

Lyndon LaRouche: Arrester bankiererne, som forbereder bail-in-tyveriet

24. december 2015 – Lyndon LaRouche krævede i dag, at bankiererne på Wall Street og i City of London, der forbereder sig på at kime Nytåret ind med det deciderede tyveri af milliarder af dollars fra borgernes bankkonti og indeståender – alt sammen under betegnelsen bail-in-procedurer for at redde deres bankerotte banker – bør omgående arresteres og fængsles, før de kan begå deres forud annoncerede forbrydelser.

Det plejede at hedde bedrageri. Tilbage i 1920'erne og 1930'erne, bedrog JP Morgan og andre banker bevidst deres kunder ved at lokke dem til at købe aktier i deres banker, der dernæst kort tid efter gik nedentom og hjem. Nogle af bankiererne kom dengang i fængsel for deres forbrydelse – takket være Franklin Roosevelt.

For et par år siden lavede Spaniens største banker, inklusive Banco Santander, det samme nummer ved at sælge såkaldte »preferentes«-aktier i de kriseramte banker til deres egne kunder og væltede således enorme tab over på deres kunder.

Tidligere i år, i tilfældet Puerto Rico, blev nogle af verdens største banker, der igen inkluderede Santander og UBS, taget på fersk gerning i at afhænde samme slags dårlige, puertoricanske lån til kommuner fra deres egne regnskaber samtidig med, at de narrede deres kunder til at købe dem. De fik en mindre bøde, da de blev taget i dette bedrageri.

Og i Italien har fire banker netop reduceret deres gæld gennem bail-in, ved at ekspropriere 10.000 af deres kunders indeståender i bankerne.

Men denne bedrageriske praksis er nu i færd med at blive

reglementeret som ikke alene fuldt ud lovlig under de nye bail-in-regler, som vil blive implementeret af den Europæiske Union fra og med den 1. januar 2016; men det bliver ydermere forlangt af Europas banker i et omfang af 8 % af deres totale aktiver, der skal sælges som »bail-in«-obligationer. Dette er værdipapirer, som kan ligestilles med rottegift: de vil med garanti blive eksproprieret under en bail-in af den pågældende bank.

Med hensyn til USA, så er de dårlige nyheder, at Wall Street-bankerne også har til hensigt at stjæle folks sparepenge i dette land. De gode nyheder er, at de fleste amerikanere er så fattige, at de ikke har flere sparepenge tilbage, der kan stjæles. En artikel i CNBC MarketWatch bemærkede, at den seneste Google Forbrugerundersøgelse viste, at omkring 62 % af amerikanere har mindre end 1.000 dollars i opsparing for at klare nødsituationer. En lignende undersøgelse af Bankrate.com fandt ligeledes, at 62 % ikke havde nogen opsparing og tilføjede, at blandt dem, der havde en opsparing før nedsmeltningen i 2008, sagde 57 %, at de havde brugt noget af eller hele deres opsparing for at klare krisen.

LaRouche bemærkede, at de fleste lande i Asien er i god form; Kina gør et godt job; det russisk-indiske samarbejde er meget godt; men USA er i en forfærdelig forfatning, påført af Obama og hans Wall Street-dikterede politik.

Leder, 26. december 2015:

5 onde regenter leder os til udslettelsen

– 1. januar vil udløse den

Massenedsmeltningen af finanssystemet, der nu er programsat til umiddelbart efter Nytårsdag, lover en næsten omgående nedlukning af økonomierne i USA, Vesteuropa og det meste af Mellem- og Sydamerika. Det vil blive værre end sammenbruddet i 2008 eller 1929 i en grad, der ikke kan måles.

Det seneste tilfælde, der kan sammenlignes med den katastrofe, vi står overfor i de nærmest forestående dage, er katastrofen i Europa i det 14. århundrede. Dengang som nu banede en mangeårig forrådnelse af kulturen vejen for en række brutale, anti-humane handlinger, udført af rent ud sataniske regenter, der pludseligt og hovedkulds styrtede samfundet ud i kollaps og massedød. Midt i de evindelige krige var en række tilfælde af hungersnød i århundredets begyndelse med til at bane vejen for det onde, der fulgte. I 1344 erklærede Bardi- og Peruzzibankhusene i Lombardiet sig konkurs, hvorefter det venetianske banknetværk lukkede hele det økonomiske system i Europa ned, og hermed fremtvang vilkår, der yderligere decimerede befolkningernes modstandskraft over for sygdom. Den Sorte Død (byldepest) slog til i 1347. De efterfølgende bølger af sygdomsudbrud skønnes at have aflivet 60 % af Europas befolkning.

Nu, i dag, i kølvandet på det udbredte, kulturelle forfald, der går under navnet det 20. århundrede, leder tre, sataniske regenter os mod vores umiddelbare ødelæggelse: Dronning Elizabeth af England, præsident Barack Obama af USA og Pave Frans.

Den imperiale Dronning Elizabeth II er en langt mere bevidst, ond skikkelse, end hendes forfader Georg III, der myrdede vore

amerikanske patrioter for over 200 år siden. Hun repræsenterer Det britiske Imperium i det 20. århundrede, med Lord Bertrand Russel, der udstedte love til at gøre en ende på videnskab og kunst til fordel for død matematik. Ligesom Russell er hun og hendes mand, Prins Philip, fortalere for en verdensomspændende befolkningsreduktion gennem alle midler. Det var den ikke-så-hemmelige dagsorden for hendes netop afsluttede konference i Paris, om den angivelige menneskeskabte klimaforandring.

Dronning Elizabeths og hendes ligesindedes satanisme drejer sig om deres fremstød for at udslette den »guddommelige gnist« i mennesket – det, der gør mennesket til »skabt i Guds billede«. Med andre ord, at være menneskelig.

Alle katolikker – ja, alle kristne – burde føle sig skamfulde over den kendsgerning, at Pave Frans vedtog Det britiske Imperiums anti-humane credo i sin encyklika, sit pavelige brev, »Laudato Si'«. Han har kæmpet for den lige siden. Uanset motiverne, så har han diskvalificeret sig selv som Pave, ja endda som simpel præst.

Vores såkaldte præsident, Barack Obama, er denne imperiale Dronnings marionet, der kontrolleres af det britiske monarki gennem Valerie Jarrett, som var den person, der oprindeligt udpegede ham til at blive præsident, da han blot var en ukendt delstatssenator. Det var britiskkontrollerede narko-penge, der gav Obama en uhæderlig sejr over den folkelige favorit Hillary Clinton i de demokratiske primærvalg i 2008. (Desværre har Hillary ødelagt alle sine kvalifikationer, efter at hun blev Obamas marionet efter at han blev valgt.)

Obama blev opdraget til at blive en masse-morder af sin indonesiske stedfader Lolo Soetero. Nu præsiderer han hver tirsdag over et møde i Det Hvide Hus, hvor han træffer beslutning om en ny liste over mennesker, der skal myrdes – inklusive amerikanske borgere. Grunden til, at de store medier giver ham fripas – såsom *New York Times* og *Wall Street Journal* – er, at de er bange for, at han også vil myrde dem. Hvad han

sandsynligvis vil.

Kongresmedlemmer har den samme, berettigede frygt – men de har ingen undskyldning. Den ed, de aflagde til Forfatningen, er en soldater-ed. Hvis de ikke omgående vil fjerne Obama og lukke Wall Street, er de slet ikke ægte kongresmedlemmer.

Kort og godt, så har jeres ledere forrådt jer til Djævelen. Jeres kongresmedlemmer har i bedste fald forrådt jer af frygt. Men de løsninger, som Franklin Roosevelt tog i anvendelse i 1932-33, under en langt mildere krise, er stadig anvendelige i dag. Kun et barn ville tale om »oddsene«; vi har tydeligvis oddsene imod os. Og hvad så?

Ekstraordinær hastekonference 'Fireside Chat', 23. december 2015 – Lyndon Larouche diskuterer med aktivister i hele USA

God aften. Vi har i aften en ekstraordinær konference over telefon, som vi har indkaldt til, konfronteret med den kendsgerning, at vi står på randen af et finanskollaps, den 1. eller 2. januar.

***Spørgsmål 1:** Hej, jeg er J. fra Columbia, Maryland. Mit spørgsmål lyder: Med alt, hvad der er sket, med krigen mod terror og de nylige angreb i Paris og nedskydningen af det russiske kampfly, hvordan er finanssammenbruddet forbundet med alt dette? Og hvad gør vi ved immigrationen af mennesker fra*

Syrien?

LaRouche: Det sidste spørgsmål ville jeg ikke bekymre mig om. Det er ikke et virkelig alvorligt problem. Det har eftervirkninger, men de er ikke alvorlige, og bør ikke tage vores opmærksomhed.

Det, vi må gøre, er, at vi må erkende, selvfølgelig, det transatlantiske samfund, og dets rolle med hensyn til os. Vi må grundlæggende set koncentrere os om USA som sådan, og USA er vores eget problem. For vi har kræfter i USA, der er enten fejle, især blandt medlemmerne af Kongressen, der har vist deres fejhed, deres rådne fejhed i dette spørgsmål, eller de forsøger at etablere noget, der vil ødelægge retten til livet, for USA's borgere. Hvis denne handling bliver tilladt, så vil der blive en masse døde mennesker i USA, og USA vil ikke have nogen fremtid.

Vi må derfor indtage dette standpunkt. Der er visse principper, der må indføres. Hvis vi ikke indfører disse principper i praksis, så er I udslettet; I betyder ikke længere noget.

Så pointen er, at I kæmper for jeres egen identitet, og det er jeres forpligtelse at forsøge at understøtte jeres egen identitet, gennem intelligent respons til de problemer, der umiddelbart konfronterer os, lige nu.

Engelsk udskrift.

Tune in this week for a very important live Q&A discussion with Lyndon LaRouche. Mr. LaRouche has forecast the nation is on the verge of a financial collapse come January 1 or 2. **Note:** This week's call will be on Wednesday the 23rd, not Thursday.

Transcript

JOHN ASCHER: Good evening everyone, this is John Ascher here

in Virginia, and we are here for an extraordinary conference call this evening, called by LaRouche PAC, in the face of the looming financial collapse of the trans-Atlantic system. I'd like to welcome everyone back this evening for our discussion with Lyndon LaRouche, who I hope I have on the line.

LYNDON LAROUCHE: You do. Can you hear me?

ASCHER: I can hear you loud and clear, Lyn. I think many people who were on the call just watched the webcast which concluded, just a half-hour ago. Would you like me to read a little part of the emergency message that you authorized written by Jeff Steinberg, that was put up on our website? ("Make Sure That There Is a New Year: Dump Obama and Wall Street!")

LAROUCHE: I think we have it already from Jeff, which was already broadcast. So, let's get into this thing and if it becomes meritorious to bring more consideration on that, then you and I can do that.

ASCHER: OK, excellent. So, I'm turning on the Q&A queue.

Q1: Hi, my name is A-J- from Columbia, Maryland, and I have a question: With everything that's been going on with the war on terror, and the recent attacks in Paris and the downing of the Russian jet, how does the financial collapse tie into all of this? And what should we do about the immigration of people coming from Syria?

LAROUCHE: I wouldn't worry about that, the latter problem. That is not really a serious one. It has effects, but it's not one that's a serious one and one that should occupy our attention.

What we have to do, is we have to recognize, of course, the trans-Atlantic community, and its role in dealing with us. We have to concentrate essentially on the United States as such, and the United States is our one worry. Because we've got

forces in the United States who are either cowardly, especially among the members of Congress who have shown their cowardice, their stinking cowardice in this matter, or trying to set something up, which will destroy the rights of life, of the citizens of the United States. If that action is permitted, then the United States will have a lot of dead people inside it, and there will be no future of the United States.

So therefore we have to take that view. There are certain principles which must be applied. If we don't apply those principles in practice, then you are wiped out; you don't mean anything any more.

So the point is, you're fighting for your own identity, and your obligation is to try to support your own identity, by intelligent responses, to the problems which are facing us immediately, right now.

Q2: [internet] Lyn, I have a question from M— from Dearborn, Michigan. He says, "Lyn, since the battle lines are being more and more openly, publicly declared with Russia, China, India, Iran on one side, and the British and Obama, and the other allies of the British Empire on the other, do you think that what some might think is a miracle, can occur soon: that is the total elimination and end of the British Empire? Do you see that could happen soon?"

LAROCHE: One question has to be asked: Are the people prepared to take their own authority and use it? The suckers will not win.

The problem we have, I think there are a lot of members of the Congress who would like, would prefer, to do what I've been indicating has to be done. But there's some forces, including Obama most particularly, and some of the other people there.

Hillary Clinton, for example, is one of the problems. She's one of the big sources of destruction, and I hope she's soon

thrown out of the candidacy for the Presidency. I don't think we want her around any more; she is actually an agent of Obama, she's a supporter of his. I don't think she was originally, but he terrified her, she became a victim of his influence and since that time she has tended to be increasingly, more and more dishonest. And actually a bit evil.

So I think we want to get her out. We want to get Trump out of the picture, things like that. And we want to also take the members of Congress who are gutless wonders, and get some of the people who shouldn't be gutless wonders among the members of Congress and say, "No! We were wrong! We accepted you, we accepted your proposal on this campaign, and you committed a fraud. And we're wrong, because we didn't turn that down." And what we require now, is that honest members of the United States organization, must say, "We were wrong. Our leaders were wrong. They were a bunch of cowards and they were selling us down the river. They were selling the United States down the river." And that has to be stated.

Q3: Hi John, hi Lyn: What's the possibility of you know, taking our rights into our own hands? There's a lot of like-minded individuals where I live in California that - well, they don't like the way that this government is, well, you know...?

LAROUCHE: We had a meeting in California which I attended, for a number of leading representatives, historically leading members of the California popular leadership. And that works. We have a core in California, around certain circles, who have all the credentials you need, to speak up and say, we should be in charge, of shaping the policy of California.

After all you've got a governor there who's no damned good! He's stupid, and he's corrupt, and he's a Satanic figure. That is, he belongs to a cult of a Satanic belief, together with a certain member of the Pope, an agent of the Pope, who's

also a Satanic creature. And so these are problems we have to deal with.

But the point is, we do have a crisis. And I would say that those of us who are actually leading some of these things, particularly the two things that happened on Saturday and Sunday, were among the most successful presentation of musical performance that we've had in a very long time. And what this involved, is from people of Italian background and so forth, who are highly professional; and creating an institution which builds up a base for the kind of popular organization, organization of the United States. And we have it.

And our job is to defend that fight. We have our rights, this is our right: We have the right to pull the members of the Congress, who turn cowardly or stupid. And we have a right to kick their little asses – you know, in a certain manner of speaking. And I think that little privilege has to be applied more vigorously, right now.

Q4: Good evening Lyn and John, this is J- calling from Michigan. In talking with people, besides the cynicism, everybody does agree, that we are in a collapse phase now, especially like in southeast Michigan. Everybody's tied to the Detroit water system and water bills are skyrocketing and people are getting shut off like crazy. The policy forces are being reduced drastically.

And my point is, when the credit system is introduced, do you agree that there should also be price controls on utilities, as well as food stocks, food pricing?

LAROCHE: I wouldn't approach it exactly that way. The effect that you're talking about might have validity. But I think the way to approach this is quite different. What you have to do, is you have to get the citizens of the United States, who is by and large a coward; they've given in on everything. They're afraid, they're afraid, they're afraid.

Everything's been taken away from there: their careers have been taken away; their children are worthless.

For example, in California, but not only in California, the young human beings, in California, are by and large, are not really human. That is, they don't have any of the patriotic characteristics; and therefore we have a real problem. We have to mobilize a force, because most of the young people in our generation now, are not fit to make judgment. And they're brainwashed, really, literally brainwashed.

And you have people who are members of Congress, who are not really brainwashed as such, but they lack the guts to stand up and denounce what they know is wrong. And that's what the last session of Congress did, is exactly that.

So you have to say, the leadership of the Congress is a bunch of cheating cowards. But the people who know better say, "well, we can't fight it, we can't fight it. We don't have the power to fight it." And that's where the problem comes. And what is needed, is to get people to understand, that they have a *responsibility*, with an element of risk which is involved in that, and they have to take a position *against* those members of the Congress who have sold their asses down the street.

ASCHER: I know we're going to get some reports also Lyn on our activities from New York this past weekend; and later on, I'm going to announce some the activities coming up here over Christmastime for the Manhattan Project.

Q5: Hi this is Alvin, here in New York. Hi Lyn, and everyone listening. Well, we had a pretty big weekend that actually, as I've been reflecting upon it, really began about two weeks before, with a relatively small number of people; but for myself, the quality of the organizing was much different, much improved from that of a year ago, and it was something that I've felt existed within the population on the need for

Handel's *Messiah*. But also emanating from those of us that were out there doing this work to help build this audience.

And so, the process of engaging in the chorus has been helping me and helping us all along, to produce that type of result. And then the effect that it had. People are knowing and will be reading more about the reports and the responses and the effect of what was demonstrated, in a very powerful way in the two concerts that we presented to the public. And that's a very, very encouraging thing for us all.

What I wanted to reference is the personal effect that I'm sure others share is, in going through this process and finishing with this weekend, as imperfect as many things were going through it, we did it. And now that we're confronted with the immediate crisis of how to act, I can't express how much clearer I find myself able to both think and act, and not be confused or allow myself to be confused, where this was not the case before.

So the breakthrough was for the Manhattan Project, but I think each one of us, and I would even imagine those that have been doing this for a while, that or members for a long time, – I won't speak for them, but I think the effects of this are far-reaching; certainly for those of us that were for the first time onstage and really working at this process.

So, on the one hand, I would say "Oh, the timing of this crisis is terrible!" My thinking now is that, the crisis is here and I feel ready for it, which means now, I have to organize a number of people, and activate them, so that when we go into our Congressmen's office, we are of one force that can hopefully move these wretched folks into the action we need.

LAROUCHE: Well, to bring to bear the issues, the real issues, in this process, you have to go back to a certain point, where there was a debate between Obama and Putin; and, Obama lost,

clearly.

Now, from that point on, you've had an increasing receptivity on this matter. But what's happened is, Putin has been gaining weight, against the British and against other forces, and against other forces in Europe. Obama was defeated, but in terms of the population, it was a symbol of that debate: Obama was defeated and discredited fully. So he's been operating on a lame issue ever since that time.

He's operating on the basis of rage. Now, Obama of course is a killer. Obama kills people every Tuesday; he kills citizens of the United States every Tuesday. That's his favorite sport. And people are afraid, they're afraid to take him on. But Putin is not afraid to take him on.

Now the fact, however, that Putin did intervene, in that show, and did defeat Obama, Obama has been weak in conviction ever since. He had rage, he has all kinds of things, but he's a loser. Now, Obama is not a human being; he has a jockstrap he has in a certain area that I don't know if he ever washes it; it's in this little niche inside the White House. But I think, whether he stinks or not, I think that his attitude about life stinks. And that's enough to take care of it.

But the point is now, what's happened, is because of the defeat of Obama, by Putin, in that session, you've had a rising tendency, to revolve against Obama. And that's what's happened. Now, we've encouraged it, and that's what we should do. But the problem is, the members of Congress have a problem with Obama.

But what's the problem with Obama? Obama kills people every Tuesday! Obama *kills* innocent citizens of the United States and kills them every Tuesday. So therefore, you have members of Congress and so forth, who by themselves, if they weren't terrified, would not tolerate Obama; but they're afraid that Obama, with his Tuesday kills *will* kill them! Members of

major press organizations in Manhattan or in the capital of this, yet some people are scared! Just plain scared! That they're not going to cross Obama, because they think Obama will kill them, and they're probably right. Obama will kill them, sooner or later.

So, we've come to a point now, where we have actually had progress, in trying to deal with this thing, since that United Nations matter. We're succeeding.

Now, naturally, we have locations which are very significant. Manhattan is the most important area, politically, for us in the United States. We have some people in California, a respectively small group, and they demonstrated their commitment. We have other people who have a commitment; mostly they're in the minority. *But!* underneath that, they wish they had the guts, to speak out. And so, everything is on that basis.

Now, what we did, in the Saturday and Sunday events, in Manhattan and around there, what we did, is we got 1,000 people in two successive performances, on Saturday and Sunday, and this changed the course of history, in terms of that operation. And this is going to reverberate. The problem is, is you've still got people who are terrified. And just plain terrified. And when the Congress comes in, and certain hound dogs in the Congress come in, and say "we're going to bail everything out," hmm? And then the bail-out comes.

Now, what we're at, now, that no citizen of the United States, legally, on the basis of the most recent seating in the Congress, would defend the United States. None of these people in the majority, would defend the existence of the United States. They would kiss the rear end of Obama. Even though he's despised, and he's in a wretched condition, and therefore, what happens, the British forces, which are generally the British Empire; remember, the whole thing is the British Empire. It goes all the way back to the British

Empire, and the fighting, by the United States against the British Empire.

So the British Empire is still, directly or indirectly, the controlling force over the United States, except for where the citizens got their guts working up; and lately, they still don't have much in the way of guts. That's the problem.

Now, what we've done, is, we've presented the evidence, that the Congress has to stop selling out. They cannot go through this season, this year, this New Year, we cannot let that happen!*We must throw this thing out of this thing, right now* – before the New Year! And this is what the issue is.

In other words, if we don't do that, you're going to a general war, a global general war, and the general war will come *fast*. Quick and fast! The mass killing of people, which has been going on in Canada, for example, and going on in other areas, it's going on.

So we're at a point, where we have to do things which are not in any way on most people's agenda. On the other hand, we have people who do have a conscience, but their conscience does not allow them to speak on the subject. Our job is to give them the power to speak their conscience. And that's where we are.

So I think the idea of the practical exposition, on what the problems are, anybody who wants to be practical in interpreting what the problems are, is making a big mistake. Our job is to stimulate the citizens, who *are* citizens, who wish to be citizens, who don't like this, to get up on their hind legs, and kick the asses where they belong to be kicked.

And our job is to find the people who will, – you know, this thing about the 1000 people in two successive events, service events, on Saturday and Sunday following, this has changed everything, potentially. And our concern has to be now, to make sure that that potential victory, becomes an actualized

victory.

Q6: Hello Lyn, this is R-A-, I live in Mansfield, Massachusetts; I grew up in New Hampshire and I was born in New York, so I have a lot of touch points with a lot of folks.

Anyway, clearly there's a lot of things that need to be improved in the country. Since the advent of 1871, when the United States became a corporation, that was run by essentially the bank, and then in 1913 when the Federal Reserve Act was passed along with the Internal Revenue Service, which was nothing more than a collection agency for the Federal Reserve, you know, America has been at constant war. Constant war in a central bankster cabal, they go together like Popeye and Olive Oil.

Now, if Americans want to be a constant war, it leaves the system in place. But if they want peace, prosperity, tranquillity, they need to nationalize the bank, and have the government issue the currency, and the government issue the low-interest rate loans to stimulate the economy.

In addition, the United States has to raise tariffs and eliminate NAFTA in order to protect American industry, which during the '80s and '90s got outsourced to China and the Pacific Rim, and what I'm talking about is the steel, auto, computer, electronic, industries, which were primarily the circulatory system of the great American economy.

ASCHER: Excuse me, is this getting to a question here?

Q7: Well, here's the question, the thing is, if you can centralize bank and have it a National Bank, you can save \$1.2 trillion in interest a year, essentially, \$19 trillion in debt times 6%. That money of \$1.2 trillion a year can then be cuddled into the re-industrialization of America.

ASCHER: OK, so Lyn would you like to respond?

LAROCHE: Yes! I would say it sounds loud and convincing, I suppose, to some people, but it's not convincing to me. Because, yes, you're just talking around certain things; but my reading of these things is different from yours. I mean, for example, this idea, this pragmatic approach to the interpretation of the function of economy in the United States, and under popular opinion, is wrong. It's just plain wrong. Because most people don't have any understanding of what makes mankind work. That is, what the intention of mankind's mind is. And therefore, they come up with the solutions which he just did. And it has the real taint of something is intended to be convincing, but from my standpoint, scientifically, it's bunk, frankly.

Because, mankind is not an animal. And that's what the assumption is. His argument is implicitly states that mankind is essentially an animal. Now, mankind is not essentially an animal. But unfortunately, people who are made ignorant, behave like animals, mentally and otherwise. And the fact that they are induced to adopt that kind of view of life, puts them in the wrong direction.

What he's laid out there will not work! It flat [will not work! *The problem is, that we've stooped – Bertrand Russell is probably the key to this whole problem. Bertrand Russell destroyed the mind of the people of the United States. He did that through his whole career, until he died. And when he was dying, he was still rotting. Same thing.*

And what you have to do, you have to look at what mankind is, and it's the creative powers of mankind, the ability of a senior person to understand more than all of the practical people, and that's the key to the thing. Look, we've got a case in California: the young people in California are, by and large are degenerated. Why are they degenerated? They were degenerated, by for example the California school system! They did it.

Same thing in Texas; you got Texas all over the place; it's got real corruption. All Southern states are, in the main, degenerate. Now that doesn't mean every citizen of these states is degenerate, but it means that those who are not degenerate, are having to defend themselves against those who are voluntarily degenerate. And therefore, if we're going to solve the problem, we have to lay the case on, on what is the intelligent viewpoint as against the so-called practical viewpoint. Practical people are stupid people! They may not know it, but if you look at the children today, the young people throughout the United States: They're stupid! And worse. They don't have minds of their own. And therefore, what our problem is, we have to pull together, a group of people, who will provide leadership to people who are prepared *to think!* Not to imitate somebody's babbling.

And we have to pay close attention, to what are the actual, physical principles, or the effect of the principles, as laid out by people like Nicholas of Cusa, and the people like that. And they've laid these things out, and they were intelligent. The alternate views were *not* intelligent! And that's what the problem is.

The popular opinion in its more popular form, popular opinion is the degeneration of the mind of the human being. And we've got to cure that, we've got to get rid of that stuff, otherwise we'll not survive. Mankind will not survive under these conditions.

What we're on the verge of, we're on a general, which is orchestrated by the whole British Empire system, which has always been the enemy here, and people are trying to kowtow, to gratify people who are thinking like British agents or British mentalities. And what we have to do, is we have to go deeply, more profoundly, and not be superficial in terms of discussing these kinds of matters.

We've got to get to the root of the thing, and Einstein of

course is the typical person, who was actually a genius, and most of the other leading scientists were not geniuses; some of them were competent, but they were not geniuses. And so, this kind of characteristic, you have to be more precise on this thing. You may have good intentions, but you've got to get good results, too.

Q8: Hi, this is S— from Manhattan. And I was so happy to be part of the concert Saturday and Sunday. It was so uplifting, that it gave a new purpose to my life, a new direction. I'm 72 and I can still sort of sing!

My question is financial: I'm afraid of the bail-ins. I can't take a certain amount of money and carry it home, but I can convert to silver coin or gold coin, and that's all I have to live on. I sold the family home, which broke my heart, but — how fast do I have, to make a move, to convert the little bit of money that I did get from the sale of my home, into a form that will retain its value even if the whole system falls down? That I'll be able to buy my food and pay my rent and all the activities of daily living.

I have a list of names to call, you know, to kick the behinds of Congress and the Senate. But you know, they hang up the phone and they forget about you.

I'm worried about the little bit of money I have on which to survive. And what would you do? What would you do, sir? How do you protect the money? Now if I open a safety deposit box, can they still steal that money in a safety deposit box? What would you do?

LAROCHE: OK, fine. You've got two areas. First of all, you've got the economic system that runs the United States right now. Now that's a problem that you've to deal with. It's not easy to deal with, but it has to be done. Now, that's the only way you're going to get justice. And what you're talking about is what I would understand as justice.

And you're talking about being deprived or in anxiety with respect to the prospects of justice.

Now, what we've had, with these things that happened on Saturday and Sunday, which were musical assemblies which added up to attended of 1,000 people, both in Manhattan and earlier in Brooklyn. So, this gives you an idea of exactly what is possible. Now the fact that this thing happened, it means that this has not happened in the United States for a long time. It has not happened. But suddenly we have, we've organized assemblies of musicians and audiences in the order of magnitude of 1,000 persons total. Now that is something *new*. That is something which has *not* happened beforehand.

So therefore, if we change that tune, shall we say, if we do that, then you have the people who feel that they're cast aside, from the prospect of survival, they have a reason to be confident, because their interest and what they understand, will inspire other people in the population to spread this kind of approach, and that's the only way you win.

When you're in that kind of situation, like Manhattan is now, among popular masses, they don't have a chance! They live on the edge of disaster, one way or the other. If you create a social process, a mass social process which increases its authority, then that problem begins to disappear, and therefore, that's what we have to do. We have to take all those kinds of factors which correspond to what I just described, and that's the only solution; that's the only thing. You cannot be an isolated person, or a person isolated in the community; that doesn't work, you don't have enough fight. When you get a 1,000 people in two successive assemblies, of audience and performers, and it's a beautiful job like that, *now!* – *now*, you represent something. And what she's saying, really requires *that*; it requires the participation, in the body of people, who feel that they're part of that same process. And that process will give them power.

Q9: I live in the country in Rhode Island, and I have a connection to my little local town representative that connects my and everybody; and I just want to know that that's my best connection. Because if I go up to see my representatives – the state of Rhode Island is very corrupt – and if I go up to see them in their offices, or at their houses or whatever, like that, I'm likely to end up in jail. And then released, of course, with no charges.

But my point is, how can I get my message across, in full, outside of what I hear from you guys? I mean, I meet the elitist people in my work, and I sort of scare them, or they go "Wow," with what I say, all coming from the larouche.com group. And just this week, a couple that retired from teaching high school and now work with the University of Rhode Island, hit my with a question, and just looked at 'em and said, flatly, "shut down Wall Street, reinstall Glass-Steagall, and let's go with it from there, and we're going to have to make adjustments, and to make things work from that day forward." And I said, "that's what, I believe it was Teddy Roosevelt that did that." And I astounded them, and now they're doing research and working on it.

OK, so I'm reaching some of my intelligent customers. But, how can I be more effective?

LAROUCHE: Just what we're doing. What we did in New York, the New York City areas on Saturday, and in Manhattan afterward. And this process, if continued, will change the tempo. Just sitting around and waiting and for something to harvest, like you're waiting for a chicken to lay an egg, that does not really work. You have to get more chickens to do more egg laying, and this is my progressive thing.

No, we're in a position, if we can bring people more closer together, on these kinds of issues, you find out you can change things. And I think the Manhattan – I spent a lot of

effort since October of last year, on building up an organization based on Manhattan. Other things don't work. New Jersey? That's sort of, off and on. Leesburg? Ohhh!! Almost hopeless. And Texas, doubtful.

But so therefore, you actually have to bring into play, forces which are moving ahead in the right direction. And you find that the authority that they carry by the increase of their authority... for example right now, right now, you had a bunch of people in the Congress, and they sold out. Hmm? They sold out because they were intimidated. And the muscle came down on them and said, "No, you're shut down. We're going to wipe out everything. At the beginning of next year, you're not going to have anything. Everything is going to be cancelled." And that's fact right now! *Right now*, on the first day and second day of the next year, you're going to find, under the present conditions, a general collapse of the people of the United States. and it's going to get excessively worse. Hmm?

So therefore, our role is to understand what the forces are that we have to bring into play, to create an increase of the forces, which are qualified to change the thing. And that's the problem. What we're doing now, yeah, we have the members of Congress; well, most of the members of Congress are gutless wonders. And a lot of the other members of the Congress, are intimidated by the gutless wonders. And if you can't get something in motion, and I would say, what happened in these two things on Saturday and Sunday, in the most recent events, and that probably is worth more than *anything* else.

I mean, that's the principle which will work. Because people find themselves with this, their voices are now beautiful, at least the singers are beautiful; and others are there. So you've changed the environment. And you have to change the environment; it's not building up on one person after another person after another person, it's changing the environment. Because most people are operating on an understanding of

mankind, which is not right. It's incompetent. You have to give them the courage, to recognize that there is another way, which is necessary, whereas the old one that they thought was practical, is not. And that's where the problem lies.

If you can't inspire people, to find in themselves or in their circles of friends, they can't find something in themselves, which gives them a sense of potency, you can't win. So therefore, the primary thing is, can you supply a real meaning of potency to people around you?

ASCHER: Let me just announce for those on the phone, in terms of the ongoing Manhattan Project, I've been supplied the follow schedule, which is that it will be continuing tomorrow ... on Saturday, our regular Town Meeting with Lyndon LaRouche will occur between 2 and 5, and after that there will be a candlelight vigil and singing at the Lincoln Center in Manhattan.

Q10: Hello Lyn, this is W-B- in Denver. And what I was wondering about was, in this oncoming financial crisis, leading to the destruction of economies, do the BRICS nations have any sort of cushion perhaps to soften the blow, so to speak, from this spreading disaster?

LAROUCHE: Well, what we're doing, if we don't, as of this weekend, - and it's this weekend, after what we've done in terms of Manhattan both on the Saturday and Sunday events where we had 1,000 people total, in these events, you don't have much of a chance. And if you're going to talk about technologies and things, and how this is going to work, and how this will or will not work, it's nonsense; it doesn't work.

What you have to do, above all, you have to change attitude of a growing part of the population! And why are they being cheated? Well, in the main, it's the fact that they are not being very practical; what they call "being practical" is not

being practical! They're trying to muscle in on something and exploit an opportunity which they think is an opportunity; but mankind is not a collection of animals. It's not a zoo! Mankind is a species, which as a *whole*, that is the overall process, moves the population. It is not this individual or a few individuals, it's this process. And when people are convinced, to adopt a process which is a viable one, or an improvement of things, it works.

When they say, you're trying to muscle in on some deal and make a handful of your friends are going to make a deal and you're going to get a successful operation, that is bunk! Society doesn't work that way.

Q11: [internet] Lyn, I just got a question from B- in Fair Oaks, California. Here is his question: "Mr. LaRouche, I just returned from visiting the Federal Building in Sacramento, California, where I met with the office of Sen. Barbara Boxer of California, urging her to move the Glass-Steagall bill through right away. My question may be a difficult one to answer, but, how much time is there left, before we must absolutely pass Glass-Steagall?"

LAROUCHE: We don't have any lapse of time available to us. We have to do it immediately, and can do it effectively, immediately. And the problem is, if you do that in the proper way, then you will actually overrun the conventional attitudes now.

People don't have the guts to stand up and look at the other guy in the eye, and say, "Hey, hey, Joe. You're bullshitting aren't you? Why don't you come around and be honest?" And that's the only way to do it.

What do you think's wrong with these members of Congress? Well, some of these members of Congress are Plump or Dunk or whatever he is - or, Bump, I guess is the better term - and this thing is not really of any importance. It's garbage; we

know him, well. He was an associate of the FBI; he wasn't a member of the FBI, he was an associate of it, and he was an opportunist and he got payoffs and he got little generosityes, and he got all kinds of things; and he would go around, and start a deal. Look at all these "Bump" people, that fill all these skyscrapers. What they doing? They're just dirtying up the sky, scratching up the sky! But he doesn't mean anything. But he's around and he's used as an agent, and he's not worth anything.

And Hillary herself has lost any asset that she's ever had and she quit that because she capitulated to Obama. And she's an Obama agent. And Obama is an agent of British Empire. So, that's where it goes.

So therefore, people have to stand up for themselves on the basis of principle, not on "my gimmicks" but on what the principle is that they want to defend. And that's the only solution. And I think we were doing it successfully in Manhattan during Saturday and Sunday. I think that's the right thing. And the question, we have to sustain it. That's the approach you want to take.

Q12: Hi Lyn, this is T-W- from Lake Arrowhead, California. I'm calling in with a sort of a report, because I'm closely located next to where the San Bernardino shooting incident took place. I'll try to make a long story short: when it was happening, I happened to be in an auto shop, where I live in Lake Arrowhead, and the billing lady there, told me that she had just heard on the police radio that the husband of her friend was one of the ones killed. And so, I said, "What's her name?" and she said it's Renée Wetzel. So I then looked up in the paper, and the man that was killed was named Mike Wetzel and he's a resident of Lake Arrowhead where I live.

So, I decided I had to go to his memorial service, which was last Saturday, and it was a very beautiful event. It was in a large gymnasium, there were 1,000 people there and many people

gave moving memorial addresses; he was very well known and very much loved in the Lake Arrowhead community. He had six children who were all there, a wife and an ex-wife, his father was there, three of co-workers were there, two ministers that have known him from childhood; they all gave beautiful memorial addresses. It was just a very moving ceremony.

And I'm sitting and I didn't actually know Mike, but I'm thinking to myself, "Gosh if only these people could possibly understand what was really the cause of Michael's death," but it wasn't really these FBI-concocted terrorists, the two people that supposedly were the shooters; one of them was a normal guy with a job at the Inland center, with no history of anything strange; they were a couple, they had a baby. The baby was dropped off at her mother's house so she could grab a couple of Kalashnikovs and go shoot up the place, supposedly, you know?

Well, the story doesn't add up, it doesn't make sense in any way; I've come to the conclusion that that couple couldn't have had anything to do with it. They were just patsies, who are cultivated for the purpose by the FBI. And the actual killings, I believe were done by some hired killers. I don't believe it was actually them that did it.

But you know, and one thing I did, afterward I wanted to confirm some of this, so yesterday I called a local newspaper, the *San Bernardino Sun*, and I said: "Look, there were supposedly 100 people in that room, 14 of them were killed. That leaves 86 eyewitnesses. Now, I would like to know why we have not seen a single interview, with any of those eyewitnesses, since the day that the killings took place? There were two interviews on that day, and those two interviews, both witnesses indicated there were three, white male shooters."

So I called the reporter and said, "why haven't there been any interviews with eyewitnesses? Wouldn't that be a huge scoop?"

Why are you guy out there interviewing people, and why don't I see anything?" So then he starts giving me excuses. Like he said, "we don't want to traumatize the victims, we have to give them some time and so on, before we disturb them." That was the first thing. And I kind of scoffed at that, I said, "those 86 witnesses, most of them were unharmed and I'm sure lots of them would like to tell their stories, so there ought to be investigative journalists all over the place trying to interview them, on TV, newspapers, everywhere. And there hasn't been a single interview? Why? Why haven't you been out there?"

And so the reporter basically told me, "we can't interview those witnesses because they might say something that would contradict the FBI's story. And we can't do that, we can't question the FBI. That is not allowed." And I sort of had an insight into how this whole thing works, like there is this total atmosphere of intimidation, and one thing you don't do is question the authority of the FBI or suggest for one second that what they're saying might not be true! That is not allowed. And everybody knows that, it's like this undercover of fear. There's things that you can't say; while in some sense, it's unconscious fear, you know, it's like they don't even know it, but they just don't go there. It's like an unconscious inhibition, let's say, has been put into them. And so, that's I think how this whole atmosphere of terror and intimidation is being created.

So that's why I could...

LAROUCHE: It's being created, yes. But it's being created not by the FBI, it's being created by Obama personally. You follow the press coverage on that thing: Obama was the one who put the lid suppressing that, suppressing the story.

Now, the truth was, there were a lot of other untruths around this whole thing. Now, these people were recruited, they were Saudi connections, Saudi influence. It was the same factor,

and the same ratios, of events were the same thing that happened in France, in the assassinations there in France. And this is run by the Saudis; it's run by things like the Saudis which Obama works for. Obama is part of that, but Obama actually works for the British, the British Monarchy. The British Monarchy *created* this whole thing. And if you ever looked into 9/11, and who did what in 9/11 – and I was an expert in this area, with a friend of mine and some others – and that's what the whole story is.

Why did the Congress not deal with the 9/11 case? Why'd they put the lid on it? That's where the problem lies. Obama? Obama's on the wrong side; he's not an American, he's something else. He's like his stepfather, has the same kind of disease that his stepfather had, he was a man who kills people. Obama kills people, every Tuesday, he kills innocent people! And you have even important people who have important positions, they have been threatened. They will not speak up; they will not tell the truth.

So you've got a nation of gutless wonders! Now it's not all the fact that they're gutless wonders, it's the point is, they don't see any way that they can survive under these conditions. And there's nobody up there, there's no FBI up there, who's doing very much in terms of defending the citizens of the United States; or defending any other part of the planet.

The whole thing comes down, from the British Empire, the British system! That's what's been going on all along. And you get different versions of it, you get different flavors of it, so forth, but it's all the same thing: Without the British Empire and what it represents, and you take 9/11: Why was 9/11 never exposed, publicly? Never! Why? Because they had a payoff, with the British and the Saudis; and the Saudis did it.

The Saudis are the ones who actually, personally, sank the

towers in Manhattan. It was two guys who captured each plane, they went up around that area, around the Towers up there; they brought them down. A similar thing was done in Washington, itself, and other things like that.

And what happened? The damned Congress, as a whole, as a body, has *refused* to tell the facts, about how the citizens of Manhattan were killed! And it was done by the Saudis, it was done by a mass of Saudis. Remember: Everything was shut down, under the Bush family, everything was shut down. And the Saudi families who were guilty in this process, part of the team, woke, safely walked out of the United States, and were sent back to Saudi Arabia. And many of these people were the active agents who did the killing!

And the leading interests in Saudi Arabia, actually orchestrated the killing. Who did it? It was the British Empire that did, and it was done under the rate of oil speculation. And that's how Saudi Arabia got powerful, because the British protected them, as the United States, under Bush and Obama, defended them.

So if you want to find a complaint there, look at Bush, the Bush family, and look at Obama, and then trace it all back from there. That gets to the core of why you get this kind of a sense of experience of what's going on. Yes, the FBI is involved in this kind of thing, but they're only subordinate agents when they do that.

The point was, it's done by the British Queen and the British interests. And the British interests and the Saudis and Obama are all the same thing. So get the facts right and you'll find out the solutions can become transparent.

By the way, I did a personal investigation on this thing; Jeff Steinberg came in on the same operation, but in parallel. Jeff and I had worked together; I was working for Ronald Reagan at that point, and Jeff had followed in on what I was doing at

that point. So Jeff and I had this relationship with that thing, we both knew the story about Saudis, how the Saudi thing was done. We were expert in it; I independently I worked with these British agents who were political agents who were actually investigating this problem; and most of them got killed, or something similar happened to them.

But I'm a known factor in this thing, I'm an expert in this thing. And there's no doubt about it; and any justice means that anybody who is supporting Obama, now, is an agent of the enemy of the United States. And that's the thing. Because you make the comment that everything you say is plausible to me, as the fact, but the secret body of evidence is what you didn't get into. But what you were doing, what your investigation, your appreciation is an accurate one except it doesn't go far enough because you didn't have any rules to follow it adequately.

Q13: Good evening, Mr. LaRouche, this is P- from Connecticut. I agree with Alvin: After enjoying the wonderful concert of Handel's *Messiah* I felt so inspired by this. I guess it was the same way that Thomas Paine's letter to George Washington, and that George Washington read it to his men, Dec. 23rd of 1776: Well, I have no doubts or fear to take this fight with the people to the Capitol and bring in Glass-Steagall. And this is my declaration.

LAROUCHE: It's a good one. [laughter]

Q14: Yes, this time I'm in Long Island. Steinmetz and I started having the argument, [inaudible 1:07:22.6] couldn't come here. But yes, he could come here. But we have to go out and be like Roosevelt, when there is no Roosevelt. We have an anti-Roosevelt in the White House. How are we going to move so fast?

LAROUCHE: Well, it's a question of how many people have got guts? And who's got the guts to understand things and look at

things honestly. Because you know the typical American is generally a liar. Now it's not that they like to tell lies, though some of them do. In fact, many of them do. But as a generality, no; the fact is, they're ignorant.

Now, the ignorance is not necessarily honest ignorance; like the member of the Congress who supported a piece of legislation, which swindles every citizen in the United States of their life savings. And it's because these members of the Congress were gutless, or worse, that that legislation was shoved through. And if we don't change that now, you're all dead, sooner or later. And it's all because of your gutlessness, by a few of you who wouldn't take action, through the Presidency and the Congress, and wouldn't present the truth in law.

So therefore, it's the liars, the cheats and liars who didn't tell the truth, about that matter of legislation: They are the guilty parties. And they are shameful, and what they need their little rumps kicked, by a big shoe, from the rear. That's the best way: It's uplifting. The most uplifting: Kick 'em in the rear end and that's the most uplifting way you can deal with problems.

But no, that's the problem. These kinds of cowards, they're implicitly treasonous, because they knew what they did. And the other people who gave in, gave in because they were intimidated. Now you've got to have a citizenry with guts, and I don't know if we can say we have one.

ASCHER: Lyn, are you referring there to the passage of the Dodd-Frank Act and the bail-in provisions?

LAROUCHE: Absolutely, that is a genocidal policy. It's mass murder, and anybody who supported that legislation, is guilty of mass murder, criminal activity. And the only way they can do that is cancel their vote on that issue. And it was wrong, it was a crime, it shouldn't have happened.

ASCHER: And of course this is the same provision that's going into effect already in Europe and officially on Jan. 1st in Europe as well.

LAROUCHE: This is the same thing which came out of the Pope, the official Pope. The Pope was a guy who was used as a stooge, to bring this about. He's the one that did that. Now the Pope himself probably is not the author of this thing, but the Pope was the guilty party. He was the criminal in the case. Now he may be mentally – I would grant him the possibility he may be just insane, and doesn't know any better. And the effect is, that the Pope is a criminal in his behavior, a mass criminal.

And everything that this crew does, because it was a British operation, entirely a British operation, nothing else. So if you want to do something, you have to go in and take the Royal Family and give them a Royal outcome.

ASCHER: This is the Pope and the Green agenda and his relationship to Schellnhuber.

LAROUCHE: Absolutely. But the point is, the Pope is not insane. He's just a corrupt coward, and he doesn't deserve to be called the Pope. We'll call him the Pump.

Oh, he's evil, the guy who would do this, the only excuse that he could have for the crimes that he's committed, is to say he was terrified. This Pope has got to be removed from office. But we've got to get the whole British Royal Family up there at the same time.

Q15: Hi, this is Jessica from Brooklyn, New York.

LAROUCHE: Oh good! How do you do?

Q15: OK! I was part of the fantastic, wonderful presentation of Handel's *Messiah* on Saturday and Sunday. I was particularly enthralled with the Saturday performance because

it was Brooklyn. [LaRouche laughs] But the Sunday performance was a little different, but it was good, too. And it was interesting that the Saturday performance had a lot of families; the community was really rallied to come out to that church and support their church, *and* our singing. And the children's faces – I just remember looking at the children and seeing them watching the orchestra play, and how it was just so enlightening to them; and of course, that passed on to their parents, not the other way around.

So that's one thing I wanted to say; it was just very uplifting and like you said, there's nothing like that type of thing to make us understand that we are human beings and we have this creative power, in us, and that we can spread that idea of creativity in human beings and the worth of your life, what you're living for, to other people.

And with that said, I was also thinking about something else: There have been decisive points in history, and these decisive points have made people decide that it's all or nothing, that, I get fearful, too; I'm listening to people on the call, and people are trying to figure out, "Should I store water? Should I take my money out of the bank, and have something on hand? Should I quickly go and buy up a bunch of gold coins, because I'll have to barter with that, when the banks crash? I have to have some gold coins on hand or some silver or something, in case the money is worthless, even if you do manage to get it out of the bank before the doors close?" That kind of thing.

And then, I thought, since this concert happened, I thought about the decisive points in history and it gets to me, where I have to *I* have to decide, what kind of thing can I do, to implement my best efforts? Now there's calling Congress people; there's talking to the news stations, the TV stations, social media; there's radio, unions, there a union meeting coming for me, where I intend to bash them about Glass-Steagall and rally the members to the point of calling their

congressmen – again – calling the offices; somebody's going to be there, and forcing them to come back into session and pass Glass-Steagall.

So I think we all have to think about what we can do to implement our best efforts to not fail at this. Because like these different times, there's Joan of Arc; there's the crossing of the Delaware; there's the Gettysburg battle; there's landing at Normandy in World War II; there's Iwo Jima; there's these decisive points where you cannot lose. It's not even a matter of what should I do if this happens? It's that, *we can't lose*. This is something that has to be done.

I think when Washington crossed the Delaware, he knew that this was something that they had to succeed at. And that's what I'm starting to come to, especially since this concert. So we have to implement our best effort, whatever that effort is. I'm not good at social media, and I tend to shy away from that. But I'm good at Congress, I'm good at calling them; I'm good at union meetings; I'm good at interventions, where I call these people on the carpet. Those kinds of things I'm good at. I'm good at leafletting, I'm good at talking to people on the street. So those kinds of things are what we have to really think about.

And my question to you, Mr. LaRouche is, which one of these things do you think – or maybe two or three things – do you think we should all put our best efforts into? Is it trying to get the Congress back into session? Or are all these things, like I'm saying, something that we should do according to what we do can best as an individual?

LAROUCHE: You have to go to President Gen. George Washington. George Washington a decision, a very tough decision. He had the British agents and their accompaniment were celebrating in New Jersey. And so he moved all of his forces, and under most difficult conditions; under wet conditions and very dangerous conditions, and what he did is

he wiped out the British and their complement, and that was what made the United States' existence a possibility. It was George Washington's decision, under absolutely adverse conditions, with the British and their minions, assumed that they were celebrating, and Washington moved in and took them all over: and that's how the United States was created.

Q16: This is C- from Santa Rosa, California. Lyn, in converting each Congressman or people that I try to organize, I have come out front and said, "What's really at the root is that you're afraid. Your cynicism or your pessimism is you're afraid. You're maybe directly afraid of Obama, or afraid of Big Brother or whatever." And I got some very interesting reactions off that, and I want to go into that, because what I found is, my way out, which is not a technique or anything, is that I found that referencing back to what I asked you last week is I have to develop myself, culturally, intellectually, and that's the thing that gives one strength. You have to do your reading, you have to try to understand music, which I'm still trying to struggle with understand the role of music. I listen to it, but there's things about it I don't understand.

So I want you to talk about this thing that the fears that these Congress people, and the fears that the common people out here that we're organizing are essentially the same. So, could you take it from there?

LAROUCHE: OK, let's take the George Washington case, for example, because that's very pertinent. Washington made a move, which all his opponents at that time, said would never happen. And the fact that he did that, that he crossed the Delaware, he landed on the other shore, and took the enemy in hand before the enemy could really mobilize its own forces; if he hadn't done that, we would never have had the United States! And the same thing is what you're talking about now.

There is a point in history, a point in the current of history, at which something can happen, and a solution will

come only because some people have undertaken to follow through on something that other people said "oh, that would never happen"; and that's what it is. It's just like that.

See because mankind is not just a simple human being, the idea of mankind and the individual human being is rubbish, actually, it doesn't function. Because mankind doesn't function that way. Only very rare people will function in that direction, very, very rare, and they're almost named in history against all the others of the same time. And therefore, the problem that we have to face is the fact that, do we have the ability, to recognize the opportunity which is in correspondence to what Franklin would have done, what George Washington did.

And very few people do that. Because they don't do it on the basis of being practical. They do it on the basis of knowing that mankind requires this to be accomplished, and very few people have that view. They say "well, that's not practical." I don't give a damn about what who thinks is practical! I never did. I'd have been a fool if I ever did.

And therefore I often do things like that, you know, not just George Washington's things, but I will do that; I have don't it often, I've led the charge, often, on these kinds of things. Because *it has* to be done! People say "No, no, that won't work, that won't work. You can't do that, you can't do that." I say, "You're wrong. I'm going to do it." [laughs] And that's the way I operate, and that's the right way to operate: George Washington's way.

ASCHER: Well, just to reiterate, there's available on the larouchepac website, a statement "Emergency Christmas Eve Message: January 1st Is Doomsday! Only an FDR Action Can Save You." I've already announced there will be distributions of this in Manhattan tomorrow; a town meeting [with LaRouche] on Saturday. Others around the country will be getting this out widely.

Secondly, the recording of the *Messiah* performance is going to be available on the Schiller Institute website, probably tomorrow. They've been working on the audio file, but for those of you want to hear the impact this had, it will be up on the website some time soon, so stay tuned to the www.schillerinstitute.org website, particularly under the Manhattan Project link and you should be able to find that performance available

Lyn, did you have any final remarks that you wanted to conclude this evening with?

LAROUCHE: I think I want to put the whole thing into a package. Let's hope that would cumulatively make a package which would be useful for people. Let them decide themselves on that one.

ASCHER: All right, and thank you very much. And we will be next Wednesday, Dec. 30, the day before New Year's Eve. Thank you very much Lyn.

Leder, 25. december 2015: SØRG FOR, AT DER BLIVER ET NYTÅR: DUMP OBAMA OG WALL STREET!

I den sammenbrudsproces, der allerede er i gang, af hele det døde, transatlantiske finanssystem, ser vi nu time for time nye tilfælde af insolvens og stormløb for udtræk, alt imens en vifte af »officielle« regler træder i kraft den 1. januar, som vil bære atombrændsel til bålet. Om denne proces sagde Lyndon

LaRouche i sidste uge, »Det, der vil ske, er, at der bliver en acceleration af krisen.« Han sagde: »Accelerationsraten – processens selv-acceleration – er sådan, at, inden for en uge, kunne det hele være udslettet. Det er en sådan situation, vi er i.«

Vi står ved et punkt, hvor det er 'do-or-die' – knald eller fald – denne Jul: enten fremtvinger vi en handling for genindførelsen af Glass-Steagall og de relaterede nødforanstaltninger, eller også får vi massehelvede og forbandelse.

Wall Street Journal præsenterede i går en oversigt af de seneste opdateringer om den finansielle disintegration ved at opremse navnene på de hedgefonde, der er bukket under, og ved også at dække status for flere mere fremtrædende selskaber, der nominelt set stadig er aktive, men kun ved at gennemtvunge »betaling i afdrag« til de spekulantkunder, der ønsker at få deres penge. For eksempel forventes en enhed under det berygtede Carlyle Group LP, Claren Road Asset Management, pr. den 1. januar at have en sum af 1,25 mia. dollar under deres forvaltning, hvilket er langt under de 8,5 mia. dollar, de havde for kun 15 måneder siden, før deres kunde-investorer begynde at forlange masseudbetalinger i løbet af 2015. Men eftersom Claren Road-operationen har gennemtvunget en politik med »betaling i afdrag« over seks måneder, så dækker disse 1,25 mia. dollar ved årsafslutningen ikke engang de udestående krav om afdrag for tredje og fjerde kvartal 2015. Den samme situation gør sig gældende for mange andre af Wall Streets spekulationshuse, store så vel som små fisk.

Sjoverne er bankerot! Nogle af udtrækkene og udsalgene, der finder sted, skyldes, udover et stormløb mod udgangen, forsøg på at honorere kontrakter og de sædvanlige krav om kontantreserver, men det markerer, at systemet er færdigt. Så kommer vi til 1. januar og mange nye punkter for en detonering.

I Den europæiske Union træder nye regler for bail-in i kraft på denne dato (1. jan.) efter at politikken allerede er blevet gennemført i Cypern, Italien, Portugal, Spanien og andre steder, med dræbende konsekvenser. Denne EU-forholdsregels officielle navn er Artikel 55, med titlen BRRD (Bank Recovery and Resolution Directive) ('direktivet for bank-genrejsning og bankopløsning').

I Eurasien træder Ukraines frie handelsaftale med EU i kraft den 1. jan. Samtidig var der et sammenbrud i drøftelserne den 21. dec. mellem Rusland, Ukraine og EU om, hvordan man skulle gå videre. »Ukraine er på vej ned«, bemærkede LaRouche. De kan ikke komme nogen vegne.

I Amerika er Puerto Rico på vej mod betalingsstandsning den 1. januar, med Washington, D.C., der ikke har taget skridt til nogen handling i den forbindelse.

Generelt set vil tredje del af Baselaftalerne træde i kraft den 1. januar. Det, som 2016 Basel III-reglerne gør, er, at de beordrer banker til at sælge massive mængder af dødelige bail-in-obligationer; med andre ord, så skal banker udstede »rottegift« til bankkunder og investorer. Det går under betegnelserne »med lang løbetid« eller »absorbering af tab«, eller mere elegant, obligationer »i overensstemmelse med Basel III«.

Læg hertil Obama/Londons fremstød for krig, og konsekvenserne af at tillade dette vanvid at fortsætte en dag mere er dødbringende. LaRouche indkalder til en »mobilisering af de villige« – af dem, der er villige til at se problemet, af dem, der er villige til at handle.

SPECIAL International LaRouchePAC webcast onsdag, den 23. december 2015 – Til en nation på randen af en finanskatastrofe

Matthew Ogden: God aften, det er den 23. december 2015, Lillejuleaften. Jeg er Matthew Ogden, og jeg byder jer velkommen til det, der under normale omstændigheder ville være vores regulære Fredags-webcast; vi har imidlertid besluttet at udsende denne begivenhed allerede i aften pga. den aktuelle nødsituation. Vi har altså i aften en nødudsendelse af LaRouchePAC webcast, her, onsdag aften, den 23. december. Jeg vil lige sige, at vi umiddelbart efter aftenens udsendelse også afholder 'Fireside Chat' live med hr. Lyndon LaRouche i hele USA; det begynder kl. 9pm Eastern time. Det vil jeg sige noget mere om, om lidt.

Men for at komme i gang med aftenens diskussion vil jeg introducere vore to gæster her i aften. Jeg har Jeffrey Steinberg med os over Google on Air; han er chefredaktør for Executive Intelligence Review (EIR). Vi har også Diane Sare med os, der er medlem af LaRouchePAC Komite for Politisk Strategi, som er med os fra New Jersey, ligeledes over Google video.

Hvis I har fulgt med på LarouchePAC websiden i løbet af de seneste timer, har I set den nøderklæring, der er blevet udsendt; den er i bogstavelig forstand blevet udlagt på vores webside for få timer siden og cirkulerer nu via de sociale

medier og i fysisk form, som et flyveblad på Manhattans gader og andre steder i USA.

Engelsk udskrift.

TRANSCRIPT

MATTHEW OGDEN: Good evening, it's December 23, 2015, the day before Christmas Eve. My name is Matthew Ogden, and you're joining us for what would normally be our regular Friday evening LaRouche PAC webcast; however, we have decided to pre-broadcast this event tonight because of the emergency nature of the current situation. So, what you're watching is an emergency broadcast of the LaRouche PAC webcast, here Wednesday night, December 23rd. Now, I'll just say right off the bat, immediately following the broadcast of this event, there will be a live Fireside Chat, which will be occurring across the United States with Lyndon LaRouche; which will be initiated at 9pm Eastern time. I will say more about that in a moment.

But in order to start off tonight's discussion, first I would like to introduce the two guests that we have joining us tonight. I have Jeff Steinberg joining us over Google on Air; he's the executive editor of *Executive Intelligence Review*. And we also have Diane Sare, who is a member of the LaRouche PAC Policy Committee, whose joining us currently from New Jersey; she is also joining us over Google video.

Now, if you have seen the LaRouche PAC website in the recent hours, you've seen an emergency statement that's been issued; it was issued on the LaRouche PAC website literally just hours ago, and it is now currently circulating via social media, and in physical form as a leaflet on the streets of Manhattan and elsewhere across the United States. The title of that statement is, "Emergency Christmas Eve Message: January 1st Is Doomsday! Only an FDR Action Can Save You". What I'm going to do is, I'm going to read that leaflet in full, and then I'm

going to introduce Jeff Steinberg so that he can give us a little bit more of a sense that both he and Diane had a chance to participate in just a few hours ago this morning, with both Lyndon LaRouche and Helga LaRouche. So, the text of this emergency statement reads as follows:

“President Barack Obama and the entire U.S. Congress have betrayed you, the American people, by refusing, out of cowardice, to take the necessary emergency actions to prevent the greatest financial and economic crash – far worse than 1929 and 2008 – from happening in the hours and days just ahead. Unless you, the American people, stand up and demand immediate action, the nation and much of mankind is facing catastrophe at the start of the New Year.

“The entire trans-Atlantic financial system is about to blow. In just the past few weeks, \$15 billion in junk and investment grade bonds have been wiped out. This is but a harbinger of an imminent total crash of the trans-Atlantic financial bubble. As of January 1, 2016, a \$72 billion debt bubble is set to explode in Puerto Rico. Congress had the opportunity to act to prevent this before leaving town, but failed to act.

“An estimated \$5 trillion in debt, tied to the collapsing U.S. domestic shale oil and gas sector is blowing up. In Western Canada, this bubble has already been shattered, triggering the loss of 100,000 jobs in 2015 the equivalent of 750,000 jobs lost in the United States – a crash of the real estate market, and a social breakdown. That same crisis is coming to the United States, at an accelerating rate, but on a much larger scale.

“In Europe, starting on January 1, 2016, new laws go into effect, eliminating all protections for bank depositors, who will have their savings stolen under ‘bail-in’ regulations, as has already happened in Cyprus. More than 10,000 Italian depositors had their savings ‘bailed in’ [expropriated] in the collapse of four banks this month. The same measures are

included in the Dodd-Frank bill here in the United States. If your bank collapses, your life savings can be stolen to save the bank. It can and will happen here, thanks to the cowardice and corruption of your elected officials, who have kept you in the dark and violated their oaths of office.

“Congress had the chance, before leaving town, to prevent this now onrushing crisis. They were warned. They could have passed bills, already introduced in both Houses of Congress, to reinstate Glass-Steagall, the FDR legislation that broke up the Depression era too-big-to-fail banks, by separating commercial banking from all of the gambling activities. But Congress was bought out by Wall Street and failed you. President Obama is a wholly-owned creature of Wall Street and London. Wall Street is hopelessly bankrupt, and they intend to cling to power by stealing your money, wiping out your health care, and shutting down what is left of the real economy. Within days or weeks, you could be facing food shortages, hyperinflation and a complete breakdown of everything you think of as normal.

“President Obama, on behalf of Wall Street and London, is also provoking confrontation with Russia; driving the world towards global war, a war that some top American and Russian military commanders warn could rapidly become a war of thermonuclear extinction.

“On January 1, 2016, under U.S. and International Monetary Fund approval, Ukraine will default on \$3 billion in debt to Russia, an act of open Western provocation against Moscow, on top of the already ongoing sanctions, the eastward expansion of NATO, and other acts of direct military provocation.

“This is all deadly serious. The world is on the cusp of a worse than Great Depression crash and a new world war. You must now act because your elected officials have abandoned you out of cowardice and corruption. They, along with President Obama, richly deserve your derision and anger, for their

cowardly behavior.

“There are solutions readily available. Wall Street must be shut down immediately. Not one penny more to bail out these criminals! Congress must remove Wall Street puppet Barack Obama from office, through impeachment or through invoking the 25th Amendment, which provides for the removal of a President from office who is mentally unfit to continue to serve. Glass-Steagall must be immediately reinstated, and a series of initiatives must be taken, all modeled on what the great American President Franklin Roosevelt did in his first months in office, to create millions of productive jobs, rebuild the nations collapsed infrastructure, and restore the nations dignity.

“Congress can take these actions in a matter of hours, but they will only act in time if you wake up and demand it.

“The alternative is Hell on Earth, by the start of the New Year. Do you, your friends, your neighbors, have the moral fitness to survive? That is the question on your table this Christmas Eve.”

And as I said, the text of that statement is available on the LaRouche PAC website, and it is your prerogative to get that out to everybody that you can over the coming hours. And as I said at the beginning of the broadcast, immediately following this live broadcast, at 9pm Eastern time, there will be an emergency live Fireside Chat with Mr. Lyndon LaRouche; beginning at 9pm Eastern time, which you can get on via phone. And if you don't know the details on that, get in touch with our LaRouche PAC office in your area, and they can get you further details.

So, let me introduce Jeff Steinberg, of *Executive Intelligence Review*, to go over a little bit more in detail what the discussion was with Mr. Lyndon LaRouche this morning.

JEFFREY STEINBERG: Thank you, Matt. There's a few other

[inaud; 09:33] because I think that the picture of the financial [inaud; 09:39] is clear. Many people out there already have a whiff of it; but the imperative is the immediacy of the situation. It's a situation that will blow up at any moment once we get into the first of next year; where we've just indicated some of the particular events that will be happening in the first days of the year. All told, there is more than \$1.5 quadrillion of gambling debt that has been piled up since the time of the 2008 crisis; and it's all set to blow. The epicenter of it is the United States and Western Europe.

Now, there's some additional elements that have to be put into the picture, so that you, the American people, have a complete estimate of just how critical a moment we have arrived at. Number one, the question must be asked whether or not the attacks by the Islamic State in Paris on November 13th, more recently in San Bernardino, California, represent the Reichstag Fire events of the early 21st Century. We know that these jihadist networks have been created and promoted by leading nations of this western combination; starting with the British, with Saudi Arabia. There are factions here in the United States that have been explicitly involved – al-Qaeda, the Nusra front, the Islamic State – all on behalf of the commitment to among other things, overthrow the Assad government in Syria. So, in effect, what we are looking at is a capability that has been unleashed in Europe and in the United States, under the control of certain Western circles; with the intention being to create the circumstances to put into place the kind of police state that will be necessary to deal with the social chaos and the drive for global confrontation that's immediately before us. Secondly, the other events around the COP21 conference on global warming, revealed that the Papacy, the Pope himself, have been won over to a figure who can only be described as a Satanic figure – John Schellnhüber; a knight of the British Empire, whose policies which have now been adopted by the Pope, would see

the elimination of the vast majority of humanity through a series of [inaud; 12:37] combined with the war danger and combined with the economic catastrophes that are in the works as we go into this blow-out period right after the first of January.

The point is, that you, the American people, have been lied to; your elected officials have failed you miserably. And the net effect is that it's not incumbent upon you, a moment before midnight, to take the kind of decisive action that is now urgently required. Congress can return to Washington, but will only do so if you put the fear of God in their hearts; if you stand up this Christmas season and demand that they take the kind of emergency actions that are the only course of action at this moment that can avoid this absolute catastrophic situation that is potentially hours or days away. Congress can go back to Washington and repudiate Wall Street. There's nothing to be afraid of in Wall Street, because they are hopelessly and irreversibly bankrupt. It's the fear of the unknown that is prompting members of Congress to capitulate and to allow the continuation of the Obama Presidency, which is an affront to the nation; and to allow Wall Street to still dictate terms in Washington.

We're now at the point where the consequences of toleration for those actions and those policies and those political personalities is doom for the United States and the whole trans-Atlantic region, and perhaps the world if we degenerate into conditions of thermonuclear war. So, this is an extraordinary moment; and it's something that requires the action of leading citizens of this republic. Your elected officials, starting with the President of the United States, have behaved like British traitors, and not like the patriotic figures who are supposed to be serving in the highest positions in the land.

So, it now falls on your shoulders to take the actions that would seem at this moment to be highly impractical; but which,

in reality are the only practical measures if you want to survive and prosper going into this new year. The solutions are clearly there; bankrupt Wall Street – it's already gone. Launch the kind of legislative initiatives; we saw how effective it was from the early moments of the Franklin Roosevelt Presidency. Those policies offered the guidelines for actions to be taken today! Congress on its own will not do it; they've demonstrated that by fleeing Washington last week. I'll just close by saying that the day before Washington left town, I was in DC on Capitol Hill; I personally spoke to at least 40 individual members of Congress. In every single instance, they were fully aware of the junk bond blow-out, of the other economic catastrophes, of the imminent blow-out of the oil and gas shale sector; yet they were running for the hills. They ignored and dodged the responsibilities on their shoulders. So, now it falls on our shoulders and yours to face the reality squarely; and take the kinds of emergency actions that can save the day even at this late moment.

OGDEN: Thank you very much, Jeff. And I think if you take the 1933 precedent and look at the fact that what was at that point the largest financial crash in the trans-Atlantic in world history, fascism swept Europe. And in the vacuum that would have existed had Franklin Roosevelt not been the President, and not taken the emergency actions that he had taken at that point to shut down Wall Street and to mobilize the productive powers of the American people, fascism very well could have come to America, too. So, as we look at that precedent, I think it's important to take very seriously what Mr. LaRouche has done over the course of the last year, to mobilize what is a kernel of leadership in what he's calling the leverage point or the focal point of the mobilization necessary to change the policies, which is New York City in Manhattan.

For those of you who had the chance to listen to the LaRouche

Policy Committee this past Monday, you'll know that Mr. LaRouche put a major premium on a series of musical performances that took place in New York City over the course of last weekend. These were two concerts of Handel's *Messiah* that were sponsored by the Schiller Institute and were co-sponsored by the Foundation for the Revival of Classical Culture. Diane can say more about that. This was the program. The first one was held on Saturday at the Church of the Sacred Heart in Brooklyn; and the second one was held on Sunday in the Upper West Side of Manhattan at All Souls Unitarian Church. So, I'm going to introduce Diane Sare to go a little bit more into the importance of those events, and what the implications of what is happening in Manhattan right now are, going forward.

DIANE SARE: Hi. Well, I can say these two musical events were completely extraordinary in quality and in effect. And it is the effect that approximately a little over a year ago, in October 2014, Mr. LaRouche made the decision to revive our organization in New York City. And this is very important in the history of the United States, because it was from New York City that Alexander Hamilton waged a critical battle to unify the United States against states' rightists like Thomas Jefferson and others; what has become the modern Wall Street and British Empire legacy today. So, there is a crucial role that has to be played; and you see in the population of New York City – I was thinking about what Jeff was just referencing with ISIS and so on – you have these terror attacks of September 11th. And the American people were to be stampeded into regime change, into war in Iraq, war in Libya, war everywhere; and the population of New York held one of the biggest anti-Iraq invasion demonstrations in the country in 2003 under the Bush administration.

So here we are at a similar moment of peril, where the population across the country is inclined to be deeply pessimistic. We've had 15 years of Bush and Obama; the

standard of living has collapsed; half a million middle-aged Americans have died unnecessarily. And you get a response very frequently of pessimism; everyone watching this I'm sure has had the experience of talking to your next-door neighbor, talking to your friends. "We have to throw Obama out of office; we have to get Glass-Steagall; we have to organize the transcontinental rail grid in the United States; fusion." People say, "Oh, that'll never happen. Oh you can't do it; oh they're too corrupt." This I would say is very similar to the battle in 1776 being faced by George Washington, who had lost every battle from the Declaration of Independence until Christmas. And the population of the United States as they were at that time, was not overwhelmingly in support of breaking from the British Empire; they were finding it not to be worth the effort. New Jersey, which was the last place he had retreated from to get across the Delaware, was completely under the control of the Hessians and Tories; and therefore, his decision to cross the Delaware on Christmas was not simply anti-pragmatic, but it went against everything that the majority of popular opinion held in that day. But he knew that this had to be done; and was able to invoke a certain inspired response from the tattered, weather-beaten, impoverished soldiers he was leading.

Now, the population of Manhattan is perhaps not as bedraggled as George Washington's army at that time; but we have all been under an incredible cultural and moral depravity which Mr. LaRouche has mentioned, you see in the young people and so on. So, the way we organized these concerts – the one in Brooklyn was in an historic old church, which was closely tied to the circles of Mother Cabrini, for people who know her, she was organizing the Italian immigrants into the United States; setting up nursery schools, schools, hospitals and things like that. And the concert in Manhattan was at All Souls Unitarian Church, which has a certain legacy in terms of support for the Union Army, hospitals and reconstruction; and later, the civil rights movement. And what occurred is that we went into the

community, organizing for a performance of Handel's *Messiah* at the Verdi scientific tuning; held together by a chorus made up of people of New York City and our Schiller Institute organizers from New York, New Jersey, Virginia. Matt, you were playing the bassoon in the orchestra; but it was part of the population getting together. And many of the people in the audience had been people who had been through the chorus and decided that perhaps it wasn't for them, but they wanted to be engaged in this. So, we had over a thousand people in attendance. And the response – First of all, the lower tuning and the work done by John Sigerson on the question of placement, created a very obvious difference. And the people who attended who had comments – we asked people to leave us their contact information, tell us how they found out about the event, and then add any comments that they had. And people were saying things like “We could hear the chorus in a way we hadn't heard before; the quality of sound was much warmer than we expected. It was on a professional level.” And John pointed out that in a sense, we are above a professional level, because we're not interested – that's sort of an antiseptic sort of idea – but this is human intervention that brings the population together. Very much as it was at the time when Handel wrote and performed the *Messiah*; the first performance was in Dublin, Ireland. And it was done to address a question of poverty and to raise funds for an orphanage and alleviate indebtedness.

Hamilton was in circles of Jonathan Swift and others; and apparently Benjamin Franklin had been at a performance of the *Messiah* conducted by Handel himself. So, this piece itself, the concept of it, the relationship between man the Creator, between human kind and the creation of the universe; and a celebration of that is what is urgently needed to pull the population together. And to create a quality of leadership that can respond in a moral way to this crisis; unlike the despicable behavior of this stinking bunch of gutless cowards in the Congress, who as Jeff just described, *knowing* that the

collapse is onrushing, would be rushing home for the holidays rather than to stay and take the actions necessary to protect the American population.

So, a process has been unleashed now, which has to be accelerated; it cannot be allowed to develop at the pace at which it's developing, which is fine, except that the entire system is prepared to implode on January 1st or January 2nd. So, the question is, to take the strength from this and the principle of this and use it to rally our forces across the United States and across the world to address the situation facing mankind today.

OGDEN: Thank you very much. And the video and audio of one or both of these concerts that occurred in New York last weekend, should be available very soon; so we encourage everybody to have that be part of what you do over the coming days. One note of correction: Apparently the fact that Benjamin Franklin attended a performance of the *Messiah* conducted by Handel is not quite confirmed; however, we do know that he did attend a performance of the *Messiah*. And I think that Handel's revolutionary call to action, "Let us break the bonds asunder, and cast their yokes from upon us," is something that gained actuality in Benjamin Franklin's and George Washington's American Revolution. So, it's a very appropriate rallying call for today.

So, what I'd like to do, is appeal to everybody to take the text of the leaflet that I read at the beginning of this broadcast, the "Emergency Christmas Eve Message: January 1st is Doomsday! Only an FDR Action Can Save You" and distribute this as widely as you can over the coming days. This should be the subject of discussion at family dinners, at other events that are taking place over the course of the next 24-48 hours. And also, become a part of this discussion in the next few minutes. Beginning right at the conclusion of this broadcast tonight at 9pm Eastern time, will be a live, emergency Fireside Chat that Mr. LaRouche will be conducting

with the American people. This is what's normally taking place on Thursday nights; but if you have the access number, you can access that also. If you do not know how to participate in that; get in touch with the LaRouche PAC office nearest to you, and you can get the information on this Fireside Chat.

So, I think we've laid out the picture very clearly. January 1st is, in fact, a deadline; you have the Puerto Rican bond default, you have the default that's been pushed by the IMF and the United States by Ukraine on \$3 billion worth of debt that they have going to Russia, as a direct provocation. And you have the January 1st deadline in which the new bail-in laws are going to be coming into effect in Europe; bail-in laws that have already killed people in Italy and have expropriated 10,000 Italian depositors money in that area. You have the collapse of the shale oil and the junk bond bubbles. You already have hundreds of thousands lost in Canada; this is coming to the United States. All of this is coming down now; and the measures that are necessary, the solutions are available. An immediate shutting down of Wall Street; an immediate reorganization of this entire bankrupt financial system through Glass-Steagall; an immediate mobilization of the entire American workforce, much in the same way that Franklin Roosevelt did it; the removal of this warmonger Barack Obama from office; and an addressing of the fact that the entire trans-Atlantic region has become dominated by a British monarchy which is obsessed with a genocidal idea that we need to reduce the world's population and cast people back into the state of mere beasts.

So this is the reality as we approach the end of 2015 and the first few hours of 2016. And it is incumbent upon you to take what has been presented here tonight, and to act on it immediately; all the tools are available to you. We implore you to go directly from this webcast here to participate in the live discussion, the Fireside Chat with Mr. LaRouche which

is beginning in just a few minutes.

So, I'd like to thank all of you for joining us here tonight; and I'd like to thank both Jeffrey Steinberg and Diane Sare for being our guests on this broadcast. So, please stay tuned to larouchepac.com over the coming hours and days.

Evindelige krige er Imperiets økonomi

21. december 2015 – Et indsigtsfuldt, om end alternativt syn på forbindelsen mellem krig og økonomisk kollaps blev for nylig præsenteret af Lawrence Wilkerson, der – udover at være pensioneret oberst og tidl. stabschef for udenrigsminister Colin Powell, og særlig assistent til Powell, som formand for Generalstabscheferne – er nu med dr. Ron Pauls Institut for Fred og Fremgang. Som en del af en række artikler med titlen, »Imperie-papirerne« havde dette tredje afsnit, der gik i luften den 13. dec., titlen »Skibet synker«, og det omhandlede den økonomi, der findes i et imperium, der udelukkende bygger på ikke-produktive, udplyndrende finansaktiviteter.

Det 24 minutter lange interview, der blev udført af den tidligere vært på RT Abbey Martin, der nu er hos netværket TeleSUR (<http://theempirefiles.tv/>), begyndte med, at Wilkerson beskrev USA's udenrigspolitik ud fra Wall Streets perspektiv. »I dag«, sagde Wilkerson, »er formålet med USA's udenrigspolitik at støtte et kompleks, som vi skabte i den nationale sikkerhedsstat, og som tilføres brændstof, finansiering og kraft gennem krige, der aldrig ender, og disses forgreninger og konsekvenser. Det er en sørgelig kommentar til det, USA er blevet til, men det er en realistisk

og, mener jeg, ærlig vurdering af det, som Amerika er blevet til.«

Wilkerson sporer korrekt oprindelsen til denne kræftstruktur til en Kolde Krigs dage og til det, som præsident Eisenhower kaldte det Militær-industrielle Kompleks. Men denne struktur har imidlertid ikke alene mislykkedes med at øge vores magt i verden, sagde han, men den har tværtimod virket direkte modsat. »Det er i den grad mislykkedes, at det har formindsket vores magt i verden. Det er dette, der foruroliger mig«, sagde han, »for historien fortæller mig, at dette netop er, hvad der sker med imperier, når de er rede til at kollapse.« Det militær-industrielle kompleks (MIC) har skabt en koncentration af ikke-produktiv rigdom i en fraktion af befolkningen, der udgør mindre end 1 % af denne.

»Vi taler nu om en koncentration af rigdom, der er ... samvittighedsløs ... det er forkasteligt. Og det er ikke holdbart, men det er nu kommet til et punkt, hvor magtstrukturen – som jeg ville definere som den finansielle magt, som dette apparat har skabt, og dens økonomiske aspekter – er mindre og mindre industrialiseret og produktiv, og derfor mere og mere leger med penge, og pengeinteresserne og kapitalen generelt.«

I dag kan vi ikke engang kalde vores hær for en »frivillig« hær, den er snarere en »rekrutteret« hær, med Wall Street, der bruger »milliarder« på at overbevise desperate unge mennesker om at ofre sig for Imperiet. Med hensyn til »efterretningerne« (fiaskoer, inklusive hans egen og Colin Powells), der førte til disse krige, så gav han direkte Storbritanniens udenrigsefterretningstjeneste MI6 (med et billede af en grinende Tony Blair i baggrunden) skylden og tilføjede, at »efterretningerne blev tilpasset politikken«. Det »frosne billede« af videoen viser arkitekten bag oprør general Petraeus, der klamrer sig til sin bimbo-kæreste Paula Broadwell.

Video, med et link fra siden med artiklerne på <http://theempirefiles.tv/> er tilgængelig på https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=z0agQ_nfCes

Leder, 23. december 2015: Dump Wall Street til jul

Den hastighed, hvormed finanskrakket nu accelererer, kræver handling nu – før jul. Med mindre Wall Street dumpes i de nærmest forestående dage, er der ingen garanti for, at USA stadig står til Nytår. Junk-obligationer og kommercielle investeringsobligationer til 15 billioner dollars er blevet indløst blot i løbet af den seneste uge. For det meste dækker selskaber deres tab ind i forventning om en endnu større nedsmeltning på et tidspunkt i den allernærmeste fremtid.

Dette er dødsens alvorligt. En ukontrolleret nedsmeltning af det transatlantiske finanssystem, som kunne ske om timer eller dage, ville skabe den form for massekaos, der er den klassiske, britiske opskrift for den værste form for fascistiske diktatur, som altid, uundgåeligt, fører til generel krig. Fra og med den 1. januar træder i Europa de regler for bail-in i kraft, som blev presset igennem af EU-kommissionen. Banker i Italien og Portugal har allerede plyndret indehavere af aktier og obligationer i fallerede banker, og næste skridt er den fulde Cypern-model for plyndring af kontohavernes penge. Pariser-avisen *Le Parisien* gav i dag sine læsere en forsmag på bail-in under overskriften, »Hvad hvis din bank gik ned og hjem?«

Nærmere ved USA står Puerto Rico til at gå i betalingsstandsning den 1. januar over et forfaldent afdrag på 1,4 mia. dollar på en total gæld på 72 mia. dollar, og den amerikanske Kongres valgte bevidst at ignorere denne krise ved at nægte at vedtage en lov, der godkendte en beskyttelse mod bankerot, som tilbydes alle amerikanske stater og kommuner. Formand for Repræsentanternes Hus Paul Ryan og Nancy Pelosi har meddelt, at de »lover« at komme frem til denne lov pr. 31. marts, men det er en syg vittighed, for krisen for Puerto Rico og hele det transatlantiske område venter ikke til marts måned. Den kommer nu.

Lyndon LaRouche advarede i dag om, at, med mindre der før jul foreligger en komplet plan for den totale fjernelse af Wall Street og en lancering af et program for økonomisk genrejsning, med Franklin Roosevelts politik som model, så står det amerikanske folk over for den umiddelbare udsigt til et totalt, samfundsmæssigt sammenbrud. En strategisk plan fra øverst til nederst, der følger de retningslinjer, som Lyndon LaRouche har fastlagt i løbet af de seneste dage, må omgående være på plads.

Kongressen har demonstreret sin fejhed, senest ved at vedtage en katastrofal lov om bevillinger, der trodser virkeligheden omkring det umiddelbart forestående krak. Kongressen må trodses og latterliggøres for sin inkompetence. Enten lukker man Obama og Wall Street ned nu, eller også er der ingen chance. Politikken må være den at sænke Wall Street og sænke dem, som Obama og flertallet af Kongressen, der har fulgt Wall Streets linje.

LaRouche understregede også, at den saudiske sygdom med promovring af wahhabi-terrorisme må udslettes. Obamaregeringen har systematisk mørklagt de bjerge af beviser for, at saudierne står bag væksten af al-Qaeda, Islamisk Stat, Taliban og Boko Haram. Saudierne har, sammen med deres britiske sponsorer, udløst et narke-terrorist-jihadistisk angreb imod hver eneste større nation på planeten, og

topembedsfolk i Obamaregeringen, fra Susan Rice til CIA-direktør John Brennan og dir. for den nationale Efterretningstjeneste, general James Clapper, har præsideret over en ondsindet mørklægning af disse forbrydelser og har udsøgt og forfulgt enhver ærlig efterretningseksponent, der sagde sandheden og trodsede Obamas ondsindede fantasier. De er fuldt ud medskyldige i opkomsten af ISIS og angrebene i Paris og San Bernardino og bør retsforfølges efter USA's kriminallovgivning og international lov.

Beviserne for disse forbrydelser er ved at gennembryde den mur, der skulle inddæmme dem. Tiden er nu inde til, at de 28 (hemmeligstemplede) sider fra den oprindelige Fælles Kongresundersøgelsesrapport om 11. september 2001, fra 2002, frigives fuldt ud til offentligheden. Disse sider vil, ifølge amerikanske regeringsfolk (eks. kongresmedlemmer i særlige komiteer), som har læst dem, bevise, at al-Qaeda var de britiske og saudiske monarkiers skabelse, og at dette var kendt af top-regeringsfolk i den amerikanske regering, inklusive præsidenterne George W. Bush og Barack Obama, som er fuldt ud medskyldige.

Politikken må være den, fuldstændigt at ødelægge fjenden. Slå til på deres mest sårbare, dødelige flanker, med begyndelse i den kendsgerning, at Wall Street er død, er allerede død. Vi befinder os på randen af en stor dårskab, og vores nations og menneskehedens overlevelse står på spil i de umiddelbart forestående timer og dage.

Nyhedsorientering december

2015:

GLASS/STEAGALL – ELLER KAOS!

I denne nyhedsorientering har vi valgt at bringe en række uvurdelige, strategiske vurderinger vedrørende kampen imod Islamisk Stat, flygtningekrisen i Europa og det igangværende finanskollaps, som er fremkommet i løbet af december måned på de ugentlige webcast, der finder sted hver fredag aften amerikansk tid på www.larouchepac.com. LaRouchePAC er en amerikansk politisk aktionskomité, grundlagt og vedvarende inspireret af den amerikanske økonom og statsmand, Lyndon LaRouche. Jeffrey Steinberg (t.v.) er en ledende medarbejder til Lyndon LaRouche og er også efterretningsredaktør for tidsskriftet Executive Intelligence Review. Ben Deniston er leder af LaRouchePAC's Videnskabsteam.

Download (PDF, Unknown)

EU's politik er morderisk: For jeres bankkonto, såvel som for flygtningene! Af Helga Zepp-LaRouche

Det, som EU hidtil, og igen på dette seneste topmødekomsammen, har foreslået som respons til flygtningekrisen, er den totale moralske og politiske bankerot. Den idé, at man ved hjælp af kanonbåde skulle drive millioner af flygtninge fra

Sydvestasien og Afrika tilbage, og tage tusinder og atter tusinder af dødsfald gennem drukning med i købet, alt imens man samtidig vil bevare den neoliberale, økonomiske politik, som er den faktor, der for Afrikas vedkommende har forårsaget underudviklingen, i hvilken årsagen til masseflugten igen skal findes, er lige så virkelighedsfjern, som den er modbydelig.

Download (PDF, Unknown)

Leder, 21. december 2015: Fjern City of London, eller sammenbruddet vil være uden for kontrol

Under diskussioner med sine kolleger søndag aften opsummerede Lyndon LaRouche den globale, strategiske krise i præcise vendinger: Londons og Det britiske Imperiums magt må omgående elimineres, eller også vil hele det transatlantiske område, med start i USA, hastigt styrtdykke ud i et ukontrollerbart kaos. Forholdsregler til kontrol må indføres, og dette betyder, at Det britiske Imperiums magt, der kontrollerer Obama, må fjernes.

Problemet er, at ledende personer i regeringsinstitutionerne i Washington, med start i den amerikanske Kongres, der allerede burde være trådt i aktion imod Obama, ikke har handlet. Obama leder stadig sine tirsdagsmøder for (drone-)drab, på trods af den kendsgerning, at hans tilstand er under hastig degeneration, i accelererende tempo. Det britiske monarki er fortsat den institution, der regerer over det transatlantiske

område.

LaRouche bemærkede, at Rusland er anderledes, og Kina er også anderledes. Det er nationer, der samarbejder, og ser hen til en udvidelse af deres samarbejde. Men der er alvorlige problemer, med at skaffe tilstrækkeligt med vand og mad til en begyndelse. Rusland og Kina er i dag de eneste, virkelige magter, der potentielt set handler imod Det britiske Imperiums stadigt eksisterende magt, og imod deres redskab, præsident Obama.

I realiteten, erklærede LaRouche, så har kræfterne bag 11. september (2001) magten over USA. Der har været et utilstrækkeligt angreb imod det anglo-saudiske apparat, der stod bag 11. september. Som følge heraf har de kræfter, der repræsenteres af Bush og Obama, fået fribillet til at handle. Hvis arven efter det britiske monarki og dets Bush- og Obama-operationer kan knuses, kan USA og store dele af den øvrige verden reddes.

LaRouche understregede, at centrum for kampen imod det britiske tyranni, der repræsenteres af Bush og Obama, skal findes på Manhattan og nærmeste omgivelser. Denne pointe blev tydelig i denne weekend gennem to koncerter, der blev afholdt af Schiller Instituttet, der præsenterede Händels Messias i Brooklyn og Manhattan. Alt i alt blev de to forestillinger besøgt af flere end 1.000 mennesker, med kun ståpladser til begge forestillinger. Den strøm af støtte til forestillingerne og til ideen om forbindelsen mellem klassisk kultur, videnskab og den politiske kamp for en genoplivning af Det amerikanske System, illustrerer den kendsgerning, at der i den amerikanske befolkning er en kerne, centreret omkring Manhattan og dele af det nordlige Californien især, der kan redde nationen. Disse områders førende borgeres særlige egenskaber kan spredes i hele landet, men kun med fokus på ånden og aktiveringen fra Manhattan.

Nedsmeltningen af hele systemet er i fuld gang. Det globale

blodbad kan udelukkende standses af et gennembrud i USA, med start i fjernelsen af Wall Street og genindførelsen af Glass-Steagall. Dette må ske inden krakket finder sted, og det kunne ske, hvornår det skal være, fra nu af og fremefter. Dette er ikke et 'hype' eller et slogan. Den 1. januar 2016 træder reglerne for bail-out i kraft i Europa. Samme dag vil Puerto Rico gå i betalingsstandsning med de første 1,4 mia. dollar i gæld til gribbefundene.

Enten får man Glass-Steagall vedtaget nu, eller også vil følgen blive kaos i hele det transatlantiske område, og under disse omstændigheder er det næsten sikkert, at kræfterne i Det britiske Imperium vil satse på krig med Rusland og Kina.



**RADIO SCHILLER den 21.
december 2015:**

**Luk Wall Street og City of
London.**

**Indfør Glass/Steagall-
bankopdeling**

Med formand Tom Gillesberg:

LPAC Fredags-webcast, 18. december 2015: Kasinoøkonomien er i færd med at kollapse

Kasinoøkonomien er i færd med at kollapse. Skiferolie, junk-obligationer, Italien ... WALL STREET. Er der en gruppe af ledende demokrater og republikanere, der er villige til at fortælle sandheden om Wall Street, og lukke det ned? Engelsk udskrift.

The gambling economy is collapsing. Shale oil, junk bonds, Italy... WALL STREET. Is there a leading group of Democrats and Republicans willing to tell the truth about Wall Street, and shut it down?

TRANSCRIPT

MATTHEW OGDEN: Good evening. It's December 18, 2015. My name is Matthew Ogden, and you're watching our weekly LaRouche PAC webcast here on larouchepac.com. I'm joined in the studio tonight by Benjamin Deniston from the LaRouche PAC Science Team, as well as Jeffrey Steinberg from *Executive Intelligence Review*. And the three of us had a chance to have extensive meetings with both Lyndon and Helga LaRouche earlier this morning, and early this afternoon.

Now, those of you who have been watching the LaRouche PAC website over the course of this week, especially starting with the Policy Committee discussion which Mr. LaRouche held this past Monday, you'll know that we are in a week of heightened mobilization as an organization, and as a national movement with the LaRouche PAC; because of Lyndon LaRouche's analysis of the proximity of a total meltdown of the Wall Street-centered, trans-Atlantic speculative financial system. Mr.

LaRouche has made very clear calls every single day of this week, for a very explicit program of a return to Franklin Roosevelt, a complete shutting-down of the Wall Street speculative so-called assets, and a revival of the kind of emergency mobilization that Franklin Roosevelt enacted in his first days in office.

Many of you might have participated in the Fireside Chat which Mr. LaRouche held yesterday with activists nationwide, and many of you also may have seen that a leaflet has now been posted on the LaRouche PAC website titled, "The New Policy for the USA Now". Now this leaflet contains a transcript of remarks that Mr. LaRouche made during an emergency meeting with his associates on Wednesday night, and if you haven't gotten a chance to read through the text of it yet, I wish to read just a few short excerpts to give you a flavor of what Mr. LaRouche's analysis of the current situation is. What Mr. LaRouche had to say during this discussion is the following:

"We are on the edge right now. We're on the edge of a totally uncontrolled global process of self-accelerated collapse. In other words, the acceleration accelerates the rate of acceleration. There is no existing solution to this problem," he said, "and it is on a global scale, or at least a trans-Atlantic scale immediately, and will of course affect Asia as well, and Russia also. And the only thing you can do is Franklin Roosevelt's policy. You have to say, 'Declare Franklin Roosevelt's policy now against Wall Street.' That's the only way we can solve this problem. Otherwise, you've got something that's going to accelerate and there's nothing that you can do about it. And what you have to do, is pose the fact that there will be no solution unless Wall Street is put out of business right now. That's what Franklin Roosevelt did in effect; he shut down Wall Street, which ended the inflation that was going on at the point before his election. And the only way you can do this, is to shut it down.

"What you do is you cancel all the so-called assets that are

not appropriate for this role, and you simply say: 'Look buddy, you don't get any money at all. You get no compensation whatsoever. You're shut out of business. You don't exist.' And that's what Franklin Roosevelt did, in effect, in his operation to shut down Wall Street."

Now later in the discussion, Mr. LaRouche came to the question of what the necessary solution must be. And he said:

"If you realize that this is reality time, none of the rules that have been pushed along recently have any merit whatsoever. They're canceled. And the first thing we cancel is Wall Street. Then what we're talking about, is the Franklin Roosevelt-style of a new system of the creation of a new system of government, of financial management by government. And it has to be that way, because a lot of these categories are things that are put up as well – will we bail this out, will we bail that out—forget it," he said. "It's dead. It's a dead issue. What you're talking about is the practical activity of creativity, productive creativity, and you have to define it as such. What is actually productive creativity, which is actually what we will have to defend.

"And that's what we have to do, and that's what Franklin Roosevelt did. He managed this thing by going through the whole process about these emergency bail-outs of people, who were jobless, who were without hope. And what we have to do is now, with the background of the experience of Franklin Roosevelt's work, you simply say, 'We're going back to Franklin Roosevelt's policy, while we still have a chance to do it.' |"

So, Mr. LaRouche said, we have to make the announcement, and this is what we're doing over the course of this week. We make the declaration that we have come to a conclusion, a solid conclusion; and we have to get more and more people to jump in onto this policy that we're presenting. And very rapidly, you will find that this will become the trend of policy-making

inside the United States, in particular. And if you just consider the crucial evidence that we have here at hand, if you just consider the developments that have broken out in the recent period, when Mr. LaRouche said, you just have to say, "This is the greatest Christmas present that we could possibly give you – the opportunity to shut down Wall Street, and save the United States."

Now, let me, in that context, present the institutional question that we got in for this evening, and ask Jeff Steinberg to deliver Mr. LaRouche's response. It reads as follows: "Mr. LaRouche. In recent weeks four Italian banks have collapsed, along with a number of U.S. hedge funds. There's a \$3 trillion junk bond exposure in the U.S. domestic shale oil and gas sector, with prices continuing to fall, even in the winter period of maximum demand. How do you assess the financial and economic situation in the trans-Atlantic region going into 2016, and how do you propose to address these problems?"

JEFF STEINBERG: Thanks, Matt. We're at a point right now where tomorrow morning, Monday morning, almost at any given moment going forward from today, we could experience the complete collapse of the entire trans-Atlantic financial and monetary system, which would in turn have repercussions on a global scale. There is nothing within the internal features of the current situation that can avoid this outcome. And look at some of the elements of this picture.

As the question indicated, we've seen four Italian banks go under in the recent weeks, and we've seen bondholders and stockholders in those banks wiped out through bail-in – in other words, the grabbing up of their assets as a first stage towards a bail-out of those banks. And this has already resulted in widespread protests in Italy. It resulted in a suicide that is now being investigated by Italian authorities. You've got Ukraine facing a \$3 billion unpayable debt to the IMF that comes due very soon; and the IMF has indicated,

because of the geopolitical significance of Ukraine, despite the fact that Ukraine is a failed state, it is thoroughly bankrupt – that the IMF is going to pony up a bail-out of Ukraine that violates all of the IMF's rules.

On Jan. 1, Puerto Rico has a billion dollar debt due – it's part of an overall \$35 billion in debt. They've made clear that they cannot make payments on that \$1 billion debt due at the start of the new year. A number of U.S. hedge funds have already gone under, because of their exposure to that Puerto Rican debt.

The shale oil and gas sector, which has been touted by President Obama and others as the great driver for the U.S. so-called economic recovery, is in a state of complete collapse. Normally, going into the winter months, you would expect a substantial increase in oil and gas prices, because of the increasing demands, both for transit and also now for home and business heating. In contrast to that, the price in this past week has collapsed even further. Every time some of the major shale oil producers bring a barrel of oil out of the ground, and put it on sale on the market, they lose \$30. There is a total of a \$5 trillion amount between junk bonds and major bank lending into this shale oil and gas sector, that is about to blow up.

In the case of Canada, in the area around Alberta, in the western part of Canada, this blow-up has already occurred; and Canada is in a state of severe economic crisis. Obviously, on a scale of things, the United States going through the same process will have a far greater impact into Europe, into Asia, around the entire globe.

So, in other words, we are at a moment of reckoning, where the entire financial system is hanging by a thread, and will most certainly blow. There's no way to predict a date certain, but, as I say, it could happen at any moment from now on; which means that you've got to basically shut down this entire

system. The system that's been in place and growing as a cancerous factor on the real economy of the world, going back for the last 40 years and more. You could go back to end of the Bretton Woods system in 1971, carried out by people like George Shultz, Henry Kissinger, on behalf of the British. You could go back to 1999, when under the impeachment blackmail, President Bill Clinton signed into law the repeal of Glass-Steagall. And, of course, it goes back much earlier than that.

Mr. LaRouche made the point in our discussion today, that you've got to look at the degeneration of the entire world system that began at the outset of the 20th Century, when Lord Bertrand Russell launched a tyranny against the kind of scientific breakthroughs that characterized the work of people like Bernhard Riemann in the 19th Century, the work of Leibniz in the 17th and early 18th Century, the work of Kepler in the 17th Century, going back to Brunelleschi and the height of the Italian Golden Renaissance, when modern science was first launched. All of that has been effectively negated and wiped out through a tyranny of mathematics that has been characteristic of Bertrand Russell's takeover of modern science at the very onset of the 20th Century.

It's manifested itself in a cultural deterioration. The only major 20th Century scientist to stand up in the face of Russell was Albert Einstein. In a different way, President Franklin Roosevelt stood up against that tyranny. He was confronted from his first moment in office as President, with a population that had been brutalized, had been beaten down, was living through a Great Depression that was already ongoing for a number of years. You had rampant illiteracy in rural America, and he carried out a revolution; a policy revolution that was based on the principles of the founders of the American Republic, the principles of Benjamin Franklin, particularly the principles of Alexander Hamilton. Those same principles were adopted by President Lincoln, and it carried even beyond his assassination by the British.

At the beginning of the 20th Century, end of the 19th Century, you had the British overthrow of Bismarck in Germany; you have the assassination of Sadi Carnot, the president of France; and in 1901 you had the assassination of President William McKinley in the United States. Those British actions, those assassinations, laid the basis for what Bertrand Russell did, wreaking havoc on all of the principles of previous scientific discovery, and the 20th Century, with very few exceptions, has been a total wasteland.

So, that's the backdrop to the crisis that we are facing today. You not only have a Wall Street-London system that is thoroughly, hopelessly bankrupt. All of the bail-in, and all of the bail-out in the world, cannot come close to dealing with the multiple quadrillions of dollars in purely speculative gambling debt, that have been built up as a cancer that's eaten away at the real productive economy. You have, in reality, today the Bureau of Labor Statistics is claiming that unemployment has fallen to 5%. This is total rubbish. By using their own statistics, and looking at the entire working age population, rather than just what they call the labor force, you see that real unemployment is more than double the number they claim. And furthermore, 40% of those employed workers in the United States are earning \$15,000 a year or less. That is minimum wage, full-time employment.

Half of that number are earning below \$5000 a year. If you work one day a month, you are considered to be part of the labor force. So the real conditions of life here in the United States, across Europe, are collapsing at an accelerating rate, as Mr. LaRouche indicated in his discussion with us on Wednesday.

The fact of the matter is that to understand what's going on to the American people, and to the European population, you've got to look at some other statistics. You've got to look at the fact that there is a persistent rise in the rate of deaths among middle-aged people in the United States, from the ages

of 45 to 54. It's gone up precipitously. It started in 2001 – not coincidentally when Bush and Cheney came into office. It's accelerated at an accelerating rate since 2009, when Obama came into office.

The Centers for Disease Control has declared that the United States is experiencing an epidemic increase in heroin addiction, and there has been in the last four years, a 60% increase in heroin addiction among households earning \$50,000 a year or more. In other words, the middle class itself is going into a psychological breakdown. So this is the consequence of toleration for Wall Street.

Members of Congress. I was up on Capitol Hill yesterday for a good part of the day. Members of Congress know we're on the verge of a major junk bond blow-out. They know about the looming crash. They know about the Glass-Steagall opportunity. Yet they're showing cowardice in the face of the greatest threat that mankind has faced ever. Because this kind of collapse, under the conditions of Obama remaining in office, means that we are also simultaneously on the very edge of potential thermonuclear confrontation with Russia and China. And that would be a war of extinction of mankind.

So the question is: Is there a combination of leading citizens, of Democrats and Republicans, who are prepared to come together and show the courage to simply declare that Wall Street is finished. It's shut down. No money in. It's doomed. So shut it down; and if we shut down Wall Street in a timely fashion, that opens the door for the kinds of measures and actions that were taken by Franklin Roosevelt, in the very first days of his Presidency. Massive infusions of Federal investment into real job creation, into vital infrastructure. And we've got to then set our sights on the Galaxy. We've got to begin a revival of our space program because man doesn't belong stuck here on Earth. At this point, we have the ability, by coordination and cooperation with other leading nations, like China, for example, in particular – to explore

where our Solar System stands in the larger Galaxy. That's where man's future. That's where the discoveries lie that will define and guarantee a bright future for mankind.

But if you don't start by facing the fact that Wall Street must be shut down totally right now; then there is really no chance.

OGDEN: Thank you, Jeff. Now, when you consider what Mr. LaRouche declared the policy must be, and take a look at the Franklin Roosevelt precedent, you begin to ask yourself the question that Franklin Roosevelt asked himself: When you have a completely broken-down population, when you have a nation in chaos and in desperation, when you've had multiple previous administrations which have been disasters, if not traitors, to the people of the United States, how do you have an immediate turnaround from Day One?

And Roosevelt had to ask himself, how do you distinguish between legitimate and illegitimate value? How do you distinguish between something which has a productive, creative effect – as Mr. LaRouche said in the remarks I read earlier—versus something which has a destructive cancerous effect. And how do you protect what is legitimate and valuable, while cancelling and writing off everything which is illegitimate and destructive?

And this is exactly what Franklin Roosevelt enacted from the very first day that he was in office with his national bank holiday; shutting down all of the banks, sending in an army of auditors, and not allowing them to reopen again until they reopened under his terms. And this is what he enshrined in the Glass-Steagall Act. There is a bill in the House of Representatives and in the United States Senate, as many of our viewers know, ready to be cosponsored, ready to be passed into law, to reinstate Glass-Steagall. And this is obviously urgently what is needed.

When you look at the fact that since the 2007-2008 crash, rather than having the biggest Wall Street banks broken up, the ones that were responsible for the crash in the first place, and having their chief executive officers sent to prison; instead they were bailed out, and now the four largest banks in the United States have accumulated an ever-greater share of the financial bubble, holding, between the four of them, assets of almost \$6 trillion concentrated in just these four biggest banks.

With all of the quantitative easing that has been sent nominally into the economy, all of this money has gone into propping this bank gambling financial bubble, while the lending to the real economy has steadily collapsed, showing you exactly what the administration of Barack Obama has been all about.

Now, if you look at Franklin Roosevelt's actions on the other side, taking a population that was idle, depressed, uneducated, unskilled, wasting away, and immediately putting them to work: this is the precedent for what has to happen right now. Franklin Roosevelt – one of the very first things that he did was to get his adviser from New York State, Harry Hopkins, with whom he had previously worked to enact many of these New Deal measures in the laboratory, in the incubator, of New York State itself, the hometown of Alexander Hamilton, whom Franklin Roosevelt saw himself directly in the tradition of. Even through the lineage of his great-great grandfather Isaac Roosevelt, who worked directly with Alexander Hamilton to set up the first national bank [Bank of New York]. Franklin Roosevelt immediately ordered the large-scale, mass employment of millions and millions of idle and out-of-work Americans.

He did this with the PWA. Later he did it with the WPA for much larger-scale projects. This was done through the TVA, the Tennessee Valley Authority, which absolutely transformed the entire Southern states of the United States, and created the possibility for the United States to fight and win World War

II. And emphatically he did it with the CCC, the Civilian Conservation Corps, which was one of his very first programs that he enacted from the very first day that he was in office. Taking young people from the streets of the cities and from the backwards rural countryside, who were uneducated, many of them completely illiterate and completely unskilled; enrolling them in the CCC program; sending them to camps that existed in every single one of the states of the United States at that time, as well as the territories. And ultimately employing over 3 and a half million young men, and young Americans, in the CCC program over the course of its entire existence – from 1933 until it was disbanded in 1941-42, for the war effort.

These are the precedents that can be taken right off of the shelf, and enacted immediately if we are able to take the Wall Street administration of Barack Obama, shut it down, shut down Wall Street. So, what we've done here at LaRouche PAC is, we've put together a programmatic sort of outline of exactly what has to be done along these lines in today's terms, with an eye towards what is being done currently by countries such as China, such as their ally Russia, other nations of the BRICS, other countries in southern Asia – for example, India – and the program which is now become the official policy of the most populous nation on Earth, the so-called Silk Road. What China calls, One Belt One Road, or what originally was called the Eurasian Land-Bridge, when the LaRouche movement conceived of it over 20 years ago.

So, here to present a little bit of the details of this upcoming, forthcoming pamphlet – “The United States Must Join the Silk Road” – is LaRouche PAC Scientific Team leader Benjamin Deniston.

BENJAMIN DENISTON: Thanks, Matt. So I think we've mentioned this on a few of these broadcasts before, this new report. And to put it in context, we have the EIR full special report on the “New Silk Road Becomes the World Land-Bridge,” and about a month ago, in the process of her work organizing around that

report internationally, Helga Zepp-LaRouche put out the call to develop somewhat of an addendum to that report, focused on the United States.

And her idea was that we have to move the U.S. population to fight for its future. And this is how we can do it. We have to give the American people a perspective for what it can mean for their own nation, their families, their legacy to join in this future orientation of the New Silk Road, the World Land-Bridge orientation. So we've been pulling together this addendum report as kind of a presentation of this thesis, to really try and give people a clear vision, a clear sense of what we can do with this country; if people decide to fight, if people decide to follow the actions we just heard. Especially now in this immediate day-to-day crisis conditions, we need to also bring to people a real positive conception, as Mr. LaRouche was actually saying emphatically earlier this week. We have to have a positive, – not just attacking the negative – but we have to have a new idea, a new concept, for what the future has to become. And that can give people the strength, the rallying point, to fight to win this fight right now.

So, I have a series of graphics here, and we can go to the first graphic, as a teaser for this report: some of the actual images directly from a draft version of this report, which will I think be available next week on LaRouche PAC. So here we have the cover – “The United States Joins the New Silk Road, a Hamiltonian Vision for an Economic Renaissance.” [Fig. 1]

If we go to the next graphic [Fig. 2]: It's broken into a couple main sections, but after the introduction, which covers some of the material which we already discussed here today, the first bulk section of the report is pretty much a reconstruction program for the United States. Something going along with what LaRouche has called for, for a return to true physical economy. We're seeing the end of this speculative

Wall Street system, the end of this fantasy of money having intrinsic value, the end of this speculative insane system, and if we're going to survive, we need to return to a real conception of physical economics. How do we improve the physical capability to produce the goods needed for society? How do we increase our ability to more effectively, more efficiently produce what's needed to sustain society at ever higher and higher levels? These have to, again, become the metrics for economics. Wall Street's metrics are death; and we're seeing that right now. So, we have to return to a conception of physical production, the physical productive powers of the labor force. How do you increase the ability of the labor force to produce more goods at a higher value and a higher quality with less labor power? These physical economic conceptions. And how do you build up the infrastructure of the nation to most efficiently facilitate that process for the national economy as a whole, as a single, integrated territory?

So, this is some of what is dealt with in this first section; and here is kind of an opening spread, as you can see in this image of a development perspective for the United States. I'm going to go through how each one of these elements are treated in slightly more detail in the next section of this report.

So, if we go to the next image[Fig. 3], we have, in one sense, kind of a keystone for this whole project. Something that Lyndon and Helga LaRouche have been very much at the center of organizing for, for decades now. The long-standing proposal for a Bering Strait connection; connecting this relatively small gap between Alaska and Russia. Connecting that with a tunnel, perhaps a bridge, depending on whatever seems to be the best design; and connecting these two major land masses with high-speed rail systems. And this, in a sense literally, but also figuratively, connects the United States and North America directly into this entire New Silk Road orientation, this entire Eurasian Land-Bridge development which is now

ongoing, as Matt referenced; this is ongoing. China is leading the way in building the New Silk Road program; extending it into other nations in collaboration with other nations – Russia, India, other major players throughout Eurasia. They're pursuing this development of their interiors – high-speed rail, water projects, developing more power, more energy. And we can plug directly into this development orientation with the Bering Strait connection. A lot can be said on this project; it's been on the books for a century, in conception. Now we have the perspective to actually do it; we can extend the rail network of the United States up to Alaska. Russia can extend through Siberia. We can build the connection across the Bering Strait; and we can actually connect these two land masses with this grand project, which will be a keystone for this whole development perspective for the coming time.

If we go to the next graphic [Fig. 4], this would connect directly into what we would need to build as a new high-speed rail system for the United States. Here we have displayed one particular two-phase proposal for the development of an actual modern, high-speed rail network for the United States. Our rail system currently in terms of passenger transport is almost nonexistent; we have a disastrous transportation system. So, if we're talking about actually rebuilding the physical productive capabilities of the nation as a whole, this is going to be one critical element. Having an effective transportation system increases the physical economic potential of the national territory as a whole. Lowering the cost of transportation, increasing the speed of transportation, increasing the efficiency of transportation for goods and people as a medium to facilitate the increased productivity of the nation as a whole. So, this is going to be a major keystone project.

If we go to the next graphic [Fig. 5], we can see that this is not just about connecting the economy as it currently exists; but this will also open up the potential for the development

of new territories. These rail lines you see here aren't simply a means for getting from point A to point B; but they can also become new corridors of development. Creating corridors of high density of infrastructure, high-speed efficient transportation, canals and water projects as needed, electricity, power, communications. So, we can bring a high density corridor of all the basic advanced infrastructure needed for the development of entire new regions of the country. And I don't have a graphic of it, but I'm sure many people are familiar with the distribution of population in the United States; and we have entire regions of the country which are virtually empty. Entire regions which have little to no development; so we have huge room and potential for the growth for the development of our territory, including the development of new cities – something that Helga Zepp-LaRouche has been campaigning for in the United States. The idea of actually building new Renaissance cities is part of this whole perspective; cities actually organized around the understanding of mankind as a creative force on this planet. Cities as cultural centers actually embodying and reflecting the conception of mankind which we need to rise to. Cities which actually inspire the population and encourage the population and push the population to rise to a higher cultural level; recognizing mankind as a creative force. The type of cities you saw in certain parts of the great 15th Century Renaissance, for example. We can actually be looking at, instead of disbursing our population in this terrible urban sprawl; we can actually have centralized, highly efficient scientific cultural cities, centered around a high density of creative focus, scientific focus, cultural focus. That's the center of your city, your cultural process; and you build the city around that.

So, these are some of the things that – again, they're treated in more detail in the report – but these are some of the basic elements that are just needed right now to save the United States. As Matt had referenced the historical precedent of

Franklin Roosevelt, we're going to need very similar actions in terms of actually retraining and rebuilding our labor force to do this. Just with what's been put on the table already, this is going to be a major driver to force our nation, our people, to figure out how to re-industrialize our economy; to rebuild our productive capabilities. That means the physical productive capabilities themselves; but that also means the labor force itself, the actual skill set of the labor force itself. Things like a new CCC program to retrain an entire new generation with new skills, new capabilities; so they can become a part of this process of creating a new higher level for the economy for the United States. So, in a sense, this is going to force a driver program to rebuild an entire new generation as a highly productive, advanced section of the economy.

So, this is kind of the leading section of this addendum report, focused on rebuilding the United States. If we go to the next graphic [Fig. 6], we can see it is followed by a section on some of the science driver programs that are part of this whole perspective. Actually looking into pushing into the new frontiers beyond just rebuilding the nation with the available technologies, the available capabilities, and implementing what we have; but also looking into expanding the potential of the economy into new domains, into new levels.

In the next graphic [Fig. 7], we have a section on power, on energy, on energy-flux density. And the longstanding need to finally push for the development of fusion power; the longstanding need to explore, implement, and develop the domain of the nucleus – the nuclear economy. This is something that has been denied and suppressed for decades already now; we're long past the time where we need to fully develop the capabilities of the nuclear domain for mankind. Giving us dramatically higher levels of energy-flux density; enabling us to power all these programs we're talking about here, and many more programs. But also opening up a whole new domain of

mankind's ability to interact with the very nature of the universe itself. Moving man beyond just being limited to the domain of chemical reactions, and chemistry on a chemical domain; but moving into a nuclear domain. Not dealing with just the interactions of chemical elements; but dealing with being able to control the very chemical elements themselves on a nuclear level, which opens up whole new potentials for mankind.

This includes areas that are still anomalous – low-energy nuclear reactions, so-called “cold fusion”; hot fusion, advanced fusion power. There's an entire new domain of potential that is just lying in wait for mankind to develop with this nuclear economy.

If you go to the next graphic [Fig. 8], we have the issue of water; the development of the water supply. And a lot can be said on this issue; there are many available options to develop the water resources needed: water transfer projects, along the line of the original NAWAPA project; proposals for desalination, the processing of ocean water to create new freshwater supplies. Those are available to us. We also need to look into the new frontier areas of being able to control the water cycle on a higher and more fundamental level; and this goes to what we've discussed with new methods for controlling the weather, controlling precipitation patterns.

As I said at the beginning, this was called for by Helga out of the need to move the US population; we have to give people a sense of what their potential future is. Not just getting jobs for people; there's all this talk about jobs, the insanity of Green jobs. You have a bunch of these Presidential candidates still talking about Green jobs as if that would do something for the economy. What we're talking about here, is giving people a sense of an actual higher level of the economy that we can build; a higher state of existence for the nation; that we can organize society around creating. And we can actually inspire and move our population to fight for this

future; to fight for their own ability to have access to creating this new future.

And I think just to round it off, we were talking with Mr. LaRouche about this whole perspective earlier today; and I think what he had to say was also very important as a concluding point in this whole discussion. He said, we have to really go at the core issue; that in essence, underlying, we have these projects; we have the perspective for rebuilding the United States. We have to do this if we're going to exist as a nation; there's no ifs, ands, or buts about that. This is the future of our nation if we're going to exist; returning to this orientation towards a physical economic approach to rebuilding our nation at a higher level. But in a sense, that is just an effect of something more fundamental; which is understanding mankind as the only species which can really do this. Understanding that this process, this ability to change the state of your species from state A to state B, to a higher order existence; is the most fundamental expression of what makes mankind unique as a living form on this planet.

And that, I think, gets at some of what Mr. LaRouche was saying earlier today about what's happened over the past century; the disaster of the past century. That you had a fundamental attack on the economy, on the population, but also a fundamental attack on this most fundamental principle; the principle of understanding of human creativity. That there is something that the human mind can do uniquely that is the cause, that is the reason we can have these types of changes. That's the reason we can have a higher population with a higher standard of living, higher population density, than we had before. Because mankind has the ability to create his own future; to create a higher level of existence for society. But where does that come from? You go to education today, people are taught that the human mind, the human brain is just an advanced computer; that thinking is just a deductive process, that the way that human beings think and discover things is

essentially just an advanced form of a computer process. That even a basic understanding of human creativity as a distinct potential, as a distinct capability has been not just attacked, but virtually eliminated from society today. That the understanding that the human mind acts in a unique way which I think we don't really understand yet, in a non-deductive, non-mathematical fashion to generate a new conception, a new discovery which didn't come from the lower-order understanding; but is a new generation, but it's that new generation which comes from the human mind itself which is the substance which enables mankind to move to a higher level.

I think that's what we have to put up front; and this is part of a longstanding fight. If you just look back to the work of Kepler himself, the great genius who completely revolutionized mankind's existence in the universe by discovering that we're part of this higher order Solar System. And you look at Kepler's own understanding of his own discovery process; and if you go to his works – go to the *Harmony of the World* – go to Book 4 of the *Harmony of the World*, where he says this is really the essence of my entire discovery process. Where he discusses the actual process of thought of discovery; and he, himself, roots his whole investigation in the continuity of the fight going back to the fight of Plato against Aristotle. The fight over whether the human mind actually generates new discoveries, or is just merely a product of sense perceptions. Kepler right then and there himself declares the evil of Aristotle's view that the human mind is just a blank slate; that sense perceptions are just written on the human mind as a blank slate, and that's all you are. That's the nature of knowledge, is just the impressions upon you through your sense perceptions; which Aristotle posed as an attack against Plato's idea of recollection, that discovery is more of a process, it's almost as if the mind is remembering something it had within it. That discovery doesn't come from sense perceptions from the outside, but there's a potential in the human mind to generate something for which the potential was

already there in the mind itself.

But then you have the fact that what the human mind can do in that regard, actually enables mankind to come to a higher state of coherence with the universe as a whole. And this is what Kepler himself, I think, developed in a new, higher order way in his conception of harmonics, of harmony; that he himself explicitly sided on the side of Plato and Socrates in this understanding of the human mind. He said quite frankly, Aristotle shouldn't be allowed in the Christian religion; because his views are evil, his views deny this creative capability of the human mind. Kepler himself recognized that Plato was much closer to the truth, and that you have this ability of the human mind itself, of its own potential, to generate new conceptions which are not deductions, which are not mathematical processes; but as a creative process of the human mind. And the amazing thing is that those productions of the human mind itself, of itself and from itself, are the substance of what allows mankind to move to a higher state of organization of the universe; a higher state of coherence with the universe. And that, for Kepler, was the highest sense of harmony, of harmonics. And that's the current of understanding of real human creativity that Einstein was coming out of; and as Mr. LaRouche has said, was the last hold-out against the attacks against this true understanding of human creativity.

So, I think this is the highest challenge we have in this whole process; that we have to rebuild our nation, we have to move society forward. We have to do it premised most fundamentally on the recognition of human creativity per se as the real force, the real substance of mankind's ability to exist in the universe. And if we don't win that fight, then the evil legacy of Russell will just continue to reign. So, I think that's a challenge that we all have before us.

OGDEN: Thank you, Ben. Now let me just say in conclusion, just to reiterate the point that Mr. LaRouche made in the remarks that I read in the beginning, and what Jeff went over; what's

preventing this vision from becoming an actuality, is the slavish capitulation and acquiescence of the majority of our elected leaders – Congress and otherwise – to Wall Street, and to the wishes and the demands and the frankly extortion that representatives of Wall Street hold as their power over Washington. Now when you examine that though, it's a ridiculous proposition, because Wall Street is bankrupt; Wall Street has no power. We're in the midst of a total meltdown of the entire Wall Street-based system. And the only solution for the future of anybody in this country is to take the Franklin Roosevelt precedent and say, "You're bankrupt; we're shutting you down." That's done through Glass-Steagall and the entire program that's laid out in this pamphlet, as was just reviewed by Ben.

Now, the other point I'll make is that Mr. LaRouche has emphasized that the pivot point, the leverage point around which we can move and transform the entire country, is what he's called the so-called "Manhattan Project". The highly focused activation over the last 12, 13, 14 months of our association's activities in Manhattan and the broader Manhattan region. Now one thing about this that many of you may be aware of, is that in addition to the regular Saturday afternoon discussions that Mr. LaRouche holds with a live audience in Manhattan, also this weekend, there will be a series of concerts which are going to be presented by the Schiller Institute Community Chorus of Manhattan, as well as co-sponsored by the Foundation for the Revival of Classical Culture; which will be presenting a large excerpt of Handel's *Messiah*. The entire Part I, and much of Part II and Part III. The performance of this piece – which includes participation from a large array of activists and other volunteers from the New York area, as well as professional soloists and a very highly skilled orchestra – is that this performance will take place at the natural, scientific so-called "Verdi tuning" of A=432. And this is a very significant aspect of what Mr. LaRouche's association's intervention into a revival of true

Classical culture in the United States and worldwide, is built around. So, one of the performances will be at a church tomorrow afternoon, Saturday afternoon in Brooklyn near the Park Slope area; and the other performance will take place early on Sunday afternoon in downtown Manhattan. So, if you are in the area, and you have not yet gotten the details about that, please make sure that you contact our representatives in the New York region.

So, with all of that said, I thank everybody for joining us here today. I especially thank Jeff and Ben for the presentations that they've made here; and I would implore you to keep your eyes glued on the LaRouche PAC website, as the updates on a regular basis over the next coming days and hours. So, thank you very much for joining us here tonight; please stay tuned to larouchepac.com. Good night.

Leder, 20. december 2015: Lyndon LaRouche: Luk Wall Street nu, ligesom Franklin Roosevelt gjorde

Wall Street og hele det transatlantiske finanssystem er nu dødsdømt og kunne forsvinde ud i den blå luft, hvornår det skal være, i løbet af de kommende dage eller uger, lød advarslen igen i dag fra Lyndon LaRouche. Faren er, at dette vil føre til en tilstand af panik og medfølgende massedød, som kun kan undgås ved at lukke Wall Street på samme måde, som

USA's præsident Franklin D. Roosevelt gjorde, erklærede LaRouche. Vi har presserende behov for en »mobilisering af de villige« blandt det amerikanske folk for at få nationen – og verden – tilbage på ret kurs.

Dette drejer sig ikke om at forhandle en eller anden form for reform eller indrømmelser. »Wall Street må lukkes ned, uden at give nogen compensation«, sagde LaRouche. »Vi må lukke det ned, ligesom Franklin Roosevelt gjorde. Og hvis man ikke lukker dem ned, har man alligevel mistet det hele. Med andre ord, så kan man ikke forhandle med banksystemet; man må lære lektion af FDR!«

LaRouche var eftertrykkelig: »I har intet spillerum. Hver eneste stump finansiel aktivitet, som er derude, og som er af spekulativ art, må udslettes uden nogen compensation. Man gør hver eneste af disse svindlere bankerot, i alle kategorier, og ribber dem for alt.«

Omfanget af den globale spekulationsboble overstiger nu 2 billiarder dollar, et konservativt skøn, og er vokset med over en tredjedel under Obamas vagt. Dette er et direkte resultat af Wall Streets kriminelle politik med bail-out (finansiel kvantitativ lempelse – 'pengetrykning') og nu bail-in (ekspropriering af bankkundernes indeståender/indskud) – med 'nøjsomhedspolitik', der har folkemord til følge, og budgetnedskæringer, der er designet til skarpt at forøge dødsraten, i kombination med decideret tyveri af folks opsparinger, som det skete på Cypern og for nylig i Italien. Wall Streets gæld har nået et punkt, hvor den ikke længere kan betales i dollar; nu kræver de, at den betales i lig.

Se på tilfældet med Italien, hvor en tvungen bail-in af kunderne i fire banker tidligere på måneden udslettede mange menneskers livsopsparing. Mindst en person vides at have begået selvmord som følge heraf, som det er sket med hundreder af erhvervsfolk og arbejdere, der blev ødelagt af den samme politik.

Eller se over grænsen, til vores nabo Canada, hvor nedsmeltningen af Wall Streets oliefracking-boble har decimeret hele lokalsamfund og er i færd med at slå folk ihjel. Selvmordsraten er i de seneste måneder eksploderet blandt afskedigede arbejdere i canadiske oliefelter i provinserne Alberta og Saskatchewan, med en stigning i selvmord på 30 % i de første seks måneder af 2015, sammenlignet med de første seks måneder af 2014.

Ønsker du, at dette skal ske for dig? Det vil det – med mindre man lukker Wall Street ned.

Erstat britisk monetarisme med Franklin Roosevelts kreditsystem

Lyndon LaRouche understregede, at det haster med omgående at gribe til handling. »Det her er ikke 'hen ad vejen'; det er nu. Vi må, så hurtigt som muligt, lukke hele det monetaristiske system ned, i USA i særdeleshed – inden Nytår, hvor det her vil bryde ud i fuldt flor.«

»Det, der er brug for, er mere end bare noget regulering«, fortsatte LaRouche. »Pointen er, at man må eliminere ideen om penge, om det monetaristiske system, som er karakteristisk for det britiske system. Vores løsning er ikke mod anvendelsen af penge, men imod monetarisme; så man må annullere monetarisme. Man kan ikke antage, at penge har en iboende værdi i sig selv; det er problemet.«

Det, som præsident Franklin Roosevelt gjorde, var absolut korrekt og tjener som en god indikator for den kurs, vi i dag må tage. Efter at lukke Wall Street ned, må man etablere en kreditfacilitet af samme type, som FDR skabte. »Man udsteder kredit, og denne kredit – hvis den bruges korrekt – afføder produktivitet«, erklærede LaRouche. »Kreditten er baseret på den generøse indsats på vegne af selve regeringen, på vegne af

det amerikanske, statslige kreditsystem. Det, der skete under FDR's politik, er, at folk rent faktisk blev dækket ind af det præsidentielle systems generøsitet. Man måtte imødekomme den kendsgerning, at man havde en gæld til den nationale regering, som en magt; og man måtte optjene vejen til at opbygge sin egen økonomi. Det var, hvad vi gjorde under Franklin Roosevelt.«

LaRouche konkluderede: »Vi står nu ved et punkt, i løbet af de kommende uger frem til Nytår, hvor vores eksistens kunne være dømt til undergang, med mindre vi gør dette, med mindre vi får dette gennemført. Det er virkeligheden. Det er der, vi står.

Vilkårene i USA er nu af en sådan art, at det nuværende system ikke kan fungere; det vil bryde sammen. Og vi må forhindre et sammenbrud. Hvordan forhindrer vi et sammenbrud? Vi gør det, som Franklin Roosevelt gjorde ved Wall Street. Der er ingen anden mulighed; det eksisterer ikke. Og det haster. Fra og med begyndelsen af det nye år, kunne I være døde, med mindre dette gøres.

Hvad betyder så dette? Det betyder, at man skal se at få sparket præsident Obama ud af embedet; hurtigt, omgående.«

LaRouches Fire Hovedlove

Lyndon LaRouche opstillede den 9. juni 2014 de Fire Hovedlove for en reel genrejsning af den fysiske økonomi:

* Genindfør Glass-Steagall med et total adskillelse af kommercielle banker og investeringsbankernes hasardspilsaktiviteter, hedgefonde og andre spekulanter. Dette vil omgående udslette gælden til Wall Street, som er ubetalelig og har været illegitim fra første færd – og vil samtidig udslette Wall Street/London-bankkartellernes magt.

* Lancer en massiv indsprøjtning af statskredit igennem dette

nu genoprettede banksystem, ind i realøkonomien. Denne fremgangsmåde, i traditionen efter Alexander Hamilton, med et statsligt banksystem og statskredit, er også omdrejningspunktet for FDR's politik.

* Fokuser på de områder for investering, der mest forøger energi-gennemstrømningstæthed[1] i økonomien som helhed, inklusive infrastruktur og videnskabelig og teknologisk forskning og udvikling. Dette betyder billioner af dollars i anlægsinvesteringer for at opbygge det 21. århundredes infrastrukturnetværk langs med Verdenslandbroens ruter.

* Forfølg den videnskabelige udforsknings fremskudte grænser, med afsæt i det 20. århundredes store, russiske videnskabsmand, V.I. Vernadskijs arbejde inden for biokemi og noosfæren. Dette må inkludere et internationalt, forceret program for at opnå fusionskraft til kommercielt brug, et afgørende træk i den næste fase af rumforskning, så vel som også for løsningen af kravene om vand- og energiforsyning i en verden, der konfronteres med en sammenbrudskrise af proportioner som i den Mørke Tidsalder.

Kontakt dit lokale LaRouchePAC kontor/Schiller Institut nu. Slut dig til »mobiliseringen af de villige«, for at lukke Wall Street ned.

[1] Se: [Video: Energi-gennemstrømnings-tæthed](#), et kort overblik, dansk udskrift

Leder, 19. december 2015: Lyndon LaRouche: En ny politik for USA, Nu!

Og det eneste, der er at gøre, er at gennemføre Franklin Roosevelts politik; man må sige: »Vedtag Franklin Roosevelts politik nu, imod Wall Street.« Det er den eneste måde, hvorpå problemet kan løses. I modsat fald står vi med noget, der vil accelerere, og der er intet, man kan gøre for at stoppe det. Det, man må gøre, er at fremstille den kendsgerning, at der ikke er nogen løsning, med mindre Wall Street omgående lukkes ned. Det er faktisk, hvad Franklin Roosevelt gjorde. Han lukkede Wall Street ned, hvilket gjorde en ende på den inflation, der var i gang på det tidspunkt, før valget. Og den eneste måde at gøre det på er ved at lukke det ned!

Med andre ord, så er det uden for diskussion, man må lukke det ned. Og man må ganske enkelt annullere alle såkaldte aktiver ('værdipapirer'), der ikke er skikket til denne rolle. Man siger simpelt hen: »I får ingen penge overhovedet. I får ingen som helst compensation. I er ekskluderet; I eksisterer ikke mere.«

Download (PDF, Unknown)

IMF 'indrømmer', de tog fejl med den græske bailout –

Men de var nødt til at redde de europæiske banker

17. december 2015 – Længe efter det brutale overgreb siger folkemordets teknikere fra Den internationale Valutafond (IMF), at de måske begik en fejl, og at der burde have været en gældsafskrivning for Grækenland i begyndelsen af krisen. Men de hævder, at de ikke havde noget andet valg end at forhindre »smitte« i hele Eurozonen. De siger naturligvis intet om den brutale nedskæringspolitik, der havde ødelagt økonomien, og om, at de stadig i dag kræver mere af denne 'nøjsomhedspolitik'.

Den såkaldte »Gennemgang af Kriseprogrammet«, der angiveligt skulle vurdere, om IMF har håndteret de forskellige finanskriser, inklusive Grækenlands og Eurozonens, udtaler: »Bekymring for smitte udgjorde en afgørende overvejelse i beslutningerne om gældsomstrukturering i programmerne for Euro-området. For Grækenlands vedkommende (2010) kunne Fonden ikke vurdere denne gæld til at være overvejende sandsynligt erholdelig, en vurdering, der normalt er nødvendig for at opnå exceptionel adgang til Fondens ressourcer.«

De indrømmer ikke desto mindre, at, når det drejer sig om at redde »systemet«, så kan alt, efter deres mening, retfærdiggøres: »Risikoen for en international, systemisk smitte, i betragtning af de manglende brandmure på det tidspunkt, til at isolere andre medlemmer af Euro-området, leverede imidlertid en retfærdiggørelse af ikke at kræve en direkte gældssanering som en betingelse for et arrangement med Fonden for at få exceptionel adgang.« Man tilføjede derfor en klausul om »systemisk undtagelse«, som muliggjorde den enorme bailout, som enhver ved sin fornufts fulde fem kunne se var uerholdelig, »til den exceptionelle adgangspolitik, og en sådan blev efterfølgende påkaldt også for Irland (2010), Portugal (2011) og igen i Grækenlands efterfølgende

arrangement i 2012.«

Eftersom nogle er mere lige end andre, skriver de, at denne klausul ikke blev anvendt nogen steder uden for Eurozonen, fordi »smitte ikke sås at udgøre en tilstrækkelig stor bekymring«.

De indrømmer, at 'hårklipningen' af den græske gæld i 2012 – det vil sige, at den gamle gæld stadig forfaldt – var »stor målt efter standarden for de andre tilfælde af umiddelbart forestående betalingsstandsning, selv om den var utilstrækkelig til at genoprette gældens erholdelighed med nogen grad af sandsynlighed.« Rapportens forfattere siger ikke, at denne hårklipping stort set blot drev de græske banker, samt statens sundhedssystem og pensionsfonde, bankerot, og ikke de udenlandske investorer, der havde en 'opsigelsesklausul'.

De fortsætter med at skrive, at »det at holde gældssaneringen tilbage« ved hjælp af den »systemiske undtagelse« i realiteten ikke genoprettede »tilliden til markedet«, men at dette først skete, efter at Mario Draghi, præsidenten for Den europæiske Centralbank, ECB, meddelte, at han ville gøre »hvad som helst« for at redde euroen.

De indrømmer også, at de bailout-programmer, der blev indført under regeringerne Papandreou (2010) og Papademos (2012), havde til formål at skabe en intern devaluering ved at nedskære lønningernes nominelle værdi, »reformere« det kollektive lønforhandlingssystem, fremme privatiseringer, reducere bureaukrati og opmuntre til konkurrence. De nævner selvfølgelig intetsteds, at dette fik økonomien til at kollapse med uhørte 30-35 % og skabte en officiel arbejdsløshed på over 27 %, mens den virkelige arbejdsløshed var på 40 % og ungdomsarbejdsløsheden 65 %.

Foto: Hele familier er stødt ud i den totale forarmelse, for en stor dels vedkommende som følge af arbejdsløshed. Her

venter et barn i kø på uddeling af fødevarer, etableret af den græsk-ortodokse kirke i Athen.

Bail-in obligationer for 30 mia. euro er blevet solgt til fuppede detailkunder i Italien

17. december 2015 – Sidste år, da man diskuterede bail-in-regulering, og denne blev vedtaget som en EU-lov, begyndte banker at udstede de såkaldte »bail-in-obligationer«, dvs. obligationer, der ikke ville være beskyttede i tilfælde af bail-in (dvs. ekspropriering af kundeindeståender/inds kud til gældsreduktion i kriseramte banker, -red.). Hvem ville være dum nok til at købe sådanne værdipapirer?

Det står nu klart, at disse obligationer blev skræddersyet til at blive solgt til detailkunder som »sikrede« investeringer, som tilfældet i Italien viser. Italienske banker har udstedt for omkring 60 mia. euro i opgraderede obligationer (bail-in-obligationer), af hvilke halvdelen blev solgt til detailkunder. De fleste af disse kunder er blevet snydt mht. disse obligationers risikoprofil, som det nu er ved at komme frem i tilfældet med de fire kriseramte, italienske banker, der er blevet underkastet bail-in.[1]

[1] Se: EU's Nye regler for kriseramte banker dræber

POLITISK ORIENTERING den 17. december 2015:

Et finanskollaps større end 2008 er allerede i gang

Med formand Tom Gillesberg

EU's nye regler for kriseramte banker dræber

15. december 2015 – En 68-årig italiensk pensionists selvmord i byen Civitavecchia, efter at han mistede hele sin opsparing gennem sin banks reduktion af gæld gennem bail-in (ekspropriering af kundernes indeståender), har rystet det italienske folk. Det har sat fokus på de brutale, nye EU-regler ved kriseramte banker, som træder i kraft i alle medlemslande den 1. januar 2016. Pensionistens bank var en af fire mindre, italienske banker, der havnede i krise og blev »rekonstrueret« af regeringen den 22. november 2015. Flere end 100.000 bankkunder mistede deres opsparing.

Italienernes ophidselse steg til kogepunktet, da det kom frem, at, mens almindelige bankkunder mistede deres livsopsparing, så fik store långivere og aktieejere forvarsel og kunne afvikle deres poster, der i visse tilfælde løb op i millioner.

Fra den 1. januar 2016 er alle EU-lande tvunget til at tilpasse sig de nye regler for bankrekonstruktion, indbefattet reduktion af bankens gæld (bail-in), for banker, der rammes af krise.

Reduktion af gæld er den bureaukratiske term for tyveri af bankkundernes midler. Men, som i tilfældet med rekonstruktionen af de fire, små italienske banker, der kun delvist tog de kundeindeståender, som EU-reglementet tillader, er dette et tveægget sværd. Denne bankredningsmetode kan snarere kæntré banksystemet end hjælpe det. Det var også, hvad LaRouche-bevægelsen og mange andre sagde, da Den europæiske Centralbank (ECB) og EU-kommissionen kom med forslaget til bankrekonstruktion. Vi advarede om, at det ville give bagslag og skabe større ustabilitet, da det ville sprede panik blandt bankkunderne, der ville løbe storm på bankerne ved det mindste tegn på krise og trække deres penge ud.

I tilfældet med de fire mindre, italienske banker, Banca Etruria, Banca Marche, Carichiati (Sparekassen Chieti) og Carife (Sparekassen Ferrara), blev bankrekonstruktionen gennemført ved en regeringsbeslutning. Dette hastværk viser, at regeringen forsøgte at få det afklaret før 1. januar, for at undgå at være tvunget til at anvende EU's nye reglement til reduktion af bankgælden. Regeringen kunne derfor gennemføre en delvis gældsreduktion. Indeståender på bankkonti blev ikke rørt, men aktier og obligationer i bankerne blev inddraget. Ca. 100.000 ejere af opprioriterede obligationer (subordinate bonds) med høj risiko, men også et højt afkast, mistede alle deres penge op til 750.000 euro. De fire banker vil blive likvideret og opdelt i fire brobanker og en 'dårlig bank' (en 'rygsæk' for dårlige lån). Bankredningen (bail-in) er på 3,5 mia. euro, der vil blive betalt af en bankhjælpefond, som er en indskudsgarantifond, der ejes af banksystemet.

Men denne indskudsgarantifond har ikke penge nok. Derfor skal tre storbanker, Unicredit, Intesa og UBI, stille op med penge, mod at regeringen giver de tre banker skattelettelser på i alt

to mia. euro. Dette er blevet afsløret af forbrugerorganisationen Adusbef og betyder, at det er skatteborgerne og bankkunderne, der skal betale regningen.

De mennesker, der har investeret i de opprioriterede obligationer, var ikke ordentligt informeret og var ikke klar over, at deres værdipapirer var ligestillet med bankaktierne, og derfor omfattet af en gældsreduktion. Da det dernæst kom frem, at de større fisk gik fri, så vendte raseriet sig ikke alene mod bankerne, men også mod regeringen, der ledes af Matteo Renzi. Han blev endnu mere presset, da det desuden kom frem, at både hans minister Elena Maria Boschi og hans egen familie har nære bånd til ledelsen af en af de fire kriseramte banker.

Regeringen er nu blevet tvunget til at støtte oprettelsen af en parlamentarisk undersøgelse, som er velkommen, hvis den får en uvildig formand. Det, der er påkrævet, er en dristig og uråd formand som Ferdinand Pecora, New Yorker-advokaten af italiensk herkomst, der var leder af den berømte amerikanske Senatskomité i 1933, som afslørede de mægtigste banker på Wall Street og banede vejen for Franklin Roosevelts finansreformer og genopbygningsprogrammet New Deal.[1] På lignende vis skulle den italienske undersøgelse kunne afsløre, hvordan bankerne har narret deres kunder, men også, hvordan de fire kriseramte banker er blevet lavet om til kasinoer og er gået konkurs. En sådan undersøgelse bør bevirke, at der genindføres regler for god bankpraksis og indskydergaranti gennem en bankopdelingslov (Glass-Steagall). Dette spørgsmål har i mange år ligget på bordet, hvor LaRouche-bevægelsen har ført en kampagne for bankopdeling[2] og direkte og indirekte har påvirket det italienske parlament, der har to kamre, således, at der nu foreligger seks (!) forskellige indstillinger til lovforslag om at indføre en bankopdeling.

Blandt initiativtagerne til disse lovforslag har både Marco Zanni og Alessandro Di Battista fra Femstjernebevægelsen i løbet af de seneste dage udtalt sig med krav om, at

bankopdelingen nu må gennemføres for at beskytte bankkunderne! Zanni, der er medlem af Europaparlamentet, sagde her den 9. december, at forslaget om at indføre »en moderne Glass/Steagall-lov med en ren og tvungen opdeling af bankerne i traditionel lånevirkksomhed (kommerciel bankvirkksomhed) og så spekulativ investeringsbankaktivitet« nu er nødvendig for at »undgå en ny systemkrise«.

Alessandro Di Battista, medlem af parlamentet, genlancerede spørgsmålet om en bankopdeling i et TV-interview den 10. december:

– Lad os én gang for alle, sagde han, udskille kommercielle banker fra investeringsbanker, så en medborger kan vide, at, når han sætter penge ind i en bank, så er denne bank ikke indblandet i spekulationsvirkksomhed, spiller ikke på aktiemarkederne, men blot udsteder lån og bedriver normal bankvirkksomhed.

Ovenstående artikel er skrevet af Ulf Sandmark, LaRouche-rörelsen i Sverige.

[1] Se 16. sep. 2015: »Wall Street er bankerot, og Obama gennemtvinger ved magt et termonukleart Armageddon. Foregrib! FDR's Første 100 Dage« <http://schillerinstitut.dk/si/?p=8147> Artiklen indeholder mange henvisninger til andre artikler på dansk.

[2] Se: Lyndon LaRouche: Fire Nye Love til USA's (og verdens) redning, <http://schillerinstitut.dk/si/?p=1460>

Leder, 17. december 2015: USA: Finanskrakket accelererer; Franklin Roosevelt ville have lukket Wall Street, i en fart!

»Gode nyheder! Wall Street er færdig. Lad os nu genopbygge landet.«

Sådan lyder det fra LaRouchePAC-møder på Manhattan og i store byer over hele USA, med denne erklæring: »Finanskrakket er i gang: Kun en revolution i politikken kan afvende katastrofen«.

Det såkaldte »junkgældskollaps« i det amerikanske finanssystem bringer budskabet om et generelt finanskrak, der kan komme over os ved månedens slutning.

EIR's stiftende redaktør Lyndon LaRouche udstedte erklæringen om en national mobilisering, fordi han havde advaret om dette krak – der er meget mere end et »junkbondkollaps« – og krævede, at præsident Franklin Roosevelts politik omgående blev implementeret for at standse det.

Larouche sagde i går, at det eneste, der er sikkert omkring dette krak, er, at det »accelererer i en accelererende rate, og er ude af kontrol; den kontrolfaktor, der kan standse det, er at gennemføre præsident Franklin Roosevelts politik imod Wall Street og for national, økonomisk genrejsning«.

FDR indtog sit embede og standsede bogstavelig talt et krak, med en 'banklukkeferie' og Glass/Steagall-loven for at lukke

Wall Streets spekulation ned; dernæst udstedte han statslig kredit til produktiv beskæftigelse og fornyet produktivitet.

Som LaRouche understregede, så er dette krak allerede dødbringende. I Italien, hvor fire banker netop er gået bankerot, blev bankkundernes opsparing eksproprieret af storbankernes »bail-in«-politik, og mindst én bankkunde har begået selvmord. I Canada, hvor »energisektorens junkbond-krak« hidtil har været mere intensivt end i USA, er der massearbejdsløshed og selvmordsbølger blandt produktive arbejdere i Alberta og Saskatchewan på den anden side af grænsen – en advarsel til USA.

Wall Street, og City of Londons spekulative finansverden, må lukkes ned. Hvis vi giver dette krak lov til yderligere at accelerere, vil folk dø. Som LaRouche sagde, man må beskytte folk fra at dø – ikke flere selvmord!

Det eneste alternativ til accelererende kaos er atter at sætte FDR's politik i arbejde. Begynd med Glass-Steagall, for at gøre en ende på Wall Street. LaRouche advarer: Dette skal gøres omgående!

At genindføre Glass-Steagall vil lukke Wall Streets spekulation ned på en reguleret måde, hvis det sker med det samme. Dernæst kan en Nationalbank, i traditionen efter Alexander Hamilton, tage sig af statslig kredit, likviditet, rentesatser, alle de økonomiske faktorer, som Federal Reserve har rodet grundigt rundt med. Politikken er produktiv kredit, beskæftigelse og produktivitet pr. person.

Men Obama? Han har fremkaldt dette kollaps ved at blokere for genindførelsen af Glass-Steagall og ved at gennemføre »britisk politik«: en politik for en anti-teknologisk, anti-industriel »klimaforandring«.

Som det så ofte blev sagt om Herbert Hoover, så er Obama et dumt sv... Han må fjernes fra embedet som en væsentlig del af løsningen på denne økonomiske og finansielle krise.

Leder, 16. december 2015: Wall Streets kollaps er uafvendeligt – Kun Franklin Roosevelts politik, med Glass-Steagall og statslig kredit til realøkonomisk investering, kan forhindre et kollaps ned i Helvede

En fjerde New York-hedgefond lukkede i dag for udtræk, som følge af, at hele junk- og højrente-obligationsmarkedet er i færd med at nedsmelte. I takt med, at de raketstore spekulative lån i olie, gas og mineraler løber ind i kollapsende priser under en sløv økonomi, er flere »markedseksperter« (gribbeinvestorer) såsom Wilbur Ross og Carl Icahn kommet med erklæringer, hvor de siger, at de ser en trussel om, at kollapset i junkkredit kan sprede sig til det langt større kreditmarked for kreditvurderings-selskaber – hvilket muligvis kunne få hele det vestlige finanssystem til at krakke.

Under alle omstændigheder står systemet ikke til at redde. Lyndon LaRouche sagde i dag, at alle pengene på Wall Street er nominelle, spekulative værdipapirer, der ikke er en rød øre værd og må afskrives på samme måde, som Franklin Roosevelt gjorde det, da han tiltrådte sit embede i 1933. FDR kunne dernæst sætte folk i arbejde og atter give en befolkning, der nær var blevet drevet ud i døden, sin værdighed tilbage. Men situationen i dag er langt værre. LaRouche påpegede de tusinder, måske millioner, af midaldrende, aktive mennesker, der er drevet ud af arbejdsstyrken, og som kommer ud i stofmisbrug, hvilket er, hvad der ligger bag den seneste tids voldsomme stigning i selvmord. Han påpegede den italienske borger, hvis livsopsparing blev stjålet under en bank »bail-in« (dvs. ekspropriering af kundernes indeståender) i sidste uge – »et signal om, at gribbene er gået for vidt«.

Obama står i vejen for den eneste løsning på katastrofen, som er en gennemførelse af Glass-Steagall og en lukning af »for store til at lade gå ned«-bankerne og den efterfølgende opbygning af et nyt system. Det, der mangler, er lederskab – der kan fjerne Obama, gennemføre Glass-Steagall og skabe en genrejsning af USA's og verdens økonomi gennem store infrastrukturprojekter sammen med BRIKS og Kinas programmer under den Nye Silkevej. »Det kræver ikke et stort antal mennesker«, sagde LaRouche i dag, »men et antal store mennesker«.

Hvis den rablende fascist Donald Trump og Obama-marionetten Hillary Clinton blev fjernet som kandidater, så kunne de anstændige kandidater og andre fra både det demokratiske og republikanske parti komme sammen for at udføre jobbet, nu, før finanssystemet imploderer, og før Obama kan begynde sin krig med Rusland og Kina.

I dag meddelte (udenrigsminister) John Kerry, efter et møde med Sergei Lavrov og Vladimir Putin i Moskva, at USA ikke længere stillede krav om, at Assad skulle afsættes, før en koalition imod terroristerne kan lanceres og en

overgangsproces til en ny regering initieres i Syrien. Som Putin gentagne gange har sagt, så er det kun det syriske folk, der kan beslutte, hvem, der skal regere Syrien, på trods af Obamas kriminelle regimeskift-galskab. John Kerry har nu brudt med denne Obama-politik – men, så længe, Obama forbliver ved magten, så længe eskalerer faren for krig ganske enkelt, alt imens finanssystemets krak kommer stadig nærmere – måske i de næste par dage.

Flyveblad, 15. december 2015: Finanskrakket er i gang – Kun en revolution i den transatlantiske politik kan afvende katastrofen

Hele det transatlantiske, London/Wall Street finanssystem befinder sig på randen af det totale kollaps. Det kunne ske hver time, hver dag, det skal være. De kritiske tegn er allerede synlige for enhver, der ikke med overlæg gør sig blind. Fire italienske banker er gået fallit i den forgangne uge, med den Europæiske Unions påtvungne bail-in plyndring af indskydernes midler til følge. Puerto Rico har allerede meddelt, at landet sandsynligvis vil gå i betalingsstandsning den 1. januar over en forfalden gæld på 1 milliard dollar, toppen af en gældsboble til i alt 72 mia. dollar; og gribbefondene er helt eksponeret. Flere hedgefonde, der er eksponeret over for Puerto Ricos gæld og den bankerot, der har

fundet sted i sektoren for skiferolie og -gas, er allerede bukket under. Dette er blot et forvarsel om det transatlantiske systems umiddelbart forestående, totale sammenbrud.

Download (PDF, Unknown)

RADIO SCHILLER den 14. december 2015: Vil finanssystemet overleve en mulig rentestigning

Med formand Tom Gillesberg

https://soundcloud.com/si_dk/vil-finanssystemet-overleve-en-mulig-rentestigning-og-et-kollaps-af-gaeldsboblen-denne-uge

USA og Rusland må samarbejde

—

Kun et nyt paradigme kan forhindre fascisme!

Af Helga Zepp-LaRouche

Men hverken menneskehedens udslettelse i et termonukleart Armageddon eller ofringen af menneskeliv til fordel for finansoligarkiet er uundgåelig. At forhindre dette kræver først og fremmest, at man overvinder partianskuelser eller geopolitiske anskuelser og i stedet erstatter dem med et upartisk samarbejde på alle niveauer, for menneskehedens fælles interesser. Ikke overraskende viser EU, der siden Maastrichttraktaten har udviklet sig til et monstrum, i lyset af flygtningekrisen og det forestående finanskrak, sig ikke alene at være en mislykket model, men EU er yderligere nu ved at gennemføre en åbenlyst fascistisk politik. Det seneste fremstød i denne retning er Bruxelles meddelelse om, at den under alle omstændigheder allerede afskyelige EU-grænsekontrol-organisation Frontex skal erstattes af en ny organisation, der kontrolleres fra Bruxelles, og som deporterer flygtninge med egne grænsevagter, opererer i ikke-EU-medlemsstater og kan sætte sig ud over indvendinger fra medlemsstater. Dermed ville det i flygtningespørgsmålet komme til den største overførsel af suveræniteten til Bruxelles, siden euroens indførelse.

Download (PDF, Unknown)

IMF ændrer sine regler for at skade Rusland og BRIKS

9. december, 2015 – IMF ændrede i tirsdags reglerne for sin (giftige) udlånsvirksomhed, for derved eksplicit at give Ukraine mulighed for ikke at tilbagebetale sin gæld til Rusland. IMF forsøger stadigvæk at komme på en formulering af "rationalet" bag ændringen, men det står klart, at London og Washington har indgået aftale om, at konfrontation med Rusland må være enhver "vestlig" institutions opgave.

IMF udsendte følgende: "IMF's bestyrelse mødtes i dag og aftalte at ændre den nuværende politik vedrørende nul-tolerance over for restance til statens kreditorer. Vi vil i de kommende dage komme med de detaljerede forskrifter for rækkevidden af, og rationalet bag, denne ændring i vores politik".

For indeværende vil reglen alene berøre Ukraine og Rusland.

IMF har tidligere ændret sine regler for at gøre det muligt at udlåne "med restancer" til Ukraine – dvs., at låne dem yderligere penge, selvom de ikke tilbagebetalte gamle IMF-lån. Så begyndte IMF's direktør Christine Lagarde personligt at forhandle med Ukraines kreditorer om afskrivninger af landets udenlandsgæld – hvilket, som det er blevet bemærket, er det modsatte af IMF's politik i forhold til Grækenland, og sker til trods for, at Ukraines økonomi og valuta er kuldsejlet mere og mere. Ukraines præsident Poroshenko og premierministeren, Victoria Nulands "mand Yats", brugte åbenlyst de tidligere IMF låne-udbetalinger til at finansiere militærets krig med Rusland.

Erklæringen fra i dag betyder, at nationer, der misligholder gæld til andre lande, stadig vil kunne modtage nye IMF lån. Ukraine har – ikke tilfældigt – meddelt, at det ikke vil

honorere sin gæld til Rusland på \$3 mia., der forfalder ved årets udgang, (og har nægtet at forhandle en gældssanering, som Rusland ellers har tilbudt).

Wall Street Journal nævner, at Rusland har afvist Ukraines forslag om at 'nedbarbere' gældsforpligtelsen på de \$3 mia., alt imens Ukraine afviste et russisk modtilbud med krav om, at Ukraine skal betale hele beløbet, men over en længere tidsramme. "Dette dødvande truede IMF's 'redningspakke' (bailout) til Ukraine, samt andre vestlige hjælpeindsatser for fondens finansiering, inklusiv fra USA og Europa," siger *Wall Street Journal*.

"Jeg vil gerne minde om, at kun Rusland har tilbudt at hjælpe Ukraines økonomi og for to år siden gav et lån til landet, der ellers ikke havde adgang til eksterne markeder", sagde den russiske finansminister Anton Siluanov til journalister i tirsdags. IMF's beslutning om at ændre sin udlånspolitik er blevet truffet "for at skade Rusland, og for at legalisere Kievs muligheder for ikke at tilbagebetale sine lån", sagde han, idet han kaldte beslutningen "fremtvunget og forudindtaget".

En kommentator ved RT TV sagde, at dette træk repræsenterer en politik fra USA's side for at opdele verdens valutaer i to blokke: en dollar-blok (japanske yen, euro, pund), og for hvilken det gælder, at gæld SKAL tilbagebetales, og alle andre, udtrykkeligt refereret til som lån i BRIKS-valutaer, for hvilke det gælder, at tilbagebetaling er valgfrit.

Xi Jinping: Kinesisk-

afrikansk udviklingsamarbejde er en win-win strategi

4. december 2015 – I sin åbningstale til topmødet for statsoverhoveder ved det andet Kinesisk-Afrikanske Samarbejdsforum (FOCAC), der begyndte i dag i Johannesburg, Sydafrika, annoncerede præsident Xi Jinping en pakke til \$60 mia. i udviklingsbistand og hjælp til Afrika fordelt på ti forskellige programmer over de næste tre år. Topmødets tema, der på forhånd var godkendt af Xi og værten, den sydafrikanske præsident Jacob Zuma, er opbygning af relationer mellem Afrika og Kina, baseret på win-win samarbejde om fælles udvikling. Formålet for topmødet er at uddybe og opgradere det kinesisk-afrikanske samarbejde.

Xi sagde, at fremdriften i Afrikas rivende vækst "ikke er til at stoppe." I løbet af de sidste 15 år er omfanget af den afrikansk-kinesiske handel vokset fra \$10 mia. til \$220 mia., og de direkte kinesiske investeringer i Afrika er steget fra \$500 mio. til \$30 mia. Det kraftigt stigende antal infrastrukturprojekter, som Kina sammen med afrikanske lande engagerer sig i, eksemplificerer det win-win samarbejde, som Xi i dag baserede sine forslag på. Zuma bifaldt den hastigt voksende fællesindsats, der nu gør Kina til Afrikas største handelspartner.

Xi er på sin syvende rejse til Afrika. Dette er hans anden rejse, siden han blev statsoverhoved. Han annoncerede Kinas planer om et win-win samarbejde med Afrika på et tidspunkt, hvor afrikanske lande kæmper med et fald i indtægter, der skyldes prisfaldet på de råvarer, de sælger. På grund af manglende industrialisering er Afrika i stor udstrækning afhængig af at sælge disse råvarer.

Xis engagement i udvikling og samarbejde knuser de nedslående påstande fra de førhen industrialiserede, vestlige lande, som hævder, at den ubetydelige nedgang i den kinesiske økonomis vækstrate vil resultere i en katastrofe for Afrika.

Xis tilbud inkluderer rentefrie lån til \$5 mia., og \$35 mia. i lån med favorabel rentesats. Det kinesiske samarbejde vil omfatte programmer for landbrug, industrialisering, sundhed, kultur, sikkerhed og reduktion af fattigdom. Der er afsat nødhjælp til EUR143 mio. til lande, der har haft en dårlig høst som følge af El Niño. Xi sagde også, at ikke-rentebærende gæld for de fattigste lande vil blive eftergivet ved udgangen af året.

Xi sagde, at Kina vil give \$60mio. til den Afrikanske Union til finansiering af fredsbevarende operationer. Zimbabwes præsident Robert Mugabe, præsident for den Afrikanske Union, karakteriserede Xis forslagspakke som "historisk". Afrikanske iagttagere anser Kina for at være Afrikas mest velegnede partner, fordi de ved, at den kinesiske udviklingsstrategi ikke vil ofre Afrikas langsigtede interesser.

Foto: Reception i FOCAC. Xi Jinping til venstre i billedet, Jacob Zuma ses til højre.