

Russiagate? Alle veje fører til London!

LaRouche PAC Internationale Webcast, 9. feb., 2018.

Vært Matthew Ogden: Titlen på vores show i dag er »Russiagate? Alle veje fører til London«. Planen er virkelig blevet kompliceret i løbet af en uge til halvanden, med offentliggørelsen af Nunes-memoet fra Husets Efterretningskomite, der efterforsker Christopher Steele; og dernæst afklassificeringen af senator Grassleys brev, som henviser Christopher Steele til Justitsministeriet til efterforskning for kriminelle handlinger. Sandheden bag det, der er blevet kaldt Russiagate, er nu hastigt ved at komme i fokus. Hver eneste tråd i denne historie, når man trækker i dem og følger dem, fører dig direkte til London.

Denne Russiagate-skandale er faktisk blevet til »Londongate«; og historien om det virkelig, aftalte spil er nu ved at blive åbenlys. Der var virkelig en fremmed efterretningstjeneste, der forsøgte at intervenere, blande sig, og forme udfaldet af valget i USA. Men denne efterretningstjeneste havde sit hovedkvarter hvor? I London, på Themsens bredder ved Vauxhall Cross; lige dér, i MI6's hovedkvarter. Historien kommer nu i fokus. Det er præcis, som vi oprindeligt beskrev det i LaRouche PAC's brochure, som vi udgav i september 2017. Det eneste aftalte spil, der fandt sted, var dét mellem USA's og UK's hemmelige efterretningstjenester, i liga med DNC og Hillary Clintons kampagne. Undermineringen af vores demokratiske

valgssystem kom fra vore såkaldte nærmeste allierede – briterne; som ikke skyede noget middel for at forhindre, at deres geopolitiske verdensorden blev afsat, og der i stedet voksede en stormagtsrelation frem mellem USA, Rusland og Kina.

Lad os huske på, hvad denne brochure, som blev udgivet for seks måneder siden, sagde. Brochuren er nu i færd med at blive revideret og opdateret og vil snart udkomme i andet oplag. Men lad os se på brochuren og se, hvad den siger.

»[Præsident Trump] truede det angloamerikanske, britiske imperiesystem efter krigen ... ved at afvise evindelig krigsførelse, søge bedre relationer med Rusland, kræve gennemførelse af Glass/Steagall-bankopdeling, gå ind for det, han refererer til som det Amerikanske System for politisk økonomi og love massiv infrastrukturudvikling og en moderne varefremstillingsplatform for produktive jobs.«

»Briterne kræver skalpe, på baggrund af deres opfattelse af at være truet, der specifikt findes i ønsket om en samarbejdsrelation med Rusland og en afslutning af den 'unipolære' ramme for relationer mellem nationer.«

Den fortsætter med at sige:

»Kuppet mod Donald Trump startede faktisk i 2013-2014. Den populære forklaring på nederdrægtighederne og forbrydelserne mod præsidenten er, at Hillary Clinton og Barack Obama ansatte deres netværk, inklusive oversiddende loyalister i regeringen og efterretningssamfundet, med det formål at ændre resultatet af det amerikanske valg og at iscenesætte det igangværende kup. Denne forklaring, der primært fokuserer på begivenheder i 2016, overser, alt imens det er sandt nok i en umiddelbart national forståelse, det større billede. Som vi vil vise, så begyndte briterne at kræve Donald Trumps hoved, iflg. deres egen redegørelse, i 2015 og blandede sig og blandede sig i USA's valg og [har forsøgt at iscenesætte] et kup for at omstøde valgresultatet hver eneste dag herefter.«

Herefter sporer brochuren den relevante historie, der går helt tilbage til kinesernes annoncering af en ny, international, økonomisk orden i 2013, i form af det, de kaldte Bælte & Vej Initiativet. Som de ligeledes annoncerede, ville blive tæt koordineret med Ruslands Eurasiske Økonomiske Union i en bestræbelse på økonomisk udvikling til hele det eurasiske kontinent. Dette er præcis, hvad Lyndon og Helga LaRouche i over 20år har været fortalere for, i form af den Eurasiske Landbro, og som dernæst blev kendt som den Nye Silkevej.



Fig. 1

Denne del af verden, som Kina og Rusland nu aktivt forfølger udviklingen af; dette eurasiske område af verden er, hvad geopolitikkens fader, den britiske geopolitiks fader – Halford Mackinder – kaldte Hjertelandet. Han skrev en artikel i begyndelsen af det 20. århundrede ved navn, »Historiens geografiske omdrejningspunkt«. Den blev udgivet i 1904. Det, han sagde i denne artikel, og som gjorde ham til faderen af moderne geopolitik, det 20. århundredes britiske geopolitik, er, at Hjertelandet er det geopolitiske omdrejningspunkt for hele verden. Vi ser her hans kort [Fig. 1], og lige i centrum finder vi Eurasien med betegnelsen, »omdrejningspunkt«. Hele hans geopolitiske teori opsummeredes i denne udtalelse: »Den, der regerer over Østeuropa, hersker over Hjertelandet. Den, der regerer over Hjertelandet, hersker over verdensøen. Og den, der regerer over verdensøen, hersker over verden.«

Spørgsmålet om, hvem, der regerer over Østeuropa, handler stort set om, hvem, der regerer over Ukraine. Og da den behørigt valgte ukrainske præsident Victor Janukovitj annoncerede, at han ikke ville underskrive Memorandaet for Samarbejde med den Europæiske Union og i stedet ville opretholde sin tætte relation med økonomisk samarbejde med Rusland, var det det sidste strå. Mange af de samme personer,

vi nu ser nævnt i Grassleys og Nunes' efterforskning af Udenrigsministeriet, såsom Victoria Nuland; mange af de samme personer besluttede, at tiden for regimeskifte var kommet. Ved at aktivere et netværk af oversiddere fra højrefløj og ekstreme ukrainske nationalister, der under Anden Verdenskrig havde samarbejdet med Hitler; denne flok – Victoria Nuland og andre – iscenesatte et voldeligt kup i Ukraine; det såkaldte Maidan. De væltede den demokratiske valgte, ukrainske regering og installerede deres egen regering; Victoria Nuland er berømt for at være blevet taget på fersk gerning i at indrømme dette, på bånd.

Den, der således regerer over Østeuropa, hersker over Hjertelandet. Den, der regerer over Hjertelandet, hersker over verden. Dette er britisk geopolitik, og i årtier har den særlige, amerikansk-britiske relation været et instrument for håndhævelse af dette Mackinders synspunkt af, hvad verdensordenen bør være. Når som helst en præsident; når som helst en ledende, politisk person i USA kom og truede dette synspunkt, ville elementer i de amerikanske og britiske efterretningssamfund slå alarm og på den ene eller anden måde neutralisere denne trussel. Som LaRouche PAC's brochure dybtgående forklarer, så var det præcis, hvad der skete i kampagnen imod Lyndon LaRouche. Som brochuren forklarer, så må man forstå, at dette præcis er tilfældet med den operation, der køres imod præsident Trump. For at kunne forstå operationen imod præsident Trump, må man forstå det ud fra dette perspektiv. Fra det øjeblik, det stod klart, at Trump var en seriøs deltager i kapløbet om USA's præsidentskab, og at han helt tydeligt hældte mod at afslutte Obama-Clinton-Bush-politikken med inddæmning, begrænsning og konfrontation med Rusland og Kina og i stedet hældte mod et gensidigt fordelagtigt, økonomisk og strategisk samarbejde med disse to lande – Rusland og Kina. Og fra det øjeblik blev han mål for dette apparat.

Så vær ikke naiv og lad dig blive indfanget i det daglige

mediespin på talkshows på fjernsynet. Dette handler ikke om, hvorvidt du rent personligt støtter eller bryder dig om Donald Trump. Dette er et opgørets øjeblik i den årelange kamp for det amerikanske præsidentskabs sjæl og kampen for at frisætte, befri, USA fra dette britiske Mackinder-synspunkt om geopolitik, der har bragt os helt ud på kanten af atomkrig. I stedet bør USA fuldt og helt deltage i det Nye Paradigme, der nu er vokset frem, med at bringe økonomisk udvikling og moderne økonomisk fremskridt til enorme områder af den tidligere tilbagestående, koloniserede og underudviklede del af verden.

Som vores brochure, der blev udgivet i september 2017, for seks måneder siden, stiller spørgsmålet: »Har vore efterretningstjenester faktisk ulovligt anstiftet aktive forholdsregler for et kontraefterretningsprogram, imod en siddende præsident?« Vi ved nu, at Comey løj eller vildledte Kongressen om aflytningerne af Trump Tower. FISA-kendelserne beviser dette. Senator Grassley har spurgt FBI, hvorfor, hvis I aflyttede en nær medarbejder til præsidenten, ville I ikke advare præsidenten imod ham, som det er sædvanen? Det sande svar er, at præsidenten selv var og er målet for et hidtil uset og illegalt kupforsøg, udført af dem, der har aflagt ed på at overholde Forfatningen og nationens love.

Så nu ved I det. Siden valget, og før valget, har vi siddet fast i et meget uddybende og farligt, britisk svindelnummer; med et hasardspil om vores nations fremtid i et koldt kup imod en valgt præsident. Der er begået regulære forbrydelser; ikke af præsidenten, men mod præsidenten og Forfatningen. Det, der er sket, er, at divergerende, politiske standpunkter, ideer, er blevet gjort til noget kriminelt; den selv samme fare, som de fleste bestemmelser i vores Forfatning og dens borgerlige frihedsrettigheder (Bill of Rights) blev udtrykkeligt udarbejdet for at værne imod. Vi har fortalt jer den virkelige årsag til, at præsidenten er blevet angrebet af en fremmed magt – briterne og deres allierede i vort land.

Så igen: Denne brochure blev udgivet i september 2017; for næsten seks måneder siden. Men alt det, vi dengang hævdede, bekræftes nu som sandt af kendsgerningerne efterhånden, som de kommer ud; som med tilfældet med Nunes-momoet, Grassley-brevet og hvad vi ellers kan forvente, vil komme ud af disse efterforskninger i den nærmeste fremtid. Hvis man træder et skridt tilbage og ser på det store billede her, og ser på det ud fra dette perspektiv, er det nu uigendriveligt. Hvis man vil identificere den virkelige kilde til forbrydelserne mod vores republik og mod vort demokrati, så træk blot i tråden, og man vil finde, at alle veje fører til London.

I sin ugentlige webcast (torsdag) talte Helga Zepp-LaRouche meget direkte om dette. Jeg vil gerne afspille et kort klip for jer fra dette webcast af Helga Zepp-LaRouche, hvor hun identificerer netop dette aspekt; at alle tråde i denne sag, hvis man følger dem hele vejen, viser, hvorfra den virkelige kriminalitet kommer. Her kommer Helgas klip:

Helga Zepp-LaRouche: Planen bliver mere kompliceret, som man siger. Historien er faktisk helt utrolig, og jeg er stolt over at have skrevet en artikel helt i begyndelsen af denne affære, hvor jeg sagde, at der er et aftalt spil med briterne, og ikke med russerne – og det er præcis det, der nu kommer frem og er ved at blive et offentligt spørgsmål. Jeg vil begynde med sagen mod Steele, som det var meningen, skulle for retten i Højesteret i London, hvor Steele skulle møde frem, men i sidste øjeblik blev repræsenteret af sin advokat; argumentet var, at dette kunne berøre britiske nationale sikkerhedsinteresser. Og minsandten, om ikke en repræsentant fra Udenrigsministeriet også var til stede med deres advokater, og de kom med den samme erklæring.

Så den britiske regerings, britisk efterretnings rolle er nu et spørgsmål, og det står helt klart, at Christopher Steele ikke var en eller anden tilfældig, tidligere MI6-agent, men at han derimod virkelig var en agent for ikke alene briterne, men også for FBI. Denne pointe er kommet frem i en meget

interessant artikel på Pat Langs weblog, »Sic Semper Tyrannis«. En fast, respekteret bidrager til denne blog, som udlægger på bloggen under pseudonymet »Publius Tacitus«, spørger i sin overskrift, »Forsøgte britisk efterretning at ødelægge Trumps præsidentskab?«, hvilket er præcis, hvad vi har for os.[1]

Værten for denne blog, Pat Lang – for folk, der ikke kender ham; han er en pensioneret, højtrespekteret efterretningsmand i USA, og slet ikke en eller anden russer eller en anden kilde, der kunne være tvivlsom i denne sammenhæng – han arbejdede i lang tid for Forsvarets Efterretningstjeneste (DIA) – og han er højt respekteret.

Hvorom alting er, så peger »Publius Tacitus« på den kendsgerning, at de nye memoer, der er kommet frem fra senatorerne Grassley og Graham, og fra Senatskomiteen for Homeland Security og Regeringsanliggender, som alle bekræfter det, der står i Nunes [Husets Efterretningskomite]-memorandaet. Og der er virkelig kommet mange nye aspekter frem. De indikerer, at Comey måske løj under ed, for, da han holdt den berømte pressekonference, der frikendte Hillary Clinton, påstod han, at han ikke havde koordineret dette med nogen andre. Dette står imidlertid i skarp kontrast til nogle flere beskeder, som blev udvekslet mellem Peter Strzok og Lisa Page, to FBI-ansatte, der var involveret i både Hillary Clintons e-mail-affære og ligeledes i Russiagate. I disse beskeder indikerede de, at Hillary vidste, der ikke ville komme nogen anklager mod hende. Der er behov for yderligere efterforskning herom.

Der er desuden fremkommet et andet, meget ildevarslende resultat, og det er en anden udveksling af tekstbeskeder mellem de to, hvor de den 2. sep. 2016 siger, at »POTUS«, dvs. 'President of the United States', nemlig Obama, ønskede at vide alt, de foretager sig. Hvad refererer dette »alt« til? Det refererer enten til efterforskningen af Hillary Clinton, eller også til Russiagate, og sidstnævnte ville betyde, at

Obama nu er direkte forbundet med Russiagate og ikke kun indirekte via betalingen til Fusion GPS og Steele, hvor Obama-administrationen også betalte, sammen med DNC og Hillary Clintons kampagne.

Dette er alt sammen ekstremt, ekstremt varmt, og vi har nu alle disse Senats- og Kongreshøringer og komiteer, der efterforsker det. Kongresmedlem Nunes, der havde offentliggjort dette memo – eller rettere, præsident Trump havde godkendt at få det afklassificeret og offentliggjort sidste fredag – han sagde, dette er kun »Fase 1«. Der kommer flere faser, og de vil blandt andet omfatte Udenrigsministeriet, hvilket selvfølgelig også involverer Victoria Nuland, hvis navn nu er dukket op. Der har ligeledes, omkring et andet spørgsmål, været mange udvekslinger mellem Christopher Steele og Victoria Nuland med hensyn til kuppet i Ukraine, det berømte Maidan-kup i februar 2014.

Dette er alt sammen meget interessant, meget 'varmt'. Russiagate er praktisk taget en død sild, men det, der nu i stedet er på bordet, er den britiske regerings, britisk efterretnings indblanding i valget i USA, der forsøgte at sabotere Trumps sejr, først, og da han alligevel vandt, da at ødelægge Trumps præsidentskab ved hjælp af en totalt opdigtet anklage. Det er nu kommet offentligt frem, og det er stort! Jeg kan, selv om dette er foregået i nogen tid, stadig kun være totalt chokeret og overrasket over, hvordan de gængse vestlige medier lykkedes med ikke at dække dette, som tydeligvis er ved at nå dimensioner, der går langt, langt videre end Watergate.

(Her følger engelsk udskrift af resten af webcastet. Hele Zepp-LaRouches webcast fra torsdag kan læses på dansk her: <http://schillerinstitut.dk/si/?p=23759>)

OGDEN: So, worse than Watergate, in Helga LaRouche's words.

As Helga mentioned in her remarks there, earlier this week, there was a very significant article which was published on the blog "Sic Semper Tyrannis" by Pat Lang, who is former Defense Intelligence, a very high level, very connected person. The article is titled, "Did British Intelligence Try to Destroy the Trump Presidency?" Let me read you few excerpts from Pat Lang's article. He says:

"Last night's release of the memo by Senator's Grassley and Graham asking the Department of Justice to open a criminal investigation of Christopher Steele for possible violations of 18 U.S.C. Â§ 1001 provides critical confirmation of charges presented in the HPSCI memo prepared under the leadership of Devin Nunes, but it also confirms that Christopher Steele was not just some random guy offering good gossip to the FBI. He was an official intelligence asset. He was, in John LeCarre's parlance, our 'Joe.' At least we thought so. But, there is growing circumstantial evidence that Steele was acting on behalf of Britain's version of the CIA—aka MI-6. If true, we are now faced with actual evidence of a foreign country trying to meddle in a direct and significant way in our national election. Only it was not the Russians. It was our British cousins".

"[T]wo developments in the last two days suggest that British intelligence officials, at least some key officials, were witting of Steele's activities in gathering information for the FBI.

“First, Steele is resisting efforts to face a deposition in a lawsuit over his infamous dossier. Steele’s lawyers argued in a court in London this week that a deposition would endanger the former spy’s dossier sources as well as harm U.K. national security interests. If the Judge buys this claim then we will not have to speculate anymore about whether or not Steele was acting on his own or had a ‘wink-and-a-nod’ from his MI-6 bosses. “Second, in my mind more telling, were the comments made this week by former MI-6 Chief, Richard Dearlove, on behalf of his former protege: “Among those who have continued to seek his expertise is Steele’s former boss Richard Dearlove, who headed MI-6 from 1999 to 2004. In an interview, Dearlove said Steele became the ‘go-to person on Russia in the commercial sector’ following his retirement from the Secret Intelligence Service. He described the reputations of Steele and his business partner, fellow intelligence veteran Christopher Burrows, as ‘superb.’ But we do not have to rely solely on Dearlove’s glowing remarks about Steele. There is other information indicating that the Brits played a substantial, if not leading, role in spying on Trump and building the Russian meddling meme. The *Guardian* reported in April 2017 that: “|’Britain’s spy agencies played a crucial role in alerting their counterparts in Washington to contacts between members of Donald Trump’s campaign team and Russian intelligence operatives, the {Guardian} has been told. “|’GCHQ first became aware in late 2015 of suspicious

“interactions” between figures connected to Trump and known or suspected Russian agents, a source close to UK intelligence said.

This intelligence was passed to the US as part of a routine exchange of information, they added.

“|’Over the next six months, until summer 2016, a number of western agencies shared further information on contacts between

Trump’s inner circle and Russians, sources said.’

“So much for our special relationship. As the evidence of British intelligence meddling in the U.S. election piles up, it

will create some strains in our bi-lateral ties. It has the potential to harm cooperation on military, law enforcement, and

intelligence fronts. I suspect there is some scrambling going on

behind the scenes to come up with a strategy to contain the damage while rooting out the sedition. Stay tuned.”

Now, speaking of Richard Dearlove, the former head of MI-6 and his relationship to Christopher Steele, there is a very significant article which was published this week in the *Washington Post*. And that article is published under the title, “Hero, or Hired Gun? How a British Former Spy Became a Flashpoint in the Russia Investigation”. And under the subtitle

“He’s the Spy”, the article lays out Steele’s pedigree as a very

high-level British intelligence operative, and his extremely close relationship with Richard Dearlove, the former head of MI-6. So, here’s what the article says:

“Steele had all the right credentials for the job.

“He was steeped in Russia early on after being recruited to Britain’s elite spy service from the University of Cambridge. He

spent two decades working for the MI6 spy agency, including a stint in his mid-20s in Moscow, where he served undercover in

the

British Embassy.

“When he returned to work for the agency in London, he provided briefing materials on Russia for senior government officials and led the British inquiry into the mysterious 2006 death in London of Alexander Litvinenko, a former KGB official and Putin critic.

“In 2009, after more than two decades in public service, Steele turned to the private sector and founded a London-based consulting firm, Orbis Business Intelligence, drawing on the reputation and network he developed doing intelligence work.

“Among those who have continued to seek his expertise is Steele’s former boss Richard Dearlove, who headed MI6 from 1999

to 2004.

“In an interview, Dearlove said Steele became the ‘go-to person on Russia in the commercial sector’ following his retirement from the Secret Intelligence Service. He described the

reputations of Steele and his business partner, fellow intelligence veteran Christopher Burrows, as ‘superb.’

“In the early fall, he and Burrows turned to Dearlove, their former MI6 boss, for advice. Sitting in winged chairs at the Garrick Club, one of London’s most venerable private establishments, under oil paintings of famed British playwrights,

the two men shared their worries about what was happening in the

United States. They asked for his guidance about how to handle their obligations to their client and the public, Dearlove recalled.

“Dearlove said their situation reminded him of a predicament he had faced years earlier, when he was chief of station for British intelligence in Washington and alerted U.S. authorities

to British information that a vice presidential hopeful had

once

been in communication with the Kremlin.

“He said he advised Steele and Burrows to work discreetly with a top British government official to pass along information to the FBI.”

Now, that entire story sounds very much like a scene directly out of a John LeCarre novel, if you ask me. But this character, Richard Dearlove, is somebody of whom Helga Zepp-LaRouche asks “What is his pedigree, and what is he famous

for when it comes to dodgy dossiers?” in that webcast that she delivered yesterday. So, here’s what Helga LaRouche had to say

about Richard Dearlove:

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE: The fact that Richard Dearlove, the former head of MI6, absolutely defended the reputation of Steele, is very interesting in this respect, because who is this Dearlove? He is the infamous author of the famous dossier which led to the attack on Iraq in the Second Gulf

War, supposedly because Saddam Hussein was in the possession of

weapons of mass destruction, which we know was a blatant lie, which led Colin Powell to make this infamous speech in the United

Nations in February 2003, which he later characterized as the biggest mistake of his life, because it led to the intervention,

including the United States, in the war against Saddam Hussein.

That is something which eventually must also be tried. And I know

that Ramsey Clark tried to make that an issue before the international legal authorities.

So, this is not just the attempt of a coup against the

United States, but this is a paradigm of policies which have led to the present condition in the world, including the destruction of much of Southwest Asia, including the refugee crisis. So these are not small things, and I think it is high time that this whole paradigm should come out in the open and is being replaced by a completely different policy.

So, I think the stakes here are extremely high, and I think people should really rethink everything and look at the material which is coming out, because it is an unbelievable scandal.

[T]he dossier which was published by LaRouche PAC, written by Barbara Boyd. This was written half a year ago, but if you read this dossier now, it is incredible, how absolutely on the mark this dossier was, concerning the role of British intelligence. So I think the circulation of this dossier is something which everybody can do very easily. Get it in the social media, get it in the alternative blogs, get it into any newspaper, which has the honesty to follow events in a truthful

way. And right now, things are coming out in the open. There were articles by Ray McGovern, by William Binney, Pat Lang, by Russia

Today, – naturally, they pick up on the fact that Russiagate is now completely falling apart. So I think the more people can do, to get the public attention on what is going on in this absolutely gigantic fight in the United States, the better; because some of these spooks shy away from daylight, and the more the Sun is shining on them, all the better.

OGDEN: So again, this pamphlet that was put out by LaRouche PAC six months ago, this was a very prescient and very insightful

pamphlet. I guarantee you it has served a major role in informing the threat of the investigations for the people who are

serious about getting at the truth of this. We've witnessed Russiagate transformed into Steelegate, and Steelegate means Londongate. All threads, if you follow them and pull them, will

lead you back to London. This pamphlet is being updated as we speak, and it will be going into a second [sic] printing very soon, and you can expect that this will continue to have a very significant impact.

I just want to, in conclusion, recommend that our viewers, in understanding the context as I went through it earlier, and as

that pamphlet elaborates it very clearly, the context of this entire thing is the fight over the soul of the US Presidency and

the future of US policy on the world stage. We've witnessed decades and administration upon administration of this so-called

US-UK special relationship; which has merely perpetuated this Mackinder geopolitics on the entire planet. It has brought us to

the point of confrontation which could threaten thermonuclear war. This has become all too real. The fight over the paradigm

– will we remain the satrapy of this British geopolitical world

order, or will we break from that? Will we be liberated from that? Will we embrace the New Paradigm which is now sweeping the

planet? That is the question which is at stake here, and the stakes could not be higher.

For that reason, I want to strongly encourage all of our viewers to return here to larouchepac.com tomorrow, February 10th

at 12noon. That's 12noon eastern time. We will be treated by a

live address by Helga Zepp-LaRouche, who be delivering the inaugural class in the LaRouche PAC 2018 online class series. That class series, as you can see here on the screen, is titled

"The End of Geopolitics. What Is the Global New Paradigm?"

It

will be hosted at the url, which is on the top of the screen there

– <http://discover.larouchepac.com>. This will be a 12-week class

series, which will follow up on the very successful class series

which we hosted here on larouchepac.com last year during 2017 on

LaRouche's economic discoveries. The invitation to this year's

class series is available there on

<http://discover.larouchepac.com>. Let me just read to you from the invitation:

"The American people are faced with a historic choice: join China's revolutionary New Silk Road program and secure a new paradigm of win-win global development, or continue the suicidal

geopolitical policies of Obama and Bush, guaranteeing confrontation with Russia and China and threatening world war.

While President Trump is inclined to move in the direction of cooperation with China and Russia, he is being threatened with a

palace coup by those desperately clinging to the old geopolitical

view of unchallenged Anglo-American global dominance.

"You can play a role in this decisive point in history. Help

secure the New Paradigm.

“LaRouche PAC is launching a new class series, “What is the New Paradigm?” to prepare you to lead the population at this critical time. 2018 must be the year we end geopolitics.”

Then, it lists what these classes will cover:

“Introduction: What is the New Paradigm?” This is Helga LaRouche’s address tomorrow.

“What is Geopolitics, Part I – History

“What is Geopolitics, Part II – Philosophy

“Culture – Beauty & Freedom vs. the CCF [Congress for Cultural Freedom]

“Confucian and Western Philosophy

“Science: Man’s Relation to the Universe

“Wrap-up and Mobilization – End Geopolitics”

So, the invitation invites you to register now for access to the syllabus, to the homework, to the reading assignments, and to

the special live discussion sessions which will be available only

for registered participants. Registration is now open at <http://discover.larouchepac.com>. Questions can be emailed to classes@larouchepac.com.

We strongly encourage you to register now for this class series, to become an active participant in this class series; to

build class hosting sessions in your location wherever you are in

the United States or even abroad, to build a group of people who

will participate in these classes on a weekly basis with you.

You can host it at your house, or at the local library, or on your college campus. And create a national mobilization of

participants around this series of classes so that we have the cadre of people who are educated and who understand this global

context for the ongoing fight that we now find ourselves in here

in the United States.

So again, tomorrow at 12noon, Helga LaRouche will be addressing this class series live. This will be the inaugural address, and we encourage you to register now for the entire class series for 2018. That brings a conclusion to our webcast

today. But I think if you reflect on the theme here – Russiagate has now become Londongate; all roads lead to London.

Let me put the graphic of our title right back on the screen here

one more time, and you'll see the image there of the MI-6 headquarters. This is where all roads lead; pull the threads and

you'll discover the truth about who really colluded with US elections in 2016, and is continuing to meddle with our political system.

Thank you very much for joining me here at larouchepac.com and please stay tuned.

[1]

http://turcopolier.typepad.com/sic_semper_tyrannis/2018/02/british-intelligence-tried-to-destroy-the-trump-presidency.html

Helga Zepp-LaRouche: Lad os konsolidere det Nye Paradigme, Nu, hvor

Det britiske Imperies kup mod Trump er afsløret. pdf og video

Derfor er det så meget desto mere vigtigt, at den eneste løsning på denne finanskriser, nemlig gennemførelsen af Glass/Steagall-bankopdelingen og de Fire Love, min mand, Lyndon LaRouche, har udarbejdet; at de nu kommer frem på bordet, og at der kommer et krav fra befolkningerne i alle landene om, at deres regeringer responderer til Xi Jinpings tilbud om at samarbejde med den Nye Silkevej. Europa, Tyskland, Italien, Frankrig, USA; de har alle et presserende behov for en forbedring og modernisering af infrastruktur.

Download (PDF, Unknown)

Britisk efterretning nu afsløret som anfører af

kuppet imod Trump; Vi kan overvinde dem

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 7. feb., 2018 – Brochuren fra LaRouche PAC Action Committee, der afslører historien bag Robert Mueller, den »umoralske, juridiske lejemorder«, som er deployeret for at fjerne Donald Trump fra præsidentskabet, har nu cirkuleret i seks måneder og haft en enorm indvirkning.

Med dele af »Få ram på Trump«-specialstyrken, der nu er totalt miskrediteret, er det muligt at gå efter selve uhyrets hoved – britisk efterretning og britisk geopolitik, som Trump truer med at vælte.

Brochuren erklærer dristigt lige fra begyndelsen, at Mueller og hele fremstødet for at stoppe Trump *siden 2015*, kom fra britisk efterretning og det britiske »imperieoligarki«.

I de seneste 48 timer er det, af det Britiske Udenrigsministerium i en sag for retten i London, og ligeledes af Washington Post i en lang artikel, blevet afsløret, at ikke kun »tidligere« MI6-agent Christopher Steeles dossier, men derimod mange britiske efterretningsagenter er involveret – som f.eks. i Udenrigsministeriet – og fra toppen er deployeret til at få ram på Trump. Og hvem deployerer dem fra toppen? »Tidligere« chef for MI6, sir Richard Dearlove. Samme Dearlove, der kommissionerede sit eget, berygtede »dossier« for Tony Blair, som »beviste«, at Saddam Hussein havde atomvåben og kemiske våben!

Dette dossier vanærede udenrigsminister Colin Powell og lancerede den katastrofale Cheney-Bush-invasion af Irak 2003-2011. Den nuværende, britiske kampagne havde, gennem at bruge »Steele-dossieret«, til formål at diktere USA, at det ikke havde lov at have en præsident, der ønsker samarbejdsrelationer med Rusland eller Kina.

Det var britiske efterretningstjenester, der blandede sig i vore valg i 2016. Londons MI6, den hemmelige efterretningstjeneste, kolporterede britisk skidt om Trump og Rusland gennem Obamas efterretningsfolk og Clinton-kampagnen, med det formål at ødelægge Trumps kampagne, og hans præsidentskab.

Rusland og USA har været reelle eller potentielle allierede i århundreder, gående helt tilbage til det væbnede neutralitetsforbund (First League of Armed Neutrality), der var med til at vinde vores Revolutionskrig, og til den russiske flådes indgriben mod britisk støtte til slavemagten i vores Borgerkrig, frem til det amerikansk-russiske samarbejde mod Hitler, som Sir Winston Churchill arbejdede på at ødelægge.

Det samme er sandt om USA's relationer med Kina, under Anden Verdenskrig og tidligere. Nu inviterer Kina USA til at gå med i forlængelsen af Bælte & Vej Initiativet, et projekt for økonomisk genopbygning og udslettelse af fattigdom i langt større skala end Marshallplanen.

Vi har brug for internationale aftaler for at bygge den mest afgørende, nye infrastruktur på verdensplan og brug for at acceptere Kinas lederskab i dets Bælte & Vej Initiativ. Selve Amerika har enorme underskud med hensyn til ny, økonomisk infrastruktur og må skabe en national (statslig) kreditinstitution for at deltage; en ny Reconstruction Finance Corporation i Roosevelts tradition, eller en nationalbank i Hamiltons tradition.

Vi må have en koordineret genindførelse af Glass/Steagall-bankopdeling i hele USA og Europa, før City of London og Wall Street bringer vore økonomier ind i et nyt, denne gang langt værre, krak.

USA ville ikke præstere disse ting, hvis det gav lov til, at en præsident med overlæg blev fjernet for at være i

overensstemmelse med den britiske, geopolitiske doktrin for krige for regimeskifte og konfrontation mellem stormagter.

De memoer, der nu er kommet frem fra Husets Efterretningskomite og Senatets Justitskomite, har sprængt Steele-dossieret vidt åbent, med samt dets anvendelse imod præsident Trump. De, der er blevet afsløret af disse memoer, er ret utilfredse og vil forsøge at genvinde fremstødet for at fjerne præsidenten, med mindre de besejres.

Foto: Sir Richard Billing Dearlove, KCMG (født 23. jan., 1945) var chef for den Britiske Hemmelige Efterretningstjeneste (MI6) fra 1999 og til 6. maj, 2004. (Domusrulez / Wikimedia)

Briterne har bekendt kulør

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 6. feb., 2018 – Mandag intervenserede det britiske Udenrigsministerium i en høring i Højesteret i London i sagen om tidligere MI6-medarbejder, Christopher Steele. Steeles advokat forsøger at omstøde en tidligere retskendelse om, at Steele skal fremstille sig til afhøring i forbindelse med en af de mange løgne i det nu berømte dossier, han udarbejdede med det formål at bringe USA's præsident til fald. Sagen er blevet anlagt af en russisk borger, Aleksej Gubarev, hvem Steele løgnagtigt har anklaget for at have hacket ind i det amerikanske Demokratiske Partis computere (hvilket, som tidligere tekniske ekspert i NSA, Bill Binney, har vist, aldrig fandt sted). Detaljerne kan læses nedenstående – men det afgørende er, at Udenrigsministeriet, der fører tilsyn med MI6, sendte en advokat til retten »for det tilfældes skyld, at regeringen fandt det nødvendigt at kræve, at Steeles vidneforklaring blev begrænset, for at beskytte statshemmeligheder«, som det rapporteredes af BBC.

Hvorfor er briterne så interesseret i at forhindre sandheden om Steeles rolle, og briternes rolle generelt, i kupforsøget mod præsident Trump, i at komme frem? Kendsgerningen er, at hele »Russiagate«-sagen mod Trump er ved at nedsmelte, alt imens senator Chuck Grassley og kongresmedlem David Nunes har fokuseret på den primære rolle, som den britiske efterretningsagent Steele har spillet som den kriminelle hovedmedsammensvorne. Briternes desperate bestræbelse for at genvinde kontrollen over deres tidligere koloni, er i alvorlig fare.

Og dette er ikke en isoleret udvikling. Verden er gået ind i en kombineret krise, som ikke kan opretholdes i ret mange måneder, eller endda dage. Finanssystemet er oppustet og står ikke til at redde, med udstedelse af fiktiv gæld for at dække over spekulationsboblen med endnu flere spekulative 'værdi'-papirer. De krav, der kommer fra Wall Streets og City of Londons herrer, om, at verdens nationer skal acceptere nedskæringspolitikker og følge IMF's diktater, finder nu kun døve øren, med næsten hele verdens udviklingssektor, der nu tilslutter sig det nye paradigme, som Kina tilbyder dem gennem den Nye Silkevej, og som bringer jernbaner, vandprojekter, industri og – håb – til verdens tidligere kolonisationer.

Og i USA har præsident Trump forsvaret sig mod de korrupte efterretningschefer fra Obamatiden, fordømt denne heksejagt og endda inviteret de russiske efterretningschefer til Washington (til regimeskifte-slængets absolutte rædsel), med det formål at samarbejde om at løse de vigtige spørgsmål i reelle, globale problemer.

Dette er et gunstigt øjeblik for præsidenten. I løbet af de seneste to uger har han ikke alene arrangeret de russiske efterretningscheferes besøg, på trods af de sanktioner, som Kongressen har påtvunget dem, men han har også afvist Kongressens krav om nye sanktioner mod Rusland. Han godkendte offentliggørelsen af »Nunes-memoet«, der afslører de forbrydelser, der er begået af Steele og oversidderne fra

Obama-tiden i FBI og Justitsministeriet. Han holdt en State of the Union-tale, der fik 70 % eller mere støtte fra det amerikanske folk, netop, fordi han har inspireret til håb om en genrejsning af den forfaldne, amerikanske økonomi, en afslutning af epidemien med opiater og andre narkotiske stoffer, samt en genrejsning af Amerikas tidligere storhed.

Præsident Trump befinder sig således i en relativ favorabel situation til at konfrontere det overhængende kollaps af finansboblen i aktie- og lånemarkederne. Der findes kun én måde, hvorpå de vestlige økonomier kan reddes fra dette uundgåelige sammenbrud – en proces, der har sit fortilfælde i de politikker, som Franklin D. Roosevelt gennemførte i 1930'erne, og som reddede USA fra depressionen og verden fra fascisme. De fiktive kapitaler må fjernes gennem en Glass/Steagall-reform af banksystemet således, at regeringen kan bruge den magt, den er givet af USA's Forfatning, til at etablere en nationalbank, som kan udstede kredit, der dirigeres ind i realøkonomien og igangsætte en proces for massiv videnskabelig forskning og udvikling, for at udforme en optimistisk fremtid for menneskeheden.

Det er dette, LaRouche har kaldt de Fire Love. Resolutioner til støtte for de Fire Love bliver nu introduceret i de lovgivende grene (delstatskongresser) over hele landet. Præsident Trump, der tidligere officielt har krævet en tilbagevenden til en politik for dette »Amerikanske Økonomiske System« i Hamitons tradition, befinder sig nu i en position, hvor han kan gennemføre det, til trods for den kendsgerning, at han er omgivet af agenter for Wall Street, som er modstandere af det, og som kræver nationens underkastelse under den værdiløse spekulationsgæld selv, hvis det betyder økonomisk kaos og global krig. Den aktuelle ustabilitet på aktiemarkedet er blot en antydning af, at et krak i denne boble er overhængende.

Men, hvis gode mennesker i USA og Europa mobiliserer sig selv, og andre, til at gå sammen med LaRouche for at gennemføre de

Fire Love og gå med i den Nye Silkevej, som LaRouche har været fortaler for og har promoveret i de seneste halvtreds år, så er et Nyt Paradigme for Menneskeheden inden for rækkevidde.

Foto: SIS-bygningen, eller MI6-bygningen, eller 'Legoland', eller 'Babylon-ved-Themsen'; den britiske hemmelige efterretningstjenestes hovedkvarter ved Vauxhall Cross på Themsens sydlige bred. Designet af Terry Farrell og færdigbygget i 1994. (Photo flickr.com/photos/duncanhl/)

Londons udenrigsministerium truer med at forhindre afhøring af Steele for at 'beskytte statshemmeligheder'

6. feb., 2018 – Det britiske Udenrigsministerium sendte mandag en advokat af sted til Londons Højesteret, hvor den »tidligere« MI6-efterretningsgent Christopher Steeles advokat, Gavin Miller, procederede for en omstødelse af rettens afgørelse fra november om, at Steele skal fremstille sig til afhøring i de sager, der er anlagt imod ham og BuzzFeed af russeren Aleksej Gubarev. I Steeles dossier er Gubarev blevet anklaget for at hacke Demokraterne, angiveligt på vegne af Kreml.

Advokat Miller har fremført, at afhøringen potentielt set kunne »kræve en afsløring af følsom efterretningsinformation, som ville udgøre en fare for Det forenede Kongeriges sikkerhedsinteresser og personel«.

Men det er ikke alene Steeles advokat. Det Britiske Udenrigsministerium tager tydeligvis ingen chancer med hensyn til, at hele det britiske kupforsøg – for at genvinde magten over deres tabte koloni hinsides Atlanten – ville blive ødelagt af en sådan afsløring af Steeles rolle. Reuters rapporterer i dag: »Miller sagde, at en advokat fra det Britiske Udenrigsministerium, der fører tilsyn med Storbritanniens udenrigs-efterretningstjeneste, hvor Steele arbejdede frem til 2009, var til stede under mandagens afhøring, for det tilfældes skyld, at regeringen skulle finde det påkrævet at beskytte statshemmeligheder. Regeringens advokat sagde imidlertid, at han ikke ville rejse nogen specifikke indvendinger på det nuværende trin af afhøringen.«

Sidste november kendte en britisk domstol, at Steele skulle underkaste sig en afhøring. Miller sagde mandag til Højesteret, at »sagen vær næsten unik« på grund af den enorme indvirkning, som Steeles dossier havde haft på amerikansk politik, rapporterer Reuters. Han sagde, at påbuddet om afhøring ville blive en »officiel mini-efterforskning« og en »opportunistisk fiskeudflugt«, som kunne udgøre en forhøjet fare for Steeles kilder. »Det er ekstremt bekymrende for en person i hr. Steeles position.«

Indsigelserne på vegne af Steele fremfører, at »kendelsen sandsynligvis vil kræve, at hr. Steele besvarer spørgsmål, hvor hans svar ville ... kræve afsløring af følsom efterretningsinformation, som ville udgøre en fare for Det forenede Kongeriges sikkerhedsinteresser og personel«.

Gubarevs advokater har allerede indgået aftale om at begrænse spørgsmål om Steeles baggrund og de 3 linjer i dossieret, der relaterer til deres klient, »tre meget diskrete emner«.

Det står ikke klart, hvornår en afgørelse vil falde, men det er sikkert, at Dronningen og hendes undersætter følger nøje med og er parat til at angribe.

Foto: To centrale personer i »Russiagate«-kupforsøget mod præsident Trump; den britiske 'tidligere' MI6-agent, Christopher Steele (venstre), der udarbejdede det uvederhæftige dossier om Donald Trump, og den amerikanske, særlige anklager, Robert Mueller, der står i spidsen for den korrupte sammensværgelse mod præsident Trump på vegne af Det britiske Imperium og dets neokonservative allierede i USA, med det formål at gennemføre et regimeskifte i USA og gennemføre den farlige linje for konfrontation med især Rusland, men også Kina, og som bringer hele verden på randen af en ny, denne gang atomar, verdenskrig.

Den virkelige betydning af infrastruktur: Fysisk-økonomiske platforme.

Undervisningsserie i økonomi 2017, Lektion 7; pdf og video

Vi vil se på hr. LaRouches omdefinering af, hvad infrastruktur virkelig betyder. Dette er afgørende på det aktuelle tidspunkt, for infrastruktur er blevet et meget populært spørgsmål, på både gode og dårlige måder. Så det er meget vigtigt, at vi behandler dette nu; på det globale plan har vi Kina, der fører an med Bælte & Vej Initiativet – vi ser her hovedkorridorerne i Bælte & Vej, der viser den massive udvidelse af infrastruktur i hele Asien og ind i Afrika, og som begynder at sprede sig til hele verden, under Kinas anførelse [Fig. 1]. Så infrastruktur er altså kommet til live igen, der er en infrastrukturenæssance på en meget god måde.

Infrastruktur er også et varmt emne for diskussion i USA, men noget af det finder desværre ikke altid sted på højeste niveau. På den gode side har vi præsident Trump, der har rejst spørgsmålet om nødvendigheden af at genopbygge USA's infrastruktur. Det er mange mennesker enige med ham i. Han har fremsat ideen om en investering på \$1 billion i ny infrastruktur. Men selve ordet 'infrastruktur' er også blot blevet en del af mange andre folks narrativ, fortælling, og det er blot et ord, der ikke rigtig har den hensigtsmæssige betydning i den måde, ordet bruges på af mange mennesker. For et par år siden lykkedes det Arnold Schwarzenegger mere eller mindre at udtale ordet i en af sine taler, og selv han taler om, I ved, denne mand, der beundrer Hitler og de degenererede 'greenies' (miljøaktivister) og endda taler om betydningen af at genopbygge infrastruktur. Men det er blot et slagord, der ikke har den samme betydning, som det bør have i en fysisk-økonomisk betydning.

Så vi vil behandle hr. LaRouches omdefinering og højere forståelse af, hvad infrastruktur rent faktisk betyder. Han definerede denne nye betegnelse med den fysisk-økonomiske platform, som bliver emnet for vores diskussion i dag.

Download (PDF, Unknown)

Afslutningen af geopolitik: Hvad er det nye, globale paradigme?

Ny video-undervisningsserie fra LaRouche PAC, 10. feb. 2018

Lørdag, den 10. februar kl. 18 dansk tid vil Helga Zepp-LaRouche indlede den nye video-undervisningsserie med ovenstående titel. Serien fortsætter frem til 28. april.

Registrer for at deltage i denne online-undervisningsserie, inkl. diskussionsklasser, der kræver særskilt indtegning.

Det amerikanske folk konfronteres med et historisk valg: Gå med i Kinas revolutionerende Nye Silkevejsprogram og sikr et nyt paradigme med global win-win-udvikling, eller fortsæt Obamas og Bush' selvmorderiske, geopolitiske politikker, der vil garantere konfrontation med Rusland og Kina og truer med verdenskrig. Alt imens præsident Trump hælder mod at gå i retning af samarbejde med Kina og Rusland, trues han af et paladskup af dem, der desperat klamrer sig til det gamle, geopolitiske standpunkt med uantastet, angloamerikansk, global dominans.

Du kan spille en rolle på dette afgørende tidspunkt i historien. Vær med til at sikre det Nye Paradigme.

LaRouche PAC lancerer en ny undervisningsserie, »Hvad er det Nye Paradigme?« for at forberede dig til at lede befolkningen på dette afgørende tidspunkt. Året 2018 må være det år, hvor vi afslutter geopolitik.

Program:

2/10/18 – **Introduction: What is the New Paradigm?**

2/17/18 – **What is Geopolitics, Part I–History**

2/24/18 – *Follow up discussion, registered participants only*

3/3/18 – **What is Geopolitics, Part II–Philosophy**

3/10/18 – *Follow up discussion, registered participants only*

3/17/18 – **Culture–Beauty & Freedom vs. the CCF**

3/24/18 – *Follow up discussion, registered participants only*

3/31/18 – **Confucian and Western Philosophy**

4/7/18 – *Follow up discussion, registered participants only*

4/14/18 – **Science: Man's Relation to the Universe**

4/21/18 – *Follow up discussion, registered participants only*

4/28/18 – **Wrap-up and Mobilization–End Geopolitics**

Du skal indtegne dig for at modtage pensum, hjemmeopgaver, forberedende læsestof, samt særskilt indtegning, hvis du ønsker at deltage i livediskussionerne, kun for tilmeldte deltagere.

Tilmelding kan ske på LaRouchePAC's hjemmeside, her:

http://discover.larouchepac.com/2018_new_paradigm_signup

Du kan sende spørgsmål til lektionerne via e-mail her:
classes@larouchepac.com

**'Grassley-memoet' afslører
mere om anti-
Trump-kuppet; Det, der står
på spil, er enten**

krig eller udvikling under det Nye Paradigme

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 5. feb., 2017 – Her til morgen kom endnu flere skadelige afsløringer frem om kupforsøget mod det amerikanske præsidentskab, fra offentliggørelsen af det otte sider lange memorandum af senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), formand for Senatets Justitskomite, der fører tilsyn med FBI. Endskønt det er stærkt redigeret, rapporterer dette dokument, som dækker præsidentvalgperioden i 2016, at Clinton-kampagnen 'shoppede' anti-Trump-påstande fra en ukendt, udenlandsk kilde, som gik til Obamas Udenrigsministerium, hvorfra disse påstande dernæst blev shoppet videre til »tidligere« britiske spion, Christopher Steele, som så overgav dem til medierne og FBI. Steele udarbejdede et dossier, dateret 19. okt., 2016 – en anden dato end det kendte, »uvederhæftige dossier« – og som hidtil ikke er blevet offentliggjort.

Grassleys memo blev oprindeligt skrevet den 4. jan., da han, med tilslutning fra senator Lindsey Graham (R-SC), leverede det til det relevante kontor i Justitsministeriet og til FBI-myndigheder med krav om en efterforskning af Steele for kriminelle handlinger. Memoet fremfører, »Det er allerede foruroligende, at Clinton-kampagnen finansierede hr. Steeles arbejde; men at disse Clinton-medarbejdere samtidigt gav hr. Steele beskyldninger, rejser yderligere spørgsmål om hans troværdighed«. Grassley har krævet, at der iværksættes anklager om kriminelle handlinger imod Steele og andre indblandede i denne beskidte operation.

Udviklingerne i dag åbner for »Fase To«, som kommer efter udgivelsen af »Nunes-memoet« den 2. feb. af Devin Nunes (R-CA), formand for Husets Efterretnings-udvalgskomite. Nunes-memoet fokuserer på anti-Trump FBI- og justitsministerietoppersoners og Steeles ulovlige brug af FISA-processen til opnåelse af en overvågningskendelse. I dette nye Grassley-memo

er Udenrigsministeriet indblandet. Der kommer flere »afsløringsfaser«. Dette kan offentligt afsløre de personer i London og Washington, der var ansvarlige for det, der skete i Ukraine med det formål at tvangsindføre et nazistisk regimeskifte gennem den 'farvede' Maidan-revolution i 2013-14. Intet under, at Victoria Nuland i går forsøgte at lægge afstand til Christopher Steele på CBS News. Nuland, der er tidligere viceudenrigsminister i Obamas regering, samt Steele et. al., promoverede direkte Maidan-kuppet.

Impulsen til denne strøm af afsløringer og udsigt til fængsel for de skyldige, er LaRouche PAC's Særlige Efterforskende Rapport, »Robert Mueller er en amoralsk, juridisk lejemorder: Han vil gøre sit job, hvis I giver ham lov«, som blev udgivet første gang i september 2017.

Det, der står på spil i alt dette, er ikke blot sandheden i en abstrakt betydning, men derimod, om der bliver krig, eller der kommer et Nyt Paradigme for udvikling – den Nye Silkevej. Det Gamle Paradigme for monetarisme og geopolitik er dødt, men stadig farligt. De, der støtter det, er rede til at udløse krig. Et udtryk for dette er forsiden af Londonavisen *The Economist* af 27. jan., der erklærer, »Den næste krig: Den voksende fare for stormagtskonflikt«.

Det eneste, vindende antikrigs-fremstød er mobiliseringen for et Nyt Paradigme, især i det transatlantiske område, for de hasteforholdsregler, der fremlægges i LaRouches Fire Love og tilslutningen til Bælte & Vej Initiativet.

Præsident Trump har atter udtalt sin støtte til en genopretning af vareproduktion og jobs i USA, i en tale, han holdt i dag på en fabrik nær Cincinnati, Ohio. Hans banner lød, »USA – Åben for business«. Det var en dyb ironi, da Tv-speakeren åndeløst afbrød live-dækningen af hans tale for at rapportere, at Dow Indekset netop var styrtdykket med 1600 points!

Kendsgerningen i verden er, at det Nye Paradigme med den Nye Silkevej er i gang. Det er modellen, der virker. Det er LaRouche-parrets årtier lange dagsorden in action. Fremtiden for menneskeheden er vores.

Foto: Præsidenten og vicepræsidenten mødes med Senatets lederskab. De demokratiske senatorer Feinstein og Schumacher til venstre, og de republikanske senatorer McConnell og Grassley til højre. 24. jan., 2017. (Whitehouse Photo / twitter)

Kampen for at afslutte 'Russiagate' er ingen sportsbegivenhed; Vi må intervenere for at stoppe det

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 4. feb., 2018 – USA befinder sig ved et vendepunkt i sin årtier lange, »interne« kamp mod britisk efterretnings brug af amerikanske efterretningstjenester til at kontrollere og afpresse præsidenter. Det er også et vendepunkt i udenrigspolitik, der enten vil føre verden hen mod en umiddelbar konfrontation med atomkrig, eller også et nyt paradigme for samarbejde mellem stormagter omkring at afslutte regionale krige, bygge storslået infrastruktur, overvinde fattigdom og besejre international terrorisme.

Disse to vendepunkter har samme mål – bekæmpelse af britisk geopolitik. Det langvarige kupforsøg imod denne amerikanske

præsident, skandalen med »Russiagate«, hvor tidevandet nu måske er ved at vende, blev bragt til Washington af chefen for britisk MI6-udenrigsefterretning, for næsten to år siden. Spyd hovedet i denne bestræbelse var et britisk »dossier«, og misbruget af dette dossier er nu blevet afsløret af Husets Efterretningskomite. Dets formål var at afpresse USA med hensyn til den udenrigspolitik, som dets præsident kunne få lov at gennemføre.

Storbritanniens Royal Institute of International Affairs (RIIA) udstedte den 25. jan. instruktioner til Amerika om at »se Rusland som en trussel« og erklærede, at, »at indgå en aftale« om samarbejde med Rusland – f.eks. om bekæmpelse af international terrorisme – »implicit ville acceptere, at den nuværende verdensorden ikke længere er i kraft«. RIIA kunne ikke have sagt det tydeligere: at den britiske, geopolitiske verdensorden er beroende på konfrontation mellem USA og Rusland. Ultimatummet til præsident Donald Trump blev overleveret af cheferne for efterretningstjenesterne allerede under et møde den 6. januar, 2017, i Trump Tower; konfronter og angrib Rusland (og Kina), eller også vil vi skandalisere dig med dette britiske dossier og smide dig ud.

Dette er deres kriminelle, ja forræderiske handling, som nu bliver afsløret. Men verden lever imidlertid med konsekvenserne heraf, med den voksende trussel om en *virkelig* atomkrig, og vi vil leve med det, indtil, og med mindre, denne britisk-amerikanske sammensværgelse godt og grundigt bliver ødelagt.

Nogle kongresmedlemmer har nu krævet det næste skridt – en efterforskning for kriminelle handlinger og en potentiel retsforfølgelse af de efterretningsfolk, der handlede med den hensigt at udelukke og diskvalificere præsident Trump fra præsidentskabet, udelukkende på grund af hans politik over for Rusland. Formand for Husets Efterretningskomite, David Nunes, kaldte sammensværgelsen mod Trump for »noget, der finder sted i banarepublikker«.

Faktisk har der i længere tid ikke været nogen bananrepublikker i Sydamerika, kun republikker, der samarbejder med Kina og BRIKS, og som lykkes med at blive ledere inden for industri og videnskab. Med andre ord: at overtræde den britiske, geopolitiske verdensorden. Og præsidenterne og de tidligere præsidenter for disse republikker – Brasiliens Lula og Dilma Rousseff, Argentinas Fernández de Kirchner, Ecuadors Rafael Correa – har været udset som mål for skandale og afsættelse, præcis, som Trump skulle afsættes, og af de præcis samme teams i FBI og CIA, under anførsel af Robert Mueller, James Comey et al.

For 30 år siden anførte Robert Mueller, som daværende vicesstatsanklager i Boston, den retsforfølgelse, der havde til formål at fjerne Lyndon LaRouche som politisk leder. I 1984 udfordrede LaRouche den britiske, geopolitiske verdensorden som ingen anden. Han havde øvet indflydelse på præsident Ronald Reagan for at tilbyde amerikansk-russisk missilforsvarssamarbejde i det **Strategiske Forsvarsinitiativ (SDI)**, og han arbejdede for store infrastrukturprojekter og foreslog oprettelse af internationale udviklingsbanker for at sprede de revolutionerende SDI-militærteknologier til industrien i hele verden.

Mange republikanske ledere bekæmper nu det angreb mod præsident Trump, der havde sin oprindelse i britisk efterretning, mens de på samme tid selv arbejder for den britiske politik for skarp konfrontation med Rusland og Kina! Som chef for den kendte München Sikkerhedskonference (Wolfgang Ischinger) netop har bemærket, så forhindrer Kongressen præsident Trump i at gennemføre presserende tiltrængte forbedringer i de amerikansk-russiske relationer.

Det amerikanske folk, og lederne af dets valgkredse, må intervenere for at redde nationen, i de kommende midtvejsvalg 2018.

Vi har brug for en koordineret indsats for at genindføre

Glass/Steagall-bankopdeling i hele USA og Europa, før City of London og Wall Street bringer vore økonomier ind i endnu et, denne gang langt værre, krak.

Vi har brug for internationale aftaler om på verdensplan at bygge den mest afgørende infrastruktur, med en accept af Kinas lederskab i dets Bælte & Vej Initiativ. Amerika selv har et enormt underskud af presserende nødvendig, ny økonomisk infrastruktur og må skabe en national kreditinstitution, der kan medvirke i dette; et nyt Reconstruction Finance Corporation i Roosevelts tradition, eller en nationalbank i Hamiltons tradition.

Og vi kunne få en international aftale om at udvikle forsvar med ny teknologi, der er *effektiv* i elimineringen af atommissiler. Det er for nyligt blevet bekræftet («The British Sabotaged the Second Try for an SDI with Russia»[1]), at Rusland i 25 år har været parat til at genoptage Reagans SDI sammen med USA for at udvikle reelle anti-missilforsvar og nye spin-off teknologier – og at Storbritannien kraftigt er gået imod dette.

Måden at intervenere på er at tilslutte sig LaRouche Political Action Committees mobilisering for **LaRouches »Fire Økonomiske Love«** i forbindelse med det kommende midtvejsvalg, 2018.

Foto: Præsident Donald J. Trump i Det Hvide Hus, 22. jan., 2018. (Official White House Photo by Joyce N. Boghosian)

[1] *EIR Daily Alert*, Jan. 23, 2018

Ruslands og Kinas respons til USA's

Redegørelse for USA's Holdning til Atomvåben: Trussel om atomkrig vokser

4. feb., 2018 – Ruslands og Kinas udenrigs- og forsvarsministre har udstedt stærke erklæringer imod den nye Redegørelse for USA's Holdning til Atomvåben, som blev udgivet 2. feb., og gør det klart, at de heri ser en voksende trussel om atomkrig gennem fejltagelse mellem stormagter. Især var de bekymrede over Pentagons projektioner for skabelse af atomvåben med lav sprængkraft til krydsermissiler på overfladeskibe, og for sprænghoveder med lav sprængkraft til Trident II-ubådsmissiler.

Erklæringen fra det Russiske Udenrigsministerium sagde, som det er sædvane, at Rusland ville træffe alle forholdsregler som respons for at sikre sin egen sikkerhed. Men det sagde, citeret af Sputnik: »Endnu farligere er de amerikanske militæksperter og andre specialisters overbevisning inden for den nationale sikkerhedssfære om, ... at de på pålidelig vis kan simulere udvikling af konflikter, i hvilke de tillader brugen af atomsprænghoveder med 'lav sprængkraft'. For os er det modsatte klart: Betydeligt sænkede tærskel-betingelser' kunne føre til en atommissilkrig, selv under konflikter af lav intensitet.« Ministeriet gentog ligeledes, at den russiske militærdoktrin tillader brug af atomvåben »udelukkende kun, når selve vor stats eksistens er truet«.

Kinas Forsvarsministerium afviste den idé, at Kina skulle være en modstander, idet de citerede det 74 sider lange Pentagon-dokument, der siger, at Kina er »en alvorlig udfordring for

USA's interesser i Asien«, og mod hvilken den amerikanske strategi er at »forhindre Beijing i fejlagtigt at konkludere, at det kunne sikre sig en fordel gennem den begrænsede anvendelse af taktiske atomvåbenkapaciteter ...«

Ifølge Stockholm International Peace Research Institute (SIPRI) råder Kina over 300 atomspræghoveder, USA og Rusland hver over 7.000. Talsmand for Forsvarsministeriet Ren Guoqiang bekræftede, at »Kina har været tilhænger af politikken for ikke-førsteanvendelse af atomvåben på noget tidspunkt og under nogen omstændighed«, lød erklæringen fra Forsvarsministeriet. »Vi håber, USA vil kassere sin 'koldkrigsmentalitet', sagde Ren.

Formand for München Sikkerhedskonference Ischinger: Kongressen har bundet Trumps hænder for at stoppe forbedring af amerikansk-russiske relationer

3. feb., 2018 – Wolfgang Ischinger, formand for München Sikkerhedskonferencen, har fremført, at den Amerikanske Kongres har gjort alt, den kunne, for at forhindre præsident Donald Trump i at kunne forbedre relationerne med Rusland.

Ischingers udtalelse kommer forud for den 54. samling af München Sikkerhedskonferencen, tidligere kendt som Wehrkunde, og som finder sted 16.-18. feb. Den amerikanske side vil blive repræsenteret af forsvarsminister Mattis, nationale sikkerhedsrådgiver H.R. McMaster og senator John McCain, blandt andre.

Ischinger sagde til TASS, at atmosfæren mellem Washington og Moskva ikke kunne »blive værre«. »Jeg vurderer den aktuelle situation til at være farlig, dybt beklagelig og med et alvorligt behov for forbedring«, sagde han. »Er der nogen mulighed for det? Jeg håber, den russiske side efter præsidentvalget vil få mulighed for at række ud mod Washington. Og jeg håber, at, efter midtvejsvalgene i USA kommende november, situationen i landet vil blive mere rolig.« Han fortsatte, at, »den nuværende situation er den farligste, siden USSR's kollaps.«

Han sagde dernæst, at det er meget vanskeligt for Det Hvide Hus at komme med noget initiativ, fordi »den Amerikanske Kongres har bundet præsidentens hænder«.

Men Moskva kan gøre meget. Hvis Washington indser, at der ikke er nogen grund til at frygte nogen indblanding i dets valg, eller en hybridkonfrontation, så ville en alvorlig grund til kritik blive fjernet. Begge sider må bidrage meget til at normalisere deres relationer«, understregede Ischinger.

Foto: Formand for München Sikkerhedskonferencen, Wolfgang Ischinger; foto fra 2017.

BOMBE: »Memoet« opklarede et lille skridt i sammensværgelsen; Gå efter det hele, på vegne af menneskeheden

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 2. feb., 2018 – Den lærde elite og det Demokratiske Parti er gået fuldstændig amok i ugens løb over offentliggørelsen af det fire sider lange Memo, som er udarbejdet af Husets Efterretningskomite, efter at det blev afklassificeret af præsident Trump.

De fortæller os: Lad være med overhovedet at kigge på det eller tænke på det, for det kunne hjælpe Trump. John McCain gik så vidt som til at sige, at hele Memoet var djævelens værk, fra den russiske præsident Vladimir Putin. Med hensyn til det, der plejede at hedde det »Demokratiske« parti, bliver vi nu, med overraskelse, vidne til, at de hylder og hylder vores overvågnings-politistat, vores ureglementerede forvaltning af retsvæsenet og deciderede bedrageri omkring FISA-domstolen, som om det alt sammen var lige så amerikansk som æbletærte. Se ikke på den grimme mand bag forhænet, folkens, bare lad som om, og se vores trylleforestilling.

De hyler som de stukne grise, de er.

Her er, hvad Memoet siger, inklusive vore indledningsvise kommentarer:

FBI og Justitsministeriet fik den oprindelige FISA-kendelse til at overvåge Trump-volontøren Carter Page og herefter tre fornyelser på hver 90 dage af denne bemyndigelse til at udføre overvågning. Tidligere FBI-direktør James Comey underskrev tre FISA-ansøgninger, og den nu fyrede FBI-vicedirektør Andrew

McCabe underskrev én. Vicestatsanklager Sally Yates, berygtet for sammen med McCabe at have sat en fælde for Michael Flynn, og derudover for, at hele Justitsministeriet afviste at anerkende præsident Trumps immigrationsordrer; fungerende vicestatsanklager Dana Boente og vicestatsanklager Rod Rosenstein, underskrev hver én eller flere FISA-ansøgninger. Tidligere FBI-viceleder Andrew McCabe sagde, at der ikke ville være blevet ansøgt om nogen overvågningskendelse af Page, uden Christopher Steele-dossieret.

De gjorde dette uden en eneste gang at fortælle FISA (Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court), at dossieret, der var sammensat af den britiske efterretningsagent Steele, var en afgørende del af FISA-ansøgningen, og at Steele-dossieret, et rent produkt af britisk efterretning, var blevet betalt for af præsident Trumps politiske modstander, Hillary Clinton og hendes DNC, på trods af den kendsgerning, at FBI og Justitsministeriet vidste dette. FBI havde ligeledes aftalt at betale Steele for den samme information.

FISA-ansøgningen, som første gang blev indgivet i oktober, 2016, nævnte en *Yahoo* nyhedsartikel fra 23. september, 2016, af Michael Isikoff, som en bekræftelse af anklagerne i Steele-memoet, når sandheden i virkeligheden var den, at *Yahoo* nyhedsartiklen var et resultat af et læk fra Steele til Michael Isikoff.

I september 2016 sagde Steele til tidligere topembedsmand i Justitsministeriet, Bruce Ohr, under uautoriserede møder, at han **»var desperat over, at Donald Trump ikke blev valgt og havde ekstremt stærke følelser imod, at han blev præsident«**. Ohrs hustru arbejdede for Fusion GPS, det Demokratiske Partis mellemmand til britisk efterretning, som var Steeles arbejdsgiver. Dette skete, før den første FISA-ansøgning mod Page blev indgivet. Steele blev suspenderet og dernæst afsluttet som en FBI-informant, fordi han afslørede sit forhold til FBI i en artikel i *Mother Jones* den 30. okt., 2016, forfattet af David Corn. Denne kendsgerning blev

tilsyneladende aldrig bekendtgjort over for FISC (Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court).

Efter Steeles afslutning, vurderede FBI selv, at hans påstande kun minimalt kunne bekræftes, og FBI-direktør Comey aflagde efterfølgende vidneforklaring om, at Steeles påstande var »slibrige og ubekræftede«. Alt dette blev heller aldrig fortalt til FISC. På trods heraf briefede Obamas efterretningschefer Trump om Steele-dossieret den 17. januar, 2017. Denne hændelse, der kun kort beskrives i memoet, er det, James Comey senere i sin forklaring for Senatet kaldte sit »J. Edgar Hoover-øjeblik«. Det blev arrangeret, at hele det slibrige og afskyelige, britiske efterretnings-bras senere, efter dette møde, blev lækket til de nationale nyhedsmedier og hilste Donald Trumps præsidentskab velkomment med den påstand, at han var en Putins 'manchurisk kandidat', der væltede sig i russiske prostituerede på den selvsamme seng, som Obama-parret havde sovet i.

Ifølge MEMOET, løj Steele over for FBI om sine mediekontakter på vegne af Clinton-kampagnen og DNC. Dette er efter al sandsynlighed grunden til, at senatorerne Chuck Grassley og Lindsay Graham henviste Christopher Steele til FBI til efterforskning for kriminelle handlinger.

Vi har altså et klart misbrug af FISA, et bedrageri over for denne domstol. Håndgribelige fakta om overvågning af en amerikansk, politisk kampagne og en amerikansk borger, og som blev sat i værk af denne kampagnes politiske modstander og deres allierede i Obama-administrationen, blev holdt skjult for domstolen af FBI og Justitsministeriet.

Men, der er meget, meget mere her. Steeles beskidte værk blev brugt af Clinton-kampagnen og Obama-administrationen, i aftalt spil med medierne, i et forsøg på at besejre Donald Trumps forsøg på at vinde præsidentskabet. De efterfølgende Russiagate- og Mueller-efterforskninger er blevet brugt til at forsøge at ødelægge Trumps præsidentskab. Hele Russiagate-

myten, forkyndt af Obama og briterne, har ødelagt de amerikansk-russiske relationer og anbragt hele verden i fare.

Vi udgav hele historien, og hele baggrunden for, at dette skete, for flere måneder siden. Læs dossieret, »**Robert Mueller er en umoralsk, juridisk lejemor: Han vil gøre sit job, hvis I giver ham lov**«.

Det hele, det hele må efterforskes og retsforfølges. Vi vil have mere at fortælle i løbet af de kommende 72 timer.

(Hør også: *LaRouche PAC Friday Webcast, 2. feb., 2018, med en særdeles grundig gennemgang af det nu afklassificerede Memo.*)

Breaking: Hemmeligt Nunes-Memo offentliggjort! Sandheden om Russiagate. LaRouche PAC Internationale Webcast, 2. feb., 2018.

Vært Matthew Ogden: Jeg vil starte med at annoncere de seneste nyheder: Nunes-memoet, det fire sider lange memo, der blev udarbejdet af formand for Husets Efterretningskomite David Nunes, er nu officielt blevet afklassificeret af præsident

Trump og er blevet frigivet til den amerikanske offentlighed. På trods af det Demokratiske lederskabs, efterretningssamfundets, selve FBI's og endda britisk efterretnings trusler, bagvaskelser og intimidering, har præsident Trump og det Republikanske lederskab på Capitol Hill besluttet at afklassificere dette memorandum, og *det er en bombe!*

Det afslører præcis det, som LaRouche PAC har dokumenteret lige fra begyndelsen af dette: Det såkaldte slibrige og ubekræftede, britiske efterretningsprodukt, kendt som Steele-dossieret, blev brugt som såkaldt »bevis« for at indhente en FISA-kendelse til at udspionere ledende medlemmer af Trumps kampagne. Det, som dette memo fortæller, er, at det nu er officielt, at Christopher Steele selv sagde til seniorembedsmand i Justitsministeriet, Bruce Ohr, at han var »desperat for, at Donald Trump ikke blev valgt og havde meget stærke følelser imod, at han blev præsident«. Det er Christopher Steeles egne ord, og dette sagde han til Bruce Ohr, før den første FISA-ansøgning overhovedet blev indgivet.

Og selv om det var kendt, at dette såkaldte dossier var et helt klart partisk stykke researcharbejde for oppositionen, som blev finansieret af Hillary Clintons præsidentkampagne, imod hendes behørigt nominerede modstander i valget, Donald Trump, så blev denne anmodning om overvågning godkendt af FBI-direktør James Comey, og ligeledes af Andrew McCabe [daværende FBI-vice-direktør].

Dette memo vil helt bestemt markere et vendepunkt i hele denne operation og hele dette kupforsøg mod vores præsident og vil højst sandsynligt gå over i historien som et af de mest skamfulde kapitler i vores republiks historie. Hele Russiagate-operationen er et skamfuldt eksempel på det mest grove magtmisbrug fra FBI's side, der fuldt ud lever op til den berygtede arv efter J. Edgar Hoover, i et forsøg på at bruge dette lands efterretningstjenester, i aftalt spil med britisk efterretning, til at underminere og vælte en ledende,

politisk person og efterfølgende, USA's behørigt valgte præsident.

Som indledning til denne udsendelse vil jeg gerne dele med jer, den fulde tekst af dette memo, der netop er blevet afklassificeret. Som I ser i toppen, »Afklassificeret«, og det blev afklassificeret af præsidenten, efter ordrer, den 2. feb., 2018. Vi læser teksten [LPAC's redaktørs bemærkninger: Følgende tekst er taget fra Husets Permanente Efterretnings-Udvalgskomites pdf-dokument. I pdf-dokumentet vises den originale understregning (her med enkeltkrøllede parenteser) og fed skrift (dobbeltkrøllede parenteser); kursivering (ligeledes her vist med enkeltkrøllede parenteser) bruges udelukkende til publikationer.

https://intelligence.house.gov/uploadedfiles/memo_and_white_house_letter.pdf

(Her følger engelsk udskrift af resten af webcastet):

{{Purpose}}

This memorandum provides Members an update on significant facts relating to the Committee's ongoing investigation into the Department of Justice (DOJ) and Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) and their use of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA) during the 2016 presidential election cycle. Our findings, which are detailed below, 1) raise concerns with the legitimacy and legality of certain DOJ and FBI interactions with the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court (FISC), and 2) represent a troubling breakdown of legal processes established to protect the American people from abuses related to the FISA process.

{{Investigation Update}}

On October 21, 2016, DOJ and FBI sought and received a FISA probable cause order (not under Title VII) authorizing electronic surveillance on Carter Page from the FISC. Page is a

U.S. citizen who served as a volunteer advisor to the Trump presidential campaign. Consistent with requirements under FISA,

the application had to be first certified by the Director or Deputy Director of the FBI. It then required the approval of the

Attorney General, Deputy Attorney General (DAG), or the Senate-confirmed Assistant Attorney General for the National Security Division.

The FBI and DOJ obtained one initial FISA warrant targeting Carter Page and three FISA renewals from the FISC. As required by

statute (50 U.S.C. §1805(d)(1)), a FISA order on an American citizen must be renewed by the FISC every 90 days and each renewal requires a separate finding of probable cause.

Then-Director James Comey signed three FISA applications in question on behalf of the FBI, and Deputy Director Andrew McCabe

signed one. Then-DAG Sally Yates, then-Acting DAG Dana Boente, and DAG Rod Rosenstein each signed one or more FISA applications

on behalf of DOJ.

Due to the sensitive nature of foreign intelligence activity, FISA submissions (including renewals) before the FISC

are classified. As such, the public's confidence in the integrity

of the FISA process depends on the court's ability to hold the government to the highest standard— particularly as it relates to surveillance of American citizens. However, the FISC's rigor

in protecting the rights of Americans, which is reinforced by

90-day renewals of surveillance orders, is necessarily dependent on the government's production to the court of all material and relevant facts. This should include information potentially favorable to the target of the FISA application that is known by the government. In the case of Carter Page, the government had at least four independent opportunities before the FISC to accurately provide an accounting of the relevant facts. However, our findings indicate that, as described below, material and relevant information was omitted.

1) The "dossier" compiled by Christopher Steele (Steele dossier) on behalf of the Democratic National Committee (DNC) and

the Hillary Clinton campaign formed an essential part of the Carter Page FISA application. Steele was a longtime FBI source who was paid over \$160,000 by the DNC and Clinton campaign, via

the law firm Perkins Coie and research firm Fusion GPS, to obtain

derogatory information on Donald Trump's ties to Russia.

a) Neither the initial application in October 2016, nor any of the renewals, disclose or reference the role of the DNC, Clinton campaign, or any party/campaign in funding Steele's efforts, even though the political origins of the Steele dossier

were then known to senior DOJ and FBI officials.

b) The initial FISA application notes Steele was working for a named U.S. person, but does not name Fusion GPS and principal

Glenn Simpson, who was paid by a U.S. law firm (Perkins Coie) representing the DNC (even though it was known by DOJ at the time that political actors were involved with the Steele

dossier). The application does not mention Steele was ultimately working on behalf of—and paid by—the DNC and Clinton campaign, or that the FBI had separately authorized payment to Steele for the same information.

2) The Carter Page FISA application also cited extensively a September 23, 2016, {Yahoo News} article by Michael Isikoff, which focuses on Page's July 2016 trip to Moscow. {This article does not corroborate the Steele dossier because it is derived from information leaked by Steele himself to Yahoo News.} The Page FISA application incorrectly assesses that Steele did not directly provide information to {Yahoo News}. Steele has admitted in British court filings that he met with {Yahoo News}—and several other outlets—in September 2016 at the direction of Fusion GPS. Perkins Coie was aware of Steele's initial media contacts because they hosted at least one meeting in Washington D.C. in 2016 with Steele and Fusion GPS where this matter was discussed.

a) Steele was suspended and then terminated as an FBI source for what the FBI defines as the most serious of violations—an unauthorized disclosure to the media of his relationship with the FBI in an October 30, 2016, {Mother Jones} article by David Corn.

Steele should have been terminated for his previous undisclosed contacts with Yahoo and other outlets {{in September}}—before the Page application was submitted to the FISC in October—but Steele improperly concealed from and lied to the FBI about those contacts.

b) Steele's numerous encounters with the media violated the

cardinal rule of source handling—maintaining confidentiality—and demonstrated that Steele had become a less than reliable source for the FBI.

3) Before and after Steele was terminated as a source, he maintained contact with DOJ via then-Associate Deputy Attorney General Bruce Ohr, a senior DOJ official who worked closely with Deputy Attorneys General Yates and later Rosenstein. Shortly after the election, the FBI began interviewing Ohr, documenting his communications with Steele. For example, in September 2016, Steele admitted to Ohr his feelings against then-candidate Trump when Steele said he {"was desperate that Donald Trump not get elected and was passionate about him not being president."} This clear evidence of Steele's bias was recorded by Ohr at the time and subsequently in official FBI files—but not reflected in any of the Page FISA applications.

a) During this same time period, Ohr's wife was employed by Fusion GPS to assist in the cultivation of opposition research on Trump. Ohr later provided the FBI with all of his wife's opposition research, paid for by the DNC and Clinton campaign via Fusion GPS. The Ohrs' relationship with Steele and Fusion GPS was inexplicably concealed from the FISC.

4) According to the head of the FBI's counterintelligence division, Assistant Director Bill Priestap, corroboration of the Steele dossier was in its "infancy" at the time of the initial

Page FISA application. After Steele was terminated, a source validation report conducted by an independent unit within FBI assessed Steele's reporting as only minimally corroborated. Yet, in early January 2017, Director Comey briefed President-elect Trump on a summary of the Steele dossier, even though it was—according to his June 2017 testimony—“salacious and unverified.” While the FISA application relied on Steele's past record of credible reporting on other unrelated matters, it ignored or concealed his anti-Trump financial and ideological motivations. Furthermore, Deputy Director McCabe testified before the Committee in December 2017 that no surveillance warrant would have been sought from the FISC without the Steele dossier information.

5) The Page FISA application also mentions information regarding fellow Trump campaign advisor George Papadopoulos, but there is no evidence of any cooperation or conspiracy between Page and Papadopoulos. The Papadopoulos information triggered the opening of an FBI counterintelligence investigation in late July 2016 by FBI agent Pete Strzok. Strzok was reassigned by the Special Counsel's Office to FBI Human Resources for improper text messages with his mistress, FBI Attorney Lisa Page (no known relation to Carter Page), where they both demonstrated a clear bias against Trump and in favor of Clinton, whom Strzok had also investigated. The Strzok/Lisa Page texts also reflect extensive discussions about the investigation, orchestrating leaks to the

media, and include a meeting with Deputy Director McCabe to discuss an "insurance" policy against President Trump's election.

[end memo]

So this is a bombshell. And what it absolutely makes clear is that these Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act warrants against leading members of the President Trump campaign {never}

would have been requested or obtained without the so-called evidence presented in the Steele dossier. And this Steele dossier is completely discredited, and as it made clear, in Steele's own words, he was "desperate that Donald Trump not get

elected and was passionate about him not being president."

Now, exactly as Congressman Matt Gaetz two weeks ago, when he first read this memo, when it was still classified, he said,

"the facts contained in this memo are jaw dropping... There is no higher priority than the release of this information to preserve our democracy... I think that this will not end just with firings. I believe there are people who will go to jail..."

The entire Mueller investigation is a lie built on a foundation

of corruption... This will vindicate claims by many of us: It is a real attempt to undermine the President, from the scariest of places."

President Trump was speaking to the media earlier today, and right before the official release of this memo, the decision to

release this memo by the Republican leadership in the House, President Trump had the following to say. This is what President

Trump's own words were, in anticipation of the probable release

of this memo. He said the following: "I think it's terrible. You want to know the truth? I think it's a disgrace. What's going on in this country, I think it's a {disgrace}. The memo was sent to Congress, it was declassified. Congress will do whatever they're going to do. But I think it's a disgrace what's happening in our country. And when you look at that, and you see that, and some of the other things what's going on, a lot of people should be ashamed of themselves, and much worse than that. So, I sent it over to Congress, and they will do what they're going to do. Whatever they do is fine. It was declassified, and let's see what happens. But, a lot of people should be ashamed."

So those were President Trump's words.

Now, LaRouche PAC has issued a statement, which is now posted on the LaRouche PAC website. This statement contains a short summary of what is contained in the Nunes memo, and then it has a short analysis and some commentary, and it promises to be swiftly followed up by more marching orders in the coming 72 hours.

But this is what LaRouche PAC has to say: "...We have a clear abuse of FISA, a fraud on that court. Material facts about surveillance of an American political campaign, and an American citizen, set into motion by the campaign's political opponent and their allies in the Obama administration were concealed from the court by the FBI and the Department of Justice.

"But there is much, much more here. Steele's dirty work was used by the Clinton campaign and the Obama Administration, in

collusion with the media, in an attempt to defeat Donald Trump's bid for the Presidency. The subsequent Russiagate and Mueller investigations have been used in an attempt to destroy the Trump Presidency. The entire Russiagate myth, promulgated by Obama and the British, have destroyed U.S. Russian relations and endangered the entire world.

"We published the full story and the reasons why this is occurring months back. It is not what you think. Read the dossier, sign the petition, all of it, {all of it}, needs to be investigated and prosecuted."

Now, this is the dossier [LPAC.co/ytdos] which LaRouche PAC released months ago. This is the full documentation on not only

Robert Mueller's background in prosecuting Lyndon LaRouche, in covering up the crimes of 9/11, and now, in being a central player in this attempted coup against the President of the United

States; but it was also ahead of the curve in absolutely documenting exactly what this Nunes memo is discussing.

Let me give you one short example: This is a quote from inside the Mueller dossier. It says the following: "Hillary Clinton used the Steele Dossier to paint Trump as a Russian dupe

throughout her general election campaign against him. James Comey used it to justify his FBI counterintelligence probe of the

Trump campaign which began in July of 2016, and has continued.

"Thus, we have the British government ... {intervening in an election in the United States to sway the result.} Most certainly this raises questions about the applicability of election laws which bar foreign funding for exactly the reason that the United States elections should be decided by United

States citizens. Most certainly, once this sequence of events is fully investigated, it will become clear that all government participants intended to sway the election unlawfully, using the powers of a state to vanquish the will of the voters.” And that gets directly at the core of the matter. And we didn’t need the Nunes memo to know that that what this was all about all along.

Now, what this dossier also documented – this is now, I’m talking about the LaRouche PAC dossier on the Mueller operation – what this documented is that motivation is not what you think it is. The motivation has got to be contextualized within an understanding of what is this moment in history in which we find ourselves? And this entire Russiagate coup from the very beginning was intended to prevent the kind of collaborative relationship that President Trump was clearly inclined towards in his Presidential election campaign, to say we have to end this World War III, thermonuclear game of chicken that the Bush administration and the Obama administration have been playing with the Russians, which has brought us to the very threshold of the kind of nuclear war that the citizens of Hawai’i were frightened about during that so-called false alarm a few weeks ago. This is a very, {very} real danger!

And the American people knew that to be true, and they associated Hillary Clinton with nothing less, than the further escalation of Obama’s World War III policy against Russia. This is the consequence of British Imperial geopolitics: Divide and conquer.

President Trump made clear that he was willing to reach out

a hand of collaboration and cooperation with Russia, and also, as has subsequently been clear, with China, and to say, "we're going to turn the geopolitical chessboard over, and we are going to establish a new great-powers relationship with these leading powers, in order to address the common problems that mankind must resolve." And that was President Trump's clear, clear inclination.

So why was the Russiagate thing cooked up from the very beginning? Well, it was to block President Trump from establishing that kind of collaborative relationship with Russia and with China, and instead, to ensure the continuation of the Obama/Hillary Clinton policy of confrontation and potential thermonuclear war.

{So that's what's at stake here.} And the reason that the timing is so critical, is because a New Paradigm of economics and strategic partnerships is emerging on this planet as we speak. It's rapidly escalating and it's a tide that very well could not be turned back short of that kind of thermonuclear war. What form that New Paradigm is taking is the Belt and Road Initiative, China's initiative to create a new win-win economic, political, and strategic partnership among the nations of the world for peace through massive economic development. The Belt and Road has already come to Central Asia, the Belt and Road has already come to Africa, the Belt and Road has already come to Eastern Europe. And the Belt and Road, as we speak, is in the process of coming to the Western Hemisphere with Latin America and Caribbean

fully on board. The question is, will the United States join? That was the question which provoked this Russia-gate coup attempt against President Trump from the very beginning. That, and because we must defend the very fundamental facets of our Constitutional republic, of our Constitutional democracy; that is why we must defeat this coup. Whether you consider yourself a Republican, whether you consider yourself a Democrat, an independent, whether you even personally support President Trump or like him; you must defeat this clear and blatant coup against the Constitution and the Presidency of the United States. Now, what I'd like to do for you is to point out the irony that the Democratic Party which, going back to the dirty trick operations against the Kennedys, against Martin Luther King, by J. Edgar Hoover, the Democratic Party led the charge on investigating this FBI operation. The Democratic Party has now sold themselves out completely to be the party of Russia-gate — the new McCarthyism. There's a very ironic statement by attorney Alan Dershowitz; he went on TV just yesterday, pointing out exactly this irony. And I'd like to just read you some of what Dershowitz had to say, pointing out that the Democratic Party has now become the cheering section for the FBI. He says: "I'm just old enough to remember when liberals and major media organizations believed America's national security apparatus had to be closely monitored to protect our civil liberties. "The liberals and journalists brought to light the horrific abuses of power that J. Edgar Hoover's FBI and the National

Security Agency undertook in the 1960s against Martin Luther King and others....

“But all of that was forgotten this week, as Washington liberals rushed to the microphones to demand that the Nunes memo from Representative Devin Nunes, Republican from California, be kept from the American people. Their sympathetic friends in the media were quick to give their complaints blanket and largely unskeptical coverage.

“The four-page Nunes document is a House Intelligence Committee summary of Justice Department and FBI files that points to serious abuses of power involving the surveillance of US citizens in the run-up to the 2016 election.... But to leading Democrats, the possible discussion of intelligence agency abuses is akin to Armageddon.

“Representative Adam Schiff, Democrat from California, the ranking Democrat on Nunes’ Intelligence Committee, has read the Nunes memo, but curiously dismisses it by saying ... the memo is ‘meant only to give Republican House members a distorted view of the FBI.’ Far from resembling the crusading liberals of the 1960s and ’70s, who probed the FBI; Schiff has taken on the role of the Bureau’s lapdog.

“... All of this presents a rich stew of questions that you might expect Washington to be curious about. Instead, you have a frenzy of denunciation of people who want transparency in government and a lack of curiosity about the activities of our most powerful intelligence agencies – entities that have been guilty in the past of clear abuses of power.

“If Americans are to have faith in their government, it must have people who watch the watchers in our intelligence agencies.

So far this week, we are seeing an awful lot of people blindly defend the bureaucracy rather than fulfill their duty to question authority.”

Again, that was Alan Dershowitz.

So, the Democratic Party has completely bankrupted itself.

This is moment in which the entire LaRouche PAC program – the Four Laws, the economic recovery program that we have documented

and we have put out, our campaign to win the future, and the campaign to bring the United States into the New Paradigm of development in the form of the New Silk Road – this has got to replace this morally and intellectually bankrupt behavior by the

Democratic Party; and this must become the policy for all American citizens who are interested in saving this country.

Now, Helga Zepp-LaRouche delivered a very significant webcast yesterday – the founder and President of the Schiller Institute. During that webcast, she was asked by the moderator

what we should expect from the release of the Nunes memo. Of course, this was before it was clear that the memo would be released; although we were all highly anticipating the release of

this classified document. But, I would like to play for you a short excerpt of what Helga LaRouche had to say. I think she very clearly situates this within the broader context which must

be understood.

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE

: Oh, I think this is reaching very interesting dimensions. As a matter of fact, on the way

out

of Congress, Trump was asked if he would release this Nunes memo,

and he said "100%." And then also the White House Chief of Staff

John Kelly was interviewed, and he said the White House would release this memo "pretty quick," because the American people should make up their minds on their own, what their judgment is.

And that is very good.

So there, again, you have a complete freak-out, for example, the German media, which were absolutely not reporting about this

whole controversy, or {if} they would only report about it from

the standpoint of Russia-gate and soon Trump will be gotten out

of office. Now they have to sort of cover their behind, in reporting about it, but they're still on the line of the FBI-leaning version, but they do have to report it.

What happened this week was naturally dramatic: You have the decision of the House Select Committee on Intelligence to release the memo. Then you had the firing of [FBI Deputy Director Andrew] McCabe; that is very good. Then you have the ongoing operation by Senator Grassley and Senator Lindsey Graham,

who, on the one side are insisting on a criminal investigation against Christopher Steele; but they also sent letters to all the

leading Democrats, Podesta, the DNC, the various other Democratic

officials, asking them detailed questions: What did they know about the Steele dossier? When did they know about Hillary?

Many, many questions.

Then, McCabe is also under a new investigation, because it seems that he delayed the whole Hillary investigation concerning

her emails by three weeks, trying to push it behind the November election.

So I think there is a lot of fury: You have people warning that the outcome of this will decide the fate of the United States – for example, Paul Craig Roberts, who after all was in the Reagan administration, he had a very stern warning saying the

stakes are extreme; if the coup plotters would get away with their actions, then the United States would turn into a full police-state, where the intelligence services would create a dictatorship and there would be no more accountability of the government. So this is clearly one side.

And on the other side, naturally, there is expected hope that if this memo, which is due to come out, at the latest tomorrow, because the rules are such that it has to be five days

after the vote in Congress, and that can really be an earthquake.

Because if what seems to be in this memo becomes public, I think

it will change not only the situation in the United States, but

also it will have an earthquake effect internationally. [end video]

OGDEN: So, we can expect that earthquake to occur internationally. This is a huge development. The point is, that

this must be situated within this fight, this ongoing battle for

the soul of the US Presidency. We can see even at this moment that there are still opposite tendencies at work at the highest

levels of this administration; people who are working against the

inclination that this President has for the kind of great

powers

relationship and a new paradigm of international partnerships. We saw a very inflammatory speech by Rex Tillerson just yesterday. We've seen the release of the National Security Strategy, which accuses China and Russia of being authoritarian dictatorships which are attempting to reorder the entire international order. And so forth and so on.

But we also see that President Trump continues to reach out to Russia. He did not impose these sanctions against the Russian

officials, even though it was sent to him by Congress, and he continues to reach out to President Xi Jinping. And he continues

to emphasize that a good relationship between the United States

and these two countries is a very good thing, and not a bad thing. That's the point, though; that he is being backed into a

corner. He continues to have the highest levels of his own administration working against him, and this political earthquake

which we can expect coming out of the declassification of this memo which makes undeniably clear that this was a political operation through the use of the intelligence agencies of this country in pure J. Edgar Hoover style through and through. It was nothing more than that, and continues to be nothing more than that.

President Trump, obviously as we know, delivered the State of the Union address this Tuesday, just a few days ago. Whereas

the LaRouche Political Action Committee has been in an intense campaign to put on the table LaRouche's Four Economic Laws and the necessity for the United States to join the Silk Road, that

campaign has not lessened one bit in the aftermath of the

State

of the Union; but has, in fact, intensified. The persisting questions will continue to be on the table. If President Trump

wants \$1.5 trillion in infrastructure investment, where is that

going to come from? How are you going to do that? Should you use a Hamiltonian national banking approach, as opposed to this

other concoction which has been put together by Steve Mnuchin and

others? Also, as the trans-Atlantic financial system continues

to be perched on the verge of a meltdown, how will President Trump respond to that impending threat? God forbid, if the

entire came down as it did in 2008, if not worse. We must preempt that threat with a Glass-Steagall reorganization of this

entire financial system, as has been called for by LaRouche for

years. The threats are very clear; we're in a Catch-22.

We're

on the verge of either a hyperinflationary blow-out, or a total

bottom dropping out of the entire trans-Atlantic system.

So, in response to President Trump's State of the Union speech, Helga Zepp-LaRouche, in that same webcast yesterday, had

a little bit of analysis. I'd like to share that with you.

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE

: Obviously, he did not say what

he should have said, namely to go with the Four Laws of Lyndon LaRouche, Glass-Steagall, and a new credit system in the tradition of Alexander Hamilton. Now, we don't give up hope that

that may still come, because, after all, if you remember, when my husband in 1983 had campaigned for what became the Strategic Defense Initiative, this was not mentioned by President Reagan in the State of the Union address; but then, on the 23rd of March, Reagan publicly announced the Strategic Defense Initiative. So therefore, we can absolutely hope that President Trump eventually, when he has to come to the question of financing the infrastructure he announced, he will come back to his promise from the election campaign to implement Glass-Steagall. Otherwise, the speech was not bad. I think it's quite significant that, according to CBS, in a poll, 75% of the people who saw the speech were in great support for Trump. So I think that domestically, he definitely touched on a sense of optimism, even so there are still many problems, obviously, with the financial system which he did not address. But I think it's on a good course. I think the strongest indicator that he is doing something good is the freak-out by the Democrats, and while he appealed to a bipartisan cooperation on the immigrant issue, on infrastructure, the Democrats who basically were sitting there, demonstrating not-applauding, and in a certain sense being quite the war-party. I think that has become crystal clear, because in the context of the State of the Union, actually one day before,

was the deadline for the implementation of the sanctions which the Congress had voted on half a year earlier; and nothing happened. The Trump administration did not implement sanctions

against Russia and there was a complete freak-out by such media

as the {New York Times} or think tanks like the Atlantic Council

which basically accused Trump of completely going against what the Congress had mandated. But the simple answer of the Trump administration on the sanctions against Russia was that it was not necessary.

Now, that's very good. I think that in spite of the fact that Trump in terms of the foreign policy aspect of his State of

the Union address where he called Russia and China "rivals," rather than partners or something more positive, to which the Chinese reacted quite strongly. They said that this was alarming

and provocative. But then, the Foreign Ministry spokeswoman said

that the United States and China should work together instead, for a happier future of all of mankind. So that response was on

the one side, expressing displeasure, but on the other side, keep

reaching out for the kind of cooperation which already was demonstrated between Xi Jinping and Trump.

The Russians even responded less harsh, because they, in a commentary said the speech by Trump was much milder those of all

of his predecessors, referring obviously to Obama and Bush.

So I think this is not the end of the world. It's not what it should be, but I think in the context of what is happening in

the United States, one can also not expect, given the neo-con mobilization, given the really ridiculous behavior of the

Democrats, I think he did pretty well. [end video]

OGDEN: So, that's Helga LaRouche's analysis of President Trump's State of the Union. Clearly, what this demands of us is an escalation on the front of the campaign that we've been waging. This is contained in the Campaign to Win the Future statement which is now being circulated across the country for endorsement; and in fact, has already received an endorsement from some members of state legislatures, including a Democrat member of the state legislature from Michigan. This is really on the verge of totally breaking through. This really goes to the core of what President Trump, I think, stands for in the eyes of those who elected him; especially those electoral victories which were decisive in the so-called "Rust Belt" states – in Pennsylvania, in Michigan, in Wisconsin. He flipped those three states in an unprecedented Election Night turnaround. That's what secured him the victory. During his campaign when he went to the Midwest, when he went back after his victory, continually President Trump has continued to stand by this idea that we are a nation of builders, and we must revive and live up to that great legacy with great projects for our own generation. That was one thing that he mentioned in the State of the Union speech, but he elaborated it last night in a speech at the Greenbrier in West Virginia for the Republican Party retreat. This is the very conclusion of that speech by Donald Trump:

PRESIDENT DONALD TRUMP

: We're proud of our history,
we're confident in our values, and we're grateful to our
heroes,
and we are determined to create a brighter future for all of
our
people. We are restoring the bonds of love and loyalty that
unite us all, as friends, as neighbors, as citizens, as
Americans. Because when Americans are united, nothing –
nothing
at all – nothing can stop us. We win. (Applause.)
As I said the other night, we are a nation that built the
Empire State Building in one year. Actually, to be exact, it
was
– we built it in less than a year. Would you believe it?
Working 24 hours around the clock.
We built the Hoover Dam in record time. We built the Golden
Gate Bridge. We linked our nation together with railroads and
highways. We dug out the Panama Canal. We're the nation that
won two World Wars, defeated fascism and communism, and put
satellites into space and planted our great American flag on
the
face of the moon. We've healed the sick, cured disease, and
cared for the poor like no other nation. We've lifted
millions
into prosperity, and delivered millions into freedom.
This is our legacy. This is our birthright. And this is
the foundation on which we build our very glorious future.
Because together, we are, indeed, making America great again.
Thank you, and God bless you all. Thank you very much.
(Applause.) Thank you. [end video]

OGDEN: Now, that's exactly the spirit which I think has
energized the American people, and which we must continue to
inform with the specific policies to honor that birthright as
a
nation of builders, as a nation which has accomplished
unprecedented things, and which we must continue to do. The

great projects are there for the taking, and if we look at what China is now doing, China has become a nation of builders as well; not only domestically, but abroad. The building of the One Belt, One Road Initiative, this New Silk Road – both the land Silk Road and the new Maritime Silk Road which is spanning the globe – this is what the United States must decisively join. It has come to the Western Hemisphere to the southern nations; and now it is time for the United States to join that collaboration and to reach out a hand of partnership between the United States and China, and to renew our partnership between the United States and Russia.

One thing that you just heard President Trump say is that we were the nation that won two world wars and defeated fascism. Well, today happens to be the 75th anniversary of the victory at the legendary battle of Stalingrad. This was a horrific, six-month siege. The casualties are absolutely staggering; over 1 million casualties reported on the Russian side alone. Half a million Russians died during the battle of Stalingrad; but this was a great victory, led by the legendary Marshal Zhukov. It was a decisive defeat of the Nazi army, which led to the following two years of the war, which finally, in collaboration between – there you see on the screen – President Roosevelt and Stalin of the Soviet Union. Fascism, Nazism was defeated.

To conclude our show, this is a quote from President Roosevelt's letter of congratulations to Josef Stalin on the victory at Stalingrad, 75 years ago today. I would encourage us to take this as the paradigm of what we must revive in terms of that kind of great powers relationship. If we come together as great nations on this Earth against mankind's common enemies and for the common aims of mankind, we can accomplish great things.

So, here's what President Roosevelt had to say; this is addressed to Josef Stalin.

"As commander in chief of the Armed Forces of the United States of America, I congratulate you on the brilliant victory at Stalingrad of the armies under your supreme command. The 162 days of epic battle for the city which has forever honored your name and the decisive result which all Americans are celebrating today will remain one of the proudest chapters in this war of the peoples united against Nazism and its emulators.

"The commanders and fighters of your armies at the front and the men and women who have supported them in factory and field have combined not only to cover with glory their country's arms, but to inspire by their example fresh determination among all the United Nations to bend every energy to bring about the final defeat and unconditional surrender of the common enemy."

"[signed] Franklin D Roosevelt"

And as we know, it was Franklin Roosevelt's vision, as

documented in the book {As He Saw It} by his son, Elliott Roosevelt, that in the aftermath of World War II, in the aftermath of the defeat of fascism, we would work together with those allies that we had during the war, including Russia and China and India, to bring about a new era of development for the planet. To bring what Franklin Roosevelt demonstrated in the form of the New Deal with the TVA and the great projects that were built here in the United States, lifting hundreds of thousands of Americans out of poverty at that time, to bring those New Deal policies to the globe in collaboration with the allies who won the war against fascism, to continue the war after the defeat of Adolf Hitler and to fight a war against the colonial and imperialist policies of the British Empire. And to fight a war against the poverty and backwardness that had been imposed on the world from centuries of British rule. That was Franklin Roosevelt's vision for after the war. That was unfortunately and tragically abandoned with Franklin Roosevelt's death. We experienced decades of a Cold War with the nuclear sword of Damocles hanging over our heads. We are now at the point at which finally we must make the decision – will we allow that Cold War mentality of geopolitics to bring us to the point now of World War III and the potential extinction of the human race through thermonuclear war? Or, will we embrace the revival of that legacy which is now being accomplished by the Chinese and the Belt and Road Initiative and all the nations that have joined together for that New Paradigm of peace through great projects and development? Will we say now is the moment when we can

pick

up where Franklin Roosevelt left off and reach out a hand of partnership and collaboration to Russia, to China, and to all the

other nations of good will on this planet, to bring development

– finally – to every corner of the globe.

Obviously today, we've seen a major turning point in the history of the United States. This is a huge development, and as

we promised, there will be more updates from LaRouche PAC in the

coming hours, the coming 24, 48, and 72 hours to come. So, please stay tuned to larouchepac.com and mobilize, mobilize, mobilize. Thank you for joining us; stay tuned.

Helga Zepp-LaRouche: Global politik formes i stigende grad af Kinas Nye Silkevej; Tiden er inde for USA at tilslutte sig. pdf og video

Så inden for dette system befinder man sig i et 'Punkt 22', og den eneste løsning er at gå tilbage til det, som Franklin D. Roosevelt gjorde i 1933: gennemfør Glass-Steagall, afslut

kasinoøkonomien og så gå over til et banksystem efter Hamiltons tradition – man kan kalde det, hvad man vil, Reconstruction Finance Corporation eller Kreditanstalt für Wiederaufbau (kreditanstalt for genopbygning) – og når man først har gjort finanssystemet sundt igen, er der absolut intet til hinder for, at de vestlige lande fuldt og helt kunne samarbejde med AIIB, Silkevejsfonden og andre finansinstitutioner, der støtter Bælte & Vej Initiativet. Og dette er den eneste måde, hvorpå man kan undgå en total katastrofe, og det er, hvad folk virkelig bør være med til at gennemføre.

Download (PDF, Unknown)

Russiagate-kupforsøget smuldrer hastigt, med den amerikanske befolkning, der ønsker, Trump skal lykkes

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 31. jan., 2018 – Præsident Donald Trumps første State of the Union-tale tirsdag aften, har fået en overvældende positiv respons. CBS News, der generelt spiller en førende rolle i »fake news« angrebene på Trump, rapporterede en 75 %'s støtte til Trumps tale fra sine seere, og selv CNN indrømmede, at 70 % af deres seere var »meget

positive« eller »noget positive«.

Trump annoncerede ingen nye, vigtige politikker, men understregede, at optimismen i befolkningen og i erhvervssamfundet, som følge af hans indgåede forpligtelse til at genopbygge den amerikanske økonomi og hans skattelettelser, havde produceret 2,2 million jobs, med 200.000 af disse inden for vareproduktion, »endelig« var begyndt at forøge indkomster og havde stimuleret til løfter fra sådanne som Apple og Exxon om at investere milliarder i den amerikanske økonomi.

Han tog et etisk udgangspunkt ved ikke at sige noget om det faktum, at Mueller-kuppet er ved at falde fra hindanden, og at mange af folkene i efterretningsteamet fra Obamas tid næsten med sikkerhed vil blive anklaget for deres officielle løgne og kriminelle handlinger. Det Demokratiske lederskab, især Husets minoritetsleder Nancy Pelosi, befandt sig i en tilstand af chok under talen og skulede og skar tænder og nægtede at applaudere selv, når Trump opfordrede til tværpolitisk samarbejde for at behandle spørgsmålene om immigration og infrastruktur. Det demokratiske kongresmedlem Joe Manchin fra Vest Virginia fordømte, som et voksende antal demokrater, der er rasende over, at deres lederskab absolut ikke har nogen politik ud over at være anti-Trump, sine demokratiske kolleger for at »sidde mut hen«.

Men »Mueller-gate« var ikke fraværende til trods for, at det ikke blev nævnt i hans tale. På vej ud af Kongressen blev Trump spurgt, om han ville godkende offentliggørelsen af Nunes-memoerne, der afslører FBI's forbrydelser med deres overvågning af Trumps kampagneteam på falske forudsætninger. Han svarede, »Ja, 100 %«. Stabschef John Kelly gik i dag på radioen for at annoncere, at memoet »vil blive offentliggjort her temmelig snart, tror jeg, og hele verden kan se det«.

Russiagate-korsfarerne skummer ligeledes over det faktum, at Trump i bund og grund ignorerede den falske McCarthy-heksejagt imod Rusland og intet sagde om hverken Rusland eller Kina ud

over, at de var »rivaler«. Dette kom 24 timer efter Trumps afvisning af at udstede sanktioner mod Rusland, eller nogen andre, som det var blevet krævet af Kongressen for seks måneder siden, med en frist på seks måneder. Fristen udløb i mandags, med administrationen, der simpelt hen konstaterede, at sanktionerne »ikke var nødvendige«.

»Fake news«-*New York Times* og det neokonservative Atlantic Council (amerikansk tænketank) kom med hysteriske udfald imod præsidenten med *Times*, der anklagede Trump for at »stikke en finger i øjet på Kongressen« ved ikke at gennemtvinge sanktionerne, alt imens Atlantic Councils Anders Aslund sagde, at Trump »generelt hånedede de amerikanske sanktioner mod Rusland«.

I hele verden viser virkeligheden sig, med bogstavelig talt nye, daglige udviklinger i den igangværende Nye Silkevejstransformation af civilisationen. Alene i løbet af de seneste 24 timer: En kinesisk delegation i Oslo planlægger en højhastigheds-jernbanelinje fra Oslo til Stockholm; et kinesiske ingeniørselskab påbegyndte arbejdet på en ny dybvandshavn i Nigeria; det Amerikanske Handelskammer udgav en rapport, der viser, at amerikanske foretagender er optimistiske med hensyn til amerikansk-kinesiske relationer; Mexicos udenrigsminister sagde til sin kongres, at præsident Peña Nietos største præstation var at hæve de mexicansk-kinesiske relationer; Kinas finansminister er i Argentina, hvor han diskuterer G20-mødet, der skal afholdes dér i november, men også enorme argentinsk-kinesiske infrastrukturprojekter, inkl. tunneller, kernkraftværker og samarbejde om rummet.

En potentielt set betydningsfuld udvikling – Trump-administrationen annoncerede, at navnet Victor Cha var blevet fjernet fra listen over folk, der kom i betragtning som USA's nye ambassadør til Sydkorea. Cha, en ledende neokonservativ, der nu er i CSIS (Center for Strategic and International Studies), var Bush-administrationens repræsentant i

Sekspartforhandlingerne, hvor han spillede en førende rolle på vegne af Dick Cheney i at forhindre ethvert fremskridt. Hvis præsident Trump kan udpege en person med samme fremragende kvalifikationer, som han fandt til at repræsentere Amerika i Rusland og Kina – ambassadørerne Jon Huntsman og Terry Branstad – vil det være et stort skridt på vejen til at muliggøre en fredelig løsning på Koreakrisen. Alt imens mange ledende personer i Washington og i Pentagon, inklusive nogle internt i Trumps administration, puster til flammerne for konflikt og krig med Rusland og Kina, så taler Huntsman og Branstad i sandhed for Trumps hensigt om, at USA skal være venner og partnere med Rusland og med Kina.

Med Russiagate-kuppet, der nu er i færd med at smuldre, og med Trumps højere position efter hans State of the Union-tale, er tidspunktet nu opportunt og nødvendigt for ham til at tilslutte USA den Nye Silkevej og vedtage Glass-Steagall og det komplette program, der er indeholdt i LaRouches Fire Love.

Foto: Præsident Donald Trump forlader Repræsentanternes Hus efter sin State of the Union-tale tirsdag, 30. januar, 2018.

Det falske varsel om atomkrig på Hawaii var overlagt, ikke et uheld

31. jan., 2018 – Federal Communications Commission (FCC) meddelte 30. jan., at det falske varsel om et atommissilangreb, der i 38 minutter spredte rædsel i borgere og turister på Hawai'i den 13. jan., blev udstedt med overlæg af den operatør, der trykkede på knappen, og ikke ved et uheld, som det tidligere er blevet rapporteret. Operatøren,

der er blevet fyret, lyttede til en øvelse, det begyndte og sluttede med »øvelse« tre gange, men, for første gang, sagde det indeholdte budskab om de indkommende, ballistiske missiler også, »Dette er ikke en øvelse«. Operatøren var overbevist om, at det var virkeligt, og udløste varslet.

Topfolkene i Hawai'is Nødberedskabstjeneste er fratrukket, og operatøren er fyret.

Denne hændelse er en yderligere bekræftelse af mange ekspertudtalelser, inklusive fra tidligere forsvarsminister William Perry, om, at den »affyr på varsel«-holdning, som er officiel amerikansk politik, er uansvarlig og må stoppes, eller også kunne en atomkrig ved et uheld blive en realitet.

Det nye paradigme eksisterer allerede; Tiden er inde til at handle på optimisme!

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 30. jan., 2018 – Vi befinder os ved et punkt, hvor det Nye Paradigme for global udvikling allerede eksisterer. Folk i Vesteuropa og USA ved det måske ikke; men det Gamle Paradigme er forsvundet. Den Nye Silkevej er nu den fremtrædende politik internationalt og giver solid grund til optimisme og handling.

Alle andre steder end i de transatlantiske nationer er der strategiske alliancer i gang. I Østasien arbejder den japanske premierminister Shinzo Abe aktivt sammen med Rusland og Kina om økonomiske projekter og projekter for fred. I Afrika er

Bælte & Vej Initiativets fremskridt dramatisk og blev yderligere fremmet med den kinesiske udenrigsministers årlige, afrikanske turne her først på året. Selv i Europa er nationer nu fuldt og helt engageret i Silkevejshandel og infrastrukturbyggeri. I de amerikanske lande, syd for USA, udstedte nationerne i Sammenslutningen af Latinamerikanske og Caribiske Stater (CELAC) på topmødet i denne måned, en formel erklæring om forpligtelse til at arbejde sammen med Kina om Bælte & Vej Initiativet. I sidste uge anerkendte selv præsident Michel Temer fra Brasilien BVI's momentum under sin deltagelse i Davos Forum.

»Det Nye Paradigme går frem på en meget dramatisk måde«, understregede Helga Zepp-LaRouche i dag. »Amerikanere bør være optimistiske med hensyn til, at USA kan bevæges.« Med sin »Kampagne for at vinde fremtiden« 2018 Platform for de amerikanske midtvejsvalg, fremlægger LaRouche PAC Political Action Committee de eneste løsninger til USA's manifesterede problemer: LaRouches Fire Love for økonomisk genrejsning, og USA's tilknytning til BVI. Det forholder sig således, uanset, hvad præsident Donald Trump siger eller ikke siger i sin State of the Union-tale tirsdag aften.

Zepp-LaRouche påpegede et parallelt tilfælde, hvor annoncering af et politisk skift kom i sin tid: nemlig det Strategiske Forsvarsinitiativ, SDI. Udarbejdet af Lyndon LaRouche, hvis medarbejdere, inklusiv i republikanske kredse, i årevis arbejdede for vedtagelse af en ny politik for laserforsvar og økonomi, i samarbejde med Sovjetunionen, blev dette LaRouche-koncept slet ikke anerkendt af præsident Ronald Reagan i dennes State of the Union-taler. Og så, lige pludselig, den 23. marts 1983, indkaldte Reagan til en særlig tv-transmitteret tale om national sikkerhed og annoncerede SDI, og hvor han, som LaRouche havde specificeret, foreslog, at USA og Sovjetunionen arbejdede sammen for at udvikle teknologi, baseret på nye, fysiske principper for forsvar mod ballistiske missiler, og som understregede de heraf følgende fordele på

økonomi- og fredsområdet, for hele menneskeheden.

I dag står det frygteligt klart, at alternativet til LaRouche-programmet for de »Fire Love«, er kaos, hvis ikke krig. Der kommer nu alle mulige advarsler frem om det umiddelbart forestående, finansielle blow-out. Selv Goldman Sachs har udstedt advarsler til sine kunder. Faldet på Dow Jones Index på 400 points i dag er et varsel og tegn for dem, der tilbyder »markederne«.

Vi befinder os i en periode med tumult, i enhver henseende, men, hvis tilstrækkeligt mange mennesker handler med beslutsomhed og mod, kan det transatlantiske område vindes for det Nye Paradigme. I dag tilskyndede seniorstatsmand Lyndon LaRouche: »Lad os så gøre det!«

Foto: Kina i rødt; medlemmerne af Asiatisk Infrastruktur-Investeringsbank i orange. De 6 foreslåede korridorer i sort. (Lommes / Wikimedia)

**State of the Union: Vi
behøver mere
end 'tværpolitisk
overenskomst';
Vi har brug for et Nyt
Paradigme**

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 29. jan., 2018 – Præsident Donald Trump kunne faktisk ikke have overset det på Davos Økonomiske

Verdensforum. Alt imens han var anfører for en hyldest til investering i USA med dets nyligt indførte lavbeskatning og billige dollar, og herved glædede nogle multinationale administrerende direktører, så så konferencen hen til Kina og dets Bælte & Vej Initiativ som den afgørende faktor for at føre verdensøkonomien ud af den krise, der har varet siden 2008. Den kinesiske præsident Xi Xinpings økonomiske rådgiver Liu He var den taler, man lyttede mest intenst til. Kinas politik for udvikling af højteknologisk, økonomisk infrastruktur som fortrop frem mod målet med at udslette fattigdom – nu sammen med mange nationale partnere i Asien, Afrika og Latinamerika – blev anerkendt som et nyt »win-win«-paradigme, der kan overvinde både årsagerne til og de blivende virkninger af det transatlantiske, økonomiske kollaps, der fandt sted for et årti siden.

Selv Bloomberg News, i en angivelig nyhedsartikel her til morgen, sagde, »Med tilføjelsen i sidste uge af det arktiske område og Latinamerika, er den kinesiske præsident Xi Jinpings signaturpolitik, Bælte & Vej Initiativet, i sandhed blevet global. Kun USA, dets nabo Canada og dets allieret Japan mangler endnu at blive inkluderet i planen, der søger at bygge eller opgradere et netværk af hovedveje, jernbaner, havne og pipelines«. Senere anerkender det, at Japan faktisk samarbejder i Bælte & Vej Initiativet, og at præsident Xi gentagne gange har inviteret USA til at gå med i det.

I sin State of the Union-tale tirsdag aften er den eneste måde, hvorpå præsidenten kan transformere sin administrations udsigter, at tilslutte sig den Nye Silkevej, i hvert fald som en overordnet forpligtelse, der følger tråden i hans nylige besøg til Kina, Japan og andre asiatiske økonomiske ledere.

Selv om han appellerer til det, venter der ingen »tværpolitisk overensstemmelse« i den splittede og miskrediterede Kongres, af hvilken flere end 50 af dets medlemmer er fratrådt i løbet af blot én kongressamling.

Det to år lange fremstød fra britiske og amerikanske efterretningstjenesters side for at ødelægge Trumps kandidatur og præsidentskab, har trukket et stort antal demokratiske opportunister ind. Dette må enten nedkæmpes, eller også må vi overlade USA til at være en Ny Kold Krig-politistat, hvor alle præsidenter kontrolleres af efterretningsfolk gennem hemmelige skandaler. Udviklinger, der har fundet sted i løbet af de seneste 24 timer, giver håb om, at det ikke vil lykkes, men, hvis det ikke knuses, vil disse personer, der følger en ny McCarthy-tradition, ikke acceptere noget mindre end en intenst antirussisk, antikinesisk præsident Pence.

Der findes ikke engang nogen »tværpolitisk overensstemmelse« at appellere til: Hvis Trump fremlægger sit totalt utilstrækkelige program for infrastrukturbyggeri og baserer den lille, statslige finansiering i dette på en forhøjet benzinskat, vil de vildledte, republikanske kongresmedlemmer i kammeret modsætte sig det. USA vil fortsætte med afindustrialiseringen; amerikanere vil fortsætte med at dø af opiat-overdosis; deres forventede levealder vil fortsætte med at falde. Byer og delstater, der er ambitiøse omkring udvikling, vil fortsætte med at sende delegationer til Kina.

Der findes et nyt paradigme at appellere til og gå med i, og som kan involvere den form for økonomisk genopbygning, rumforskning og teknologisk fremskridt, som Trumps vælgere stemte for. Præsidenten må tænke på verdensøkonomien fra toppen og ned, og gå med i den Nye Silkevej.

Foto: Præsident Trump taler for den samlede Kongres i februar, 2017. (Official White House Photo by Shealah Craighead)

Tidligere assistent til præsident Reagan, Paul Craig Roberts: Kun en besejring af Russiagate-kuppet vil redde nationen

29. jan., 2018 – Paul Craig Roberts, tidligere assistent til præsident Ronald Reagan og nu en fremragende analytiker af amerikanske anliggender, skrev den 15. jan. sin nationalt syndikerede klumme, som en »alarmklokke« for amerikanerne om at indse, at »Det, der står på spil med Russiagate, er ekstremt: De, der står bag, har planer om et ulovligt kup imod præsident Trump, og de må stoppes«.

De »presse-stituerede«, der arbejder for Det britiske Imperium, kunne »slippe godt fra« at overbevise tilstrækkeligt mange amerikanere om, at Trump må have gjort noget forkert, og at det er retfærdiggjort at fjerne ham. Hvis efterretningstjenesterne kan lykkes med et kup i USA, så er det enden på al demokrati, og alle regeringsgrenene vil blive magtesløse under et diktatur, der regeres af politiorganisationer, skriver han.

De amerikanere, der siger, de ikke behøver Husets Efterretningskomites Rapport, der beviser, at Russiagate er et kupkomplot, fordi de alligevel ikke tror på Russiagate, overser pointen, siger Roberts. De personer, der er involveret i dette kup, må identificeres, anklages og retsforfølges »for højforræderi«. Demokrati sikrer ikke folkets mulighed for at stille regeringen til regnskab, hvis det er en politistat, og det er den kurs, USA har fulgt nu igennem nogen tid, skriver

han. Nu, i en besejring af kuppet mod Trump, ligger vores mulighed for at vende denne kurs.

Federal Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA) domstolskendelsen, der blev brugt til at udspionere Trump og andre, og som er blevet afklassificeret, udgivet og forklaret af NSA-signalekspert Bill Binney, tidligere statsanklager Joseph di Genova og Roberts selv, indeholder indrømmelser fra FBI og Justitsministeriets side om, at de udspionerede og indhentede domstolens kendelse under falske forudsætninger, i overtrædelse af loven. Roberts informerer amerikanerne om, at FISA-domstolen selv har fået indrømmelser fra FBI og Justitsministeriet om, at de på ukorrekt vis spionerede og indhentede en domstolskendelse under falske forudsætninger; dvs., at de løj for domstolen for at få en kendelse, der tillod dem at spionere ulovligt. Roberts siger, »Enhver, der, ligesom Justitsministeriets kontakt Stephen Boyd siger, at Justitsministeriet 'ikke er bevidst om nogen forseelser'«, lyver.

Justitsministeriet har allerede indrømmet sine forseelser over for FISA-domstolen, forklarer Roberts. Da Admiral Rogers, den tidligere direktør for den Nationale Sikkerhedstjeneste, NSA, opdagede, at FBI og Justitsministeriet kaprede spionsystemet af partiske, politiske grunde, bekendtgjorde Rogers, at han ville informere FISA-domstolen. Dette fik FBI og Justitsministeriet til at skynde sig til domstolen foran ham og tilstå »fejltagelser« og love at »stramme op« og undgå det i fremtiden. Roberts siger, »Det er disse 'fejltagelser' og rettelser, som FISA-dokumentet afslører«.

Roberts konkluderer, »Informationen findes allerede i det offentlige rum, som beviser, at Russiagate var en sammensværgelse, der blev organiseret med det formål at bringe USA's valgte præsident til fald«. Han tilføjer, at Rusland og Kina ville se dette kup som »USA's endelige overgang til en politistat«. De ville opgive enhver utopisk idé om at opnå »et kompromis« med USA.

Lyndon LaRouches Politiske Aktionskomite har til hensigt at præstere dette ved at organisere borgere til at vende tilbage til det »Amerikanske System« med Lyndon LaRouches »Fire Love«.

USA's ambassadør Jon Huntsman i kronik i Moscow Times: Vi skal forbedre relationerne

29. jan., 2018 – USA's ambassadør til Rusland, Jon Huntsman, har opfordret til en forbedring af de amerikansk-russiske relationer i en kronik i *Moscow Times*, 26. jan. Huntsman har tidligere været USA's ambassadør til Kina og Utahs guvernør.

Forespurgt om de amerikansk-russiske relationer efter tre måneder i embedet, sagde Huntsman, »Jo, vi gør fremskridt, og vi kan opnå meget mere ved at smøge ærmerne op og finde en konstruktiv vej fremad. Vi ved, at vejen foran os er vanskelig, og at de spørgsmål, der er kommet imellem os, er komplicerede.«

Huntsman fortsatte, »For en bedre fremtid for russere, amerikanere og verden må vi begynde at finde løsninger på de fælles problemer, der konfronterer os i verden. Hvordan kommer vi dertil? Jeg mener, det kommer an på to ting: tillid og resultater.« Han tilføjede, »Det er ingen hemmelighed, at vore relationer er på sit laveste punkt i årevis. Folk i USA har gjort det klart, at de forventer og kræver en forbedring i de amerikansk-russiske relationer.«

Huntsman sagde, at det er en del af hans job som diplomat at

»skaffe resultater«, og at han har aflagt løfte om at »gøre alt, jeg kan, på en åben, ærlig og gennemskuelig måde for at arbejde sammen med mine russiske modparter for at diskutere de vanskelige spørgsmål, der er relateret til vore bilaterale relationer, på samme måde, som jeg er sikker på, ambassadør Antonov gør i Amerika.«

På dagen, hvor den russisk-ortodokse kirke fejrer 'åbenbaringen' (en særlig russisk-ortodoks helligdag, der angiveligt skal fejre Jesu dåb -red.) den 19. jan., dykkede Huntsman ned i iskoldt vand for at bortvaske synder, en russisk-ortodoks tradition, som præsident Putin også udførte på et andet sted.

Foto: USA's ambassadør til Rusland, Jon Huntsman.

Hvad lærte Trump af Davos?

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 28. jan., 2018 – Med præsident Trump, der forbereder sin State of the Union-tale til kommende tirsdag – og som angiveligt skal fokusere på den nationale økonomi og infrastruktur – er det spørgsmål, der må stilles, hvorvidt han tænker over temaet på Davos Økonomiske Verdensforum. Trumps tale i Davos var begrænset til en hyldest til det, han beskrev som et stort, økonomisk boom, som finder sted i USA, men hvor han desværre peger på den massive aktiemarkedsboble som et tegn på dette fremskridt, snarere end som et enormt advarselstegn på det forestående krak.

Selv *Wall Street Journal* advarede for nylig præsidenten og sagde, han burde ophøre med at bruge aktiemarkedet som målestok for økonomisk fremskridt – Wall Street-drengene ved ganske udmærket, at krakket er umiddelbart forestående. Men, hvad der er mere væsentligt, så har, som *EIR* har understreget,

William White, den indsigtsfulde, tidligere cheføkonom for Den internationale Betalingsbank, BIS, den 25. jan. advaret om, at det vestlige banksystem ikke har nogen som helst mulighed for at undfly et sådant krak, eftersom den »fælde«, som de har skabt for sig selv med deres politik for 'pengetrykningsorgie' gennem QE (kvantitativ lempelse), der dækkede over 2008-krakket, ikke efterlader dem nogen monetære løsninger på den nuværende, langt større boble, vi i dag konfronteres med.

Ingen monetær løsning – men der findes en løsning i form af en *kreditpolitik*, og som er blevet anbragt i hænderne på hvert eneste kongresmedlem og indtil flere folk i Trumps kreds, i form af brochuren, »**LaRouches Fire Love: De fysisk-økonomiske principper for USA's økonomiske genrejsning – Amerikas fremtid på den Nye Silkevej**«. [1]

Heraf kommer det ovenstående spørgsmål: Tog præsidenten til sig, at temaet på Davos Forum i år var »Skab en fælles fremtid i en opsplittet verden«, et koncept, der er taget direkte fra Xi Jinpings tale for Davos Forum 2017? Ikke alene blev dette tema hentet fra Kina, men, som *New York Times* i dag anerkendte i en artikel med overskriften, »I Davos var Kina, og ikke USA, måske den store stjerne«, så var hovedtalen af Liu He, Xi Jinpings førende økonom, én af dem, der trak flest deltagere, alt imens »nationale ledere syntes at konkurrere med hinanden i Davos med hensyn til at kræve tættere samarbejde med Kina«.

For at Trump kan træffe beslutning om fuldt og helt at genindføre en politik i det Amerikanske Systems tradition – Hamilton-systemet, der ligger til grund for LaRouches Fire Love – og fuldt og helt tilslutte USA den Nye Silkevej, må britisk efterretnings og deres amerikanske lakajers forræderiske kupforsøg imod ham knuses, og gerningsmændene til deres »Russiagate«-svindelnummer må selv stilles for retten.

Senator Chuck Grassley, med tilslutning fra senator Lindsey Graham, tog endnu et stort skridt i denne retning den 25. januar, hvor de sendte breve til flere topledere i Obamaæraens

Demokratiske Parti og her krævede, at alle dokumenter vedrørende Fusion GPS' og Christopher Steeles miskrediterede dossier blev afsløret for hans senatsretsudvalg – hvordan og hvornår, de hver især fik det forevist, hvordan det fandt vej til Justitsministeriet og FBI, alle deres diskussioner og ordvekslinger med disse retshåndhævende myndigheder, samt alle deres kontakter med Steele selv og med hans britiske agentkolleger.

Interessant nok er navnet Victoria Nuland (tidl. viceudenrigsminister for europæiske og eurasiske anliggender i Obamas regering, -red.) med på denne liste; husk, at Fusion GPS også sammensatte rapporter om Ukraine til Victoria Nuland, da hun kørte Obama-administrationens opbakning af de nazi-bander, der kørte kuppet i 2014 imod den valgte regering i Kiev.

Skriften på væggen er tydelig for alle at se. Det britiske Imperium og dets dødbringende, geopolitiske krige har ingen plads i det Nye Paradigme, der nu bliver virkeliggjort i hele verden. Trump har forpligtet sig til Amerikas venskab med Rusland og Kina, for at opnå dette ædle mål, baseret på en fælles skæbne for alle nationer. Alle verdensborgere må arbejde på at bringe denne plan til udfoldelse.

(Præsident Donald Trumps fulde tale i Davos kan høres [her](#) eller læs talen [her](#))

Foto: USA's præsident Donald J. Trump taler for Davos Økonomiske Verdensforum, 26. jan., 2018.

[1] Se også vores danske banner, [»Vedtag LaRouches Fire Love«](#)

Genopbyg Amerikas hjerteland: Fra 'Rustbæltet' til 'Bælte & Vej'.

LaRouche PAC Internationale Webcast, 26. jan., 2018

Vært **Matthew Ogden**: I dag har jeg en særlig gæst, Bill Roberts, som er med fra Detroit, Michigan. Bill Roberts er LaRouche PAC's kampagnekoordinator for Midtvesten, og vi har også set hans succes mht. at være kandidat til kongressen, hvor han vandt 41 % af stemmerne i det demokratiske primærvalg i Michigan.

Titlen på vores udsendelse i dag er »Genopbyg Amerikas hjerteland: Fra 'Rustbæltet' til 'Bælte & Vej'«. Vores tema i dag er at se på kampagneplatformen til 2018-valget, som LaRouche PAC har udgivet og nu mobiliserer for på nationalt plan, og se på dette gennem Midtvestens linser, det såkaldte 'Rustbælte', der engang var motor for økonomisk vækst i hele USA. Dette er vort lands produktive hjerteland, og dette har været epicentret for kollapset i vareproduktion og den specialiserede arbejdsstyrke i USA. Dette udgør kernen i vores evne til at bringe USA ind i en ny æra for store projekter og økonomisk udvikling, der typificeres af Kinas Bælte & Vej Initiativ; heraf titlen på vores udsendelse, »Genopbyg Amerikas hjerteland: Fra 'Rustbæltet' til 'Bælte & Vej'«.

Kerneindholdet i LaRouche PAC's valgplatform 2018 er, at USA's præsidentskab omgående må vedtage Lyndon LaRouches fire økonomiske love og gå ind i en win-win-relation med Kinas Nye Silkevej. LaRouches fire økonomiske love er præcis det, der er

nødvendigt lige nu, hvis vi ønsker at få midlet til at gå ud af det, der synes at være en »ingen udgang«-situation. Vi er nu i en nedtælling på fire dage til præsident Trumps State of the Union-tale på tirsdag. I takt med denne nedtælling, har vi optrappet vores kampagne nationalt for at sætte dette på dagsordenen: LaRouches fire økonomiske love, og USA må gå med i den Nye Silkevej.

At dømme umiddelbart ud fra præsident Trumps tale her til morgen på Davos Økonomiske Verdensforum, så vil han få brug for en 'omvendelse på vejen til Damaskus' i løbet af weekenden for at komme til at forstå, at, nej – at tale om en aktiemarkedsboble og \$7 billion i såkaldt »tilføjet værdi« eller merværdi på Wall Street, udgør ikke en økonomisk genrejsning! Faktisk udgør det selve problemet. Dette er præcis, hvad William White, tidligere cheføkonom for Den internationale Betalingsbank (BIS), advarede om i et interview, han gav i Davos til Ambrose Evans-Pritchard, og hvor han diskuterede det faktum, at vi praktisk taget uundgåeligt har kurs mod det transatlantiske finanssystems kollaps, af præcis denne grund: de billige penge, nulrentepolitikken, der er blevet gennemført af Federal Reserve og den Europæiske Centralbank (ECB), har skabt det, som William White kaldte et »Catch-22«, et Punkt 22. Hvis disse rentesatser forbliver lave, vil vi have kurs mod en hyperinflationsekspllosion af penge i systemet, og det vil føre os til en Weimar-stil hyperinflation, som vi så det i 1923. Men hvis ECB og Fed beslutter at hæve renten, vil »zombiebankerne« og »zombieselskaberne«, der i de seneste flere år har eksisteret, baseret på denne politik med nulrente, billige penge og kvantitativ lempelse, kollapse indad, og vi vil få et kollaps af systemet i denne retning.

Ud fra William Whites standpunkt, så har denne advarsel »ingen udgang«.

Der er faktisk en udgang, og vi ved nøjagtig, hvad det er, og dette er, hvad præsident Trump omgående må vedtage. Udgangen

består i Lyndon LaRouches fire økonomiske love: Rejs en brandmur i form af Glass-Steagall mellem kommerciel bankvirksomhed og de produktive investeringer, og så alt det mæg, vi har i form af spekulativ værdi på Wall Street og City of London. Lad dette mæg tørre ud og blæse væk; men beskyt de nødvendige, produktive, kommercielle bankvirksomhedsaspekter af vores økonomi. Erstat den spekulative økonomi med et kreditsystem i Hamiltons tradition, hvor man tager billioner af dollars i statslig kredit, via en ny Nationalbank, og dirigerer det, ikke til spekulation, men derimod til reel, fysisk værdi: til storskala infrastrukturprojekter, store projekter, nye industrier, vareproduktion og til en forøgelse af arbejdskraftens produktive evne i USA's arbejdsstyrke; og især – som vi skal diskutere her i dag – i Midtvestens tidligere produktive arbejdsstyrke, og ligeledes bringe USA ind i dette store nye projekts Nye Silkevej.

Dette er, hvad præsident Trump må forstå om økonomi, og vi er i en nedtælling på fire dage til State of the Union, til at sætte dette på dagsordenen. Vores job slutter på ingen måde her; men formålet med denne 2018-valgplatform, som LaRouche PAC har udgivet, er tværtimod at vinde en kampagne, essentielt, for USA's præsidentskab. Vi er naturligvis ikke i et præsidentvalgår, og præsident Trump er den behørigt valgte præsident og vil være vores præsident for de næste tre år, mindst, på trods af bestræbelserne fra Russiagate-kuppets side og hans opponenter, der forsøger at vælte hans præsidentskab; men den kampagne, vi kører, er en kampagne for USA's præsidentskabs *politik*: Det er en kampagne for at vinde *kampen om præsidentskabets politik*.

I dag skal vi diskutere strategien, og Midtvesten, eller USA's industrielle hjerteland, er et af de afgørende elementer i denne strategi. Om lidt vil I få at se, at præsident Trumps sejr i 2016-valgene, i realiteten skyldtes hans sejr i Midtvesten. Han var i stand til at bryde det, der kaldes den »Demokratiske blå brandmur«, og han vendte fire store,

tidligere industrielle rustbæltstater, der havde stemt demokratisk, siden valget af FDR i præsidentielle valg; og han vendte dem og vandt disse stater: Pennsylvania, Michigan og Wisconsin, for ikke at tale om hans sejre i Ohio.

Hvordan gjorde han det? Han adresserede selve det faktum, at både det Republikanske og det Demokratiske Parti havde indgået en 'aftale med djævelen' om en konsensus om, begge at være partiet for frihandel og post-industrialisering. Kandidat Trump sprængte hele denne konsensus i stykker, gik ind og sagde, »Jeg er imod frihandel, vi vil nedlukke NAFTA«, og han sagde i særdeleshed, »vi vil bringe industri tilbage til hjertelandet«. Vi vil få ny vareproduktion, nye jobs, og han krævede endda en »ny industriel revolution«.

Jeg vil gerne give lidt baggrund, før vi kommer til diskussionen, om, hvad det var, præsident Trump fik adgang til, hvad enten, han helt var klar over det eller ej. Men dette er i produktivitetens ånd, og jeg vil faktisk hævde, at dette ikke er Trump-vælgerskaren, men at det er »LaRouche-vælgerskaren«. Og det, vi vil gøre med denne kampagne for at lægge 2018 LaRouche PAC-plattformen på bordet, er, at vi vil organisere denne vælgerskare omkring denne vision, de Fire Loves økonomiske program, og vi vil bruge denne indflydelse til at skabe en revolution i USA's præsidentskabs økonomiske politik.

Lad os gå lidt tilbage i tiden, til det industrielle kraftcenter, som Midtvesten var kendt som, før det fik lov at sygne hen og blive til 'rustbæltet'. Dette skete pga. Franklin Roosevelts mobilisering under Anden Verdenskrig, med at tage det, som var bilindustriens maskinværktøj til biler – i Michigan, Ohio, Wisconsin og i det vestlige Pennsylvania – og at tilpasse disse bilfabrikker og bruge den specialiserede arbejdsstyrke til at lancere det, der blev kaldt »Demokratiets arsenal«.

Så lad os nu gå lidt tilbage i tiden og se på denne nyhedsfilm

fra Anden Verdenskrig, og I vil få at se, hvad vi mener, når vi taler om Franklin Roosevelts Demokratiets arsenal.

(Engelsk udskrift af resten af udsendelsen:)

[Video]

FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT: We must be the great arsenal of democracy.

NARRATOR: President Roosevelt makes an unprecedented 9,000 mile tour of the United States, to see for himself the nation at war. Visiting armament plants from coast to coast, he stops at the giant Chrysler tank arsenal, where he sees the Army's latest mechanized monsters, tested as they come from assembly lines. Then, on to one of Henry Ford's great bomber plants, where the President and First Lady are greeted by Mr. Ford and General Manager Sorensen. Plane workers, delighted with the surprise visit, show the President that wartime production is meeting the goal set, many plants exceeding their quotas.

PRESIDENT ROOSEVELT: We shall send you, in ever-increasing numbers, ships, planes, tanks, guns: That is our purpose and our pledge!

NARRATOR: And the President's words meant action. America became the Arsenal of Democracy. ... Working 24 hours a day, seven days a week, where General Motors is undertaking to produce more than 10% of all war matériel fabricated from metal. Thousands of workmen in four

GM

divisions turn out machineguns in a mass-production basis.
Output is months ahead of schedule...

General Motors has pioneered in applying mass production methods to the manufacture of aircraft. Work goes on day and night under the adept fingers of General Motors men and women. They are producing an avalanche of weapons for victory in General

Motors manufacturing centers all over America. Machine tools, the master tools of industry and of victory are made at a constantly increasing rate... [end video]

OGDEN: So "machine tools, the master tools of industry and victory" are made at an ever-increasing rate. *That* was the Arsenal of Democracy. *That* was Franklin Roosevelt's economic program.

Now, what happened? President Trump, in the 2016 election did what all other candidates have refused to do: He refused to take what he called the "forgotten men and women" of the United

States, very much so, these formerly industrial, skilled labor force, and he said, you will be the forgotten men and women no more.

Contrast that to what Hillary Clinton did, where she took these states – Pennsylvania, Michigan and Wisconsin – took them

for granted, and in fact, never even *went* to Wisconsin for a campaign event – and lo and behold, on Election Night, surprise,

surprise, Pennsylvania, Michigan and Wisconsin, all went for Trump. And in fact, that was the key to his winning the U.S. Presidency.

How did he do it? Well, let me play this clip for you from President Trump's going to Ypsilanti, Michigan to the Willow Run

auto factory, and where he discusses the Arsenal of Democracy,

and calls for the creation of new industrial revolution.

PRESIDENT DONALD TRUMP: Great Americans of all backgrounds built the Arsenal of Democracy, including the legendary Rosie the

Riveter, who worked here at Willow Run. You know that. [cheers]

Seventy-five years ago, during the Second World War, thousands of

American workers filled this very building, to build the great new airplanes, the B-24 Liberator, at peak production – listen to this – it's not the country that we've been watching over the

last 20 years: They were building one B-24 *every single hour*.

[cheers] We don't hear that, we don't hear that any more, do we?

We'll be back, we'll be back, soon. The most amazing people.

And while that's incredible, it's a tribute, really, to the teamwork, determination and patriotism that lives on today, in each and every one of you. Great people – you're great people.

Now, these hundreds of acres that defended our democracy are going to help build the cars and cities of the future. So I ask

you, – that's fine, 'cause you're rushed – so I ask you today to join me in daring to believe that this facility, this city, and this nation, will once again shine with industrial might.

[cheers]

I'm asking you to place your faith in the American worker and these great American companies. [applause] I'm also asking

you, to respect, and place your faith in companies from foreign

lands that come here to build their product. We love them, too.

Right? We love them, too. [applause]

I'm asking all of the companies here, today, to join us, in this new industrial revolution: Let us put American workers, American families, and American dreams first, once again. May God bless the American worker. May God bless the Motor City. And may God bless the United States of America. Thank you, thank you. [cheers]
[end video]

OGDEN: And there you have it. So let's put on the screen here, the electoral map, and this is a very interesting map [Fig. 1] and I'm actually going to ask Bill Roberts to discuss it with us a little bit. But this was published by the *Washington Post* immediately after the election victory by President Trump. And you'll see here, the title is "The Former Obama Strongholds Sealed the Election for Trump." And I'll let Bill describe what we're looking at, but you'll see there, the concentration is in the rust belt, in the former industrial heartland, there, and that's the region of the country we're talking about here, right now. So Bill, tell us what we're looking at in that map and explain to us exactly what the strategy for victory here, has to be.

BILL ROBERTS: Sure. Matt, let me just start out by saying that there was recently an article published by a local representative, representing Macomb County [Michigan], I think one of those counties that was an Obama-voting county,

probably

voted for Obama twice, and then shifted and voted for Trump. What this local elected official was arguing for a decent high-wage, what's called a prevailing wage, for union employees.

And he made the point in that document that this policy actually

started with Henry Ford; it started with Henry Ford's decision that he was going to pay his workers \$5 a day to produce cars, and this wage would allow them to be able to buy the car that they were producing. So this is the coalition of producers which

we now have to mobilize to define, the standard of competence on

which national elected officials are going to run their campaigns.

Now, let me bring back up this map, here: What you're looking at, these are the districts across the country that voted

for Obama twice, as in the dark yellow; and in the light yellow

are districts that voted for Obama once. But all of these shaded

areas then were the counties that switched, that swung and voted

for Donald Trump in 2018. So these are traditionally Democratic

areas, where Trump went in and campaigned, where Hillary Clinton

did not, and he really made his focus the "forgotten men and women," who were part of this very advanced – I think "rust belt" is a kind of derogatory term, because in fact, the labor forces associated with these regions, whether they be farmers or

skilled workers, produce extremely advanced products, to the tolerances of a thousandth of an inch, or even smaller. And Trump tapped into something that Lyndon LaRouche identified

later, which is that, this was part of actually a global process of voters rejecting the failures, the failed policies of the trans-Atlantic financial system, the destruction of the skilled workforce; the overrunning of these areas with an epidemic of drugs, of opiates; the failed regime-change wars. And they voted for the policy, and not the party. And so, these are obviously going to be areas in which both the parties are going to be looking in the election to try to swing the vote. The problem is, neither party has the policies that can address the dire situation that these forgotten men and women find themselves in. Neither party's leadership has a competent program to be able to directly address these blue collar and rural districts in the upper Midwest, in terms of the kind of economic destruction they've seen. So, it really falls upon the campaign of the LaRouche Political Action Committee, and what we have to find is the standard of competent that can actually rebuild these areas. The LaRouche Four Laws, the identification of the necessity of the United States cooperating with China and countries that we can align our credit systems with, in order to actually capitalize a national infrastructure bank and a full economic recovery. I would just say that, you know, you have Democrats on the one hand, who continue to push the fraud of the Russiagate investigation, as if this hasn't been disproven, and moved to other slanders against Trump, such as the Durbin fraud of the racist remarks that Trump allegedly said. None of the voters in these swing areas, they absolutely hate this kind of stuff. And

then, on the Republican side, Trump has really got to be able to break with this GOP/Wall Street backed leadership orientation within his own party, in order to be able to address, similarly, this voting base. Because Wall Street- backed policies are not going to finance an economic recovery. Trump has already said that the public-private partnerships are not going to function to build the vast amount of infrastructure that's required. So the LaRouche Political Action Committee and our team here in the Midwest, are looking at races of interest, not necessarily ones that are going to come down to Republicans versus Democrat, but maybe even ones where there's an interesting difference in the party primary first – in other words, in the immediate period, in the immediate campaigns, is there an Obama-backed candidate, for example, who is running against someone who has the support of building trades, of engineering societies? Has a real interest in the revival of the productive economy, and this is our domain to shape. As you said Matt, this is really a LaRouche constituency. And I've been in these areas: I mean, these are people, that voted Democrat in every election in their entire life, and then they voted for Donald Trump. And it was the question of the "fair trade not free trade," it was the issue of bringing back manufacturing; it was the commitment to seeking solutions beyond geopolitics, beyond the regime-change wars that have been, really disproportionately hitting these post-industrial and urban communities that have made up a disproportionate number in the

Armed Forces recruitment.

So, if you look, there is 53% of these communities that shifted over and voted for Trump after having voted for Obama: This is an interesting demographics of producers who are clamoring for real leadership. There is a profound, profound vacuum of leadership, that I know from our forays into the state

legislatures in the recent weeks, are really demanding a way in

which – and they have not found this solution outside of what we

have presented to them – but a way in which you can actually capitalize, a sustained and thorough, scientific-driven, infrastructure-driven economic recovery over the next 10, 15, 20

years. And what strikes these local elected officials more than

anything, is that they have not been presented with any other plan at all that even identifies an approach to amassing the kind

of investment that you will get with the LaRouche plan and that

you will get with the cooperation of the United States with China

and with countries like Japan.

So, I think it's an extremely fertile situation if we intervene with a kind of vigor now to define the only competent

solution which exists for candidates and for constituency groups

to demand that those candidates campaign on.

OGDEN: And that's exactly the declared intention of this 2018 Platform from LaRouche PAC, is to seek out those constituency groups, but more so to create those constituency groups that are going to, through leadership and organization, will demand this scientifically informed economic agenda; what

LaRouche has laid out. Glass-Steagall to erect a firewall; reorganize the financial system; national banking as Alexander Hamilton did it; trillions of dollars in Federal credit for infrastructure, new industries, productive employment; and then all under a driver. Like the same kind of driver you saw there for the Arsenal of Democracy; that was a mission orientation. The kind of mission orientation that we need today is the space program and for fusion power. These are the kinds of drivers that create the top-down organization that economic activity can participate in, and then will feed into and have a self-reproducing kind of increase in productivity. Now what happened in Detroit, and what happened in Michigan, and what happened in the Midwest, was not something that was just a crisis of the last few years. This has been decades and decades in the making, and it goes back even before NAFTA. What occurred was a loss of that commitment that Franklin Roosevelt had to productivity and to productive employment. We actually, Bill, you and I worked together to produce a video several years ago, around the time that Detroit was forced to declare bankruptcy. It was called "Detroit: A Test Case for Genocide". In that video, we put together an animated graphic that showed the population increase in Detroit due to the mobilization around the Arsenal of Democracy; but then following that, and with the abandonment of that commitment to industrial production, the population decrease which has occurred for several decades, and

which has now gotten to a critical point. So, this is actually an animated population graphic, and I would like to just put this on the screen. You can listen to the narration there. This is from the original video, "Detroit: A Test Case for Genocide".

VIDEO: The population of Detroit began to explode around the turn of the 20th Century; increasing exponentially around 1910. However, with the crash of 1929 and the onset of the Great Depression, the population of Detroit began to level off and even decline for the first time in its history. It wasn't until Franklin Roosevelt's Arsenal of Democracy that the population began to grow again, surging to its maximum in 1950 with a population of over 1.8 million people; making Detroit the fifth largest city in the United States at the time. However, after 1950, the population began to drop once again, slowly at first, but accelerating over time. By the year 2000, the population had collapsed to under a million, and by 2010 to 713,000; less than the population was a century before.

A more than 60% drop from its peak in 1950; a loss of over 1 million people. This will only continue to accelerate at an ever-increasing rate under the bankers' dictatorship now controlling the city. [END VIDEO]

OGDEN: That was the despair and the crisis which really has been many generations in the making in Michigan, in Detroit, that Lyndon LaRouche was seeking to resolve when he called for a new re-tooling of the auto industry back in 2012 to 2013, and even

prior to that around the bankruptcy of the Big Three [automakers]. What he was calling for at that time, was to say

“Let’s re-tool the auto industry, and let’s use this machine tool

capability – the ‘make anything’ industry – to build the kinds of lock and dams, the bridges, the high-speed rail, the components for nuclear power plants; the kind of materiel that you would need to mobilize an emergency economic recovery of the

United States. The fact that that wasn’t done, has created even

worse conditions of impoverishment and despair. As you pointed

out, Bill, some of the pockets of the worst opioid epidemic are

in these former industrial, former skilled labor communities.

This is the constituency which elected President Trump, but what

has to happen if President Trump is going to deliver on the promises that he made? How is this going to be mobilized? What kind of economic recovery, what form is that going to take now from the standpoint of the Midwest?

ROBERTS: Well, if the news media had actually reported what Trump did when he was in China, Trump secured \$254 billion in direct investment into these various states you’re talking about.

West Virginia, which has been decimated by Obama and by the drug

epidemic, West Virginia is set up to receive \$84 billion in direct investments from a Chinese company, as a result of the trip that Donald Trump took to China and the friendly cooperation

of China and the United States, facilitated through these two leaders – the President of China and President Trump. Now, that’s more money than any known proposal proposes to have the

Federal portion, the Federally-funded, matched portion of investment in U.S. infrastructure. You look at any plan coming from Democrats, that's more money than the Federal government is going to capitalize in an infrastructure program. So, the first question on anyone's mind who now knows that – if you tell that to them – since the media is not readily reporting that is, "How is China able to invest so much in infrastructure?" Of course, the answer is that China has an American System policy bank; that's how China is able to capitalize these vast development programs across the continent of Asia into Africa. Now of course, China and Japan are both willing to put probably a total of about \$1 trillion or more into capitalizing a policy bank in the United States. It doesn't have to be a direct investment. A number of direct investments by China were rejected on the basis of supposed security concerns. So, they don't have to be direct investments, but we can simply capitalize a national bank and then utilize the approach we have in the past, such as a new gas tax, to finance such debt, such a national banking structure. I think this is something that used to be very commonplace; this is how Franklin Roosevelt did things, this is how Abraham Lincoln did things, and it's a kind of forgotten method. If this would have been reported that, in fact, this is the dynamic that exists in the world that is driving massive development throughout the planet, then everyone

would be talking about this already. Everyone would be wanting to know how China is able to do this. They would be demanding that the very Henry C Carey system that the Chinese love to study so much is exactly the basis on which we now unleash a 10-15 year process of massive infrastructure investment; and that the way that you pay for this, is through massive revolutionary breakthroughs in technology keyed off of breakthroughs in the manned space mission and the expansion of NASA, and in fusion power. That it's the revolutionary scientific advancements, not money per se, which actually is what pays for this process. The Chinese understand this, too; which you can see in their fusion program and in their highly developed and growing space program. The media has certainly been aiding and abetting an unfortunate process in this country; where the elections will tend to be very partisan, low level, least common denominator kinds of discussions; hot-button topics. But it doesn't have to be. Everything that we've just gone through here in terms of the history of how the United States has been a productive country and has been a scientifically revolutionary country driven by the machine tool sector, and has had institutions that make it possible to finance such revolutionary developments; that these are not only available to the United States, but that this is already a process driving most of the world. In fact, the President of the United States has been the most open President, and is very open to working with these other countries within

this very sort of dynamic. So again, our objective – we have to sort of evangelize; because there are so many people out there who, if they simply knew what was happening in the world and if they had the LaRouche Four Laws solution at their fingertips, they would gladly demand it. They would gladly reach across the aisle to work – Republicans working with Democrats on mobilizing big Federal expenditures for infrastructure; Democrats gladly dropping the insane anti-Trump tirades, and instead urging him to break with Wall Street, and reach across the aisle and work with Republicans who are willing to collaborate on an anti-Wall Street policy, an American System policy along with Trump. So, we found tremendous openness.

But we don't want to just go to the candidates for the endorsement and for them to campaign on these policies – on the New Silk Road, on ending the coup against the President, and on LaRouche's Four Laws. But rather, we want to get to their base of support – the skilled labor unions, the professional organizations, the engineers, the voting blocs in general and the state legislatures, the super constituents. We have to have an accelerated process of educating these individuals on the unique LaRouche solution that you are not going to get from the party leadership at this point, who are really too much stuck in the old paradigm. But if we introduce these constituents to the

New

Paradigm, sure, of course, gladly they will take that instead of this lame discussion that you'll otherwise get at these candidates' debates.

OGDEN: And LaRouche PAC is uniquely positioned to do that; that's why it's so necessary that we put out this platform, this statement of intent and that we're conducting a national mobilization. LaRouche PAC, especially there in the Midwest with the productive labor force, the working class constituency, LaRouche PAC has an extraordinary amount of authority on the ground among those kinds of labor organizations and productive workers. I would say also Bill, you personally have an extraordinary amount of authority because of what you have been engaged in there for years; including, as I mentioned at the beginning, a Congressional campaign that you ran in 2012 there. You got 41% of the vote in the 11th Congressional District there in the 2012 Democratic primary. Now, I'd actually like to play a clip for our viewers of testimony that you gave in front of the Detroit City Council in 2012, when this entire rigging of the LIBOR rate came up and the city was dealing with "Oh my gosh! How are we going to repay these debts and are we going to have to declare bankruptcy?" Here's the intervention that you made around Glass-Steagall and the necessity of immediately instituting this kind of Franklin Roosevelt policy. So, this is testimony from July 24, 2012 at the Detroit City Council.

ROBERTS

: My name is Bill Roberts. I am running for U.S. Congress, and I do so for the same reason I'm here today, which is that if I were not here to say what I'm saying today, no one would say it. I'm calling on the Detroit City Council to reject any cuts that endanger the lives of human beings, and instead to publicly call for and fight for the reinstatement of House Resolution 1489, the reinstatement of Glass-Steagall; which both Congressmen from Detroit are co-sponsors of, to break up the too-big-to-fail banks. The reason why I bring this up today is because it is clear that 75% of major cities enter into interest rate swaps. These interest rate swaps were rigged against cities at the highest level; at the LIBOR – the London Inter-Bank Offered Rate. This is murder. This is not insider trading; this is murder. It has resulted in cuts to departments that have killed people. There are people at the highest level involved in this. I call upon the Detroit City Council to stand up and have the guts to tell the private bankers that they are going to jail.

OGDEN: Now, within the next year, Detroit was forced to declare bankruptcy under Rick Snyder and financial manager Kevyn Orr. And exactly one year later, in July of 2013, Lyndon LaRouche went on record and was asked what has to be done to save

the city of Detroit, to save the entire industrial heartland, and what kinds of solutions are on the table? He talked about Glass-Steagall, but he talked about an expanded Glass-Steagall solution. So, I'd like to just play this clip from Lyndon LaRouche for you.

LYNDON LAROUCHE: What is the situation of the United States in terms of its economic development over the period, say the last really effective Presidency went down? What happened, particularly with two terms of the Bush family and this latest phenomenon, is that the economy of the United States virtually does not exist. And that's true in the case of the auto industry in particular, which is the center of this whole thing with Detroit, is the auto industry. It's not just the auto industry in Michigan, nor is it in the northern states around Michigan. It goes all the way through the entire system – north, south, east and west. The U.S. economy does no longer function! And there is no hope for this nation under the present conditions, unless we change those conditions radically. Therefore, we have lost the auto industry. Do you know how important the auto industry was? Do you know how important back in 2005 and so forth when we fought to save the auto industry? And I was playing a leading part in that fight. Do you realize what happened when the auto industry went down? Do you realize that we no longer are a nation capable of meeting our own needs? Look at the food supply. What's the food supply of the United States? How do parts of the farm area work? Nothing works! Especially since George W Bush became President. Since that point, there has been a disintegration throughout Europe and throughout the

United States and other parts of the world. We no longer have a sustainable economy. What we have is the possibility, with special efforts, to revive the economy. Now, what we're going to have to do – we've got some people in Detroit, for example. They're unemployed, essentially. There are few of them left in the other odd industries that they fled into as machine tool specialists and so forth. What we're going to do is create a new industry, based on the core of the skilled people who can play a key leading part in assembling a replacement for what used to be called the auto industry. The real name for the auto industry as it was since World War II, is the machine tool industry; that's its character. So, our job is, in the case of Detroit, you cannot solve this economic problem by sitting there or by following some politician's recipes. What we can do is seize control of the situation. Only through Glass-Steagall can we save the United States; otherwise the United States is doomed without Glass-Steagall. Because there is no agriculture, there is no machine tool system, there is no labor production of any significance; that's it. So therefore, unless we get Glass-Steagall in, we will not be able to make an immediate change from the kind of economy on which you're operating now, which is a hopeless failure. By changing quickly to bring agriculture back, to build up the water systems that we

need for feeding our people; all these things depend upon Glass-Steagall. Not just Glass-Steagall itself, but an expanded

version of Glass-Steagall.

Therefore, the issue is, unless we can seize the hands of power in the United States and organize the government to behave

like the government, not like it's been doing recently; and go in

there and put Glass-Steagall into effect quickly. Having done that, we're going to have to – in addition to Glass-Steagall – we're going to have to create a credit system to supplement Glass-Steagall in order to finance the things that have to be built up in terms of production which are needed to restore this

nation. Without those actions, there's no hope. You don't have

a chance; there is no other option. Grab the United States; put

it back to business as best you can, and use some innovation.

But above all, apply Glass-Steagall as I know how to do it; and

some other people also know. The very fact that we restore the

confidence of the people in their own nation – that is, the United States – by taking immediate action; which means large amounts of fundraising and fund contributions to get farming and

industry back going immediately. We have to have – just as Roosevelt did during the period of the onset of the Depression,

his first years. We had people; we put them to work. They weren't really producing anything; they were stuck in there with

shovels and picks and so forth out in the streets. They weren't

really producing things, but they were there; and they had a

job.

And they had the beginnings of a family income, and they had a future. That's what Roosevelt gave them, and that's what we now

have to give the people of the United States. We cannot give them much, because the friends of the Bush family have stolen so

much there's not much left for real people. But we can restart

the process of production; restart that; and that we can do.

And

that we {must} do. Without Glass-Steagall, we cannot do it.

So, the lives of the people of the United States depend upon Glass-Steagall. And Glass-Steagall can only be delivered by Glass-Steagall Plus. Glass-Steagall Plus means that we're going

to take the junk that is junk, and we're going to cancel it.

Most of this banking crap is worthless; there's no value in it.

So why are we continuing to bail it out in a hyperinflationary rate? We don't need it. Put the thing through processing, and

you will find that when you go through the paperwork, all these

banking systems, the Wall Street crowd, all of them; how much of

these things they claim they own are actually real? I don't know

if they could come out with a penny of it for a giant. So

therefore the point is, we have to restore the United States; get

rid of this crap, and do what Franklin Roosevelt did. It's going

to be more difficult than what Franklin Roosevelt faced in his time, but the principle is, we've got to do it. That's the answer; we've got to do it and get the message across to the people. That's the only thing; there is no other chance.

Forget

this Republican nonsense; they're just wolves trying to find a place to bark in. But that's the answer, and there is no other answer.

OGDEN: So, that was from 2013, but as you can see, that's the core of Lyndon LaRouche's Four Economic Laws; that's the entirety of the program. That's the kind of voice of authority

that we have to come into the scene with, and speak with that kind of forcefulness, that kind of authority. That's exactly the

voice of Lyndon LaRouche that this constituency bloc can be formed around. As I said, what Trump tapped into – whether he knew it or not – is indeed the LaRouche constituency there in the heartland, in the industrial Midwest.

So, Bill, I just wanted to let you make some concluding points, but this is the theme. We can very rapidly take this so-called Rust Belt and bring it into the Belt and Road Initiative; and bring the New Paradigm of great projects into the

Midwest and awaken that kind of optimism. So, Bill, I invite you to just go ahead.

ROBERTS: Well, I think what Lyn said right there is absolutely key; that's it. People got brainwashed into thinking

that money is the key to wealth; that money is economy. And Lyn

said "No. Cancel a lot of that money. We don't need the money."

People said, "Cancel the money? How can we do that?" The point

is, you don't need it, and what you need is, you need the machine

tool capability, you need the advanced farming, and you need the things that go along with that. I wish we would have had a graphic in terms of where the funding goes in a national credit system, because that's really what he was addressing here. But the key is, you need the credit. We can build everything we need to. The people, the "toolies" in these areas as they call them, in these counties where people switched profile and voted for Trump; they understand this. They understand how what is central to an economy; what is essential to a productive workforce. The issue is credit. You don't need Wall Street trying to make 11%-12% off of any money that they loose from their hands. What you need is to organize the credit; then the people can build the economy. You don't need the straitjacket of this monetary system. In fact, if Trump doesn't move against this Wall Street financial bubble, this will bring the country down. It's a ticking time bomb right now, waiting to go off; as William White and others have said. This thing is ready to go. If this is not moved against with the Glass-Steagall policy, we're looking at a complete and utter disaster. But the good news is, we don't need it. It's simply that the American citizenry, the people watching this today, have to take it as a personal challenge that we have

to create among these constituencies of the country, the notion that there is a standard of competence for Federal office. That standard of competence is the comprehension of this principle; this non-monetarist credit system principle that we have been discussing today. I guarantee that if you do that, people will listen.

OGDEN: Well, let me put on the screen one more time "A Campaign for Victory: The Campaign to Win the Future". This is the electoral platform that LaRouche PAC has put out for 2018. And Bill, you're right in the middle of mobilizing the constituencies there in the Midwest. We need a national mobilization to endorse this platform; not only candidates for office, but Bill, as you said, the building trades, the labor unions, the productive workers, the agricultural organizations. These are the constituency bases that need to come to understand this as principle. The link is there on the screen: LPAC.CO/YT2018. This is the LaRouche PAC election platform for 2018.

We've got a lot of work to do, because it is our responsibility to communicate what you just said, Bill. This is a non-monetarist principle; it means that you have to raise your level of comprehension of what economics is really all about. This is not monetarism, this is not Wall Street; this is a question of what makes mankind a unique creative species, and how is that reflected in national economic policy. So, that's what is contained in the LaRouche PAC 2018 Campaign to Win the

Future.

We ask you to join our mobilization; endorse this, and become a part of what we're doing nationally. This is our strategy for victory.

So Bill, thank you very much for joining me here today.

It's good to hear from you; it's good to hear what's happening out there in the Midwest, and we look forward to being in touch a

lot more. I think we can look forward to a real mobilization.

So, thank you very much.

And thank you for tuning in to larouchepac.com. Please stay tuned; we have a lot of work to do, and we'll see you next week.

Thank you. Signing off, this is Matthew Ogden. Good night.

**Forrykte neokonservative
sætter
krig på dagsordenen:
Bestræbelserne på at
gennemføre
Russiagate-kuppet må
nedkæmpes.
Helga Zepp-LaRouche i**

Schiller Institut

Nyt Paradigme Webcast, 25. jan., 2018

pdf og video

Vi har brug for en politisk diskussion om, hvor skal menneskets fremtid være om 50 år, om 100 år fra nu, og ønsker vi at blive voksne, som art, hvor folk skatter andre ting end blot materielle ting? Folk bør, mener jeg, tænke over det faktum, at vi befinder os ved en korsvej, hvor, hvis vi gør vores job ordentligt, lige nu, og bringer USA og de europæiske nationer ind i samarbejde med den Nye Silkevej, så kan vi få en totalt ny civilisationsæra, sandsynligvis i vores egen levetid. Og jeg vil appellere til vore lyttere, til dig, om at kontakte os, gå sammen med os, hjælpe vore bestræbelser og gør de ting, vi siger, mere kendt.

Download (PDF, Unknown)

Ukraine bevæbnes til krig for at skifte Trumps

samarbejds mulighed med Rusland og Kina til krig. Politisk Orientering 25. jan., 2018

Formand Tom Gillesberg: *Godaften og velkommen til disse spændende tider, hvor vi på den ene side har dette utrolige momentum, som Kina har formået at skabe globalt for økonomisk udvikling, for opbygning, for industrialisering, for infrastrukturprojekter, der, i lighed med, at det er lykkedes med at løfte 700 millioner kinesere ud af fattigdom, gør det muligt inden for en overskuelig årrække at flytte alle mennesker, der lever på Jorden i fattigdom i dag, ud af den.*

Det perspektiv har nu, som dem, der har fulgt med, vil vide, fået en utrolig vigtig opbakning i form af, at Emmanuel Macron, den franske præsident, har besluttet sig for, at det projekt, som kan skrive ham ind i historiebøgerne – og tro mig, det er, hvad det drejer sig om for Macron som præsident; han skal lave noget 'stort', han skal blive en stor personlighed – det er at gå med i det kinesiske Silkevejsprojekt, i Bælte & Vej Initiativet ...

... Nu er Donald Trump på vej, eller er måske ankommet, til det Økonomiske Verdensforum i Davos i Schweiz, som har fundet sted her fra den 23. jan. og slutter i morgen (26. jan.), og så må Trump jo så give afskedssalutten ...

Men der er en meget stor delegation fra Kina, inkl. hans [Xi Jinpings] vigtigste økonomiske rådgiver, Liu He, som netop er blevet valgt ind i Politbureauet i forbindelse med den sidste partikongres, men som allerede i en årrække har været en meget tæt rådgiver til Xi Jinping i økonomiske spørgsmål og i en omlægning af den kinesiske økonomi, hvor man dels sagde meget

direkte, at, man har et korttidsmål for den kinesiske økonomi, som er at øge pr. capita-indkomsten fra \$8.000 til \$10.000 inden 2020, og gerne mere. Jeg vil bare sige, at lige dér, i en nation med 1,4 mia. mennesker, hvor ambitionen er at hæve den gennemsnitlige indkomst med 25 % i løbet af to år; det er ret vildt! I Danmark er man glad, hvis man har en reallønsfremgang på 1-2 % – 25 % på to år, wow! Men det sagde han faktisk. Og så fortsætter man derfra, men på længere sigt, så drejer det sig om at få den kinesiske økonomi fra at være meget statsstyret til at være meget selvkørende i form af udbud og efterspørgsel. ...

Men, siger han, så er der tre kampe, der skal kæmpes på vejen: For det første, så skal man forhindre eller stå imod de risici, der er netop nu, frem for alt økonomiske risici; så skal man reducere fattigdommen, og så skal man have udryddet forureningen. Det er det, det drejer sig om for det kinesiske lederskab.

Og som sagt, centralt i det her er risikoen, den finansielle risiko, risikoen på den økonomiske front, som man i Vesten ikke vil tale om! Men som er helt centralt i det, Kina gerne vil diskutere, inkl. nu, i Davos. Chefen for den Asiatiske Infrastruktur-Investeringsbank (AIIB) og en hel masse andre kinesere, der også er til stede; man vil forsøge at sætte på den økonomiske dagsorden: Hvad gør vi ved disse systemrisici, der er netop nu? Hvordan forhindre vi, at det går helt amok? Fordi, som bl.a. White, der nu sidder i OECD, men som tidligere også var i Bank of International Settlements (BIS), og som har været ude mange gange med kritik – han er cheføkonom hos OECD nu – jamen, man har et problem. Man er fanget, fra de vestlige regeringers side, fra centralbankernes side, i en meget uheldig situation. Man har, siden 2008, lavet alle disse kvantitative lempelser; man har pumpet penge ud og pumpet penge ud og pumpet penge ud. Nu, med en stigning i inflationen rundt omkring, er der ingen snak; man skal til at trække nogle af de penge hjem igen. Man skal til at have mere

normal, økonomisk aktivitet; man skal til at have stigende renter. Problemet er bare, at man har skabt en kronisk afhængighed i store dele af den økonomiske verden af gratis kapital. Man snakker åbent, i Bank of International Settlements eller andre steder om, at disse 'zombie-banker' eller snarere 'zombie-firmaer'; dvs., at måske 10-20 % af de store firmaer rundt omkring ikke kan overleve, den dag, de ikke kan låne pengene gratis. Det er ligesom danske landmænd, for den sags skyld, de har et kæmpe gældsbjerg, men det er ikke noget problem, så længe renten er lav; skal du så til at betale 1 %, 2 %, 3 %, det samme, som nogle danske husejere kan få grå hår i hovedet over; det gør en mega forskel. Der er stor forskel på at køre rente- og afdragsfrit, og så til bare at skulle til at betale renterne. Når du går fra nul til noget, er det rigtig hårdt. Om det er 10 eller 20 %, det er et enormt højt tal, som står til, at de store virksomheder – allerede nu er der General Electric og en række store firmaer, der allerede nu går ned med flaget, fordi bankerne ikke vil blive ved at låne dem penge. Men det er toppen af isbjerget. Det her venter på at ske ...

Hør hele Tom Gillesbergs analyse af de seneste politiske begivenheder: Video og lydfil.

Lyd:

Sandheden siver ud om Russiagate -svindelen; Vi skal optrappe for at besejre det britiske kup

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 24. jan., 2018 – Sandheden siver ud om Russiagate-svindelen, og om, at den særlige anklager Robert Mueller blev sat ind for at være en »juridisk lejemororder«. Næsten hver dag kommer der nye afsløringer om dette, som åbner op for muligheden for at en optrapping for at besejre hele den britiske kupoperation kan finde sted i takt med den voksende fare for et finansielt blow-out og en krig, der drives frem af de neokonservative.

Blandt de seneste bekræftelser på kuppets *modus operandi* er tidligere topmedarbejder i den Nationale Sikkerhedstjeneste (NSA) Bill Binneys vurdering, at FBI's påstand om at have »mistet« fem måneders tekstbeskeder mellem to anti-Trump topagenter i FBI, Peter Strzok og Lisa Page, »stinker langt væk«. Binney har i løbet af denne uge i flere interviews forklaret, hvilke NSA-kapaciteter, der er involveret, til at opfange, sikre og spore kommunikationer.

Én af tekstbeskederne mellem Strzok og Page, der rent faktisk er offentliggjort, og som er fra dagen efter valget 8. nov., 2016, henviser til deres plan om at ty til et møde i et »hemmeligt selskab« nu, da Trump har vundet. I går sagde senator Ron Johnson (R-WI), formand for Komiteen for Indenlandske Sikkerheds- og Regeringsanliggender, at han har en meddeler, der ved, at sådanne private samtaler i et »hemmeligt selskab« faktisk fandt sted, på lokaliteter uden for FBI. Han og senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), formand for

Retsudvalget, sendte et brev i går til Justitsministeriets generalinspektør, og som med frist til 29. jan. krævede at vide alt om disse »mistede« tekstbeskeder, inklusiv, hvorfor Justitsministeriet/FBI med hensyn til dem svarede Kongressen undvigende.

Med hensyn til Robert Mueller selv, så kommer afsløringer af hans forbrydelser i forbindelse med 11. september, 2001, nu i stigende grad frem i offentligheden, med rapporten fra *Florida Bulldog* fra 18. jan., der siger, at Mueller, som en FBI-topembedsmand, med sin underskrift godkendte FBI's mørklægning af saudiarabere i Sarasota, der havde forbindelse til angrebene 11. september, en rapport, der nu tages op i andre medier.

Kravet om at få disse forbrydelser og alle andre løgne og tilsløringer frem i dagens lys, og dernæst gøre en ende på dem, vokser. I dag kom kongresmedlem Walter Jones (R-NC) med en erklæring, der støttede det nye memorandum fra formanden for Husets Efterretningskomite, kongresmedlem David Nunes (R-CA), om FBI/FISA's meddelagtighed i 2016. Jones brugte historiske vendinger: Jeg har altid været stærk tilhænger af gennemskelighed og åben regering. Jeg ledede de succesfulde initiativer for at afklassificere de '28 sider' af rapporten om 11. september og afklassificere tidligere ikke-frigivne statslige filer om mordet på præsident John F. Kennedy ...«

I dag gentog senator Grassley sin anmodning til Justitsministeriet om at åbne en kriminel efterforskning af den »forhenværende« britiske efterretningsagent Christopher Steele. Både Grassley og Nunes har et memorandum, som de arbejder for, skal afklassificeres og offentliggøres.

Netop, som Russiagate synker, foregår der en optrapning for et fremstød for regulær krig, og som er anstiftet af den samme hoben britiske kupmagere, gennem neokonservative og neoliberale aktiver. Det seneste udtryk herfor er en ny, multinational enhed, der blev dannet i Paris den 23. jan. og

brugt som platform for at angribe Rusland: »Internationalt Partnerskab imod Straffrihed for Anvendelse af Kemiske Våben«. Den syriske regering anklages for at bruge kloringas i denne uge. Desværre gik USA's udenrigsminister Rex Tillerson med på sagen og sagde, at Rusland er »ultimativt ansvarligt« for angreb med kemiske våben i Syrien. Han sagde desuden, »Ruslands fiasko mht. at løse spørgsmålet om kemiske våben i Syrien sætter spørgsmålstegn ved Ruslands relevans i løsningen af den overordnede krise«.

Det bør bemærkes, at Tillerson kom til Paris direkte fra London, hvor han i sidste weekend mødtes med geopolitikens inderste kredse. Man ser den britiske hånd i Det Hvide Hus mht. Syrien; og ligeledes i den historiske udredning af den berygtede, britiske efterretningsagent Christopher Steeles involvering i Ukraine, og senere, i Russiagate.

Bagtæppet for alt dette er det monetaristiske finanssystems forestående undergang, som den kendte BIS- og OECD-økonom William White i denne uge identificerede som et »Catch-22«, et Punkt 22, hvor politikken med den kvantitative lempelse (QE) ikke kan fortsætte uden, at der kommer et blow-out; men at stoppe det vil også indebære et blow-out.

Op over alt dette hævdede de rapporter sig, der blev aflagt på Davos Økonomiske Verdensforum i dag, på panelet, »Bælte & Vejs indvirkning på verden.

Præsident Xi Jinpings topøkonom, Liu He, talte om, hvad Kina gør på den hjemlige og den internationale front for fælles udvikling. Der er rent konkret et nyt momentum for dette på den vestlige halvkugle, hvor der i denne uge blev lagt planer for Bælte & Vej Initiativet i Syd- og Mellemamerika og Mexico, af Kina og Sammenslutningen af Latinamerikanske og Caribiske Stater (CELAC).

Ved at bryde det britiske kup, kan dens modstandere, USA, blive befriet til at deltage i den Nye Silkevej i alle de

amerikanske kontinenter og videre endnu, til fordel for verden.

Foto: FBI-direktør modtager applaus under præsident Barack Obamas bemærkninger i Det Hvide Hus' Rosehave, 21. juni, 2013. Præsidenten annoncerede James Comey, højre, som sin nominerede kandidat til at efterfølge Mueller. (Official White House Photo)