

# **Deutsche Bank er meget større end Lehman Brothers var; Glass-Steagall »bydende nødvendigt«**

*11. oktober 2016* – Således lyder en spalte i investing.com den 11. okt. »For at forhindre yderligere sager à la Deutsche Bank er det bydende nødvendigt at vende tilbage til Glass/Steagall-lovregulering ... Kort tid efter, at denne lov blev ophævet, begyndte TBTF-bankerne at dukke op. Med en genoplivet Glass-Steagall og de største banker opdelt i 10 mindre banker, ville konkurrence igen vende tilbage til banksektoren på en måde, der ikke truer med at kollapse systemet.«

---

**Glass-Steagall, samt at sende de kriminelle bankierer i fængsel, er forudsætningen for at bryde bankernes kontrol over regeringen**

# – Daisuke Kotegawa

10. oktober, 2016 – Daisuke Kotegawa, den japanske økonom, der i vid udstrækning var ansvarlig for at løse den japanske bankkrise i slutningen af 1990'erne, og Japans adm. direktør for IMF 2007-10, sagde i et interview med *EIR* lørdag, at, hvis de vestlige nationer skal overleve deres finanssystems aktuelle sammenbrud, må de opdele bankerne i overensstemmelse med Glass-Steagall og arrestere de bankierer, der er ansvarlige for at køre finanssystemet bankerot.

Japan sendte flere dusin bankierer i fængsel på tidspunktet for deres krise, alt imens USA ikke arresterede nogen efter Lehman-chokket i 2008, understregede hr. Kotegawa. Han sagde, at fængslingen af bankiererne var nødvendig for at vinde befolkningens tillid til, at omkostningerne i forbindelse med omstruktureringen af bankerne ikke ville føre til den samme krise i fremtiden, og for at genoprette tilliden til banksystemet.

Og så brød arrestationerne bankernes magt over regeringen, især parlamentet. Alt imens Kotegawa ikke gik i detaljer, så stod det klart, at han var på det rene med, at det faktum, at USA og Europa ikke enten har gennemført en bankopdeling, eller arresteret de ansvarlige kriminelle, har resulteret i gentagelsen af boble-udviklingen og Wall Street-bankernes og City of London-bankernes næsten totale kontrol over regeringerne.

Produktive selskaber, sagde han, kan ikke gå bankerot over en nat, eftersom de har et produktivt grundlag til at håndtere finansielle problemer over tid. Sådan forholder det sig ikke med banker. Hvis en bank eller et banksystem mister tillid, kan hele strukturen kollapse over en nat, understregede Kotegawa. Det er, hvad der er ved at ske med Deutsche Bank.

Han gentog sit tidligere forslag til at håndtere Deutsche

Bank: fuld eller delvis nationalisering; omgående bankopdeling mellem de kommercielle banksektorer og investeringsbanksektorerne; samt arrestation af de ansvarlige bankierer. Samtidig må, sagde han, alle de vestlige nationers autoriteter i fællesskab, og i hemmelighed, fastlægge en bestemt dato for afgørelse af betalingen af Deutsche Banks gigantiske udestående derivater. Modparterne i disse derivatkontrakter – hvilket omfatter hver eneste storbank i USA og Europa – må være rede til, sagde han, at påføre deres banker den samme Glass/Steagall-opdeling, eller også vil de blive trukket ned at kollapsedet i Deutsche Banks investeringsbankdel.

Kotegawa tilføjede, at den udviklede sektors rolle på dette punkt vil være at adressere det enorme svælg i udviklingssektoren, mellem den faktiske levestandard og så denne sektors befolkningers forhåbninger. Enhver løsning på den vestlige bankkrise afhænger af en reel efterspørgsel på produkter – ikke trykning af penge – og som vil komme fra et forpligtende engagement over for den reelle udvikling af verden som helhed. Han bemærkede, at rent historisk var nøgleinnovationerne i produktion centreret i USA, Tyskland og Japan, og disse tre nationer må genoprette denne dedikation til innovation og produktion.

---

**Forbryderen Obama kræver igen:  
'Nej' til Glass/Steagall-**

# Loven

*Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 10. oktober, 2016* – Samme dag, som Barack Obamas saudiske allierede, med anvendelse af hans præcisionsvåben, var i færd med at bombe 1.000 yemenitiske civile under en begravelse den 8. oktober, hvor de dræbte 200 eller flere mennesker, skrev han en lang lovprisning af sig selv i *The Economist*, hvor han endnu engang erklærede: Store banker er gode, og jeg vil ikke tillade, at de brydes op.

*The Economist* er den ugentlige udgivelse, der er talerør for City of London, for hvis banker (og for Wall Streets banker) Obama har været en agent siden sit første G20-møde dér i april 2009. Dengang forsvarede Obama AIG og Goldman Sachs, *et alia*, mod kravet om at stille deres topledere for retten, og han fortalte amerikanerne, at »noget af det, de gjorde, var umoralsk, men ikke ulovligt«. Storbankerne er blevet fundet skyldige i dusinvis af åbenlyst illegale handlinger siden da! Men ingen højplaceret leder er sendt i fængsel, takket være Obamas forsvar for deres magt over Kongressen og loven.

Obama gør krav på et eftermæle for »økonomisk genrejsning«, når narkoafhængighed og selvmord har nået hidtil usete niveauer i Amerika, og dødsraterne i store dele af befolkningen i deres bedste arbejdsår stiger. Han påberåber sig »økonomisk genrejsning«, når han i årevis har ødelagt vores arbejdsstyrkes produktivitetsvækst, elimineret NASA's bemandede rumforskning og lukket udvikling af fusionskraft og fusionsteknologi ned.

Obama gør krav på Fredsprisen, når han har kastet amerikanske militærstyrker ind i flere krige end nogen anden præsident i historien og dræbt tusinder af ukendte personer gennem dronekrigsførelse.

Han og hans diplomater raser imod den russiske præsident Putins succesrige interventioner imod al-Qaeda og ISIS'

terroriststyrker i Syrien; de taler om at fremprovokere krig med Rusland. I mellemtiden har Obama selv insisteret på at bevæbne og hjælpe Saudi-Arabiens uprovokerede invasion af og nær-folkemordsangreb mod det yemenitiske folk.

Dette er en præsident, der netop er blevet påført et nederlag af Kongressen og det amerikanske folk på spørgsmålet om saudisk-britisk støtte til terrorisme. Han blev tvunget til at ophæve hemmeligstemplingen af de »28 sider« om saudiernes rolle i angrebene den 11. september (2001); Kongressen underkendte en masse hans forsøg på at nedlægge veto mod Loven om Retsforfølgelse af Sponsorer af Terrorismen (JASTA).

Obama er endnu ikke ude, men det burde han være. En så kriminel præsident burde ikke kunne beordre det amerikanske folk: »Bryd ikke Wall Street-bankerne op«.

Kun en dåre ville ikke kunne få øje på, hvor nær vi er på en finansiel nedsmeltning, og til krig med Rusland eller Kina. Det, som USA gør nu, er afgørende for at redde menneskeheden.

Glass-Steagall må vedtages i USA, og kopieres i Europas storbanker, der hører hjemme på en statsanstalt. I modsat fald er kreditudstedelse til produktiv beskæftigelse, en genoplivet økonomisk vækst og voksende produktivitet ikke mulig.

Tiden er nu inde for at levere endnu et vigtigt nederlag for Obama.

*Foto: Som Det britiske Imperiums loyale tjener vil Obama gøre alt, hvad der står i hans magt, for at blokere for Glass-Steagall. [flickr/thejointstaff]*

---

# Mobilisér for LaRouches Fire Love

## – Mobilisér for menneskehedens fremtid

*Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 9. oktober, 2016* – Vi balancerer på spidsen af en dobbelt, dødbringende krise, der umiddelbart konfronterer menneskeheden. En total nedsmeltning af det derivatgennemblødte transatlantiske system kunne indtræffe på et hvilket som helst tidspunkt i løbet af oktober måned, i løbet af denne uge, eller endda så tidligt som førstkommande mandag, den 10. oktober. Der skal ikke meget til, før det, der svarer til en termonuklear kædereaktion inden for det finansielle område udløses. Så langt ude er systemet – som enhver informeret bankier ved, men som stort set ingen er rede til at indrømme højt.

Og så er der fremstødet for at lancere krig – atomkrig – imod Rusland, som promoveres af en overvundet og desperat Barack Obama på vegne af hans britiske *controllers*. Højplacerede amerikanske efterretningsfolk fordømmer åbenlyst dette som værende »ekstraordinært provokerende og farligt« og advarer om, at »der er nærmest et kollektivt vanvid, der har grebet mange amerikanere ... fra Barack Obama og nedefter.«

»Bliver det civilisationen, eller bliver det bankierernes kartel, der kommer ud af denne krise som sejrherre?«, spurgte Helga Zepp-LaRouche søndag under diskussionen med LaRouche-bevægelsens aktivister. »Vi må absolut sætte ind for Glass-Steagall, for, sammen med LaRouches Fire Love, er det den eneste kur imod faren for systemets nedsmeltning.«

Under samme diskussion understregede Lyndon LaRouche, at en sejr *kan* opnås. »Det nuværende system har nået sin udløbsdato. Men pointen er, at der også vil være reserver, der kunne udvikles, dvs., gennem et aggressivt udviklingsprogram.« LaRouche understregede fremgangsmåden efter Hamiltons principper, som LaRouches Fire Love leverer, og som alene kan standse og vende den sammenbrudskrise omkring, som truer planeten. Han understregede, at det enorme nederlag, som det amerikanske folk gav Obama, med Kongressens underkendelse af hans JASTA-veto, viser, hvad man kan gøre.

Helga Zepp-LaRouche forklarede:

»Denne situation er alvorligere end JASTA-loven, fordi der står meget, meget mere på spil. Så mobiliser de netværk, der gennemførte JASTA-loven, og, som ét af 11/9-familiemedlemmerne sagde: Denne mobiliserings formål er ikke blot det, der skete i fortiden, men derimod, at juridisk retfærdighed også må gennemføres for de fremtidige generationer.«

»Vi må fremkalde den samme, ophøjede ånd, som koncerterne fremkaldte; **Mozarts Rekviem, de afroamerikanske spirituals** og den kraft, der formåede at bevæge folk under vores mobilisering. For, hvis USA går hen og implementerer Glass-Steagall og Lyndon LaRouches Fire Love, så kan verden reddes. Og vi må lægge os denne mission på sinde.«

*Foto: Helga Zepp-LaRouche taler om nødvendigheden af at gøre en ende på geopolitik og vedtage projektet om Verdenslandbroen, på Schiller Instituttets 30-års jubilæumskonference i Tyskland, oktober, 2014.*

---

# »Det er vores opgave at skabe det økonomiske system, der følger Hamiltons principper og LaRouches Fire Love«

*Jeg mener, at LaRouches understregning af spørgsmålet om Alexander Hamilton og hans arbejde, og LaRouches Fire Love – for forpligtelsen til varefremstilling handler jo ikke om varefremstilling som sådan; det handler om videnskab som drivkraft for fremskridt. Det drejer sig om spørgsmålet, om vi kommer frem til en økonomi, baseret på fusionskraft? Og når vi først har udviklet termonuklear fusion, går vi så videre frem til plasma, til stof/antistof? Og hvilket potentiale åbner det op for?*

Download (PDF, Unknown)

---

## Amerika må bringes ind i det Nye Paradigme – En strategi for sejr. Hovedtale af Helga Zepp-



# LaRouche til seminar i Houston, Texas

*Krafft Ehrlicke var en nær ven til os, og især i de seneste år af hans liv havde jeg mange samtaler med ham, om relationen mellem videnskab og kultur. Han var absolut overbevist om, at Schiller Instituttets bestræbelser var absolut afgørende, for han sagde, at vi må tilføje menneskets æstetiske opdragelse til videnskabeligt fremskridt, eftersom teknologi aldrig er god eller ond. Det er mennesket, der anvender den til et godt eller ondt formål. Det afgørende spørgsmål er derfor, sagde han, at vi forædler menneskeslægten, hvilket netop er spørgsmålet om den æstetiske opdragelse.*

*Det er grunden til, at vi lægger så megen vægt på skønhed, og at kunst må være skøn, for kun da opfylder det denne forædling af den menneskelige sjæl. Skønhed, siger Schiller, er meget vigtigt, fordi det både er en egenskab af sanserne, fordi sanserne opfatter skønhed, men det findes også inden for fornuftens rige, fordi skønhed ikke er et spørgsmål om oplevelse, men er derimod et spørgsmål om intellektets, om fornuftens, definition.*

Download (PDF, Unknown)

---

## Global finanskrise kræver LaRouches Fire Love

7. oktober, 2016 – Crème de la crème af den bankerotte, internationale finanselite samledes i dag i Washington, D.C.,

til åbningen af IMF/Verdensbankens efterårsmøde – med absolut ingen som helst idé om, hvad man skal gøre ved det fremstormende kollaps af hele det transatlantiske finanssystem, inklusive Deutsche Banks højt profilerede bankerot, der kunne blive udløsermekanisme for et generelt kollaps.

De aner ikke, hvad de foretager sig, var Lyndon LaRouches kommentar i dag. De har ingen forestilling om, hvordan man skal skabe de nødvendige institutioner, der hører til et sikkert, internationalt banksystem, og som udelukkende kun kan skabes gennem en implementering af **LaRouches Fire Love**, sammen med fremgangsmåden til skabelse af kredit efter Hamiltons principper, som er det underliggende fundament for disse Fire Love. En vedtagelse af denne politik, med start i den omgående genindførelse af FDR's Glass-Steagall, ville sætte standarden for at definere produktiv kredit og nære en politik, der har videnskab, som f.eks. rumprogrammet, som sin drivkraft, og som ville øge arbejdskraftens produktive evne.

Det eneste spor af sund fornuft inden for en radius af en mil omkring IMF-mødet blev leveret af et hold af LaRouchePAC-aktivister, der uddelte 120 eksemplarer af det seneste nummer af LPAC-avisen *The Hamiltonian* – der som hovedskrift har »Ét minut over midnat ... Krakket er begyndt!« – til konferencedeltagerne, og de fandt megen seriøs interesse for den globale krise og betydelig støtte til Glass-Steagall og den politik, som Alfred Herrhausen førte i Deutsche Bank.

Inde i selve begivenheden kaster, som *Wall Street Journal* udtrykker det, »bekymringerne over Deutsche Bank AG og andre potentielle europæiske banker, der er i vanskeligheder, et ligklæde over IMF's og Verdensbankens efterårsmøder i denne uge«. På samme måde kørte *New York Times* en lang artikel, der bekymret siger, at »Deutsche Bank kunne blive den næste Lehman Brothers«. Artiklens hovedafsnit citerede flere eksperter, der på en ikkeoverbevisende måde argumenterede med, at det i virkeligheden ikke står så slemt til, og der ikke »kommer

nogen kontaktsmitte« i forbindelse med Deutsche Bank. Men artiklen måtte i sin konklusion erkende:

»Skulle Deutsche Bank pludselig forårsage en finanskriser, er det uklart, hvordan denne ville blive løst. Det er en europæisk bank, så Federal Reserves (Centralbankens) magt ville være begrænset. 'Jeg håber, der findes en global plan for, hvordan man skal gå frem', sagde [Harvard University juraprofessor Hal] Scott, 'for det er, hvad der ville være brug for. Hvis Deutsche Bank igangsætter en kontaktsmitte, så ville det begynde i Europa. Hvem ville blive den næste? Dette ville kræve global koordinering'.

I mellemtiden foregår der en panikagtig aktivitet bag scenerne for at flikke en eller anden form for bailout (bankredning) sammen for Deutsche Bank. Den tyske avis *Handelsblatt* rapporterede, at flere »blåstemplede« tyske selskaber er rede til at tilbyde en kapitalindsprøjtning på et par milliard dollars. Og *Bloomberg* rapporterede, at »højtplacerede rådgivere i Wall Street-topfirmaer taler med repræsentanter for den tyske udlånsbank om ideer, inklusive et aktiesalg og afskrivning af værdipapirer«, til tonen af henved \$5,6 mia.

Ingen af disse planer vil imidlertid virke, ej heller adresserer de den underliggende bankerot af hele det transatlantiske finanssystem, til tonen af en \$1,5 milliard stor spekulativ boble, der aldrig kan betales, og som må afskrives, og så må økonomien reorganiseres i overensstemmelse med LaRouches Fire Love.

---

**»Ét minut over midnat!**

# **Få Kongressen tilbage til Washington for at vedtage Glass-Steagall nu!« LaRouchePAC Internationale fredags-webcast, 7. oktober, 2016**

*Vi befinder os midt i en forhøjet mobilisering, og jeg kan sige, at netop, mens vi taler, bliver eksemplarer af det allerseneste nummer af avisen The Hamiltonian, den ugentlige avis fra LaRouchePAC, uddelt i New York City; men også på gaderne i Washington, D.C., uden for det årlige IMF-møde. Hovedoverskriften i The Hamiltonian i denne uge er meget klar; den har titlen »Ét minut over midnat, krakket er begyndt!« Og det kunne dårligt opsummere vores diskussion her i aften bedre. De andre artikler er også apropos; hvis I endnu ikke har læst dem, opfordrer jeg jer til at gøre det. Vi har »Økonomi handler ikke om penge« af Jason Ross; »Finanskrisen i oktober; Vedtag Glass-Steagall nu« af Rachel Brinkley; »Et lille skridt for Kongressen, Et kæmpespring for menneskeheden«, af Dennis Speed, om gennembruddet med underkendelsen af JASTA-vetoet; og »Nero-Obamas sidste dage: Fremstød for atomkrigs-folkemord«, af Carl Osgood.*

*Så avisen uddeles nu, mens vi taler; og vi har allerede sidste-minut-rapporter fra uden for IMF-mødet, hvor personer responderer meget ivrigt, inklusive nogle tyske økonomer, der kendte Alfred Herrhausen personligt, inden han blev myrdet, og som responderer til Alexander Hamiltons stemme via de forslag eller det politiske perspektiv, som Lyndon LaRouche fremlægger netop nu.*

*Engelsk udskrift.*

Friday LaRouche PAC Webcast October 7, 2016

"ONE MINUTE AFTER MIDNIGHT!"

GET CONGRESS BACK IN WASHINGTON TO PASS GLASS-STEAGALL NOW!

MATTHEW OGDEN: Good evening; it's October 7, 2016. My name is Matthew Ogden and you're joining us for our Friday evening webcast from [larouchepac.com](http://larouchepac.com). I'm joined in the studio tonight by a guest – Paul Gallagher from *Executive Intelligence Review* – and by two members of our Policy Committee via video; Bill Roberts joining us from Detroit, Michigan, and Kesha Rogers joining us from Houston, Texas.

We are in the midst of a heightened mobilization, and I can say that as we speak, copies of the very latest edition of the *Hamiltonian*, the weekly broadsheet from LaRouche PAC, are being distributed in New York City; but also on the streets of

Washington DC outside of the annual IMF meeting. The headline of the *Hamiltonian* this week is very clear; it's titled "One Minute after Midnight, the Crash Is On!" And I think that couldn't summarize our discussion any better here right now. The other articles are also apropos; and if you haven't read them yet, I'd encourage you to. We have "Economics Isn't About Money" by Jason Ross; "Financial Crisis in October; Pass Glass-Steagall Now" by Rachel Brinkley; "One Small Step for Congress, One Giant Leap for Mankind" by Dennis Speed, about the breakthrough with the JASTA veto override; and "Nero Obama Pushes Nuclear Genocide in Final Days" by Carl Osgood.

So, that is now being distributed as we speak; and we already have up-to-the-minute reports from outside of the IMF meeting, where individuals are responding very keenly, including some German economists who personally knew Alfred Herrhausen before his assassination and who are responding to

the voice of Alexander Hamilton via the proposals or the policy perspective that is being laid out by Lyndon LaRouche right now.

What I want to begin with is a very quick brief overview of the crash as it stands, and as it is unfolding over the coming few days and few hours. We will also discuss a little bit of the mobilization that we're engaged in. But we're going to move very quickly from that discussion to an elaboration of what Mr. LaRouche wished to convey as he communicated during the discussion that we had with him a few hours ago. But before we get to that, Paul, let me just ask you to give us a very quick overview of the crisis.

PAUL GALLAGHER: The crash has been on since January 1, 2016. That's when all the rules in Europe were changed so that banks could not be bailed out. Supposedly they were going to be bailed-in; that has turned into meaning the depositors and bondholders were going to have their money taken in order to make new capital for failing banks. That has turned out to be a complete non-starter; it isn't working. It was rejected by Italy, and has basically been thrown up into the air and into the trash basket. So, but nonetheless, they are facing no bail-out; especially Deutsche Bank recently has been facing no bail-out.

Once that occurred, and the price of oil fell into the thirties and around \$40 from nearly 3.5 times that and all the other commodity prices collapsed, that plus the threat of not being bailed out, has meant that not just Deutsche Bank, but dozens of major banks in the countries of Europe, in the United Kingdom, have been at the abyss looking down since then. Simply waiting to see where the trigger for actual loss of all liquidity in that banking system was going to occur; whether it would be in the German banking system, in the Italian banking system, in the nationalized banks of the UK – which are in very bad shape.

That's where this entire banking system has sat since January 1st; tremendously over-leveraged. Eight years, 7.5 years of quantitative easing, which has given them the opportunity to be tremendously over-leveraged; Deutsche Bank is leverage 37:1,

according to a report that just came out from the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. That is worse than the leverage ratio of Lehman when it failed; not much worse, but worse.

At the same time, they've been marinated for eight years in an environment of 0% interest rates; which means they are not profitable. They cannot at the same time be prudent and sound commercial banks, and at the same time be profitable. So, what have they done? They have generally shoved aside their taking deposits and making loans – their commercial bank has gone wholly into the shark tank of various speculations; selling elaborate complex instruments which no one understands –

including the salesman. Selling them to their retail depositors, selling them to cities, selling them to towns, selling them to agencies; and essentially trying to loot the deposits in their commercial banking units into their speculative operations, because they can't make money by commercial banking, having been marinated in 0 % interest rates for eight years with essentially an indefinite future of the same stretching ahead of them. So, you reach the crash.

What's being discussed around the IMF meeting, and I think we'll get to it because friends of ours are there; in addition to those of us who are getting out the *Hamiltonian*, there are other friends of ours around these meetings. What's being

discussed there is the potential and the fear of a real liquidity crash being triggered at any moment. What's not being discussed is the crimes that these banks are committing as a result of their speculative culture and as a result of the condition that

they are in after this 8-year marination. The crimes that they

are committing now absolutely demand, as a matter of justice as well as sound banking, that they be immediately broken up.

We don't have to look any further than Wells Fargo, which was supposedly the second-largest bank in the United States, which was supposedly the paragon of non-speculative commercial banking. Look at what they have been doing. Their investment banking and securities units have literally been stealing the money from their depositors by the hundreds of thousands in order to make fees and profits on elaborate instruments. It's criminal. We remember Detroit and all the other cities around the world – around Europe and the United States anyway – which were also sold very complex derivatives, interest rate swaps. Every time they wanted to issue a bond and borrow some money for the city or the town or the transit agency, whatever it was, they were sold these products. It is as good as saying that they didn't know what they had; just like the depositors at Wells Fargo weren't aware of these things they had been sold. So dim was the understanding of the city treasurers and the agency treasurers of these derivatives that the banks were making them buy in order to simply float a bond, that you might just as well say that they sold them those derivatives without the treasurers even knowing that they had them, until they found that they were losing millions and millions of dollars every year. And amazingly, in every single case in every city around the world, the same bet had gone wrong in exactly the same way; and they were running into – in some cases with large cities – into the hundreds of millions of dollars of fines, fees, and losses that they couldn't get out of. This criminal activity can be ended in only one way. That is by enacting the Glass-Steagall Act. If anyone is telling you that by adding yet another specific little

regulation to the thousands of them that are in the Dodd-Frank Act and so forth, that this criminal activity will stop, they are blowing smoke. There is only one way to stop it. Without Glass-Steagall for the last nearly 20 years, you have had every major bank get much larger, and turn into a boat in the



middle full of depositors with a large – in some cases hundreds and thousands of sharks, which are the speculative units of this

immense holding company – all those sharks swimming around the boat full of depositors, and trying in one way or another to get some blood, to get a limb, to get a whole body, to get blood out of there.

The only way you can return even in an individual huge bank like that, to say give us back a bank which can do commercial banking, which can take in deposits and make loans and actually invest in industry and progress, give us that back. There's only one way to do it; and that is to get out your spear gun and kill

those sharks. The way to do that is enact the Glass-Steagall Act; put it back in effect. Essentially, you make such a fence around the deposits then that the sharks absolutely have no access, and you will find that those speculative units – many of them – will rapidly be bankrupt. We're very welcome to hear a proposal from a legislator in Hamburg in Germany yesterday, to do exactly that with Deutsche Bank. If it can be done with Deutsche Bank, as Lyndon and Helga LaRouche proposed a couple of months ago, then it can be done with any major bank in the world. If you can actually get back a real bank, a commercial bank, a lending bank out of that monstrosity, that mess which is Deutsche Bank today – in the process of failing; then the only way to do it was the proposal this legislator made. The same proposal, that Lyndon and Helga LaRouche had made two months ago known as the Herrhausen Proposal for Deutsche Bank. That legislator said, separate and in an orderly way, run down, eliminate all of these toxic, speculative units. Then the commercial bank may be capitalized, even by the government, in such a way that it begins to invest seriously in the economy.

So, that's what's not being discussed; is the crimes and how to stop them. That's a much more fundamental question than which of these banks is going to go first and be the trigger for the general liquidity explosion. We have to get

the Congress to

return. What are they doing having left Washington for two months after saying they wanted to get tough with Wall Street in a series of hearings on Wells Fargo's crimes; then leave the city for two months. Go into recess for a completely meaningless

no-choice election, when instead they should be getting tough with Wall Street; legislating. That's what we're here to discuss, is that mobilization which is now on to get them to go back and restore Glass-Steagall now, and then we'll go on.

OGDEN: Well the smell of that 2008 crash is back in the air for sure; and I think people are beginning to recognize what time it is, as demonstrated in this. This is a headline from the *New York Times* yesterday: "Deutsche Bank as the Next Lehman Brothers". They say, "far-fetched, but not unthinkable"; but remember, that 2008 crash happened exactly during this campaign season, and the Congress came back into emergency session. And at first, voted down the bail-out and then voted the bail-out up. It's that kind of environment; this is what we're seeing. This is an October crisis; this is not something which is going to wait until after the elections. This is not something that's going to wait until the lame duck; and that's the lead on the LaRouche PAC website today. "Send Congress Back To Enact Glass-Steagall Law; Lame Duck Is Too Late".

I don't know Bill, if you want to say a little bit about what the status of that mobilization is, and what people have to be thinking about.

BILL ROBERTS: Sure, Matt. I can confirm that it was my experience when confronting two Congressmen yesterday here in Michigan, and I think some of our super activists have reported a very similar experience. While these Congressmen are paying lip service and while their lips say "Yes, I'm for Glass-Steagall"; their actions say "No." Because as Paul just pointed out, if they were in reality about the fact that

Glass-Steagall is something that must be put in place preemptively, then they would be rushing back to Washington to pass it. The vote to override Obama's veto of the JASTA bill is quite fortuitous, because really if you think about all of the excuses that these members of Congress have: you can't get the two parties together; you can't take on these high-powered lobbyists. In both of those cases, the defeat of JASTA – the justice for the families of the victims – the way that this happened, proves that in fact, it is possible.

This mobilization has got to be accelerated and continued. What our activists are doing—and we are building up a full-scale mobilization on this—is to confront these Congressmen on the full reality of what Glass-Steagall actually is. As Mr. LaRouche pointed out today, Glass-Steagall is just the first of four steps. I think that while many well-meaning people say they support Glass-Steagall, in fact their unwillingness to take leadership on this so far, reflects a lack of understanding of the reality of the situation, how dire it is, and, frankly, a lack of a sense of what Glass-Steagall is, in the sense that this was a real historical bill that was signed into law by Franklin Roosevelt.

When you hear some of these Congressmen, or Bernie Sanders talk about Glass-Steagall, it's just "break up the banks," and that's it. And then they start talking about the abuses of the pharmaceutical industry, as if it's just this sort of gimmick.

But, as Mr. LaRouche has pointed out, this is a strategy, frankly, for victory against genocide. With JASTA, Obama was confronted on his taking the side of an imperial authority to have arbitrary power over people's lives. This is the same exact question. It is even more deadly.

I think it's on that sort of level that this mobilization has to upshift to, to get out of the domain of just a question of "Are you for, or are you against Glass-

Steagall?," but "What is your commitment, now, Mr. Congressman to ensuring that the government intervenes to save the American people, as Franklin Roosevelt did?"

OGDEN: Absolutely! Thank you very much. That, I think, brings us directly to the subject that we discussed with Mr. LaRouche earlier, and this is the subject of our "institutional question," which I'm just going to read. It says, "Mr. LaRouche, you have said that it is absolutely urgent that Glass-Steagall is implemented immediately, and that this is the first step towards a whole series of actions that must be taken to save the economy. Can you please elaborate what the other steps are?" So, that's the question.

Paul, I'm going to let you elaborate a little bit, and then we can also get to the Four Laws, as Mr. LaRouche specifically identifies them.

PAUL GALLAGHER: Well, maybe we'll get to them very quickly, because it brings us really to the question of these two extremely well-known, very fundamentally important figures in history, extremely controversial and very little understood, namely, Alexander Hamilton and Lyndon LaRouche. Certainly the recent efforts to lampoon Hamilton on the stage in New York have not aided at all in people understanding what he really contributed to the human race, to this nation, how he built this nation, in an indispensable way.

We were talking to Lyn LaRouche and Helga LaRouche earlier today about this subject. What Lyndon LaRouche said, repeatedly, actually, was that when he introduced what he called his "Four Cardinal Laws for the Economy" in 2014, he was modelling them directly on the Reports of Alexander Hamilton to the Congress of the United States. Here is where those reports are found, in this book, *The Reports of Alexander Hamilton*, [edited by Jacob Ernest Cooke, II] which gives the four Reports that Hamilton

made to the Congress, through which he established the legislative actions— but they were really broad government actions, based on crucial legislation in each case — which made it possible for this country to survive the extreme bankruptcy which it came into during the course of the Revolution, and to rapidly, from that point on, expand and become the leading industrial and technological power in the world.

What LaRouche was looking at, was four laws and obviously not meaning four traffic laws—four broad actions that must be taken in order to revive the economy from its present zero growth, zero productivity growth state, and nearly zero infrastructure investment, no infrastructure mission. To revive it from that state there are four broad actions which have to be taken, which can be represented and made possible, authorized by legislation, but are really very fundamental.

Glass-Steagall is the first, and must be done *right now*, but it just opens the door. It's like taking out the garbage, as we say. It opens the door to the other actions, and it corresponds very much to Hamilton's establishment on this continent—initially even *before* the Constitution was adopted, and *before* any of his Reports to the Congress were made and the institution of a commercial bank and something whose *only* purpose was to, as he put it, "gather the savings of the country, and place them in the hands of those who could make the most productive use of them."

That idea of a "commercial bank," in the Bank of New York, which he founded; and, obviously, in the Bank of the United States, which he founded, was unique. Before that, you had merchant banks in Europe, which essentially took partnerships in trade ventures and financed trade; and then you had banks which were formed in order to lend to the government, and get control of government finances. The Hamiltonian bank had absolutely neither of those purposes, but rather the purpose which we'll get into, I think, in the

course of this.

OGDEN: Let me just display on the screen, right now, the first slide. This [Slide #1] is the title screen: "The Four Laws, by Lyndon LaRouche." On the next slide [Slide #2] you'll see the link to the actual document which was published by Mr. LaRouche

on June 8, 2014, which we encourage you to read in full. It's titled "The Four New Laws to Save the USA Now! Not an Option: an Immediate Necessity." [<https://larouchepac.com/four-laws>] That's the website you can go to, to read the document in full, and that will also be included in the description to this video, so you'll have access to that as the broadcast continues.

On the next slide [Slide #3] you'll see a very short quote which I've taken from the introduction to that document, in which Mr. LaRouche says the following: "The only location for the immediately necessary action which could prevent such an immediate genocide throughout the trans-Atlantic sector of the planet, requires the U.S. Government's now immediate decision to *institute four specific, cardinal measures: measures which must be fully consistent with the specific intent of the original U.S. Federal Constitution...*"

On the next slide [Slide #4] you'll see "*No. 1: the immediate re-enactment of the Glass-Steagall law instituted by U.S. President Franklin D. Roosevelt, without modification, as to principle of action. No. 2: A return to a system of top-down, and thoroughly defined as National Banking.* The actually tested, successful model to be authorized is that which had been instituted, under the direction of the policies of national banking which had been actually, successfully installed under President Abraham Lincoln's superseding authority of a currency created by the Presidency of the United States (e.g., 'Greenbacks'), as conducted as a *national banking-and-credit-system placed under the supervision of the Office of the*

*Treasury Secretary of the United States*". Mr. LaRouche elaborates after that, that this was the system that Alexander Hamilton created. "No. 3: The purpose of the use of a Federal Credit-system, is to generate high-productivity trends in improvements of employment, with the accompanying intention, to increase the physical-economic productivity, and the standard of living of the persons and households of the United States. The creation of credit for the now urgently needed increase of the relative quality and quantity of productive employment, must be assured, this time, once more, as was done successfully under President Franklin D. Roosevelt, or by like standards of Federal practice..." Next slide [Slide No. 5] "...used to create a general economic recovery of the nation, {per capita}, and for the rate of net effects in productivity, and by reliance on the essential human principle, which distinguishes the human personality from the systemic characteristics of the lower forms of life: the net rate of increase of the energy-flux density of effective practice. This means intrinsically, a thoroughly scientific, rather than a merely mathematical one, and by the related increase of the effective energy-flus density *per capita*, and for the human population when considered as each and all as a whole." Following this, Mr. LaRouche said, "The ceaseless increase of the physical-productivity of employment, accompanied by its benefits for the general welfare, are a principle of Federal law which must be a paramount standard achievement of the nation and the individual."

And then "No. 4." Next Slide. [Slide No. 6] "'Adopt a Fusion-Driver 'Crash Program.' The essential distinction of man from all lower forms of life, hence, in practice, is that it presents the means for the perfection of the specifically affirmative aims and needs of human individual and social life. Therefore: the subject of man in the process of creation, as an affirmative identification of an affirmative statement of an absolute state of nature, is a permitted form of expression.

Principles of nature are either only affirmations, or they could not be affirmatively stated among civilized human minds."

Following this, Mr. LaRouche elaborates the concept of Vladimir Vernadsky's idea of the noosphere, in which he places man as specifically distinct, and in a hierarchy, above other forms of life; and then elaborates the concept of "physical chemistry," as

the only yardstick in the science of economics.

So, again, that document, in full, is available to you at <https://larouchepac.com/four-laws>. The link is available in the description to this video. We encourage to read that and study that, in full, along with these Four Reports that Paul mentioned—the Four Reports by Alexander Hamilton to the United States Congress. With that said, I think we can open up, especially this fourth point, that I just named here, and I invite Kesha to say a little bit on this subject.

KESHA ROGERS: Okay. Thank you Matt. I think we really have to start with the unstated-but-consuming principle that exists in all four of those Laws. Mr. LaRouche really captured this in recent discussions: that mankind has to re-discover the meaning of "mankind," and what is the purpose by which we, as the human

species, exist? What is our purpose, in terms of promoting the power of the creative potential that lies only in the human species, unlike any other species.

When you think about the Fourth Law, people start to say, "Ah, Okay. Well, you know, LaRouche is promoting nuclear power and fusion power, and so forth." It's not just about that. It's a subsuming principle of *all Four*, that starts with, what I would

define as the principle of Agapé. How do you develop Glass-Steagall? How do you develop the credit system, in the way that Hamilton understood, in the way that LaRouche understands? It comes from the understanding of the benefit to all mankind, which exists in advancing the creative potential



for all mankind.

If you really look at how that has influenced our nation, under Presidents George Washington, and particularly in Roosevelt and John F Kennedy. These Presidents didn't just look at Hamilton's conception of national credit, Hamilton's conception

of development of economics from the standpoint of just the law. It started with the understanding of a unique principle in the United States to advance the productive and creative powers of every living being in this nation and on this planet. One thing

that we talk about is what you saw under President Franklin Roosevelt, who was a devout student of Alexander Hamilton, and really what expanded from the period of Franklin Roosevelt through the period of John F Kennedy, was what named as the Golden Age of Productivity. I think that what we need right now is a Golden Age of Productivity for the world.

Where is the opposition coming to this? Well, if you look at what has happened with the attacks on our US space program, which would be the defining principle, the defining process which would bring together new scientific discoveries for mankind;

revolutionizing science in the way that we should be doing, which is our human potential. That's what Obama rejects.

When Obama said that we don't need any fancy fusion, and now you've seen not only the shutdown of our manned space program; but now the potential for advancements in new creative breakthroughs of

scientific and technological advancements that exist through programs such as the fusion research centers and development programs at MIT and Princeton. That's being shut down because we didn't go with Glass-Steagall; we didn't go with the Hamiltonian credit system to actually put the necessary credit into these great scientific endeavors and large scale infrastructure projects. This isn't just happening because somebody thinks the money should go elsewhere. It's happening because of a rejection to this truly human identity that it is

our human nature to advance to provide for the future; to bring about the creating of a future. So, when you think about what we're up against here, when people say "Oh yeah, we need to break up the big banks and we need Glass-Steagall"; we need Glass-Steagall, we need to break up the big banks, but we need it on Hamilton's terms. We need it on LaRouche's terms from the standpoint of a higher conception.

We have to stop the death rate; we have to stop the mass killing in the United States and around the world. The way we're going to do this, is that these programs have to be implemented from the standpoint of a higher definition and conception of what it means to be human.

I think that gets us to a fundamental point of why you look at what China is doing with the development of their space program – and LaRouche has really emphasized this very emphatically – that China has to be a model from the standpoint of the space program. Not just because of a singularity of a program they're taking up, because China's now going to the far side of the Moon, unlike any other nation; doing something that no one else has yet to do. What does this mean? This is a breakthrough in a revolution in science; this is a breakthrough in the benefit and the potential progress to all mankind. I think that is where LaRouche's Four Laws have to start from; and what China is doing right now is what we in the United States, the foundation and the principle of this United States was founded on.

What our great visionaries and scientists understood was the unique principle of mankind that defies this oligarchy's rejection of that identity; and why we became a nation committed to this principle of the creative, productive powers of the human species. If you think about these visionaries from the standpoint of what China and nations around the world are

doing to advance this creative potential, it can really be stated and defined in what the great space pioneer Krafft Ehrlicke again outlined as what our true extra-terrestrial imperative as a human species is. One thing I wanted to point is – again, I've stated this on a number of occasions – we brought up Krafft Ehrlicke's three laws of astronautics and what this really represents to promoting that potential. But I want to focus in very quickly on the third law, which is not always stated as clearly as it could be; but I think it really captures this idea that he says, "By expanding through the universe, man fulfills his destiny as an element of life; endowed with the power of reason and the wisdom of the moral law within himself." I guess the point is, where does this power of reason come from? Where does this moral law and nature within the existence of mankind lie? And it lies in mankind's creative potential, the discovery that exists only in the human species to be able to introduce new scientific principles; to introduce new laws that no other animal species can do. This is what we're losing sight of right now.

But the thing is, if we allow for the human population to be killed off en masse, we take away that potential. Look at what's happening right now: the death rates; what's happening with the drug overdoses, the suicides. What's happening with the fact that nations are dying because we don't have the scientific and technological advances to deal with threats that occur in terms of threats that come with natural disasters, that we could be saving lives. Look at the numbers of people that are going to die in Haiti right now. All of this could be stopped if we actually had a program in place immediately; a global Glass-Steagall. So we can actually stop the death rate and organize people around saving human lives so that we can advance for the future; so that we can put forth a new meaning of what mankind and the future of mankind must be. That's where the Four Laws lie; that's where we have to get Congress, as you said, back in Washington DC right now. Because they have a responsibility

to this nation and a responsibility to mankind. The fact that Obama rejected the offer by China for cooperation, rejected the offer by China to be a member of the Asia Infrastructure Investment Bank and to be a part of the New Silk Road Development

Plan, already tells you – once again – his commitment is not to the advancement of the people of this nation and the people of the world. It is to this financial oligarchy, to this empire, and to the purpose of death that he has been promoting for far too long and cannot continue to get away with.

OGDEN: Yeah, I'm actually glad you brought up the AIIB, the Asia Infrastructure Investment Bank, because one of the other elements that Mr. LaRouche raised in the discussion that we had with him this afternoon, was the necessity for international development bank banking types of activities. That there has to be a commitment on the level of agreements among nations for these vast development projects, and a Hamiltonian banking system which will allow these to take place. We see the emergence of this with what China is doing and some of the other collaborative programs around the development of the New Silk Road. This is the kind of vast surge in productivity and increased standards of living that we see in places of the world that have suffered almost no development. The interior of Eurasia and elsewhere.

But it's something that the United States had originated over 200 years ago with what Hamilton conceived; and it's something which the United States is in no way participating in today. In fact, it's rejecting and attempting to shut down – as you said Kesha. So, that's something that Mr. LaRouche also introduced into the discussion. Maybe it's something that we can also discuss a little bit more of.

GALLAGHER: At this IMF meeting, the IMF introduced a report on the world economy, and they said the big problems are: no

growth, most especially including in the United States and of course in Europe; in Obama's recovery, no growth at all; and too much debt. What did they propose as a solution? To cut back credit all over the globe, and especially to insist that China and India – which are the only two engines of real economic and industrial growth in the world – should cut back their issuance of credit. That tells you that there is functional insanity at the top of the IMF; they are completely unaware of the relationship between credit and debt. It is in fact the case that what Alexander Hamilton did â; The United States now needs a

national investment bank; it needs a national infrastructure bank, whatever you want to call it, it needs a national bank with that purpose. What Alexander Hamilton created, as LaRouche in the second of his Four Laws, was essentially a bank which he said was needed in order to be the liaison between the government and the private banks. In the process, again remember that characterization of gathering the savings of the country and placing them in the hands of those who could make the most

productive use of them. So that Hamilton was able to actually reorganize the debt of the United States and the states – which was largely unpayable at that time; provide a means of extinguishing it over a long period of time and redirect that reorganized debt through a bank into new credit, the purpose of which was to go into the key areas of the development of the productivity of the new American labor force. It could have been coming to the third action LaRouche is talking about, Hamilton

was most controversial there, because the bank that he created to reorganize the unpayable debt of the United States and the states and make it into credit; that bank could have been a land bank, that was what was being done in Europe. Alexander Hamilton had studied all those experiments of Turgot and all the other national land banks which had been set up, which had the effect of perpetuating agriculture as really the only economic activity in the country, and of enriching farmers.

But of course, it tended to enrich the monopolists who got control of the production of farmers. It also potentially that effect.

Hamilton said, no, that is not what we want to be as a nation. We don't want a national bank to finance unchanged farming practices all the way to the Pacific Ocean; which is what Jefferson wanted, and other. Rather, we want the farms to become the market for truly creative new manufacturing and industrial development – craftsmen, artisans, the founders of manufacturing businesses. This is what our national bank, said Hamilton, has to bring about; not just the endless extension of farming, the basis of the government finances on a national land bank.

What LaRouche specified – and again, as he was saying, he was thinking of Hamilton's Four Reports to the Congress in his Four Laws; what he specified is then the national bank's credit must be invested in truly productive infrastructure investment.

We're not going to get productive by investing in endless fields of solar mirrors out in the desert, or making a desert out of what was previously just a plain. We're going to become more productive by investing in the most advanced infrastructure

investments and missions that the country could possibly have.

That brings us directly to Kesha's conceptual overview, particularly of the fourth critical action; what LaRouche called the Fourth Cardinal Law, that there are frontiers of science. We know that the exploration of deep space, reviving that with everything that goes with in terms of the human experience and also in terms of developing new means of studying, measuring, and changing potentially, the laws of the universe; Einsteinian action in exploration of deep space. That requires that we have a major effort such as that which collapsed in the late 1960s when NASA's budget essentially, virtually disappeared overnight just as we were landing on the Moon. There was not a Hamiltonian credit institution backing

that space exploration, that Apollo project, up; and its budget suddenly disappeared.

We need, according to LaRouche's outline of these actions that have to be taken, to put that on the basis that we are going to go fully at the frontiers of science. Go with China to the other side of the Moon, from which the universe can be studied

and observed in a way that it never has been before. Take the Moon's long view of the universe and bring it back and share it with all the nations of the Earth; which is what the Chinese space administrator at the conference in Mexico last week was

committing China to. So, we also have to make this international, as LaRouche specified. It is a crime that whereas China has created the institutions of credit – the New Silk Road Fund, the AIIB that Kesha raised – the so-called policy banks which make trillions of dollars in infrastructure at home and abroad. Where it has created, one hand reached out for an actually international credit and sound banking system, the United States has not responded. It has no national banking institution; does not have, for the most part, sound and prudent banking going on in the private commercial banking system either.

Germany – the other critical economy in the trans-Atlantic region – same thing; no such national institution. The Kreditanstalt für Wiederaufbau which they built up after the war, has practically been eliminated; and therefore, no hands coming from the trans-Atlantic side, from the European economies join with that initiative of China, either in the exploration of space, or in the creation of the credit for it. Therefore, this terrible predicament that our friends reported and are experiencing who are at the IMF meeting; that they are somehow trying to reduce the runaway indebtedness of the world by cutting off the new credit which could actually – if directed as Hamilton and LaRouche say – if directed into the frontiers of science and technology, could actually make

that debt manageable; both by being written off and also by being made whole in the long term.

This is where Glass-Steagall is intended to lead, is into these kinds of actions. By first putting an end to the crime syndicate which has taken the place of major banks, and breaking it up and making the rest of these crucial actions possible.

But, as Bill said, we have a lot of members of Congress – why not name a few? Maxine Waters, who in the hearing on Wells Fargo said, "I'm introducing legislation to break this bank up; and if it breaks the other banks up, so be it." She's not a sponsor of the legislation which would break that bank up in the way that

would actually make for sound banking. A whole bunch of Senators at the Democratic Convention, who were interviewed on the floor in a television video, and said they were absolutely for restoring Glass-Steagall; but haven't sponsored the legislation: Senator Stabenow; Senator Booker of New Jersey; Rep. Sherman of

California. There are so many members of Congress, who are not putting their sponsorship and their action where their – they're like on Facebook. They "like" Glass-Steagall, but that is the end of it; they haven't done what they have to do.

So, get like-minded friends of yours and associates, people you know who, like you, support Glass-Steagall, and ambush these Congressmen with bunches of calls all at once to their offices demanding this. Ambush them as Bill was talking about, at the many town meetings and public appearances that they'll make.

We've got to get them to go back to Washington and take the action against Wall Street before this crash wipes us out.

ROBERTS: Let me make one more point, too, which is that Glass-Steagall has enjoyed popular support for years; it's in both party platforms. Why hasn't there been a hearing on Glass-Steagall when you have 130 members of Congress that support



it? Well, it's simple – because of Obama. It's the same reason that Obama has sabotaged Glass-Steagall is the same reason that Obama sabotaged the space program. Because the space program is the one area, as Kesha was developing, where it's completely transparent that the real nature of the human species and the real nature of economics is the Hamiltonian conception of the increase of productive powers of labor and of real physical economic growth that's generated through the discovery of new principles. You can't have a space program without that; you can't send human beings out into space and conquer the Moon and other domains. There's no pie to divide up; space is infinite. It just becomes utterly clear; it's like this experience that's been related by so many astronauts that go up to the Space Station. They look down at the bright blue Earth, and they say, "Well, I don't see any borders. I see these little land masses." And it becomes very clear to them that we are one unified humanity; and that is something that Obama had to kill. So, just as in the case of the JASTA vote, Obama has to be confronted; there's no nice way about this. He's the reason why this has not happened. I just wanted to make that point clear.

OGDEN: Absolutely! He was rendered impotent over this historic defeat of his veto of the JASTA bill. All of the so-called practical political arguments that people presented to you over years and years – "Oh, we're for Glass-Steagall, but it's just not – we can't politically make it happen." All of those crumbled with this historic victory, secured by the activation of the American people to create the conditions in which Congress had no choice but to override Obama's veto. All of these arguments against the immediate re-enactment of Glass-Steagall have crumbled. There's no better opportunity than in the wake of that victory with the restoration of the confidence of the American people that in fact, you can force this kind of historic political change to happen through this kind of mass-based activation. Coming off of that victory,

it's the time to create the political climate in this country in which if Congress does not return to Washington to immediately re-enact Glass-Steagall; that that's a toxic environment. And the American people know how to make that happen; we can do that, we can lead that. It's the kind of climate in which if you were clear as to how close we are to a total disintegration of this trans-Atlantic system, you wouldn't think twice about doing that.

And any Congressman who's trying to escape from the reality of what it would mean for Deutsche Bank with all of the derivatives counterparties to go through the floor; that this would be far, far worse than the Hell that was experienced in 2008. That would be a collapse from which you could not recover; you can't bail your way out of that kind of crisis.

So, it's only through the immediate re-enactment of Glass-Steagall – but also, as you made the point, Bill, I think very clearly – knowing that Franklin Roosevelt created Glass-Steagall in the context of the entire program that he instituted with his Presidency. The full weight of the FDR program has got to be present with the first step that's taken with re-enacting Glass-Steagall.

ROGERS: It's important to bring out what we put out as a standard in the report we published – which people should go back to – "The United States Joins the New Silk Road; a Hamiltonian Vision for an Economic Renaissance" – because I think that captures the essence of what we have to seek to bring about. Not just an economic recovery, not just putting a few people back to work; but we need a whole, complete breakthrough. A revolutionizing of science in the way that LaRouche is calling

for; a revolutionizing in the conception of the nature of mankind; what it means to be human; what we are as a species. And then how we bring together the conception of mankind for the benefit of all from the standpoint that we are now going to

advance and share in the greatest potentials for mankind that

ever existed. That's found in, as Krafft Ehrlicke said, "leaving the confines of one small planet" and going out into conquering and development of space. I thought that Bill just said it well;

that that exists not from the standpoint of borders, or from the standpoint of competing for resources. This is not about competing for resources or existence of borders; but it's that we have a unique potential as a species to come together and to act to create this economic renaissance as it's never been defined before.

I think that is really what we have to get the emotional quality around, as we saw an emotional drive around this JASTA fight. It wasn't just about the families of 9/11; but this was the question of the fight for human beings. The fight that existed really took place in people starting to sing together. When people sang together, the power that they found within themselves with the development of what took place with the

Living Memorial concerts as one; but the principle that people started to really sing together and to realize that they had more power against this enemy than they ever thought existed. And they acted on that power. We have to do the same right now to realize that this evil can be and must be defeated.

OGDEN: Wonderful. So, I think that with the title of this week's *Hamiltonian* – "One Minute After Midnight" – we can proceed with the correct sense of urgency; and everything that has been said today defines exactly what the mission is. So, again, if you can read the full document – LaRouche's Four Laws – which is provided in the link in the description to this video, that's available. And secondly, the Four Reports by Alexander Hamilton; this is available as a book. Jacob Cook is

the editor of this version; it can be made available as well. And please read these in conjunction, and maybe that can be

the subject of your Congressman's next town hall meeting.

So, thank you very much for joining us. And I would like to thank both Kesha and Bill, as well as Paul.

Please stay tuned at [larouchepac.com](http://larouchepac.com), and Good Night.

---

## **Send USA's Kongres tilbage for at vedtage Glass-Steagall – Det er for sent med en handlingslammet Kongres**

*Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 6. oktober, 2016* – Amerikanere bør ikke tolerere en Kongres, der afholdt høringer om at »gå hårdere frem mod Wall Street«, for dernæst i månedsvis at holde pause, mens Wall Street hver dag overfalder bankindskydere, små virksomheder, folk med realkreditlån og almindelige arbejdende mennesker.

Wall Street-giganterne er i færd med at plyndre deres indskyder- og udlånsbanker, oppuste deres spekulative kasinoafdelinger og har kurs mod en finansiel nedsmeltning, der udløses af de bankerotte europæiske bankgiganter. I dag dukkede der endnu en skandale op, der handlede om Wells Fargo, som snyder sine kunder fra små virksomheder i sine salgsafdelinger for almindelige banktjenesteydelser; og en ny afsløring af Deutsche Bank, der skaber mange derivatkontrakter, med det formål at få værdipapirer eller forpligtelser til at »forsvinde« fra bankens regnskab, på lignende vis som Enron. Deutsche Banks massive portefølje af derivater, den største i verden, klassificeres også som den

farligste i verden, i stand til at trække snesevis af storbanker med sig i krakket.

Millioner af amerikanere indser nu – lige i tide – at en genvedtagelse af Franklin Roosevelts Glass/Steagall-lov er den eneste måde, hvorpå man kan standse den om sig gribende kriminelle aktivitet i banker som Deutsche Bank og Wells Fargo, samt genoprette et sundt banksystem, før det bliver for sent for den amerikanske økonomi.

Det er nu for sent for hundreder af kongresmedlemmer at give det vage indtryk af, at de »gerne ville« bryde Wall Street-banekerne op. Det amerikanske folk må tvinge dem til at vende tilbage til Capitol Hill og rent faktisk gøre det, ved at genindføre Glass-Steagall.

De, der mener, at kun endnu et krak à la 2008 endelig vil få nationens officielle, valgte folk til at genindføre FDR's politik, må tænke om igen. Et krak vil i stedet presse dem til i panik at vedtage endnu en bankredning, denne gang endnu større, og som vil forarme økonomien og det amerikanske folk endnu dybere. De må formås at vedtage Glass-Steagall, før dette krak finder sted.

Dette vil blot påbegynde arbejdet med at genoplive vækst, produktivitet og produktiv beskæftigelse i den amerikanske økonomi, efter Bush' og Obamas 15 års fiasko. Men det vil skabe muligheden for en statslig kreditpolitik i tradition efter Roosevelt, ny infrastrukturudvikling, fremskyndet videnskabeligt fremskridt og et genoplivet rumforskningsprogram.

Men, Glass-Steagall og et nyt, økonomisk paradigme er nu et spørgsmål om liv eller død. Obamas mislykkede økonomiske vækst, hans nederlag mht. handelsaftaler samt Ruslands strategiske succes i Syrien driver nu Det Hvide Hus mod en direkte fremprovokering af krig med Rusland. Der er enorm opposition i Europa og Asien, men »planlægning« af det, der

kunne blive til Tredje Verdenskrig, er i gang i Obamas Hvide Hus og Forsvarsministeriet.

Giv Kongressen – i deres distrikter for at føre en dyster, intet-valg valgkampagne – besked på at vende tilbage og vedtage den nødvendige lovgivning, der kan trække landet op af krisen, en lovgivning, som oplyste amerikanere kræver. Det er for sent for en Kongres, der er handlingslammet[1]; det skal gøres nu.

*Foto: Wells Fargo er impliceret i endnu mere snyderi og løgn, denne gang over for sine små virksomhedskunder.*

---

[1]'Lame duck' – Betegner en periode, hvor valgte embedsfolk eller en valgt gruppe stadig sidder efter et fejlslagent forsøg på at vinde et valg, og så indsættelse af en efterfølger – hvilket resulterer i en handlingslammet regering (i dette tilfælde Kongressen, -red.).

---

## **DET ER NU ELLER ALDRIG: GLASS STEAGALL, ELLER DØ!**

*Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 5. oktober, 2016* – I en høring for USA's Kongres for flere år siden advarede Thomas Hoenig, viceformand for USA's Statslige Indskudsgarantifond (FDIC) og tidligere præsident for Kansas Citys Centralbank (Federal Reserve), om, at et nyt finanskrak, værre end i 2008, ville blive uundgåeligt, med mindre hele finanssystemet blev gennemgribende ændret – begyndende med en genindførelse af Glass-Steagall. Han sagde skarpt til de forsamlede

kongresmedlemmer, at, hvis de ventede med at handle til *efter* krakket, ville det være for sent – idet de kollektivt ville give efter for pres fra Finansministeriet og Federal Reserve (Centralbanken) for at vedtage endnu en selvmords-bankredning (bailout).

Ikke alene havde Hoenig ret. Sandhedens time er nu kommet, og det amerikanske folk må nu følge op på præsident Obamas knusende nederlag, med underkendelsen af hans veto mod JASTA-loven (Loven om Retsforfølgelse af Sponsorere af Terrorisme), og handle nu, for at tvinge Kongressen til omgående at træde sammen igen for at vedtage Glass/Steagall-loven, som allerede er fremsat i både Repræsentanternes Hus og Senatet. En vedtagelse af Glass-Steagall er det første, uomgængelige skridt i en total omlægning af USA's økonomi, som Lyndon LaRouche forklarer det i sine **Fire Love (til USA's (og verdens) omgående redning)**, der omfatter en lancering af udstrakte anlægsinvesteringer gennem statslig kredit til storstilede infrastrukturprojekter, der vil skabe millioner af produktive, vellønnede jobs, samt en forceret indsats for at genoplive USA's nærdøds-rumprogram og hermed relaterede felter inden for videnskabens fremskudte grænser.

Deutsche Banks administrerende direktør har været i Washington for at fremføre en tiggertale for Justitsministeriet om at reducere bødestrafen for bankens udbredte svindel med værdipapirer med sikkerhed i realkreditlån (MBS), i håb om, at direktionen vil kunne udskyde bankens kollaps ved at betale \$5 mia. i stedet for \$14 mia. Den internationale Valutafond (IMF) erkendte i udgivelsen af sin årlige efterårsrapport om den »finansielle stabilitet«, at Deutsche Bank er epicentret for et overhængende, globalt, finansielt krak, og hvor de i rapporten foreslog en række psykotiske 'nøjsomhedsforholdsregler' (nedskæringer), der mere har til hensigt at blokere for Kinas eurasiske infrastrukturinvesteringer end at adressere kendsgerningen om den umiddelbart forestående evaporation af hele det

transatlantiske system.

Denne umiddelbart forestående nedsmeltning er også den nøglefaktor, der er drivkraften bag Obamas Hvide Hus og forsvarsministerens kontor for at gøre fremstød for en åben konfrontation med Rusland. Et møde i onsdags i det Nationale Sikkerhedsråds Lederkomité tog en række eskalerende militære optioner i Syrien op, som alle ville udmønte sig i en direkte fremprovokering af Tredje Verdenskrig. Og forsvarsminister Ashton »Strangelove« Carter har rendt rundt og truet med en førsteanvendelse af atomvåben imod Rusland i takt med, at han gør fremstød for en modernisering og udvidelse af USA's atomtriade til \$1 billion.

Alle medlemmer af Repræsentanternes Hus, samt en tredjedel af Senatsmedlemmerne, er på valg om nogle få uger. De befinder sig nu alle hjemme i deres valgdistrikter, hvor de fører kampagne. De skal, i vendinger, der ikke kan misforstås, have at vide, at de må vende tilbage til Washington – før Deutsche Bank, eller de italienske, britiske eller franske banker, eller Wall Street, udløser den største finansielle nedsmeltning i moderne historie. De institutioner, de har sponsoreret og støttet genindførelsen af Glass-Steagall, må mobiliseres til at handle beslutsomt nu. Både det Demokratiske Partis og det Republikanske Partis valgplatform kræver en genindførelse af Glass-Steagall. AFL-CIO (USA's største fagforening) promoverer Glass-Steagall. Tiden er inde til at levere varen.

Denne kamp kan og må vindes – og det betyder at vinde, før hele systemet eksploderer. Denne kamp har nu nået et punkt, hvor vi alle befinder os imellem liv eller død, og det er, desværre, ikke en overdrivelse.

*Foto: Præsident Franklin Roosevelt holder sin 'Fireside Chat' nr. 6 til det amerikanske folk, september 1934. (Foto: Presidential Library & Museum).*



**JEG ER INTERESSERET I SCHILLER  
INSTITUTTETS**

**GLASS/STEAGALL-KAMPAGNE.**

**KONTAKT MIG**

---

## **Folketinget åbner: Interviews om Glass/Steagall- bankopdeling og TTIP**

Den 4. oktober åbnede Folketinget, og i den anledning havde en række organisationer og folk arrangeret en demonstration foran Christiansborg. Demonstrationen havde flere temaer og handlede om forskellige folkelige protester. Christian Bechmann Olesen, som stillede op til Folketinget uden for partierne i samarbejde med Schiller Instituttets Venner, holdt en tale imod TTIP og CETA – de såkaldte frihandelsaftaler, som forhandles mellem EU og USA, og mellem EU og Canada.

Han blev interviewet live af TV2 News omkring frihandelsaftalerne, samt af Christiania TV.

Efter interviewet om TTIP gav Christian Bechmann Olesen også et interview til Christiania TV om finanssystemet og det forstående kollaps, som vi står overfor. Derefter talte han om Schiller Instituttet og kampagnen for indførelse af en Glass/Steagall-bankopdeling.

---

**Retfærdighedens sejr over  
Obamas veto.**

**På hvilken side stiller  
Tyskland sig?**

**Af Helga Zepp-LaRouche**

*Hvis vi kan løfte os op på samme tankeniveau som hos genierne Nikolaus af Cusa, Kepler, Leibniz, Bach, Beethoven, Schiller, Einstein og Krafft Ehrlicke, vil vi kunne vække den ophøjede sindstilstand og den kreative optimisme, som vi har brug for, for at finde løsninger på de højere planer, som disse store ånder tænkte på. Og hvorfor skulle vi ikke være i stand til at virkeliggøre en renæssance af vores humanistiske tradition?*

Download (PDF, Unknown)

---

**Vesten kan ikke længere  
skjule det  
transatlantiske finanssystems**

# sammenbrud

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 4. oktober, 2016 – Overskrifter i den førende presse i Europa og USA gør det meget klart, at Finansherrerne over Wall Street og City of London ikke længere kan skjule det umiddelbart forestående og uundgåelige kollaps af deres finansielle system. Tag dagens *Die Welt*: »Deutsche Bank truer den amerikanske regering med systemisk kollaps.« Eller *Bloomberg*: »Eksistentiel trussel imod den økonomiske verdenorden overskygger IMF-diskussioner.« Artiklen i *Bloomberg* omhandler IMF's *World Economic Outlook*, den årlige gennemgang af verdensøkonomien, og som blev offentliggjort i dag forud for det årlige IMF-møde, der finder sted i denne weekend i Washington, D.C.

Det bedste ville være at henvise til den aktuelle krise som »Ét minut over Midnat«, snarere end ét minut før. »De kan ikke skjule det«, sagde Lyndon LaRouche i dag. »De er håbløst bankerot.«

Problemet med udtalelserne fra IMF om krisen er imidlertid, at deres forslag til, hvad man skal gøre ved det, simpelthen er mere af de samme politikker, som forårsagede sammenbruddet i første omgang. For at retfærdiggøre dette, er de nødt til at lyve om årsagen. Transformationen af □□vestlige storbanker til uregulerede spillekasinoer gennem at ophæve Glass-Steagall i 1990'erne nævnes aldrig, alt imens den paniske gennemførelse af massiv pengetrykning og negative renter til at holde sammen på for-store-til-at-lade-gå-ned-bankerne i de seneste år, på bekostning af den virkelige økonomi, bliver ordineret som løsningen snarere end årsagen.

Ironisk nok bliver fremkomsten af □□populisme i hele Europa og USA beskrevet som krisens årsag, snarere end dens resultat. "Næret af stagnerende lønninger og aftagende jobsikkerhed, truer det populistiske oprør med at svække en verdensøkonomi, som, iflg. IMF's administrerende direktør Christine Lagarde, allerede er 'svag og skrøbelig'«, skriver *Bloomberg*.

IMF kræver løsninger i form af tre punkter: flere frigjorte penge (negative renter), flere offentlige udgifter (kvantitative lempelser), samt strukturreformer (en eufemisme for anti-arbejdsmarkedspolitik). Det er faktisk, hvad der allerede finder sted i det transatlantiske område. *Financial Times* erkendte tirsdag, at »I årets sidste tre måneder forventes Storbritannien, Japan og Europa sammenlagt at slå mønt (trykke penge) for \$506 milliarder til opkøb af værdipapirer – det største kvartalsvise beløb, der er trykt siden starten af den amerikanske Centralbanks, Federal Reserves, program for kvantitativ lempelse i 2009.«

Lige så vel som, at kendsgerningen om sammenbruddet ikke kan skjules for offentligheden, således kan og må den eneste mulige løsning fremlægges for befolkningen og den lovgivende magt (parlamenterne) i hele USA og Europa – nemlig den, at gøre en ende på det nuværende, bankerotte system ved hjælp af den selv samme metode, som Franklin Roosevelt gennemførte, da han i 1933 tiltrådte sit embede som præsident. Den omgående genindførelse af Glass-Steagall er den eneste metode til at redde de vestlige nationers kommercielle banksystem. Det vil betyde afslutningen af de fleste af Wall Streets og Londons TBTF-bankers eksistens – men den eneste måde, hvorpå de virkelige mennesker, der er indfanget i den massive boble, kan redde livet, er at sørge for, at boblens kollaps sker på en lovmæssig måde, der gør det muligt for banktilsynsmyndigheder at skelne mellem hasardspillerne og så dem, der blev narret til at betro deres opsparing og pensioner til de kriminelle institutioner.

Så begynder det virkelige arbejde – det glædelige arbejde med at opbygge en nation. Gennem en genoprettelse af »det Amerikanske System« for statslig bankpraksis, som det blev udviklet af Alexander Hamilton, og udstedelse af kreditter gennem dette system til at skabe produktiv beskæftigelse og en forøgelse af reel produktivitet gennem øget energigennemstrømning (i produktionsprocesserne, -red.), kan nationen genrejses økonomisk. At genoprette Amerikas tabte

engagement mht. videnskabens fremskudte grænser, gennem udvikling af fusionskraft og en genoplivning af vores rumprogram, vil give vore børn den nødvendige fremtid, befriet fra Obamas narkotika-befængte modkultur, og genintroduceret til klassisk musik og klassisk kultur, både vores egen kultur og kulturen hos vore naturlige allierede i Kina, Rusland, Indien og Mellemøsten, så vel som også de nyligt fremgangsrige nationer i Afrika og Latinamerika.

Det faktum, at, hvis man ikke opnår disse udviklinger nu, før den vanvittige valgproces er afsluttet i USA, så vil det snart føre os til en global krig, må adresseres. Aldrig har verden været tættere på atomkrig i takt med, at Obama og hans forsvarsminister »Atom-Ash« Carter deployerer verdens mest avancerede militære våben helt frem til de russiske og kinesiske grænser, samtidig med, at de lancerer et program formedelst \$1 billion til at genopbygge hele USA's atomvåbenarsenal. Carter insisterer på, at USA må opretholde en atomar »førsteangrebs«-kapacitet til at imødegå truslen fra russisk side om en imaginær, konventionel invasion af NATO. Faktisk træffer Obama og hans krigsparti nu forberedelser til krig mod russiske og syriske styrker i Syrien; en krig, som omgående kunne fremprovokere en verdenskrig.

Denne galskab har intet med den ikkeeksisterende trussel om militær aggression fra Ruslands eller Kinas side at gøre, men derimod med den meget reelle »trussel« imod det anglo-amerikanske, finansielle imperium, som Rusland, Kina, Indien og deres BRIKS-partnere har skabt. Med støtte fra næsten alle udviklingslande på Jorden, er disse nationer ved at skabe et nyt paradigme for verden, centreret omkring Kinas udviklingspolitik med Den Nye Silkevej og samarbejde om at komme narkotika og terrorisme i hele verden til livs.

Afsløringen af □□Obamas åbenlyse støtte til terrorister og deres sponsorer, med hans (underkendte) veto af JASTA-loven, åbner vejen for, at han kan fjernes fra embedet for «høje forbrydelser og forseelser» (»high crimes and misdemeanors«, -red.).

USA og Europa kan og må slutte vanviddet og tilslutte sig det nye paradigme.

---

## **Gennemtving Glass-Steagall nu – før Deutsche Bank kollapser**

*Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 3. oktober, 2016* – Der er ikke et øjeblik at spille. Kongressen skal kaldes tilbage til Washington for omgående at genindføre Glass-Steagall – før et kollaps af Deutsche Bank sænker hele det transatlantiske finansielle system og udløser kaos og en mulig atomkrig over planeten.

Med topledere fra Deutsche Bank, der nu er i Washington, D.C., i et kapløb med tiden for at lave en aftale med det amerikanske Justitsministerium om at reducere dens trussel om en bøde på \$14 milliarder for bedrageri med pantebreve, erkender man nu åbenlyst, at Deutsche Banks massive eksponering til derivater og bankens gearing på 25:1 er ved at bringe hele spillekasinoet i London og på Wall Street til fald. I lørdags tiltalte en dommer i Milano, Italien, seks nuværende og tidligere topledere fra Deutsche Bank, sammen med 11 andre top-bankfolk fra Banca Monte dei Paschi di Siena (BMPS) og Nomura, på en anklage om forfalskning af BMPS regnskaber for at skjule massive tab for banktilsynsmyndigheder og kunder.

Russiske anklagere undersøger nu endnu en tidligere topmægler fra Deutsche Banks Moskva-kontor, og som angiveligt har været involveret i en ulovlig ordning med russiske gangstere om hvidvaskning til \$10 milliarder.

Alt imens denne retsforfølgelse finder sted, så har det amerikanske Justitsministerium endnu ikke rejst tiltale mod så

meget som en eneste direktør for en for-stor-til-at-lade-gå-  
ned-bank for den største finansielle svindel i historien.  
Resultatet er, at en endnu større finansboble, der er baseret  
på derivater til flere hundrede billioner af dollars, atter er  
opbygget – og som nu er klar til at eksplodere. En førende  
japansk ekspert sagde det ligefremt under en nylig diskussion:  
Enten bliver Glass-Steagall genindført før kollapset, og alle  
de kriminelle top-bankfolk bliver arresteret, eller også  
bliver vi alle konfronteret med faren for et systemisk krak og  
truslen om krig. Med en gentagelse af kommentarer fra Lyndon  
LaRouche erklærede han, »Oktober er det kritiske øjeblik.«

Den eneste løsning er netop en omgående genindførsel af Glass-  
Steagall, en afslutning af alle derivatkontrakter på en  
bestemt fastlagt dato, samt retsforfølgelse for kriminelle  
handlinger af alle de TBTF-bankierer, der plyndrede deres egne  
kunder. De forbrydelser, der for nylig blev afsløret i Wells  
Fargo-banken, udgør den reelle norm på Wall Street og i [City  
of] London. Glem ikke HSBC's rolle i hvidvaskning af kokain-  
provenuet fra de colombianske og mexicanske narkokarteller, og  
som finansierede deres dødspatroljer.

Øjeblikket er modent til netop sådanne nødforanstaltninger,  
der umiddelbart skal følges af lanceringen af en fysisk-  
økonomisk genrejsning gennem massive anlægsinvesteringer i  
infrastruktur, jobskabelse og videnskabelig forskning.  
Præsident Obama, briterne og saudierne har netop modtaget det  
mest ødelæggende slag fra den amerikanske Kongres, i og med  
tilsidesættelsen af Obamas JASTA-veto.

Som Lyndon LaRouche bemærkede til kolleger i mandags, så er  
Obama udmattet – hans vitalitet er væk. Alt er i færd med at  
kollapse omkring ham, og han kan og må trænges godt og  
grundigt op i en krog, så han kan ikke gøre noget.

Der er vitalitet i Asien, hvor den fortsatte  
funktionsdygtighed af disse førende nationer – dvs. Kina,  
Rusland, Indien og andre – må forsvares imod eventuelle  
desperate handlinger fra Obama. At sikre de asiatiske

initiativer, som vil blive fremmet om 12 dage, hvor statsledere fra BRIKS-landene samles i Goa, Indien, til deres årlige topmøde, er en global prioritet.

---

# **Det amerikanske folk kan besejre Obama igen – for at stoppe et bankkrak, der er værre end i 2008**

*Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 2. okt., 2016* – Hvad skal vi gøre for at stille Wells Fargo og Wall Street for retten, før de atter bringer massearbejdsløshed og forarmelse over os?

Kongressens næsten enstemmige underkendelse af præsident Obamas forsøg på at nedlægge veto mod Loven om Retsforfølgelse af Sponsorer for Terrorisme (JASTA) var et stor slag for retfærdighed. Det var et alvorligt nederlag for en farlig præsident, hvis administration offentligt truer med at gøre Rusland til mål for terrorisme, eller endda for atomvåben.

Brug erfaringerne fra JASTA til at minde jer selv om, hvad den amerikanske befolkning kan gøre af egen vilje, som de gjorde, da de rejste sig og opnåede denne tilsidesættelse. Husk jer selv på, hvordan en lignende opstand stoppede Obama i 2013, da han, i kølvandet på den katastrofale Libyenkrig, var i fuld gang med at kaste amerikanske styrker ind i Syrien og mangedoble katastrofen.

Begge gange blev den planløse og hykleriske Kongres forvandlet til et seriøst, forfatningsmæssigt organ af en folkelig



mobilisering, da nationens moralske overlevelse og værdighed stod på spil.

Vi står over for et banksystem, der har kurs mod et nyt kollaps, som, med mindre vi hurtigt skifter over til Glass/Steagall-politik, vil forarme de europæiske og amerikanske folk langt ud over noget, som krakket i 2008 forårsagede. Storbankerne i Europa og Amerika er alle ét stort London- og Wall Street-centreret roderi, der begår umoralske handlinger og forbrydelser uden ende, og som atter truer med at implodere i kaos.

Deutsche Bank er verdens »leder« inden for spekulation i derivater, og »leder« nu det transatlantiske banksystem ind i endnu et krak.

Wells Fargo var en af de absolut største, der hævdedes at være den »reneste«, og som er blevet afsløret som en af de værste. Juridisk retfærdighed fordrer, at Wells Fargo og de andre giganter på Wall Street bliver brudt op, deres kasino-derivatoperationer afskrevet og deres ledelser udskiftet. Juridisk retfærdighed betyder, i Wall Streets tilfælde, Glass/Steagall-retfærdighed.

Og vi må få Kongressen til at gøre dette hurtigt.

Det, der fundamentalt er på spil, er økonomisk fremgang, udsigten til at vende tilbage til investeringer i teknologiske fremskridt og reel produktivitetsvækst.

Det går tilbage til den foreslåede vedtagelse af »Fire Kardinallove« for langsigtet fremskridt, som *EIR's* stiftende redaktør, Lyndon LaRouche, detaljeret forklarede i erklæringer fra maj-juni 2014.

Den første kardinallov er at genindsætte Glass/Steagall-loven, som ligeledes vil fremprovokere en omgående følgevedtagelse i de europæiske lande. Den anden kardinallov er udstedelse af statslig kredit til produktion, gennem en Nationalbank efter

Hamiltons principper eller en Kreditinstitution for Genopbygning efter Roosevelts principper (Reconstruction Finance Corporation). Den tredje kardinallov er, som rettesnor for denne kredits investering, at definere de mest avancerede infrastrukturprojekter, der vil tilføre vores befolkning den største grad af ny produktivitet, inklusive et stærkt genoplivet program til udforskning af Månen og det ydre rum, i samarbejde med i særdeleshed Kina. Den fjerde kardinallov er – endeligt – at vedtage et veritabelt forceret program for udvikling af fusionsenergi og fusionsteknologier.

Denne fremgangsmåde vil afgøre økonomiens faktiske kapacitet for fremskridt, produktiv beskæftigelse og produktivitet. Og arbejdet med henblik på disse fire kardinallove siden maj-juni 2014 har gjort det muligt, at Glass-Steagall er det primære spørgsmål mht. økonomisk retfærdighed og fremskridt i USA lige nu.

*Foto: CIA-direktør John Brennan og Obama, loyale venner af det saudiske kongerige, led et uopretteligt nederlag i sidste uge, med vedtagelsen af JASTA.*

---

**RADIO SCHILLER den 3. oktober  
2016:  
Deutsche Bank kollapser:  
Glass-Steagall eller kaos?//  
USA's Kongres tilsidesætter**

# Obamas veto

Med formand Tom Gillesberg

---

## Først JASTA – Nu, VEDTAG GLASS-STEAGALL!

*Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 29. sept., 2016* – For fem dage siden udstedte Lyndon LaRouche marchorder for de presserende opgaver, som nationen umiddelbart står overfor: »Tilsidesæt JASTA-vetoet, Afsæt Obama; Vedtag Glass-Steagall!« På daværende tidspunkt rapporterede vi, at »de næste 72 timer bliver afgørende for JASTA og Obamas skæbne, og dermed for USA's og planetens skæbne.«

LaRouche havde ret. Med onsdagens ydmygende nederlag til Obama, med Senatets og Repræsentanternes Hus' vedtagelse af at tilsidesætte hans JASTA-veto, har vi nået vores første mål. »Det her ser godt ud; dette var en særdeles god udvikling!«, kommenterede Lyndon LaRouche i dag og tilføjede: Dette spørgsmål er nu lukket og kan ikke omstødes. Det skete rent faktisk; det var det rigtige; og det kommer til at holde.

Vi har opnået en sejr, der allerede er i færd med at skabe et fundamentalt, strategisk skifte, både her i landet og internationalt; et skifte, som den amerikanske befolkning kun lige er begyndt at fornemme, og som *ikke* vises frem i det aktuelle præsidentvalg-cirkus.

I dag fortsætter vi vores pres: Kongressen skal mobiliseres til at vedtage Glass-Steagall straks, som det første skridt

hen imod en generel, økonomisk reorganisering, før hele det transatlantiske system falder fra hinanden. Og der er overhovedet ingen tid tilbage til at handle i: Deutsche Bank, Tysklands største bank, er i færd med at synke som en sten og står over for et massivt, spekulativt »shorting«-angreb fra gribbefonde og folk som George Soros, der spiller på, at den tyske regering kan blive tvunget til at redde banken (bailout) – dvs., at spekulanterne er indehavere af 'short'-aktier – til tonerne af et tocifret milliardbeløb i dollars. Og Deutsche Bank er kun toppen af isbjerget af hele det derivat-gennemsyrede, transatlantiske banksystem.

Hvad angår Obama og den skæbne, der venter ham, mindede LaRouche i dag kraftigt folk om aldrig at glemme Obamas ansvar, ikke kun for at mørklægge og forsvare (som Bush før ham) de britisk-saudiske terrorister, der udløste 11. september, men også for alle de amerikanske borgere og andre, som han henrettede i forbindelse med sine tirsdagsdrabsorgier – de hemmelige møder i det Hvide Hus, hvor han personligt besluttede, hvem der skulle dræbes af amerikanske droneangreb. Disse drab er karakteristiske for Obama, erklærede LaRouche; Obama er, i lighed med sin stedfar, en slagter. Obama er en masseorder på alle skalaer; og alligevel underkastede folk sig ham og beundrede ham som en autoritet.

»Obama er *virkelig* ond«, sagde LaRouche, og han må omgående fjernes fra embedet. Obama repræsenterer et bundløst dyb af ondskab, og han har simpelthen myrdet mange amerikanske borgere og andre. Og der var ingen væsentlig respons, der modsatte sig disse forbrydelser, udtalte LaRouche. Nu kan vi, med det, der er kommet i gang med tilsidesættelsen af JASTA-vetoet, endelig slippe af med denne morder af amerikanske borgere og andre. »Dette er kendsgerningerne,« insisterede LaRouche.

Den politisk set blodbesudlede Obama har på lignende vis besluttet at optrappe sin konfrontation med Rusland og Kina lige til bristepunktet. I går truede talsmand for

Udenrigsministeriet John Kirby med at udløse terror *inden for* Ruslands grænser, hvis ikke Putin underkaster sig Obamas alliance med terrorister i Syrien. Den russiske respons til truslen kom prompte, var urokkelig og præcis: »Vi kan ikke betragte dette som andet end en de facto støtte til terroristerne fra USA's side«, udtalte viceudenrigsminister Sergej Ryabkov.

Nu, da det amerikanske folk og dets repræsentanter i Washington har fået en forsmag på, hvordan Obamas onde politikker kan stoppes med et øjeblikks varsel, så lad os smide ham ud – og omgående vedtage Franklin D. Roosevelts Glass/Steagall-lov.

*Foto: LaRouchePAC-aktivister demonstrerer foran Det Hvide Hus for Loven om Retsforfølgelse af Statssponsorer af Terrorisme, JASTA. Obamas veto af JASTA er blevet underkendt af Kongressen, med en hidtil uset tværpolitisk støtte. 20/9, 2016 [Foto/Jason Ross/Alle rettigheder reserveret]*

---

## **Kongressen leverer en øredøvende lussing til Obama og anglo-saudierne**

*Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 28. sept., 2016* – Den amerikanske Kongres leverede i dag en rungende tilsidesættelse af Barack Obamas veto fra 23. september imod S. 2040, JASTA-lovforslaget (Loven om Retsforfølgelse af Sponsorer af Terrorisme), med et stemmetal i Senatet på 97-mod-1 til fordel for tilsidesættelse; og en stemmeoptælling i Repræsentanternes Hus

på 348-mod-77. Tilslidesættelsen krævede et flertal på 2/3 i begge Kongressens kamre, hvilket blev opnået med en bred, tværpolitisk margin.

Konsekvenserne heraf er massive.

Med JASTA-loven nu som gældende lov i landet, er de smuthuller blevet fjernet, der blokerede for, at familier og overlevende fra angrebet d. 11. september kunne sagsøge Kongeriget Saudi-Arabien ved amerikanske føderale domstole, for deres meddelagtighed i 11. september. En høring er allerede planlagt i den Føderale Domstol for New Yorks Sydlige Distrikt i november, og her vil familier til ofre for 11. september endelig blive i stand til direkte at konfrontere det saudiske monarki og forfølge yderligere beviser på den saudiske regerings støtte til 11. september-flykaprerne, hvoraf 15 var saudiske statsborgere.

Muligheden for at gøre nye opdagelser vedrørende den saudiske rolle i angrebet d. 11. september 2001 blev for nylig, den 15. juli, 2016, yderligere forstærket med den offentlige frigivelse af det 28 sider lange kapitel fra den oprindelige Fælles Kongresundersøgelsesrapport om 11/9, fra 2002, som afslører tidligere hemmeligholdte beviser for den rolle, som den tidligere saudiske ambassadør til USA, prins Bandar bin-Sultan, spillede i at støtte mindst to af terroristerne fra 11. september, sammen med dokumentation for flykaprernes tilknytning til snesevis af andre saudiske embedsmænd på alle niveauer i regeringen og kongefamilien.

Prins Bandars rolle i angrebene d. 11. september er af særlig betydning på grund af hans nære forbindelser til Bush-familien og endnu tættere bånd til briterne. Bandar var, sammen med den tidligere britiske premierminister Margaret Thatcher, mægleren i Al Yamamah-aftalen, en tuskhandel om britiske våben for saudisk olie. Under Al Yamamah-byttehandlen blev hundreder af milliarder af dollars omdirigeret til hemmelige, fælles anglo-saudiske offshore-konti, med henblik på at finansiere terrorisme, mord og politiske kup i hele verden. Et

fremtrædende medlem af det britiske Underhus, og som også er en højtplaceret person i det britiske forsvarsetablisement, advarede i juni ligefremt om, at, hvis JASTA skulle blive vedtaget som amerikansk lov, kunne det britiske monarki og den britiske regering blive sagsøgt, sammen med saudierne.

### »En historisk sejr«

Lyndon LaRouche, hvis Politiske Aktionskomite (LPAC) har mobiliseret intensivt for dagens resultat, beskrev afstemningen som »En historisk sejr. Den er en anledning til glæde; den har vendt et nyt blad i historiebogen. Det verdensomspændende, positive potentiale er enormt.« Men LaRouche advarede imidlertid, »Hvor langt, og hvorhen, det bringer os, er endnu ikke klart. Gør jer klar til at finde ud af det.« Han tilføjede: »Husk, at I har såret Djævelen hårdt. Og Djævelen vil ikke takke jer for det!«

Det overvældende nederlag til præsident Obama og saudierne kom på trods af, at hele Obamaadministrationen var blevet mobiliseret til at lægge pres på Kongressen om at støtte hans veto, og det saudiske monarki har, rapporteres det, hældt \$9,4 millioner ind, i en desperat lobbyindsats for at købe medlemmer af Kongressen. I sidste ende afviste en tværpolitisk koalition af førende senatorer og kongresmedlemmer Obamaadministrationens løgne om, at JASTA udgør en trussel mod amerikanske interesser i udlandet, og de leverede det største politiske nederlag til præsident Obama, siden han først tiltrådte embedet.

I dag afholdt begge Kongressens kamre en to timer lang debat forud for den historiske afstemning, og langt de fleste af indlæggene understregede 11. september-familiernes rettigheder til langt om længe at opnå juridisk retfærdighed, og til at konfrontere det saudiske monarki og dets agenter for deres medskyld i det værste terrorangreb nogensinde på amerikansk jord.

Meget af debatten var optaget af at aflive Obamas påstand om,

at JASTA ville åbne op for gengældelse mod amerikanske soldater, virksomheder og diplomater fra udenlandske regerings side. Førende fortalere for JASTA, herunder senatorerne Charles Grassley (R-Iowa) og Charles Schumer (D-New York), og kongresmedlemmerne Robert Goodlatte (R-Va.) og Jerome Nadler (D-New York) gjorde det gentagne gange klart, at JASTA blot lukker et smuthul i lovgivningen, der har eksisteret siden 1970'erne, og således nu gør det muligt for amerikanske borgere at sagsøge udenlandske regeringer, der beviseligt har ydet støtte til terrorangreb på amerikansk jord. Men dette smuthul har gjort det muligt for Kongeriget Saudi-Arabien at unddrage sig amerikansk, juridisk retfærdighed i de sidste 15 år, og den mulighed er nu bragt til ende.

### **Bredere strategiske muligheder**

Den succesfulde, tværpolitiske indsats, der underkendte præsident Obamas veto imod JASTA, kan og skal nu, med samme intensitet, rettes imod andre vitale spørgsmål, begyndende med behovet for, at Kongressen omgående vedtager de lovforslag om genindførelse af Glass-Steagall, der allerede er fremsat i begge Kongressens kamre; lovforslag, der sigter mod at opdele for-store-til-at-lade-gå-ned-bankerne, som befinder sig på kanten af et sammenbrud, der er langt værre end i 2008. Tysklands Deutsche Bank, den største indehaver af derivater blandt alle banker i verden, står umiddelbart foran sammenbrud, og hele det transatlantiske banksystem står til at krakke, som et direkte resultat heraf

En genindførelse af Glass-Steagall er det første, uomgængelige skridt mod at lancere en reel, økonomisk genrejsning, gennem massive kapitalinvesteringer i presserende tiltrængte infrastrukturprojekter, forskning og udvikling, og især en genoplivning af Amerikas nu kollapsede NASA-rumprogram. En sådan indsats kan, nu og her, skabe millioner af nye



produktive arbejdspladser. I Eurasien bliver et massivt program for infrastrukturinvesteringer gennemført under Kinas ledelse, og under banneret af præsident Xi Jinpings initiativ for Ét bælte, Én vej. Den russiske præsident Vladimir Putin har vedtaget dette initiativ og har foreslået, at den Eurasiske Økonomiske Union integreres i indsatsen, som tidligere USA-ambassadør Chas Freeman nyligt beskrev som det største infrastrukturprojekt i menneskets historie.

I stedet for at arbejde på at sabotere det Ny Silkevejsprojekt, hvilket har været USA's politik under præsident Obama, bør USA fuldt ud omfavne programmet for Ét bælte, Én vej og således udvide det til at blive en ægte **Verdenslandbro**.

USA's Kongres har for første gang i lange tider handlet med én stemme på vegne af det amerikanske folks vitale interesser. JASTA-loven gavner alle amerikanere og især dem, der mistede deres kære i angrebene d. 11. september, eller selv blev alvorligt såret.

Afstemningen frembyder en større håbets bavn for, at den samme ånd af national interesse nu hurtigt kan overføres til de øvrige handlinger, der er afgørende for USA's og verdens overlevelse og fremgang.

*Foto: USA's Senat vedtager med stemmerne 97 mod 1 at underkende præsident Obamas veto af Loven om Retsforfølgelse af Sponsorer af Terrorisme. Få timer senere fulgte Repræsentanternes Hus trop, og JASTA bliver nu landets lov.*

---

**Verden er ved at gå op i sømmene;**

**Vi skal ikke have propaganda, men løsninger!**

**Af Helga Zepp-LaRouche**

*Europas regeringer og betydningsfulde kræfter i USA må tilslutte sig det koncept, som Kina og BRIKS-landene fremlagde i forbindelse med det nylige G20-topmøde i Hangzhou: et »win-win«-samarbejde mellem alle verdens nationer, for at løse menneskehedens fælles problemer og omgående realisere en ny finansarkitektur og skabe en ny orientering for verdensøkonomien, der er baseret på videnskabelig og teknologisk innovation. Kort sagt: de gamle præmisser med geopolitik må smides over bord, og hele menneskehedens almene vel må udgøre udgangspunktet for løsningen af alle disse kriser.*

Download (PDF, Unknown)

---

**Vestens død er nu åbenlys;  
men en fuld økonomisk og  
social**

# genopbygning, FDR-stil, er nu mulig

*Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 22. sept. 2016 – Prøv engang at tage et overblik fra oven over tilstanden i USA. Hvad ser du?*

Befolkningen befinder sig i en tilstand af sammenbrud, på randen af borgerkrig. De seneste dages begivenheder i Charlotte demonstrerer endnu engang desperationen hos de fattige, der er sat uden for indflydelse, kombineret med raceskel og racefordomme, der, som et lyn fra en klar himmel, kan blive den gnist, der antænder et kaos. Ikke alene drives ungdommen ud i stofmisbrug; deres afhængighed promoveres af en afsindig præsident. Banksystemet er ved at disintegrere, Wall Streets og Londons kasinamentalitet har ødelagt realøkonomien og skabt en derivatboble, der er mere end dobbelt så stor som den, der i 2008 fremprovokerede finansiel fallit. De seneste 15 års evindelige krige for »regimeskifte«, under Bush og Obama, har forøget terroristernes rækker i enorm grad samtidig med, at det har kostet milliarder af dollars og overgivet hele nationer i hænderne på barbariske forbryderbander, samt fremprovokeret en flygtningekrise, der er ved at flå Europa fra hinanden, og som skaber højrefløjsbevægelser, der ikke er set siden fascisternes og nazisternes æra. Politistatsstrukturens overvågning i USA fortsætter hæmningsløst, til trods for, at dette blev afsløret af en modig Edward Snowden for år tilbage.

Og dog ligger sandheden om disse grusomheder udbredt for os alle, i dagens klare lys. For-store-til-at-lade-gå-ned-bankerne, med Deutsche Bank i spidsen, står afsløret som værende uigenkaldeligt bankerotte, alt imens befolkningen i stigende grad forarmes. Narkotikaepidemien har ramt alle lokalsamfund i Amerika, inklusive selv små, landlige områder. Hollywoods perverse, virkeligheds-simulerende vold er nu blevet til virkelighed på de sene nyheder. Den kendsgerning,

at vores præsident står på terrorismens side, er blevet afsløret af frigivelsen af de 28 sider (af den Fælles Kongresundersøgelsesrapport, fra 2002, om 11. septemberbegivenhederne 2001), og af Obamas åbenlyse forsvar for de saudiske sponsorer af terrorisme, gennem hans meddelelse om, at han vil nedlægge veto imod JASTA-loven, til trods for, at denne er blevet enstemmigt vedtaget af Kongressen, der ligeledes har lovet, at den vil gøre Obamas veto ugyldigt. Som begivenhederne i Syrien i denne uge har bevist, så vil Det Hvide Hus og Pentagon, med djævelens vold og magt, starte en krig mod Rusland og Kina selv på et tidspunkt, hvor resten af verden ser hen til Rusland og Kina som den eneste kilde til udvikling og win-win-samarbejde, som det sås på G20-topmødet i Kina tidligere på måneden. I denne uge bragte den kinesiske premierminister Li Keqiang dette »G20/win-win«-perspektiv ind i FN's institutioner, hvor kineserne var vært for 16 organisationer (FN's Udviklingsprogram (UNDP), Verdenshandelsorganisationen (WTO), Den internationale Valutafond (IMF), Verdensbanken osv.) på et forum med en dagsorden for den nødvendige udvikling i hele verden.

Vi kunne blive ved.

I dag bemærkede Helga Zepp-LaRouche, med en vurdering af dette svangre øjeblik i historien, at, hvis de \$5 billion, der er blevet brugt på Bush/Obama-krige, samt de \$1 billion, som Obama har til hensigt at spendere på at modernisere USA's lager af atomvåben, i stedet blev brugt på at genopbygge USA, på at restaurere og udbedre manglen på infrastruktur, opføre nye byer langs med nye højhastigheds-jernbanelinjer (som Kina har gjort), genopbygge 'rustbælterne', hvor den amerikanske industri engang nød fremgang, organisere CCC-korps (Civilian Conservation Corps; opr. statsligt FDR-hjælpeprogram for unge arbejdsløse mænd) med det formål under et genopbygningsprogram at skaffe jobs, uddannelse og rehabilitering for de millioner af glemte og stofafhængige unge; atter finansiere videnskab og klassisk kultur, især i vores degenererede skolesystemer –

hvis alt dette, og mere endnu, blev gjort, som det blev gjort af Franklin D. Roosevelt under hans New Deal, så ville der ikke være nogen krig og intet økonomisk kollaps.

Det første skridt – efter i denne uge at gøre Obamas veto mod JASTA ugyldigt – må være det samme som Roosevelts første skridt: at lukke Wall Street ned, implementere Glass-Steagall og udløse en enorm skabelse af kredit, der kanaliseres ind i den fysiske og sociale genopbygningsproces. Dette Nye Paradigme, som Lyndon og Helga LaRouche har kaldt det, er allerede sat i værk af Kina og Rusland og breder sig på daglig basis i hele verden. Spydspidsen, der skal bringe denne proces tilbage til Amerika, er også sat i værk af LaRouche-bevægelsen, centreret omkring Manhattan, og inspirerer befolkningen gennem klassisk musik, videnskab og sandfærdige og optimistiske alternativer til modkulturens hæslighed og forfald, der i dag dominerer livet i Amerika.

Som Thomas Paine[1] engang sagde: »Dette er tider, der prøver menneskers sjæle.« Det var trods alt netop denne inspiration, med at hellige sit liv til skabelsen af en produktiv fremtid for hele menneskeheden i en krisetid, der gjorde Amerika til det, det engang var, og atter må blive. Disse kommende dage og uger vil blive historiske, enten for det gode eller det onde, afhængig af graden af mod og kreativitet hos verdens borgere.

*Foto: Da den sorte operasangerinde Marian Anderson (1897-1993) i 1939 blev nægtet at optræde i Washingtons Constitution Hall af gruppen 'Den Amerikanske Revolutions Døtre', trådte Eleanor Roosevelt i protest ud af gruppen og var med til at arrangere en anden koncert på trapperne foran Lincoln Memorial. Fremme af klassisk kultur i befolkningen var et af Eleanor Roosevelts utallige sociale engagementer.*

---

**[1]** Thomas Paine (1737-1809) var en engelsk-amerikansk kritisk skribent, der udfordrede magthaverne. Hans bog *Common Sense*,

*som opfordrede til amerikansk selvstændighed, dannede grundlag for den amerikanske uafhængighedserklæring, sammen med hans Crisis.*

---

## **Stormvejrer over JASTA mod Obama/Bush/London; LaRouche: »Bliv ved! Stop ikke!«**

*Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 21. sept., 2016* – I går, sammenfaldende med åbningen af FN's Generalforsamlings generelle debat mellem verdens ledere, brød helvede løs over Obama og Saudi-Arabien i Washington, D.C. To demonstrationer og en pressekonference fandt sted ved Det Hvide Hus og Senatet under ledelse af æresfamilierne til ofrene for 11. september-terroren og bragte aktivister fra østkysten ind til at beordre, at S.2040 JASTA-lovforslaget (Loven om Retsforfølgelse af Sponsorer af Terrorisme) blev sat i kraft (gennem præsidentens underskrift). Som demonstrationsskiltene sagde: »Stop beskyttelse af Terrorisme-\$\$!« Til præsident Obama: »Ingen veto mod JASTA!« Til kongressen: »Gør veto ugyldigt!« Loven vil gøre det muligt for amerikanerne at sagsøge Saudi-Arabien.

I løbet af natten har en medieoffensiv gjort budskabet landsdækkende, gennem *USA Today*, *HispanTV*, og mange andre. »Hvorfor familier til ofrene for 11. september føler sig forrådt af Obama«, lyder overskriften i *McClatchy News D.C.* i dag.

I dag fortsætter lederne for JASTA deres tilstedeværelse for fuld styrke på Capitol Hill og insisterer på retfærdighed, for

sig selv, for nationen og for verden. JASTA er allerede blevet enstemmigt vedtaget af Repræsentanternes Hus tidligere på måneden, og af Senatet i maj, men Obama har sagt, at han vil nedlægge veto imod den. Men selv, hvis han nøler med at gøre det frem til ugens udgang, har medlemmer af Senatet lovet at forblive i session og underkende vetoet. I går sagde advokater, at de står klar til omgående at anlægge retssager mod Saudi-Arabien, på vegne af ofrene for 11. september.

Sideløbende hermed blev det endnu hedere for saudierne og Obama, i form af en debat i Senatet om vedtagelse af S.J. Res. 39: »Resolution om afvisning af et \$1,51 mia. stort våbensalg til Saudi-Arabien«. De fire forslagsstillere – Rand Paul (R-KY), Chris Murphy (D-CT), Al Franken (D-MN) og Mike Lee (R-UT) – kom alle med udtalelser imod de saudiske grusomheder i Yemen og imod kongerigets opbakning af radikal ideologi, der fører til terrorisme. Murphy sagde, at saudiernes handlinger »ikke har andet formål end kaos og forøgelse af områder, der ikke kan regeres ...« Selv om lovforslaget ikke blev vedtaget, men blev suspenderet (en måde at blokere det uden direkte at afvise det) med stemmerne 71 mod 25 (aftaler om militærekspert bliver typisk vedtaget), havde Murphy på forhånd udtrykkeligt sagt, at, selv, hvis lovforslaget ikke vedtages, »vil det stadig sende et signal«.

Lyndon LaRouches respons på dette momentum imod Obama lød: »Bliv ved! Stop ikke!« Han understregede, at vi må »udvide rækkevidden af det, som bør optage folk ...« Og endvidere: »Få folk til at komme tættere sammen, som et reelt team. Gå ikke i stå i en lukket kreds; kom ud af kredsen.«

Intet er mere presserende nødvendigt end at benytte dette momentum til at få de i begge Kongressens huse fremsatte Glass/Steagall-lovforslag vedtaget. LPAC-aktivister bragte dette frem i forreste linje i går på Capitol Hill, over for de mange individuelle kongresmedlemmer, der var hurtige til at fordømme Wells Fargo-bankens forbrydelser – dagens korrupte nassekarle – men var tavse og dårligt informerede om behovet

for en akut indsats for at genindføre Glass-Steagall, og for en akut indsats for at træffe alle nødvendige foranstaltninger til at sætte økonomien i gang og redde nationen, og verden. Men alle i Kongressen ved, at det har været LaRouchePAC's aktivisme, der har tvunget Glass-Steagall frem til toppen af virkelighedens dagsorden. Og jo mere, fremtrædende borgere og valgte regeringsfolk (dvs. Kongressen) ved om den umiddelbart forestående nedsmeltning af hele det transatlantiske finanssystem, desto større er chancerne for, at man i tide griber til nødforanstaltninger.

På Manhattan bliver Helga Zepp-LaRouches erklæring vedrørende de presserende spørgsmål, der ligger foran verdensledere, som er forsamlet i New York til FN's Generalforsamling, fortsat bredt cirkuleret, under overskriften **»APPEL til FN's Generalforsamling: Et nyt Paradigme for Menneskehedens Fælles Mål!«**

Her til morgen talte den kinesiske premierminister Li Keqiang med sine egne ord om begrebet om de »fælles mål« folkene imellem, ved at henvise til sin nations forpligtelse til den »win-win«-anskuelse, der er involveret i forpligtelsen til at gøre, hvad der kræves for at skabe økonomisk vækst for alle nationer og folkeslag. Kun økonomisk udvikling kan fremme den menneskelige civilisation og det menneskelige fremskridt, sagde han, og løse vore problemer, selv terrorisme. Verden står i dag over for mange farer, men Li sagde, at »svære øjeblikke kræver stærkere tillid.«

*Foto: Aktivister demonstrerer uden for Det Hvide Hus for JASTA-loven. Loven vil gøre det muligt for overlevende af 11. september-angrebene at sagsøge Kongedømmet Saudi-Arabien for dets direkte involvering i angrebene. [foto: Jason Ross/alle rettigheder reserveret]*

**Se også:** *Video: Pressekonference afholdt ved Capitol Hill af repræsentanter for familier til ofre for 11. september, samt senatorer.*



# **Kina engagerer FN-institutioner i Bæltet-og-Vejen, alt imens Obama forsøger at fremprovokere en krig med Rusland**

*Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 20. sept., 2016* – På sidelinjen af FN's Generalforsamling underskrev Kina i dag sin første aftale med en international institution, nemlig FN's Udviklingsprogram (UNDP), vedrørende samarbejde om at fremme og gennemføre Bælt-og-Vej-initiativet (BRI). Helen Clark, administrator af UNDP, sagde: »Bælt-og-Vej-initiativet repræsenterer en stærk platform for økonomisk vækst og regionalt samarbejde, der involverer mere end 4 milliarder mennesker, hvoraf mange bor i udviklingslandene. Det kan blive en vigtig katalysator og accelerator for målene for bæredygtig udvikling.« Kinas koncept om »bæredygtig udvikling« er tydeligvis dagens vinder i FN.

Men det har ikke vundet USA for sig, som det ses af præsident Obama, der i sin tale til FN's Generalforsamling i dag afslørede en rablende psykose. For et tavst publikum, der ikke applauderede, ignorerede Obama det nye paradigme, der under Kinas ledelse er blevet til i de seneste uger, og hævdede i stedet, at hans ledelse, og hans angrebskrige (beskrevet som

»beskyttelse af de sårbare«) og hans sponsorering af 'farvede revolutioner' (beskrevet som forsvar af menneskerettigheder og demokrati, i Ukraine og Mellemøsten), havde skabt en verden, »der er mindre voldelig og mere velstående end nogensinde før«. To gange angreb han Rusland og beskrev det som en imperialistisk magt, der »forsøger at genvinde sin tabte storhed ved brug af magt«. Det er overraskende, at de forsamlede verdensledere ikke gjorde noget for at få ham fjernet, enten til et fængsel eller en sindssygeanstalt.

Obamas formand for generalstabscheferne, general Joe Dunford, er i mellemtiden kommet meget tæt på at indrømme, at USA sidste lørdag med overlæg bombede den syriske hær – og at de måske kunne gøre det igen. »Måske skulle vi – før vi slår ind på vejen med 'hvad gik der galt' – foretage en undersøgelse og rent faktisk sikre os, at noget gik galt«, sagde han til journalister, der rejser med ham. »Det kunne være ... når man undersøger det, at fakta vil fortælle, at vi ville gøre det, vi gjorde, igen.«

Den anden ugering, der har fundet sted i Syrien i denne uge – ødelæggelsen af en FN/Røde Halvmåne-konvoj med humanitær hjælp – fik Rusland omgående skylden for af embedsmænd fra USA's Udenrigsministerium, hvis talsmand sagde, at USA ville »revurdere fremtidsudsigterne for samarbejde med Rusland«. Dette er præcis, hvad krigspartiet i Det Hvide Hus og Pentagon har til hensigt – at forhindre ethvert amerikansk-russisk samarbejde.

Men de beviser, der findes ved selve angrebet på hjælpekonvojen, siger noget helt andet. Det russiske Forsvarsministerium erklærede, at der ikke havde været nogen russisk eller syrisk luftdeployering i området, men at al-Nusra havde lanceret en offensiv, der sigtede i den retning. Faktisk blev lastbilerne ødelagt af en brand, udløst af artilleri-beskydning, og ikke bomber, viser en video af scenen.

Vil det lykkes Obama at lancere en krig imod Rusland, og gennem forlængelse mod Kina? Det kan stoppes. I dag fandt en demonstration og en pressekonference ved familierne til ofrene for angrebet d. 11. september sted ved det Hvide Hus, med krav om, at Obama ikke gennemfører sin intention om at nedlægge veto imod JASTA-lovforslaget, som vil gøre det muligt for ofrene at sagsøge saudierne for deres rolle i 11. september-angrebet på Amerika. Og Senatets ledere bekræftede, at de har de nødvendige stemmer samt viljen til at gøre Obamas lovede veto ugyldigt.

I kølvandet på rapporten fra den britiske Chilcot-kommission, der anklagede George Bush og Tony Blair for deres angrebskrig i Irak, som lancerede det nuværende helvede på jorden, og Parlamentets rapport, der anklagede Cameron og Obama for aggressionskrigen mod Libyen, som dermed udvidede dette helvede og skabte den flygtningekatastrofe, der nu er ved at rive Europa fra hinanden; hvorfor har da det amerikanske folk og dets repræsentanter ikke taget skridt til at stille for en rigsret og fængsle, masseorderen i Det Hvide Hus? Hvis Kongressen udviser det samme mod, som de gjorde ved enstemmigt at vedtage JASTA-lovforslaget, til også at afholde høringer om Obamas medskyldighed i, sammen med saudierne og briterne, at støtte terrorister, og hvis Kongressen ville vedtage Glass-Steagall (på trods af Obamas visse veto), så kan den globale, mørke tidsalder, som menneskeheden nu står overfor, erstattes med det nye paradigme for fred gennem udvikling, der nu spreder sig fra Kina og Rusland.

*Foto: Et enkelt kort, der viser de nationer, der er med i det landbaserede »Økonomiske Silkevejsbælte« og den søfartsbaserede »Maritime Silkevej«. [image af: Tart/Xxjkingdom/CC BY-SA 3.0]*

---

# Med 11. september-overlevendes krav om retfærdighed, er Obama på anklagebænken

*Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 19. september, 2016* – I de femten år, der er gået, siden tusinder af amerikanere mistede livet – eller frivilligt gav deres liv for andre – i terrorangrebet d. 11. september, er deres opofrelse blevet forrådt, igen og igen, med præsidenterne Bush og Obama, der lancerede den ene aggressionskrig efter den anden mod nationer, der var uskyldige i 11. september, alt imens de skjulte og forsvarede sponsorerne af islamisk terrorisme.

Denne Bush' og Obamas så frygtelige parodi på en »krig mod terror« har bogstavelig talt kostet millioner af uskyldige mennesker livet.

Sidste uges afsløringer i det britiske Underhus om blot én af disse katastrofale krige – den mod Libyen – har tvunget den tidligere britiske premierminister David Cameron til at træde tilbage, og vil sandsynligvis ende den tidligere franske præsident Nicolas Sarkozys karriere. Kun Obama står ustraffet tilbage, og han var ellers den, der insisterede på at ødelægge Libyens regering fuldstændigt – hvilket udløste kaos og terrorisme.

Hvis denne »krig mod terror«-parodi fortsætter, vil alle disse ofre, samt de heroiske første-responderer på 11. september 2001, være døde forgæves.

Hvis det ikke bliver afsluttet nu, kan det betyde verdenskrig.

Det kan afsluttes nu. Tirsdag vil familierne til ofrene for 11. september demonstrere foran Det Hvide Hus og kræve, at Obama underskriver JASTA (Loven om Retsforfølgelse af

Sponsorer af Terrorisme), som enstemmigt blev vedtaget af både Senatet og Repræsentanternes Hus. Det saudiske monarki er afsløret som sponsor af islamisk ekstremisme og terrorisme i hele verden og kan efter denne lov sagsøges af sine ofre.

Obama erklærede sin hensigt om at nedlægge veto mod denne sidste chance for retfærdighed; men han er isoleret. Hvis Kongressen og borgerne handler i denne uge, kan han blive tvunget til at underskrive JASTA eller også se sit veto omgående blive gjort ugyldigt. Så kan der komme reelle ændringer i politikken.

Vi kan afslutte mareridt med evindelige krige for »regimeskifte« og konfrontationer med Rusland og Kina, som Obama har overtaget fra Cheney-Bush.

Der findes nu et helt nyt paradigme i udlandet, siden G20-topmødet med Kina som vært. Dette topmøde besluttede sig for Kinas succesrige fremgangsmåde. Det betød, at man ville tackle problemerne med manglende økonomisk vækst og manglende vækst i produktivitet, ikke gennem endeløs pengetrykning og negative rentesatser, men gennem videnskab og økonomiske partnerskaber mellem nationerne om nye infrastrukturprojekter, der strækker sig over flere kontinenter. Og det betød, at man ville stoppe den dødbringende statsstøtte til terrorgrupper, som nogle lande bruger imod andre.

For at tilslutte sig dette paradigme, må den amerikanske Kongres omgående genindføre Glass/Steagall-loven og lukke Wall Streets spekulations-»kasinoer« ned. Og Kongressen må udstede statskredit til produktiv beskæftigelse og produktivitet.

Det hele begynder med at lade retfærdigheden ske fyldest for Obamas vedkommende, Obama, der er på anklagebænken for at beskytte sponsorerne af terrorisme, og for at udkæmpe terrorfremkaldende krige for dem.

Det er ikke for sent for den amerikanske Kongres, under pres fra det amerikanske folk, at handle med lige så megen rygrad som det britiske Underhus, da det bragte David Cameron til fald i sidste uge. Så verden vil holde øje med demonstrationen

for retfærdighed i Washington.

*Foto: Set her i 2015 med Kongen af Saudi-Arabien, arbejder Obama og hans hustru nu for dem på fuld tid alt imens han siger til amerikanere, at de ikke fortjener sandheden, eller retfærdighed.*

---

# **Opgør med Obama over JASTA- loven og Glass-Steagall. LaRouchePAC Internationale Fredags-webcast, 16. sept. 2016**

Med indgangen til denne weekend har vi set en dramatisk række udviklinger, inklusive det faktum, at netop på tærsklen til 15-års dagen for 11. september, vedtog Repræsentanternes Hus JASTA-loven, Loven om retsforfølgelse af sponsorer af terrorisme. Dette sker kort tid efter, at Senatet ligeledes vedtog loven for et par måneder siden. Men det blev fra Obamas Hvide Hus gjort meget klart, at han agtede at nedlægge veto imod loven. I sammenhæng med denne dramatiske optrapning af kampen, deltog Schiller Instituttet i en række meget, meget historiske koncerter, sponsoreret af Fonden for Genoplivelse af Klassisk Kultur i New York City-området og New Jersey: Fire koncertopførelser efter hinanden af Mozarts *Rekviem* – koncerter med stor deltagelse af publikum, inklusive en koncert, der fandt sted i sammenhæng med en messe, som biskop [Nicholas] DiMarzio fra Brooklyn-bispedømmet holdt i fælleskatedralen St. Joseph i søndags, den 11. september.

Engelsk udskrift.

# Showdown with Obama over JASTA & Glass-Steagall

IS CONGRESS MORE COWARDLY AND GUTLESS THAN  
THE BRITISH HOUSE OF COMMONS?

LaRouche PAC International Webcast  
September 16, 2016

MATTHEW OGDEN: Hello! Today is September 16th, 2016.  
My name  
is Matthew Ogden, and you're joining us for our weekly  
broadcast  
here on Friday evening with the LaRouche PAC webcast. I'm  
joined  
in the studio today by Jeff Steinberg, from {Executive  
Intelligence Review}; and via video, by Diane Sare, member of  
the  
LaRouche PAC Policy Committee, and coordinator of activities  
up  
in New York City.

We're meeting here in the immediate aftermath – really  
in  
the midst – of the further developments that came out of this  
past weekend. Going into this weekend we had a dramatic series  
of  
developments, including the fact that right on the eve of the  
15th Anniversary of 9/11, the House of Representatives  
unanimously passed the JASTA Bill, the Justice Against  
Sponsors  
of Terrorism Act. This is on the heels of the Senate doing the  
same thing a few months ago. But it was made very clear from  
the  
Obama White House that he was going to veto this Bill. In the  
context of that dramatic escalation on this battle, the

Schiller

Institute participated in a series of very, very historic concerts sponsored by the Foundation for the Revival for Classical Culture in the New York City area and in New Jersey: four concerts in a row of the Mozart *Requiem* – very, very well-attended concerts, including one that happened in the context of a mass celebrated by Bishop [Nicholas] DiMarzio of the Brooklyn Diocese at the Co-Cathedral of St. Joseph on Sunday, September 11th.

We're going to begin our broadcast tonight with a very short set of remarks that were delivered Terry Strada, the widow of Tom Strada, and leader of the 9/11 Families Against State Sponsors of Terrorism, who attended the concert in Morristown, New Jersey on Monday, September 12th, at which she endorsed the concert and was invited to the stage to express her views about the crime of 9/11. You'll see what Terry Strada had to say, which was delivered before the music began, during that Monday concert. I'd like to just begin with a quick excerpt of Terry Strada's remarks.

LYN YEN (pre-recorded): At this point I would like to introduce somebody very special to all of you. Many of you probably know of her. Her name is Terry Strada. She is the national chairwoman of the 9/11 Families United for Justice Against Terrorism. She and her organization were instrumental in the release of the 28 pages of the congressional report on 9/11. Without further ado, I'm going to turn the mic over to her. [applause]



TERRY STRADA (pre-recorded): Thank you! Thank you very much!

My name is Terry Strada, and I lost my husband on September 11th, 2001. Tom was 41 years old when he went to work that day, and never came home again. We have three children. At the time, they were 7 years old, 4 years old, and our youngest was only 4 days old.

On September 12th, 2001 I woke up – well, I probably didn't sleep that night – so when the sun came up, the questions were,

"Who did this? Why would they do this?", and "How could they possibly do this? How could they attack our country like this, and how could they kill so many innocent people in one day?"

Because I wanted to know the answers, I started to ask the questions more and more. And so did more and more 9/11 families.

United to Bankrupt Terrorism was our first title, and now we're

United Together for Justice Against Terrorism. Of course it was

the 28 pages that we focused on in the beginning that needed to

be released. And when they were released, there were two key things that we learned. One is that Saudi Arabia has never been

fully investigated for the role that they played in 9/11; and two, that it is indisputable that the Saudis played a very important role in 9/11.

The second piece of legislation that I've been working on

for over four years now is called the Justice Against Sponsors of Terrorism Act. This bill is intended to fix a minor problem

in  
our current Foreign Sovereignty Immunity Act of 1976. So, it's  
a  
40-year-old law that has stood for 40 years, until we looked  
further into the Saudi's role in 9/11, found the evidence, and  
tried to hold them accountable.

What happened next was that the courts decided to  
misinterpret the law and dismiss them on sovereign immunity.  
Make  
no mistake. No country, no entity, no individual is entitled  
to  
immunity – sovereign immunity, any type of immunity – in the  
case of a terrorist act. This bill is intended to hold {any  
nation} accountable for a terrorist attack on U.S. soil that  
kills United States citizens.

We've chosen this path because it's a peaceful way to  
fight  
terrorism. We don't want to see more bloodshed; we don't ever  
want to see more people die over 9/11. And we also want to  
protect our borders; we want to protect our country; we want  
to  
protect {you}; I want to protect my children. And the way that  
we  
do this, is by holding the nations accountable that fund known  
terrorist organizations, like al-Qaeda, ISIS, Boko Haram.

People say, "You can't fight the lone wolf." I say,  
"Yes, we  
can!" If we cut off the funding, and we destroy their  
capabilities to recruit and incite, and bring on more terror  
and  
to brainwash people, we can eliminate ISIS. And that is our  
long-term goal. The short-term goal, right now, is to get  
Saudi  
Arabia off of this crazy [situation] that they're not held  
accountable.

In May, the Senate passed unanimously this Bill, and  
on

Friday, September 9th, it went to the U.S. House of Representatives. I was in the gallery and was honored and proud

to see each and every one of our 435 Members in the House vote "Yes" for JASTA. [applause] Thank you, thank you. I don't really

know how many times this has ever happened on our history, that

we have both Chambers of Congress voting "Yes" unanimously. What

this means for the President: as of 4:00 today, he was still threatening to veto the Bill. The Bill will be sent over to his

office for signature later on tonight, at the latest tomorrow. We're doing everything we can to convince him to not do this.

You probably hear things in the news – and I'll kind of

wrap this up, because I want to hear the music as badly as you do

– but you may hear in the news, things about the Bill. They're simply not true, if they're coming from the Administration.

Unfortunately, they are the mouthpiece for the Saudis at this point. We just need to point out to them how important this is,

to hold them accountable – any nation, going forward – would be

held accountable, and how important it is for our country to have

that type of security net.

If the President does decide to veto this Bill, it will be

our last hurdle; it may be our biggest. But we plan to overcome

it, and override the veto. Hopefully the Senate and the House will fall into line and do that for us.

If there's anything that you'd like to do to help, going

forward, it's [passtjasta.org](http://passtjasta.org). That's our website. It's updated  
as  
often as I can get to a computer and update it. There are  
usually  
just simple instructions of how you can reach out to the White  
House, your Representative, or a co-sponsor of the bill. This  
is  
very important legislation. I thank you very much for taking  
the  
time to listen to me, and now I'm so honored to have these  
wonderful musicians. I have heard them practice. You are in  
for a  
treat. This is going to be a very wonderful time now for us to  
just transcend ourselves from the evil, to a higher place – to  
a  
place where Good is. I believe Good will win, and I thank you  
for  
coming. [applause]

OGDEN: So, as you can see, we're right in the midst of  
a  
{very} active battle on the JASTA front, as Terry Strada had  
to  
say, right there. It's all dependent on action that is taken  
in  
the next coming days and hours, in order to create the  
conditions  
where Obama is boxed in, and to create the kind of upsurge  
that  
is necessary around this. I know there are actions being  
planned  
to be taken in Washington, D.C. in the coming days. I'll let  
Jeff  
talk about some of that, and also mention some of the  
activities  
that {you} were involved in, Jeff, up in New York City, at the  
same time, this past weekend, as these events were taking

place.

So...

JEFFREY STEINBERG: Yes, there will be a series of demonstrations on Tuesday in Washington, D.C., which is when the House and the Senate will be back in town. There'll be a demonstration in front of the White House. And then, also, another demonstration, I believe, on the Senate side of the Capitol grounds.

The point is very obvious. I think Mr. LaRouche put it succinctly: everything that Obama has done as President has been

a reflection of the fact that he's an agent of the British System, the British Monarchy. Therefore, it should be presumed that, even though he will make himself an avowed enemy of the American people – and especially the 9/11 families – if he does

go ahead with this veto, we should assume that that's exactly what he will do, because that's what the British want him to do.

They don't care about justice for the 2,997 people who perished

on 9/11, or the perhaps-by-now tens of thousands of people – first responders, others who were at the scene at the World Trade

towers, at the Pentagon, in Pennsylvania – who suffered tremendously, and have developed diseases, illnesses related to

9/11. There's one estimate that 40,000 people have been afflicted

with serious illnesses because of their heroic actions immediately following the 9/11 attacks.

Obama is on the other side. These are impeachable crimes.

Being an agent of a foreign power, while serving in the

Executive

Branch of the U.S. government – I mean, that is treachery. The fact of the matter is that Obama can be *forced* by an enormous amount of public mobilization, to go forward and actually sign the Bill into law, or simply let it pass into law without his signature. But that's going to be only on the basis that he is convinced that there will be an overwhelming, bipartisan, near-unanimous voice vote, if he attempts to veto the Bill.

This is an existential issue, not just for the Saudis, but for the British as well. Remember, a month or so back, at the height of the mobilization after the Senate unanimously passed JASTA, a British Tory Member of Parliament wrote in the {Daily Telegraph} that if the Saudis can be sued by the families, then the British can be sued also. Now, he claimed it was because of failure to crack down on the jihadist networks that were given safe haven in Britain, but we know that the reality is, that Prince Bandar was the central figure organizing the support for the 9/11 hijackers. We know it through the 28 pages, and that barely scratches the surface of the evidence. Bandar's another British agent. Bandar and Margaret Thatcher, in 1985, created the Al-Yamamah program, and it was funds from that Al-Yamamah program that were probably going into the hands of the 9/11 hijackers.

So this is a {very big deal}. And it's going to come down to a head-to-head political battle. Everyone listening to this broadcast should contact your senators, contact your representatives. Deliver a very blunt message to the White House, that this will be considered an act of treachery, perhaps an act of treason, on the part of President Obama, if he fails to

sign

JASTA into law and allow the 9/11 families, at last, their day in

court. No matter where the evidence goes, no matter what's proven

or not proven, they have every right under our Constitutional system to take appropriate legal action in a court against the Saudis, and the JASTA Bill removes what in this case was an illegitimate sovereign immunity.

So, I think that's where we stand.

This past weekend of 9/11 in New York, the Schiller Institute had an extraordinary event. And the full video of that

is up on this website; and I would urge everybody to watch it.

There was another event that took place at the historic Cooper Union campus in lower Manhattan; and I was honored to speak on a

panel on the 28 pages at that conference. There were a number of

other speakers: Judge Imposimato from Italy, who was the judge who went after the Gladio apparatus around the strategy of tension attempted coups in Italy in the 1970s and '80s, the Moro

assassination. He was one of the keynote speakers. Dan Sheehan,

the lawyer who broke open the Iran/Contra affair spoke. But everybody was laser focused on the implications of the 28 pages

and JASTA; and the importance now of making sure – as Senator Bob Graham said at a recent event in Washington, DC, the release

of the 28 pages just simply pops the cork. Now we go after the full content of the bottle. There are millions of pages of classified material that are yet to come out that deal with Sarasota, Florida, San Diego, California, Paterson, New Jersey,

Herndon, Virginia, and other locations unknown. And of course

in

Europe, Hamburg, Germany. The point I made both at the Schiller

Institute event and at the Cooper Union event, is that there is

no statute of limitation on the truth; and we've got to drive that point forward.

OGDEN: At the Schiller Institute event in New York on Saturday, September 10th, not only did Jeff speak, not only did

Virginia State Senator Dick Black deliver a very dramatic and sharp speech. But also, the Ambassador from Syria to the United

Nations was the featured guest, and delivered a very strong speech in which he denounced the Obama administration and the Bush administration campaign of regime change that has taken place in the region over the course of the last 15 years since 9/11. Starting with Saddam Hussein, then with Qaddafi, and then

with the attempted regime change against Assad in Syria. I think

that the developments of this week, with the lower house of the

UK Parliament where they delivered a very strong rebuke to David

Cameron in terms of the grounds on which the Libyan invasion was

launched; which led to Cameron's resignation. This also applies

just as much to the case of Obama; and this is something which Obama is continuing to push during his time in office. So, I think all of these are coming together in the context of Obama's

rejection on his trip to Asia, during the ASEAN and G20 summits.

He is being boxed in; and his true colors are very clear as he



sides with the Saudis against the American people. I don't think it can be taken for granted that the conjunction of all of these events up in New York City over the weekend has set up the most dramatic political showdown of Obama's entire career, as we go into the coming days here in Washington.

So Diane, you probably want to give a little bit more of a context to that.

DIANE SARE: I think what we're talking about is a certain profound question of justice; not retribution, but the ability for mankind to actually move forward. What distinguishes Asia and Russia under Vladimir Putin from the United States and the trans-Atlantic system is that these nations are actually committed to a world in which the future is brighter than the present or the past. That is, the leaders of these nations are saying, my children, my grandchildren, my great-grandchildren are going to live in a world in which their standard of living is higher, their educational level is superior, the number of diseases they're subjected to is fewer; the way that Americans used to think not so long ago. Part of the significance of the work of the chorus, which is here directed by John Sigerson and myself, is to remind the American people what is actually a human standard; as opposed to a bestial standard. I have to say in that regard, I found Senator Richard Black's comments and particularly his passion and his anguish when he was describing what was being

done in Syria by these so-called "moderate" Syrian rebels who the US is funding; the people we are funding who are beheading children that they're kidnapping out of hospitals, or the rebels we are funding who are carting women around in cages. Where our own State Department spokesman says, "Well, how can we stop funding them? We're not going to judge what they do on one single incident of beheading. Other than the fact that they behead children, they might be wonderful." It is so evil! And the idea that Americans have lost the capacity to feel outrage when you are confronted with this kind of evil; where it's all kind of numb, and people say, "Well, there's nothing you can do."

I think the process of the election of Hillary Clinton, who may be suffering some serious illness, we don't know; who was destroyed by her capitulation to Barack Obama. And Donald Trump, who's just a pure FBI thug lunatic. What's happening is that the population is putting up a kind of teflon veneer where they are trying not to think about anything. Of course, that's death; we have to think. What you have in the rest of the world is a break-out of the human spirit, of this quality of creativity.

So, what happened this weekend, the involvement of our chorus in this series of concerts sponsored by the Foundation for the Revival of Classical Culture, is that you got a glimmer of the power – I think both the fact that JASTA had passed the Senate and then the House on that Friday going into the weekend; and then what we were presenting musically from the

African-American spirituals to the Mozart to the Handel, in terms of what it actually means for us to be human. And a certain quality of human life which we hold sacred; and which is not in our physical being. And perhaps this was most powerfully sensed at the Catholic mass which was dedicated to the firefighters and those who had died on September 11th. The Bishop made the point that these are people who are giving their lives not simply for their brothers or for their friends, but for complete strangers. At the end of the Mass, it's not typical that people applaud and give standing ovations; but when the Mass closed, the standing ovation of the crowd – it was very clear it was not simply for the musicians; but it really was in deep and profound appreciation of what these people had done.

I have a sense that we really are in a moment in the United States like Germany in 1989. Maybe we're in May of '89 and not October yet; but there's a kind of awakening of the better spirit of the American population. People are not prepared to sit back and tolerate criminal injustice. If we will act with courage, since about two-thirds of the world is already on the other side of this; and China, for example, the idea of talking about legalizing drugs? They would think you are completely insane; being addicted to drugs, distributing drugs is not tolerated. There's certainly a harsh crackdown on drug trafficking in the Philippines which we're seeing now; and I don't think Duterte appreciates Obama's accusations of human rights violations for

someone who's trying to shut down the drug trade. In other words, there's a whole different standard in the world which, when we find our courage and stand up, is going to resonate. There's no reason for people to believe that what happens in this country is going to be determined by the fraud of what's call the elections.

I would just say that what Jeff, you were saying earlier about Obama; we have to really stick to this. There's no point in talking about the candidates unless Obama, who is the evil who is occupying the seat of leadership of this country right now, is removed from office and prosecuted in a criminal court.

STEINBERG: I just wanted to add something; a footnote on what Matt mentioned earlier, about the actions by the British House of Commons this week. The Foreign Affairs Committee produced a report, which was a damning indictment of Cameron, Sarkozy, and Obama over the disastrous consequences of the overthrow and assassination of Qaddafi in Libya. On a certain level, the British House of Commons – with everything that we know; with all the caveats about the power of the British monarchy and all of that – the House of Commons puts the US Congress to shame. They forced Cameron out of office; they forced his resignation from the House of Commons on the grounds of his role in the Libya disaster. Above all else, the United States, Britain, and France lied to the world – they also lied directly to Russia and China – when they promised at the outset that there would be no regime

change in Libya; but it was strictly a humanitarian intervention.

Well, it turns out that the House of Commons report is, if anything, an even more stunning indictment of Obama than of Cameron. The report makes very clear that Cameron and Sarkozy were the drivers behind the need to intervene to prevent the humanitarian disaster in Benghazi. In fact, they were protecting

British-created terrorist networks that were back in Libya from

having fought the wars in Afghanistan; the same wars that created

al-Qaeda. But what was made clear is that it was President Obama

who insisted that a no-fly zone was insufficient; a no-fly zone

failed in Iraq, it failed in Bosnia, and it would fail in Libya.

Therefore, the UN resolution had to have much more teeth; it had

to be a "no-drive" zone. It had to give a carte blanche to defend

the so-called victims of Qaddafi forces by all out military action.

On the one hand, everybody swore up and down to Russia and

China that it was not about regime change. As a result, Russia and China abstained from the vote when the Security Council resolution was passed to create the no-fly zone and the no-drive

zone; and to use all forms of military force that were deemed necessary to "save" the civilization population of Benghazi.

Well, the report makes clear that after the first 24 hours, they

were safe; there was no threat to them anymore. From that moment

on, the whole exercise was all about regime change. There was

one

exchange where one of the ministers in the Cameron government at

the time, Liam Fox, lied and said no, this was never about regime

change; we didn't have any agenda. The questioner pointed out that on April 14, 2011, the *New York Times* published a signed op-ed by Barack Obama, David Cameron, and Sarkozy; in which the

three of them said, "Qaddafi must go, and go for good." So, they

were lying openly; and if anything, Obama did more heavy lifting

to create this disaster than either Sarkozy or Cameron. It included a conscious decision to assassinate a foreign leader.

So, the British House of Commons has delivered a new bill of

impeachment against President Obama; and I hope that Congress will take that seriously. Needless to say, the US media has blacked out the story totally up to this point.

OGDEN: In a very real way, this is like a Chilcot Report 2.0

in the case of Libya and Obama's role in that. Honestly, that's

exactly what you called for, Diane, in the petition that you were

circulating about a month or a month and a half ago. Look at what's developed since the publication of that petition: We've won the fight on the release of the 28 pages; although there are

tens of thousands of other pages that need to be released. JASTA

has gone through – this was your point, too – JASTA has gone through both the Senate and the House; and despite the fact that

Obama is still threatening to veto, as it stands, it could be

overridden in the first veto override of the Obama administration. Although he's trying to delay it, and deploying Saudi agents crawling Capitol Hill right now. We have reports that the Saudi Foreign Minister is personally going to Capitol Hill and meeting with members of the Senate; threatening that you have to withdraw your support for this JASTA bill. But that is a fight which is active; this Chilcot Report type of approach, as you called for in your petition, Diane, this is what we now see coming out of the UK House of Commons. Also, the overarching question of cooperation between the United States and Russia to actually defeat terrorism worldwide.

I thought it was very important that this was one of the themes around these concerts with the image of the 9/11 Teardrop Memorial in Bayonne, New Jersey; which was contributed by Russia in support of the world's struggle against terrorism after 9/11. And also, during this Schiller Institute seminar in New York City on Saturday, Senator Dick Black pointed out that we're right in the midst of this ceasefire negotiation between Kerry and Lavrov. And according to reports, Kerry has really isolated himself from the Obama administration; or at least there's a faction which is trying to actively undermine these efforts to work with Lavrov.

So, these are ongoing battles; and it's all around the question of the type of leadership that was exhibited in that petition that you put out about a month and a half ago, Diane. Obviously, we're now preparing for the convening of the United Nations General Assembly this week and next week in New York City. I think these events that were happening at the Schiller Institute conference with the Syrian ambassador and so forth, should be seen as preparing the way for what will be the defining questions – hopefully – put on the table at the UN General Assembly. Both that and the question of the new international financial architecture, coming out of the G20 meetings; we have a big responsibility on that as it comes to the question of Glass-Steagall, which is something that I'd like to get to in a moment.

But maybe, Diane, you want to say a little bit more about the events leading up to this UN General Assembly meeting.

SARE: Sure. Actually, I'm thinking, I don't know what the conspiracy is this time. Last year, as followers of our website know, President Putin had gone to China for the V-J Day parade and then come to the UN and proposed his coalition to wipe out ISIS; and created that to great effectiveness. Now, the UN General Assembly comes in the wake of the G20 meeting, the ASEAN meeting, the Vladivostok conference, where all of these nations which have been represented, signed more and more agreements with



each other on various economic projects and so on. And, I think it's significant that, going into the G20, Xinhua ran that interview with the Russian representative; who said that Beijing and Moscow must work with Washington. We have to bring Washington in on this; and Washington is a complex and unpredictable partner, I think were the words that were used. So, clearly, I'm sure that they're not coming into this General Assembly with no plans. I don't know what plans they have; I think it'll be very interesting as it unfolds. I think it's very important for Americans to do our part to make the United States less full of complexes; I'll put it that way, and less unpredictable by addressing this Obama criminality problem. I think what Jeff said about Cameron – it really is something. Tony Blair first with the Chilcot Inquiry; now you have this whole report on Libya. Any American, any member of Congress can get their hands on this; and it is absolutely damning in terms of Obama's role. I think that would be an important contribution for the United States, because the truth of the matter is, we should be part of the Belt and Road; we should be part of the New Paradigm. The American people are suffering horrifically, horrendously with this economic collapse; and I guess that brings us to the point that you were talking about, which is the Glass-Steagall moment.

OGDEN: Right; exactly. On that subject, let me just read the text

of the institutional question we got for this week. I know Mr. LaRouche had a direct response to this. It reads: "Mr. LaRouche,

Massachusetts Senator Elizabeth Warren is marking the 8th anniversary of Lehman Brothers' bankruptcy with a new push to investigate and potentially jail more than two dozen individuals

and corporations who were referred to the Justice Department for

criminal prosecution in 2011 by the Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission, a government-appointed group that investigated the roots of the 2008 financial crisis. None was ever prosecuted.

In

a letter to the Justice Department's Inspector General, Warren calls the lack of prosecutions 'outrageous and baffling', and asks the Inspector General, Michael Horowitz, to investigate why

no charges were brought. 'The DoJ record of action on these individuals nearly six years after DoJ received the referrals, is

abysmal,' she writes.

"In your view, is Senator Elizabeth Warren's new push to

investigate and potentially jail nearly two dozen individuals and

corporations who were referred to the Justice Department for possible criminal prosecution a step in the right direction; and

will it help the prospects of Glass-Steagall's passage?"

So, Jeff, maybe you want to say what Mr. LaRouche's response

was on this.

STEINBERG: I think the point is, again, we're looking at the

irresponsible behavior of leading government elected officials.

The fact is, that in 1933, you had the Pecora Commission, which

was a Senate investigation into the crimes of the too-big-to-fail

banks of the Depression era; and bankers did go to jail. They were called to testify under oath before Congress; they were forced to produce their tax records; and there was a climate that

was created through that process that led to the passage of Glass-Steagall and a number of other critical legislative initiatives in the first 100 days of the FDR Presidency. Where we

are today is in the midst of a financial crisis that's much larger, that's much more global in its scope than what we were dealing with in 1933. Yet, there's been virtually no significant

action by either the Justice Department or by Congress against these criminal swindlers who are CEOs of major banks. Just an illustration: The woman who was the head of the division of Wells

Fargo that was caught basically creating phony accounts for their

own customers in order to charge fees so that employees of the bank could get special bonuses; that person resigned from the bank, but received a \$125 million golden parachute on her way out

the door. It's night and day. So, Mr. LaRouche's comment on Glass-Steagall was, {"Do it!} It's needed right now; we can't wait another minute."

Today, Deutsche Bank was given a \$14 billion fine by the

Justice Department for their involvement in mortgage-backed securities fraud in the run-up to 2008 and beyond. Yet, no official of Deutsche Bank has gone to jail. In fact, Deutsche Bank's \$14 billion fine virtually bankrupts it; the entire

bank

capitalization, market capitalization of the bank is \$19 billion.

Were they to pay the fine out of their own deposits, they'd be out of business tomorrow morning. So, we're at a moment where this is all deadly serious. Yes, of course, these bankers should

be put in jail; but why is Elizabeth Warren not doing more to push Glass-Steagall? Why is Elizabeth Warren instead tiptoeing around the issue because she's basically been anointed by Hillary

Clinton as the attack dog against Donald Trump? Again, hold it up

to the gold standard of Franklin Roosevelt, the Pecora Commission, and you'll see that once again – as we just discussed with the British House of Commons actions compared to

the complete inaction on impeaching Obama or taking other measures to deal with these problems – it's shameful; and it's all part of a recurring pattern.

As Diane just said, there may only be four or five months

left in the Obama Presidency, but every day that he remains in office is a threat to the survival of this country and the survival of humanity. Libya was all about starting the process of

war provocations against Russia and China. Lyndon LaRouche warned

about that the day that Qaddafi was assassinated back in 2011; and we're still in that trajectory towards war. So, yes, we urgently need Glass-Steagall; it should be taken up immediately.

There are bills in both Houses. Yes, the Justice Department should reverse its policy of no jail time for too-big-to-fail bankers; throw them all in the slammer – they all deserve it. All of the top executives of all of the big eight US commercial

banks – they're all involved in multiple crimes, whether it's mortgage-backed securities fraud, LIBOR fraud; the crimes are manifest and the actions have been pathetic.

OGDEN: As you referred to, there is a major problem with the Democrats right now being compromised because of their defense of Obama and Hillary; both of whom are avowed – as it stands right now – opponents of the restoration of Glass-Steagall. As Terry Strada said, right now the Obama White House is a spokesman for the Saudi regime; but in the exact same way, it's a spokesman for Wall Street. You have Glass-Steagall in both party platforms; you have the biggest mobilization in years from some of the trade union movements – the AFL-CIO – other activist layers around Glass-Steagall. Getting this to a vote – preferably {before} the elections take place, if not sooner – but the problem is, you have an intention from the top to suppress this from within the ranks of the Obama faction of the Democratic Party, which Hillary Clinton finds herself in right now.

I would say that there is also a broader, a little bit of a deeper agenda here; and this came up in the discussion we had yesterday with Lyn and Helga. Helga pointed out that there's a recent report that has now been put out by the Club of Rome, which has been around for 40 years now – an avowedly neo-Malthusian movement to reduce population; that's been their agenda – and the title of the report in German is "One Percent Is Enough"; explicitly saying that the kind of dramatic growth

percentages that you see year on year on year, coming out of China and other countries that are now part of this New Silk Road

dynamic, is somehow dangerous to the planet. And that we must enforce a zero-growth or at least very low growth agenda; which

is what is coming out of the British monarchy and is coming out

of a lot of these trans-Atlantic circles. That is really the foundation around which this fight between the new international

economic order, this New Paradigm that you see coming out of China, the BRICS, and the Silk Road countries, versus the Obama,

trans-Atlantic regime that's taking place. It's a longstanding,

deeply rooted, ideological opposition to the idea of the no limits to growth, perpetual increases in productivity kind of idea of mankind; which Mr. LaRouche has made a career out of defending and deepening with his approach to physical economics.

So, you have this as a deeper agenda which, again, Obama has found himself as a spokesman for, and has made it very explicit

on multiple occasions. Remember his trip to Africa, where he said

you guys aren't allowed to have access to modern technology such

as electricity; because if you do, the planet will boil over. And

on other occasions, he said, we don't need any fancy stuff like

fusion power or anything like that.

So again, I think it comes down to this much deeper idea of

what is your conception of man; and what is your scientific idea

of this perpetual progress; or the conception that there is no limit to creative discovery, there are no limits to growth. That's the deeper agenda that we now see also bubbling to the surface.

STEINBERG: These guys are genocidal lunatics; they've been that way for 40 years. The LaRouche Movement was in a certain sense, launched as a war against the Club of Rome when they came out with their 1972 report, "Limits to Growth". The whole history has been a battle between those led by Mr. LaRouche and Mrs. LaRouche, who represent the principle of real human creativity; versus people who work for an oligarchical system that is consciously out to suppress it. You've got Prince Philip, the British royal consort, calling for the population reduction of this planet by 80%. If the Club of Rome report, 1% cap on growth, were to be put into effect, this would represent mass genocide on an unprecedented scale in human history; and it would happen right away.

OGDEN: Right. As was made very clear in these concerts over the weekend, there's an element of inspiration which, when it is unleashed in the American population, it is overwhelming. The kind of turn-out that we saw at these concerts in New York – full to capacity audiences in almost every single venue; including downtown Manhattan, the cathedral in Brooklyn, a concert that happened in Morristown, one that happened in Lehman College in the Bronx; there's an undercurrent of desire for this kind of beautiful celebration of the nature of mankind. I

thought

it was really significant to place Mozart's *Requiem*, which is an incredibly profound and has a resonance which goes deep in the

American population; including the fact that the last time it was

celebrated as part of a Mass was 50 years ago at the request of

Jackie Kennedy, at a memorial service for the slain President, John F Kennedy, at the Cathedral of the Holy Cross in Boston.

That was the last time this was presented in the context of the

mass itself. But the conjunction of that, together with these four African-American spirituals, which is this call for justice,

for freedom, and for the dignity of the human being; putting these together in the counterpoint between the two, it really did

awaken something very profound and very deeply rooted in the people who participated in this community chorus which is growing

in an exponential way, but also in the people who participated as

members of the audience. So Diane was the conductor of the first

part of these concerts, of the African-American spirituals, and I

would personally say, I think you were channeling the spirit of

Sylvia Olden Lee and her collaborators. It was very moving, and I

think it's part of something that we're going to see continue to

grow; in not just the desire for justice, but the desire for human dignity and creativity among the American people.

So, maybe you want to say a little bit about what the plans



are for the community chorus in that context, Diane.

SARE: I hope it's going to grow, and there are a lot of plans. But my parting words to our audience would be: One, that people should join the actions in front of the White House on Tuesday in support of the JASTA bill and against Obama's veto; and a challenge to the American people and the members of the US Congress. Which is, are you more cowardly and gutless than the British House of Commons? If they can throw out Cameron and expose the crimes against humanity that he has participated in, and if they are already naming Obama; what is holding you back?

OGDEN: Good. Well, those events in front of the White House are scheduled currently for 12:30pm on Tuesday, and apparently there may be another rally in front of the Senate at 2pm the same afternoon. So, if you are in the area, or you can make it into DC, that's something to participate in. There will probably be more information on the [passJASTA.org](http://passJASTA.org) website that Terry Strada mentioned at the beginning of this broadcast in her remarks at that Morristown concert. And please, circulate tonight's webcast as widely as you can so that Terry Strada's very emphatic statement that she made at that concert can be heard more widely. I think this is something that needs to be heard by the American people; and that's something that you have a responsibility to assist in.

So, I'd like to thank both Diane and Jeff for joining

me

here today. Please stay tuned to [larouchepac.com](http://larouchepac.com); we will have coverage of this rally next Tuesday, and you can join us for our regularly programming as well. So thank you very much, and good night.

---

# **Obama er en britisk agent, og han vil handle i overensstemmelse hermed, indtil han sparkes ud af embedet**

*Leder fra LaRouchePAC, 15. sept. 2016* – Præsident Barack Obamas fortsatte trussel om at nedlægge veto mod JASTA-lovforslaget er en klar påmindelse om, at USA's præsident i realiteten er en agent for det britiske system, og han vil gøre præcis, hvad den britiske krone giver ham besked på – og give pokker i det amerikanske folk. Lyndon LaRouche advarede i dag om, at ingen bør forvente, at Obama vil gøre det rigtige ved at underskrive JASTA-lovforslaget og dermed gøre det til lov og lade retsvæsenet tage sig af det saudiske monarki, der muliggjorde angrebene den 11. sept., 2001.

*»Obama vil vride sig og lave undvigemanøvrer om spørgsmålet, lige til det sidste – og så vil han nedlægge veto mod JASTA – med mindre der kommer en sådan udladning af pres fra det*

*amerikanske folk, at han ikke har andet valg», erklærede LaRouche. »Til syvende og sidste er Obama en britisk agent, og han vil handle i overensstemmelse hermed.«*

LaRouche tilføjede, »Obama er et falsum og skal ryges ud«. Obama holdes kunstigt oppe ved hjælp af en række Store Løgne, der faldbyses af de amerikanske mainstreammedier, der gentager regeringens løgne, inklusive den sindssyge påstand om, at den amerikanske økonomi er forbedret, lønningerne på vej op, arbejdsløsheden på et lavpunkt, osv. Dette er løgn alt sammen, som enhver ærlig amerikaner, der kommer fra den 90 % store, laveste indkomstgruppe, ved. Henvend 93,5 mio. amerikanere i den arbejdsføre alder er ikke engang medregnet i arbejdsstyrken. Den Store Løgne-kampagne, der holder Obama kunstigt oppe, kan smadres. USA er blevet et land med ubegrænset statistisk forfalskning.

Lige så vel som, at JASTA-lovforslaget må vedtages nu, hvad enten det sker ved at tvinge Obamas hånd, eller det sker gennem en overvældende vedtagelse i Senatet og Repræsentanternes Hus, der gør et veto fra Obama ugyldigt, således må også Glass-Steagall omgående vedtages som lov – inden den totale disintegration af det transatlantiske finanssystem finder sted, hvilket kan ske når som helst. Forlad jer ikke på Elizabeth Warren (demokratisk senator) til at føre an i denne kamp – hun er for kompromitteret af sine partiske ønsker om at forsvare Obama og Hillary Clinton. »Få det bare igennem!«, sagde LaRouche igen i dag.

De handlinger, som det britiske Underhus (House of Commons) traf beslutning om i denne uge, hvor de smed David Cameron ud af dennes plads i parlamentet pga. hans rolle i krigen i Libyen, baseret på løgne, er et signal om at vågne op og foretage en lignende handling, som den amerikanske Kongres skal gennemføre over for Barack Obama. Han skal sparkes ud af embedet nu.

Blandt Obamas mange forbrydelser er den brutale måde, hvorpå

han terroriserede og dernæst brugte Hillary Clinton, især omkring invasionen i Libyen og mordet på Gaddafi. Denne handling, hvor Hillary Clinton fuldstændigt gav efter for Obama og herefter ikke mere blev den samme, var begyndelsen til Obamas krigsfremstød mod Rusland og Kina. LaRouche forudsagde dette, dengang Gaddafi blev myrdet, og alt, hvad der siden er sket efter disse begivenheder i 2011, har bevist, at han havde ret. Faren for en krig med Rusland og Kina har nu nået et punkt, hvor hele menneskeheden er i fare, hver eneste dag, hvor Obama fortsat sidder ved magten og kan starte en atomar verdenskrig. Og som begivenhederne i den seneste uge klart har demonstreret for offentligheden, så er Hillary Clinton nedbrudt, og hun må trække sig.

Det amerikanske folk har desperat brug for hjælp og for, at man tager i betragtning, hvilken dårlig forfatning, det befinder sig i. I stedet er Romklubben atter dukket frem med krav om et globalt folkemord, tilsløret af dens påstand om, at »en procents vækst« er alt, hvad verden behøver, og at det Ny Silkevejsprogram bør skrottes. Romklubben er stadig det samme redskab for folkemord, der lancerede den oprindelige Malthus-kampagne med Grænser for Vækst tilbage i 1972. Dengang førte Lyndon LaRouche og LaRouche-bevægelsen an i afsløringen af Romklubben som en bande morderiske løgnere, hvis sande dagsorden var at slå milliarder af mennesker ihjel – den præcis samme dagsorden som det britiske monarkis, og som klarest er blevet udtrykt af den royale gemal, Prins Philip.

Denne politik må nu endelig lægges i graven.

*Foto: Kong Salman af Saudi-Arabien byder præsident Barack Obama farvel, Saudi-Arabien, den 27. januar, 2015. (Foto: Det Hvide Hus)*

## **Anbefalet læsning (dansk):**

*»Skræmmekampagne om global opvarmning er befolkningsreduktion – ikke videnskab!« (EIR-rapport i forbindelse med COP 2015,*

Paris)

»Det britiske Imperiums politik, der drejer sig om befolkningsreduktion ... for at reducere verdens befolkning med milliarder af mennesker«, EIR-hovedartikel.