

Oversvømmelser i USA fulgt af demokratisk samarbejde med Trump. Vil USA få en ny start? POLITISK ORIENTERING 7. sep. 2017

v/ formand Tom Gillesberg.

lydfil:

https://soundcloud.com/si_dk/oversvømmelser-i-usa-fulgt-af-demokratisk-samarbejde-med-trump-vil-usa-fa-en-ny-start

Amerikanerne responderer til Houston-katastrofe – Vil de handle for at redde nationen?

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, 6. september, 2017 – Mens katastrofen i Houston, fremkaldt af orkanen Harvey, fortsætter, braser den største, atlantiske orkan i moderne historie, kategori fem-orkanen Irma, tværs over Caribien og forventes at have ramt Haiti, Cuba og Puerto Rico, når du læser denne leder, og vil umiddelbart herefter ramme Florida. En kompetent infrastrukturplan var blevet foreslået for Texas under

Kennedy-administrationen, som ville have fjernet den forgangne uges værste rædsler – hvis den var blevet gennemført. I tilfældet med disse caribiske øer, hvor den eksisterende infrastruktur er forfalden eller ikkeeksisterende, er det tvivlsomt, om evnen til at overleve Irma er til stede.

I hele USA blev, under de 16 år med Bush og Obama, billioner af dollars smidt ind i bailouts af spillekasinoer på Wall Street, alt imens yderligere billioner blev spenderet på at ødelægge lande i Mellemøsten og overgive dem til terroristbander og drev millioner af mennesker ud af deres hjem som flygtninge i hele området og ind i Europa. I dag må Koreahalvøen, iflg. neokonservative, der er et levn fra Bush- og Obamaæraen, blive næste mål for deres mislykkede militære eventyr – til trods for, at bogstavelig talt alle mentalt raske mennesker advarer om, at resultatet ville blive en katastrofe for hele den menneskelige art.

Ikke desto mindre lærer størstedelen af verden nu fra første hånd, hvordan Kina har løftet 700 millioner mennesker ud af fattigdom på kun tredive korte år, med den Nye Silkevej, der bringer infrastruktur, industri, sundhedsfaciliteter og håb til de nationer og befolkninger, der i løbet af de seneste flere hundrede år har været genstand for udplyndring under koloni- og postkoloniæraen, under vestlig dominans.

Aktivister i LaRouche-bevægelsen i USA rapporterer, at amerikanere i stigende grad nu anerkender det »nye paradigme« (som Helga Zepp-LaRouche kalder det), der nu vokser frem af det kinesisk-russiske samarbejde og skaber nye, globale institutioner som BRIKS, den Eurasiske Økonomiske Union og Shanghai Samarbejdsorganisationen. Disse nye organisationer rækker nu ud til Afrika, Latinamerika og Asien – og endda til Europas døende økonomier – gennem nye, finansielle institutioner (f.eks. AIIB og BRIKS' Ny Udviklingsbank) og tilbyder kredit til fysisk udvikling uden IMF's politiske og økonomiske »betingelser«, som har holdt nationer i underdanighed til britiske og amerikanske diktater.

Men disse amerikanere forstår ofte ikke, hvorfor USA ikke gennemgår en lignende udviklingsproces. De er blevet forledt til at tro på den monetaristiske myte, at frihed betyder briternes og Wall Streets frihed til at køre økonomien, og verden, som de ønsker. I takt med, at New York Citys undergrundsbane bryder sammen, hvorfor gør vi så ikke det, kineserne ville have gjort, og bygger et helt nyt system med den absolut nyeste teknologi, i stedet for ynkeligt at forsøge at lappe på denne hundrede år gamle monstrøsitet? I takt med, at Houston drukner, hvorfor så ikke gøre, hvad kineserne gjorde for at redde tusindvis af liv hvert år, og byggede verdens største dæmning og vandkraftsystem, med de Tre Slugters Dæmning? Det samme selskab, der byggede de Tre Slugters Dæmning, udfører nu forundersøgelser til at redde Centralafrika gennem Transaqua-projektet, der vil transportere vand fra Congofloden til den skrumpende Tchadsø. Hvorfor har USA ikke bygget NAWAPA-projektet og vandstyringsprojektet i Houston og lignende storstilede projekter, som Kennedy, sammen med sit rumprogram, foreslog?

For at kende svaret på dette spørgsmål, må vi »få tingene til at bryde frem« i USA, som Lyndon LaRouche i dag sagde. »Vi må gøre det – tal ikke om diverse 'enkeltspørgsmål'; tal om kendsgerningerne. Det må gøres for menneskeheden – intet mindre. Og tiden er inde – sejren vil være inden for rækkevidde.«

Det eneste, der står i vejen, er Det britiske Imperium – hvilket vil sige institutionerne i London og på Wall Street, som har fået lov at bevare »Federal Reserves uafhængighed« og det »frie markeds« fjernelse af Glass-Steagall og relaterede reguleringer af banksystemet. Det var de politikker, som FDR gennemførte, og som gjorde det muligt for ham at dirigere den statslige kredit til den mest massive infrastrukturudvikling, verden nogensinde havde set, eller ville se igen, frem til nutidens Ét Bælte, én Vej, der kommer fra Kina. Nu er den amerikanske økonomi brudt sammen, og Wall Street-bankerne er

bankerot og forsøger desperat at redde deres spillegæld ved at udplyndre, ikke alene udviklingslandene, men også den amerikanske økonomi og det amerikanske folk.

Størstedelen af det amerikanske folks respons til katastrofen i Houston var den, at tilsidesætte de medieskabte »spørgsmål«; spørgsmål, der blev skabt med det formål at gøre mennesker små og skabe kunstige konflikter og smålige eller irrelevante bekymringer, alt imens deres verden kollapser i økonomisk forfald og permanent krigsførelse. Men, efter Harvey, tog tusindvis af mennesker til Houston og tilbød deres hjælp for at redde mennesker, der var nødstedte, det være sig Demokrater eller Republikanere, hvide eller sorte eller brune, endda med deres eget liv som indsats.

Trump blev valgt, fordi han var forpligtet over for at afslutte dette økonomiske forfald og politikkerne for de evindelige krige for »regimeskifte«.

Hans bestræbelser på at bygge en arbejdsrelation med Rusland, og for at gå sammen med Kina i den Nye Silkevej, er løfterigt for USA's deltagelse i dette nye paradigme. Briterne og deres aktiver i USA er desperate for at stoppe ham i at gennemføre denne plan, som ville betyde enden på Imperiet.

I dag påpegede Helga Zepp-LaRouche den inspiration, som alle amerikanere føler, der ser de uselviske handlinger hos de tusinder af mennesker, der handler for at hjælpe dem, der nu lider nød i Houston. Hvis vi skal indgyde i amerikanerne, styrken til at handle imod Imperiet, til at befri nationen og verden for City of Londons og Wall Streets diktater, sagde hun, må vi gøre alt, der står i vores magt, for at opløfte folk til det sublimes, det ophøjedes niveau, i Schillers forståelse – for i sig selv at finde den godhed, der er mere dyrebar end selve livet, den godhed, i hvilken hvert enkelte menneskes evne til at handle til gavn for menneskeheden generelt, findes. Intet mindre end dette vil lykkes, og alligevel, som Lyndon LaRouche sagde, så, hvis vi handler på

denne vis, er sejr inden for rækkevidde.

Foto: 2-dages grafisk vejrudsigt for det atlantiske tropeområde. (weather.gov)

Gør atter Amerikas infrastruktur stor: Gå med i Kinas globale initiativ; Drop truslen om krig

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, 5. september, 2017 – Øjeblikket er stadig modent for amerikanere til at lære af de enorme menneskelige og økonomiske tab som følge af orkanen Harvey: Byg den nye infrastruktur, der burde have været bygget for længe siden, for at forebygge, at disse katastrofer berører amerikanere deres hjem, jobs, ufortalt rigdom og endda livet. Vend det sammenbrud, der finder sted i slow-motion, af forældede infrastrukturplatforme, på hvilke den amerikanske økonomi har arbejdet.

For henved 50 år siden lavede staten Texas et udkast til planer for et omfattende system til vand- og oversvømmelseskontrol, som ville beskytte statens Golfkyst-byer fra oversvømmelse – og dens yderste, sydøstlige kyst og øvre sletter fra tørke – ved at koble mange damme og reservoirer sammen via en lang kanal langs kysten, der kunne flytte overskydende vand mellem flodbassiner. Dette var i det samme årti, hvor JFK og RFK arbejdede for en vandmanagementplan i det vestlige USA ved navn North American

Water and Power Alliance – det Nordamerikanske Vand- og Elektricitetssamarbejde – et dusin gange større end Tennessee Valley Authority – til overvindelse af ørkendannelse og til kunstig vanding af landbrugsjorder.

Behovet for at bygge sådanne nye og højere teknologiske infrastrukturplatforme mindskes ikke, fordi en økonomi, der køres af Wall Street, og mange krige har blokeret for dem. Der kommer et nyt, historisk øjeblik, hvor de må udføres. Vi er nu blevet bragt til dette punkt, med tre, store amerikanske byer, der er blevet fuldstændig ødelagt af orkaner på lidt over et årti, og med økonomiske tab, der langt overstiger prisen for at bygge disse store projekter.

Dette kræver mere end blot store udgifter, der vedtages af Kongressen til katastrofehjælp – selv om FEMA's katastrofemidler vil være brugt ved slutningen af denne uge, med endnu en alvorlig orkan, Irma, der nærmer sig. Det vil blive nødvendigt at udstede statslige kreditter for billioner til infrastruktur i de kommende par år, noget, der kun kan gøres gennem statslig bankvirksomhed efter Alexander Hamiltons model og gennem den form for storstilet kredit til projekter, som blev udstedt af præsident Franklin Roosevelts Reconstruction Finance Corporation.

Kongressen må hurtigt skabe begge dele, som det er angivet i LaRouche PAC's Erklæring om en Nationalpolitik af 30. aug. Kongressen må ligeledes genindføre Glass/Steagall-bankopdeling for at sikre, at private banker trækkes ud af Wall Street-spekulation og er med i en genopbygning af finansiering. NASA må genoplives og spille en førende rolle, som denne udviklings videnskabelige drivkraft.

Dette betyder, at vi må mobilisere for de »Fire Økonomiske Love for at redde nationen« fra 2014, forfattet af EIR's stiftende redaktør, Lyndon LaRouche. Og Kinas og BRIKS-landenes Bælte & Vej Initiativ for globalt samarbejde om finansiering og byggeri af nye, storstilede

infrastrukturprojekter, er den ramme, vi må tilslutte os.

Betänk, at USA konfronteres med tre kriser: Wall Street, som trækker det hen imod endnu et finanskollaps; truslen om atomkrig over Koreahalvøen; og sammenbruddet af fundamentet for dets økonomiske liv, infrastrukturplatforme. Præsident Trumps oprindelige plan for sit præsidentskab, som var at samarbejde med Rusland og Kina og koncentrere os om at genopbygge Amerikas infrastruktur, ville have ført til en anden og bedre situation end disse kriser. Amerikanere må skride til handling, og handling nu, for at komme til denne plan.

LaRouche PAC må gå i spidsen for at få denne påtrængende nødvendige politik; andre vil følge efter.

Foto: Ruslands præsident Vladimir Putin, Kinas præsident Xi Jinping og hans hustru Peng Liyuan før receptionen, hvor formand Xi Jinping var vært for BRIKS-ledere og lederne af de inviterede staters delegationer. 4. sept., 2017. (en.kremlin.ru)

**Lyndon LaRouche:
Sabotage af min politik ved
århundrede-
skiftet har gjort Korea til
en mulig**

gnist til atomkrig

4. sept., 2017 – Mens verden med bæven ser på Nordkoreas magtfulde demonstration af atomvåben og USA's trusler om krig, mindede Lyndon LaRouche verden om, at det var sabotage af hans politik for »fred gennem udvikling«, først fra George Bush' og Dick Cheneys, og dernæst Obamas, side, som forårsagede den nuværende krise. »Rammeaftalen« fra 1994 under Bill Clinton arbejde på at afslutte Nordkoreas atomvåbenprogram, med inspektører fra IAEA på stedet, til gengæld for økonomisk samarbejde, da Bush og Cheney skrottede den til fordel for militære trusler og konfrontation. Igen i 2002, da den sydkoreanske præsident Kim Dae-jung, med opbakning fra Rusland og Kina, genoptog sin »Solskinspolitik« med at åbne for økonomisk samarbejde med Nordkorea, afviste Bush og dernæst Obama samarbejde, alt imens de beskyldte Nordkorea for at »snyde«.

Kendsgerningen er, at briterne og deres neokonservative kohorter i USA ikke ønsker en løsning, eftersom krisen i Korea retfærdiggør deres bestræbelser på at ramme Kina, økonomisk og militært. Dette bliver klart i dag gennem Washingtons respons til den opfattede trussel fra Pyongyang.

USA's inkompetente ambassadør til FN, Nikki Haley, sagde i dag ved hastemødet i FN's Sikkerhedsråd, at Nordkoreas leder Kim Jong-un »tigger og beder« om krig og sagde, at USA er i færd med at udarbejde et udkast til en resolution, der skal til afstemning i næste uge, og i hvilken »USA vil anse ethvert land, der gør forretninger med Nordkorea, som et land, der hjælper deres hensynsløse og farlige atomare hensigter« – dvs., at målet er at ramme Kina, såvel som også Rusland. Finansminister Steven Mnuchin, Wall Streets aktiv i Trump-administrationen, sagde søndag til Fox News, at han arbejdede på sanktioner, der ville medføre, at »enhver, der ønsker at handle eller gøre forretninger med [Nordkorea], ville blive forhindret i at handle eller gøre forretninger med os«. Han

taler formentlig om at udelukke visse kinesiske banker og selskaber af USA's finansielle system – hvilket er sindssygt.

Dette gør det ligeledes klart, at målet også er at ramme Trump, mere bestemt hans bestræbelser på at opbygge et arbejdsvenskab mellem USA og både Kina og Rusland, og som er baggrunden for den igangværende »farvede revolution« imod ham af forrædere i begge partier.

Selv tweetede Trump, at Kina »forsøger at hjælpe, men uden meget held«, og beskyldte Sydkorea for at »formilde«, når det på nogen som helst måde ønskede at indgå i en relation med Nordkorea. General Mattis udstedte en erklæring, der sagde: »En hvilken som helst trussel mod USA eller dets territorier – inklusive Guam eller vore allierede – vil blive mødt med en massiv militær respons, der både er effektiv og overvældende.«

På den anden side udstedte den sydkoreanske præsident Moon Jae-ins kontor en erklæring, der sagde: »Korea er et land, der har oplevet en krig mellem brødre. Krigens ødelæggelser bør ikke gentages i dette land.« Moon har udtrykkeligt erklæret, at præsident Trump har forsikret ham om, at der ikke bliver nogen militæraktion imod Nordkorea uden Seouls godkendelse. Ikke desto mindre optrapper Moon-regeringen tempoet for deployeringen af THAAD-missiler i dets land (på trods af stærk opposition fra Kina og Rusland) og diskuterer med Washington, deployeringen af amerikansk, strategisk militærudstyr i Sydkorea, inklusive et atomarmeret hangarskib og strategiske bombefly.

Både Kina og Rusland fordømte kraftigt Nordkoreas atomtest, men gjorde det klart, at Washingtons afvisning af forhandlinger med Pyongyang, eller af at indskrænke sine militærøvelser, der truer Nordkorea, ligeledes er ansvarlig for krisen. »Det er min personlig opfattelse, at der slet ikke ville have været nogen konflikt, hvis USA var holdt op med at bevare konflikten«, sagde den russiske vice-premierminister og præsidentielle udsending for Fjernøsten, Yuri Trutnev, til

TASS. »Hver gang, Nord- og Sydkorea synes at være ved at komme overens, og spændingerne begynder at lette, begynder der straks nogle flådeøvelser, der endda understreger en plan for øvelser, hvis formål er at angribe Pyongyang, hvilket er en direkte provokation«, sagde Trutnev.

Talsmand for det Kinesiske Udenrigsministerium, Geng Shuang, sagde mandag til reportere, at Nordkorea må være meget klar over, at FN's Sikkerhedsråds resolutioner forbyder sådanne aktiviteter. Men Geng tog også afstand fra truslerne mod Kina. Han sagde, at Kina anså det for uacceptabelt »med en situation, hvor vi på den ene side arbejder for at løse dette spørgsmål fredeligt, men hvor vore interesser på den anden side udsættes for sanktioner og sættes på spil. Dette er hverken objektivt eller rimeligt.«

China Daily skrev i en leder: »Der er ingen tvivl om, at handlingerne fra den Demokratiske Folkerepublik Koreas side ... er grov overtrædelse af relevante resolutioner i FN's Sikkerhedsråd og har voldt alvorlig bekymring i området og globalt. Men det hjælper ikke situationen, at Trump tweeter angreb på DFK og kritiserer Sydkorea og Kina.« Lederartiklen fortsætter med at fremføre, at »den fundamentale fiasko for Trumps strategi er, at den sætter for store håb til en stramning af sanktionerne, en strategi, der i årtier har vist sig at være en fiasko«. Lederartiklen fortsætter »Hvis Trump har ret, når han siger, at Kina 'forsøger at hjælpe, uden meget held', så skyldes det, at USA ikke har lyttet til Kinas råd, såsom at genoptage sekspartsforhandlingerne og direkte kontakter mellem USA og DFK og acceptere den 'tosidede suspendering', hvor USA og Sydkorea stopper deres militærøvelser og DFK suspenderer sine atomtests.«

Putin, Xi Jinping, Abe, Moon og andre vil mødes i denne weekend, nogle på det igangværende BRIKS-møde i Xiamen, og dernæst på det Østlige Økonomiske Forum i Vladivostok, hvor Korea vil stå højt på dagsordenen.

Et svangert øjeblik

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, 4. sept., 2017 – De aftaler, der nu indgås på BRIKS-topmødet, der finder sted 3.-5. sept. i Xiamen, Kina, og som er forbundet med det umiddelbart efterfølgende Østlige Økonomiske Forum i Vladivostok, i det fjernøstlige Rusland, vil få stor betydning for udformningen af menneskehedens fremtid i resten af dette århundrede. BRIKS er, som Kinas præsident Xi Jinping påpegede her, en helt ny skabelse inden for verdenspolitik – det er ikke en alliance, men et partnerskab, på vegne af interesserne hos både partnere og dem, der ikke er partnere. Og selv om dets medlemmer alle er lande under udvikling, så er BRIKS nu ansvarlig for 60 % af al vækst i verdensøkonomien i officiel målestok – og for meget mere i en sand videnskabelig målestok. BRIKS eksemplificerer, ligesom Bælte & Vej Initiativet, som det er nært forbundet med – såvel som også Shanghai Samarbejdsorganisationen – det nye paradigme, som Lyndon og Helga LaRouche i årtier har været de intellektuelle fortalere for. Geopolitik har totalt slået fejl. BRIKS er den bølge, der fører til fremtiden. Hvor er det uheldigt, at amerikanere og vesteuropæere ikke må læse om dette og stifte bekendtskab med det; i så fald ville den stærke tendens mod en fornyet moral og enhed i nationen, som vi nu ser bryde ud i hælene på katastrofen med orkanen Harvey, være endnu stærkere.

Det er ikke tilfældigt, at endnu en farlig Koreakrise bryder ind på netop dette håbefulde øjeblik, som signaleres af BRIKS-topmødet og disse forandringer i USA, der finder sted fra det ene øjeblik til det næste. Se på, hvor det finder sted – på Kinas grænse, og på grænsen til Rusland, og til USA's traktatpartner Sydkorea, og tæt på Japan. Vil vi give lov til, at dette potentiale bliver sprængt i luften? – evt. sammen med

en hel masse andet? Under diskussioner om denne seneste Koreakrise i dag sagde Lyndon LaRouche, at det var et direkte resultat af »udefrakommende kræfters« ødelæggelse af den succesfulde politik til at forebygge krig gennem udvikling, som han havde fastlagt i 1990'erne.

Under diskussioner i dag påpegede medlem af LaRouche PAC Policy Committee, Diane Sare, det potentiale, der pludselig mærkes i USA, for, at Kongressen, præsidenten og det amerikanske folk kan komme sammen på vegne af dette lands reelle behov, som det udtrykkes i Lyndon LaRouches Fire Love fra juni 2014, og i USA's tilslutning til den store verdensmission for menneskehedens fremme, som nu anføres af Kina og Rusland.

Vi nærmer os det historiske momentum for fundamentale forandringer, som vi i så lang tid har forberedt.

Foto: Et møde mellem BRIKS-ledere og ledere af delegationer fra inviterede stater. Xiamen, Kina, 5. september, 2017. (en.kremlin.ru)

BRIKS - topmøde peger på Løsningen

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, 3. september, 2017 – En verden, der trues af den aktuelle fare for atomkrig, som det for nyligt blev understreget af Nordkoreas sjette atomvåbentest i denne weekend, og med økonomisk ødelæggelse, frembragt af, at man har forsømt at investere i nødvendige fremskridt inden for infrastruktur og videnskab, som i Texas og på hele den Mexicanske Golfkyst, ville gøre klogt i at koncentrere sig om BRIKS-topmødet, der er begyndt i Xiamen, Kina, og som finder

sted 3.-5. sept.

Kinas præsident Xi Jinping, der er vært for topmødet, erklærede, at »fred og udvikling«, og ikke »konflikt og konfrontation«, er den politik, planeten har brug for. Han påpegede de »voksende finansielle risici«, der konfronterer verden under det nuværende system, og opfordrede i stedet til, at »vi forfølger en innovationsdrevet udvikling«. For at opnå dette, holdt han Kinas Bælte & Vej Initiativ frem som eksempel og angreb sladderens om, at det blot skulle være endnu en geopolitisk plan for dominans:

»Lad mig udtrykke dette klart: Bælte & Vej Initiativet er ikke et instrument, der skal fremme nogen som helst geopolitisk dagsorden, men er derimod en platform for praktisk samarbejde. Det er ikke en plan for ulandshjælp, men derimod et initiativ for indbyrdes forbunden udvikling, der kræver ekstensiv rådslagning, fælles bidrag og fælles fordele. Jeg er overbevist om, at Bælte & Vej Initiativet vil udgøre en ny platform for, at alle lande kan opnå win-win-samarbejde.«

Og, for denne verdens Thomas Tvivlere, har vi Kinas fænomenale og igangværende præstation med at løfte over 700 millioner af sin egen befolkning ud af fattigdom, og landets indsats for at gøre det samme i planetens mest udfordrende og forarmede områder – Afrika, Haiti osv.

Rusland under Vladimir Putin er helt enig i forpligtelsen til denne Xi Jinpings win-win-politik – samt til den underliggende idé om menneskets kreativitet, der driver den fremad. I en dialog den 1. sept. med flere end 1 million unge russere i klasseværelser i 24 regioner i hele landet, fastlog Putin pointen med veltalenhed og enkelhed:

»Dit mål er ikke bare at lave noget nyt. Det er selvindlysende. Det vil faktisk blive noget nyt, baseret på, hvad der tidligere er skabt. Dit mål er at tage et skridt fremad ... I, som nu begynder på et nyt liv, må lægge jer dette

på sinde, være opmærksom på det og ikke kun gøre det bedre end forudgående generationer, men gøre det bedre på et nyt niveau ... For at vi kan bevare vores suverænitet og gøre livet for vort folk og de fremtidige generationer, jeres børn og børnebørn, bedre end i dag, er det bydende nødvendigt at gøre kvalitativt nye fremskridt.»

Der er således en grund til, at Lyndon LaRouche bliver ved med at påpege den globale lederskabsrolle, som i dag præsteres af Rusland og Kina, og behovet for, at USA bliver helt integreret i Bælte & Vej Initiativet. Præcis, som der også er en grund til, at Det britiske Imperium er fast besluttet på at gøre netop disse to nationer til mål for udslettelse, og ligeledes på at gøre Trump-administrationen i Washington til mål for ødelæggelse, for at Trump ikke skal leve op til sin forpligtelse om at forbedre båndene til, og samarbejde med, Kina og Rusland. For den idé, som Putin forelagde for disse millioner af unge russere, er selve den hjørnesteen, på hvilken selve USA blev grundlagt.

Foto: BRIKS-ledermøde i det udvidede regi. (en.kremlin.ru)

**Houston, vi har en løsning:
Hvad der nu må gøres.
LaRouche PAC Internationale
Webcast,**

1. september, 2017

... denne orkan Harvey, der virkelig er uden fortilfælde mht. ødelæggelser ... dette må være momentet for at initiere en fuldstændig ny holdning hos amerikanerne og vores nationale regerings skifte til en helt anden politik, og det er formålet med den nøderklæring, vi har udstedt.

... det må genkalde en holdning, som vi mistede i dette land, der går tilbage til mordet på John F. Kennedy, men faktisk går tilbage til Franklin Roosevelts død. Og den måske mest signifikante case study, vi kan anvende, er TVA, som du nævnte, Tennessee Valley Authority.

Vært Matthew Ogden indleder webcastet med at oplæse Lyndon LaRouches erklæring, »Ikke flere Houston-katastrofer: Lyndon LaRouche siger, hvad det er, der må ske 'lige med det samme'«

Det efterfølges af en rapport fra repræsentant for LaRouche-bevægelsen i Texas, Brian Lantz, om den aktuelle situation i Houston og en analyse af de nødvendige forholdsregler, der må tages.

Herefter vises et klip fra en film, der blev produceret under Franklin Roosevelts præsidentskab, om det store infrastrukturprojekt, kaldet TVA – Tennessee Valley Authority.

Her følger en dansk oversættelse af dette videoklip og Matthew Ogdens kommentarer.

Efter dette følger komplet engelsk udskrift af hele webcastet.

Min. 31:20 (FDR-klip minuttal 35:15):

Brian Lantz (slutning): Hvor skal pengene komme fra? Det er selvfølgelig det forkerte spørgsmål at stille. Spørgsmålet er, hvad er det for institutioner, vi må skabe? Det er præcist, hvad LaRouche foreslår: Glass-Steagall, statslig kredit, en nationalbank, der kan finansiere strømmen af statslig kredit

til at bygge de nye TVA'er, de nye projekter, der kræves for at sikre ikke alene kysten her, men også for at påbegynde en stor, økonomisk genrejsning af USA til dets position i verden.

Matthew Ogden: Netop, og denne orkan Harvey, der virkelig er uden fortilfælde mht. ødelæggelser, som du gjorde det meget, meget klart, Brian – dette må være momentet for at initiere en fuldstændig ny holdning hos amerikanerne og vores nationale regerings skifte til en helt anden politik, og det er formålet med den nøderklæring, vi har udstedt.

Og, som du sagde, så må det genkalde en holdning, som vi mistede i dette land, der går tilbage til mordet på John F. Kennedy, men faktisk går tilbage til Franklin Roosevelts død. Og den måske mest signifikante case study, vi kan anvende, er TVA, som du nævnte, Tennessee Valley Authority.

Hvis vi går tilbage til før Franklin Roosevelt og før New Deal, havde vi hele områder af landet, der var underkastet såkaldte naturkatastrofer, og som simpelt hen var forsømte. Det måske mest signifikante område i landet, det mest bemærkelsesværdige, var den såkaldte Tennessee-dal. Det er meget ironisk, at Tennessee-dalen, der er et helt område ikke blot i Tennessee, men omfatter alle disse tilgrænsende stater, er det område, der har den største totale regnmængde på det amerikanske kontinent.

Og hvad er så den årlige regnmængde i Tennessee-dalen? Den er på 51 inches (ca. 130 cm) om året; det, vi netop har oplevet i Houston, er 51 inches på fem dage eller mindre. Det er simpelt hen en svimlende mængde vand, der kom ned fra himlen! Men Tennessee-dalen var også udsat for meget lange perioder med voldsomme regnmængder, for det meste som følge af orkaner, der spredte sig – virkningen af orkaner, der kom op fra Golfkysten (den Mexicanske) og så fortsatte ind over denne centrale, sydøstlige del af USA.

Hvad skete der? Franklin Roosevelt, der blev citeret i vores

nøderklæring, sagde, at det, der behøvedes, er »handling, og handling nu. Nationen kræver handling«. Han sagde: Vi vil ikke tillade denne ødelæggelse, som Tennessee-dalen oplever år efter år, og som skaber et af de mest forarmede områder i hele verden: Dette var Appalacherne – evnen til at læse og skrive var i bund, malaria, det øverste jordlag, der blev vasket bort. Man havde virkelig vilkår som i den Tredje Verden, lige midt i kontinental-USA. Roosevelt sagde, nej, vi vil gøre noget, som ingen før har gjort, og vi vil ikke blot forsøge at kontrollere en enkelt flods strøm, men vi vil tage et helt flodbækken, og vi vil ikke alene kontrollere dette vand hydrologisk, men vi vil indsamle energien fra dette vand og sætte det til at arbejde til gavn for de mennesker, der bor dér.

Jeg har en video, jeg gerne vil vise vore seere, et ca. 4 min. langt klip, som blev lavet af Roosevelt-administrationen. Den blev ironisk nok produceret det år, Roosevelt døde, i 1944. Men den viser, hvad Tennessee-dalen var udsat for, og det bør faktisk minde jer om de videoklip, I netop så fra Houston; men den viser også, hvad der blev gjort fra Roosevelt-administrationens side og filosofien, der lå bag TVA. Her kommer klippet:

Fortæller: Ødelæggelse fra himlen. Sådan var det, år efter år, i et glemt område af USA. Dette var den ødelæggelse, der var forårsaget af grådighed og neglekt, med mænd, der arbejdede alene og uden hjælp mod naturens kræfter. Landbrug, byer, industri – smadret; hundreder druknede, tusinder blev hjemløse. Flodens energi, der gik til spildevand – og menneskenes energi ligeså.

[Landmand] Henry Clarks problemer var 3 millioner amerikaneres problemer i Tennessee-dalen. Det blev 130 millioner amerikanere i 48 staters direkte anliggende, en udfordring for demokratiet og dets evne til at drage omsorg for sine egne folk.

Tennesseefloddalen [kort] ligger i det sydøstlige USA. Det dækker et område på 40.000 kvadratmil, næsten lige så stort som England. Det var et problem, der handlede om genopbygning; genopbygning af land og af mennesker. Demokratiet bestod prøven: Det fandt mændene, der kunne overvåge jobbet – James P. Polk, national senator fra det vestlige USA; Harcourt Morgan, præsident for Tennesseees Universitet, og som havde udarbejdet et landbrugsprogram for hele området; David Lilienthal, administrator og fortaler for en lov om kooperativ elektricitet; George Norris, en stor, amerikansk statsmand, der længe havde drømt om regional planlægning, om at etablere et nationalt eksperiment i én region, der kunne fungere som målestok for alle regioner. Dette var planen [kort over progressiv udvikling], at tøjle floden gennem en række gigantiske dæmninger, der kunne kontrollere oversvømmelserne, og åbne floden for sejlads fra dens udspring til dens biflodere tilstrømning; at lade fordelene ved moderne videnskab og forskning komme farmerne til gode; at hjælpe dem til at kontrollere vandet på deres jorder og genoprette jordens frugtbarhed, at genbeplante millioner af acres med skov på de hærgede bjergsider, at udvinde områdets mineralressurser; at bruge elektriciteten, skabt af dæmningerne, til at udvikle og rehabilitere industri i byerne, at føre elektricitet til landbrugsejendommene gennem et landligt kooperativ; og frem for alt, at bevise, at menneskelige problemer kan løses gennem fornuft, videnskab og uddannelse.

Tennessee-dalen skulle atter engang blive en fremskudt grænse, denne gang for at blive udviklet, ikke udplyndret; denne gang ikke til fordel for de få, men for de mange, som boede dér. Disse mennesker var de nye pionerer, arkitekterne, forskningskemikerne, landbrugseksperterne, elektricitetsfolkene, ingeniørerne, der designede vandkraftværker: Deres metode var at kontrollere naturen, ikke ved at trodse den som i den ødsle fortid, men ved at forstå den og tøjle den til gavn for menneskeheden ...

(Slut video)

Ogden: Det var sådan, amerikanere plejede at tænke; dette var Franklin Roosevelt-administrationen, dette var New Deal. Lad mig blot lige gentage for jer, hvad I netop har hørt: Fortælleren sagde, hvad var formålet med Tennessee Valley Authority, dette projekt over alle projekter? »Frem for alt, at bevise, at menneskelige problemer kan løses gennem fornuft, videnskab og uddannelse. Tennessee-dalen skulle atter engang være en fremskudt grænse ... for at blive udviklet ... ikke til fordel for de få, men for de mange ... Disse mennesker var de nye pionerer ... Deres metode var at kontrollere naturen, ikke ved at trodse den ... men ved at forstå den og tøjle den til gavn for menneskeheden ...«

Det er sådan, amerikanere tænker.

Engelsk udskrift:

HOUSTON, WE HAVE A SOLUTION': WHAT MUST BE DONE NOW

MATTHEW OGDEN: Good afternoon. It's September 1, 2017. My name is Matthew Ogden, and I'd like to welcome you to our Friday evening webcast here on larouchepac.com; our strategic overview for the end of this week. This has been a very dramatic week, and I've asked Brian Lantz, who is a LaRouche PAC organizer in Houston, Texas to join us for our broadcast here today. He's been on the ground; thank you Brian. Welcome. He's been on the ground there in Houston. He survived Hurricane Harvey, and he has seen firsthand the devastation. Those of you who were on the LaRouche PAC Fireside Chat last night, got to hear a preliminary overview report

from

him. Also, Brian Lantz will be the featured guest at the LaRouche PAC Manhattan Town Hall meeting tomorrow afternoon in New York City on Saturday. But we've asked Brian here to review

with us the extent of the devastation and the necessary solutions

that Hurricane Harvey should prompt us to usher in for the nation.

Before I get to Brian, I am going to read to you in full the emergency policy statement that has been issued by LaRouche PAC,

which is available at the LaRouche PAC website. You'll see the

text here right on the screen from larouchepac.com [Fig. 1].

The

title of this statement is "No More Houstons! Lyndon LaRouche Says What Must Happen Right Now!" So, the statement reads as follows:

"The catastrophe in Texas is a man-made disaster accomplished by the criminal negligence of this nation's elected

officials, who have continued to support Wall Street's speculative economy and imperial ambitions while arguing that the

nation cannot afford to rebuild and replace its ancient and broken-down economic infrastructure. For the third time since 2005, major American cities have been flooded and their people devastated, because the plans for new infrastructure to protect

the people, requiring tens of billions in investments, have been

ignored and turned down. Hurricane Harvey now looms as the worst

national disaster in our nation's history and it is a disaster which did not have to happen.

"In 2005, Hurricane Katrina killed nearly 2,000 people and

wreaked \$130 billion in economic losses. Only then, slowly, new flood-control and sea gate infrastructure was built – at last – for New Orleans, at a fraction of the human and monetary costs of the damage inflicted by the storm. How many unnecessary deaths and suffering could this project have averted?

“Four years later, the American Society of Civil Engineers met in Manhattan to discuss several storm surge barrier options for the New York City region. The estimate for the largest of these was \$9 billion. The government decided to do nothing. Then, in 2012 Superstorm Sandy killed more than 100 people and caused \$65 billion in economic losses. New York area residents now are going through a ‘Summer of Hell’ as the 100-year-old regional transportation system, flooded and damaged five years ago, also was not repaired or replaced at the necessary pace.

“The staggering economic and human suffering caused by Hurricane Harvey in the Texas and Louisiana Gulf region are not yet known, and will grow in magnitude as the water recedes; but, what has been known for many years, is that Texas Gulf cities are flood-prone, and have repeatedly flooded. Yet, no flood control or storm protection infrastructure has been built since the end of World War II. Plans for a new system for the Houston area had been drafted, but their \$25 billion cost was deemed ‘too high’ a

price tag for our Wall Street-dominated agencies and elected officials. Now, hundreds of billions of dollars, and priceless human lives, are lost.

All of these disasters, and others in the recent period, could have been averted for a fraction of their eventual cost in lost

wealth, let alone in lost lives. The media insist to Americans that each city's disaster is caused by its particular economic habits, its choice of location, its squabbling jurisdictions, its

ignoring of climate change, or its being close to water! This is

nonsense. Wall Street, which has been bailed out repeatedly to the tune of trillions of dollars, with nothing but increased impoverishment of the American people to show for it, must no longer be allowed to dictate the economic policy of the United States of America.

"|'The nation calls for action, and action now!' in President Franklin Roosevelt's words. During his presidency, and

through the 1940s, the new infrastructure to prevent such 'natural disasters' – such as the Tennessee Valley Authority – was funded by national credit, as through the Reconstruction Finance Corporation and the Works Progress Authority.

"Hurricane Harvey drowning cities in East Texas should be the national alarm which ends 70 years in which the country has

been without any such national credit institutions."

The next subhead is titled "A Sea Change Is Required".

"Lyndon LaRouche on August 30 called for a 'sea change' in policy 'right now.' He called for the immediate creation of a national credit institution for new, high-technology infrastructure, like that employed by Franklin Roosevelt when the

vast majority of our current infrastructure was built. There is

no alternative to creating a national credit institution, like

that employed by Alexander Hamilton and in accord with our Constitution, to fund the necessary trillions in new infrastructure investment.

There must also be action on reinstating Glass-Steagall banking

separation right now, as a new financial crisis looms and Wall Street speculation continues to prevent actual productive investment. Allowing Wall Street to eliminate the Glass-Steagall

Act in the 1990s led to a crash that caused {\$10 trillion} in lost wealth, mass unemployment, and untold loss and shortening of human lives.

“LaRouche insists that his ‘Four Economic Laws To Save the Nation’ must be implemented right now if this country is to recover from Hurricane Harvey and prevent similar disasters stemming from our rotting physical economy now ticking like a time bomb:

1. Re-institute Glass-Steagall: break up Wall Street and its power;
2. Create national credit institutions based on FDR’s Reconstruction Finance Corporation and Alexander Hamilton’s national banks;
3. Invest the credit in new infrastructure with frontier technologies, including high-speed rail, fourth-generation fission and fusion power technologies, and modern storm protection and water management systems;
4. Adopt a fusion-driver ‘crash program’: Let a great expansion of NASA space exploration provide a driver for productivity and productive employment.”

Then, the final section is titled “A New Paradigm Takes Hold”.

“China’s Belt and Road Initiative, an international program of new rail ‘land-bridges’ and great projects of infrastructural development, offers immediate cooperation for the credit and the

building of a new infrastructure in the United States. This initiative is now moving on great projects they have long identified as absolutely essential, such as the Kra Canal in Southeast Asia, and the revival of Lake Chad in sub-Saharan Africa; projects long championed by Lyndon LaRouche and his wife,

Helga Zepp-LaRouche.

“Helga and Lyndon LaRouche are leading a national mobilization focused on moving President Trump to immediately bring America into the China-initiated Belt and Road Initiative

of worldwide building of new infrastructure. That ‘win-win’ initiative, and the United States joining in its worldwide projects and also building its own new infrastructure, means the

revival of the United States as an industrial power.”

The statement goes on to quote Helga Zepp-LaRouche in her speech to the Manhattan conference on August 26th. Then, after

that quote, it concludes by saying:

“LaRouche PAC has taken the responsibility to drive President Trump and the Congress into this action. But this is also the responsibility of all Americans that think of themselves

as citizens: those who have been actively supporting the President, or supporting Sen. Bernie Sanders; those who supported

no one, out of disgust at the manipulation, and continued manipulation of the election, but who have wanted a drastic change in the deindustrialization and Wall Street speculation policy ruling the country; those who know people killed, or made

homeless and impoverished by Wall Street’s induced ‘natural disasters.’ All must now act and make their voices heard.

Because, watching what is happening, again, to great American cities, leads anyone sane to the same conclusion: {There is no alternative}.”

So, that statement, which is available in full on the LaRouche PAC website, again, under the title "No More Houstons!

Lyndon LaRouche Says What Must Happen Right Now!" is available for you to circulate. That is our call to action, which we're here to discuss today.

So Brian, I'd like to just introduce you and give you a chance to give us an overview of what the conditions are on the ground in Houston; what the impact is on the national economy, and what must be done. While you begin, we're just going to play some drone footage that was taken and was posted on Facebook by a user chaseboogie; and we thank him for the permission to use this footage. It will just give our viewers a very visceral on-the-ground sense of what exactly the conditions look like there in Houston as you speak. So, Brian, thank you for joining us here today.

BRIAN LANTZ: Good to be here. I think first of all, I should emphasize that this hurricane and hydrological disaster is still unfolding. Just a matter of a few hours ago, this is Friday; Mayor Sylvester Turner requested more evacuations from the Atticks Reservoir area, the Atticks Dam area, because of the continued releases of water in the spillway. Uncontrolled releases into the area, which are necessary to relieve pressure behind these dams. These dams are in Houston on the Buffalo Bayou. Here today, the sun is out; but that doesn't change really the picture you're seeing in this video, this drone footage. Just the extent, and what you're seeing really is only

a small portion of Houston; the devastation extends over 50 counties – {50 counties}. An area encompassing some 11 million people.

Now, besides continued rising water on the Buffalo Bayou – slowly rising; which runs through Houston itself, right through the downtown area and so forth. That's what this footage largely

covers is the area around downtown. You also have rising water

still coming down the San Jacinto River, but also from the broader San Jacinto Basin, draining into the San Jacinto River and on down to the ship canal. You have the Brazos River and the

Colorado River on the west side of Houston; these are still continuing, particularly the Brazos, to rise. There's been ruptures of the levees along the Brazos River; that's something

they're combatting. There are mandatory evacuation orders and so

forth that have been placed in parts of that for days.

This all continues to unfold. Smaller towns, over 300 smaller towns and cities are dramatically affected. Off to the

east, roughly let's call it two hours east of Houston down I-10,

going towards New Orleans, is Beaumont and Port Arthur. You may

have been seeing some footage from there. Beaumont lost its water supply. This is a city of 120,000 people; they've lost their water supply. They're now evacuating their evacuation centers because of the rising Natchez River. This is a disaster

that's still unfolding. Beaumont is largely under water. Port

Arthur, next door, is under water. Rescue efforts are

ongoing.

Here in Houston, we have over 30,000 people registered in various evacuation centers around the city. But of course, far

more are staying with neighbors or relatives. You can imagine,

hotels and so on and so forth. Also, out of some of the surrounding areas down by the coast; Dickinson, for example.

You

had military cargo planes flying people out of the area through

Galveston up to Dallas. This is still all unfolding.

I guess I could give a couple of just simple examples. It's thought that at least 500,000 cars are lost in the flooding.

I'm

sure that's an underestimate when you take in the broader area.

I think those figures are regarding Houston itself.

I think also to go to the heart of this, you've got to go to the industrial side of this picture. So, I'll do that in just a

second. But first, I want to just bring forward the other side

of this; which there has been reporting on. That is, the outpouring of aid that Houstonians and Texans have provided to their fellow citizens and our neighbors from as far away at least

as New York. I believe the first responders who came into Houston were from New York City; fire teams from New York City to

take part in the rescue. So, we have them here from Kansas, we

have them of course Fort Worth, Texas; 4.5 to 5 hours to the north. We have them from Dallas. Hundreds of police have now come in, arriving really as brigades into the city to relieve policeman who, up until yesterday, hadn't slept for days and days. A tremendous rescue effort; you might have heard about

the
"cajun navy"; loosely organized, bringing over their boats,
their
high-rise trucks and behind them pulling their boats. This is
thousands of people, and hundreds and hundreds of boats coming
in, that have been playing a critical role at the request of
law
enforcement, of the mayor, or the first responders here in
southeast Texas.

So, if you go to some of the slides, just the first [Fig. 2]
you have a still of some of the damage in downtown Houston. I
think if you follow that up, you'll see what Hurricane Harvey
looked like coming in [Fig. 3]. Partly I'm putting that up
just
to give you a sense of the extent of this hurricane in terms
of
its length and breadth. Those outer bands – that picture was
taken basically at landfall down near Rockport, which is just
close to Corpus Christi to the south. Those outer bands,
particularly as you see them on the east side; this is the
"dirty
side" as they say, of the hurricane. This was coming in
simultaneously more or less into the rest of the coast to the
east of landfall there in Rockport; which was, of course, this
small town just devastated along the coast.

If you go to the next picture [Fig. 4], I'm putting these up
partly to give you a sense of the geography in terms of where
we're talking about relative. You see there the state of
Texas
off to your left, the broader state of Texas. You see the
swath
of area; that was a prediction early on. You see the top of
the
monitor there, the peak they're expecting is 15 inches [of
rain].

Well of course, we got between 30 and 50 inches in rainfall in
the course of just a few days. The next slide [Fig. 5] gives

you

a sense of how this process has then trailed off to the east
up

through Louisiana; now moving off into Arkansas and Tennessee.
But again, that's to give you just a kind of sense of the
geography of this. And all along there, you're talking about,
across the bottom, you see the Gulf Coast.

So, having spoken a bit about the tremendous effort and so
forth, I want to return to the physical economic impact of
this,

if I can. Think of a compromised human body from disease or
aging, you name it. Then think of that body being slammed by
some new effect, a shock to the system. You have to ask, how
many shocks can that person take? Well, think of our US
economy

and the world economy in a different way, but related, in that
context. For example, as some of you know, the Colonial
Pipeline, which is the largest pipeline in the United States,
which carries oil and gas and so forth; that an other
pipelines

have stopped because the refineries are shut down. 20% to 30%
of

the nation's refining capacity is right now shut down here
along

the Gulf Coast. Particularly in Houston, but extending over
to

New Orleans, Baton Rouge, the South Louisiana port – which is
the largest port by tonnage in the United States. All of
these

are affected to varying degrees.

That means that New York right now is not getting oil from
the major pipeline serving the New York area; but also serving
Atlanta, Washington DC, and so forth. Gas prices are spiking
and

so forth and so on as a result of this. That pipeline, the
Colonial Pipeline, is not going to be back online until at
least

the end of the weekend. So, this is not disconnected; we're talking about one systemic process here, already weakened by the horror stories of the Bush and Obama years, the financial blow-out of 2008, Wall Street's predatory speculation. The British Empire's choking off of the American System increasingly since the passing of Franklin Roosevelt in 1944. So, this is having consequences across the United States. Just to give you a sense of this, if you skip forward to the last slide [Fig. 6], you'll see a map of the ports along the Gulf Coast. Just so you have a fair assessment of this, eight of the twelve largest ports in terms of tonnage are along this coast, the third Coast, the Gulf Coast. You see those in red, but also now of course, Port Arthur; those ports are all still closed, including the little barge port of Victoria, Texas. Corpus Christi is a major port. You see Houston, it's also next to it in that same block as Texas City, which is a separate port also on the Galveston Ship Canal to Houston. So, those ports are all closed down. This is a long-term disruption. Rail lines are disrupted, the Union-Pacific Corporation, Berkshire Hathaway's BNSF – Burlington Northern Santa Fe – they are currently closed for operations. Likewise Kansas City Southern or regional railroad. This is going to have follow-on repercussions, not only in terms of cargo moving out of the United States, but cargo moving into the United States. The Port of Houston just opened up today for truck traffic; but this is not easy. You can imagine the amount of muck they've got to clean out of there.

Containers have shifted; they've got to be restacked. This is not an easy process to put some order back into this chaotic situation.

The ship canal itself, the currents coming down the ship canal are such that that itself interferes with the possibility

of ships coming in. That's coming down from the San Jacinto River and the San Jacinto Basin and so on and so forth.

There's

debris in the ship canal. How much has shifted underwater?

The

Army Corps of Engineers has got to go in; they've got to make sure that the ships can get up that canal safely. Is there going

to be emergency dredging required? All of these things have to

be worked out.

Corpus Christi has opened up to small traffic today; smaller ships. But at last report, you still have a major obstruction at

the port there in Corpus Christi. A ship that broke loose.

Not

some sailing skiff or something; but a major merchant ship broke

loose and is blocking part of the harbor. So again, these have

knock-on follow-on effects; and I'm hoping that I'm giving you at

least some sense of that.

In terms of the ranking of ports, the port of South Louisiana, which is 54 miles long, the breadth of it, between New

Orleans and Baton Rouge, is the largest port in the United States. The second largest is Houston, Texas – by tonnage.

Third is New York-New Jersey. Fourth is Beaumont, Texas; that wasn't even on that map. That's now also shut down. Then comes

Long Beach, California; then Corpus Christi, which we mentioned.

New Orleans; Baton Rouge; Mobile, Alabama; Plaquemines, Louisiana; a little bit further down, Texas City. So, this is enormous. This whole area, this entire area is lowlands; people

live on coasts because it's close to cheap transport and communications and all the rest. If you ask people to move, as

some Greens would like to say, "Well, people should move away."

Well, excuse me, where are they? Montana? What are you suggesting? The lifeblood of the country, its arteries of its body are still largely these three coasts; and then of course, the river systems and the canals and so on, including the Great

Lakes and all the rest. This is what what's left of the entire

US economy depends on today. The compromise that's been made, the disastrous bloody compromise that's been made has been to withhold the resources to build the kinds of systems that would

protect from storm surges and hurricanes like Hurricanes Sandy,

Katrina, Ike and so forth. That's one issue; we can take that up.

But also what we're talking about here is not simply meteorology and storms and hurricanes coming in. Most of the damage from Hurricane Harvey has been from rainfall; as we mentioned, 30-50 inches of rainfall in the course of a few days.

All of these low-lying areas – the high point in Houston is 50 feet above sea level. A dam built here, say on Lake Conroe on the San Jacinto River, it holds 14% of what the Oroville Dam holds in northern California; because it's built on flat land. It's a low-lying dam; broad, but shallow. The capacities of these dam structures are limited. What's required is an

enormous

investment in canal building, retention ponds, and a whole hydrological approach; which the Army Corps of Engineers has engaged in, but under increasingly tight budget restrictions. And of course, with this blind-sided approach of politicians and policymakers looking the other way and ignoring the consequences.

Well, the consequences are now here.

Maybe I should stop there, and we can have some discussion on this. But I hope that gives people an essential overview of

what we've got to deal with now as a nation; with the Four Laws

of Lyndon LaRouche, with national credit. This storm is going to

cost more than Katrina that hit New Orleans in 2005. That was \$130 billion simply in terms of Federal funds; simply in terms of

Federal funds essentially after the fact. This is going to outstrip that by far.

Where's that money going to come from? Well, obviously, that's the wrong question to ask. The question is, what are the

institutions we have to create? And it's exactly what LaRouche has proposed: Glass-Steagall, national credit, a National Bank to

finance that flow of national credit, to build out the new TVAs,

the new projects required to safeguard not only the coast here,

but to begin a major recovery of the United States to its position in the world.

OGDEN: Yeah, exactly, and this Hurricane Harvey, which is really unprecedented in its devastation, as you made very, very

clear, Brian, this must be the moment of initiating an entirely new attitude among the American and an entirely new change in the policy of our Federal government, and that's the purpose of this emergency statement that we put out on <https://larouchepac.com/20170831/no-more-houstons-lyndon-larouche-says-what-must-happen-right-now>.

And, as you said, it must recall an attitude which we lost in this country at least going back to the assassination of John F.

Kennedy, but really going back to the death of Franklin Roosevelt. And perhaps the most significant case study that we can use would be the TVA, as you mentioned it, the Tennessee Valley Authority.

Going back to before Franklin Roosevelt and before the New Deal, you had whole regions of the country which were subject to so-called natural disasters, and which were just neglected.

And perhaps the most significant region of the country, the most notable, was the so-called Tennessee Valley. And what's very ironic is the Tennessee Valley, which is an entire region, not just in Tennessee, but it's all of these bordering states, is the area of the highest annual rainfall total of any watershed in the continental United States.

Now, what's the annual rainfall in the Tennessee Valley watershed? It's 51 inches per year; what we just experienced in

Houston was 51 inches in five days or less. It's just mind-boggling the amount of water that came out of the sky! But

the Tennessee Valley was also subject to very extended periods of high rainfall, mostly because of the dissipating hurricanes – the effect of hurricanes that were coming up off the Gulf Coast, and then going into this Central Southeast region of the United States.

But what happened? Franklin Roosevelt, who as we quoted in this emergency statement, said what's necessary is "action, and action now. The nation calls for action." He said: We're not going to allow this devastation that the Tennessee Valley experienced year-in and year-out, creating one of the most impoverished areas of the entire world: This was Appalachia – literacy was through the floor, malaria, top soil was washing off. You had a really Third World kind of conditions, right there in the continental United States. And Roosevelt said, no, we're going to do something that has never been attempted before, and we're going to not just try to control the flow of one river, but we're going to take an entire river basin, and we're going to not just control that water hydrologically, but we're going to harness the power of that water, and put it to work for the benefit of the people who live there.

I have a video I just want to show our viewers, a roughly four minute excerpt, a video that was put out by the Roosevelt administration. Ironically, it was produced the year before Roosevelt died in 1944. But it shows you what the Tennessee Valley was subjected to, and it should actually remind you of the video footage that you just saw from Houston; but it also shows

you what was done by the Roosevelt administration and the philosophy that was behind the construction of the TVA. So, here's a clip from that video

[<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SfJt-W7fwTY>]:

[BEGIN VIDEO]

NARRATOR: Destruction from the sky. This is the way it was, year after year, in a forgotten part of the United States.

This was the havoc caused by greed and neglect, and men working

alone and unaided against the forces of nature. Farms, towns, industry – smashed; hundreds drowned, thousands made homeless. The energies of the river running to waste, – the energies of the people, too.

[Farmer] Henry Clark's trouble was the trouble of 3 million Americans in the Tennessee Valley. It became the direct concern

of 130 million Americans in the 48 states, a challenge to democracy and its ability to care for its own.

The valley of the Tennessee River [map] lies in the Southeastern United States. It covers an area of 40,000 square

miles, nearly as large as England. It was a problem of reconstruction, reconstruction of land, reconstruction of people.

Democracy met the test: It found the men to supervise the job

–

James P. Polk, United States Senator from the West; Harcourt Morgan, president of the University of Tennessee, who had worked

out an agricultural program for the whole area; David Lilienthal,

administrator and champion of legislation for cooperative electric power; George Norris, a great American statesman who long had dreamed of regional planning, of setting up a national

experiment in one region which could serve as a yardstick for every region. This was the plan [progressively developing map], to chain the river through a series of giant dams, checking the floods, to open it to navigation from its mouth to its headwaters; to give the farmers the benefit of modern science and research, to help them control the water on their land and restore the fertility of the soil, to reforest millions of acres on the ravaged hillsides, to exploit the mineral resources of the area; to use the electric power generated by the dams to develop and rehabilitate industry in the cities, to electrify the farms through a rural cooperative; above all, to prove that human problems can be solved by reason, science, and education. The Tennessee Valley was to be pioneered again, this time, to be developed, not plundered, this time not for the benefit of a few, but for the many who lived in it. These were the new pioneers, the architects, the research chemists, the agricultural experts, the power men, the designers of hydroelectric dams: Their method was to control nature, not by defying her as in the wasteful past, but by understanding her and harnessing her in the service of humanity....

[END VIDEO]

OGDEN: This is how we as Americans used to think, this was the Franklin Roosevelt administration, this was the New Deal. Let me just repeat back to you, what you just heard: The narrator said, what was the purpose of the Tennessee Valley

Authority, this project above all other projects? “Above all, to prove that human problems can be solved by reason, science, and education. The Tennessee Valley was to be pioneered again ... to be developed ... not for the benefit of a few, but for the many... These were the new pioneers... Their method was to control nature, not by defying her ... but by understanding her and harnessing her in the service of humanity...”

That’s how Americans think.

So Brian, what lessons can we learn from what Franklin Roosevelt did? What do we have to do right now, what should have been done before this disaster of Harvey, but what do we have to do now to rebuild the United States?

LANTZ: Well, we’ve already touched on it in terms of Lyndon LaRouche’s Four Laws, and that’s what’s required. But specifically, it is up to us, we Americans who understand ourselves to be citizens of the United States, to now mobilize at this moment where there’s a receptivity among Americans to face what has been building as a crisis across the nation, in many dimensions, – the opioid epidemic, the crises generated by continuing storms – not the storms themselves, but the inadequacy of our ability to respond and defeat them; all of this and more requires that we step up to the plate and create a future looking 50 to 100 years into the future, beyond our own life spans.

I think we have, in the example of China, China that’s lifted 700 million people out of poverty in the last three decades. Looking at the TVA dam footage, obviously, the Three Gorges Dam that China built on the Yangtze River, an enormous

project exactly to continue the process of taming that river for mankind, and the upcoming projects for Lake Chad and related projects throughout Africa. This should be an inspiration: We can unite with the Belt and Road Initiative of China. This is a very important element.

We now have, with the Trump administration, we have a sea change, at least relatively speaking, from what we have with Bush and Obama. Think back to Bush's response to Katrina in 2005. Ike hit here in Texas in 2008. Trump, working with the governor of the state, Governor Abbott, enormous resources have been mobilized. There's at least 12,000 National Guardsmen in here, now; there'll be as many as 24,000, Governor Abbott has stated in the coming weeks. I spoke earlier about the influx of first responders as well as volunteers.

That's a start, but we cannot allow the United States to now dish out on itself the Haiti treatment: Look at the island of Haiti. What was proposed there by the Joint Chiefs of Staff at the time, by the LaRouche organization, by Mr. LaRouche himself, was a massive mobilization of the Army Corps [of Engineers], C-5 cargo planes to rescue Haiti, move people out of the flood areas, the earthquake areas in 2010, get them onto high land. Build new cities, build new infrastructure that never existed there, and pick up where Roosevelt had left off with his projects in Haiti among other nations. Instead, a cold shoulder was given to

Haiti. Obama turned his back on Haiti, and instead, all we had was NGOs and various private relief efforts – band aids applied

to Haiti, and it's arguable that the situation in Haiti today is

no better off, fundamentally, than it was before the 2010 earthquake, perhaps worse.

We have to rectify that. We have to rectify the situation in Puerto Rico.

So this is a wake-up call to mobilize a national effort. If this is left to sports figures and Hollywood stars and a few billionaires to wave around their largesse in millions of dollars, and we have "GoFundMe" accounts and the Red Cross and that's the extent of it, the whole nation will be further damaged! New Orleans has never recovered, has never been allowed

to recover, much less develop. So we have to address that, as you said, Matt: We have to address this now, the American people

have got to mobilize now: Our congressmen, our senators, and President Trump have got to hear very clearly from the American

people that the Four Laws have to be implemented now.

OGDEN: Well, the truth is that there is a development dynamic which is already sweeping the globe and you mentioned it,

it is the Chinese New Silk Road: It's the Belt and Road Initiative, which frankly was something which was originally initiated and conceptualized by the LaRouche movement, going back

30 years. But this is now the policy of the most populous nation

on the planet, and not only are they using it for the development

of China and for the development of the Chinese people, but it's

also being something which is for the general welfare of the people of the planet as a whole!

You mentioned the Three Gorges Dam, this really could be characterized as the Chinese TVA, but if you look at the history

of China and the amount of devastation that the Chinese people have suffered because of flooding, exactly what you saw in that

drone footage which is happening right now in Houston, exactly what you saw in that eerily familiar footage from the Tennessee

Valley, prior to the TVA, that we showed just now – going back

hundreds of years you had that kind of devastation in China.

There's a very famous flood in 1954, which was the flood of the

Yangtze River, and you'll see on the screen here a memorial to the victims of that flood. [Fig. 7] The Yangtze River flooded,

and killed 33,000 people and displaced 18 million people! The city of Wuhan, which is where this memorial is, was a city of 8

million people, and it was literally under water for over three

months. So, 1954, that was only ten years after that video that

we just saw about the TVA was made. But this was an absolutely

unprecedented natural disaster.

There was another flood in 1998 in China, which killed over 1500 people and was equally devastating in terms of the flooding

of the Yangtze River. But the next item that I just had on the

screen there, this is an image of the Yangtze River, before [1987] and after [2006] the construction of the Three Gorges Dam,

[Fig. 8]. You can see the extent of the engineering marvels that the Three Gorges Dam is: This is a picture from space. There was another flood of the Yangtze River in 2010, but that time, the Three Gorges Dam and the reservoir created by it was able to absorb that excess run-off, and in fact, not only did it alleviate the flooding and the effects of the flooding downstream, but it was able to hold that run-off for later to be distributed downstream during the dry months of the year. So at the time there was a drought and a necessity for water, the water was available, and not only was it available for farming and for use in the cities downstream, but it was also available to produce electricity, through this magnificent hydroelectric dam that's been built there. The Three Gorges Dam produces almost 100 terawatts of electric power. So you're harnessing the power of this river, which before was a menace, and you're putting each one of those little water droplets to work. There's no unemployed water in the Yangtze River any more. Every single water droplet has a meaningful purpose to its existence. But that's what China has built domestically, and then look at abroad. You also mentioned what's being done in Africa. I have a slide here from the proposal which was put together by the Schiller Institute for the Transaqua program. [Fig. 9] You'll see here, this is the Transaqua water transfer project which would refill Lake Chad, which has been drying up over the past several decades, by transferring 100 billion cubic meters of water, a distance of 2,500 km from the Congo River, all the way

northwest to Lake Chad and replenish Lake Chad. So this is not just on the books, but in fact, we've had the really optimistic news over the past few weeks that a deal has been reached by a Chinese corporation, PowerChina, which was involved incidentally in building the Three Gorges Dam, and an Italian firm, Bonifica Spa.; they're going to conduct the feasibility studies, they're going to get started with making this Transaqua project a reality on the ground. Again, this is something that the LaRouche movement has been fighting for for decades. So these are the kinds of projects that are already ongoing. We could also take a page out of Japan's book: There's a wonderful project in Tokyo, which is called the Metropolitan Area Outer Underground Discharge Channel, and Tokyo is very similar to Houston, in the fact that urbanization has created a very dense population, but there's monsoons which creates these flooding conditions. So you'll see a picture here [Fig. 10]. This is one of the engineering marvels of the world: This is Tokyo's underground floodwater diversion facility. You could say it's the Notre Dame cathedral of flood-water control infrastructure. There's some videos you can watch, but it's the magnitude of what Tokyo has built underground, under that city, is amazing. You have five concrete containment silos, each one of them distributed at various strategic points around the city; each one of them could fit the Space Shuttle inside, or the entire

Statue

of Liberty. Those containment silos are then connected by 6.5 km

of underground tunnels that are buried 50 meters under the ground

level of the city and then all of those empty into that chamber

that you just saw, which you could see the proportions of it, with the human being standing in there; it's 65 meters high.

And

the magnitude of this kind of containment tank has caused every

storm since it was built in 1992, to be something that could be

– they were still devastating storms, but they could be controlled, through the powers of science and the powers of this

engineering marvel.

So why hasn't something like that been built in Houston?

Why hasn't something like that been built in New York City?

Why

hasn't something like that been built in the various areas of the

continental United States, which are just as much under threat as

Tokyo was? So all of these projects, the Three Gorges Dam, the

Transqua water transfer project, which really should be the

model for what we do with the NAWAPA project – the North

American Water And Power Alliance – which is an equal

water-transfer project; and then this Metropolitan Discharge

project in Tokyo, these are things that can be built! We've

already built them! This is the power that engineering and

mankind have if we just put our minds to it, and if we direct the

necessary national credit to the construction of these.

So you know, President Trump has called for \$1 trillion in

infrastructure in the United States. The magnitude of the investment should be far beyond that, \$8, \$9, \$10 trillion is the estimate that some people have given, but it's not a problem, if you use Hamiltonian credit. The question has to be asked, could we have already built some of these necessary projects and mitigated the disaster, if you hadn't had 16 years of failed presidencies, with the Bush/Obama years, and if you hadn't had the full-scale mobilization for a political coup against this current Presidency, in his six months in office up to this point. But this is now the point of decision: We have to make the decision now, this is a sea change in U.S. policy. Let's now get onboard with the great development dynamic which is sweeping the planet, and {join} with this One Belt, One Road initiative, not only to build projects abroad, for the benefit of people across the planet, such as in Africa, but also to build these great projects right here at home. So Brian, is there anything that you want to say just in terms of maybe the specifics of what could be done in Houston, but also just some of the broader, actual physical projects that could be built here in the United States?

LANTZ: First, thanks for correcting me on Three Gorges as being on the Yangtze, not the Yellow. But I wanted to say, this is what great civilizations do, reaching back to the Grand Canal that connected the Yellow and Yangtze Rivers back 600 A.D. or thereabouts. You can go back further to Egypt. This is what

great civilizations do as opposed to empires, the defining distinction, this question of the development of mankind. When we look today, at the United States, why not build, as Helga Zepp-LaRouche has proposed, 50 new cities in the United States, rather a sprawl of concrete that only increases the dangers? It does the opposite, by mitigating the danger of these hurricanes and storms. For instance, why not build the equivalent of the Tokyo facility for the greater Houston area? Why not really conquer this problem? We can channel water, we can store it, but we've really got to move it off the area, fast. And what Tokyo has done is an exemplar. Likewise, as we've discussed on prior programs, Hurricane Sandy and what has to be done, not just for New York City, but for essentially a 100-mile diameter area reaching down to Philadelphia as one great, mega-city of development, modeled on similar projects in China. Their process of building mega-cities and transforming regions into mega-cities. This is some of what's occurred. All of this requires energy: It requires nuclear energy, it requires the development of fusion energy. And I just wanted to conclude, if Kesha Rogers could be here today, she would stress the role of science, as you brought it up in regard to the approach to the TVA project, but also brought up otherwise. This hurricane – just think about it – this hurricane would have been far worse, if we didn't have the kind of satellite capacity that we have, the kind of

resolutions in terms of cameras and all of that: The whole space

program gave us a capability. Just imagine if this had hit without forewarning.

And that leads into the broader question of the broader development of the U.S. space program and our cooperation again

with countries, including the leading country of China, in advancing mankind's knowledge of our relationship to our planetary system, but beyond that to the galaxy, to these larger

processes that we know are at work, that man needs to gain control of. And really, it's from that standpoint that we can really begin to measure the projects we need to build now.

OGDEN: And that's reminiscent of the point that was made in exactly that video produced by the Roosevelt administration on the TVA, that our human problems can be solved by "reason, science, and education," and we can harness nature, control nature, not by "defying her, but by understanding her," and harnessing those powers for the benefit of mankind and the entire

planet. So this is done not just through infrastructure on the

ground, – which absolutely must be built, the hardcore physical

infrastructure on the ground – but also through, as you mentioned, understanding what are these meteorological processes,

what are the atmospheric processes, how do you direct these atmospheric water flows? Is that possible through ionization and

other ways? And also, how do you understand how Earth's weather

is created through our interface with the cosmic environment that

we exist in. And how does this impact the actual large

changes

in cycles in terms of our climate and how climate changes over time, and the relationship that mankind plays to that, in a positive way?

And we can see instances of that positive power of mankind to improve nature, in the Tennessee Valley, in the Yangtze Valley

with the Three Gorges Dam, and now what's happening in Africa with the Transaqua project: That's mankind's nature and I think

that's the ultimate point here: When will mankind recognize what

we are as a species, and change our view of ourselves, in order

to harness the full powers of that unique creative quality that

mankind as a species possesses, which really lies at the core of

all of the science of economics as Lyndon LaRouche has defined it.

So I would recall for people, these Four Economic Laws, which are contained in the emergency statement which I read at the beginning of this broadcast, this is embedded in a larger policy document that Lyndon LaRouche wrote in which he explores

exactly this question: What is the noëtic characteristic of mankind? How have we progressed over our history as a species?

How have we harnessed the powers of nature, not just through water control, but also through different forms of fire and energy; and what is this Vernadskyian idea, the ideas of Vladimir

Vernadsky, of the planet as a "noëtic planet" – the noösphere –

shaped by the creative powers of man? How can we initiate that

noëtic age of man through these types of great projects which

are
typified by the One Belt, One Road initiative out of China,
but
which we must now initiate here in the United States, in the
wake
of this {horrible} devastation, wrought by Hurricane Harvey.
So, thank you so much Brian for joining us here today. I'm
glad that you could join us from on the ground there in
Houston,
and give us this very visceral picture of what's happening,
but
also what must be done. And I'd like to ask all of our
viewers
to take this as your call to action, as was said in the
statement
on the LaRouche PAC website: "No more Houstons." We must now
initiate this revolution in U.S. economic policy, we cannot
watch
and wait for the next Harvey to happen, but we must act now.
"There is no alternative."
I'd like to invite people to tune in to the broadcast of the
Manhattan Town Hall meeting tomorrow, where Brian Lantz will
also
be the featured guest, and it will be the opportunity for some
dialogue with him if you're there in person in New York City.
And otherwise, please stay tuned to larouchepac.com, as we
issue marching orders over the coming days and weeks.
So thank you one more time, Brian for joining us. And we
give our condolences to the family of Kesha Rogers, who lost
her
father and her stepmother, tragically, in the floods there in
Houston, in the wake of Hurricane Harvey, and we dedicate our
future mission to the memory of not only her father, but also
all
who have been lost in these preventable manmade, natural
disasters.
Thank you for joining us and please stay tuned to

Kernekraft er den eneste energi til alle vejrforhold

2. sep., 2017 – Kernekraft er den eneste energi, der er immun over for alle ekstreme vejrforhold, som orkanen Harvey endnu engang demonstrerer. Alt imens sol- og vindenergisystemer blev afbrudt, gasledninger lukket og olieraffinaderier slået ud af drift, fortsatte kernekraftværkerne, der er specialbygget til at modstå vind af orkanstyrke, tornadoer og ekstrem varme og kulde, med at summe løs. South Texas Project-reaktorer i Houston-området blev bygget inde i landet i 29 fods højde over havet, for at beskytte dem mod oversvømmelse. Men bygningen og dørene er alligevel vandtætte.

Udover det faktum, at de to reaktorer blev designet og bygget til at forblive i drift under ekstreme forhold, er det lige så vigtigt, at, da orkanen Harvey ramte, havde værket fuldført sine beredskabsforberedelser til orkan, som omfattede et depot af forsyninger til et mandskab på 250 arbejdere under stormvejr, som kunne blive lukket inde på værket i flere dage.

Meteorologerne advarede flere dage i forvejen om den kommende storm. Hvorfor var en beredskabsplan på samme niveau som på kraftværket, samt sikring mod vejrforhold for at beskytte infrastruktur, ikke til rådighed for resten af Texas, der notorisk har risiko for oversvømmelse? Det kan tydeligvis gøres.

Foto: De to kernekraftreaktorer på South Texas Project Nuclear Operating Company nær Houston, Texas, har været i fuld drift fra tirsdag og igennem den historiske oversvømmelse og de

kraftige vinde, forårsaget af orkanen, og siden den tropiske storm, Harvey, på trods af vindstød, der nåede op på 130 miles/t, da Harvey ramte. Værket er bygget 16 km inde i landet i ca. 8,8 meters højde over havet.

Del II af MANHATTAN-MØDE, 26. aug.:

»Bring USA ind i det Nye Paradigme, Nu!« Spørgsmål til Helga Zepp-LaRouche

Jeg talte med nogle mennesker i Europa i de seneste par dage i forskellige lande, og de siger alle: »Jeres politik vinder. Det kommer. I ved, I var en meget vigtig indflydelse. Dette er jeres politik.« Så folk, der har kendt os længe, ved dette, og derfor skal I blot sikre jer, at mange folk kender til dette alternativ, for når folk først ved, at der er et helt andet system, begynder de at tænke på en helt anden måde, og de bliver vrede over, at man fortæller dem løgne, eller at sandheden holdes tilbage fra dem. I øjeblikket er det væsentlige, at være overbevist om, at, når folk først kender til dette, så forandrer de sig. Jeg mener, vi har et fantastisk moment, for det eneste, der behøves, er, at præsident Trump annoncerer noget stort, som Roosevelt gjorde med New Deal. Jeg ville ikke forsværge, at Trump ikke kan gøre det. Jeg mener, at Trump har karakteren til det, han har temperamentet til at overraske sine modstandere, og jeg mener,

at vi skal skabe betingelserne i landet, der kan tilskynde ham til at gøre dette. Vi må øge presset på befolkningen for, at Trump skal satse på et overordnet design for USA, som er større end presset fra Wall Street på ham, for at blive inde i kassen. De ønsker at inddæmme ham. De truer ham; hvis han ikke kapitulerer, så vil de dræbe ham eller impeache ham.

Download (PDF, Unknown)

Omfattende artikel om Bælte & Vej: »Mod en økonomi for fred«

Fredag, 1. september, 2017 – Peter Koenig, en økonom, der skriver for diverse aviser og blogs, inklusive flere russiske pressemedier, udlagde torsdag en lang artikel i *Information Clearing House* med titlen, »Beijings Bælte & Vej Initiativ, mod en økonomi for fred?« (*Beijing's Belt and Road Initiative, Towards an Economy of Peace?*). Han indleder med spørgsmålet, »Hvorfor bryder freden ikke ud, når det overvældende flertal af verdens befolkning ikke ønsker krig?« Idet han påpeger de »12 – 15 mio. mennesker, der er dræbt siden 11. september«, lægger han hele skylden på »den ene slyngelstat, verden er underkastet – USA«. Desværre udelader han briternes rolle og lægger hele skylden på »grådighed« alene, snarere end på ondets rod, Imperium og geopolitik. Men han adresserer den psykologiske faktor og stiller spørgsmålet, »Hvorfor kan vi ikke kaste denne frygt overbord for en lille smule mod – og finde tilbage til menneskelig solidaritet imod dette grusomme overgreb – det værste nogensinde, siden det Romerske Imperium, og sandsynligvis længere tilbage.«

Hans løsning rammer plet: Den Nye Silkevej. »Der er et nyt, økonomisk paradigme, der venter i kulisserne, som tilbydes af Kina og Rusland; en økonomi for fred. En økonomi, der støttes af arbejdsstyrken, af byggeri, af forskning, uddannelse, af kultur og af guld. Ingen vilkårlig økonomi – en økonomi med lige rettigheder og lige fordele for alle deltagere; en økonomi, der ikke er baseret på krig, i total modsætning til den vestlige, ågerkarle-rentesøgende, ødelæggende økonomi. Hvem ville ikke være tiltrukket af denne nye model for Fredsøkonomi?«

Han beskriver Xi Jinpings Bælte & Vej Initiativ som det »muligvis største og mest vidtrækkende, økonomiske ekspansionsinitiativ i moderne historie. Det er (hvad der svarer til) en multibilliondollar bestræbelse, der bogstavelig talt kunne række århundreder frem i tiden og skabe ny infrastruktur, beskæftigelse, handel, indkomst, nye teknologier, uddannelse – paletten er nærmest uendelig – for mange områder, der stadig i vid udstrækning er berøvet menneskelig velfærd«. Han citerer Chas Freeman[1], der kalder projektet for »den potentielt mest transformerende ingeniørmæssige indsats i menneskets historie«.

Han bemærker modstanden i Bruxelles, »som er bange for at miste deres kontrol over Grækenland – et NATO-land«.

Han tilføjer, at AIIB, og BRIKS' NDB (Nye Udviklingsbank), er integreret i Bælte & Vej. »Disse nye finansinitiativer bliver en alvorlig udfordring for den vestlige, monetære klike og en torn i øjet på Washingtons bestræbelse på at opnå dollarens overherredømme.«

<http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/47725.htm>

Foto: Peter Koenig.

[1] Chefoversætter under Nixons besøg i Kina i 1972; tidl. amerikansk ambassadør til Saudi-Arabien 1989-1992.

Ikke flere Houston-katastrofer: Lyndon LaRouche siger, hvad det er, der må ske 'lige med det samme'

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, 31. august, 2017 – I dag udstedte LaRouche PAC en erklæring om, hvad der må gøres i betragtning af, at orkanen Harveys ødelæggelser ikke er en »naturlig« katastrofe, men er et resultat af kriminelle politikker. Erklæringen vil ligeledes udkomme på tryk i næste nummer af *The Hamiltonian*, LaRouche-bevægelsens plakat-avis, der uddeles i New York, sammen med hele Helga Zepp-LaRouches tale på konferencen den 26. august i New York City, om den amerikanske infrastruktur-nødsituation. [Erklæringen kan læses på engelsk her.](#)

Ikke flere Houston-katastrofer:

Lyndon LaRouche siger, hvad det er, der må ske 'lige med det samme'

Katastrofen i Texas er en menneskeskabt katastrofe, der skete som følge af denne nations valgte repræsentanternes kriminelle neglekt; de valgte repræsentanter, der har fortsat med at støtte Wall Streets spekulationsøkonomi og imperieambitioner, alt imens de samtidig fremfører, at nationen ikke har råd til at genopbygge og erstatte sin forældede og nedbrudte,

økonomiske infrastruktur. For tredje gang siden 2005 er store, amerikanske byer blevet oversvømmet og deres indbyggere bragt til fortvivlelse, fordi planerne for ny infrastruktur, der kræver investeringer for titals milliarder, til beskyttelse af befolkningen, er blevet ignoreret og afvist. Orkanen Harvey er nu den overhængende, værste, nationale katastrofe i nationens historie, og det er en katastrofe, som ikke behøvede indtræffe.

I 2005 dræbte orkanen Katrina næsten 2.000 mennesker og anrettede økonomiske skader for \$130 mia. Først da, og langsomt, blev nye sikkerhedsforanstaltninger til oversvømmelseskontrol og barrierer mod havet langt om længe bygget for New Orleans, til en brøkdel af de menneskelige omkostninger og omkostningerne for skaderne, som stormen forårsagede. Hvor mange unødvendige dødsfald og lidelser kunne dette projekt have afværget?

Fire år senere mødtes det Amerikanske Civilingeniør-selskab i Manhattan for at diskutere flere muligheder for barrierer mod flodbølger i New York City-området. Det økonomiske overslag for den største af disse muligheder lød på \$9 mia. Regeringen besluttede ingenting at gøre. Så dræbte superstormen Sandy i 2012 flere end 100 mennesker og forårsagede økonomiske tab for \$65 mia. Netop nu gennemlever indbyggere i New York-området »Helvedessommeren«, med det 100 år gamle, regionale transportsystem, der for fem år siden blev oversvømmet og beskadiget, og som heller ikke blev repareret eller erstattet i det nødvendige tempo.

De svimlende økonomiske og menneskelige lidelser, forårsaget af orkanen Harvey i Texas' og Louisianas golfområde, kendes endnu ikke, men vil vokse i størrelsesorden i takt med, at vandet trækker sig tilbage; men, hvad man i mange år har vidst, er, at Texas' byer ved golfen er i fare for oversvømmelser, og gentagne gange er blevet oversvømmet. Alligevel er der ikke blevet bygget nogen sikkerhedsforanstaltninger mod oversvømmelser eller

infrastruktur til beskyttelse mod orkaner, siden slutningen af Anden Verdenskrig. Man havde udarbejdet planer for et nyt system for Houston-området, men omkostningerne på \$25 mia. blev vurderet til at være »for høj« en pris for vore Wall Street-dominerede regeringskontorer og valgte repræsentanter. Nu er hundrede af milliarder af dollars, og uvurderlige menneskeliv, gået tabt.

Alle disse katastrofer, samt andre i den seneste tid, kunne have været afværget for en brøkdel af de sluttelige omkostninger i tabt rigdom, for slet ikke at tale om tabte liv. Medierne insisterer over for amerikanerne, og katastrofen i den enkelte by skyldes byens særlige økonomiske vaner, dens beliggenhed, dens jurisdiktioners indbyrdes skænderier, dens ignorering af klimaforandring eller dens beliggenhed tæt på vand! Dette er nonsens. Wall Street, der gentagne gange er blevet reddet ved statslige midler (bail-out) til billioner af dollars uden, at det har medført noget som helst andet end øget forarmelse for det amerikanske folk, må ikke længere have lov at diktere USA's økonomiske politik.

»Nationen kræver handling, og handling nu!«, med præsident Franklin Roosevelts ord. Under hans præsidentskab og op igennem 1940'erne blev ny infrastruktur – såsom Tennessee Valley Authority – til afværgelse af sådanne »naturkatastrofer« finansieret af statslig kredit, såsom via *Reconstruction Finance Corporation* og *Works Progress Authority*.

Orkanen Harvey, der drukner byer i det østlige Texas, bør være den alarmklokke, der afslutter 70 år, hvor landet har været uden sådanne statslige kreditinstitutioner.

Et kursskifte er nødvendigt

Den 30. august krævede Lyndon LaRouche et »kursskifte« i politikken »med det samme«. Han krævede den omgående skabelse af en national (dvs. statslig) kreditinstitution til ny,

højteknologisk infrastruktur, ligesom det, Franklin Roosevelt anvendte, da langt størstedelen af vores nuværende infrastruktur blev bygget. Der er intet alternativ til skabelse af en statslig kreditinstitution som den, Alexander Hamilton brugte, og som er i overensstemmelse med vores Forfatning, til at finansiere de nødvendige billioner i nye infrastrukturinvesteringer.

Vi må ligeledes handle for at genindføre Glass/Steagall-bankopdeling med det samme, med endnu en overhængende finanskriser, og med Wall Street, der fortsætter med at forhindre reel, produktiv investering. At gøre det muligt for Wall Street at fjerne Glass/Steagall-loven i 1990'erne førte til et krak, der var årsag til tabt rigdom for \$10 billioner, massearbejdsløshed og ufortalte tab og afkortning af menneskeliv.

LaRouche insisterer på, at hans »Fire Økonomiske Love til at redde nationen« omgående må gennemføres, hvis dette land skal komme sig over orkanen Harvey og forebygge lignende katastrofer, der stammer fra vores rådende, fysiske økonomi, der er som en tikkende bombe:

- Genindfør Glass-Steagall: bryd Wall Street og dets magt op;
- Skab statslige kreditinstitutioner baseret på FDR's Reconstruction Finance Corporation og Alexander Hamiltons nationalbanker;
- Investér kreditten i ny infrastruktur med frontlinjeteknologier, inklusive højhastighedsjernbaner, fjerde generations fission, samt teknologier til fusionskraft og moderne systemer til beskyttelse mod storme og til vandmanagement;
- Vedtag et »forceret program« for opnåelse af fusion, som økonomisk drivkraft: lad en stor udvidelse af NASA's rumforskningsprogram forsyne os med drivkraften bag produktivitet og produktiv beskæftigelse.

Et Nyt Paradigme begynder at virke

Kinas Bælte & Vej Initiativ, et internationalt projekt for nye »jernbane-landbroer« og store projekter for infrastrukturudvikling, tilbyder omgående samarbejde om kreditten til og byggeriet af ny infrastruktur i USA. Dette initiativ iværksætter nu store projekter, som de længe har identificeret som værende absolut nødvendige, såsom Krakanaalen i Sydøstasien og genoplivningen af Tchadsøen i Subsaharisk Afrika, projekter, som Lyndon LaRouche og hans hustru, Helga Zepp-LaRouche, længe har været fortalere for.

Helga og Lyndon LaRouche leder en national mobilisering, der fokuserer på at få præsident Trump til omgående at bringe Amerika ind i det af Kina initierede Bælte & Vej Initiativ for byggeri af ny infrastruktur i hele verden. Dette »win-win«-initiativ, og USA's tilslutning til dets projekter i hele verden, samt ligeledes USA's byggeri af sin egen, nye infrastruktur, betyder genoplivningen af USA som en industrimagt.

Den 26. august talte **Helga Zepp-LaRouche på en konference i Manhattan** om infrastruktur-nødsituationen i USA, hvor hun kom med følgende forslag:

»Tænk engang på det enorme potentiale, der åbner sig, hvis USA ville samarbejde med Bælte & Vej Initiativet«,

sagde Zepp-LaRouche til konferencen.

»Jeg mener, det er vigtigt, at man forestiller sig et helt andet system. Hvis USA i dag ville gøre, hvad Franklin D. Roosevelt gjorde – en New Deal, Glass-Steagall, samarbejde med Kina – kunne USA opleve en industriel revolution, større end på noget andet tidspunkt i sin historie. Folk må simpelthen forestille sig, at vi nu befinder os ved et systems afslutning, et system, der ikke kan reddes. Vi må erstatte det med et totalt andet system, og det har de fleste mennesker simpelthen svært ved at forestille sig, men der er eksempler

på sådanne forandringer. Marshallplanen i Europa var f.eks. et sådant eksempel, og Meiji-restaurationen i Japan var et sådant eksempel – det, som Roosevelt gjorde med New Deal, så folk må simpelthen tænke, at en sådan dramatisk forandring absolut er mulig i dag.»[1]

LaRouche PAC har påtaget sig ansvaret for at få præsident Trump og Kongressen til at udføre denne handling. Men det er ligeledes alle amerikaneres ansvar, der tænker på sig selv som borgere: de, der aktivt har støttet præsidenten eller senator Bernie Sanders; de, der ikke støttede nogen, på grund af afsky for manipulationen, og den fortsatte manipulation, af valget, men som har ønsket en drastisk ændring i politikken med afindustrialisering og Wall Street-spekulation, der styrer landet; de, der kender mennesker, der blev dræbt eller gjort hjemløse og forarmede af de af Wall Street forårsagede »naturkatastrofer«. De må nu alle handle og lade deres stemmer blive hørt.

For, at se på, hvad der, nu igen, sker med store, amerikanske byer, fører alle ved deres fulde fem til den samme konklusion: Der er intet alternativ.

Foto: Oversvømmelser forårsaget af orkanen Harvey nær Houston Downtown. 27. august, 2017. (Youtube Screengrab / Evan Mallett)

[1] Citatet er fra 'Spørgsmål til Helga Zepp-LaRouche', efter hendes indledende indlæg. (-red.)

Én infrastrukturverden, der

kollapser, og én, der bygges op.

POLITISK ORIENTERING 31. august 2017

Med næstformand Michelle Rasmussen:

»Man kan bruge en linje fra Charles Dickens, hvor han skrev om historien om to byer, men her har vi historien om to verdener, to verdenssyn; det ene er et kollapsende paradigme, hvor man simpelthen har forsømt at investere i moderne infrastruktur, i vedligeholdelse og modernisering af infrastrukturen og har udsultet infrastrukturen, med katastrofale konsekvenser, på den ene side; og så et nyt paradigme ... jeg vil fortælle historien om Schiller Instituttets årtier lange kamp for at realisere nogle nøgle-udviklingsprojekter, som nu faktisk er ved at blive til noget, fordi en stor nation som Kina har besluttet at være med.

Så først begynder vi i Houston. I har alle sammen set billeder og nyhedsdækningen. I Houston har vi en kombination af naturkræfter, hvor orkanen Harvey i USA faktisk er blevet en storm, der har den største mængde regnfald for en enkelt storm, 52 inches (132 cm) – kombinationen af virkelig stærke naturkræfter plus menneskelige fejl, fordi Houstons vandkontrol-system er fra lige efter Anden Verdenskrig, og så har der været forslag om modernisering; det seneste forslag vil koste \$28 mia., men blev ikke til noget. Og nu har de en storm, hvor det mindste beløb, de snakker om, er \$100 mia. Deres system til oversvømmelseskontrol blev her for nylig vurderet og fik et C, som virkelig ringe. Nu er der 50 amter i Texas, som er påvirket. 33 af dem er i nødtilstand, og også 5 amter i Louisiana. Der skulle være 1,7 mio. mennesker, der måtte forlade deres hjem; vi har oversvømmede huse,

forretninger, landbrug; ingen elektricitet i tusindvis af hjem; vi har en hel del raffinaderi-kapacitet, der er blevet lukket; vi har en meget vigtig både national og international havn, Houston, som virkelig har taget skade; jernbanenettet, man skønner, at 500.000 jernbanevogne er blevet ødelagt. ...

Helga [Zepp-LaRouche] holdt en hovedtale, der er tilgængelig på dansk på vores hjemmeside, hvor hun sagde – og det var samtidig med, at orkanen Harvey ramte – hvor hun sagde, at kun ved, at vi gennemfører Lyndon LaRouches Fire Økonomiske Love, plus, at USA tilslutter sig Kinas Bælte & Vej Initiativ, kunne vi virkelig få gang i de nødvendige investeringer og moderniseringer.

Denne tragedie i Texas og Louisiana kan nu blive en opvågnings-alarmklokke til borgerne, til politikerne, om, at, nu skal der virkelig ske noget drastisk, og det kan kun lade sig gøre, hvis vi siger, at, nu skal vi ikke bare tale om LaRouches Fire Love; nu skal vi ikke bare tale om, hvor fremragende, det ville være, hvis USA tilslutter sig Bælte & Vej Initiativet, men nu skal det faktisk gøres....«

Lyd:

Dias til mødet...





Det må ske nu!

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, 30. august, 2017 – I de næste 48 timer vil LaRouche PAC Politiske Aktionskomite udstede en politisk hensigtserklæring om de nødvendige handlinger, der må tages skridt til af USA – dets folk og dets regering – for at adressere den eksistentielle krise, som er blevet bragt i forgrunden af den hen over flere stater eksistentielle krise, som orkanen Harvey og dens følgevirkninger har forårsaget. USA kan ikke længere leve i vrangforestillingernes domæne med skyhøje spekulative værdipapirer og kollapsende, fysisk økonomi. Vi kan ikke længere agere, som om vi på en eller anden måde kan overleve uden at kere os om at investere i broer, dæmninger, jernbaner, kernekraft, kanaler, diger ... og mennesker.

De fornødne politiske forholdsregler blev fremlagt af **Helga Zepp-LaRouche i hendes bemærkninger til Manhattan-mødet** den 26. august, og de omfattes helt af **Lyndon LaRouches Fire Love**.

Det er nødvendigt med en kursændring i nationaløkonomisk politik, og det må ske nu.

Bælte & Vej Initiativet bringer allerede en håbefuldst fremtid til nogle af de mest formørkede og krigshærgede områder på planeten. Transaqua-projektet i det centrale Afrika har fået grønt lys, og det vil blive udført med deltagelse af det samme, kinesiske selskab, der stod for byggeriet af de Tre Slugters Dæmning. Ligeledes har Kra-kanalen fået grønt lys, et

af de store projekter i Verdenslandbroen, der behøves for at skabe et samlet verdensocean for handel og udvikling, som Lyndon LaRouche længe har forklaret. Og i Syrien – hvor russisk militærhandling og/eller diplomatisk handling, i kombination med Kinas Bælte & Vej-initiativer er begyndt at vende tidevandet bort fra folkemorderiske krige og terrorisme – er Schiller Instituttet nu i færd med, i sin fulde udstrækning, at bringe LaRouches politik for Verdenslandbroen til det højeste niveau af dette lands regerings- og uddannelsesinstitutioner, som vi rapporterer andetsteds.[1] Dette er et ægte bevis på principperne i LaRouches politikker og metoder.

Hvis Centralafrika, Thailand og Syrien kan vågne og handle, kan vi så ikke gøre det samme? Er det ikke orkanen Harveys virkelige budskab og lektie? Ved at få USA til at vedtage LaRouches Fire Love og fuldt ud samarbejde med Bælte & Vej Initiativet, kan vi kaste nationen – og med den, verden – frem på et fuldstændig andet niveau. Nu.

Foto: Agenter fra U.S. Border Patrol Mario Fuentes, venstre, og Marc Gonzales undersøger et ødelagt mobilt hjem for evt. overlevende i kølvandet af orkanen Harvey nær Rockport, Texas, 27. aug., 2017. U.S. Customs and Border Protection photo by Glenn Fawcett

[1] Se Ulf Sandmark fra det svenske Schiller Instituts rapport her:

<http://www.larouche.se/artikel/ulf-sandmark-atervande-till-syrien-med-sidenvagsboken>

USA gennemfører sin anden test af B61-12 atombombe

29. aug., 2017 – I går meddelte USA's National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA), at det havde gennemført sin anden flyvetest af B61-12 atom-tyngdekraftbombe. Testen, hvor to komplette bomber – minus den fysiske atompakke, der får bomben til at eksplodere – blev nedkastet fra et F-15E angrebsfly, »evaluerede våbnets ikke-atomare funktioner og flyets evne til at kaste våbnet«, iflg. NNSA's pressemeddelelse.

Ud over at erstatte forældet elektronik, tilføjer B61-12 en Precision Guidance Kit (PGK) til forbedret nøjagtighed, samt en funktion, der kaldes »dial-a-yeild« (hvilket vil sige, at man kan tilpasse sprængstofmængden til f.eks. et mindre mål – som f.eks. ikke er en millionby), og som kritikere siger sænker tærsklen for anvendelse af atomvåben.

»Den kendsgerning, at man gennemfører test af denne modifikation af atombomben, indikerer, at USA fortsætter et accelereret oprustningsprogram af sit taktiske atomarsenal i Europa, såvel som også, at både Washington og Bruxelles overvejer et scenario med begrænset atomkrig i Europa«, sagde den russiske militæranalytiker Igor Korotchenko til RIA Novosti.

Mikhail Ulyanov, direktør for det Russiske Udenrigsministeriums Afdeling for Ikke-spredning og Våbenkontrol, sagde til RT: »Amerikanske militærspecialister hævder, at denne bombe vil være mere etisk og mere anvendelig, fordi den har en større nøjagtighed og resulterer i mindre katastrofale følger for civile, hvis den bruges over store områder. Dette afstedkommer den konklusion, at, når de anvendes operationelt, så kan sådanne bomber objektivt set sænke tærsklen for anvendelse af atomvåben. Vi ser dette som

en negativ hovedeffekt af det igangværende opgraderingsarbejde.«

Ulyanov påpegede ligeledes, »De seneste variationer af B61-12 bomberne er også designet til deployering til territorier i flere NATO-lande i Europa, til brug for en del af de såkaldte atommissioner, der involverer piloter fra alliansens atomvåbenfri medlemslande. Ifølge vores vurdering, så er dette i modstrid med forpligtelserne i Traktaten om ikke-spredning af kernevåben.«


Produktion af B61-12 forventes at begynde i marts 2020.

Foto: B61-træningsenhed, beregnet til jordbesætning. Den kopierer nøjagtigt formen og størrelsen af en »live« B61 (sammen med dens sikkerheds-/væskemekanismer), men indeholder kun inerte materialer.

Bruegel-rapport dokumenterer Kinas fremvækst som en videnskabsmagt

29. aug., 2017 – En rapport fra Bruegel Research Institute i Bruxelles, forfattet af Reinhilde Veugelers, med titlen, Udfordringen med Kinas fremvækst som kraftcenter for videnskab og teknologi (*The Challenge of China's Rise as a Science and Technology Powerhouse*), giver et interessant billede af udviklingen af videnskab i løbet af den seneste periode, og er ligeledes en indikation af kinesiske videnskabsstuderendes bidrag til udviklingen af videnskab i Europa og USA, som tilbageviser nogle af de myter, der er blevet spredt under den igangværende, opflammede debat om immigration. Forfatteren

beskriver Kinas velkendte intentioner om frem til år 2050 at blive hovedproducent af innovationer inden for videnskab og teknologi, som de er godt på vej til at realisere, med Kina, der nu er næststørste bidragsyder til forskning og udvikling på landsbasis og tegner sig for 20 % af verdens totale forskning og udvikling, og kommer ind på en andenplads efter USA mht. andelen af total værdiforøgelse, skabt af højteknologisk varefremstilling.

Kina er nu nummer ét i verden mht. frembringelse af  bachelorer med en grad i videnskab og ingeniørvidenskab og leverer næsten en fjerdedel af første universitetsgrader i videnskab og ingeniørvidenskab på globalt plan, og med en tilsvarende tendens inden for Ph.d-grader i Kina, med antallet af doktorer i videnskab og ingeniørvidenskab, der steg mere end ti gange mellem 2000 og 2006. Siden 2007 har Kina tildelt flere Ph.d-grader i naturvidenskab og ingeniørvidenskab end noget andet land.

Denne udvikling vil sluttelig være til fordel for Kina, men det har også været til gavn for USA og Europa, der stadig er vigtige destinationer for kinesiske studerende på højere uddannelser. Kina sender fortsat titusinder af studerende til at studere på amerikanske universiteter. Kinesiske studerende repræsenterer langt den største gruppe af udenlandske Ph.d.-modtagere i USA og tegner sig for 29 % af alle Ph.d.-grader, der tildeles udenlandske studerende i 2013 og repræsenterer omkring 7 % af alle Ph.d'er, der tildeles i USA. Dette er især tilfældet i matematik og computervidenskab, hvor den kinesiske andel af tildelte Ph.d'er til udlændinge steg til 38 % i 2009. I 2013 kom 57 % af udenlandsk fødte personer i den amerikanske arbejdsstyrke med en universitetsgrad i videnskab og ingeniørvidenskab fra Asien, med Indien i spidsen og Kina på en andenplads. Men Kina tegnede sig imidlertid for en højere andel af indehavere af doktorgrader inden for denne gruppe, 22 % i forhold 14 % fra Indien. Mere end halvdelen af iværksættere i Silicon Valley havde en eller flere immigranter

som stiftere. Og udlændinge tegnede sig for 25 % af alle nye patenter, der blev anmeldt i 2006.

Desuden er mange kinesiske studerende (84 %) tilbøjelige til at blive i USA, permanent eller midlertidigt, og bidrager således i deres tidlige karriereforløb til videnskabeligt arbejde i amerikanske institutioner, indtil de vender tilbage til Kina. I øjeblikket bidrager udlændinge i uforholdsmæssig grad til store, amerikanske, videnskabelige præstationer, og de er dobbelt så sandsynlige til at være hovedforfatterne af hyppigt citerede 'varme artikler'. Veugelers klager over, at der ikke er så meget ikke-europæisk indstrømning til europæiske uddannelsesinstitutioner, især med hensyn til asiatisk tilstedeværelse, og opfordrer Europa til at engagere mere med Kina inden for videnskab for at forbedre kvaliteten og tempoet i videnskabelig udvikling. Dette kunne meget vel ændre sig med Bælte & Vejs nye integrationsmekanisme, som bringer Europa og Asien tættere sammen. Veugelers karakteriserer korrekt denne internationale blanding af videnskab som en »dydig« udvikling.



Kinesiske unge står i kø til optagelsesprøve til college.

Forhåbentlig kan den entusiasme, som den kinesiske ungdom udviser for at bidrage til en genoplivelse af Kina gennem deres videnskabelige arbejde også være med til at tænde gnisten til en lignende entusiasme blandt amerikansk og europæisk ungdom for en genoplivelse af videnskabelige præstationer også i deres nationer.

Titelfoto: Kina har overgået USA og Europa i antallet af studerende med en universitetsgrad, og svælget vokser fortsat. Fokus på højere uddannelse i Kina er enormt, især inden for

videnskabelig og teknologisk innovation, og vægten ligger på excellence.

Billioner i kredit til ny infrastruktur – Orkanen viser os, der er intet alternativ!

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, 29. august, 2017 – Den igangværende ødelæggelse af liv, levebrød, økonomi og produktivitet, som orkanen i Texas forårsager, er et signal til landet: USA's valgte repræsentanter må nu, for sidste gang, have afvist og opsat genopbygning og erstatning af nationens forældede og nedbrudte økonomiske infrastruktur.

Lad ikke ordene »naturkatastrofe« blive misbrugt. En storby er ved at blive ødelagt, fordi den er i fare for oversvømmelser, og gentagent udsættes for oversvømmelser, og dens sikkerhedsforanstaltninger mod oversvømmelser – designet og bygget for 70-80 år siden – er ikke længere denne betegnelse værdige.

Orkanen Katrina dræbte næsten 2.000 mennesker og ødelagde økonomiske værdier til \$130 mia., og af samme årsag. Superstormen Sandy dræbte flere end 100 mennesker og forårsagede tab for \$65 mia., og af samme årsag. Disse katastrofer kunne have været forebygget for en brøkdel af deres sluttelige omkostninger, i tabt rigdom, for slet ikke at tale om tabte liv. Indbyggerne i New York-området gennemlever i øjeblikket »Helvedessommeren«, med det regionale transportsystem, der bryder sammen, og af samme årsag. Vi ved

endnu ikke, hvor mange mennesker vil miste livet i Houston og det østlige Texas, men de økonomiske og menneskelige tab dér bliver svimlende.

»Nationen kræver handling, og handling nu!«, med præsident Franklin Roosevelts ord. Der er intet alternativ til at gå fremad for en national kreditinstitution i Hamiltons tradition, som vil finansiere de nødvendige billioner til nye infrastrukturinvesteringer.

LaRouche PAC har påtaget sig ansvaret for at få præsident Trump og Kongressen til at gribe til disse handlinger. Men det er ligeledes de borgeres ansvar, som aktivt har støttet præsidenten, eller Bernie Sanders, og som har ønsket en ændring i den politik for afindustrialisering og Wall Street-spekulation, der styrer landet.

Når vi tænker over, hvor forfærdelige, omkostningerne for ikke-handling er, må vi ikke glemme, at det, at tillade Wall Street at fjerne Glass/Steagall-loven i 1999, førte til et krak, der forårsagede tabt rigdom til en værdi af \$10 billioner, massearbejdsløshed og ufortalt tab af menneskeliv.

Der må også komme handling for at genindføre Glass-Steagall og for hele det, Lyndon LaRouche har kaldt sine Fire Love for at redde nationen, inklusive store investeringer i videnskab som drivkraft, i gennembrud i fusionsteknologi og i en genoplivning af NASA's rumforskningsprogram.

Kinas Bælte & Vej Initiativ, et internationalt program for nye »jernbane-landbroer« og store infrastrukturprojekter, tilbyder umiddelbart samarbejde om den nødvendige kredit og for byggeri af en ny infrastruktur i USA. Dette initiativ, som i årtier er blevet promoveret af Lyndon og Helga LaRouche, udfører nu, via BVI, store projekter, der længe er blevet identificeret som absolut afgørende, såsom Kra-kanalen i Sydøstasien og genoplivelsen af Tchadsøen i Subsaharisk Afrika.

I USA er handling ligeså presserende nødvendig, omgående. Når

vi ser, hvad der sker med Houston, er der intet alternativ.

Foto: Texas nationalgarde fra 386. ingeniørbataljon arbejder sammen med lokale redningsfolk for at redde indbyggere og dyr fra den alvorlige oversvømmelse i Cypress Creek, 28. aug., 2017 (Army National Guard photo by Capt. Martha Nigrelle)

Orkan afslører de enorme omkostninger ved ikke at fremme det Almene Vel

29. aug., 2017 – Efter at orkanen Katrina dræbte tusinder af amerikanere i 2005, gjorde hundredtusinder hjemløse og kostede skønsmæssigt \$130 mia. i økonomiske tab, blev nye sikkerhedsforanstaltninger mod oversvømmelse og værn mod havet, langt om længe, bygget i New Orleans, til en brøkdel af de økonomiske omkostninger. Hvad der er vigtigere, så ville det have forhindret nogle af de menneskelige omkostninger.

Efter at planer om byggeri af barrierer til at beskytte New Yorks havn, projekteret til at koste \$9 mia., blev tilsidesat, dræbte superstormen Sandy 117 mennesker i 2012 og kostede \$65 mia. i økonomiske tab. Dens beskadigelse af New Yorks forældede transportsystem blev IKKE genopbygget, og dette systems kollaps er blevet til en livstruende krise i løbet af det seneste år.

Harris County Flood Control Authority's plan om ny infrastruktur mod oversvømmelse for at »hærde« Houston-området mod dets gentagne oversvømmelseskatastrofer, blev for nylig skønnet til at kræve investeringer for \$26 mia. Eftersom dette ikke blev gjort, gennemlever Houston nu en enorm

»naturkatastrofe«, hvor de økonomiske omkostninger kunne komme op på siden af Katrinas \$130 mia., og hvor tabet af menneskeliv og levebrød stadig ikke kan forudsiges.

Under Franklin Roosevelts præsidentskab og op igennem 1940'erne blev den nye infrastruktur til at forhindre sådanne »naturkatastrofer« – såsom Tennessee Valley Authority – finansieret af statskredit gennem Reconstruction Finance Corporation og Works Progress Authority. Orkanen Harvey kunne blive den nationale alarmklokke, der afslutter 70 år, hvor landet har levet uden nogen sådanne statslige kreditinstitutioner.

Præsident Trump, der besøgte Texas i dag og vil vende tilbage lørdag, sagde, »Det virkelige [finansielle] tal, som vil beløbe sig til mange mia. dollars, vil gå gennem Kongressen. Det vil ske meget hurtigt.« Dette vil kræve en gennemført tværpolitisk vedtagelse, der isolerer »Cruz-Republikanernes« fløj med »ingen regering«. Og det vil finansiere genopbygningen af lokalområdet, men ikke den nødvendige infrastruktur.

Trump sagde også, »Alle midler, som jeg råder over, står til disposition for de lokale, valgte repræsentanter.«

Houston er oversvømmet af vandmængder op til 8-10 fods dybde (ca. 2,4 – 3 meter), med undtagelse af downtown-centrum og et par boligområder, og byen ruster sig nu til stormens »anden passage« i nattens løb og i morgen efter at den vendte omkring ud over Galveston Bay. De to reservoirer i kommunens system til oversvømmelseskontrol flød tirsdag over i alle retninger, og Brazofloden løb over sine diger og ind i beboelsesområder. Houstons små afløbskanaler uden pumpe-systemer, og brakvandssøerne (bayou) – som iflg. den forsømte, nye infrastrukturplan til \$26 mia. skulle have været mangedoblet, udvidet og forsynet med pumper – er alle oversvømmet, og byens hovedveje er blevet utilsigtede afløbskanaler. Mindst én bro er styrtet sammen, og hovedveje vil blive ødelagt.

Direktør for FEMA (Federal Emergency Management Agency), Brock Long, skønner, at 30.000 mennesker vil få brug for redningsindsats for at forhindre, at de drukner, og at en halv million mennesker vil få behov for statens hjælp til at genopbygge deres hjem, og deres levebrød. Frem til tirsdag var 15 mennesker bekræftet druknet eller dræbt af vragdele i orkanen. Dette skøn kunne meget vel være lavt. Tusinder af redningspersonel er involveret, inklusive hele Texas nationalgarde og kystvagt, enheder fra andre staters nationalgarde samt personel fra FEMA. Enheder fra den amerikanske hær er ligeledes mobiliseret, ifald Texas anmoder om deres hjælp.

Omkring 15 % af USA's havnekapacitet er lukket ned i Houstons og Corpus Christis havne, med ukendt grad af skade til følge; hovedveje og jernbaner i det østlige Texas står under vand. Den nationale godstransport er allerede berørt, og dette vil også få følger for den internationale handel. Mere end 20 % af USA's olieraffineringskapacitet er lukket ned, med ukendt grad af skade til følge.

Foto: Situationsbillede fra det oversvømmede Houston.

Reaktioner på Nordkoreas missilaffyring: Gennemfør den dobbelte indefrysning

29. aug., 2017 – Nordkorea har affyret et missil over Japans Hokkaido-ø kl. 5:57 lokal tid, hvilket udløste alarmsirener og stærke trusler fra Japans premierminister Shinzo Abe,

præsident Donald Trump og europæiske ledere. I dag responderede Rusland og Kina, og tidligere også Tyskland, ved at foreslå, at USA og Sydkorea vedtager en »dobbelt indefrysning« af militære tests og øvelser for at afdramatisere faren for krig.

Tidligt her til morgen gennemførte Nordkorea sin fjerde missiltest på fire dage, og denne gang, for første gang, direkte over japansk territorium, Hokkaido-øen, idet Nordkorea her trodsede FN's resolutioner, der forbød landets missiltests.

USA's præsident Donald Trump sagde til ABC News: »Verden har modtaget Nordkoreas seneste budskab højt og tydeligt: dette regime har signaleret sin foragt for sine naboer, for alle medlemmer af FN og for en minimumstandard for acceptabel, international adfærd. Truende og destabiliserende handlinger øger blot det nordkoreanske regimes isolation i området og blandt alle verdens nationer. Alle muligheder er på bordet.«

Japans premierminister Shinzo Abe sagde, at Nordkoreas seneste handling udgør en »hidtil uhørt trussel« mod Japan.

Nordkoreas ambassadør til FN i Genève, Han Tae-Song, sagde til ABC.net, »Nu, hvor USA åbent har erklæret sine fjendtlige intentioner mod den Demokratiske Folkerepublik Korea, ved at gennemføre aggressive, fælles militærøvelser på trods af gentagne advarsler ... har mit land enhver grund til at respondere med barske modforholdsregler for at udøve sin ret til at forsvare sig.«

Den russiske viceforsvarsminister Sergei Ryabkov sagde, at i en krig på Koreahalvøen, »ville en absolut ny realitet vokse frem; tragedierne ville være utallige ... jeg mener, vi skal bekæmpe denne mulighed så stærkt, vi kan«, rapporterede Sputnik i dag.

Ryabkov refererede til de fælles militærøvelser, som Amerika i øjeblikket afholder sammen med Sydkorea (21.-31. aug.), Ulchi

Freedom Guardian-aktiviteten, der involverede computersimuleret krig. Ryabkov sagde, »Vi anser, at de fælles øvelser, der fandt sted i dag, om end de var i en lettere udgave sammenlignet med den oprindelige dagsorden, havde en virkning mht. at provokere Pyongyang til at udføre endnu en missilaffyring. Vi er meget bekymrede over den generelle udvikling«, rapporterede *Newsweek*.

Ryabkov udtalte, at USA og Sydkorea bærer skylden for Nordkoreas seneste missilaffyring over Japan, og at yderligere sanktioner mod Nordkoreas regime ikke vil forbedre situationen. Damien Sharkov rapporterer i *Newsweek* i dag, at, i forventning om, at der følger mere af samme slags, sagde Ryabkov til pressen, at USA's handlinger skubbede regimet mod flere tests; de standsede dem ikke. TASS rapporterede: »Hvis vi skal gå ud fra, hvordan USA og andre vestlige lande har handlet tidligere, så kan vi selvfølgelig forvente nye skridt hen mod en stramning af sanktionerne, men det vil ikke løse problemet. Det er nu klart for enhver, at sanktioner som resurse til at øve indflydelse på Nordkorea, nu er udløbet«, rapporterede Itar-Tass.

The Telegraph rapporterede den 15. aug., at »Nordkorea har altid fordømt øvelserne som provokerende øvelser af en invasion og har tidligere tilbudt et moratorium for yderligere atom- og missiltests til gengæld for en aflysning af øvelserne – en handel, der blev promoveret af Pyongyangs hovedallierede Kina, men gentagent afvist af Washington og Seoul.«

»Tyskland forstår og støtter initiativet med 'dobbelt indefrysning' [Nordkorea stopper missilaffyringer; Sydkorea og dets allierede stopper militærøvelser], og er villig til at fortsætte med at arbejde med Beijing for at promovere en fredelig afgørelse af Nordkorea-spørgsmålet«, sagde den tyske udenrigsminister Sigmar Gabriel i en telefonsamtale med den kinesiske udenrigsminister, Wang Yi, den 16. aug.

Bring USA ind i det Nye Paradigme, Nu!

Af Helga Zepp-LaRouche

Jeg er meget glad for at tale for jer, for dette giver mig lejlighed til understrege for mit eget perspektiv, hvorfor jeg mener, at dette er det mest dramatiske øjeblik i historien, i vores levetid. Hvis dette går den rigtige vej, kunne vi være i et fuldstændig nyt paradigme, i en ny form for relationer mellem nationer på meget kort tid. Og, hvis det går den forkerte vej, ville vi meget hurtigt være tilbage i en kurs for konfrontation med Rusland og Kina, som vi havde med den tidligere administration. Og, i betragtning af alle krisepunkterne og situationens drama, kunne det føre til Tredje Verdenskrig og civilisationens udslettelse.

Så imellem disse to muligheder kunne spændingen ikke være større, og det sted, hvor denne kamp udkæmpes, er selvfølgelig USA.

Download (PDF, Unknown)

En fortælling om to verdener

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, 28. august, 2017 – Som Charles Dickens skrev i En fortælling om to byer:

»Det var den bedste tid, det var den værste tid; det var

visdommens århundrede, det var dårskabens århundrede; det var troens periode, det var vantroens periode; det var lysets tid, det var mørkets tid; det var håbets vår, det var fortvivlelsens vinter; vi havde alt i vente, vi havde intet i vente.«

I dag er det ikke to byer, men to visioner af menneskehedens fremtid, og af menneskets grundlæggende natur.

Verden er vidne til ødelæggelserne i Houston – en naturkatastrofe, selvfølgelig, men én, der fandt et »lille folk« (som Schiller sagde om den franske befolkning på det tidspunkt, hvor Dickens' fortælling foregår, før og under Den franske Revolution), der har ladet nationens infrastruktur forfalde til randen af sammenbrud. Houston er notorisk uforberedt til at håndtere oversvømmelser, selv under de årligt tilbagevendende storme, for slet ikke at tale om orkaner eller den aktuelle 1000-års oversvømmelse. Allerede i 2012 gav det Amerikanske Ingeniørselskab byen et C- i byens »standpunktsbog« mht. sikkerhedsforholdsregler mod oversvømmelse. De to hoveddæmninger til sikring mod oversvømmelse af Houston, som begge er på randen til at flyde over eller endda kollapse i den aktuelle storm, blev bygget i 1940'erne, og deres levetid udløb for 20 år siden.

Men Houston er i bund og grund ikke anderledes end alle dele af USA. Vores største by, New York, oplever for tiden et generelt sammenbrud i systemerne for transport, kloakering, vand og mere endnu – en kendsgerning, som blev adresseret den 26. august på et Schiller Institut forum i Manhattan. Infrastrukturunderskuddet har skabt krudttønder i hele nationen, der blot behøver en enkelt gnist for at ryge i luften, som vi så det under orkanen Katrina i New Orleans, med superstormen Sandy i New York City og med tørken i det sydvestlige USA.

På den anden side har vi visionen om »håbets vår«, der er ved at blive til virkelighed med Silkevejen, som bringer

storstilet infrastruktur i hele Asien, Afrika og Latinamerika, infrastruktur, som de er blevet nægtet under hele koloni- og postkolonitiden. Særligt er to af de store projekter, som Lyndon LaRouche har foreslået hen over de seneste par årtier – Kra-kanalen i det sydlige Thailand og projektet for genopfyldning af den næsten udtømte Tchadsø i Afrika, gennem omdirigering af vand fra Congofloden (Transaqua) – nærmer sig nu deres virkeliggørelse. Disse projekter er blevet voldsomt modgået af de tidligere kolonimagter og modgås stadig i Vesten under den falske forklædning af, at det 'gør skade på miljøet' og 'ikke er rentabelt'. Bag begge disse store projekter er drivkraften Kinas Bælte & Vej Initiativ, med den anskuelse, at menneskeheden ikke er et oligarkis undersåtter, men at den derimod er formålet for regeringers eksistens.

Hvorfor kan USA og Europa ikke deltage i denne verdensforandrende udviklingsproces? Den eneste årsag er den fortsatte magt, der oppebæres af det bankerotte finansimperium centreret i London og New York. Det vestlige banksystems degeneration, til at blive et uhæmmet spillekasino, der kun er villigt til at »investere« i spekulationsorgier og er drivkraften bag stadigt større forfald af den fysiske økonomi og levestandarden for de »laveste 90 %« af befolkningen – dette er den virkelighed, der førte til valget af Donald Trump. Dette er den virkelighed, der er drivkraften bag det igangværende kupforsøg imod ham, fra magterne i Londons og Wall Streets og deres slaviske, totalejede politiske ledere og mediehorers side. »USA må ikke gå med i den Nye Silkevej«, brøler Ozymandias, fra Shelleys digt. Og han tilføjer, »Regeringen må ikke intervenere i Wall Street«. »USA må forberede krig mod Rusland ... Mit navn er Ozymandias, Kongernes Konge; Betragt mit Værk, I Mægtige, og fortvivl!«

Begivenhederne i Houston må blive alarmklokken, der ringer og vækker os. Selvfølgelig vil det amerikanske folk vågne af deres slummer for at hjælpe befolkningen i Houston i denne katastrofe – men det må også, langt om længe, handle for at

genindføre det Amerikanske System, for at forlange afslutningen af dette *casino mondial* og den omgående skabelse af et statsligt kreditsystem i Hamiltons tradition, til skabelse af den nødvendige kredit til at genopbygge vore byer på et moderne niveau, vore transportnetværk, vore vandsystemer, vores energiproduktion, vore skoler og hospitaler. Som Helga Zepp-LaRouche sagde om infrastrukturkrisen på forummet i Manhattan den 26. august[1]: »Hvorfor ikke bygge 50 nye byer?« Kina har gjort det og bringer det ud til hele verden gennem Bælte & Vej. Vi kan, og må, gå sammen med dem.

Foto: Texas' redningsfolk redder en indbygger i Houston i båd, under øversvømmelserne forårsaget af orkanen Harvey. 27. aug., 2017.

[1] Se video her: <https://larouchepac.com/20170827/manhattan-town-hall-revive-hamilton-s-american-system-and-presidency-through-larouche-s>

Helgas tale vil blive oversat og udlagt her på hjemmesiden.

Amerikanere, mobilisér: Trump må tilslutte USA til den Nye Silkevej

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, 27. august, 2017 – I dag sagde Helga Zepp-LaRouche, at Trumps tilhængere må mobilisere for, at præsidenten bringer Amerika ind i det af Kina initierede Bælte & Vej Initiativ for byggeri af infrastruktur i hele verden. Spørgsmålet om dette »win-win«-initiativ og om, hvorvidt USA går med i dets globale projekter og ligeledes bygger sin egen

infrastruktur på basis af ny teknologi, er afgørende for fred mellem USA og Rusland og Kina; det er afgørende for, at Trump kan overleve det igangværende kup imod ham; og for genoplivelsen af USA som en industrimagt.

Den 20. aug. havde både *China Daily* og *People's Daily* store artikler, der satte fokus på Lyndon og Helga LaRouches rolle, både i udarbejdelsen af konceptet med politikken for den Nye Silkevej, eller Verdenslandbroen, for flere årtier siden, og også i at bringe denne politik til USA og Europa i og med, at den blev vedtaget af Kina. Deres bevægelse er den magt, der kan bringe Trump-præsidentskabet til at vedtage den. Helga LaRouche sagde, at denne bevægelse nu må mobilisere Trump-tilhængere til at få Trump ind i Bælte & Vej. Bestræbelser, med briterne som drivkraften, fra f.eks. Steven Bannons side på at forgifte Trumps bagland imod Bælte & Vej Initiativet, må modgås af denne bevægelse.

Den 26. aug. talte Helga Zepp-LaRouche på en Manhattan-konference med temaet, »En genoplivelse af Alexander Hamiltons Amerikanske System gennem LaRouches Fire Love«, en konference, der bragte kredse med sammenfaldende interesser, bestående af Trump-aktivister og kinesisk-amerikanske aktivister, tillige med ingeniører og borgere, der mobiliserer for at genopbygge New York-områdets smuldrende transportsystem, sammen.

»Forestil jer engang, hvilket enormt potentiale, der åbner sig, hvis USA samarbejder med Bælte & Vej Initiativet«, sagde Helga til konferencen. »Det kunne genopbygge USA's egen industri på mellemlivsniveau. USA kunne investere i alle projekterne i Latinamerika, Afrika og langs den Eurasiske Landbro. Det ville simpelt hen fuldstændig ændre situationen og også genopbygge USA. Man kunne få en total forandring i USA. Man kunne få 50 nye byer. Hvorfor ikke bygge 50 nye byer? Fra kyst til kyst er der mange stater, der er meget tyndt befolket og næsten ikke har nogen byer; man kunne forbinde disse byer med dem på kysten gennem højhastigheds-togsystemet; man kunne få videnskabsbyer ...

Jeg mener, det er meget vigtigt at forestille sig et helt andet system. Hvis USA nu ville gøre, hvad Franklin D. Roosevelt gjorde – en New Deal, Glass-Steagall og samarbejde med Kina – kunne USA opleve en industriel revolution større end noget, landet nogensinde tidligere har oplevet. Folk må simpelt hen forstå, at vi nu befinder os ved afslutningen af et system, der ikke kan reddes. Vi må erstatte det med et fuldstændig nyt system, og det har de fleste mennesker simpelt hen svært ved at forestille sig, men der findes eksempler på sådanne forandringer. Marshall-planen for Europa var f.eks. et sådant eksempel, og Meiji-restaurationen i Japan var et andet – og det, som Roosevelt gjorde med New Deal; så folk må simpelt hen forstå, at et sådant dramatisk skifte absolut er muligt i dag.«

De virkelige problemer, som præsidenten må tackle – det være sig svøben med afhængighed af opiater, eller netop nu, den værste storm over den Mexicanske Golf, der nogensinde har ramt Texas, og som kræver mange tusinde redningsfolk og vidtstrakt genopbygning af hjem og infrastruktur – fordrer *principperne om det almene vel*, om fælles velfærd for hele menneskeheden. Trump kan ikke gøre dette alene, og under angreb. Amerikanere må mobilisere, så »dramatiske forandringer absolut er mulige i dag«.

Foto: Præsident Donald J. Trump og præsident Xi Jinping på G20-topmøde, 8. juli, 2017.

(Official White House Photo by Shealah Craighead)

USA ' s udenrigsminister

Tillerson om Nordkorea og Afghanistan

27. aug., 2017 – USA's udenrigsminister Rex Tillerson optrådte på Fox News Sunday i dag. Forespurgt om Nordkorea sagde Tillerson: »Vores regering må være forberedt. Vi har holdt to møder i regeringen om dette.« Om den Demokratiske Republik Koreas leder Kim Jong-un sagde Tillerson: »Han fortsætter med at understrege sine pointer. Vi vil fortsætte vores fredelige kampagne for at lægge pres, og arbejde sammen med Kina, og med allierede, for at se, om vi kan bringe regimet i Pyongyang til forhandlingsbordet med udsigten til at påbegynde en dialog om en anden fremtid for Koreahalvøen, og for Nordkorea.«

Om Afghanistan: »Vi ønsker at lade Taliban vide, at vi ikke går nogen steder, og at de ikke vil vinde militært. Vi vinder måske ikke, men det gør I heller ikke.« For øjeblikket er budskabet, sagde Tillerson, »Ingen tidslinje eller deadlines. Vores tålmodighed er ikke ubegrænset, men der er ingen vilkårlige deadlines.«

»Men vi vil udslette ISIS. Det er vores mål at nægte nogen terrororganisation noget som helst territorium, fra hvilket de kan arrangere angreb. Præsidenten har været klar: Vi vil besejre ISIS. Vi afsluttede deres kalifat i Irak og i Syrien. Vores indsats er global.«

Som svar på vært Wallaces spørgsmål om »globalister vs. Amerika-først-ister« i Trump-administrationen, sagde Tillerson, »Jeg tror ikke, der er nogen modsætning dér.«

Tillerson afviste spørgsmål om Trump og Charlottesville og sagde blot, at Udenrigsministeriet havde gjort amerikanske værdier meget klart over for alle andre nationer.

Den 27. aug. vil Alice Wells, den amerikanske topembedsmand, der fører tilsyn med det sydasiatiske diplomati, besøge

Islamabad for at følge op på præsident Trumps advarsel til Pakistan. Wells er fungerende viceudenrigsminister for Syd- og Centralasien; hun taler flere asiatiske sprog flydende, inkl. Pakistans officielle sprog, urdu; og hun vil mødes med en række regeringsfolk under sit besøg. Hun bliver den første regeringsrepræsentant på højt niveau til at besøge Pakistan siden Trumps tale i sidste uge, hvor han gav den hidtil mest ligefremme advarsel til Pakistan, som nogen amerikansk præsident har givet: at landet har meget at tabe ved fortsat at huse terrorister. Dette er Wells' andet besøg til Islamabad i denne måned. Wells er også fungerende særlige repræsentant for Afghanistan og Pakistan.

At bevæge verden i en fuldstændig anden retning. Af Helga Zepp-LaRouche

Jeg har flere gange understreget det faktum, at den kinesiske model er meget nærmere ved det oprindelige amerikanske økonomiske system, end folk forestiller sig. Alle Kinas banksystemer er faktisk meget mere ligesom banksystemet efter Hamiltons principper, eller man kunne også sige, ligesom Friedrich Lists rammepolitik; Friedrich List, den tyske økonom, der i øvrigt er den mest berømte økonom i Kina i dag.

Download (PDF, Unknown)

**Gå fremad for en fælles
fremtid for hele
menneskeheden.**

**LaRouche PAC Fireside Chat,
24. august, 2017**

Helga Zepp-LaRouche talte om den særdeles dystre og farlige situation i landet; det faktum, at kupoperationen er i højeste gear for at inddæmme præsident Trump til at gøre præcis det modsatte af, hvad han havde lovet (dette vil vi uddybe nærmere under den efterfølgende diskussion), og af hvilken grund han naturligvis vandt valget, nemlig, at genrejse økonomien, og specifikt at begynde med at genindføre Glass-Steagall, og dernæst at standse de forskellige krige; kort sagt, at gøre en ende på den britiske imperiepolitik.

Vært Lynne Speed: God aften. Jeg er Lynne Speed og vil være aftenens mødeleder. Det er torsdag, 24. august, 2017. Jeg vil gerne indlede aftenens telefonkonference med at citere en diskussion, som Lyndon og Helga LaRouche havde i går med kolleger, og som blev yderligere understreget i deres diskussion med kolleger i dag.

Helga Zepp-LaRouche talte om den særdeles dystre og farlige situation i landet; det faktum, at kupoperationen er i højeste gear for at inddæmme præsident Trump til at gøre præcis det modsatte af, hvad han havde lovet (dette vil vi uddybe nærmere under den efterfølgende diskussion), og af hvilken grund han naturligvis vandt valget, nemlig, at genrejse økonomien, og specifikt at begynde med at genindføre Glass-Steagall, og dernæst at standse de forskellige krige; kort sagt, at gøre en ende på den britiske imperiepolitik.

Denne situation vil først og fremmest kræve vores energiske aktivitet. Og, som Helga udtrykte det, så er det afgørende spørgsmål fortsat at erstatte det gamle paradigme med det nye paradigme for udvikling og fred. Husk, at Donald Trumps valgsejr den 6. november, 2016, ikke var et nationalt spørgsmål, men derimod et internationalt spørgsmål af stor og historisk betydning. Man må se på nutidens spørgsmål ud fra menneskehedens lange, historiske bue. Faren for et Maidan II i USA er i slægt med faren i USA og Europa på Weimarrepublikkens tid. Præsident Franklin Roosevelt fik USA ud af depressionen med sin New Deal, men Europa sank ned, og vi så fremkomsten af fascismen. Folk må lære af historien.

Lyndon LaRouche tilføjede følgende; han udstedte en opfordring til handling og sagde: *»Jeg er dybt bekymret over den situation, vi her diskuterer. Men pointen er, at vi må påtage os ansvaret for at bakke det op, vi har talt om, og som mine medarbejdere gør. Vi må vinde dette her. USA's fremtidige eksistens afhænger af, at vi gør vores job. Det drejer sig ikke om at komme med forslag, men om at vinde en sejr over de ting, der er i færd med at ødelægge USA og forhindre, at det udfører sin mission. Og held og lykke til os alle!«*

Så dette var aftenens indledning. Vores emne her i aften er »at tænke på det niveau, der kræves for at vinde«, og vores gæst i aften er Will Wertz. Mange, der er med os i aftenens telefonkonference, er bekendt med hans arbejde. For to uger siden gav han en fremragende præsentation på Manhattan Town Meeting.

(<https://larouchepac.com/20170805/manhattan-town-hall-event-will-wertz>)

Han har ligeledes talt på LaRouche PAC Internationale Webcasts og har givet en dybtgående fremlæggelse af briternes forræderiske rolle i deres forsøg på at underminere præsidentskabet og USA's Forfatning. (<https://larouchepac.com/20170818/charlottesville-was-staged-event>)

og

<https://larouchepac.com/20170811/larouchepac-friday-webcast-will-wertz>).

Jeg vil stoppe her, og hvis du er på, Will, så fortsæt herfra, og når du er færdig, vil vi fortsætte med spørgsmål og svar.

Will Wertz: Tak, Lynne. Jeg mener, at vi må se på dette historiske øjeblik sådan, som den tyske digter, skuespilforfatter og historiker, Friedrich Schiller, så på perioden med Den franske Revolution. Han skrev et kort digt med to linjer kort tid efter Den franske Revolution, med titlen, »Øjeblikket«; og det lyder som følger: »Århundredet har affødt et stort øjeblik. Men dette store øjeblik har fundet et lille folk.« Og det er netop den udfordring, der ligger foran os på dette historiske tidspunkt: at overvinde en småtskåren tankegang og ikke lade sig opsluge af infantile besættelser, for nu at udtrykke det således. Vi er i en situation, hvor vi har potentialet til at gå fremad for en fælles skæbne for hele menneskeheden. Valget af præsident Trump, især i visse afgørende områder, repræsenterer et potentiale for, at USA kan tilslutte sig denne storslåede strategi for menneskeheden. Og som Lynne Speed netop sagde, så er der især to områder, der er afgørende. For det første, så afviste han hele politikken med regimeskifte, som vi har været involveret i, med evindelige krige, hen over de seneste par årtier, især under George W. Bush og dernæst under præsident Obama. Han afviste krigen i Irak, han kritiserede stærkt indsatsen i Libyen, der resulterede i mordet på præsident Gaddafi; han har, om end langsomt pga. angrebet på ham for hans angivelige 'aftalte spil' med Rusland, satset på at arbejde sammen med Rusland for at besejre ISIS og al-Nusra i Syrien. Dette er meget positive udviklinger og det er selvfølgelig lydhørt over for det, præsident Putin foreslog tilbage i september 2015 på FN's Generalforsamling, og som er en forenet, international koalition for at bekæmpe terrorisme i lighed med den koalition, der voksede frem for at besejre

nazisterne under Anden Verdenskrig.

Det andet område har han i det mindste givet udtryk for sin forpligtelse til i løbet af kampagnen og siden. Det er at gennemføre Glass-Steagall, der, som de fleste mennesker ved, ville adskille legitim bankaktivitet, der er involveret i investering i reel produktion, reelle samfundstjenesteydelser, fra spekulativ bankaktivitet af kasino-typen, der har ødelagt vores økonomi. Han har ligeledes, siden han blev valgt, holdt en række taler, hvor han har krævet en tilbagevenden til det Amerikanske Økonomiske System, og han har i denne sammenhæng citeret Alexander Hamilton, Henry Clay og Abraham Lincoln, blandt andre. Selv her i den seneste situation i Charlottesville kom han med en udtalelse, der sagde, at måden, hvorpå de menneskelige relationer, inklusive relationerne mellem racerne, kan forbedres i dette land, er en reel forhøjelse af levestandarden for alle mennesker i landet, og det forudsætter skabelsen af produktiv beskæftigelse til en højere løn, så folk ret faktisk har råd til at forsørge en familie og har råd til at forpligte sig til en lysere fremtid for den næste generation, der, når alt kommer til alt, er én af de afgørende ting i ethvert menneskes liv; nemlig gennem sit eget liv at bidrage til at forbedre vilkårene for ens børns liv, eller, hvis man ikke selv har børn, for alle børn, for de efterlevende. Dette er altså de to områder, der virkelig er afgørende.

Med hele operationen, der går ud på at udføre et kup imod præsident Trump, har han ikke fuldt ud handlet på disse spørgsmål, og har i visse områder faktisk handlet i modstrid med dette løfte. Det var tilfældet, da han, uden nogen beviser for, at Syrien rent faktisk var engageret i brugen af kemiske våben, som det blev påstået, bombede den syrisk luftbase. Den nylige tale, han holdt om Afghanistan, har tilknyttede farer, fordi der ikke findes en militær løsning i sig selv i Afghanistan, og vi har allerede været der i seksten år uden de store resultater; ja, faktisk er én ting, man har opnået i

Afghanistan, at verden er blevet oversvømmet med heroin fra opiumsafgrøden i dette land.

På hjemmefronten er der blevet skabt mange jobs gennem de forholdsregler, han hidtil har truffet, inklusive ikke at overholde frihandelsaftalerne, og andre lignende bestræbelser for at bringe jobs tilbage til USA, i modsætning til at outsource dem under disse frihandelsaftaler, men generelt er situationen ikke forbedret. For at gøre dette må vi vedtage Glass-Steagall, og vi må vedtage Lyndon LaRouches Fire Love, der omfatter Glass-Steagall; som omfatter at udstede statskredit gennem en (statslig) Nationalbank, hvor vægten ligger på kapitalintensive former for varefremstilling, og det omfatter, at vi forpligter os til fremtiden ved at udvikle fusionskraft og ved at genoplive rumprogrammet (der, der i LaRouches Fire Love kaldes en videnskabsdrevet økonomi, -red.). Det er, hvad der er nødvendigt lige nu, og Helga og Lyndon LaRouche har krævet, at vi lancerer en hasteaktion i dette land for at sikre, at disse politikker rent faktisk nu bliver implementeret, for man er i færd med at inddæmme præsident Trump. Han er ganske bestemt kampklar over for sine fjender, men han bliver i stigende grad inddæmnet. Vi har vilkår i landet, som kineserne sammenligner med Kulturrevolutionen under Mao Zedong med hensyn til det tyranni, der etableres af nyhedsmedierne og af de tidligere efterretningsagenter som Clapper, Brennan, Comey, Mueller og hans stilling som særlig anklager, og af Demokrater og også modstandere af præsident Trump i det Republikanske Parti. Vi har betingelser som under McCarthy-perioden, der er i færd med at blive skabt i landet, og som er ekstraordinært farligt, og det forhindrer den form for samarbejde, der kræves, med især russerne og kineserne.

Vi har længe været fortalere for, at USA tilslutter sig BRIKS-nationerne, dvs., Brasilien, Rusland, Indien, Kina og Sydafrika, i forbindelse med det, der nu er blevet kendt som Kinas politik for Ét Bælte, én Vej, eller Silkevejen, eller

det, vi har kaldt Verdenslandbroen, og som blev initieret af Lyndon og Helga LaRouche for årtier siden, og som kineserne har vedtaget. Vi må arbejde med på dette projekt, som er et projekt for fred, der er baseret på økonomisk udvikling. Vi ser, at der er mulighed for succes gennem de fremskridt, der nu gøres i Syrien som resultat af det faktum, at USA, efter præsident Trumps møde med præsident Putin, har aftalt at etablere en deeskaleringszone i det sydvestlige Syrien. Og vi har nu en situation, hvor der ganske vist ikke er direkte, fælles militæraktioner mellem USA og Rusland imod ISIS og al-Nusra, men ikke desto mindre, så, som den russiske forsvarsminister netop har udtalt, er borgerkrigen i Syrien *de facto* forbi. Og vi har nu en meget succesfuld indsats for at udslette ISIS, ikke alene i Irak, men også i Syrien.

Dette er altså et eksempel på, hvad der kan gøres. Tager vi andre områder i verden, Nordkorea, så kunne man få samarbejde mellem Kina, Rusland, Japan og Sydkorea for at løse denne situation, men man må aftale at gøre det, russerne og kineserne har krævet, og som tyskerne faktisk også har været fortalere for, og som er en dobbelt indefrysning, hvor nordkoreanerne indvilger i ikke at afholde flere missiltests, ikke flere atomraket-tests, og USA og Sydkorea indvilger i ikke at afholde flere militærøvelser, som i hvert fald før i tiden omfattede at fjerne den nordkoreanske regering. Dette er de tiltag, man må gennemføre. På samme måde i Afghanistan; se på situationen dér. I stedet for, at USA går sammen med NATO efter seksten års nederlag, så bør man i stedet gå i samarbejde med Rusland, Kina, Indien, Pakistan og Iran for at afgøre krisen i Afghanistan. Det er der en fremtrædende mulighed for at gøre, men det kræver, at man faktisk træffer beslutningen om at gøre det. Lignende kriser, som den i Ukraine, kan løses på samme måde.

Dette repræsenterer altså et Nyt Paradigme for tankegang, hvilket er, hvad Helga Zepp-LaRouche har refereret til. Man har det gamle paradigme, der bygger på geopolitik, bygger på

frihandelsøkonomi og en ganske bestemt form for selvished. Og så er der det Nye Paradigme, der bygger på det, kineserne kalder en »win-win«-fremgangsmåde. Dette er ikke kun en kinesisk opfindelse; det er faktisk den måde, hvorpå man fik en afslutning på Trediveårskrigen i Europa. Dette var en religionskrig mellem katolikker og protestanter, der lagde Europa øde i 30 år. Den blev afsluttet i den Westfalske Fredstraktat, i hvilken det princip, der afgjorde fredsprocessen, var, at man, når man handler, tager den andens fordel i betragtning. Det er den form for fremgangsmåde, vi må have. Det er en win-win-strategi.

På en vis måde har vi det fænomen, at størstedelen af resten af verden har vedtaget princippet fra den Westfalske Fredstraktat, og som vil sige, at man har en udenrigspolitik, der bygger på den andens fordel, og ikke på ens egen, snævert definerede egeninteresse. Vi har resten af verden, der er forpligtet over for en politik for fred og udvikling, hvilket var den politik, som nu afdøde Pave Poul VI (1963-1978) var fortaler for, i en encyklika ('pavebrev') med titlen *Populorum Progressio*, og som grundlæggende set sagde, at det nye navn for fred er økonomisk udvikling. Det er det nye paradigme, og hvis vi ikke gennemfører dette nye paradigme nu, og kæmper for det nu – det er et globalt paradigme, men det må gennemføres her i USA i form af LaRouches Fire Love – men det må være en del af en global strategi for at opnå succes. Det er, hvad vi må mobilisere det amerikanske folk for, for at de forstår, at enten, så gør vi dette, eller også står vi over for en fare for, at situationen vil udvikle sig ude af kontrol; faren for totalt kaos i USA, og fjernelsen af en behørigt valgt præsident fra sit embede, af politiske årsager, ja faktisk af en udenlandsk regering, Det britiske Imperium. Og dét ville betyde faren for atomkrig.

Det er grunden til, at Lyndon og Helga LaRouche har sagt, at dette er en dyster situation, der kræver nødhandling. Og her vil jeg slutte, og vi vil sige mere om det i besvarelse af

jeres spørgsmål.

Speed: Fint. Mange tak. Vi går nu over til spørgsmål; folk trykker stjerne 6 for at komme i køen, og mens folk tænker over deres spørgsmål, mener jeg, at det, Will sagde, giver stof til eftertanke; vi må tænke 'ud over kassen'; det er det afgørende netop nu.

Herefter følger spørgsmål og svar i engelsk udskrift.

Telefonkonferencen kan høres her:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=h_4ZjBMcgXk

SPEED: Okay, great. Thanks very much, Will. We will be moving to

the question portion of this. People hit star 6 to the queue and

while people are thinking of their questions, and I think what Will said gives a lot of food for thought, we have to think outside of the box; this is the key thing right now.

We have two important national meetings that are coming up which will emanate out of Manhattan, but which are really national and international meetings to address precisely the crises we have just been identifying. The first will be this Saturday and it's a meeting, "Revive Hamilton's American System

and the Presidency through LaRouche's Four Laws." That meeting will be keynoted by Helga LaRouche via video on Google Hangouts.

She'll be joined by Hal Cooper; she'll be joined by others who are leading construction managers and engineers in the area, as

well as by Jason Ross from the LaRouche Science Team. Everyone should tune in for that. And then, in two weeks, we will be hosting a very special meeting on September 9th, which is of course the weekend of 9/11, and since we first conceptualized this meeting the situation has changed rather dramatically. This

is the weekend where it would be very appropriate and lawful

to mobilize people to crush the British Empire by implementing LaRouche's Four Laws, and to implement the Four Laws by crushing the British Empire, so it's a self-reflexive idea. You can't do one without the other. We need everyone who is on the phone this evening and those who will be listening subsequently to decide in what way you can best expand the reach of particularly the Saturday, September 9th webcast, that will be a live webcast. Once again we will be joined and keynoted by Helga LaRouche, I believe. Will Wertz, who is with us tonight, will be at that meeting, and we will also have William Binney from the VIPS organization and Raymond McGovern from the VIPS organization. Let me correct that, I don't know if Helga will be on that, but we'll have Will Wertz and the other two that I mentioned. We want to have standing room only for the event, so any of you who can come into New York at that time should do that, but we also want to look into holding a series of satellite meetings around the country where we could have possibly tens of thousands of people watching the meeting in real time. And in this way we can create a kind of surprise effect. Between now and then one of the ways we could build this is through the gathering of the signatures on our petition demanding that Trump investigate the charges of the VIPS, that Russia-gate was not a hack, it was a hoax and to get many people to subscribe to our publications. So I think this

a
very appropriate period and opportunity right now to in fact
use
the crisis to wake people up, shake people up and many people
are
just disgusted with what is otherwise going on. They are
hungry
for solutions and this is certainly what we are seeing in a
lot
of our organizing in the field.
We are going to go to the questions that are lined up. Hit
Star 6 to get in the queue. Go ahead, can you hear me?

Q 1: This is Sarah from Indiana. And I just wanted to make
a comment, but I think it's very important in light of what
the
gentleman was talking [about], is that China has, within three
years raised up 700 million people out of poverty in only 3
years. There's a new article floating on the internet that in
the last 15 years, the United States has increased terrorism
by
6500%; so kind of a little bit of a difference there. The
fact
that 700 million is over twice the population of the United
States. So, it is so vital for people to realize that within
three years the United States could be totally out of deficit,
if
people choose it.

WERTZ: Well, I think the other thing to look at is that
China, under Mao Zedong and the Cultural Revolution, was a
horrendous, tyrannical society in which the population was
very
much oppressed; particularly intellectuals. People who
actually
thought, and not just the politically correct views of
Chairman

Mao and his Little Red Book. Now what you have is a situation where, not only – as you point out – over 700 million people have been lifted out of poverty, but China is playing a very positive role on a global scale, if you look at what they're doing. They're a member of the BRICS, which I mentioned earlier, which is Brazil, Russia, India, China, and the Union of South Africa; a very unique organization because it represents a wide range of countries in the world. They're committed to a policy of economic development. Now the BRICS will have, I think it's its 9th annual summit in China in early September; this will be the 3rd to the 5th of September. The title of the conference is "Stronger Partnership for a Brighter Future". Of course the Chinese have invited the United States to join this effort, which is involved with the One Belt, One Road – or the Silk Road – perspective. Obama, of course, refused. Obama put massive pressure on other countries, including Japan, Australia, South Korea, not to work with China in terms of the major development bank which they set up. On the other hand, after his meeting with President Xi, President Trump did send a delegation to the One Belt, One Road summit which occurred in Beijing earlier this year. So, the point here is that you've got – and this One Belt, One Road effort now includes something like 69 countries; and it's a conception of nations throughout the world working together to lift all of their populations out of poverty, and also to counter the tendency under conditions of poverty for people to be pitted against each other for racial, religious,

ethnic, tribal reasons. Not really good reasons, but to be manipulated against each other under conditions of poverty. So this, in a certain sense, is a model which we should absolutely join at this point; and see it along with the implementation of LaRouche's Four Laws in the United States as the means of actually accomplishing rates of growth in the range of 7%-8% per year, as they have achieved in China previously. That's the kind of thing that we have as a potential which we have to move with right now. Again, I stress, this is urgent; it's not something to be done in the distant future. It's urgent because it will also be a tremendous flank on the current effort to unseat the duly-elected President of the United States. If he moves with that, that will mobilize the entire population – the forgotten men and women of this country that he references, as did Franklin Roosevelt. It's the way to actually unite the country, as he said after Charlottesville. It's also something which Andy Young, who worked with Martin Luther King, emphasized this past Sunday. He said the biggest problem in the country is poverty, and that that's what you have to focus on, as opposed to turning everything into a race issue.

SPEED: OK, very good. If you would like to ask a question, hit star 6. If you would like to get in the queue and ask a question, you have to call in from a line in which your number ID is registered. We do not take anonymous calls, and there's one caller in here now with an anonymous number, so maybe you

could

hang up and call back in on a line that can be seen; and then we

can call on you. So, it's star 6 to get into the queue, and we'll go to the next question. Go ahead.

Q 2: Hi, this is Sherry in New York. I'm very much aware of what's been going on. I have called into the White House probably about three times in the last six days. One young woman

with whom I spoke yesterday had never heard about the program of

what's been going on against Trump. What's wrong with the Congress; I think they all need Xanax, which is an anti-anxiety

drug. It's a joke, I don't mean it seriously.

SPEED: Sherry, we have a lot of people in the queue, so I'm going to ask you and everyone else to be succinct and get to your question.

Q 2 [cont'd]: Again, it is the problem that there is such a barrage against the President. The fact that he can function at

all is amazing. Outside of our street demonstrations and calling

the White House with encouraging words, I don't know what else to do.

WERTZ: Well, first on the anti-depression drugs. I know it's a joke, but really what's required is creativity. And an actual passion for the good, which is traditionally been identified with love for humanity, love for the truth. That which goes to the issue of the Treaty of Westphalia again; that

you act to the benefit of others. But the problem is, we've

got

a situation where the American people have to realize that they

also have to think out of the box in terms of how they've been conditioned. I want to give you just one example, which I find

very useful. It's the battle of Cannae, which occurred in 2016BC. This was in Italy, and it was fought between the Romans

and the Carthaginians. The Carthaginians were led by Hannibal, a

Carthaginian general. I'm citing this because it's a good example; it's a classical military example. Of course we're not

talking about a military implementation in terms of our action,

but we're talking about a state of mind. What he did was, he encircled the Roman troops. The Roman troops amounted to 85,000

total; Hannibal's forces were much less – 56,000. They had their backs to a river and the Romans were massing for a frontal

assault on Hannibal's forces. What he did was, he created like a

V, and he drew the Romans into a frontal assault, just marching

straight into this V. They actually became entrapped, and they

were so densely packed that they couldn't even use their own weapons. Then what he did was, he used his cavalry to encircle

the Roman forces and to strike them from the rear. It's an enveloping flanking operation. The Romans were completely devastated; Hannibal lost less than 6000 troops, and the Romans

– out of 85,000 – lost over 70,000 dead or captured.

What I'm getting at here is an encircling action; I'm

getting at getting outside of the box. You've got to actually encircle the enemy from the standpoint of the mind, the standpoint of being creative. That's why there are really two initiatives which we've been engaged in. One is the petition against the intervention in the United States by the British Empire to overthrow a duly-elected US President. Also, to get President Trump to move on the evidence presented by the VIPS

–
the Veteran Intelligence Professionals for Sanity – that it wasn't a Russian hacking; that it was a leak. The whole thing is just a big lie, that's all this is. Just like Adolf Hitler, a big lie; that's what Mr. Binney said.

Q 2 [cont'd]: If you're going to lie, make it a big one.

WERTZ: Right. And even Scott Ritter, the weapons inspector for Iraq said that having read this report, this borders on sedition against a duly-elected President of the United States.

Now, that's one initiative. The second initiative is to move to get President Trump to realize that he has got to encircle the enemy and hit them from the rear. The best way to do that is to go with LaRouche's Four Laws and to join the Silk Road; that's the policy that he needs to move on. So, that's the use of creativity, and you don't get boxed in, you don't operate on the basis of the options which you think you're presented with; which are not good options. They lead to self-destruction. So, you look for the flanking operation. And these are the two flanking operations which Lyndon and Helga LaRouche have identified.

Q 2 [cont'd]: That's exactly the point I made when I called the White House. I think I call them two or three times a

week.

I find that the number I use, instead of the 1111, I call the 1414; that's 202 456-1414. I always get in on that line. They ask me if I want the comment line; I say yes, and I get heard.

SPEED: OK, that's good information, Sherry. We're going to go on, because we have a number of other callers, and we want to

get to a lot of people. It's star 6 to get into the queue.

One

question I would certainly have for everyone is, what is happening on the petitioning? That's a major flank we had actually outlined last week. We got, while we were on the phone

and immediately subsequent to the conference call, we added about

50 signatures. It turned out that a number of the people who were on the call had not yet signed, and I don't know if that is

still the case. I see some new people on, so if you have not signed, go to the LaRouche PAC website. It is the approximately

third item down; "President Trump: Investigate British Subversion

of the US" and click on that and sign the petition. Also, once

you sign it, you should immediately send it out to others to sign

it. I believe we're at about 1381 signatures as of right now, so

it would be good if even during this phone call we can get over

1500. And then build from that. I think we certainly should take as a goal over the period between now and the weekend, of trying once again to get to 2500 as a basic plateau. So, people

should definitely sign on to that. OK, so we are going to go to the next caller right now.

Q 3: Hi, this is Dallas in Florida. Several things. On this petition, how many signatures are we looking for on the petition?

SPEED: I don't think we set a certain number, because we don't know what it will require to get Trump to move. He might move if we have, certainly I would say a plateau of 5-10,000 signatures. We should be able to do much better than that, but I think the idea would be to get to some sort of plateau like that as rapidly as possible and see if we can get some motion, even before the activities that we have that I mentioned a little bit earlier that we have coming up on the weekend of September 11th; that's the September 9th conference.

Q 3 [cont'd]: OK, I'd like to suggest, what I do is I put something up on Facebook, and I put something up on twitter, and something on Tumblr, and then something on LinkedIn, and then I'll put the links let's say on twitter. Then, there's a website called the 40billion.com; and they'll get your twitter out to 2.5 million for \$20 for a day. So, that's an inexpensive way to get that out there, get the tweets. I'm not real effective but I'm up to like 7000 hits on my tweets for the last month.

SPEED: That's great. Have you sent the petition out yet?

Q 3 [cont'd]: Yeah, I put it on my Facebook, but I didn't cross link it. See, you know, internet kinda goes on about where you got it over here and over here and over here. It rises up in the hits categories, you know? The search engines.

SPEED: OK. The tweet thing sounds great, where you're saying you can get it to an additional 20,000.

Q 3 [cont'd]: No, 2.5 million for \$20!

SPEED: 2.5 million, OK! Sounds great. You should add to that, notify people now that they should tune in live on September 9th, where the authors of the VIPS memo, the leaders who submitted the report to the President, will be directly addressing a crowd in New York. We should see if we can create the kind of shock effect surprise that I was describing a few minutes ago; get something like 50,000 viewers all at one time. Cause it to go viral instantly, and cause all of the people that are tuning in to get more people to get involved. So, we will send out in the follow-up, a link to how people can tune in to the webcast and so on; and let's just really build that over the next couple of weeks and organize like Hell between now and then.

Q 3 [cont'd]: OK, that'd be good. Now one observation here, one real quick successful action is, I got friends in Panama, and there's a Colonel Prado[ph] down there in Colombia that took out that 100,000-strong rebel army down there; they call it the Colombian Miracle. So, thinking out of the box, you might call

Colonel Prado in, and see what he did down there and solve some things.

With the British, this is very good. The main thing that's caused me concern in the last 48 hours is this idea that it's a

civil war that we're having, and they're promoting that. I think

it's the Trojan Horse. Trump warned us about having a Trojan Horse with these immigrants coming in, and I think that's really

what it is. We don't have a civil war, but we have a Soros-funded mercenary army out there fighting with their baseball bats and whatnot. The situation, they upped the ante in

the last 24 hours by saying they're bringing in the UN, might come in to protect the anti-fa and the Black Lives Matter as a matter of human rights in case we got to having an armed conflict

with them, and it looks like they're going to lose. So, that's a

potential flare-up that needs to be squelched, and see what kind

of British influence is influencing the UN, when we're talking about getting the British influence out. Start squelching them

so we don't have that possibility of a UN invasion.

WERTZ: I wouldn't, I don't think it occurs on that level.

The level on which you've got to look at this is how this entire

operation against President Trump started. It was started by MI-6, which is the British equivalent of the CIA. A so-called "former" MI-6 agent, Christopher Steele, was paid to put together

a dossier of unverified material which he then circulated very widely to Obama's intelligence agency stooges like Brennan and

Comey and Clapper. This is the roadmap on which they're operating; so this is straight British intelligence MI-6. The second indication of this is something called the Government Communication Headquarters, which is a pretty dull name for what is the British equivalent of the NSA. They were the ones who, according to the published accounts – and the published accounts may not be completely true, but what the published accounts say is that the head of the GCHQ went directly to Brennan. In other words, they don't have to operate under the US Constitution, and the restraints of the US Constitution surveilling Trump associates, so they surveilled Trump associates all over the world. Then they go to Brennan, the head of the CIA, who's not supposed to operate domestically according to the charter; and he puts together a six-intelligence agency taskforce to begin to investigate Trump in the middle of the Presidential campaign. There are various reports as to when this occurred, some say it was in the Summer of 2016, which is the time of the Republican convention; others say it was before that. But the point is, once Trump was a serious threat to win the nomination, the GCHQ began to conduct what would be illegal surveillance in the United States against Trump and his associates. This is all done in collaboration with Obama and with Comey and with Clapper, and with Brennan in particular. And of course, we've gotten Wikileaks that Brennan put together a cyber warfare unit in the CIA of over 5000 employees; it rivals the NSA. So, this is what we're talking about. And you see how

prominent Clapper and Brennan – even in the last few days – in going after President Trump. So the point is, you've got a Clinton-Obama-Comey-Brennan-Clapper operation, which is in fact, funded by Soros. Soros, his pedigree is British; that's what his pedigree is. So, if you go after this and investigate this, then you will upset the entire coup plot. But then combine that – it's got to be combined with the economic program. So, that's the way we have to do it. The other stuff becomes a lower-level fixation which gets you not to think strategically. That's what you've got to do. You've got to clear your mind so you can think strategically and creatively in terms of who the enemy is, and how to defeat the enemy. What we've defined is two initiatives which are critical to defeating the enemy right now; and we've got to get President Trump to move on these as quickly as possible.

Q 3 [cont'd]: What about Obama giving the internet to the UN?

WERTZ: Listen, the UN has got all sorts of problems; but frankly, it's not the primary problem in the world. It's basically an assembly of nations; it's as good as it's made. There are good things that are done at the UN when people collaborate. When they don't collaborate, and it's used for geopolitical purposes, including by the British, then it's a mess. For instance, the UN has backed all of the initiatives that have been taken – I mean, look at Syria. You've got Turkey and Iran working together with the Russians. A Sunni country, a

predominantly Shi'a country, and they're working with the Russians to defeat terrorism and to restore stability and sovereignty to Syria. That's a positive development which has been backed by the UN Security Council. The UN as a whole in a number of cases has actually positively responded to the Chinese Silk Road, or One Belt, One Road Initiative. So, it's really a question of, do the countries who are members of the UN change the way in which they function so they collaborate to solve problems and create a prosperous future for all of mankind? That's the real issue. It has nothing to do with the UN per se as an institution; it's as good or as bad as its members make it. But you have to look beyond the UN to the question of the British; and you look at it through the whole history. We're talking about two systems, and it goes back before the British. For instance, the German poet Friedrich Schiller, who I mentioned earlier, he wrote a piece called "On Solon and Lycurgus". Solon was the head of Athens; Lycurgus was the head of Sparta. They had two completely different systems. Under Solon, as Schiller wrote, he had respect for human nature and never sacrificed the people to the state. Never the ends to the means; rather he let the state serve the people, and all paths were open to genius. And the basic principle was, the progress of the mind should be the purpose of the state. So, that's [inaud; 53:07], that's like what our country was designed to be; it hasn't always been that, but that's what we would want it to be. That's what you would want other nations to be like that.

Lycurgus, on the other hand, the way Schiller characterizes it is as follows: The laws were iron chains which pulled down the mind. All industry was barred; all science neglected. His state could only persist under one condition – that the mind of the people stagnates. If you look at it, there's another Greek mythology. Zeus on the one hand, was a tyrannical, Olympic so-called "god". He wanted to suppress mankind; he was threatened by the idea that mankind might actually develop technology, develop science, educate themselves, learn languages and so forth. Prometheus gave man fire; that is, technology. He also gave him a Promethean method of thinking, which is the creative method of thinking. So, you have two systems. This is, in a certain sense, like when Helga LaRouche talks about the New Paradigm, she's talking about the paradigm of Solon, the paradigm of Prometheus; versus the imperial policy of depressing the mental creative capacity of the population in order to maintain political control. The British are like the Roman Empire, like the Venetian Empire; the British Empire has a policy of reducing the world's population and keeping people dumb in order to politically control them.

Q 3 [cont'd]: Exactly right.

SPEED: OK, great. We're going to go on to the next question here. Once again, hit star 6 to get into the queue. Just say your first name and what state you're calling in from.

Q 4: Hello, this is Wally in Denver. I was reading on the computer about a problem. The Ukrainian government was complaining that Russia was impinging on its sovereignty by constructing a road to Crimea. Do you have any information about that?

WERTZ: Yeah, sure. Here's the, the picture is just straightforward. Obama put Nazis in power in Kiev with the backing of the British and many of the members of the European Union. It's basically part of a strategy to move eastward to the borders of Russia. When the Soviet Union collapsed, it was agreed upon between George HW Bush and Gorbachev and other participants, that NATO would not move eastward. But that's precisely what they've done, which is part of a geopolitical strategy. So, they're basically moving to try to encircle Russia, and Ukraine was a critical aspect of that policy. So what they did was, they backed Nazi groups in Ukraine to take power. Now you had the duly-elected President – Yanukovich – in Ukraine; and under the Constitution of Ukraine, a President cannot be removed from office unless he's impeached. They never impeached him; they never brought impeachment. What they did was, the thugs in the street who were members of what is called the Right Sector, and these guys trace themselves back to an actual Nazi who worked with Hitler, named Stepan Bandera. During World War II, his organization was involved in killing tens of thousands of Poles and Jews, working with Hitler. That's what this group traces its background to. The US knows that, because after the war, people like Allen Dulles and MI-6 of Britain brought Bandera and his top aide to London and the United States. Because at that point, they wanted to use the Nazis against

the

Soviet Union, particularly in Ukraine. The Soviet Union fell, but nonetheless, that's the policy which they've continued to this day. So, they carried out a coup against the duly-elected

President, and among the things that they were going to do, is outlaw the use of the Russian language as a second official language in Ukraine. So, the people of Crimea voted in a referendum, called self-determination under the UN Charter, to sever themselves from Ukraine where a coup d'État had been carried out by Nazis, and to join Russia. So, Russia acknowledged that democratic vote, based on the principle of self-determination in the face of a Nazi coup. See, here you've

got people in the United States up in arms against Nazi KKK white

supremacists; but in fact, Obama put Nazis in power in Ukraine.

John McCain backed Nazis in power in Ukraine. The political establishment in Washington DC is backing Nazis in Ukraine; white

supremacists in Ukraine. These people who support Nazis then get

upset about a staged incident in Charlottesville, which was staged probably with provocateurs both among the Nazis and KKK,

and also within the anti-fa – the anti-fascist organization – the guys with the black masks, black helmets, and black uniforms

who carry out violence in all of these events.

So, this thing was set up. If you look at the people involved on the Democratic Party side in Charlottesville, they're

all former employees of the State Department, they're all funded

by George Soros. This is the mayor, Michael Signer; it is the guy who took the video of the deranged guy who mowed the

protester and injured others – his name is Brennan Gilmore; and another guy, Tom Periello. They are all funded by, they all worked with John Podesta's Center for American Progress; which is the center of the so-called Resist movement against Trump in the United States. And they were all there, along with McAuliffe, who's a longstanding supporter of the Clintons. They basically immediately used this to go after Trump; it was like you put two chemicals together which you know will react with an explosion. You don't separate the demonstrators, and then you prepare to use the incident – whether you planned the specific incident or not – you use the incident to go after the President of the United States. These are the same guys who backed Nazis in Ukraine. That's the hypocritical irony of this entire operation.

SPEED: OK, excellent.

Q 4 [cont'd]: Then CNN wants to call it civil war, and we're calling that fake news; that it's not civil war.

WERTZ: Sure. I mean, it's like Syria. It wasn't a civil war. It was a deliberate policy on the part of Obama to carry out regime change against countries which had nothing to do with al-Qaeda. Iraq had nothing to do with al-Qaeda; Libya had nothing to do with al-Qaeda, except to put them in prison. Syria had nothing to do with al-Qaeda. Saudi Arabia did. Britain did. Because Saudi Arabia is just a satrap of the British Empire. But

the point is, that what happened in Syria was that they brought in terrorists from all over the world – from Chechnya in Russia, from Europe, from Tunisia, from Libya, and so forth – in a war of aggression against a sovereign state which is a member of the UN; and then they call it a civil war. But this was Obama; this was one of the great crimes of Obama. This a guy who committed extra-judicial murders against – among others – American citizens after meetings that he held on Tuesdays every week with Brennan in the Oval Office. It would be like Caligula at the Coliseum; he puts his thumb up or down; this guy is to be killed. And that's what they did. So, this is what we're talking about here. This is the real evil in this thing, is people like Obama. As Lyndon LaRouche has always emphasized, Obama was trained by his stepfather, who was involved in the genocide in Indonesia back in the 1960s; that's where he grew up, with that stepfather. Obama's a murderer and a supporter of Nazis.

SPEED: OK, thank you. We have quite a few more questions, so I'm going to move on to the next questioner.

Q 5: This is Greg from St. Louis. Just wanted to make a couple of points for the question out there. One, obviously the analysis of the regime change. The same thing is happening that's attacking the Trump administration, is an attempt to have a regime change, if you will. We simply call it an

administration change. So we know all the tools and all the games that they play are related to that. My real issue becomes for me, is the psychosis of Donald Trump himself. I mean, we're putting a lot of marbles in this guy's basket, so to speak. We know he's a wild card; we really didn't know, but for me, it's important that we say what's happening with him as well. It's not like he's not aware of the VIPS report; not like he's not aware of many of these things. My question is, how do we really get him to understand the need to push that VIPS report and get that out there so that we can get at the crux of the Deep State that's attacking him, as well as pushing for this whole war issue, not only within the United States, but across the world?

WERTZ: Well, that's – it's not like there's some particular series of tactics that will do this. What we've talked about is two flanks in terms of what he needs to do. In terms of going with the VIPS, going after the British, and on the other hand, going with LaRouche's Four Laws and the Silk Road. But what you've got to do is, you've got to reach a certain threshold of activity in the country, including among those who support President Trump; but you've also in the process got to create a situation where it becomes much more difficult as the truth gets out, for certain Democrats and certain Republicans who ran against Trump from carrying out the kind of insanity that they're engaged in. So, that's the only way you can do this. You have to mobilize people who support Trump to demand that

he

take action on these, and that they will support him if he does

that. And similarly, you've got to create an environment in which these people like Clapper and Brennan and Comey or Mueller,

that they're not actually – you've got to box them in. That's why I raised this battle of Cannae in terms of encirclement.

The

VIPS boxes in Mueller, it boxes in Comey and Brennan and Clapper.

But you've also got to box in the Democrats; these people say they're for Glass-Steagall – many of them. They say they're for

working people, some of them; not all that many of them.

Certainly Clinton wasn't too interested in working people.

But

the point is, that is the party of FDR, the party of Kennedy;

or

it used to be. So, you've got to really create the situation in

which you basically make it clear to them that if Trump takes the

initiative on this, that calls the bluff on these Democrats,

who

are running around. They say they're for Glass-Steagall, and yet

they're calling for the impeachment of a President who's for Glass-Steagall when Obama was absolutely opposed to

Glass-Steagall; as was Hillary Clinton. And they know that.

So, they're engaged in a certain kind of fraud, which needs to be exposed by calling their bluff. If they're real human

beings – and you hope that they are on some level – then

they'll respond. So you've got to basically do both things by

a

mobilization of the population.

Q 5 [cont'd]: How do we box in Trump? I understand boxing in some of those people around him, but Trump himself? His own psychosis is, you're not sure what you're going to get out of this guy at any given time, so you have to force the office of the President to do what you want it to do. So what is that that

has to box in Trump, so to speak? I know we've talked about boxing in all these other folks, but he's going to be the head at

the head of the arrow; so what are we doing to box him in?

WERTZ: We're mobilizing in these two respects; which includes "OK, you said you're for Glass-Steagall. You say that

the best way to actually improve human relations, but specifically race relations in this country, is to create jobs."

Look at the drug plague. If you don't have decent jobs, which we

used to have in urban areas. Baltimore used to have shipbuilding; we used to have steel building, steelworks in Baltimore. Now they've got a tourist harbor, and that's it. You

don't have the high-paying jobs that you need, so that people aren't prey to drugs and sales of drugs and so forth, and to gangs – which are related to drugs. So, that's what you've got

to actually get him to move on that, but in a certain sense, I think you've just got to convince him that he's combative, but he's not really being combative on the level that he needs to be.

He clearly thinks that he is under complete fire; and you can't

deny that. They called for his assassination. I put together a

list for a webcast last Friday, of the calls for his assassination, impeachment, or forced resignation, or the use

of

the 25th Amendment against him. This started with the {Spectator}, which is a British paper, started out saying "Will

Donald Trump be assassinated, impeached, or forced to resign?"

That was on January 21st. You know the other cases: Johnny Depp, Madonna, Kathy Griffin. You can go through the list.

So,

you know that this guy really feels that he is under siege.

So,

he is combative with his tweets and so forth, but the issue here

is, if you put this out on the table, if you get this spread widely enough, and he sees that there is support for taking these

kinds of actions; and sees that this is an effective flanking operation against those who would destroy this country, then you've got a shot at actually getting him to move on it.

That's

the only thing I can say.

SPEED: Yeah, and if I could just add to that. That is precisely what the meeting we're holding this Saturday is all about. You may have gotten on a little after I went through this, Greg. This Saturday, we're having a major meeting on Hamilton and LaRouche's Four Laws as a solution for this crisis.

The only way you're going to be able to crush the British Empire

is with the added flank of the Four Laws and the solution.

Conversely, the only way you're going to actually get the Four Laws is by crushing the British Empire. So, the two things really work hand-in-glove. What we have to do, is – and this is

really, we've got to go out and we've got to just get to the American population. The support for Trump from Trump's base up

until now has been completely inadequate. People might come out, but very often these people have to be educated. They'll come out, and they're talking about a wall or monument; they really should be talking about Trump joining the One Belt, One Road; the Silk Road perspective. That's what we've got to have; and going after the British. So, that's a matter of us educating people.

But similarly, we talked about the Democrats. Frankly, the Bernie Sanders supporters, which may have been greater than the Hillary supporters; let's bear in mind if anybody stole anybody's votes and manipulated the election, it was some things that were going on around that campaign. Which, by the way, when that came out, they've never said, "Oh, gee, that's not true." They said, "Oh, well, the Russians did that." So, therefore, yeah, it might be true, but we can't listen to it, because it was the Russians.

Why aren't the Sanders supporters up in arms? I'm not really asking that rhetorically; I think that's a matter of us really organizing and drawing this out.

Now, that is what we have been doing increasingly in the streets in New York City; and we should expand this, and also somewhat in the Midwest. But I'll just give you a sense of some of the results. On Monday, we had three teams out in Manhattan, Staten Island, and Long Island which raised \$1400; which is extremely good. We got out about 500 copies of the {Hamiltonian}; we collected a number of petition signatures.

What was interesting is that one of these deployments was in the middle of Manhattan, which obviously did not go overwhelmingly for Trump; in fact, it went overwhelmingly for Hillary. So, we were deployed in front of the Fox News building in midtown; and pretty much everyone who considered themselves a Trump supporter who came up to our table had already come to the conclusion that Russia-gate and Charlottesville were part of the same operation. We were expecting far more hostility than what existed, and there were several African-Americans who signed on to the petition. Some of them had voted for Trump, some people had not, and so on. And then you got a certain amount of confusion that existed. We had another deployment, I think this was out in Queens yesterday, where we actually had a gaggle of these women – literally witches; they had everything but the black skirts and broomsticks with them. They came out with signs and so on. It was a very interesting deployment; it was about 10 or 12 of them who rotated through the day, attempting to yell at people, dissuade them from signing up, signing the petition and so on. Their polemic – and this is how you could see it was really organized – it was not around Trump, it was not around Charlottesville; it was actually “Oh, Lyndon LaRouche. You don’t want to sign up with LaRouche; that’s a cult. Stop signing up.” The response by and large from people coming up was basically, “Get out of my face! I don’t want to talk with you; I’m

signing

up with these people” and so on. So, we ended up, I believe on

that deployment, getting something like six or seven people got

memberships; lots and lots of people giving their names – over 25 contacts – and so forth and so on.

So, that’s what’s out there, and I think part of it is that we’ve got to out and tell the population themselves they’re not

doing enough. That’s how you box in Trump. We’ve got to mobilize more of the population; they’ve got to be organized around a strategic objective. Stop these wars, and go with the

economic policy. That’s what Trump was voted in for, that’s what

he’s got to do, and that’s what the American people have got to

demand. That’s what was put so beautifully and clearly by Andy

Young in his statement on “Meet the Press”. Everybody should really read that, and I think that can be very useful in our organizing. So, that’s just what I would add to what Will said.

WERTZ: It’s a very principled issue. The countries are destroyed to the extent to which citizens of the countries don’t

take responsibility for the republic, for their Constitution.

That’s why the basic concept expressed in the Declaration of Independence is the principle of government by the consent of the

governed. Similarly, what Lincoln said – government of, for, and by the people. The basic point is, don’t depend on Congress;

don’t depend on a President. As good as the President may be, they very often are going to operate upon pragmatic

conceptions,
or what they think is opportune, or what they think is possible
for them to do. For instance, the Civil Rights movement had a force. They had a force with Eisenhower, they had a force with Kennedy, they had a force with Johnson to take action. That's the way you have to really look at this. The point is, if you are operating from the standpoint of the vital interests of the nation and of humanity as a whole, you're operating on the basis of principle and of reason; then you have authority within yourself as a citizen of a country – and also the responsibility as a citizen of a country, and as a citizen of the world – to take action and see that those actions which are required are acted upon by an elected official. They're supposed to represent us; they get elected by us, and they're supposed to represent our best interests. I think that's really the issue. And you have to educate yourself so that you know for certain with scientific certainty, that what you're advocating is actually a policy which is required and must be implemented. So, what we've defined is a policy that must be implemented. Take the Four Laws. You have Democrats who say they're for Glass-Steagall, but they're brainwashed in terms of Green ideology. The rest of the Four Laws that Lyndon LaRouche has put forward, put an emphasis on capital intensive forms of investment, including nuclear energy, nuclear desalination, the development of fusion, the expansion of the space program.

Many

of these Democrats say they're for Glass-Steagall, but what do they mean by Glass-Steagall if they're Green? Then on the Republican side, many of them are not Greenies, in the sense of

being opposed to technological progress, but they've been brainwashed in respect to a balanced budget or merely reducing a

deficit. So, they have no conception of the idea of public credit, and no conception of what Hamilton put forward with a National Bank, or what Lincoln put forward with greenbacks, or what Franklin Roosevelt put forward with the bank that he used to

actually engage in investment in the economy – it was the Reconstruction Finance Corporation. After the war in Germany, they had the Kreditanstalt für Wiederaufbau, which is the Credit

Institution for Reconstruction; same principle. But the idea is

that the government can extend credit as a sovereign nation, can

extend credit for productive investment. The problem here is that many Republicans have no conception of that.

So, you've got to educate both Democrats and Republicans to understand a scientific conception of economics; which they don't

have. It's not clear exactly whether Trump has it; he may reference Hamilton and Henry Clay, and Abraham Lincoln, but it's

not clear from his actions so far that he has those conceptions.

So, it's a question of educating, it's a question of mobilizing

your fellow citizens to ensure that the policies the nation needs

– the world needs – are enacted. It's a very basic principle that the power of government to govern derives from the

people;

but it has to be an educated people, not a mob.

SPEED: OK, great. Will, we have about five more minutes, but we have about six more questions. So, we're going to try to get to as many of them as possible. I want to ask everybody to keep your questions and comments at this point short and succinct so we can try to get through as many of these as we can. OK, go ahead.

Q 6: Yeah, this is Ken in Moline, Illinois. Is the CIA a subsidiary of MI-6?

WERTZ: You have to go back to World War II and the aftermath of World War II. The British Empire backed Hitler, and they wanted him to go east against the Soviet Union; but Hitler at a certain point decided that he was going to go west. Churchill knew he couldn't defeat Hitler on the continent, so he needed to bring the US into the war. Roosevelt certainly wanted to defeat fascism, but the British actually set up covert operations of British intelligence, MI-6, MI-5, in the United States and Rockefeller Center. They worked closely with Allen Dulles who became Deputy CIA Director and then later CIA Director after Roosevelt died. So, the point is, in 1946 there was an agreement signed which was called the UK-USA Agreement. Then later it became what's called the Five Eyes, which is Australia, New Zealand, Canada, Britain, and the United States. Basically

the problem here is that our intelligence agencies here in the United States are working directly with British intelligence and

with other members of the British Empire, or what's called now the Commonwealth.

There may be patriots within these intelligence agencies, many of them have become whistleblowers. But yet, this is how the

British have subverted US intelligence.

SPEED: OK, very good. We're going to take two more questions now; and in about three minutes or maybe we'll go a little bit over. Go ahead.

Q 7: This is Steve from Pennsylvania. What I've noticed with the different organizations I've worked with – I work with

several different patriot organizations, including the Oath Keepers and the Three Percenters and some militia that were there

in Charlottesville the day of that event. From my different sources, I understand that these groups on both sides were all hooked in with State Department and Obama appointees and employees and Occupy Wall Street and those groups. Could Charlottesville be considered like a false flag to try to push this narrative of this race card thing, since the Russia thing completely failed and they now want to push the 25th Amendment thing and they want to push that Trump somehow has dementia?

WERTZ: You're right; it's a false flag operation. For instance, one of the things that came out is that one of the organizers of the demonstrators – a guy named Kessler was basically, I think it was Charles Grassley who asked the question, or another Senator – this guy was involved in Occupy Wall Street. He was apparently a supporter of Obama. Then all

of a sudden, you're expected to believe that there was this transformation, and he ends up being an organizer of this

demonstration. So that's on the one side. On the other side, as I said at the beginning – I don't know if you heard it or not – all of the key players in Charlottesville are Democrats who have worked with John Podesta at the Center for American Progress; which described itself as the institutional center of the Resist movement against Trump in the United States. And Podesta, of course, is Obama, he's Clinton – both Hillary and Bill. This is all funded by Soros. So, the whole thing was in that sense, a set-up. And it's modelled upon what they did in Ukraine; where it was the State Department, it was Soros, and so forth. Think about how that thing operated. For instance, when Yanukovich was forced to flee, he was accused of ordering snipers to shoot demonstrators. But he denies that that was the case, and there's evidence that the snipers may have actually been members of the Right Sector, the Nazis; or organized by them. So, it is a false flag operation; and it's like this whole operation was set to take off after Charlottesville. Remember, Charlottesville was declared by the mayor of Charlottesville, Signer, as a capitol of the Resistance in a speech he gave on January 31st earlier this year. The point is, Charlottesville was designed as a center of the resistance to Trump before this incident occurred.

SPEED: OK, great. So, we are going to go to the next and

final

question. We have a few more people in the queue, but unfortunately we're running out of time. So, OK, go ahead.

Q 8: Hi, it's June from New York. My question has to do with the two petitions and whether or not there's been an effort

to contact the distribution list for Trump's PAC from either Eric

or Don Trump? Maybe you could go through that way to get to them

to get it communicated out to the people who actually support Trump?

WERTZ: I can't answer specifically who we've contacted with this. I know that we are trying to get the petition into the hands of people who are close to Trump. But I can't really say

whether we've gotten to those specific individuals either ourselves directly, or indirectly through other people who are supporters of Trump. But certainly, if anybody knows members of

the Trump family, they should be encouraged to speak to them; to

get this material to them. In general, we do want to get to supporters of Trump to really give them a strategic conception of

what needs to be done right now.

SPEED: We've reached out to a lot of groups that are working closely with Trump. I think there are people on the call

who are involved in that; who are involved in various networks.

So, if you have such networks, reach out; get in contact with them, and try to come yourself if you're based in New York. Come

to the meeting on Saturday at the Beacon Hotel; we can talk

more

about this. We also are generally out in mid-Manhattan in the vicinity of the Trump headquarters at least once a week. A number of people affiliated and who are very active in his campaign come by regularly there. So that's another good way of

reaching out to people. We should expand this as much as possible. We are doing that, we're reaching out; and we would urge anybody else with contacts to do that.

Also, again, I'll just emphasize, we are just as interested in those who oppose Trump; because we have to neutralize – or better, win this group of people over. They should be fighting

against these foreign wars; they should be working for economic

development. Therefore, we want to free this President up to do

exactly that. I think there are a number of these people who can

be approached and recruited. Certainly the Veterans Intelligence

Professionals for Sanity give us a way that they can understand

this and act on it. But join us! Get people to sign the petition. Let's call people out.

Q 8 [cont'd]: Another question real quick. Is there a way, or a contact list that you have for people that are located within your area? I'm up in northern, up in Dutchess County, and

I don't know if there are any other people who are members that

are up in Dutchess County that you could coordinate with to do stuff up in this region. Is there some sort of list, or some way

you could get in contact with them?

SPEED: Yes, sure. That's not too far away, June. What we'll do is, you can contact me; I will text you my cell phone when we get off of this call, and we can be in touch in terms of

reaching out and contacting other people. We'll also put that out in the email, and other people on the call that have this same number can contact various people in their regional offices

for further direction in terms of how we can get groupings of people together.

So, Will, I wanted to invite you to make some final remarks. This has clearly been an exciting phone call; we couldn't even get to all the callers. Those of you whom we could not get to, I

want to urge you to get on on Monday night. We're doing these activists' calls now twice a week, on Monday and Thursday nights.

I want to urge everyone on to try to get additional people on the calls. But Will, why don't you give us some concluding remarks?

WERTZ: I just want to go back to the remarks that you cited from Lyndon LaRouche at the very beginning to underscore the urgency of the situation. Again, what he said is that we have to

win now; if we lose, we are finished because we will be destroyed

by the people opposed to what he is doing in terms of the initiatives we're taking. The existence of the United States depends upon doing the job. It's not making suggestions; it's getting victory against the causes of the things that are destroying the ability of the United States to express itself properly.

So, I just wanted to end with that. I thought the questions tonight were very responsive and showed that people have a sense

of the urgency of this. So, our job is to organize a lot of other people. I just encourage people to do that and just figure out creative ways in which they can do that. Like the lady who just spoke, get in touch with us in terms of what you might be able to do with us or with others in our movement who may be in your vicinity.

SPEED: OK; excellent. So, that concludes the LaRouche activist call for Thursday. We'll be talking with all of you very soon. Hopefully, with lots more results on the petitioning and other activities. Good night.

Tænk og handl uden for 'kassen'

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, 24. august, 2017 – »Dette er virkelig et alvorligt øjeblik«, understregede Helga Zepp-LaRouche under en diskussion med kolleger i går, »og vi må få folk til at forstå, at kuppet imod præsident Trump stadig er i gang og må omstødes ved fuldstændig at ændre dagsordenen«, gennem at organisere en dramatisk, national mobilisering for fysisk-økonomisk genrejsning, baseret på fuldt samarbejde med Kinas Bælte & Vej Initiativ.

»Spillet går tydeligvis ud på at inddæmme Trump, så han ikke kan opfylde sin indenrigspolitiske dagsorden for jobskabelse, og så han ikke kan overholde sine løfter med hensyn til den

internationale politik for at standse disse krige«, sagde Zepp-LaRouche. Visse militære kredse i USA forsøger tydeligvis at få Trump til at trække sig fra sin erklærede politik om at afslutte Bush/Obama-ærens krige; men »hvis vi fastholder geopolitik, er vi dømt til undergang«, udtalte Zepp-LaRouche.

De politikker, der nu gennemføres mht. Ukraine, Afghanistan og andre steder, er forfærdelige; kun i Syrien finder vi et eksempel på den rette politik for samarbejde med Rusland for i fællesskab at bekæmpe ISIS-terrorisme.

Både Rusland og Kina strækker fortsat hånden frem til Trump-administrationen for at tilbyde veje ud af kassen, inklusive samarbejde om økonomiske og politiske spørgsmål internationalt. Og det globale, økonomiske udviklingsprogram under Bælte & Vej går fortsat fremad og er nu fokuseret omkring to afgørende, internationale møder i begyndelsen af september: BRIKS-topmødet i Xiamen, Kina (3.-5. sept.); og det Østlige Økonomiske Forum i Vladivostok, Rusland (6.-7. sept.)

Men nøglen til løsningen af den strategiske krise ligger fortsat i USA, hvor det haster med at vende bøtten i det igangværende kupforsøg mod Trump ved at få præsidenten og hans team til at *tænke* ud over kassen, og *handle* ud over kassen. Trump har hidtil ikke følt tilstrækkelig opbakning fra sin bagland af tilhængere, bemærkede Zepp-LaRouche, til, at han kan bryde ud af det negative pres, han udsættes for fra dem, der forsøger at afsætte ham som præsident. Trumps støttebagland i den amerikanske befolkning er fortsat stærk, men alt for mange af dem har givet sig selv lov til at blive manipuleret til at blive ophidset over fjollede sidespørgsmål – muren, Obamacare og et værtshusslagsmål om statuer.

Dette er afledninger. Debatter ikke sådanne spørgsmål; afvis dem. Det politiske spørgsmål, der gælder liv eller død, og som dette land konfronteres med, er at få Trump-administrationen til at gå sammen med Kina og Rusland om at bygge Verdenslandbroen (hvilket vil kræve en konkursbehandling af

det bankerotte Wall Street og City of London med Glass-Steagall), og at gøre en ende på britisk geopolitik og krige én gang for alle.

At gøre dette – blot at begynde at gøre dette – vil have en særdeles positiv effekt på omgående at standse forsøget på at gennemføre et statskup.

Foto: Med anvendelse af tysk transrapid-teknologi er Shanghais maglevtog et ud af blot ganske få kommercielle maglevtog (svævetog) i drift i verden. (photo edwin_steele / flickr / CC BY 2.0)