

Fremtrædende italiensk bankmand siger, EU's bail-in-regime er ulovligt

5. januar 2016 – Den tidligere regeringsminister, tidligere højtplaceret person i Banca d'Italia (centralbanken) og tidligere chef for Italiens Statens Indskudsgarantifond (FITD), Paolo Savona, har i en artikel for *Milano Finanza* skrevet, at den nye, EU-mekanisme for bankopløsning (BRRD) er »et klassisk ikke-liberalt system, hvor borgerne regeres af mennesker, og ikke love – en tilstand, som vi troede historien havde besejret. Retningslinjerne for Opløsning af kriseramte banker har de typiske træk ved europæiske traktater og regulativer, der forudsætter alt undtagen de tilfælde, der virkelig betyder noget, i tilfælde af store krise. Når sidstnævnte indtræffer, begynder man at diskutere, med skader som følge af forsinkede beslutninger og kompromis-rodet mellem regeringerne.«

»Jeg kæmpede imod den godkendte mekanisme lige så længe, som jeg fik lov til det af dem, der foretrækker regering ved mennesker frem for regering ved lov. Den aktuelle beskyttelses-'plan' for bankindskud kan ikke garantere, hvad den lover, af en række årsager, der alle er dokumenteret i FITD's arkiver«, skrev Savona.

Heriblandt er: »Definitionen af garanterede indskud er upræcis og åben over for manipulationer og svindel ...; den tilbudte garanti svarer ikke til bankernes virkelige evne til at intervenere«, og »den kommende fond er utilstrækkelig i forhold til risiciene; desuden, når fonden først bliver brugt, bliver dens genopbygning et bundløst kar ...«

Hvis bankindskydere og kontohavere skal beskyttes, bør det være regeringen, og ikke bankerne, der skal beskytte dem.

»Regering, der ved undladelse eller gennem valg af økonomisk politik ligger til grund for systemiske kriser, skal involveres i løsningen af bankkriser ifølge udtrykkelige og gerne klare regler for gennemførelsen ... Eftersom EU's retningslinjer, der er vedtaget af Italien, tilsigter at holde medlemsstaterne [statsbudgettet, -red.] ude af bankkrisernes finansielle implikationer, bliver staterne i teorien deres ansvar kvit, men et andet, ikke-liberalt princip introduceres i systemet, nemlig, at staten, gennem centralbanker, dumper sine fejltagelser på skuldrene af borgeren.«

Savona sluttede med at sige, at de få aspekter, han her havde adresseret, var tilstrækkeligt til at rejse spørgsmålet om »en multipelt krænket Forfatning«.

I en tidligere artikel for *Italia Oggi* havde Savona adresseret den nylige bail-out/bail-in af fire italienske banker på en meget kritisk måde. Det italienske banksystem har bestemt begået fejl i vurderingen af kreditrisiko, skrev Savona, »men en stor del af dets problemer kommer fra forkert finanspolitik i USA, som er blevet passivt accepteret og forværret af det meste af resten af verden, frem for alt af City of London, der har spillet hovedrollen i udbredelsen af derivater, inklusive subprime-lån«.

Sydøstasien: Kinesisk ekspert advarer om amerikansk fremstød for krig mod Kina

29. december, 2015 – "Det centrale formål med den amerikanske strategi er, med præsident Obamas egne ord, 'at undlade at gøre dumme ting.' Men de forløbne 10 år har været fyldt med

dumme beslutninger, foretaget af USA."

Sådan siger Zhao Minghao, stipendiat ved Chongyang Instituttet for Finansielle Studier ved Kinas Renmin Universitet i en artikel i *Global Times* i dag. (Chongyang Instituttet var sammen med *EIR* medsponsor af den kinesiske version af *EIR's* rapport, Den Nye Silkevej bliver til Verdenslandbroen.)

Zhao ser tilbage på den ødelæggelse, der blev fremkaldt af Bush' og Obamas politik i Irak, Libyen og Syrien, og som igen har skabt ISIS-svøben. Dernæst skriver han: "Men hvad der er mere bekymrende, er, at Washington, på katastrofal vis, sandsynligvis agter at gøre det Sydkinesiske Hav til orkanens øje i det asiatiske Stillehavsområde. USA's hyppige såkaldte 'fri sejlads'-operationer nær kinesiske øer og rev, såvel som den nylige 'utilsigtede' overflyvning af to B-52 bombefly, betragtes af Beijing som alvorlige militære provokationer. Til trods for, at de ikke er parthavere i tvisten om det Sydkinesiske Hav, prøver USA's allierede, som f.eks. Australien og Japan, også at deltage i det farlige magtspil.

"Mange mennesker er bekymrede for, at det sandsynligvis vil komme til en militær konflikt mellem Kina og USA, idet USA intensiverer sine militære aktioner mod Kina i det Sydkinesiske Hav....Pentagon synes for indeværende at mene, at Beijing fortsat vil beherske sig, uanset, hvor provokerende USA optræder".

"USA overvurderer sin chance for at vinde en mulig væbnet konflikt med Kina. Kina har meget præcise, langtrækkende anti-skibs missiler. Desuden har Kina fordelen af at kæmpe ud for sin egen dør, mens USA's flåde skal sejle over lang afstand."

Zeus lever og hjemsøger Jordens

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 6. januar 2016:

Nedstigningen til barbarisme i dag er ikke begrænset til nationerne i Mellemøsten, hvor ISIS dræber folk, ofte ved halshugning, pga. af deres religiøse retning eller for at være modstandere af terroristernes regeringsomvæltninger. Dette sataniske, morderiske had til menneskeheden dominerer i stigende grad Europas, Sydamerikas og også USA's daglige praksis.

Efter terroristernes angreb i San Bernardino, dækkede præsident Obama over dem, der havde orkestreret dette angreb, præcis, som han fortsat mørklægger saudiernes orkestrering af angrebet den 11. september [2001] ved at nægte at frigive de 28 sider af Kongressens rapport, der afslører saudiernes rolle i denne handling. I dag fældede Obama tårer, mens han udvidede denne mørklægning, ved at lade som om, hans foreslæde, mindre begrænsninger af våbensalg på en eller anden måde ville få indflydelse på sådanne terrorangreb, endda samtidig med, at Obama er ved at arrangere salget af massive, dødbringende våben til saudierne, for at fortsætte slagteriet af Yemens befolkning, og for at de kan fortsætte deres bevæbning af ISIS og de mange grene af al-Qaeda. Zeus ville være stolt af præsidentens drabsrate.

I Tyskland på Nytårsaften, mens befolkningen fejrede foran den storsslæde Kölnerdom, omringede en bande bestående af omkring 1.000 mænd, for det meste med arabisk eller afrikansk udseende, mindst 90 kvinder og røvede dem, krænkede dem seksuelt eller voldtog dem endda, i næsten fire timer, fuldt synligt, men tilsyneladende uden, at politiet opdagede det, og hvor nyheden først nåede ud til offentligheden efter fem dage.

Lyndon LaRouche bemærkede, at, ligesom det britiske monarkis kontrol over de saudisk-sponsorerede terroroperationer i hele verden er blevet klart dokumenteret af *EIR* igennem mange år, må man erkende, at kun dette samme, britiske monarki har kapaciteten til, og hensigten om, at orkestrere en sådan grusomhed. Man må forvente, som det også var Dronningens hensigt, at flygtninge fra de folkemorderiske »regimeskift-krige« i Libyen og Syrien (krige, der som sådan også blev lanceret af briterne og deres skakbrik Obama) vil få skylden for grusomheden og således anstifte mere etnisk raseri og had i hele Europa.

Og Satans rolle i selve Det forenede Kongerige ligger i stigende grad åben for alle, der har deres øjne, og deres sind, åbne. Det blev i dag afsløret, at briterne har pålagt deres udenrigsministerium at tage skridt til at fordømme anvendelsen af dødstraf i de lande, hvor den stadig praktiseres – alle sådanne nationer undtagen, vel at mærke, Saudi Arabien, briternes og Obamas barbariske, »nære allierede«. Pyt med, at saudierne netop har henrettet 47 mennesker, inklusive deres lands førende shiamuslimske medlem af præsteskabet, for forbrydelsen at tilhøre den shiamuslimske trosretning, og således muligvis vil udløse en sekterisk krig uden fortilfælde i hele regionen. Den saudiske metode med at halshugge deres ofre er kun en af de wahhabi-praksisser, der deles af saudierne og deres ISIS-skabelse.

Eller bemærk, at den britiske premierminister Cameron i denne uge retfærdiggjorde den britiske aftale med saudierne i 2013 for at gøre det Saudiske Kongedømme til medlem af FN Menneskerettighedsråd. Under pres omkring denne aftale fra en interviewer, der gik i detaljer med de barbariske overgreb på menneskerettigheder i Saudi Arabien, busede det ud af Cameron: »Vi er afhængige af saudierne for afgørende efterretnings- og sikkerhedsinformation, og det er grunden.« Endnu engang, så er Zeus stolt af sine sataniske børn.

Obama, der stolt udarbejder sin ugentlige »drabsliste« for

dronemord på amerikanere og andre, må omgående fjernes fra embedet, hvis verden skal overleve. Når satanisme først tager over på denne måde, kan civilisationer ikke overleve ret længe. Nu, hvor de europæiske og amerikanske banksystemer er ved at falde fra hinanden, vil død gennem økonomiske midler brede sig eksponentielt, hvis Obamas planlagte globale krig mod Rusland og Kina ikke udsletter menneskeheden først.

Løsningerne er forhånden. USA og Europa må gøre en ende på Det britiske Imperium én gang for alle, og sammen med Rusland og Kina lancere en ny, international renæssance, baseret på menneskehedens fælles mål. Afgørelsen ligger hos dig.

Foto: Saudi Arabiens Kong Abdullah som gæst i Det Hvide Hus, 2010.

Menneskets overlevelse i dag afhænger af en Ny Renæssance; det er menneskets iboende natur at være skabende

Ben Deniston fra LPAC's Videnskabsteam taler om den nye brochure, »USA tilslutter sig den Nye Silkevej«, og Kinas politik for den Nye Silkevej.

»Dette er en forståelse af, at udviklingen af fundamental videnskab, i samarbejde med forskellige nationer, skaber en nettoforøgelse af den mængde rigdom og ressourcer, der er til rådighed for alle. Og vi er nået til et punkt i menneskehedens

udvikling, hvor, hvis vi ikke løfter os op på et niveau med internationale relationer og globalt samarbejde, der bygger på denne forståelse, vil vi ikke være i stand til at eksistere som art på denne planet. Hvis vi fortsætter denne fremgangsmåde, med geopolitiske konflikter, vil vi ødelægge os selv; sådan, som Obama netop nu truer med at gøre.«

[Download \(PDF, Unknown\)](#)

**Ny brochure fra LPAC
Videnskabsteam:
»USA tilslutter sig den Nye
Silkevej«.
Dansk introduktion til
LaRouchePAC
Videnskabsteams nye brochure,
v/teamleder Benjamin Deniston**

[Download \(PDF, Unknown\)](#)

Hvad der ligger bag mandagens indledende rystelser forud for et krak

4. januar 2016 – Det, der angiveligt skulle have udløst det globale styrtdyk på aktiemarkederne den 4. januar, hævdes at være Kinas Industrielle Purchasing Managers Index, PMI, der viste nedsat aktivitet for 12. måned i træk (december; PMI udgives den første arbejdsgang i måneden for den foregående måned). Dette til trods for det bratte fald i bankaktier som følge af den katastrofale »bail-in«-politiks ikrafttræden i hele Europa og USA; og til trods for Saudi Arabiens klare trussel om en optrapning mod en generel religionskrig i hele Mellemøsten og Nordafrika. Disse begivenheder havde tydeligvis større indvirkning på aktiemarkedernes mandags-deroute.

Men bag denne deroute udviser industridata fra Nordamerika atter en skarpt nedsat aktivitet. December måneds ISM [Institute for Supply Management] Manufacturing Index (indeks for varefremstilling) skrumpede ind til 48,2 point, med en nedgang fra 48,6 point i november. Chicago Purchasing Manager's Index (udgivet 31. december, for december måned) styrtdykkede til et sløjt 42,9 efter 48,7 i november. Den seneste undersøgelse fra Dallas Federal Reserve (december) må have sat rekord i nedsat aktivitet, med -20.

Disse data er karakteristiske for massearbejds løshedsåret 2009. Canadas Purchasing Manager's Index for december var på 47,2, hvilket er lavere end selv noget, man så i Canada i 2009. Tallet for byggeaktivitet i USA faldt også i november; det samme gjorde amerikansk import.

Umiddelbart efter disse rapporter meddelte den på det seneste nøjagtige Atlanta Federal Reserve Bank, at dens »aktuelle BNP-prognose for reel vækst i BNP faldt 0,5 procentpoints her til

morgen i kølvandet på Folkeoptællingsbureauets (en afdeling under Handelsministeriet) publikation af byggeaktivitet og Institute for Supply Management's Manufacturing ISM Report On Business». Rapportens prognose for fjerde kvartal er nu blot 0,7 %, alt imens den for blot to uger siden var 1,3 %.

Bølger af konflikt spredes sig fortsat efter saudiske henrettelser

4. januar 2016 – To dage efter Det britiske Imperiums Saudi-kongedømmes massehenrettelser den 2. jan., der inkluderede ledende medlem af det shiamuslimske præsteskab, Sheikh Nimr al-Nimr, fortsætter protesterne, spændingerne og endda tale om decideret krig inden for selve Islam med at spredes over hele planeten, med de britisk-kontrollerede medier, der puster til ilden. Wienerprocessen med en politisk dialog mellem den syriske regering og opposition er blevet kastet ind som en hund i et spil kegler; der udøves pres af det anglo-saudiske krigsparti for at ødelægge den iranske atom aftale; og hele situationen er fortsat en hårs bredde fra at udløse sig i en ekslosion.

Wall Street Journal anførte slænget af anglo-saudiske krigsmagere med en lederartikel, der erklærer, at saudierne er »den bedste ven, vi har i den Arabiske Halvø«. Lederen gør det klart, at det virkelige mål for den britisk-inspirerede, saudiske udløsermekanisme for krig er Rusland. »Iran og Rusland har en interesse i at vælte Huset Saud, og de spekulerer muligvis i, om præsident Obama ville gøre noget for at standse dem«, siger lederartiklen.

Saudi Arabien fortsatte optrapningen imod Iran i kølvandet på gårsdagens afbrydelse af de diplomatiske forbindelser; regeringen meddelte, at kommercial flyvning og forbindelser vil blive afbrudt. Saudi Arabiens *de facto* koloni, Bahrain, og den saudisk finansierede regering i Sudan tilsluttede sig afbrydelsen af de diplomatiske forbindelser med Iran, og de Forenede Arabiske Emirater skar antallet af tilladte, iranske diplomater ned. Men her sent på eftermiddagen havde andre medlemmer af Golf Samarbejdsrådet og den Arabiske Liga ikke fulgt trop.

Som reaktion på de saudiske massehenrettelser samledes vrede demonstranter foran den saudiske ambassade i New Delhi, Indien; og tusinder af demonstranter med mindeplakater for Sheikh al-Nimr marcherede i Bagdad (uden for den Grønne Zone), samt i de shiamuslimsk befolkede byer Karbala, Basra og Najaf. Desuden skal to sunnimuslimske moskeer være blevet bombet og en sunnimuslimsk præst dræbt, selv om det rapporterede drab ikke direkte var knyttet til protesterne.

I hele verden forsøger den angloamerikanske presse at gøre de saudiske slagtere til »ofrene« pga. demonstranternes angreb på de saudiske diplomatusstationeringer i Iran. Men Rusland har stillet sig i spidsen for handlinger til afværgelse af krig. Den russiske nyhedstjeneste TASS rapporterede, at Rusland er »parat til at invitere saudiske og iranske topdiplomater til Moskva til drøftelser«, med et citat fra en unavngiven, diplomatisk kilde, der talte med TASS. Senere udstedte det Russiske Udenrigsministerium en erklæring, der sagde, »Vi anmoder Teheran, Riyadh og andre Golflande om at vise tilbageholdenhed« og at »vælge dialogens vej«, rapporterer Agence France Presse (AFP). »Rusland er parat til at støtte en sådan indsats«, tilføjede Udenrigsministeriet og bemærkede sin bekymring over »optrapningen af situationen i Mellemøsten, forårsaget af de store, regionale magter, Saudi Arabien og Iran«.

Det Amerikanske Udenrigsministeriums talsmand John Kirby

tilbragte det meste af eftermiddagen med at undvige og være under sin fremlæggelse af Obamaregeringens afvisning af at fordømme henrettelserne og de efterfølgende handlinger, udført af USA's »betydningsfulde ven og partner i regionen«, Saudi Arabien, til trods for, at det af en række pressespørørgsmål og undvigende svar fra Kirby klart fremgik, at, alt imens udenrigsminister John Kerry havde talt med den iranske udenrigsminister Zarif og den saudiske vicekronprins over telefon, så var den saudiske udenrigsminister al-Jubeir for travlt optaget til at besvare et telefonopkald fra USA's udenrigsminister.

Foto: Iranske demonstranter samles uden for den Saudiske Ambassade i Teheran den 2. januar, efter henrettelsen af bl.a. den shiamuslimske Sheikh al-Nimr i Saudi Arabien.

USA: Generalløjtnant Michael Flynn: Vi har kurs mod en storkrig

3. januar 2016 – En advarsel om en »storkrig«, der omfatter Rusland og USA, er kommet fra generalløjtnant Michael Flynn, den tidlige chef for USA's Forsvarets Efterretningstjeneste, DIA, og som blev fyret af Obama, efter at hans tjeneste indgav en vurdering i 2012, der sagde, at USA's politik ville føre til et »salafistisk kalifat« i Irak og Syrien (dvs. det, der blev til Islamisk Stat i Irak og Syrien, ISIS). Generalløjtnant Flynn blev interviewet af det russiske magasin

Kommersant Vlast den 30. december.

Interviewet er vidtrækkende og kamplystent, og inkluderer general Flynnns vurdering, at der er »5-10.000 russiske borgere, der kæmper [for ISIS, -red.] i Syrien« og gør det således til en strategisk nødvendighed for Rusland at bekæmpe terroristerne i Syrien, »så de ikke vender tilbage til Tjetjenien, Dagestan, Usbekistan og Moskva«.

Men generalen siger, at det er overhængende nødvendigt, at Obama omgående standser bevæbningen af grupper. Og han advarer om, hvor denne politik fører hen. »Når jeg ser på, hvad der foregår – hvordan tingene er – kan jeg se, at der hænger en enorm trussel over vore hoveder«, sagde Flynn til *Kommersant Vlast*. »Den kurs, vi i øjeblikket er inde på, fører til en udvidelse af konflikten – til en storkrig. Jo nærmere, vi kommer den, desto højere er risikoen, desto højere er prisen, og desto mere begrænsede er vore valg.

Så nu er det vigtigt, at vi arbejder sammen, USA og Rusland, for at afgøre, om vi kan udvikle flere muligheder sammen for at stabilisere situationen«, konkluderer Flynn.

**Ét minut før midnat; Tiden er
inde til at
fordømme den saudiske**

barbarisme og Wall Street

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, USA, 4. januar 2016:

Retfærdighed for ofrene for angrebene 11. sept. 2001 er for længe blevet forhindret af George W. Bush og Barack Obama; og nu afhænger en afværgelse af en verdenskrig netop af, at denne retfærdighed sker fyldest.

Det barbariske, saudiske regimes massehenrettelser den 2. jan., der nu igen polariserer hele den muslimske verden, må fordømmes bredt af alle civiliserede folk, før de saudiske handlinger udløser en ny, global krig.

Det første, omgående skridt må være den omgående frigivelse af de hemmelige 28 sider fra den oprindelige Fælles Kongresundersøgelsesrapport om 11. september, der udlagde det saudiske monarkis direkte rolle i organiseringen af disse angreb på New York og Washington. Præsident George W. Bush begravede disse 28 sider, og præsident Barack Obama har holdt dem begravet. Lovforslagene i hhv. Repræsentanternes Hus og Senatet, hhv. H. Res. 14 og S. 1471, kræver disse siders frigivelse. Men tiden er nu inde til at bringe indholdet af disse 28 sider til salen, til debat, i Huset og Senatet, og til alle amerikanere.

Havde disse oplysninger været offentliggjort, ville der ikke have været nogen trussel fra Islamisk Stat i dag, og det saudiske sponsorskab af global, jihadistisk terror ville være blevet standset for 13 år siden. Bush' og Obamas handlinger, hvor de har beskyttet denne beskidte, saudiske hemmelighed, svarer til forræderi imod det amerikanske folk, og først og fremmest de 3.000 amerikanere, der omkom under angrebene den 11. september, 2001.

De barbariske massehenrettelser den 2. januar turde være de klareste påmindelser om, at dette lederskab af Kongedømmet ikke kan skelnes fra lederskabet af ISIS.

Vi står også ét minut før midnat, før et finanskrak, der er i sine virkninger på folk er værre end i 2008.

I dag, den 4. jan., træder politikken med »bail-in« i kraft over hele Europa og i USA. Regeringens finanstilsynsfolk og bankierer kender politikken; men det gør du sandsynligvis ikke: De vil forsøge at »gen-kapitalisere« alle banker, der går konkurs, ved at inddrage deres kreditorers obligationer, og dernæst tage kontohavernes penge.

Og der vil være banker, der krakker. I Europa har en række bankkonkurser og »bail-in«-begivenheder af kontohaverne allerede ramt Italien og Portugal lige før Nytårsdag. I det amerikanske finanssystem er boblen af »junkgæld«, der er knyttet til råvarer, blevet 150 % større, end ejendomsboblen af subprime-lån i 2008 nogensinde var; og den forfaldne del af denne junkgæld steg pludselig i december måned til 25 % – det er lige så højt, som betalingsstandsningen på subprime-boliglån nåede op på, før bankerne krakkede.

Klar og direkte handling imod Wall Street kræves. Svindlen med »bail-in« er blevet godkendt af præsident Obama og vedtaget ved lov, i Dodd/Frank-loven, gennem en fej og korrupt amerikansk Kongres. Denne Kongres træder igen sammen tirsdag, den 5. januar – og de må omgående holdes til ilden.

Kongressen kunne have lukket Wall Street-kasinoet ned i 2010 ved at genindføre Glass/Steagall-loven og andre tiltag lig dem, præsident Franklin Roosevelt indførte i de første måneder af sin embedsperiode. I stedet bukkede Kongressen under for Wall Street. De vedtog bailout – statslige redningspakker – for milliarder og dernæst en Dodd/Frank-lov, der nu står for at frembringe den endnu mere ødelæggende »bail-in« – dvs. en ekspropriering af jeres kontoindeståender og en overdragelse af midlerne til insolvente banker. Nu kan Wall Street/London-banksystemet, der er klar til at eksplodere, virkelig slå jer ihjel.

Der bør ikke tages flere bailouts, eller nogen bail-ins, i betragtning. Wall Street har ikke myndighed til at kræve denne dårlige gæld indfriet, eller erstatte den med jeres bankindeståender. For at forhindre en økonomisk katastrofe og generel krig, luk Wall Street og Obama ned. Tving dem til at gennemføre Glass/Steagall nu! Lovforslag i Huset HR 381 og i Senatet S. 1709.

Den tidlige guvernør for Maryland, Martin O'Malley, har klarere end nogen anden præsidentkandidat erklæret sin hensigt om at genindføre Glass-Steagall – *Wall Street Journal* kaldte ham »Wall Streets fjende nummer ét« som resultat. Vil han få den nødvendige støtte til at gøre det?

Dansk SPECIAL LaRouchePAC webcast 30. dec. 2015: Det er ét minut før midnat; vi må gennemtvinge handling nu!

Hvorfor tolererer man i Europas tilfælde fortsat eksistensen af en Eurozone, der idemæssigt var bankerot fra første dag, den blev skabt? Hvorfor tolererer man fortsat en Europæisk Kommission i Bruxelles, og en Europæisk Union, der er en rent destruktiv, bogstavelig talt satanisk institution? Hvorfor tolererer man, og går på kattepoter rundt om, den

kendsgerning, at Paven, i sin encyklika om global opvarmning, accepterede en britisk politik for folkemord?

Download (PDF, Unknown)

Helga Zepp-LaRouches Nytårstale 2016: Denne krise er ingen naturbegivenhed, men resultatet af en forkert politik

Kære Medborgere! Jeg vil indledningsvis ønske jer alle et godt og fredeligt Nytår!

Det vil imidlertid afhænge af os alle, om der bliver et sådant. Mange mennesker fornemmer, at vi befinner os i en eksistentiel civilisationskrise. Men denne krise er ingen naturbegivenhed, men er resultatet af en forkert, og fejlslagen, politik – det vil sige, at man kan ændre den.

Download (PDF, Unknown)

Den kreative gnist

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, USA, den 2. januar 2016:

Putin underskrev i går en ny strategisk doktrin for Rusland. Selv om den endnu ikke er blevet oversat til engelsk, har vi pålidelige oplysninger om mange af hovedpunkterne. I betragtning af, hvem Putin er, og hvad han står for, er hovedlinjen positiv, og de fleste af pointerne er sande – men desværre vil selv det sandeste generelt blive misforstået. Dokumentet kræver f.eks., at videnskabens rolle bliver genoplivet, hvilket er absolut nødvendigt – men de fleste mennesker i dag, selv de fleste russere, har ingen idé om, hvad videnskab er.

Men en del af tankegangen i det er af fremragende kvalitet, og minder om Ben Denistons intervention i de sidste ti minutter af LaRouchePAC-webcastet den 30. december, hvor han kraftfuldt polemiserede, at der i realiteten nu kræves fuldstændigt nye ideer, hvis menneskeheden skal overleve – og således gjorde fremstød for den polemik, som LaRouche har ført omkring Brunelleschi.

Under diskussioner om dette aspekt gentog LaRouche, at, LPAC's Videnskabsteam og Komite for Politisk Strategi sandsynligvis typificerer de bedste aspekter af vores organisation.

For at vende tilbage til processen omkring Rusland: den Amerikanske Hær udgav Putins tale til De forende Nationer i november i den seneste udgave af sit magasin, *Military Review*, sammen med en artikel fra februar 2013 af den russiske generalstabschef Valerij Gerasimov og en forklarende artikel af en amerikansk Ruslands-specialist. Gerasimovs artikel gennemgik tankegangen bag den senere Moskva-konference i 2014 om »Farvede Revolutioner«, som vi dengang rapporterede om. Men Gerasimovs intellektuelle processer, og selvfølgelig nogle andres, gik langt videre end det, vi dengang vidste.

»Vi bør ikke kopiere udenlandske erfaringer og jage efter førende lande, men vi må overstige dem og selv indtage førende positioner. Det er her, militærvidenskab får en afgørende rolle«, skrev Gerasimov. Gerasimov bemærker, at den fremragende, sovjetiske, militære akademiker Aleksandr Svechin skrev: »Det er ekstraordinært vanskeligt at forudsige krigens vilkår. For hver krig må man udarbejde en særlig linje for den strategiske krigsførelse. Hver krig er et unikt tilfælde, der kræver fastlæggelsen af en særlig tankegang, og ikke anvendelsen af en eller anden model.«

»Tilstanden i dag for russisk militærvidenskab kan ikke sammenlignes med blomstringen af den militær-teoretiske tankegang i vort land på tærsklen til Anden Verdenskrig«, skrev Gerasimov. Og dog »var der dengang ingen folk med højere eksaminer, og der var ingen akademiske skoler eller ministerier. Der var fremragende personer med strålende ideer. Jeg ville kalde dem fanatikere, i ordets bedste betydning. Måske har vi ganske enkelt ikke tilstrækkeligt med sådanne folk i dag. Folk, som f.eks. Georgy Isserson«, der præcist forudsagde, hvordan den næste krig ville begynde, med længe, hemmeligt forberedte angreb, før nogen som helst krigserklæring. Isserson, en omfattende pionér inden for militærtænkning, blev arresteret i 1941 og blev ikke løsladt før i 1955.

»Skæbnen for denne 'fædrelandets profet' fik et tragisk forløb. Vort land betalte for ikke at lytte til konklusionerne af denne professor fra Generalstabsakademiet, med enorme mængder af blod. Hvad kan vi udlede af dette? En hånlig holdning til nye ideer, til fremgangsmåder, der ikke er standard, til andre synsmåder, er uacceptabelt i militærvidenskab. Og det er endnu mere uacceptabelt for dem, der praktiserer hvervet, at have denne holdning til videnskab.«

»Som konklusion vil jeg gerne sige, at, uanset, hvilke styrker fjenden har, uanset, hvor veludviklet hans styrker og midler

til væbnet konflikt måtte være, så kan former og metoder for at overvinde dem findes. Han vil altid have sårbare områder, og det betyder, at passende midler til at modgå ham findes.«

Efter nogen diskussion om den russiske baggrund responderede Lyndon LaRouche som følger: Han sagde, at de fleste af vores folk, i vores egen organisation, er temmelig dumme. Det skyldes, at de får en af disse 'løsener', en afviger-dialekt – de kommer med forskellige 'løsener' – og så siger de, at denne politik er politikken. Og det er altid noget i retning af en deduktiv model. Og de har ingen forståelse af dette, fordi de ikke er kreative; de er absolut ikke kreative. De kalder sig kreative, men i samme sekund, man løber ind i noget, hvor gruppen beslutter at træffe en beslutning om, hvad der endegyldigt er rigtigt – her er det, at hele organisationen bliver ødelagt. For de insisterer på at vedtage en slags gruppetaenkning, og de antager, at dette må være politikken. Men de går ind i denne pragmatiske holdning, og de mister den kreative gnist, som er hemmeligheden bag alle gode ting, der vinder krige, og den slags.

Det, vi har på Manhattan – vi har virkelig en intelligent organisation. Inden for andre områder har vi nogle virkelig fremragende folk, blandt den håndfuld, der er fremragende folk i vores organisation, men de fleste af folkene fatter det simpelt hen ikke, for de taler altid om en 'praktisk' fremgangsmåde, en 'praktisk' metode, en 'praktisk' måde at gøre tingene på. Og de er ikke dumme mennesker. Men de bliver fordummede på det her – på dette princip. De siger, at man må komme frem til en aftale. Alle må komme på linje, mere eller mindre. Eller, hvis de ikke kommer på linje, ja, så bliver det spørgsmålet. I realiteten mener jeg, at en stor del af vores organisation – selv lederskabet, med få undtagelser – er temmelig dumme i denne henseende. De vil være dygtige til at udtænke en plan, men planen vil sjældent virke. Og de er modvillige, de er så modvillige, til at opgive denne ting.

Titelbillede: 'Mediterende filosof', oliemaleri, Rembrandt Van Rijn, 1632

'Zombie-banker' vil bringe massedød over mennesker i Europa og Amerika

30. december 2015 – Med blot få dage tilbage, før »den katastrofale trussel om bank-bail-in« bliver til virkelighed i hele Europa, vokser advarslerne. »Europas Zombie-banker« lyder overskriften på en artikel i den globale udgave af Tysklands finansavis *Handelsblatt* fra 29. december, med »*Handelsblatt-staben*« angivet som artiklens forfatter – en betydningsfuld artikel. Bankerne er proppet med dårlig gæld – i Italiens banksystem alene er der giftig gæld til 400 mia. euro – og bankerne er blevet holdt åbne af diverse statslige redningspakker – bail-out – i otte år, siden finanskakket (2008). Nu har de europæiske, overationale organisationer og Den europæiske Centralbank, ECB, besluttet, med *Handelsblatts* citat af en højtplaceret, europæisk diplomat, »Vi må skaffe os af med zombie-bankerne meget hurtigt«.

Kaosset begyndte tidligt på dagen, den 30. december, da Portugals Novo Banco – »god bank«-resterne af hele den allerede, gennem bail-out, reddede, totalt kollapsede Espírito Santo-bankgruppe – fandtes at have en manko på 1,4 mia. euro i kapital, og regeringen eksproprierede dernæst dette beløb fra bankens aktieindehavere for at »genkapitalisere« den. De øvrige aktieindehavere løb omgåede storm på banken; værdien af

Novo Bancos aktier faldt fra 94 cent på dollaren om morgenen, til 14 cent om eftermiddagen.

Financial Times bemærkede den 30. dec., at Italien, hvor 10.000 bankkunder allerede er blevet ekspropriert, efter at fire »zombie-banker«, der var mindre end Novo Banco, blev erklæret for insolvente, »ikke er parat til bail-in«. En officiel repræsentant for Bank of Italy havde allerede over for parlamentetindrømmet, at bail-in vil udløse »et stormløb på bankerne af obligations-/aktieindehavere«, så vel som også et stormløb på banker i hele landet af almindelige bankindskydere/kontohavere; tilfældet i Portugal i dag har bevist dette.

Fortune udtalte i en artikel af 30. dec. med overskriften, »Ting, der vil gå galt for Europa i 2016«, at, »Fra og med 1. januar vil reguleringsmyndigheder (det er ECB [Den europæiske Centralbank] for de fleste af de banker, der betyder noget) få nye, brede beføjelser til at lukke insolvente eller underkapitaliserede banker, med en bail-in af selv indehavere af aktier og obligationer og store indskydere, om nødvendigt. Meningen er, at dette skulle luge zombie-bankerne bort fra de sunde banker. Men sådanne oprensninger betyder uvægerligt en brutal overførsel af rigdom fra en klasse til en anden og forårsager den form for politisk storm, som regeringer hader.«

Artiklen fremhæver Italien og Portugal, der »tvangspåførte tab på detail-bankkunder, der ikke læste det, der stod skrevet med småt på de investeringsprodukter, som de troede var indskud i banken (og således beskyttet af garantiordningen), men som viste sig at være underordnet gæld, (der ikke er beskyttet).« Artiklen bemærker også, at forsøget på at organisere indskydergaranti i hele Europa er blevet standset af – igen – Wolfgang Schäubles Tyskland. I lande som Italien, Portugal, Grækenland, Spanien er de 100.000 euro i indskydermidler således ikke beskyttet/garanteret, når flere, selv mindre banker, krakker på en gang.

Finans-websiden *ValueWalk* udlagde »Den katastrofale trussel om bail-in« den 30. dec. »Udtrykket – bail-in – beskriver et scenario, hvor en bank konfiskerer private midler for at friholde sig selv for tab, som den har lidt«, forklarer artiklen. »En bail-in er et totalt lovløst tyveri af aktiver.«

Denne lovløshed, der nu står for at blive udløst i alle de transatlantiske økonomier, vil forårsage masseforarmelse og død over deres indbyggere. De virkelig dødbringende zombier vil frit forlade Wall Street og City of London, med mindre de lukkes ned.

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 31. december 2015: »Bail-in« betyder, at en dødbringende nedsmelting af bankerne er over os: Hvad må vi gøre?

Selv inden det jernbeslæde princip med »bail-in af bankerne« (dvs. ekspropriering af bankkundernes indeståender/indskud) gennemtvinges over hele Europa den 1. januar, signalerer diverse ildevarslende begivenheder omkring Europas døende »zombiebanker«, at hele den transatlantiske verden har kurs direkte mod en eneste, enorm og kaotisk eksplosion af finanssystemet.

»Europas Zombiebanker« lød overskriften på den tyske finansavis *Handelsblatt*; »de vil nu blive lukket ned meget hurtigt«.

Den pludselige effektuering af »bail-in« vil forvandle dette finanskrak til masseforarmelse og død for millioner af mennesker, der vil miste alt, hvad de ejer. Stormens indledende tumult kunne ses allerede i 2012 under den bogstavelige nedlukning af Cyperns økonomi på grund af store eksproprieringer, bail-in, af bankerne; og igen i Spanien i 2013. Den forgangne måneds bankkonkurser og eksproprieringen af 10.000 af almindelige borgere har forårsaget et raseri i Italien; i dag ramte det Portugal.

I hele Europa vil der, som selv magasinet *Fortune* i dag indrømmede, fra og med 1. januar, »finde en brutal overførsel af rigdom sted fra en klasse af mennesker til en anden«, idet insolvente banker undergår bail-in, og deres indskydere og kreditorer bliver plyndret for at skabe overlevelses-»kapital« for banken.

»Italien er ikke parat til bail-in«, bemærkede dagens *Financial Times* – landets banksystem vil smuldre under stormløb på bankerne. Resten af Europa er heller ikke parat til den bail-in-politik, der er kommet oppefra, fra Bruxelles og London og Obamas Hvide Hus, og fra den tyske finansminister Schäuble med sin berygtede nedskærings- og nøjsomhedspolitik og dens »sorte nul« på budget-bundlinjen.

I USA er det hedgefondene, der hurtigere og hurtigere falder til jorden i takt med, at det såkaldte kreditmarked med højt afkast kollapser, med Puerto Ricos betalingsstandsning på sin gæld den 1. januar. Wall Street er klar til at nedsmelte, hvis vi ikke først lukker dette kasino ned gennem regeringens indgriben.

Den 13. dec. udpegede *EIR's* stiftende redaktør, Lyndon LaRouche, det vendepunkt, den 1. januar 2016, hvor det

transatlantiske finanssystem ville nedsmelte, en nedsmeltnings, som vi i går så så mange varsler om. LaRouche tilføjer nu, at, »når vi ser på disse kendsgerninger, bør vi som yderligere bevis fremlægge studiet af historien«.

»Det 15. århundredes Store Renæssance sluttede, blev knust, gennem en politik for reducering af den menneskelige befolkning. På det tidspunkt var den katolske kirke i realiteten en agent for dette massemord, denne forarmelse, disse religionskrige.«

I dag accepterer Paven den videnskabelige svindel med »menneskeskabt, global opvarmning«, hvis formål er at reducere befolkningen.[1]

Men det er Wall Street-systemet, der må lukkes ned. Anvend nu Glass-Steagall, der siden 2010, på Wall Streets befaling, er blevet blokeret, af Obama og den afskyelige Barney Frank og Chris Dodd (Dodd/Frank-loven). Luk Wall Street, og lad spekulanterne æde deres tab og lad dem ikke ekspropriere borgere i massevis til at bære deres tab.

Vi må følge i præsident Franklin Rooseveltts fodspor og skabe statslig kredit til nye arbejdspladser og produktivitet. Men vi må først gøre det, som FDR gjorde mod disse Wall Street-spekulanter, hvis »had« han hilste velkommen.[2]

Det er dem, eller os.

[1] Se Helga Zepp-LaRouche: »**Klimaforandring som middel til oprettelse af et globalt miljødiktatur**«

[2] »Regering ved organiseret penge er lige så farlig som regering ved organiseret kriminalitet. Aldrig før i vores historie står disse kræfter så forenet imod en enkelt kandidat, som de i dag står. De en enstemmige i deres had til mig – og jeg hilser deres had velkommen.« – Franklin D. Roosevelt i en kampagnetale i New York City 31. okt., 1936.



Læs også: Tema-artikel: Glass/Steagall 1933: FDR's første hundrede dage – med hans egne ord.

Inkluderer Roosevelts første indsættelsestale.

SUPPLERENDE MATERIALE:

'Zombie-banker' vil bringe massedød over mennesker i Europa og Amerika

30. december 2015 – Med blot få dage tilbage, før »den katastrofale trussel om bank-bail-in« bliver til virkelighed i hele Europa, vokser advarslerne. »Europas Zombie-banker« lyder overskriften på en artikel i den globale udgave af Tysklands finansavis *Handelsblatt* fra 29. december, med »*Handelsblatt-staben*« angivet som artiklens forfatter – en betydningsfuld artikel. Bankerne er proppet med dårlig gæld – i Italiens banksystem alene er der giftig gæld til 400 mia. euro – og bankerne er blevet holdt åbne af diverse statslige redningspakker – bail-out – i otte år, siden finanskakket (2008). Nu har de europæiske, overnationale organisationer og Den europæiske Centralbank, ECB, besluttet, med *Handelsblatts* citat af en højtplaceret, europæisk diplomat, »Vi må skaffe os af med zombie-bankerne meget hurtigt«.

Kaosset begyndte tidligt på dagen, den 30. december, da Portugals Novo Banco – »god bank«-resterne af hele den allerede, gennem bail-out, reddede, totalt kollapsede Espírito Santo-bankgruppe – fandtes at have en manko på 1,4 mia. euro i kapital, og regeringen eksproprierede dernæst dette beløb fra bankens aktieindehavere for at »genkapitalisere« den. De øvrige aktieindehavere løb omgåede storm på banken; værdien af Novo Bancos aktier faldt fra 94 cent på dollaren om morgenen, til 14 cent om eftermiddagen.

Financial Times bemærkede den 30. dec., at Italien, hvor 10.000 bankkunder allerede er blevet ekspropriert, efter at fire »zombie-banker«, der var mindre end Novo Banco, blev erklæret for insolvente, »ikke er parat til bail-in«. En officiel repræsentant for Bank of Italy havde allerede over for parlamentetindrømmet, at bail-in vil udløse »et stormløb på bankerne af obligations-/aktieindehavere«, så vel som også et stormløb på banker i hele landet af almindelige bankindskydere/kontohavere; tilfældet i Portugal i dag har bevist dette.

Fortune udtalte i en artikel af 30. dec. med overskriften, »Ting, der vil gå galt for Europa i 2016«, at, »Fra og med 1. januar vil reguleringsmyndigheder (det er ECB [Den europæiske Centralbank] for de fleste af de banker, der betyder noget) få nye, brede beføjelser til at lukke insolvente eller underkapitaliserede banker, med en bail-in af selv indehavere af aktier og obligationer og store indskydere, om nødvendigt. Meningen er, at dette skulle luge zombie-bankerne bort fra de sunde banker. Men sådanne oprensninger betyder uvægerligt en brutal overførsel af rigdom fra en klasse til en anden og forårsager den form for politisk storm, som regeringer hader.«

Artiklen fremhæver Italien og Portugal, der »tvangspåførte tab på detail-bankkunder, der ikke læste det, der stod skrevet med småt på de investeringsprodukter, som de troede var indskud i banken (og således beskyttet af garantiordningen), men som viste sig at være underordnet gæld, (der ikke er beskyttet).« Artiklen bemærker også, at forsøget på at organisere indskydergaranti i hele Europa er blevet standset af – igen – Wolfgang Schäubles Tyskland. I lande som Italien, Portugal, Grækenland, Spanien er de 100.000 euro i indskydermidler således ikke beskyttet/garanteret, når flere, selv mindre banker, krakker på en gang.

Finans-websiden *ValueWalk* udlagde »Den katastrofale trussel om bail-in« den 30. dec. »Udtrykket – bail-in – beskriver et scenario, hvor en bank konfiskerer private midler for at

friholde sig selv for tab, som den har lidt«, forklarer artiklen. »En bail-in er et totalt lovløst tyveri af aktiver.«

Denne lovløshed, der nu står for at blive udløst i alle de transatlantiske økonomier, vil forårsage masseforarmelse og død over deres indbyggere. De virkelig dødbringende zombier vil frit forlade Wall Street og City of London, med mindre de lukkes ned.

SPECIAL LaRouchePAC webcast 30. december 2015: Det er ét minut før midnat; vi må gennemtvinge handling nu!

Engelsk Udkrift. Vi står nu på tærsklen til året 2016, og hr. LaRouche advarer om, at dette er en af de farligste perioder i nyere historisk tid. Vi står over for en umiddelbart forestående nedsmeltning af det transatlantiske finanssystem, med mindre der tages skridt til de nødvendige og presserende forholdsregler for at forhindre dette. Vi står også over for en umiddelbart, overhængende konfrontation mellem USA under Obama, og både Rusland og Kina, der, hvis den får lov til at udløses, ville føre til en global, atomar storbrand.

Engelsk udskrift.

SPECIAL International LaRouche PAC Webcast Wednesday December

30 2015

IT'S ONE MINUTE TO MIDNIGHT; WE MUST FORCE ACTION NOW!

MATTHEW OGDEN: Good evening. It's December 30, 2015. My name is Matthew Ogden and you're watching an emergency New Year's Eve

broadcast here from larouchepac.com. I'm joined in the studio tonight by both Jeffrey Steinberg from {Executive Intelligence Review}, and Benjamin Deniston from the LaRouche PAC Science Team, and this broadcast is immediately following a meeting that

the three of us had earlier this morning with both Lyndon LaRouche and Helga Zepp-LaRouche.

Now, right off the bat, I want to emphasize that immediately following the conclusion of this broadcast here tonight, there will be a live question and answer session with Mr. Lyndon LaRouche personally, which is taking place as a broadcast of the

regular weekly LaRouche PAC Fireside Chat. Many of you may have

participated in this before. It's a national telephone discussion, which takes place at 9 o'clock Eastern Time. If you

do not yet have the information on that, please contact the LaRouche PAC national office.

Again, let me just emphasize: Immediately upon the conclusion of this broadcast, we encourage you to participate in

this live Fireside Chat with Mr. Lyndon LaRouche.

Now, to begin our broadcast tonight, let me just summarize very quickly what you're about to hear. Obviously, we're on the

threshold of the end of 2015, and the beginning of 2016, and Mr.

Lyndon LaRouche is warning that this is among one of the most dangerous periods in recently recorded history. We have the

impending blow-out of the trans-Atlantic financial system, if the necessary urgent measures to prevent that are not taken. And we also have the impending, looming confrontation between the United States, under Obama, and both Russia and China, which, if it were allowed to be unleashed, would lead to a global thermonuclear conflagration.

Now 2015, I think, can best be summarized by a series of failures that have been taken by those who should be the responsible leadership of the United States. Number one – the failure to have effectively opposed and defied Obama's unlawful

violations of the United States Constitution, which are indeed impeachable offenses. Number two – the failure, going all the way back to 2007-2008, to restore the Glass-Steagall Act, and to

reorganize and shut down the entire Wall Street casino speculative system. Number three – the failure, going all the way back to 2000-2001, to dismantle and expose the Saudi-British

apparatus that was responsible for the terrorism of September 11,

2001, and continue to exist, and continue to plague the world with the increasing threat of this kind of terror. And number four – perhaps most fundamentally, the failure to fundamentally

reverse the 100-year trend toward scientific and cultural degeneration, which has reigned increasingly since the turn of the 20th Century. This has brought the entire trans-Atlantic, extended European system to the point of an existential breakdown

crisis, and this will not be addressed unless we address the fundamentally failed model which has reigned over the last 100 to

120 years.

Now, this will be the subject of a much broader discussion later in the broadcast, but I think it sets us up directly for our first question, which was the subject of a lot of discussion

earlier today with Mr. LaRouche.

The question is our institutional question for the evening, which addressed exactly this coming, looming failure of the extended European system. The question reads as follows:

"Mr. LaRouche. Columnist Leo McKinstry in an article featured by the

{Daily Telegraph} predicted that 2016 could be the year that the

EU falls apart. What are your thoughts on the EU's immigration and economic challenges in 2016?"

So, in order to answer that question directly, and also to give us a broader context from the discussion earlier today with

Mr. LaRouche, I'd like to ask Jeff Steinberg to come to the podium.

JEFF STEINBERG:

Thanks, Matt. I would say that, barring a dramatic change in policy, really a revolutionary change in policy, the future of the European Union is absolutely doomed, and that what we're looking at is the fact, as of January 1, under the diktats of the European Union, under agreements that were reached at the very outset of the Obama Administration during the very first meeting of the Group of 20, a system of bail-in has been established. It goes into effect in Europe, European-wide, as of the 1st of January of 2016. In the United States it has already been policy, although the overwhelming majority of Americans have no idea of this. In fact, most members

of Congress don't even know that Section 2 of the Dodd-Frank

bill of 2010, which was written on Wall Street, and dictated through the likes of the Obama White House and people like Barney Frank on Capitol Hill, already provides for bail-in. What this means is that, as financial institutions go through a spiralling collapse, which is already underway – you've had quite a number of hedge funds, a number of European regional banks in Italy and elsewhere, have already collapsed in recent weeks and months. Under bail-in, depositors' funds, bondholders' money, shareholders' funds in those banks will be looted as the first step towards trying to salvage a system that is already hopefully and irreversibly bankrupt. In other words, the entire trans-Atlantic region is on the very edge of extinction. The danger of a complete catastrophic financial collapse is imminent, as of the beginning of next week. Friday obviously, New Year's Day, the banks are closed; the weekend they're closed. But as of January 4, Monday, this coming Monday, anything goes; and there is an increasing likelihood that the whole trans-Atlantic system will blow up in the early days, if not the early weeks of 2016. Now, those are knowable and virtually irrefutable facts. It's been widely discussed in the trans-Atlantic financial press. The {Daily Telegraph} article referenced in the institutional question is but one of the recent flurry of articles that have basically said, 2015 was a nightmare, but 2016 will be radically worse, and could be the end of the system, the European Union, and most trans-Atlantic nation-states as we know them. There's a report today in the international edition of {Handelsblatt}, the major German financial daily newspaper,

which talks about a proliferation of zombie banks in Europe, and says, get on with the bail-in immediately. The whole system is coming apart. Yes, it's Mediterranean regional banks, but it's German banks as well, and therefore we've got to take advantage of these new laws, and literally loot the population to the point that there's nothing left to loot. That's the significance of policies that go into effect as of the first of January. Now, the discussion that we had with Mr. and Mrs. LaRouche today took this question up from a very different standpoint. Because the appropriate question that really must be asked right now, well, if these facts are known, if the doom of the trans-Atlantic financial system is widely known and understood, then why is it that nothing is being done to stop it from happening? Why is it that Congress did not stay in Washington to enact Glass-Steagall, before they left for the Christmas recess? That would have meant the doom of Wall Street. It would have bankrupted the entire British system, and set forward at least an initial framework for beginning an economic recovery, modelled on the policies of Franklin Roosevelt. Why in the case of Europe, is there toleration for the continuing existence of a Eurozone which was bankrupt conceptually from the day it was created? Why is there a continuing toleration for a European Commission in Brussels, and a European Union, that is a purely destructive, virtually a Satanic institution? What is there toleration and a tip-toeing

around the fact that the Pope, in his encyclical on global warming, embraced a British policy of population genocide? Well, the simple answer comes down to the fact that the population of the trans-Atlantic region has become generally very, very stupid, very corrupt, very immoral, bordering on Satanic. In fact, some leading political figures in the trans-Atlantic – Schäuble in Germany, Blair in Britain and the whole Blair tradition, the entire Bush-Obama succession of presidential administrations over the last 15 years in the United

States – these could all very appropriately and scientifically be defined as outright Satanic.

This was the point that Mr. LaRouche was making emphatically.

But to understand why we have reached this point, you can't just look at explanations that date back a week, or a month, or

even a decade. You can't look at 2008, or the end of Glass-Steagall in 1999, and appreciate why these things happened,

unless you're willing to take a much longer-term and deeper look

at the actual roots of this entire degeneration of the trans-Atlantic region. It goes back to the transition from the 19th to the 20th Century.

Look at the 19th Century. You had enormous scientific breakthroughs. You had the work of Gauss, you had the work of Riemann. You had the revolution in Classical culture through the

likes of Beethoven, of Brahms, of Schubert, of Schumann. The 19th

Century was a period of a Renaissance in the trans-Atlantic region. In the domain of politics, you had the emergence of Germany as a modern and sovereign nation-state under American System economic policies during the period of Bismarck. There was

a spreading, a proliferation, of the Hamiltonian concepts of

how

to build a nation-state, and how to create truly cooperative relationships among modern nations.

Today, most everyone alive is familiar with the fact that China has initiated a “One Belt, One Road” policy, otherwise known as the New Silk Road, as the Eurasian Land-Bridge, and that

this policy offers a tremendous opportunity for the integration

and economic development of not just the Eurasian region, but the

Eurasian region stretching into Africa. Through the prospect of

the Bering Straits tunnel program, which has been on the books since the end of the 19th [Century], you could integrate the entire Western Hemisphere into this Eurasian-African development

region.

Well, the fact of the matter is that these ideas were not only prevalent, but were being fully implemented in the last decades of the 19th Century. You had in 1869 the completion of Lincoln’s great project to bind the nation together under the Transcontinental Railroad. Although Lincoln was assassinated by

the British, precisely for those policies of saving the Union and

going on for this kind of economic development, those policies nevertheless continued, and were realized.

President Ulysses S. Grant was the continuation in many respects of the policies represented by President Lincoln. You had leading American friends and advisers working closely with Bismarck in Germany. Bismarck, in his office as Chancellor, had a

portrait of Ulysses S. Grant on the wall. They visited together

when Grant made his world tour following his Presidency. In Russia, beginning in 1890, you had the construction of the

Trans-Siberian Railroad. Many of the American Army Corps of Engineers participated in that project, which was completed by the end of the 1890s. The original locomotive that was the first

to pass along the Trans-Siberian Railroad was built in Philadelphia.

You had a flourishing of international collaboration for great projects of development. Bismarck himself had the Berlin to

Baghdad rail project. In France, under Hanotaux and Carnot, you

had plans for a crisscrossing of the African continent with railroads. You had the Paris to Vladivostok planned rail routes.

Czar Nicholas himself proposed in the 1890s that there should be

a bridge or tunnel across the Bering Straits, to establish the obvious and natural links between the Western Hemisphere, and the

Eurasia. You had Sun Yat-sen in China, during the transition into

the 20th Century, and in the 1870s you had the Meiji Restoration

in Japan. Again, leading American advisers were involved in all of these projects.

What was the response? There was a proliferation of Classical culture, of great Classical musical composition. There

were scientific breakthroughs. The work of Riemann anticipated a

20th Century that should have been an era of man beginning to venture out into the Solar System, and on into the Galaxy, to make great discoveries about the nature of mankind in the universe. Instead, the British Empire stepped in decisively.

You had the British manipulation of regional wars throughout Eurasia. You had the Japanese wars against China, the Japanese

wars against Russia. You had wars in the Balkans. You had the Crimean War, and ultimately the British strategy was to destroy

the American System expansion into Eurasia, by launching what came to be known as World War I.

On a much more profound level, individuals like Lord Bertrand Russell launched a vicious assault against the foundations of science that had been established through people

like Kepler, like Gauss, like Riemann. Earlier, [people] like Leibniz, and before that, Nicholas of Cusa, and back in the Renaissance, Brunelleschi. This entire sweep of scientific progress coming out the Renaissance was crushed and destroyed, and a tyranny was established. Europe went through one of the most hellacious wars in history in World War I. Atrocious crimes

were committed. Cities were destroyed. Populations were ruined.

At the end of that war, the Versailles Treaty imposed a looting scheme on Germany that led inevitably to another world war; in effect, the First World War never really ended. There was

an interwar period of preparation for the next phase of that war.

Science was destroyed. Education came under vicious attack because the Classical culture tradition that had been alive in the 19th Century, was destroyed in the sweep of cultural pessimism that hit Europe and the United States throughout the 20th Century.

The British resorted frequently to political assassinations of leading figures. You had the assassination of Sadi Carnot in

France; you had the assassination of William McKinley in the United States, following off of the assassination earlier of Abraham Lincoln and, in fact, going all the way back to Aaron Burr's assassination, for the British, of Alexander Hamilton. You had the assault on science as I indicated, through the

work of Bertrand Russell and his cohorts in the Solvay Conferences, to where you could say that the entire sweep of the

20th Century – now well into the 21st Century – the only truly sovereign scientific genius of that entire period was Albert Einstein. There were engineering discoveries, but the general course of science was a digression, not an advancement. So, we

find ourselves today with a population in the trans-Atlantic region that has been deprived of a competent education; with each

successive generation the degeneration has accelerated. At one

point, it was something important to be a physicist or a bio-chemist; now, if you study these areas, you're told that it's

a waste of time and that the only true science is computer science.

So, we've got this process of cultural, educational, scientific degeneration; and about the only point during the entire 20th Century when you had any kind of significant pushback

against the trend line, was during the Presidency of Franklin Roosevelt. And if the truth be told, the assault against the policies of Franklin Roosevelt had already reached the point where his Presidency had been destroyed even before his untimely

death. The Republican Party was used as one of the instruments

of that destruction; the FBI emerged as the literally blackmail

arm of Wall Street, deployed against Franklin Roosevelt. So, you

had effectively only a brief period in the entire sweep of the 20th Century, where there were genuinely American System policies

being carried out here in the United States; the place of

origin
of those concepts. Now you look at the last 15 years, it makes
sense how it is that a degenerate population could vote in a
George W. Bush; could then follow that up by voting in a
Barack
Obama. And then tolerate the bail-out of Wall Street after
the
2008 crash; could tolerate President Obama openly holding kill
meetings at the White House every Tuesday, to map out the
latest
targets for assassination. There is no accounting for how
many
American citizens have been assassinated under Obama orders
without any due process, or without any even public
acknowledgment.
So, it's very important today to realize that the current
generations are the fruits of 100 years or more of persistent,
cultural moral degeneration in which science has been
destroyed.
Now, this is not irreversible, because human beings are
fundamentally creative; but it's very important to recognize
that
we are at a minute before midnight. And the reality is, that
we
have very few opportunities left to buy the time to turn this
situation around. If President Obama remains in office as
this
financial blow-out hits, then the prospects of being able to
avert a catastrophic destruction and a degeneration into chaos
across the entire trans-Atlantic region converge on zero. And
that's if we are lucky enough, through the strong leadership
in
China and Russia, to avoid the kind of thermonuclear war that
Matt mentioned a few moments ago; because that is the policy
of
the British Empire. And President Obama is really not a

President of the United States; he's a stooge of that British Empire system.

Now, that system is, itself, bankrupt and doomed; but they are more prepared to bring the entire population of mankind down

with them, if there's a prospect of them genuinely losing power.

So, there are a few options: The removal of President Obama is

absolutely existentially essential. The immediate reinstatement

of Glass-Steagall as the concrete measure that wipes out Wall Street's existence; and along with it, wipes out the power of the

City of London and the power of the British Empire. These are the measures that have to be taken in the immediate days ahead.

As soon as the new year commences, we are already well into the

danger zone, where there is no alternative left to those critical

actions. The question is whether or not there will be enough of

a return of reality to where the successive degeneration of thinking among leading strata and the general population of the

trans-Atlantic region, reaches a point where the threat is so immediate and existential that the right steps will be taken.

Up

until this moment, that has not happened; and therefore, we go into the new year facing the greatest peril that mankind has faced probably in history.

OGDEN: Very quickly, I just want to address one thing, and ask Jeff to comment on it briefly before we get to Ben Deniston.

But the bail-in law that is going into effect in Europe on

January 1st – just within a few hours – is something that as Jeff said, is already written into the law in the United States;

in Dodd-Frank, Title II, where derivatives get priority and people's deposits are no longer protected as they formerly were.

Now the architect of this law is none other than Barney Frank; who, despite the fact that he is no longer an active member of Congress, is still playing a very active and destructive role within the politics of the United States as an agent of the Wall

Street faction inside the Democrat Party. And as one of the leading proponents of the lies that are being told against Glass-Steagall; for example, in an article which exposes the fact

that Barney Frank is one of the leading economic advisors of the

Hillary Clinton campaign. Hillary Clinton being up to this point, an opponent of the restoration of Glass-Steagall.

Barney

Frank says, "The Glass-Steagall debate is an artificial debate at

this point. It's 85 years old. Most people can see if it had an

effect, it wouldn't have stopped AIG; it wouldn't have stopped sub-prime mortgages that shouldn't have been granted. This is the lie that has been used for the last five years or more against the restoration of Glass-Steagall; and I think that I would like to address this just very quickly. When Franklin Roosevelt became President, he became President despite the fact

that most of the leadership of his own party were agents of the

Wall Street interests; and he had to, in order to both secure the

nomination and also in order to win the election as the United States President, had to identify and root out exactly who

were serving the interests of Wall Street in the leadership of his own party.

If we're going to save the United States, Obama has to be identified as an agent of those Wall Street interests; Barney Frank as well, and others. And I would just like Jeff to comment

very quickly what Mr. LaRouche's remarks were concerning how we

can restore the Franklin Roosevelt precedent on an emergency basis right now, in opposition to these agents of the Wall Street

interests who are dominating the Democratic Party as we see it right now, as well as the Republican Party.

STEINBERG: Well, I think that you've got to take the case of Barney Frank as a perfect example of what I was discussing just a few moments ago. Long before the Glass-Steagall which Barney Frank personally played a leading role in, and long before

the 2008 crisis, Barney Frank got in a whole lot of trouble because his roommate, his lover was running a pedophile prostitution ring out of his apartment. And this was not something that was a deep dark secret; it came out in all of the

major Washington DC and related newspapers at the time. The fact

that there was a toleration for this kind of person, this kind of

behavior, is indicative of the deeper cultural issues that I addressed earlier. In effect, Barney Frank was the Roy Cohn of

the Democratic Party.

So, I think that the measures themselves are clear and straightforward; there are bills in both houses of Congress to re-instate Glass-Steagall. The very first act of business

when

Congress returns next week should be a debate and vote and immediate passage of Glass-Steagall. Time is running out; it may

even be that as of Monday of next week, we see the first explosions, the detonations of this crash. Glass-Steagall merely

clears the decks; it means that undercapitalized commercial banks

can be restored, and all of the zombie debt of Wall Street, all

of the derivatives, all of the other kinds of exotic financial instruments that are un-payable and worthless, are going to be written off the books. And as a result of that, Wall Street will

disintegrate; the power of Wall Street to dictate terms to Congress will disintegrate. And I think along with the disintegration of Wall Street, you will see an immediate meltdown

of the Obama Presidency.

But once you've re-instated Glass-Steagall, all you've done is created a clean platform to begin a much more significant and challenging process. There has to be a massive emission of new

Federal credits into the commercial banking system, for ear-marked projects of real economic development, job creation.

We've got to have a clear concept of reversing the last 100 years

of decline in productivity of labor power here in the United States, in Europe. So, we've got to develop, on the model of Franklin Roosevelt, a series of initiatives that will create jobs, that will rebuild infrastructure; but on a higher level. We've got to do several other things as well. Number one, we've got to really launch a serious revival of science; we've got to basically revive all of the NASA programs. We've got

to

conquer the final remaining steps towards having thermonuclear fusion power. These are big projects, and they're more challenging today than they were 20 or 30 years ago. When President Kennedy announced that we were going to put a man on the Moon before the end of the decade, and launched the Apollo project, there was a scientific capability still in place to have

done that. That capability has been severely, severely eroded;

so we're going to have to do an enormous amount of rebuilding. In a sense, the productive powers of labor today are less than they were on the day Franklin Roosevelt took office as President

in March of 1933.

We've got to launch a cultural revival. We had a foretaste of what can and must be done in the Handel's {Messiah} concerts

that took place in Manhattan and Brooklyn one week ago. They were a shining example of how you can begin to draw out the humanity in people, using Classical music as a medium to do that.

So, all of these things have to be done; but they will not happen

if the American people don't immediately develop the moral courage to face reality. To face the fact that it's a minute before midnight; and take the necessary steps, starting with removing this President from office, re-instating Glass-Steagall,

and going on from there.

OGDEN: Now, let me just announce that, as many of the viewers of this webcast may already know, the LaRouche Political

Action Committee has released a new very important Special Report, which is entitled "The United States Joins the New Silk

Road; a Hamiltonian Vision for an Economic Renaissance". This is

a supplement to the much longer report that was published by {Executive Intelligence Review} a number of months ago, called "The New Silk Road Becomes the Eurasian Land-Bridge". And this

specifically addresses the role the United States must play in this development perspective for the entire globe. The electronic version of this is available on the LaRouche PAC website; however, we do intend to print a large number of physical copies of this to get out across the United States, particularly in Manhattan and elsewhere.

Now, I'm going to ask Benjamin Deniston to address some of the crucial factors that are contained within this report, which

apply directly to what's been discussed here tonight. But let me

just put it into the context of the fact that if you look at over

just the last few days, there has been a concerted propaganda effort in some of the leading United States media, in order to slander China, and especially China's President Xi Jinping; who

as you all probably know has made the Silk Road policy, the One

Belt-One Road policy as he calls it, the cornerstone policy of the Chinese economic development perspective. Now, the Chinese

Foreign Minister responded to these slanders that have been appearing in the {New York Times} yesterday, and today in the {Washington Post}; saying the One Belt-One Road policy, the Silk

Road policy, which was put forward two years ago, has now attracted the interest of more than 60 countries and other organizations along the One Belt-One Road corridor, who have responded positively to participate in its initiative. And he stated, "Many countries have signed cooperation agreements or

reach consensus on aligning their strategies for development with China. These include multi-lateral and bilateral cooperation projects. Emphatically, the One Belt-One Road initiative is not a tool for geopolitics," the Foreign Ministry said. "China has not political motives to seek in so-called 'spheres of influence'. The principle is that of jointly building the initiative in order to meet the interests of all, and to deepen cooperation in various fields of development along the One Belt-One Road corridor in order to achieve win-win results." Now, it's exactly this principle of the "win-win" policy which serves as the principle behind the composition of this Special Report; why the United States must join the New Silk Road. And if you just look over the last 8-16 years of the United States, the period defined by the Obama Presidency, and then preceding that, the Bush/Cheney Presidency, and compare it to a similar period in China, you can see exactly what the effect of these two opposite policies have been. During Obama's Presidency, you've had a substantial increase in poverty in the United States; where prior to Obama's Presidency, 37 million Americans were officially living in poverty. Now, that's risen to 47 million Americans. Prior to Obama's Presidency, those receiving food stamps were 28 million; now that has risen to 47 million. And currently, one in every five children in the United States, lives below the Federal poverty line. And if you look at blacks, African-Americans, that's two out of every five children

live below the Federal poverty line. Compare that to what China's done over the last decade, over the last several decades.

Over the last 30 years, China has lifted 600 million people out

of poverty; they have built 11,000 miles of high-speed rail in scarcely a decade, and they have plans on the books to triple that number of miles by the year 2020. In comparison, the United

States has a grand total of just over 450 miles of so-called high

speed rail, and it barely fits the definition.

Now, as people might recall, during the APEC summit of 2014, contrary to this being a geopolitical strategy on the part of Xi

Jinping for some sort of revival of Chinese imperialism – as is

being claimed by the {New York Times} and the {Washington Post}

– Xi Jinping actually offered to President Obama that the United

States could join this New Silk Road policy in addition to the new Asian Infrastructure Development Bank policy. This was an offer to say you can participate in this win-win policy. Now, of

course, Obama has not reciprocated that offer, but we can see the

foundations for a completely new vision of international economic

and strategic relationships among nations; based on this win-win

policy. So, that is the substance of this new report from LaRouche PAC, "The United States Joins the New Silk Road"; and that's what I want to ask Ben Deniston to elaborate on in a little bit more depth in the conclusion of tonight's broadcast.

BENJAMIN DENISTON: Thanks, Matthew. I think just picking up off of what Matthew said, I think that's the most – obviously, if you believe the media today in the United States,

I've got some unfortunate news for you. This is ridiculous propaganda that's been coming out, attacking China, attacking Russia. So, if you still believe that stuff, you've got to start

reading our website much more in depth and thoroughly.

This is ridiculous; this is an offer to the United States to join in a new orientation for the planet. And I think this report we put out is – not only should you read this, you should

be circulating this to your friends, to your neighbors. This is

a life or death issue for the United States right now; this is an

opportunity for us to actually save our nation by moving into a

new future of cooperation and development. So, we have put out

now what's on the site, and what we're asking you to contribute

to support the printing of, is a life or death roadmap for the United States to join into this new orientation led by China, Russia and other nations.

I just want to take a couple of minutes just to emphasize the importance of this offer. Because as Matt said, this is explicitly not a geopolitical move by China; this is not an attempt to defeat the United States. This is not an attempt by

China, or China in cooperation with Russia, to control resources

to the detriment of the United States; or to control regions of

the planet to the detriment of the United States. This, as was

stated repeatedly, explicitly by China, is based on a conception of win-win cooperation. And understanding that the development of fundamental science for mankind in cooperation with different nations, creates a net increase in the amount of wealth and resources available to everybody. And we're at the point in mankind's development that if we don't rise to a level of international relations and global cooperation premised on that understanding, we're not going to be able to exist as a species on this planet. If we continue this mode of geopolitical conflict, we'll destroy ourselves; as Obama is threatening to do right now.

But as Mr. LaRouche was discussing on Monday earlier this week, there is a true higher form of natural law that we have to come to now organize ourselves around; to rise to. And that is, mankind's fundamental nature is to progress, is to develop; this idea of win-win cooperation. I think you see maybe the most stark difference between China's orientation, the New Silk Road orientation, premised on this idea of win-win cooperation and development; and you compare that to what the Pope is now supporting with this Green policy, with this British Malthusian, global population reduction program. Premised somehow on this insane idea that the climate never changes unless mankind eats a hamburger or drives his car around the corner or something ridiculous like that. You have this typification of the genocidal, zero-growth imperial policy, with this Green

movement, with this climate change fraud. And with the Pope now supporting this entire fraud; on the one side typifying the evil of this anti-human anti-mankind view, which needs to be eliminated, versus this other direction that's now available for us. But the fundamental premise of the whole thing is that mankind has to progress, that creative progress is not just nice; it's not just good, it is absolutely necessary for mankind to exist. If we ever stop progressing, society degenerates like we've been discussing here; like the 20th Century typifies, already shows us. If you stop progressing, society destroys itself. But progress not in mathematical forms, not in logical forms, but the type of unique, human, creative scientific progress typified by Kepler, typified by Einstein. That that's what's been attacked by Russell; attacked by this British imperial system, attacked throughout the 20th Century. It's this understanding of human creativity as a unique principle in the universe that is the only substance; the cause of what enables mankind to act differently than animals, to fundamentally increase his relationship to the universe. As we've discussed, to in effect, begin to separate himself from being just an Earth-based species; and being able to exist in the universe by mediating his existence through his relationship with the Solar System as a whole. That's a creative act that doesn't come from the fraudulent type of science that Bertrand Russell had attempted to impose on the world; that comes from a unique form of human creative generation, unique acts of the human mind

that do not come from sense perception, do not come from your empirical study of the world. But come from human creativity per se; the process of human creative development, which again, has been attacked throughout the 20th Century. So that I think is the challenge we have; is not just to reverse the degeneration that's occurred. But we need a new fundamental law of human creative progress to rise as the guide stone for where mankind must go. Mr. LaRouche has been explicit on this; we're not just talking about reversing some policy. Mankind's survival today depends upon a new Renaissance. A new creation of a higher understanding of mankind's nature and unique purpose and mission in this universe as a creative force going into the Solar System.

Going beyond the Solar System into the Galaxy; and understanding that it is something unique about the human mind and its creative potential that gives mankind the ability to do that. So, this is not just about reversing some bad policy; this is about developing a positive conception, a new discovery of what it is that enables mankind to progress. What it is that enables mankind to fulfill his true nature; what it is that makes mankind a unique force on this planet. A potential that no animal species exhibits. If we don't understand that, if we don't premise the future on a new pursuit of those capabilities, mankind is not going to make it. Because that is what defines our existence; that is what defines the future. And if we don't rise to that level, as Mr. LaRouche has been warning, we're

not going to make it through the current crisis. So, I think that's the challenge we have before us. And I would refer back to Mr. LaRouche's remarks on Monday, in his discussion with the Policy Committee on the LaRouche PAC website. We have to come forward with this higher conception of the true natural law that mankind must rise to; and I think we have to come to it today.

OGDEN: I would like to thank both Jeff Steinberg and Ben Deniston very much for joining me here tonight. Again, the full contents of this "US Must Join the New Silk Road" report is available on the LaRouche PAC website; but we would ask you to donate and make sure that this can be spread as widely as possible. This is a crucial document for the future of the United States.

Now, as I announced at the very beginning of this broadcast, immediately following the conclusion of our broadcast tonight, there will be a live question and answer session with Mr. Lyndon LaRouche on the normal channels of the Fireside Chat. This is a telephone discussion; if you've not been a participant in these before, please contact the LaRouche PAC office, and you can get the information to become a participant. And please ask Mr. Lyndon LaRouche a question; these are crucial opportunities for the American people to engage in a live question and answer dialogue with Lyndon LaRouche. So we would ask you to go immediately from viewing this broadcast to participating in this emergency Fireside Chat, which is taking place tonight,

December
30, as part of our emergency initiatives on the threshold of
the
new year. So thank you, and please stay tuned to
larouchepac.com.

USA: Tidl. forsvarsminister William Perry: Stands dette forbandede atomvåbenkapløb!

*29. december 2015 – Den tidligere amerikanske forsvarsminister (feb. 1994 – jan. 1997 under præsident Bill Clinton) William Perrys advarsler mod faren for atomkrig omtales på *Sputnik* i anledning af udgivelsen af hans nye memoirer, »My Journey at the Nuclear Brink« ('Min rejse på randen af atomkrig'). Perry, der spillede en hovedrolle i moderniseringen af USA's atomvåbenarsenal under den Kolde Krig, rapporterer, at, under Cubakrisen blev han i hemmelighed kaldt til Washington for at analysere efterretninger om Sovjetunionens våben på Cuba.*

»Hver dag på vej til analysecentret troede jeg, det ville blive min sidste dag på Jorden«, skriver han i sin bog. Han siger, at han dengang mente, og stadig mener, at verden undgik et atomholocaust lige så meget pga. held som pga. god regering.

Perry var også embedsmand i Forsvarsministeriet i 1979, på tidspunktet for »telefonopkaldet kl. 3 om morgenen«, en falsk varsling om et sovjetisk missilangreb mod USA. Han sagde, at dette var en af mange oplevelser i løbet af den Kolde Krig og

i tiden efter, som gav ham et »enestående og isnende udsigtspunkt, ud fra hvilket han kunne konkludere, at atomvåben ikke længere giver os sikkerhed; de sætter nu vores sikkerhed på spil«. Perry er tilhænger af afskaffelsen af de tilbageværende amerikanske interkontinentale ballistiske missiler i den amerikanske bombefly-styrke.

Perry undersøger Ruslands modernisering af sine atomstyrker, og ligeledes USA's planer om at bruge milliarder på at gøre det samme, og ser her et irrationelt atomvåbenkapløb. »Jeg ser, at det er bydende nødvendigt, at vi standser dette forbandede kapløb, før det atter kommer i gang, ikke kun pga. omkostningerne forbundet med det, men pga. den fare, det sætter os alle sammen i«, sagde han.

Foto: Præsident Bill Clinton (t.v.) og forsvarsminister William J. Perry (t.h.) eskorteres af oberst David H. Hunton under en militær inspektion i 1997.

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 30. december 2015: Genrejs princippet om fremskridt – Bryd med Obama og sikr det

nye år

I takt med, at vi nærmer os dommedag den 1. januar, står menneskeheden over for muligheden for sin egen udslettelse, hvis ikke gennem atomkrig, fremkaldt af præsident Obamas galskab, med hans trusler om krig med Rusland og Kina, så gennem økonomisk disintegration forårsaget af fejheden i Kongressen, der ikke lukker Wall Street ned gennem en Glass/Steagall-lovgivning, efter Franklin Rooseveltts model.

Roden til problemet, insisterede Lyndon LaRouche under en diskussion med sine medarbejdere den 28. dec., skal findes i den kendsgerning, at menneskeheden har glemt naturlig lov – ja, i realiteten regeres de transatlantiske nationer i stigende grad af satanisk lov, der skaber såkaldt legal retfærdiggørelse af massemord, ulovlige krige, negativ økonomisk vækst og af tyveri af penge, sundhedssystemer og endda mad fra befolkningen, for i stedet at opretholde de bankerotte New York- og London-banker.

»Der er tale om et spørgsmål af en højere orden her, et spørgsmål, som jeg lejlighedsvis har rejst, men som ikke ofte rejses«, sagde LaRouche. »Problemet er, at mennesket rent faktisk ikke skaber loven! Det vil sige, at menneskeheden rent faktisk ikke, gennem sin egen myndighed som sådan, gennem individuelle medlemmer af samfundet, skaber loven. For loven er princippet om menneskeslægtens fremskridt, og hvis menneskeslægten ikke gør fremskridt i sin udvikling og opfyldelse, så er loven blevet krænket! Og det er der, problemet ligger.

Se på de forfærdelige ting, der er sket under diverse renæssancer, der er blevet knust; se på disse massemord. Vi taler nu om et massemordsproblem. Vi taler om den amerikanske regerings politik netop nu, i det mindste under den aktuelle præsident og den forudgående præsident: Massemord!

Pointen er, at mennesket adlyder en højere lov, for mennesket er ikke en Jordbo! Mennesket er baseret på et princip, som ikke er Jordboernes princip. Det er menneskehedens forpligtelse at udvikle fremtidige befolkninger, der er mere passende. Antagelsen er den, at hver generation bør gå progressivt fremad i overensstemmelse med naturlig lov, og denne naturlige lov vil sige forbedringen, selv-forbedringen, af den menneskelige art. Kun mennesket har evnen til at gøre dette ... Det er loven, den virkelige lov. Tekniske love, juridiske love, love for transportveje på privat jord, det er ikke loven. Loven er, at menneskeheden ifølge sin natur må gøre fremskridt. Folk dør, det ved vi. Hvad er loven? Ja, sørgede de for at frembringe bedre mennesker i deres familie? Var deres familier i stand til at gøre fremskridt og hæve sig op til et højere præstationsniveau for menneskeheden? Er vi f.eks. ikke ansvarlige for at tage os af (take care of) Galaksen? Det er vores ansvar!«

Stedt over for den største trussel mod civilisationen i moderne historie, må vore borgere og alle verdens borgere stræbe efter denne højere standard, ikke alene for vores egen skyld, men for menneskehedens fremtidige eksistens. De, der følger en lavere lov, den sataniske lov, må omgående fjernes fra lederskabspositioner, med præsident Obama som den første, der skal fjernes.

SPØRGSMÅL OG SVAR med Tom

**Gillesberg den 29. december
2015:**

**Finanskрак på vej.
Glass/Steagall-bankopdeling
nu!**

**USA: Eurobankerne er ved at
nedsmelte;
Dodd/Frank-lovens 'Bail-in'
betyder,
at amerikanere bliver dræbt
for at redde dem**

28. december 2015 – Europas storbanker forsøger desperat at holde sig oprejst længe nok til at blive reddet af deres bail-in-tyveri den 1. januar 2016. Ifølge en rapport fra Reuters den 22. dec. har Europas storbanker skåret 130.000 jobs væk mellem juni og december 2015, sammenlignet med 78.000 mistede jobs i løbet af 2013 og 2014 tilsammen, idet bankerne forsøger at skære ned på omkostninger og trække sig ud af markeder for ikke at skulle meddele deres bankerot. De involverede banker inkluderer Deutsche Bank, Unicredit, Credit Suisse, HSBC, Standard Chartered, BNP Paribas, Barclays og nu Rabobank.

Som talerør for City of London har *Financial Times* den 27. dec. en lederartikel, der roser »lanceringen af EU's såkaldte enhedsopløsningsmekanisme (dvs. bail-in) fra og med 1. jan. 2016, og som kommer oveni tidligere »betydelige udvidelser af Den europæiske Centralbanks magtbeføjelser i november 2014«. Men det er ikke nok, belærer de: »Et tredje, mere politisk følsomt skridt hen imod en total bankunion er nødvendig for at minimere risikoen for, at nye kriser vil bryde ud i fremtiden og, hvis de gør, da at begrænse konsekvenserne« ... for bankierne, selvsagt. Dette betyder at skabe en »fælles indskudsgarantifond«, som især Tyskland er imod, eftersom de ved, at det betyder, at de skal betale regningen for alle andre. Men, konkluderer *The Times*, indtil dette sker, »vil Eurozonen fortsat være sårbar over for finansielle rystelser og smitte, som det en dag kunne komme til at betale en langt højere pris for«.

Det er heller ikke kun borgerne i hele Europa, hvis liv vil blive ofret for at redde de europæiske banker: det vil også kræve, at amerikanere bliver slagtet til dette formål, som det dikteres af Dodd/Frank-loven og den Finansielle Stabilitetsstyrelse (FSB), en overnational organisation, der blev etableret ved G20-topmødet i London i april måned, 2009, det første topmøde, der så den nyvalgte præsident Barack Obamas deltagelse. Som *EIR* tidligere har rapporteret, så erklærer et FSB-dokument fra oktober 2011, der omhandler »opløsning tværs over landegrænser«, i afsnit 7.3 følgende:

»Opløsningsmyndigheden bør have opløsningsbeføjelser over lokale afdelinger af udenlandske firmaer og kapacitet til at bruge sine beføjelser til enten at støtte en opløsning, der gennemføres af en udenlandsk hjemstedsmyndighed (f.eks. ved at beordre overførslen af værdier, der befinder sig inden for dens jurisdiktions, til en overgangsinstitution, der etableres af den udenlandske hjemstedsmyndighed) eller, i exceptionelle tilfælde, at gennemføre forholdsregler på eget initiativ der, hvor hjemstedsjurisdiktionen ikke foretager nogen handling

eller handler på en måde, der ikke i tilstrækkelig grad tager behovet for at bevare den lokale jurisdiktions finansielle stabilitet i betragtning.«

Men, når alt er sagt og gjort, så er den foregivne idé bag bail-in-politikken åbenlyst absurd, selv på dens egne betingelser, og kan umuligt fungere. Udgangspræmissen i både Dodd-Frank og de nye EU-regler er, at derivater ikke er underkastet bail-in. Med andre ord, så er 99 % af alle finansielle aktiver (værdipapirer) beskyttet og undtaget bail-in-bestemmelser, og skal angiveligt holdes oven vande af de øvrige 1 %, der er underkastet bestemmelserne om bail-in. *EIR* har foretaget et skøn, der siger, at en opsummering af international bail-out (statslig bankredningspakke) og bail-in (ekspropriering af bankindeståender/-indskud) tilsammen, fra 2008 – 2014, beløb sig til 20 billion dollar – dvs. omkring 1 % af de i alt 2 billiard dollar i globale finansielle aktiver. Så for enhver, der er villig til at undersøge det, så er den klare hensigt med bail-in ikke den, rent faktisk at holde boblen intakt, men derimod at aflatte milliarder af mennesker, i overensstemmelse med Det britiske Imperiums erklærede politik.

Se også: Schiller Institutets Specialrapport: Dodd/Frank dræber, <http://schillerinstitut.dk/si/?p=57>

**Nødudsendelse fra LaRouchePAC
23. december 2015:**

Til en nation (USA) på randen af en finanskatastrofe. Dansk udskrift.

Vi er nu ved et punkt, hvor konsekvenserne af at tolerere disse handlinger og denne politik og disse politiske personer udgør USA's undergang, såvel som også hele det transatlantiske områdes undergang og muligvis også verdens undergang, hvis vi degenererer til omstændigheder med atomkrig. Så dette er et ekstraordinært øjeblik; og det er noget, der kræver handling fra ledende borgere i denne republik. Jeres folkevalgte repræsentanter, og først og fremmest USA's præsident, har opført sig som britiske forrædere, og ikke som de patriotiske personer, der skal forestille at gøre tjeneste i landets højeste embeder.

Blot få timer før denne udsendelse blev der udsendt en nøderklæring, der blev udlagt på LaRouchePAC's website, og som cirkuleres via de sociale medier og som et flyveblad på Manhattans gader og andre steder i hele USA. Teksten (findes som selvstændigt Flyveblad her: <http://schillerinstitut.dk/si/?p=10843>) lyder som følger (oplæst af Matthew Ogden):

Julebudskab: Den 1. januar 2016 er dommedag! Kun et initiativ som Franklin Rooseveltts kan redde os

(23. december 2015): Præsident Barack Obama og hele den Amerikanske Kongres har forrådt jer, det amerikanske folk, ved af fejhed at nægte at tage skridt til de nødvendige nødbetingede initiativer for at forhindre det største finansielle og økonomiske krak – langt værre end dem i 1929 og 2008 – i at ske i de umiddelbart forestående dage og uger. Med mindre I, det amerikanske folk, rejser jer og kræver omgåede handling, vil nationen og en stor del af menneskeheden blive

konfronteret med en katastrofe i begyndelsen af det nye år.

Hele det transatlantiske finanssystem står for at nedsmelte. Blot i løbet af de seneste uger er junk investment grade-obligationer til 15 mia. dollar blevet udslettet. Dette er blot et forvarsel om et umiddelbart forestående, totalt sammenbrud af den transatlantiske boble. Fra og med 1. januar 2016 er en gældsboble på 72 mia. dollar indstillet til at eksplodere i Puerto Rico. Kongressen havde muligheden for at tage initiativ til at forhindre dette, før de forlod byen, men tog ingen skridt til handling.

En gæld på skønsmæssigt 5 billion dollar, der er knyttet til USA's nationale, kollapsende sektor for skiferolie og -gas, er i færd med at nedsmelte. I det vestlige Canada er denne boble allerede bristet og har udløst tabet af 100.000 arbejdspladser i 2015 – svarende til 750.000 arbejdspladser i USA – samt et kollaps i ejendomsmarkedet og et samfundsmæssigt sammenbrud. Denne samme krise er på vej i USA i accelererende tempo, men på en langt større skala.

I Europa træder der nye love i kraft fra den 1. januar 2016, som fjerner enhver beskyttelse af bankindskydere, der vil få deres sparepenge stjålet under »bail-in«-regler (ekspropriering), sådan, som det allerede er sket på Cypern. I Italien fik flere end 10.000 indskydere – bankkunder – deres opsparing ekspropriert under en delvis bail-in under fire bankers kollaps i denne måned. De samme forholdsregler findes inkluderet i Dodd/Frank-loven her i USA. Hvis ens bank klapser, kan man få sin livsopsparing stjålet for at redde banken. Det kan og vil ske her, takket være fejhed og korruption hos jeres valgte regeringsfolk, der har holdt jer hen i uvidenhed og overtrådt den ed, de har aflagt i deres embede.

Kongressen havde, før den tog på ferie, mulighed for at forhindre denne nu fremstormende krise. De blev advaret. De kunne have vedtaget love, der allerede var blevet fremstillet

i begge Kongressens huse, til genindførelse af Glass-Steagall, den af Franklin Roosevelt indførte lov, der opdelte Depressionens for-store-til-at-lade-gå-ned-banker, ved at adskille kommersiel bankvirksomhed fra alle hasardspilsaktiviteterne. Men Kongressen var købt af Wall Street og svigtede jer. Præsident Obama er totalt ejet af Wall Street og [City of] London, som har skabt ham. Wall Street er håbløst bankerot, og de har til hensigt at klamre sig til magten ved at stjæle jeres penge og fjerne jeres sundhedssystem samt lukke ned, hvad der måtte være tilbage af realøkonomien, den fysiske økonomi. Inden for et tidsrum af blot få dage eller uger kunne I blive konfronteret med fødevaremangel, hyperinflation og et totalt sammenbrud af alt, hvad I ellers anser for at være normale tilstande.

Præsident Obama fremprovokerer også, på vegne af Wall Street og London, en konfrontation med Rusland, der driver verden frem mod global krig, en krig, som nogle amerikanske og russiske militære topkommandører advarer om kunne blive en termonuklear udslettelseskrieg.

Den 1. januar 2016 vil Ukraine, med USA's og IMF's godkendelse, gå i betalingsstandsning mht. sin gæld på 3 mia. dollar til Rusland, en åbenlyst provokerende handling fra Vestens side mod Moskva, der kommer oveni de allerede eksisterende sanktioner, NATO's udvidelse mod øst og andre, direkte provokerende militære handlinger.

Alt dette er dødsens alvorligt. Verden befinner sig på spidsen af et krak værre end under den Store Depression, og en ny verdenskrig. I må nu tage skridt til handling, for jeres valgte regeringsfolk, kongresmedlemmer osv., har overgivet jer, på grund af deres egen fejhed og fordærv. De har, sammen med præsident Obama, gjort sig fortjent til jeres foragt og vrede pga. deres feje opførsel.

Der er løsninger forhånden. Wall Street må omgående lukkes. Der skal ikke betales en øre mere for at redde disse

forbrydere! Kongressen må fjerne Wall Street-marionetten Barack Obama fra embedet, gennem en rigsretssag eller ved at påkalde det 25. forfatningstillæg, der fastsætter bestemmelser for fjernelsen af en præsident fra embedet, når denne præsident er mentalt uskikket til at fortsætte sit hverv. Glass-Steagall må omgående genindføres og en række initiativer må tages, der alle er modelleret efter det, som den store, amerikanske præsident Franklin Roosevelt gjorde i løbet af de allerførste måneder af sin embedsperiode, for at skabe millioner af produktive jobs, genopbygge nationens kollapsede infrastruktur og genrejse nationens værdighed.

Kongressen kan i løbet af få timer tage skridt til disse handlinger, men de vil kun handle i tide, hvis I vågner op og kræver det.

Alternativet er Helvede på Jord, fra og med det nye år. Er I, jeres venner, jeres nabøer, i besiddelse af det moralske beredskab, der skal til for at overleve? Det er det spørgsmål, der er på bordet her, denne Juleaften, 2015.

Matthew Ogden: Lad mig nu introducere Jeff Steinberg fra Executive Intelligence Review (EIR), der i større detaljer vil gennemgå diskussionen med hr. LaRouche her til morgen.

Jeffrey Steinberg: Tak, Matt. Der er et par andre [kan ikke høres; 09:33] for jeg tror, at billedet af det finansielle [kan ikke høres; 09.39] er tydeligt. Mange mennesker derude har allerede fået førtten af det; men det vigtigste er, at det er en umiddelbart forestående situation. Det er en situation, der vil eksplodere på ethvert givent tidspunkt, når vi først kommer over den 1. januar; en dato, hvor vi netop har meddelt nogle af de særlige begivenheder, der vil finde sted i de første dage af det nye år. Når alt er medregnet er der en spillegæld på mere en 1,5 billiard dollar, der er akkumuleret siden vi havde krisen i 2008; og det hele er en tidsindstillet bombe. Ekslosionens epicenter er USA og Vesteuropa.

Der er yderligere et par elementer, der må med i billedet, for at I, det amerikanske folk, kan få en komplet vurdering af, hvor kritisk det øjeblik er, som vi er nået til. For det første må man stille det spørgsmål, om Islamisk Stats angreb i Paris den 13. november, og senere i San Bernardino, Californien, repræsenterer en Rigsdagsbrand-begivenhed i det tidlige 21. århundrede. Vi ved, at disse jihadistiske netværk er blevet skabt og promoveret af førende nationer i denne vestlige kombination; startende med briterne og med Saudi Arabien. Der er fraktioner her i USA, der har været udtrykkeligt indblandet – al-Qaeda, Nusra Front, Islamisk Stat – alle på vegne af et engagement for, blandt andet, at vælte Assad-regeringen i Syrien. Så det, vi i realiteten ser på, er en kapacitet, der er blevet udløst i Europa og USA under visse vestlige kredsers kontrol; og hvis hensigt det er at skabe de omstændigheder, under hvilke den form for politistat kan etableres, som vil være nødvendig for at takle det sociale kaos og for at gøre fremstød for en global konfrontation, der er umiddelbart forestående.

For det andet, så afslørede de andre begivenheder omkring COP21-konferencen om global opvarmning, at Pavestolen, selve Paven, var blevet kapret af en person, som kun kan beskrives som en satanisk person – John Schellnhüber; en ridder af Det britiske Imperium, hvis politik, der nu er blevet vedtaget af Paven, ønsker at se det store flertal af menneskeheden elimineret gennem en række [kan ikke høres: 12:37] i kombination med faren for krig og i kombination med de økonomiske katastrofer, som allerede er i gang med indgangen til denne nedsmeltnings-periode, lige efter den 1. januar.

Pointen er, at man har løjet for jer, det amerikanske folk; jeres folkevalgte regeringsfolk har svigtet jer ynkelt. Og nettoresultatet er, at der, ét minut i midnat, ikke foreligger nogen forpligtelse over for jer til at tage skridt til den form for afgørende handlinger, der nu kræves som en bydende nødvendighed. Kongressen kan vende tilbage til Washington [fra

juleferie, -red.], men vil kun gøre det, hvis I skræmmer livet af dem; hvis I rejser jer i denne juleferie og kræver, at de tager skridt til at foretage den form for nødhandlinger, som er det eneste handlingsforløb i dette øjeblik, der kan afværge denne absolut katastrofale situation, der potentielt blot ligger timer eller dage ud i fremtiden. Kongressen kan vende tilbage til Washington og nægte at betale Wall Streets gæld. Der er intet at være bange for på Wall Street, for de er håbløst og uafvendeligt bankerot. Det er frygten for det ukendte, der får medlemmer af Kongressen til at kapitulere og tillade Obamas præsidentskab, som er en hån mod nationen, at fortsætte; og til at tillade Wall Street fortsat at diktere betingelserne i Washington.

Vi er nu ved et punkt, hvor konsekvenserne af at tolerere disse handlinger og denne politik og disse politiske personer udgør USA's undergang, såvel som også hele det transatlantiske områdes undergang og muligvis også verdens undergang, hvis vi degenererer til omstændigheder med atomkrig. Så dette er et ekstraordinært øjeblik; og det er noget, der kræver handling fra ledende borgere i denne republik. Jeres folkevalgte repræsentanter, og først og fremmest USA's præsident, har opført sig som britiske forrædere, og ikke som de patriotiske personer, der skal forestille at gøre tjeneste i landets højeste embeder.

Det påhviler således os at tage skridt til de handlinger, der i dette øjeblik kan synes at være højst upraktiske; men som i virkeligheden er de eneste praktiske forholdsregler, hvis vi ønsker at overleve og få fremgang i dette nye år, vi har for os. Løsninger ligger parat; erklær Wall Street bankerot – det er allerede gået nedenom og hjem. Lancer den form for lovgivningsmæssige initiativer; vi så, hvor effektivt det var fra Franklin Roosevelt-præsidentskabets allerførste øjeblikke. Politikken dengang tilbyder os retningslinjer for handlinger, der bør udføres i dag! Af sig selv vil Kongressen ikke gøre det; det har de vist ved at flygte ud af Washington i sidste

uge. Jeg vil blot afslutte med at sige, at den dag, Washington (regeringen, Kongressen) forlod byen, var jeg i D.C. på Capitol Hill; jeg talte personligt med mindst 40 individuelle medlemmer af Kongressen. I hvert eneste tilfælde var de fuldt ud klar over nedsmelningen af junk-obligationerne; af de andre økonomiske katastrofer; af den umiddelbart forestående nedsmelning af sektren for skiferolie og -gas; og dog tog de benene på nakken. De ignorerede og undveg det ansvar, der påhvilede dem. Det påhviler derfor nu os, og jer, at konfrontere virkeligheden direkte; og tage skridt til den form for nødhandlinger, der kan redde dagen, selv på dette fremskredne tidspunkt.

Matthew Ogden: Mange tak, Jeff. Hvis man tager fortilfældet fra 1933 og ser på den kendsgerning, at med det, som var det hidtil største finanskak i den transatlantiske verdens historie, og fascismen fejede hen over Europa. Og i det vakuum, der ville have eksisteret, hvis ikke Franklin Roosevelt havde været præsident og havde gennemført de nødforanstaltninger på dette tidspunkt for at lukke Wall Street og mobilisere det amerikanske folks produktive evne, kunne fascismen meget vel også være kommet til Amerika. Så med studiet af dette fortilfælde bør vi tage meget alvorligt det, som hr. LaRouche har gjort i løbet af det seneste års tid for at mobilisere det, der udgør en lederskabskerne det sted, han kalder et gearingspunkt eller et omdrejningspunkt for den mobilisering, der er nødvendig for at ændre politikken, og det sted er på Manhattan i New York City.

De af jer, der havde lejlighed til at lytte til LaRouche Policy Committee sidste mandag, vil vide, at hr. LaRouche lagde meget stor vægt på en række musikalske opførelser, der fandt sted i New York City i sidste weekend. Det var to opførelser af Händels *Messias*, der blev sponsoreret af Schiller Institutet og medsponsoreret af Fonden til Genoplivelse af Klassisk Kultur. Dette vi Diane [Sare] sige mere om. Dette var programmet. Den første opførelse blev

afholdt om lørdagen i Sacred Heart Kirken i Brooklyn; og den anden blev afholdt om søndagen i Manhattans Upper West Side i All Souls Unitarian Kirken. Jeg vil derfor gerne introducere Diane Sare, som vil sige noget mere om betydningen af disse begivenheder, og hvad implikationerne af det, der i øjeblikket sker på Manhattan, er for fremtiden.

Diane Sare: Hej. Jeg kan sige, at disse to musikbegivenheder var fuldstændigt ekstraordinære med hensyn til kvalitet og effekt. Denne effekt er, at hr. Larouche for lidt over et år siden, i oktober 2014, besluttede at genoplive vores organisation i New York City. Dette er meget vigtigt i USA's historie, for det var med New York City som udgangspunkt, at Alexander Hamilton førte en afgørende kamp for at forene De forenede Stater imod forkæmpere, som Thomas Jefferson og andre, for delstaternes rettigheder; sidstnævnte, som i dag er blevet nedarvet i form af Wall Street og Det britiske Imperium. Der er derfor en afgørende rolle, der skal besættes; og dette kan man se i befolkningen i New York City – Jeg kom til at tænke på det, som Jeff netop henviste til, med ISIS osv. – og man har disse 11. september-terrorangreb. Det var meningen, at det amerikanske folk skulle jages ind i regimeskift og krig med Irak, Libyen, krig overalt; og befolkningen i New York City afholdt en af de største demonstrationer i landet imod en invasion af Irak, i 2003, under Bushregeringen.

Vi befinder os nu i et lignende, farefuldt øjeblik, hvor befolkningen over hele landet er tilbøjelig til at være dybt pessimistisk. Vi har haft 15 år med Bush og Obama; levestandarden er kollapset; en halv million midaldrende amerikanere er døde, unødvendigt. Man får meget ofte en pessimistisk respons; jeg er sikker på, at alle her har oplevet at tale med deres nabo, deres venner. »Vi må smide Obama ud af embedet; vi må få Glass-Steagall; vi må organisere et transkontinentalt jernbanenet i USA; fusion.« Folk siger, »Åh, det kommer aldrig til at ske. Åh, det kan man ikke gennemføre; åh, de er alt for korrupte.« Jeg ville sige, at

dette meget ligner den kamp, som George Washington i 1776 stod overfor, han, der havde tabt samtlige slag fra Uafhængighedserklæringen og frem til jul. Og den daværende befolkning i USA var ikke i overvældende grad for at bryde fri af Det britiske Imperium; de fandt, at det ikke var umagen værd. New Jersey, som var det sidste sted, hvorfra han havde trukket sig tilbage for at krydse Delaware-floden, var fuldstændig under de hessenske lejesoldaters og Toriernes kontrol; hans beslutning om at krydse Delaware-floden Juledags nat (den 25.-26. december 1776, -red.) var derfor ikke alene anti-pragmatisk, men gik også imod den daværende offentlige mening. Men han vidste, at dette måtte gøres; og det lykkedes ham at fremkalde en bestemt, inspireret respons fra de lasede, forfrosne, forarmede soldater, som han anførte.

Manhattans befolkning er måske ikke så faldefærdig som George Washingtons hær dengang var; men vi har alle været underkastet en utrolig kulturel og moralsk fordærvelse, der, som hr. LaRouche har omtalt, kan ses i ungdommen osv. Så, måden, vi arrangerede disse koncerter – den i Brooklyn fandt sted i en historisk, gammel kirke, der var tæt knyttet til kredsen omkring Moder Cabrini, hvis folk er bekendt med hende; hun organiserede de italienske immigranteres ankomst til USA; hun etablerede børnehaver, skoler og hospitaler og alt sådan noget. Koncerten på Manhattan fandt sted i All Souls Unitarian Kirken, der har en bestemt arv med støtte til Unionshæren, hospitaler og genopbygning; og senere, med borgerrettighedsbevægelsen. Vi gik ind i lokalsamfundet og organiserede for en opførelse af Händels *Messias* i den rette, videnskabelige Verdi-tone; den blev holdt sammen af et kor, der bestod af folk fra New York City og vore Schiller Institut-aktivister fra New York, New Jersey, Virginia. Matt, du spillede basun i orkesteret; men det var en del af, at befolkningen kom sammen. Mange af folkene blandt publikum var folk, der havde været rundt om koret og besluttet, at det måske ikke var noget for dem, men at de ønskede at engagere sig i dette. Så vi havde over 1.000 mennesker, der kom til

koncerterne. Og responsen – for det første skabte den sænkede tone (Verdis oprindelige tonehøjde) og det arbejde, som John Sigerson har udført mht. spørgsmålet om placering, en meget tydelig forskel. Og publikums kommentarer – vi bad folk om at give os deres kontaktinformation, fortælle os, hvordan de fandt ud af, at denne begivenhed fandt sted, og tilføje deres eventuelle kommentarer. Folk sagde ting, som »Vi hørte koret på en måde, vi aldrig før har hørt; lydens egenskaber var meget varmere, end vi havde forventet. Det var professionelt.« John [Sigerson] påpegede, at vi på en måde står over det professionelle niveau, fordi vi ikke er interesseret – det er sådan lidt en antiseptisk idé – men dette er menneskelig indgriben, der samler befolkningen. Meget lig dengang Händel skrev og opførte *Messias*; den første opførelse fandt sted i Dublin, Irland. Og det skete for at adressere spørgsmålet om fattigdom og for at rejse penge til et børnehjem for forældreløse og lette gældsætning.

Amerikaneren Alexander Hamilton var en del af kredsen omkring Jonathan Swift og andre; og Benjamin Franklin skulle angiveligt have deltaget i en opførelse af *Messias*, der blev dirigeret af Händel selv. Så selve dette musikstykke, dets idé, forbindelsen mellem mennesket som Skaber, mellem menneskeslægten og universets skabelse; og en fejring af dette, er, hvad vi har presserende behov for, for at samle befolkningen. Og [vi har behov for] at skabe en kvalitet af lederskab, der på en moralsk måde kan respondere til denne krise; i modsætning til den afskyelige opførsel hos denne stinkende flok feje personer uden mod i Kongressen, der, som Jeff netop har beskrevet, vel *vidende*, at kollapset stormede frem, ville storme hjem for at holde juleferie snarere end at blive og tage initiativ til de nødvendige handlinger for at beskytte den amerikanske befolkning.

Så en proces en nu blevet sat i gang, som må optrappes i tempo; vi kan ikke give den lov til at udvikle sig i det nuværende tempo, som er fint, bortset fra, at hele systemet er

klar til at bryde sammen den 1. eller 2. januar. Så spørgsmålet handler om at tage denne styrke og dette princip og bruge det til at samle vore styrker i hele USA, og i hele verden, for at adressere den situation, som menneskeheden i dag står overfor.

Matthew Ogden: Mange tak. Videoen og lydbåndet fra den ene eller begge disse koncerter, der fandt sted i New York i sidste weekend, skulle være tilgængelige meget snart; og vi vil opfordre alle til, at dette må være en del af det, de foretager sig i løbet af de allernærmeste dage. En lille rettelse: Det faktum, at Benjamin Franklin skulle have været til stede under en opførelse af *Messias* dirigeret af Händel selv, er tilsyneladende ikke helt bekræftet; vi ved imidlertid, at han faktisk var til stede under en opførelse af *Messias*. Jeg mener, at Händels revolutionerende opråb til handling, »Lad os sønderbryde båndene, og kaste deres åg af os« (eng.: »Let us break the bonds asunder, and cast their yokes from upon us«), er noget, der blev aktuelt under Benjamin Franklins og George Washingtons Amerikanske Revolution. Så det er et meget passende kampråb for i dag.

Jeg vil gerne appellere til alle om at tage teksten til det flyveblad, som jeg oplæste i begyndelsen af denne udsendelse, »Nytårsbudskab: 1. januar 2016 er dommedag! Kun et initiativ som Franklin Roosevelts kan redde os« og uddele det så vidt omkring, som I kan i de kommende dage. Dette bør være samtaleemnet ved familiemiddage og andre begivenheder, der finder sted i løbet af de næste 24-48 timer. Og være en del af diskussionen, der finder sted i de næste minutter. Lige efter denne udsendelse kommer der kl. 9pm Eastern Time en live nødudsendelse af 'Fireside Chat' med hr. LaRouche, som diskuterer med det amerikanske folk. Dette finder normalt sted torsdage, men man kan deltage, hvis man har adgangsnummeret.

Jeg mener, at vi meget klart har fremlagt billedet. Den 1. januar er i realiteten en deadline; der er betalingsstandsningen på det puertoricanske lån, der er

Ukraines betalingsstandsning på deres russiske lån på 3 mia. dollar, der er blevet promoveret af IMF og USA som en direkte provokation. Og der er en deadline den 1. januar, hvor de nye bail-in-love træder i kraft i Europa; bail-in-love, der allerede har dræbt mennesker i Italien og har ekspropriert 10.000 italienske indskyderes penge i dette område. Der er sammenbruddet i sektoren for skiferolie og junk-obligationsboblerne. Der er allerede tab for hundredetusinder i Canada; dette kommer til USA. Alt dette bryder sammen nu; og de nødvendige forholdsregler og løsninger er forhånden. En omgående lukning af Wall Street, en omgående reorganisering af hele dette bankerotte finanssystem gennem Glass-Steagall; en omgående mobilisering af hele den amerikanske arbejdsstyrke, meget ligesom Franklin Roosevelt gjorde det; fjernelsen af denne krigsmager Barack Obama fra embedet, og at håndtere den kendsgerning, at hele det transatlantiske område bliver domineret af et britisk monarki, der er besat af den folkemorderiske idé, at vi må reducere verdens befolkning og kaste mennesker tilbage til en dyrisk tilstand.

Så dette er virkeligheden ved slutningen af 2015 og i de første timer af 2016. Og det påhviler jer at tage det, der er blevet fremlagt her i aften og handle på det omgående; alle redskaberne er tilgængelige for jer. Vi beder jer indtrængende om at gå direkte fra dette webcast for at deltage i live-diskussion med hr. LaRouche under 'Fireside Chat'-udsendelsen, der starter om få minutter.

Jeg vil gerne takke alle for at være med os her i aften; og jeg vil gerne takke både Jeffrey Steinberg og Diane Sare for at være vores gæster ved denne udsendelse. Bliv på kanalen og lyt til larouchepac.com i den kommende tid.

Nytårsbudskab

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, USA:

Den 1. januar 2016 er dommedag !

Kun et initiativ som Franklin Roosevelts kan redde os

Præsident Barack Obama og hele den Amerikanske Kongres har forrådt jer, det amerikanske folk, ved af fejhed at nægte at tage skridt til de nødvendige nødbetingede initiativer for at forhindre det største finansielle og økonomiske krak – langt værre end dem i 1929 og 2008 – i at ske i de umiddelbart forestående dage og uger. Med mindre I, det amerikanske folk, rejser jer og kræver omgåede handling, vil nationen og en stor del af menneskeheden blive konfronteret med en katastrofe i begyndelsen af det nye år.

Følgende erklæring bliver i disse dage cirkuleret som flyveblad, først og fremmest i USA; men den samme problemstilling gælder for Europa.

Frem for alt har de tyske forbundsdagsmedlemmer, trods et massigt oplysningsarbejde fra BüSo (det tyske, politiske parti Borgerrettigheds-bevægelsen Solidaritet, som Helga Zepp-LaRouche, stifter af Schiller Instituttet, er formand for, - red.), afvist at takle krisen og gøre noget ved kendsgerningerne.

24. december 2015, LPAC, USA: Præsident Barack Obama og hele den Amerikanske Kongres har forrådt jer, det amerikanske folk, ved af fejhed at nægte at tage skridt til de nødvendige nødbetingede initiativer for at forhindre det største finansielle og økonomiske krak – langt værre end dem i 1929 og 2008 – i at ske i de umiddelbart forestående dage og uger. Med mindre I, det amerikanske folk, rejser jer og kræver omgåede handling, vil nationen og en stor del af menneskeheden blive konfronteret med en katastrofe i begyndelsen af det nye år.

Hele det transatlantiske finanssystem står for at nedsmelte. Blot i løbet af de seneste uger er junk investment grade-obligationer til 15 mia. dollar blevet udslettet. Dette er blot et forvarsel om et umiddelbart forestående, totalt sammenbrud af den transatlantiske boble. Fra og med 1. januar 2016 er en gældsbobble på 72 mia. dollar indstillet til at eksplodere i Puerto Rico. Kongressen havde muligheden for at tage initiativ til at forhindre dette, før de forlod byen, men tog ingen skridt til handling.

En gæld på skønsmæssigt 5 billion dollar, der er knyttet til USA's nationale, kollapsende sektor for skiferolie og -gas, er i færd med at nedsmelte. I det vestlige Canada er denne boble allerede bristet og har udløst tabet af 100.000 arbejdspladser i 2015 – svarende til 750.000 arbejdspladser i USA – samt et kollaps i ejendomsmarkedet og et samfundsmæssigt sammenbrud. Denne samme krise er på vej i USA i accelererende tempo, men på en langt større skala.

I Europa træder der nye love i kraft fra den 1. januar 2016, som fjerner enhver beskyttelse af bankindskydere, der vil få deres sparepenge stjålet under »bail-in«-regler (ekspropriering), sådan, som det allerede er sket på Cypern. I Italien fik flere end 10.000 indskydere – bankkunder – deres opsparing ekspropriert under en delvis bail-in under fire bankers kollaps i denne måned. De samme forholdsregler findes inkluderet i Dodd/Frank-loven her i USA. Hvis ens bank kollapser, kan man få sin livsopsparing stjålet for at redde

banken. Det kan og vil ske her, takket være fejhed og korruption hos jeres valgte regeringsfolk, der har holdt jer hen i uvidenhed og overtrådt den ed, de har aflagt i deres embede.

Kongressen havde, før den tog på ferie, mulighed for at forhindre denne nu fremstormende krise. De blev advaret. De kunne have vedtaget love, der allerede var blevet fremstillet i begge Kongressens huse, til genindførelse af Glass-Steagall, den af Franklin Roosevelt indførte lov, der opdelte Depressionens for-store-til-at-lade-gå-ned-banker, ved at adskille kommersiel bankvirksomhed fra alle hasardspilsaktiviteterne. Men Kongressen var købt af Wall Street og svigtede jer. Præsident Obama er totalt ejet af Wall Street og [City of] London, som har skabt ham. Wall Street er håbløst bankerot, og de har til hensigt at klamre sig til magten ved at stjæle jeres penge og fjerne jeres sundhedssystem samt lukke ned, hvad der måtte være tilbage af realøkonomien, den fysiske økonomi. Inden for et tidsrum af blot få dage eller uger kunne I blive konfronteret med fødevaremangel, hyperinflation og et totalt sammenbrud af alt, hvad I ellers anser for at være normale tilstande.

Præsident Obama fremprovokerer også, på vegne af Wall Street og London, en konfrontation med Rusland, der driver verden frem mod global krig, en krig, som nogle amerikanske og russiske militære topkommandører advarer om kunne blive en termonuklear udslettelseskrieg.

Den 1. januar 2016 vil Ukraine, med USA's og IMF's godkendelse, gå i betalingsstandsning mht. sin gæld på 3 mia. dollar til Rusland, en åbenlyst provokerende handling fra Vestens side mod Moskva, der kommer oveni de allerede eksisterende sanktioner, NATO's udvidelse mod øst og andre, direkte provokerende militære handlinger.

Alt dette er dødsens alvorligt. Verden befinner sig på spidsen af et krak værre end under den Store Depression, og en ny

verdenskrig. I må nu tage skridt til handling, for jeres valgte regeringsfolk – parlamentsmedlemmer, kongresmedlemmer osv. – har overgivet jer, på grund af deres egen fejhed og fordærv. De har, sammen med præsident Obama, gjort sig fortjent til jeres foragt og vrede pga. deres feje opførsel.

Der er løsninger forhånden. Wall Street må omgående lukkes. Der skal ikke betales en øre mere for at redde disse forbrydere! Kongressen må fjerne Wall Street-marionetten Barack Obama fra embedet, gennem en rigsretssag eller ved at påkalde det 25. forfatningstillæg, der fastsætter bestemmelser for fjernelsen af en præsident fra embedet, når denne præsident er mentalt uskikket til at fortsætte sit hverv. Glass-Steagall må omgående genindføres og en række initiativer må tages, der alle er modelleret efter det, som den store, amerikanske præsident Franklin Roosevelt gjorde i løbet af de allerførste måneder af sin embedsperiode, for at skabe millioner af produktive jobs, genopbygge nationens kollapsede infrastruktur og genrejse nationens værdighed.

Kongressen kan i løbet af få timer tage skridt til disse handlinger, men de vil kun handle i tide, hvis I vågner op og kræver det.

Alternativet er Helvede på Jord, fra og med det nye år. Er I, jeres venner, jeres naboer, i besiddelse af det moralske beredskab, der skal til for at overleve? Det er det spørgsmål, der er på bordet her, denne Juleaften, 2015.

december 2015: Faseskifte til finanskak i begyndelsen af januar

Med formand Tom Gillesberg

Leder, 26. december 2015: 5 onde regenter leder os til udsættelsen – 1. januar vil udløse den

Massenedsmelningen af finassystemet, der nu er programsat til umiddelbart efter Nytårsdag, lover en næsten omgående nedlukning af økonomierne i USA, Vesteuropa og det meste af Mellem- og Sydamerika. Det vil blive værre end sammenbruddet i 2008 eller 1929 i en grad, der ikke kan måles.

Det seneste tilfælde, der kan sammenlignes med den katastrofe, vi står overfor i de nærmest forestående dage, er katastrofen i Europa i det 14. århundrede. Dengang som nu banede en mangeårig forrådnelse af kulturen vejen for en række brutale, anti-humane handlinger, udført af rent ud sataniske regenter, der pludseligt og hovedkulds styrtede samfundet ud i kollaps og massedød. Midt i de evindelige krige var en række tilfælde af hungersnød i århundredets begyndelse med til at bane vejen for det onde, der fulgte. I 1344 erklærede Bardi- og Peruzzibankhusene i Lombardiet sig konkurs, hvorefter det venetianske banknetværk lukkede hele det økonomiske system i

Europa ned, og hermed fremtvang vilkår, der yderligere decimerede befolkningernes modstandskraft over for sygdom. Den Sorte Død (byldepest) slog til i 1347. De efterfølgende bølger af sygdomsudbrud skønnes at have aflivet 60 % af Europas befolkning.

Nu, i dag, i kølvandet på det udbredte, kulturelle forfald, der går under navnet det 20. århundrede, leder tre, sataniske regenter os mod vores umiddelbare ødelæggelse: Dronning Elizabeth af England, præsident Barack Obama af USA og Pave Frans.

Den imperiale Dronning Elizabeth II er en langt mere bevidst, ond skikkelse, end hendes farfar Georg III, der myrdede vore amerikanske patrioter for over 200 år siden. Hun repræsenterer Det britiske Imperium i det 20. århundrede, med Lord Bertrand Russel, der udstede love til at gøre en ende på videnskab og kunst til fordel for død matematik. Ligesom Russell er hun og hendes mand, Prins Philip, fortalere for en verdensomspændende befolkningsreduktion gennem alle midler. Det var den ikke-så-hemmelige dagsorden for hendes netop afsluttede konference i Paris, om den angivelige menneskeskabte klimaforandring.

Dronning Elizabeths og hendes ligesindedes satanism drejer sig om deres fremstød for at udslette den »guddommelige gnist« i mennesket – det, der gør mennesket til »skabt i Guds billede«. Med andre ord, at være menneskelig.

Alle katolikker – ja, alle kristne – burde føle sig skamfulde over den kendsgerning, at Pave Frans vedtog Det britiske Imperiums anti-humane credo i sin encyklika, sit pavelige brev, »Laudato Si'«. Han har kæmpet for den lige siden. Uanset motiverne, så har han diskvalificeret sig selv som Pave, ja endda som simpel præst.

Vores såkaldte præsident, Barack Obama, er denne imperiale Dronnings marionet, der kontrolleres af det britiske monarki gennem Valerie Jarrett, som var den person, der oprindeligt

udpegede ham til at blive præsident, da han blot var en ukendt delstatssenator. Det var britiskkontrollerede narko-penge, der gav Obama en uhæderlig sejr over den folkelige favorit Hillary Clinton i de demokratiske primærvælg i 2008. (Desværre har Hillary ødelagt alle sine kvalifikationer, efter at hun blev Obamas marionet efter at han blev valgt.)

Obama blev opdraget til at blive en massemorder af sin indonesiske stedfader Lolo Soetero. Nu præsiderer han hver tirsdag over et møde i Det Hvide Hus, hvor han træffer beslutning om en ny liste over mennesker, der skal myrdes – inklusive amerikanske borgere. Grunden til, at de store medier giver ham fripas – såsom *New York Times* og *Wall Street Journal* – er, at de er bange for, at han også vil myrde dem. Hvad han sandsynligvis vil.

Kongresmedlemmer har den samme, berettigede frygt – men de har ingen undskyldning. Den ed, de aflagde til Forfatningen, er en soldater-ed. Hvis de ikke omgående vil fjerne Obama og lukke Wall Street, er de slet ikke ægte kongresmedlemmer.

Kort og godt, så har jeres ledere forrådt jer til Djævelen. Jeres kongresmedlemmer har i bedste fald forrådt jer af frygt. Men de løsninger, som Franklin Roosevelt tog i anvendelse i 1932-33, under en langt mildere krise, er stadig anvendelige i dag. Kun et barn ville tale om »oddsene«; vi har tydeligvis oddsene imod os. Og hvad så?

Ekstraordinær hastekonference ‘Fireside Chat’, 23. december

2015 –

Lyndon Larouche diskuterer med aktivister i hele USA

God aften. Vi har i aften en ekstraordinær konference over telefon, som vi har indkaldt til, konfronteret med den kendsgerning, at vi står på randen af et finanskollaps, den 1. eller 2. januar.

Spørgsmål 1: *Hej, jeg er J. fra Columbia, Maryland. Mit spørgsmål lyder: Med alt, hvad der er sket, med krigen mod terror og de nylige angreb i Paris og nedskydningen af det russiske kampfly, hvordan er finanssammenbruddet forbundet med alt dette? Og hvad gør vi ved immigrationen af mennesker fra Syrien?*

LaRouche: *Det sidste spørgsmål ville jeg ikke bekymre mig om. Det er ikke et virkelig alvorligt problem. Det har eftervirkninger, men de er ikke alvorlige, og bør ikke tage vores opmærksomhed.*

Det, vi må gøre, er, at vi må erkende, selvfølgelig, det transatlantiske samfund, og dets rolle med hensyn til os. Vi må grundlæggende set koncentrere os om USA som sådan, og USA er vores eget problem. For vi har kræfter i USA, der er enten feje, især blandt medlemmerne af Kongressen, der har vist deres fejhed, deres rådne fejhed i dette spørgsmål, eller de forsøger at etablere noget, der vil ødelægger retten til livet, for USA's borgere. Hvis denne handling bliver tilladt, så vil der blive en masse døde mennesker i USA, og USA vil ikke have nogen fremtid.

Vi må derfor indtage dette standpunkt. Der er visse principper, der må indføres. Hvis vi ikke indfører disse principper i praksis, så er I udslettet; I betyder ikke længere noget.

Så pointen er, at I kæmper for jeres egen identitet, og det er jeres forpligtelse at forsøge at understøtte jeres egen identitet, gennem intelligent respons til de problemer, der umiddelbart konfronterer os, lige nu.

Engelsk udskrift.

Tune in this week for a very important live Q&A discussion with Lyndon LaRouche. Mr. LaRouche has forecast the nation is on the verge of a financial collapse come January 1 or 2. **Note:** This week's call will be on Wednesday the 23rd, not Thursday.

Transcript

JOHN ASCHER: Good evening everyone, this is John Ascher here in Virginia, and we are here for an extraordinary conference call this evening, called by LaRouche PAC, in the face of the looming financial collapse of the trans-Atlantic system. I'd like to welcome everyone back this evening for our discussion with Lyndon LaRouche, who I hope I have on the line.

LYNDON LAROUCHE: You do. Can you hear me?

ASCHER: I can hear you loud and clear, Lyn. I think many people who were on the call just watched the webcast which concluded , just a half-hour ago. Would you like me to read a little part of the emergency message that you authorized written by Jeff Steinberg, that was put up on our website? ("Make Sure That There Is a New Year: Dump Obama and Wall Street!")

LAROUCHE: I think we have it already from Jeff, which was already broadcast. So, let's get into this thing and if it becomes meritorious to bring more consideration on that, then you and I can do that.

ASCHER: OK, excellent. So, I'm turning on the Q&A queue.

Q1: Hi, my name is A-J- from Columbia, Maryland, and I have a question: With everything that's been going on with the war on terror, and the recent attacks in Paris and the downing of the Russian jet, how does the financial collapse tie into all of this? And what should we do about the immigration of people coming from Syria?

LAROUCHE: I wouldn't worry about that, the latter problem. That is not really a serious one. It has effects, but it's not one that's a serious one and one that should occupy our attention.

What we have to do, is we have to recognize, of course, the trans-Atlantic community, and its role in dealing with us. We have to concentrate essentially on the United States as such, and the United States is our one worry. Because we've got forces in the United States who are either cowardly, especially among the members of Congress who have shown their cowardice, their stinking cowardice in this matter, or trying to set something up, which will destroy the rights of life, of the citizens of the United States. If that action is permitted, then the United States will have a lot of dead people inside it, and there will be no future of the United States.

So therefore we have to take that view. There are certain principles which must be applied. If we don't apply those principles in practice, then you are wiped out; you don't mean anything any more.

So the point is, you're fighting for your own identity, and your obligation is to try to support your own identity, by intelligent responses, to the problems which are facing us immediately, right now.

Q2: [internet] Lyn, I have a question from M- from Dearborn, Michigan. He says, "Lyn, since the battle lines are being more and more openly, publicly declared with Russia, China,

India, Iran on one side, and the British and Obama, and the other allies of the British Empire on the other, do you think that what some might think is a miracle, can occur soon: that is the total elimination and end of the British Empire? Do you see that could happen soon?"

LAROUCHE: One question has to be asked: Are the people prepared to take their own authority and use it? The suckers will not win.

The problem we have, I think there are a lot of members of the Congress who would like, would prefer, to do what I've been indicating has to be done. But there's some forces, including Obama most particularly, and some of the other people there.

Hillary Clinton, for example, is one of the problems. She's one of the big sources of destruction, and I hope she's soon thrown out of the candidacy for the Presidency. I don't think we want her around any more; she is actually an agent of Obama, she's a supporter of his. I don't think she was originally, but he terrified her, she became a victim of his influence and since that time she has tended to be increasingly, more and more dishonest. And actually a bit evil.

So I think we want to get her out. We want to get Trump out of the picture, things like that. And we want to also take the members of Congress who are gutless wonders, and get some of the people who shouldn't be gutless wonders among the members of Congress and say, "No! We were wrong! We accepted you, we accepted your proposal on this campaign, and you committed a fraud. And we're wrong, because we didn't turn that down." And what we require now, is that honest members of the United States organization, must say, "We were wrong. Our leaders were wrong. They were a bunch of cowards and they were selling us down the river. They were selling the United States down the river." And that has to be stated.

Q3: Hi John, hi Lyn: What's the possibility of you know, taking our rights into our own hands? There's a lot of like-minded individuals where I live in California that – well, they don't like the way that this government is, well, you know....?

LAROUCHE: We had a meeting in California which I attended, for a number of leading representatives, historically leading members of the California popular leadership. And that works. We have a core in California, around certain circles, who have all the credentials you need, to speak up and say, we should be in charge, of shaping the policy of California.

After all you've got a governor there who's no damned good! He's stupid, and he's corrupt, and he's a Satanic figure. That is, he belongs to a cult of a Satanic belief, together with a certain member of the Pope, an agent of the Pope, who's also a Satanic creature. And so these are problems we have to deal with.

But the point is, we do have a crisis. And I would say that those of us who are actually leading some of these things, particularly the two things that happened on Saturday and Sunday, were among the most successful presentation of musical performance that we've had in a very long time. And what this involved, is from people of Italian background and so forth, who are highly professional; and creating an institution which builds up a base for the kind of popular organization, organization of the United States. And we have it.

And our job is to defend that fight. We have our rights, this is our right: We have the right to pull the members of the Congress, who turn cowardly or stupid. And we have a right to kick their little asses – you know, in a certain manner of speaking. And I think that little privilege has to be applied more vigorously, right now.

Q4: Good evening Lyn and John, this is J- calling from

Michigan. In talking with people, besides the cynicism, everybody does agree, that we are in a collapse phase now, especially like in southeast Michigan. Everybody's tied to the Detroit water system and water bills are skyrocketing and people are getting shut off like crazy. The policy forces are being reduced drastically.

And my point is, when the credit system is introduced, do you agree that there should also be price controls on utilities, as well as food stocks, food pricing?

LAROUCHE: I wouldn't approach it exactly that way. The effect that you're talking about might have validity. But I think the way to approach this is quite different. What you have to do, is you have to get the citizens of the United States, who is by and large a coward; they've given in on everything. They're afraid, they're afraid, they're afraid. Everything's been taken away from there: their careers have been taken away; their children are worthless.

For example, in California, but not only in California, the young human beings, in California, are by and large, are not really human. That is, they don't have any of the patriotic characteristics; and therefore we have a real problem. We have to mobilize a force, because most of the young people in our generation now, are not fit to make judgment. And they're brainwashed, really, literally brainwashed.

And you have people who are members of Congress, who are not really brainwashed as such, but they lack the guts to stand up and denounce what they know is wrong. And that's what the last session of Congress did, is exactly that.

So you have to say, the leadership of the Congress is a bunch of cheating cowards. But the people who know better say, "well, we can't fight it, we can't fight it. We don't have the power to fight it." And that's where the problem comes. And what is needed, is to get people to understand, that they

have a *responsibility*, with an element of risk which is involved in that, and they have to take a position *against* those members of the Congress who have sold their asses down the street.

ASCHER: I know we're going to get some reports also Lyn on our activities from New York this past weekend; and later on, I'm going to announce some the activities coming up here over Christmastime for the Manhattan Project.

Q5: Hi this is Alvin, here in New York. Hi Lyn, and everyone listening. Well, we had a pretty big weekend that actually, as I've been reflecting upon it, really began about two weeks before, with a relatively small number of people; but for myself, the quality of the organizing was much different, much improved from that of a year ago, and it was something that I've felt existed within the population on the need for Handel's *Messiah*. But also emanating from those of us that were out there doing this work to help build this audience.

And so, the process of engaging in the chorus has been helping me and helping us all along, to produce that type of result. And then the effect that it had. People are knowing and will be reading more about the reports and the responses and the effect of what was demonstrated, in a very powerful way in the two concerts that we presented to the public. And that's a very, very encouraging thing for us all.

What I wanted to reference is the personal effect that I'm sure others share is, in going through this process and finishing with this weekend, as imperfect as many things were going through it, we did it. And now that we're confronted with the immediate crisis of how to act, I can't express how much clearer I find myself able to both think and act, and not be confused or allow myself to be confused, where this was not the case before.

So the breakthrough was for the Manhattan Project, but I think

each one of us, and I would even imagine those that have been doing this for a while, that or members for a long time, – I won't speak for them, but I think the effects of this are far-reaching; certainly for those of us that were for the first time onstage and really working at this process.

So, on the one hand, I would say "Oh, the timing of this crisis is terrible!" My thinking now is that, the crisis is here and I feel ready for it, which means now, I have to organize a number of people, and activate them, so that when we go into our Congressmen's office, we are of one force that can hopefully move these wretched folks into the action we need.

LAROUCHE: Well, to bring to bear the issues, the real issues, in this process, you have to go back to a certain point, where there was a debate between Obama and Putin; and, Obama lost, clearly.

Now, from that point on, you've had an increasing receptivity on this matter. But what's happened is, Putin has been gaining weight, against the British and against other forces, and against other forces in Europe. Obama was defeated, but in terms of the population, it was a symbol of that debate: Obama was defeated and discredited fully. So he's been operating on a lame issue ever since that time.

He's operating on the basis of rage. Now, Obama of course is a killer. Obama kills people every Tuesday; he kills citizens of the United States every Tuesday. That's his favorite sport. And people are afraid, they're afraid to take him on. But Putin is not afraid to take him on.

Now the fact, however, that Putin did intervene, in that show, and did defeat Obama, Obama has been weak in conviction ever since. He had rage, he has all kinds of things, but he's a loser. Now, Obama is not a human being; he has a jockstrap he has in a certain area that I don't know if he ever washes it;

it's in this little niche inside the White House. But I think, whether he stinks or not, I think that his attitude about life stinks. And that's enough to take care of it.

But the point is now, what's happened, is because of the defeat of Obama, by Putin, in that session, you've had a rising tendency, to revolve against Obama. And that's what's happened. Now, we've encouraged it, and that's what we should do. But the problem is, the members of Congress have a problem with Obama.

But what's the problem with Obama? Obama kills people every Tuesday! Obama kills innocent citizens of the United States and kills them every Tuesday. So therefore, you have members of Congress and so forth, who by themselves, if they weren't terrified, would not tolerate Obama; but they're afraid that Obama, with his Tuesday kills will kill them! Members of major press organizations in Manhattan or in the capital of this, yet some people are scared! Just plain scared! That they're not going to cross Obama, because they think Obama will kill them, and they're probably right. Obama will kill them, sooner or later.

So, we've come to a point now, where we have actually had progress, in trying to deal with this thing, since that United Nations matter. We're succeeding.

Now, naturally, we have locations which are very significant. Manhattan is the most important area, politically, for us in the United States. We have some people in California, a respectively small group, and they demonstrated their commitment. We have other people who have a commitment; mostly they're in the minority. *But!* underneath that, they wish they had the guts, to speak out. And so, everything is on that basis.

Now, what we did, in the Saturday and Sunday events, in Manhattan and around there, what we did, is we got 1,000

people in two successive performances, on Saturday and Sunday, and this changed the course of history, in terms of that operation. And this is going to reverberate. The problem is, is you've still got people who are terrified. And just plain terrified. And when the Congress comes in, and certain hound dogs in the Congress come in, and say "we're going to bail everything out," hmm? And then the bail-out comes.

Now, what we're at, now, that no citizen of the United States, legally, on the basis of the most recent seating in the Congress, would defend the United States. None of these people in the majority, would defend the existence of the United States. They would kiss the rear end of Obama. Even though he's despised, and he's in a wretched condition, and therefore, what happens, the British forces, which are generally the British Empire; remember, the whole thing is the British Empire. It goes all the way back to the British Empire, and the fighting, by the United States against the British Empire.

So the British Empire is still, directly or indirectly, the controlling force over the United States, except for where the citizens got their guts working up; and lately, they still don't have much in the way of guts. That's the problem.

Now, what we've done, is, we've presented the evidence, that the Congress has to stop selling out. They cannot go through this season, this year, this New Year, we cannot let that happen! *We must throw this thing out of this thing, right now – before the New Year!* And this is what the issue is.

In other words, if we don't do that, you're going to a general war, a global general war, and the general war will come fast. Quick and fast! The mass killing of people, which has been going on in Canada, for example, and going on in other areas, it's going on.

So we're at a point, where we have to do things which are not

in any way on most people's agenda. On the other hand, we have people who do have a conscience, but their conscience does not allow them to speak on the subject. Our job is to give them the power to speak their conscience. And that's where we are.

So I think the idea of the practical exposition, on what the problems are, anybody who wants to be practical in interpreting what the problems are, is making a big mistake. Our job is to stimulate the citizens, who are citizens, who wish to be citizens, who don't like this, to get up on their hind legs, and kick the asses where they belong to be kicked.

And our job is to find the people who will, – you know, this thing about the 1000 people in two successive events, service events, on Saturday and Sunday following, this has changed everything, potentially. And our concern has to be now, to make sure that that potential victory, becomes an actualized victory.

Q6: Hello Lyn, this is R-A-, I live in Mansfield, Massachusetts; I grew up in New Hampshire and I was born in New York, so I have a lot of touch points with a lot of folks.

Anyway, clearly there's a lot of things that need to be improved in the country. Since the advent of 1871, when the United States became a corporation, that was run by essentially the bank, and then in 1913 when the Federal Reserve Act was passed along with the Internal Revenue Service, which was nothing more than a collection agency for the Federal Reserve, you know, America has been at constant war. Constant war in a central bankster cabal, they go together like Popeye and Olive Oil.

Now, if Americans want to be a constant war, it leaves the system in place. But if they want peace, prosperity, tranquillity, they need to nationalize the bank, and have the government issue the currency, and the government issue the

low-interest rate loans to stimulate the economy.

In addition, the United States has to raise tariffs and eliminate NAFTA in order to protect American industry, which during the '80s and '90s got outsourced to China and the Pacific Rim, and what I'm talking about is the steel, auto, computer, electronic, industries, which were primarily the circulatory system of the great American economy.

ASCHER: Excuse me, is this getting to a question here?

Q7: Well, here's the question, the thing is, if you can centralize bank and have it a National Bank, you can save \$1.2 trillion in interest a year, essentially, \$19 trillion in debt times 6%. That money of \$1.2 trillion a year can then be cuddled into the re-industrialization of America.

ASCHER: OK, so Lyn would you like to respond?

LAROUCHE: Yes! I would say it sounds loud and convincing, I suppose, to some people, but it's not convincing to me. Because, yes, you're just talking around certain things; but my reading of these things is different from yours. I mean, for example, this idea, this pragmatic approach to the interpretation of the function of economy in the United States, and under popular opinion, is wrong. It's just plain wrong. Because most people don't have any understanding of what makes mankind work. That is, what the intention of mankind's mind is. And therefore, they come up with the solutions which he just did. And it has the real taint of something is intended to be convincing, but from my standpoint, scientifically, it's bunk, frankly.

Because, mankind is not an animal. And that's what the assumption is. His argument is implicitly states that mankind is essentially an animal. Now, mankind is not essentially an animal. But unfortunately, people who are made ignorant, behave like animals, mentally and otherwise. And the fact that they are induced to adopt that kind of view of life, puts

them in the wrong direction.

What he's laid out there will not work! It flat [will not work! *The problem is, that we've stooped – Bertrand Russell is probably the key to this whole problem. Bertrand Russell destroyed the mind of the people of the United States. He did that through his whole career, until he died. And when he was dying, he was still rotting. Same thing.*

And what you have to do, you have to look at what mankind is, and it's the creative powers of mankind, the ability of a senior person to understand more than all of the practical people, and that's the key to the thing. Look, we've got a case in California: the young people in California are, by and large are degenerated. Why are they degenerated? They were degenerated, by for example the California school system! They did it.

Same thing in Texas; you got Texas all over the place; it's got real corruption. All Southern states are, in the main, degenerate. Now that doesn't mean every citizen of these states is degenerate, but it means that those who are not degenerate, are having to defend themselves against those who are voluntarily degenerate. And therefore, if we're going to solve the problem, we have to lay the case on, on what is the intelligent viewpoint as against the so-called practical viewpoint. Practical people are stupid people! They may not know it, but if you look at the children today, the young people throughout the United States: They're stupid! And worse. They don't have minds of their own. And therefore, what our problem is, we have to pull together, a group of people, who will provide leadership to people who are prepared to think! Not to imitate somebody's babbling.

And we have to pay close attention, to what are the actual, physical principles, or the effect of the principles, as laid out by people like Nicholas of Cusa, and the people like that. And they've laid these things out, and they were

intelligent. The alternate views were *not* intelligent! And that's what the problem is.

The popular opinion in its more popular form, popular opinion is the degeneration of the mind of the human being. And we've got to cure that, we've got to get rid of that stuff, otherwise we'll not survive. Mankind will not survive under these conditions.

What we're on the verge of, we're on a general, which his orchestrated by the whole British Empire system, which has always been the enemy here, and people are trying to kowtow, to gratify people who are thinking like British agents or British mentalities. And what we have to do, is we have to go deeply, more profoundly, and not be superficial in terms of discussing these kinds of matters.

We've got to get to the root of the thing, and Einstein of course is the typical person, who was actually a genius, and most of the other leading scientists were not geniuses; some of them were competent, but they were not geniuses. And so, this kind of characteristic, you have to be more precise on this thing. You may have good intentions, but you've got to get good results, too.

Q8: Hi, this is S— from Manhattan. And I was so happy to be part of the concert Saturday and Sunday. It was so uplifting, that it gave a new purpose to my life, a new direction. I'm 72 and I can still sort of sing!

My question is financial: I'm afraid of the bail-ins. I can't take a certain amount of money and carry it home, but I can convert to silver coin or gold coin, and that's all I have to live on. I sold the family home, which broke my heart, but – how fast do I have, to make a move, to convert the little bit of money that I did get from the sale of my home, into a form that will retain its value even if the whole system falls down? That I'll be able to buy my food and pay my

rent and all the activities of daily living.

I have a list of names to call, you know, to kick the behinds of Congress and the Senate. But you know, they hang up the phone and they forget about you.

I'm worried about the little bit of money I have on which to survive. And what would you do? What would you do, sir? How do you protect the money? Now if I open a safety deposit box, can they still steal that money in a safety deposit box? What would you do?

LAROUCHE: OK, fine. You've got two areas. First of all, you've got the economic system that runs the United States right now. Now that's a problem that you've to deal with. It's not easy to deal with, but it has to be done. Now, that's the only way you're going to get justice. And what you're talking about is what I would understand as justice. And you're talking about being deprived or in anxiety with respect to the prospects of justice.

Now, what we've had, with these things that happened on Saturday and Sunday, which were musical assemblies which added up to attended of 1,000 people, both in Manhattan and earlier in Brooklyn. So, this gives you an idea of exactly what is possible. Now the fact that this thing happened, it means that this has not happened in the United States for a long time. It has not happened. But suddenly we have, we've organized assemblies of musicians and audiences in the order of magnitude of 1,000 persons total. Now that is something new. That is something which has *not* happened beforehand.

So therefore, if we change that tune, shall we say, if we do that, then you have the people who feel that they're cast aside, from the prospect of survival, they have a reason to be confident, because their interest and what they understand, will inspire other people in the population to spread this kind of approach, and that's the only way you win.

When you're in that kind of situation, like Manhattan is now, among popular masses, they don't have a chance! They live on the edge of disaster, one way or the other. If you create a social process, a mass social process which increases its authority, then that problem begins to disappear, and therefore, that's what we have to do. We have to take all those kinds of factors which correspond to what I just described, and that's the only solution; that's the only thing. You cannot be an isolated person, or a person isolated in the community; that doesn't work, you don't have enough fight. When you get a 1,000 people in two successive assemblies, of audience and performers, and it's a beautiful job like that, *now!* – *now*, you represent something. And what she's saying, really requires *that*; it requires the participation, in the body of people, who feel that they're part of that same process. And that process will give them power.

Q9: I live in the country in Rhode Island, and I have a connection to my little local town representative that connects my and everybody; and I just want to know that that's my best connection. Because if I go up to see my representatives – the state of Rhode Island is very corrupt – and if I go up to see them in their offices, or at their houses or whatever, like that, I'm likely to end up in jail. And then released, of course, with no charges.

But my point is, how can I get my message across, in full, outside of what I hear from you guys? I mean, I meet the elitist people in my work, and I sort of scare them, or they go "Wow," with what I say, all coming from the larouche.com group. And just this week, a couple that retired from teaching high school and now work with the University of Rhode Island, hit my with a question, and just looked at 'em and said, flatly, "shut down Wall Street, reinstall Glass-Steagall, and let's go with it from there, and we're going to have to make adjustments, and to make things

work from that day forward." And I said, "that's what, I believe it was Teddy Roosevelt that did that." And I astounded them, and now they're doing research and working on it.

OK, so I'm reaching some of my intelligent customers. But, how can I be more effective?

LAROUCHE: Just what we're doing. What we did in New York, the New York City areas on Saturday, and in Manhattan afterward. And this process, if continued, will change the tempo. Just sitting around and waiting and for something to harvest, like you're waiting for a chicken to lay an egg, that does not really work. You have to get more chickens to do more egg laying, and this is my progressive thing.

No, we're in a position, if we can bring people more closer together, on these kinds of issues, you find out you can change things. And I think the Manhattan – I spent a lot of effort since October of last year, on building up an organization based on Manhattan. Other things don't work. New Jersey? That's sort of, off and on. Leesburg? Ohhh!! Almost hopeless. And Texas, doubtful.

But so therefore, you actually have to bring into play, forces which are moving ahead in the right direction. And you find that the authority that they carry by the increase of their authority... for example right now, right now, you had a bunch of people in the Congress, and they sold out. Hmm? They sold out because they were intimidated. And the muscle came down on them and said, "No, you're shut down. We're going to wipe out everything. At the beginning of next year, you're not going to have anything. Everything is going to be cancelled." And that's fact right now! *Right now*, on the first day and second day of the next year, you're going to find, under the present conditions, a general collapse of the people of the United States. and it's going to get excessively worse. Hmm?

So therefore, our role is to understand what the forces are that we have to bring into play, to create an increase of the forces, which are qualified to change the thing. And that's the problem. What we're doing now, yeah, we have the members of Congress; well, most of the members of Congress are gutless wonders. And a lot of the other members of the Congress, are intimidated by the gutless wonders. And if you can't get something in motion, and I would say, what happened in these two things on Saturday and Sunday, in the most recent events, and that probably is worth more than *anything* else.

I mean, that's the principle which will work. Because people find themselves with this, their voices are now beautiful, at least the singers are beautiful; and others are there. So you've changed the environment. And you have to change the environment; it's not building up on one person after another person after another person, it's changing the environment. Because most people are operating on an understanding of mankind, which is not right. It's incompetent. You have to give them the courage, to recognize that there is another way, which is necessary, whereas the old one that they thought was practical, is not. And that's where the problem lies.

If you can't inspire people, to find in themselves or in their circles of friends, they can't find something in themselves, which gives them a sense of potency, you can't win. So therefore, the primary thing is, can you supply a real meaning of potency to people around you?

ASCHER: Let me just announce for those on the phone, in terms of the ongoing Manhattan Project, I've been supplied the follow schedule, which is that it will be continuing tomorrow ... on Saturday, our regular Town Meeting with Lyndon LaRouche will occur between 2 and 5, and after that there will be a candlelight vigil and singing at the Lincoln Center in Manhattan.

Q10: Hello Lyn, this is W-B- in Denver. And what I was

wondering about was, in this oncoming financial crisis, leading to the destruction of economies, do the BRICS nations have any sort of cushion perhaps to soften the blow, so to speak, from this spreading disaster?

LAROUCHE: Well, what we're doing, if we don't, as of this weekend, – and it's this weekend, after what we've done in terms of Manhattan both on the Saturday and Sunday events where we had 1,000 people total, in these events, you don't have much of a chance. And if you're going to talk about technologies and things, and how this is going to work, and how this will or will not work, it's nonsense; it doesn't work.

What you have to do, above all, you have to change attitude of a growing part of the population! And why are they being cheated? Well, in the main, it's the fact that they are not being very practical; what they call "being practical" is not being practical! They're trying to muscle in on something and exploit an opportunity which they think is an opportunity; but mankind is not a collection of animals. It's not a zoo! Mankind is a species, which *as a whole*, that is the overall process, moves the population. It is not this individual or a few individuals, it's this process. And when people are convinced, to adopt a process which is a viable one, or an improvement of things, it works.

When they say, you're trying to muscle in on some deal and make a handful of your friends are going to make a deal and you're going to get a successful operation, that is bunk! Society doesn't work that way.

Q11: [internet] Lyn, I just got a question from B– in Fair Oaks, California. Here is his question: "Mr. LaRouche, I just returned from visiting the Federal Building in Sacramento, California, where I met with the office of Sen. Barbara Boxer of California, urging her to move the Glass-Steagall bill through right away. My question may be a

difficult one to answer, but, how much time is there left, before we must absolutely pass Glass-Steagall?"

LAROUCHE: We don't have any lapse of time available to us. We have to do it immediately, and can do it effectively, immediately. And the problem is, if you do that in the proper way, then you will actually overrun the conventional attitudes now.

People don't have the guts to stand up and look at the other guy in the eye, and say, "Hey, hey, Joe. You're bullshitting aren't you? Why don't you come around and be honest?" And that's the only way to do it.

What do you think's wrong with these members of Congress? Well, some of these members of Congress are Plump or Dunk or whatever he is – or, Bump, I guess is the better term – and this thing is not really of any importance. It's garbage; we know him, well. He was an associate of the FBI; he wasn't a member of the FBI, he was an associate of it, and he was an opportunist and he got payoffs and he got little generosities, and he got all kinds of things; and he would go around, and start a deal. Look at all these "Bump" people, that fill all these skyscrapers. What they doing? They're just dirtying up the sky, scratching up the sky! But he doesn't mean anything. But he's around and he's used as an agent, and he's not worth anything.

And Hillary herself has lost any asset that she's ever had and she quit that because she capitulated to Obama. And she's an Obama agent. And Obama is an agent of British Empire. So, that's where it goes.

So therefore, people have to stand up for themselves on the basis of principle, not on "my gimmicks" but on what the principle is that they want to defend. And that's the only solution. And I think we were doing it successfully in Manhattan during Saturday and Sunday. I think that's the

right thing. And the question, we have to sustain it. That's the approach you want to take.

Q12: Hi Lyn, this is T-W- from Lake Arrowhead, California. I'm calling in with a sort of a report, because I'm closely located next to where the San Bernardino shooting incident took place. I'll try to make a long story short: when it was happening, I happened to be in an auto shop, where I live in Lake Arrowhead, and the billing lady there, told me that she had just heard on the police radio that the husband of her friend was one of the ones killed. And so, I said, "What's her name?" and she said it's Renée Wetzel. So I then looked up in the paper, and the man that was killed was named Mike Wetzel and he's a resident of Lake Arrowhead where I live.

So, I decided I had to go to his memorial service, which was last Saturday, and it was a very beautiful event. It was in a large gymnasium, there were 1,000 people there and many people gave moving memorial addresses; he was very well known and very much loved in the Lake Arrowhead community. He had six children who were all there, a wife and an ex-wife, his father was there, three of co-workers were there, two ministers that have known him from childhood; they all gave beautiful memorial addresses. It was just a very moving ceremony.

And I'm sitting and I didn't actually know Mike, but I'm thinking to myself, "Gosh if only these people could possibly understand what was really the cause of Michael's death," but it wasn't really these FBI-concocted terrorists, the two people that supposedly were the shooters; one of them was a normal guy with a job at the Inland center, with no history of anything strange; they were a couple, they had a baby. The baby was dropped off at her mother's house so she could grab a couple of Kalashnikovs and go shoot up the place, supposedly, you know?

Well, the story doesn't add up, it doesn't make sense in any way; I've come to the conclusion that that couple couldn't

have had anything to do with it. They were just patsies, who are cultivated for the purpose by the FBI. And the actual killings, I believe were done by some hired killers. I don't believe it was actually them that did it.

But you know, and one thing I did, afterward I wanted to confirm some of this, so yesterday I called a local newspaper, the *San Bernardino Sun*, and I said: "Look, there were supposedly 100 people in that room, 14 of them were killed. That leaves 86 eyewitnesses. Now, I would like to know why we have not seen a single interview, with any of those eyewitnesses, since the day that the killings took place? There were two interviews on that day, and those two interviews, both witnesses indicated there were three, white male shooters."

So I called the reporter and said, "why haven't there been any interviews with eyewitnesses? Wouldn't that be a huge scoop? Why are you guy out there interviewing people, and why don't I see anything?" So then he starts giving me excuses. Like he said, "we don't want to traumatize the victims, we have to give them some time and so on, before we disturb them." That was the first thing. And I kind of scoffed at that, I said, "those 86 witnesses, most of them were unharmed and I'm sure lots of them would like to tell their stories, so there ought to be investigative journalists all over the place trying to interview them, on TV, newspapers, everywhere. And there hasn't been a single interview? Why? Why haven't you been out there?"

And so the reporter basically told me, "we can't interview those witnesses because they might say something that would contradict the FBI's story. And we can't do that, we can't question the FBI. That is not allowed." And I sort of had an insight into how this whole thing works, like there is this total atmosphere of intimidation, and one thing you don't do is question the authority of the FBI or suggest for one second that what they're saying might not be true! That is not

allowed. And everybody knows that, it's like this undercover of fear. There's things that you can't say; while in some sense, it's unconscious fear, you know, it's like they don't even know it, but they just don't go there. It's like an unconscious inhibition, let's say, has been put into them. And so, that's I think how this whole atmosphere of terror and intimidation is being created.

So that's why I could...

LAROUCHE: It's being created, yes. But it's being created not by the FBI, it's being created by Obama personally. You follow the press coverage on that thing: Obama was the one who put the lid suppressing that, suppressing the story.

Now, the truth was, there were a lot of other untruths around this whole thing. Now, these people were recruited, they were Saudi connections, Saudi influence. It was the same factor, and the same ratios, of events were the same thing that happened in France, in the assassinations there in France. And this is run by the Saudis; it's run by things like the Saudis which Obama works for. Obama is part of that, but Obama actually works for the British, the British Monarchy. The British Monarchy *created* this whole thing. And if you ever looked into 9/11, and who did what in 9/11 – and I was an expert in this area, with a friend of mine and some others – and that's what the whole story is.

Why did the Congress not deal with the 9/11 case? Why'd they put the lid on it? That's where the problem lies. Obama? Obama's on the wrong side; he's not an American, he's something else. He's like his stepfather, has the same kind of disease that his stepfather had, he was a man who kills people. Obama kills people, every Tuesday, he kills innocent people! And you have even important people who have important positions, they have been threatened. They will not speak up; they will not tell the truth.

So you've got a nation of gutless wonders! Now it's not all the fact that they're gutless wonders, it's the point is, they don't see any way that they can survive under these conditions. And there's nobody up there, there's no FBI up there, who's doing very much in terms of defending the citizens of the United States; or defending any other part of the planet.

The whole thing comes down, from the British Empire, the British system! That's what's been going on all along. And you get different versions of it, you get different flavors of it, so forth, but it's all the same thing: Without the British Empire and what it represents, and you take 9/11: Why was 9/11 never exposed, publicly? Never! Why? Because they had a payoff, with the British and the Saudis; and the Saudis did it.

The Saudis are the ones who actually, personally, sank the towers in Manhattan. It was two guys who captured each plane, they went up around that area, around the Towers up there; they brought them down. A similar thing was done in Washington, itself, and other things like that.

And what happened? The damned Congress, as a whole, as a body, has *refused* to tell the facts, about how the citizens of Manhattan were killed! And it was done by the Saudis, it was done by a mass of Saudis. Remember: Everything was shut down, under the Bush family, everything was shut down. And the Saudi families who were guilty in this process, part of the team, woke, safely walked out of the United States, and were sent back to Saudi Arabia. And many of these people were the active agents who did the killing!

And the leading interests in Saudi Arabia, actually orchestrated the killing. Who did it? It was the British Empire that did, and it was done under the rate of oil speculation. And that's how Saudi Arabia got powerful, because the British protected them, as the United States,

under Bush and Obama, defended them.

So if you want to find a complaint there, look at Bush, the Bush family, and look at Obama, and then trace it all back from there. That gets to the core of why you get this kind of a sense of experience of what's going on. Yes, the FBI is involved in this kind of thing, but they're only subordinate agents when they do that.

The point was, it's done by the British Queen and the British interests. And the British interests and the Saudis and Obama are all the same thing. So get the facts right and you'll find out the solutions can become transparent.

By the way, I did a personal investigation on this thing; Jeff Steinberg came in on the same operation, but in parallel. Jeff and I had worked together; I was working for Ronald Reagan at that point, and Jeff had followed in on what I was doing at that point. So Jeff and I had this relationship with that thing, we both knew the story about Saudis, how the Saudi thing was done. We were expert in it; I independently I worked with these British agents who were political agents who were actually investigating this problem; and most of them got killed, or something similar happened to them.

But I'm a known factor in this thing, I'm an expert in this thing. And there's no doubt about it; and any justice means that anybody who is supporting Obama, now, is an agent of the enemy of the United States. And that's the thing. Because you make the comment that everything you say is plausible to me, as the fact, but the secret body of evidence is what you didn't get into. But what you were doing, what your investigation, your appreciation is an accurate one except it doesn't go far enough because you didn't have any rules to follow it adequately.

Q13: Good evening, Mr. LaRouche, this is P- from Connecticut. I agree with Alvin: After enjoying the

wonderful concert of Handel's *Messiah* I felt so inspired by this. I guess it was the same way that Thomas Paine's letter to George Washington, and that George Washington read it to his men, Dec. 23rd of 1776: Well, I have no doubts or fear to take this fight with the people to the Capitol and bring in Glass-Steagall. And this is my declaration.

LAROUCHE: It's a good one. [laughter]

Q14: Yes, this time I'm in Long Island. Steinmetz and I started having the argument, [inaudible 1:07:22.6] couldn't come here. But yes, he could come here. But we have to go out and be like Roosevelt, when there is no Roosevelt. We have an anti-Roosevelt in the White House. How are we going to move so fast?

LAROUCHE: Well, it's a question of how many people have got guts? And who's got the guts to understand things and look at things honestly. Because you know the typical American is generally a liar. Now it's not that they like to tell lies, though some of them do. In fact, many of them do. But as a generality, no; the fact is, they're ignorant.

Now, the ignorance is not necessarily honest ignorance; like the member of the Congress who supported a piece of legislation, which swindles every citizen in the United States of their life savings. And it's because these members of the Congress were gutless, or worse, that that legislation was shoved through. And if we don't change that now, you're all dead, sooner or later. And it's all because of your gutlessness, by a few of you who wouldn't take action, through the Presidency and the Congress, and wouldn't present the truth in law.

So therefore, it's the liars, the cheats and liars who didn't tell the truth, about that matter of legislation: They are the guilty parties. And they are shameful, and what they need their little rumps kicked, by a big shoe, from the rear.

That's the best way: It's uplifting. The most uplifting: Kick 'em in the rear end and that's the most uplifting way you can deal with problems.

But no, that's the problem. These kinds of cowards, they're implicitly treasonous, because they knew what they did. And the other people who gave in, gave in because they were intimidated. Now you've got to have a citizenry with guts, and I don't know if we can say we have one.

ASCHER: Lyn, are you referring there to the passage of the Dodd-Frank Act and the bail-in provisions?

LAROUCHE: Absolutely, that is a genocidal policy. It's mass murder, and anybody who supported that legislation, is guilty of mass murder, criminal activity. And the only way they can do that is cancel their vote on that issue. And it was wrong, it was a crime, it shouldn't have happened.

ASCHER: And of course this is the same provision that's going into effect already in Europe and officially on Jan. 1st in Europe as well.

LAROUCHE: This is the same thing which came out of the Pope, the official Pope. The Pope was a guy who was used as a stooge, to bring this about. He's the one that did that. Now the Pope himself probably is not the author of this thing, but the Pope was the guilty party. He was the criminal in the case. Now he may be mentally – I would grant him the possibility he may be just insane, and doesn't know any better. And the effect is, that the Pope is a criminal in his behavior, a mass criminal.

And everything that this crew does, because it was a British operation, entirely a British operation, nothing else. So if you want to do something, you have to go in and take the Royal Family and give them a Royal outcome.

ASCHER: This is the Pope and the Green agenda and his

relationship to Schellnhuber.

LAROUCHE: Absolutely. But the point is, the Pope is not insane. He's just a corrupt coward, and he doesn't deserve to be called the Pope. We'll call him the Pump.

Oh, he's evil, the guy who would do this, the only excuse that he could have for the crimes that he's committed, is to say he was terrified. This Pope has got to be removed from office. But we've got to get the whole British Royal Family up there at the same time.

Q15: Hi, this is Jessica from Brooklyn, New York.

LAROUCHE: Oh good! How do you do?

Q15: OK! I was part of the fantastic, wonderful presentation of Handel's *Messiah* on Saturday and Sunday. I was particularly enthralled with the Saturday performance because it was Brooklyn. [LaRouche laughs] But the Sunday performance was a little different, but it was good, too. And it was interesting that the Saturday performance had a lot of families; the community was really rallied to come out to that church and support their church, and our singing. And the children's faces – I just remember looking at the children and seeing them watching the orchestra play, and how it was just so enlightening to them; and of course, that passed on to their parents, not the other way around.

So that's one thing I wanted to say; it was just very uplifting and like you said, there's nothing like that type of thing to make us understand that we are human beings and we have this creative power, in us, and that we can spread that idea of creativity in human beings and the worth of your life, what you're living for, to other people.

And with that said, I was also thinking about something else: There have been decisive points in history, and these decisive points have made people decide that it's all or nothing, that,

I get fearful, too; I'm listening to people on the call, and people are trying to figure out, "Should I store water? Should I take my money out of the bank, and have something on hand? Should I quickly go and buy up a bunch of gold coins, because I'll have to barter with that, when the banks crash? I have to have some gold coins on hand or some silver or something, in case the money is worthless, even if you do manage to get it out of the bank before the doors close?" That kind of thing.

And then, I thought, since this concert happened, I thought about the decisive points in history and it gets to me, where I have to decide, what kind of thing can I do, to implement my best efforts? Now there's calling Congress people; there's talking to the news stations, the TV stations, social media; there's radio, unions, there a union meeting coming for me, where I intend to bash them about Glass-Steagall and rally the members to the point of calling their congressmen – again – calling the offices; somebody's going to be there, and forcing them to come back into session and pass Glass-Steagall.

So I think we all have to think about what we can do to implement our best efforts to not fail at this. Because like these different times, there's Joan of Arc; there's the crossing of the Delaware; there's the Gettysburg battle; there's landing at Normandy in World War II; there's Iwo Jima; there's these decisive points where you cannot lose. It's not even a matter of what should I do if this happens? It's that, we *can't* lose. This is something that has to be done.

I think when Washington crossed the Delaware, he knew that this was something that they had to succeed at. And that's what I'm starting to come to, especially since this concert. So we have to implement our best effort, whatever that effort is. I'm not good at social media, and I tend to shy away from that. But I'm good at Congress, I'm good at calling them; I'm good at union meetings; I'm good at interventions, where I

call these people on the carpet. Those kinds of things I'm good at. I'm good at leafletting, I'm good at talking to people on the street. So those kinds of things are what we have to really think about.

And my question to you, Mr. LaRouche is, which one of these things do you think – or maybe two or three things – do you think we should all put our best efforts into? Is it trying to get the Congress back into session? Or are all these things, like I'm saying, something that we should do according to what we do can best as an individual?

LAROUCHE: You have to go to President Gen. George Washington. George Washington a decision, a very tough decision. He had the British agents and their accompaniment were celebrating in New Jersey. And so he moved all of his forces, and under most difficult conditions; under wet conditions and very dangerous conditions, and what he did is he wiped out the British and their complement, and that was what made the United States' existence a possibility. It was George Washington's decision, under absolutely adverse conditions, with the British and their minions, assumed that they were celebrating, and Washington moved in and took them all over: and that's how the United States was created.

Q16: This is C– from Santa Rosa, California. Lyn, in converting each Congressman or people that I try to organize, I have come out front and said, "What's really at the root is that you're afraid. Your cynicism or your pessimism is you're afraid. You're maybe directly afraid of Obama, or afraid of Big Brother or whatever." And I got some very interesting reactions off that, and I want to go into that, because what I found is, my way out, which is not a technique or anything, is that I found that referencing back to what I asked you last week is I have to develop myself, culturally, intellectually, and that's the thing that gives one strength. You have to do your reading, you have to try to understand music, which I'm still trying to struggle with understand the role of music. I

listen to it, but there's things about it I don't understand.

So I want you to talk about this thing that the fears that these Congress people, and the fears that the common people out here that we're organizing are essentially the same. So, could you take it from there?

LAROUCHE: OK, let's take the George Washington case, for example, because that's very pertinent. Washington made a move, which all his opponents at that time, said would never happen. And the fact that he did that, that he crossed the Delaware, he landed on the other shore, and took the enemy in hand before the enemy could really mobilize its own forces; if he hadn't done that, we would never have had the United States! And the same thing is what you're talking about now.

There is a point in history, a point in the current of history, at which something can happen, and a solution will come only because some people have undertaken to follow through on something that other people said "oh, that would never happen"; and that's what it is. It's just like that.

See because mankind is not just a simple human being, the idea of mankind and the individual human being is rubbish, actually, it doesn't function. Because mankind doesn't function that way. Only very rare people will function in that direction, very, very rare, and they're almost named in history against all the others of the same time. And therefore, the problem that we have to face is the fact that, do we have the ability, to recognize the opportunity which is in correspondence to what Franklin would have done, what George Washington did.

And very few people do that. Because they don't do it on the basis of being practical. They do it on the basis of knowing that mankind requires this to be accomplished, and very few people have that view. They say "well, that's not practical." I don't give a damn about what who thinks is

practical! I never did. I'd have been a fool if I ever did.

And therefore I often do things like that, you know, not just George Washington's things, but I will do that; I have don't it often, I've led the charge, often, on these kinds of things. Because it has to be done! People say "No, no, that won't work, that won't work. You can't do that, you can't do that." I say, "You're wrong. I'm going to do it." [laughs] And that's the way I operate, and that's the right way to operate: George Washington's way.

ASCHER: Well, just to reiterate, there's available on the larouchepac website, a statement "Emergency Christmas Eve Message: January 1st Is Doomsday! Only an FDR Action Can Save You." I've already announced there will be distributions of this in Manhattan tomorrow; a town meeting [with LaRouche] on Saturday. Others around the country will be getting this out widely.

Secondly, the recording of the *Messiah* performance is going to be available on the Schiller Institute website, probably tomorrow. They've been working on the audio file, but for those of you want to hear the impact this had, it will be up on the website some time soon, so stay tuned to the www.schillerinstitute.org website, particularly under the Manhattan Project link and you should be able to find that performance available

Lyn, did you have any final remarks that you wanted to conclude this evening with?

LAROUCHE: I think I want to put the whole thing into a package. Let's hope that would cumulatively make a package which would be useful for people. Let them decide themselves on that one.

ASCHER: All right, and thank you very much. And we will be next Wednesday, Dec. 30, the day before New Year's Eve. Thank you very much Lyn.

Leder, 25. december 2015: SØRG FOR, AT DER BLIVER ET NYTÅR: DUMP OBAMA OG WALL STREET!

I den sammenbrudsproces, der allerede er i gang, af hele det døde, transatlantiske finanssystem, ser vi nu time for time nye tilfælde af insolvens og stormløb for udtræk, alt imens en vifte af »officielle« regler træder i kraft den 1. januar, som vil bære atombrændsel til bålet. Om denne proces sagde Lyndon LaRouche i sidste uge, »Det, der vil ske, er, at der bliver en acceleration af krisen.« Han sagde: »Accelerationsraten – processens selv-acceleration – er sådan, at, inden for en uge, kunne det hele være udslettet. Det er en sådan situation, vi er i.«

Vi står ved et punkt, hvor det er 'do-or-die' – knald eller fald – denne Jul: enten fremtvinger vi en handling for genindførelsen af Glass-Steagall og de relaterede nødforanstaltninger, eller også får vi massehelvede og forbandelse.

Wall Street Journal præsenterede i går en oversigt af de seneste opdateringer om den finanzielle disintegration ved at opremse navnene på de hedgefonde, der er bukket under, og ved også at dække status for flere mere fremtrædende selskaber, der nominelt set stadig er aktive, men kun ved at gennemtvinge »betaling i afdrag« til de spekulantkunder, der ønsker at få deres penge. For eksempel forventes en enhed under det berygtede Carlyle Group LP, Claren Road Asset Management, pr. den 1. januar at have en sum af 1,25 mia. dollar under deres

forvaltning, hvilket er langt under de 8,5 mia. dollar, de havde for kun 15 måneder siden, før deres kunde-investorer begynde at forlange masseudbetalinger i løbet af 2015. Men eftersom Claren Road-operationen har gennemtvunget en politik med »betaling i afdrag« over seks måneder, så dækker disse 1,25 mia. dollar ved årsafslutningen ikke engang de udestående krav om afdrag for tredje og fjerde kvartal 2015. Den samme situation gør sig gældende for mange andre af Wall Streets spekulationshuse, store så vel som små fisk.

Sjoverne er bankerot! Nogle af udtrækkene og udsalgene, der finder sted, skyldes, udover et stormløb mod udgangen, forsøg på at honorere kontrakter og de sædvanlige krav om kontantreserver, men det markerer, at systemet er færdigt. Så kommer vi til 1. januar og mange nye punkter for en detonering.

I Den europæiske Union træder nye regler for bail-in i kraft på denne dato (1. jan.) efter at politikken allerede er blevet gennemført i Cypern, Italien, Portugal, Spanien og andre steder, med dræbende konsekvenser. Denne EU-forholdsregels officielle navn er Artikel 55, med titlen BRRD (Bank Recovery and Resolution Directive) ('direktivet for bank-genrejsning og bankopløsning').

I Eurasien træder Ukraines frie handelsaftale med EU i kraft den 1. jan. Samtidig var der et sammenbrud i drøftelserne den 21. dec. mellem Rusland, Ukraine og EU om, hvordan man skulle gå videre. »Ukraine er på vej ned«, bemærkede LaRouche. De kan ikke komme nogen vegne.

I Amerika er Puerto Rico på vej mod betalingsstandsning den 1. januar, med Washington, D.C., der ikke har taget skridt til nogen handling i den forbindelse.

Generelt set vil tredje del af Baselaftalerne træde i kraft den 1. januar. Det, som 2016 Basel III-reglerne gør, er, at de beordrer banker til at sælge massive mængder af dødelige bail-

in-obligationer; med andre ord, så skal banker udstede »rottegift« til bankkunder og investorer. Det går under betegnelserne »med lang løbetid« eller »absorbering af tab«, eller mere elegant, obligationer »i overensstemmelse med Basel III«.

Læg hertil Obama/Londons fremstød for krig, og konsekvenserne af at tillade dette vanvid at fortsætte en dag mere er dødbringende. LaRouche indkalder til en »mobilisering af de villige« – af dem, der er villige til at se problemet, af dem, der er villige til at handle.