

# Obama bakker åbent op om al-Qaedas overtagelse af Syrien

7. august, 2016 (Leder) – I den afgørende kamp om Aleppo er det blevet klart og offentligt, at Barack Obamas Hvide Hus, Forsvarsministerium og Udenrigsministerium – og FN ambassadør – støtter al-Qaedas overtagelse af Syriens største by, den sandsynlige »platform« for, at al-Qaeda kan ødelægge Syriens regering og overtage magten. Obama kræver, at den syriske regering ophæver belejringen af al-Nusra/al-Qaeda i det østlige Aleppo, en belejring, der ellers rent faktisk kunne gøre en ende på truslen om et »terrorist-Kalifat« i hele Mellemøsten. Obama og Hillary Clintons støtter i pressekorpsset kalder regeringens omringning af al-Qaeda for et angreb på Aleppos civilbefolkning og opmuntrer til, at jihadisterne bryder den. Obamas FN-ambassadør Samantha Power forlanger offentligt, at civile ikke benytter lejligheden til at forlade det østlige Aleppo, men i stedet bliver der med deres »forsvarere« al-Qaeda, mens USA kræver, at Rusland indvilger i at få belejringen ophævet.

Endnu engang er Obama og Hillarys »anti-terror«-politik et bedrageri med henblik på at skabe mere krig. Deres krigspolitik udklækker og støtter endog mere terrorisme, og bakker deres »allierede« Saudi-Arabien og »Londonistan« op i sponsorering af terrorisme. FN-ambassadør Power er en fuldstændig miskrediteret fortaler for Obamas ret til at vælte enhver regering i verden gennem krig, for hans egne »humanitære« formåls skyld.

Obamas egentlige »humanitære formål« er, at konfrontere og provokere Putins Rusland, og Kina, endog så langt som til global krig. Hele Obamas præsidentskab er et bedrageri. Og Hillary Clinton burde skamme sig over, hvad hun er blevet til, mens hun har arbejdet for Obama.

Obamas Hvide Hus tager dette seneste, totalt foragtelige og potentielt fatale skridt, mens det transatlantiske finansielle system endnu engang er ved at krakke. Tysklands enorme Deutsche Bank er blot den bank, der er nærmest bunden i en malstrøm af kollapsende spekulativ gæld, der trækker alle Europas og USA's store banker ned. Mere pengetrykning og »lempelser« fra centralbankernes side på dette tidspunkt, vil blot accelerere malstrømmen.

Det må ikke ske, at den eneste »løsning« er at lade Eurasiens magter idømmes global krig som straf for fortsat at vokse og øge deres produktivitet.

Vi behøver et nyt paradigme, gennem hvilket nationer samarbejder om vækst, arbejdsstyrkens produktivitet og videnskabeligt fremskridt for menneskeheden. Vi behøver et nyt præsidentskab i USA, der forpligter sig til et sådant paradigme.

Helga Zepp-LaRouches Schiller Institut, der har arrangeret snesevis af konferencer i hele verden for dette nye paradigme, udgiver i dag som brochure, sin »Nyt Paradigme«-konference fra 25. – 26. juni i Berlin.

Cirkulér omgående denne brochure i bredest muligt omfang. Som Zepp-LaRouche bemærkede søndag, i forbindelse med en førende, tysk økonoms opfordring til nød-nationalisering af Deutsche Bank: »Dette system går sin undergang i møde. Lad være med at føle selvtilfredshed over det. For tidsrammen er virkelig 'hvad øjeblik, det skal være'.«

*Foto: Før-og-efter-fotos af den Store Moske i Aleppo, Syrien. Foto venligst tilladt af Olympia Restaurants Før-og-Efter-fotoalbum. [facebook.com/Olympia.Rest/photos/?tab=album&album\_id=770898579647858]*

---

# Præsidentvalg i USA: Lyndon LaRouche: Hillary Clinton er Obamas medhjælper, for krige og for Wall Street

*Om noget, så var Hillary Clinton, mens hun var udenrigsminister, en af Obama-regeringens mest skingre fortalere for krig og atter krig. Clinton var og er en stærk promoter for behovet for at vælte Assad-regeringen i Damaskus, om nødvendigt gennem direkte amerikansk militær involvering. Kravet om at skabe en flyveforbudszone over syrisk territorium er en åbenlys overtrædelse af alle principper for national suverænitæt, og hendes rolle i lanceringen af den katastrofale krig i Libyen – som bredt er blevet beskrevet som den afgørende stemme i fremstødet for et hurtigt regimeskifte – har skabt en permanent krise, der har ført til massedød og socialt kaos, i hele det afrikanske kontinent.*

*Af Jeffrey Steinberg, EIR-efterretningsredaktør*

*6. august 2016 (Leder) – Inden for 24 timer efter Hillary Clintons formelle nominering som det Demokratiske Partis kandidat til præsidentvalget 2016, kom nogle af Clintons top-kampagnerådgivere for udenrigspolitik og national sikkerhed ud med angreb, der fik blodet til at fryse, mod Rusland og mod den syriske præsident Bashar Assad.*

Selv under det Demokratiske Konvent sagde den tidligere CIA-direktør og forsvarsminister under Barack Obama, Leon Panetta, den 27. juli til konventet, at nøglen til at afslutte krigen i

Syrien er at vælte præsident Assad. To dage senere sagde Jeremy Bash, Panettas tidligere stabschef i CIA og Pentagon, og nu toprådgiver til Clinton, til *Daily Telegraph*, at én af Clintons første handlinger som præsident ville blive at beordre en gennemgribende revision af politikken for Syrien, med målet om at afsætte Assad med magt som førsteprioritet. Han fremførte, at der ikke er nogen udsigt til at nedkæmpe Islamisk Stat og Jabhat al Nusra uden at fjerne Assad.

Michelle Flournoy, der anses for at være Hillary Clintons første valg til at blive forsvarsminister, sagde til *Defense One*, at en ny regering må skabe en »bombnings-forbudszone« i det nordlige Syrien, med det formål at skabe et sikkert opholdssted for oprørskæmpere og uddanne og bevæbne dem til at vælte Assad, såvel som også til at bekæmpe ISIS og Nusra. Hun talte for anvendelse af stand-off-våben (våben, der kan lanceres på en sådan afstand, at personellet kan nå at komme uden for rækkevidde af gengældelsesbeskydning, -red.) mod den syriske hær, som en yderligere eskalering af den krig for regimeskift, som præsident Obama og (daværende) udenrigsminister Clinton lancerede i begyndelsen af 2011.

Flournoy er adm. dir. for Center for Ny Amerikansk Sikkerhed (CNAS), en tænketank, der domineres af Clinton-rådgivere og andre neokonservative. Flournoys interview med *Defense One* var en kondenseret version af en rapport, som CNAS netop er fremkommet med fra en ISIS-undersøgelingsgruppe, der omfattede en samling krigshøge og neokonservative, som alle er en del af Clintons stald: Ryan Crocker, Kimberley Kagan, Joseph Lieberman, gen. David Petraeus, Kenneth Pollack, Andrew Tabler og Frances Townsend.

I maj måned udgav CNAS et udkast til global konfrontation, ved navn »Udvidelse af amerikansk magt: Strategier til at udvide amerikansk engagement i en kompetitiv verdensorden«, der som medforfatter havde Robert Kagan, en ledende neokonservativ, der udarbejdede en lignende undersøgelse for den tiltrædende Bush-Cheney-regering i 2000, kaldet »Projekt for et Nyt

Amerikansk Århundrede« (PNAC). Begge disse dokumenter promoverer en unipolær verden baseret på en permanent udvidelse af USA's militære magt. Rapporten fra PNAC blev i vid udstrækning set som den spilleplan, som Bush og Cheney brugte til at lancere et årti med permanente krige i Afghanistan og Irak, en spilleplan, der af Obama og Clinton blev udvidet ind i Syrien og Libyen.

I kølvandet på Panettas, Bush' og Flournoys heftige udfald konkluderede mange skarpe iagttagere, at angrebene på Syrien, Rusland og Kina, som var omfattet af de kombinerede bemærkninger, ikke kunne have været udstedt uden forudgående godkendelse af Hillary Clinton selv.

Kort sagt, så har Hillary Clinton erklæret sig selv som kandidaten for »kontinuitet« af den næsten to årtier lange permanente krigsførelse, der blev lanceret af Bush og Obama. Disse krige har nu ført verden til randen af atomkrig med Rusland og Kina, en krig, der vil udslette menneskeheden.

Om noget, så var Hillary Clinton, mens hun var udenrigsminister, en af Obamaregeringens mest skingre fortalere for krig og atter krig. Clinton var og er en stærk promoter for behovet for at vælte Assad-regeringen i Damaskus, om nødvendigt gennem direkte amerikansk militær involvering. Kravet om at skabe en flyveforbudszone over syrisk territorium er en åbenlys overtrædelse af alle principper for national suverænitet, og hendes rolle i lanceringen af den katastrofale krig i Libyen – som bredt er blevet beskrevet som den afgørende stemme i fremstødet for et hurtigt regimeskifte – har skabt en permanent krise, der har ført til massedød og socialt kaos, i hele det afrikanske kontinent.

Det var Hillary Clinton, sammen med Susan Rice og Samantha Power, der promoverede den voldelige afsættelse af Libyens leder Gaddafi, på trods af, at dette betød en alliance med hardcore al-Qaeda- og relaterede jihadist-terrorgrupper. Afsættelsen og mordet på Gaddafi forvandlede Libyen til et

ingenmandsland, der ikke kunne regeres, og som styredes af krigsførende kliker bestående af tungt bevæbnede militser, mange domineret af al-Qaeda i det islamiske Magreb (AQIM), og følgelig af Islamisk Stat.

De våben, der blev »befriet« fra libyske regeringsdepoter, har båret ved til krige i hele det afrikanske kontinent, og store mængder af disse »frigivne våben« blev smuglet ind i Syrien, gennem amerikanske, britiske, saudiarabiske, qatarske og tyrkiske kanaler, og ind i hænderne på jihadisterne, der har forvandlet Syrien og Irak til et Helvede på Jord. Millioner af flygtninge fra de nordafrikanske, syriske, irakiske og afghanske konflikter, der alle er blevet støttet af Obama og Clinton, er strømmet ind i Vesteuropa i desperat flugt for deres liv og har skabt den største flygtningekrise i hele efterkrigstidens periode.

Disse handlinger, der blev udført under Clintons fire år som udenrigsminister under Barack Obama, førte direkte til begivenhederne den 11. september 2012, hvor jihadister fra Ansar al-Sharia gennemførte et stærkt bevæbnet angreb på USA's diplomatiske kompleks i Benghazi, Libyen, der resulterede i mordet på USA's ambassadør Christopher Stevens og tre andre amerikanske embedsmænd.

Som det tydeligt fremgår af dokumenter i Udenrigsministeriet, så havde ambassadør Stevens og andre amerikanske diplomater i Libyen tippet om yderligere sikkerhedspersonel. Udenrigsministeriet havde sammensat en oversigt over angreb på udenlandske diplomater og endda det Internationale Røde Kors, men faktisk blev sikkerheden barberet ned i stedet for forøget. Alt imens Patrick Kennedy fra udenrigsministeriet angiveligt skulle have ansvaret for sikkerhedsarrangementer ved USA's diplomatiske mission i Libyen, så lå ansvaret til syvende og sidst hos udenrigsministeren – Hillary Clinton.

Mens angrebet i Benghazi 11. september 2012 var i gang, gjorde live-rapporter fra Benghazi og fra ambassaden i Tripoli det

klart, at angrebet på missionen var overlagt, velplanlagt, tungt bevæbnet og dødbringende. En vurdering fra forsvarrets efterretningsdepartement, som blev udgivet internt i regeringen få dage efter angrebet, forklarede i detaljer, hvordan angrebet var blevet udført.

Alligevel valgte præsident Obama og udenrigsminister Clinton, der var mere optaget af det forestående præsidentvalg i november 2012, at lyve for det amerikanske folk og hævdede, at angrebet i Benghazi var en »spontan« protest imod en lidet kendt video, der bagvaskede profeten Mohammed – en lodret løgn.

Denne løgn, der blev flikket sammen under en telefonsamtale sent om aftenen af præsident Obama og udenrigsminister Clinton, og som første gang blev udgivet til offentligheden i en pressemeddelelse i Clintons navn, blev opretholdt i dagevis. Om søndagen efter angrebet deltog den nationale sikkerhedsrådgiver Susan Rice i en stribe interview-shows for at faldbyde løgngen om »spontan protest løbet af sporet«, som først blev udstedt af Clinton.

Hillary Clinton prioriterede Barack Obamas genvalg frem for sandheden og frem for USA's vitale, nationale sikkerhedsinteresser. Hun beregnede, at, hvis hun havde fortalt sandheden og taget sin afsked fra regeringen, ville Obama have tabt genvalget, hun ville have fået skylden, og hendes egne fremtidige perspektiver for at blive det Demokratiske Partis nominelle kandidat til præsident ville være slut.

Lyndon LaRouche fremlagde sagen imod Hillary Clinton og Barack Obama ved en historisk pressekonference i National Press Club i Washington, D.C., i ugerne, der fulgte tragedien i Benghazi. LaRouche identificerede Clinton som en medhjælper, en håndlanger, til Obama, og som et ledende medlem af krigspartiet, der har givet USA og verden en evindeligt krig af den længste varighed, har skabt betingelserne for opkomsten af

Islamisk Stat, og har drevet USA's økonomi bankerot.

Ikke alene præsiderede Hillary Clinton over opkomsten af ISIS og den totale destabilisering af hele Mellemøsten/Nordafrika. Sammen med sin betroede allierede i Udenrigsministeriet, Victoria Nuland[1], skabte hun en total konfrontation med Rusland, som, på afgørende tidspunkter, gjorde hende til de rene nazisters sengekammerat.

Clinton forfremmede Nuland, hustru til den neokonservative ideolog Robert Kagan, til topposter i Udenrigsministeriet, fra talsmand for udenrigsminister Clinton og Udenrigsministeriet, til viceudenrigsminister for europæiske og eurasiske anliggender, en stilling, ud fra hvilken Nuland præsiderede over den »farvede revolution« og den voldelige afsættelse af Janukovitj-regeringen i Ukraine med hjælp fra organisationen af Bandera-nazister, der kæmpede side om side med Hitlers hære under Anden Verdenskrig og skabte flere generationer af erklærede neo-nazister, såsom det ukrainske Sektor Højre og Azov-brigaderne.

På et afgørende tidspunkt under afsættelsen af Janukovitj pralede Nuland over for et publikum ved National Press Club i Washington med, at USA havde brugt \$5 mia. på kampagnen for »demokrati« i Ukraine, siden Sovjetunionens opløsning.

Dette statskup i Kiev i 2013-14 markerede en dramatisk optrapning af Obamaregeringens allerede igangværende provokationer imod den russiske præsident Vladimir Putin, handlinger, der nu omfatter deployeringen af NATO-styrker på Ruslands grænser for første gang, siden nazi-invasionen af Sovjetunionen under Anden Verdenskrig.

Det var Obama og Clinton, der, som to alen ud af ét stykke, ødelagde relationen mellem USA og Rusland, og som nu har sat verden på en farlig kurs mod generel krig, og endda en potentiel atomar udslettelseskrig.

Dette er den sande arv af Obama-Clinton.



Hillary Clinton afskyr den kendsgerning, at dette er hendes sande generalieblad, og at Lyndon LaRouche frygtløst har gjort denne sandhed tilgængelig for det amerikanske folk, og for verden.

*Foto: Præsident Obama og daværende udenrigsminister Hillary Clinton på Andrews Luftbasen under en ceremoni til ære for det amerikanske regeringspersonel, der blev dræbt den 11. september 2012 i Benghazi, Libyen. (Foto: USA's Udenrigsministerium)*

---

[1] Fra 2013: Viceudenrigsminister for europæiske og eurasiske anliggender; 2011-2013: Talsmand for USA's Udenrigsministerium; 2005-2008: USA's ambassadør til NATO (-red.)

---

# Når mennesket konfronteres med et stort onde, findes der en evne i det, som kalder et endnu større gode frem

## – Leibniz

*Helga Zepp-LaRouche: »Jeg mener, at vi må mobilisere befolkningen til at blive aktiv; for tiden er ikke til at sidde på stakittet og blot kigge på, hvad disse såkaldte 'eliter' foretager sig ... befolkningerne har mistet tilliden til disse eliter, der repræsenterer dette globaliseringssystem. Ansvar for at finde løsninger på situationen må derfor gå over til dem, der har ideer om, hvordan vi kommer ud af situationen. Hvilket er, hvad vi gør i New York med Manhattan-projektet; det, som det Internationale Schiller Institut gør; men jeg mener, at vi har brug for jeres støtte – I, som ser dette lige nu. Jeg vil gerne appellere til jer om at blive aktive sammen med os og være med til at gennemføre disse løsninger.«*

Download (PDF, Unknown)

---

# Helga Zepp-LaRouche i Kina: »Den Nye Silkevej bliver til Verdens-Silkevejen«

*For at give håb om en bedre fremtid for hele menneskeheden, et håb, der er gået tabt i mange dele af verden, må G20-topmødet fremkomme med en vision, der kan tilbyde en løsning, en vej til at overvinde de nævnte kriser, og en etablering af et højere niveau af fornuft for at realisere menneskehedens fælles mål.*

*4. august, 2016 (Leder) – Følgende tale blev holdt af Helga Zepp-LaRouche, grundlægger og forkvinde for Schiller Instituttet, den 29. juli ved »Tænk 20 Forum« i Beijing. Forummet var arrangeret af tre kinesiske tænketanke: Instituttet for Verdensøkonomi og Verdenspolitik (IWEP) ved det Kinesiske Akademi for Samfundsvidenskaber (CASS), Shanghai Instituttet for Internationale Studier (SIIS) og Chongyang Instituttet for Finansielle Studier ved Kinas Renmin Universitet (RCDY), med deltagelse af 500 eksperter fra tænketanke og politikere og repræsentanter for internationale organisationer fra 25 lande, med det formål at formulere forslag til statsoverhoveder og regeringsledere i G20-medlemslandene. Fr. Zepp-LaRouche talte på det første panel under den to dage lange konference, dedikeret til »Global Ledelse: Systemforbedring og opbygning af Kapacitet«.*

Eftersom G20 repræsenterer den mest magtfulde kombination af industrilande og fremvoksende lande på planeten, er der i øjeblikket ingen anden organisation, der kan adressere de eksistentielle udfordringer, som civilisationen står overfor, og i tide gennemføre løsninger på disse. De fleste landes befolkninger har den meget reelle oplevelse af at være opslugt af frygtindgydende kriser – en international terroristtrussel, der er ude af kontrol, en folkevandring af millioner af mennesker, der prøver på at undslippe krig, sult og død; den resulterende flygtningekrise, der ryster EU i sit fundament; fremgang for anti-etablissement-partier i mange lande: Brexit, som et advarselsskud for den potentielle disintegration af EU;

det voksende gab mellem de rige og de stadig flere lag af samfundet, der har mistet deres velfortjente status som middelklasse, eller som lever i fattigdom; oplevelsen af virkningerne af »uortodokse monetære foranstaltninger« på livsopsparinger og forventninger til fremtiden; grænserne for samfundets acceptabilitet af bailout og bail-in; samt den voksende frygt for, at verden nu er gået ind i en ny kold krig og en atomoprustnings-spiral. Kort sagt, et voksende tab af tillid til etablissementet, i det mindste i den transatlantiske sektor.

Hvis det forestående G20-topmøde afviser at anerkende denne situation; hvis man forsøger at skjule den fremherskende politiks fiasko, i særdeleshed siden 2008, bag retorikken i den offentlige propaganda; samt hvis man ikke bruger det forestående topmøde som en anledning til at fremlægge reelle løsninger på disse kriser, vil det ikke få nogen indvirkning i en virtuel reality, men det vil derimod få en indvirkning på det reelle historiske forløb og milliarder af menneskers liv og lykke.

Umiddelbare løsninger er forhånden, men de kræver, at de ledende institutioner er villige til at revidere den nuværende politiks aksiomer og vende tilbage til en politik, der ikke alene har vist sig at være effektive i tidligere situationer, men som også repræsenterer et nyt paradigme, der kan udgøre grundlaget for den menneskelige art i de næste hundrede år, og længere.

For at give håb om en bedre fremtid for hele menneskeheden, et håb, der er gået tabt i mange dele af verden, må G20-topmødet fremkomme med en vision, der kan tilbyde en løsning, en vej til at overvinde de nævnte kriser, og en etablering af et højere niveau af fornuft for at realisere menneskehedens fælles mål.

1. Det eneste »praktiske« udtryk for denne vision – og dette er ikke en selvmodsigtelse – perspektivet for den Nye Silkevej, som den kinesiske regering nu i tre år har fremlagt og ført ud i livet. Foreløbig deltager over 70 lande i forskellige aspekter af dette program, samt i programmets infrastruktur- og udviklingsprojekter. Det, som Kina kalder for et »win-win« -

samarbejde om sådanne fællesprojekter er ikke alene den eneste effektive måde, på hvilken geopolitiske konfrontationer kan overvindes, der har været roden til to verdenskrige i det 20. århundrede, og ligeledes den underliggende fare for en tredje global krig i dag, som, givet eksistensen af kernevåben, ville blive en tilintetgørelseskrig. »Win-win«-perspektivet er også i overensstemmelse med principperne for den Westfalske Fred, ifølge hvilken enhver succesfuld fredsorden må baseres på »den anden parts interesse«. Konceptet for den Nye Silkevej må derfor udstrækkes til alle verdens områder, som en »Verdens-Silkevej«, som et konkret tilbud om at overvinde underudvikling. Hvis G20-medlemmerne ville afgive et sådant løfte, med en højtidelig forpligtelse til at overvinde sult og fattigdom og tilvejebringe rent vand til alle inden for få år, hvilket rent teknologisk kan gennemføres – så ville det skabe en revolution af håb og optimisme i verden.

2. For at eliminere både årsagerne til massemigrationen fra Sydvestasien og Afrika og grobunden for rekruttering af terrorister, må der i begge disse områder iværksættes en omfattende industriel udvikling, som ikke blot genopbygger de krigshærgede områder, men som også fremlægger en integreret plan for infrastruktur, industri, landbrug og uddannelse, for at transformere disse dele af verden til at blive områder med høj produktivitet af arbejdskraft og fremstillingskapaciteter. Generelt må Verdens-Silkevejens projekter defineres således, at de får optimal indvirkning på befolkningens kognitive evner i de respektive lande, for derved at muliggøre den bedst mulige forøgelse af verdensøkonomiens produktivitet. Fokus må derfor ikke alene ligge på innovation, men på kvalitative gennembrud i forståelsen af kvalitative, nye fysiske principper i vort univers. Eksempler herpå er forcerede programmer for udvikling af termionuklear fusionskraft, der vil tilvejebringe forsyningssikkerhed for energi og råmaterialesikkerhed for menneskeheden, såvel som også udvikling af nye vandressourcer gennem den fredelige udnyttelse af kernekraft til afsaltning af store mængder havvand, ionisering af fugtighed i atmosfæren og andre former for innovativ teknologi. Internationalt samarbejde

om rummet, mht. forskning, rumfart og kolonisering, definerer vejen for de kommende, nødvendige gennembrud inden for videnskab og teknologi. Det repræsenterer også en fremtidsorienterede platform for en fredsorden for det 21. århundrede. Og vigtigst af alt, så markerer det transformationen af den menneskelige art hen imod en større bevidsthed om dets egen identitet som den eneste, hidtil kendte, kreative art i universet.

3. Et ukontrolleret kollaps af den transatlantiske sektors finansielle system ville true med at kaste store dele af verden ud i kaos, med uforudsigelige konsekvenser. Den såkaldte »værktøjskasse« med finansielle instrumenter, som man besluttede at bruge efter krisen i 2008 fremfor at gennemføre reelle reformer, er nu opbrugt. De efterfølgende »uortodokse monetære instrumenter,« såsom kvantitativ lempelse ('pengetrykning'), negative rentesatser, og 'helikopterpenge', har for en stor dels vedkommende produceret det modsatte af de ønskede virkninger. Den kendsgerning, at genindførelsen af Franklin D. Roosevelts Glass/Steagall-bankopdelingslov er blevet vedtaget i både det Demokratiske og Republikanske partis valgplatform i USA, samt den kendsgerning, at der er en voksende diskussion i flere europæiske lande om at reducere de fremtidige risici i det finansielle system ved at indføre Glass/Steagall-kriterier også i Europa, skaber en meget favorabel forudsætning for at indgå aftale om en global Glass/Steagall-lovgivning ved det kommende G20-topmøde. Hvis G20-topmødet sætter Verdens-Silkevejen på dagsordenen, ville den kinesiske drøm blive til en verdensdrøm.



# **POLITISK ORIENTERING den 4. august 2016: Bankkriser kan ikke længere forhindres med QE. Rand Corporation foreslår krig mod Kina nu.**

---

## **Obama lancerer endnu en kriminell krig mod Libyen**

*3. august 2016 (Leder)* – Et hemmeligt kort blev i denne uge forelagt Det Hvide Hus og dernæst lækket til NBC, som udlagde det på deres webside, og som viste »fremskridtet« i Obamas krig mod ISIS i løbet af de seneste to år. ISIS og hermed tilknyttede organisationer er, viser kortet, nu aktive i 18 lande, hvor det i 2015 drejede sig om 13 lande og kun 7 i 2014. Mon det overbeviste kriger-præsidenten om, at hans politik var en fiasko, og at han burde acceptere præsident Putins gentagne forslag om at bringe verdens nationer sammen for at bekæmpe den fælles terroristfjende?

Selvfølgelig ikke. I stedet lancerede Obama endnu en krig mod Libyen og bombede byen Sirte (Gaddafis hjemby) i mandags og tirsdags, angiveligt for at forhindre ISIS i at konsolidere en base i landet. Obama gik på Tv tirsdag for at sige, at krigen var »afgørende« for at hjælpe Libyens nationale

samlingsregering med at bekæmpe ISIS, og at krigen ville fortsætte så længe, det måtte være nødvendigt.

Der er, som sædvanligt, flere løgne involveret. Den nationale samlingsregering er ikke en national samlingsregering, men en pseudo-regering, der er håndplukket i FN og udskibet til Tripoli med den opgave at »invitere« USA til at påbegynde en bombekampagne ved hjælp af amerikanske specialstyrker på jorden som spejdere. Obama hverken bad om eller fik beføjelse fra FN, og heller ikke fra USA's Kongres, til at lancere endnu en krig og beviste endnu engang ægtheden af sine akkreditiver som krigsforbryder. Og så er der lige det, at ISIS selvfølgelig slet ikke ville have været der, hvis Obama ikke havde bombet landet tilbage til stenalderen og dræbt dets anti-terrorist-leder i 2011, som, sammen med G.W. Bush' lignende behandling af Irak i 2003, udgør vor tids største krigsforbrydelser, som er ansvarlige for det internationale terroristkaos og den uhyrlige flygtningekrise i hele Sydvestasien og Europa.

Førende bankierer, inklusive investeringsbankierer, advarer nu om, at intet, undtagen en omgående afslutning af »universalbankmodellen« (dvs., en genindførelse af, eller en indledende, Glass/Steagall-bankopdeling i hele det transatlantiske område) vil standse det fremstormende kollaps af det vestlige banksystem. En tidligere højtplaceret direktør i Goldman Sachs og embedsmand i EU-kommissionen, Paul Goldschmidt, skrev, at enhver fremgangsmåde, med undtagelse af en »afskaffelse af universalbankpraksissen«, ville forårsage ikke alene en sammenbrud af det finansielle system, men også en »destabilisering af fundamentet for europæisk demokrati« – dvs., ville føre til fascisme.

Helga Zepp-LaRouche, der netop er hjemvendt fra Kina, bemærkede i dag, at kineserne faktisk er klar over faren for et kollaps af det vestlige finanssystem og de følgevirkninger, det ville få for Vesten og for verden. Det er dette finansielle sammenbrud, der er drivkraften bag Obamas gale



fremstød for en militær konfrontation med Rusland og Kina, og som sætter verden på randen af en atomkrig.

Løsningen er klar og kan omgående iværksættes. Det kan ikke overdrives, hvor meget det haster med omgående at gennemføre Glass-Steagall i USA og Europa. LaRouche-bevægelsen, der har hovedansvaret for at få Glass/Steagall-lovforslagene fremstillet og støttet i den amerikanske Kongres, samt indføjet i begge partiers valgplatform ved de nylige partikonventer, har mobiliseret sin bevægelse til at inspirere den amerikanske befolkning til, på dette historiske tidspunkt for transformation, at række ud efter stjernerne, at genetablere den engang almene tiltro til videnskab, fremskridt og nationens opbygning, og til at bringe Wall Street-herrerne og krigsherrerne, med samt disses redskab, Obama, til fald, før det er for sent.

Kina, Rusland og næsten hele verden er parat til at handle sammen med et rekonstrueret USA for at opnå en ny tingenes tilstand, på vegne af hele menneskeheden.

---

## **Indsats for at redde eurobanker slår fejl – det er Herrhausen, eller fallit**

*2. august 2016 (Leder)* – Den europæiske Banktilsynsmyndighed (EBM) offentliggjorde de seneste stresstests af eurobankerne kl. 22 sidste fredag aften med håbet om at kunne dulme nerverne hen over weekenden, men indsatsen slog fejl, med bankaktier, der styrteddykkede mandag. Den enorme skala af hele

banksystemets bankerot bliver i stigende grad åbenlys for alle, undtagen de blinde. Stresstestene var i realiteten et totalt svindelnummer – EBM droppede sin tidligere politik med at uddele karakterer for 'bestået' eller 'dumpet' og tog ikke engang storbankernes astronomiske eksponering til derivater i betragtning. Det stod ikke desto mindre klart, at der næppe er én eneste bank, der klarer sig.

Den kendsgerning, at én (og kun én) bank fik en bail-out – Italiens Banca Monte dei Paschi (verdens ældste bank) – demonstrerer simpelthen, at »bail-in«-reglerne i USA og Europa, der skulle forestille at redde skatteborgerne fra flere bail-outs (ved at stjæle bankindskydernes penge), som i USA's Dodd/Frank-lov, lige fra begyndelsen har været et svindelnummer.

Den mest hysteriske respons kom fra Deutsche Banks risikochef, Stuart Lewis, der af *Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung* blev spurgt om IMF's rapport, der sagde, at Deutsche Bank var »verdens farligste bank«. Lewis svarede: »Nej, slet ikke. Kun én rapport fra IMF har på det seneste forkludret situationen. Vi er ikke farlige. Vi er meget relevante. Deutsche Bank er indvævet i hele den finansielle sektor. Vi er en af de største universalbanker i verden. Men for nu at gøre det helt klart: Vores hus er stabilt. Regnskabet er sundt.«

Så meget for sandheden i dagens Kasino Mondial.

Den eneste måde ud af det her er den måde, som Lyndon og Helga LaRouche har foreslået (se: **»Red Deutsche Bank, for verdensfredens skyld«**)

at genkapitalisere Deutsche Bank samtidig med, at man genindfører den politik, der blev ført af Alfred Herrhausen, Deutsche Banks chef, der i 1989 blev myrdet blot to uger efter Berlinmurens fald, og genindføre hans politik med samarbejde med den daværende Sovjetblok og udviklingslandene om global udvikling.

Galninge i Obamas lejr promoverer krig med Kina og Rusland. En rapport, der blev offentliggjort den 28. juli af RAND Corporation, der finansieres af den amerikanske regering og hæren, bærer titlen, »Krig med Kina – at tænke det utænkelige«, fremkommer med det argument, at, hvis der blev en krig mellem USA og Kina: »De kinesiske militære tab ville i betydelig grad overstige de amerikanske under en krig. Den uophørlige forbedring af kinesiske anti-adgangskapaciteter kunne imidlertid øge de amerikanske tab og, i takt med, at USA's angrebskapacitet udtømmes, reducere kinesiske tab.« Hellere før end senere, er det budskab, der ikke siges. Med en demonstration af det, som LaRouche har beskrevet som et utroligt niveau af »luftkasteller«, siger rapporten, at Rusland »ikke ville have nogen betydningsfuld indflydelse på kampene« og råder os til at »sove trygt«, eftersom forfatterne »anser en atomkrig for at være højst usandsynlig«. Man fremstiller flere scenarier, som kunne udløse en sådan eksistentiel trussel mod menneskeheden, og hvor skylden for en sådan trussel totalt lægges på Kinas »aggression« eller »fejlbedømmelse« af USA's villighed til at gå i krig over omstridte øer i det Østkinesiske Hav eller det Sydkinesiske Hav.

Amerikas »kogepunkt« er nu nået, som det demonstreres af begge de politiske partiers kaotiske sammenbrud. På et sådant historisk tidspunkt kan mennesker blive drevet til vanvid; til fascistiske former for racisme og krigshysteri. Men det er ligeledes under sådanne historiske tidspunkter, at mennesker bliver i stand til at række indad i sig selv for dér at finde den egenskab i deres intellekt, eller sind, som definerer deres sande natur som skabende væsener, der kan skabe fremtiden til gavn for hele menneskeheden.

Digteren Percy Shelley sagde om sådanne tider: »Under sådanne perioder finder der en akkumulering af evnen til at kommunikere og modtage intense og passionerede ideer, med hensyn til menneske og natur (univers), sted«, når mennesker,

der træffer dette valg, kan række ind i »denne evne, der har sæde på deres egen sjæls trone«.

Det er det valg, vi alle sammen har.

*Foto: Politiet forbereder sig på en demonstration uden for den Europæiske Centralbank. 31. maj, 2013.*

---

# **USA, professor Cohen: Det drejer sig ikke om Trump – Det drejer sig om 3. Verdenskrig!**

*31. juli 2016* – Den Ruslandskyndige professor ved New Yorks Universitet, Stephen Cohen, fik et kort interview på CNN i lørdags. Alt imens interviewereren gjorde som forventet og forsøgte at latterliggøre Cohen for at forsvare Putin og antydede, at han måtte være Trump-tilhænger, advarede Cohen strengt om, at spørgsmålet ikke drejede sig om Trump, men om Tredje Verdenskrig, hvis Vesten fortsætter på sin nuværende kurs.

»Vladimir Putin ønsker at afslutte den 'Nye Kolde Krig' – og det gør jeg også«, sagde Cohen. »Vi nærmer os en 'Cubakrise' med en atomar konfrontation med Rusland, både langs Ruslands grænser og muligvis også over Syrien. Der er absolut ingen diskussion, ingen debat, om dette i de amerikanske medier – inklusive, med forlov, på CNN.«

CNN forsøgte at dreje interviewet hen på et spørgsmål om, at Trump skulle være en stråmand for Putin. Cohen svarede, at han

(Cohen) ikke har nogen forbindelse til hverken Trumps eller Clintons kampagne, men at, da Trump sagde, at han ønsker at samarbejde med Rusland på nogle områder, hvilket vi plejer at kalde 'detente', »så kommer medierne, højst forbløffende, ud og er fulde af noget, man kun kan kalde neo-McCarthy-anklager om, at han er en russisk agent, at han er en 'kandidat fra Manchuriet' (hjernevasket), og at han er Putins klient. Så den virkelige fare er det, der bliver gjort imod vores egen politiske proces. Dette er et tidspunkt – i et præsidentvalgår – hvor der burde være en debat«.

Med hensyn til, at Trump har sat spørgsmålstejn ved NATO's rolle, sagde Cohen: »Er NATO en organisation, der søger en mission? For eksempel, så har NATO's mission i løbet af de seneste 20 år været den at udvides stadig nærmere til Ruslands grænser. Folk spørger nu, hvorfor bekæmper NATO ikke international terrorisme? Det er et legitimt spørgsmål – men det bliver ikke debatteret i USA. Vi stiller ikke dette spørgsmål. Vi siger bare, åh, Trump ønsker at opgive NATO.«

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mCMyHJJrdDw>

---

## **Det Britiske Imperium står for fald – Obama skal væk først**

*31. juli 2016 (Leder)* – Det Britiske Imperiums oligarker, både dem i London og dem i Washington og på Wall Street, er i

panik. Obama begynder at smuldre, alt imens forsøget på at skabe et præsidentvalg i USA ud af lort har skabt en sådan stank, at hele partisystemet er ved at falde fra hinanden. På den anden side har Vladimir Putin bevist, at han er en sand leder for mennesker og nationer, og som er i stand til at handle på en måde, som den store tyske digter Friedrich Schiller, der engang var kendt i Amerika som »Frihedens Poet«, identificerede som, at vi på én og samme tid må være patrioter for vores nation og verdensborgere.

Obama, så vel som Bush, Cheney og Tony Blair, er blevet afsløret som krigsforbrydere og kolleger til det britisk/saudiske terrorapparat, gennem en kombination af Chilcot-kommissionens rapport i Storbritannien og offentliggørelsen af det hidtil hemmeligholdte, 28-sider lange kapitel af den Fælles Kongres-efterretningsrapport om terrorangrebet i USA den 11. september [2001].

I dag sagde Lyndon LaRouche, at »vindesiden allerede er blevet afgjort – ikke fuldstændigt, men i det væsentlige – under Putins ledelse. Putin har udført den opgave, han havde forpligtet sig til at udføre, og nu, hvor et voksende antal andre personer, især i Tyskland, støtter ham, er sejren bogstavelig talt for hånden. Putin har fortjent den«.

Ikke sådan, at Obama-klonerne ikke gør deres bedste for at starte en atomkrig. Tidligere forsvarsminister i Obamas regering og chef for CIA, Leon Panetta, sagde i sidste uge til det Demokratiske Konvent, at Putin var en »diktator«, som Hillary Clinton vil vide at håndtere. Panettas stabschef i både CIA og DOD, Jeremy Bash, en toprådgiver til Hillary Clinton, gik direkte til selveste 'Babylons Hore', i et eksklusivt interview med Londonavisen *The Telegraph*, hvor han grundlæggende set erklærede krig mod det i stigende grad succesfulde samarbejde mellem Ruslands præsident Putin, den russiske udenrigsminister Sergei Lavrov og USA's udenrigsminister John Kerry om at besejre terrorisme i Syrien og på globalt plan, og således yderligere demonstrerer den

totale splittelse i Obamaregeringen. Bash sagde, at Clinton vil arbejde for at tvinge præsident Bashar al-Assad »ud derfra«, og at en præsident Hillary Clinton som første punkt på sin dagsorden ville gennemføre en total »revidering af politikken over for Syrien«. Planen er her at sabotere Kerry-Lavrov-initiativet *nu og her*, ikke engang i fremtiden i løbet af den næste regering, ligesom også den nuværende forsvarsminister Ashton Carter i sidste uge modarbejdede Kerrys indsats sammen med Lavrov og med bestemthed erklærede, at en fjernelse af Assad er hans (Carters) første prioritet, og at han først derefter vil bekymre sig om ISIS, al-Nusra eller andre terroristgrupper.

Men dette er alt sammen et svindelnummer, understregede LaRouche i dag. Hillary Clinton-krigsholdet har slet ikke den nødvendige kapacitet til at gøre det – som kun lige undlader at indlede Tredje Verdenskrig – som de truer med at gøre. Deres plan er simpelt hen at ødelægge alle skridt henimod et nederlag for de saudiskkontrollerede terrorister, samtidig med, at de desperat prøver at ødelægge det nye paradigme, centreret omkring det kinesisk-russiske partnerskab og den Nye Silkevejsproces med global udvikling. Det transatlantiske banksystem, der blev underkastet falske »stresstests« kl. 22 om aftenen sidste fredag, for at gøre det muligt hen over weekenden at sammenklistre en facade, bestående af en ny bailout, står over for en eksplosion mandag morgen, eller snarest derefter. Imperiet stoltserer rundt i den bare skjorte.

De er ved at gå bankerot. I Kina i den forgangne weekend kopsponsorerede flere førende institutioner, som forberedelse til G20 stats- og regeringschef-topmødet, der skal afholdes den 4. – 5. september i Kina, et T20 (Think-20) Forum, med 500 akademiske eksperter fra 25 lande, omkring temaet om »at opbygge nye, globale relationer – nye dynamikker, ny vitalitet og nye udsigter«. Blandt talerne var Helga Zepp-LaRouche, der talte om det presserende nødvendige i at »Opbygge nye, globale relationer« på basis af den Nye Silkevejs perspektiv om en

»win-win«-udvikling for alle nationer, som det nødvendige grundlag for at afslutte den fremstormende trussel om global krig og i stedet implementere en ny æra med ægte fred og udvikling internationalt.

Lyndon LaRouche fremførte i dag med bestemthed, at vi må inspirere folk til at forstå deres eget intellekts kapacitet til at skabe en vision om fremtiden, til at se, hvor betydningsfulde deres liv er for menneskeheden mht. at opnå det, som vi ellers måske ikke vil opnå. »Vi er nu meget tæt på at vinde krigen imod det Britiske Imperium«, sagde han.

Han bemærkede også, at folk må opgive denne dødbringende mentalitet med at »være praktisk« (pragmatisk) i dette øjeblik, hvor civilisationen er i krise. LaRouche vil ikke stille op som præsidentkandidat, men han vil gøre alt, hvad der står i hans magt, for i den kommende periode at udforme en regeringspolitik.

*Foto: »POTUS møder Rembrandt. Præsidenten kigger på 'Rembrandts selvportræt som apostlen Paulus' under en rundvisning i Æresgalleriet på Rijksmusæet i Amsterdam, Holland.« 24. marts, 2014 (Officielt foto fra Det Hvide Hus af Pete Souza)*

*(POTUS = Præsident Of The United States)*

---

# **Vi kan vinde en afgørende**



**sejr  
– hvis vi er villige til at  
tænke,  
at menneskehedens fremtid,  
netop nu,  
hviler på vore skuldre ...**

*Fra webcastet 'Fireside Chat' 28. juli 2016, med EIR's Jeffrey Steinberg:*

Jeg tror, det er vigtigt at sætte rammerne for aftenens diskussion, for vi befinder os i et absolut afgørende øjeblik, hvor den strategiske situations farlighed matches og endda potentielt overgås af de enestående muligheder; muligheder, der meget vel kun vil forekomme én gang i livet for alle, der i aften er med i dette telefonmøde; det er derfor meget vigtigt at tænke på dette øjeblik på den rette måde.

Jeg vil som indledning blot sætte et afgørende fokus på, hvor vi står lige nu, og dernæst vil jeg kort kommentere nogle ting, som har ligget hr. LaRouche på sinde under diskussioner, som flere af os har haft med ham i løbet af de seneste 48 timer.

I morgen, den 29. juli, er en særdeles afgørende dag for Europa, fordi den Europæiske Centralbank her uddeler karakterbøger til de største, europæiske banker, disse såkaldte stresstests for, om disse banker overhovedet er i stand til at modstå en ny finanskriser. Og det er allerede en selvfølge, at bogstavelig talt alle banker i Italien, med verdens ældste, kontinuerlige finansinstitution, Monte dei Paschi di Siena, i spidsen, vil dumpe til denne test. På en langt vigtigere skala er den største, tyske bank, Deutsche

Bank, for nylig af IMF blevet beskrevet som verdens mest risikofyldte bank: Den sidder på en eksponering til derivater for 55 billioner euro, og bogstavelig talt alle andre, betydningsfulde banker i Europa, USA, Japan og selv et par banker i Kina, er derivatmodparter, der har en stor eksponering, og Deutsche Bank er endnu en kandidat, ligesom Monte dei Paschi i Italien, der kunne nedsmelte, hvornår det skal være. Begge disse banker vil næsten med sikkerhed dumpe til denne stresstest, og alene dette kunne udløse en panik. Så vi befinder os ved et virkeligt, afgørende punkt, og det faktum, at hele dette transatlantiske finanssystem er i en langt dårligere forfatning end det var tilfældet i september, 2008, hvor vi havde en nedsmeltning; dette er hovedårsagen til, at visse desperate grupperinger i det vestlige finansoligarki er på udkig efter muligheder for at skifte emne, gennem at lancere krigsprovokationer, primært imod Rusland, og sekundært også imod Kina.

Fakta er, at alle større krige, der på en betydelig skala er brudt ud, og i særdeleshed de to verdenskrige i det 20. århundrede, har altid været en konsekvens af desperationen over en finanskriser, i hvilken visse oligarkiske kræfters magt, i nyere historisk tid, og her, briternes i særdeleshed, har været truet; og de vil hellere vælte hele skakbrættet, eller løbe irrationelle risici, end de vil miste deres magt.

Situationen i dag er den, at, et hvilket som helst udbrud af krig med Rusland næsten med sikkerhed ville føre til atomkrig, og det ville ikke blive en 'begrænset' krig, men en fuldt optrappet krig.

Så farerne er, som jeg siger, alvorlige. Samtidig befinder det Britiske Imperium, hovedkilden til alle de større problemer, især i det transatlantiske område, sig i en tilstand af opløsning. Vi havde Brexit-valget, der fandt sted midt i juni, og som har rystet hele EU's fundament, som nu begynder at falde fra hinanden.

For kun 2 uger side, den 6. juli, havde vi den længe ventede udgivelse af Chilcot-kommissionens rapport, som var en enorm undersøgelse af briternes rolle i lanceringen af den katastrofale invasion af Irak og den voldelige afsættelse af Saddam Hussein, i 2003. Hvis dette ikke var sket, ville man aldrig have fået en Islamisk Stat; man ville ikke have fået denne spredning af al-Qaeda, og man ville ikke have fået dette mønster med global terrorisme, som vi, næsten dagligt, ser i alle dele af verden i dag.

Så denne rapport, der bestod af 12 bind (!), med 6000 sider, var en stikkende anklage, ja, var faktisk en anklage om krigsforbrydelser, imod Tony Blair og, gennem forlængelse, imod George W. Bush og Dick Cheney.

Samtidig scorede LaRouche-bevægelsen en ekstraordinær sejr, da præsident Obama, imod enhver plan, og ganske bestemt imod de grupperinger af det Britiske Imperiums interesser, de grupperinger, der ejer Obama, blev tvunget til, med kun lidt redigering, at frigive de 28 sider af den oprindelige Fælles Kongresundersøgelses-rapport om begivenhederne den 11. september, 2001.

Jeg er sikker på, at alle, der er med i dette telefonmøde, ved, at dette har været et afgørende spørgsmål, et afgørende punkt for intervention fra hr. LaRouches og vores bevægelses side, lige fra selv før angrebene den 11. september. For, i januar måned, 2001, advarede hr. LaRouche, under en høring for USA's Senat i forbindelse med Senatets afprøvning og godkendelse af John Ashcrofts udnævnelse til justitsminister, om, at en Bushregering ville lede efter den førstgivne mulighed for at iscenesætte en 'Rigsdagsbrands-hændelse', for at satse på et diktatur. På dagen for 11. september var LaRouche midt i et live interview på radioen i Utah, og han sagde lige på stedet, at dette ikke kunne finde sted uden, at der var tale om et element af et 'inside job'.

Frigivelsen af disse 28 sider, især blot ni dage efter

udgivelsen af Chilcot-kommissionens rapport, er et ødelæggende et-to-stød i ansigtet på det Britiske Imperium. Alene af den grund, at, når man ser den 28 sider lange rapport, og man indser, at det var i *december 2002*, at præsident Bush erklærede disse sider for hemmelige og blokerede for deres offentliggørelse, så indser man, at dette havde *alt* at gøre med optakten til invasionen af Irak.

Hvis dette kapitel, som viste, at det var *saudierne*, og ikke Saddam Hussein, der stod bag angrebene 11. september, var blevet offentliggjort på dette tidspunkt, ville det have været bogstavelig talt umuligt for selv Bush og Cheney at slippe godt fra denne Irakkrig, og historien ville være gået i en helt anden retning. Det var, hvad hr. LaRouche kalder et *punctum saliens*: Et afgørende punkt, en korsvej i historien.

Med andre ord, disse og andre udviklinger, som jeg ikke skal komme nærmere ind på her, men som kan dukke op under vores diskussion, betyder, at menneskehedens fjende, de imperiekræfter, der nu er centreret omkring det Britiske Imperium, som kontrollerer Saudi-Arabien, som kontrollerer Obama, er helt ude i tovene. De kan besejres. De befinder sig faktisk i en undergangs-proces. Spørgsmålet er, vil der komme en *reguleret* magtoverdragelse, og en alliance i det transatlantiske område til fordel for den politik, der allerede er blevet vedtaget af de større nationer i Eurasien, centreret omkring Kinas politik med *Ét bælte, én vej*, og centreret omkring Ruslands interesse i at organisere en global koalition, med FDR-Stalin/USA-Sovjetunionens koalition til at besejre Hitler og nazisterne under Anden Verdenskrig, som model, med det formål at besejre terrorismens svøbe. Der er nok af muligheder, men det kræver ikke blot en politisk mobilisering, men også en tilgang i vores forestillingsevne, så vi forstår, at vi, som menneskelige væsener, kan forme vores egen, fremtidige skæbne; snarere end at tænke på os selv som ofre, så må vi tænke på en helt anden måde. Og hr. LaRouche har i løbet af de seneste dage *meget kraftigt*

understreget dette princip om menneskets skabende evne, dets kreativitet. Det, der er fuldstændigt unikt for mennesket, er, at det har evnen til at gøre opdagelser, til at skabe ting, der hidtil ikke har været kendt, ikke har været opdaget, og ikke har været udtænkt. Og vi befinder os ved et af disse øjeblikke, hvor det er *afgørende*, at vi opgiver de frygtelige kernefundamenter i denne nuværende, degenererede kultur og endnu engang tænker på at frembringe ægte, videnskabelige genier, på at genoplive vores rumprogram, der altid har været avantgarden af menneskets store opdagelser, i hvert fald i det 20. århundrede.

Vi havde tidligere på dagen en diskussion om en af de store forskere, der var motoren i USA's rumprogram fra sidst i 40'erne og under 50'erne og 60'erne og videre frem, dr. Krafft Ehrlicke, der forstod, at denne form for opdagelsesproces, for at gå der ud, hvor mennesket aldrig før har været, er essensen i, hvad det virkeligt vil sige at være menneske.

Vi må se at komme bort fra snæversynet og række ud efter og gribe dette øjeblik med en absolut, enestående mulighed. Vær ikke bange for farerne, men forstå, at vi kan vinde en afgørende sejr, hvis folk er villige til at tænke på en helt anden måde, og tænke på en måde, som om menneskehedens fremtid netop nu hvilede på dine skuldre.

*Ovenstående er et uddrag fra webcastet 'Fireside Chat' den 28. juli, med Jeffrey Steinberg, mangeårig leder i LaRouche-bevægelsen, og efterretningsredaktør for EIR, og hvis indledende bemærkninger til den efterfølgende spørgsmål-og-svar-session ovenstående uddrag er. Webcastet, inkl. engelsk udskrift, kan høres/læses her: (anbefales). <https://larouchepac.com/20160727/fireside-chat-jeff-steinberg-july-28-2016>*

*Titelfoto: fra letsgoseit.com, fra portrætsamlingen i The International Aerospace Hall of Fame: den amerikansk-tyske rumpionér, Krafft A. Ehrlicke, født 1918 i Berlin, Tyskland,*

død 1984 i USA.

---

# Tysklands general Kujat for en Marshallplan for Syrien

Wiesbaden, 30. juli 2016 – Det tyske luftvåbens webside rapporterede i går om, at general Harald Kujat (pens.), tidligere formand for den tyske Forbundshærs generalstab (2000 – 02) og tidligere formand for NATO's Militærkomite (2002 – 05), for flere uger siden holdt en tale til en forsamling på flere hundrede personer ved de det Tyske Luftvåbens Skole for Officerer uden Kommission, i hvilken tale han opfordrede til en Marshallplan for Syrien. General Kujat sagde: »Det er nødvendigt at stabilisere landet, etablere en overgangsregering med frie valg og støtte demokrati og genopbygning, i lighed med Marshallplanen for det tidligere Tyskland efter krigen.«

General Kujat samt en anden taler, Wolfgang Kubicki, næstformand for partiet Frie Demokrater, besvarede begge et spørgsmål om faren for krig i Europa, med »der er ingen, der vil have én«. Dernæst tilføjede general Kujat: »Men en utilsigtet handling kunne eskalere, og dernæst forårsage en krig«, og at konflikter i århundreder er begyndt på denne måde. Rapporteringen fortsætter, med en parafrase af Kujat, med, at »NATO-øvelserne, der finder sted på Ruslands vestlige grænser, bidrager ikke til at lette spændingerne, og de stempler Rusland som en modstander. Kubicki talte om en upassende 'raslen med lænkerne'«, der underminerer indsatsen for en fælles sikkerhedspolitik med Rusland, som blev

påbegyndt i 1990. Kubicki trak paralleller til den tyske udenrigsminister Steinmeiers tidligere angreb på »NATO's raslen med sablerne«.

Begge talere afviste også at bruge Bundeswehr internt i Tyskland imod terrortruslen og påpegede behovet for at udvide politiets kapaciteter. De står fast på den tyske forfatnings klarhed omkring magtens deling, som ikke bør undermineres.

General Kujat er meget kendt for at være streng i spørgsmålet om, at Forbundsdagen er den instans, der kan bemyndige militære deployeringer til udlandet. Anvendelsen af NATO AWACS-fly, som til dels bemannes af tyske tropper, til indsats i Syrien, hvor potentialet for hændelser med russiske luftstyrker kunne finde sted, er en sådan principsag. Den tidligere statssekretær til Forsvarsministeriet og tidligere OSCE-vicepræsident, Willy Wimmer, sagde i går til Sputnik Tyskland, at et amerikansk NATO AWACS-fly og et saudisk AWACS-fly muligvis havde været involveret i det tyrkiske luftvåbens nedskydning af et russisk Su-24 bombefly i november 2015. Agenturets engelske tjeneste, Sputnik International, rapporterede i dag om Wimmers bemærkninger.

*Foto: Harald Kujat.*

---

**»Dette gamle system er dødt,  
og det er**

# **vores ansvar at bringe menneskeheden over tærsklen til en helt ny æra«**

**Lyndon LaRouche:**

*»... Det er os, der skal få dette til at ske. Der findes intet andet alternativ. Hvorvidt Kongressen vil handle eller ej er højst usikkert, for der er intet forudsigeligt i dette politiske system. Det, der må ske, er, at der må være en massiv bevægelse, der må have et lederskab, og som må gennemtvinge denne forholdsregel (Glass-Steagall, -red.), og som vil medføre, at visse kendsgerninger kommer for dagens lys – dvs., systemets totale bankerot.«*

*Glass-Steagall er imidlertid kun det første skridt. Jeg mener, at vi har sagt dette gentagne gange, men det vil blive aftenens store debattemne: Den form for initiativ, som hr. LaRouche har taget mht. Deutsche Bank, med et krav om en tilbagevenden til arven efter Alfred Herrhausen, den sidste, fornuftige bankier i Deutsche Bank, og som blev myrdet i koldt blod den 30. november 1989, netop på det tidspunkt, hvor den fremtidige verdenshistorie stod over for en kæmpe chance.*

## **Uddrag af LaRouchePAC Internationale fredags-webcast, 29. juli 2016:**

*Virkelighed er, om folk i dag har modet til at indrømme, at LaRouche har ret!*

**Matthew Ogden:** Jeg mener, at vi bestemt kan sige, at vi står



ved afslutningen af en gammel æra. Vi har et helt, paradigmatisk system, der er i færd med at kollapse totalt omkring os, og vi kan forhåbentlig sige, at vi står på tærsklen til en ny æra. Vi stirrer direkte ind i ansigtet på det transatlantiske finansielle systems totale opløsning. Dette ses ikke tydeligere end gennem den kendsgerning, at man har disse såkaldte 'stresstests', som finder sted i dag i alle de større europæiske banker. Resultatet af disse stresstests skal efter planen offentliggøres senere i aften; men, som hr. LaRouche har sagt, »Man behøver ikke at teste disse banker. Man ved, at hele banksystemet er totalt bankerot«.

Især to af disse banker har fået meget udstrakt mediedækning. Den ene er Monte dei Paschi-banken, der er verdens største og ældste, uafbrudt fungerende bank, og den største bank i Italien, og de vil næsten med sikkerhed dumpe til stresstesten, sammen med praktisk talt alle andre banker i Italien, som samlet set rapporteres at sidde på gæld, der ikke betales på, for mellem 210 – 360 milliarder euro, som umuligt kan reddes gennem bailout (statslig redning; 'bankpakke' med skatteborgermidler, -red.) af Italiens bankerotte økonomi, eller af EU's ditto, for den sags skyld.

På den anden side har vi Tysklands største bank, Deutsche Bank, der af IMF er blevet beskrevet som den mest risikobelagte, mest sårbare bank i hele systemet. Vi har på det seneste dækket Deutsche Banks bankerot med den *forbløffende* statistik, at Deutsche Banks nettoprofit nu vitterligt er nede på næsten nul, med et af Deutsche Bank rapporteret 97 % 's kollaps i nettoprofitten blot det seneste år. Deutsche Banks eksponering til derivater er massiv. Hver eneste større bank i verden er indviklet i Deutsche Bank som en modpart (i derivatkontrakter, -red.). Hvis Deutsche Bank går ned, vil man få en smitteeffekt, der er langt, langt større end i september 2008. Bare fra i onsdags er Deutsche Banks aktier faldet med 8 %. Merrill Lynch har nu nedgraderet banken, og det samme har Frankfurts aktiebørs.

Som det ses, så kan både den ene og den anden af disse banker dumpe til stresstesten. Stresstest eller ej, så kan hele dette system gå op i røg, hvornår, det skal være, og alene dét kan udløse en massiv panik i hele den transatlantiske verden. Det kan ikke understreges tydeligere. Det her er langt, langt værre end den situation, vi befandt os i, i dagene før krakket i 2008.

Det må siges højt og tydeligt, at dette er den drivkraft, der ligger bag truslen om en verdenskrig på dette tidspunkt. Som Helga Zepp-LaRouche gentagne gange har understreget i de seneste uger, så findes der ingen garanteret strategi for at afværge en sådan krig, med undtagelse af at tage roden til denne krigstrussel under behandling, hvilket vil sige en total, systemisk reorganisering af hele det transatlantiske finanssystem. Dette betyder en reorganisering fra øverst til nederst sådan, som Franklin Roosevelt gjorde det i sit embedes allerførste dage, som præsident for USA.

Det afgørende, første skridt i denne totale reorganisering er selvfølgelig en genindførelse af Franklin Roosevelts Glass/Steagall-lov, i sin helhed, fra 1933, ikke blot i USA, men i hele den transatlantiske verden. Dette er faktisk emnet for det spørgsmål, vi har fået fra institutionelt hold i aften, og som hr. LaRouche kort kommenterede. Spørgsmålet lød:

*»Kære hr. LaRouche, kravet om en genindførelse af Glass-Steagall er nu inkluderet i både det Republikanske og Demokratiske partis valgprogram. Hvor sandsynligt er det, efter Deres mening, at Kongressen vil vedtage Glass-Steagall?«*

**Lyndon LaRouche:**

*»Ja, vi satser selvfølgelig ikke alt på denne sandsynlighed. Det er os, der skal få dette til at ske. Der findes intet andet alternativ. Hvorvidt Kongressen vil handle eller ej er højst usikkert, for der er intet forudsigeligt i dette politiske system. Det, der må ske, er, at der må være en*

*massiv bevægelse, der må have et lederskab, og som må gennemtvinge denne forholdsregel (Glass-Steagall, -red.), og som vil medføre, at visse kendsgerninger kommer for dagens lys – dvs., systemets totale bankerot.»*

Glass-Steagall er imidlertid kun det første skridt. Jeg mener, at vi har sagt dette gentagne gange, men det vil blive aftenens store debattemne: Den form for initiativ, som hr. LaRouche har taget mht. Deutsche Bank, med et krav om en tilbagevenden til arven efter Alfred Herrhausen, den sidste, fornuftige bankier i Deutsche Bank, og som blev myrdet i koldt blod den 30. november 1989, netop på det tidspunkt, hvor den fremtidige verdenshistorie stod over for en kæmpe chance.

Denne form for aktion, som hr. LaRouche har krævet – interventionen i Deutsche Bank – er paradigmatisk for den absolut nødvendige tankegang. Hvad betyder det for os her, i dag? Det er, mener jeg, den form for diskussion, som er blevet genoplivet med ideen om De Fire Love [til USA's (og verdens!) omgående redning] for en reorganisering af finanssystemet. Dette er ikke blot en samling opskrifter, eller en vasketøjsliste over skridt, der må tages, men, som folk også har erkendt, så udgør De Fire Love sådan, som hr. LaRouche har udtænkt det, en enkelt, sammenhængende principerklæring, der bygger på en enkel, fundamental sandhed om den virkelige videnskab om fysisk økonomi. Denne enkelte, fundamentale forudsætning er den, at mennesket er en art ulig nogen anden. Mennesket er fuldstændigt unikt blandt alle andre levende væsener deri, at udelukkende kun mennesket er i stand til at skabe fuldstændigt nye måder for eksistens, der ikke har nogen forudgående manifestation, ingen afledningseffekt, der stammer fra tidligere erfaringer, men som er en fuldstændig ny, menneskelig adfærdsform. Udelukkende kun mennesket har evnen til viljemæssigt at skabe fremtiden.

Denne, menneskets absolut unikke egenskab, eksemplificeres i en meget destilleret og dybtgående form gennem nogle meget betydningsfulde personligheder, der stadig findes i mands

minde hos mange nulevende personer: Albert Einstein er én af dem; og den store, visionære rumforsker, raketingeniøren Krafft Ehrlicke, er en anden. Jeg tror, dette vil være optakten til, og ligesom skabe en ramme for, aftenens fortsatte diskussion. Men lad mig blot gentage: Jeg tror, vi med sindsro kan sige,

*»Dette gamle system er dødt, og det er vores ansvar at bringe menneskeheden over tærsklen til en helt ny æra.«*

(Se det engelske udskrift af hele diskussionen her: <http://schillerinstitut.dk/si/?p=13902>)

---

**»Vi står på tærsklen til en ny æra«**

**LaRouchePAC Internationale fredags-webcast, 29. juli 2016.**

***Virkelighed er, om folk i dag har modet til at indrømme, at LaRouche har ret!***

*Jeg mener, at vi bestemt kan sige, at vi står ved afslutningen*

*af en gammel æra. Vi har et helt, paradigmatisk system, der er i færd med at kollapse totalt omkring os, og vi kan forhåbentlig sige, at vi står på tærsklen til en ny æra. Vi stirrer direkte ind i ansigtet på det transatlantiske finansielle systems totale opløsning. Dette ses ikke tydeligere end gennem den kendsgerning, at man har disse såkaldte 'stresstests', som finder sted i dag i alle de større europæiske banker. Resultatet af disse stresstests skal efter planen offentliggøres senere i aften; men, som hr. LaRouche har sagt, »Man behøver ikke at teste disse banker. Man ved, at hele banksystemet er totalt bankerot«.*

*Engelsk udskrift:*

»Standing at the Threshold of a New Era«

REALITY IS WHETHER PEOPLE HAVE THE GUTS TODAY TO ADMIT THAT LAROUCHE IS RIGHT!

International LaRouche PAC Webcast July 29, 2016

MATTHEW OGDEN: Good Evening! It's July 29th, 2016. You're joining us for our weekly webcast here from LaRouchePAC.com on Friday night. I'm joined in the studio today by Megan Beets; and joined via video by two members of our Policy Committee: Kesha Rogers, who's joining us from Houston, Texas; as well as Rachel Brinkley, who's joining us from Boston, Massachusetts.

I think we can certainly say that we are standing at the end of an old era. We have an entire paradigmatic system which is completely collapsing around us, and hopefully we can say that we are standing at the threshold of a new era. We're staring in the face of a complete disintegration of the trans-Atlantic financial system. This could not be seen more clearly [than] by the fact that you have these so-called bank "stress tests" that are taking place today in all of the major European banks. The results of these stress tests are due out later this evening; however, as Mr. LaRouche [has] said, "You don't need to test these banks. You know that the entire banking system is completely bankrupt."

Two of these banks, most notably, have been receiving very wide coverage. One of them is Monte dei Paschi Bank, which is the largest and the oldest continually-functioning bank in the world, the largest bank in Italy, will almost certainly fail their stress test, along with virtually every other bank in Italy, which [all together] are reported to be holding between EU210-360 billion in non-performing debt, which could not possibly be bailed out by the bankrupt economy of Italy, or the EU for that matter.

On the other hand, you have the largest German bank, Deutsche Bank, which has been described by the IMF as the riskiest, most vulnerable bank in the entire system. We've been covering the bankruptcy of Deutsche Bank recently with the *stunning* statistics that the net profits of Deutsche Bank are now virtually down to almost zero, with a 97 % collapse in just the last year of net profits being reported by Deutsche Bank. The derivatives exposure by Deutsche Bank is massive. Every single major bank in the world is tied in to Deutsche Bank as a counterparty. Were Deutsche Bank to go under, you would have a contagion far, far wider than September 2008. Just since Wednesday, Deutsche Bank shares have fallen by 8%. Merrill Lynch has now downgraded it, along with the Frankfurt [Stock] Exchange.

As can be seen, either one of these banks could fail the stress test. Stress test, or no stress test, this entire system could go up in smoke at any given moment, and that alone could trigger a mass panic across the entire trans-Atlantic. It can't be emphasized more. This is far, far worse than the situation we found ourselves in, on the eve of the Crash of 2008.

What has to be said is that this is the major driver behind the threat of world war at this time. As Helga LaRouche

has emphasized repeatedly in the recent weeks, there is no guaranteed strategy to avoid such a war, other than addressing the root

causes of this threat of world war, which is a complete systemic reorganization of the entire trans-Atlantic financial system. This is a top-down reorganization, in the way that Franklin Roosevelt did it in his first days in office as President of the United States.

The critical first step to this is, obviously, the restoration of the full Franklin Roosevelt [1933] Glass-Steagall Act, not just in the United States, but throughout the entire trans-Atlantic region. This is actually the subject of the institutional question that we received for this broadcast tonight, which Mr. LaRouche had a short comment on. The question was: "Dear Mr. LaRouche, The call to reinstate Glass-Steagall is now included in the platforms of both the Republican and Democratic parties. In your view, how likely is it that Glass-Steagall will be enacted by Congress?" Mr. LaRouche said, "Obviously, we're not banking on the likelihood. We have to make this happen. There is no other alternative. Whether or not Congress will act, is highly debatable, because there's nothing predictable in this political system. What has to happen is a major movement, which we must lead, to force this measure through, which will induce certain realities to come to light – that is, the total bankruptcy of the system."

Glass-Steagall, however, is only the first step. I think this is something which we have repeatedly said, but will be the subject of much of the discussion of our broadcast tonight. The type of initiative that Mr. LaRouche has taken, in the case of Deutsche Bank, the call for the return to the Alfred Herrhausen legacy, the last sane banker at Deutsche Bank, who was assassinated in cold blood on November 30, 1989, right at the critical moment of opportunity for the future of world history.

This type of action that Mr. LaRouche has called for –

the intervention into Deutsche Bank – is paradigmatic of the type of thinking necessary. How does this apply today? This is the type of discussion which, I think, has become revived, with the concept of the Four Laws, Mr. LaRouche's Four Laws for the reorganization of the financial system. This is not just a series of recipes, or a laundry-list of steps that must be taken, but rather, as people have recognized, the Four Laws, as conceived by

Mr. LaRouche, is a single, coherent statement of principle which is premised on a single, fundamental truth of the real science of physical economics. That single, fundamental premise is that mankind is a species like no other. Mankind is completely unique among all other living things, in that only mankind has the

ability to create entirely new modes of existence that had no antecedent, no derivative effect from past experience, but an entirely new mode of behavior. Only man has the ability to willfully create the future.

This absolutely unique character of mankind is typified in a very distilled and profound form by some very significant personalities within the living memory of many people who are living today: Albert Einstein, for one; and the great visionary

space scientist, Krafft Ehrlicke, as another. I think that will carry us into the remainder of our discussion here and sort of set a framework. But I'll just reiterate: I think that with confidence that we can say, "This old system is dead, and our responsibility is to bring mankind onto the threshold of an entirely new era."

KESHA ROGERS: I think that is absolutely the starting point of what is the new paradigm that we must bring into existence at this present moment. The discussions we've had with Mr. LaRouche on the conceptions presented by his "Four Laws to Save the United States", are absolutely pertinent, because these Four Laws represent a move away from monetarism and probabilities of what is acceptable or presentable for the



future based on numbers and statistics.

We're not waiting on the numbers and statistics and on the horoscopes to tell us what that future is going to be. We actually must live in that future and create that future. That has been the unique role of Mr. LaRouche and his uniqueness in forecasting economics. He's not just talking about something that can be brought into existence based on figures that are already presented to you, but that the numbers have to be thrown out.

We're not waiting on the figures of the IMF or the stress tests or anything like this. The Four Laws represent a new direction for mankind that we now have to act to bring the future into existence. If you're going to do that, that means you have to live in the future.

I was thinking and very struck by Mr. LaRouche's works going back to his Presidential campaign in 1988. At that time, it's very pertinent to what is necessary for thinking about the future existence which we have to create, now, once and for all, that during that time, he presented in a TV broadcast called *The Woman on Mars* a vision for thirty-nine years from then, looking at the future in 2027 AD. [It opens with] the voice of a woman from Mars saying, "I have the announcement for which you have been waiting. As of five minutes ago, our environmental systems were fully stabilized. Man's first permanent colony on Mars is now completely operational." Mr. LaRouche comes on and he says, "Many of you are shocked. Some of you are saying, 'Why is this old geezer taking about a permanent colony on Mars, 39 years from now, with the major budget problems in Washington today?'"

At that point in time, what he was actually presenting, was the greatest economic recovery plan for the nation and the world.

That is what these Four Laws today represent. They're not just Glass-Steagall, or something based on the current trends of monetary policy; they go outside of the current trends of thinking, into a new domain of human existence that has not

yet

been created. I think that that is very important, because that's what we've lost sight of. In this day and age, too many people are living their lives based on their current state of existence – what they think is possible. You know, "Am I going to survive, day to day? Where am I going to get my food from? How am I going to pay my next light bill?" And so forth.

That is not the new paradigm that you want to live in. You want to actually be thinking about creating that future. This is the unique role that Mr. LaRouche's life and his contributions to true human economy have played, and the very critical role that great visionary scientists such as Krafft Ehrlicke, have really brought into existence.

The fact of the matter is that, as you said, Matt, the current era of this British Empire, of this anti-growth system, is coming to an end. This monetary policy is not going to be the determining factor of the future. What is, is going to be the creative mind of man, unlike any other [species] to actually determine and act to bring about that future. Right now, none of the current existing trends, election process, candidates, or monetary policy mean one thing whatsoever in terms of the real universe that we live in.

I think that that's what we really have to get across to people; that people don't need to live discouraged about "Oh, what is life going to bring me? Am I going to be dealt a bad hand or a good hand?" Well, you better figure out what that hand is going to be, and determine it for yourself!

OGDEN: One thing I wanted to just pick up on, what you said, Kesha. The idea of "willful action" is something which is inherent in the concept of the American republic. Citizens are not subjects. Just as we are not subjects of a king or a queen, which was the consequence of the American Revolution, we're

also not subjects of some hidden hand, "invisible hand" of fate or economics or statistics. This has been a problem in our population, where people have given up hope that their actions

can actually have a meaning. So, it's the decision to willfully create a future, and say "Despite the fact that the entire system – politically, socially, economically, financially – is crumbling around you, you have the presence of an entirely

different system which has already come into existence; has already materialized on this planet." The most populous nations in the world are now leading that New Paradigm.

We have news that Helga Zepp-LaRouche, president of the international Schiller Institute, has been a featured guest at a very important conference that happened in China just this week. This is the T-20 conference, or so-called "Think 20", which is

happening in the context of the G-20; it's a sort of think tank of private sector representatives from around the world.

And it was co-sponsored by the Chinese Academy for Social Sciences – actually a representative of that think tank spoke at the recent Schiller Institute conference that happened in Berlin; Ren Lin, on the subject of the One Belt, One Road policy. But Helga LaRouche's presentation was to say that we need to now take the concept of the One Belt, One Road – the New Silk Road idea – and expand this to the entire planet. This is the foundation for a New Paradigm of thinking, a New Paradigm of international relations, and a new idea of mankind's role in the Universe. It's founded on the win-win concept which is fundamentally different than what has reigned over the last 100 years virtually, as British imperial, winner-take-all kind of thinking.

So, the fact that this now exists as an option for mankind, is not an accident; this is the result of willful action that was taken by Helga LaRouche and Lyndon LaRouche at the time that the Soviet Union collapsed, to put this option on the table.

This is

the direct outgrowth of what was proposed at that time when Alfred Herrhausen was picking up on this idea of the Productive Triangle; using the reindustrialization of Eastern Europe, the former Soviet space, as the driver, as the engine for a revival

of the great economic powerhouse of the German economy and the rest of Western Europe. This was expanded to the Eurasian Land-Bridge; and now exists in actuality – not just as future potential, but as actuality – in the form of this New Silk Road idea.

And the American people could so easily say, "We reject this system which is being forced upon us with both of these failed parties; and we are now going to say, 'We insist that our nation is going to become a member of this New Paradigm of relations among nations.' And we will build the New Silk Road across the Bering Strait into the United States, and down into the entirety of the Western Hemisphere."

BRINKLEY: Just to follow up on this discussion, Mr. LaRouche was discussing with us yesterday the question of the development of mankind; as Kesha was really emphasizing. As we come right to the end of this system, what is missing? A lot of people will say there's a problem; [but] it's beyond a problem, this is a total systemic breakdown. So what is missing? LaRouche really pointed to Einstein in particular and said, "For Einstein, he didn't use numbers to measure the Universe." He said, "The creative powers of mankind are located in the same kind of thing Einstein used to measure the Universe." If he didn't use numbers, what did he use? This is a question where the same question is, where does a new idea come from? What Einstein generated, no one had thought before him; so where did he come up with the means to make that hypothesis? Something that, based on all human sense perception in previous human existence, no one had ever perceived anything that would tell them this concept, this new idea that Einstein generated. Where did he come up with it

from? It's really the idea of getting rid of the standards of measurement that were used generally by empiricism, by sense perception, by describing the Universe via senses. Einstein said, I want to get beyond this and see what unifies these things; he used a principle of the Universe itself to measure. In so doing, he created a whole new level of power for mankind.

So, this came from his mind; there wasn't even the ability to perceive what he hypothesized. To test it experimentally did not even exist at the time; it's now being proven true 100 years later. But this shows that the power of a human economy really doesn't come from the external sources people would think about it. It obviously doesn't come from money; it doesn't come from petroleum; it doesn't come from helium-3; it doesn't come from nuclear fuel. The power of an economy doesn't come from these objects; it comes from the new discovery generated in a mind to utilize this new power. This obviously is what has been attacked. It was also the idea of Nicholas of Cusa, who generated the Renaissance; that is the quality of thinking we need now.

Not business as usual, as you see at the Democratic or Republican conventions; we don't need to debate minimum wage or something like that. We've discussed what the problem is with this discussion of minimum wage. Even if you pay people more, do they have the ability to purchase the goods they need to survive?

Are the goods even there, available to be purchased? Healthcare – it's not there; transportation – no, it's completely falling apart. Our nation is really a disgrace compared to what's happening in Asia right now. I think China's building over 100

nuclear plants in the next 10 years; while we've just shut down about 4. There's more that could be said, but maybe for now we should just open up the discussion; but I just also want to bring up again a Krafft Ehrlicke quote. He also recognized the moment of change that mankind was in, even back

in the 1970s, when we began to really adopt this environmentalist empiricism, lack of science, lack of intention towards growth. He said, "To cease growing means to make the grim past the future's only option."

That's what we're living today; we're living the grim past. What he also said is that no growth goes with tension, it goes with conflict, and it goes with war. I think if people look around at the state of conflict on the planet, it's pervasive; this is a result of this lack of a sense of progress, and lack of a sense of mission.

So, I'll just leave it at that; we can discuss it more.

MEGAN BEETS: Well, just to pick up, Rachel, on what you were just discussing, and also Kesha was pointing to in the fundamental principle underlying Mr. LaRouche's Four Laws; which is the fundamental distinction of the human mind from all other forms of existence. I think it can be seen in the personality of Einstein, as you were saying Rachel; I think it can also be seen in the insights and the actions of Kepler. Kepler said, in a very provocative letter to a patron of his, he said, "Not every hunch is wrong. For man is in the image of the Creator, and it's very possible that in matters which pertain to the organization of the Universe, man thinks like the Creator." And I think that really does get to what Einstein was able to do, and Kepler himself did this with that principle as a foundation, generating from his mind a notion unique to his mind, of the principle which was organizing and governing our Solar System. It was a completely new idea; he had never observed anything that clued him into this. This was a completely new notion; he discovered the principle of universal gravitation and completely revolutionized the powers of a kind. Mankind now lived in a new Universe. That's the basis of economics.

And I think it does get exactly to what Mr. LaRouche has been saying in the face of the collapse of this financial

system. Nothing that would be attempted now to save the system – no bail-out measures, no bail-in measures, none of this will work; you cannot save an inherently valueless system. You have to reorganize it upon the true principle of the source of value, which is the human mind's ability to discover new principles which create a new species. And that's why you, Kesha, obviously know this first-hand; that's the importance of the space program. That's the importance of declaring that the space program is the number one commitment of the nation; to actually put this challenge to man's mind to go right up to the new frontier to discover what we have not yet discovered in the forefront. That then as the boundary, then reorganizes all the other relationships within your economy. We saw a hint of that in the 1960s, under the influence of people like Krafft Ehrlicke with our space program here; although that was shut down. Now, with the crumbling of this British Empire system, we have the opportunity – but also a very real responsibility with people who are around Mr. LaRouche and get this principle – to insure that this is the direction humanity goes in now; this is not a foregone conclusion.

OGDEN: I liked this quote that was featured in what we have as the lead to the LaRouche PAC website today; a quote from Krafft Ehrlicke, I believe from a paper he wrote in 1966 – I might be wrong. He is discussing the thrill of what it felt like to be standing on the threshold of a new era; recognizing when they first launched the successful launch of the most rudimentary, basic rocket, that this new era of rocket science was carrying mankind off of the planet. Or had the potential to carry mankind off of the planet Earth and to open up an entirely new evolutionary moment, phase for the human species. He compared it to Columbus discovering the New World; it actually reminded me of that poem "On First Looking into Chapman's Homer" by Keats, where he describes the awe of Cortez standing on the cliffs of Darien and looking at the

Pacific. The first European who had seen the Pacific Ocean from crossing over the territory of North America. He also used the comparison of the astronomer who is the first to discover a new planet coming across the sky. So that thrill of discovery, not just the personal act of discovery of something new; but the recognition that this is a transformative moment for the human species, and that this is what makes us human. It's those discontinuous moments from the previous state to the future state, which have no logical consequence one from the other; but that moment, that transition, is the moment of humanity. And that's what separates us from the animals. Krafft Ehrlicke's description of that was the roar of those engines, the recognition that mankind was about to become a space-faring creature; and it was the experience of what it means to be human itself.

ROGERS: And yes, once again, living in the future; creating the future. Remember, Krafft Ehrlicke writing in 1966, looking back from the year 2000; he died in 1984. This was a vision that was being brought into existence that had not yet happened; but was in the mind of Krafft Ehrlicke as a living principle, as a living idea. Now, as you said earlier, Matt, that vision is now being brought into reality by the New Paradigm that's being created by Russia, by China. It is the United States that now has no excuses not to join aboard and join with that New Paradigm that is already at our fingertips. It's very fascinating to see. This is the economic recovery; this is the largest economic recovery program – the space program. It's much greater than anything that even John F Kennedy thought about; Kennedy wasn't the be all and end all with the Apollo missions, and he wasn't going to stop there. He had a greater vision; just as Krafft Ehrlicke, just as LaRouche, based on a human economy. And this idea of the imagination and what was created in the mind of Krafft Ehrlicke with the colonies [on the Moon], the nuclear



power

systems, the development of fusion economies and helium-3 systems on Mars and Venus and other places. This is the basis of a real economy; a human economy from that standpoint. It's very much what our conception and idea has to be based on. And that's the Four Laws; that's the principle of the Four Laws that we really have to get across to people.

It's good that Glass-Steagall is being implemented in both parties' platforms; but now what are people willing to do? Are you still going to accept the policy economically of zero growth? Are you still going to accept the policy of fascism, of war? What does Glass-Steagall mean when you actually are going along with this insane policy; when you're not thinking about your children's and your grandchildren's futures?

OGDEN: One thing that came out of the Schiller Institute Berlin conference that we've been discussing – it was about a month ago if not more now – Helga LaRouche keynoted it, obviously, and said, Look, we're at a time in history where an entirely new principle of action is operating; it's a principle of history which is not understood by most people. She characterized it as the Erinyes, or the principle of nemesis; where all of the failed axioms that have been enforced over the last decades in a system which is now crumbling in on itself, are taking down the very people who enforced those failed axioms. This was seen with Tony Blair with the release of the Chilcot Report; the major victory with the release of the 28 pages, which is something which goes back even before 9/11 to Mr. LaRouche's broadcast in 1999 of the "Storm Over Asia" broadcast. This made very clear that there was a very high-level nefarious apparatus that was being run by the Anglo-Saudi nexus, using these mercenary forces for irregular warfare against countries around the world.

Now, you see that playing out; and I think it's very significant that there's been a drastic shift in the situation on the ground in Syria. Aleppo, which was actually the

subject of a video presentation which was shown at that Schiller Institute, it's one of the most ancient cities; a UNESCO World Heritage site. It was the crossroads of the old Silk Road; it's situated right in between the three continents. Aleppo had obviously been held by these terrorists for years; and the action in just the last days by the Syrian government with the back-up of the Russian air force, stationed at Latakia, to be able to come in and begin liberating that city of Aleppo in the same way that Palmyra was liberated, is a real turning point in the war on the ground against the outgrowth of this very mercenary Army-type of irregular force that Mr. LaRouche was warning about all the way back in 1998-99.

Again, the role that Vladimir Putin is playing in this regard, is a critical role. I kind of want to link these two things together a little bit. Mr. LaRouche's prescription for how Europe could possibly survive this entire blow-out of these

completely over-leveraged banks and the disintegration of the political situation itself with the aftermath of the Brexit, was that there needs to be a close collaboration between Germany and Putin in Russia. What Putin is doing in Russia is the pathway

forward for Europe. An entire integration of the Asian Economic Union, the New Silk Road, and what remains of the mittelstand, or the industrial sector of Germany, which is viable.

As you're looking at this complete meltdown, this complete disintegration which could come within days or hours, of Deutsche Bank – the biggest bank in Germany; Monte dei Paschi, the oldest bank in Italy; action must be taken in the very short term. Not just from the standpoint of stabilizing a collapsing financial system and transforming it into an entirely new system of economics; but also as a critical war avoidance measure. If these steps are not taken, and Germany is allowed to disintegrate under the weight of a collapsing Deutsche Bank, for example; there will be no pathway forward

for the kind of collaboration between sane factors in Germany for example, and what Putin is doing in Russia. And the force for stability and peace that Russia has represented will not – the access will not be there. So, I think you have to take all of this strategic picture together, and not separate any element of it; and be able to see it from the top down as Mr. LaRouche sees it, and say "Necessary actions must be taken to resolve the root crises, the root causes of the crises that we now face."

I'll stress again, this factor of what has been occurring inside of Syria, as these series of regime-change wars, this is the evidence of the breakdown of a system which is evil; a system which is creating the possibility for failed states across that

region. This is driving millions of refugees out of their homelands into Europe; it cannot be sustained. An entirely New Paradigm – it can't be resolved piecemeal, which is the point. You can't just say we're going to address this situation here and

address that situation there; but new axiomatic approaches to the entire concept of the system must be in place, and it must be premised on this central feature of what the Four Laws are a derivative of – which is this unique character of man to willfully create new modes of existence for the human species.

BRINKLEY: Any type of practical discussion as opposed to that, just needs to be gotten rid of. We really do need a quality of courage. It's obvious out there right now what Obama has been doing in promoting and protecting terrorism.

We now

have the 28 pages out, and the Democrats are still kissing Obama's butt; and the Republicans are going over to Trump. This is crazy, given the truth which has come out that one of our so-called allies, including the British Empire, attacked our

nation in an act of war; and the President who covered that up is still being allowed to be President. So, this is the question of practicality; which is another symptom of this

higher question involved in the discussion of the creation of new states. You have to be bold; you have to be able to take bold actions as well. One thing LaRouche said that was insightful about the practical man, he said, "The practical man created nothing but his own noise and fools who believed in his noise." I think people can think of a few examples of that today; but definitely Wall Street for one. Anyone protecting Wall Street and anyone saying you've got to go along to get along with party politics or something like this; that's all dead, that's all obsolete at this moment.

OGDEN: Just returning to what Mr. LaRouche said about the actions that must be taken around Glass-Steagall, this is the result; the very fact that this is in both party platforms is the result of the leadership that I think both of you have represented over the course of the last almost decade. Both you, Rachel, and Kesha have run very prominent campaigns for Federal office; and the fact that Rachel, you took on Barney Frank and really refuted all of his arguments against Glass-Steagall on live television; this is what made this a household word. And then Kesha, your campaign around NASA in Texas was a transformative campaign; it was national in scope and

international in its effects. The reason why we have the LaRouche PAC Policy Committee, which both Kesha and Rachel are representatives of, is that you do have the deliberative body existing in this country – represented not exclusively by members of the Policy Committee – but represented by the type of thinking that this Policy Committee has been able to achieve over the course of the last several years as public figures in your own right.

People must not despair; the belief in the kind of party politics and go along to get along pragmatism is what demoralizes people in this country. But every time the kind of leadership which Rachel and Kesha represented in their campaigns is

exhibited, you see the American people wake up, just light up. Because that's reminiscent of the kind of leadership that used

to be quintessentially American: John F Kennedy; Robert Kennedy; what Franklin Roosevelt was able to do to draw people from the depths of not just an economic depression, but a widespread emotional depression that had taken hold of the American people in the aftermath of the '29 stock market crash. And to pull those people up and to turn that generation into the most productive generation that this country had ever seen, that should be enough to give us confidence that through the bold and assertive implementation of the principles behind these Four Laws, not just the words in the effect, but the principles which these are hinged upon; rooted deep within the American System ideas of Alexander Hamilton. Through the application of this, we could see – this generation of Americans could actually see themselves as becoming once again the most productive, most optimistic, most creative generation that this country has ever seen; in collaboration with what's coming out of China in that regard and all of the other countries that have been touched by this vision.

So, it's not abstract that we're discussing the figures of Krafft Ehrlicke or Albert Einstein; who themselves were critical personalities in the context of what Franklin Roosevelt was accomplishing or what John F Kennedy was accomplishing. It was that spark of creative optimism which, coming from a few individual geniuses such as that, actually lit the fires of optimism and creativity throughout the entirety of the American people. That can be done again.

ROGERS: Yes, and all these figures who you just named, who at a very young age, dedicated their lives to a mission, just as LaRouche did. And right now, we're recruiting people to our team; we need the young people to actually take responsibility and dedicate their lives to this very mission of acting to shape the future. Because the reality is, the people who are being strongly affected by this destructive policy under Obama and Bush that we've been seeing for far too long, are particularly the young people. They have to not

accept this; and they have to make the determination that they're going to be a part – as others throughout the nation and the world – of shaping and bringing about the future that they want to see.

OGDEN: Megan mentioned the person of Johannes Kepler. This was Einstein's inspiration; he wrote this paper on the 400<sup>th</sup> anniversary of the death of Johannes Kepler. It was exactly Kepler's ability that nobody else had seen; or to see the Universe from an angle which nobody else had even attempted to see it from, was the type of thinking that Albert Einstein practiced as almost a religious devotion. It's the ability to say, "No; mankind does have the ability not to look up at the world from the standpoint of the animals that crawl on the ground, but to look down at the Universe through the eyes of the Creator." To be seeing the world through the eyes of the Creator means to see the infinite potential; there is no limit in terms of what's possible in terms of growth and potential in a creative Universe. You can guarantee that a Creator of that Universe, who does not live in time but lives outside of and above time – as Einstein himself was enabled to do; sees, that that infinite potential is there. But it hinges on the willful ability of a species such as man to act to unlock that creative potential; to unlock the future. So, the vision and the faith that comes with that kind of way of thinking is what carries great geniuses such as Einstein, Kepler, Krafft Ehrlicke, others to be able to see the world from the standpoint of not the extrapolated future; but a future which nobody else have ever dreamed of existing.

Kesha, you might want to bring this up. You have mentioned earlier that there was this conference that took place in Germany, celebrating the legacy of Gottfried Leibniz and some of the remarks that were made there. Maybe that would be important.

ROGERS: Unfortunately, I don't have those remarks right in front of me; maybe Megan does. This was a conference on the 370th birthday anniversary of Leibniz, that was being

discussed today from developments that we heard of today. One of the representatives was a Chinese Leibniz scholar, and he was

actually expressing the idea of Leibniz's conception of happiness. Megan, do you have that there? Because I thought it really encapsulates what we've been speaking of here.

BEETS: So this was a gentleman named Wenchao Li, who is from the University; he's a China-born Leibniz specialist at the University of Hannover. He said, "For our own happiness, or the happiness of others, we can only be happy if others are happy, too. What it is about is human beings; other cultures. It is about the common good of all." That was actually how they opened this conference commemorating Leibniz. I think it's significant, because often times these conferences can be sort of insular and limited to a certain academic community. But this clearly reflects the principle of humanity that Leibniz represented; and it's also clearly resonating with the potential of the New Paradigm today.

ROGERS: Over 400 scientists from around the world, and this is an expression of what the space program truly exemplifies; it is the expression of happiness, of an end to conflict, an end to wars, and a true expression of what it is to be truly human.

Right now, if we're going to put an end to the hostilities and war drive and so forth, the greatest basis that we have to do that is through cooperation in space exploration. That is the means of happiness that we can bring about to the existence of all mankind. I thought that that was clearly expressed in that quote and in the theme that was brought up in that conference.

BEETS: I think this really is the challenge to the American people. Everything we've been discussing is couched in how you opened, Matt, with the financial meltdown. What was brought up about the very real danger of the war being driven by the British Empire as their system comes apart. I think the challenge to the

American people is the issue of courage; of realizing that what we've been discussing here today as the true nature of

the human mind. That is reality; the Presidential election is not reality. Voting is not reality; it's whether people have the guts today to admit LaRouche is right. And to stand and organize with us. And I think the call is put out to everyone to stand and organize with us now; now is the moment to bring this New Paradigm into existence in the United States, which is really the lynchpin in the entire global picture right now.

OGDEN: OK. That's a conclusion that we can take as the final word here. I would like to ask everybody to please subscribe to the LaRouche PAC YouTube channel; there are actually two channels. This is the LaRouche PAC Live; there's also a channel [called] LaRouche PAC videos, which has a lot more of the substantial, lengthy presentations that we've put together. So, if you haven't subscribed to either one of those yet, please subscribe to both. This is really central to our ability to build the kind of mass movement of intellectual courage which will continue to place LaRouche PAC in the center of creating the vision for the future of the United States.

So, I'd like to thank you both, Kesha and Rachel, for joining us via video here today; and thanks to Megan for joining me in the studio. Thank you for tuning in.

Please stay tuned, and we'll see you next Monday.

Good night.

---

**Lyndon LaRouche: Glem aldrig**



# den lektie, vi lærte af Alfred Herrhausen

27. juli, 2016 (Leder) – De mere betydningsfulde finansielle medier i Europa og USA advarer for tiden om, at det europæiske finansielle system er på randen af en nedsmeltning, hvad enten udløseren, som del af et generelt bankkollaps i Italien, er verdens ældste banks, Monte die Paschis, kollaps, eller det er en nedsmeltning af derivaterne i Deutsche Bank, som af IMF for nylig blev beskrevet som verdens mest »systemisk risikable« finansielle institution. Hele det transatlantiske finansielle system har nået et kritisk punkt, og af denne grund vokser der nu en panikkonsensus frem blandt nogle af nøglespillerne i det britiske spil – Den Europæiske Centralbank, IMF, JP Morgan Chase og Goldman Sachs – der går ud på, at en midlertidig ophævelse af bail-in-loven (ekspropriering af visse typer bankindsud) er af afgørende betydning for at muliggøre en bail-out (statslig bankredning) af de italienske banker, der vurderes at sidde inde med dårlig gæld for mellem 210 og 360 milliarder euro. Deutsche Bank holdt onsdag en spontan pressekonference ved en af sine direktører, for »særdeles kraftigt at afvise« at banken skulle være i færd med at overveje en opdeling mellem sine London-centrerede kasino-operationer (Deutsche Bank har en derivateksponering på \$55 billioner (55 tusinde milliarder, -red.), og sine andre enheder, selv efter, at DB havde offentliggjort et fald i profit på 97,5 %, og en 20 procents nedgang i indtjening i forhold til samme kvartal i 2016.

Det episke sammenbrud af det transatlantiske finansielle system, er, netop nu, den væsentligste faktor bag driften hen imod faren for krig – atomkrig – som Obama og NATO har fremprovokeret mod Rusland. Den seneste provokation kommer fra den bulgarske regering, der har beskyldt Rusland for at krænke et opdigtet »NATO-luftrum« under bulgarsk kontrol.

Kendsgerningen, som Lyndon LaRouche udtrykte det under diskussion med kolleger i dag, er ganske enkelt den, at »Deutsche Bank er død. Dette skal siges ligefremt og åbent.« Han tilføjede, at tyskerne burde »bede om Putins råd«.

Mens Wall Street forsat er ekstremt rasende over det faktum, at både det Republikanske og det Demokratiske parti har sat en genindførelse af Glass-Steagall på deres valgprogram for præsidentvalget i 2016, tog LaRouche spørgsmålet et skridt videre. Alt imens Glass-Steagall er fuldstændigt afgørende, så det nødvendigt med yderligere tiltag. Man må påkalde den tidligere formand for Deutsche Bank, Alfred Herrhausens forbillede. Under Herrhausen var Deutsche Bank en industribank, der investerede i realøkonomien. Selv på højdepunktet af den Kolde Krig investerede Deutsche Bank massivt i den sovjetiske økonomi og tilvejebragte lån til olierørledninger, kraftværker, veje og jernbaner. Mordet på Herrhausen den 30. november, 1989, faldt sammen med den iscenesatte fængsling af Lyndon LaRouche, iværksat af de samme kræfter – George H.W. Bush/Margaret Thatcher/ Francois Mitterand – der påtvang Tyskland Maastrichttraktatens spændetrøje og således aflivede Herrhausens planer om at integrere nationerne i den tidligere Warszawapagt i et forenet europæisk-eurasiske, økonomisk rum.

Den tyske økonomi står på randen af kollaps, som der er garanti for, hvis Deutsche Bank går ned. Det må forhindres for enhver pris, men de umiddelbare forholdsregler må omfatte en tilbagevenden til den form for bankvirksomhed, der blev praktiseret af Herrhausen. Hvis Tyskland går ned, er der så godt som garanti for, at vi får en atomar Tredje Verdenskrig, og det må forhindres gennem nødforanstaltninger.

Endnu en manifestering af den dødbringende krise, som menneskeheden i dag står overfor, er den fortsatte spredning af den blinde terrorismes pest, hvad enten der er tale om grusomheder begået af Islamisk Stat og andre jihad-kulter, skabt af anglo-saudierne, eller det drejer sig om den »nye

vold«, vi på det seneste har set i Tyskland og Japan, og som involverer unge, der, gennem deres afhængighed af sigt-og-skyd-videospil, hjernevaskes til at begå voldelige handlinger.

Helga Zepp-LaRouche, grundlægger af og præsident for Schiller Instituttet, har opfordret til en international, koordineret indsats for at knuse denne terrorsvøbe – i tæt samarbejde med Rusland. Sidste september opfordrede den russiske præsident Vladimir Putin i sin tale til FN's Sikkerhedsråd til en international indsats, med Anden Verdenskrigs alliance mellem USA og Sovjetunionen som forbillede, for at besejre jihad-terrorismen. Onsdag den 27. juli holdt Putin en tale ved et møde for topplacerede folk inden for russisk sikkerheds- og ordenshåndhævelse, hvor han gentog denne opfordring til en global krig mod terrorisme, der nu udgør en civilisationskrise, som alle nationer må bekæmpe.

---

## **De vestlige samfund er i færd med at kollapse – et Nyt Paradigme, eller en Ny Mørk Tidsalder**

*Det eneste, der stadig står, er kravet om Glass-Steagall, som i Kongressen og internationalt anerkendes som resultatet af Lyndon LaRouches ubøjelige kampagne hen over de seneste årtier, for at opdrage og mobilisere befolkningen og de politiske institutioner til at forstå, at udelukkende kun en*

*tilbagevenden til den amerikanske præsident Franklin D. Roosevelts krig mod Wall Street kan omstøde det igangværende kollaps af de vestlige økonomier.*

26. juli 2016 (Leder) – Blodige massedrab fylder nu hver dag medieoverskrifterne i alle den »avancerede sektors« nationer:

\* En afsindig, tidligere ansat på en japansk institution for mentalt handicappede angreb og skar halsen over på 19 beboere og sårede yderligere 26 alvorligt, efter at han havde skrevet et brev til parlamentet, hvor han forklarede, hvad han havde til hensigt at gøre, som et udslag af »velgørende« medlidenhedsdrab;

\* To terrorister angreb en kirke i Frankrig, hvor de skar halsen over på en præst og en nonne, før de selv blev dræbt af politiet. IS tog ansvaret for angrebet og bar således ved til det opildnede anti-muslimske bål i pressen.

\* To selvmordsbombemænd fra al-Shabab sprængte deres køretøj i luften uden for en FN-fredsbevarende base i Somalias hovedstad, hvorved de dræbte 12 personer;

\* To teenagere blev dræbt og 16 andre såret i Ft. Myers Florida, USA, da tre andre teenagere åbnede ild mod en gruppe mennesker uden for en natklub;

\* Og i Tyskland fandt den femte drabsepisode på en uge sted, da en mand dræbte sin læge og sig selv på et hospital.

Dette er blot dagens tabstal. De er ikke alle terrorangreb, da nogle af dem er udført af mennesker, der er drevet til vanvid af deres håbløse situation i samfundet, eller voldskulturen, eller af de psykose-inducerende videospil og »populærunderholdningen«, eller af narkotika, eller af alle disse ting.

Det er et symptom på en døende kultur. Alt imens millioner drives fra deres hjem i Sydvestasien af Obamas politik for

evindelige krige for »regimeskift«, og alt imens medierne i Vesten hyper Obamas løgn om, at Rusland og Kina er »aggressorstater«, der styres af diktatorer, som må konfronteres militært, så får befolkningen besked på at slutte sig til de politiske konventioners Romerske Cirkus og samle sig til støtte for ledere, der kun har mere økonomisk ødelæggelse og global krig at tilbyde dem.

Men, hjernevasken er ved at falde fra hinanden. I USA har begge de politiske partiers konventioner været fiaskoer, der har frembragt kandidater, som et flertal af befolkningen hader, og som efterlader deres partistruktur i total forvirring. Det eneste, der stadig står, er kravet om Glass-Steagall, som i Kongressen og internationalt anerkendes som resultatet af Lyndon LaRouches ubøjelige kampagne hen over de seneste årtier, for at opdrage og mobilisere befolkningen og de politiske institutioner til at forstå, at udelukkende kun en tilbagevenden til den amerikanske præsident Franklin D. Roosevelts krig mod Wall Street kan omstøde det igangværende kollaps af de vestlige økonomier.

Roosevelts berømte udtalelse, »det eneste, vi har at frygte, er selve frygten« er arbejdsprincippet i mobiliseringen af befolkningen til at gøre en ende på denne galskab – sammen med LaRouches advarsel imod enhver form for pragmatisme. Ingen delvise forholdsregler er mulige i en verden, der står ansigt til ansigt med termonuklear krig. Lederne af Det forenede Kongerige (UK) og De forenede Stater (USA) er, af deres egen regering, blevet bevist at være krigsforbrydere, gennem udgivelsen af Chilcot-rapporten i England og de hidtil hemmeligholdte 28 sider af Den Fælles Kongresundersøgelsesrapport om 11. september, 2001, i USA. Blair, Bush og Obama er blevet bevist skyldige i at lancere illegale aggressionskrige og i samarbejde med (eller kontrol over) terrorister, der skulle forfølge disse krige. Og alligevel er de fortsat på fri fod, og Obama sidder stadig i Det Hvide Hus, med fingeren på atomknappen.

Obamas fremstød for krig med Rusland og Kina er også i fare. Putin og Lavrov har trukket Tyrkiet ud af mobiliseringen for krig med Syrien og Rusland, mens Kina har trukket hele Sammenslutningen af Sydøstasiatiske Nationer (ASEAN) ud af mobiliseringen for krig med Kina. Verden ser hen til Rusland og Kina for lederskab og udvikling, i stedet for Vestens nedskæringspolitik og krig. Selv de europæiske nationer begynder at se vanviddet i fremstødet for krig med Rusland, og i stedet se det gavnlige i fred og udvikling gennem samarbejde.

Det Nye Paradigme, som Lyndon og Helga LaRouche har foreslået, baseret på menneskehedens fælles mål, er inden for rækkevidde, hvis Vestens borgere har modet til at følge Schiller Instituttets lederskab med »Den Nye Silkevejs« udvikling for hele verden, og med Friedrich Schillers vise ord om, at vi både må være patrioter i vore nationer, og samtidigt være verdensborgere.

*Foto: Den amerikanske udenrigsminister John Kerry og den russiske udenrigsminister Sergei Lavrov den 26. juli 2016, før en bilateral samtale på sidelinjen af ASEAN. (Foto: USA's Udenrigsministerium)*

---

**Efter terrorangrebene er det endnu mere presserende nødvendigt**

# at samarbejde med Rusland. Af Helga Zepp-LaRouche

*Den russiske præsident Vladimir Putin til FN's Generalforsamling i 2015: »Det, vi i realiteten foreslår, er, at man lader sig lede af fælles værdier og fælles interesser i stedet for af ambitioner. Inden for rammerne af international lov må vi forene vore anstrengelser for at overvinde de problemer, der truer os alle, og skabe en virkeligt bred international koalition mod terrorismen ... «*

Download (PDF, Unknown)

---

## **NYHEDSORIENTERING JULI 2016: Sidste chance for at stoppe europæisk bankkrak og krig**

*I kølvandet på den britiske beslutning om at forlade EU står ikke blot Det Britiske Imperium og EU's drømme om et imperium for fald, men hele det defekte paradigme, den vestlige verden har været præget af. Deutsche Banks krise er en sammenbrudskrise for hele finanssystemet, og Deutsche Bank må reddes for at undgå kaos – men samtidigt må banken bringes tilbage til Alfred Herrhausens politik for realøkonomisk vækst. Frigivelsen af de 28 sider må betyde afsløringen af Saudi-Arabiens og Storbritanniens støtte til international terrorisme og en fælles front med Rusland for at udrydde den. Chilcot-kommissionens rapport om Storbritanniens deltagelse i Irakkrigen afslører ikke blot Tony Blair som en løgner, men er*

*en opfordring til et skifte fra det vestlige paradigme for permanent krig tilbage til respekt for FN og national suverænitet. Det mislykkede kupforsøg i Tyrkiet, som kom efter tyrkiske tilnærmelser til Rusland, vil fremskynde Erdogans planer om total magt, men kan være med til at stoppe hjælpen til IS gennem Tyrkiet. Udtalelsen fra Den Internationale Voldgiftsdomstol i Haag øger faren for krig i Det Sydkinesiske Hav. Danmark og Europa må gå med i Kinas og Ruslands nye paradigme for fredelig sameksistens og fælles udvikling.*

*Dette er en redigeret version af en briefing af Tom Gillesberg, formand for Schiller Instituttet, den 18. juli 2016. Den kan høres på <http://schillerinstitut.dk/si/?p=13685>*

Download (PDF, Unknown)

---

## **Lyndon LaRouche: Produktivitetsraterne skal i vejret – i modsat fald overlever hverken USA eller Europa**

*25. juli, 2016 (Leder) – London/Wall Street-banksystemet har kurs mod et krak, og den grundlæggende årsag er den fuldstændige stagnation af den økonomiske vækst, men navnlig stagnationen i produktiviteten i de europæiske og amerikanske økonomier.*

Den amerikanske finansminister Jack Lew bragte sit embede i



miskredit ved det nyligt afsluttede G20-møde i Kina, da han opfordrede de andre lande til at gøre alt, hvad der stod i deres magt, for at øge deres økonomiske vækst, men sagde, at den amerikanske økonomi ikke behøver nye forholdsregler til kreditudstedelse eller investering. Den økonomiske vækst i USA er så lav, at Lew har behov for at bruge europæisk nulvækst til at puste sig selv op. Kina – hvis økonomiske fremgang og kredit har holdt verden oppe i et årti, og hvis økonomiske vækst er fire gange den amerikanske – sagde sandheden ved dette møde: »Situationen i den globale økonomi er dystert«, som Kinas handelsminister sagde.

Kina fortsætter med at skabe store mængder kombineret offentlig og privat kreditudstedelse (estimeret til \$240 milliarder alene i juni) til investeringer såvel i Kina, langs med det Økonomiske Silkevejsbælte og den Maritime Silkevej, samt i Afrika, Mellemøsten og Sydamerika – såvel som også til sit rumforsknings- og teknologiprogram, det mest dynamiske i verden i dag. Men de finansielle kræfter i London og på Wall Street, der gør verdensøkonomien »dystert«, skramler fortsat henimod et nyt finansielt krak med en økonomi, der ikke har nogen kapitalinvestering, er uden produktivitet og uden profit.

*EIR's* stiftende redaktør, Lyndon LaRouche, lagde ikke i fingrene imellem i sin kommentar til Lews forsvar for en død økonomi. »At sætte den form for standard betyder i virkeligheden fallit«, sagde LaRouche.

*Den politik må lukkes ned. Produktivitetsraterne for de realøkonomiske aktiviteter skal i vejret igen – ellers vil det hele eksplodere. USA og Europa vil ikke overleve. De kan overleve, hvis man gør, hvad der skal gøres. Og det er at sørge for, at videnskab bliver motoren for økonomiens reelle produktivitet.*

Det er ligeledes videnskab, der vil være motor for menneskers og husstandes reelle indkomststigninger.

Studier af USA's økonomiske historie kalder perioden 1935-1970 for »amerikansk produktivitets guldalder« på grund af den totale produktivitets vedvarende vækstfaktor – en vækst i produktiviteten, der kan tilskrives teknologiske fremskridt snarere end blot anvendelse af flere arbejdstimer og mere kapital. Væksten kulminerede under Franklin Roosevelts New Deal og de store infrastrukturprojekter med benævnelsen »De Fire Hjørner«, der voksede med 3,3 % om året. Det voksede stadig med lige under 3 % om året i 1960'erne under JFK, med det måske vigtigste infrastrukturprojekt af dem alle, nemlig NASA's Apolloprogram, der bragte mennesket til Månen med et potentiale til at nå endnu længere ud.

IMF, den Europæiske Centralbank og USA's Nationale Kontor for Økonomisk Forskning taler konstant om den totale produktivitets vækstfaktor og følger den nøje, alt imens de overhovedet ikke er i stand til at frembringe en sådan vækstfaktor. IMF har netop rapporteret, at, i årtierne under Bush og Obama var denne vækstfaktor i USA var 0,5 % om året, og at nu, i 2016, er den omkring nul. I »højproduktive« Tyskland, har den også været på 0,5 % om året.

Kina, som sagde sandheden ved G20 om den globale økonomis »dystre tilstand«, har haft en vækstfaktor i den totale produktivitet på 3,1 % om året siden 2004, ifølge den seneste undersøgelse, der er foretaget ved Harvard. Det er, hvad den Nye Silkevej og det kinesiske måneprogram skaber.

LaRouche har siden 2013 udtrykt dette behov som »de fire love«: Genindfør Glass/Steagall-bankregulering (begge de politiske partier er nu, på papiret, enige med ham). Skab statslige institutioner til udstedelse af ny kredit, der er rettet mod vækst i produktiviteten. Invester i de mest højteknologiske infrastrukturprojekter, med rumforskning i spidsen. Fokusér på at skabe gennembrud i videnskabens fremskudte grænse, som er videnskab og teknologi inden for termonuklear fusion, inklusive fusionskraft og fissions/fusions-fremdrift til rejser i rummet.

»I modsat fald vil det hele eksplodere. USA og Europa vil ikke overleve.«

Kinas forpligtende engagement mht. at forøge hele befolkningens arbejdskrafts produktive evne, som eksemplificeres i bygningen af De Tre Slugters dæmning, som ses afbildet her, har resulteret i en vækstrate fire gange så stor, som den aktuelle vækstrate i USA.

---

# **Efter terrorangrebene i Nice, Würzburg og München er samarbejde med Rusland endnu mere presserende nødvendigt – uacceptabelt at benytte anledningen til at indføre politistat. Af Helga Zepp-LaRouche**

*Det er derfor bydende nødvendigt og på høje tid at tage imod det tilbud, som den russiske præsident Vladimir Putin kom med under FN's Generalforsamling i 2015, og i hvilket tilbud han satte fokus på de fatale konsekvenser af Vestens politik med at uddanne angivelige »moderate« oprørere til at bekæmpe sekulære regeringer i Mellemøsten, som dernæst i stimer hoppede af til ISIS. Helga Zepp-LaRouche fortsætter dernæst*

*med at citere fra Putins tale, hvor han opfordrer til samarbejde mellem alle lande for at bekæmpe dette onde og nævner anti-Hitler-koalitionen under Anden Verdenskrig og understreger behovet for, at muslimske lande spiller en nøglerolle i en sådan koalition, i betragtning af disse ekstremisters korrumpning af deres religion, islam.*

*23. juli 2016 – Helga Zepp-LaRouche, forkvinde for det tyske parti Borgerrettighedsbevægelsen Solidaritet (Bürgerrechtsbewegung Solidarität, BüSo) og stifter af Schiller Instituttet, skrev en artikel om den strategiske krise og hvad det vil kræve at løse den. Det følgende er en oversættelse af afsnittet om terrorisme, i kølvandet på den dødbringende skudepisode i München den 22. juli.*

*Hele Helgas tyske artikel kan læses på BüSos webside: <http://www.bueso.de/node/8688>.*

Tyskland blev kastet ud i en choktilstand efter massakren i et indkøbscenter i München, med en 18-årig tysk-iraner som gerningsmand, og som fandt sted kun få dage efter, at en 17-årig afghansk flygtning med en økse angreb og sårede passagerer på et tog i byen Würzburg. Alt imens gerningsmændenes baggrund og motiver stadig er ved at blive undersøgt, så understregede Helga Zepp-LaRouche i en artikel den 23. juli, at terrorisme, uanset i hvilken form, er blevet en hovedtrussel for hele menneskeheden.

CSU-parlamentsmedlem Hans-Peter Uhl har ret, skrev hun, i at kræve forbedrede forebyggende forholdsregler og øget samarbejdet mellem relevante myndigheder, både nationalt og i udlandet, for at bekæmpe terrorisme. Men, i betragtning af den radikale islams udvikling og måde at operere på, så indebærer dette selvfølgelig samarbejde med Rusland, »det offer, der har den største ekspertise i de tjetjenske netværk og disses forbindelse til Sektor Højre i Ukraine og til ISIS, og som beviseligt, gennem sine militære interventioner i Syrien, er det eneste land, der har haft held til at trække ISIS' magt

tilbage.«

Det er derfor bydende nødvendigt og på høje tid at tage imod det tilbud, som den russiske præsident Vladimir Putin kom med under FN's Generalforsamling i 2015, og i hvilket tilbud han satte fokus på de fatale konsekvenser af Vestens politik med at uddanne angivelige »moderate« oprørere til at bekæmpe sekulære regeringer i Mellemøsten, som dernæst i stimer hoppede af til ISIS. Helga Zepp-LaRouche fortsætter dernæst med at citere fra Putins tale, hvor han opfordrer til samarbejde mellem alle lande for at bekæmpe dette onde og nævner anti-Hitler-koalitionen under Anden Verdenskrig og understreger behovet for, at muslimske lande spiller en nøglerolle i en sådan koalition, i betragtning af disse ekstremisters korrumpning af deres religion, islam.

»Siden Chilcot-undersøgelsesrapporten i Storbritannien satte fokus på, hvordan Tony Blair havde iscenesat aggressionskrigen i Irak på baggrund af overlagte løgne«, bemærker Zepp-LaRouche, »og efter afsløringen af de 28 sider af den officielle Kongresundersøgelsesrapport om angrebene 11. september [2001] ikke efterlod nogen tvivl om Saudi-Arabiens rolle i finansieringen af terrorisme, vil en politik med 'mere af det samme' være det samme som at være medskyldig i alle nye terrorangreb.

»De tyske myndigheder kan ikke længere skjule sig bag de sædvanlige sociologiske sofismer. Troværdigheden hos hr. Uhl og indenrigsminister Thomas de Mazière, hos medlemmerne af Forbundsdagens komité for interne anliggender og selvfølgelig, hos kansler Angela Merkel, vil afhænge af, om de indleder en officiel undersøgelse for så hurtigt som muligt at kaste lys over disse to dokumenters – Chilcot-rapportens og de 28 sider – implikationer og drage konsekvenserne af dem.

»Det er under alle omstændigheder uacceptabelt at bruge angrebene i Würzburg og München som en anledning til at opbygge en politistat sådan, som Erdogan er i færd med, og at

samarbejde med netop de lande, hvis rolle er blevet belyst i Chilcot-rapporten og de 28 sider.«

---

# **Kriserne i Mellemøsten og Nordafrika resultat af Vestens 'Elefant i en porcelænsbutik'- handlinger, siger russiske udenrigsminister Lavrov**

22. juli 2016 – I et gennemborende angreb på vestens igangværende politik i Mellemøsten og Nordafrika, der har resulteret i endeløse krige, ødelæggelse af institutioner og tab af hundreder tusinder af liv, sagde den russiske udenrigsminister Sergei Lavrov: »Det, der foregår i Mellemøsten og Nordafrika, er et direkte resultat af en meget inkompetent og uprofessionel holdning til situationen.« Som TASS i dag rapporterer, sagde Lavrov: »I deres ønske om at bevare deres dominans, handlede vore vestlige partnere som en elefant i en porcelænsbutik. I Irak blev den voldelige afsættelse af regeringen annonceret under falske påskud. Partnere siger, 'lad os løse problemet med Libyen, Syrien og Irak, arrangere valg og udradere terror'. De siger, 'Først må vi fjerne Assad [den syriske præsident Bashar Assad], og så tager vi kampen op mod terror bagefter.'«

Idet han bragte katastrofen i Libyen på banen, påpegede

Lavrov, at »der var en autoritær leder der [i Libyen], der også var ilde lidt, men der var ingen terrorister overhovedet under hans regime«. Lavrov fortsatte: »Og da han blev fjernet, blev Libyen forvandlet til et udklækningssted for terrorisme, og det i et land, gennem hvilket militante kæmpere og våben passerer mod syd [Afrika], mens de selvsamme migranter, der er et problem for Europa, rejser mod nord.«

Med et udfald mod amerikanere, der siger, »hvis det ikke er gået i stykker, så lad være med at fikse det«, bemærkede Lavrov, at Vesten gjorde det modsatte. »Irak var ikke knækket, Libyen var ikke knækket og Syrien var ikke knækket. De begyndte at fikse det og fik det, der nu foregår der«, sagde Lavrov iflg. TASS.

---

## **Hvordan skaber man fremtiden? Hvordan griber vi ind for at ændre denne kurs mod overhængende kaos?**

*Uddrag af LPAC Fredags-webcast, 22. juli 2016:*

Ben Deniston: ... for det er, hvad det drejer sig om: Hvordan skaber man fremtiden? Vi har sagt, at, da vi første gang lancerede dette (LaRouche-planen for redning af Deutsche Bank, -red.), så var der stor folkelig vrede over det. »Hvorfor prøver I at forsvare bankerne? Til helvede med bankerne! Lad hele skidtet brase sammen!« Men vi vil ikke have, at det hele skal brase sammen. Vi ønsker ikke en tilbagevenden til det 14. århundredes Mørke Tidsalder. Vi har brug for forstandigt, kvalificeret lederskab; det er, hvad vi diskuterer her, mht.,

hvordan vi kommer ud af den aktuelle situation og ind i en stabil position, som Franklin Roosevelt gjorde. Hvordan reflekterer og genskaber vi atter denne form for organiseringsproces, i dag, i en situation, der, for at sige det ligeud, er langt værre.

Det, som Lyndon og Helga LaRouche har påpeget mht. situationen omkring Deutsche Bank, mener jeg, er nøglen og angiver en model, og udgør en afgørende og nødvendig indgriben, men også en model for den form for reorganisering, som vi har behov for. Systemet er bankerot; vi har brug for et fornuftigt lederskab, der kommer ind og siger, »Lad os reorganisere det her. Lad os sørge for, at institutionerne fungerer, sådan, som Franklin Roosevelt gjorde. Lad os finde ud af, hvilke af disse forlorne, fiktive værdipapirer, vi må skubbe til side og glemme alt om; hele denne sindssyge derivatboble.« Men lad os bruge institutionerne sådan, som de var udtænkt at skulle bruges; sådan, som Herrhausen forstod det. En af de sidste bankierer, hvis ikke den sidste, på højt niveau, der rent faktisk forstod dette. [Alexander] Hamilton forstod det, Franklin Roosevelt forstod, at vi behøver disse institutioner til at muliggøre fysisk, økonomisk vækst; til forøgelse af samfundets produktive evne; til forøgelse af arbejdskraftens produktive evne. Det er absolut nødvendigt, at vi reorganiserer det finansielle system således, at det kan gøre dette, og at vi ikke lader det brase sammen i et kaotisk, katastrofalt sammenbrud; hvilket er den trussel, der nu er overhængende.

Jeg mener, at vi må se dette som en del af et samlet perspektiv, for vi diskuterer også alle disse udbrud, der finder sted mht. disse aggressionskrige og terrorisme. Det er i realiteten en del af denne samme sammenbrudsproces. Da Lyndon LaRouche i 2000 kom med den unikke udtalelse, at vi, med Bushregeringens overtagelse af præsidentskabet, havde kurs mod en 'Branden i Reichstag'-begivenhed, og som blev til virkelighed gennem 11. september [angrebet på World Trade



Center i New York i 2001], så var ét af hovedspørgsmålene hans vurdering af, at det finansielle system ville bryde sammen. Dette er ikke separate spørgsmål, men del af ét og samme spørgsmål. Det, vi nu ser, som en potentiel eliminering af dette anglo-saudiske, geopolitiske apparat til irregulær krigsterrorisme, er en del af den samme ting, som at genovertage det transatlantiske finansielle system og at genorientere det mod en sand patriotisk kurs, i ånden fra Hamilton og Franklin Roosevelt. Vi kan, som vi også fremlagde det ved vores seneste Berlinkonference, alliere os med Kina og med Rusland, i skabelsen af dette win-win-perspektiv; dette samarbejdsparadigme. Men sammenfaldet af disse spørgsmål er afgørende; for det drejer sig ikke om terror her og finanssystemet der, om dette eller hint spørgsmål. Det drejer sig om, hvordan vi anskuer situationen som en helhed og griber ind for at tage de nødvendige skridt til at komme ud af situationen.

[Se/hør hele webcastet, med engelsk udskrift, her \(anbefales\)](#)

*Titelbillede: Fragment af vægmalerierne i Coit Tower i San Francisco, opført 1933; vægmalerierne udførtes under regi af Projektet for Offentlige Arbejder, det første program for arbejde til kunstnere under Franklin D. Roosevelts statslige beskæftigelsesprogrammer under hans New Deal.*

---

**Den rette handling, der kræves i USA lige nu!**

# LaRouchePAC Internationale Fredags-webcast, 22. juli 2016

For fire uger siden afholdt Schiller Institutets en historisk konference i Berlin. Læs [Helga Zepp-LaRouches åbningstale ved denne internationale konference](#), med deltagere fra mange lande og alle verdens kontinenter. Helga indledte denne tale med en meget præcis erklæring: nemlig, at princippet om erinyerne nu dominerer historien. Denne konference fandt sted umiddelbart i hælene på Brexit-valget. Siden denne Brexit-afstemning fandt sted, har historien bevæget sig i et tempo, en rytme, der i stadigt hurtigere tempo har haft kurs mod det transatlantiske systems totale sammenbrud. Og ikke kun det transatlantiske finanssystem, selv om det udgør en afgørende del af det; men også det transatlantiske politiske system og samfundssystem.

Engelsk udskrift:

## **THE THING THAT IS REQUIRED IS FOR DECISIVE ACTION TO BE TAKEN IN THE UNITED STATES *RIGHT NOW!* INTERNATIONAL LAROUCHEPAC WEBCAST July 22, 2016**

MATTHEW OGDEN: Good Evening! It's July 22nd, 2106. My name is Matthew Ogden, and you're watching our weekly broadcast here on Friday evenings from LaRouchePAC.com. I'm joined in the studio by Ben Deniston, from the LaRouche PAC science team; and then I'm joined via video by two members of the LaRouche PAC Policy Committee. We have Diane Sare, joining us from New York City; and we have Michael Steger, normally from San Francisco, California,

but joining us today from Seattle, Washington, where he's preparing for a major conference which is coming up this weekend.

We can discuss that further.

We all had a discussion a little bit earlier today which was informed by the discussion we had with Mr. and Mrs. LaRouche yesterday. I think one thing that's very clear, is that there's no other way to describe this current period of history, than the one that Helga LaRouche has termed it, the Erinyes Principle. The Erinyes have begun their dreadful dance.

Four weeks ago was the historic conference sponsored by the Schiller Institute in Berlin. Go back and look at the keynote speech with which Mrs. LaRouche opened that entire conference – an international conference; participants from multiple countries, multiple continents, all over the world. Helga began that speech with a very prescient statement: that the Erinyes Principle is what is now dominating history. That conference happened right on the heels of the Brexit vote. Since that Brexit vote happened – which was a shock to everybody; nobody saw this coming – history has taken on a tempo, a rhythm, which has moved increasingly rapidly since that time, very clearly in the direction of a total breakdown of the trans-Atlantic system. Not just the trans-Atlantic financial system, although that's a major part of it, but the trans-Atlantic political system, and the trans-Atlantic social system.

What Helga Zepp-LaRouche termed the Erinyes Principle

—  
which is a reference to a very beautiful but very chilling poem,  
[*The Cranes of Ibykus*], by Friedrich Schiller, is also what you  
can term the Nemesis Principle. If you look over the last four weeks, I think that Nemesis is now the principle which is now dominating the course of history: the Chilcot Report has been released — an indictment of Tony Blair, George W. Bush, Dick Cheney for "aggressive war", a real crime under international law; the 28 pages of the original Joint Congressional Investigation into 9/11 have been released after years of a struggle to force their release. Everything that the 28 pages say is an indictment of this entire Anglo-Saudi-Bush-Cheney-Blair system.

I think it's worth remembering that the Chilcot Report and the 28 pages are addressing exactly the same moment in history, when Bush and Cheney and Tony Blair were lying about weapons of mass destruction, to so-called "justify" an aggressive war in Iraq. It's the same time they were suppressing the truth about their friend, Prince Bandar bin Sultan, channeling tens of thousands of dollars into a support apparatus made up of Saudi Intelligence agents inside the United States, to wage the worst terrorist attack that has ever occurred on U.S. soil.

Also, the HSBC Report. Right on the heels of the release of this report by the House Financial Services Committee, top HSBC executives have been arrested and thrown in jail in New York City. And you have the fact that Glass-Steagall — which will bring down the entire Wall Street phony money apparatus — has now made its way into both of the major party platforms.

If you look at the directionality of the complete collapse of this trans-Atlantic system as it is conceived of today, this is not something which can be controlled by those who sowed the seeds of this collapse. It's not something that's being controlled by George Bush, or Barack Obama, or Tony Blair. It is coming down on their heads as well. I think, maybe, another term that you can conceive of the Nemesis Principle, is the colloquial American proverb, "They reap what they sow." That is what is coming to bear right now. The issue is: they will bring down the entire system along with them.

The critical intervention of the recent two weeks by Mr. and Mrs. LaRouche, to act on the Herrhausen Principle, [is] yet one more expression of Nemesis or the Erinyes, the still un-solved assassination of [former Deutsche Bank Chairman Alfred] Herrhausen: to invoke that principle and to say: We're going to use the leverage of an intervention with Deutsche Bank as the vector, to completely reorganize this entire financial system back towards the productive powers of labor, the identity of human kind as a creative species, and to use the Hamiltonian principles of credit as Herrhausen was explicitly discussing them at the time that he was assassinated; and to transform – axiomatically – the entire foundations of this collapsing trans-Atlantic system, to bring the United States, to bring continental Europe into the New Paradigm that's being expressed by the win-win New Silk Road program of China, of Russia; and

to  
act on the solutions that were put on the table at that  
historic  
and very prescient conference in Berlin four weeks ago.

With the release of the 28 pages, with the political  
hegemony now that Glass-Steagall has, with both party  
platforms  
now containing this officially, and the vindication of the  
fact  
that Mr. LaRouche was absolutely right in his indictment of  
Blair, Bush, and Cheney at the time, as war criminals, with  
the  
release of this Chicot Report, the authority of the LaRouche  
movement and the hegemony of our leadership could not be any  
more  
clear, and I think now is the time, as perhaps, agents of the  
Erinyes Principle, to say, "Now the time has come for a  
complete  
reorganization of this system." And to use the fact that the  
leadership was very clearly expressed at this conference four  
weeks ago, to say, "The solution is very easy. It could occur  
overnight. The only thing that is required is the decisive  
political action here in the United States, to have a clean  
break  
with the policies of the last 15 years, of the  
Bush-Cheney-Obama-Blair regime." And to say, "This is no more.  
This is going to be explicitly and publically denounced for  
what  
it is, and we are now going to adopt an entirely new axiomatic  
set of principles in order to bring the trans-Atlantic world  
into  
this New Paradigm."

This is very clearly made, I think, in the lead  
statement  
that is on the website for today at LaRouchePAC.com: "Their  
Day  
Has Come, – And Gone!" Diane, you recorded a statement

yesterday

during your big rally at Columbus Circle in Manhattan, which I think also directly gets at this point – the petition that you have written that's being circulated. Where do we go from here?

What are the next steps, following the release of the 28 pages?

And also this critical intervention around the reorganization of

Deutsche Bank.

I'd like to say that, just to start off the discussion.

DIANE SARE: We're at a really amazing moment. I think it's important for Americans in particular to reflect. This is a very

hard time for Americans, because our nation is at the moment on

the wrong side. We have a killer, still, for President. We have

not yet brought all these characters to justice – Bush, Cheney,

Obama, and some of the others – although we're definitely moving

in the right direction with the 28 pages released, and with Glass-Steagall being in both party's platform, regardless of where the candidates may stand on it.

I was just reflecting on something Mr. LaRouche was describing many years ago, about a moment of change, a revolution, when things don't exactly go as expected. You turn the light switch, and the water starts running; or, you think you're turning on the faucet and the heat comes on. If you're thinking about what's happened in the last weeks, for example, the Brexit vote, which came as a great shock to many people, and

many other people were very cynical, who would say, "Well, if they can control the vote on everything, how come they

couldn't

control the vote on this?" Because the institutions themselves are so deeply divided and in such an uproar. Or, "Why couldn't they keep the lid on the 28 pages any longer?" Or, "Why did the

truth come out about Tony Blair?"

Or, take events like this attempted coup in Turkey, where

every kind of wild conspiracy theory was being bandied around. LaRouche has pointed to Putin and Putin's role, who really seems

to have had a very level head through all of this.

I think the way to remain sane, and to also ensure that one

is taking a correct course of action, is to really think about the future. Mr. LaRouche had said this to us on the Policy Committee a couple of weeks ago, that it's time for Americans to

assemble themselves, and re-consider their destiny. Perhaps we're

not going to understand every detail of why certain things are occurring, or what's behind everything that occurs in the moment,

but it is a time when we should consider where we really want our

nation to go. What was the intent of the founding fathers of this

republic? What was the intent of Alexander Hamilton? What are we

prepared to commit, to ensure that our nation actually gets off

of a trajectory of self-destruction, and perhaps annihilation of

the planet, and moves in a direction which would be in keeping with what Alexander Hamilton or John Quincy Adams or President Kennedy would have intended?

I think this is very personal. I also think it's very



important, because you had another one of these mass shootings today in Munich, Germany. People tend to get unnerved, or they say things that are criminally insane, like "This is the new normal. We just have to get used to it, and expect that any time

you go to a public place, someone might have a bomb or start shooting people." I don't think that's really how mankind should live.

The conception of the future, and the conception of a certain faith that there's a principle of Justice in the Universe

– these things are going to be absolutely key for us to navigate

this period and to successfully maneuver ourselves into the New

Paradigm which is emerging so dramatically in China and in the nations China's collaborating with.

MICHAEL STEGER: In that context, both the 28 pages and the

Glass-Steagall fight that we've been waging out, in some cases over a decade, really in both cases a mobilization of the political process in the United States, it reminds me of a similar intervention we made in 2004-5, specifically on the question of Franklin Roosevelt's legacy. What you see in this process, both with the Glass-Steagall and the 28 pages, is a resurgence of what is the last truly defined sense of higher justice within the United States from a government, which really

was comprehensive, from Franklin Roosevelt's standpoint. It was

not just the foreign concerns of security or the financial crisis; it was clearly the actual well-being and future-orientation of the population as a whole.

With both these campaigns that we have waged, you now see a

coalesced grouping of people who don't necessarily associate themselves with the higher mission at stake, but yet are clearly

participants in that higher mission: if this nation and the western civilization can actually find itself capable of joining

in the development and collaboration of Eurasia.

I think that's kind of a very clear point. That's something

that's coalesced. There is a momentum, there is a morale of potential victory. This "perp-walk" of this HSBC executive: now

here's a London banker, British subject, grabbed by the police at

the gate of trans-Atlantic flight, and marched into a Brooklyn jail cell for the evening. I hope we have some pictures of that,

because the American people should get a sense of what this was.

There's a certain retribution that should be handed down,

but I think most importantly – and what Franklin Roosevelt really truly grasped, and perhaps both John and Robert Kennedy had a sense of, as they became leading figures – was this future

orientation over the society. What this conference made very clear, is that [we're] moving now into a complete transformative

moment in history, [where] the capability and potentials for mankind's development are more clear than ever. This process, the

discussion we're leading, is essential, both in the United States

with those campaigns, but also internationally.

BENJAMIN DENISTON: Well, I think this puts the whole Deutsche Bank flank that Mr. and Mrs. LaRouche have defined,

in  
its proper and important context, because that is the issue:  
How  
do you create the future? We've said that when this was first  
launched, there was a lot of populist rage against it. "Why  
are  
you trying to defend the banks? Screw the banks! Let it all  
come down." We don't want to let it all come down. We don't  
want a return of the 14th Century Dark Age. We need sane,  
qualified leadership; and I think that what we're discussing  
here, in terms of how do we move out of the present situation  
into a stable position as Franklin Roosevelt did. How do we  
mirror and recreate that type of an organization process again  
now, in a far worse situation, quite frankly.

What Lyndon and Helga Zepp LaRouche have pointed to  
around  
the Deutsche Bank situation, I think is key and indicative as  
a  
model, but a critical and necessary intervention, but also a  
model for the type of reorganization we need. The system is  
bankrupt; you need sane leadership to come in to say, "Let's  
reorganize this thing. Let's keep the institutions  
functioning,  
as Franklin Roosevelt did. Let's figure out what of these  
phony  
fictitious assets need to be set aside and forgotten about;  
this  
entire insane derivatives bubble." But let's use the  
institutions as they were created to be used; as Herrhausen  
understood. One of the last, if not the last, high-level  
banker  
who actually understood that. Hamilton understood it;  
Franklin  
Roosevelt understood that we need these institutions to  
facilitate physical economic growth; increases in the  
productive  
capabilities of society, increases in the productive powers of

labor. It's absolutely necessary that we reorganize the financial system to be able to do this, and not let it come down

in some chaotic, catastrophic breakdown; which is the threat looming now.

I think this needs to be seen as part of a unified perspective, because we're also discussing all these break-outs

around the issue of these wars of aggression, the terrorism.

Really this is part of the same breakdown process. When Lyndon

LaRouche {uniquely} said in 2000 that we're heading towards a Reichstag Fire event with the incoming Bush administration, forecasting what became 9/11; one of the major issues in his assessment was the breakdown of the financial system. These are

not separate issues, these are part of one and the same issue.

What we're seeing now as the potential to really eliminate this

Anglo-Saudi geopolitical irregular warfare terrorism apparatus,

is part of the same thing as retaking over the financial system

of the trans-Atlantic system and re-orienting it to a true Hamiltonian, Franklin Roosevelt, patriotic orientation. So, we

can actually ally, what was presented at this Berlin conference,

ally with China, with Russia, in the creation of this win-win perspective; this collaborative paradigm. But the convergence of

these issues, I think is critical; because this is not terrorism

here and financial system there, this issue, that issue. It is

how do we look at the situation as a totality and intervene to take the necessary steps to move out of the situation.

OGDEN: Absolutely. One of the things Viktor Ivanov, who was the anti-narcotics czar of Russia, said very clearly [was] if you want to shut down drugs and terrorism, you need to have a global Glass-Steagall. What Glass-Steagall is going after is exactly what HSBC has been engaged in for decades. LaRouche knew that originally when he wrote {Dope, Inc.}; saying don't give these guys a charter in the United States. Don't let them operate in the United States; this is a drug and terror money-laundering bank. That's exactly what their DNA is. I think realizing that these are not all separate issues, but these are one and the same: what the Chilcot Report is implying; what the 28 pages are just the tip of the iceberg about; what Glass-Steagall is intended to shut down. This {is} the failed system, and you need to have then a solution that you replace it with. Diane, that's what I think was so important about – I mean, you just said this. The reaction which the American people could easily fall into in the present circumstance, would be mass demoralization; fear of random acts of terror, just sheer emotional exhaustion because of the struggle to survive on a daily basis economically, the heroin epidemic that is touching so many families. Just disbelief about the place that we've come to as a nation in terms of political candidates and the political process.

DENISTON: I don't know if they deserve that term, even.

OGDEN: You could face widespread demoralization. On the other hand, you need to have leadership; and that leadership includes a certain faith in humanity, faith in mankind and faith in a higher principle of natural law. This was very much what was probably on Friedrich Schiller's mind when he wrote that original "Cranes of Ibykus" poem; realizing that you had a demoralized population in France which failed in the face of a great opportunity of that moment. This was the circumstances in which Helga LaRouche has raised this continually over the years. The collapse of the Soviet Union in 1989; the great opportunity that was presented there. The great opportunity that we have in front of us now. So, that element of a faith or a sense of higher justice absolutely is the critical element. Why do we have these beautiful concerts that accompany every great international conference that the LaRouche Movement sponsors around the world? The Berlin conference ended with an absolutely unbelievable Classical music concert which included a dialogue of great cultures; from China, from Russia, from Europe. We're building toward a series of very significant concerts in New York City. All of those are critical to have a taste of the beauty of what the New Paradigm represents, in order to re-moralize a people to have a sense of that faith in the goodness of mankind.

SARE: Well, not exactly on the music question, but I think it's also really important to be concrete with people; because

Americans – like many people in the West – have gotten very brainwashed about the idea of money. They think that money per se has an intrinsic value. And when you talk about Deutsche Bank, for example, or you talk about what it would look like to reinstate Glass-Steagall here, because what we're saying is emphatically that we don't have a scheme to bail out the derivatives obligations of Deutsche Bank; that's not what we're talking about. We're talking about capital, so the bank is put in a position to be able to issue credit to be stable and to create an opportunity for the future; for collaboration with Russia and China, for great projects and infrastructure and science, and to be an institution that people have faith in. Similarly here, if we were to reinstate Glass-Steagall, the first thing that you would discover is that everything that people thought had so much value with all this money, really didn't amount to anything. What people might think they have in their pensions, or the stock market, would all be greatly diminished. That's why the immediate next step is this question of national banking and Hamiltonian credit; because what you would have to do, is be able to put credit into those things that would generate growth, that would actually generate an increase in productivity of the population.

So, you take something like the legacy of Krafft-Ehrlicke, the question of the space program – man's mission in space; we said we actually have to have a banking system that supports us figuring out whether it's feasible in the not-too-distant future

to have a manned mission to Mars, or something else. What would be involved in that? And what you would discover is, unless you did something about the transportation grid in the United States, there's no way you could get the bits and pieces and dialogue between the scientists to come together. In other words, it would force an up-shifting of the entire means of society's functioning.

If we wanted to develop fusion rockets – we took a trip here to the Princeton Plasma Physics Lab; and they're on the PSE&G power grid like all of the residential power. But when they're conducting an experiment, I think they by themselves are using about as much electricity as the entire rest of the state combined. It's a massive amount of power to do these things. So, if we were actually try and do this with our power grid right now, we'd just blow out the grid. We just would not have the electricity to continue to let people have air conditioning or run their blow dryer or their dishwasher, and figure out how we're going to launch advanced technology to outer space.

So, what you're talking about very concretely, are the means by which you increase the productivity of the population. And that in turn inspires a real quality of optimism, because when one knows that you're going to produce, or you're going to create something that will live on after you, or you're discovering a principle which will mean something to future generations, then you have a real sense of the value in your having lived. And today, I think people have been very much robbed of that; in fact, in a sense – and probably this is why there are so many



suicides – what people see is that in the United States, the standard of living is collapsing, the ability of people to be productive is collapsing. So, you say the sum total of my existence is that we're worse off than we were before; and that idea frankly is Satanic. It's anti-human. So, we have to reverse it.

I think we can; I think we're at a moment where we can. I think part of the reason we're getting a phenomenal response on the music, with people joining the chorus. People joining the chorus recently, there is absolutely no standard type of person who is joining the chorus. It is people who have never sung in their life, who cannot read music, who cannot match pitch even; to people who have professional training, conservatory training. And they all come together and have a certain quality of inspiration to work on this mission. So, I think this is what we actually can do. And what I was saying in the statement yesterday is that my sense – especially after being out at Columbus Circle in Manhattan – is somehow people are missing this. They've become so pessimistic that they're not actually seeing the enormous potential that exists. We've all heard the fable about the goldfish that's swimming in this little teensy bowl. You get rid of the bowl, and you put the goldfish in the ocean; and the goldfish keeps swimming around in this little tiny circle. In a sense, a lot of our friends in the American population are behaving as if they're stuck in this little teensy

world; when the reality of that world has shattered and there's something much bigger that we can be a part of. There are certain concrete steps that have to be taken, but with proper leadership we are in a position to actually do them.

OGDEN: I just wanted to respond to one thing that you brought up right in the beginning there about how there needs to be a concrete approach to changing people's concept of economics.

This is absolutely the Franklin Roosevelt element, but he was explicit; he said, "No longer is it the effervescent pursuit of

profits, but it's the thrill of creative effort." The paradigm

shift between what came before Roosevelt and what he ushered in

on the day of his inauguration, was driven by that principle; the

Hamiltonian principle. Driven by the idea that there's a concept

of the productive powers of the human species which is a completely different measurement than what you think of when you

talk about money. This gets at the root of what we've been discussing over the last few weeks with this Herrhausen legacy.

It's not coincidental that at the same time that Mr. LaRouche was

making his 1988 speech at the Kempinski Hotel, forecasting the collapse of the Soviet Union and the reuniting of Germany; which

frankly came as as much of a surprise as the Brexit vote. Who ever thought that Europe would just be completely disintegrated

the day before that happened? Even as the vote tallies were

coming in, it was the same kind of "nobody saw it coming" moment.

But it's not a coincidence that at the same time that was occurring, you had Alfred Herrhausen – who was experiencing himself a sort of transformational change in his understanding of what really drives economics in the first place. I was reading some of the writings that were published in English; and one speech that he delivered just shortly before his assassination, begins in a fascinating way. Showing you that he uniquely was ready to reconsider the entire axiomatic foundation of what the postwar Bretton Woods system was based on; understanding that it was driving itself towards a breakdown crisis. This is just the beginning of what he said. The speech was called "The Time Is Ripe"; so he began by saying: "The time is ripe; ripe for a new and broader approach to resolving the international debt crisis, with which major parts of the world have been concerned since 1982. And this new approach must take into account the meanwhile changed nature of the problem, and be based upon the structure of the realities now confronting the several participants in their various roles. This applies to creditors, debtors, governments, and to the Bretton Woods institutions – the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund."

Then he goes to discuss his proposal for either a moratorium

or a complete writing-off of the debt of the Third World and a new idea of directed credit towards the industrialization of Poland and Eastern Europe. This is the kind of Damascus Road conversion or you could say "Herrhausen Moment" that we need to inspire among similar leading layers in our society today; to realize this thing is gone. There is no saving the system in its current form; you can no longer put band-aids and piecemeal solutions. You need to reconsider the time is ripe for reconsidering the entire idea of what we had previously considered the axioms of this system.

So, in the same way that the 28 pages, the Glass-Steagall fight, the Chilcot Inquiry, these similar threads; we also need to have a victory moment on this idea of the Hamilton principle and the creativity of mankind as the true measurement of economic value.

DENISTON: I would just again reference people to Mr. LaRouche's Four Laws document, which he had issued I think two years ago now. We re-featured it in the context of these developments of recent weeks. It's a very concise, but very dense presentation of exactly this issue. I think for our situation in the United States, that still stands as the essential policy document to complement what needs to happen in Europe around Deutsche Bank, around the breakdown over there and the intervention needed. To complement with that with actions in the United States;

Glass-Steagall being part of the party platforms is a good step.

But as you're saying, it's just stopping the bleeding; and if we

don't actually move with the full credit system and the reorganization of the banking system as a whole and actual knowledge of where to invest this credit. It's going to take serious work after decades of a post-industrial, post-productive,

increasingly insane economy, to actually begin to rebuild a productive base again. This is going to be a serious program that's going to be required; and Mr. LaRouche's document there is

the reference point that people should be looking to.

Obviously,

we have it linked here in the description of this video; that should be circulated, read and studied, and understood in detail.

That is our roadmap at this point for this full recovery program;

centered around a unified conception unique to Mr. LaRouche about

the real science of human growth, human progress, human creativity. His work is essential at this point to overcome the

deep depths of the crisis we face in the United States. We need

an even better insight into the science of human economic progress that he's provided with his work.

SARE: I met a woman yesterday in the organizing who said,

"Well what do you mean Glass-Steagall? We can just do work on Deutsche Bank; we can just do more quantitative easing, that's what they've been doing. You just issue the money to cover their

obligations." And she was serious, so it does show the kind

of  
job we have to do.

DENISTON: Yeah, it worked great for Germany in the 1920s, right?

STEGER: Well, that's the thing, too. The Americans have such a small view of history; so much of the here and now. Helga has raised this as a subjective factor; but so few Americans actually have a broader scope of what we're confronting. What's brought to mind is Lyn's often-made reference to the Bertrand Russell dominance of this last century. I think most Americans don't really conceive – and I think Alfred Herrhausen understood this problem – is that Germany never really ever had a chance to fully embrace itself as a unified oriented towards this level of scientific advancement. Apparently, at the major event after the Napoleonic Wars, it was decided Germany would not be able to become a nation; as Italy would not be able to. There was an attempt to not let these nations or these people become sovereign, unified countries. It was only unified in the late 19th Century; and what follows then is Germany is basically manipulated into a perpetual state of war. World War I, World War II, and obviously the dominance of the Cold War; all of which was a cultural outlook governed by the Bertrand Russell outlook of a Satanic view of man. Herrhausen saw with a sense of optimism, a chance to break from that. I think that's what's

really missing in the American people today. The striking nature of the moment we're in.

Diane, you raised this question of how do you mobilize the population. For too many people, they're waiting; they're waiting for someone – "I'll know it when I see it" kind of quality. Just a lack of real understanding. But probably the best expression of this in history, in thinking of the various moments when there have been major upheavals, is really the American Revolution. The unique action by George Washington at that point, to clearly define a perspective of commitment of his own identity, his own fortune, his own honor, his own life; but really to shape an historical period. That really brought into bear Hamilton's policies and the whole orientation of the United States in terms of development. But the best way to move people is not to see when they're going to move; but to begin to move with a very clear campaign of what we intend to build and construct on the basis of Franklin Roosevelt, but really a much more advanced conception today because of the space program, because of what's developed. We're really at a moment of history where action of a quality of leadership is required; and to the extent we can make that clear, the greater chance we have of being successful.

OGDEN: Absolutely. That's the lesson to be gleaned from the developments in the recent period; that when we act as

true

leaders – in other words, not responding to events as they unfold over time – but setting the agenda for the future, history is shaped by that kind of leadership. That's very clear

from the 28 pages. If it had not been for the decision by the LaRouche Movement in collaboration with others, to make this happen; it never would have happened. This is not history just

sort of happening on its own; this is a mobilization of the system of government that we have, that was given to us. And it

was a decision to force this into being. If we had not decided

that we were going to force Glass-Steagall onto the agenda and say this is the defining issue, that never would have happened.

I think you can go back even further and realize that what's happening now in China and the allied countries of China, with the adoption by the most populous country on the planet of the New Silk Road, the Maritime Silk Road; this entire New Paradigm

of Eurasian development, directly came out of a decision that was

made in the aftermath of the collapse of the Soviet Union by Lyndon and Helga LaRouche to say: We are going to use this opportunity to put on the agenda what the future must become.

The Productive Triangle; the alliance between Russia, India, and

China as the three great powers of Eurasia; and the campaign to

bring Germany and the rest of Europe into that. That is now reality; that was the future; that is now the present.

I think it's that kind of way of thinking that the role of

real leaders is not to say what are the "objective circumstances"



in the present to which you have to respond, and to stake some sort of political position on, yea or nay. The real question is, who has the vision to say this is what the future must become; and how do we set the agenda according to which history is then forced to unfold?

SARE: I think one thing that Michael and I were discussing earlier, that would shift things dramatically, is if Americans would stop pretending that President Obama has any legitimacy in the White House and doesn't actually belong behind bars for the crimes that he's committed.

OGDEN: Jacques Cheminade said it well in the statement he issued after the Nice terror attacks. He said, maybe the Chilcot Report should send shivers up and down some people's spines to realize they're not safe. What are the Chilcot Reports of the future going to say about you, the people who have been defending the terrorist networks in Syria – al-Nusra – to overthrow the Assad regime? Those who worked with Prince Bandar and the rest of the Saudi regime? The people who set up Al Yamamah in the first place? When Nemesis comes to judge you, where will you stand? I think it's that kind of principle of natural law and justice which Obama and the rest of that retinue – as Jacques Cheminade said very clearly – these are the questions which must be asked.

STEGER: Then there's a certain lady in France who's facing a certain threat of that at this moment. The director of the

IMF

now faces prosecution for corruption. This process is unfolding

and I think the reality of it is, most Americans know Obama is probably one of the most evil and Satanic people on the planet today. The question is, not is he that; but is justice actually

possible. I think we've entered into a period of time where things that people thought were impossible have now become possible. The question is, are they up to the task of acting upon that? That really seems to be the characteristic. We could

have a major break on Obama; and some people may say, based on Presidential election timeframes, what difference would it make.

Clearly, at this kind of moment in history, a very clear and decisive act against the President to expose his crimes; this is

the President, by the way, who lauded himself on returning the United States to international law. It's just been made very clear by a massacre in Syria by US bombing; bombing which violates international law and Syrian sovereignty. The case is

building to bring down Obama; and I think there's probably a little bit of concern in the White House that things might be changing. The question is, is there the guts and courage to act

upon it. Like our friends on the 28 pages, are we willing to pull a Gravel and really take on the real moment in history?

OGDEN: Precisely. I think that's a very apropos parallel.

Not only was it the fact in very large measure that Steven Lynch

publicly threatened that they were going to have their Gravel moment; and come to the floor of the House and just read these into the public record that probably precipitated the decision

that they had no choice but to release the 28 pages in one form or another. But also, it's a very apropos parallel, because look at what effect Senator Gravel had when he took the action to read the Pentagon Papers into the Congressional Record. That precipitated the events that led to the impeachment and disgrace of the entire Nixon policy, the Vietnam War policy. What has now been revealed by the 28 pages goes far deeper than anything that was contained in the Pentagon Papers at that time. What this represents is the tip of the iceberg; and the fact that the people who have been involved in this are not satisfied. People like Congressman Walter Jones, Congressman Steven Lynch, former Senator Bob Graham, are not satisfied to just sit back and say, "Well, we just won a victory on the 28 pages." They all have been very clear; this is only the beginning. We know what this represents; this is the cork that has now come out of the end of the bottle. There is far, far more that needs to come out; this is the tip of the iceberg.

As we've said repeatedly, if you just follow the money trail from Bandar to the Al Yamamah deal, you'll see where these policies were originally born. It's very ugly; very bad news for the British monarchy and for the entire Bush/Cheney apparatus.

STEGER: Well, there's no envy of Obama here.

OGDEN: Michael, maybe you want to say a little bit about this event that you are going to be involved in this weekend in Seattle.

STEGER: It's indicative. We've got an event tomorrow in Lynnwood, near the Seattle area at the convention center there; and then another event in Bellevue on Sunday. What we're seeing is an increase in integration between our activity and institutions who are looking to collaborate on Russia's and China's intervention today; specifically on this economic perspective. What's driving this entire process, this higher question of justice beyond retribution, is really mankind's great potential for development. The space exploration question probably best qualifies the real nature of mankind's potential and orientation. You see that orientation coming from China probably most and best of all; and of course, the collaboration with Russia. So, there are Russian and Chinese networks throughout the West Coast, both in Seattle and San Francisco and in Los Angeles, who we find increasingly working with us. So, there's going to be a collaboration on Saturday, hosted by Dave Christie here from Seattle, along with people like Mike Billington of the EIR staff, a number of speakers from the Chinese-American community, nuclear engineers, aerospace engineers from Boeing, people involved in US-China investment capabilities, the Russian perspective. And then something similar in Bellevue, with the Bellevue Chinese Chamber of Commerce on Sunday. So, you see a real potential. You're beginning to see the New Paradigm, the win-win orientation of

the

New Silk Road; it's creeping in. There are numbers of universities now holding events on the One Belt, One Road policy.

I think the leadership of Japan has realized, as perhaps Erdogan

has had a certain Damascus Road conversion; it is clear that with

nearly 5 billion people and the largest growth potential mankind

has ever seen, there's no way any nation can {not} participate in

this orientation. I think these conferences this weekend will be

a significant part of that.

OGDEN: Great. I think we'll definitely have some coverage

of that, if not some actual video that people can watch. So, I

think that is a very comprehensive discussion; it sort of touched

all the bases. I would emphasize that Mr. LaRouche's initiative

and Helga LaRouche's initiative on this Deutsche Bank remains a

forefront item of mobilization. I think people need to take what

has been said here and develop that in terms of communicating the

credit principle as the foundation for an entirely new paradigm.

We will continue to provide material on that. I think what comes

out of this conference in Seattle this weekend will also make that increasingly clear. I'd like to thank all of you for

watching; again, ask everybody who is viewing this, to please

subscribe to the LaRouche PAC YouTube channel. This is LaRouche PAC Live; and we have live broadcasts many times a week, so you'll be sure never to miss one of these live broadcasts. Please also subscribe to the daily email, if you haven't already. You can get the LaRouche PAC lead directly to your inbox every day. Thanks a lot for watching, and please stay tuned to [larouchepac.com](http://larouchepac.com). Good night.

---

## Modstanden mod THAAD vokser i Sydkorea

21. juli 2016 – Den sydkoreanske præsident Park Geun-hyes kapitulation over for Obamas krigsoprustning i Asien møder voksende modstand internt i selve Sydkorea. Park godkendte det amerikanske krav om at deployere THAAD-missilsystemet (Terminal High Altitude Area Defense), med dets X-bånd-radar med en rækkevide på 2000 mil, i Sydkorea, til trods for, at hendes regering tidligere havde indrømmet, at det var ubrugeligt over for Nordkorea, men en reel trussel over for Kina og Rusland.

Kilder i Seoul sagde til *EIR*, at demonstrationerne imod THAAD-deployeringen ikke blot er et udslag af »ikke i min baghave«, men at de er landsdækkende og fokuserer på den ekstreme fare for at gøre Sydkorea til et mål i en udvidet krig.

*Xinhua* rapporterede i dag om førende sydkoreanske freds fortalere og lærde, der fordømmer Parks farlige træk:

\* »THAAD er et spørgsmål, der har en stor, negativ indvirkning på den Koreanske Halvø, Nordøstasien og ligeledes

verdensfreden, såvel som også Sydkorea«, sagde Cheong Wook-sik, direktør for Fredsnetværket og medformand af bestyrelseskomiteen for det Civile Fredsforum, under en pressekonference med udenlandske korrespondenter i Seoul.«

\* THAAD's X-bånds-radar vil blive opereret af de Amerikanske Styrker i Korea (USFK), og ikke af koreanske tropper. Der er ikke plads til, at vore tropper kan intervenere i operationen. Det er et spørgsmål om Sydkoreas suverænitet«, sagde Park Jung-eun, vicegeneralsekretær for Folkets Solidaritet for Deltagelse i Demokratiet (PSPD).

\* »(Sydkoreas THAAD-deployering) var en beslutning om at opgive militær suverænitet til fordel for USA samtidig med, at man hævdede suverænitet over for Kina og Rusland«, sagde Lee Hae-jeong, professor ved Chung-Ang Universitetet. Seouls omstødelse af sin tidligere holdning ville betyde, at man måtte skrotte Park Geun-hyes regerings storstilede diplomatiske politik og sikkerhedspolitik, inklusive det Nordøstasiatiske Samarbejdes Fredsinitiativ og det Eurasiske Initiativ, der behøver samarbejde fra Kina og Rusland, sagde professor Lee og tilføjede, at beslutningen om at deployere THAAD blæste den strategiske tillid bort, som Sydkorea har etableret med Kina og Rusland.

*Foto: Flere end 2000 sydkoreanske borgere demonstrerede den 21. juli i Seoul, imod opstillingen af THAAD-missilsystemet (Xinhua).*

---

## **POLITISK ORIENTERING den 21.**

# **juli 2016: Kupforsøget efter rapprochement mellem Tyrkiet og Rusland// og den tjetjenske vinkel Se også 2. del**

Med formand Tom Gillesberg

Video: 2. del:

Lyd:

---

## **Det mislykkede tyrkiske kup og amerikanske atomvåben**

*20. juli 2016* – I løbet af de seneste par dage har der været en bølge af bekymring over sikkerheden for lageret af B61-3/4-atombomber, som USA har i depot på Incirlik-flyvebasen i Tyrkiet. Den kendsgerning, at den tyrkiske kommandør for flyvebasen var blandt de højtplacerede militære officerer, der blev varetægtsfængslet tidligere på ugen, har øget bekymringen for tilstanden af disse våben, der estimeres til et antal på så mange som 90. USA har haft atombomber dér siden 1950'erne, da USA's Ingeniørkorps oprindeligt byggede faciliteten. I tiden efter den Kolde Krig var der krav om deres fjernelse, især fra general James Jones i 2005, da han var chef for NATO.



Andre siger, nej, de skal blive dér pga. Ruslands gen-opkomst. Nu drejer spørgsmålet sig om Tyrkiets stabilitet. Et problem omkring argumentet for, at bomberne skal blive, er, at der ikke findes noget fly i Tyrkiet, der er i stand til at kaste bomberne, hvilket betyder, at man ville blive nødsaget til at bringe specialfly, der kan monteres med og kaste bomberne, samt uddannet personel, ind til at kaste dem, ifald man beslutter at bruge dem. Dette rejser yderligere spørgsmål til, hvorfor de stadig er der.

Jeffrey Lewis, direktør for Det Østasiatiske Program for Ikke-spredning, for James Martin-centret for Studier af Ikke-spredning ved Middlebury Institut for Internationale Studier i Monterey, skriver i en artikel i *Foreign Policy*, at kupmagere i lande, hvor der tidligere har været militærkup, ikke har haft atomvåben højt placeret på deres liste over prioriteter.

»Skulle en fjendtlig junta tage magten over et land, hvor der er stationeret amerikanske atomvåben, kunne tingene gå hen og blive mere farligt«, skriver Lewis. Forsigtighedsreglerne på amerikanske baser, hvor atomvåben er oplagret, er fornuftige, fortsætter Lewis, men »de er baseret på en række antagelser om, at landet er stabilt og venligtsindet. Synet af Incirlik-basens kommandør, der kommanderes i gåsegang fra basen, er foruroligende præcist, fordi dette underminerer sådanne antagelser.«

I betragtning af risikoen for yderligere kaos i Tyrkiet, tilrådte pensionerede DIA-officer, oberst Patrick Lang, på sin blog her til morgen, at bomberne blev fjernet fra Tyrkiet så hurtigt som muligt. »Tænk på den potentielle afpresning, der ligger i at være i besiddelse af et eller flere af disse våben, i hænderne på vore fjender«, advarede han.

*Foto: Den øverstbefalende over Incirlik Flyvebasen, Bakir Ercan Van, var blandt de seks tusinde ansatte i militæret og retsvæsenet, der blev arresteret i hele Tyrkiet, mistænkt for at være involveret i det mislykkede kupforsøg, iflg. tyrkiske*

*nyhedsmedier i søndags.*