolutioner'; Nazi-kup i Ukraine, 2014; USA, 2017?«, advarede *EIR* om, at de samme metoder, brugt i kuppet i Ukraine i 2014, som blev anført af morderiske neo-nazister, der blev åbenlyst støttet af Obama og Soros, ville blive bragt til USA inden for et år, som det nu tydeligvis er tilfældet.

Anklaget for at ødelægge racerelationer i USA sagde Trump, at relationerne mellem racerne længe har været rædselsfulde, og at den nødvendige betingelse for at afslutte denne krise var skabelsen af millioner af jobs, hvilket han var dedikeret til at opnå.

Tiden er nu inde for det amerikanske folk, og for folk overalt, til at gå i offensiven for det Nye Paradigme, som det er blevet udviklet af Lyndon og Helga LaRouche i løbet af de seneste 50 år. Kina og Rusland anfører allerede processen for at afslutte den neokolonialistiske æra med at udbytte resurserne i Afrika, Asien og Latinamerika og samtidigt efterlade disse lande i ynkelig fattigdom og blottet for industriel udvikling og basal infrastruktur. Den Nye Silkevej gentager nu det kinesiske mirakel, der på 30 år løftede 700 millioner mennesker ud af fattigdom, gennem industrialisering og infrastruktur i hele verden, selv, mens kineserne er ved at færdiggøre arbejdet derhjemme – hvor de bygger nye storbyer og har et handlingsprogram for fuldstændigt at gøre en ende på fattigdom frem til år 2020.

I USA er det økonomiske og kulturelle forfald, der har drevet befolkningen ud i rekordstor narko- og alkoholafhængighed og en rekordhøj selvmordsrate, begyndt at vige for viljen til at



kæmpe. Bestræbelserne på at fjerne, eller endda myrde, den valgte præsident, der har svoret at gå i brechen for »vort lands glemte mænd og kvinder«, har vakt raseri i befolkningen, især i »rustbæltet«, Amerikas tidligere industrialiserede centre. Aktivister fra LaRouchePAC rapporterer fra hele landet – men især fra arbejderområder – at folk er glade og har fået fornyet tro på fremtiden ved at se vores bevægelse ude blandt folk i forsvar for Trump, for genindførelse af Glass-Steagall og det amerikanske system, for tilslutning til Silkevejen og for genoprettelse af relationer med Rusland. Hvad der imidlertid er vigtigere, så er der en voksende vilje til stede til at forstå den britiske imperiehånd bag kupforsøget mod Trump, og bag Wall Streets bankerotte spekulanter.

Nationen må også have et lederskab, der kan indgyde en vision om, hvad fremtiden kan og må blive: Genindførelsen af ideen om fremskridt internt i USA, med fælles indsats med Rusland og Kina for at bringe reel udvikling til hele verden og gøre en ende på Det britiske Imperium og dets evindelige krige, én gang for alle. Disse ideer, som LaRouche-bevægelsen i årtier har kæmpet for, kommer nu i forgrunden og beviser LaRouches stærke erklæring om, at historien sluttelig drives frem af ideernes magt. Det er i dette øjeblik med den allerstørste fare, hvor folk er i stand til at afkaste deres vildfarelser og deres frygt og handle ud fra principper. Som Percy Shelley sagde i sit digt, *Et forsvar for digtekunsten*: »I sådanne perioder finder der en akkumulering sted af evnen til at kommunikere og modtage intense og passionerede begreber med hensyn til menneske og univers.« Dette er vores mission.

På LaRouchePAC's Tv-show fra 14. august opfordredes alle til at mobilisere for den nye, nationale kampagne med Apellen, »Præsident Trump, efterforsk britisk underminering af USA«.

Link til Apellen, dansk, her.

Link til amerikansk Petition, der kan underskrives, her.

*Foto: Anti-Trump protester i Philadelphia under Republican Party Retreat. 26. januar, 2017.*