

Vi er vidne til indvielsen af en helt ny æra på planeten.

LaRouchePAC Internationale Webcast, 1. dec., 2017

Vært Matthew Ogden: Godaften; det er 1. dec., og dette er vores strategiske fredags-webcast fra larouchepac.com.

Vi har meget stof at gennemgå i aften, for vi bliver i øjeblikket vidne til indvielsen af en helt ny æra på denne planet. Det, vi bliver vidne til, især i løbet af den seneste uge, siden afslutningen af den ekstraordinært historiske Schiller Institut-konference, der fandt sted nær Frankfurt, Tyskland, i sidste weekend, er den kendsgerning, at den Nye Silkevejsdynamik – denne dynamik med store projekter og »win-win«-samarbejde, der er blevet initieret af Kina – denne Nye Silkevejsdynamik er nu den dominerende og virkelig uimodståelige dynamik på denne planet. Dette er noget, der fuldstændig er i færd med at omforme alle nationers politik på denne planet. Og tyngdecentret er skiftet væk fra det gamle paradigme, som vi har set i det transatlantiske system, og til dette Nye Paradigme, der nu har fået overtaget pga. de initiativer, som frem for alt Kina har taget.

Jeg vil gerne lægge ud med at afspille et kort uddrag af Helga Zepp-LaRouches ekstraordinære hovedtale, som hun holdt på denne konference, der var sponsoreret af Schiller Institutet nær Frankfurt, Tyskland, i sidste weekend. Konferencens titel var »At opfylde menneskehedens drøm«, og titlen på Helga Zepp-LaRouches hovedtale var »Den Nye Silkevej; Den nye model for internationale relationer«. Her er et kort uddrag af Helgas tale:

(Se hele Helgas video og tale i dansk oversættelse her:

[http://schillerinstitut.dk/si/?p=22734\)](http://schillerinstitut.dk/si/?p=22734)

(Her følger engelsk udskrift af resten af webcastet)

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE

: So, let me start with an idea
of Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz. He said that we are actually
living in the best of all possible worlds. This is a very
fundamental ontological conception. It's the idea that we are
living in a developing universe; that what makes the universe
the
best of all possible ones is its tremendous potential for
development. It is in such a way created, that every great
evil
challenges an even greater good to come into being. I think
when
we are talking about the New Silk Road and the tremendous
changes
which have occurred in the world, especially in the last four
years, it is actually exactly that principle working. Because
it
was the absolute manifest lack of development of the old world
order which caused the impulse of China and the spirit of the
New
Silk Road having caught on that now many nations of the world
are
absolutely determined to have a development giving a better
life
to all of their people.
Now, I think that the New Silk Road is a typical example of
an idea whose time had come; and once an idea is in that way
becoming a material reality, it becomes a physical force in
the
universe.
Now the Chinese Ambassador to Washington, Cui Tiankai,
recently made the point, that there were 16 times in world
history,

when a rising country would surpass the dominant country up to that point. In twelve cases it led to a war, and in four cases

the rising country just peacefully took over. He said that China

wants neither, but we want to have a completely different system

of a "win-win" relationship of equality and respect for each other.

Obviously, the most important question strategically, if you think about it, is that we can avoid the so-called Thucydides trap. That was the rivalry between Athens and Sparta in the 5th

Century BC, which led to the Peloponnesian War and the demise of

ancient Greece. If this were to occur today between the United

States and China in the age of thermonuclear weapons, I think nobody in their right mind could wish that; and therefore, we should all be extremely happy that Trump and Xi Jinping have developed this very important relationship. I stuck my neck out

in the United States in February of this year by saying, if President Trump manages to get a good relationship between the United States and China, and between the United States and Russia, he

will go down in history as one of the greatest Presidents of the

United States. Naturally, everybody was completely freaked out

because that is not the picture people are supposed to have about

Trump. But I think if you look at what is happening, you will see that Trump is on a very good way to accomplish exactly that.

So, he came back from this Asia trip with \$253 billion worth of deals with China. I watched the press conference of the

Governor of West Virginia, Jim Justice, where he said that now, because of China, there is hope in West Virginia. West Virginia is a totally depressed state; they have unemployment and a drug epidemic. But he said now we can have value-added production, we will have a bright future. So, the spirit of the New Silk Road has even caught on in West Virginia. Obviously the United States has an enormous demand for infrastructure, especially now after the destruction of all these hurricanes; which just to restore what has been destroyed requires \$200 billion, not even talking about disaster prevention. So, this is all on a good way that China will invest in the infrastructure in the United States, and vice versa; US firms will cooperate in projects of the Belt and Road Initiative.

So, just think about it, because almost everything I'm saying goes against everything you hear in the Western media. But think: From whom comes the motion for peace and development? Is it coming from those who attack Putin, Xi, and Trump? And those who side with Obama? It's obviously time for people to rethink how the Western viewpoint is on all of these matters. Or change the glasses which they have to look at the world.

OGDEN: So, as you heard from Helga Zepp-LaRouche, that was just a short excerpt from her speech, but she said we have to change the glasses through which we look at the world. That's what she did really with the entirety of her keynote address;

which was an hour long. It is available on the newparadigm.schillerinstitute.org website right now; but she really did change the glasses, through which people should see the

world; both by reviewing what the strategic breakthroughs have been in terms of the New Silk Road dynamic which has been sweeping the planet and supplanting this outmoded and failed geopolitical world order which has brought the world really to the edge of what she said; this Thucydides trap and the danger of

thermonuclear war. But she also did some very extraordinary; she

took the audience back through the history of the relationship between the Confucianism of China and the Leibnizian philosophy

of Europe. This was the best of European culture, and really the

consolidation of the Renaissance culture of Europe. What Gottfried Leibniz was able to do in his time, recognizing the failures of European culture due to the kinds of rivalries between these warring empires and what had really turned into a

corruption and a rot at the core of the European system at that

time; he said the future can be secured if we recognize the best

of European culture – the Christianity and the heritage of the Greek philosophy which built European culture; but put this together with the aspects of Chinese Confucianism which are in fact harmonious with the best of the ideas of European philosophy. He pointed out, that the idea of an understanding of

the pre-established harmony between man's creative mind and the

created universe is something, which indeed is recognized in Leibnizian European philosophy; but is also at the core of

Confucian philosophy.

Helga Zepp-LaRouche said that in a very real way, Xi Jinping has reflected a profound understanding of this kind of harmonious relationship between man and the created world, and also between the nations of this planet, and has given it a substance; actualized this idea through the form of the New Silk Road. She

also reviewed the history of her husband's – Lyndon LaRouche's – role in creating the basis of the ideas that are now taking their form in this New Paradigm of development coming out of China and the Belt and Road Initiative. She traced it all the way back to a paper that Lyndon LaRouche had written in the 1970s

about the development of Africa, and the fact that his ideas – which were at the core of that vision – are now what are actually taking place in Africa and other nations that are being

touched by the Belt and Road Initiative. Again, this is an extraordinary keynote address, and we would encourage you to watch the speech in its entirety.

But after Helga LaRouche's keynote, the conference – which was a two-day conference – unfolded; and it was a series of extraordinary panel after extraordinary panel. The first panel

was titled "The Earth's Next Fifty Years"; obviously taking that

from the title of a wonderful book that was published by Lyndon

LaRouche over a decade ago. But this panel began with a keynote

by Professor He Wenping, who's the Director of African Studies at

the Chinese Academy of Social Sciences in Beijing. The speech was "President Xi's Perspective for the Year 2050 and the Perspective of African Development". That was followed by the

former Transport Minister of Egypt, who gave a speech called “Integration of Egypt’s Transportation Plans 2030 with the New Silk Road Project”. Then, there was a statement from George Lombardi, who is the former social media consultant to President

Donald Trump; and his speech was titled “The Trump Administration: Impending Economic Policies and Media Discord”.

Then that panel concluded with a speech by Marco Zanni, who is a

member of the European Parliament from Italy. His speech was titled “A Future for Europe after the Euro”.

Panel I was followed by Panel II, which was the second panel of the first day, which was titled “The Need for Europe To Cooperate with China in the Industrialization of Africa and the

Middle East; Transqua as the Rosetta Stone of the Continent’s Transformation”. This began with an extensive speech by Hussein

Askary, who is the Southwest Asia coordinator for the Schiller Institute. This was on “Extending the Silk Road into Southwest

Asia and Africa; A Vision of an Economic Renaissance”. The bulk

of this is also actually included in a new Special Report that is

just been published by the Schiller Institute, that was jointly

written by Hussein Askary and Jason Ross. He was followed by the

Foreign Director of the Bonifaca S.p.A., Italy, company, which is

actually involved with China in building this Transqua project.

It’s called the Italy-China Alliance for Transqua. Then, the General Consul to Frankfurt from Ethiopia spoke – Mehreteab Mulugeta Haile. The title of his speech was “The Need for

Europe

to Cooperate with China in the Industrialization of Africa". Then that panel concluded with a speech by the Executive Manager

of Pyramids International called "Egypt's 2030 Mega Projects: Investment Opportunities for Intermodal and Multimodal Connectivity".

The third panel took place on the second day of the conference, and that panel was titled "Europe As the Continent of

Poets, Thinkers, and Inventors: An Optimistic Vision for the Future of Europe". It was keynoted by Jacques Cheminade, who's

the former Presidential candidate in France. His speech was titled "What Europe Should Contribute to the New World Paradigm".

Then, Dr. Natalia Vitrenko, who's the chairwoman of the Progressive Socialist Party of Ukraine, gave a speech – "China's

Initiative from the Doom of Self-Destruction, to Prosperity and

Progress; A View from Ukraine". Then, a speech from a representative from Serbia; an author and journalist named Dr. Jasmina Simic. Her speech was titled "One Belt, One Road – An

Opportunity for Development in the Western Balkans". Then that

panel concluded with a speech from Bulgarian Academy of Sciences

Professor Mariana Tian – "Bulgaria's Contribution to the Belt and Road Initiative".

There were also two other speeches; the chair of the Anglo-Hellenic and Cypriot Law Association, and the founding Director of the China Africa Advisory.

Then, the concluding panel of the entire conference, Panel IV; "The System We Live in Is Not Earthbound – Future Technologies and Scientific Breakthroughs". This was keynoted

by

Jason Ross, scientific advisor to the Schiller Institute. His speech was titled "The Scientific Method of LaRouche". He was followed by Prof. Dr. Helmut Alt, from the University of Applied

Sciences in Aachen; who gave a speech – "Energy Transition; From

Bad to Worse". Then that concluded with Dr. Wentao Guo, from Switzerland – "Current Situation of High Temperature Gas-Cooled

Reactors in China".

Then there was an extensive Q&A period after that, in which there was very important input from the audience. The attendees

at this event – which you could see just from the speaker's list

alone – represented countries from Western Eurasia, from Central

Europe, from Africa, from the United States, from Western Europe,

from Scandinavia, from really literally all over the world.

This

was an extraordinary conference.

There was a resolution that was adopted at the concluding of the conference that I'd like to put on the screen here [Fig. 1].

The resolution is taking a note from what China has committed itself to – eliminating poverty by the year 2020 in China. So,

this is the resolution adopted by the Schiller Institute conference in Bad Soden, Germany:

"At this conference, with the title 'Fulfilling the Dream of Mankind,' we discussed the incredible transformation of the world

catalyzed by the Chinese initiative of the New Silk Road. The Belt and Road Initiative, which is creating optimism in Asia,

Africa, Latin America, more and more states in Europe, and after

the state visit of President Trump in China, in several states within the United States.

"The Belt and Road Initiative has the concrete perspective on how poverty and underdevelopment can be overcome through investment in infrastructure, industry and agriculture, based on

scientific and technological progress. The Chinese government which uplifted 700 million out of poverty in the last 30 years,

has now proclaimed the goal to lift the remaining 42 million people living in poverty out of their condition, and create a decent living standard for the entire Chinese population by the year 2020.

"Within the European Union, there are living approximately 120 million people below the poverty line, according to our own

criteria characterizing the costs of life. Given the fact that Europe is still an economic powerhouse, there is no plausible reason why Europe cannot uplift these 120 million people out of

poverty by the year 2020, as well. The best way to accomplish this is for the EU, all European nations, to accept the offer by

China to cooperate with China in the Belt and Road Initiative on

a 'win-win' basis.

"We, the participants of the Schiller Institute conference, call on all elected officials to join this appeal to the European

governments. Should we in Europe not be proud enough to say, if

the Chinese can do this, we can do it, too?"

As you can see here, newparadigm.schillerinstitute.com, that

is the location of the proceedings of this conference which will be published as they're prepared; but also, that resolution that I just read to you, is available on that website –newparadigm.schillerinstitute.com – and it's collecting signatures. It's something that you can add your name to and you can circulate that. Obviously, it applies not only to Europe, but applies to the United States as well; this goal of eliminating poverty by building infrastructure and high technology projects to increase the living standards and the productivity of our populations; as China is doing through the Belt and Road Initiative. This is what can be accomplished in the United States. We'll review a little bit of that.

I do want to note that Helga Zepp-LaRouche made a special notice of the statement by West Virginia Governor Jim Justice after he secured \$87 billion in joint investment into the state of West Virginia; which is greater than the entire GDP of that state. This accomplishment is really the spirit of the New Silk Road, which is now sweeping through the world and has even taken hold in our very own state of West Virginia here in the United States.

Now, let's look at the extraordinary rate of developments that have occurred since this conference happened in Frankfurt, Germany last weekend. This is part of putting on those new glasses that Helga LaRouche talked about in order to see the world as it really is; not to see the world through the kind of spin and propaganda that you're inundated with on a daily basis by the media. If you were following the media, you would think,

that the only issue on the table, are the series of sex scandals that are coming out from celebrities and news anchors and so forth and so on. And you would miss the fact that we are literally living in the absolute epicenter right now in history of a total paradigm shift in the history of mankind. So, let's look at this extraordinary rate of developments. This conference, obviously, in Europe – the Schiller Institute conference – took place right on the heels of President Trump's extraordinarily successful trip to Asia; where he had his state visit-plus visit with President Xi Jinping in China. And the \$250 billion worth of deals that were signed there for joint investments, the fact that President Xi Jinping put directly on the table the idea of the United States and US businesses collaborating with the Belt and Road Initiative, and the fact that President Xi Jinping and President Trump solidified a very close personal relationship and really ushered in a new era of US-China collaboration. After that, just during the course of the last five days, you've seen what was just mentioned there in the resolution from the Frankfurt conference; that nations of Europe are now beginning to reach out and reciprocate the hand of friendship that's coming from China to participate in the Belt and Road Initiative. This is taking place most significantly in the more impoverished countries of Eastern and Central Europe. We have the just-concluded 16+1 talks, which occurred in Budapest, Hungary. This is the meeting of the so-called CEEC, or the Central and Eastern European Countries – those are the 16; and then the +1 is China. So, this is the 16+1, the Central and Eastern European Countries plus China. What was discussed at

this conference was the further coordination between these countries of Eastern Europe and the Chinese, especially on the idea of the Belt and Road Initiative; the New Eurasian Land-Bridge as it was termed by Helga and Lyndon LaRouche back in

the 1980s. The core feature of that proposal back in the end of

the 1980s, which gave birth to this idea of the Eurasian Land-Bridge, was the idea of taking these Eastern European countries – what had been formerly part of the Soviet Union or the Soviet space – and taking what was an under-developed area of Europe and developing it through bridging Western Europe with

Russia and then beyond through these kinds of transportation corridors and high technology development grids. That's exactly

what China was discussing with these countries in Eastern Europe

during the 16+1 conference. These are mainly countries such as

Hungary, Serbia, Poland, which really this is their conception of

themselves; they serve as Europe's front door onto the New Silk

Road. As the New Silk Road comes westward across Eurasia, the front door to Europe are these Eastern European countries.

They

have gone from being on the margins of Europe with under-development and poverty and prolonged unemployment and these other crises, they've gone from being on the margins to being at the very center of this new dynamic which is sweeping from the East.

This is referred to in Hungary as their "eastward opening"; that Hungary's future is to orient towards this new era of development which is coming from Eurasia, rather than orienting

towards the collapsing system of Western Europe and the failed

EU. Zhang Ming, who's China's ambassador to the European Union, published an article that was published immediately prior to the 16+1 meeting on November 27th, in which he emphasized the central role of the Belt and Road Initiative in China's policy towards Europe. He said, "As China and Europe work together to synergize the Belt and Road Initiative, the 16 CEEC countries will play a more prominent role as a hub which connects Asia and Europe. Faster development in CEEC countries contributes to a more balanced development across Europe and European integration." So, in other words, the faster development of these impoverished countries in Central and Eastern Europe will be a "win-win" for everybody involved. He used these words, that these countries will serve a "prominent role as a hub which connects Asia and Europe."

Then as Hungarian Prime Minister Viktor Orban stated a few weeks ago – and he was the host of this meeting in Budapest, Hungary obviously – but this was a statement that he made back in October. This is absolutely to the point of what we're discussing on this webcast today; this idea that the Belt and Road Initiative is now the irresistible and dominant dynamic on this planet. This is a quote from Prime Minister Orban: "The world's center of gravity is shifting from West to East. While there is still some denial of this in the Western world, that denial does not seem to be reasonable. We see the world economy's center of gravity shifting from the Atlantic region to the Pacific region. This is not my opinion, this is a fact." Now incidentally, that quote, that statement by Prime

Minister Orban, is exactly the point that Lyndon LaRouche made in this book; this very prescient book that he published over a decade ago called {Earth's Next Fifty Years; The Coming Eurasian World}. In that book, Mr. LaRouche said the dominant dynamic of the world is going to be the rising countries of Asia; these are where the most concentrations of population are, this is the fastest rates of growth. And this is where the world's center of gravity is shifting economically; the coming Eurasian world, or the Pacific-centered world. So, this is a direct echo of exactly what Lyndon LaRouche said way back when before any of this economic miracle took place. But Mr. LaRouche was very prescient on that fact.

Now, while a number of leading European press outlets have been doing exactly what Viktor Orban said – denying this fact; trying to deny this inevitable fact that the center of gravity has shifted from West to East. You had, for example, the {Financial Times} ran an extensive article headlined “Brussels Rattled As China Reaches Out to Eastern Europe”; obviously just hysterical that these Eastern European countries are now oriented towards the Belt and Road Initiative. Despite that fact, there are some leading circles in Europe who are, indeed, recognizing that Europe's future lies in joining this New Paradigm. Obviously, that could be seen from this extensive speaker's list at the Schiller Institute conference in Frankfurt; but there

was another very significant conference that occurred just a few days later this week in Paris. This was the first annual Paris Forum on the Belt and Road Initiative; so it's going to take place every year. This is the first annual event. It was co-organized by the Chinese embassy and the French Institute for International and Strategic Affairs – IRIS is their acronym. This is the third largest think tank in Paris. The founding director is Pascal Boniface, who is very positive in terms of his attitude towards this idea of France and Europe as a whole joining with the Belt and Road Initiative. There were some 400 people in participation at this very important event. There were think tanks, there were civil servants, people from the French government, there were heads of different French companies – CEOs – retired military, there were cultural figures, and there were media who attended. Among them, the forum was addressed by the Chinese Ambassador to France, Zhai Jun. He put directly on the table, France, Europe should join this new emerging paradigm, this Belt and Road Initiative. This goes directly along with the attendance by Raffarin, the former Prime Minister of France to the Belt and Road Forum that occurred this past Spring in Beijing. There have been other prominent figures inside France who have done exactly what these people have done at this very significant event, and said "Look, this is the future of the world economy. The center of gravity has shifted, and we better get on board." This was also the subject, by the way, of Jacques

Cheminade's speech at the Schiller Institute conference; and this is something that he's been in extensive conversation with, with numerous leading figures inside France as part of his Presidential campaign. He even met with the former President of France, Francois Hollande, while he was President at the Elysée Palace and discussed exactly this idea. So, as you can see, the movers and shakers behind this, the ideas which are driving history, are really the leaders and the collaborators of the LaRouche Movement worldwide.

Let me shift focus now. We're continuing to catalog the extraordinary rate of developments that have occurred just over the last five days since this extraordinary conference in Frankfurt. Let's shift focus now to Latin America. We had the 11th China-Latin America-Caribbean Business Summit, which happened in Uruguay; actually it's still happening. It started yesterday, and it's going through this Sunday, so it's a four-day conference. This was to discuss the idea of how Western Hemisphere countries, especially countries in South and Central America, can participate in China's One Belt, One Road Initiative. Whereas this is the 11th annual conference between the Central and South American countries and China, this was by far the largest of these conferences to have taken place. There were over 2500 people in attendance, which included high-level businessmen, government officials, and policymakers from all

over Latin America. One of the plenary sessions which took place at this conference was titled, "A New Vision of Collaboration Among China, Latin America, and the Caribbean in the Framework of the One Belt, One Road Strategy". So, that's explicit; this is the idea of Latin American joining the New Silk Road. Just because we're discussing Latin America, there was a wonderful sentiment which was voiced by Chilean President Michelle Bachelet. This was a speech that she gave on November 23rd at the celebration of the 10th anniversary of the founding of the Confucius Institute in Chile. She said, "The world is orienting more than ever towards China and the Pacific Basin. Therefore, we know very well that our relationship with China and the Asia-Pacific in particular, is crucial for us to fulfill our destiny." She said, "Chile's relationship with China goes well beyond trade ties. It is one of our primary political partners on the path to opening integration and cooperation for progress."

Then Michelle Bachelet said after she retires as the President of Chile, she intends to study the Chinese language in depth. So, that's a commitment that perhaps all heads of state should make, as we recognize that the center of gravity of the world's strategic and economic reality is shifting towards China. We did

see that from President Trump's granddaughter, Arabella Kushner

– that's Ivanka's daughter – where she recorded the song in Mandarin Chinese. A video of her singing a song in Mandarin Chinese, and sent that as a goodwill offering to President Xi Jinping in China.

And one more item I should just note. This is a yet-unconfirmed report, but it's very credible, that Japan – now

we've shifted from Europe to Central and South America, and now

we're in the Asia Pacific. Japan is actively considering joint

projects with Chinese companies on building the One Belt, One Road. This is hugely significant, judging by the historic conflicts between Japan and China, which have been played on by

these Western geopoliticians for decades; to try to keep these two extraordinarily significant countries from collaborating.

If

Japan and China collaborate on the Belt and Road Initiative, this

is a dynamic which is absolutely unstoppable. There was an article in a Japanese paper titled "Government To Help Japan, China Firms in Belt and Road". It reports that the Abe government is considering supporting companies to carry out joint

projects with Chinese companies along the Belt and Road. I think

underscoring this fact, as I stated in the beginning of today's

broadcast, that the Belt and Road is an absolutely unstoppable and irresistible dynamic; which has now become dominant and is something which cannot be ignored. Underscoring that fact that,

indeed, this New Silk Road is the dominant irresistible dynamic

on this planet, here's a statement from the {Global Times} which is absolutely to the point. It says "Generally speaking, Japan's economy has been always greatly dependent on overseas markets. So, for the sustainable development of its economy, Japan needs access to the business opportunities offered by the vast infrastructure projects along the Belt and Road route." So, this is the sentiment that's being expressed by everybody. We go from the hosts of this first annual conference on the Belt and Road Initiative in Paris. Look at what Viktor Orban said at the 16+1 conference in Budapest, Hungary. Look at what Michelle Bachelet said in Chile at the Confucius Institute. Look at the statements that were made at this Central and South American-China Business Forum. Look at what's now being said in Japan. Look at the statements that were made at the Schiller Institute conference in Frankfurt. And look at what was done by President Trump during his trip to China, and the summit that he had with President Xi Jinping. Everything is being shaped by this initiative, by the New Silk Road; by this initiative which is coming out of China for "win-win" mutually beneficial cooperation on great project development for the entire planet. This is the dominant of the future. As Helga Zepp-LaRouche said, you need to put on the new set of glasses to be able to see reality as it really is; not through the skewed mirrors and the propaganda which is coming out of

the Western media. I think that perhaps the best statement, and the most candid statement of all – of all of these statements about the reality of this future dynamic – and why the United States and Europe and South America and Asia need to jump on board with the New Silk Road, need to join with this new dynamic and catch this spirit of the New Silk Road; probably the best and most candid of those statements came out of Governor Jim Justice from West Virginia during his press conference that he gave there at the state capital, announcing this extraordinary \$87 billion deal between China and the state of West Virginia. Here's what Governor Jim Justice had to say:

GOVERNOR JIM JUSTICE

: And I would say to all of you all that may be doubters that this could become a reality, "Don't get on the wrong side of it." Because, really and truly, it's a comin'. It's a comin'."

OGDEN: "It's a comin'." I would say to all the doubters, "this could become a reality, 'Don't get on the wrong side of it.' Because it's a comin'," he said. "It's a comin'." So, that was actually from the conclusion of a really wonderful and important video that was just put on the LaRouche PAC website this week, all about West Virginia. West Virginia, which as Helga LaRouche said, is known across the country right now as

the epicenter of poverty, unemployment, drug epidemic overdoses, and just general backward economic conditions. West Virginia could now become the cutting edge and the economic driver of the entire Appalachian region here in the United States because of this “win-win” investment that came from China. So, I would encourage you to watch that video in full on the LaRouche PAC website. But let me just say, this is an extraordinary rate of development of events that have occurred over the past five days.

I think that anybody who is looking at the reality soberly and with clarity will see that, indeed, the efforts of the LaRouche Movement over the past several years to put this question on the table; to put this idea of a New Paradigm of economic cooperation and “win-win” development, this New Silk Road – this Eurasian Land-Bridge, this World Land-Bridge idea. Put that on the table and to shape all of the discussions that are occurring at the highest levels of policymaking worldwide around that idea. I think that truly is becoming the dominant dynamic, and it’s a testament to the fact that a small handful of people with very powerful ideas, can indeed be very successful in shaping the course of world history.

Now, I would say that what Helga LaRouche began, those remarks that I played at the beginning of the show; this idea of the greatest, the best of all possible worlds – what Gottfried Leibniz had to say. This is an understanding of how the universe corresponds to the creative will of mankind. That there is a

principle of good that is behind the creation, the creation of the universe; and that principle of good corresponds with the creative nature of mankind. And when mankind acts on that creative quality, and acts for the benefit of the greatest number

of possible people, the greatest possible General Welfare; acts

on the basis of this principle of good, that the universe corresponds and, indeed, responds. Because of this harmony, this

pre-established harmony which Leibniz discussed. That was at the

core of his understanding of the best of all possible worlds.

So, with that axiomatic understanding of the philosophical nature of what this effort is all about – to bring about a New Paradigm of human relations on this planet – let's conclude with

the concluding quote from Helga Zepp-LaRouche during her keynote

at that Schiller Institute conference in Germany. Helga Zepp-LaRouche said the following: “If we revive the Classical culture of all nations, and enter a beautiful dialogue among them, mankind will experience a new renaissance and unleash an enormous creativity of the human species like never before.

“So, it is very good to live at this moment in history and contribute to make the world a better place. And it can be done,

because the New Paradigm corresponds to the lawfulness of the physical universe in science, Classical art, and these principles. What will be asserted is the identity of the human

species as {the} creative species in the universe.”

So, as Helga Zepp-LaRouche said, it is very good to live at this moment, and to contribute to this New Paradigm which is now

emerging on this planet, and to contribute to the good of mankind.

So, thank you very much for joining us here today. We strongly encourage you to not only watch Helga Zepp-LaRouche's keynote address in its entirety, but to stay tuned to that Schiller Institute channel as all of these panels, all of these videos, all of these presentations are produced and put up on the website for you to watch in their entirety. So, thank you for joining in, and let's continue to spread the spirit of the New Silk Road. Thank you and good night.

Målestokken for strategisk succes

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 14. nov., 2017 – I bemærkninger på Air Force One på vej hjem fra Filippinerne til USA efter sin 12 dage lange, historieskabende rejse til Asien, karakteriserede præsident Donald Trump rejsen som »enormt succesfuld«, og at de indgåede aftaler til en værdi af \$300 mia. snart ville blive tredoblet.

Men, det er mere end dette.

I et par dusin amerikanske stater – fra Alaska til Vest Virginia og Montana – der har direkte fordel af mange af disse aftaler, er en følelse af lettelse og endda optimisme ved at vende tilbage i takt med, at udsigten til at komme ud af landets lange, økonomiske mareridt begynder at tage form i folks sind.

Men det er også mere end dette.

En kronik i dag i Kinas *Global Times* går endnu videre og

proklamerer i sin overskrift, at »USA's deltagelse i Bælte & Vej er uundgåelig« – et initiativ for en politik, der er markant knyttet til Lyndon og Helga LaRouche. Artiklens forfatter, Wang Yiwei, direktør for Institut for Internationale Anliggender ved Renmin Universitet, skriver, at handelsaftalerne fra præsident Trumps rejse til Kina »vil gøre det muligt for USA bedre at lære om mulighederne og udsigterne for økonomisk samarbejde. På denne baggrund er tiden inde for USA til at genoverveje en tilslutning til Bælte & Vej Initiativet, der tilbyder større rum for samarbejde«. Forfatteren Wang anbefaler endda, at de to lande kunne arbejde sammen om infrastruktur, måske først i udviklede lande, som i USA's Midtvesten, og at USA og Kina kunne oprette en »global infrastruktur-investeringsbank«.

Men, målestokken for strategisk succes er mere end selv dette.

Vi står, udtalte Helga Zepp-LaRouche i dag, over for en situation med endnu større muligheder end situationen i 1989, hvor Berlinmurens fald åbnede for muligheden for, at menneskeheden kunne erstatte den bankerotte, transatlantiske, gamle orden med en politik for et Nyt Paradigme, som Lyndon LaRouche og hans bevægelse på det tidspunkt specificerede. Denne chance blev på tragisk vis forspildt, har fr. Zepp-LaRouche gentagne gange udtalt.

»Dengang havde vi kun vore ideer«, forklarede hun i dag, »men man havde ingen kræfter, de ville gennemføre dem. Men nu har vi verdens største land, der går i retning af at gennemføre det, allieret med 70 andre lande. Vi har hele udviklingen i Asien, der er totalt domineret af dette nye paradigme. Og denne kendsgerning er nu også ved at slå igennem i USA og Europa.«

Det, vi er oppe imod i denne kamp i dag, er Det britiske Imperiums liberale establishment og deres hjernevask af befolkningen gennem organisationer såsom Kongressen for Kulturel Frihed (CCF). »Vi angreb CCF i hele denne periode«,

erklærede Zepp-LaRouche. »De formede hele efterkrigstidens kulturelle paradigme. Hele den venstre-liberale elite og dens aksiomer kom fra den kulturelle hjernevask, som udførtes af CCF, der blev finansieret af CIA og Udenrigsministeriet. Og hertil kom, at vi også havde Frankfurterskolen; dernæst havde vi 68'erne; og dernæst blev det 'Grønne' paradigme gennemført. Så hvis man ser på blandingen af hele denne hjernevask, så har man eliten af neo-liberalt etablissement, som nu er ved at gå under, og som flipper ud over Trump og selvfølgelig over Kina og Rusland osv.

»Dette er et forkert livssyn, et forkert syn på verden«, fortsatte Zepp-LaRouche. »De er anti-videnskab, de er anti-klassisk kultur. Ud fra et historisk synspunkt vil de gå under, lige som Middelalderens skolastikkere, fordi de troede på noget, der ikke var i overensstemmelse med universets love.

Det er vigtigt at tænke over dette, for de er vore modstandere; det er, hvad der ligger bag tankegangen à la [USA's særlige anklager Robert] Mueller, bortset fra et par andre ubehagelige, neo-konservative elementer, og så fremdeles. Grunden til, at de hader os, er på grund af det, Lyndon LaRouche har skrevet. De hader passioneret hans måde at tænke på.«

Zepp-LaRouche konkluderede: »Kampen i USA er helt uafgjort; den kan vindes. Men disse folk er der stadig, så vi må virkelig gå hårdt frem imod dem. Lyndon LaRouches tænkning er så overlegen; vi bør ikke i ét eneste øjeblik falde under denne standard. Så lad os få udsigten til at vinde denne kamp, og denne krig.«

Dette, og intet andet, opfylder hele målestokken for strategisk succes.

**Arven efter Friedrich
Schiller og
Schiller Instituttet i Xis
Nye Silkevejs
konfutsianske koncept i dag.
Helga Zepp-LaRouches tale til
Schiller Instituttets Venners
Valgmøde
i København, 10. nov., 2017**

Så der er mange punkter, hvor vi kan sige, »Lad os gå tilbage til vore bedste traditioner, og så vil vi finde ud af, at Europas klassiske perioder, og Kinas konfutsianske tradition og andre landes klassiske perioder virkelig skaber grundlaget for en ny renæssance.

Jeg mener, vi befinder os ved et utroligt, historisk øjeblik, og vi bør erindre os Friedrich Schillers ord, »Et stort øjeblik bør ikke finde et lille folk«. Så lad os forsøge at løfte vort folk op til at tænke stort, tænke smukt, blive skønne sjæle, skabe grundlaget for, at alle børn kan få mulighed for at blive genier. Og at det ligger inden for vores viljes mulighed at gøre det, og derfor er Toms kampagne og de andre medlemmer af Schiller Instituttet så absolut vigtig, og at vi bør være glade for, at Schiller Instituttet eksisterer i Danmark og skaber en mulighed for alle danskere til at gå med i dette utrolige, historiske øjeblik og skabe en bedre verden for os alle.

Tom Gillesbergs tale på Schiller Instituttets Venners Valgmøde, 10. nov., 2017

Det, vi besluttede at gøre her fra Schiller Instituttets Venners side, hvor vi stiller op her i København, og også i Brøndby, Aarhus og Randers, var at tage disse større spørgsmål, som virkelig er det, der kommer til at afgøre fremtiden, og forsøge at bringe dem frem i den offentlige debat; forsøge at bryde igennem den mørklægning af virkeligheden, der alt for længe har fundet sted her i Danmark, såvel som også i Europa og i vid udstrækning i USA; og forsøge, i denne konsensus om politikker, der ikke virker, at introducere, præsentere folk for et glimt ind i det nye paradigme, som findes derude i verden med Kinas Bælte & Vej Initiativ som drivkraft, men ikke kun som et kinesisk program; men dette omfatter allerede omkring 100 nationer i hele verden, og så grundlæggende set lægge det frem på bordet og sige, dette er beslutningen, dette er, hvad Danmark, og København, selvfølgelig, også må blive en del af.

Tom Gillesberg, kandidat til borgmesterpost i København og regionsråd i region Hovedstaden for Schiller Instituttets Venner; formand for Schiller Instituttet i Danmark:

God eftermiddag og velkommen til alle, der kunne komme til denne særlige begivenhed; særlig i den forstand, at vi blander forskellige kategorier her, idet vi både har inviteret ambassadediplomater til at komme og være med i vores diskussioner om det, vi i den danske valgkampagne præsenterer,

her i København; men som også er omfattet i det globale, overordnede spørgsmål, der netop nu ligger foran os; nemlig, hvordan tackler vi sammen verden? Det faktum, at vi står over for nye, desperate tider i den finansielle verden, i form af et krak, der vil blive værre end det, vi så i 2007-08; men samtidig står vi også over for muligheder for menneskeheden, som er ekstremt spændende.

Det, vi besluttede at gøre her fra Schiller Instituttets Venners side, hvor vi stiller op her i København, og også i Brøndby, Aarhus og Randers, var at tage disse større spørgsmål, som virkelig er det, der kommer til at afgøre fremtiden, og forsøge at bringe dem frem i den offentlige debat; forsøge at bryde igennem den mørklægning af virkeligheden, der alt for længe har fundet sted her i Danmark, såvel som også i Europa og i vid udstrækning i USA; og forsøge, i denne konsensus om politikker, der ikke virker, at introducere, præsentere folk for et glimt ind i det nye paradigme, som findes derude i verden med Kinas Bælte & Vej Initiativ som drivkraft, men ikke kun som et kinesisk program; men dette omfatter allerede omkring 100 nationer i hele verden, og så grundlæggende set lægge det frem på bordet og sige, dette er beslutningen, dette er, hvad Danmark, og København, selvfølgelig, også må blive en del af.

I dag er også en særlig dag, den 10. november; det er Friedrich Schillers fødselsdag, den berømte tyske, men også universelle digter, »Frihedens Poet«, som han også kaldes, og efter hvem vi har vores navn, Schiller Instituttet, og som også reflekteres i Schiller Instituttets Venner. Schiller var en meget enestående filosofisk person, der i sig også havde stor passion og stor kærlighed til menneskeheden, og ikke kun til sin egen nation. Han havde denne idé, at man både kan være patriot for sin nation og samtidig en verdensborger, og at de to ikke bør være en modsigelse.

Så jeg mener, der er meget passende, før vi om lidt skal høre Helga Zepp-LaRouche online – jeg introducerer Helga, når vi

kommer dertil – og senere vil jeg, og andre kandidater fra Schiller Instituttets Venner, diskutere kampagnen; men før vi kommer til det, mener jeg, det er passende, at vi får nogen skønhed. I har måske set, at én af de ting, vi har forsøgt at introducere i denne kampagne, er spørgsmålet om klassisk kultur; spørgsmålet om at give alle børn adgang til klassisk kultur; at alle børn burde lære at synge, spille et instrument, være med i et orkester og gøre denne fantastiske kultur til deres egen. Og det er sådan, man får virkelige renæssancer.

Så, for at introducere denne meget smukke del af menneskets aktivitet, klassisk musik, vil vi indlede med to arier fra Shakespeares skuespil Othello, sat til musik af Verdi i operaen Othello, som er et skuespil og en opera, alle burde se med jævne mellemrum for at blive mindet om, hvordan historien fungerer; mindet om, hvordan det var en tradition i Venedig, men i dag er en tradition i London, at spille folk ud mod hinanden således, at folk, som det var meningen, skulle leve sammen i perfekt harmoni med hinanden og udvikle hinanden og elske hinanden; hvis der så er en tredje stemme, der stikker til dem og skaber jalousi mellem dem og spiller dem ud mod hinanden, så kunne det, der skulle have været et frugtbart samarbejde, meget nemt blive til strid og krig og ødelæggelse og død. Og dette er præcist, hvad Shakespeare, men også Verdi, ønskede at vise med denne opera, hvor den onde Jago virkelig demonstrerer sine mesterlige evner i ødelæggelsen af Desdémones og Othellos lykkelige forening. Disse to arier er mod slutningen; den første er, da Desdémone sidder på sin sengekant og er bange for, hvad der næst vil ske, for Othello har bedt hende tage sin bryllupskjole på, og hun har bange anelser om, hvad der vil ske. Den anden arie er 'Ave Maria', som er der, hvor hun beder sin bøn, og jeg mener, det også er meget passende, for netop nu har vi et så opportunt øjeblik for menneskeheden, for vi må have den ånd, som Verdi her præsenterer, hvor vi virkelig tager hele verden ind i vore hjerter, både den nuværende og fremtidige menneskehed.

Jeg vil gerne bede Lena Malkki [sopran, Sverige] synge og Dominik Wizjan [pianist, Polen] akkompagnere på klaver, disse to meget smukke arier.

[Lyt: 7:45 min]

Tom: Mange tak, Lena og Dominik.

Jeg mener dette, er en passende introduktion til det, der kommer nu. Vi har, som I ser, Helga parat online, og jeg vil blot sige et par ord for at introducere hende.

Hvis I ikke allerede kender hende, vil jeg foreslå, at I googler hende og finder en masse af hendes skrifter, for de er derude[1], for hun er en meget inspirerende kraft, men hun er også meget intimt knyttet til den proces, der netop nu finder sted i Kina og internationalt, og som kendes som Bælte & Vej Initiativet.

Helga stiftede Schiller Instituttet i 1984, men var allerede inden da meget politisk aktiv. Hun var én af lederne i LaRouche-bevægelsen og blev mere udtrykkeligt leder, da hun i 1977 giftede sig med Lyndon LaRouche, og hun har siden da været drivkraften i en masse projekter for at forandre verden. Hun er også meget nært, personligt knyttet til Kina, da hun rejste til Kina som ung journalist og så ting i Kulturrevolutionens mørke tid, og i takt med, at der opstod nye muligheder, var hun i 1970'erne sammen med Lyndon LaRouche en førende kraft i at skabe en ny, retfærdig, økonomisk verdensorden, også sammen med Den Alliancefri Bevægelse i 1970'erne. Da der, i 1989 med Berlinmurens fald, opstod en mulighed for at få en helt ny verden, grundlæggende set, men også en helt ny, strategisk situation i Europa, var hun meget aktiv i at forsøge at ændre dynamikken; først, og også sammen med Schiller Instituttet, var hun en ledende kraft i en masse konferencer for at forsøge at få en massiv udvikling af Europa, fra Centraleuropa og mod øst, og dette blev i stigende grad saboteret, kan man sige, af de gamle magter. Hun var

dernæst en førende stemme i at forvandle dette projekt til Verdenslandbroen; og Helga rejste meget til Kina for at understrege nødvendigheden af denne Verdenslandbro, for at forbinde Kina med Europa gennem infrastrukturkorridorer, det, hun også kaldte Den Nye Silkevej, og af denne grund blev hun i Kina kendt som Silkevejslady'en. Der skete dernæst det, at det på dette tidspunkt blev delvist stoppet pga. krisen i Asien i 1997, men med krisen, der kom i 2008, gjorde Kina noget helt andet end det, der foregik i Europa og USA, og de ændrede politikken og begyndte massivt at transformere den kinesiske politik med udvikling af infrastruktur, og de lod det ikke være godt med det, med at løfte 700 mio. kinesere ud af dyb fattigdom; men i 2013 initierede Xi Jinping også politikken med Bælte & Vej Initiativet for at sprede denne politik internationalt.

Så Helga er blevet en meget citeret person og en hyppig gæst i de kinesiske medier, hvor hun taler om disse spørgsmål. Hun var også i Beijing, hvor hun var inviteret som repræsentant for Schiller Instituttet til Bælte & Vej Forum, der fandt sted i maj måned i Beijing, som en del af konferencens indbudte tænketanke.

Vi er meget glade for at høre hendes stemme her og høre hende præsentere, hvad det egentlig er, vi mener med vores valgplakater, hvor vi siger, at Danmark – København og Danmark og Europa – bør tilslutte sig Bælte & Vej Initiativet / Den Nye Silkevej. Så vi er meget glade for at have dig med os, Helga, og høre, hvad du kan fortælle os.

Min. 24:05.

(Engelsk udskrift af Helgas indlæg)

[1] Se engelsk: <http://newparadigm.schillerinstitute.com/>
dansk: <http://schillerinstitut.dk/si/>

**Trump, Xi og den Nye
Silkevejsånd.**

**Schiller Institut Nyt
Paradigme Webcast**

med Helga Zepp-LaRouche,

**9. nov., 2017. Dansk
udskrift.**

Så jeg mener, at det, der tydeligvis er ved at vokse frem, er et mere og mere integreret, nyt økonomisk system, hvor det grundlæggende set er meget klart, så længe, europæerne, eller i det mindste EU og den tyske regering, fortsat har en kold og uvenlig holdning, så er det, som en erhvervsmand for nylig sagde, »hvis de ikke springer på toget, vil de se lysene fra den bageste vogn forlade stationen, og de bliver stående tilbage«.

Centrum for strategisk betydning bevæger sig tydeligvis over mod Asien i øjeblikket. Og denne amerikansk-kinesiske relation vil forhåbentlig fortsat udvikle sig, og så er jeg meget optimistisk mht., at mødet mellem Trump og Putin også vil blive succesfuldt. Jeg har faktisk grund til at tro, at dette også vil blive et stort gennembrud, og så vil disse journalister fra denne sensationspresse simpelt hen rive sig selv midt over, ligesom Rumleskaft. Og det er, hvad de burde gøre.

[Download \(PDF, Unknown\)](#)

Helga Zepp-LaRouche: Aftenen før præsident Trumps strategiske rejse til Asien: På trods af en verden i fare, blomstrer »Silkevejsånden«. Dansk udskrift; Schiller Institut Nyt Paradigme Webcast, 2. nov., 2017

Jeg mener, at folk, der ligesom er hjernevasket af de vestlige medier, og det, vi ofte finder i gaderne, ved vores informationsborde, hvor folk siger, »Åh, jeg stoler ikke på kineserne«; jeg ville bede disse mennesker om simpelt hen at undersøge tingene lidt og gøre sig mere bekendt med det, som

er kinesernes overordnede plan, og ikke lade sig nøje med mediernes rapporter imod Kina. Hvorfor skulle folk tro på medierne om Kina, når disse medier så åbenlyst lyver om så mange andre spørgsmål? Så jeg ville ønske, at tilhørerne – I – åbner jeres tanker og åbner jeres hjerter og selv finder ud af det. For jeg mener, at det, vi i øjeblikket er vidne til, er en af de mest exceptionelle forandringer i menneskets historie: For, hvis denne kinesiske model lykkes, så ville faren for krig og faren for den menneskelige races udslettelse gennem anvendelse af atomvåben, f.eks., for altid være overvundet. Og det er ganske bestemt noget, jeg mener, det er værd at forsøge at opnå. ...

Download (PDF, Unknown)

INVITATION:
Schiller Instituttets Venner,
Schiller Instituttet
og Executive Intelligence
Review
inviterer **til**

seminar/valgmøde: INDEN NYT FINANSKRAK: DANMARK SKAL MED I DEN NYE SILKEVEJ: Dato 10. nov. 2017

Dato: Fredag, 10. november, 2017

Tid: 15:00 – 18:00

Sted: Frederiksberg

Talere:

Særlig international gæstetaler: Helga Zepp-LaRouche (via live videoforbindelse), der er kendt som *Den Nye Silkevejslady*, på grund af sine bestræbelser for, i hundreder af konferencer i hele verden, at promovere denne politik.

(Se her og her).

Fr. Zepp-LaRouche er ligeledes medforfatter af rapporten, »The New Silk Road Becomes the World Land-Bridge« (Den Nye Silkevej bliver til Verdenslandbroen)

Tom Gillesberg: Kandidat for Schiller Instituttets Venner (SIVE) til posten som Københavns borgmester og til regionsrådet i Region Hovedstaden; formand for Schiller Instituttet i Danmark og chef for EIR's bureau i København.

Mødet vil blive afholdt på engelsk, med tolkning til dansk.

Gratis adgang; indtegning nødvendig.

Indtegning:

Feride Istogu Gillesberg: 25 12 50 33; 35 43 00 33;
info@sive.dk

Michelle Rasmussen: 53 57 00 51; 35 43 00 33; info@sive.dk

Schiller Instituttets Venner har opstillet kandidater i det aktuelle, danske kommunalvalg 2017 med kampagnesloganet: »Inden et nyt finanskak: Danmark skal med i Den nye Silkevej« på valgplakaterne, som på fremtrædende steder er ophængt i København, i den københavnske forstad Brøndby, og i Jylland, i Aarhus og Randers.

Dette valg finder sted på et tidspunkt, hvor et nyt paradigme for menneskeheden er ved at blive til virkelighed. Spørgsmålet er, om Danmark helhjertet vil blive en del af den nye, retfærdige, økonomiske verdensorden, som Schiller Instituttets Venner, med Tom Gillesberg som spidskandidat, og Schiller Instituttet, under Lyndon LaRouches og Helga Zepp-LaRouches lederskab, i årtier har ført kampagne for, eller ej.

På den ene side, så står finanssystemet, baseret på Wall Street og City of London, på randen af et finanskollaps, der er større end det i 2007-2008, og med mange faktorer, der kunne udløse krakket.

På den anden side, så er politikken for Den nye Silkevej/Den eurasiske Landbro/Verdenslandbroen, som Schiller Instituttet foreslog efter Berlinmurens fald og Sovjetunionens kollaps, nu i færd med at blive en realitet, siden den kinesiske præsident Xi Jinping annoncerede Ét Bælte, én Vej (OBOR) / Bælte & Vej Initiativet (BRI) i 2013. Siden dette tidspunkt har Schiller Instituttet ført kampagne for, at Europa og USA tilslutter sig. I begyndelsen var der en negativ respons, med baggrund i den gamle, geopolitiske tankegang.

Men det tempo, i hvilket man bygger en alliance for en moderne, infrastruktur-baseret, økonomisk udvikling og byggeri af projekter, er betagende, med 70 lande, der pr. maj måned, 2017, er involveret. Alliancen for fremskridt er blevet udvidet fra at forbinde Europa og Asien, og til Afrika, Mellemøsten og Latinamerika. De fleste østeuropæiske nationer har tilsluttet sig, og én efter én indser de vestlige, europæiske nationer, at deres fremtid afhænger af, at de springer med på dette tog i bevægelse.

I Danmark udtrykte statsministerens særlige repræsentant til Bælte & Vej Forum i Beijing i maj, minister Karen Ellemann, støtte til Bælte & Vej Initiativet (BRI), og Danmark er det eneste, nordiske land, der har indgået et Omfattende Strategisk Partnerskab med Kina; men Danmark er foreløbig kun involveret i nogle få infrastrukturprojekter i Bælte & Vej Initiativet.

Dette seminar/valgmøde finder sted under præsident Trumps statsbesøg til Kina den 8. – 10. nov., et statsbesøg, der kan blive USA's mulighed for at tilslutte sig BRI sådan, som Schiller Instituttet i USA har ført kampagne for. Hvis Trump gør dette, ville det fundamentalt ændre internationale relationer og bringe USA ind i denne alliance for økonomisk udvikling, i hvilken Kinas BRI udgør spydspidsen. I realiteten tilsigter den særlige anklager Robert Muellers efterforskning af præsident Trump, og som ikke bygger på nogen som helst kendsgerninger, netop at forhindre et sådant skifte mod en samarbejdsrelation med både Kina og Rusland.

Seminaret/mødet vil også uddybe nødvendigheden af, at Lyndon LaRouches Fire Økonomiske Love gennemføres:

1. Glass/Steagall-bankopdeling for at fjerne de spekulative finansbobler;
2. Oprettelsen af en nationalbank med et statsligt kreditsystem;
3. Udstedelse af statslig kredit til

nøgleinfrastrukturprojekter, som vil øge produktiviteten og den faktisk anvendte energigennemstrømningstæthed i produktionen, og;

4. Et forceret videnskabsprogram til opnåelse af kernefusionsenergi og rumforskning.

Desuden kræver Schiller Institututtets Venner en ny, kulturel renæssance, som omfatter gratis undervisning i klassisk musik for alle børn, for at berge børnenes kreative evner, som er den vigtigste faktor for hele menneskeheden.

Vi håber, at du vil være i stand til at deltage i dette seminar/møde, hvor der bliver tid til en åben diskussion af disse vigtige spørgsmål.

Vore seneste seminarer/koncerter i København:

En musikalsk dialog mellem kulturer, april, 2017.

Donald Trump og det Nye Internationale Paradigme, december, 2016.

Forlæng Den nye Silkevej ind i Mellemøsten og Afrika, april, 2016.

Kinas politik for »Ét Bælte, én Vej«, april 2015.

Den nye Silkevej bliver til Verdenslandbroen, januar, 2015.

Specialrapport:

Hjemmesiden om *EIR*-rapporten, *Den Nye Silkevej bliver til Verdenslandbroen*.

Den engelske, arabiske og kinesiske version af *EIR*'s rapport kan fås ved henvendelse til *EIR* og Schiller Institututtet i Danmark.

Pris for den 400 sider lange rapport:

Engelsk: trykt kr. 500, pdf. kr. 300.

Arabisk: trykt kr. 500.

Kinesisk: trykt kr. 500, pdf. kr. 300.

Kontakt venligst tlf. 53 57 00 51 eller 35 43 00 33, eller
si@schillerinstitut.dk

Hjemmesider:

Dansk: www.sive.dk

www.schillerinstitut.dk

English: www.newparadigm.schillerinstitute.com

www.schillerinstitute.org

www.larouchepub.com/eiw

www.larouchepac.com

Andre sprog/other languages: [Click here.](#)

Kupmagerne i vanvittig aktivitet for at sabotere Trumps rejse til Asien

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 31. okt., 2017 – Med præsident Trump, der forbereder sig til at tage af sted til Asien i denne uge til afgørende møder med Xi Jinping, Shinzo Abe,

Rodrigo Duterte, Moon Jae-in og muligvis også Vladimir Putin – møder, der kunne bringe USA direkte ind i overensstemmelse med det nye paradigme for menneskeheden, der vokser frem af Kinas Nye Silkevejsproces – forsøger Det britiske Imperiums lakajer i USA hektisk at miskreditere eller endda anklage præsidenten for at sabotere hans plan om at danne venskabelige og konstruktive relationer med Kina og Rusland.

Den juridiske morder, Robert Muellers anklageskrifter mod Paul Manafort, der i en kort periode var Trumps kampagnemanager i 2016, og mod George Papadopoulos, der sandsynligvis var plantet af briterne i Trumps overgangshold (uden nogen synlig indflydelse), er blevet spredt ud over den nationale presse, som om det var det første stød i at bringe Trump til fald. Trump responderede med tweets og sagde, at anklagerne mod Manafort intet havde at gøre med hverken ham selv eller Rusland og tilføjede, at »kun få mennesker kendte den lavt rangerende, frivillige arbejder ved navn George, der allerede har vist sig at være en løgner«. David Gergen, CNN's kommentator, røbede det hele mandag aften ved at advare Trump om, at han ikke burde foretage denne rejse til Asien, mens han konfronteres med et sådant dødeligt alvorligt angreb. Pladder.

I mellemtiden ruller Kina den røde løber ud for Trumps præsidentielle besøg – det første statslederbesøg siden den historiske KKP's 19. Nationalkongres, der konkretiserede den transformation af verdenshistorien, som blev initieret af Xi Jinpings lancering af det Nye Økonomiske Silkevejsbælte og det 21. Århundredes Maritime Silkevej under sin første femårsperiode.

Spørreilden af angreb i medierne i USA og i den britiske presse – han er en hensynsløs diktator, »den nye Mao« og »den nye Stalin«, blandt andet – kan ikke skjule virkeligheden omkring det utrolige udviklingstempo, internt i Kina og i landene i verdens udviklingssektor, gennem Bælte & Vej Initiativet. Selv IMF og sådanne historiske, britiske bankinstitutioner som HSBC tvinges af virkeligheden til at

rapportere den transformation, der finder sted internationalt som følge af Kinas initiativ – om ikke af anden grund, så fordi de ønsker at begrænse det.

Forskellen mellem den to former for fremtid, som konfronterer menneskeheden, er stærk. Xi Jinping fremlagde en vision for Kina og for verden frem til 2050, med Kina, der skal blive en fuldt udviklet nation, mens de samtidig er med til at løfte verdens tidligere koloniserede lande ud af fattigdom, for at blive moderne industrier. Han baserer dette på begreberne om et »fællesskab for en fælles skæbne« og en »ny type relationer mellem store magter«. Han støtter fuldt ud præsident Trumps erklærede hensigt om at arbejde tæt sammen med Kina og Rusland i denne nye type stormagtsrelationer, der som sit grundlag har visionen om den Nye Silkevej for en fælles skæbne for fremskridt for alle.

Så har vi den nuværende mangel på vision i Vesten. De britiske imperieinteresser bag kupforsøget mod Trump er de samme City of London- og Wall Street-kræfter, der har drevet de vestlige økonomier ud i industrielt forfald, kollaps af infrastruktur, kernekraftindustriens og vort rumprograms død, en forfærdelig narkoepidemi, massiv arbejdsløshed og voksende rater af fattigdom og selvmord.

Og dog er midlerne til at undgå denne døende kultur i vore hænder. Alt imens den Nye Silkevej er 'vejen ad hvilken', så må imidlertid den ondskab, der består i Londons og Wall Streets bankerotte hasardspilsinstitutioner, der har taget kontrollen over de fleste af vore regeringsinstitutioner, ødelægges, hvis vi skal virkeligøre en sådan vision. Franklin Roosevelt viste, at dette er muligt med sin New Deal, gennemførelsen af Glass-Steagall for at rense skidtet ud på Wall Street og etablere statslig kredit i Hamiltons tradition for at genopbygge nationens infrastruktur og udløse arbejdskraftens produktive evne og videnskabelig udvikling.

På samme måde, som Robert Mueller gennemtvang »Få ram på

LaRouche-specialstyrken« i 1980'erne netop, fordi Lyndon LaRouche var spydhovedet for en bevægelse for at opnå denne højere vision for menneskeheden, sådan fungerer Mueller stadig væk i dag på vegne af Imperiet, der hektisk forsøger at bevare verden opsplittet, for at stoppe Trump i at bringe USA ind i samarbejde med Kina og Rusland.

LaRouches Fire Love inkorporerer FDR's fremgangsmåde og tilføjer det presserende nødvendige i at skabe en ny æra med kulturelt og videnskabeligt samarbejde for menneskehedens fremtid. Tiden er ikke til selvtildfredshed. Vejen er klar, og sejr på kort sigt er mulig, og nødvendig, hvis verden skal undgå globalt kaos og global krig.

Foto: Den kinesiske præsident Xi Jinping (2. fra højre) og hans hustru Peng Liyuan (2. fra venstre) stiller op til fotografering sammen med Donald Trump (midten), hans hustru Melania Trump (1. fra højre) og hans datter Ivanka på Mar-a-Lago-feriestedet i Florida, 7. april, 2017. (Xinhua/Lan Hongguang)

Vi skaber ideerne bag den fremvoksende nye æra for menneskeheden!

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 30. okt., 2017 – Kinas Kommunistiske Partis netop afsluttede 19. Nationalkongres har konsolideret iden om, frem til midten af dette århundrede, at skabe et »smukt Kina« og en »smuk verden«, hvor regeringer vil blive styret af konceptet om at sørge for deres befolkningers voksende »lykke«.

Dette er en betagende udvikling af strategisk betydning, understregede Helga Zepp-LaRouche i denne weekend, og som er gået fuldstændig hen over hovedet på det meste af USA's og Europas befolkning – for slet ikke at tale om, at det er en fornyet erklæring »med kinesiske karaktertræk« af de centrale, filosofiske koncepter, omkring hvilke USA selv blev grundlagt. »Hvilken leder, fra hvilket land i Vesten, har en sådan vision i dag?« spurgte Zepp-LaRouche. Hvor længe siden er det, at en amerikansk statsmand har gjort et sådant perspektiv, med samt dets medfølgende begreb om mennesket, til emnet for national diskurs?

Og dog ville disse dybtgående ideer ikke være så fremmedartede for flertallet af amerikanere i dag, hvis ikke Lyndon LaRouche, sammen med mange af hans medarbejdere, uretmæssigt og på falske anklager var blevet jaget i fængsel og hen over tre årtier fremstillet som en udstødt person. Denne forbrydelse blev begået af den samme, britiskkørte bande af bøller, med Robert Mueller som et fremtrædende bandemedlem, og som gik videre til at dække over den saudisk-britiske rolle i 11. september-angrebet, og som nu forsøger at vælte Trump-regeringen og selve USA's forfatning – et billede, der hinsides enhver rimelig tvivl er bevist i *EIR*'s Robert Mueller Specialrapport[1], der nu cirkuleres bredt i hele landet.

Briterne gjorde alt dette for at forsøge at bringe de ideer til tavshed, som Lyndon LaRouche på enestående vis har skabt hen over årtier, og som fastlægger grundlaget for at virkeliggøre et Nyt Paradigme for menneskeheden, der vil lægge Det britiske Imperium i graven én gang for alle. Det er de ideer, der nu tager form i Kinas Bælte & Vej Initiativ, som ubønhørligt går fremad på alle kontinenter.

»Vi skaber ideerne«, erklærede Zepp-LaRouche som et faktum. Det, der nu vokser frem på verdensscenen, er et resultat af vores mobilisering, vores organisering og vores opdragelse hen over årtier – ikke alene omkring projekter og politikker, men også omkring Lyndon LaRouches banebrydende opdagelser, hans

udarbejdelse af det centrale begreb om menneskets skabende identitet og det, der adskiller mennesket fra alle andre, kendte arter. Det ville være udbytterigt at studere disse skrifter i dag, både i Vest og Øst. I særdeleshed tilskyndede hun til læsning, eller genlæsning, af Lyndon LaRouches skrift fra 2004, »En dialog mellem eurasiske civilisationer: Jordens kommende 50 år«[2].

Åbningsbemærkningerne til denne rapport fra LaRouche, der figurerer som frontispice i bogversionen, lyder som følger:

»Kreativitet, som jeg her har identificeret det, er forskellen på dig og en abekat. Der er faktisk to egenskaber ved denne forskel. For det første, så kan et medlem af den menneskelige art øge hans eller hendes arts potentielle, relative befolkningstæthed gennem sin viljemæssige anvendelse af kreativitet, som ingen form for dyr kan gøre. For det andet, så afhænger samfundets fremskridt hen over successive generationer af, at disse generationer gen-vedtager, eller efter sætter i kraft, den skabende opdagelse af denne form for universelle, fysiske principper. Sammen kan disse to udtryk for kreativitet (som jeg definerer det) fastlægge grundlaget for det, vi kunne kalde naturlig, menneskelig moral, den form for forskel, der adskiller menneskelig moral fra aberigets kultur.«

Ti år tidligere, i 1993, da Lyndon LaRouche stadig var fængslet, skrev han en lang artikel, der udredte denne, hans enestående opdagelse, i en artikel med titlen, »Om LaRouches opdagelse«[3], hvis indledende afsnit lyder:

»Det centrale træk af mit originale bidrag til Leibniz' videnskab om fysisk økonomi, er at give en metode til at adressere den årsagsmæssige sammenhæng mellem, på den ene side, enkeltpersoners bidrag til aksiomatisk revolutionerende fremskridt i videnskabelige og analoge former for viden, og, på den anden side, de heraf følgende forøgelser af den potentielle befolkningstæthed i de korresponderende samfund. I

sin anvendelse i politisk økonomi, fokuserer min metode på analyse af den centrale rolle af den følgende tretrins rækkefølge: For det første, aksiomatisk revolutionerende former for videnskabelig og analog opdagelse; for det andet, de heraf følgende fremskridt i principper for maskinredskaber og analoge ting; sluttelig, de heraf følgende fremskridt i arbejdskraftens produktive evne.«

Nu er et godt tidspunkt for verden til fuldt og helt at opdage LaRouches opdagelse.

Foto: Xi Jinping: »Flere end 60 million mennesker er blevet løftet ud af fattigdom i løbet af de seneste fem år.« (Xinhua / New China)

[1] [Læs Mueller-dossieret her.](#)

[2] EIR, 7. januar, 2005; artiklen er indeholdt i LaRouches bog, »Jordens kommende 50 år«, der kan købes fra Amazon.com (eller ved henvendelse til vores kontor, -red.)

[Læs artiklen her.](#)

[3] [Læs artiklen her.](#)

**Lyndon LaRouche:
»En dialog mellem eurasiske
civilisationer:
Jordens kommende 50 år« og**

»Om LaRouches opdagelse«. pdf; engelsk

☒ »Kreativitet, som jeg her har identificeret det, er forskellen på dig og en abekat. Der er faktisk to egenskaber ved denne forskel. For det første, så kan et medlem af den menneskelige art øge hans eller hendes arts potentielle, relative befolkningstæthed gennem sin viljemæssige anvendelse af kreativitet, som ingen form for dyr kan gøre. For det andet, så afhænger samfundets fremskridt hen over successive generationer af, at disse generationer genvedtager, eller etter sætter i kraft, den skabende opdagelse af denne form for universelle, fysiske principper. Sammen kan disse to udtryk for kreativitet (som jeg definerer det) fastlægge grundlaget for det, vi kunne kalde naturlig, menneskelig moral, den form for forskel, der adskiller menneskelig moral fra aberigets kultur.«

Download (PDF, Unknown)

»Det centrale træk af mit originale bidrag til Leibniz' videnskab om fysisk økonomi, er at give en metode til at adresse den årsagsmæssige sammenhæng mellem, på den ene side, enkeltpersoners bidrag til aksiomatisk revolutionerende fremskridt i videnskabelige og analoge former for viden, og, på den anden side, de heraf følgende forøgelser af den potentielle befolkningstæthed i de korresponderende samfund. I sin anvendelse i politisk økonomi, fokuserer min metode på

analyse af den centrale rolle af den følgende tretrins rækkefølge: For det første, aksiomatisk revolutionerende former for videnskabelig og analog opdagelse; for det andet, de heraf følgende fremskridt i principper for maskinredskaber og analoge ting; sluttelig, de heraf følgende fremskridt i arbejdskraftens produktive evne.«

Download (PDF, Unknown)

**Helga Zepp-LaRouche:
De fleste mennesker er stadig
ikke klar over,
at vi befinder os ved en
korsvej for
menneskeheden.** **Dansk
udskrift;**
**Nyt Paradigme Webcast, 19.
okt., 2017**

Eftersom dette er blevet lidet rapporteret i de vestlige medier, så lad mig gentage, hvad han sagde. Han sagde, at målet for Kina er at fjerne al fattigdom frem til år 2020. Der er så, jeg tror det er 42 mio. mennesker tilbage; hvilket ikke er meget, når man tænker på, at Kina er et land med 1,4 mia.

mennesker. Men de vil løfte alle mennesker op, så der ikke er nogen tilbage i fattigdom frem til 2020. Næste mål er så frem til år 2035, hvor det er meningen, at Kina skal blive et moderat velstående, moderne, fungerende, socialistisk land. Dernæst, frem til år 2050, er det meningen, at Kina skal blive et stærkt, demokratisk, civiliseret, harmonisk og smukt land; fuldt ud moderniseret. Jeg mener, dette er et utroligt, et smukt mål. I sin tale understregede Xi Jinping, at, efter århundredet med ydmygelse for Kina, som var karakteriseret ved opiumskrigene og lignende begivenheder, besluttede Kina ikke at tage nogen udenlandsk model, men i stedet udvikle sin egen model for socialism med kinesiske karaktertræk.

Jeg mener, at Vesten ville gøre klogt i rent faktisk at se på, hvad disse kinesiske karaktertræk er, for hemmeligheden ved det kinesiske økonomiske mirakels succes må findes, mener jeg, og kan findes i Kinas 5.000 år lange historie. Xi Jinping understregede, at, i løbet af disse 5.000 år, har Kina bidraget med mange ting til menneskehedens udvikling, og det har Kina tænkt sig at blive ved med. Ét af Kinas store bidrag var med sikkerhed ikke alene, at Konfucius (Konfutse) blev født [i Kina] og udviklede sig til at blive én af de største lærere til alle tider, men at det faktisk var Kinas statsfilosofi i størstedelen af 2.500 år, med Kulturrevolutionen som en kortvarig undtagelse – de ti år fra 1966 til 1976. Derfor er konfuciansk tankegang og Konfucius' ideer dybt indgroet i den kinesiske model; og jeg har mange gange givet udtryk for, at jeg, ud fra studier af Xi Jinpings taler, personligt er kommet til den slutning, at Xi Jinping selv er et menneske med en konfuciansk tankegang.

Download (PDF, Unknown)

Dansk udskrift: Den Nye Silkevejsånd tager over: Vi må vinde kampen for at bringe USA og Europa med om bord.

Schiller Institut Nyt Paradigme Webcast med Helga Zepp-LaRouche, 12. okt., 2017

Dette er en utrolig historie, og på nuværende tidspunkt står det ikke klart, hvilken af siderne, der vinder. Men det forholder sig altså modsat i forhold til den måde, det fremstilles på: Det er nemlig de personer, der går efter Trump, som er dem, der bør efterforskes, og, hvis de findes skyldige, stilles for en domstol. Det er, hvad der virkelig foregår, og formålet er at gøre med Trump, ligesom det billede fra Gullivers Rejser, husker I nok, hvor Gulliver bliver holdt nede af så mange små reb, at han ikke kan røre sig. Og hele ideen bag kampagnen imod Trump er selvfølgelig, at han har så travlt med at forsvare sig, at han ikke kan gennemføre sin faktiske dagsorden.

Dette er den kamp, som USA's, og gennem implikation, hele resten af verdens, eksistens beror på, i betragtning af USA's

strategiske betydning.

Se webcast her: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MslkvEHn0n0>

Download (PDF, Unknown)

Årsagen til Muellers kup er uforandret; Trump kunne gå sammen med Kina og Rusland i den Nye Silkevej

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 10. okt., 2017 – Med præsident Trumps planlagte, lange række af topmøder i Asien om kun tre uger, er det ikke et spørgsmål om gradvis modstand for at besejre forsøget på at tvinge ham ud af embedet: Det er en yderst presserende kamp. Hvis heksejagten, der ledes af »særlige anklager« Robert Mueller, stoppes, kan præsidenten bruge denne rejse til at fremme den dagsorden, der bragte ham til magten, og som er spørgsmålet om afgørende samarbejde for fred med stormagterne Kina og Rusland, og om det transformerende byggeri af infrastruktur, der kan »gøre Amerika stort igen«. Disse to spørgsmål er forbundet i form af, at USA tilslutter sig Bælte & Vej Initiativet eller den »Nye Silkevej« med store, globale projekter.

Potentialet for en sådan Trump-dagsorden har, fra begyndelsen i 2016, været motiveringen for britisk efterretning og deres medskyldige, europæiske eliter og amerikanske

efterretningstjenester og medier, til at konspirere om at holde Trump ude, eller tvinge ham ud.

Præsidenten er under konstant angreb fra alle disse, om alle spørgsmål. Selv den største og mest vedvarende præsidentielle nødrespons i de seneste 80 år til tre successive, store orkaners ødelæggelser er blevet angrebet med bitter partiskhed, udløst af Obama-demokrater og medierne.

Men det, der står på spil for Muellers sponsorer og Trumps fjender på højeste angloamerikanske niveau, er ikke nogen af disse »spørgsmål«. Det er derimod muligheden for, at Trump kunne bryde alle love i britisk geopolitik og indgå en alliance mellem store magter for fred og gensidig økonomisk profit, baseret på deres fælles interesse.

Det betyder, at Kinas Bælte & Vej Initiativ, og dermed den politik for Verdenslandbroen, som Lyndon og Helga LaRouche i 40 år har forfulgt i hele verden, er det faktiske potentiale, der står på spil.

Alternativet, hvis Trump bliver stoppet og ikke gennemfører det, er ikke alene en forhøjet trussel om krig, men også endnu et finanssammenbrud; selv de, der har været mest med til at forårsage det, som Tysklands finansminister Wolfgang Schäuble, advarer nu om dette sammenbrud.

I intervallet frem til præsident Trumps afrejse til Asien holder Helga Zepp-LaRouche tre internationale webcasts om den fulde betydning af, at Amerika – og Europa – tilslutter sig Bælte & Vej Initiativet. Indholdet af disse webcasts er som følger:

Bælte & Vej Initiativet – ideen om udvikling gennem Verdenslandbroen sådan, som LaRouche-parret har udbredt det i årtier – involverer store, nationale kreditinstitutioner, der på basis af fælles aftaler iværksætter storstilede projekter for højteknologisk infrastruktur og en storstilet udvidelse af rumforskning og samarbejde om rumforskning, sammen med

forcerede programmer for udvikling af nukleare fusionsplasmateknologier. Det involverer en stigende produktivitet og produktiv beskæftigelse – præcis, hvad præsident Trump tilsigtede. Og Wall Street og City of London må sættes under kontrol gennem Glass/Steagall-forholdsregler.

Indholdet og resultatet af denne Asienrejse og dens potentielle afgøres netop nu, gennem en mobilisering for at knuse Mueller-kuppet. Dette er det aktuelle øjeblikks virkelige spørgsmål.

Foto: Præsident Donald J. Trump modtager en briefing om nødhjelps- og redningsindsatsen efter orkanen Maria. 26. sept. 2017. (Whitehouse Photo)

EIR: Columbus' første 'Bælte & Vej' inspireret af Nicolaus Cusanus

Som Lyndon LaRouche længe har hævdet, så går podekrystallen til nutidens Ét Bælte, én Vejs storstiledes design for verdensfred, baseret på økonomisk udvikling, tilbage til Nicolaus Cusanus (kardinal Nikolaus von Kues) og hans umiddelbare medarbejdere: Paolo Toscanelli og Ferdinand Martin, kannik for Lissabon, Portugal, og kong Alfonso V af Portugals skriftefader. De var sammen ansvarlige for det første forsøg på at skabe et maritimt bælte mellem Europa og Kina, som udførtes af Christoffer Columbus, for at komplementere den allerede eksisterende Silkevej.

Download (PDF, Unknown)

Foto: Kort tegnet af Paolo Toscanelli over 'søvejen mod vest

til Kina', hvor Nordamerikas faktiske kontur er indtegnet med lys blå farve.

Se også: [En hyldest til Nicolaus af Cusa. En dialog mellem kulturer. Specialrapport.](#)

LaRouches videnskab om økonomi er grundlaget for, at USA kan tilslutte sig det historiske Nye Paradigme.

LaRouche PAC Videnskabsteams Undervisningsserie 2017 i økonomi. 1. Lektion

4. oktober, 2017 – USA har nu muligheden for at opgive et dødt, mislykket, geopolitisk og økonomisk system og gå sammen med Kina, Rusland og andre nationer i et win-win-paradigme for fælles udvikling. Standardlærebøger i økonomi formidler imidlertid ikke den nødvendige, videnskabelige forståelse af menneskeligt fremskridt og menneskelig udvikling. Vi må vende os mod Lyndon LaRouches videnskab om økonomi som grundlaget for dette nye, historiske paradigme, at begynde med hans opdagelse af Potentiel Relativ Befolkningsstæthed.

Download (PDF, Unknown)

Den Nye Silkevej portrætteret i to tyske mainstream-aviser

7. okt., 2017 – *Mainzer Allgemeine Zeitung* og *Wiesbadener Kurier*, to førende dagblade i Rhin-Main-området, har begge det samme helsidesportræt af den Nye Silkevej med et farvelagt kort, der viser hovedtransportruterne og omfanget af de kinesiske investeringer i de enkelte lande og påpeger Asiatisk Infrastruktur-Investeringsbanks (AIIB) rolle som én af de institutioner, der udsteder kredit til projekterne. Der vises også en tidslinje over den Nye Silkevejs fremskridt siden september 2013. Ruter over såvel land som hav, olie- og gasledninger og vigtige havne vises, og man sammenligner omkostninger for containertransport med fly, over land og hav. Det bør bemærkes, at flere regionale og lokale handels- og industrikamre i hele Tyskland ligeledes er begyndt at afholde arrangementer om den Nye Silkevej, og at man i disse uger drøfter og indgår aftaler om nye venskabsbyer mellem Tyskland og Kina. Tyske institutioner uden for den føderale regering, der fortsætter med at opstille forhindringer, er tydeligvis ved at blive positivt indstillet til det nye paradigme.

Dansk udskrift: 'Silkevejs-ladyen' bringer

**den »Nye Silkevejsånd« til et
endnu
bredere publikum i verden.
Schiller Institutets Nye
Paradigme Webcast,
5. okt., 2017, med Helga
Zepp-LaRouche**

Vi befinder os ved en korsvej i menneskets historie, meget mere, end de fleste mennesker er klar over, for vi har lige nu to helt forskellige dynamikker i verden: Den ene er den, vi kender fra USA og de europæiske nationer, hvor vi har et kollapsende paradigme. Vi har et samfund, der tydeligvis ikke fungerer, hvilket reflekteres i mange symptomer, som Brexit, Hillary Clinton, der tabte valget, 'nej' i folkeafstemningen i Italien for nylig, imod forfatningsændringer, det tyske valg, som er dramatisk, og nu folkeafstemningen i Cataloniaen – de er alle symptomer på, at der er noget fundamentalt galt. Og det er en kendsgerning, at bestræbelserne fra de neokonservatives side på at etablere en unipolær verden efter Sovjetunionens sammenbrud, gik ind for neoliberale politikker, der har knust mange millioner menneskers levebrød, og der foregår nu et oprør imod hele dette system.

[Download \(PDF, Unknown\)](#)

**LPAC-Interview med Fouad al-Ghaffari,
Yemen. BRIKS-ungdom i Yemen
siger til
deres amerikanske partnere:
'Følg Lyndon LaRouches vise
ord
og gå med i hans bevægelse'.
LaRouchePAC Internationale
Webcast,
6. okt., 2017**

Vært Matthew Ogden: I dag, mens vi taler, begås der en folkemordskrig mod Yemens folk. Denne krig, der nu har raset i godt to år, begås af det saudiske kongedømme, med den stiltiende og direkte støtte fra både USA's regering og Det forenede Kongerige (UK). Denne støtte omfatter våbensalg for milliarder af dollars, som omfatter kampfly, ammunition og andre tunge våben, samt direkte støtte på slagmarken i form af overvågning og brændstofpåfyldning (i luften). Ifølge den seneste rapport fra FN er over 10.000 mennesker døde i denne krig; flest civile. Andre tusinder er såret. En stor del af dødsfaldene skyldes sygdom, inklusive kolera; og der er mindst 19 millioner mennesker, der har desperat behov for humanitær hjælp. 7 million mennesker har desperat behov for mad. De har imidlertid ikke haft mulighed for at få adgang til de nødvendige forsyninger, fordi saudierne har blokeret alle

nødhjælpsforsendelser.

Mange amerikanere er fuldstændig ubevidst om denne menneskelige tragedie, der forårsages af en totalt uretfærdig og ulovlig aggressionskrig imod Yemens befolkning. En krig, der frem til i dag støttes af USA's regering. For at gøre det amerikanske folk bekendt med dette folkemord, og for at stoppe blodbadet, der foregår, endnu mens vi taler, udstedte LaRouche Political Action Committee (LPAC) en nøderklæring for et par uger siden [17. sept.], med titlen, »**Det Nye Silkevejsparadiges fjende: Saudisk folkemord i Yemen**« [dansk, 12. sept.; en senere EIR-opdatering, 17. sept. kan læses her, engelsk].

Indledningen lyder som følger:

»Nye afsløringer om Saudi-Arabiens rolle i 11. septemberangrebene mod USA udgør påbuddet: Det igangværende saudiske folkemord mod Yemen må stoppe; de saudiskrelaterede netværk, der udfører sådanne forbrydelser imod menneskeheden, må overvindes. At handle på dette lægger vejen helt åben for hele verden, inklusive Mellemøsten, til at deltage i den Nye Silkevejs – Bælte & Vej Initiativets – fremstød for udvikling, som er den nødvendige proces for »fred gennem udvikling«, som behøves for at gøre en ende på evindelig krigsførelse i området.

Det, der blandt andet kræves, er skabelsen af en kommission til undersøgelse af Saudi-Arabiens handlinger imod Yemen. ...

** Stands al udefra kommende indblanding i Yemen for at gøre det muligt at vende tilbage til den forhandlingsproces, der eksisterede, før bombeangrebene begyndte, og gå frem mod en løsning af nationale uoverensstemmelser.*

** Levering af omgående mad, vand, sanitet, medicin, energi, sundhedsydeler og anden social nødhjælp, fuldt og helt.*

** Levering af al anden humanitær og økonomisk hjælp, der er*

presserende nødvendig, især til transport, husly og logistik.

** Indledning af beredskab til internationalt samarbejde for at genopbygge Yemen og byde dets deltagelse i udviklingsfremstødet for den 'Maritime Silkevej' for Eurasien-Afrika, velkommen.«*

Erklæringen fortsætter – Endnu mens dette folkemord fortsætter,

»fortsætter UK og USA med at forsyne den saudiske 'koalition', der begår dette kriminelle overgreb, med våben.«.

Siden denne erklæring blev udstedt, er der kommet et meget vigtigt initiativ fra USA's Kongres. En tværpolitisk gruppe af kongresmedlemmer har introduceret en samtidig resolution med titlen, »H.Con.Res.81«, som påbyder præsidenten, i overensstemmelse med sektion 5c af *War Powers Resolution* (fra 1973, der kontrollerer præsidentens beføjelser til at forpligte USA til en væbnet konflikt uden Kongressens samtykke, -red.), for at fjerne USA's bevæbnede styrker fra de uautoriserede fjendtligheder i republikken Yemen. Dette lovforslag har nu 22 medsponsorer, inkl. kongresmedlemmerne Walter Jones, Thomas Massey, John Conyers, Barbara Lee, Tulsi Gabbard, Keith Ellison og flere andre. Dette er en meget vigtig intervention, der møder tiltakning i Washington. En af de ting, I kan gøre, er omgående at tage kontakt til jeres kongresmedlem; ring omgående til dem og kræv, at de bliver medsponsorer af H.Con.Res.81.

Jeg har i dag en meget særlig gæst; hr. Fouad al-Ghaffari, der er med os fra Sana'a i Yemen, Yemens hovedstad. Hr. Al-Ghaffari er en tidligere diplomat og tidligere chef for kontoret for ministeren for menneskerettigheder i Yemen, og er nu grundlægger af og formand for Yemens Rådgivningsråd for Koordinering med BRIKS.

Det er en ære at have dig med os i dag, hr. al-Ghaffari. Velkommen til larouchepac.com. For det første vil jeg gerne

spørge dig, om du kan beskrive for vore seere her, der ser dette interview, hvordan forholdene er på stedet i Sana'a, og i resten af Yemen?

Vi ved fra rapporter, at over 10.000 mennesker er blevet dræbt, og at landet konfronteres med en alvorlig humanitær krise. Hvad har virkningen af denne krig været på Yemens befolkning?

Her følger engelsk udskrift af resten af interviewet:

AL-GHAFFARI: First of all, I would like to bring you, Matthew and the LaRouche PAC team, my warmest greetings from Sana'a. These days we have been celebrating the third anniversary of the September 21st revolution, and the 55th anniversary of the September 26th revolution.

Last week, on September 21st, Mr. Saleh Al-Sammad, the President of the Supreme Political Council, made a speech in the center of Sana'a in a celebration attended and followed by millions of Yemeni citizens. In his speech he praised the position taken by the BRICS nations on Yemen in their recent Xiamen Summit in China. He also sent a message to the UN General

Assembly on the occasion of the International Day of Peace.
[Shows video excerpt of Sept. 21 Sana'a speech by President Saleh, with English subtitles]

Going back to your question, the war of aggression against Yemen was preceded by different kind of wars launched against Yemen by Wall Street and the international financial institutions

such as the World Bank and the IMF. Then it was followed by the move by British Prime Minister David Cameron and President Barack Obama, who bypassed their Parliament and Congress, to throw

their internal failures and their hatred upon Yemen. While the operation to destroy Yemen was disguised in complex ways before, the latter genocidal war is attempting to completely uproot Yemen's people and destroy their land. The Revolution of September 21, 2014 was launched to prevent that from succeeding.

As for the effects of this war of aggression, which is spearheaded by Saudi Arabia and the United Arab Emirates, on the living conditions here, they are really horrible and dark, and they surpass any human reasoning as the war has turned to the law of the jungle. The situation is so bad, that it is becoming difficult to smile, or even remember how to smile. Sana'a, relatively speaking is better off than other cities, but it lacks all the ingredients of life and of progress that have been prescribed by Lyndon LaRouche to the nations of the world. But that puts us on an equal-footing with other capitals that lack these same ingredients. But although Sana'a is breathing with big difficulty, it is looking forward to a reconstruction project that would become a unique model, if the world starts to realize the uniqueness of Yemen's geographic position.

OGDEN: As you know, the LaRouche movement has issued an emergency statement which has been circulated internationally; which is titled "Enemy of the Silk Road Paradigm: Saudi Genocide in Yemen". This statement, as I said, has been circulated by the LaRouche movement, including being distributed in front of the UN General Assembly meeting and distributed to the missions

there.

Now, you met with the Foreign Minister Hisham Sharaf – who represents the popularly-approved government in Sana'a of former

President Ali Abdullah Saleh – and you delivered a copy of this

statement. What can you tell us about the content of your conversation with him, and his response to the initiative that the LaRouche Movement has taken?

AL-GHAFFARI: As you know, genocide is the mother of all crimes, and we are facing an enemy which is randomly bombarding

our cities and blockading our ports, airports, and territories.

On top of that, the enemy is falsely telling the world that it has the solution to our problem. Here, I would like to thank the

LaRouche Movement for issuing that statement. It is a reflection

of your well-recognized intellectual and moral principles that are always thinking outside the box. This feature is really human.

His Excellency the Foreign Minister Hisham Sharaf is a follower of your reports. He had sent a letter to Mrs. Helga Zepp-LaRouche in March this year on the occasion of the Women's

Day, and invited her to visit Yemen.

On the day the LaRouche Movement's statement was released, His Excellency was meeting with the envoy of the UN Human Rights

Commission. And just before the arrival of the chargé d'affaires of Russia, His Excellency asked me to come to

his office to inform me of his appreciation of the calls in the

statement, the first on stopping the Saudi-led aggression, and

the second on moving towards the reconstruction process along the lines of the New Silk Road. At that meeting I also presented to him the Chinese Foreign Ministry's Policy Paper on the Arab World, because that document, I believe, includes the correct policy to be followed by the governments of the region. In the next days, the Minister was following the campaign of your movement on the streets of the many nations. Therefore, he immediately sent a letter of appreciation to Mrs. LaRouche and the entire international LaRouche Movement, assuring them that Yemen is planning to become an active component in the vision and the steps of building the New Silk Road to establish world peace.

He also said that Yemen will be a key global partner to accomplish the UN Sustainable Development Goals 2030.

OGDEN: This meeting that you just told us about was covered in the press; they covered the meeting that you held with Minister Sharaf, and the press coverage featured the role of Lyndon and Helga LaRouche. The article in the {Sana'a News} was titled "Foreign Minister Receives the Statement of the LaRouche Movement Concerning Yemen and Calling for Stopping the Aggression." How well known are Lyndon and Helga LaRouche in Yemen?

AL-GHAFFARI: Both my first meeting with the Minister and the letter His Excellency sent to Mrs. LaRouche were widely covered in the Yemeni media.

For your question about the LaRouches, this makes me smile, because Mr. Lyndon LaRouche has been known in Yemen for many years by both the elites and the laymen. As for Mrs. LaRouche,

I

guess I was the first to circulate her name among the elites, but

most importantly among the middle class which means the intellectuals.

As we say in Yemen, "he who does not appreciate other humans does not appreciate the Creator." I therefore would like to say

that [Arabic {EIR} editor] Mr. Hussein Askary has made the biggest effort and carried the greatest burden to make the ideas

and visions of Mr. LaRouche accessible to the people in Yemen. The issue was not "do you know LaRouche?" or "have you heard about him?" The issue is what he is saying in reality, not what

Google says about him. The translation of the {EIR} report "The

New Silk Road Becomes the World Land-Bridge" into Arabic, which

was done by Mr. Askary, will be the talk of people in Yemen for generations to come.

OGDEN: We certainly hope so.

In your view, what is the geopolitical purpose of this war of aggression being waged against your people, there in Yemen by

the Saudis? Were this criminal war to be ended, how could things

change there, on the ground in Yemen? What could changes in terms

of the conditions of life? And most of all, what is the role Yemen could play in the overall New Silk Road?

AL-GHAFFARI: Geopolitically, the enemy wants to create a breeding ground for terrorism to threaten the world with, and to

pay the financial debts of the evil empire. They also want to pull Yemen away from the New Silk Road, and thus to destroy the

New Silk Road itself and the aspirations for achieving the Sustainable Development Goals 2030, which were approved by the nations of the world.

What Yemen can present to the world is a unique opportunity to establish a true national credit bank, because the governing

alliance here has the power and authority to make this decision.

This could become, without any doubt, Yemen's ticket to join the

train of the BRICS nations.

Another reason for this war is that Yemen could become a strong regional guardian of the New Silk Road in all its three routes: land, sea and space. The brains and arms of Yemen's brave

soldiers, combined with modern technology can assure that.

But, in order to turn this situation around, Yemen must fully join the New Silk Road, and build its own foundations and

structures on its principles and visions. This will give us credibility in the eyes of our international friends, who will see that we are as serious as the other nations that have been admitted to this project. There is no luxury in this issue.

Yemen's need for the elements of the New Silk Road project is as

greater as Yemen's need for air to breathe, if we really realized

the dignity and happiness this Silk Road carries within it.

OGDEN: Yes, absolutely. And we really admire the courageous actions you have taken there.

Finally, I would just like to say, that the American people have no idea currently what crimes are being perpetrated there in

Yemen, and the American people have to know that our government and implicitly, we, ourselves, are complicit in this genocide, due to our support for the Saudis, through arms sales and our political alliance with that regime.

As you know, there have been efforts on the parts of numerous members of Congress to bring a halt to these arms sales,

and also as we mentioned earlier in the show, the House Concurrent Resolution 81 that has been introduced, to withdraw the U.S. involvement in this war. And there's even been efforts

to bring attention to the Saudi royal family's role in financing

the 9/11 attacks. There are currently lawsuits that are being litigated by members of the families of the 9/11 victims.

Let me ask you: What would you say to the American people, now that you've had a chance to show them what the conditions are

on the ground there in Yemen, and what the effects are that our

policy is having on the people of Yemen? What should American citizens do to an end to this atrocity?

AL-GHAFFARI: We, the BRICS Youth in Yemen, would like to thank those Congressmen who are our partners in humanity and development.

Yemen today is paying the bill of the 9/11 terrorist attacks. We announce our solidarity with the families of the victims of the 9/11 attacks. We feel that we and the American people are in the same side, because their conditions are not pleasing, as their politicians are more interested in enslaving

people around the world rather than solving the crises in Texas,

Florida, and the New York subways. All these policies, that are

crimes indeed, are due to the fact that the main culprit in the 9/11 attacks, the Saudis, have escaped the deserved punishment until now.

Our advice to the American people is: Follow the wise words of Lyndon LaRouche and join his movement!

LarouchePAC: Well, thank you so much for that advice, and thank you so much for joining me here, today. It was such a pleasure, and a privilege for me to have had a chance to speak with you, and it was truly an honor.

I would like to thank all of our viewers, who had the opportunity to watch this extraordinary interview just now.

Thank you for tuning in. And again, you can take action to stop

this atrocity right now, by calling your member of Congress, and

demanding that they cosponsor this resolution, House Concurrent

Resolution 81, – H.Con.Res.81. And you can also help us distribute this emergency statement which was issued by LaRouche

PAC. The link to that statement in the description to this webcast immediately below

[<https://larouchepac.com/20170916/enemy-new-silk-road-paradigm-saudi-genocide-Yemen>]

So thank you, once again, to Fouad Al-Ghaffari for joining us here today, and thank you for tuning in. Please stay tuned to

larouchepac.com.

AL-GHAFFARI: Thanks to you, Matthew, and regards to Jason and all. We'll see you very soon.

Schiller Instituttets Venner stiller op i kommunalvalget. Briterne og finans- markederne frygter Trump – Hvad sker der når Trump besøger Kina? POLITISK ORIENTERING, 5. okt., 2017. Inkl. del 2

»Verden er i den grad præget af et helt utroligt potentiale for menneskehedens videre færd, her på Jorden og ude i det store univers, der omgiver os. Samtidig, så er vi også – på grund af, at der er en gammel magtstruktur [kan ikke høres] – jamen, så er vi også i en farlig tid, hvor det kan gå helt galt. Hvor vi lever med en aktiv trussel om, at disse galninge i den gamle garde kan finde på at udrydde menneskeheden i en atomkrig. Det er noget, man må forholde sig til og ikke lade sig terrorisere af det, så man bliver paralyseret – man skal måske synge lidt mere; ha' lidt input for sjælen, så man ikke går helt i baglås – og så skal man ellers holde fokus på, hvad der er muligt, og hvordan vi intervinerer til gavn for de kommende generationer i at sikre, at vi i USA og i Europa får et skifte fra det gamle, defekte paradigme, som vi stadigvæk er præget af, og som etablissementet i den grad forsøger at fastholde; og så en overgang til et nyt og langt bedre paradigme, som indebærer en bedre fremtid for hele

menneskehedens befolkning.« ...

2. del:

https://soundcloud.com/si_dk/finansverdenen-frygter-trump-hvad-skter-der-nar-han-besoger-kina-om-en-maned

International engelsk torsdagswebcast med Helga Zepp-LaRouche: Den Nye Silkevejsdynamik er i færd med at erstatte geopolitik!

Se webcastet live hver torsdag her.

OBS !
Schiller Instituttet

annoncerer ugentlig international webcast med Helga Zepp-LaRouche på engelsk, for at udbrede den Nye Silkevejsånd

Torsdag, den 5. oktober, kl. 12 EDT (kl. 18 dansk tid), vil Helga Zepp-LaRouche, Schiller Instituttets grundlægger og internationale formand, indvie et ugentligt, engelsksproget webcast for at udbrede det, hun kalder den »Nye Silkevejsånd« til hele verden. Fr. LaRouche er en af de mest prominente, intellektuelle forfattere af konceptet »Den Nye Silkevej bliver til Verdenslandbroen«. Siden den kinesiske præsident Xi Jinping satte den Nye Silkevej, Bælte & Vej Initiativet, som det nu kaldes, på dagsordenen for fire år siden, er det i færd med at løfte millioner af mennesker ud af fattigdom og fastlægger et alternativ til den farlige idé om en geopolitisk opdeling af verden. Internationalt kendt som »Silkevejsladyen«, optræder fr. LaRouche ofte i kinesisk presse for at analysere BRI og den globale, strategiske situation.

Fr. LaRouche vil hver uge fremlægge en kortfattet opdatering af de strategiske udviklinger, samt en strategi for at etablere et nyt paradigme i internationale anliggender, og vil kaste lys over kræfterne bag »Maidan«-kuppet imod præsident Trump, der er et mål, fordi han har lovet at bringe relationen mellem USA på den ene side, og Rusland og Kina på den anden, på et godt fundament. På trods af de transatlantiske mainstreammediernes bestræbelse på at lægge låg på spørgsmålet, så ville befolkningerne i USA og Europa, såfremt

de blev tilstrækkeligt informeret om Bælte & Vej Initiativets enorme fremskridt, have et langt mere positivt syn på, hvordan fremtiden kan se ud.

I en tale for et amerikansk publikum sidste lørdag (30. sep.) sagde fr. LaRouche, at, for at bringe USA ind i dette Nye Paradigme, »må man på en eller anden måde udvikle et perspektiv, der ikke er bundet og påvirket af ens daglige omgivelser og ens daglige virkelighed, men at man må oplyse ens vision omkring noget andet: man må have en idé om, hvor menneskeheden skal være om 100 år fra i dag. Man bør have en idé om, hvad Universets fysiske love er, og hvorfor, ens modstandere blot er sølle, påståelige idioter, der ikke kan lykkes.

»Jeg mener, at, hvis man som sit referencepunkt tager et internationalt aspekt, vil man være i en langt bedre position til at være amerikansk patriot, fordi man først er verdensborger ... det er sådan, jeg har gjort – og det virker, det kan jeg forsikre jer for.«

Tiden er inde til, at amerikanere og borgere i alle nationer bliver verdensborgere for faktisk at være patrioter! Organisér dine venner, naboer og medarbejdere til at deltage i disse ugentlige webcasts – din fremtid, og dine børns og børnebørns fremtid, er afhængig af, om vi kan bringe USA ind i et aktivt engagement med dette Nye Paradigme.

TORSdag, 5. OKTOBER, KL. 12 EDT (KL. 18 DANSK TID)

newparadigm.schillerinstitute.com

Den virkelige folkeafstemning burde handle om, at Spanien vedtager at gå med i den Nye Silkevej. Følgende politiske erklæring blev udstedt af den spanske LaRouche- bevægelse 2. oktober.

For ti år siden eksploderede det globale finanssystem, der var gennemhullet af beskidte, giftige derivater, som ikke havde nogen som helst iboende værdi overhovedet, og trak verdens fysiske økonomier med sig i faldet. Nedskæringspolitikker og centralbankernes og IMF's udstedelse af papirpenge i hele det transatlantiske område har efterladt dette områdes samfund uden en fremtid. Spanien, sammen med andre europæiske lande, havde været et førende offer for denne tsunami af fysisk-økonomisk disintegration, der reflekteres af de høje tal for ungdomsarbejdsløshed, en voksende andel af befolkningen, der er i fare for at styre ned i fattigdom og social udstødelse, samt et skarpt fald i lønningerne. Alt dette under diktat fra IMF, den Europæiske Kommission og den Europæiske Centralbank – Trojkaen.

Men i 2013 kom der imidlertid en lysstråle fra Øst, især fra Astana (Kasakhstan), hvor Kinas præsident Xi Jinping annoncerede skabelsen af et økonomisk bælte, der forbundt nationerne i Asien, og som han kaldte den Nye Silkevej og senere udvidede til det, Kina i dag kalder Bælte & Vej Initiativet. Dette storstiledede infrastruktur- og

industrialiseringsprojekt, baseret på de mest avancerede teknologier, er centreret omkring et humanistisk win-win-princip for relationer mellem suveræne nationalstater; omkring en agape-kærlighed til andre – principper, der er fælles for konfucianisme og platonisk kristendom. Denne nye arkitektur for internationale relationer, med Bælte & Vej som hjørnestenen, er inspireret af en kampagne, der i mere end 25 år er blevet ført af statsmanden Lyndon LaRouche og hans hustru, Helga Zepp-LaRouche, for skabelse af en Verdenslandbro, baseret på en række udviklingskorridorer, der integrerer alle folkeslag på planeten og hæver deres levestandard langt over fattigdomsgrænsen. Præcis dette har været den nationale plan for Kina, der har løftet herved 700 millioner mennesker ud af fattigdom, og hvis mål det er, totalt at fjerne fattigdom i deres land frem til år 2020.

Og Spanien? Hvilken rolle spiller det? I sine bedste øjeblikke har Spanien i århundredernes løb spillet rollen som bro for humanistiske ideer mellem forskellige civilisationer. I middelalderen var det et arnested for videnskabeligt, teknologisk og kulturelt fremskridt, der frembragte store tænkere som Ramón Llull, Alfonso X den Vise og Al-Farabi, sande platonikere, der promoverede billede af mennesket som et skabende væsen i det fysiske univers. Llulls og Alfonso X's oversættelser af Platons værker fra arabisk til latin og folkesprog, såsom castillansk (spansk) og catalansk, var en direkte inspiration for personer, der bidrog til Europas Gyldne Renæssance, såsom Nicolaus Cusanus (Nikolaus von Kues) og Dante Alighieri, og formede ligeledes tankegangen hos skaberne af videnskaben om fysisk økonomi, Gottfried Leibniz.

Senere var Spanien med til at udføre Nicolaus Cusanus' (1401-1464) sidste vilje og testamente, nemlig at skabe en republikansk nationalstat langt fra Europas oligarkiske fordærvelse, og som ville promovere menneskets skabende evner og redde menneskeheden fra den mørke tidsalder, som den havde udholdt i mere end et århundrede. Som en del af dette projekt

åbnede Spanien vejen for opdagelsen af Amerika. Det bidrog også til skabelsen af den amerikanske republik, hvis Uafhængighedserklæring og Føderale Forfatning er baseret på de renæssanceprincipper, som Cusanus, og senere Leibniz, havde udviklet.

Spanien har altid, i sine store øjeblikke, været broen, der forenede forskellige kulturer, landet, hvor sand økomeni kunne udvikle sig, om end kortvarigt, mellem de tre store, monoteistiske religioner, som det reflekteredes i Toledo-oversættelsesskolen.

I dag, i det 21. århundrede, kan Spanien atter blive Verdenslandbroens bro til Asien, Afrika og de amerikanske lande. I år tog den spanske regering et første skridt hen imod at tilslutte sig win-win-paradigmet, som Kina tilbyder gennem den Nye Silkevej.

Den virkelige oprørsdiskussion, der burde få den dominerende opmærksomhed i Spanien, bør ikke være den om Cataloniens adskillelse fra Spanien – som kun ville være til fordel for City of Londons og Wall Streets geopolitiske Store Spil – men i stedet være en diskussion om at adskille Spanien fra det bankerotte og folkemorderiske system, som er den Europæiske Union og Trojkaen, der i Europa er udtrykket for det bankerotte monetære system, hvis forholdsregler til nedskæringer har efterladt millioner af spanske unge uden en fremtid. Et forenet Spanien må erklære sin uafhængighed og genvinde sin nationale suverænitet, og fuldt og helt gå med i den Nye Silkevej. Dette er et svangert øjeblik.

Spaniere: Lad jer ikke feje med af den nuværende pessimisme, som er induceret af Det britiske Imperium, herrerne over det nuværende bankerotte system. Lad jer i stedet inspirere af Llull, Alfonso X, Karl III, Bernardo Ward, Al-Farabi, Goya, Campones og selvfølgelig, Miguel de Cervantes Saavedra. Vi har fremragende tænkere, der viste, hvad Spanien er i stand til at bidrage til at hæve menneskeheden til dens skabende

potentiale. Lad os, forenet, som en suveræn nationalstat erklære vores uafhængighed fra nedskæringer, gennemtvunget af den Europæiske Union, og gå med i den Nye Silkevej for at få gang i Spaniens fysiske økonomi og give dets befolkning, især de unge, et formål med at skabe en bedre fremtid gennem produktive, højtspecialiserede jobs, der øger vores fysiske, økonomiske produktivitet, pr. person og pr. km².

I historiens løb har vi været broen mellem kulturer, der promoverede integrationen af civilisationer ved at bringe det bedste frem, og det gode i hver enkelt. Tiden er inde til at tage et skridt frem og vende tilbage til at være denne bro, og som er vores sande identitet.

(Oversat fra engelsk.)

Foto: Detalje af et værk af Ramón Llull (1232-1315).

Kampagne for kaos og forvirring – led efter det britiske spor

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 2. okt., 2017 – Historien om Imperium er historien om »del og hersk«. På et tidspunkt, hvor menneskets historie står over for en transformation sådan, som vi i dag oplever, med det store potentiale for et nyt paradigme for hele menneskeheden, baseret på den Nye Silkevejspolitik for global udvikling, skal man se på større destabiliseringer med en skarp lugtesans, der lugter det beskidte spor af Imperium.

Som Helga Zepp-LaRouche i dag mindede verden om:

Nationalstaten er Imperiets fjende.

Det britiske Imperium har altid promoveret ideen om »Regionernes Europa«, der var baseret på etniske og religiøse forskelle, som var belejligt for destabiliseringer af nationalstater, der ikke var samarbejdsvillige. Hvem står bag krisen i Catalonien, der truer, ikke alene Spanien, men hele Europa? Hvem var det, der opdelte Cameroun i engelsk- og fransktalende sektorer efter Første Verdenskrig, og nu har aktiveret kravet om uafhængighed for den engelske sektor, som har ført til 17 dødsfald i dag og potentelt kaos i morgen? Bemærk, at Kina nu er Camerouns førende handelspartner, som det er tilfældet med nationer i det meste af Afrika, og nu er i færd med at bygge et undersøisk kabel fra Cameroun til Brasilien, som vil betjene alle nationerne i Afrika og Sydamerika.

Hvorfor har Israel så åbenlyst støttet den kurdiske folkeafstemning, der destabiliserer ikke alene Irak, men også Iran, Syrien og Tyrkiet, hvis regeringer har været et mål for London og Wall Street?

Det fuldstændig tossede, horrible mordorgie i Las Vegas må ikke afskrives uden at følge sporet, snarere end at acceptere historien om den »enlige morder«, der så ofte har været brugt til at skjule sandheden.

Det måske mest klassiske eksempel på britisk del-og-hersk er iscenesættelsen af den israelsk-palæstinensiske konflikt, der er blevet brugt til at opsplitte hele verden i det seneste århundrede. I dag er det et prøveeksempel for de to paradigmer, som menneskeheden står overfor. I dag mødes de to palæstinensiske bevægelser, Fatah og Hamas, i Gaza for at drøfte forsoningen af palæstinensisk styrelse. De fleste presserapporter og »ekspertanalyser« afskriver denne bestræbelse som værende dømt til nederlag, af samme grund, som lignende bestræbelser tidligere er mislykkedes.

Men, i dag er der en forskel – Bælte & Vej Initiativet. En palæstinensisk forretningsmand, Munib al-Masri, annoncerede søndag en plan for at skabe et selskab, der er helliget til udviklingsprojekter i Gazastriben til støtte for Kinas Bælte & Vej Initiativ. Al-Masri er viceformand for det Internationale Handelskammer for Silkevejen. Formanden for denne institution, Lu Jianzhong, der er præsident for CCCC International Holding Ltd. i Kina, har været involveret i byggeri af infrastruktur i hele Afrika og besøgte i sidste uge Vestbredden, hvor han mødtes med præsident Abbas og økonomiminister Odeh.

Det er netop den Nye Silkevej, der kan forandre historiens imperiegeometri, og som kan skabe fred gennem udvikling. Genopbygningen af Syrien, f.eks., er allerede begyndt gennem det internationale samarbejde mellem Rusland, Kina og andre, der er involveret i Bælte & Vej-processen.

Der er al mulig grund til at mene, at denne historiske Nye Silkevejsproces kan lykkes med virkelig at forene verden i det nye paradigme. Præsident Trump har sagt, at han ønsker at gøre Amerika stort igen gennem samarbejde med andre store nationalstater, der hver især sætter deres eget folk først, men samarbejder i harmoni med alle andre nationalstater. Dette var grundlaget for den Westfalske Fred i 1648, som afsluttede de religiøse krige i Europa og skabte den moderne nationalstat. Dette er den konfucianske harmoni, der har inspireret Kinas mirakuløse vækst ud af fattigdom i løbet af de seneste årtier, efter Kulturrevolutionens mareridt.

Det kupforsøg mod præsident Trump, der udføres af det forbryderiske slæng omkring Robert Mueller, kan og må knuses ved hjælp af *EIR-dossieret*, der er udfærdiget til formålet.[1] Det er netop samarbejdet mellem USA, Rusland og Kina i den Nye Silkevej, der adresserer alle nationers og alle folkeslags fælles interesser, og som har været *EIR's* og Schiller Instituttets mission siden deres grundlæggelse. Nu er vores tid kommet.

Foto: Folkeafstemning i Catalonien; folk, der protesterer på Las Ramblas for uafhængighed fra Spanien. 2. okt., 2017. (Sasha Popovic / Flickr / CC BY-NC-ND 2.0)

[1] Se også: [LPAC Internationale Webcast, 29. sept.](#)

Einstein havde ret: En tåbe er den, der bliver ved at gentage sine fejl!

Af Helga Zepp-LaRouche

Nødvendigheden af en reorganisering af det miserable finanssystem, som er skyld i, at svælget mellem rig og fattig på uacceptabel vis er blevet større, og hvis uretfærdighed har gødet jorden for Brexit, Hillary Clintons valgnederlag, 'Nej' i den italienske folkeafstemning om forfatningsændring og nu, det elendige valgresultat for den Store Koalition i Tyskland, burde ikke være så svær at indse. De økonomiske nedskæringer i de nye, tyske delstater, der havde indledt Treuhand-politikken (som havde til opgave at privatisere statsejede virksomheder i det tidligere Østtyskland, -red.) under Birgit Breuel, var et udtryk for dette uretfærdige system. Uden denne oplevelse, affolkningen af hele landsbyer og følelsen af at være blevet skubbet ud i samfundets yderkant, på trods af renoverede markedspladser, ville reaktionen på flygtningekrisen i det østlige Tyskland aldrig være faldet så voldsomt ud, og AfD ville nu ikke være det stærkeste parti i Sachsen og det næststørkeste parti i de fire andre, nye delstater.

Men uagtet mainstreammediernes omfattende censur, vokser nu et helt nyt, økonomisk system, der beror på helt andre principper – nemlig på menneskehedens almene vel og et win-win-samarbejde mellem de deltagende stater. Det er i Tysklands egeninteresse at arbejde med i dette nye paradigme.

Einstein havde fuldstændig ret: »Man kan ikke løse problemer med den samme tankegang, ved hvilken de er opstået!«

Download (PDF, Unknown)

Robert Mueller er en umoral sk, juridisk morder: Han vil gøre sit job, hvis I giver ham lov. LaRouche PAC Internationale Webcast, 29. sept., 2017.

Vært Matthew Ogden: God aften; det er den 29. september, 2017. Med mig i studiet i dag har jeg William Wertz fra *Executive Intelligence Review*. Vi vil diskutere den netop udkomne specialundersøgelses-rapport; dossieret med titlen, »Robert Mueller Is an Amoral Legal Assassin; He Will Do His Job if You Let Him!«



Fig. 1

Jeg viser rapportens forsidebillede her på skærmen [Fig. 1]. Som I ser, så er rapporten nu tilgængelig; den er allerede blevet cirkuleret i over et døgn. Den er allerede i hænderne på folk i hele USA, og man kan se den her: lpac.co/ytdos (LPAC's hjemmeside; *EIR*-rapporten findes som **pdf på vores hjemmeside**).

Rapporten er delt op i tre afsnit og følger tråden af personen Robert Mueller igennem tre af de mest berygtede forbrydelser i de seneste 30 år. For det første, de falske anklager og retsforfølgelsen af Lyndon LaRouche – LaRouche-sagen; for det andet, det aggressive bedrag af det amerikanske folk mht. sandheden om begivenhederne den 11. september, 2001, som dernæst blev brugt til at lancere en række krige for regimeskifte; og for det tredje, det igangværende kup imod den siddende amerikanske præsident, mens vi taler. Og I vil se, forhåbentlig i løbet af denne udsendelse – og vi opfordrer jer til at læse hele dossieret – at tråden til Robert Mueller kan spores hele vejen igennem disse afgørende, historiske vendepunkter. Hvis man trækker i denne tråd, vil hele dette apparat, hele denne operation, blive optrævlet.

Will Wertz er her i dag for at fremlægge nogle af rapportens punkter i en overordnet gennemgang, og for at guide jer gennem rapportens indhold og komme med nogle refleksioner over hvert af disse tre, afgørende knudepunkter, som detaljeret dækkes i rapporten. Og, forhåbentlig også for at lokke jer og tilskynde jer til at læse og studere denne rapport i detaljer. Jeg vil lade Will gå i gang, og vi vil vise noget materiale på skærmen undervejs, men vi vil gennemgå noget af indholdet fra rapporten.

William Wertz: Tak. Matt. Skønheden i denne rapport – som er noget, der omgående må handles på for at redde denne republik og præsidentskabet og bevæge verden ind i et Nyt Paradigme, som det defineres af den kinesiske politik for Ét Bælte, én

Vej, og som er blevet vedtaget af mange lande i hele verden, inklusive Rusland, og som USA er blevet inviteret til at tilslutte sig – er, at den identifierer dette angreb på præsident Trump og det amerikanske præsidentskab over en længere tidsperiode; og hvor det fundamentale spørgsmål er, om verden fortsat skal være domineret af et bankerot, finansielt imperiesystem, der kontrolleres af briterne, eller om vi i stedet bevæger os ind i et Nyt Paradigme, baseret på princippet om fred gennem økonomisk udvikling. Det er det fundamentale spørgsmål. Dette er noget, som Lyndon LaRouche har kæmpet for i årtier. Tilbage i 1971, den 15. august, fremlagde han meget klart de alternativer, der ligger foran menneskeheden, og foran dette land, med Nixon, der annoncerede første fase, anden fase, af nedtagningen af Bretton Woods-systemet, som Roosevelt havde indsat efter Anden Verdenskrig. Han (LaRouche) sagde dengang, at vi har et alternativt valg; alternativet er at satse på en politik for økonomisk udvikling på global skala, eller også vil vi blive konfronteret med et forsøg på at gennemtvinge en fascistisk, økonomisk politik i Schachts tradition, som ville resultere i massive dødstal over hele verden. Med 'Schachts tradition' refererer jeg til Hjalmar Schacht, finansminister under Adolf Hitler, der kom til magten med hjælp fra sådanne folk som John Foster Dulles og briterne.

Det, LaRouche gjorde i 1970'erne efter denne vurdering, var, at han fremlagde mange absolut afgørende udviklingsprogrammer. I 1975 var han fortaler for skabelsen af en International Udviklingsbank. Senere krævede han oprettelsen af en Nationalbank i USA efter samme principper som Alexander Hamiltons Første Nationalbank. Han præsenterede udviklingsprogrammer for områderne i Stillehavsbækkenet og det Indiske Oceans bækken. Han præsenterede et program ved navn Operation Juárez, for Mexicos udvikling, som en model for Nord-Syd-relationer gennem udveksling af mexicansk olie til gengæld for amerikansk teknologi. Senere præsenterede han programmer for den Eurasiske Landbro i samarbejde med sin

hustru, Helga Zepp-LaRouche, og som senere udvikledes til Verdenslandbroen. Briterne var totalt modstandere af denne politik – totalt. Vi vil få at se, at dette har været en kamp under hele perioden 1970'erne og 1980'erne og under hele 11. september-perioden, og frem til det aktuelle angreb på Trumps præsidentskab. Det har været en kamp mellem Det britiske Imperium, som har interveneret i USA for at forhindre, at USA gik i denne retning, som Lyndon LaRouche har forsøgt at styre USA i; og som LaRouche er på randen til at styre USA i, i dag, og som er årsagen til, at briterne er så bange!

Tilbage i 1980'erne spillede Lyndon LaRouche en afgørende rolle i udformningen af den tiltrædende Reagan-administration. LaRouche var ophavsmand til det **Strategiske Forsvarsinitiativ**, som Reagan senere annoncerede i marts måned, 1983. LaRouche var også modstander mod briterne i krigen om Malvinas-øerne (som briterne kalder Falklandsøerne), og han krævede en gennemførelse af Monroe-doktrinen imod briternes kolonipolitik dér. Som et resultat mødtes LaRouche og hans hustru i 1982 med Indira Gandhi; han og hans hustru mødte Indira Gandhi i april 1982 for at diskutere udviklingen af det indiske subkontinent og Stillehavsbækkenet. I maj 1982 mødtes han med José López Portillo (Mexico) for at diskutere Operation Juárez. Som respons på dette sendte Henry Kissinger, i august 1982, et brev til FBI-direktør William Webster med krav om en efterforskning af Lyndon LaRouche på en anklage om angivelig chikane af Kissinger for at være britisk agent. Der blev ligeledes sendt et brev den 27. august 1982 fra den britiske regering til FBI; som krævede en efterforskning af Lyndon LaRouche og hans organisation. Den 24. september 1982 skrev FBI, i skikkelse af chef for kontraefterretning, James Noland, et svar til briterne.

Matthew Ogden: Vi har faktisk en FOIA-udgivelse (FOIA: Freedom of Information Act) af dette FBI-memo, som vi viser på skærmen [Fig. 2]. I kan selv se det følgende citat, som Will vil læse.



Fig. 2

Will Wertz: Der står, og det er altså fra James Noland til den britiske regering: »Vi vil gerne gentage vores konklusion om, at, alt imens mange af NCLC's chikane-aktiviteter« – NCLC var Lyndon LaRouches organisation – »og de temaer, som NCLC's publikationer promoverer, såsom *EIR [Executive Intelligence Review]* ofte er favorable over for sovjetisk misinformation og propagandainteresser, så er der ingen direkte beviser for, at russerne dirigerer eller finansierer LaRouche eller hans organisation. Det er imidlertid helt igennem sandsynligt, at russerne (sovjet-russerne) har udviklet eller vil udvikle kilder internt i NCLC, der befinner sig i en position, hvor de kan indskyde sovjet-inspirerede anskuelser i NCLC's aktiviteter og publikationer. Det er sandsynligt, at russerne vil forsøge at drage fordel af eller udnytte NCLC-meninger, der er paralleller til eller fremmer sovjetiske, udenrigspolitiske mål.«

Minder dette jer om interventionen på vegne af Storbritanniens Government Communications Headquarters – GCHQ – eller på vegne af MI6's Christopher Steele, for at forsøge at få en efterforskning af USA's præsident Donald Trump, for angiveligt 'aftalt spil' med russerne?

Alligevel skete der det, at præsidentens Udenrigspolitiske Råds Styrelse den 12. januar, 1983, krævede en efterforskning fra FBI's side. Robert Mueller kommer ind i billedet i 1982. Han blev en del af USA's justitsminister William Welds stab i Boston i 1982. Efter valgene i 1984 lancerede Weld en efterforskning af LaRouche; og i 1986 efterfulgte Mueller Weld, da Weld af George Bush – det var faktisk Ronald Reagan, der var præsident, men under indflydelse af George Bush, senior – blev udnævnt til at lede Justitsministeriets Kriminal-afdeling. Mueller bragte dernæst en vis John Markham ind for at udføre retsforfølgelsen af Lyndon LaRouche, der

begyndte i Boston. Efter en razzia den 6. oktober, 1986 i Leesburg, Virginia, mod LaRouches hovedkvarter, hvor der var en trussel om, og en faktisk plan for, at forsøge at iscenesætte mordet på Lyndon LaRouche. Dette forsøg blev forpurret, men retsforfølgelsen fortsatte i de sene 1980'ere.

Her følger resten af webcastet i engelsk udskrift:

This prosecution was typical of Mueller's corruption. For instance, in Boston itself, the prosecution ended in a mistrial

after government misconduct had been brought to light. The jury,

when they polled themselves afterwards, having only heard the complete prosecution case, unanimously said that they would have

voted for acquittal. The judge in that case made the following

statement: He said that the government had "engaged in systematic and institutional prosecutorial misconduct"; this is

Robert Mueller. The case was then shifted to Virginia, and what

they did there was that they had a judge in the so-called "Rocket

Docket" who made it impossible for the defendants to raise the fact that the government had illegally put companies associated

with LaRouche into involuntary bankruptcy. That was a very significant factor in undermining the defense in that case.

After the case had resulted in convictions, the bankruptcy judge,

Martin Bostetter, ruled that the bankruptcy was a "constructive fraud on the court."

Ramsey Clark was the attorney for Lyndon LaRouche in the appeal.

OGDEN: Let's put this quote on the screen, too; we have Ramsey Clark's quote [Fig. 3].

WERTZ: What Ramsey Clark said was that "The LaRouche case represents a broader range of deliberate cunning and systemic misconduct over a longer period of time using the power of the Federal government, than any other prosecution by the U.S. Government in my time and to my knowledge." Later in another spin-off case in New York State, New York State Supreme Court Justice Stephen G. Crane said, "The actions of the Federal prosecutors raise an inference of a conspiracy to lay low these defendants at any cost." This is not just one judge. We're talking about at least two judges, a bankruptcy judge, and the former Attorney General of the United States Ramsey Clark, who effectively denounced this so-called honest Robert Mueller for conducting one of the most incredible corrupt prosecutions in U.S. history.

If we look forward to the 9/11 period, Lyndon LaRouche wrongly imprisoned by this apparatus which was launched by the British and carried forward by the Bush administration. Bush was

President at the time of the trial and the sentencing.
LaRouche

was out of prison and continued his fight for a policy of economic development; calling for a New Bretton Woods system to

replace the system which had been abandoned by Nixon in 1971.
He

was working, as he had in the earlier period, on negotiating in

the early 1980s with the Soviets for the National Security Council of the United States under Reagan for the SDI. He continued those discussions with the Russians, this time around a

New Bretton Woods conception under President Clinton. Clinton himself, before the impeachment proceedings were launched

against him, called for a new financial architecture at a speech before the CFR [Council on Foreign Relations] in New York, along the lines of what LaRouche had advocated. LaRouche was also at the end of the 1990s, he was warning about the campaign to create an Arc of Crisis around Russia – no longer the Soviet Union, but Russia. He produced a video called "Storm Over Asia" in which he developed precisely how this operation was being run against Russia. Then in January of 2001, he warned that there could be some sort of terrorist action in the United States such as a Reichstag Fire [which brought Hitler into power], which could be engineered under the Bush administration. That's precisely what occurred on September 11, 2001.

Again, what do we have here? Mueller assumed office as FBI Director on September 4, 2001, just days before 9/11. What he did from that point on, was to carry out what Senator Bob Graham,

who headed up the Congressional investigation of 9/11, has described as "aggressive deception."

OGDEN: Here's a clip from a press conference that Bob Graham did a little bit over a year ago at the National Press Club, where he discusses the role that the FBI played in stonewalling the Congressional investigation into 9/11; both in terms of the details regarding San Diego – that's what was contained in the 28 pages – but also in an even bigger case of cover-up, the details of the cell that was located in Sarasota, Florida. So you'll hear Bob Graham talk about what he called

"beyond a cover-up, but an aggressive deception campaign against the American people."

SEN. BOB GRAHAM

: It appeared as if the FBI was moving from a cover-up which I considered to be a passive withholding of information, to aggressive deception in the case of Sarasota – which is one of several examples. They rewrote the narrative; they said we've finished the investigation, and we have found no connections. When in their own files, written by their own special agent who was from the Tampa office, incidentally, they had contrary information. They then, and have continued, to withhold that information, other than the 80,000 pages from the public. I consider to justify the categorization of being aggressive deception.

OGDEN: Then later in the same press conference, which you can watch in full there – it's lpac.co/graham-press-conference.

But later in the same press conference, he talked about how the Deputy Director of the FBI actually detained him and his wife at Dulles Airport, and warned them to stop pursuing the truth about what was contained in these documents about the Saudi connections to 9/11. So, here's a short clip where he talks about that warning from the FBI to him and his wife.

GRAHAM

: The question is raised, "Why are you doing this? Fifteen years later, what difference does this make? Get

a life." I was told that by the Deputy Director of the FBI.

FEMALE REPORTER: Just one follow-up. You were pretty much harassed by the FBI when you tried to go further in your inquiries. Since you went public, have you heard of anybody else

who had that kind of treatment from the FBI?

GRAHAM: No. This was a situation which occurred in 2011 as all this information about Sarasota was starting to come out.

My

wife and I flew up from Miami to Dulles to have Thanksgiving with

our daughter who lives in Great Falls. We were met at the airport by two FBI agents. They said that an official of the FBI

wants to talk with you about the Sarasota situation. Well, I was

encouraged; feeling that maybe some of the questions that we'd been asking were now going to be answered. So, we drove with the

two agents to the office at Dulles which the FBI has. My wife was put in one room, and she was given as entertainment the FBI

training manual. If you want to know some arcane aspects of FBI

training, she's fully prepared. I was taken into another room,

with the Deputy Director of the FBI, a young female FBI agent, and a middle-aged lawyer from the Department of Justice.

Essentially, the message was, we've done this complete investigation; everything that's known is known, and you need to

get a life. I pointed out what he didn't know was that I had actually read two of the investigative reports by their agent, which contradicted what they had said publicly and what he had

just said to me privately. He said, "Oh, you don't understand.

One, that wasn't a very good agent." Well, the idea that has there been an investigation by the FBI in its history that was as

important to the American people as full knowledge as 9/11? And

the very fact that they would say they had sent as an investigation into what I think was an important component of the

total picture, someone that they declare to be less than a fully

capable person was itself revelatory. And then he proceeded to

say, "And we have other information that puts what you read in context. And you will see that in fact what we have said is true." So, I said "Fine. Could I see the information that will

put it into context?" And he pointed to the young female agent,

and directed her to assemble the files. We arranged a time to meet at the FBI office for the District of Columbia.

So, a few days later, I showed up for our meeting, prepared to read these files. The Deputy Director was there, and he said

the meeting is cancelled and we're not going to reschedule.

And,

since I knew who the agent was who had been described as less than competent; and I had called him to try to have a telephone

conversation, and he said "I know you've been calling Agent 'X'.

Stop calling him, because I've told him to not take your calls."

That was the last of any official character meeting that I had with the FBI. [END VIDEO]

OGDEN: There are more details concerning Mueller's direct involvement in this kind of stonewalling around the Congressional inquiry into 9/11; telling Bob Graham and his other investigators not to fly out to interview one of the known personalities in San Diego. They disobeyed those orders and did it anyhow. But just parenthetically, immediately after this press conference that Bob Graham did in Washington D.C. at the National Press Club, a fight erupted around the so-called JASTA bill – Justice Against Sponsors of Terrorism – which would allow the 9/11 families to sue Saudi Arabia for their role in financing and coordinating the 9/11 attacks.



CNN coverage.

Yesterday just happens to be the one-year anniversary of the major victory – you can see on the screen here [Fig. 4] – of the Senate override of Obama's veto of this JASTA bill, which was absolutely dramatic. This was a rally in front of the White House [Fig. 5] of 9/11 families and activists, asking the Congress to override Obama's veto; and then next [Fig. 6], this is an article in one of the Capitol Hill newspapers. "Senate Poised To Override Obama Veto." Then this is the final picture [Fig. 7], this was actually in the cloakroom immediately after the Senate cast their votes. The cloakroom of the U.S. Senate. I had the pleasure to actually be there

in the gallery with the 9/11 families when the U.S. Senate overrode Obama's veto of the JASTA bill. That was the CNN coverage. This was obviously a major victory, parenthetically. But it's part of the story, and the role that Bob Graham had to play in going directly head-to-head with the FBI and others in the Executive Branch who were trying to cover up and protect the Saudis in the 9/11 case.

WERTZ: Now, there are, along with the statement from James Noland that I read earlier, in response to the British government's request back in 1982 for an investigation of Lyndon

LaRouche, there were two other attachments which were declassified. The one basically was an attack upon LaRouche for

having opposed in what they called the Falkland Islands, but which is the Malvinas Islands. LaRouche did that from the standpoint of the Monroe Doctrine. The second was they objected

to the LaRouche Movement revealing that the policy of the British

was to promote the Muslim Brotherhood. If we look at the period

after 9/11, in which Robert Mueller is carrying out this "aggressive deception" as Senator Graham puts it, to cover up the

role of the Saudis. When you're talking about the Saudis, you're

talking about a satrap of the British; that's what you're talking

about in this situation.

What follows 9/11? Regime-change wars. What follows 9/11 immediately is Tony Blair's sexed up dossier claiming that Saddam

Hussein had weapons of mass destruction; which, as it turns out,

he did not have. And which the British undoubtedly knew he

did not have. Once again, British intervention. And after the invasion of Iraq, you had the effective creation of ISIS; you had then under Obama, continued regime-change policies under the name of the Arab Spring, which was just a policy of bringing the Muslim Brotherhood to power in such locations as Tunisia, Egypt, Libya, and Syria. As Lyndon LaRouche said after the assassination of Qaddafi, the reason they assassinated him was that they were in a hurry to move into Syria, as part of what he had earlier described as the "Storm Over Asia"; an effort to encircle Russia and to ensure that a New Paradigm does not emerge.

A critical point in this narrative really is in the period of 2013-14. I should just say, Mueller stepped aside as FBI Director on September 4, 2013; and as you know, he was replaced by James Comey. James Comey, it should be remembered, was the Deputy Attorney General under Mueller from December 2003 until August 2005.

In the year 2013, President Xi of China went to Kazakhstan, and he announced the Chinese commitment to the Silk Road; a policy which had been advocated for a significant period of time by Lyndon LaRouche and his wife, Helga Zepp-LaRouche, who is well known today as the Silk Road Lady. The Chinese have an immediate appreciation of the role that she has played in advocating this policy.

In the next year, you have the Ukraine coup – February 2014; orchestrated by Obama and by the British and the French, among others. But the basic idea here was, again,

encirclement
of Russia, in this case with a bunch of Nazis, which is what
the
Maidan consisted of.

On July 16, 2014, as the dossier that Barbara Boyd has
authored on Mueller indicates, you had the BRICS organization
meeting in Brazil, and what they formed was the New
Development

Bank. Remember, LaRouche had called for an International
Development Bank, back in 1975: This whole policy that
LaRouche

had advocated over these decades, at that point was in the
process of coming into existence, and it was at point,
particularly following the coup in Ukraine, that the operation
against associates of Trump's began, that is, even before he
announced for President. We now know, that contrary to the
lies

of James Comey and [then Director of National Intelligence]
James

Clapper, there was wiretapping of associates of President
Trump.

We know for a fact that the dossier indicates that Paul
Manafort,
who became Trump's campaign manager when he announced for
President, was wiretapped beginning in the year 2014; and this
continued through 2016. It was discontinued for a certain
period

of time, and then resumed into 2017 before Obama left office,
including a time period in which it was known that Manafort,
even

though he was no longer campaign manager, was speaking to
President Trump. Manafort had a residence in the Trump Tower
all
of this time.

Why was he targetted, initially? Well, because he had
provided advisory services to the Yanukovych government [in
Ukraine] – this was a duly elected government, which was

overthrown unconstitutionally in 2014, by Nazis. So, of course,

that becomes grounds for investigating somebody whose client was

overthrown by a bunch of Nazis, by the Obama administration!

The investigation begins there.

Also, in 2014, Michael Flynn was fired by Obama – why?

Because he had opposed the Obama Arab Spring policy, which gave

rise to ISIS, Michael Flynn said at the time. So you can imagine

that it is perhaps the case that surveillance of Michael Flynn began at that time as well.

Now, what the dossier on Mueller goes through is that

Mueller should be removed as Special Counsel, and there should be

an actual Special Counsel who investigates the crimes which Mueller aggressively deceiving the American people about, in his

investigation of President Trump. And I would also point out, think of the statements by various judges about the corrupt investigation and witch hunt directed at Lyndon LaRouche – well,

on June 15, 2017, Trump wrote: “You are witnessing the single greatest witch hunt in American political history.” And I would

say that, the precursor for this was the unprecedented witch hunt

against Lyndon LaRouche, but that President Trump is effectively

getting the same treatment as Lyndon LaRouche did then, from the

same sources, from the British.

Now, there are seven areas, that should be investigated – ,

OGDEN: We can put this on the screen here. We have the list of the seven actual crimes.

WERTZ: First, instigation of a coup against the United States by a foreign power.

So, although the charge is that President Trump, or his associates, colluded with the Russians, the fact of the matter is, that all the evidence shows that this entire operation has been concocted by the British, and been carried out by stooges in

the intelligence community under President Obama; who was particularly happy every time he visited Buckingham Palace.

Now what do we have as evidence? Well, the {Guardian} reports that as early as 2015, shortly after he announced for President, that the British began to surveille Trump and his associates. And according to the public account – and this cannot be taken at face value; this investigation of Trump may have started earlier. The communications between the British and

[then CIA Director] Brennan or others in the U.S. intelligence community, could have occurred before 2016. The report in the {Guardian} says that sometime in the summer, Hannigan of the Government Communications Headquarters, the GCHQ, which is the

equivalent of our NSA, spoke with Brennan about allegations that

Trump was being influenced by the Russians or working with the Russians.

But the point is, it was the GCHQ which was directly involved – according to public accounts in the {Guardian}, in prompting Brennan to create a six-intelligence agency taskforce

to investigate Trump, {during} the Presidential campaign! And I think the only other case of this kind of thing, was what happened to Lyndon LaRouche, because Lyndon LaRouche was running for President, back in 1988, and the investigation of him

was launched while he was a Presidential candidate in the United

States, and – really, actually, an indictment during the

Presidential campaign, which is completely unprecedented. Additionally, you have the Christopher Steele dossier: Christopher Steele is allegedly an "ex" MI6 agent. He headed up the MI6 Russian desk in Moscow, until 2009. In 2009, he left that position and formed Orbis Business at the same time period Fusion GPS, a U.S. company which was involved in commissioning Steele's dossier, was also created. And these two companies were working together since at least 2010 when they signed a confidentiality agreement between them as a shield for revealing what was actually going on.

So you have the circulation of the Christopher Steele dossier – and they didn't just produce this for Hillary Clinton.

They were briefing the press! They were giving direct briefings to the press; they were giving it to John McCain who then gave it

to Comey, and so forth. They were actively circulating this unverified, so-called "intelligence" against Donald Trump, who was a candidate for President, then became President.

And it's very important to understand that Christopher Steele, it's public record that he worked with the FBI's Eurasian

Organized Crime Unit in New York City, from at least 2010. The former head of the FBI's Eurasian Organized Crime Unit in New York City is none other than Andrew McCabe; who for a while, after Comey was fired, was Acting Director of the FBI, and is still Deputy Director of the FBI. And it's believed that McCabe

was the person who was working closely with Christopher Steele.

It came to light, for instance, that the FBI actually offered to

pay Steele \$50,000 to continue with his research, although the FBI's been stonewalling on the details on that.

So what you have here is completely a British operation directed at Trump and his associates; and I'll get to this in terms of the wiretapping.

And it's also believed that the Christopher Steele dossier provided the roadmap for the FBI's investigation, and also may have been used for getting ,” FISA [Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court] surveillance authorization directed at people such as Manafort.

Second crime is false reporting of a crime: And that's precisely what has occurred in the case of the allegation that the Russians hacked Podesta and the DNC. It has been documented

thoroughly by numerous sources that this was a leak by an insider, and not a hack by the Russians. The most definitive proof of this is the memorandum put forward by the Veteran Intelligence Professionals for Sanity (VIPS). And these are top-notch former NSA, former CIA agents such as William Binney and Ray McGovern. They prove forensically that it could not have

been a hack over the internet; it had to be a leak onto some sort

of memory device, because of the speed involved [in the data transfer].

This has been submitted to Mueller; he's done nothing on it. It's been submitted to the President with the idea that he should

ask Pompeo of the CIA to get to the bottom of this. We don't know if anything's been done along these lines.

They also show that at least the Guccifer 2.0 claimed hacking, attributed falsely to the Russians, when in fact there

was cutting and pasting to put the Russian language on the alleged hack trail. This is something which the CIA has the capability to do under Brennan; it's called the Marble

Framework.

Assange of WikiLeaks has denied that this came from the Russian

government. He recently met with Congressman Dana Rohrabacher,

and said that he was willing to provide testimony which would definitely establish that this was not a hack and that it was not

given to him by the Russians. Rohrabacher (R-CA) has been trying

to meet with Trump in order to present this evidence, but it's been blocked, according to him, by the staff at the White House.

Craig Murray, a U.K. former ambassador to Uzbekistan, has said it was a leak and he knows it personally, because he met with a person that he said was the leaker.

Seymour Hersh was taped in a discussion, without his knowing he was being recorded, and he indicated that he had sources who

indicated that Seth Rich, a DNC computer technician, may have been the person who carried out the leak.

So all of this information is not being taken into account, in continuing with the narrative that this was a Russian hack, and you're supposed to believe that.

Then you have the third crime, which is an attempt at entrapment of Donald Trump, Jr., Manafort, and Jared Kushner, among others, in a Trump Tower meeting. The British hand is all

over this: The person who set up the meeting and sent the emails

to Donald Trump, Jr., which misrepresented the purpose of the meeting, was one Ron Goldstone, a British national. It should also be pointed out that one William Browder, who testified before Congress, in this case against Fusion GPS, because they were lobbying against the Magnitsky Act, which was the subject of

the discussion at the Trump Tower. This was a person who

renounced his U.S. citizenship in 1989 and became a British citizen.

So again, what we're dealing with here is a complete British operation. It's just transparent that that's the case. But this

was a deliberate attempt to try to set up a situation which would

involve the Trump immediate circles, in attempting to get information on Hillary Clinton allegedly coming from the Russians.

Fourth area: Felonious leaks of intelligence by the Obama administration. This has to do with the unmasking, which was completely out of control, or I guess you could say it was under

very directed control by the Obama administration officials.

For

instance, it's been revealed that Samantha Power, the Obama UN ambassador, requested 260 unmaskings of U.S. citizens in the course of 2016. And she even tried to get even more unmaskings

before the inauguration of Donald Trump. What reason does she have to be asking for such intelligence, from the standpoint of

being at the UN?

Obama National Security Advisor Susan Rice, it is known asked for many unmaskings, in this case, her National Security Council records were transferred to the Obama library, so that justice could be obstructed, because records at the library are

sealed for a number of years.

This is a deliberate policy of targetting U.S. citizens, particularly Trump associated by the Obama administration, and those are two such cases.

You have also the attempted J. Edgar Hoover-style sexual blackmail of Trump, by Comey: This is the fifth area that should

be investigated. Comey met with Trump in Trump Tower on Jan.

6,

2017; he met with him alone. Other people had come to the meeting, but he asked them to leave and then met with Trump alone, and presented him with an aspect of the Steele dossier which claimed that he had been involved in sexual perversions in

a hotel in Moscow. Trump has reportedly asked for an immediate

investigation of this fraudulent dossier, which Comey refused to do.

But this is the kind of thing that J. Edgar Hoover did to many, to Martin Luther King, Jr., and to many others, as a means

of terrorizing and controlling people to go along with a policy

desired by J. Edgar Hoover's controllers, which is also the case

with respect to Comey.

A sixth area for investigation is the wiretapping of Trump associates, and then the lying by Comey and Clapper to the U.S.

Congress. Clapper in particular was asked, was there a FISA ordered surveillance of Trump or his associates? He said no. "Would you know about it if it had occurred?" "Yes, I would," and he left a loophole and said: Well, there may have been some

other jurisdiction was carrying out a wiretap that I don't know

about.

But this is what he said, and he definitively said there was no FISA Court wiretap, and yet, that's precisely what came out:

That Manafort, the campaign manager of Trump, was wiretapped and

he had a resident at Trump Tower, and this is precisely what Trump had tweeted on March 4th, 2017: "Terrible! Just found

out
that Obama had my ‘wires tapped’ in Trump Tower just before
the
victory. Nothing found. This is McCarthyism!” So that has to
be
investigated.

And then the final thing in the dossier, is the attempted
entrainment of the President by Comey himself, into an
obstruction

of justice charge: So you have the head of the FBI who is
acting

virtually as somebody who goes to a meeting with wires on, and
goes back to his controllers at the FBI to consult after each
visit with Trump. And then writes memos, which he illegally
leaked to the press, and he actually said: “I thought that
might

prompt the appointment of a special counsel.” So he had the
intention of getting a special counsel against Trump, on the
grounds – one would be to claim that Trump asked him not to
pursue an investigation of Michael Flynn; of course Trump
didn’t

do that, as indicated. He merely said, “I would hope that he
wouldn’t be prosecuted.” And of course, as has been pointed
out,

the President has the right to actually pardon somebody. So,
as

Alan Dershowitz, the civil rights attorney, and Democrat, has
said he had every right to say what he did.

And the other aspect is to say that somehow Trump was
obstructing justice by firing Comey! So the attempt was set
up

to get Mueller to be able to carry out this kind of an
investigation against Trump.

Using methods of entrapment, lying throughout, what you had
is a witch hunt against a President of the United States.
It’s

not the first time that the British have been involved in

this,
and their stooges in the United States, but this is really unprecedented, with the exception of the witch hunt which was carried out earlier against Lyndon LaRouche.
And it's time that this be stopped! And that's the whole point of this dossier.

The dossier should be seen as a political weapon in the hands of the American citizenry. The issue here is what Benjamin Franklin said after the Constitutional Convention adopted a Constitution. We have a republic, the question is, can you keep it? And the responsibility rests on the American citizen to use this dossier to actually force the issue in the country right now, to stop this British coup.

Robert Mueller's full name, as the dossier indicates, is Robert Swan Mueller III. Our intention is to make this operation being carried out by Mueller right now, to be his final swan song.

OGDEN: As we saw on the screen there, the question that was asked at the conclusion of this final section of the dossier is the following: “{Have our intelligence agencies, actually instigated an Active Measures counterintelligence program illegally and against a sitting President?}” [emphasis in original]

So that's the question and as the dossier states a little bit later on: It's very clear that there has been a foreign government that has intervened to attempt to sway the U.S. electoral process and the U.S. democratic process, and that that foreign government is the British government. And so if you follow the thread of Robert Mueller, not just in the attacks right now against President Donald Trump, but if you follow it

back to the aggressive cover-up of the events of 9/11, and even the prosecution and witch hunt against Lyndon LaRouche in the 1980s, you'll see if you pull that thread it will unravel a much, much larger apparatus, and it will reveal a lot more. This dossier follows that thread very clearly through these three crucial inflection points in our nation's recent history and the call to action is obviously to access this dossier which we're making available and to circulate it as widely as possible.

Will, you made the point that the context for all of this is much more significant than maybe even all of the mechanisms by which these crimes have been carried out. The context is what reveals and uncovers the true motivation behind this entire process, and I think if you take the connections between this dossier, which choose 1982 as a crucial year to examine what Lyndon LaRouche's activities were at that time – meeting with López Portillo, meeting with Indira Gandhi, proposing the Strategic Defense Initiative, and authoring {Operation Juárez}, and if you trace that forward to the announcement in 2013 by Xi Jinping of the new Silk Road, the Eurasian World Land-Bridge, and the new international financial institution of development that that represents, that's the unifier for this entire period of history. And despite the attempts, over and over, and over again, to derail that locomotive of world history, we've reached the point where that is the prevailing dynamic on the planet. And the attempts to try to sabotage that and undermine it continue to fail. And so, this is the latest attempt in that, but to look at

what the motivation is – maybe you can just say a little bit more about what that context is.

WERTZ: I think the point is, in defeating this British operation which Mueller is spearheading at this point, we create

the conditions under which President Trump can move to join with

Russia, China, India in the development of the One Belt, One Road

policy. This is crucial in terms of reversing the destructions

over the recent decades of our industrial capacity in the United

States; it's crucial in terms of developing world peace, solving

crises such as Korea, solving crises such as the terrorist onslaught in the Middle East and Northern Africa in particular.

That collaboration is crucial. Trump has signalled that he wants

to move with such collaboration.

And this is a longstanding fight to bring humanity together, operating on a common destiny of humanity, what John Quincy Adams

called a “community of principle among a family of sovereign nation-states.”

The British Empire, as the Venetian Empire before it, has been opposed to that. It has operated under the geopolitical of

dividing nations among themselves, creating warfare such as we've

seen repeatedly over the last more than a hundred years, with two

world wars, and perpetual warfare ever since.

And so we're in a situation, where, as Lyndon LaRouche recently said, “Victory is within our reach,” and you have to

understand this broad arc of history in order to have an appreciation of what's occurring in so-called contemporary affairs. It's not what meets the eye: it's this broader question – we've got a financial crisis in the world. The system is overbloated and ripe for collapse; the problems of 2008

were never solved. And we have a solution before us which is New

Paradigm: Peace based upon economic development, cooperation among nations for the purpose of promoting, as our Constitution

says, the General Welfare, not just of our own population, but of

the population of the entire planet.

And I think that's the issue between the British Empire policy of geopolitics, versus the policy of a community of principle among nation-states, which is a U.S. policy; the policy

expressed by President Xi of China of a "win-win" policy, as opposed to a zero-sum game – this is what's at stake right now.

I think the American citizen, as this dossier concludes, has to

take responsibility, circulate this dossier! We're going to be

producing a leaflet and maybe multiple leaflets that you can get

from our site and then circulate throughout the country, to bring

people's attention to this dossier. We're not in a position to

produce a large number of these in hard copy, but we do have an

electronic version which will be available on the LaRouche PAC site.

And by doing this, we can create the conditions under which not only is the presence of the United States defended against

this coup attempt, and it's very much like the Maidan in Ukraine

that's being attempted right now; what's being done to Trump is

almost precisely modeled on the Maidan that brought about the coup in Ukraine. But more broadly than that, by defending the Presidency was the chance of bringing about a different geometry

on the globe as a whole, and creating the conditions under which

we can move towards what the actual mission of mankind is:, which

is not only to develop this planet Earth, but also to assert dominion over the Galaxy and eventually the Universe.

OGDEN: Well, thank you, and let me put on the screen, one more time, the cover page of this dossier, so you can get a look

at it, and we'll have the link here on the screen. You can access this dossier at lpac.co/ytdos. And again, the title: "Robert Mueller Is An Amoral Legal Assassin: He Will Do His Job

If You Let Him."

You'll find this report fascinating: You'll learn a lot about history, the history of this country over the last 30, 35

years that you did not know, I guarantee you. And you'll learn a

lot about what's going on right now. There's much, much more than what meets the eye.

Thank you for joining me, Will. And thank you for tuning in, and we encourage you, read the dossier and circulate it as widely as you can. And stay tuned to larouchepac.com