

Glass-Steagall umiddelbart efter valgdagen; Obama kan overvindes

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 2. november, 2016 – Som Lyndon LaRouche bemærkede tirsdag, så vil et oprigtigt valg vise, at amerikanerne afviser Barack Obama og enhver fortsættelse af hans »eftermæle«. De hader dette eftermæle, som er evindelige og kostbare krige, Wall Streets straffrihed, økonomisk stagnation og afindustrialisering og ligegyldighed over den hærgende afhængighed af opiater og heroin, med dens følgesvend, fortvivlelsen. Der er en følelse i den amerikanske befolkning, at, med dette mareridt af et valg bag sig, kan og må de skabe store forandringer. Larouche sagde i dag, at, selv om disse forandringer endnu ikke er afgjort, *så er meget mere nu muligt.*

Blandt millioner af opvakte og intelligente borgere er der nu en underdønning til fordel for at bryde Wall Streets kasino, ved at genvedtage Franklin Roosevelts Glass/Steagall-lov – for juridisk retfærd, og for muligheden for at investere kredit i økonomien, for en produktiv, økonomisk genrejsning.

Dette fremgår af opinionsundersøgelser af det Demokratiske Partis vælgere; af Donald Trumps løfte om at genindføre Glass-Steagall, i en tale den 27. okt.; af partierne valgplatforme; af kandidater i kapløb til Kongressen, og som forpligter sig til at genindføre Glass-Steagall og kredit til infrastruktur, i Hamiltons tradition.

Obama har åbenlyst til hensigt at bruge den 'handlingslammede' ('lame duck') periode, der begynder den 9. november, til at forsøge at tvinge sin sidste fornærmelse igennem Kongressen – en Wall Street-»handelsaftale«, der er blevet afvist af vælgerskaren og kandidaterne generelt. Det er Trans-Pacific

Partnerskab, TPP, der tilsigter at være hans våben til at isolere og provokere Kina til krig.

Men, han kan overvindes, hvis amerikanerne i stedet insisterer på, at Kongressen vedtager Glass-Steagall umiddelbart efter valget. Det vil forhindre Obama i at fjerne endnu flere produktive, amerikanske jobs; men det vil gøre mere end det. Det vil åbne døren til det, *EIR's* stiftende redaktør, Lyndon LaRouche, kalder »fire hovedlove til at redde USA« – begyndende med Glass-Steagall og en nationalbank til produktive projekter med ny infrastruktur, efter Hamiltons principper.

Obamas lydighed over for Wall Street, og så hans konstante krige og dronedrab, hans dødsens farlige provokationer imod Rusland og Kina, er to sider af samme sag. Hillary Clinton fortsætter dem. De er lige så klart fejlslagne politikker, både økonomisk og strategisk – flere og flere asiatiske lande og nogle lande i Europa lægger kursen for deres økonomiske planer om, til at samarbejde med Kina og Rusland – som USA også burde gøre!

Og, lige så klart afviser det amerikanske folk disse politikker. Med Glass-Steagall kender millioner af amerikanere *begyndelsen* på det, de ønsker i stedet, nemlig udløseren for en tilbagevenden til fremskridt.

Lad os til Obamas eftermæle føje, at han var den præsident, der ikke kunne beskytte Wall Street mod Glass-Steagall.

SUPPLERENDE MATERIALE:

LaRouchePAC's massive effekt: Kandidater kræver Glass-Steagall

2. november, 2016 – Amerikanerne kræver en genindførelse af Glass/Steagall-loven for at lukke Wall Streets kasinobankvirksomhed ned, i takt med, at de udtrykker stærk opposition til præsident Barack Obamas og Hillary Clintons

krig-og-Wall Street-politik

* I en tale i Charlotte, NC, den 27. okt., krævede Donald Trump Glass-Steagall: »Clinton-politikken bragte os den finansielle recession – gennem at ophæve Glass-Steagall [1999], fremme subprime-lånene og blokere for reformer af Fannie og Freddie. Tiden er inde til det 21. århundredes Glass-Steagall og, som en del heraf, en prioritering af hjælp til, at afroamerikanske virksomheder kan få den kredit, de behøver ... Lige ret, og lige retfærdighed, for alle betyder de samme regler for Wall Street. Obama-administrationen stillede aldrig Wall Street til regnskab.«

* En opinionsundersøgelse, hvori deltog 1000 Demokratiske vælgere i staterne Ohio, Pennsylvania, Florida og Missouri, og hvor spørgsmålet lød, »hvad bør der gøres mht. Wall Street-bankerne«, viste, at 70 % sagde, »genindfør Glass/Steagall-loven«. Opinionsundersøgelsen blev rapporteret den 1. november.

* Den 1. nov. opslog kandidaten til Kongressen i Ohios 4. Kongres-valgkreds, Janet Garrett, på sin hjemmeside et krav: »Vi må vedtage Glass-Steagall og lancere en Ny National Infrastrukturbank«. Garrett sagde, »Hvis jeg bliver valgt, har jeg til hensigt at 'lægge kraftigt og omgående ud med' et angreb på det nuværende, økonomiske rod. Jeg vil anråbe ånden fra Franklin Roosevelts Første Hundrede Dage og vil indstille til, at USA's Kongres tager to, omgående skridt, som jeg selv vil deltage i:

»For det første: Vi må i Kongressen vedtage to lovforslag om at genindføre Glass-Steagall, HR 381 og S. 1709. Jeg vil omgående være medstiller af HR 381 ... For det andet: Jeg vil, straks, jeg indtræder i embedet, fremstille lovforslag til skabelse af en ny Nationalbank for Infrastruktur, med de tidligere sådanne succesrige institutioner som model.«

* Ligeledes 1. nov. udstedte den Demokratiske kandidat til

Kongressen for West Virginias 1. Kongres-valgkreds, Michael Manypenny, følgende erklæring: »Jeg indstiller til, at Kongressen vedtager Glass-Steagall, samt en National Infrastrukturbank med \$1 billion.« Han sagde, »under Franklin Roosevelt blev nationen totalt genopbygget under New Deal og den efterfølgende krigsoprustning. Utallige broer, veje og offentlige bygninger blev i West Virginia ... bygget med finansiering fra FDR's Reconstruction Finance Corporation (svarer til en kreditanstalt for genopbygning, -red.) ... Ligesom dengang i 1930'erne, vil en generel politik for en massiv forøgelse af infrastrukturudvikling skabe mange tusinde jobs til arbejdere i mit distrikt og i hele nationen. Én positiv effekt vil blive at gøre en ende på epidemien af selvmord og misbrug af opiater, som resultat af fortvivlelse, fremkaldt af stagnationen.«

☒ Dette er de massive virkninger, i en forandret, politisk situation, af LaRouchePAC's mobilisering for Lyndon LaRouches »fire hovedlove for at redde nationen«.

Lyndon LaRouche: At tolerere Obama og Hillary bringer blodsudgydelse og krig

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 1. november, 2016 – Data fra opinionsundersøgelser viser, at vælgere i de afgørende svingstater i overvældende grad støtter Glass-Steagall og andre metoder til at bryde de store Wall Street-banker op.

Ifølge en opinionsundersøgelse, foretaget af Lake Research Partners, ønsker 70 % af vælgerne i Florida, Pennsylvania, Missouri og Ohio en genindførelse af Glass-Steagall nu. Opinionsundersøgelsen konkluderede, »Den offentlige frustration over Wall Streets hensynsløshed og grådighed er stor og går på tværs af det politiske spektrum. Uanset, hvem, der vinder dette valg, så bør han/hun højt prioritere at gøre dette oprør til en reel forandring.«

Tirsdag tog Lyndon LaRouche dette argument til dets logiske konklusion: Alt dette had er i realiteten rettet mod præsident Obama, hvis katastrofale politik har bragt os helt frem til denne eksistentielle krise. Hillary Clinton er en forlængelse og en fortsættelse af denne Obama-politik; beskyttelse af Wall Street; passivitet i forhold til en landsomspændende epidemi af ulovlige medikamenter (narkotiske stoffer); en fortsættelse i det uendelige af udenlandske krige, der koster skattebetalerne milliarder af dollars; den totale ødelæggelse af det amerikanske sundhedssystem under Obamacare; en dæmonisering af Rusland, der driver os hen imod en atomar Tredje Verdenskrig.

Et oprigtigt valg den 8. nov. vil vise dette had til Obama og til alt, han har gjort mod USA på vegne af sine britiske herrer. Britiske interesser har styret Obama fra den første dag, han gik ind i politik. Hillary Clinton ødelagde sig selv gennem sin kapitulation til Obama, og det er grunden til, at hun er forhadet. Ethvert forsøg på at skjule dette på valgdagen, vil føre til blodsudgydelse.

Det er dødelig alvor. Vi konfronteres ikke alene med udsigten til national blodsudgydelse, men også med den reelle mulighed for krig. Vi befinder os allerede på randen af Tredje Verdenskrig pga. Obamas politik med at provokere Rusland, en politik, som Hillary Clinton har udviklet til en endnu mere obscøn yderlighed.

Foto: Præsident Obama rådslår med udenrigsminister Clinton

*under NATO-topmødet i Strasbourg, Frankrig, i april 2009.
(Foto: Pete Souza)*

Nordisk Råds møde: Interview med islandsk parlamentsmedlem Steingrímur J. Sigfússon: for Glass/Steagall- bankopdeling; tager afstand fra konfrontationspolitikken mod Rusland

Den 1. november, 2016, lavede *EIR*-Danmark det følgende interview (lydfil 2) med Steingrímur J. Sigfússon, medlem af det islandske Althingi – parlament – for Den Venstresocialistiske Grønne Gruppe, som han har stiftet og er formand for; han er desuden tidligere finansminister og nuværende formand for Nordisk Råds Venstresocialistiske Grønne Gruppe. Interviewet fandt sted efter, at Nordisk Råd, der holder en samling i København, holdt en pressekonference med præsidenten og vicepræsidenten og alle gruppelederne. *EIR* stillede det første spørgsmål under pressekonferencen (lydfil 1), der blev besvaret af folketingsmedlem Henrik Dam

Kristensen (S), som er formand for samlingen.

Spørgsmål og svar ved pressekonferencen med Nordisk Råds præsident Henrik Dam Kristensen, vicepræsidenten og partigruppeformænd (inkl. Steingrímur J. Sigfússon, formanden for Venstre-Grøn gruppen):

EIR-interview med Steingrímur J. Sigfússon lige efter pressekonferencen:

RADIO SCHILLER den 31. oktober 2016: Valget i USA: Glass/Steagall- bankopdeling og faren for 3. verdenskrig er nu blevet hovedtemaer

Med formand Tom Gillesberg

Trumps vending mod Glass-Steagall åbner feltet for LaRouches Fire Love

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 30. oktober, 2016 – I sidste uge fremførte kandidat Donald Trump et direkte krav om gennemførelse af det 21. Århundredes Glass/Steagall-lov samtidig med, at han udstedte en ligefrem advarsel om, at Hillary Clintons sindssyge dæmonisering af Vladimir Putin og hendes krav om militær konfrontation med Rusland og Syrien allerede har bragt verden til randen af atomkrig. Hvad så siden Trumps motivation er, så har dette placeret de spørgsmål, som med Lyndon LaRouche er blevet internationalt fastlagt, i centrum for den amerikanske, politiske krise.

I dag responderede LaRouche til dette skift under en diskussion med sine medarbejdere, ni dage før det amerikanske præsidentvalg:

»Trump er kommet ud med Glass-Steagall. Han fremlagde argumentet. Desuden hader han Hillary Clinton og foragter Barack Obama. Trump har et enormt ego, og det betyder, at han ønsker at gøre noget stort og vigtigt. Men alt dette betyder, at der er noget, vi potentielt kan arbejde med. Dette betyder, at det vigtigste er det, som vi må sige den til kommende administration om det, der må gøres. Det faktum, at Trump støtter Glass-Steagall, er nu en fastslået kendsgerning, og dette er et sted at begynde, men kun et sted at begynde. Vi forstår, hvad der må gøres, overordnet set, for at vedtage en politik i Hamiltons tradition for at redde USA. Det er, hvad der virkelig tæller. Og dette budskab giver genlyd.«

Situationen i USA er fuld af dæmonisering og frygt i takt med,

at amerikanske familiers levestandard i hastigt tempo kollapser, og i takt med, at borgerne ikke ser noget håb i valget.

LaRouche bemærkede:

»Situationen her er så rådden, at det giver anledning til stor bekymring. Den typiske, amerikanske borger har ingen stolthed eller tro på sig selv. Der findes ingen pragmatiske løsninger. Der findes intet i USA, med undtagelse af det, vi stiller krav om som presserende løsninger, og som begynder med Glass-Steagall, men dernæst fortsætter med en omgående lancering af massive kapitalinvesteringer af statslig kredit til infrastruktur og andre projekter, for at styrke økonomiens produktivitet som helhed. Dette betyder en genoplivelse af et statsligt, nationalt banksystem efter Hamiltons principper. Sådan skal det være.«

»Der er en reel fare for afslutningen af civilisationen. Der findes ingen andre muligheder end afgørende handlinger, af den art, som jeg har forklaret i mine **Fire Økonomiske Hovedlove**. Det er den virkelige proces.«

Disse Fire Hovedlove begynder med Glass-Steagall, sammen med en tilbagevenden til et Nationalt Banksystem i Hamiltons tradition, som middel til at udstede kredit til realøkonomien, der som sin spydspids og drivkraft har videnskab, med udvikling af fusionskraft og en genrejsning af NASA og rumforskning og rumfart.

»Vi er på vej ind i noget, vi aldrig før har set – lige nu«, sagde LaRouche.

»Der findes ingen vilje inden for det transatlantiske område til at handle for at løse nogen af disse problemer. Det er i Eurasien, at vi finder den reelle indsats. Det er dér, de store initiativer finder sted. Putin gør vigtige ting, men han er også bevidst om sin egen positions svaghed, og han

medregner dette i sine beslutninger og handlinger.»

Det er presserende nødvendigt at dumpe Obama, men tiden er knap. Vi må omgående, nu, såvel som også dagen efter valget, handle på det skift, som Trumps initiativ har skabt, uanset udfaldet af valget – at gennemføre Glass-Steagall og det fulde LaRouche-program for at genindføre en **politik efter Hamiltons principper.**

**Det kommende verdenslederskab
udgøres af Glass-Steagall
og LaRouches Fire Økonomiske
Love.**

**Dansk uddrag af LaRouchePAC
Internationale Webcast, 28.
okt., 2016.**

Dette er ikke noget, der kun er vigtigt for den nationale scene; men dette er i færd med at udforme et paradigmeskifte, som i øjeblikket finder sted på den internationale scene. For to uger siden så vi det dramatiske skift, hvor Filippinerne, med præsident Dutertes besøg i Kina, ændrede sin kurs til at komme på linje med Kinas; hvor han siger, at han nu ændrer sit lands kurs i overensstemmelse med den ideologiske strømning i de eurasiske, allierede lande, der nu er i færd med at skabe et nyt, økonomisk paradigme. Vi så dette meget tydeligt i en

tale, som den russiske præsident Vladimir Putin holdt ved Valdai-diskussionsklubbens årlige sammentræf 2016 i Sotji, Rusland. Vi vil gå nærmere i detaljer med dette, men Putins understregninger er meget klare, og jeg mener, at de omfatter nogle af de spørgsmål, vi vil diskutere i aften. For det første, faren ved NATO's/Obamas holdning, der nu har bragt os i farlig nærhed af et udbrud af Tredje Verdenskrig; en krig, som ingen på den russiske side søger, som Putin gjorde det meget klart. Og ligeledes det presserende nødvendige, totalt nye, økonomiske paradigme for at slå bro over svælget mellem et lille antal meget rige Wall Street-spekulanter, og et meget stort antal fattigdomsramte, ikke alene mennesker, men hele nationer; og desuden, at bringe teknologisk fremskridt til alle og gøre dette til paradigmet for relationer nationerne imellem.

Matthew Ogden: Jeg tror, vi kan sige, at vi befinder os ved et meget dramatisk vendepunkt i verdenshistorien, og ved et meget dramatisk vendepunkt for vores nation. I løbet af de seneste uger har vi, som I har kunnet følge på LaRouchePAC's webside, mobiliseret en national mobilisering for at sætte hr. Lyndon LaRouches økonomiske program på dagsordenen, under betegnelsen 'De Fire Hovedlove; de Fire Nye Love til USA's økonomiske genrejsning', og disse love er baseret på Alexander Hamiltons fundamentale principper og hans arbejde med at etablere en videnskab om økonomi, der opbyggede USA. Vi har lanceret en kampagneside for mobilisering, og jeg vil direkte fremhæve, at det er vores dagsorden at bringe det amerikanske folk ind i denne mobilisering for at gøre jeres forståelse af, hvad det er for økonomiske principper, som Hamilton skabte, dybere; og hvad det er, som hr. LaRouche har inkorporeret i disse Fire Love.

Dette er ikke noget, der kun er vigtigt for den nationale scene; men dette er i færd med at udforme et paradigmeskifte, som i øjeblikket finder sted på den internationale scene. For to uger siden så vi det dramatiske skift, hvor Filippinerne,

med præsident Dutertes besøg i Kina, ændrede sin kurs til at komme på linje med Kinas; hvor han siger, at han nu ændrer sit lands kurs i overensstemmelse med den ideologiske strømning i de eurasiske, allierede lande, der nu er i færd med at skabe et nyt, økonomisk paradigme. Vi så dette meget tydeligt i en tale, som den russiske præsident Vladimir Putin holdt ved Valdai-diskussionsklubbens årlige sammentræf 2016 i Sotji, Rusland. Vi vil gå nærmere i detaljer med dette, men Putins understregninger er meget klare, og jeg mener, at de omfatter nogle af de spørgsmål, vi vil diskutere i aften. For det første, faren ved NATO's/Obamas holdning, der nu har bragt os i farlig nærhed af et udbrud af Tredje Verdenskrig; en krig, som ingen på den russiske side søger, som Putin gjorde det meget klart. Og ligeledes det presserende nødvendige, totalt nye, økonomiske paradigme for at slå bro over svælget mellem et lille antal meget rige Wall Street-spekulanter, og et meget stort antal fattigdomsramte, ikke alene mennesker, men hele nationer; og desuden, at bringe teknologisk fremskridt til alle og gøre dette til paradigmet for relationer nationerne imellem.

Vi vil komme ind på disse spørgsmål, men først og fremmest spørgsmålet om Glass-Steagall; nødvendigheden af at nedlukke det, der nu tydeligvis er det bankerotte Wall Street-regime, og spørgsmålet om, hvad der nødvendigvis må efterfølge denne nedlukning. De Fire Love efter Hamiltons principper, som hr. LaRouche har udarbejdet, er nu helt klart på dagsordenen, mener jeg. Jeg vil bede Jeffrey Steinberg lægge ud med en kort briefing om nogle af de spørgsmål, som vi har drøftet med hr. LaRouche i løbet af de seneste 24 timer, og dernæst kan vi fortsætte med en diskussion over implikationerne af disse udviklinger.

Jeffrey Steinberg: Der er fire eller fem ting, som jeg gerne vil sætte fokus på mht. signifikante, nye udviklinger blot siden sidste fredags webcast. For det første, som Matt netop påpegede, så holdt præsident Putin en meget magtfuld tale

under den afsluttende session af Valdai-konferencen, der fandt sted i denne uge i Sotji, Rusland. Tilstede var repræsentanter fra hele verden, inkl. i hvert fald flere folk fra Kina. Jeg mener, at det, som præsident Putin gjorde, ikke så meget var at bryde ny jord, som det var at gøre det meget klart, at Rusland, og han selv, fuldt ud har helliget sig til at gå fremefter med samarbejdet med Kina og de andre BRIKS-lande om at virkeliggøre et nyt paradigme for relationerne mellem nationalstaterne imellem. Dette nye paradigme er baseret på en politik for klar forebyggelse af krig, opbygget omkring kooperative økonomiske investeringer i store projekter – inklusive betydelige fremskridt inden for videnskab, som inkluderer fremme af menneskets herredømme over rummet. På en vis måde forstærkede Putin det, vi så på BRIKS-topmødet for statsoverhoveder for nylig i Goa, Indien. Rusland er helt med her, og han pointerede meget klart, at det vestlige finanssystems kollaps er den hovedfaktor, der driver verden hen imod en ekstraordinært farlig situation, hvor der kunne komme et udbrud af en verdenskrig – endda en atomar verdenskrig – som følge af provokerende handlinger, der er affødt af desperation. Jeg mener, at dette er et af de elementer af det, der virkelig er forandret i løbet af denne seneste uge.

Jeg har tilbragt de seneste 48 timer – onsdag og torsdag i denne uge – med at deltage i en årlig konference i Washington, D.C., i det Nationale Råd for Amerikansk-arabiske Relationer. Der var omkring 1000 mennesker til stede dér, og der var stort fremmøde fra det diplomatiske samfund, især det arabiske, diplomatiske samfund; og fra den amerikanske erhvervssektor, der handler med Golfstaterne. Ved slutningen af konferencen, torsdag aften, var der en afsluttende hovedtale af general David Petraeus – tidligere chef for Centralkommandoen, og tidligere direktør for CIA. Han fremlagde en række meget dristige forslag, som desværre meget præcist faldt i tråd med den slags ting, vi har set komme fra Hillary Clinton og Clinton-kampagnen i hele denne præsidentvalgkamp. Det, som

general Petraeus krævede, var både oprettelsen af sikkerhedszoner på suverænt, syrisk territorium, skabelsen af en flyveforbudszone over en stor del af syrisk territorium, og han opfordrede til, at USA skulle bruge både sø- og landbaserede krydsermissiler til at tilintetgøre det syriske luftvåben. Se, han sagde meget henkastet, at dette selvfølgelig fremkalder faren for en krig med Rusland; men det fejede han til side og sagde, at Vladimir Putin responderer til magt, og responderer til alvorlige trusler om magtanvendelse. Putin vil derfor, konfronteret med denne form for handlinger, trække sig, sagde Petraeus.

Vi taler her om, at amerikanske og russiske aktiver fra luftvåbnene, der engagerer sig på en meget afgrænset skueplads for handling, hvor vi hidtil har undgået en betydelig hændelse, der kunne have ført til generel krig, pga. en aftale om dekonfliktion (fælles forholdsregler, der skal sikre, at en optrapning pga. fejlregninger eller misforståelser ikke finder sted, -red.), som heldigvis stadig er i kraft mellem den amerikanskledede koalition på den ene side, og Rusland på den anden. Men det, der her foreslås, er en total omstødelse af denne politik. Vi ved, at dette er præcis, hvad Hillary Clinton kræver i sine egne taler i præsidentkampagnen. Der har været nylige undersøgelser, fremlagt på vegne af Clinton-kampagnen af Centret for en Ny Amerikansk Sikkerhed og Centret for Amerikansk Fremgang, der udtrykker noget nær den samme ekstremistiske holdning, som general Petraeus udtrykker i sine udtalelser. Faren for krig kan slet ikke undervurderes; og faktum er, at præsident Putin – i sin Valdai-tale – var meget klar omkring denne fare.

Se, med hensyn til det overordnede spørgsmål om, hvor umiddelbart forestående, nedsmeltningen af det transatlantiske finanssystem er, så sidder alle virkelig på kanten af deres stol over det faktum, at det amerikanske Justitsministerium og Deutsche Bank stadig befinder sig i en afparering frem og tilbage og endnu ikke er nået frem til en beslutning omkring

den foreslåede bøde på 14 mia. euro for Deutsche Banks kriminelle aktivitet under krisen omkring værdipapirer med sikkerhed i ejendoms papirer, som var forløber for krisen med nedsmeltningen i 2008. Deutsche Bank befinder sig på randen af kollaps; dette anerkendes bredt. De førende, tyske finansmedier, med *Handelsblatt* i spidsen, skriver om dette stort set hver eneste dag. Vi ved, at det italienske banksystem også befinder sig på randen af nedsmeltning, med lån, der ikke giver afkast (insolvente lån), til nominelt 360 mia. euro på regnskaberne i de store, italienske banker. Så det er absolut sandt, at vi befinder os på den yderste kant af en potentiel finansiel nedsmeltning, der er langt værre end Lehman Brothers i 2008.

Det er i denne sammenhæng, at, mener jeg, det er meget vigtigt at mærke sig den kendsgerning, at Donald Trump tidligere på ugen holdt en tale i Charlotte, North Carolina, hvor han udtrykkeligt krævede, at man implementerede en Glass-Steagall for det 21. århundrede. Han advarede også om, at, hvis Hillary Clinton bliver valgt til præsident, vokser chancerne for, at vi meget snart vil stå over for Tredje Verdenskrig, enormt; og han nævnte begivenhederne i Syrien, som jeg allerede har omtalt, som en slags hovedelement i denne situation. Mange mennesker klør sig i hovedet og siger, hvor kom dette fra, mht., at Trump pludselig går ind for Glass-Steagall? Det er kun 12 dage før præsidentvalget, at han kommer med denne tale.

Jeg fik mulighed for at tale med nogen, der har været involveret i Washington-politik som en slags insider i meget lang tid; og det var hans anskuelse, at han havde forventet, at noget i denne retning ville komme fra Trump-kampagnen, fra Donald Trump. Det kunne have været mere effektivt, hvis det var sket i september, men hvad enten han er opportunistisk, eller han reelt mener det alvorligt, så er det en kendsgerning, at spørgsmålet om Glass-Steagall nu er blevet gen-indsprøjtet i præsidentvalget i en afgørende form for nedtælling til den 8. november. Og der er faktisk ingen

ulemper forbundet med dette; uanset, hvad resultatet af valget bliver, så er Glass-Steagall et afgørende, politisk spørgsmål, der omgående må implementeres. Det er første skridt i hr. LaRouches Fire Hovedlove, udtrykkeligt baseret på de fire hovedrapporter til Kongressen, som Alexander Hamilton skrev, da han var finansminister. Vi søger altså tilbage til en politik, der har en mangeårig historie for beviselig succes. Donald Trump udbrød ikke bare lige pludselig, »Lad os få Glass-Steagall«. Ifølge beretninger fra mennesker, der fulgte denne tale på tæt hold nede i Charlotte, så var dette den mest gennemkomponerede og velorganiserede tale i hele hans præsidentkampagne. I et Tv-interview med Fox den næste morgen bekræftede Wilbur Ross, der tilhører en gruppe af »milliardærer«, som udgør Trumps økonomiske hovedrådgivere, den pointe, som Trump kom med dagen før i Charlotte. Her følger et kort uddrag af ordvekslingen mellem Fox News' Maria Bartolino og Wilbur Ross:

Bartolino: I går krævede Donald Trump en version for det 21. århundrede af Glass/Steagall-loven fra 1933, der kræver en opdeling mellem kommerciel bankvirksomhed og investeringsbankvirksomhed. Fortæl os om dette, for vi ved alle, hvad Dodd/Frank-loven har gjort ved sektoren for finansielle tjenesteydelser; og det er blevet vanskeligere at låne penge. Det er blevet ét af spørgsmålene i denne økonomi. Fortæl mig om en Glass/Steagall-version for det 21. århundrede.

Ross var fuldstændig klar og på det rene med det, som Trump refererede til aftenen før. Han sagde:

Ross: Altså, bankerne. Det er ikke så meget det, at de er for store; det drejer sig om, at de er for komplekse. For komplekse, og for komplicerede internt. Tænk over, hvor meget storbankerne – man må kende alt til verdens geografi; man må kende hver eneste obskure form for produkt på derivatmarkedet. Det er en voldsomt stor menu for en person at absorbere. Vi mener, at det kunne være bedre for bankerne at holde sig til

udlån, og, i stedet for at indføre flere restriktioner på pengeudlån, at gøre det lettere for dem at udstede lån. Tænk over det. Når man lægger sag an mod bankerne hver dag for de lån, de udstedte dagen før, så er det ikke måden at opmuntre dem til at udstede nye lån. De er i færd med at gøre bankerne pistol-sky.

Dernæst spørger hun, »Siger du, at der bør være mere opdeling?«

Ross: Jeg mener, at det, der er vigtigt, er fornuftig regulering frem for regulering for reguleringens skyld. Når man tænker efter, med alle disse bøder for subprime-udlån, kan du nævne en eneste person, der nogensinde er blevet sat ud af sit hus, som ikke rent faktisk havde et huslån, og som ikke var bagud med sine betalinger på lånet og fortjente at blive sat ud? Der er ikke et eneste tilfælde, hvor dette er blevet bevist, så det er altså strafferegulering, det er straffelovgivning snarere, end det er noget, der er fornuftigt.

Dette var helt klart ikke bare et slag ud i den tomme luft. Vi ved ikke, om dette er en seriøs forpligtelse til denne politik. Men vi ved, at der er massiv folkelig opbakning til Glass-Steagall. Det er derfor, det endte i både det Demokratiske og det Republikanske partiprogram. Vi ved, at der fandt en intern kamp sted i Hillary Clintons kampagne, hvor flere af hendes hovedrådgivere indtrængende opfordrede hende til også offentligt at støtte Glass-Steagall, hvilket hun nægtede at gøre. Bernie Sanders' tilhængere, Elizabeth Warrens tilhængere, de, der er det Demokratiske Partis kernevælgere, er lige så ubøjelige mht. behovet for Glass-Steagall, som nogle på den Republikanske side.

Så spørgsmålet er, at dette nu er lagt direkte frem på bordet. Vi er i de sidste ti dage før præsidentvalget, og øjeblikket er derfor inde til at vinde dette spørgsmål, meget aggressivt, og til, at Kongressen tager dette spørgsmål op som sin første regulære forretningshandling, når den vender tilbage efter

valget den 8. november, uanset udfaldet. Mandatet foreligger. Det er nu et fundamentalt spørgsmål i den præsidentielle debat i disse sidste dage. Igen, hvad enten Trump er seriøs om det her, eller det var et politisk stunt, så er spørgsmålet ikke desto mindre blevet særdeles markant indsprøjtet i denne præsidentkampagnes slutfase, og der er ingen bagside ved, at dette er sket.

Ogden: Hr. LaRouches ideer er meget magtfulde, og de står for sig selv. Hr. LaRouche responderede ikke på tidernes skiften. Han har i årevis været meget, meget klar mht. den *presserende* nødvendighed af Glass-Steagall og har forudsagt, at vi faktisk igen ville komme til dette punkt. Deutsche Bank er ved at nedsmelte. Det er værre end Lehman Brothers i 2008. Det faktum, at Glass-Steagall ikke blev genindført, som hr. LaRouche krævede, umiddelbart efter sammenbruddet i 2008, er det, der har bragt os til dette punkt. Kesha [Rogers] var involveret i en højt profileret kampagne til Senatet og flere højt profilerede kampagner til Repræsentanternes Hus. Andre medlemmer af LaRouchePAC Policy Committee stillede også op til valg til Kongressen for fire og seks år siden, på et Glass/Steagall-valgprogram, og gjorde dette til det afgørende, nationale spørgsmål. I det omfang, hvor der har været nogen seriøse diskussioner i denne præsidentkampagne, så har det været omkring spørgsmålet om Glass-Steagall. Det blev bragt på bane i den Demokratiske debat af to kandidater – Martin O'Malley, og også Bernie Sanders; Hillary Clinton sagde »Nej!«.

Dette er nu det *afgørende* spørgsmål. Og som du sagde, Jeff, så viser det, at der er en *overvældende* folkelig opbakning: og begge partiprogrammer. Vi har nu en situation, hvor genindførelsen af Glass-Steagall i bogstavelig forstand er fremherskende i hele verden. Det ville være tragisk, hvis Kongressen ikke omgående griber til handling for at genindføre loven – vent ikke på den officielle indsættelse [af den nye præsident] – omgående, ved Kongressens tilbagevenden til

Washington. Glass-Steagall må ubetinget genindføres, for, hvis vi venter, og Deutsche Bank eller én af disse andre banker nedsmelter, så kan jeg garantere jer for, at vi befinder os i en langt værre situation end den, vi befandt os i, i sammenbruddet i 2008.

Så jeg mener, at det afgørende spørgsmål er lagt frem. Nødvendigheden af de dybtgående principper efter Hamilton – som hr. LaRouche har gjort meget klart – står for sig selv. Det er ikke et spørgsmål om, at nogen har erklæret Lyndon LaRouches [love for] gyldige; spørgsmålet er, at Lyndon LaRouches ideer står for sig selv, og har udgjort de afgørende spørgsmål, og nu har nået et punkt, hvor det er et indiskutabelt verdensledende spørgsmål – og det punkt, hvorfra det ikke er muligt at vende tilbage, kommer meget snart, med mindre man handler på disse ideer.

*Hele webcastet, med engelsk udskrift, kan høres/læses her:
<http://schillerinstitut.dk/si/?p=15628>*

**Alexander Hamiltons vision &
LaRouches Fire Love
– afgørende redskaber til at
redde USA.
LaRouchePAC Internationale**

Webcast, 28. oktober, 2016

»Jeg tror, vi kan sige, at vi befinder os ved et meget dramatisk vendepunkt i verdenshistorien, og ved et meget dramatisk vendepunkt for vores nation. I løbet af de seneste uger, som I har kunnet følge på LaRouchePAC's webside, har vi mobiliseret en national mobilisering for at sætte hr. Lyndon LaRouches økonomiske program på dagsordenen, under betegnelsen 'De Fire Hovedlove; de Fire Nye Love til USA's økonomiske genrejsning', og disse love er baseret på Alexander Hamiltons fundamentale principper og hans arbejde med at etablere en videnskab om økonomi, der opbyggede USA. Vi har lanceret en kampagneside for mobilisering, og jeg vil direkte fremhæve, at det er vores dagsorden at bringe det amerikanske folk ind i denne mobilisering for at gøre jeres forståelse af, hvad det er for økonomiske principper, som Hamilton skabte, dybere; og hvad det er, som hr. LaRouche har inkorporeret i disse Fire Love.«

Engelsk udskrift:

Friday LaRouche PAC Webcast October 28, 2016

**ALEXANDER HAMILTON'S VISION & LAROCHE'S FOUR LAWS –
ESSENTIAL TOOLS TO SAVE THE UNITED STATES**

MATTHEW OGDEN: Good evening, it's October 28, 2016.

My

name is Matthew Ogden, and you're joining us here for our Friday

evening webcast from larouchepac.com. I'm joined in the studio

tonight by Jeffrey Steinberg from {Executive Intelligence Review}, and we have via video, Kesha Rogers, a member of the LaRouche PAC Policy Committee, former candidate for the United States Congress and United States Senate, joining us from Houston, Texas.

I think it can be said that we are at a very dramatic turning point in world history and a very dramatic turning point for our nation. Over the last several weeks, as you've been following the LaRouche PAC website, we have mobilized a national mobilization to put on the agenda Mr. Lyndon LaRouche's economic program; this is under the name of "The Four Cardinal Laws; the Four New Laws for the Economic Recovery of the United States", and it's grounded in the fundamental principles of Alexander Hamilton and his work establishing a science of economics which built the United States. We have launched a mobilization page, and I'll say right up front that our agenda is to bring the American people into this mobilization to deepen your understanding of what the economic principles are that Hamilton created; and what Mr. LaRouche has embodied in these Four Laws.

This is not something which is only important for the national stage; but this is shaping a paradigm shift which is currently ongoing on the international stage. We saw two weeks ago the dramatic shift, the realignment of the Philippines with President Duterte's trip to China; saying that he is realigning his country with the ideological flow of the Eurasian allied countries that are now creating a new economic paradigm. And we saw this expressed very clearly in a speech that Russian President Vladimir Putin gave at the 2016 annual Valdai international discussion club proceedings. We'll get into some

of the details of that, but Putin's emphases are very clear, and

I think they include some of the subjects that we will be discussing here tonight. Number one, the danger of the NATO/Obama posture which has now brought us perilously close to

the outbreak of World War III; a war that nobody is seeking on the Russian side, as Putin made very clear. And also, the urgent

necessity of an entirely new economic paradigm to bridge the gap

between a small number of very wealthy Wall Street speculators and a very large number of poverty-stricken, not only people, but

also nations; and to bring technological progress to all, and to

have that be the paradigm for relations among nations.

So, we'll get into those subjects, but I think first and

foremost, the issue of Glass-Steagall; the necessity of shutting

down what is now clearly the bankrupt Wall Street regime, and what has to necessarily follow after that. The Hamiltonian Four

Laws that Mr. LaRouche has specified, I think is now very clearly

on the agenda. So, I'm going to ask Jeff to just start with a quick briefing of some of the matters that we've discussed with

Mr. LaRouche over the last 24 hours, and then we can proceed with

a discussion of the implications of these developments.

JEFFREY STEINBERG: Thanks, Matt. I think that there are

four or five things that I would really highlight in terms of significant new developments just in the time since last

Friday's

broadcast. Number one, as Matt indicated, President Putin delivered a very powerful speech at the closing session of the Valdai conference that took place this week in Sochi, Russia. There were representatives there from all over the world, including at least a number of people there from China. I think

what President Putin did was not so much break new ground, but make very clear that Russia and he himself are fully committed to

moving ahead with the collaboration with China, with the other BRICS countries on bringing about a new paradigm of relations among nation-states; based on a policy of clear war avoidance built around cooperative economic investments in great projects

– including major advances in science, including the advancement

of man's mastery over space. So, Putin in a certain sense, reinforced what we saw at the G20 meeting in Hangzhou in China;

what we saw at the BRICS heads of state summit meeting more recently in Goa, India. So, Russia is all-in on that, and he made the point very clearly, that the collapse of the Western financial system is the principal factor driving the world towards an extraordinarily dangerous situation, where you could

have an outbreak of world war – even thermonuclear world war – as the result of provocative actions born of desperation. I think that whole picture is one element of what's really changed

in this last week.

Now, I spent the last 48 hours – Wednesday and Thursday of

this week – attending an annual conference in Washington, DC of

the National Council on US-Arab Relations. There were about 1000

people there, and it was widely attended by the diplomatic community, particularly the Arab diplomatic community; by the US

business sector that deals with the Gulf States. At the very closing of the conference, Thursday evening, there was a concluding keynote presentation by General David Petraeus – formerly the head of the Central Command, formerly the Director

of the CIA. He made a very bold set of proposals that unfortunately dovetailed very precisely with the kinds of things

that have been coming out of Hillary Clinton and the Clinton campaign throughout this Presidential election. What General Petraeus called for was both the creation of safe zones inside Syrian sovereign territory, the creation of a no-fly zone over a

large portion of Syrian territory, and he called for the United

States to use both sea-based and air-based cruise missiles to knock out the Syrian air force. Now, he very cavalierly said of

course this brings on the danger of a war with Russia; but he brushed that aside, saying, Vladimir Putin responds to power, and

responds to serious threats to use power. Therefore, in the face

of these kinds of actions, Putin will back down.

Now, we're talking about American and Russian air assets

engaging in a very limited theater of action, where we've so far

avoided a major incident that could have led to general war because of a deconfliction agreement that fortunately still remains in force between the US-led coalition on the one side, and Russia on the other. But what's being proposed here is a complete overturning of that policy. We know that this is exactly what Hillary Clinton is calling for in her own

Presidential campaign speeches. There have been recent studies presented on behalf of the Clinton campaign by the Center for a New American Security and the Center for American Progress, that go almost as extremist as General Petraeus' statements. Basically, the war danger cannot in the least underestimated; and the fact is that President Putin – in his Valdai speech – was very clear about that danger.

Now, on the larger issue of the immediacy of the blow-out of the financial system of the trans-Atlantic region, everybody is really on the edge of their chairs over the fact that the US Department of Justice and Deutsche Bank are still parrying around back and forth and have not reached a decision yet on a proposed 14 billion euro fine for Deutsche Bank's criminal activity during the mortgage-backed securities crisis leading into the 2008 blow-out. Deutsche Bank is on the edge of collapse; it's widely acknowledged. The major German financial press, led by {Handelsblatt}, writes about this virtually every day. We know that the Italian banking system is also on the verge of a blow-out with 360 billion euro in non-performing debt on the books of the larger Italian banks. So, it is absolutely true that we're on the precipice of a potential financial blow-out far worse than Lehman Brothers in 2008.

It's in that context, that I think it's very important to take note of the fact that earlier this week, Donald Trump

delivered a speech in Charlotte, North Carolina, in which he explicitly called for the implementation of a 21st Century Glass-Steagall. He also warned that if Hillary Clinton is elected President, the chances grow enormously that we will be facing World War III at some point very soon; and he cited the Syria events that I've already talked about as a kind of a key element of that situation. Many people are scratching their heads and saying, where did this from in terms of Trump suddenly

coming out for Glass-Steagall? It's only 12 days before the Presidential election that this speech came out.

I had the opportunity to someone who's been involved in

Washington politics as a kind of insider for a very long time; and his view was that he was expecting something like this to come out of the Trump campaign, out of Donald Trump. It could have been more effective if it had happened in September, but whether he's being opportunistic or whether he genuinely means it, the fact is that the Glass-Steagall issue has now been basically re-infused into the Presidential elections at a critical kind of countdown moment before November 8th. And there's really no downside to that. Whatever the outcome of the

election, Glass-Steagall is an essential policy issue that must

be implemented immediately. It's the first step of Mr. LaRouche's Four Cardinal Laws for how to carry out an economic recovery; and Mr. LaRouche's Four Cardinal Laws on based explicitly on the four key reports to Congress by Alexander Hamilton when he was Secretary of the Treasury. So, we're reaching back for policies that have a long-time proven track record of success. Donald Trump didn't just simply blurt out "Let's have Glass-Steagall." By accounts of people who closely

watched that speech down in Charlotte, this was the most thoroughly composed and well organized speech of his entire Presidential campaign. The next morning, in a TV interview

with

Fox, Wilbur Ross, who is one of a group of "billionaires" who are

key economic policy advisors to Trump, basically reinforced the

point that Trump had made the day before in Charlotte. This is a

bit of an exchange between Fox News' Maria Bartolino and Wilbur

Ross:

BARTOLINO: Donald Trump yesterday called for a 21st Century

version of the 1933 Glass-Steagall law that requires the separation of commercial and investment banking. Talk to us about this, because we all know what Dodd-Frank has done to the

financial services sector; and lending has become tougher.

That's become one of the issues for this economy. Tell me about

the 21st Century version of Glass-Steagall.

Ross was absolutely clear and familiar with what Trump was

referring to the night before. He said:

ROSS: Well, the banks. It isn't so much that they're too

big; it's that they're too complex. Too complex and too complicated internally. Think about how much the big banks – you have to know every geography in the world; you have to know

every kind of obscure kind of product in the derivatives market.

That's an awful big menu for anybody to absorb. We think it might be better for the banks to stick to lending, and instead of

making more restrictions on lending, make it easier for them to

make loans. Think about it. When you were suing banks every day for the loans that they've made the day before, it's not the way to encourage them to make new loans. They're making banks gun-shy.

And she asks, "Are you saying there should be more separation?"

ROSS: I think the more important thing is sensible regulation rather than just regulation for the sake of regulation. When you think about it, with all these fines over sub-prime lending, can you name a single person who was ever dispossessed from a house that didn't actually have a mortgage, wasn't delinquent on it and deserved to be foreclosed? There isn't one case where that's been proven, so it's punitive regulation, it's punitive law enforcement rather than anything very sensible.

This was clearly not just simply a stab in the dark. We don't know whether this is a serious commitment to the policy. But we do know that there is mass popular support for Glass-Steagall. That's why it wound up in the platforms of both the Democratic and Republican Parties. We know there was a fight inside the Hillary Clinton campaign, in which a number of her key advisors urged her to also come out and support Glass-Steagall, which she refused to do. The Bernie Sanders supporters, the Elizabeth Warren supporters, those who are mainstay voters for the Democratic Party, are as adamant about the need for Glass-Steagall as some on the Republican side.

So, the issue is that this now squarely on the table. It's the final ten days before the Presidential elections, and so therefore, now is the moment for this issue to be driven home, forcefully, and for Congress to take this up as their first order of business when they return after the November 8th elections, regardless of the outcome. The mandate is there. It's now a fundamental issue in the Presidential debate in these closing days. Again, whether Trump is serious about this, or this was a political stunt, nevertheless, the issue has been injected very substantially into the final moments of this Presidential campaign, and there's no downside to that having happened.

OGDEN: Mr. LaRouche's ideas are very powerful, and they stand on their own. Mr. LaRouche has not responded to the change of the time. He has been very, very clear for years, on the {urgent} necessity of Glass-Steagall, and has forecast that we would in fact reach this point again. Deutsche Bank is blowing out. It's worse than Lehman 2008. The fact that Glass-Steagall was not reinstated, as Mr. LaRouche called for, immediately following the 2008 crash, is what has brought us to this point. Kesha was involved in a high-profile Senate campaign, several high-profile House campaigns. Other members of the LaRouche PAC Policy Committee also ran for federal office four, six years ago, on a Glass-Steagall platform, and made that the definitive national issue. To the extent that there's been any serious discussion in this Presidential campaign, it has been around the question of Glass-Steagall. This was brought up in the

Democratic

debates by two candidates – Martin O'Malley, Bernie Sanders also

brought it up; Hillary Clinton said, "No!"

This is now the {defining} question. And as you said, Jeff,

what this shows is that there is {overwhelming} popular support:

both Party platforms. Now you have a situation in which the reinstatement of Glass-Steagall is virtually hegemonic. It would

be tragic were the Congress not to take the immediate action to

reinstate this – do not wait for the inauguration – immediately

after returning to Washington. Glass-Steagall has got to be reinstated, because if we wait, and Deutsche Bank or one of these

other banks blows out, I guarantee you, we are in a far worse situation that we were, even in the Crash of 2008.

So I think the defining question is there. The necessity for

the depth of the Hamiltonian principles – which Mr. LaRouche has

made very clear – stand on their own. It's not a question of has

somebody validated Lyndon LaRouche; the question is Lyndon LaRouche's ideas stand on their own, and have been the defining

questions, and have now reached the point where it's undeniably

hegemonic, and the point of no return is coming very soon, unless

these ideas are acted on.

STEINBERG: Let me throw something else in on this. I think

there's an important lesson to be learned from the just-concluded, successful fight over the summer into September,

around first, the release of the 28 pages from the original Joint

Congressional Inquiry into 9/11; and then what followed after that, with the overwhelming House and Senate override of President Obama's veto of the JASTA Bill, the Justice Against Sponsors of Terrorism Act. As was the case for some time with JASTA, the issue is that once it was going to come to a vote, there was no question that there was overwhelming support for it.

There was a political mobilization. LaRouche PAC led that fight,

along with the families and survivors of 9/11, and others as well, to make sure it was actually brought to a vote. The same is

true of Glass-Steagall right now. There's got to be a groundswell

of pressure on the leadership of the House and Senate, to bring

it to a vote.

I have no doubt whatsoever that given all of the factors

that we've been discussing, that if a vote were allowed to be taken, say on November 14-15, whatever it is the day that the House and Senate return to Washington for the beginning of the "lame duck" session, that should be on the table. It should be brought to the full floor of the Senate and the House. The bills

exist in both Houses. The language is compatible. This could be

done in a very short period of time. If you look at the way that

the JASTA vote proceeded just before the recess, the whole thing

took place in the course of {one day}. There was a morning

vote

and debate in the House. It went immediately to the Senate in the

afternoon; because the leadership recognized that the American people {demanded} that this happen. There was a mobilization. There was a sense of timing. And there is no reason in the world

that the same thing can't happen before the middle of next month

with respect to Glass-Steagall.

As Matt just said, and as Thomas Hoenig, [vice chairman of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation] has been arguing for

years, Glass-Steagall has to be put in place {before} the blow-out, because once you get that blow-out, Congress will be stampeded by Wall Street and London into another bail-out, and you're going to be off to the races. It's going to be a disaster.

This is something where the will of the American people has

to be heard. That's the context in which we're looking at the fact that Trump chose at the last moment to inject Glass-Steagall

into his campaign rhetoric for the final countdown days before the election.

KESHA ROGERS: Yes. I think it's important to understand that

LaRouche "drew the line in the sand" a long time ago. He set the

standard of the Glass-Steagall representing the first step to bringing down Wall Street, this financial speculation, and the continued protection and defense of Wall Street, of this British

imperial system of the City of London, meant the death of the nation and society as a whole, because we're seeing what this

is
doing to impact the United States through the continued
economic
collapse that's devastating the entire nation, the rate of
increase in poverty. This has all been a product of Wall
Street's
total destruction of our nation.

And so, this fight for Glass-Steagall – LaRouche has
led it
in the highest terms possible, because it represents a saving
of
the American people. It's the identity of what has to shape
the
future for this nation. I think it's really important that, as
we've continued to have discussions with Mr. LaRouche – the
Policy Committee and others – he defined very clearly that the
issue at hand is, what is going to be necessary and the
standard
set for creating a standard by which credit is defined. And
this
is what he has gone back to, with implementing the Hamiltonian
standard for the United States and for the world with his Four
Laws. Representing the context by which we can instill in the
American people a standard of economic value which is not
based
on money, not based on the idea that you can just pump money
into
small infrastructure projects here and there. But he made very
clear that you have to have an international program based on
the
principle of a credit policy as Alexander Hamilton understood
–
and this is why he has been very emphatic; that the American
people have to read, master and understand the works of
Hamilton
today as never before. This is what Franklin Roosevelt
understood. People are adopting and taking up the policy for

restoring Glass-Steagall which LaRouche has made a household name. Franklin Roosevelt really understood the enemy. He understood that this house of cards of Wall Street was crumbling, it had to be brought down; just as LaRouche understands today. Many people who've put their name on the docket for Glass-Steagall have been called by Wall Street "Public Enemy Number One," and so forth.

How do we really look at this, from the standpoint of what we're dealing with a population that has lost a sense – and Mr.

LaRouche really captured this today, very profoundly – of their

own mind; the ability of their own mind to actually know how to

fight this enemy and know how to create the future which they so

desperately desire and need? What you really see right now is that they're being given an opportunity to participate in something very profound and unique. If we look at what's being presented by LaRouche's policies being adopted throughout the world right now, the standard that's been set in China. The standard for the future that's been set in Russia to defy and to

deny this policy of thermonuclear war and destruction. Of going

after the future and the youth of the nation, that the international standard that's being set right now for a program

based on these Hamiltonian principles, can {clearly} be seen by

what China is doing and actually representing for a total revolution, total renaissance for generations to come, in the standards they're setting with their space program.

Because when Mr. LaRouche said you have to have an international program that defines an economic standard of

value,
of credit, in this nation and across the planet, that's the
first
thing to look at. The fact that China just launched a new
initiative, a total breakthrough putting them front and center
stage in the development of their space program; when Obama
has
continued to kill the space program with the egregious budget
cuts, with the turning over our space program to the private
sector in the United States. The policy to continue to bail
out
Wall Street financial speculation instead of actually giving a
national mission, as Kennedy understood was absolutely
important,
is something that can no longer be tolerated.

The inspiration is the crucial key at hand right now.
People
have lost faith and confidence and inspiration in this nation,
in
the system of this nation, because it has become a system of
gambling, of debt, and it has gone away from the principles
which
were defined by our US Constitution. So when you look at the
inspiration you're seeing from China, with the just launching
of
their spacecraft with two tyconauts from China, the
Shenzhou-11
to dock with the Tiangong-2 space lab, what we have now seen
China do is to actually create an international process of
collaboration and development. Just as they've offered for the
United States to cooperate, in a win-win strategy for the Silk
Road, which nations around the world are taking up. This is
defining a new standard of value and wealth.

Now, what's the standard in the United States? Jeff
can say
more on this, because he just did a presentation that I would
encourage people to look at on the website. It's death. The

drug

overdoses. If you don't have a policy of inspiration for your youth and for the nation, what are people going to turn to?

What

is going to be the standard and value and the understanding of the creativity, the creative potential of their own minds?

I'll

just say, before I got on this discussion, I was speaking to a lady 40 years old; she has a 23-year old son who she's paying thousands of dollars to get him off of drug overdoses from prescription medicines and pills. Three of his friends who she

knows very closely just died within the last year of drug overdoses from heroin. First starting with painkillers, then finding this heroin, just as you said, Jeff. Because people have

been denied a future that they can have a sense of their truly human identity; that they have a purpose and reason to live.

Wall Street can and must be brought down, because the fight that

was won with JASTA was just the beginning. If we don't finish off this policy of the British Empire and the Saudis funding of

terrorism and funding of drug epidemics in the United States coming from Afghanistan, the drug trafficking, everything we've

been seeing as the destruction of this nation, then we won't have

a nation. We're seeing that very rapidly take place; this dark

age has to be stopped.

I think a lot of people are understanding that LaRouche is

giving them an opportunity for life and for determining and fighting for a future.

OGDEN: Yeah, I do want Jeff to say more about that

interview, that short statement that he posted on the website. Let me just underscore what you just said; I think it's extraordinarily important. People lack the confidence in their

own mind; they lack the confidence in their own ability to positively imagine and create and define a future. What comes in

the void of that? It's anger, it's fear, it's demoralization. Our job is to give people their dignity back. We have to give them the confidence in themselves as meaningful human beings.

I think that was very clearly demonstrated with what we accomplished – the Schiller Institute along with the Foundation

for the Revival of Classical Culture – with this extraordinary series of concerts over the weekend of the 15th anniversary of September 11th in New York City. This was a presentation of Mozart's {Requiem} and four African-American spirituals at four

different venues across New York City and New Jersey. The confidence and the dignity that gave to people, including people

who were engaged as you said, Jeff, in the fight, the victorious

fight to declassify the 28 pages and to pass the JASTA bill and

override the White House's veto, I think speaks directly to that point.

Coincidentally, there's one very short passage in this speech that Putin gave at the Valdai discussion which says almost

exactly what you just said, Kesha. He said, "It is very clear that there is a lack of strategy and a lack of ideas for the future. This creates a climate of uncertainty that has a direct

impact on the public mood. Sociological studies conducted

around

the world show that people in different countries and on different continents tend to see the future as murky and bleak.

This is sad. The future does not entice them, but rather, frightens them."

So, our job is to create a potential for a future which

entices the creative dignity of people and allows them to escape

this – as you eloquently said – dark age of drug overdoses, death, and depression.

STEINBERG: I think it's important to also take note of the

fact that just in the past two weeks, millions of American households have received word that their Obamacare health insurance premiums are going up by 20%, 30%, 50%, in some cases I

know of directly, 70-80%. The administration was facing a torrent of news coverage admitting that Obamacare was finished.

Insurance companies are pulling out of the pools, and Obama came

out with this completely vacuous, lying statement claiming he'll

create some kind of a federal pool so that people can get reasonably-priced health insurance. The fact of the matter is,

at the very outset of this whole business, Obama shut the door on

expanding Medicare for all; shut the door on any other formulation of a single-payer plan. The cutbacks in the amount

of money being spent on health care has meant that by Hill-Burton

standards – in other words, the physical requirements; how

many
hospital beds, how many doctors, how many nurses, what kinds
of
specialty care have to be made available – the physical
infrastructure of health care has collapsed under Obama, as
people are finding their rates skyrocketing through the
ceiling.
Obama personally came out with another lie to cover for the
reality of what he created; namely claiming that the premium
increases for most people will be covered by increases in
taxpayer subsidies. But what he failed to say was that the
only
people who qualify for those subsidies are people who are
living
at or below one and a half times the poverty rate. So,
anybody
in the middle class, anybody even barely above that 1.5 times
the
poverty rate is out of luck; and they're being confronted with
a
choice – health care vs. housing; health care vs. food; in
many,
many cases health care vs. whether you can get your kids a
college education. So, you've got that phenomenon that's
staring
the American people in the face; it's the collapse and
disintegration of Obamacare, which is what Lyndon LaRouche
warned
about and forecast all the way back in 2009 when this thing
was
first started.

Then you've got the second phenomenon. Remember that
President Obama, during his initial campaign for office back
in
2008, basically distanced himself from the Bush-Cheney Iraq
war,
but took full ownership of the Afghanistan war; which he

called a war of necessity as opposed to a war of choice. Well, we're now eight more years into it, and the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime last week came out with a report that Afghanistan – under US and NATO occupation – has produced a bumper crop of opium; up 43% to 4800 tons of pure opium produced this year. We know the consequences of that; cheap heroin is flooding onto the streets of the United States in every community, not just inner-city ghetto areas, but middle-class suburbs, rural areas. There is not a county in the United States that is not experiencing an opioid epidemic; and that's not our words, those are the words of the Center for Disease Control and Prevention – "epidemic".

You've had a major increase every year under Obama of deaths by opioid overdoses. It goes hand-in-glove with the shutdown of the health system, the flooding of the country with illegal drugs, the refusal of the Obama administration, number one, to crack down on HSBC – the British Opium War bank that was caught by the United States Senate as the number one drug-money launderer for the Latin American drug cartels. Nothing was done; a slap on the wrist. They've even violated the deferred prosecution agreement, but we hear nothing about the consequences. Secondly, the big pharmaceutical companies and the major drug distribution companies are flooding the black market

with oxycontin and other opioids. This is also being done under the watchful eye of the Department of Justice that has refused to prosecute big Pharma and these big drug distribution companies for the same argument that they make why they won't prosecute and criminally jail major bankers; they're too big to jail. The too-big-to-fail banks, the giant pharmaceutical companies that are pumping out these opioids; they are above the law, at least under the policies of the Obama administration.

So, you've got a track record of death, destruction, and despair emanating from the policies of the White House for the past eight years. Now we are at a crisis point, a social and economic crisis, a crisis of the morale of the population; yet there are clear and obvious solutions to all of these problems.

It doesn't take brain surgery to figure out that Glass-Steagall and the other core principles put forward by Mr. LaRouche, which are a revised version of the core ideas on which this economy of this great nation was built in the first place, under the leadership of Alexander Hamilton. So, these things {can} be done. One of the biggest obstacles is the fact that the collapse of the health care system, the mass opioid addiction that's been basically allowed to occur as an Opium War against the American population, has reached the point where it's created a morale crisis. And that's got to be reversed.

Matt just referenced the impact of the concerts commemorating the 15th anniversary of the 9/11 attacks that

took

place in the greater New York City area the weekend of September

11th. Those kinds of things can be replicated everywhere. We can turn the situation around very quickly. We can end the scourge of Wall Street and the City of London; we can end these

wars. You've got with Russia, with China, with India, with the

other countries in Asia – Japan, Southeast Asia; they're all coming together around a new economic paradigm that's built on cooperation among nations for great projects across a vast area.

The United States desperately needs to get in on this; and President Xi Jinping's standing invitation, delivered to President Bush face-to-face, still stands. The United States should join in and become part of this World Land-Bridge process;

and if you do that, then the folly of these continuing wars and

this confrontation with Putin and Russia become very obvious.

It's completely ludicrous. We can move on and participate in this alternative paradigm which is right there; it's not a theoretical, it's not something in abstraction. It's going on every day of the week across all of Eurasia down into Africa.

China is building a trans-continental railroad across South America. The plans for that railroad were in place in the 1870s,

when American rail engineers who worked on the transcontinental

railroad in the United States, went down to Peru, and went down

to Brazil, and were working on those projects. The time is long

overdue for the United States to get on board on something that

we, as a nation, forged as key concepts back during a better

period in our history.

ROGERS: And what you're dealing with is a cultural transformation. I just wanted to add that this is not something that is up to people "Oh, this is a problem I'm having in my family. The drug overdose or something that I have to deal with." You have people who have health care premiums that are going up to \$1500-2000 per month, and then they're spending thousands of dollars to get their kids and loved ones off of these drugs, and you have no help from society because the society is completely degenerating. It's only going to be through a cultural transformation based on the beauty that was exemplified and continues to be exemplified by what we're representing with these {Requiem} concerts in New York; with a commitment towards a revival of truly Classical culture. One person I was talking to, who was going through such a crisis, was saying it would just be so beautiful and so important if you can come into my area to sing; because these people desperately need beauty. It's not going to just take each individual; but as Putin recognized, you have to have a total transformation of the culture. I was just thinking at the very end, that Matt you brought up a few quotes earlier of this speech, and I don't want to read long quotes; but I think this captures what we were just discussing very well. At the very end of Putin's speech at Valdai in Sochi, he said: "In short, we should build the foundation for the future world today by investing in all priority areas of human development. And of course, it is necessary to continue a broad-based discussion of our common future, so that all sensible and promising initiatives are heard."

This is absolutely what has to be the standard of the United States right now; shaping that future that must be brought into existence.

OGDEN: Yeah, I would recommend people read some more extensive excerpts of this speech; it's very all-encompassing. But at the same place where he said what you just cited, he called for a Marshall Plan to rebuild the war-torn areas – especially in the Middle East and North Africa; but a Marshall Plan type of approach. He called for a New International Economic Order, which would make the fruit of economic growth and technological progress accessible to all. He celebrated the joining together of the Eurasian Economic Union with the New Silk Road, the One Belt, One Road policy of China, to create an integrated Eurasian space where these kinds of massive development projects can take place, as Jeff just cited. He said that the major question, the principle, has got to be how do you develop human potential? He said, "An important task of ours is to develop human potential. Only a world with ample opportunities for all, with highly-skilled workers, with access to knowledge, and a great variety of ways to realize their potential, can be considered truly free. Only a world where people from different countries do not struggle to survive, but lead full lives, can be stable."

I would recommend going back and reading some of the excerpts from Alexander Hamilton's "Report on Manufactures", because he makes exactly the same point. He says it's only a world where the diverse talents of the various of your society

can be developed to their fullest potential through the application of technology, and the availability of this on the widest possible scale, that you can create the future potential

for the creative labor, not just the manual labor, but the creative labor of your labor force, of your workforce, of your citizenry, which increases the potential population density of your nation; increases the productive powers of that labor force,

and improves the quality of the lives of all. And only a society

like that can be defined as truly free. In Hamilton's time, it

was the fight against slavery; it was the fight against the manual, bestial labor of the African slaves imported to the southern states of the United States. In our time, it's the fight for a Hamiltonian policy in the present period; and I think

we just keep coming back to the point. This is the Four New Laws

of LaRouche; this is the principle of Alexander Hamilton. It is

happening on the international stage, as Jeff said. The One Belt, One Road policy from China; this new economic paradigm; these are taking place every single day.

The defining question is: Will the United States join that

New Paradigm?

STEINBERG: It's ironic that one of the cornerstones, in

light of what's going on in the real guttural side of this Presidential campaign, one of the cornerstones of Hamilton's concept in the "Report on Manufactures" was immigration; mass immigration. His policy was, bring 'em in; we'll educate them;

we'll make productive American citizens out of them, no matter

where they come from. That idea that there's always a shortage of precious creative labor. I think it's another point very much worth reflecting on; rather than thinking about walls and things like that. He just said, we've got to bring more people in here; because we've got productive work for them to do to build a nation.

OGDEN: Right; apropos. I just want to read the one section from the Putin speech where he says this specifically. He says, "We cannot achieve global stability unless we guarantee global economic progress. It is essential to provide conditions for 'creative labor' and economic growth at a pace that would put an end to the division of the world into permanent winners and permanent losers."

On that note, I want to just announce to people that {Executive Intelligence Review} is putting out a republication of the four economic reports of Hamilton. These will be available in book form, hopefully coming up the beginning next week. It's titled, {Alexander Hamilton's Vision}, and it's a republication of these four central economic reports; the "Report on Public Credit", the "Report on Manufactures", the "Report on National Banking", and Hamilton's argument "On the Constitutionality of the National Bank". As an appendix to that book, we also include the full text of Mr. LaRouche's new economic laws. That is also

the headline of a special double edition of the {Hamiltonian} which came out at the beginning of this week – "The Four New Laws to Save the USA Now!" This is edition 10 of the {Hamiltonian}, and included in this is also an elaboration of some of the principles of the "Report on Manufactures", which I wrote up; "The LaRouche-Hamilton Science of Physical Economy", and there's also an article on the background of Alexander Hamilton's fight against slavery and his establishment of a new political order for the United States through the founding of this science of economics. There's also a very entertaining cartoon which was drawn by a member of the LaRouche PAC Policy Committee, Dave Christie, called "Obamandias" based on "Ozymandias" which was a famous sonnet by Percy Bysshe Shelley.

So that's available on the LaRouche PAC website.

So, I think we have definitely defined the fact that we are at a turning point in the history of this country and the history of the world. This is certainly not business as usual; and the hegemony of the principles that Mr. LaRouche has put on the table as the urgent steps to create an economic recovery for this country now, has certainly been demonstrated very clearly. It's our job to continue to draw people towards the mobilization page on the LaRouche PAC Action Center; this is actioncenter.larouchepac.com/four_laws. You can sign up directly on that website; you will receive an email, you will become part of our national network of activists. You can participate in the

weekly activists calls that we hold every Thursday night – our Fireside Chats. You can submit reports of activities that you've engaged in. You can have all of the background material available there – Hamilton's four economic reports are linked on that page – and you can become part of this movement which is clearly defining world history.

So, thank you very much, and I'd like to thank both Jeff and Kesha for joining us here today. Please stay tuned to larouchepac.com. Thank you and good night.

Putin i Valdai: Ny verdensorden, 'der gør økonomisk og teknologisk fremskridt tilgængeligt for alle'

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 27. oktober, 2016 – Den russiske præsident Vladimir Putin leverede i dag afslutningstalen ved Valdai Internationale Diskussionsklub i Sotji, Rusland, hvor han præsenterede både en indsigtsfuld fordømmelse af den kollapsende, transatlantiske orden, og også en bevægende vision om den fremtid, som det er presserende, at hele menneskeheden opbygger. Hans bemærkninger tog udgangspunkt i – og videreudviklede – de centrale ideer fra topmødet for G20-

nationer den 4. – 5. september, 2016, i Hangzhou, Kina; de gav, sine steder, udtryk for de samme ideer, som den mexicanske præsident José López Portillo præsenterede i sin historiske tale til FN's generalforsamling i 1982 (der var meget stærkt influeret af hans udvekslinger med Lyndon LaRouche); og de gjorde det i øvrigt klart, hvorfor temaet på dette års møde i Valdai-klubben, med rette, lød, »Udviklingen af fremtiden: At udforme morgendagens verden«.

I sin tale forklarede Putin, at den transatlantiske økonomi befinder sig i en »systemisk krises« kvælergreb, der driver verden til krig, og at en totalt ny fremgangsmåde kræves for at redde menneskeheden:

»Ødelæggelserne, i mammut-skala, kræver, at der udarbejdes et langfristet, omfattende program, en slags Marshallplan, for at genoplive det krigs- og konflikthærgede område. Rusland er helt bestemt villig til aktivt at gå med i disse team-indsatser.«

»Vi kan ikke sikre global stabilitet, med mindre vi sikrer globalt, økonomisk fremskridt. Det er af afgørende betydning at skabe betingelser for kreativt arbejde og økonomisk vækst i et tempo, der ville gøre en ende på opsplittningen af verden i permanente vindere og permanente tabere. Spillets regler bør i det mindste give udviklingslandene en chance for at indhente de lande, vi kender som udviklede økonomier (lande) ... [og] gøre frugterne af økonomisk vækst og teknologisk fremskridt tilgængelige for alle. Dette ville især gøre end ende på fattigdom, ét af nutidens værste problemer.«

Putin understregede Ruslands alliance med Kina for at bygge en sådan ny verdensorden: »Det er sådan, vi arrangerer den Eurasiske Økonomiske Unions arbejde og fører forhandlinger med vore partnere, især mht. koordination med projektet for det Nye Økonomiske Silkevejsbælte, som Kina er i færd med at gennemføre. Vi forventer, at det fremmer et vidtrækkende, eurasisk partnerskab, der er løfterigt mht. at udvikle sig til

ét af de formative centre i et udstrakt, eurasisk integrationsområde ... Én af vore vigtige opgaver er at udvikle det menneskelige potentiale. Kun en verden, hvor der er rigelige muligheder for alle, med højtuddannede arbejdere, adgang til viden og en stort udbud af måder til at realisere deres potentiale, kan anses at være virkeligt fri.«

Putin erklærede, at *manglen* på en sådan politik for fremtiden er i færd med at ødelægge selve sjælen i den transatlantiske verden. »Der er en mangel på strategi og ideer for fremtiden ... Fremtiden er ikke fristende for dem [folket], men er skræmmende for dem ... Folk stemmer slet ikke sådan, som de officielle og respektable medier råder dem til, og heller ikke, som mainstream-partierne råder dem til.« Han fordømte en amerikansk præsidentvalgkamp, der »simpelt hen overskrider alle grænser« mht. at diskutere substantielle, politiske spørgsmål.

Et potentielt nyttigt afbræk i denne triste affære kom i går i en tale af den republikanske kandidat Donald Trump i Charlotte, North Carolina, hvor han gav »ophævelsen af Glass-Steagall« skylden for finanskrisen i 2008, og dernæst erklærede, at »tiden er kommet til at indføre en Glass-Steagall for det 21. århundrede«. Lyndon LaRouche kommenterede, at vi på nuværende tidspunkt ikke kender arten af seriøsitet eller hensigt bag Trumps bemærkninger, men de har i det mindste lagt spørgsmålet frem på bordet, så man ikke kan undvige det. Hvorom alting er, så er det centrale, at den eneste, faktiske løsning på den systemiske krise, som Putin identificerede, er *LaRouches Fire Love, inklusive Glass-Steagall*. Og vi ved helt præcist, hvad vore intentioner er, og hvad, der må gøres – inklusive den omgående fjernelse af Obama fra embedet, som den eneste, effektive måde at stoppe Obama-Clinton-fremstødet for atomkrig med Rusland og Kina.

Foto: Vladimir Putin tog del i den afsluttende session på Valdai Internationale Diskussionsklubs 13. årlige møde, som i år valgte temaet 'Udviklingen af fremtiden: At udforme

Amerikanerne må imødegå deres frygt; den uafklarede katastrofe kan overvindes

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 26. oktober, 2016 – Erkendelsen af, at verden er på randen af verdenskrig, er nu ved at komme åbent til udtryk i den amerikanske og europæiske befolkning. Breve til avisredaktører skrider, at Obamas rænker for at optrappe krigen i Syrien vil bringe os i konflikt med Rusland. LaRouchePAC-aktivister på universitetsområder rapporterer, at studenter pludselig rejser børster, med angstelige diskussioner om truslen om krig. Selv Donald Trump, der vil bruge enhver mere eller mindre fornuftig, populistisk idé til at fremme sin kampagne, har nu advaret om, at valget af Hillary Clinton, med hendes trusler om krig mod den syriske regering, vil antænde gnisten til »Tredje Verdenskrig«.

Borgerne er med rette bange. Obama fortsætter i embedet, trods det, at alt, hvad han har rørt ved, er brudt sammen: Obamacare er kollapsede i takt med, at forsikringselskaberne dropper ud og præmierne stiger til tårnhøje niveauer, i nogle stater med næsten 100 %; Deutsche Bank og Italiens Monte dei Paschi er ved at kollapse i Europa, samt med smitten, der er klar til at ramme Wall Street, hvor Obamas obstruktion af Glass-Steagall har skabt en boble, der er langt større end den var ved sammenbruddet i 2008; narkotika truer nu med at ødelægge en generation af unge, alt imens præsidenten prædiker

legalisering og »ingen retsforfølgelse« af banker, der er taget i hvidvaskning af narkopenge; og med Bush' og Obamas »uophørlige krige«, der nu truer med at blive til atomkrige.

Der er grund til at være bange. Begge kandidater har allerede vist sig at være totale fiaskoer, en kendsgerning, som næsten alle amerikanere og det meste af verden erkender. Men, insisterede LaRouche i dag, katastrofen kan afværges ved netop at fjerne Obama, før han kan trykke på knappen; og ved at gennemføre Glass-Steagall og et kreditsystem for udvikling efter Hamiltons principper – nu, før sammenbruddet af finanssystemet fører til kaos. Både det Republikanske og det Demokratiske Parti vedtog Glass-Steagall i deres valgplatform – ikke, fordi kandidaterne støttede det, men for at formilde de enorme understrømme i befolkningen, som er rasende over statens redning af Wall Street (bail-out), og som kræver, at spekulanterne nu afvises. I dag responderede Trump atter til det folkelige raseri og krævede gennemførelsen af en version af Glass-Steagall »for det 21. århundrede«.

For at opnå dette, må vi inspirere folk til at rejse sig og erklære, »Jeg nægter at være bange«. Det skete i september måned, da, på trods af, at Obama, Wall Street og saudierne kørte en frygtkampagne, det amerikanske folk fyrede op under deres repræsentanter i Kongressen med henblik på at tilsidesætte Obamas veto af JASTA-loven, som giver ofre for de saudiskledede terrorangreb på USA den 11. september (2001) mulighed for at lægge sag an imod dem, der var ansvarlige i den saudiske regering og det saudiske kongehus.

I normale tider vil dagene efter et præsidentvalg være kendetegnet ved en forlænget pause, en 'afdragsfri' periode, mens den nye præsident udvælger en administration og formulerer en politik, og mens borgere tager mål af denne politik. Men dette er selvfølgelig ikke normale tider. Begge kandidater er så forhadte af befolkningen – der i de fleste tilfælde hader begge kandidater lige meget – og deres politik er så moralsk depraveret og morderisk, at dagen efter valget

vil blive en dag med raseri, måske endda kaos. I endnu højere grad end før valget, vil der hos de fleste amerikanere findes en forudanelse om den fare, som konfronterer Amerika og verden. Den kendsgerning, at der kun findes én løsning – nemlig, at Obama fjernes, og **LaRouches uopsættelige love** gennemføres – vil ikke ændre sig på grund af valget, men vil være endnu mere indlysende og nødvendig.

I hele den næsten to år lange valgproces har der stort set været nul dækning af det faktum, at verden uden for det transatlantiske område er blevet transformeret, et spørgsmål, der nu aktivt diskuteres på Valdai Internationale Diskussionsklubs 13. årlige konference i Sotji, Rusland. BRIKS, den Nye Silkevejsproces og de nye internationale finansinstitutioner, der er etableret af Kina, Rusland og deres partnere på alle kontinenter, har bragt resten af verden sammen omkring et nyt paradigme for harmoni, udvikling af infrastruktur, samarbejde om rummet og en fælles front mod den terroristsvøbe, som er skabt af de amerikanske og britiske, ulovlige krige i Sydvestasien. Viden om dette nye paradigme, som **EIR-rapporten *Den Nye Silkevej bliver til Verdenslandbroen*** indfanger det, udgør den gnist, der behøves for at vende frygt og raseri til optimisme og kreativ tænkning, med henblik på at bygge en fremtid for menneskeheden.

Vi har intet valg.

Foto: Præsident Franklin D. Roosevelt udsender sin første 'Fireside Chat' om bankkrisen, fra Det hvide Hus, Washington, D.C., 12. marts, 1933.

ATT. Amerikanere: En global omorganisering er i gang. LaRouchePAC Internationale webcast, 21. oktober, 2016. Dansk uddrag.

Uddrag af LaRouchePAC Internationale webcast, 21. oktober, 2016.

Efterfølgende kan udskrift af hele webcastet læses på engelsk.

Matthew Ogden: Vi vil begynde med en kort gennemgang af verdenssituationen; men vi har også et særligt 'trakteмент' til jer i dag, som er at give jer de redskaber, I behøver for at blive de aktivister, som I må være for at gå med i vores presserende mobilisering omkring LaRouches Fire Love.

Men til en start mener jeg, at vi kan bedømme vores situation som følger: Til trods for valggalskaben, som virkelig dominerer den gennemsnitlige amerikaners psyke lige nu, og, tror jeg, som forårsager en hel del psykologisk trauma, så er den virkelige, store historie den, at den globale omorganisering nu skrider frem. Vi ser noget, som er hidtil uset i nyere historisk tid. Denne globale omorganisering af historiske proportioner er åbenlys for alle at se. Den finder virkelig sted langs linjerne af det, som hr. og fr. LaRouche i årtier har ført kampagne for, omkring et nyt, økonomisk og strategisk paradigme for planeten. Dette sås i meget skarpe vendinger, som helt sikkert overraskede mange folk i Obama-administrationen, med den filippinske præsident Dutertes besøg i Kina; hvor han, i meget klare vendinger, meddelte, at han skiftede kurs, og skiftede Filippinernes kurs, hen imod det, han kaldte »Kinas ideologiske strømning«, og ligeledes

Ruslands. Dette er blot det seneste i et mønster af lande, der engang ansås for at være solide og indiskutable, amerikanske allierede, og som skifter kurs og kommer på linje med BRIKS, det Nye Paradigme og perspektivet for den Nye Silkevej. Det skal siges, at dette ikke er et spørgsmål om, hvem, der bliver præsident om tre uger eller tre måneder; men det er i virkeligheden et spørgsmål om, hvem, der har været præsident i de seneste syv et halvt år.

Dette er, på trods af alt det, Obama forsøger at gøre krav på, i form af hans store, økonomiske successer osv., Obamas sande eftermæle på verdensscenen. Pointen er, at disse nationer, der ser den disintegration, som nu finder sted i Europa og i det transatlantiske system, samt spredningen af kaos og evindelige krige og terrorisme osv.; disse nationer har set, at der findes et alternativ derude, som nu er fremme på bordet; og det er et både økonomisk og strategisk levedygtigt alternativ, der er vokset frem. De er ved at beslutte at springe med om bord og opgive dette døde og døende system; og i stedet gå med i et nyt paradigme, som klart viser sig at være fremtidens bølge.

Det bør understreges, at dette på ingen måde er en ekskluderende, geopolitisk blok, og heller ikke er en slags forældet geopolitik i kynisk koldkrigs-stil. Som Xi Jinping gentagne gange har sagt, så er dette et fuldstændigt nyt paradigme for internationale relationer, og det er et »win-win«-paradigme; det er noget, som alle kan tilslutte sig, inklusive USA – som Xi Jinping udtrykkeligt har indbudt, under en fælles pressekonference med Obama for næsten to år siden. Obama afviste det; men det betyder ikke, at USA ikke kan gå med i dette nye paradigme.

Det er vores ansvar, og det er vores job at skabe præcis dette dramatiske skift i amerikansk politik. Det bringer os frem til denne afgørende kampagne omkring den mobilisering, som vi nu er engageret i, for at få LaRouches Fire Økonomiske Love vedtaget og sat i kraft, og for at vende tilbage til Alexander

Hamiltons principper, som det forklares i hans fire, banebrydende økonomiske rapporter til Kongressen. Vi vil foretage en ny gennemgang af substansen i disse rapporter; og vi vil også præsentere jer for en ny kampagneside på vores handlingscenter (på LaRouchePAC's hjemmeside), som vil give jer redskaberne til at gå med i denne mobilisering og til at opnå den form for fast baggrund, som I behøver til at aktivere jer selv omkring.

Men før vi kommer til det, så er der et par afgørende udviklinger, der er sket blot inden for de seneste par timer – 24 timer eller mindre – omkring LaRouche-bevægelsens aktiviteter, både her i USA og internationalt. For det første, så er de første rapporter om en begivenhed i Tyskland, der stadig er i gang, ved at løbe ind; et Schiller Institut-seminar, der finder sted lige nu i Essen. Et seminar med 80 deltagere; med folk, der deltager, og med en hovedtale af Helga Zepp-LaRouche, hvor hun understreger denne dramatiske omorganisering og nødvendigheden af, at Europa går med i den Nye Silkevej. Højtplacerede repræsentanter deltog i begivenheden. De navne, vi foreløbig har fået, er: en embedsperson fra den Kinesiske Ambassade i Berlin, Tyskland; en repræsentant fra en førende, kinesisk tænketank, Kinesisk Institut for Internationale Studier; en repræsentant fra Etiopien; og også nogle eksperter fra den teknologiske sektor – en ekspert i maglev-teknologi, en ekspert i laserfysik og flere andre personer af denne kaliber. Vi vil få flere rapporter om begivenheden, og en audio af begivenheden vil blive tilgængelig, forhåbentlig ved dagens slutning, som I kan lytte til.

Der har også fundet en meget spændende begivenhed sted, som foregik i går aftes i New York City; hvor Jason Ross, medlem af LaRouchePAC Videnskabsteam, var inviteret til at fremlægge en meget detaljeret gennemgang af dette Nye Paradigme, den Nye Silkevej, BRIKS-perspektivet og alle de store infrastrukturprojekter, der er i dette program, ved et møde i

New York-afdelingen af det Amerikanske Civilingeniør-Selskab. Jason Ross var hovedtaler ved denne begivenhed, med en detaljeret præsentation, før middagen, af udviklingen med Suezkanalen i Egypten, og ligeledes en endnu mere detaljeret gennemgang, efter middagen, af hele perspektivet med den Nye Silkevej og dennes implikationer mht. en potentiel fremtid med Verdenslandbroen. Dette var et meget aktivt forum med nogle højt kvalificerede ingeniører, der var engageret i en meget livlig og aktiv dialog. Så videoen af denne begivenhed vil forhåbentlig også blive tilgængelig på et tidspunkt; og vi vil også have en rapport om dette.

Jeg tror, dette giver jer en forsmag på præcis den form for aktiviteter, som vi hele tiden må være engageret i; og hold jer gevinsten for øje, som er det økonomiske program med LaRouches Fire Love efter Hamiltons principper. For, vi befinder os midt i en historisk omorganisering af globale proportioner, og som vil bestemme fremtiden for den kommende menneskehed.

Jeg tror, vi kan gå over til at diskutere disse ting, og så vil vi også, på et tidspunkt i udsendelsens forløb, præsentere denne nye kampagneside.

Engelsk udskrift:

LaRouche PAC Friday Webcast, October 21, 2016

**USE THE ACTION CENTER ON LAROCHEPAC.COM
TO MOBILIZE EVERYONE – EVEN YOURSELF!**

MATTHEW OGDEN: Good evening, it's October 21, 2016.

My

name is Matthew Ogden and you're joining us for our weekly broadcast here on larouchepac.com on Friday evening for our weekly webcast. I'm joined in the studio by Benjamin Deniston

–

my colleague from the LaRouche PAC Science Team; and via video by

two members of the Policy Committee: Bill Roberts from Detroit, Michigan; and Diane Sare from New York City. We're going to begin with a brief overview of the world situation; but we also have a special treat for you tonight, which is to give you the tools to become the activist that you need to be to join us in our urgent mobilization around LaRouche's Four Laws.

But just to begin, I think we can situate ourselves as follows: Despite the election madness which is really dominating the psyche of the average American right now, and I think causing quite a bit of psychological trauma, the real story is the global re-alignment that is now in progress. We are looking at something which is unprecedented in recent historic memory. This global re-alignment of historic proportions is obvious for anyone to see. It really is right along the lines of what Mr. and Mrs. LaRouche have been campaigning for, for decades, around a new economic and strategic paradigm for the planet. This was seen in very stark terms and which certainly caught a lot of people in the Obama administration by surprise, with the recent trip by Philippine President Duterte to China; where he announced in very clear terms that he is re-aligning himself and re-aligning the Philippines with what he called the "ideological flow of China" and of Russia, too. I think this is just the latest in a pattern of countries which were once considered to be solid and unquestioning US allies, re-aligning themselves with the BRICS,

the New Paradigm, the New Silk Road perspective. It has to be said that this is not a question of who is going to be President

in three weeks or three months; but this is really a question of

who has been President for the last seven and a half years.

This, despite everything that Obama is trying to claim in

terms of his great economic successes and so forth, this is really Obama's true legacy on the world stage. The point is that

these nations, seeing the disintegration that's now happening in

Europe and the trans-Atlantic system and the spread of chaos and

perpetual war and terrorism and so forth, have seen that there is

an alternative out there that's now on the table; and it's a viable alternative that's emerged, both economically and strategically. They are deciding to jump on board and abandon this dead and dying system; and rather, join a New Paradigm which

is clearly demonstrating itself to be the wave of the future.

This should be emphasized that this is in no way an exclusive geopolitical block, or some sort of old, cynical Cold War-style

geopolitics. As Xi Jinping has repeatedly said, this is an entirely new paradigm of international relations, and it's a "win-win" paradigm; it's something which everybody can join, including the United States. Which Xi Jinping explicitly extended the invitation for, at a joint press conference with Obama almost two years ago. Obama rejected it; but that does not

mean that the United States cannot join this New Paradigm.

That's our responsibility, and it's our job to generate

precisely that dramatic change in US policy. That brings us

to
this crucial campaign around the mobilization that we are now
engaged in to institute Lyndon LaRouche's Four Economic Laws,
and
to return to the principles of Alexander Hamilton, as was
elaborated in his four watershed economic reports to Congress.
We will be revisiting those in their substance; and we will
also
be presenting you with a new campaign page on our action
center,
which is designed to give you the tools to join that
mobilization
and to obtain the kind of substantive background that you need
to
activate yourself around that.

But before we get to that, there's a couple of very
crucial
developments that have occurred just in the last few hours –
24
hours and less – around the activities of the LaRouche
Movement
both here in the United States and internationally. First of
all, we are just now receiving the first reports of an event
which is still ongoing in Germany; a Schiller Institute
seminar
which is occurring right now in Essen. An eighty-person
seminar;
people who are participating in this with a keynote speech by
Helga Zepp-LaRouche, where she emphasized this dramatic
re-alignment and the necessity of Europe to join the New Silk
Road. There were very high-level representatives
participating
in this event. The names that we have so far, are: an
official
from the Chinese Embassy in Berlin, Germany; an official from
a
leading Chinese think tank, the Chinese Institute on

International Studies; a representative from Ethiopia; also some experts in terms of the technology sector – an expert in maglev technology, an expert in laser physics, and several other people of that caliber. We will be receiving more reports on that, and the audio of that event will be made available, hopefully by the end of the day today, for you to listen to.

Also, we had a very exciting event that happened just last night in New York City; where Jason Ross, a member of the LaRouche PAC Science Team, was invited to present a very in-depth overview of this New Paradigm, the New Silk Road, the BRICS perspective, and all of the great infrastructure projects that are coming out of that program, to a meeting of the New York division of the American Society of Civil Engineers. Jason Ross was the featured speaker at this event, with a pre-dinner presentation in detail on the Suez Canal developments in Egypt, and also an after-dinner more in-depth overview of the entire New Silk Road perspective and what this implies in terms of a potential future with the World Land-Bridge. This was a very active forum, with some very highly qualified engineers engaging in a very excited and active dialogue. So hopefully, the video of that will also be available at a certain point; and we will have a report on that.

But I think that just gives you a flavor of exactly the kind of activities that we need to keep engaged in; and keep our

eyes

on the prize around this Hamiltonian-LaRouche Four Laws economic program. Because we are in the midst of an historic re-alignment of global proportions, which will define the future of humanity to come.

So, I think we can have a little bit of a discussion on that, and then we will also present this new campaign page which I mentioned, at a certain point during this broadcast. We're going to begin with a brief overview of the world situation; but we also have a special treat for you tonight, which is to give you the tools to become the activist that you need to be to join us in our urgent mobilization around LaRouche's Four Laws.

But just to begin, I think we can situate ourselves as follows: Despite the election madness which is really dominating the psyche of the average American right now, and I think causing quite a bit of psychological trauma, the real story is the global re-alignment that is now in progress. We are looking at something which is unprecedented in recent historic memory. This global re-alignment of historic proportions is obvious for anyone to see. It really is right along the lines of what Mr. and Mrs. LaRouche have been campaigning for, for decades, around a new economic and strategic paradigm for the planet. This was seen in very stark terms and which certainly caught a lot of people in

the Obama administration by surprise, with the recent trip by Philippine President Duterte to China; where he announced in very clear terms that he is re-aligning himself and re-aligning the Philippines with what he called the "ideological flow of China" and of Russia, too. I think this is just the latest in a pattern of countries which were once considered to be solid and unquestioning US allies, re-aligning themselves with the BRICS, the New Paradigm, the New Silk Road perspective. It has to be said that this is not a question of who is going to be President in three weeks or three months; but this is really a question of who has been President for the last seven and a half years.

This, despite everything that Obama is trying to claim in terms of his great economic successes and so forth, this is really Obama's true legacy on the world stage. The point is that these nations, seeing the disintegration that's now happening in Europe and the trans-Atlantic system and the spread of chaos and perpetual war and terrorism and so forth, have seen that there is an alternative out there that's now on the table; and it's a viable alternative that's emerged, both economically and strategically. They are deciding to jump on board and abandon this dead and dying system; and rather, join a New Paradigm which is clearly demonstrating itself to be the wave of the future. This should be emphasized that this is in no way an exclusive geopolitical block, or some sort of old, cynical Cold War-style

geopolitics. As Xi Jinping has repeatedly said, this is an entirely new paradigm of international relations, and it's a "win-win" paradigm; it's something which everybody can join, including the United States. Which Xi Jinping explicitly extended the invitation for, at a joint press conference with Obama almost two years ago. Obama rejected it; but that does not

mean that the United States cannot join this New Paradigm.

That's our responsibility, and it's our job to generate

precisely that dramatic change in US policy. That brings us to

this crucial campaign around the mobilization that we are now engaged in to institute Lyndon LaRouche's Four Economic Laws, and

to return to the principles of Alexander Hamilton, as was elaborated in his four watershed economic reports to Congress. We will be revisiting those in their substance; and we will also

be presenting you with a new campaign page on our action center,

which is designed to give you the tools to join that mobilization

and to obtain the kind of substantive background that you need to

activate yourself around that.

But before we get to that, there's a couple of very crucial

developments that have occurred just in the last few hours – 24

hours and less – around the activities of the LaRouche Movement

both here in the United States and internationally. First of all, we are just now receiving the first reports of an event which is still ongoing in Germany; a Schiller Institute seminar

which is occurring right now in Essen. An eighty-person

seminar;

people who are participating in this with a keynote speech by Helga Zepp-LaRouche, where she emphasized this dramatic re-alignment and the necessity of Europe to join the New Silk Road. There were very high-level representatives participating in this event. The names that we have so far, are: an official from the Chinese Embassy in Berlin, Germany; an official from a leading Chinese think tank, the Chinese Institute on International Studies; a representative from Ethiopia; also some experts in terms of the technology sector – an expert in maglev technology, an expert in laser physics, and several other people of that caliber. We will be receiving more reports on that, and the audio of that event will be made available, hopefully by the end of the day today, for you to listen to.

Also, we had a very exciting event that happened just last night in New York City; where Jason Ross, a member of the LaRouche PAC Science Team, was invited to present a very in-depth overview of this New Paradigm, the New Silk Road, the BRICS perspective, and all of the great infrastructure projects that are coming out of that program, to a meeting of the New York division of the American Society of Civil Engineers. Jason Ross was the featured speaker at this event, with a pre-dinner presentation in detail on the Suez Canal developments in Egypt, and also an after-dinner more in-depth overview of the entire New

Silk Road perspective and what this implies in terms of a potential future with the World Land-Bridge. This was a very active forum, with some very highly qualified engineers engaging in a very excited and active dialogue. So hopefully, the video of that will also be available at a certain point; and we will have a report on that.

But I think that just gives you a flavor of exactly the kind of activities that we need to keep engaged in; and keep our eyes on the prize around this Hamiltonian-LaRouche Four Laws economic program. Because we are in the midst of an historic realignment of global proportions, which will define the future of humanity to come.

So, I think we can have a little bit of a discussion on that, and then we will also present this new campaign page which I mentioned, at a certain point during this broadcast.

DIANE SARE: Good. Well, I'll just add that what's come out in the last days is the question of the Obama administration's obsession with Lyndon LaRouche, through various of the Hillary Clinton emails that have recently been released. One, LaRouche is mentioned over 40 times in these emails; in particular around the question of Obamacare, which is just in its complete meltdown phase. I think they're trying to cover over the meltdown by having doctors do 20 times more paperwork than they've already

been saddled with for their Medicare patients. The whole thing is insane; and what LaRouche had identified was that this was a program like Adolf Hitler's T4 program to target these so-called "useless eaters". Ezekiel Emmanuel, Rahm Emmanuel's brother, who was key in drafting the bill, in some of his writings made it very clear that in his mind it was a real question; if a person could not become a fully participating member of society, if they were suffering dementia or other terminal illnesses, whether it really was worth trying to save them at all financially, from a dollar standpoint. What happened – I'll just say to the credit of the American people – is that in 2009, people may remember, everybody came out with their pitchforks to skewer their Congressmen; you had all of these completely raucous town hall meetings in which the Congressmen were calling the police to escort them home, because they were so afraid. You had a quality of fight.

We also saw again a certain quality of fight around the question of the Justice Against Sponsors of Terrorism Act [JASTA], where the American people, led particularly by the LaRouche Movement and the 9/11 Families, made it very clear that there just are certain things that we are not willing to tolerate; namely, the cover-up of who was responsible for murdering Americans on that date, and the death toll in the aftermath. But I bring that up, because as Matt referenced early

on, there is enormous frustration, fear, and anxiety about the fact that we have arguably the two worst candidates that we have ever had in the history of this country; and we've had some pretty bad ones. People are thinking, "Well, how is this going to work? This is a disaster."

I think people should also look at themselves, because: 1)
Had Bush been impeached when that was being discussed and was put on the floor by Kucinich, and Nancy Pelosi took it off the table.
Had Cheney and Bush been impeached for all of their crimes – the illegal wars, torturing people, and so on – you never would have had an Obama administration. The fact that Obama has not yet been thrown out of office, when every single Tuesday he is still holding meetings to decide who he is going to assassinate with drones; and somehow this is not considered grounds to throw him out and frankly put him in prison or put him in the criminal wing of a mental institution or something. In other words, that's the cause of this crisis, not the so-called people who are before us now in the elections.

What we've seen in Manhattan is a shift; I think it's dawning on people that perhaps if they want to change things, they should start by changing themselves and changing the level of their understanding and their thinking about what it means to be a citizen in the United States. We've started reading

Alexander Hamilton's original papers, which are posted now on the website: "Report on Public Credit"; "Report on a National Bank"; "On the Constitutionality of a National Bank"; and "Report on the Subject of Manufactures". [We're doing this] as a way of qualifying ourselves to shape the policy of the nation. This is very important, because the United States in this way is relatively unique; that is, the citizens of the United States do have an enormous amount of power, which is not the same as what you have in parliamentary systems. The citizens are able, if they are informed, to cause policy to be changed.

That's why Obama's and Hillary's advisors were so freaked out about Lyndon LaRouche. Because LaRouche has demonstrated a capacity through what he termed "the power of reason" to move the American people. We saw that with the concerts on September 11th in memory of the 9/11 attacks; and we're seeing that now. So, I think this is a very important factor not to be left out of the equation in terms of the shift which is occurring globally in the direction of the BRICS. Probably Bill or someone will something [to say] about the situation in the Philippines, which I think is remarkable in that regard, but we are absolutely I think in a pre-revolutionary situation in the United States; and it's up to

us to put it in the proper domain.

BEN DENISTON: Because it's coming up now, I think it's really worth just emphasizing for our viewers, for our associates, for our activists that this issue around Mr. LaRouche's role in the Obama campaign is just typical of what makes Mr. LaRouche and our movement so unique. Mr. LaRouche acted in the future; he didn't respond, he didn't wait for the kill lists to come out. He didn't wait for Obamacare to be fully demonstrated as it's being demonstrated now with people's rates going up; the care people are getting is collapsing. Even Bill Clinton, who's been completely shackled under the Hillary/Obama policy, came out saying this thing is insane and then backed down from that when he was asked about it; but it's on video, you can see it. [LaRouche] didn't wait for that; he came out right way and didn't just say it was going to be bad. He said, "This is a Hitler policy." He forced the issue {before} it came up. And the reason why we put the Obama administration on its heels from Day One, is because Mr. LaRouche took the lead; and didn't say, "Oh, well this is the first black President, so we have to be polite. He's a Democrat, so we have to be polite." He said, "No, we can see now, this guy's going to implement a killer policy, so we're going to call him out on the principle, on the hardest level of the reality of what he's doing. This is a Hitler

healthcare policy. We're going to force the issue, and take the fight to him right up front." That's what makes our Movement effective. We act in the future, we don't wait for events to come, and respond to them. We act on what the future is going to be and what it needs to be.

I think that's a really important precedent for what we're facing right now, again, in this insanity around the "election process," this Jerry Springer Show repeat of these "debates." We're not responding to that. We're responding to the future, to reality, what we're talking about with the world {shifting}, completely towards China's leadership on this New Paradigm, on the absolute necessity for LaRouche's Four Laws for this Hamiltonian program to save the United States.

Those are the issues that are going to shape the future, not responding to how ridiculous these debates are, who's saying what. [With] the level of insanity going on in the United States right now, it's worth instilling in people a sense of how you actually fight to change history. It's this kind of process. It's taking the lead, based on where history is moving, where society is moving, what are the actual underlying principles driving the process forward? – and acting on those, acting on the future, not responding.

Mr. LaRouche is mentioned in the Hillary Clinton emails. That's no surprise. He came out, right out front, and forced the issue, and drew the line, and that's been a critical factor in ensuring the Obama administration didn't go full-scale Hitler

policy, even though they've gone that [way] to a very large scale across many domains – healthcare, foreign policy, etc. But that's leadership! That's what we're doing. That's why people need to drop everything and work with our Movement more closely and really get involved in our campaign, especially on this Hamiltonian program. Because that's what's going to matter. Not who has the best commentary on what's happening today, or yesterday, or last week, but who's got an idea for where we need to go and how to make that happen.

OGDEN: The other thing that you can measure, in terms of what the Obama Presidency has been, is how much closer to World War III are we right now, than we were when Obama entered office. If you look at the progression of history since the overthrow and killing of [Libya's Muammar] Qaddafi, and the spread of that policy throughout North Africa and the Middle East, and the attempt to do the same thing in Syria and elsewhere, {how much closer we actually are}, right now, to an eruption of what would become, in a very short period of time, thermonuclear war. And this is being acknowledged by {everybody}, I mean [former President of the Soviet Union Mikhail] Gorbachov, Kissinger, people who you would not necessarily expect. It's a very dangerous prospect.

Americans should {resent} the fact that they're really being {set up} by this election campaign, where there is a new McCarthyism almost which is being instituted against the American

people, where if you question the no-fly zone [proposal for Syria] or this Russia bashing propaganda or any of these policies, then you are automatically classified as "Oh well, you must be a Trump supporter," which is a ridiculous. Americans should {resent} that kind of situation, and should say, "No! Absolutely not. Not in our name. We do not accept being used and manipulated into this kind of new Cold War, but really World War III kind of program." It must be said that over the last 7-1/2 years, we have gotten perilously close to that threat. Again, Mr. LaRouche was quite outspoken and quite explicit on that from the very beginning. As soon as the Libya invasion happened, and as soon as the death of Qaddafi occurred, Mr. LaRouche was on record saying this is taking us down the slippery slope towards World War III.

BILL ROBERTS: I would also emphasize that there have been important Presidential elections. There have been Presidential figures who were effective such as Franklin Roosevelt, largely because their Presidential campaigns were actually used to create a current of real leadership in the population to be ennobled and encouraged to fight. We haven't had an election like that for years. There hasn't been a figure like that running for President. Mr. LaRouche ran, literally, all of his [Presidential] campaigns in this way, to educate and build up a constituency for policies.

Obviously [the current] election process is the

complete

opposite. It's a psychological warfare operation on the population. But we have an opportunity to create and educate the

kind of current of citizenry that can actually win the policy fight, despite how insane this election is. This should be one of

the lessons of what Putin has effectively done, what Xi Jinping

[has done], this entire BRICS process. We have to actually build

up a capacity within the population to respond, now, on the basis

of knowing exactly what to do, to implement an economic solution.

That's the Fourth Branch of government. That is the sense in

which Mr. LaRouche actually has been the Presidential figure during this period. These emails, the process that's been unleashed in the BRICS, has largely come out of Mr. LaRouche's and Helga's life's work to educate the world on the principles of

economics, real economic development. Americans just have to be

encouraged to locate their responsibility and their duty in something which is much, much higher than just voting in an election. That doesn't require any courage at all. The fight for

ideas {does} require a little bit of courage, because we have to

challenge people to face their fears and confront the crisis that

requires specific qualities of ideas.

OGDEN: Along the lines of exactly that "quality of ideas,"

our last two webcasts, here, the previous two Fridays, have

been

focused around Mr. LaRouche's Four Economic Laws, and Alexander

Hamilton's four economic reports. We've really encouraged you, as

Diane said I think very correctly, "to qualify yourself as a leading citizen in this campaign." And the way that you can "qualify yourself" is to use that material to educate yourself and to also engage in a mass-education process of the rest of the

American people.

The average American citizen of that time, who Benjamin

Franklin proudly referred to as the "Latin farmer," was a very literate, classically educated individual, who understood the essential questions of the revolution, and prided themselves in

that. Hamilton educated those Americans about his ideas. He used

{The Federalist} letters. He used the media of his day – the print media and otherwise.

We're using the media of our day to do exactly the same

thing. That's what the LaRouche PAC website has been. It's been a

hub for that kind of self-education, and mass-education. The community coming together around that kind of process, nationally, is carried in the LaRouche PAC Action Center. On the

Action Center, we are proud to announce the launch, today, of a

new tool for you to use in that regard, and we're going to have a

little bit of a guided tour led by Ben Deniston, of this new campaign page on our Action Center. This is on the LaRouche-Hamilton Four Laws. We're going to walk you through that

here, but we also encourage you to immediately, on your own, get onto this website, to sign up, and to be an active participant in this new educational hub.

DENISTON: We should be able to pull up the webpage for people directly, so they can see it. What you'll see, if you're newly visiting to the site, is right at the very top we have a banner, advertising this new campaign page Action Center. This could be, as Matt said, the hub, the mobilization center, to get this policy through. If you don't see this banner, we will have it featured in many other ways, including LaRouche's 4 Laws under Our Policies. You can see this takes you immediately to a new page, which is the landing page for this new campaign. Right away, we're encouraging people – yourself, if you haven't done so already, but if you have, encourage your friends, your colleagues, your neighbors to do so – to sign up, to join the mobilization. If you know LaRouche PAC, we're not just a news service to throw things out to you; we're not just a commentary service; we're a political {action} committee. We're putting the emphasis on {action} in political action committee, and we're asking {you} to make sure you get involved.

We're doing stuff all around the country. Manhattan is a major center of activity. We're leading the fight. But we also have activity from around the nation, getting congressmen, labor unions, state legislators, whatever groups, farm groups, all kinds of organizations, on board in support of LaRouche's economic reform program. We're asking you to get involved in

that

process. Help make it happen, take action yourself. And its starts here – on this page.

If you return to the page, we can see that, right away,

there are three main sub-pages to this main landing page. On the

far left we see What You Can Do. If you simply click on that, again, we're encouraging people to sign up, because the most effective action activity is going to be in coordination with us,

nationally and, really, internationally. Again, we're running a

top-down coordinated campaign on this.

But there's a series of measures that are being taken around

the country, and we're asking you to take also. There's a major

push to get elected officials on board with this, obviously.

There are bills to reinstate Glass-Steagall in both the House and

the Senate. That is a very straight-forward and easy task that you can get your congressman to do – sign on to those bills, and

then push them to go farther, to understand the whole Hamiltonian

campaign. We'll get into that in a second. Intervene into local

meetings. Write a "Letter to the Editor." Under Print and Distribute, we're going to feature, here, some leading material

which you can print out and take to these meetings and organize

with. So, these are some of the many things you can do.

If you return back to the landing page, on the right side,

we're encouraging people to engage in a real social dialogue

around your activities. So, Report Back. We're going to be having some breaking reports coming in, in just the coming days and week. We've got some of the activity that Jason Ross was involved in, which will be featured here, as was reported earlier. There's other activity from Kansas, on major support from the Kansas State Cattlemen's Association, in support of Glass-Steagall, and LaRouche's program. Which is just a good illustration. If you go out and organize these groups, people recognize that we need this kind of reform, and we can bring these kinds of organizations on board in support of this. So here is going to be our location to report back, to comment on reports, to engage in discussion about the campaign and the activity you are doing.

Essential to this whole thing is the relevant background and material you need to understand this campaign and to organize around it. So, as you'll see, linked all over this page and the sub-pages, including right here on the main landing page, the key document is Mr. LaRouche's Four Laws paper. If you haven't read this yet, this is a priority to read. You can access it directly from here. It is a relatively short, very dense, very well-composed presentation of the necessary program, in its entirety. And it's the source of these Four Laws, four components, but as Mr. LaRouche presents it. If you understand

the necessity of this program, it's not four separate elements;

it's one policy, rooted in one scientific understanding of economics. Something rooted in Hamilton's work and developed further by Mr. LaRouche in his work. This is obviously the central document to this entire campaign; and you can find it linked all over the page.

If you want more background, we have plenty of resources on

"Know the Full Story". Some background on the crisis; again, Mr.

LaRouche's Four Laws paper linked multiple times. And then relative background on each of the Four Laws. So, some of the background needed for Glass-Steagall, for example; information about the bills that currently exist in the House and the Senate.

Other resolutions and support for Glass-Steagall from all over the country and internationally; if you haven't seen that, it's

quite impressive – the whole level of support already. And other relevant background, including some of the Obama campaign's

lies about Glass-Steagall; their Wall Street lies that it has no

relevance to the crash. Something you've properly heard spread

throughout the media. We debunk these kinds of things. The fraud of the Dodd-Frank issue, etc. So again, relevant material

for people who are really organizing around this.

Regarding the second policy point of Mr. LaRouche's Four

Laws – a Hamiltonian national banking system, again, we have relevant background information for you here. Mr. LaRouche's own

writings on the subject of national banking and credit, here and

featured in other locations, we have Alexander Hamilton's own four reports to Congress; which as was mentioned by Diane and Matthew, are a major focus of educating the American people on the needed program today. So again, we encourage to go directly

and actually read these reports; study them. If you have questions, or you want to get more information, engage with us.

The point is, we need people to understand the real roots of American economics as Hamilton developed it and presented it; as

it was the founding core of our nation; and as Mr. LaRouche has

developed it to further degrees in the present period. This is

what's needed in its entirety today. Additional background on how this Hamiltonian program has been brought to bear at multiple

points in the history of the United States: under John Quincy Adams; under Abraham Lincoln; in certain degrees, under Franklin

Roosevelt operating under the constraints he could work in at the

time. It's no secret to people who really know American history,

that this policy has returned repeatedly in any period of economic recovery or upsurge. That's what's needed today.

Regarding the role of credit as Mr. LaRouche defined it,

specifically for the increases in the productive powers of labor

and the increases of energy flux density, we have some relevant

background material to understanding Mr. LaRouche's science of physical economics and the role of credit in increasing these critical metrics of real human economic progress. So, understanding Mr. LaRouche's concept of energy flux density,

understanding Mr. LaRouche's concept of the productive powers of labor, this information is all available here for you to study; some in video format, some in written form. I would even highlight Mr. LaRouche's own 1984 economics textbook, {So, You Wish To Learn All About Economics?}; which is an excellent source to understand the real science of economics as it is needed to be understood today.

And the fourth point, Mr. LaRouche highlights a science driver program for fusion power and space exploration. Again, some background work from Mr. LaRouche directly on these subjects; relevant material on fusion power; the role of a fusion driver program for the economy – why this is critical. And similarly with space; why the space program is a critical component to driving the economy forward.

So, I would just restate that while we break down here relevant background material for each of these four laws, these four components, it is one program as Mr. LaRouche presented it.

What we're doing here is presenting you with secondary, tertiary background material to understand all the elements. But the challenge we have before us is this Hamiltonian conception; we have to educate the American people on this Hamiltonian-LaRouche conception of real economics. Most people probably know – especially viewers of our channel, our website – there is major support for Glass-Steagall out there; it's massive. It's moving forward; it's been moving forward, and that's critical. But

that
along is not enough. Just Glass-Steagall alone is an
absolutely
indispensable step, but it's only a step; it's a component of
the
whole program. We need to cut off Wall Street; we need to
separate speculation from the financial system; we need a
stable,
regulated commercial bank system. But, we need to actually
utilize that with a Hamiltonian program, with a National Bank,
with public credit to actually drive increases in the economy.
If we don't do that, we're not going to make it as a nation.
Glass-Steagall alone will not do that; it requires the
understanding that Hamilton understood. We need to increase
the
productivity of the labor force; we need to increase the
energy
flux density of the economy as a whole; and people need to
know
the science underlying these policies.

I think we have a multi-faceted, but unified campaign
here;
leading with Glass-Steagall as the first step, but then
building
support among these people who support these reforms around a
real science of what it's going to require to grow again. We
need real growth; we need real leaps in the productivity, the
value created by the US economy, by the US labor force. We
have
a wide-open opportunity to join with China, Russia, other
nations, in collaborating around those kinds of programs; but
it's going to require that shift in the United States to
recognize that's where we need to go.

So, we have this new campaign page; it's an Action
Center
location, it's a place for you, the activist, the members of
the

LaRouche PAC to get involved in making this full Hamiltonian program known to the American people. Make it so you understand all the elements integrated in their totality yourself, and you can organize other leaders in your community – from regular citizens all the way up to Federal elected officials around this program.

Just to reference back to some of the discussion, we're acting in the future; this is what needs to happen in the future. This is what you need to take action on now to shape where this country goes; not responding to the insanity that's currently called the news cycle, but getting active in shaping the future of the country. That's what makes this movement unique; that's what gives us the chance to save this country at this late hour. We would encourage you to get on these pages, to share these pages; to get intimately connected to them and understand them. And to get more involved in this campaign.

OGDEN: To accomplish this, we need to create the qualified leadership. This is not something where you can depend on somebody else to do this; you can't depend on the "political class" – so-called – to accomplish this. We are going to need to create a citizens' intelligentsia; and there is work that needs to be done. As Ben just went through, all the tools are available to you. You can attend these Congressional town hall meetings; you can set up with your Congressional offices in

the

districts and in Washington. You can write letters to the editor; this is a very important institution in the United States. Share your ideas with a mass-based readership of your local newspaper, or national newspapers; and communicate the substance of these principles – the Four Laws and the Hamiltonian economic reports. You can set up house meetings to

read Hamilton's papers in their entirety. We've already begun that process in Manhattan; there are weekly meetings now, every

Saturday, where some of the leading activists and leading citizens in Manhattan come together and read these Hamilton reports and discuss them, and discuss their implications for today. What's wonderful is that these are largely the same activists who were involved in the presentation of the Mozart {Requiem} on the anniversary of 9/11. We are witnessing the creation of this citizens' intelligentsia – a qualified leadership for this country who are going to take the intellectual responsibility to develop the knowledge they need to

exert the kind of leadership that's necessary.

I might invite Diane to just say a little bit more about

this process of these weekly readings in Manhattan, as a model for what can happen elsewhere in the country.

SARE: I know some people have discussed organizing such

readings; and I think that would be absolutely appropriate, because that is in a sense, how the American Revolution was organized. In small circles of people discussing ideas; and it

is how Alexander Hamilton organized the republic with his {Federalist Papers} with his collaborators John Jay and others.

I also just want to say, because part of the attempt to

intimidate and demoralize the American people is this big promotion of this nonsense about Obama's great popularity and his brilliant legacy of – as he himself puts it – "the greatest economic recovery ever to happen in memorable recorded history of mankind and civilization in general". Which no one is experiencing whatsoever. And as far as his great surge in popularity, the override of his JASTA veto in the Senate was 97 – 1; I would not say that 1 out of 100 constitutes a great spike in popularity.

I do think this case of the Philippines, I want to bring it up because it's significant. The Philippines, as people may know, is not a particularly large or powerful nation. It's had a special relationship with the United States, which had been better when Douglas MacArthur was living. The President has just said to the US, "No, I am shifting our relationship to China. We're not going to have a special relationship with the US anymore; it's going to be with China, and with Russia." What can the United States do about this? Absolutely nothing; we have nothing to offer. All we have is a lunatic who is having his Vice President on his behalf and on behalf of the dying British Empire, make threats about covert cyber-war attacks on Russia. Of course, Ed Snowden asked the relevant question – maybe someone should explain what "covert" means to these idiots. I think Americans should really take heart. There is no reason for you to be suffering, except a decision to go along with this crap. As we inform and educate ourselves as to what the Founding

Fathers actually intended with our republic, which is that knowledge which is the bedrock of Lyndon LaRouche's life's work; which he has taken to even higher levels in the realm of physical science and physical economy. That's our power; that's our strength.

And in these last two weeks before this dreaded election, when it's clear to everybody that we are the best hope of the United States, we should just be organizing. I think that webpage looks fantastic; that is a great resource. So, we should make use of it; everyone watching should forward it to all your friends and neighbors. Take this material and get on the warpath a little bit. There's no need to sit back and take this. And by all means, you certainly don't have to vote for either one of these idiots. So, I think it's a great moment of opportunity; and the shift is being led right now by Putin and Xi Jinping and Lyndon LaRouche. We are the leaders of that in the United States; and that's really your role and our role right now.

OGDEN: Wonderful! So, I think that's sufficient for today. Again, please become an active member of this new community that we've set up with its hub on the LaRouche PAC Action Center. There will be a free flow of ideas; report back, there's a field there where you can type in your reports. We want to share these with as many people as we can to just sort of inspire people as

to what are the kinds of things that can be done. There's probably new ideas out there that haven't even been thought of yet, but there's a lot that can be done right now. All of the material is available; this is something that you can use to pull your friends into this orbit and lift people up out of the swamp of this psychological trauma of this election period. You can inspire people and say, "No. Don't become cynical; don't become demoralized." We have a lot that we can do. This is an historic and potentially wonderful time in human history; it is a pre-revolutionary kind of situation on a world scale. This is your tool in order to become an active part of that.

So again, it's on the LaRouche PAC Action Center, there's a big banner right on the top of the larouchepac.com website right now. You can access it right there. Please, sign up. You will receive updates and become part of the network; you can join the Thursday Fireside Chat activists' calls; share your ideas, ask questions to leading members of the LaRouche Political Action Committee. You can receive daily emails; you can receive the weekly updates from LaRouche PAC in your email inbox. You can subscribe to both the LaRouche PAC Live and the LaRouche PAC videos YouTube channel. Just get everything that you can, and become an active member. You can expect some reports, I think, from this historic seminar that occurred today in Essen, Germany that was sponsored by the Schiller Institute; so please stay tuned to the LaRouche PAC website for that, and for that numerous other developments that we don't even know yet to have

occurred.

But I'm sure that there will be a lot that will change over the coming days and weeks.

Thank you very much for watching today. We look forward to seeing you on the LaRouche PAC Action Center. Stay tuned to larouchepac.com, and good night.

Tyske banker, nu førende i handel med derivater, bør vende tilbage til deres rødder

18. oktober, 2016 – En rapport over den globale handel med derivater, med titlen, »Det er her, de tyske banker er de førende i verden – desværre«, viser, at det er presserende nødvendigt at vende tilbage til Glass-Steagall og LaRouches Fire økonomiske Love.

En grafisk fremstilling af de bankejede derivater, der handles i hele verden, viser de fem topnationer inden for derivathandel:

Tyskland: \$881 mia.

U.K.: \$713 mia.

Schweiz: \$478 mia.

USA: \$380 mia.

Frankrig: \$174 mia.

www.themotleyfool.com

De fleste af de tyske derivater handles i London, ikke i Frankfurt.

De tyske forfattere skrev: »Tyske banker har en lang tradition for at støtte realøkonomien. Mange store industriselskaber har gode relationer med de vigtigste banker og kan håbe på bevillinger af kapital til deres vækstplaner. Dette var længe den tyske finanssektors styrke.

De ønskede imidlertid at efterligne de store investeringsbanker i New York og London, med resultater, som, i den umiddelbart forestående fremtid, atter vil berøre os.«

<http://www.wallstreet-online.de/nachricht/9004332-deutsche-banken-weltmarktfuehrer>

De to paradigmer i stærk kontrast

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 18. oktober 2016 – I denne uge finder der intense møder mellem europæiske og amerikanske, politiske og militære ledere sted i hele Europa, møder, hvor man diskuterer og planlægger krige – krige i Syrien, i Irak, i Yemen og i Ukraine. Det underliggende grundlag for alle disse forhandlinger er Obamas og Londons hektiske bestræbelser på at få støtte til krig mod Rusland og Kina. Europæiske regeringer og/eller ledende institutioner yder i stigende grad modstand mod dette vanvid, men Obama og hans forsvarsminister Ash Carter er i færd med at planlægge provokationer, som kunne

kickstarte en irreversibel proces hen imod krig, og således true selve civilisationen med et atomart holocaust.

Og dog er det således, at det store flertal af verden ser hen til Kina, Rusland og Indien, der **i sidste uge mødtes med deres BRIKS-partnere** Brasilien og Sydafrika i Goa, Indien, hvor de kickstarter, ikke krig, men global udvikling, med højhastigheds-jernbaneprojekter, der forbinder nationer gennem en Verdenslandbro; hvor de underskriver aftaler om opbygning af kernekraft og anden infrastruktur og andet samarbejde omkring rumforskning; og hvor de løfter de mange millioner mennesker i Asien, Afrika og Sydamerika, der er ramt af fattigdom, op til en menneskelig levestandard sådan, som Kina har løftet 700 millioner sjæle op af fattigdom.

Hvilket paradigme vil afgøre menneskehedens fremtid? Den vil, et langt stykke hen ad vejen, blive afgjort af USA. Samtidig med, at krigspartiet mobiliserer sine kræfter, og i takt med, at det uafvendelige kollaps af Deutsche Banks derivatmættede aktiver spreder panik i det vestlige finanssystem, så undertrykkes Obamaadministrationens ødelæggelse og befolkningens had til Obama og hans klon Hillary Clinton kun delvist af det pornografiske klovneshow, som præsidentkandidaterne opfører, eller som de syge medier promoverer.

Valg til regering, som det forudsås af Amerikas grundlæggende fædre, handlede om mere end at vælge politiske repræsentanter – de udgjorde en periode, hvor intelligente mennesker adresserede og opdragede borgersamfundet omkring de fundamentale principper for naturlig lov og den mission, nationen spiller for verdens fremtid. Det er grunden til, at **Lyndon LaRouches præsidentkampagne** hen over tre årtier har haft en dyb og varig indvirkning på nationen, på trods af relativt få stemmer, og på trods af konstante angreb fra regeringens og mediernes side.

Aldrig i denne nations historie er kandidater blevet så

udskældt af befolkningen, som i det aktuelle valg, selv om ingen af kandidaterne i mange tilfælde var kvalificeret til stillingen. Befolkningen har kun ét valg – at stemme for principper, og at mobilisere borgersamfundet til fordel for **LaRouches ideer, hans Fire Love** baseret på Alexander Hamiltons gennemgribende opdagelser, samt en genindførelse af klassisk musik og kultur.

Som Friedrich Schiller sagde, så må vi alle på én og samme gang være patrioter for vore nationer og verdensborgere. På denne måde kan borgere i alle nationer være med i den ærefrygtindgydende opgave, at omstøde Amerikas deroute ned i et britisk imperiehelvede, og bringe denne engang så storslåede nation ind på linje med paradigmet for menneskeligt fremskridt.

Hvad ville Hamilton have gjort? Find ud af det.

Foto: Den russiske præsident og udenrigsminister Lavrov diskuterer med den amerikanske udenrigsminister John Kerry. December 2015. (Foto: kremlin.ru).

**RADIO SCHILLER den 18.
oktober 2016:
LaRouche stiller op som**

“skriv-ind”-kandidat i USA’s præsidentvalg: Vedtag hans Fire Økonomiske Love

Med næstformand Michelle Rasmussen

N.B. den 25. oktober: Det blev meddelt i går, at vi ikke kører en kampagne for at få amerikanerne til at skrive LaRouches navn ind, når de vælger præsident, men at vi vil intensivere vores kampagne for at få LaRouches fire økonomiske lov vedtaget.

Det, vi har brug for i 2016: Alexander Hamiltons principper og LaRouches Fire Økonomiske Love

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 17. oktober, 2016 – Den amerikanske præsidentvalgkampes fornedrede tilstand ligger som en tung sten og tynger brystet af, ikke alene det amerikanske folk, men af verden som helhed. Amerikanere rapporterer, at de har »valgstress« i sådanne ekstreme grader, som ellers kun forårsages af de værste tilfælde af økonomisk kollaps og krig.

Medierne, med al deres »meningsløse støj og voldsomme fagter«, demoraliserer bevidst amerikanere med hensyn til deres lands

fremtid. De driver med fuldt overlæg deres repræsentanter til at skjule sig i dybe, partiske rævegrave – de samme repræsentanter, der, for blot lidt over en måned siden, var i stand til at forenes i en stor sejr over saudisk/britisk støtte til islamisk terrorisme og nedkæmpe præsident Obamas forsøg på at blokere eller nedlægge veto imod Loven om Juridisk Retfærdighed mod Sponsorer af Terrorismen, JASTA.

Det, som nationen og verden har brug for, er at »vælge principper«: **Alexander Hamiltons økonomiske principper**, på hvilke denne nation blev grundlagt.

Hamiltons økonomiske principper er på ny givet udtryk i Lyndon LaRouches, fra 2014 og fremefter udviklede, **»Fire Love til USA's Redning«**. De udtrykker naturlig lov, anvendt på økonomi.

Dette er i realiteten et internationalt spørgsmål; verden må nødvendigvis vedtage Hamiltons arbejder, og LaRouches Fire Love, sådan, som Kina er begyndt at demonstrere. De transatlantiske landes forskellige »Deutsche Banker«, billedligt talt, er klar til at sprænge det Londoncentrerede finanssystem gennem en nedsmeltning, og til at sprede en fattigdom, værre end den, krakket i 2008 var skyld i. Truslen om kollaps fører nu til trusler, der kommer fra Obama og briterne, om krig med Rusland.

Det, som vil være i stand til at forhindre dette, er genoprettelsen af økonomisk fremskridt og produktivitet i hele verden. Det var, hvad Alexander Hamiltons principper – på enestående vis – udrettede lige fra USA's grundlæggelse. Som USA's første præsident George Washington i et brev fra 1793 bemærkede, så syntes virkningen af Hamiltons politik »at være et mirakel«, der løftede de nye Forenede Stater ud af bankerotten og til hastig investering og vækst.

Nu anvender LaRouches Fire Love atter Hamiltons principper.

Amerikanske borgere bør indskrive Lyndon LaRouches navn på stemmesedlen ved præsidentvalget for genvedtagelsen af

Alexander Hamiltons økonomiske principper således, som LaRouche på ny har udlagt dem.

»Jeg indskriver LaRouche og Alexander Hamilton; lad os få nationen til at vælge de rigtige principper« vil skære igennem de af rædsel slåede spørgsmål, amerikanere stiller hinanden med hensyn til den forestående valgdag. Den eneste mulighed, som USA, og verden, har for at overleve, frembydes af Hamiltons principper, som disse udlægges af LaRouches Fire Love. Så træf da beslutning om fremtiden.

**»Det er ikke livets formål at leve,
så længe man kan.**

**Det er livets formål at skabe en
fremtid for menneskeheden.«**

Lyndon LaRouche – maj, 2015.

**Kulturnat:
Schiller Instituttet indtager
Folketinget:
Glass-Steagall, eller kaos!**

En delegation på syv Schiller Institut-aktivister indtog Folketinget i forbindelse med Kulturnat 2016. Vores mission var at kræve, at folketingsmedlemmerne:

1. fremsætter lovforslag om Glass/Steagall-bankopdeling, og Lyndon LaRouches tre andre økonomiske love, og
2. stopper konfrontationspolitikken imod Rusland og Kina, som kan føre til krig.

Vi delte os op i to hold og begyndte at finde folketingsmedlemmer i hver deres partiværelse. Da natten var omme, havde vi talt med ca. 25 medlemmer fra alle partier, inkl. fire partiledere samt et par ministre.

Lad det være sagt med det samme – der var ingen, der lovede at fremsætte et lovforslag om bankopdeling.

Men vi advarede dem om, at de må handle nu. Det transatlantiske finanssystem befinder sig i en kritisk tilstand i forbindelse med Deutsche Bank, de italienske banker, de britiske og amerikanske banker, og selve Danske Bank har lige meddelt, at de afskediger mange tusinde medarbejdere. Det er langt værre end i 2007 – 2008, og vi har løsninger. Lyndon LaRouche og Schiller Instituttet har et 4-punktsprogram for en økonomisk genopbygning, med at:

1. afskrive de nuværende finansbobler gennem en Glass/Steagall-bankopdeling;
2. skifte over til et nyt kreditsystem, som ville kunne finansiere ...
3. infrastruktur- og andre investeringer, der kunne øge hele økonomiens produktivitet (energigennemstrømningstæthed), og
4. satse på videnskabeligt og teknologisk fremskridt

Angående krigsfare, så fortalte vi nogle politikere, at selve den tyske regerings rådgiver for Ruslandsanliggender nu advarer om, at det kan komme til direkte krig mellem USA og Rusland. Og et par gange prøvede vi at give dem et andet syn på konflikten i Syrien.

Der var et par medlemmer, som ikke var interesseret i at tale med os.(fn1) Men der var faktisk medlemmer, som lyttede

intetst, diskuterede med os og lovede at læse vores materiale, nogle, der sagde, at vi burde kontakte deres finansordfører angående Glass/Steagall-bankopdeling, samt et par stykker, som gerne ville mødes med os. Men de har ikke forstået, hvor kritisk situationen er, ellers ville de handle nu.

Vi kan kun håbe, at danske folketingsmedlemmer, gennem at modtage vores publikationer, gennem vores foretræder for Folketinget og gennem personlige samtaler, har fået et kendskab til Schiller Instituttets løsninger, når de, forhåbentlig, beslutter at handle.

(fn1) To af vore folk blev rent faktisk smidt ud af Folketinget, efter, at én af dem et par gange forsøgte at stille et medlem et spørgsmål, som fik ham til at flippe ud, og nogen tilkaldte en sikkerhedsvagt. Et andet delegationsmedlem blev smidt ud ved samme lejlighed, da han kunne identificeres som Schiller Institut-medlem, fordi han havde en Schiller Institut T-shirt på!

Nøglen til sejr er at overvinde jeres frygt

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 17. oktober, 2016 – På netop samme tidspunkt, som BRIKS-landenes statsledere mødtes i Goa, Indien, for at planlægge samarbejdet om et nyt paradigme for statsligt samarbejde om store projekter, udsendte præsident Obama vicepræsident Joe Biden for at levere en direkte trussel mod Rusland. Søndag morgen lovede Biden på NBC TV, at USA ville gennemføre et hemmeligt cyberangreb mod Rusland, hvor Obama valgte tid og sted. Russiske regeringsfolk, herunder

præsidentens talsmand Peskov, har fordømt disse trusler i de skarpeste vendinger og kalder det den mest direkte trussel om krig siden Cubakrisen i 1962.

Disse trusler kommer fra en præsident Obama, der allerede har utallige menneskers blod på hænderne, gennem sine tirsdags- »dræbermøder« og den uophørlige drone-krigsførelse, som udføres på mange kontinenter – alt sammen uden nogen forfatningsmæssigt lovlige godkendelse fra Kongressen. Nu er USA åbenlyst engageret i koalitionen krigsførelse mod Yemen, på vegne af saudierne, hvor de udfører artilleribeskydning imod Yemen fra amerikanske flådeskibe i Golfen, netop, som verden viger tilbage fra de åbenlyse saudiske krigsforbrydelser, hvor de går efter civile i bombe-razziaer i Yemens hovedstad Sanaá.

Og det er denne Obama-administration, der beskylder Rusland for krigsforbrydelser i kampen for at fravriste al-Qaeda dets kontrol over dele af den syriske by Aleppo – og som igen truer Moskva med sanktioner. Udenrigsminister John Kerry var i London i søndags, efter to dages møder i Lausanne, Schweiz, om krigen i Syrien, hvor han mødtes med den russiske udenrigsminister Sergej Lavrov og udenrigsministre fra Saudi-Arabien, Tyrkiet, Qatar, Forenede Arabiske Emirater, Iran, Irak og Jordan. Han og den britiske udenrigsminister Boris Johnson kom ud fra deres møde i London med løfter om at indføre nye sanktioner mod Rusland – medmindre de stopper deres angreb på al-Qaeda.

Obama viderefører den tyranniske arv, der er forbundet med to tidligere Bush-præsidenter, inklusive den George H.W. Bush, som fik Lyndon LaRouches fængsling banket igennem i en hast i det, som den tidligere amerikanske justitsminister (1967-69) Ramsey Clark har kaldt det største tilfælde nogensinde af politisk motiveret anklage på baggrund af falske beviser. Politikkerne under de to Bush-administrationer, og som er blevet endnu værre under Obama, har drevet USA ud i bankerot, udslettet den smule, der var tilbage af det amerikanske

sundhedssystem, og kastet millioner af husstande på fattigdommens og den kroniske arbejdsløsheds skrotplads. 93,5 millioner amerikanere i den arbejdsdygtige alder er ikke engang talt med i arbejdsstyrken! Netop i denne måned har 1,8 millioner amerikanske husstande modtaget standardbreve med posten, der informerede dem om, at deres Obamacare-præmier stiger med 50-70 %, alt imens deres dækning er blevet beskåret. Selv tidligere præsident Bill Clinton var fornuftig nok til offentligt at kalde dette »det mest sindssyge, han nogensinde har set«.

Obama og hans britiske herrer og saudiske partnere er i virkeligheden dem, der er bankerot. Det er *deres* transatlantiske finanssystem, der er færdigt. Som Lyndon LaRouche bemærkede søndag under samtaler med kolleger, så er den britiske økonomi totalt nedbrudt. De er desperate for at lange ud efter og fremsætte trusler imod Rusland og Kina i håb om, at deres løgne vil få fremdrift. De er bankerot, men farlige.

Kendsgerningen er, at der findes klare løsninger, begyndende med afsættelsen af Obama og den omgående genindførelse af Glass-Steagall. Hele den globale derivatboble, der beløber sig til mere end en billion dollars, må annulleres. Når disse indledende skridt er gennemført, kan en økonomisk genrejsning omgående lanceres ved at benytte Hamiltons metoder, som det for nylig er blevet præciseret i Lyndon LaRouches Fire Økonomiske Love.

Det første skridt i alt dette er, at førende borgere opgiver deres frygt og tager lederskabet i at bringe Dræberen Obama til fald og igangsætte den økonomiske genrejsning, begyndende med Glass-Steagall. De seneste sejre, hvor Obama er blevet tvunget til at frigive de 28 sider, der fordømmer det saudiske monarki som ophavsmændene til angrebene d. 11. september, samt Kongressens vedtagelse af JASTA-loven, illustrerer den magt, der kan udløses gennem en koncentration af patriotiske kræfter. Det faktum, at Obamas veto af JASTA blev underkendt med et overvældende, tværpolitisk flertal i begge Kongressen

huse, er en kraftfuld påmindelse om, at det store flertal af amerikanerne hader Obama og alt, hvad han har gjort og står for. Saudierne forsøger at skjule det knusende nederlag, som de og Obama led i kampen om JASTA, ved at spendere \$100 millioner på lobbyvirksomhed, i forsøg på at fjerne den skete skade. De er dømt til at mislykkes.

Det, der nu er afgørende, er, at det samme niveau af mobilisering af førende borgere, der var aktive i JASTA-sejren, opretholdes og rettes mod Obama og gennemførelsen af Glass-Steagall og andre foranstaltninger.

Et afgørende træk for JASTA-sejren var Schiller Instituttets kors fire opførelser af Mozarts *Rekviem* i New York City-området på 15-års dagen for angrebene den 11. september. Det indsprøjtede en vital dimension af kulturel optimisme gennem forestillingernes skønhed – på et tidspunkt, hvor den desperate britiske fjende forsøger at begrave enhver kilde til optimisme gennem det pornografiske show, der kaldes præsidentvalgkampen 2016.

Uanset udfaldet på valgdagen, vil nationen og verden som helhed stadig være konfronteret med disintegrationen af hele det britiskkkørte, transatlantiske finanssystem og truslen om krig og kaos. Løsningerne for at forhindre dette kollaps er forhånden, og det er førsteprioritet på dagsordenen. Det er kilden til styrke for at overvinde vore medborgeres frygt og opnå en hårdt tilkæmpet sejr. Det kan gøres.

Foto: Den indiske premierminister, Shri Narendra Modi (midten) i BRIKS-ledernes familiefotografi ved BRIKS-topmødet i Goa, Indien, 16. oktober, 2016. [brics2016.gov.in]

**»Bankierer, der skulle have været sendt i fængsel, er atter i færd med at ødelægge økonomien«
EIR-interview med den japanske økonom Daisuke Kotegawa.
Dansk udskrift**

Kotegawa var ansvarlig for den gradvise afvikling af mange af de japanske banker under den asiatiske krise i 1997 og diskuterer her forskellen mellem den måde, hvorpå Japan adresserede de bedrageriske bankpraksisser, der førte til bankernes krise, versus, hvordan Vesten har gennemført en bailout (statslig redning) af de kriminelle, der var ansvarlige for krakket i 2007-08.

Download (PDF, Unknown)

Stands krakket gennem LaRouches økonomiske program efter Hamiltons principper. LaRouchePAC Internationale Webcast, 14. oktober, 2016.

*Hr. LaRouche har leveret den klare recept, såvel som løsningen. Vi begyndte at forklare dette sidste fredag med vores særlige webcast med **Paul Gallagher (dansk: Glass-Steagall: Det presserende første skridt)**; men vi er gået videre med at forklare dette spørgsmål. De **Fire Økonomiske Love** efter Hamilton, som Lyndon LaRouche udarbejdede for næsten to år siden, og som begyndte med genindførelsen af Glass-Steagall, men som omfatter en recept, der er en meget præcis og videnskabeligt funderet fremgangsmåde for, hvordan man totalt skal reorganisere og genoplive ikke alene USA's økonomi, men også skabe et helt nyt, økonomisk paradigme for det transatlantiske system, i harmoni med det, der allerede stråler ud fra Eurasien. I sammenhæng hermed har hr. LaRouche prioriteret de fire, økonomiske rapporter, som blev skrevet og forelagt Kongressen af vores første finansminister, Alexander Hamilton, i 1790'erne ved selve den amerikanske republiks fødsel. Disse fire rapporter er: »Rapporten om statslig kredit«; »Rapporten om statslig bankvirksomhed«; »Argumentet for forfatningsgrundlaget for Nationalbanken«; og »Rapporten om varefremstilling«.*
<https://larouchepac.com/20161013/alexander-hamiltons-four-economic-papers>

Engelsk udskrift:

Friday LaRouche PAC Webcast October 14, 2016

HAMILTON'S FOUR REPORTS AND LAROUCHE'S FOUR LAWS – BASIC NECESSITIES FOR MANKIND'S CONTINUED EXISTENCE

MATTHEW OGDEN: Good evening; it is October 14, 2016.

My

name is Matthew Ogden and you're watching our weekly Friday evening webcast here from larouchepac.com. I'm joined in the studio today by Benjamin Deniston from the LaRouche PAC Science

Team; and we're joined via video by Kesha Rogers from Houston, Texas; and Michael Steger from San Francisco, California.

Both

of whom are leading members of the LaRouche PAC Policy Committee.

Now, I just want to begin our broadcast here today by re-emphasizing exactly what Mr. LaRouche has been emphasizing every single time we've spoken to him this week. That it cannot

be said enough that the American people scored a major victory against Obama with the defeat of his treasonous veto of the JASTA

bill and the overwhelming veto override that was delivered as the

final act of the United States Congress before they left for their districts. This only demonstrates what the American people

are capable of when they overcome whatever fear, whatever intimidation has come from this Barack Obama administration; and

we can see that it's been a force for seven and a half years to

try to intimidate the American people out of taking their country

back and acting in their own self-interest. But Obama's decision

to ally with the British-Saudi treason terror faction and to veto

this JASTA bill, demonstrated who he was; it demonstrated his true colors. And the American people drew a line in the sand and said, "Enough is enough! No more of this."

You can look at what has happened in the weeks following that event. We are now directly involved through missiles and bombing in the war in Yemen; this is the decision by Barack Obama to become involved in yet another unnecessary foreign war. We are siding with the genocide and war crimes of the Saudi regime there in Yemen. The lies and the propaganda that are coming out of the Obama White House against Russia, and the actions that Russia is taking in alliance with the Syrian government in attempting to defeat ISIS and the terrorists in Aleppo are unprecedented; along with the completely unfounded propaganda and lies about so-called Russian cyber warfare and hacking and all the rest.

You can see the utter denial of the fact that we are right on the verge of a complete blow-out of the entire trans-Atlantic financial system. All you have to do is read the headlines of the major financial press to see that even {they} are admitting that Deutsche Bank is more leveraged than even Lehman Brothers was at the time of its collapse; and that Deutsche Bank could, in fact, be the next Lehman.

So, all of these three items combined should show you, as we emphasized earlier this week on the Policy Committee show on Monday, that you would have to be completely out of your mind not

to see how close we are to the combined threat of a complete blow-out of the financial system and the very real threat of the eruption of a nuclear war. Even Mikhail Gorbachov is saying we are closer to a Third World War than we have ever been before. This is the remaining months in office that Obama has.

What Mr. LaRouche has delivered as the prescription, as the solution, is very clear. We began to elaborate this last Friday during our special webcast with Paul Gallagher; but we've continued to elaborate this question. The four Hamiltonian economic laws, drafted by Lyndon LaRouche almost two years ago, which begin with the re-institution of Glass-Steagall, but contain a prescription which is a very precise and scientifically grounded approach to exactly how to completely reorganize and revive not only the United States economy, but to create an entirely new economic paradigm for the trans-Atlantic system in accord with what's already emerging out of Eurasia. In conjunction with this, Mr. LaRouche has put a premium on the four economic reports that were written and submitted to Congress by our first Treasury Secretary, Alexander Hamilton, in the 1790s at the very birth of the United States republic. These are: the "Report on Public Credit"; the "Report on National Banking"; the "Argument for the Constitutionality of the National Bank"; and the "Report on Manufactures".

So, as a key component of our show today, Ben and I in conjunction with Kesha and Michael are going to elaborate a little more on what is the contents, what is the substance of

those reports from Alexander Hamilton; and then, how do they translate today in the four economic laws of Lyndon LaRouche, with a major emphasis on how a breakthrough in terms of man's exploration of space and everything that that entails in terms of the great economic leap and scientific revolution for mankind, is the application of the Hamiltonian principle for today.

But before we get to some of that more detailed discussion, I think we should just revisit a couple of the urgent points in terms of the current mobilization. The channeling of the spirit of the JASTA victory into the mobilization for the re-institution of Glass-Steagall and the proceeding toward the entirety of the four LaRouche economic laws.

BEN DENISTON: Plenty can be said, but I would just emphasize – you said it already, but I think given the state of our nation today; and I hate to mention the elections, but this is really a form of psychological warfare. This is not an election; this is a Jerry Springer episode, this is insane. But, as Matthew cited, look at what we did with JASTA. That did not require either of these candidates to do anything on that; that was an action demonstrating the institutions of the United States, the republican system of the United States. The integration between the work that we've been leading and the work the 9/11 victims' families have been leading on the ground,

working with various institutions, various regions of the country

as a totality came together and slammed Obama, slammed the British, slammed these degenerate Saudis on this issue; in spite

of the insanity leading the Presidential election process.

So,

that's the spirit we need to take right now to the current Glass-Steagall fight. This financial system is collapsing; as was said, you can see that in any major press at this point. There is no solution left in the monetarist framework the way these guys are playing it. Bail in; bail out; QE; they've been

playing these games for years now, and they're reaching the end.

This can't keep going; we need a reorganization of the system. If we're not going to have a Presidential candidate who's going

to take the lead on that, that doesn't matter; we need to make it

happen. We're not going to wait 'til after the election; we're

not going to wait for one of these ridiculous fools to take the

lead on this. We're going to make it happen. That's what we did

with JASTA; that happened.

So, people who are cynical out there – we did it!

That

happened. It can happen again, and it needs to happen again. Glass-Steagall is going to completely cut off Wall Street; this

is going to be a massive revolution in the United States, a massive shift of power in the United States away from the interests of Wall Street and international finance back to the sovereignty of the United States. It is the necessary indispensable first step for opening up this full recovery

program. But I think people need to have the urgency of getting this through now. Again, don't let your friends, your associates, the people you're talking to, fall into this cynical pessimism; which is really being pushed at this point, with the Jerry Springer show – aka these debates. These things can change; we can get these laws through. There's already huge momentum around the country on Glass-Steagall; there's growing recognition of LaRouche's Four Laws as the necessary next steps. So, I think the message to take away at this critical time is go out and move! This is the time to make this happen.

MICHAEL STEGER: Yeah, I think that's right. I think it's important to take a look at a couple of things in the context of this Hamilton question. Because it was about two years ago that Mr. LaRouche launched the Manhattan Project with the key focus of Alexander Hamilton at the foundation of that, as well as a commitment towards a Classical renaissance. And what we saw in the process of these last two years, was the mobilization of a key part of the American population – the New York City area; because of the questions of Glass-Steagall and of Wall Street implicitly, and the question of 9/11. There was a mobilization of that population around an optimistic vision of the country, both through Hamilton's policies, really the foundation of Hamilton setting forth the most advanced conception of human economy as a scientific practice that has been conceived yet. Mr. LaRouche said this himself, that what he took as the Four

Laws was essentially a patenting of what Hamilton had set forth in these documents. Both the power of the Federal government, and the means and mechanisms by which you can develop and foster a perpetual growth of the human species. But I think it's also important – because I think this is something that too many Americans overlook, either voluntarily, but more so involuntarily, because of the black-out in the media; that in June of 2014, we saw consolidated what Xi Jinping had put out as an international policy at the end of 2013, which was the New Silk Road perspective. In June 2014, that was consolidated by the BRICS; and largely what we've seen, given the attempts to undercut Brazil and South Africa, but we've seen an increasing level of coordination and collaboration between Russia, China, and India, that has fundamentally shifted world history. We are talking about a fundamentally new economic system; one that looks at the very policy Mr. LaRouche laid out beginning in the 1970s. At the core of that, is the question of an International Development Bank; or what the BRICS have entitled the New Development Bank. Or as a LaRouche-Hamiltonian conception of a new international credit system; that is there.

Now, not only is that economic perspective there; it is recruiting nations like Japan, the Philippines, Australia, Canada. Many nations joined the Asia Infrastructure Investment Bank; nations like Egypt, and Iran. But there is also a very clear strategic component; we see this specifically in Syria. We see what Russia has done to confront Obama's war agenda. Then

the coordination between Russia and China, India, and increasing numbers of other nations throughout Eurasia. This is a unique opportunity for the American people to create a new Presidency that looks to realign with Russia, China, and these major nations. All of the propaganda against Putin, all the attacks, the lies, the mass of lies against Putin coming out of the Obama operation right now in the Presidential election is a mass cover-up of what really exists for the American people; which is a chance to go back to a LaRouche-Hamilton perspective in economic policy in the United States with very key collaborators internationally. That really is shaping the intervention we made around JASTA, both the Manhattan Project and this Russia-China intervention. The BRICS is larger, but those nations most specifically. We really have a unique opportunity to shut down this London-Wall Street financial system, which for 50-60 years and longer, essentially, but since the end of World War II has been a mass genocide program in Africa, in South America. Forced sterilizations; imposed famines; scientific frauds like global warming, the ozone layer, or human overpopulation; all of these things have been concocted as ways of undermining and destroying the human economic growth potential.

And we now see a potential today to change that. An intervention by the American people like we saw with JASTA, around this LaRouche-Hamilton perspective is absolutely key. But I think this global perspective is essential to that, to

understanding why we can be so optimistic today.

OGDEN: Yeah, I think that if you go and look at what was presented last week, Paul Gallagher presented a clear picture in terms of the proximity of the complete breakdown of this financial system; and the causes for that, the reason for that. The insanity of 0% interest rate QE bail-in, bail-out regime that has reigned since 2008; but really since the repeal of Glass-Steagall in 1999. The fact that what would be a productive economy has been completely drowned and suffocated by a shark tank – as he characterized it – of this just robbery, looting, criminal practices and complete insanity as it reigns in terms of economics. The fact that Mr. LaRouche is on the scene, and has for 50 years what has now been adopted in part by several major nations on this planet – I think most clearly evidenced by the policies of the Asia Infrastructure Investment Bank and the New Silk Road projects coming out of China. We have the ability to bring Alexander Hamilton's principles to bear on this current situation. The vacuum of leadership in the face of this total meltdown of the financial system gives us a great opportunity for optimism. In fact, through reasoned leadership of the type that was exerted in the midst of this fight for JASTA, but which was really a fight against the entire imperial apparatus that has dominated this country since 9/11; you can in fact create a

policy revolution of a type which has not been seen for a long time, especially since John F Kennedy with his commitment to the space program. But really not since Franklin Roosevelt in the full extent of that kind of economic approach.

I think we should revisit these four economic principles of Mr. LaRouche before getting a little bit more deeply into the content of the Hamilton economic reports. We begin with [No.1] the principle of Glass-Steagall, re-instituted exactly as Franklin Roosevelt did it. It proceeds directly from there, that through a restored actual commercial banking system in which you have the Treasury of the United States restored to its original intended role, as Alexander Hamilton created it; the power of the Presidency, as Abraham Lincoln demonstrated very clearly through his use of the Greenbacks and also his national banking bills of 1863 and 1864, can reorganize this banking system, from the top down, to restore it to its original intent; that it should be used for the productive investments of productive enterprise in the United States and the improvement of productive enterprise.

But that's not enough! What you have to have from that standpoint, is [No. 2] a scientifically-grounded and principled understanding of how credit, through the mechanisms that were provided by Alexander Hamilton, must be directed to {increase} the productive powers of your labor force. [No. 3] What are the specific projects? What are the specific investments? What are

the specific cutting-edge discoveries that must be pursued that in a scientifically provable and knowable way that will increase the productive powers of your labor force, both individually and as a whole? And that has to be defined from an understanding, as Mr. LaRouche has uniquely developed it, of the principle of energy-flux density, not a one-to-one labor power, as manual labor per individual member of your society, but the application of technology and ever-higher forms of technology, to create the increases of productive powers of labor, upon which progress in your society depends.

And then, No. 4, what are the specific future-oriented drivers that express the unique character of man? What makes man different from a beast? How is mankind, as Vernadsky would define it, a unique and distinct species, distinct from all other forms of animal and other kinds of life? And, what is our imperative, as that sort of species? I think it is no better expressed than in the space program, as it was conceived and elaborated, as Kesha has emphasized, by Krafft Ehrlicke, who Mr. LaRouche directly mentions in that "Four Economic Laws" paper of two years ago.

So, that was elaborated on the webcast last week. We've got, I think, a little bit more specificity for especially that third

economic law, but I think between what Ben and I have, and then the discussion with Kesha and Michael, you can see the resonance between what Mr. LaRouche is addressing in these four economic laws, and what Alexander Hamilton originally laid out in the content of those four economic reports that he drafted to Congress in the 1790s.

BEN DENISTON: You had some quotes from those reports that you want to read?

OGDEN: Sure, we can start with that.

DENISTON: Okay.

OGDEN: Let me bring up on the screen the first slide from these Hamilton reports. [Slide 1] I'm going to focus mainly on the "Report on Manufactures." This was written in December 1791, but, as I mentioned earlier, this is merely one out of four, and in the "Report on Manufactures," actually, Hamilton refers repeatedly to his other three reports, "On the National Bank," "The Defense of the Constitutionality of the National Bank," and "On the Public Debt," or, "On the Public Credit."

I think the "Report on Manufactures" is a very important and useful place to start, because it really is nothing less than the study of the science of how the human mind, through its application by means of technology, can in fact increase the potential population density of any given economy or any given nation. This is the way that Mr. LaRouche came at this, but in fact it's very much demonstrated and laid out, explored, in an

exploratory way, in this "Report on Manufactures."

Quickly, the context of the "Report on Manufactures" – you could really call it Hamilton's "Defense of Manufactures," in the context of what was becoming a prevailing but fraudulent argument, coming from circles such as Thomas Jefferson circles and others. That the United States, as a new nation, should merely be an agrarian economy, an agrarian economy in one form or another – landlords and peasants – or just an infinite extension of agricultural lands westward, and just depend on the product of the soil as the driver of the economy. Hamilton said, this is false, this is a fraud, this must be addressed, and he wrote the "Report on Manufactures" to address this.

What Hamilton elaborates is that in fact an economy which is dependent merely on agriculture will be able to support far less people at a far lower standard of living and a far lower density of population, than an economy which also includes manufacturers, science, technology, and the application of that, through technology. A kind of argument generally used, said that anybody who was not farming and was doing something else, like manufacturing, would be producing less food, and so we would have fewer people; we would be able to support fewer people. Hamilton destroys this argument, saying in fact that it's the other way around: the more division of labor that you have, if two people are just doing agriculture, they can only support themselves.

If

instead one of them is engaged in agriculture and one in manufacturing, not only can they support the two of them, but they can support themselves and others.

Let me go back to that first slide, with that quote. Hamilton says, the purpose of this report is "to evince that the establishment and diffusion of manufacturers have the effect of rendering the total mass of useful and productive labor in a community greater than it would otherwise be." So, you can see, he's very clear in what the purpose of this study is.

Next slide. [Slide 2] He says "It may be inferred that manufacturing establishments not only occasion a positive augmentation of the produce and revenue of the society, but that they may contribute essentially to rendering them greater than they could possibly be without such establishments." So, without the use of manufacturing, the ability of the economy would be lesser than it would be with manufacturing establishments.

He says there are seven reasons for this. I'm not going to elaborate all seven, but you can see on the screen on the next slide [Slide 3] the seven reasons he has listed: "(1) The division of labor." I touched on that briefly. "(2) An extension of the use of machinery." We'll elaborate on that a little bit more. "(3) Additional employment to classes of the community not ordinarily engaged in the business." "(4) The promoting of emigration from foreign countries." That's an apropos point. "(5) The furnishing greater scope for the diversity of talents and dispositions which discriminate men from each other." We'll touch

on that a little bit more. That's an important one. "(6) The affording a more ample and various field for enterprise." And "(7) The creating in some instances a new, and securing in all, a more certain and steady demand for the surplus produce of the soil." This one is actually often overlooked, but Hamilton says this is the most important one, and I think it will be appropriate for what Ben's going to get into.

Let me elaborate just a couple of these ones. We're going to take a look at No. 2: "An extension of the use of machinery." Here's what Hamilton says about that. This is the next slide. [Slide 4] Alexander Hamilton says, "The employment of machinery forms an item of great importance in the general mass of national industry. 'Tis an artificial force brought in aid of the natural force of man; and, to all the purposes of labor, is an increase of hands; an accession of strength, {unencumbered, too, by the expense of maintaining the laborer}. He's saying you have an increase of hands, almost artificial labor, and you don't need to feed that labor.

Next slide. [Slide 5] [Hamilton continues,] "May it not therefore be fairly inferred, that those occupations, which give greatest scope to the use of this auxiliary, contribute most to the general stock of industrious effort, and, in consequence, to the general produce of industry?" So, that's the use of machinery in manufacturing.

Let's take a look at the next slide. [Slide 6] This is where he elaborates the point [No. 5] "As to the furnishing greater scope for the diversity of talents and dispositions, which discriminate men from each other." He says, "It is a just observation, that minds of the strongest and most active powers for their proper objects fall below mediocrity and labor without effect, if confined to uncongenial pursuits. And it is thence to be inferred, that the results of human exertion may be immensely increased by diversifying its objects. When all the different kinds of industry obtain in a community, each individual can find his proper element, and can call into activity the whole vigor of his nature. And the community is benefitted by the services of its respective members, in the manner, in which each can serve it with most effect."

Next slide please. [Slide 7] He continues, "If there be anything in a remark often to be met with – namely that there is, in the genius of the people of this country, a peculiar aptitude for mechanic improvements, it would operate as a forcible reason for giving opportunities to the exercise of that species of talent, by the propagation of manufactures."

OK; next slide. [Slide 8] In this one, he's elaborating his point [No. 6] about "affording a more ample and various field for enterprise." This is quoted, but I think it's very important. He says, "To cherish and stimulate the activity of the human

mind,
by multiplying the objects of enterprise, is not among the
least
considerable of the expedients, by which the wealth of a
nation
may be promoted."

Next slide. [Slide 9] He continues, "Even things in
themselves not positively advantageous, sometimes become so,
by
their tendency to provoke exertion. Every new scene, which is
opened to the busy nature of man to rouse and exert itself, is
the addition of a new energy to the general stock of the
effort."

Next slide. [Slide 10] He continues, "The spirit of
enterprise, useful and prolific as it is, must necessarily be
contracted or expanded in proportion to the simplicity or
variety
of the occupations and productions, which are to be found in a
society. It must be less in a nation of mere cultivators, than
in
a nation of cultivators and merchants, less in a nation of
cultivators and merchants, than in a nation of cultivators,
artificers and merchants.

Next slide. [Slide 11] I want to put special emphasis
on
this one, because I think it opens up the point that Mr.
LaRouche
was exploring in his Four Laws paper about physical chemistry.
Alexander Hamilton says under this one [Point No. 7], the
heading
of "As to the creating, in some instances, a new, and securing
in
all a more certain and steady demand for the surplus produce
of
the soil." Hamilton says, "This is among the most important of
the circumstances which have been indicated. It is a principal
mean, by which the establishment of manufacturers contributes

to
an augmentation of the produce or revenue of a country, and
has
an immediate and direct relation to the prosperity of
agriculture."

Next slide. [Slide 12] "It is a principal mean by
which the
establishment of manufactures contributes to an augmentation
of
the produce or revenue of a country."

Next slide [Slide 13] After elaborating a little bit
why
it's advantageous to have a domestic market rather than just
depending on foreign markets for your produce and products, he
says:

"It merits particularly observation that the
multiplication
of manufactories not only furnishes a domestic market for
these
articles which have been accustomed to be produced in
abundance
in a country; but it likewise creates a demand for such as
were
either unknown or produced in considerable quantities. The
bowels as well as the surface of the Earth are ransacked for
articles which were before neglected. Animals, plants, and
minerals acquire a utility and value which were before
unexplored."

Then, jumping forward quite a bit, I just wanted to go
to
Hamilton's conclusion of the entire paper, after discussing
public credit and national banking. [Slide 14] He says:

"In countries where there is a great private wealth,
much
may be affected by the voluntary contributions of patriotic
individuals. But in a community situated like that of the
United

States, the public purse must supply the deficiency of private resource. In what can it be so useful as in promoting, prompting, and improving the efforts of industry?"

So, just before Ben picks it up, I just want to emphasize that what Alexander Hamilton is exploring, is the science of how the human mind can increase the productive powers of labor and through that, by means of the application of technology and principles that were hitherto unexplored or undiscovered, can increase the potential population density of a nation or an economy. I think this seventh point, which he puts the most premium on, is the role that manufactures can play in spurring the discovery of resources that we didn't even know were resources before. What had been previously considered just rocks or otherwise, become the most valuable resources – minerals, fuels, coal, oil, uranium; the most valuable resources for your economy. I think Alexander Hamilton would be particularly excited if he knew about the potential of the Moon to be mined for a resource that I'm sure they did not have any conception of in 1791 – helium-3 – as a source of fuel for nuclear fusion, for example. So, I just wanted to give a little bit of actual content of Alexander Hamilton's Report on Manufactures; and maybe we can use that to contextualize a little bit of what Ben's going to present here.

DENISTON: People should know, we are making these – in their totality – available on the LaRouche PAC website. This is admittedly some pretty heavy material for some of our viewers, but this is really what's needed right now. I would just

emphasize looking where we are in the United States right now, and again, a lot of people know Glass-Steagall needs to happen; a number of people have a sense of having some sovereign control over our money supply. But what Hamilton understood and what LaRouche understands, is what is the science of growth. You can have sovereign control of your money, you can cut off destructive speculation like Wall Street; you can throw that in the trash. But how do you create growth? How do you actually create a more productive economy in totality? That is what Hamilton understood; that a true credit system can facilitate these increases in the productive power of labor. That's what the American people need to understand right now; that's what we have a chance of joining internationally with what's going on around the world. But it's going to require that the United States return to our understanding of these core principles. I wanted to just take a second and pull a little bit out of what Mr. LaRouche defined as his Third Law in his policy document; and just go through a couple of historical examples to put a little bit more of a picture on this relation of the actual understanding of the productive powers of labor and the critical role that Mr. LaRouche has defined in his work furthering Hamilton's own understanding to a new degree. Mr. LaRouche's work on what he defines as "energy flux density".

But if we can go back to the slides, I have the full quote of Mr. LaRouche's Third Law up there. [Slide 15] Again, the policy document as a whole is available on our website. I just

wanted to read this and then go through a couple examples. Again, the First Law being Glass-Steagall; the Second Law being a national banking system, as Hamilton had defined. And then he presents a Third Law with this national banking system:

"The purpose of the use of a Federal credit system, is to generate high-productivity trends in improvement of employment with the accompanying intention to increase the physical economic productivity and the standard of living of the persons and the households of the United States. The creation of credit for the now urgently needed increase of the relative quality and quantity of productive employment must be ensured this time once more, as was done successfully under President Franklin Roosevelt or by like standards of Federal practice used to create a general economic recovery of the nation, per capita. And for rates of net increases in productivity and by reliance on the essential human principle which distinguishes the human personality from the systemic characteristics of lower forms of life; the net rate of energy flux density of effective practice. This means intrinsically a thoroughly scientific, rather than a merely mathematical one; and by the related increase of energy flux density per capita and for the human population when considered as each and all as a whole. The ceaseless increase of the physical productivity of employment, accompanied by its benefits for the general welfare, are a principle of Federal law which must be a paramount standard of achievement of the nation and the individual."

I think really, again, illustrates Mr. LaRouche's work

furthering this scientific understanding of economy really rooted in the work of Hamilton and those who continued this American System tradition; but applying a new scientific understanding to it. If we go to the next slide [Slide 16], I wanted to highlight a study that was done under Mr. LaRouche's direction back in the '80s. Mr. LaRouche has a long history of trying to educate the American people and institutions about real economics. I thought this was just one example, but I think it may be a helpful, specific case study to try and put some depth to the idea of the productive powers of labor and the relation of energy flux density to the productive powers of labor.

So, what do we mean by that? This is one expression of that; this is a measurement of the productivity of iron throughout the history of the United States up to 1975. Iron being by weight the most-used element by mankind as a whole. Obviously, it's the main component of steel, so this is a major part of any modern economy, is iron production. This is a rather fascinating study, where Mr. LaRouche said, don't just look at tons produced; don't just look at people employed. Look at the relation between productivity – how productive is your average laborer producing iron – and energy flux density; what's the actual energy density per time used in the actual manufacturing process of blast furnaces? If you examine this historically, you get this very fascinating and clear demonstration of what Mr.

LaRouche is talking about in terms of energy flux density and productivity. You see a consistent increase in the tons produced

per average iron worker per year in this case is the actual number being used; measured against the energy flux density of the production process. The energy per area, per time; so the concentration and density of energy used in the blast furnaces to

produce this iron. And you see a dramatic, many-fold increase in

how productive each individual worker is as a direct function and

relation of the increasing energy flux density of the productive process.

More interesting, you see this kind of comes in successive

waves; and each of these waves is associated with – you'll get a

rise for a certain period, and then the productivity increase will tend to level off. Then, you'll get a new technological revolution; you'll move to a higher energy density fuel, for example. Moving into better forms of coal was one example of this; types of coal that have more energy per mass, per weight.

Or moving to coke – a derivative of coal that can operate at higher temperatures and enable higher production rates. Or moving to higher technologies in the more recent period of injection of pure oxygen into the process to create even more heat and a more intense productive process. There are various technologies associated with each of those steps; you have increases in technology, increases in the energy density of the

fuel producing the process. You can kind of measure that together as expressed in energy flux density; and you can see that to really understand progress – but also these qualitative

shifts in progress; these leaps that occur, these are the kind of metrics we want to look at.

When you talk about this idea of – it's not a question of the number of people you have employed; it's a question of what's the capability of your labor force to produce the goods needed at higher rates or efficiency, etc. So, I think it's just one useful case study to give some concept of the relationship between the productive powers of labor and energy flux density.

It doesn't show it in this graphic, but as I think many of our viewers wouldn't be surprised, these metrics have gone down significantly since 1975; since we really settled into a post-industrial economy which has led us to this collapse process – the abandonment of this real industrial, forward-oriented economic policy.

If we go to the next graphic [Slide 17], it's just another illustration of the same thing from the same study; but it's also just interesting to note that with each of these successive leaps, you also get higher rates of productivity per amount of energy. So, this is literally the productive output of iron per amount of energy put in. This idea that energy as a scalar value in and of itself means something is not true. The amount of energy you're using does not necessarily tell you what your economy can do, how productive you can be; but it's an issue of energy flux density. Higher energy flux densities, the same amount of energy measured in just scalar, quantitative terms becomes much more productive; because you're employing it with

higher technologies and at higher energy flux densities.

This is just one example. Similar studies can be done in various sectors of the economy; but this is the type of process that enables the productive section of your economy to continue to – as Mr. LaRouche said in the concluding section of this Third Law: "[T]o continue this process of ever increasing the productivity and ability of your labor force to produce more goods, higher quality goods, that are needed to support society." Those are the metrics that we need to understand that the credit must facilitate and go to.

I just wanted to highlight one other illustration of this energy flux density issue, but on a national scale. If we return to the slide [Slide 18], you can also see this in terms of the economy as a whole. This is a study that we developed in the Basement Team looking at the history of the United States; looking at what you could consider one metric for the energy flux density of the nation as a whole. Now, we're looking at the use of power per capita; not just what any one individual uses, but everything that goes into all forms of transportation, manufacturing, agriculture. You take the net energy investment in totality across the entire nation, average it per capita. Then here we have it divided by power sources. You can clearly see the history of the growth of the United States very clearly expressed in the increasing energy flux density of the nation.

You clearly see the Great Depression illustrated by a significant drop in the energy flux density – measured in per capita terms – of the nation. You see a dramatic rise in Franklin Roosevelt's mobilization coming out of the New Deal programs into the World War II mobilization; you clearly see that reflected in this graphic. What's the next dramatic rate of increase? Well, it's certainly associated with Kennedy's space program, starting there in the early '60s you see a dramatic leap in rate of increase of energy flux density of the nation as a whole.

Then what do you see since then? This leveling off and collapse, which is directly associated with the collapse we're seeing now today in the United States; expressed in these physical metrics. You see that what should have been an explosion of nuclear fission power was suppressed to just that tiny, red segment there. If you could see it – you might not be able to at all – there's a little green tiny layer on the very top there which is wind, solar, geothermal all combined. So, if you think you're going to support the US economy on Green technologies, you're living in a fantasy. All of the massive subsidies and investment and propping up these things has barely done anything to contribute to our actual net energy flux density for our country as a whole.

This is where we are today; this is one expression of the collapse. This is the process we have to reverse. Maybe just to illustrate one last example, I think it's really worth

comparing
this with the next graphic [Slide 19]; which was the forecast
by
the Kennedy administration in the '60s. It was forecast that
this process would increase; and the next major component
would
be the rapid expansion of nuclear fission power. You'd get
this
interesting process of these waves of fuel sources being used
and
then surpassed as society moves to the next level. The gray
on
the far right, if you haven't read it yet, that's mostly
wood-powered; in very early times, wood was the main energy
source. That was superseded by coal, as you can see in the
brown. That began to fall off as other fossil fuels – namely,
gasoline, diesel, and natural gas – became a major component
of
the economy. As you can see, under a healthy orientation, it
was
understood in the early '60s by the Kennedy administration,
that
that should then fall off, and we should see a rapid expansion
of
nuclear fission power as the next wave. So, this is what a
healthy growth process would have looked like. This is the
kind
of process we need to return to; and as Mr. LaRouche says,
increasing the energy flux density of the nation, of the
productive powers of labor, of the labor force, these are the
kinds of metrics we need to be looking at. Today, that means
fusion power. It's not illustrated in the graphic here, but
if
we're going to overcome those 30-40 years of stagnation, if
we're
going to overcome the dramatic collapse in the productive
capabilities of our labor force; we can't just continue what

was

done before. As you've seen in all these historical examples, we

need to go to the new leaps in technology, the new leaps in energy flux density, to drive the greatest increase in the productive capabilities of the labor force.

Then you have a system that will work; then the Four Laws

will work. Now, a national bank will work; now, Glass-Steagall

will work, because it will facilitate this physical growth process. As we've talked about, this means fusion power, this means the space program. It's no accident that in those graphics

we were looking at, the period of the space program is very clearly expressed in both of those; driving the increase in the

productive powers of labor, even in industries not seemingly related to the space program. But you see that driver program reflected in this iron production, for example; you see it reflected in the totality of the national energy flux density.

Which brings us to Mr. LaRouche's Fourth Law; a fusion drive

program. As he's increasingly emphasized, that is truly integrated with a real space program. So that has to be the front end of a recovery program. That'll come with all kinds of

things: rebuilding our infrastructure; rebuilding the national

transportation system; power systems; all kinds of soft infrastructure. But it has to be understood as unified around this increase of your productive capabilities; that's how an economy works.

That's what Hamilton understood, as Matthew showed us. Smash the idea that we should be just agrarian, or should we be

manufacturing? If you take people away from the other – a

complete lack of understanding of the synergistic relation of actual human revolutions in technology; revolutions in the very nature of mankind's relation to the environment more generally, which are driven by real creative discoveries, creative thought, real unique human growth. This is the message, the unifying conception that the American people need to understand and rally around, if we're going to get out of the mess we're in now. It's not going to come from any form of monetarist jiggering of the system; it has to be rooted in a real understanding of the true science of human growth, of human progress.

I know that might be a lot to throw at our viewers today, but this is the historical challenge that we're facing. We have it in our history; we have it in Hamilton; we have in Lincoln; we have it in Franklin Roosevelt. We have it in a more developed form than even them, with Mr. LaRouche's work. But it's on us to bring this to bear now as the revolution needed in the United States.

ROGERS: Before we close out, let me just add one principle from the standpoint that the underlying principle at the foundation and at the core of Hamilton's four Reports and LaRouche's Four Laws gets right at the heart of formation of our US republic and the formation of Union as Hamilton saw it. It is what is defined directly in the US Constitution, but more

directly in the Preamble to the Constitution; the idea that Hamilton was instrumental in developing. This conception that "We, the people of the United States, in order to form a more perfect Union, establish Justice, ensure domestic tranquility, provide for the common defense, promote the General Welfare, and secure the blessings of Liberty to ourselves and our posterity, do ordain and establish this Constitution of the United States of America." What's at the core of that is the principle of the General Welfare; which is directly under attack right now by the actions of Obama. That is explicitly shown in the attacks on not only the General Welfare of the nation, but attacks on this very principle of the increase of the productive powers of your society, and on the creative and productive powers of the human mind. You can see this most explicitly in the insane recent announcement by President Obama advocating the United States go to Mars under the direction, should we say, and direct support of private industry. But in a recent conference President Obama was in – the White House Frontiers conference – the key person he was there with was a man by the name of Atul Gawande. This is a person who's been promoting the idea that the population doesn't need health care, we need to cut healthcare; we need to kill off more people. That's what's at the core of the attack on the General Welfare of our nation, is this idea of population reduction – killing off of the population.

When you look at what it was that was understood by Alexander Hamilton on this question of advancing the productive powers of labor, that was most directly expressed over a century later after the death of Alexander Hamilton, with the birth of a great pioneer by the name of Krafft Ehrlicke. Krafft Ehrlicke's understanding of the increase in the formation of a more perfect union and the productive powers of labor, came with the understanding that it was not until mankind left the confines of one small planet – Earth – and actually went out into the far reaches of our Solar System and developed the Solar System. He called explicitly for developing the Solar System through the increase in the productivity of society, the increase of manufactures, and the increase of everything that Ben just went through very thoroughly in his remarks.

I think what we get back to again, which was very clearly understood by Alexander Hamilton, as Mr. LaRouche in the foundation of his policies on physical economy, and by Krafft Ehrlicke, is at the heart of this is the conception of, and the principle of, the human mind. The human mind in the power of reason. What I wanted to do is just read a quick quote from Krafft Ehrlicke on this conception of the reasoning of the human mind at the foundation of this very principle of what increases the productive powers of labor in our society – or throughout our universe.

He says: "We are cosmic creatures by substance; by the energy on which we operate, and by the restless mind that

increasingly metabolizes information from the infinitesimal to the infinite. And on the infrastructure of knowledge, pursues its moral and social aspirations for a larger and better world against many odds. Through intelligences like ourselves, the universe – and we in it – move into a focus of self-recognition. Metal ore is turned into formation-processing computers, satellites, and deep space probes; and atoms are fused as in stars. I cannot imagine a more foreboding, apocalyptic vision of the future than a mankind endowed with cosmic powers, but condemned to solitary confinement on one small planet."

He goes on to take the principle which Alexander Hamilton had defined in his four Reports, in his Report on Manufacturing, and applies that to the development of space; particularly to the development of our sister body, the Moon. He says that the manufacturing and the development of the process which would organize the increase of society, the formation of a more perfect union, off of the planet, would actually start with the development of the Moon. And he says: "Lunar industry should be viewed as an organism that over time evolves to progressively more complex capabilities and generates sufficiently strong foundations for expansion. Lunar industry must be broad-based and diverse if it is to last. The need for economic feasibility and early returns will require a skillful interplay between market, consumer-oriented products and services, and infrastructural investments such as transportation, energy, and surface-space installations that expand food production and diversity in industrial productivity."

So, I think what is essential to understand is that Hamilton's conception was not something that was confined to one period in time, one period of history. It wasn't confined to one planet. It was actually organized – as was later understood by Krafft Ehrlicke – to the idea that man cannot be confined to one planet. If we are going to truly form a more perfect union, we have to get off the Earth and develop the entirety of the Solar System and universe we live in. And only the human mind can do that.

OGDEN: Well said. I think Hamilton would concur with that one. We can only encourage to do your own reading of these four Hamilton Reports; and as Ben said at the beginning of the show, we did make those four available on the LaRouche PAC website. There's a big picture of Hamilton; you can click on it. It's got links to the four separate reports by Hamilton; each one is a nicely formatted pdf. You can print them out and read them on your own. I would also just emphasize that larouchepac.com/fourlaws is the place where you can find LaRouche's paper from close to two years ago, as you can see on the screen. This contains the four principles of LaRouche. Put those two together, and I think if you can do the work, we can create the educated citizenry that's necessary to put these policies into practice.

So, the urgency of the mobilization for Glass-Steagall absolutely persists; we are right on the cusp of a complete meltdown of this financial system. The Glass-Steagall mobilization is one which must be generating the kind of activity that we had during the JASTA mobilization. That victory rendered the Obama regime impotent. Don't fall for the bluster and the intimidation; don't give in to the fear that the Obama administration is attempting to project right now. We had a revolution in this country with the override of the JASTA veto; and it's a completely new situation. If we maintain that kind of sense of victory and urgency, we can continue to make some very incredible breakthroughs.

I'd like to thank Ben; thank you, Kesha; thank you, Michael.

Please stay tuned. Obviously, we're going to just elaborate these discussions much more in the days to come. Thank you very much, and good night.

**»En ny finansarkitektur og en
renæssance
af klassisk kultur er**

presserende nødvendigt«

Med udskrift af Helga Zepp-LaRouches hovedtale til konferencen:

»BRICS topmødet: Alternativer for en Verden i Krise«

13. oktober, 2016 – Helga Zepp-LaRouche holdt følgende hovedtale, »En ny finansarkitektur og en renæssance af klassisk kultur er presserende nødvendigt« ved en videokonference den 13. oktober med titlen: »BRICS-topmødet: Alternativer for en Verden i Krise«, som blev afholdt med samtidige møder i Guatemala City, Mexico City og Lima, Peru. Møderne i disse tre byer var forbundet live via Google Hangouts on Air, og en paneldiskussion fulgte efter fr. Zepp-LaRouches bemærkninger, med dr. Mario Roberto Morales (professor ved San Carlos Universitetet, Guatemala), dr. Horacio Sanchez Barcenas (vicepræsident for den Nationale Sammenslutning af Økonomer, Mexico) og Luis Vasquez Medina (*EIR*, Peru). Begivenheden blev sponsoreret af Centret for Latinamerikanske Studier ved fakultetet for politisk videnskab ved San Carlos Universitetet, Schiller Instituttet og *Executive Intelligence Review (EIR)*.

Det følgende er det engelske udskrift (i udkast, er ikke redigeret) som forlæg til oversættelse:

Helga Zepp-LaRouche Message to Ibero-America Events
Thursday, Oct. 6, 2016

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE: Good day. Thank you so much for inviting me to address your conference. The world is in a very,

very dangerous situation. Everybody who watches the strategic development every day can see how the confrontation between the United States and Russia is increasing. Just a few days ago, the official coordinator for the cooperation with Russia of the German government said in the 2nd channel of German TV, that a direct military confrontation between the United States and Russia can no longer be excluded. Now, it's not that this is something new, but the fact that a representative ... What he referred to was the complete breakdown of negotiations between Russia and the United States over the Syria crisis. And there is the immediate danger of an escalation if the policies of such people as General Petraeus or Sen. John McCain would be implemented.

And I think everybody knows that if it would come to war between Russia and the United States, it would be a global war, and it would lead to the annihilation of all of mankind in all likelihood.

Now, there is a second danger to civilization which could also lead in the end to a nuclear war, and that is that we are about to face a total collapse of the trans-Atlantic financial system, much, much worse than 2008. The IMF has named Deutsche Bank as {the} bank with the most risk in the whole global financial system, and depending on what will be the outcome of both the IMF/World Bank annual meeting in Washington right now, where the CEO of Deutsche Bank, John Cryan, went to, but also at the same time to negotiate with the Department of Justice to reduce the fine of \$14 billion which the DOJ had fined Deutsche

Bank for criminal manipulations before the secondary mortgage crisis in 2007-2008, from \$14 billion to only \$5 billion, because \$14 billion would mean de facto the insolvency of Deutsche Bank.

Now, the German daily {Die Welt} said what Cryan is doing is a "chicken game," that Deutsche Bank has \$42 trillion worth in outstanding derivatives, and that is enough if Deutsche Bank goes bankrupt, to bring down the entire financial system, and according to the old wisdom, if you have enough debt you can impose the conditions how this debt will be renegotiated; but {Die Welt} basically said, this is a chicken game which nobody would survive.

Now, Deutsche Bank is maybe the worst case, but by far not the only one. Deutsche Bank, as I said, has \$42 trillion in outstanding derivatives, that is about 12 times the entire GDP of the German economy per year, and it's still about 3 to 4 times the GDP of the entire European Union. Therefore, it is obvious that if Deutsche Bank collapses, neither the bail-in law which is by now law in the entire European Union, nor bail-out would be sufficient to solve the problem. And if you look at the engagement of these derivatives with the banks which are counterparty to Deutsche Bank, it involves the entire too-big-to-fail banking system of the trans-Atlantic system, and if Deutsche Bank goes without state intervention, and that is obviously not the solution either, it could be like the super-nova, basically evaporating in a very brief time.

A similar situation is true for the Italian banks, for the British banks after the Brexit, and one should not overlook

that

all of these banks have large fines to pay for crimes.

Deutsche

Bank had to pay because they manipulated and cheated the customers in the real estate market in the United States.

Wells

Fargo just had a hearing in the U.S. Congress because they set up

2 million fraudulent, fictitious bank accounts to steal. Then you have HongShang banking corporation, which is openly laundering the entire drug money of the Mexican drug mafia.

They

all were involved in the LIBOR manipulation, which caused the three-digit billion losses for the customers.

We are for sure heading towards an October crisis.

This is

not going to be a crisis after the U.S. election: This is now.

And all the means of the central banks, quantitative easing they

have been doing since 2008; negative interest rates, which kills

the savings of the population; and now they're talking about "helicopter money" which is really the last straw. All of these

tools do not function any more.

There is a remedy, and that is, you have to implement immediately the Glass-Steagall banking separation law, exactly what Franklin D. Roosevelt did in 1933. Lyndon LaRouche has enlarged that conception to say, we need Glass-Steagall, that is,

you have to write off the speculative part of the banks; but then

you have a lack of liquidity and therefore, you have to have a credit system in the tradition of Alexander Hamilton, which issues new, large credits for productive investments. But you also have to increase the productivity of the economy, you have

to have a science driver, and the best for that is international space cooperation and vanguard technologies which go along with that.

We also need what Roosevelt did at the time, a Pecora Commission. Pecora was the New York State attorney, who investigated the CEOs of the Wall Street banks under oath at the time, to then send many of them to jail. And as a leading banker contact told us, if you don't do that, you cannot reinstate the confidence in the banks, because people have lost completely confidence in the system which is obviously more criminal than not.

There is good reason that this can be done. Because in the United States both parties, the Republicans and the Democrats, have the Glass-Steagall Act in their platforms and despite the fact that Hillary Clinton is not for Glass-Steagall, it is important that in times of crisis such provisions are there. And there is a renewed optimism that you can mobilize the Congress, even if normally people have little hope that the Congress will do something useful, they just did by voting up the JASTA bill overriding the veto of President Obama in respect of the ability of the families of the victims of September 11th, to sue the Saudi government. This is a tremendous victory, because what was victorious in this situation was a sense for justice: That it was completely unjust that the victims of the September 11th terrorist attack would not have the ability, and the families

in

particular would not have the ability, to bring the criminals responsible for that terrorist act to court. And that has now occurred, and there is a tremendous sense that you can move, once

people are united for a good plan, and once they act together.

Now, there is an equal yearning for justice concerning the

banking system. The banking system which has provided unbelievable profits for a few, where bankers which provably are

criminal can get away with bonuses of hundreds of millions of dollars, while the people they are looting, more and more of them

become completely impoverished.

The other important aspect about this is that the alternative financial system is already in place. Since 2013, when President Xi Jinping announced the New Silk Road, there has

been an unbelievable development, in the tradition of the ancient

Silk Road of 2,000 years during the Han Dynasty, which at that time was an immense exchange, not only of goods, but of culture,

of ideas, and most importantly of technologies, of the ability how to produce silk, how to make porcelain, and other such vanguard technologies of that time; the idea is now that the same

kind of exchange has been occurring since three years among the

nations of the New Silk Road, but with modern technologies.

This is the largest infrastructure plan in all of human

history: It's about twelve times larger than the Marshall Plan

was which was helping to reconstruct Europe after the Second World War, in terms of actual buying power. It right now

encompasses \$1.4 trillion; it already involves 43% of the world economy, and 4.4 {billion} people, 70 countries, are cooperating around it. It is the only long-term development strategy under the leadership of China right now. As a matter of fact, it's the only strategic plan to overcome this present geopolitical confrontation I mentioned in the beginning, because it is based on the idea of a "win-win cooperation" of all countries on this planet.

Very important, in respect to the financial crisis, these countries have started to set up an alternative financial system.

They have started the Asian Infrastructure Investment Bank (AIIB), where immediately about 70 countries wanted to be founding members, despite enormous pressure from the United States not to do so. Even close allies of the United States, like Great Britain, Japan, South Korea, Germany, France, and Canada, they all wanted to be founding members of this new bank,

which has a starting capital of \$100 billion, which can be expanded, and will be. They also have created the New Development Bank, that is the bank of the BRICS countries; the New Silk Road Fund of \$40 billion; the Maritime Silk Road Fund;

the Shanghai Cooperation Organization has created a new bank; and

they have created something called the Contingency Reserve Arrangement which began as a pool of \$100 billion, helping the BRICS countries and other developing countries to fend off manipulative speculative attacks like those of George Soros and other speculators.

It is very important that this idea of the New Silk Road is expanding with an unbelievable speed, and many countries, not only in Asia, but also in for example, Eastern and Central Europe are picking up on it. There is now a cooperation between China and Greece, Serbia, Hungary, Czech Republic and even Poland, all working on high-speed trains on infrastructure cooperation. And the idea is to extend this kind of a New Silk Road into the Middle East and into Africa, to address the very, very dramatic situation there, to reconstruct the Middle East after the war, and to develop Africa, so that also the refugee crisis, which is one of the largest humanitarian crises in the world ever, to create conditions where Africa and Southwest Asia are being industrialized so that people do not want to leave their home, but rather, help to build up their nations.

All of this is not just business. The Silk Road is by no means only infrastructure in the narrow sense, connecting A to B through trains and ships, but it is also not just a replacement of American imperialism by Chinese imperialism, which is what some media are trying to insinuate. The New Silk Road, put on the agenda by China, is truly a completely different model of cooperation among states: It is based on dialogue, partnership and cooperation; and China does not want to be a new hegemon, but wants to have cooperation with all countries based on a "win-win" mutual benefit, where each country has their own advantage.

China has said many times, as a matter of fact, Xi Jinping has used the formulation that what is needed is a "community of shared destiny." Now, this is what the Schiller Institute has promoted for 25 years when we proposed the Eurasian Land-Bridge when the Soviet Union collapsed, and expanded it in the 25 years since, that the Silk Road must become the World Land-Bridge, we always have said that we need a completely new paradigm based on "win-win cooperation"; and that is exactly what is now pushed by China.

Now people always have suspicions, "what is the real aim of China?" But I have come to the absolute conclusion, that China {means} exactly what they're saying, that the world must not be run on the basis of a zero-sum game, but on the idea of a harmony of all nations.

Now, 2016 is the 2,567th birthday of Confucius and you have right now a total revival of Confucian philosophy, in all of China, in all schools, universities, cities, and there is right now a two-and-a-half-thousand-year-old history of Confucian tradition in China, with the very short except of the ten years of the Cultural Revolution. And that has shaped the Chinese mind to a very large extent, the Confucian idea that the world should

be organized in a harmonious way, by allowing the harmonious development of all nations, of all families, of all individuals;
and that a country cannot do well, if its neighbors are not doing well. The idea of Confucius that politics must be based on love, now that is associated with the idea that politics has only one aim, and that is the happiness of people, an idea which used to belong the American Declaration of Independence, and an idea which is also very, very known in the history of European humanism.

Confucius also taught that people have to have a lifelong learning, and that they should perfect themselves without limit, and that the highest ideal of man is the {chun tzu}, the wise man who is basically perfecting himself in the highest degree. And out of this comes the idea that the sage king is morally much more attractive than the hegemon. This is the same idea as Plato's "philosopher king," that only the wisest and most moral people should rule.

Now, while the hegemon rules by forcing the underlings into submission, the wise king and the wise leadership is elevating the people through inspiration. At the recent G20 meeting in Hangzhou, which occurred for the first time under the leadership of China, they have made a wonderful proposal to put the whole world economy on the basis of innovation and to share whatever scientific and technological breakthroughs are being made, immediately, with all other nations, but especially the

developing nations, so that their development is not being held up.

Since then, they have announced scientific and technological cooperation among the countries along the New Silk Road; they opened up science and technology parks, huge exchange of scientists and youth, in order to spread these ideas in the quickest possible way. All of these policies are a reflection of the Confucian philosophy.

If you study it more closely, you will realize there is a tremendous affinity between Confucian thinking and European humanism. They are much closer and much more related than most people are aware. While in China, a Confucian Renaissance is fully underway, it is the West which is in urgent need of such a cultural renaissance.

The Western world has plunged into a terrible moral degeneracy and decadence: If you look at the drug addiction, for example, well the case of Mexico, for example, is famous: The drug lords have taken over much of the country. But in the United States the drug addiction is the most important cause for the rising suicide rate which has quadrupled since 2001, since Bush came into office, suicides in all age groups. If you look at the violence in the United States, but also in other Western parts, you have the police violence, you have the school shootings, you have pornography, you have the total brutalization of behavior, which almost is a breakdown of civilized relations

among people. I don't want to go into this more deeply, because you all know it.

So we need urgently, if you want to save humanity, we need a Renaissance of Classical culture. We have to go back to an image of man which emphasizes that, which separates man from all other living species and that is the creativity of the mind of the human being. The problem with popular culture is that it {de}-emphasizes this creativity. Pop music, for example, if young people go to discos, it almost always goes along with drug consumption, with something which destroys the creative faculties of the mind.

We need a Classical culture which emphasizes the beauty of the best traditions of Greece, for example, Greek architecture, Greek historical dramas, Greek philosophy, but also the beauty of Dante, of Petrarca, of the Italian Renaissance; in the Spanish culture, of the Andalusian renaissance, of Cervantes, of Goya; in Germany, the Schiller, Beethoven, and many other great thinkers.

Now, why is Classical culture so absolutely important? Rather than being a soap opera, where you add irrational emotions one after the other, without rhythm or rhyme, you have in Classical culture either a poetical or a musical idea, and then, according to very strict principles of composition, you develop that idea until it is exhausted, in a thorough-compositional

way;

and then you come to a conclusion on a higher level of reason. And when you train your mind in this way, in Classical thinking, you become more creative. And it also leads to an education of the emotions. Because if you only rely on your senses, you are just reacting. That is why Friedrich Schiller demanded the aesthetical education of man: Namely, through Classical art, the aesthetical education teaches man to feel more noble and to education your emotions up to the level of reason, so that you can blindly follow your impulses because they will never tell you anything different than what reason commands. This is why we have to reintroduce beauty into art, and the great German poet Friedrich Schiller said "Art which is not beautiful should not be called art."

In the Greek Classical period, you had the ideal of the identity of the beautiful, the truthful, and the good. And you cannot be truthful if you are not trying to develop the idea of beauty, and you cannot develop the good without being truthful.

So there is an inner connection between these because they address the same faculty in the human mind.

The future of mankind very clearly will be in space. If you look at the evolution of man, or even of life as it developed through photosynthesis from the oceans to land, from lower to higher species, and eventually the creative mankind, man settled

at the rivers and oceans first; then through infrastructure development, opened up the landlocked areas of continents. And now with the New Silk Road we are completing that phase of the evolution, where man through infrastructure, develops the landlocked areas of all continents. And the natural extension of

that infrastructure development will be the opening up near space, probably first a colony on the Moon, and that will be the

launching pad for future space operations as our energy sources

become more dense, and we will be able to even understand much better what is the position of our planet in the Solar System, in

the Galaxy, and we will develop a much deeper understanding about

the laws of the universe and the relationship of creative mentation to that Universe, because our mind is obviously not outside of the universe, but it's part of the universe, and it is

the most developed part.

A lot more studies have to be made about that connection between the mind and the universe at large, and the better we understand that connection, the more rational we will become as a

human species. The great German space scientist Krafft Ehrlicke

developed the beautiful notion of the "extraterrestrial imperative," saying that man only becomes truly adult when we try

to understand and conquer space more deeply, because man will only become fully rational when we do that. And Krafft Ehrlicke,

who was a close friend of ours, said at the end of his life, that

the importance of great Classical art was absolutely crucial, because if science is developed that does not yet say whether

it's applied for something good, or for something bad; it is always man who applies that science which makes the difference.

And therefore, the aesthetical and moral education to beauty and

to the good is what will make the longevity of the human species possible.

Now, this is why we are saying, so emphatically, that the

economic development of the New Silk Road must be combined with a

Classical Renaissance of Classical culture, and that we must bring forward the best traditions of each culture, of Chinese poetry and philosophy, of Chinese painting, of Indian philosophy,

of African wonderful philosophical contributions from the time of

Timbuktu; of other great cultures, which each, at one point had a

high phase in their culture, like the Arab Renaissance of the Abbasid Dynasty at which point the Arab culture was the most developed.

What we have to do, is we have to make the best phases of

these periods known, and then have a dialogue between these cultures and then out of that will generate love for the other culture; and we will indeed reach a new paradigm of civilization.

If we make that cultural universal heritage known to all

children, in the universal education, I think the future will be

that such geniuses as Bach, Schiller, Einstein, will not be such

an exception. There will never be a second Einstein, but we will

have many, many geniuses because we will provide children with a much, much better opportunity to unfold all the potentials which are embedded in them.

Now, I think we are not only on the verge of a potential global war, but with the New Silk Road we are also at the edge of entering a completely new paradigm of civilization, what I like to call the "adulthood of mankind," and not any more behaving like stupid two-year-old little boys kicking each other in the knee.

So we are really at an important historical moment, and I would ask all of you to join in a Renaissance movement, because I'm absolutely optimistic that if all good people on the planet are working together to this aim, we can do it.

Glass-Steagall:

Det presserende første

skridt.

Af EIR's økonomiredaktør Paul Gallagher

Krakket har været i gang siden 1. januar, 2016. Det var den dato, da alle regler i Europa blev ændret således, at banker ikke kunne få en bailout (statslig bankredning). De skulle angiveligt reddes gennem en bail-in (ekspropriering af visse typer af bankindsud); det er blevet til at betyde, at indskyderne og obligationsindehaverne ville få eksproprieret deres penge for at skabe ny kapital til insolvente banker. Det har vist sig at være en total 'non-starter', komplet ubrugeligt; det fungerer ikke. Det blev afvist af Italien, og er grundlæggende set blevet opgivet og smidt i skraldespanden. Men de står ikke desto mindre over for, at der ikke er mulighed for bailout; især Deutsche Bank står på det seneste over for, at der ikke er nogen mulighed for bailout.

Da dette først skete, og oliepriserne faldt til omkring \$30-40 fra næsten 3,5 gange så meget, og alle de andre råvarepriser kollapsede, har dette, samt truslen om, at de ikke kunne få bailout, betydet, at ikke alene Deutsche Bank, men dusinvis af storbanker i de europæiske lande, i Det forenede Kongerige (U.K.), siden da har stået på kanten af afgrunden, med udsigt ned i dybet. De ventede simpelt hen på at se, hvor udløseren af faktisk tab af al likviditet i dette baksystem ville finde sted; om det ville blive i det tyske banksystem, i U.K.'s nationaliserede banker – som er i en meget dårlig forfatning.

Det er, hvor hele dette banksystem har stået siden 1. januar; enormt overgearet. Otte år; 7,5 år med kvantitativ lempelse, der har givet dem mulighed for at blive enormt overgearet; Deutsche Bank har en gearing-rate på 37:1 iflg. en rapport, der netop er udgivet af FDIC (Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation; USA's Statslige Indskudsgarantifond). Det er

værre end Lehman Brothers' gearing-rate, da den gik konkurs; ikke meget værre, men værre.

Samtidigt har de ligget og marineret i otte år i et miljø med 0 % 's rentesatser; hvilket betyder, at de ikke er profitable. De kan ikke på én og samme gang være forsigtigt kloge og solide, kommercielle banker, og så også være profitable. Så hvad har de gjort? De har generelt tilsidesat deres aktiviteter med at modtage indskud og udstede lån – deres kommercielle bank er fuldstændig gået over til den hjatank, der indeholder diverse former for spekulationer; med salg af kunstfærdige og komplekse instrumenter, som ingen forstår – inklusive dem, der sælger dem. De solgte dem til deres afdelingskunder, de solgte dem til kommuner og byer, de solgte dem til organisationer; og de forsøgte grundlæggende set at plyndre indskuddene i deres kommercielle bankenheder og bruge dem til deres spekulative operationer, fordi de ikke kan tjene penge ved kommerciel bankaktivitet, eftersom de har ligget marineret i 0 % 's rentesatser i otte år, med udsigten til, generelt, en ubegrænset fremtid med mere af samme slags. Så når man frem til krakket.

Det, der diskuteres omkring IMF-mødet, og jeg tror, vi kommer til dette, for vi har venner, som er dér; ud over dem af os, der udgiver *The Hamiltonian*, har vi andre venner omkring disse møder. Det, som diskuteres dér, er muligheden og frygten for et reelt likviditetskrak, som kunne blive udløst, hvad øjeblik, det skal være. Det, som ikke diskuteres dér, er de kriminelle handlinger, som disse banker begår som et resultat af deres spekulative kultur, og som et resultat af den tilstand, de befinder sig i, efter at have ligget i luge i disse 8 år. De forbrydelser, som de nu er i færd med at begå, fordrer absolut, både som et spørgsmål om juridisk retfærdighed og som et spørgsmål om fornuftig, sund bankpraksis, at bankerne omgående opdeles.

Vi behøver ikke se længere end til Wells Fargo, der skulle forestille at være den næststørste bank i USA, et mønster på

ikke-spekulativ, kommerciel bankvirksomhed. Se på, hvad de har bedrevet. Deres bankenheder for investering og værdipapirhandel har i bogstavelig forstand stjålet pengene fra deres indskydere i en skala af hundreder af tusinder (af indskydere), for at tjene gebyrer og profitter på kunstfærdige instrumenter (læs: makværk). Det er kriminelt. Vi husker nok Detroit og alle de andre byer i hele verden – i hvert fald i hele Europa og USA – som man solgte disse meget komplekse derivater og rente-swaps til. Hver gang, de ønskede at udstede en obligation og låne nogle penge til kommunen eller byen eller offentlige transportselskaber, hvad det nu var, solgte man disse produkter til dem. Det er stort set det samme som at sige, at de ikke anede, hvad det var, de fik; præcis ligesom indskyderne i Wells Fargo, som ikke var klar over, hvad det var for noget, man havde solgt til dem. Så uklar var forståelsen hos kommunens/byens finansdirektører, og hos finansdirektører for selskaberne for offentlige tjenesteydelser, af disse derivater, som bankerne fik dem til at købe, simpelt hen for at gøre lånet til en obligation med variabel rentesats, at man lige så godt kunne sige, at de solgte dem disse derivater uden, at finansdirektørerne overhovedet vidste, at de fik dem, indtil de opdagede, at de tabte millioner og atter millioner af dollars hvert år. Og forbløffende nok, i hvert eneste tilfælde i hver eneste by/kommune i hele verden, var den samme satsning gået galt på nøjagtig samme måde; og det løb op i – i nogle tilfælde med storbyer – i hundreder af millioner af dollars for bøder, gebyrer og tab, som de ikke kunne komme ud af. Denne kriminelle aktivitet kan kun afsluttes på én måde. Det er ved at sætte Glass/Steagall-loven i kraft igen. Hvis nogen prøver at fortælle dig, at, ved at tilføje endnu en specifik, lille regel til de andre tusinder, der findes i Dodd/Frank-loven, osv., at, så vil denne kriminelle aktivitet stoppe, så lægger de røgslør ud – de bedrager dig. Der findes kun én måde at standse det på. Uden Glass/Steagall-loven i en omtrentlig periode på de seneste 20 år, er hver eneste storbank blevet meget større, og er blevet til en båd, hvis midte er fuld af

indskydere med et stort antal – i visse tilfælde hundreder af tusinder af hajer, som udgøres af de spekulative afdelinger af dette enorme holdingselskab – alle disse hajer, der svømmer rundt omkring båden, der er fuld af indskydere, og som forsøger på den ene eller anden måde at få noget blod, få en arm eller et ben, få en hel krop, for at få blod ud af båden.

Den eneste måde, hvorpå man kan vende selv en sådan enkelt, enorm bank omkring og sige, give os en bank tilbage, der kan udøve kommerciel bankvirksomhed; som kan tage imod indsættelser/indskud og udstede lån og faktisk investere i industri og fremskridt; giv os det tilbage. Der findes kun én måde at gøre det på; og det er, at man tager sin harpuncanon og dræber disse hajer. Og måden at gøre dét på er at vedtage Glass/Steagall-loven; sæt den i kraft igen. Man rejser således, grundlæggende set, et sådant hegn op omkring indskuddene, at hajerne absolut ikke kan få nogen adgang, og man vi så se, at disse spekulative bankenheder – mange af dem – hurtigt vil gå bankerot. Det var meget velkomment i går at høre et forslag fra et parlamentsmedlem i Hamborg i Tyskland om, at man netop skulle gøre dét med Deutsche Bank. Hvis man kan gøre det med Deutsche Bank, som Lyndon og Helga LaRouche foreslog for et par måneder siden, så kan man gøre det med alle storbanker i verden. Hvis man rent faktisk kan få en rigtig bank tilbage, en kommerciel bank, en udlånsbank, ud af den monstrøsitet, det roderi, som er Deutsche Bank i dag – i processen med en bankerot; så er den eneste måde at gøre det på lig med det forslag, som dette parlamentsmedlem kom med. Det samme forslag, som Lyndon og Helga LaRouche fremsatte for to måneder siden, kendt som Herrhausen-forslaget for Deutsche Bank. Dette parlamentsmedlem sagde, opdel og, på en lovmæssig måde, kød ned og fjern alle disse giftige, spekulative bankenheder. Så kan den kommercielle bank genkapitaliseres, endda af regeringen, på en sådan måde, at den nu begynder seriøst at investere i økonomien.

Så det er altså, hvad man ikke diskuterer; det er de

kriminelle handlinger, og hvordan man skal standse dem. Det er et langt mere fundamentalt spørgsmål end spørgsmålet om, hvilke af disse banker, der først går ned og udløser den generelle eksplosion af afviklinger. Vi må få Kongressen til at vende tilbage (til Washington). Hvad foretager de sig, når de forlader Washington i to måneder, efter at have sagt, at, nu vil de stramme skruen over for Wall Street i en række høringer om Wells Fargos kriminelle handlinger; for dernæst at forlade byen i to måneder. Holde pause for et totalt ubetydeligt valg, der ikke har noget valg at byde på, når de i stedet burde stramme skruen over for Wall Street; når de burde lovgive! Det er, hvad vi diskuterer her; den mobilisering, der nu er i gang, for at få dem til at komme tilbage til Washington og genindføre Glass-Steagall nu, og så fortsætter vi derfra.

Ovenstående er et uddrag af LaRouchePAC's webcast, 7. oktober, 2016. Hele webcastet, med engelsk udskrift, kan ses her: <http://schillerinstitut.dk/si/?p=15135>

Lyndon LaRouche: 'Hold op med at være bange; Gå derud og vind!'

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 13. oktober, 2016 – Der er gode grunde til ærlig frygt i øjeblikket. I verden som helhed er der en fare for atomkrig pga. fejlregninger, hvis det da ikke skyldes faktisk anstiftelse på vegne af London/Saudi-Arabien/Hvide Hus-aksen; der er lidelser og konflikter; og der er de moralsk fordærvede, amerikanske valg. Men ånden af mod til at overvinde ondskab og fare blev demonstreret i USA den

28. september, da Kongressen med et overvældende flertal underkendte Obamas veto af JASTA-loven, om spørgsmålet om at stille Saudi-Arabien til ansvar for masse mord, der blev begået på amerikanske jord og mod amerikanske borgere. Kongressen blev, af den moralske kraft, der kom fra befolkningen, vores mobilisering og lederskabet af familierne til ofrene for 11. september-angrebene, tilskyndet til at gøre det rigtige.

Vi har nu atter et presserende behov for denne samme ånd. Det, der kræves, er at tvinge Kongressen til at træde sammen og genindføre Glass-Steagall for at bryde med finanskollapset og krigspolitikken. Der er intet andet – det være sig en ny regel, et sagsanlæg, endnu en høring, en fordømmelse, en appel, en undersøgelse, osv. – der vil virke.

Se på USA netop nu, hvor livsbetingelserne er ved at kollapse – med en produktion, der lukker ned, et landbrug i krise og en smuldrende infrastrukturbasis, der ikke engang kan klare forudsigelige, årstidsbaserede storme. Sundhedssystemet befinder sig i et katastrofalt kollaps, der plyndrer dyrebare husstandsindkomster og dømmes mange til døden som følge af sygdomme, der kan helbredes, men som ikke længere vil blive behandlet under det bankerotte Obamacare-system.

For Obama og hans controllers er dette en succes. I sit seneste skriveri hævder Obama, »Ud fra næsten enhver målestok er dette land bedre, og verden er bedre, end det/den var for 50, 30 eller endda 8 år siden.« (Artiklen er »Barack Obama: Now is the Greatest Time to be Alive« (Nu er den bedste tid at være i live i), i november-udgaven af wired.com, hvor Obama er gæsteredaktør for innovationens fremskudte grænser). Han er ikke kun en løgner; han er en dræber. Inden for hele kategorier af amerikanere stiger dødsraten som følge af narko, økonomisk krak, ubehandlede sygdomme, sindssyge, sårbarhed over for katastrofer, terrorisme og fortvivlelse. Og i et par tilfælde er amerikanske borgere blevet dræbt gennem Obamas »tirsdags-dræbermøder«, der sanktionerer mord uden om retsvæsenet.

I dag er Obama i Pittsburgh, hvor han deler podie med en førende fortaler, Atul Gawande, for fjernelse af »overdrevne« sundhedsydelse i Amerika – hvilket vil sige, at slå folk ihjel. Anledningen er Det Hvide Hus' Konference om de Fremskudte Grænser den 13. oktober, og det nominelle tema er innovative teknologier. Gawande promoverer på nationalt plan en dokumentar, »Being Mortal« (Om at være dødelig), om tidlig død. Hans seneste artikel fordømmer »epidemien af for meget sundhedsydelse« i USA. Med andre ord, Hitlers sundhedspolitik ved navn T-4 (Tiergarten Strasse 4, Berlin), gående ud på at fjerne »overskydende« mennesker. Dette er, hvad arten af Obamas Lov om et Budgetrigtigt Sundhedssystem – skabt i London – lige fra begyndelsen har været.

Andre på stablisten for dette Hvide Hus-arrangement omfatter Anousheh Ansari, den private rumastronaut, der skal opreklamere galskaben med »Mars-rejser«, så Obama, der går efter at nakke NASA, kan skryde om at støtte fotos af rummet.

Lyndon LaRouche talte, da han blev briefet om dette og billedet af verden i dag, om ikke at bukke under for frygt. For det første, »Når man har med en dødsensfarlig fjende at gøre, så bukkes man ikke under for frygt. Man koncentrerer sig om at fjerne ham!« Tag initiativ til handlinger, der vil gøre præcis dette. Han sagde, »Hold op med at være bange; gå derud og vind.« Vi kan være med til at styrke de sociale processer for, at folk ikke giver efter for frygt – i betragtning af, hvad vi netop har præsteret med JASTA. LaRouche forklarede, at folk prøver på at overleve under frygtelige betingelser, med inflation i leveomkostningerne – for sundhedsydelse og basale livsfornødenheder. Nogle mennesker, der tidligere var optimistiske, er nu tilbøjelige til at give efter og opgive. Men, »vi må ikke give efter«.

Summa summarum sagde LaRouche: »Der er en vis form for frygt, som er en god frygt.« Det er, når man siger: »Vi vil ikke finde os i mere af dette.«

**Er klokken ét minut over
tolv?**

**Globalt finanskrak og
krigsfare!**

Af Helga Zepp-LaRouche

9. oktober, 2016 – Vejrudsigten varsler storm: Den umiddelbare trussel om det transatlantiske finanssystems sammenbrud hænger som et damoklessværd over verden, og den dermed nært forbundne strategiske konfrontation mellem USA og Rusland kan hvert øjeblik forvandle den allerede eksisterende kolde krig til en direkte militær konfrontation, og dermed til menneskehedens mulige udslettelse. Der findes en løsning, men den kræver, at man omgående vender sig bort fra geopolitik, og at man til gengæld vedtager en ny tankegang på basis af et helt nyt paradigme.

»Hvor varm bliver den nye kolde krig?«, spørger avisen *Bild* i en overskrift. Den tyske Forbundsregerings chefkoordinator for Ruslandsrelationer, Gernot Erler, udelukker ikke længere en direkte konfrontation mellem USA og Rusland; Wolfgang Ischinger, chef for den årlige sikkerhedskonference i München, anser denne fare for at være »betragtelig«. I *New York Times* advarer sikkerhedseksperterne Steven Simon og Jonathan Stevenson: Sandheden er, at det er for sent for USA at blive dybere involveret i Syrien-konflikten uden at løbe risikoen for en storkrig.

Dette er en absolut realistisk fare, men fakta om denne akutte fare og måden, den fremstilles på i den overvejende del af de vestlige medier, kan kun anses for at være direkte propaganda

som forløber for krigen, hvor den forestående krigsmodstander, i dette tilfælde Putin, dæmoniseres. Den syriske befolknings lidelser er uudholdelige, men begivenhedernes rette kronologi forties. Hvem er ansvarlig for politikken med regimeskifte? Hvem har siden 1975 spillet »det islamiske kort« ud mod Sovjetunionen og uddannet de grupperinger, der hele tiden skifter navn – fra Mujahedin til al-Qaeda, al-Nusra, ISIS osv. – samt forsynet dem med våben helt frem til i dag, sådan, som blandt andre Jürgen Todenhöfer endnu engang har understreget i sine seneste interviews? Hvem har mørklagt de sande omstændigheder omkring 11. september? Hvem har ført krig mod Afghanistan, Irak, Libyen og Syrien på grundlag af bevidste løgne? Hvem er blind over for Saudi-Arabiens barbariske krig mod Yemen?

Udenrigsministrene Kerry og Lavrov havde netop forhandlet en våbenstilstand for Syrien på plads, da det amerikanske flyvevåben »ved en fejltagelse« bombarderede nogle syriske hærstillinger, hvis position havde været kendt i et halvt år, dræbte 60 soldater og sårede yderligere hundrede, for dernæst, uden nogen som helst beviser, at gøre de russiske styrker ansvarlige for angrebet på FN's nødhjælpskonvoj. Heraf drog den russiske regering den slutning, at Obama-administrationen ønskede at sabotere Kerrys strategi, og opsagde aftalen om afskaffelse af plutonium, der kan anvendes i våben, samt bekendtgjorde deployeringen af missilforsvarssystemerne S-300 og S-400 til Syrien. Situationen taget i betragtning udgør kravet om oprettelse af flyveforbudszoner og såkaldte sikkerhedszoner langs den tyrkiske grænse, der naturligvis kun kan gennemføres med militære midler, en direkte hensigtserklæring om en konfrontation med Rusland.

Talsmanden for det russiske Forsvarsministerium, Igor Konasjenkov, advarede alle dem, der legede med tanken om direkte militære operationer mod den syriske hær, om, at S-300- og S-400-missilforsvarssystemerne havde nogle overraskelser til dem og advarede mod den illusion, at de skulle kunne indsætte *stealth*-fly. Den russiske

viceudenrigsminister Sergej Ryabkov kommenterede Washingtons udmelding om at indstille alt samarbejde med russerne om Syrien med, at beslutningstagerne i USA ikke lod sig lede af kølige overvejelser, men af følelser, og sagde: »På baggrund af det dybe, følelsesmæssige sammenbrud, vi kan iagttage, kan de træffe en hvilken som helst beslutning.«

Man kan med god samvittighed gå ud fra, at beslutningstagerne bag kulisserne i USA heller ikke mht. det transatlantiske finanssystems tilstand lader sig lede af kølige overvejelser. For, hvis dette var tilfældet, så ville de indrømme det neoliberale, monetaristiske systems bankerot og gå i gang med en omgående reorganisering af dette på basis af en global Glass/Steagall-bankopdeling. I stedet forsøgte IMF og Verdensbanken, ved deres halvårlige møde i Washington, at videreføre deres mislykkede politik og fremførte det hårrejsende argument, at det er opkomsten af alle de populistiske protestbevægelser mod deres politik, der er ansvarlig for krisen, hvilket er lige så absurd som kravet om, at Kina og Indien skal indstille deres kreditudstedelser. IMF kritiserer lige præcis verdensøkonomiens eneste to vækstmotorer!

I private samtaler mellem nogle af deltagerne på mødet herskede der derimod åbenlys forfærdelse over den kendsgerning, at hele det europæiske og amerikanske banksystem ikke blot er håbløst bankerot, men at dets kriminelle karakter desuden havde medført noget, der er dødbringende for finanssektoren, nemlig en total tillidskrise. Det uudtalte problem var og forbliver fortsat Deutsche Bank med dens eksponering til derivater til 42 billioner euro – et beløb, der er omkring tolv gange så stort som hele den tyske økonomis årlige bruttonationalprodukt! Og alle de banker, der angiveligt skulle være for store til, at man kan lade dem gå ned, er modparter i Deutsche Banks derivatkontrakter og kunne blive revet ned i afgrunden sammen med den. *New York Times* klagede, at Deutsche Bank kunne vise sig at blive den nye Lehman Brothers og citerede Harvard-professoren Hal Scott, der sagde, at han håbede, der fandtes en global strategi for denne

kendsgerning – for intet mindre end dette ville være i stand til at løse problemet.

For at afvende den nedsmeltning af det transatlantiske finanssystem, der står umiddelbart for døren i oktober eller allersenest november, og som ville føre til et globalt kaos og bringe krigsfaren op på kogepunktet, er der hidtil kun fremsat ét eneste anvendeligt forslag: En omgående, koordineret indførelse af de **Fire Love**, som Lyndon LaRouche allerede den 18. juni, 2014, foreslog:

* **For det første:** Den omgående genindførelse af Glass/Steagall-bankopdelingsystemet, og vel at mærke i den totalt uforandrede form, som Franklin D. Roosevelt satte i kraft i 1933. Dette ville i praksis betyde afskrivning af langt den største del af den ubetalelige gæld og de udestående derivatkontrakter.

* **For det andet:** Der må, i hver eneste stat, oprettes et nationalt banksystem i traditionen efter Alexander Hamilton, og som erstatter kreditgivningen fra angiveligt uafhængige centralbanker til gunst for spekulanter, gennem det eksempel, som Hamilton skabte, og som blev anvendt af Lincoln, Franklin Roosevelt, såvel som også af den tyske Kreditanstalt for Genopbygning (*Kreditanstalt für Wiederaufbau*), til at genopbygge Tyskland (efter Anden Verdenskrig, -red.).

* **For det tredje:** Der må skabes et internationalt kreditsystem, hvis opgave er at øge produktiviteten i realøkonomien og befolkningens levestandard i alle nationer, hvilket kan finde sted gennem fremme af videnskabeligt og teknologisk fremskridt og en reel forøgelse af energigennemstrømningstætheden i produktionsprocessen.

* **Og for det fjerde:** Videnskab som drivkraft for økonomien, som kan opnås gennem et forceret program for at beherske og anvende kernefusionsteknologi, såvel som gennem et internationalt samarbejde om rumfart.

Det kommer ikke som nogen overraskelse, at tilskyndelsen til en sådan reorganisering af finanssystemet ikke kommer fra USA eller Europa. Det, der kommer nærmest til LaRouches forslag, blev derimod fremlagt af Kina på det seneste G20-topmøde i

Hangzhou, hvor de her foreslog en ny, global finansarkitektur og en nyorientering af verdensøkonomien på grundlag af innovation og vækst. Man kan således gå ud fra, at disse forslag vil blive genstand for nye initiativer på BRIKS-staternes årlige konference, i år i Goa, Indien, midt i oktober. Betydningen af de banker, som er blevet skabt af Kina og BRIKS-landene, såsom Asiatisk Infrastruktur-Investeringsbank (AIIB), Den nye Udviklingsbank (NDB; 'BRIKS-banken'), Silkevejsfonden, den Maritime Silkevejsfond, såvel som Valutareservefonden (BRIKS' Contingency Reserve Arrangements), vil vokse.

Med hensyn til USA, så har LaRouche krævet, at den amerikanske Kongres omgående vender tilbage fra valgkampen (i de enkelte medlemmers egne valgdistrikter, -red.) til Washington, for omgående at vedtage Glass/Steagall-loven. Dette er, i betragtning af Wall Streets skarpe modstand mod denne lov, ganske vist ingen nem opgave, men Wall Streets magt er skrumpet voldsomt på grund af befolkningens vrede over den kriminelle karakter af mange af disse banker, som ikke mindst pga. de voksende bøder for deres bedragerier er ved at gå bankerot. Salg af giftige værdipapirer til godtroende kunder, manipulation med LIBOR-rentesatsen, oprettelse af millioner af falske konti, forfalskede regnskaber, pengehvidvask osv. – listen er alenlang.

I Amerika har LaRouche-bevægelsens udbredte mobilisering, sammen med familierne til ofrene for 11. september, førstehjælpsfolk, brandmænd og andre institutioner, ført til den banebrydende succes med Kongressens afstemning, hvor præsident Obamas veto mod JASTA-loven blev underkendt. Til denne succes kommer yderligere de mere end to hundrede organisationer, der har til hensigt at gøre kongresmedlemmernes og senatorernes holdning til Glass-Steagall til det afgørende kriterium for at vælge dem til november, og som nu vil konfrontere kongresmedlemmerne med deres ansvar i disses egne valgkredse.

Vi må, gennem en bred mobilisering af befolkningen, også

tvinge parlamenterne i Europa til omgående at afslutte kasinoøkonomien ved at indføre en Glass/Steagall-bankopdeling, sætte en ny kurs for den økonomiske politik i overensstemmelse med LaRouches Fire Love og, sammen med Kina og Rusland og et forhåbentligt, gennem Glass-Steagall, reorganiseret Amerika, genopbygge de lande, der er blevet ødelagt af meningsløse og barbariske krige. Opbygningen af Den nye Silkevej til at strække sig ind i Mellemøsten og det øvrige Sydvestasien, samt Afrika, er her ikke blot den eneste humane løsning på flygtningekrisen, men også et spørgsmål om Europas moralske overlevelse.

Krigsfarens kan kun overvindes, hvis vi i stedet for den geopolitiske konfrontation indfører et helt nyt paradigme for internationalt samarbejde omkring menneskehedens fælles mål.

Lad jer ikke intimidere af Obamas og briternes løgne og tomme trusler

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 12. oktober, 2016 – På vegne af det britiske system er præsident Barack Obama engageret i en stor løgnekampagne, med trusler om krig mod Rusland og Kina. Med den franske Hollande-regering på slæb, gør Obama tegn til sin hensigt om en militær intervention i Syrien, om at bringe Rusland for Den Internationale Straffedomstol i Haag for krigsforbrydelser begået i Aleppo, og lignende ordskvalder.

Men kendsgerningen er den, at denne britiskdirigerede kampagne af løgne og trusler drives frem af deres totale frygt og panik, og de har rent faktisk ikke kapacitet til at gøre alvor af deres trusler eller bevise deres løgne, hvis de bliver

udfordret.

Briterne er ved at blive vanvittige over udsigten til det totale tab af magt, i takt med, at deres transatlantiske finanssystem står til at kollapse. Man har nu åbent indrømmet, at Den Europæiske Centralbank mørklagde Deutsche Banks bankerot, da den gennemførte de såkaldte stresstests i slutningen af sidste år. Ikkeeksisterende aktiver, der stammede fra salg, der aldrig fandt sted, blev af Deutsche Bank bogført som kapitalreserver for at skjule det faktum, at de er dømt til undergang, medmindre de underkastes en total omstrukturering, hvorunder deres derivatbeholdning på 46 billioner euro annulleres og banken atter gøres til en traditionel, tysk detailbankvirksomhed. HSBC, flagskibet i City of Londons offshore narkotikaimperium, udsendte onsdag en nødvarsel om et umiddelbart forestående sammenbrud af den transatlantiske aktiemarkedsboble.

Tidligere NATO-generalsekretær Rasmussen opfordrede europæiske stater til at begynde en massiv oprustning med henblik på at konfrontere russiske trusler, hvilket er et komplet bedrag. De europæiske stater er bankerotte, og der er allerede en voksende modreaktion i Tyskland og andre steder mod forslaget om nye sanktioner mod Rusland.

I Syrien er bundlinjen den, at USA, Storbritannien, Frankrig og Golfstaterne har brugt milliarder af dollars på at opbygge en hardcore Islamisk Stat og en al-Qaeda-terroristorganisation for at vælte Assad-regeringen, og Vladimir Putin greb ind og ødelagde deres plan. Nu er den syriske hær, med russisk støtte, på nippet til at knuse disse terrornetværk i Aleppo. Så derfor beskylder franskmændene, briterne og Obama-administrationen alle Putin og Rusland for krigsforbrydelser i Syrien, alt imens de er engageret i deciderede forbrydelser mod menneskeheden i Yemen. Obama-administration truer nu med en direkte militær intervention for at styrke de patetiske saudiere, hvilket blot yderligere ville udvide listen over forbrydelser mod menneskeheden, som Obama begår.

For at dække over deres egen panik og faktiske rædsel over det truende krak af hele deres system, så gennemfører briterne en ondsksfuld kampagne for at skræmme og demoralisere det amerikanske folk, hovedsageligt ved, gennem de kontrollerede medier, at forvandle det amerikanske præsidentvalg til et pornografisk mareridt.

Der er også reelle problemstillinger, som skaber panik i den amerikanske befolkning. Først og fremmest har Obamacare-programmets kollaps, som lige fra starten forudsås af □□Lyndon LaRouche, forfærdet et stort flertal af amerikanere, der konfronteres med en umulig situation med en 50-procents forhøjelse i årlige præmier for sundhedsydelser og dramatiske nedskæringer i ydelserne. Selv den tidligere præsident Bill Clinton sagde fornylig til en demonstration i Michigan, at Obamacare er en katastrofe: »Man har dette vanvittige system, hvor 25 millioner flere mennesker pludselig har fået adgang til sundhedsydelser, og hvor så de mennesker derude, der undertiden knokler 60 timer om ugen, ender med en fordobling af deres præmieindskud og deres dækning af sundhedsydelser skåret ned til det halve, og det er fuldstændig vanvittigt.«

Det amerikanske folk har al mulig grund til at være vrede, men ikke til at blive intimideret eller blive tyranniseret af en flok løgnere, med præsident Obama i spidsen. Det amerikanske folk har brug for at høre sandheden: Hele det britisk-saudiske system, som ejer præsident Barack Obama, er færdigt, begyndende med deres bankerotte finansimperium. Løsninger er umiddelbart for hånden, og som begynder med en genindførelse af Glass-Steagall og dernæst en omgående iværksættelse af en økonomisk genrejsning efter Hamiltons principper, hvor man anvender de fremgangsmåder, der forklares i finansminister Alexander Hamiltons Rapport til den Amerikanske Kongres, om varefremstilling, kredit og en nationalbank. Disse principper genoplives i Lyndon LaRouches Fire Økonomiske Love. De er klar til at blive implementeret lige nu og her.

For at genoprette den kampånd, der førte til den nylige,

dramatiske sejr i kampen om JASTA-loven, som leverede et ødelæggende slag lige i hjertet af det anglo-saudiske terrorimperium, så må det amerikanske folk have at vide, at de skal grine ad Obamas og briternes løgne og se at komme videre med den alvorlige affære med at opbygge verden efter Det britiske Imperium, med uendelige muligheder og uendeligt fremskridt. Rusland, Kina, Indien og andre førende nationer har allerede bevæget sig i denne retning, og tiden er inde til, at også De forenede Stater kommer med på fremtiden.

Foto: USA's Flåde opererer i Filippinske Hav, 19. sept., 2016, under 'Valiant Shield 2016', en ren, amerikansk felttræningsøvelse, der finder sted hvert 2. år, med fokus på integration af fællestræning blandt amerikanske styrker. De første 'Valiant Shield'-øvelser begyndte i 2006.

NYHEDSORIENTERING OKTOBER 2016: GLASS-STEAGALL – ELLER KAOS!

Download (PDF, Unknown)

Følgende er et åbent brev fra Schiller Instituttets formand Tom Gillesberg til det danske folk:

Kære medborger,

I stedet for neoliberale nedskæringer på vore fælles investeringer i Danmark og dets ungdoms åndelige og intellektuelle udvikling, bør vi tilslutte os den Nye Silkevejspolitik fra Asien og satse på udvikling, baseret på

videnskabeligt og teknologisk fremskridt.

Mange studsede, da jeg og andre fra Schiller Institutet stillede op til valg i efteråret 2007 på sloganet »Efter finanskrakket – Magnettog over Kattegat«. Vi fik ret, og »finanseksperterne« tog fejl. Der kom et finanskrak, der truede med at vælte hele det internationale finanssystem.

Nu kan jeg med klar stemme meddele, at vi om kort tid vil se en endnu større nedsmeltning af finansinstitutioner i hele verden, ...

**POLITISK ORIENTERING den 11.
oktober 2016:**

**Det er 5 minutter over
midnat:**

**Glass/Steagall – eller kaos!
(Se også 2. del)**

2. del: