

COP21: Den eneste klimakrise, vi skal diskutere, er, hvordan vi forhindrer ti tusinder af flygtninge i at fryse ihjel

20. november 2015 – Hvis klimaforandrings-alarmisterne ønsker at diskutere den virkelige klimakrise i horisonten ved den forestående FN-COP21 Paris klimaforandrings-extravaganza, bør de formulere en plan til at forhindre, at ti tusinder af flygtninge fryser ihjel i Europa. Dette er temaet i en ny erklæring, »Terrorisme og Flygtningekrise i en Kold Vinter«, der blev udlagt den 19. november af videnskabsfolkene Paul Dreissen og Joe D'Aleo, med Allan MacRae og Madhav Khandekar, på icecap.us og nu også på mange andre websider.

Som de forklarer, så er ti tusinder af europæiske borgere frosset ihjel i vintre med ekstremt koldt vejr, hvilket er, hvad Europa har oplevet i en stor del af det forgangne årti. Disse dramatiske udsving i temperaturen er i realiteten fremkaldt, ikke af menneskelig aktivitet, men af Solen og andre relaterede kræfter. Men det er de handlinger, som de politiske beslutningstagere skrider til, der vil udgøre forskellen mellem liv og død.

Forfatterne påpeger, at, i ekstremt kolde år kan USA opleve omkring 100.000 ekstraordinære dødsfald. De rapporterer, at der generelt forekommer mere end 20 gange så mange dødsfald som følge af ekstrem kulde end ekstrem varme. For flygtningene, der lever i »ekstrem fattigdom, med dårlig ernæring, utilstrækkelig beklædning og tæpper, og som i

forvejen er syge og har improviseret husly«, kunne tallet for ekstraordinære vinterdødsfald blive svimlende; endda højere end de ekstraordinære vinterdødsfald på 50.000 mennesker i Storbritannien.

»Når en million flygtninge fryser under forringede omgivelser med utilstrækkeligt husly, mad, varme, beklædning og lægehjælp, og når 1,3 milliard mennesker stadig ikke har elektricitet – hvorfor i alverden skulle verden så indgå et forpligtende engagement om at bruge milliarder på angivelige, fremtidige katastrofer som følge af global opvarmning?«, spørger de. »Vi må anerkende, at rædselsfulde scenarier, fremkommet i computermodeller, ikke er en refleksion af virkeligheden på planeten ... «

»Det ville være en samvittighedsløs forbrydelse imod menneskeheden, hvis de nationer, der mødes i Paris, gennemtvinger politiske tiltag for at beskytte vores planets energi-berøvede masser fra hypotetiske, menneskeskabte klimakatastrofer, der skulle optræde årtier frem i tiden, ved at forevige fattigdom og sygdom, der dræber millioner af flere mennesker i morgen. Dette er de virkelige grunde til, at klimaforandring er et afgørende, moralsk spørgsmål, understreger de. »Dette er, hvad vi må erkende, og holde op med at lege med menneskers liv.«

Paul Dreissen er analytiker for Komiteen for et Konstruktivt I morgen (CFACT). Jason Ross fra LPAC's Videnskabsteam interviewede for nylig Paul Dreissen, forfatter af 'Miljø-imperialisme: Grøn magt, Sort død', til EIR's seneste Specialrapport, »Skræmmekampagne mod Global Opvarmning er Befolkningsreduktion – ikke Videnskab« (Se interviewet på dansk). Joe D'Aleo, akademisk seniorstabsmedlem ved American Meteorological Society, er medstifter af The Weather Channel.

**RADIO SCHILLER den 20.
november 2015:
5-punkts-program efter
terrorangrebet i Paris**

Med formand Tom Gillesberg

**POLITISK ORIENTERING
den 16. november 2015:
Efter terrorangrebet i Paris:
Hvad der skal gøres**

**USA: Kerry: Ingen bindende
aftale på COP21; franske**

Hollande rasende

12. november 2015 – Den amerikanske udenrigsminister John Kerry sagde onsdag til *Financial Times* mht. **COP21-klimakonferencen for global opvarmning i Paris:** »Der bliver ganske bestemt ikke nogen traktat ... Der bliver ikke nogen juridisk bindende mål for nedbringelse som i Kyoto, eller sådan noget.«

Den franske præsident Hollande fik bersærker gang. »Hvis aftalen ikke er juridisk bindende, bliver der ingen aftale«, sagde han til international presse på Malta på sidelinjen af EU-Afrika-migrationskonferencen. »Jeg ved, hvor vanskeligt, det er«, sagde Hollande, med henvisning til modstanden mod en bindende aftale i USA's Kongres. »Men vi må give Paris-aftalen, hvis der er en, en bindende karakter i den forstand, at de forpligtelser, der indgås, må overholdes og respekteres.«

Guardian rapporterede, at en global opinionsundersøgelse fandt, at indbyggere i Kina og USA var blandt de mindst bekymrede for klimaforandringen.

Talsmanden for EU's Klimakommission, Miquel Arias Canete, sagde som svar på Kerrys kommentar: »Paris-aftalen må være en internationalt juridisk bindende aftale.« Den franske udenrigsminister Laurent Fabius havde sagt, at det var indlysende, at enhver aftale i Paris ville indeholde elementer, der var juridisk bindende, og foreslog, at Kerry var »forvirret« på det punkt.

Det er selvfølgelig ikke kun den amerikanske Kongres, men også Indien og Kina, der ikke vil underkaste sig bindende selvmord i Paris, som de også nægtede at gøre det i København i 2009 (COP15).

Der er hidtil ikke kommet nogen kommentar fra Det Hvide Hus.

NYHEDSORIENTERING NOVEMBER: Samarbejde eller krig?

Flygtningekrisen i Europa er skriften på væggen. Kun ved at erstatte Obamas konfrontationspolitik med et samarbejde med Rusland og Kina kan der gives en vej ud. IS må besejres militært, men samtidig må der også økonomisk opbygning til. Høring i USA's kongres om faren for atomkrig. Obama afsløret som morder i nye, lækkede dronepapirer. Stem nej 3. december. Skift COP21 i Paris fra konferencen for folkemord til konference for udvikling for hele menneskeheden. Dette er en redigeret udgave af Tom Gillesbergs nyhedsopdatering fra den 9. november. Lyt med på www.schillerinstituttet.dk/

Download (PDF, Unknown)

Filippinsk senator Kit Tatad udgiver en magtfuld rapport om EIR's Specialrapport, »Skræmmekampagne om Global Opvarmning er Befolkningsreduktion – ikke Videnskab« og støtter Resolutionen imod COP21-Klimakonference i Paris

10. november 2015 – Tidligere filippinsk senator Kit Tatad har udgivet en artikel, hvor han gennemgår og roser *Executive Intelligence Reviews* rapport, »Skræmmekampagne om Global Opvarmning er Befolkningsreduktion – ikke Videnskab«. Artiklen udgives i hans sædvanlig spalte i *Manila Times*.

Senator Tatad, en fremtrædende politisk skikkelse, så vel som også journalist og forfatter som katolsk lægmand, identificerer først *Pavens seneste Encyklika, 'Laudato Si'*, som et, der »er blevet lovprist af mange, der lovpriser hvad som helst, Paven siger«, og siger dernæst, at mange »hengivne katolikker« mener, at det, at kalde »Moder Jord som oprindelsen til alt liv er poesi, ikke teologi eller videnskab, og en mulig glidebane hen imod hedenskab«.

Han henviser dernæst til EIR's rapport, der tilsigter at forhindre COP21-konferencen mod global opvarmning, der skal finde sted i Paris i slutningen af november, i at tvinge en

politik, der medfører en dødbringende befolkningsreduktion, ned over verden, baseret på falsk videnskab. Han citerer **Helga Zepp-LaRouche**, nævner de hovedskyldige (**Prins Philip af England og Kommandør af Det britiske Imperium, Martin Schellnhuber, Tyskland**), deres plan om at reducere befolkningen til en milliard og opfordrer folk til at underskrive EIR's (Schiller Instituttets) »**RESOLUTION: Nej til COP21-klimakonference for befolkningsreduktion**«, med en gengivelse af resolutionen i sin helhed.

(Kit Tatads artikel vil i sin helhed snarest komme på dansk på vores hjemmeside.)

**RADIO SCHILLER den 9.
november 2015:**

**Havner vi i himmel eller
helvede?**

**Faren for atomkrig, samt
muligheden for samarbejde**

Med formand Tom Gillesberg

COP21- 'Bulldozer' kan ikke lukke munden på Frankrigs klima-skeptiske vejrmand.

2. nov. 2015 – En hektisk fransk præsident François Hollande har optrappet sin internationale lobbyvirksomhed, der tilsigter at sikre en bindende international klimaaftale på den internationale COP21-konference, der åbner i Paris ved udgangen af denne måned. I dag bearbejdede han Xi Jinping i Beijing, d. 10. november er det de afrikanske statsoverhoveder i Malta, d. 15. november er det G20-topmødet i Tyrkiet, så Ø-Nationernes statsoverhoveder; og endelig, d. 27. nov. slutter han sig til den engelske Dronning, hendes gemal Prins Philip og deres skøre kugle af en søn Prins Charles, i en paradeopstilling af Mødet af Det britiske Statssamfunds Statsoverhoveder (CHOGM-2015) i Malta, til støtte for klimaforandringsvindlen til reduktion af verdens befolkning med seks milliarder mennesker eller mere.

Men som han satte ud på sin udenlandske lobbyvirksomhed, blev hans regerings fyring af den mest populære TV-meteorolog i Frankrig, fordi denne stejlede imod COP21's klimaforandringsløgne, til en celeber sag derhjemme. Philippe Verdier blev midt i måneden suspenderet som chef-meteorolog for det statsdrevne 'France Télévisions', efter han publicerede en bog, 'Klimaundersøgelser', der flår klimaskræmme-kampagnen fra hinanden og beskylder statsstøttede klimaforandrings-forskere for at være "manipulerede" og "politiserede".

Verdier fortalte RTL-radio i oktober, at han havde "lagt sig i vejen for COP21, der er en bulldozer, og at dette var resultatet". Han fortalte en anden fransk journalist, at han skrev bogen efter at være blevet "forfærdet", da Hollandes udenrigsminister Laurent Fabius indkaldte TV-meteorologer til

et møde for at beordre dem til at fremhæve klimaforandringsproblemerne i deres udsendelser.

Verdier optrappede i den forgangne weekend, idet han opslog en kort online video, hvor han rapporterer, at han er blevet bandlyst fra TV, siden han publicerede sin bog, *'Klimaundersøgelser'* og derefter tavst "foran Dem, i ytrings- og oplysningsfrihedens navn for os alle", åbner konvolutten indeholdende den officielle meddelelse om, at han er blevet fyret af France Télévisions, en måned før COP21."

En underskriftsindsamling lanceret til forsvar af Verdier af Frankrigs energiske minoritet af "klimaskeptikere", havde allerede 15.000 underskrifter d. 1. nov., inklusive underskrifter fra 10 parlamentarikere, rapporterede RTL-radio. Frankrigs videnskabelige modstand mod den store løgn, inklusive videnskabsfolk, der arbejder sammen med Schiller Instituttet imod denne folkemorderiske svindel, kalder sig for "klimaoptimister." De mobiliserer, og de får en vis mediedækning.

En undersøgelse, udført i kølvandet på Verdiers suspendering, foretaget af den dybdeborende website Arretsimages, afslørede, at mindst halvdelen af Frankrigs meteorologer er enige med Verdier og "optimist"-forskerne.

Klima-"optimister" i USA er i færd med at se på, hvad de kan mobilisere for at stikke en kæp i hjulet på præsident Obamas plan om at bruge Paris COP21-konferencen til at gøre det af med den amerikanske økonomi. November-udgaven af Heartland Institute's *'Nyhedsbrev for Miljø og Klima'* citerer forslag af jurist Chris Horner fra 'Competitive Enterprise Institute' om, at det amerikanske Senat før pariserkonferencen skal vedtage en resolution, kaldet 'Senatets mening' (Sense of Senate), der erklærer, at enhver aftale, der forhandles i Paris, skal ratificeres af Senatet for at træde i kraft. "Den altafgørende pointe er at sikre, at der ikke findes nogen rimelig påstand om, at 'Verden' med rimelighed kunne have troet på, at

Obamaregeringen binder USA til noget som helst i Paris.” Resolutionen kunne udstedes ved en simpel flertalsafstemning. Obamaregeringen forhindrer ethvert forsøg på at kræve ansvarlighed og afviste at tillade embedsfolk at vidne for høringen om internationale klimaforhandlinger, der blev afholdt d. 20. oktober af Senatets Komite for Miljø og Offentlige Arbejder, med senator James Inhofe som formand.

Schiller Institut: Resolution til forsvar for milliarder af menneskers liv: NEJ TIL COP21-PLAN I PARIS OM NEDBRINGELSE AF CO2 – Konference for befolkningsreduktion!

... Men kendsgerningen er, at Paris 2015-topmødet ikke kun handler om nationer, der potentielt set spilder deres tid og ressourcer på et fantomproblem, der kun eksisterer inde i computermodeller – den hæslige virkelighed er, at de programmer, der foreslås til nedbringelse af CO₂, ville øge fattigdommen, forringe livsbetingelserne og accelerere dødsraterne i hele verden. Verden kan ganske enkelt ikke opretholde en voksende befolkning med forbedrede levevilkår

kun med anvendelse af sol- og vindenergi og andre former for såkaldt »grøn« energi.

Download (PDF, Unknown)

Klimasvindel i vanskeligheder

26. oktober 2015 – »Det er ikke til at se det, ud fra det tilfredse spin, der stråler ud fra det Ovale Kontor, men i Bonn, Tyskland, i denne uge havde et oprør fra den Tredje Verden nær afsporet forhandlingerne om klimaforandringer i Paris til november. Selv om freden for indeværende er genoprettet, skete det kun ved at tapetsere med denne fundamentale gåde: Verden kan enten undgå en klimakatastrofe, eller også søge 'klima-retfærdighed', ikke begge dele.«

Dette er indledningen til en artikel af Reason Foundations Shikha Dalmia med overskriften, »Hvorfor 'Klima-retfærdighed' har fået Indien og Vesten til at fare i struben på hinanden«, udgivet af *The Week*. Dalmia stiller ikke spørgsmålstegn ved hele aftalens videnskabelige svindel, men er vred over implikationerne:

»Der er ingen lavkulstof-energiteknologier til rådighed i dag, der kan opretholde de økonomiske vækstrater, som disse lande har brug for, for at løfte deres befolkninger ud af nedværdigende fattigdom, for slet ikke at tale om at tilbyde vestlige levestandarder til noget, der minder om en billig pris. Over 300 mio. indere lever stadig under fattigdomsgrænsen, hvor de tjener mindre end 1 dollar om dagen. Indiens energiforbrug pr. person er 15 gange lavere end USA's. Indien må fortsat øge sit energiforbrug, og derfor sin udledning af CO₂, i mindst yderligere to årtier for at fjerne

dyb fattigdom, hvilket er grunden til, at landets nedbringelsesplan kun forpligter sig over for at skære ned på 'udledningsintensiteten' – dets udledningsrate som en procentdel af dets BNP – ikke selve udledningerne.

Med fokus på, hvad det vil koste i penge at implementere de krævede nedbringelser i udledning, bemærker Dalmia den udfordring, dette ville repræsentere »for et land, der endnu mangler at give grundlæggende kloakering, transport og infrastruktur til rent vand til alle sine borgere.«

Endnu mere langt ude rapporterer Dalmia, at klimasvindelfanatikere i Bonn drøftede, om handelssanktioner skulle påtvinges de lande, der nægter af dræbe deres befolkning i bekæmpelsen af kuldioxyds navn. Hun advarer: »Det er også tænkeligt, at et virkeligt fast besluttet Vesten, under en eller anden FN-lignende, global organisations regi, kunne forsøge at skabe en stående militær angrebsstyrke til at bombe eller drone lande til overholdelse? Selve menneskehedens eksistens ville trods alt stå på spil. (Var der nogen, der sagde præsident Al Gore?)

Læs også: Klimaforandring som middel til oprettelse af et globalt miljødiktatur, af Helga Zepp-LaRouche

Høje energipriser pga. støtte

til fornybar energi en uoverkommelig byrde for tysk industri

27. oktober 2015 – Den tyske regerings dekret om en afvikling af atomkraft og den efterfølgende gigantiske statsstøtte til »fornybar, 'bæredygtig'« energi har skabt en situation med uhyrligt høje og stigende energiomkostninger for industrien og sektoren for minedrift, som sidstnævnte har kaldt »ubærlige« i deres brev fra Hesses Industri- og Handelskammer (IHK). Brevet, der er stilet til Hesses delstatsregering, opfordrer indtrængende til, at denne dramatiske situation sættes på dagsordenen ved Energitopmødet i Hesse den 11. nov.

Brevet angriber i særdeleshed det faktum, at statsstøtten til »fornybar« energi vil være mangedoblet på fem år fra »energiomlægningen«, fra 2011 til 2016, på bekostning af de »ikke-fornybare« energikilder, der ikke modtager støtte. I øjeblikket står støtten til 3,5 cents/kWh, som til næste år vil stige til 6,5 cents. Allerede nu er energiregningerne til de tyske industriselskaber (i de meget energikrævende sektorer) to til tre gange så høje som i Frankrig.

COP21: Udviklingslande og Kina siger til klimakonference:

»Det er ligesom apartheid«

20. oktober 2015 – Nozipho Joyce Mxakato-Diseko, Sydafrikas delegerede til et møde i Tyskland for at forberede det endelige udkast til COP21-Folkemordskonferencen i Paris i december, sagde til konferencen: »Det er ligesom apartheid. Vi befinder os i en position, hvor vi essentielt set er holdt udenfor«, og sagde, at de fattiges synspunkter var blevet ignoreret, rapporterer de sydafrikanske aviser *Mail* og *Guardian*. Mxakato-Diseko talte på vegne af det tidligere G77 og Kina, der nu refereres til som »hovedgruppen af 130 udviklingsnationer og Kina« (Reuters), og som Sydafrika i øjeblikket er formand for.

Reuters tilføjer, at »den sidste uges forhandlinger om udkastets ordlyd, der begyndte i Tyskland i mandags, fik en stormfuld begyndelse med udviklingsnationer, der sagde, at deres krav var blevet udeladt fra det nedskalerede 20-siders udkast«. Det drejer sig om krav, der omfatter bestemmelser, som man tidligere har indgået aftale om, om finansiel støtte til omkostningerne for programmet til fattige nationer, økonomier og også om at fastholde de udviklede nationers ansvarlighed – bestemmelser, der i praksis kunne sabotere gennemførelsen af enhver aftale.

Lederen af USA's delegation Trigg Talley sagde, at den næste tekst kunne fungere som grundlag for forhandlinger, rapporterer Reuters. »Dette dokument indeholder mange ting, som de fleste parter ikke er enige i«, sagde han. Rige nationer ønsker at sikre, at fremvoksende økonomier vil forpligte sig til handling.

Ifølge Reuters: »Udviklingsnationerne vandt et krav i mandags om, at de kunne genindsætte nationale krav i teksten, hvilken skabte frygt hos nogle for, at den igen kunne blive uhåndterlig. Den forrige version kom op på 80 sider.« Med andre ord, så ønsker folkemordstilhængerne ikke, at de farvede

skal svare igen.

FN's generalsekretær Ban Ki-moon var på folkemordssiden: »Der er ingen tid at spille«, sagde han til en nyhedskonference i Slovakiet. »Det har været ganske frustrerende at se forhandlere kun forhandle ud fra deres meget snævre nationale perspektiver. Dette er ikke et nationalt spørgsmål, men et globalt spørgsmål.«

**Introduktion til EIR's
Rapport:
SKRÆMMEKAMPAGNE OM GLOBAL
OPVARMNING ER
BEFOLKNINGSREDUKTION
– IKKE VIDENSKAB! Inkl.
oversigt og links
til de artikler, der er
oversat til dansk.
En forhåndsvisning som PDF er
tilgængelig**

her. Oplæg til aktion imod COP21!

Her følger Indledning samt Indholdsfortegnelse til EIR's nyeste rapport, med henvisning til uddrag af de forskellige afsnit. Inkluderet er oversigt over de artikler, der er oversat til dansk, med links.

Indledning:

Executive Intelligence Review (EIR) *har netop udgivet en specialrapport, 'Skræmme kampagne om Global Opvarmning er Befolkningsreduktion – ikke Videnskab'*. Vi udgiver denne rapport på internationalt plan, nu, for at besejre indsatsen for at gennemtvinge en katastrofal og morderisk traktat om reduktion af CO₂-udledning på den forestående Parternes Konference 21 – COP21 –, en FN-konference om Klimaforandring, i Paris. Hele rapporten kan købes, på tryk og elektronisk, for \$25. [En forhåndsvisning som PDF er tilgængelig her.](#)

Pave Frans' kapitulation til den britiske kongefamilies Gaia-prinser, i traditionen efter Malthus, i sin nye 'klimaforandrings-encyklika' *Laudato Si'*, er en ekstremt alvorlig trussel mod menneskeheden og menneskeligt liv. De britiske, royale miljøbeskyttere og deres agenter har i 70 år insisteret på, at den menneskelige befolkning skulle reduceres over en periode til højst 1-2 mia. mennesker, med påstanden om, at dette skulle være Jordens maksimale 'bæredygtighed'. At udføre en sådan Gaia-politik betyder, at dødsraterne vil stige ubegrænset over hele planeten.

Dette er de presserende nødvendige grunde til, at denne specialrapport nu er blevet

udarbejdet.

Den påstand, at menneskeskabte CO₂-udledninger forårsager katastrofale forandringer i Jordens klima, er et af de største og mest forbryderiske bedragerier, der nogen sinde er blevet begået i menneskehedens historie. Selve påstanden nødvendiggør manipulation af videnskabelige data, hårdhændet afpresning og svindel for at skabe den falske påstand, at der skulle være en videnskabelig 'konsensus', samt overførslen af milliarder af dollars fra en allerede nødstedt verdensøkonomi, over til en kendt, videnskabelig blindgyde. Det er imidlertid den tilsigtede virkning af at faldbytte denne løgn – nemlig den hastige 'dekarbonisering' af verdensøkonomien og undertrykkelsen af den tredje verdens økonomiske vækst – der en dag måske vil gøre dem, der faldbytter denne løgn, fortjent til deres egen Nürnberg-retssag.

Lige fra begyndelsen har det, der skulle blive til miljøbevægelsen, aldrig handlet om miljøet. Som vi fremlægger det i denne EIR-specialrapport, så er den moderne, grønne bevægelse, og har altid været, et påskud for befolkningsreduktion – det, som Helga Zepp-LaRouche i sin introduktion til rapporten refererer til som 'meget gammel vin på nye sække'. Mens den oligarkiske, malthusianske verdensanskuelse imidlertid er blevet genfødt og omarbejdet som 'at redde planeten', så er de gode nyheder, at vi i dag, med ægte videnskab, og med den ånd for udvikling, der besjæler BRIKS-nationerne og deres associerede, har en mulighed for at besejre denne uvidenskabelige, anti-humane ideologi én gang for alle.

Introduktionen til rapporten, skrevet af Schiller Instituttets præsident, Helga Zepp-LaRouche, giver den geopolitiske sammenhæng i fortid og nutid, i hvilken den grønne bevægelse er blevet til, og i lyset af hvilken den nuværende promovning af en grøn dagsorden for nulvækst og folkemord skal ses. Zepp-LaRouche udråber ved navns nævnelse lederne af skandalen med

klimaforandring, inklusive Dronning Elizabeth II og Hans Schellnhuber, der blev slået til ridder af Dronning Elizabeth personligt, og som spillede en ledende rolle i Tysklands fravalg af kernekraft og landets energipolitik, siden dette fravalg fandt sted. Zepp-LaRouches introduktion følges op af yderligere baggrundsmateriale om miljøbevægelsens politiske og ideologiske fundamenter, og af komplette dossiers over Hans Joachim Schellnhuber, Prins Philip og Martin Palmer og deres bevidste roller i udbredelsen af propaganda for en kendt løgn, inklusive deres rolle i udformningen af encyklikaen *Laudato Si'*.

Sidste del af rapporten fremlægger den virkelige videnskab bag klimaforandring, og den virkelige videnskab for en virkelig moderne energipolitik. CO₂ er ikke en hovedfaktor bag klimaforandring, og vi har ingen grund til at frygte stigninger i menneskets udledning af CO₂. De klimaforandringer, vi har set, og dem, vi vil få at se i fremtiden, er i overvældende grad resultatet af naturlige indflydelser, med kredsløbsforandringer, solaktivitet og, over lange tidsperioder, vores sols bevægelse igennem galaksen som de vigtigste faktorer. Det, vi bør interessere os for (snarere end for CO₂), er udvikling af en økonomisk politik, der hurtigt vil øge energi-gennemstrømnings-tætheden i verdensøkonomien – anført af et program for fusionskraft som motor – og som vil give menneskeheden mulighed for at forbedre sine livsvilkår, få en befolkningstilvækst og få tilført evnen til at forbedre Jordens tilstand.

Hvorfor du bør købe denne rapport

Dette er ikke kun et problem for forskere eller politiske beslutningstagere, men udgør et af vor tids afgørende spørgsmål, og med mindre du tilfreds med at leve under en beviselig, hæsliig løgn, der fortjener sin egen rettergang i Nürnberg-stil, må du kende kendsgerningerne, og du må være med til at rette fejlen. At købe denne rapport og cirkulere dens indhold giver dig og dit netværk en mulighed for at gøre netop

dette.

Denne rapport udgør det centrale materiale i en international mobilisering, der er en optakt til COP21-klimatopmødet i Paris i december 2015, hvor regeringer og politiske beslutningstagere vil blive udsat for et ulideligt pres for at underkaste sig den folkemorderiske, grønne dagsorden med befolkningsreduktion. Vi har til hensigt at gøre topmødet i Paris til en total fiasko, måske til historiens endegyldige COP-topmøde.

Ved at købe denne rapport støtter du vores bestræbelser på at bringe dette budskab til den amerikanske Kongres i Washington, D.C., til De forenede Nationers Generalforsamling i New York og til hele Europa, med det internationale, diplomatisk korps, der forbereder sig til FN's Generalforsamling i september måned, og dernæst til COP21 i Paris.

Dette slag er meget vigtigt at vinde, og vi har en unik mulighed for at vinde det. En tak for din støtte til vores indsats, og for at skabe en lysere fremtid for de næste generationer.

Indholdsfortegnelse, EIR's Specialrapport:

**»Skræmmekampagne om global
opvarmning**

**er befolkningsreduktion – ikke
videnskab«**

Introduction

- **Defend Mankind from the Satanic Climate-Change Swindle**
by Helga Zepp-LaRouche
 - **Oversat til dansk med titlen: Klimaforandring som middel til oprettelse af et globalt miljø-diktatur**
1. **Depopulation Plot: British Satanists Capture The Vatican**
 - **How the British Turned Genocide and Race Science 'Green'**
by Jeffrey Steinberg
 - **British Crown's Depopulation Pope: CBE Hans Joachim Schellnhuber**
 - **The Encyclical from Hell by Paul Gallagher**
 - **Prince Philip: Founding Father of the Environmentalist Movement**
by Alicia Cerretani
 - **Interview: Paul Driessen Develop the Ultimate Resource—The Mind**
 - *** Oversat til dansk med titlen: Interview med**

Paul Dreissen, forfatter til bogen: 'Miljø-imperialisme: Grøn magt, Sort død

1. The True Science of Climate

- **Temperature Doesn't Follow CO₂ As Alarmists Claim**
by Benjamin Deniston
- *** Oversat til dansk: Denistons video-introduktion til ovenstående, med titlen: LPAC Videnskabssteam afliver myten om CO₂**
- **What Causes Climate Change?**
The Sun, the Solar System, and the Galaxy
by Benjamin Deniston
- **'Methods' of Climate Alarmists**
by Benjamin Deniston

III. Reject 'Decarbonization Fraud

- **Increasing Energy Flux-Density:**
The Only Competent Energy Policy
by Benjamin Deniston
- **Germany:**
Case Study in the Failure of Green Energy
by Alicia Cerretani, Benjamin Deniston
- **The Facts on Fusion**
by Liona Fan-Chiang, Benjamin Deniston

Wall Street and London Made a 'Carbon Copy'
of the Subprime Swindle
by Paul Gallagher

• U.S. 'Green Disease' Spread After Kennedys
and King Were Eliminated
by Marcia Merry Baker

Buy the full special report for \$25
Subscribe to <i>EIR Online</i>

Tema: Lpac Videnskabsteam afslører CO₂-svindlen: Global opvarmning dækker over befolkningsreduktion

Igen, grunden til, at vi diskuterer dette, er spørgsmålet om denne kommende konference i Paris (i december måned i år). Der er et fornyet, desperat forsøg på at forsøge at få en juridisk bindende traktat på plads, hvor nationer ville aftale at begrænse deres CO₂-udledning, begrænse deres økonomiske udvikling og rette sig efter disse vanvittige ideer.

Leder 30. september 2015: Obama – udmanøvreret, skubbet af scenen, kørt ud på et sidespor, men ... Stadig i embedet

I den nye lederskabsdynamik, der demonstreredes på FN's Generalforsamling, og som anføres af præsident Putin, præsident Xi og andre BRIKS-nationer og samarbejdspartnere, er præsident Obama og hans herrer på dramatisk vis blevet udmanøvreret og skubbet af scenen. Faren består i, at Obama stadig er i embedet. Dette definerer amerikanernes fortsatte opgave, som den blev gentaget i fuld offentlighed under et livligt gademøde, der blev afholdt af **aktivister fra LPAC's Manhattan-projekt mandag ved FN Plaza**, med det klare budskab på deres bannere, som dette: »Obama: Hjælp med Verdensfreden: Gå af i dag!«

Et foto af denne planche, samt et andet: »Putins stopper Obamas Holocaust; Smid Obama ud«, blev sendt ud i hele verden af Sputnik News i løbet af de seneste 48 timer. Sputnik-Amerika dækkede fhv. senator Mike Gravel i går, under overskriften, **»Obama burde tilslutte sig Putin i en forenet indsats i Syrien – fhv. amerikansk senator«**.

Med hensyn til Syrien, så var den ene, umiddelbare og konkrete ting, der kom ud af det 90 minutter lange møde mandag aften mellem Putin og Obama, en bekræftelse på, at det amerikanske

og russiske militær ville kommunikere med hinanden med det formål at fremme »de-konfliktion« i deres militære operationer. Det er ironisk, eftersom netop denne proces blev indledt den 18. sept. i en telefonsamtale mellem den russiske forsvarsminister Shoigu og den amerikanske forsvarsminister Carter, men kort tid efter blev afvist af Obamaregeringen. Dette er typisk, og farligt. Kerry og Lavrov skal også mødes onsdag for yderligere at gå i dybden med de russiske, diplomatiske initiativer. Ifølge en amerikansk kilde, så dominerede Putin diskussionen med Obama med konkrete forslag til en reel krig, med FN-mandat, for at udslette Islamisk Stat, og han fik for første gang fornemmelsen af, at Obama var blevet tvunget til at høre efter.

Men, som Lyndon LaRouche gentog tirsdag, så findes der ingen tryghed, før Obama er fuldstændig fjernet fra magten.

Efter Putin-Obama-mødet førte Putin omgående en lang, åben dialog med russiske journalister ([tilgængelig i fuld længde på Kremls webside](#)).

Men Obama forlod mødet uden et ord.

Putin gentog til reporterne det, han tidligere på dagen havde sagt til FN's Generalforsamling: de amerikanske, franske og australske bombninger i Syrien er illegale, uden et mandat fra FN's Sikkerhedsråd eller en invitation fra den syriske regering. Vi må i stedet handle efter streng »overholdelse af normerne for international lov ... «

Putin opfordrer til samarbejde om Syrien for at bekæmpe terrorisme, og han opfordrede til, at der afholdes et møde mellem alle de berørte parter i oktober måned. Der har været flere russiske initiativer om dette, forud for denne uges møde i FN. Det fælles efterretningscenter i Bagdad (Rusland, Iran, Irak og Syrien) blev lanceret og vil være operationelt i oktober; der er en parallel, bilateral kanal mellem Rusland og Israel; og sluttelig mødtes Rusland, Kina og Indien for nogen

tid siden i Beijing for at iværksætte et omfattende samarbejde om international terrorisme inden for rammerne af FN's Sikkerhedsråd.

Midt i alle disse vigtige handlinger kommer et særligt, strategisk initiativ imod de grønne fascister. Under præsident Putins tale den 28. sept. til FN's Generalforsamling fremkom han med det tilbud, at Rusland, sammen med FN, ville ko-sponsorere en global konference om »biosfæren og tekno-sfæren« for at behandle nye, avancerede teknologier, der kan løse problemet med ressource-udtømmning og forbedre planetens miljø. Hertil kommer, at den indiske premierminister Modi har genoptaget sit angreb på den udviklede sektors intriger, der blev lanceret i København (COP15), og advarede om, at Indien ikke vil acceptere nogen såkaldte aftaler om klimaforandring, der udelukker nationerne i udviklingssektoren fra reel, videnskabsdrevet, økonomisk vækst. Under et møde på tomandshånd med Modi fik præsident Obama efter sigende et stilfærdigt vredesanfald over Modis modstand og vil sende amerikanske regeringseksponenter til New Delhi for at klemme Indien, forud for klimatopmødet i december i Paris (COP21).

Leder, 28. september 2015: Krig eller fred står på spil i Manhattan i dag

I dag, mandag den 28. sept., er en afgørende mærkedag i historien, med den russiske præsident Vladimir Putin, der kommer til Manhattan for at fremlægge sin flankemanøvre imod præsident Obama og hans herrer i London og på Wall Street. Det

er et opgør mellem kreativitet og sindssyge, mellem global udvikling og global disintegration; mellem krig og fred. Putin er allerede begyndt at deployere ekstensivt militærudstyr i Syrien, på anmodning fra den legitime, syriske regering under præsident Assad. Som han sagde til Charlie Rose i et interview, der skal sendes i afsnit mellem søndag nat og tirsdag, så er han forpligtende engageret til at forsvare denne legitime regering, eftersom »alle andre handlinger i modsat retning« ville skabe en katastrofe, »som vi nu ser det« i Libyen. Han påpegede, at denne deployering var helt i overensstemmelse med international lov, ulig tilsvarende deployeringer fra præsident Obamas side, hvis

»levering af militær støtte til illegale strukturer er i modstrid med principperne i moderne, international lov og De forenede Nationers Charter«.

Der er panik i Det Hvide Hus under Obamas forberedelser til at mødes privat med Putin, efter at de begge taler til Generalforsamlingen i dag. En afvisning af Putins forslag om en international koalition mellem nationer for at beskytte den suveræne stat Syrien, og verden, mod det barbariske ISIS, vil afsløre Obama som en åbenlys støtte af præcis disse terroristnetværk – nøjagtig som general Michael Flynn, den tidligere chef for det amerikanske Forsvarets Efterretningstjeneste (DIA), sagde den 31. juli til Al Jazeera, hvor han anklagede Obama for »bevidst« at støtte al-Qaeda for at opnå et regimeskift i Libyen og Irak.

TASS rapporterede søndag, at Irak, Iran, Syrien og Rusland allerede har etableret et center i Bagdad for koordinering af efterretninger og operationer imod ISIS, og som skal ledes af repræsentanter for disse nationers generalstabe. Vil Obama modsætte sig denne indsats?

Præsident Xi Jinping sendte yderligere chokbølger gennem FN i lørdags, hvor han fremlagde kinesiske planer om yderligere flere store udviklingsprojekter i hele verden, med en alvorlig

kritik af den eksisterende politik, hvor der kun gives hjælp til de lande, der lydigt følger de vestlige magters ordre. Kina, sagde han, vil, i sine udviklingsprojekter, sætte »retfærdighed over interesser«.

Og den tredje leder af Rusland-Kina-Indien-trekanten, der anfører BRIKS-nationerne i skabelsen af et nyt paradigme for fred gennem udvikling, sprængte hul i den grønne fascistdagsordens planer om at bruge svindelen med global opvarmning til at nedlukke udvikling over hele verden. Den indiske premierminister Narendra Modi sagde til FN's generalsekretær, Ban Ki-moon, at der bestod et »tillidsunderskud« over for de udviklede lande, der bruger klimaspørgsmålet til at afholde udviklingslandene fra at forbedre deres befolkningers levestandard, under påskuddet om kontrol af CO₂-udledning. Det var Indien og Kina, der førte an i den heldige afvisning af tvungen nedbringelse af CO₂, og som ødelagde Klimakonferencen i København i 2009, »COP15«, og det er sandsynligt, at vi kan vente det samme ved »COP21«, Klimakonferencen i Paris i december måned.

EIR's nye Specialrapport, *Skræmmekampagne om 'Global Opvarmning' er Befolkningsreduktion, ikke Videnskab[1]*, må læses og distribueres for at sikre netop et sådant resultat.

I lørdags blev **Lyndon LaRouche**, under en konference i **Manhattan**, spurgt, hvilken fremgangsmåde, man skulle anvende for at mobilisere folk på et tidspunkt, hvor faren, som nu, er så alvorlig. Han svar var, i uddrag:

»Obama var på randen af at lancere en atomkrig fra selve USA. Det var, hvad han var helliget til. Og Putin blokerede det! Hvordan blokerede han det? Han gik over til en anden kæde og ændrede spørgsmålet og kom ind i et helt område, som ikke var det europæiske område i den almindelige forstand. Og hele dette område, fra Tyskland, og fra andre dele af Europa, begyndte at respondere til det, Putin havde gjort!

Pointen er derfor, at vi på alle punkter altid må søge menneskehedens fremskridt, i den forstand, at vi søger efter menneskelig udødelighed i de mennesker, der vil tage vores plads, når de skal tage vores plads, ud fra den antagelse, at de vil være i stand til, som en gruppe mennesker, som et samfund, at skabe evnen til ægte menneskelighed i fremtiden, eller i nutiden og ind i fremtiden.

Og det er denne optimisme, der giver folk inspiration til at hellige deres liv til det, der ligger forude, selv, hvis de skulle stå over for en trussel om døden. Og de inspireres af den kendsgerning, at de har folk, der bidrager til udviklingen af en mere fremskreden grad af udvikling af samfundet, end de nogen sinde tidligere har kendt.»

Titelbillede: St. Georg dragedræberen

[1] Se: [Rapport fra pressekonferencen i anledning af rapportens udgivelse](#)

Samt også: [Introduktion til EIR's rapport v/Helga Zepp-LaRouche, »Klimaforandring som middel til oprettelse af et globalt miljødiktatur«](#)

**RADIO SCHILLER den 28.
september 2015:**

Optakt til Obama-Putin møde ved FN/ Xi Jinpings statsbesøg i USA

Med formand Tom Gillesberg

Modi forklarer Ban Ki-moon, at fattigdom, ikke CO2-udslip, er vigtigst

Den 27. september 2015 – Da Indiens premierminister Narendra Modi d. 25. september diskuterede med FN's generalsekretær Ban Ki-moon under FN's møde om mål for bæredygtighed, bragte han emnet om manglende tillid op. Ifølge talsmanden for Indiens udenrigsministerium Vikas Swarup bruger industrilandene tilsyneladende klimaemnet til at holde udviklingslandenes befolkningers levestandard nede, med påskud om at kontrollere CO2-udslippet, rapporterede Indo-Asian News Service (IANS) i dag.

Modi sagde, at udviklingslandene skal have mulighed for at udvikle sig, og at industrilanden politik om at indføre restriktioner og kontrol af udviklingen ikke var vejen frem. »Der var brug for positive foranstaltninger, som f.eks. lempelser af finansieringen for projekter relateret til klimaforandringer, samt overførsel af teknologi, for at fremme bæredygtig udvikling, frem for negative foranstaltninger, der fokuserede på at begrænse CO2-udslippet«, sagde han ifølge IANS.

Senere på dagen, da han talte til et særligt FN-topmøde, betonedede Modi, at lande som Kina, Indien og Brasilien huser millioner af mennesker, der lever under ekstrem fattigdom (mindre end \$1.25 om dagen) – hhv. 80, 280 og 10 millioner. Det handler ikke kun om at opfylde de fattiges behov og om at opretholde deres værdighed eller tage moralsk ansvar, men at indse, at målet for en bæredygtig fremtid ikke kan opnås, hvis ikke dette fattigdomsproblem bliver adresseret, sagde han ifølge BRICS Post. Næsten 60 % af landbefolkningen i Indien lever i »afsavn« ifølge en måling, der måler syv socialøkonomiske forhold fremlagt i en regeringsundersøgelse fra tidligere i år, skrev BRICS Post.

LPAC Fredags-Webcast, 25. september 2015:

Hvad er Lyndon LaRouches råd til præsidenterne Obama og Putin forud for deres møde på tomandshånd i New York?

Mandag i denne uge markerede den officielle begyndelse af FN's Generalforsamlings sammentræde i New York City, hvor en stor del af verdens ledere vil være samlet for de næste to uger, midt i en meget usikker, og også meget farlig og omskiftelig, global strategisk situation. Som Helga Zepp-LaRouche sagde i

en erklæring, hun udstedte for et par uger siden »**En hasteappel til FN's Generalforsamling**«, så kunne dette være menneskehedens sidste chance for at droppe systemet med geopolitik og indvarsle et nyt paradigme, der bygger på menneskehedens fælles mål. I erklæringen siger hun: »Kun på denne måde vil vi overleve som art. Og efter denne standard vil statsoverhovederne på Manhattan blive målt.«

Af denne grund vil der være meget fokus på de første dage i næste uge, hvor statsoverhovederne vil samles på Manhattan for at holde taler og mødes; disse statsoverhoveder inkluderer Kinas Xi Jinping, Ruslands Vladimir Putin og USA's Barack Obama.

Engelsk udskrift.

We're coming to you LIVE tonight! We have plenty to update you on, so tune in LIVE at 8pm Eastern.

Transcript

MEGAN BEETS:

It's Friday evening September 25, and I'd like to welcome you all to our regular weekly webcast. My name is Megan Beets, and I'm joined tonight in the studio by Jeffrey Steinberg of *Executive Intelligence Review*, and Jason Ross and Ben Deniston of the LaRouche PAC science team.

Monday of this week marked the official start of the United Nations General Assembly meeting in New York City, where much of the leadership of the world has convened for the next two, in the midst of a very precarious, and also a very dangerous and rapidly transforming global strategic situation. As was said by Helga Zepp-LaRouche in a statement that she released a couple of weeks ago, "An Urgent Appeal to the United Nations General Assembly," this could be mankind's last chance to dump the system of geopolitics, and to usher in a new paradigm built around the common aims of mankind. She says in the

statement: "Only in that way will we survive as a species. And by that standard will the heads of state in Manhattan be measured."

Now for that reason, much attention is focused on the early days of next week, when the heads of state will be gathering in Manhattan to speak, and to meet, heads of state including Xi Jinping of China, Vladimir Putin of Russia, and Barack Obama. Now, this brings us to the subject of tonight's institutional question which reads as follows: Mr. LaRouche, President Obama is set to have a one-on-one meeting with Russian President Vladimir Putin next week at the United Nations General Assembly in New York. According to a senior administration official: "Given the situation in Ukraine and Syria, despite our profound differences with Moscow, the President believes that it would be irresponsible not to test whether we can make progress through high-level engagement with the Russians. In particular, our European partners have underscored the importance of a unified message about the necessity of fully implementing the Minsk agreements. President Obama will take advantage of this meeting to discuss Ukraine, and he will be focused on ensuring Moscow lives up to the Minsk commitments. This will be the core message of this bilateral engagement." What is your advice to presidents Obama and Putin?

So with that, I'd like to invite Jeffrey Steinberg to the podium to deliver Mr. LaRouche's response to that question, and also his views on the more general strategic situation.

JEFF STEINBERG: Thanks, Megan.

We had a lengthy discussion this afternoon with Mr. LaRouche, and we discussed this; and for the sake of precision, I want to briefly read you the pretty much exact comments that Mr. LaRouche made, and then I'll give some elaboration and set

some context for what he had to say.

He said: Putin will handle the meeting with Obama fine. Let Obama get stuck. After nearly two terms, it is clear you cannot deal with him. You can only denounce him. He is no good, and never was. Only half-wits support him. Look at what he is. His step-father was the prototype. In essence, he is a nasty. Putin is fine. Obama is dangerous, after his step-father.

Now, I think it's important to realize that the statement, that was included in the institutional question from a White House senior spokesperson, is typical of what you get from Washington, D.C. today. This is true from the first day of the Obama presidency, and it was true throughout the presidency of George W. Bush, with Dick Cheney looking over his shoulder. There's nothing that is said in Washington that can be presumed to be truthful. There's nothing that is said in Washington that can be relied on as an accurate account of what's actually going on.

The fact of the matter is that the only reason that President Obama, at the very last moment, agreed to this meeting with President Putin, is that he was boxed in to an absolute corner, and in fact, the proposal from Moscow for there to be just such a face-to-face meeting, was made over a month ago, and it took the White House just until the last 24 hours, to make the decision that they could not weasel their way out of this face-to-face meeting. So, when you get this high-falutin' language about, it would be irresponsible not to sit down with Russia, despite these tremendous differences, and the attempt on the part of Obama to turn the entire issue of the discussion around the situation in Ukraine, and to completely ignore what the Russians have done in Syria – and the opportunity that represents for actually defeating the Islamic State and these other Salafist jihadis – is sheer folly.

Mr. LaRouche's view is that if President Obama attempts to

turn the discussion in that private meeting around Ukraine, his simple advice to Mr. Putin is to just say to Obama, "Mr. President, you made the decision, beginning in November of 2013, to support an outright neo-Nazi coup against a legitimately elected government because that government refused to sign on to a rotten deal that would have wrecked Ukraine, and would have led to the kind of crisis between Ukraine and Russia that we're seeing right now." And in fact, that's the simple truth of the matter. President Obama is committed to the idea of war with Russia. That commitment has been there from literally the very beginning of the Obama presidency, and in November [I think it's October-ed.] of 2011, when there was a decision made between President Obama, British Prime Minister David Cameron, and then-French President Sarkozy to summarily execute Libyan leader Qaddafi, rather than capture him and put him on trial, and go through the prolonged process with all that would have come out during the course of that trial, Mr. LaRouche said, this is vectored against Russia and China.

Now in the last days, just preceding the events now beginning to take place in New York City, the German national television network, ZDF, aired a news magazine – kind of their equivalent of 60 Minutes – which went through a detailed exposé of the danger behind the fact that the United States is in the process of deploying a new generation of tactical nuclear weapons into Western Europe, and in fact, the B61-12, this new generation, is in fact an intermediate-range weapon which is a clear violation of both the Non-Proliferation Treaty and the Intermediate-Range Nuclear Force INF Treaty that was signed in 1988. These weapons, in fact, blur the lines of distinction between conventional and nuclear weapons. They are no longer simply deterrence against the old Cold War fears that the Soviet Army would come rushing through the Fulda Gap and would basically occupy half of Western Europe before anybody could do anything about it. The situation right now is that these new generation weapons are far more accurate, will carry a

much-reduced payload, and can be fired from combat stealth fighters that will reach deep into Russian territory. The fact that the German national television network, a week before all these UN events, chose to put a very prominent documentary exposé of the danger behind this Obama decision, is indicative of the fact that it's not that there's unity between the US and our European allies over the situation in Ukraine.

There's been a decisive break led by Germany, now also including France; because they have come to the realization that Obama is a dangerous lunatic when it comes to Russia, and is jeopardizing the real possibility of a nuclear war on European soil. So, the Europeans have broken with Obama in a very demonstrable way. Germany, then France, then other European countries, have also come out fully supportive of the Russian military deployments into Syria; and have called for a much broader diplomatic initiative that does not exclude Russia, that does not exclude Assad in Syria, and does not exclude Iran. So the idea that there's unity within the western nations is an absolute fraud. Obama has created the conditions where Europe, in many critical areas of security, is breaking with the United States and is moving – at least by natural impulse – towards seeking cooperation and an alliance with Russia.

So remember, when Russian President Putin a month ago began the deployment of significant military equipment into Syria, this was a strategic game-changer. The United States was in the advanced stages of reaching a rotten deal with Turkey and Saudi Arabia and Qatar and Kuwait, to establish a no-fly zone in the northern part of Syria that was to ostensibly be a safe haven against ISIS; but was in fact to be a zone where the jihadists could operate freely, because the Syrian air force was completely denied access to that. Now, you've got two squadrons of Russian advanced MiG fighter planes at a base just south of Latakia in northern Syria on the Mediterranean

coast. This week, several thousand Russian engineers arrived in the port of Tartus to expand and modernize that port to be able to receive larger Russian battleships and supply ships. So the game has been dramatically changed in the Middle East, and it was not on the basis of President Putin seeking out a compromise with President Obama. It was based on taking a very clear political military calculation that by hitting Obama on this strategic flank in Syria, it would completely destabilize the White House; and it would create the conditions where Obama would make a series of significant political mistakes. If he mishandles the summit meeting next week on Monday with President Putin, this will be another indication of Obama walking into the kind of trap that has been set for him; first by his own behavior, and by his commitment on behalf of London and Wall Street to fomenting war against Russia.

And we've seen the same things in the case of China. President Xi Jinping arrived in Seattle, Washington earlier this week; and had three days of meetings out there. And now, has been here in Washington last night and today for a summit meeting with President Obama. Preceding that summitry in Washington, the President sent Penny Pritzker, part of the Chicago mafia apparatus that put Obama in office; that created his political career. She's now Secretary of Commerce, and she was the finance chair of Obama's two Presidential campaigns. She was sent out to Seattle as a kind of a minder to sit in on all of the meetings that took place between top American business leaders and President Xi Jinping; to make sure that they toed the White House line of making accusations about China unfair business practices in dealing with American companies. So that kind of crazy behavior on the eve of a heads of state summit is another typical indication of how this President has tended to do business. So, again as Mr. LaRouche said, "Putin will handle the meeting with Obama fine; let Obama get stuck. After nearly two terms, it's clear you cannot deal with him; you can only denounce him." So that is, in all likelihood,

the kind of approach with velvet gloves, that President Putin will take; and that certainly is Mr. LaRouche's recommendation of what he should expect out of this meeting with President Obama.

Now, I should say that there are elements within the US military – high-level people – who favor the idea of US-Russian military cooperation to genuinely go after and crush the Islamic State and the Nusra front. Their view is that: 1) there must be negotiations on what's called "de-confliction"; the US and Russia are going to be operating in the same theatres of activity over Syria, and it's very important that there be a level of coordination to avoid an accidental incident that could get out of control. There are those in the Pentagon and in the US intelligence community who wish to see direct intelligence sharing and ultimately coordinated operations against the Islamic State, involving the United States and Russia. There is a line of communication between President Putin through Russian Foreign Minister Lavrov, into Secretary of State Kerry; and it's very clear that there is both a diplomatic and a military initiative coming out of President Putin. And he's expected to present that in considerable detail Monday morning when he addresses the UN General Assembly. That'll be just several hours before his Monday afternoon meeting with President Obama.

So, the Russians have taken a number of bold and critical initiatives. They've created a series of strategic *faites accomplis*; that's why President Obama authorized Defense Secretary Ash Carter to engage in phone discussion with Russian Defense Minister Shoigu last Friday. There will be a working group at the Pentagon chaired by Carter, but with representation from the Joint Chiefs and CENTCOM [Central Command—ed.] that will be negotiating and talking on an ongoing basis with Russian counterparts. This didn't come from negotiating and compromising with Obama; it came from forcing his hand and creating a series of unavoidable

options. So, Obama is shaken; he's furious at what's happened around the Syria situation. He's furious that the efforts to create a blockade of Russian air links into Syria failed miserably; they couldn't even get Iraq to go along with banning Russian over flights over Iraqi airspace. So, the corridor from Russia through Iran and Iraq into Syria has been wide open; and that's the basis on which the Russians have carried out a very rapid and very significant military build-up inside Syria.

So, that's the backdrop to what's going to be happening in New York beginning this weekend and extending into next week.

Now, I think that there's an over-arching message that my colleagues will be addressing throughout the duration of this webcast, but I just want to put it clearly on the table right now, which is that there has been so much compromise, so much "practical decisions" that have been made over such a long time. This long pre-dates Obama, long pre-dates Bush-Cheney, really goes back *decades*, that the kinds of compromises on core principle have an erosive effect that is a grave danger. In fact, it's the single gravest danger to the survival of mankind, that there is a willingness to make compromises on fundamental issues of scientific truth. We've seen that with the Pope's compromise in the encyclical, that gave ground to outright British genocidalists on this concept of global warming and climate change. So these kinds of compromises, which are considered to be in good taste, or to be expected of honorable gentlemen and -women, is a flaw, a deep pragmatic flaw that right now has created the conditions for the crisis that the world is facing. So, in the case of the Putin-Obama meeting coming up on Monday: no compromise. Truth. And on that basis we can get through this crisis, and avoid the kind of thermonuclear war that President Obama is toying around with.

BEETS: Thank you, Jeff.

Now, as Jeff just referred to, leading into the heads-of-state meeting that is to begin Monday in New York, events at the U.N. this weekend have been co-opted by the attempt to shape the ongoing discussion in a major way around the rotten agenda, the fraud, of so-called sustainable development. Now, a major part of that was kicked off this morning by the speech of Pope Francis in front of the plenary session, where he again, very unfortunately, pushed the doctrine coming from the British, that man is destroying the Earth, and must shift to a mode of stewardship and living harmoniously with Mother Earth, and to face the threat of climate change. So this began a weekend full of meetings of the U.N. Sustainability Summit around their 2030 agenda for sustainable development, which was, as Jeff said, in terms of a real tragic concession, voted up unanimously by the session shortly after the Pope's speech.

Now, as we've documented thoroughly in these webcasts, and also as is covered in great detail in the newly-released EIR Special Report, "Global Warming Scare Is Population Reduction, Not Science", the entire program of so-called sustainability is nothing new, and it's a fraud which has been pushed time and again throughout the twentieth and now the twenty-first centuries by the leading factions of the British Empire. So what I'd like to do now is invite first Ben Deniston, followed by Jason Ross, to come to the podium to address, number one, what is the fraud of the policy of sustainable development, and number two, what would a *real* policy for human progress look like?

BEN DENISTON: Thanks, Megan.

I think I just want to start by referring to Mr. LaRouche's very clear and concise assessment of the situation around the Pope. You know, he's, I think, put this question in some

terms that have important precision. The question we have, is, what convinced this Pope of all people to go along with this policy which is a genocide program. We may not know every aspect of *why* he's going along with this, for his personal motivations. Mr. LaRouche has made that clear a number of times, including in a discussion last night, his so-called Fireside Chat discussion, which is available on the LaRouche PAC website, but he's made that point a number of times. We may not know all of the motivation behind the Pope *himself*, but the facts are what they are, and we know that he's going along with the policy, which is a genocide policy, and we know *exactly* what forces have moved in on this Pope, and what they're characteristics are.

First and foremost, what we've identified and we've discussed on these shows, and we've discussed on the LaRouche PAC website, and one of the key individuals is this guy John Schellnhuber, who has been for many years a leading operative and collaborator of the British Royal Family, very specifically in their genocidal population-reduction program. He shares the view of Prince Philip, of the Queen, of this degenerate oligarchical faction, that the world is well beyond its carrying-capacity and needs – and world population must be reduced to around a few billion people. This is the view of Philip, and the other British Royals. This is the view of Schellnhuber. He's the one who's become a key advisor to the Pope on these environmental issues, on the so-called climate issue, including playing a leading role in this encyclical that the Pope released a couple of months back.

Now, you know, just to make this clear and put this on the table, just look at the guy's profile. In 2004, Schellnhuber was deployed along with Tony Blair's top science advisor at the time, Sir David King, together to go over to the United States to try and strong-arm the Bush Administration into going along with this climate change fraud policy. And apparently they were so egregious in their attempt to strong-

arm the Bush Administration, that the Bush Administration issued a formal complaint to Tony Blair, complaining about the trip of Schellhuber and the way he acted on it. It was later that same year, that Schellhuber was named an official Honorary Commander of the Most Excellent Order of the British Empire, by Queen Elizabeth, and it's been said that he very much is offended if you do not call him by his official title given by the British Royals. In 2005, he worked with Tony Blair to organize a conference for the G-8 Summit in Scotland at the time, on the issue of this climate change fraud. Schellnhüber edited the proceedings of that conference, and the introduction to the whole thing was written by Tony Blair. Since then, he became the key advisor to Angela Merkel in Germany, presiding over the, really, dismantling of the German economy, with their nuclear-exit program, their insane carbon-reduction policy, and their suicidal green energy program. 2009, in the buildup to the Copenhagen Climate Summit, Schellnhuber worked closely with Prince Charles to try and build support for this summit, including making another trip to the United States to meet with then Obama as the President, to make sure the Obama Administration was in line with this whole program. So, you know, he's got a clear, very high-level track record of trying to recruit and strong-arm leading officials to go along with this population-reduction program of the British. Now, he is the guy who has moved in on the Pope, bringing this entire program into the Vatican. As Megan referenced, just earlier today in his address to the United Nations, the Pope clearly asked for support from the world population, from the leaders represented there at the U.N. Summit, to support the upcoming Climate Summit in Paris this December, where they're trying to get nations to agree to really a suicidal commitment to reduce carbon emissions in the name of this fraud of a so-called climate-change scare. This is a killer policy, but the point is, that's the *intention*. This is being pushed by these radical de-population fanatics. They don't care about the facts, they don't care about the climate, they don't care about the reality of the science

between CO2 and the climate – their objective is this population-reduction program. You know, what are those facts we actually know on CO2 and climate? Well, number one: there's been no warming of the Earth's temperature on average, for the past nearly 20 years, now, despite the fact we've been putting CO2 in the atmosphere at a faster rate than ever. So there's no evidence that the climate is highly sensitive, or highly responsive, to CO2, and there's no evidence to show that mankind is going to have some catastrophic effect. It's just getting ridiculous.

There's been no increase in extreme weather, despite what you hear. There's no evidence that CO2 can be tied to any increase in sea level rise, according to the most accurate measurements we have available. And, as an added irony to the whole thing, we know that the planet is actually getting greener, because CO2 is a plant food; it's not a pollutant, despite the insane proclamation of the EPA. It's a vital component to the biosphere, and the higher levels of CO2 have actually led to a greener planet overall.

But, the point is, these guys don't care about these facts. They don't care about the scientific arguments, because they're starting from their program of a Malthusian population reduction policy, not any scientific argument. And Schellnhüber is a leading example of this.

Now, Mr. LaRouche has also emphasized the importance of highlighting the role of another figure, another situation, expressing this exact same fight, which is Jerry Brown, over in California, the governor of California. Where yes, he's also pushing this insane idea for a murderous reduction in CO2 emissions, but that agenda is really no different than his water policy, or maybe better said, his no water policy. The facts are clear; the reality is clear. There's plenty of water for California. Jerry Brown doesn't want new water for California. He doesn't *want* to develop new resources. He wants to kill off sections of the population; he wants to reduce the

population of California.

There's no shortage of water supplies for the state. They're being denied to the population by the policies of that governor. As we've covered on these shows, on this site, we can get all the water we need for California, and we can actually get it in new ways. We can get it more quickly. We can get it more efficiently than ever before, if we decide to actually act human, and move to higher levels, by understanding how our galactic system operates.

You can ask the question: How do specifically the atmospheric components of our Earth's water system operate? How does the atmospheric aspect of the Earth's water cycle operate? Well, you can't actually understand that unless you understand how the Solar System as a whole is actually subsumed by the higher order system of the Galaxy as a whole. If you understand that, if we understand that, and we act on that; if we act on a galactic level, on a Galactic principle, then we can manage the world's water supplies in a completely new way. We can bring water to where it's needed, by managing the atmospheric characteristics of the water system, in a way we haven't been able to do before.

But people like Jerry Brown – they don't want that. It's not that that's not an option; it's not that we don't have that available. They don't want that policy. The British Royal Family does not want that policy, because it's contrary to their Zeusian view of mankind. Because this shows us that mankind can go to qualitatively higher levels. We can create new resources. We're not limited by any finite amount of resources. We're limited by the boundaries of our knowledge at any given state, but what we can do as mankind is transcend to a higher state; go to a higher level of discovery, fundamentally transforming what the nature of the human species is in the Universe. Just like this galactic perspective is a clear demonstration of that, and that's what these people hate.

They want their Green program. They want a program of so-called sustainability. Not progress, not creation, not really truly human action, but sustainability, sustaining some prior earlier state of mankind as a fixed animal-like species.

So, this is the fundamental fight going on right now. And this is what's happening at the United States, with the so-called move to adopt some idea of a "sustainable" policy.

If you go to the fundamental principle of the matter, and Mr. LaRouche was very emphatic on this earlier today when we were meeting with him, sustainability is a Satanic policy. This is a scientifically defined Satanic outlook. Because this goes to a deeper issue, something quite frankly that the Pope should understand, but apparently he either doesn't understand it, or refuses to discuss. But the issue of what is the true nature of mankind. And Mr. LaRouche said this very clearly earlier today. He said: Sustainability is death. There is no such thing as sustainability. Without progress, mankind will cease to exist. Because the issue is that mankind as a unique species on this planet, is uniquely characterized by a type of creative action, which does not exist in the domain of the animal world. Something that distinguishes our species as fundamentally unique. That *this* is what should be discussed at the United Nations right now. *This* should be the fundamental principle on which we discuss a new era of relations among nations, a truthful scientific insight and understanding of what mankind is as a creative species. Not a green program, not a sustainability program.

If you're starting from a green program, you're starting from a Satanic conception of mankind. Despite what the Pope said earlier today, despite what these crazy fanatics say, mankind is not a product of the natural biosphere, so to speak. We're not a product of animal life. We do not exist in any steady balance with nature that we have to maintain. It's not true.

Mankind, the existence of society today, is purely a product

of mankind. We exist at the present state we're at right now, because of the creative contributions of prior generations that have created the current state of existence of our species. And that is what we need to focus on. That is what we need to understand.

We have to ask these questions: how is it that mankind uniquely creates his own future? And it's not just something that happened once, and then we've achieved that state and that's it. This is the substance of what makes us human: continual and unending progress. And I think the issue is that we have to treat – if we're going to treat individuals as truly human, we must recognize every individual's fundamental inherent right to participate in this process.

It's not just about biological life. It's not just about a lifespan per se. Sure, we need better living conditions. Much of the world needs better living conditions. We need longer lifespans, we need better health care, we need better infrastructure. That's all true. But, for what purpose? Do those lives actually get a chance to mean anything? They can live out their life, you can live and you can die, without even having the chance to make a fundamental human contribution to the progress of society, without having the chance to really be truly human, and actually participate in a creative process to move society forward.

So, that's the principal issue. That is why a green program, a program focussed on sustainability, sustaining some magical, fanatical idea of balance with nature, some inherent balance that we should just maintain, is a Satanic conception. There's nothing truly human in it. There's no actual creation. And so this whole green program – it's not just evil because it kills people. That is evil; it's evil to kill people. But it's evil because it denies people access to their real nature as mankind as a unique species. It denies people access the right and the ability to contribute something unique and something meaningful to the progress of society. So, this is

the issue that Mr. LaRouche was emphatic that needs to be put on the table; the actual principle of what mankind is. What is the basis on which we need to move the world forward on a positive conception of true human nature? But even this Green program that we're talking about here today, Mr. LaRouche emphasized, is only a recent expression of a longer standing fight; a longer standing issue. Today's Green policy is not really unique; it may have new clothes, it may have a more recent expression. But it's a much longer standing policy, longer standing fight. And I think Jason has some more to elaborate here on the deeper roots of this issue.

JASON ROSS: I do.

One of the other things that the Pope had brought up at the United Nations was, that in this speech he says that as human beings, we have to follow certain laws of physics and chemistry and biology, because we have bodies. We need to talk about what it is that makes us human. And I'm going to do that tonight in two aspects. One is from the standpoint of the scientist Vernadsky; and the other is from the standpoint of Zeus or Bertrand Russell against the Promethean outlook of man, and talk about what a real human identity must be and what we need to hold on to today.

So, is it true what the Pope said, that we have to follow the laws of nature and biology and chemistry and physics because we have physical bodies? Well, ask yourself this: Are there any unique things about us as a species? Do we apply laws of morality to animals? Do we say that a lion is being immoral when it's catching, hunting down some animal and then only eating half of it; wasting the leftovers? Are there any rosebushes or orange trees that are going to be attending the Pope's mass on Sunday? I doubt it. The difference between human beings and animals is an obvious thing to everybody in the sense that it's not hard to tell if you see something in

front of you; is this a human being, or is this an animal? It's not hard to figure that out. Just as in the study of biology or physics, it's not difficult to know whether something that you're seeing is part of a living process or not. Some people might say, "Well, viruses are an unusual case."

So, what does Vernadsky have to do with this? Vernadsky, the Russian-Ukrainian biogeochemist who regular viewers of our website will have heard about I think a fair amount, he looked at life as a phenomenon. He looked at human life as a phenomenon; and rather than focussing on the actions of individual organisms the way a biologist would, his focus was more on life as a whole. The impact of life, the inter-relationship between life and the non-living material around it, and the reshaping of the originally non-living material around life by the process of the biosphere over billions of years. As a result of this process, we're going to compare life with non-life, and then look at the human. Because imagine if someone had said, "Well, life has to follow the laws of physics and chemistry." Imagine if you had gone back to the dawning of life on Earth, and said, "Wait a minute! Life, you're going to destroy the planet; you're going to alter everything. You're going to reshape the soils; you're going to change the atmosphere. Look at all that pollution you're making." This happened in life; the initial life on this planet lived off of chemical energy, such as deep sea vents, things in the crust, that sort of thing – chemical energy. The breakthrough invention in life of photosynthesis, where the light of the Sun became the fuel and power source for life; that was tremendous transformation [that] totally changed life's relationship to the rest of the planet. It also led to the production of a very dangerous chemical. Unlike carbon dioxide, which isn't going to hurt anything, oxygen is actually is toxic; you might have said life was polluting the planet. And indeed, the kind of life had to change to be able to live in an environment that had oxygen.

New kinds of metabolic pathways were developed that used oxygen as part of metabolism; like we do, as animals.

So, there's been a dramatic change in life's presence on this planet. This is seen in the biogenic migration of atoms; of the flow of material from living organisms to the non-living – but almost undoubtedly shaped by life – surroundings. The flow back and forth between life and non-life. The development and growth of an increasing amount of biogeochemical energy. Vernadsky says that life increases its free energy; it colonizes the non-living. At this point, the whole crust of the Earth down to a certain depth, the atmosphere; it's all been shaped by life. Vernadsky points to other differences. Take, for example, evolution. Now, evolution has a direction to it. I'd mentioned earlier the transition from chemical energy only to having photosynthesis, to developing higher forms of life – animals, warm-blooded animals. The process of cephalization, meaning moving towards the head, where in animal life, more and more of the senses, the neural systems developed into the head. That's a process that took place over time; making it possible for there to be human beings. Life doesn't respond the way chemical elements do in other respects. Life treats isotopes differently than can be explained by chemical or physical processes. It treats left- and right-handed isomers differently in a way that purely chemical processes don't.

So, there's plenty that distinguishes life from non-life. In a similar way, there's plenty that distinguishes human beings from life. Despite what you may have heard about lawsuits about chimpanzees or other such animals having human rights; they're not human. And this used to be an obvious thing. Let me read a section now from Vernadsky. This is from his paper "Problems of Biogeochemistry Two", and it's available in a Vernadsky anthology that we put together. (Anthology Book I Here) Vernadsky says:

"From the standpoint of the biosphere, the individual living

organism is usually lost from view; in first place comes the aggregate of organisms – living matter. In biogeochemistry, however – in some strictly defined cases – at times it is necessary to pay attention to the discrete organism, to its individuality. It is indispensable to do this in those cases, where the activity of Man appears as a geological factor, as we see happening now, and the individual personality sometimes becomes vividly apparent and is reflected in large-scale phenomena of a planetary character. The human personality changes, accelerates, and causes geological processes of enormous significance, through its presence in the biosphere.”

With human beings, individuals actually matter on a planetary scale; no individual animal matters on a planetary scale, no individual plant matters on a planetary scale, no fungus. With human beings, it’s different; how is that? He said:

“We are living in a brand new, bright geological epoch. Man, through his labor – and his conscious relationship to life – is transforming the envelope of the Earth – the geological region of life, the biosphere. Man is shifting it into a new geological state: Through his labor and his consciousness, the biosphere is in a process of transition to the noosphere. [From the root noeses, or thinking.] Man is creating new biogeochemical processes, which never existed before. The biogeochemical history of the chemical elements – a planetary phenomenon – is drastically changing. Enormous masses of new, free metals and their alloys are being created on Earth, for example, ones which never existed here before, such as aluminum, magnesium, and calcium.”

“Plant and animal life are being changed and disturbed in the most drastic manner. New species and races are being created. The face of the Earth is changing profoundly. The stage of the noosphere is being created. Within the Earth’s biosphere, an intense blossoming is in process, the further history of which will be grandiose, it seems...”

Human beings aren't animals. Bio-behavior, by looking at human existence over time as a phenomenon; just looking at it a scientist, looking at it as something that occurred. We do things that animals have never done and never will. We transform biogeochemical processes; we create new states of existence in the universe on the Earth. We make new things happen that would not have happened by any means that was purely biological, physical, or chemical; we create.

Now this is a way of understanding the idea of human beings as being made in the image of God, for example. The distinction between human beings and animals used to be, this wasn't really much of a question. Religions that look to Genesis and the notion that human beings are made in the image of God; that's a clear distinction. Squirrels are not said to be so made. We see it in the indications that Vernadsky gives of the kinds of transformations we've made; so let's talk about how that happens. And what that means about our identity, and what it means about how we have to approach the future. I want to read a response that Lyndon LaRouche gave last night on a call of activists that we have every Thursday evening. I'll read the question, too. The question was:

"How do you deal with strengthening the spiritual ability for mankind, or the person to deal with the problem of the world? You mentioned people are becoming disheartened of the fact that the crisis is becoming unbearable for some. But how do you strengthen the quality in defending mankind?"

LaRouche in his answer, said:

"We have the means, mankind has the means to understand mankind. And what I said in an earlier remark this evening, that at a certain point, we are able to understand mankind, how? We understand that, because we are all human, and we all know that we are going to die, sooner or later. And we know that the question is, what's the meaning of our life? And many people have a big problem, because they have never been

able to resolve what has been and what will be, 'the meaning of my life.'

"So you start with what has been the meaning of your life; then you go to the really tougher question, and you say, what is the meaning of your future of your life? And that means you have think, now, of what you are, and shape what you are going to be, in such a way that you do not feel shame about having lived. That means that you devote your life to making contributions which lead mankind to improve mankind! That is to improve people, living people. And rather than simply taking care of your own greed, and so forth, you've got to think about what you can do to influence people, to make the next generation, a better generation than the one you're living in."

He says, "That is a short way of saying it; but I think it's an adequately effective one."

Now, on this subject, LaRouche – when we spoke to him this afternoon – was very emphatic about drawing the contrast between that outlook that he expressed and the outlook of mankind expressed by Zeus, or by Bertrand Russell, or by John Schellnhuber – sorry, I forgot your title there, John. You do it by not being practical. Now the story of Zeus and Prometheus is one of tyranny. Zeus the tyrant said that human beings were of a lower class than he; he was a god, human beings were these mere mortals. And that the power of fire was something reserved for him alone; it wasn't for human beings to have. If Zeus had his way, he'd exterminate the human race, as a matter of fact. Prometheus enters the story as the fire-bringer; as defying Zeus and bringing the power of fire to mankind, and in fact, creating mankind. Listen to this; you can understand the creation of the human species as a non-biological, non-animal – we're not animals. Here's Prometheus. He says: "Listen to the miseries that beset mankind. How they were witless before I made them have sense, and endowed them with Reason. First of all, although they had

eyes to see, they saw to no avail. They had ears; but they did not understand." Your cat, as much as you love it, probably doesn't understand a whole lot. "But just as shapes and dreams throughout their length of days, without purpose, they wrought all things in confusion." He says, human beings didn't know how to build houses; didn't know how to use wood; didn't understand the seasons; didn't know when to plant crops; didn't know how to navigate using the stars; didn't have numbers; didn't have poetry; didn't have writing; didn't use animals to do their chores for them; and didn't have sailing. And didn't have metallurgy; he goes on. Prometheus, yes; the fire-bringer. The power of fire which no animal species uses; and creativity itself as a whole, defining the human race.

Now, against that idea of the human race, stood Zeus then and, in our time over the past century, has loomed very large – Bertrand Russell. I'm not going to say a lot about Bertrand Russell; we've got a lot of material, we've gone through this a good deal in the past. But to give a short reminder, I suppose you could call it, in 1900, Bertrand Russell took up a task that was put down by David Hilbert about, in effect, killing science. The specific idea was about turning mathematics into a branch of logic; but what the whole pursuit meant to Russell was eliminating creativity. To turn science – instead of being something creative where new things could occur, where new discoveries happen; Russell sought to destroy it, and say, "We've really got it all figured out; and everything in the future can be derived from the past. We can take the model of Euclid; you derive from what you've already got, and that's all that we're going to have in the future." And that really has taken over science; modelling, curve-fitting, throwing in more parameters to explain anomalies in the way that Ptolemy or Copernicus did by adding in extra epicycles. Approaching things mathematically, rather than as a scientist in the tradition of Mendeleev, Kepler, Cusa, Fermat, Leibniz, or a great musician.

So, I'd like to actually at this point get to a short idea about this from Percy Shelley. Now, Percy Shelley wrote a poem, *Prometheus Unbound*. Aeschylus' play *Prometheus Bound* is only the first of a trilogy, and the other two plays have been lost; we don't have them. But let me read an epilogue to Shelley's poem, *Prometheus Unbound*. He's writing this to Prometheus. He says that

"To suffer woes which hope thinks infinite; to forgive wrongs darker than death or night; to defy power which seems omnipotent; to love and bear; to hope 'til hope creates from its own wreck a thing it contemplates. Neither to change, nor falter, nor repent. This, like thy glory, Titan, is to be good, great, and joyous; beautiful and free. This is alone life, joy, empire, and victory."

That the greatest power that we have lies in our minds; lies in the power to do new things; lies in the power to – as we understand it today through LaRouche's economics – to live our lives in such a way that not only can we feel good about ourselves, but that we can have access to a necessity. In other words, it's possible to live a life in such a way that you will have been necessary to the future.

And as Ben said, just as we must prevent people from being killed – murder is wrong; we can't have a SPCA approach to human beings. To develop the Third World like adopting a poor puppy from the pound, or something like that. That's not a human approach to our fellow human beings. The development that we need is one in which people are elevated to being able to play a role in that development process itself; and to be truly human. To know what means, to have an idea of what future must be; and as in that quote from LaRouche, to shape yourself, and live your life in shaping yourself to be able to bring that about. That is the highest form of freedom for an individual. And by bringing that to society as a whole, we can achieve the true highest sort of freedom; which is not only a freedom from want, oppression, tyranny; but it's

freedom to express intelligence, a freedom to know. It's a very developed sense of freedom; the highest sense of freedom. And to make that something that people are able to participate in, is truly the highest work for us today.

BEETS: Thank you very much, Jason.

With that, I'm going to bring a close to tonight's broadcast. I'd like to thank Ben, Jason, and Jeff for joining me tonight; and I would like to thank all of you for watching.

Please stay tuned to larouchepac.com. Good night.

Tema: LPAC Videnskabsteam interviewer Paul Dreissen, forfatter til "Miljø-imperialisme: Grøn Magt, Sort Død". Dansk udskrift

Jason Ross diskuterer miljøbevægelsens udvikling, og hvordan det, der kaldes »økologi« eller »miljøbeskyttelse« i dag er ansvarlig for millioner af dødsfald under dække af såkaldt 'miljøbeskyttelse'. Dreissen og Ross diskuterer også myten om ressourcekrisen, og hvad der gøres for at opdyrke den ultimative ressource: det menneskelige intellekt.

Pressekonference i New York: EIR udgiver rapporten »Skræmmekampagne om Global Opvarmning er Befolkningsreduktion – ikke Videnskab«.

Den 22. september 2015 –Executive Intelligence Review havde mulighed for at præsentere dens specialrapport, »Skræmmekampagne om Global Opvarmning er Befolkningsreduktion, ikke videnskab« til journalister og forskellige delegationer fra FN i dag. (I denne uge starter en serie af præsentationer, konferencer og diskussioner i FN, hvoraf mange vil referere til den af paven nyligt udgivne encyklika »Laudato Si«, som blev overtaget af Hendes Sataniske Højhed gennem repræsentanten for den britiske krone, Commander of the Britisk Empire Hans Joachim Schellnhuber). Ved pressekonferencens åbning fortalte Dennis Speed, hvordan Lyndon LaRouches *EIR* i årtier har bekæmpet brugen af denne pseudo-videnskab som maskeret værktøj til befolkningsreduktion. »Vi tror derimod på videnskabsmanden Krafft Ehrickes idé om, at mennesket nødvendigvis må mestre rumfart.«

Speed talte om Obama- og Cheney/Bush-regeringernes

katastrofale krigspolitik og om advarslen indeholdt i Sergei Glazievs bog »Genocide: Russia and the New World Order« (Folke drab: Rusland og den Nye Verdensorden) udgivet af *EIR* i 1999 om, at »Selvom definitionen af folke drab (inklusive den fra FN's internationale »Konvention om Forebyggelse og Straf for Folke drab«) inkluderer et element af intention... er en folke drabspolitik ikke altid fuldt ud bevidst hos dem, der gennemfører den – og i langt mindre grad bliver den åbent erklæret af dem. Den kan være skjult bag ganske respektable slogans om reformer, der er gode for samfundet, om at opnå frihed og social retfærdighed. Mange egentlige deltagere i forbrydelsen 'overser' måske de reelle konsekvenser af deres handlinger og tror måske oprigtigt på, at de er helte og godtgørere for menneskeheden.«

Efter at have gennemgået detaljerne omkring både UNESCO's grundlægger Juian Huxleys og virussen Prins Philips kriminelle anskuelser vedrørende racehygiejne og befolkningsreduktion, diskuterede Speed Schellenhubers forhold til den nuværende pave, hvor han citerede Helga LaRouches iagttagelse, at »den kendsgerning, at CBE Schellnhuber har fået sit program godkendt i Det Pavelige Videnskabelige Akademi, rejser de mest alvorlige spørgsmål om, hvordan dette var muligt«. Senere refererede Speed til Schellnhubers eksplicitte bemærkning om en »bæredygtighed på kun 1 milliard mennesker« fra COP15-topmødet i København og spurgte, om paven var blevet påvirket udefra til at gå med på en politik, der kun kan resultere i affolkning af størstedelen af planeten og er i direkte modstrid med hans kirkes fundamentale lære. »*EIR* tror ikke på, at mennesker er forureningskilder, at kuldiioxid forurener; vi tror på, at verden har brug for flere mennesker, ikke færre.«

Ben Denniston og Tom Wismuller, videnskabsmand hos NASA, meteorolog og medlem af gruppen »The Right Climate Stuff«, præsenterede kernen i specialrapporten, så vel som nyt, ikke tidligere fremlagt materiale. Dette var pressekonferencens sande centrum, som fik publikum bestående af diplomater til at

udtrykke en afgjort »udiplomatisk« begejstring for det, de blev præsenteret for. Faktisk brød de ud i klapsalver og lettelse ved pressekonferencens slutning.

Dennistons omdrejningspunkt, at CO2 på ingen måde kan bekræftes som afgørende, når det kommer til klimaforandringer, rev hele klima-lobbyens hovedpræmis op med rode. Rapportens afsnit omkring energigennemstrømningstæthed, klimaalarmisternes metoder osv., leverer det nødvendige bevismateriale til en videre undersøgelse. Han angreb ideen om at censurere NASA og andre såkaldte »klima-nægtere« og pegede på, at videnskabelig stræben ikke er baseret på populær mening. Senere i diskussionsperioden betonedede han den rolle, FN's igangværende møder kan spille i at gøre det af med den ondsindede monetære politik, der ligger til grund for klimaforfalskningerne, ved at bruge LaRouches økonomiske videnskab og i alliance med Kina og Rusland forme et princip om et internationalt »win-win«-samfund.

Tom Wismullers medrivende præsentation, »lynkursus i at gennemhulle menneskeskabt global opvarmning«, gav et definitivt bevis på, at menneskelig udledning af drivhusgasser ingen effekt har på stigninger af vandstanden i havet. Stigningerne er fuldkomment i overensstemmelse med naturlige ikke-antropogene stigninger. Wismullers kolleger fra NASA, som kender de seneste satellit-data, kender målingerne og målingernes kvalitet. De forstår også et computer-genereret svindelnummer, når de ser det.

Wismuller bemærkede, at de katastrofale animerede scenarier om oversvømmelser af Manhattans vestlige motorvej som følge af global opvarmning er absurde. Havene er steget de sidste 10.000 år, fordi gletsjerne er smeltet. Og der er stadige stigninger, sagde han, men de er langt mere moderate, »fordi gletsjerne er væk«. Han »havde videnskaben i hus«, der forklarede, hvordan denne frygtede fremtidige stigning faktisk allerede er sket over en længere periode. Med reference til »klima-stjerne« James Hansen og hans ofte citerede udgivelser

sagde Wismuller, at Hansens forudsigelser om temperaturstigninger (som f.eks. forudsigelsen fra 1981 i magasinet Science), var lineære projektioner om, at der over tid ville være en relativt stabil stigning. Da det efter flere år blev klart, at det ikke skete, ændrede Hansen sin model til en eksponentiel stigning, fordi han således kunne fastholde stigningen og samtidig få målingerne til at passe med »computer-modelerede repræsentationer« og dermed måske få folk til fortsat at tro på, at det, som han og andre »klima-alarmer« sagde, var sandt.

Ved konferencens afslutning læste Speed følgende konklusion fra en udtalelse, der var sendt til konferencen af Paul Driessen:

»Fra mit perspektiv er det en forbrydelse mod menneskeheden på basis af hypotetiske computer-genererede katastrofer, der skulle indtræffe årtier fra nu, at indføre politikker, der foregiver at beskytte verdens mest energi-fattige folk ved at forstærke energimanglen, fattigdom, fejlernæring og sygdom, som nu og her dræber millioner af mennesker hvert år. Disse er alle betagende problemer. Man må spørge sig selv, hvordan hovedparten af menneskeheden føler omkring dem – og hvem vil stille præsident Obama, Pave Frans, Ban Ki Moon og FN's klimachef Christiana Figueres nogle af disse meget forstyrrende og ubehagelige spørgsmål.«

Præsentation og oversigt over rapportens indhold

Hele pressekonferencen kan ses her:

Video: EIR Pressekonference Live: Skræmmekampagne om Klimaforandring er befolkningsreduktion – ikke videnskab

Vær med på pressekonferencen der præsenterer udgivelsen af Executive Intelligence Review's seneste specialrapport, »Skræmmekampagne om Klimaforandring er Befolkningsreduktion – ikke Videnskab«,

tirsdag 22. september 2015, kl. 11:00 AM Eastern.

Denne rapport udgives på optakten til FN's Generalforsamling i New York og Pave Frans' besøg i USA, hvor begge disse begivenheders dagsorden for reduktion af verdens befolkning er i fuld gang. Denne rapport går lige til hjertet af svindelen med 'klimaforandring': Befolkningsreduktion.



Tema: Klimaforandring som

midde l til oprettelse af et globalt miljødiktatur. Af Helga Zepp-LaRouche

... I dettes sted skal en slags moderne feudal-oligarki træde, hvor klubben af milliardærer og millionærer, ... lever i stor luksus, mens de store befolkningsmasser, ved hjælp af en stærkt reduceret levestandard, med en forkortet, forventet levetid og med et uddannelsesniveau på laveste trin, skal holdes nede i tilbagestående.

Midlet, der skal opfylde dette formål, er at skabe angst for en menneskeskabt klimaforandring, som skal få menneskene til frivilligt at give afkald på så godt som alle de landvindinger, som man, i form af materielle og sociale fremskridt, har opnået gennem industrialisering.

GDE Error: Requested URL is invalid

Titelbillede: Illustration til Thomas Hobbes bog "Liviathan"

"Non est potestas Super Terram quae Comparetur ei. Job. 41 . 24" ("Der er ingen magt på Jorden, der kan sammenlignes med den. Job 41:24")

Om "krokodillen" eller "Leviathan" (Livjatan).

Leder: Vi går fremad, mens Wall Street dør

22. september 2015 – Mens EIR's og LaRouchePAC's mobilisering omkring det forestående sammentræde af FN's Generalforsamling i dag fortsatte med et stort, offentligt møde i New York, reagerede diplomater, journalister og New York'ere – som Lyndon LaRouche udtrykte det – »I synes at gå fremad, mens Wall Street går tilbage.«

LaRouche kaldte det bemærkelsesværdigt, at flere bankierer og økonomer i løbet af de seneste dage over for EIR har understreget, at det, som Federal Reserve og andre centralbanker nu gør på Wall Streets bud, er dybt destruktivt for økonomien og for levestandarden. Desuden signalerer det, at Wall Streets finansinstitutioner ikke længere er levedygtige og står over for en total nedsmeltning.

Den amerikanske økonomi i sin Wall Street-dominerede form er død. Selve Wall Street, anført af Goldman Sachs, kræver nu desperat »mere lempelse« – negative renter, konfiskering af indskyderkonti – fra Federal Reserve og andre centralbanker, for at afværge dets kollaps. Dette er blot en defensiv taktik, der intet løser for Wall Street, men som i høj grad kunne forværre økonomien, hvis det ikke stoppes.

Tiden er inde til at lukke Wall Street ned: muligheden for en reorganisering af banksystemet under Glass-Steagall og et økonomisk genrejsningsprogram efter FDR-modellen er klar.

Tirsdag morgen ved FN går vi i direkte kamp mod Wall Streets/City of Londons »grønne« nulvækstpolitik, med en pressekonference, der annoncerer den nye EIR-rapport, »Global Warming Scare is Population Reduction, Not Science« (»Global opvarmning som skræmmevision er befolkningsreduktion, ikke videnskab«).

Vi befinder os helt tydeligt på randen af et pludseligt skift. Det er LaRouches synspunkt, at den russiske præsident Putins strategiske initiativ, der støttes af Kina, for en reel koalition imod ISIS/al-Qaeda-terrorisme, klart er ved at lykkes internationalt. Hele den betydeligste del af den amerikanske presse indrømmer åbent – om de så er nok så rasende over det – at Putins »tour de force« – kunststykke – vælter præsident Obamas mislykkede og katastrofale politik med krige for regimeskift.

Dette er, kommenterede LaRouche, en ændring i de globale anliggender, foretaget af Putin – igen, støttet af Kina – og ikke en lykkelig ændring for ham. Det er strategisk og har haft en stærk virkning i hele Eurasien. Krigsmageren Obama er udmanøvreret; men bliver han smidt ud af embedet? Hvis han bliver smidt ud, kan USA finde sammen med andre større nationer over dette og andre afgørende spørgsmål.

Men USA's reaktion på denne nye situation er stadig ekstremt vigtig. For at være positiv må denne reaktion inkludere, at Obama fratages al magt.

Leder: Påbegynd processen med at harmonisere menneskeheden

21. september 2015 – I en tale til en forsamling på Manhattan lørdag opfordrede Lyndon LaRouche til at bringe verden ind i en ny periode med Harmoni.

»Vi befinder os på et tidspunkt, hvor vilkårene for menneskeheden er meget onde. Der er imidlertid visse

bevægelser, der er ved at tage form, og som kan frembringe en form for harmoni mellem forskellige dele af den menneskelige kultur, og det er, tror jeg, hvad målet må være. For hver del af menneskesamfundet har sin egen karakteristika. Men de karakteristika, som vi søger, er dem, der er harmoniske, harmoniske for den specifikke befolkning.

Det er et moralsk spørgsmål. Det er et spørgsmål om tilfredsstillelse. Det er ikke bare det, at man ønsker at have sit eget sprog og tale det. Man ønsker, at de ideer, som dette sprog viderefremidler, skal være i harmoni med andre dele af menneskeheden.

Det går ikke alt for godt med dette, på dette grundlag. Men, vi kan fokusere på den intention, at vi må komme til denne form for harmonisk relation mellem forskellige menneskelige befolkninger, der har forskellige egenskaber. Det er så godt, som vi kan gøre det. Det er ideen med at satse på det harmoniske udtryk, mellem forskellige sprog, forskellige specifikke kulturer, forskellige erfaringer. Men vi kan frembringe harmoniske indbyrdes relationer i og mellem disse nationer, og deres kulturer.«

I den kommende uge vil denne indsats for at skabe denne harmoni være fokuseret på Manhattan, hvor FN's Generalforsamling træder sammen.

Mandag (21. sept.) vil LPAC demonstrere direkte uden for FN i anledning af den Internationale Dag for Fred, og med forestilling af LPAC's Manhattan-kor og med bannere, der siger: »Putin stopper Obamas holocaust.«

Tirsdag vil repræsentanter fra **Lyndon LaRouches videnskabssteam** præsentere **EIR's massive rapport**, der afliver svindelen med global opvarmning som det djævelske ondskab, det er.

Se pressekonferencen live:

Dette er en afgørende intervention imod de uenigheder, som vi

vil få at høre senere på ugen under FN's konference for bæredygtig udvikling, samt det britiske monarkis indfangede Pave Frans' opfordring til befolkningsreduktion.

Dette vil sætte scenen for den efterfølgende mandags indledende diskussion i FN, som vil se Barack Obamas uharmoniske krav om krig og ødelæggelse, i modsætning til den kinesiske præsident Xi Jinpings og den russiske præsident Vladimir Putins krav om en ny samarbejdsånd blandt menneskeheden.

Verdens øjne vil være rettet mod Manhattan. LaRouches styrker vil være der, for at få det til at synge.