

**POLITISK ORIENTERING den 19.
december 2019:**

**Rigsretssag imod Trump kan
ikke få det gamle paradigme
tilbage i kontrol//**

**Brexit + COP25-fiasko. Se
også 2. og 3. del.**

Med formand Tom Gillesberg.

Video:

2. del:

3. del:

Lyd:

**Corbyn fremlagde Labour-
partiets alternativ til Mays**

Brexit-aftale

Den 19. november (EIRNS) – Formanden for det britiske Labour Parti, Jeremy Corbyn, præsenterede sit alternativ til den britiske premierminister Theresa Mays Brexit-plan i en tale til Den Britiske Sammenslutning for Handel og industri (British Confederation of Business and Industry, CBI) i dag. Han gjorde sine bemærkninger, efter at May selv talte til CBI i dag.

Corbyn kaldte Mays regering en "regering i uorden", som forhandlede en "forkludret" Brexit-aftale. Han sagde, at hans parti ville stemme imod aftalen og opfordre til nyvalg. Han sagde, at 'business as usual' ikke virker ... For at imødegå de største udfordringer for vores land i dag, bør vi ikke frygte forandring, vi bør omfatte den. Dybtliggende ændringer er nødvendige for at undgå en skadelig Brexit, der vil gøre ondt på erhverv, jobs og levestandard, og i stedet bruge det som katalysator for økonomisk transformation, "sagde han.

Han sagde, at der er behov for en forandring for at tackle den enorme ulighed, der har forvrænget økonomien og vansiret vores samfund. En god Brexit-plan for dette land handler ikke kun om, hvad der kan forhandles med Bruxelles; det skal også omfatte et radikalt investeringsprogram og reelle forandringer på tværs af vores regioner og nationer.

"I 2016 stemte landet for at forlade EU på baggrund af den økonomiske nedtur i Storbritannien efter finanssammenbruddet: en million familier, der modtager føden fra godgørende organisationer, over 4 millioner børn der lever i fattigdom, og reallønninger der er lavere i dag end i 2010."

"Velstanden er ikke nået frem til de nedre lag i samfundet. I stedet har streng og forældet økonomisk tænkning bidraget til at skabe en situation, hvor 20 procent af Storbritanniens rigdom er i hænderne på kun 1 procent af befolkningen."

Han opfordrede til forhandling om en permanent toldunion med EU.

I mellemtiden giver en vælgerundersøgelse foretaget af *The Observer* Labour-partiet en tilslutning på 39%, en føring på 3 procentpoint over Det Konservative parti, som ligger på 36% sammenlignet med en måned siden.

Bankerot City of London truer med at forblive i kontrol over verdens derivater, til trods for Brexit

“Storbritannien har advaret Bruxelles om, at tusindvis af europæiske investeringsfonde vil blive truet, hvis Bruxelles nægter at bøje sig for kravet om en omfattende handelsaftale med City of London efter Brexit”, rapporterede *The Times of London* den 30. juli. “Den britiske ‘lige for lige’ advarselsstrategi er designet til at fremhæve den skade der kan opstå, hvis Storbritannien ikke får en særlig aftale for the City.”

‘Lige for lige’ truslen fra Storbritannien går ud på, at hvis firmaerne i City of London ikke får lov til at sælge derivatkontrakter i EU, vil EU-firmaer blive udelukket fra Storbritannien. En unavngivet britisk regeringsemedsmand hævdede næsvist, at “dette ikke var ment som en trussel. Vi ønskede snarere at angive, hvad begge sider kunne tabe, hvis vi ikke får en god aftale, og det blev også modtaget i den

ånd.”

Realiteten bag Londons mafia-lignende trussel blev sagt ligeud tilbage i juli 2017 af Jeremy Browne, som tidligere var City of Londons særlige repræsentant i EU, tilknyttet udenrigsministeriet og 'Colonial Office', og medlem af parlamentet fra London. "London er Europas finansielle adgang til verdensmarkederne. Det er uden tvivl det vigtigste finansielle center i verden overhovedet. Hvis Europa ikke har London som dets indgang til globale finansielle markeder, vil det ikke have adgang til globale finansmarkeder."

City of London er også kendt som 'the Square Mile', Londons finansielle distrikt og det historiske centrum med sin egen borgmester og regering. Teknisk set er City of London et af de 33 lokale myndighedsområder, som London er delt op i.

Trump kræver atomvåbenaftale med Rusland; støtter EU's opløsning

16. jan., 2017 – Det transatlantiske establishment er blevet kastet ud i endnu en runde, hvor de må bide i gulvtæppet, af nyvalgte præsident Donald Trumps første interview med europæiske medier, et fællesinterview, han gav til Londonavisen *The Times* og den tyske avis, *Bild Zeitung*, udgivet den 15. og 16. januar. Ledere lige fra Frankrig til Storbritannien og videre udtrykte oprør over, at Trump vovede at foreslå en ophævelse af sanktionerne mod Rusland til gengæld for en atomvåbenaftale, over, endnu engang at kalde

NATO for forældet, og for at antyde, at, ikke alene var Storbritanniens udgang, Brexit, af Den europæiske Union en »fremragende ting«, men »hvis man spørger mig, vil flere andre lande også gå ud«.

»De har sanktioner mod Rusland – lad os se, om vi ikke kan indgå nogen gode aftaler med Rusland. For det første mener jeg, at atomvåben skal være langt færre og reduceres væsentligt, det er en del af det«, sagde Trump til sine interviewere.

Der vil også komme forandringer i NATO, annoncerede Trump: »Det er forældet, for det første, fordi det blev designet for mange, mange år siden«, for det andet, fordi europæiske »lande ikke betaler, hvad de skal«, og også, fordi NATO-alliancen »ikke håndterede terrorismen«.

Trumps kritik af Ruslands intervention i Syrien som værende en »meget dårlig ting«, der førte til en »forfærdelig humanitær situation«, oprørte ikke den transatlantiske elite, og det gjorde hans gentagne erklæring om, at det var »en stor fejltagelse« af Tyskland at have taget syriske flygtninge ind, heller ikke.

Men det samme kan bestemt ikke siges om Trumps påmindelse om, at det, der oprindeligt skabte denne krise, var USA's intervention i Irak.

»Hele denne sag burde aldrig være sket. Irak burde ikke være blevet angrebet ... Det er ligesom at kaste sten mod et hvepsebo. Det er alletiders værste roderi«, sagde Trump. Hans prioritet, som militær øverstbefalende? »ISIS«, svarede Trump.

Obamas ambassadør til Den europæiske Union, den »indflydelsesrige finans ekspert«, Anthony Gardner, var allerede apoplektisk over, at det første spørgsmål, som EU-embedsmænd, Trumps overgangsteam havde talt med, blev spurgt, var, »Hvilket land efter UK er det næste til at forlade [eurozonen]?«, og således udbredte den idé, »at 2017 er året,

hvor EU vil falde fra hinanden« (*Time*-magasinet, 13. jan., 2017).

Fra Trump selv kom den påstand, at »Brexit vil ende med at være en god ting«.

Det faktum, at interviewet til *The Times* blev udført af Michael Gove, er ved at drive City og London-kredse amok. Gove er den førende Brexit-tilhænger i det Konservative Parti. Efterson han blev fyret sidste år af premierminister Theresa May, ses det som endnu et nap i næsen, i lighed med, at Trump mødtes med Nigel Farage, stifter af anti-EU partiet, Independence Party (UKIP). Trump dryssede også her salt i såret og spurgte Gove mod slutningen, »Hvordan har vores Nigel det? ... Jeg synes, han er en storslået fyr.«

Det, der blev rapporteret i *The Times*, men ikke i *Bild*, var hans referencer til Tyskland og dets kansler.

»Hvis man ser på Den europæiske Union, så er det Tyskland. Grundlæggende set, et instrument for Tyskland. Det er derfor, jeg syntes, det var intelligent af UK at udtræde«, sagde han til de to redaktører. »Jeg mener, andre også vil udtræde. Jeg mener ikke, det bliver så nemt at holde sammen på det, som mange mennesker mener.«

Glass-Steagall: Europa på randen af total finansiel nedsmeltning

12. juli 2016 (*Leder*) – Europa konfronteres med tre mekanismer, der kunne udløse en nedsmeltning, et sammenbrud,

af det transatlantiske finansielle system, og enhver af disse mekanismer kunne detonere, hvad øjeblik, det skal være. Og så er de endda på ingen måde de eneste kilder til det transatlantiske, London/Wall Street-ledede systems kollaps.

For det første er de italienske banker på randen af kollaps. Man har offentligt indrømmet, at de førende italienske banker har for 360 milliarder euro gæld, der er i betalingsstandsning – og uofficielle estimater sætter tallet langt højere. Men, som den italienske premierminister Renzi korrekt har advaret om, så er krisen omkring Deutsche Bank »hundrede gange værre«. DB sidder med aktuelle derivater til \$72,8 billioner og har et bjerg af insolvent gæld. I søndags krævede DB's cheføkonom David Folkerts-Landau en omgående haste-bailout af de europæiske storbanker til 150 milliarder euro – med start i hans egen DB. Iflg. EU-love, der trådte i kraft 1. januar, skal banker først gennemgå en bailin (ekspropriering af visse typer indeståender), før de kan få en bailout (statslig redningspakke), og dette udgør i sig selv en sikker udløser af en systemisk nedsmeltning.

Fra mandag at regne konfronteredes Londons store ejendomsfonde med et stormløb fra investorerne, i kølvandet på Brexit-afstemningen, og udsigten til et umiddelbart forestående sammenbrud af hele den britiske ejendomsboble er meget virkelig. I en klar panik over den accelererende disintegration vred det regerende Konservative Parti armen om på den ene af de to tilbageværende kandidater til partiformandsposten for at trække sig som kandidat, således, at Theresa May kunne blive installeret som premierminister på onsdag – for at have en regering på plads til at håndtere den fremstormende krise.

Dette umiddelbart forestående, systemiske krak kan ikke adskilles fra den voksende fare for atomkrig i kølvandet på NATO-topmødet for statsoverhoveder i Warszawa i sidste uge.

Det er præcist pga. denne kombinerede fare for et kollaps ud i

kaos og en potentiel udslettelseskrig, at Lyndon LaRouche har krævet en engangs-bailout af de tyske banker for at standse blødningen længe nok til at lancere et reelt skifte i politikken, baseret på **hans egne Fire Love** for, hvordan verdensøkonomien skal genoplives gennem kreditter, der er rettet mod at forbedre arbejdskraftens produktive evne, gennem investering i infrastruktur, fremskudt, videnskabelig grænseforskning, der anføres af en massiv udvidelse af rumprogrammet, og lignede tiltag. LaRouche advarede kolleger den 10. juli om, at, hvis Tyskland tager et styrtdyk ud i kaos, er krig umiddelbart overhængende. Tyskland sidder inde med nøglen til en ny europæisk politik over for Rusland, baseret på strategisk og økonomisk samarbejde, og hvis dette forhold spoles, vil konsekvenserne blive katastrofale.

Tiden er inde til at se den nuværende krises virkelighed i øjnene, krævede LaRouche, og til at handle på basis af denne nødsituation, som krisen kræver det.

Læs: **LaRouches Fire Love til at redde USA** (og verden ...)

SUPPLERENDE MATERIALE:

Italienske banker og Deutsche Bank fører an i den transatlantiske sektors krak

11. juli 2016 – Pressen i den transatlantiske verden er fuld af dækning af krisen i Deutsche Bank og de italienske banker, som understreger betydningen af Lyndon LaRouches intervention, hvor han kræver en engang-bailout af Tyskland for at forhindre kaos i Europa, men baseret på behovet for gennemgribende ændringer i banksystemet for at forcere kreditter ind i realøkonomien og lukke derivativerne og andre spekulative

bobler ned.

Russia Today har David Folkerts-Landaus interview med *Welt am Sonntag*, hvor cheføkonomen for Deutsche Bank (DB) krævede en haste-bailout til 150 milliarder euro, og det citerer også udtalelser fra Lorenzo Bini Smaghi, formand for Societe Generale, hvor han advarer om, at den italienske bankkrise kan brede sig til hele EU. DB-aktier er faldet 48 % i løbet af de seneste 12 måneder, Societe Generales aktier er faldet 63 %, og Bloombergs Europa-index over 500 banker og finansielle serviceselskaber er faldet med 33 %, det laveste i syv år. En tidslinje i *Bloomberg*-artiklen har titlen, »Deutsche Banks episke kollaps«, og som gør det ganske klart, at det europæiske banksystem nu står på randen af et umiddelbart krak.

RT har også en nylig, underskrevet kronik af George Soros, hvor han erklærer, at EU's kollaps er blevet »næsten uundgåeligt« siden Brexit-valget.

»Det katastrofale scenario, som mange har frygtet, har materialiseret sig og gør en disintegration af EU praktisk talt uigenkaldelig«, skrev han for Project Syndicate (som han finansierer kraftigt). Han tilføjede, at det finansielle kollaps i UK i kølvandet på Brexit var det værste i tre årtier. »Det europæiske projekts blotte overlevelse er indsatsen i forhandlingerne om, hvordan Brexit kommer til at forløbe.« RT's dækninger bemærker, at Marine LePen mødtes med den franske præsident Hollande og pressede på for at få en folkeafstemning om en «Frexit», men blev afvist.

Reuters har også en omfattende dækning af Folkerts-Landau-interviewet. Cityam, en online finans-publikation, bemærkede, at italienske banker sidder med insolvent gæld til 360 milliarder euro, og aktier i samtlige italienske storbanker og andre banker i Middelhavsområdet – Unicredit, Banca Monte dei Paschi di Siena, Banco Popolare og Intesa San Paolo (Portugal) – er faldet med 25 % siden Brexit-valget. Michael Hewson fra

CMC Markets UK citeredes for at sige, »hvis Italien går ned, vil det tage resten af Europa med sig«.

The Street havde følgende hovedoverskrift tilbage den 5. juli, »Vil Deutsche Bank initiere den næste finansielle krise? Aktier kunne være på vej til nul«. Artiklen nævnte paralleller til Lehman Brothers og viste, at DB er i en langt værre tilstand end Lehman var mod slutningen. IMF advarede om, at det største overløb fra DB vil ramme Frankrig, UK og USA, der »har den største grad af overløb fra omverden, målt ud fra den gennemsnitlige procent af kapitaltab hos andre banksystemer pga. chokket i banksektoren i oprindelseslandet«. En grafisk fremstilling i *Wall Street Journal*, der nævnes af *The Street*, viser bank-til-bank-forbindelserne i Deutsche Bank. DB er belånt med over 40:1, langt værre end Lehmans 31:1 på tidspunktet for dens kollaps; og DB's aktuelle portefølje af derivater udgør \$72,8 billioner, hvilket er 13 % af alle globale, udestående derivater. »Hvis domino-effekten opstår, vil Tyskland, med sit BNP på \$4 billioner eller EU med sit BNP på \$18 billioner ikke være i en position, hvor de kan få kontrol over det.«

New Europe online har hovedoverskriften, »Hvorfor Deutsche Bank er den farligste bank i verden«, og spørger, hvad prisen ville være for den tyske regerings bailout, versus konsekvenserne af at lade det nedsmelte med systemiske implikationer.

Bloomberg har også advaret om, at Londons ejendomsmarked er ved at krakke, og dette er endnu en konsekvens af Brexit. Standard Life Investments annoncerede, at fra og med i dag, vil de suspendere deres UK Ejendomsfond for at afværge investorer, der kræver deres penge tilbage. Dette udløser allerede smitte, med flere andre store ejendomsinvestorers meddelelse om lignede fastfrysninger af klienternes midler, og med endnu andre, der simpelt hen meddeler, at de trækker sig ud af eksisterende handler om prima ejendomsprojekter i London.

Samlet set er tilstandene i Italien, Deutsche Bank og ejendomsmarkedet i London mere end tilstrækkelige til at eksplodere hele den transatlantiske finansielle sektor. Det er præcis pga. denne allerede igangværende krise, at nødforanstaltninger, nøjagtigt i overensstemmelse med LaRouches krav, omgående må vedtages.

Enten skaber menneskeheden et nyt paradigme – eller også er menneskeheden fortabt

6. juli 2016 – På tærsklen til det ildevarslenende NATO-topmøde i Polen d. 8. – 9. juli, der meget vel kunne vise sig at blive snubletråden for global atomkrig, er også hele det transatlantiske finansielle system ved at gå op i sømmene. Sterling-pundet er efter Brexit styrtdykket til det laveste niveau i 30 år; et halvt dusin ejendoms-hedgefonde i London, angiveligt med en samlet 'værdi' på \$20 milliarder, har indstillet handlen for at standse panik-udtræk; og banker i hele Europa – *ikke* kun i Italien – står umiddelbart over for konkurs og kræver desperat ubegrænset supplerende likviditet for blot at holde sig oven vande.

Bank of England har, sammen med alle de transatlantiske centralbanker, trykket på panikknappen og annoncerer nye måder, hvorpå de vil pumpe en uendelig strøm af værdiløse midler ind i systemet, alt imens de løsner vilkårene for, hvornår banker kan påtage sig en stadigt større eksponering til derivater – det præcist modsatte af den Glass-Steagall løsning, der er påkrævet.

Lyndon LaRouche langede i dag kraftigt ud efter dette vanvid, som en total »politisk katastrofe, der ikke vil føre til noget, bortset fra den totale bankerot af hele det britiske system.«

Rent politisk er hele den Europæiske Union og dens institutioner ved at smuldre. Etablisementet aner i bund og grund ikket, hvad de skal stille op og har ingen forbindelse til den virkelighed, som deres egne politikker har udløst, med trussel om atomkrig, uhæmmet satanisk terrorisme og økonomisk nedsmeltning – samt den plagede befolknings voksende had og raseri mod etablisementet. Den samme proces karakteriserer USA under Obama.

Det turde være åbenbart, at videreførelsen af disse politikker i kortere eller længere tid vil føre til en katastrofe for menneskeheden. Enten skaber vi et nyt paradigme for menneskeheden, eller også er menneskeheden fortabt, understregede Helga Zepp-LaRouche i dag. Det var det centrale budskab ved vores Schiller Institut- konference i Berlin d. 25. – 26. juni, og det er det udsyn, der må gennemtrænge ethvert aspekt af vores aktivitet, og hver diskussion, som vi har på alle dele af planeten. Der er ingen løsning for nogen enkeltdel, uden en løsning for helheden – enhed går forud for diversitet, hvad enten det passer det Britiske Imperium at acceptere dette universalprincip, eller ej.


Hvis folk som modsætning hertil tænker, at mennesket grundlæggende set er et dyr, der først og fremmest hytter sit eget skind; og hvis de handler – eller undlader at handle – på basis heraf, så står vi over for slutningen på civilisationen. En ny tænke måde, et nyt billede af det kreative menneske, et nyt paradigme er den eneste mulighed.

Som Helga Zepp-LaRouche fremstillede spørgsmålet ved en pressekonference i Washington D.C., der blev holdt i dag ved Chongyang Instituttet for Finansielle Studier og det Nationale

Institut for Studier af det Sydkinesiske Hav:

»Der er mange internationale militæreksperter, der advarer om, at situationen i dag er mere farlig end på højden af den kolde krig. Endvidere er vi på vej til at opleve endnu et finansielt sammenbrud, værre end i 2008. Jeg mener, at de terrorhandlinger, der især har fundet sted i de seneste to uger i Bangladesh, Tyrkiet, Indonesien og europæiske lande, klart viser, at terrorismen er ude af kontrol. Og rent faktisk befinder den Europæiske Union sig med Brexit i en disintegrations-proces, der er meget dramatisk.

Så mit spørgsmål er: Kan menneskeheden ikke hæve sig til et højere niveau af samarbejde og satse på et nyt paradigme, hvor geopolitik er en saga blot og erstattet af menneskehedens fælles mål? Jeg mener, at verden har hårdt brug for, at USA og Kina arbejder sammen, for jeg mener, at, uden at de to lande tager hinanden i hånden, så står verden i problemer til halsen. Så spørgsmålet er: Kan verden bevæge sig mod et nyt paradigme med fredeligt samarbejde om hele menneskehedens fremtidige opgaver?«

 *Foto: Brexit- afstemningen demonstrerer etablerets manglende forbindelse med befolkningen. Vil vi hæve os til et nyt paradigme for hele menneskehedens fremtid, eller forpasse chancen for at gribe dette store øjeblik?*

Kilde i den tyske regering: Slut med "mere Europa", og Juncker ud

4. juli, 2016 – Den Europæiske Union ligger hen i forvirring efter Brexit og fortsætter ud ad det spor, der fører til destruktion. Selv inden for samme nationale regering synes der at blive presset på for forskellige politikker.

På den ene side siger en minister i den tyske regering, at EU-præsident Jean-Claude Juncker vil blive afsat. Kilden citeres af *Sunday Times* og dækkes i mange medier. "Angela Merkel kunne tænkes at tage skridt til at afsætte Europas føderalistchef Jean-Claude Juncker 'inden for det kommende år'", har en tysk minister udtalt, som tegn på voksende europæisk splittelse over, hvordan man skal reagere på den britiske Exit-afstemning.

Frankrig, Belgien og Italien ønsker 'mere Europa' som svar på Brexit, men Tyskland "danner i stilhed en alliance med Polen og andre østlige og baltiske stater" om at slå bremserne i.

"Efter afstemningen d. 23. juni, har både den tjekkiske og den polske udenrigsminister offentligt opfordret hr. Juncker til at træde tilbage – udspil, som en ledende EU-funktionær afviste som "forudsigelige." Imidlertid repræsenterer denne rumlen fra Berlin nu en langt mere alvorlig trussel mod hr. Junckers stilling.

"Alle er fast besluttet på, at disse forhandlinger skal føres i det Europæiske Råd – dvs. mellem de 27 regeringsoverhoveder – og ikke af Kommissionen, eurokraterne eller EU-'teologerne' i Bruxelles", sagde en fremtrædende kilde i Storbritannien til *London Telegraph*.

På den anden side siges det, at Juncker

selv, den tyske finansminister Wolfgang Schäuble, præsident for Europaparlamentet Martin Schulz, udenrigsministrene for Frankrig og Tyskland og andre ledende politikere presser på for 'endnu mere Europa'. For eksempel fokuserer forslagene på forsvar, indenrigssikkerhed og grænsekontrol, i et fællesmemo fra udenrigsministrene for Frankrig og Tyskland, men også fra Schäuble. Man kræver mere koordinering og standardisering i militærspørgsmål – om end man dog ikke kræver en regulær "EU-hær".

Et forslag, der har fået bred dækning i medierne, og som ikke er mindre truende og vanvittigt, kommer fra præsidenten for EU-parlamentet, Schultz, om at erstatte de nationale regerings bemyndigelse med et EU-parlament, der skal indgå i et tokammersystem med et EU-Senat; og en EU-regering, der ligeledes vil erstatte vitale funktioner i nutidens EU-Kommission. EU-Parlamentet skulle så også vælge en EU-regering. Juncker er allerede i færd med at foretage en prøve kørsel af dette med et forsøg på at presse den transatlantiske frihandelsaftale igennem uden at konsultere de nationale parlamenter, men i stedet ved at konsultere Europaparlamentet.

En EU-regering ville naturligvis inkludere en EU-finansminister, der skulle arbejde med en EU-bankunion og ECB, og potentielt også et overordnet EU-finanscenter, der skulle overtage vitale funktioner, som London hidtil har haft mht. kontinentet. Dette er planer for kontinental-Europa, men der er mange, der er tilhængere af en eller anden form for særarrangement med UK for at holde briterne inde, selv efter Brexit.

**Tiden er nu inde for en
Ny Renæssance for
menneskeheden!**

**LaRouchePAC Internationale
Fredags-webcast, 1. juli
2016.**

**Inkl. videoklip fra
hovedtalere på
Schiller Instituttets
konference i Berlin.**

Aftenens webcast omfatter en eksklusiv video-premiere fra Schiller Instituttets internationale konference i Berlin, 25.-26. juni – en global intervention, der ikke kunne være kommet på et vigtigere tidspunkt. I kølvandet på Brexit-valget ser vi det finansielle systems sammenbrud dukke op igen og en accelerering af fremstødet for krig – udviklinger, der ikke blev forårsaget af Brexit-valget, men som er udtryk for det samlede transatlantiske systems sammenbrudsproces som helhed. Lyndon LaRouches vurdering er klar: diverse manøvrer og spil internt i systemet kan ikke fungere; systemet er gået ned, og der er ingen måde, hvorpå det kan overleve i sin nuværende form. Dette betyder ikke, at vi absolut skal i krig, men man spiller et meget farligt bluff. Som det blev demonstreret på denne historiske konference, så er den eneste løsning den at indføre en ny tankegang, et nyt paradigme for menneskeheden, et skifte i lighed med det, der fandt sted med den berømte, 14-hundredtals Gyldne Renæssance, som Helga Zepp-LaRouche

uophørligt har understreget.

Lyndon LaRouche på Schiller Institut-konference i Berlin (uddrag; se video min. 14:05):

»For det første undersøger vi dette spørgsmål med, hvad er mennesket pr. definition? Menneskets evne til at skabe højere niveauer af udvikling af menneskehedens menneskelige evner?

Det andet er: Hvordan finder vi ting, der vil gøre menneskeden mere succesfuldt eksisterende? Det er endnu et spørgsmål. Alle disse ting er enkle, videnskabelige spørgsmål, og det, vi er afhængige af, er det, vi kalder at fremme fysisk videnskab, og at fremme det til et højere niveau, pr. person, uophørligt. I denne proces må man definere, ved hvilke midler, dette skal gøres. Det har altid været min interesse at komme frem til en ny, mere avanceret teknologi; en teknologi, der vælter og fjerner behovet for en eksisterende teknologi. Mit speciale er at koncentrere mig om revolutionen i anvendelige teknologier. Og dette er det eneste redskab, jeg kender til, ved hvilket mennesket kan forbedre det, mennesket nu har behov for [for fortsat at eksistere].«

Engelsk udskrift.

**– THE TIME FOR A NEW RENAISSANCE
FOR MANKIND IS NOW! –**

**LaRouche PAC Friday webcast for
July 1, 2016**

MATTHEW OGDEN: Good evening! It's July 1st, 2016. My name is

Matthew Ogden, and you're joining us for our weekly webcast here on Friday evening from LaRouchePAC.com. As you'll see, I'm joined in the studio by my colleague Benjamin Deniston; and we're joined via video by two members of the LaRouche PAC Policy Committee: Bill Roberts, joining us from Detroit, Michigan; and Michael Steger, joining us from San Francisco, California.

We have a very special broadcast tonight in which we will be featuring a short video "teaser," which will provide you a substantial overview of the conference, the very important and historic conference, which just recently concluded over last weekend in Berlin, Germany, sponsored by the Schiller Institute.

As a preface to that video, which will provide us the material for a further discussion here tonight, let me just say that it couldn't have come at a better time – this conference. It's clear to see that there's an absolute disintegration of the trans-Atlantic system, which we are experiencing right now. This is not {only} an economic or financial disintegration, but this is in fact a disintegration of the entire {system} as a whole. This is a political breakdown, this is a social breakdown; this is an intellectual breakdown of the axioms which have provided the foundation of that failed system. The axioms underlying this trans-Atlantic system have failed. It's bankrupt in every sense of the word, not only financially, but also politically, culturally, intellectually, and the only solution to that would

be replacing this failed system with an entirely new paradigm.

This is exactly what Mr. LaRouche had to say when we had an extensive discussion with him yesterday. The people who are on this broadcast tonight all participated in that discussion. What Mr. LaRouche said is that there is no way that this trans-Atlantic system can survive. It's not to say that it is not very dangerous and that it could have very terrible consequences if the war were to be launched or if other things were to get out of hand. But what's being done under these circumstances by the so-called "leadership" of this failed trans-Atlantic system "is a complete bluff. It will not work," Mr. LaRouche said. He said, "We're facing a very serious kind of collapse, one which mankind is not well-prepared to deal with."

This is very clear. At the same time that you have a plummeting of the entire financial markets in the trans-Atlantic system, you've got an inverse escalation in the bellicosity and the aggressive stance that is coming out of Obama and his colleagues, against Russia and China, both. Obama was in Ottawa just yesterday at [the "Three Amigos"] summit of the North Americas, in which he was {twisting} the arm of the Canadians, telling them that they need to participate in a much more prominent way in combatting so-called "Russian" aggression, by lending their troops to this NATO deployment.

The Atlantic Council is calling for this NATO deployment to become a {permanent} deployment on the borders of Russia.

Russia

is very clear: Shoigu, the Defense Minister, responded, saying that NATO has already doubled its deployment along the border of

Russia and this is already before the NATO Summit has happened,

which is scheduled to occur in Warsaw, where you can expect that

that deployment will "significantly increase."

Mr. LaRouche went on to say, when we were discussing this

with him yesterday, that you can see that all the so-called "leadership" of this system is bankrupt. "The leadership itself

is bankrupt as an institution. Not that they {have} a problem, but that they {are the} problem." "They are fraudsters," he said,

"and we are, in fact, the only leadership available on the scene."

What Mrs. LaRouche had to say – and this is, again, in the

aftermath of her experience as the primary organizer and keynote

speaker of this very important conference which you are about to

see some excerpts from – she said, "Look, this could not have come at a better time. This was literally two days after the Brexit vote. And the Brexit is merely paradigmatic of the entire

breakdown crisis. You have an ongoing disarray, ongoing chaos and

disintegration coming out of this. You have the breaking apart of

the entire leadership of the United Kingdom. All of the major political parties are like gangs of wolves at their own throats,

and it's very possible that Scotland, Ireland could both leave

the United Kingdom, turning 'Great' Britain into 'Lesser' Britain, or 'Very Small' Britain."

She said we have no idea where this is going, but it makes

it very clear that this conference couldn't have occurred at a better time, because what was presented and what you will see in

this brief overview that we're about to play for you, is that {there can be no piecemeal solutions.} Too little, too late.

You

can't solve this problem here and this problem there, and try to

piece it all together. The only thing that will work is an entirely new paradigm that supplants the failed way of thinking

with an entirely new set of principles, she said, "A new era of civilization. And, if you don't make the jump," she said, "you're

just not going to make it."

With that said, I would like to present to you a brief overview of the conference which occurred in Berlin. This is to

entice you to watch the full proceedings, which will be available

in video form in due time.

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE: I think we all have all come to this

conference because everybody who is in this room knows that we are experiencing an absolutely unprecedented, systemic, and existential crisis of civilization. You have the coincidence of a

war danger, where NATO is confronting Russia in a very, very aggressive fashion which could lead to a third world war. You have a U.S. confrontation against China in the South China Sea.

You have the danger of a new 2008-type of financial crisis

which

could blow up the financial system. And, two days ago, you had the Brexit – Great Britain voting to leave the European Union. As we all know, this was not a vote against Europe as such, but

it was a vote against a completely unjust system and a corrupt elite.

The conference has one subsuming topic, and that is to define solutions to these crises, to discuss what would be the new paradigm, and is mankind capable of solving such an existential crisis?

We have distinguished speakers from four continents, from many countries. They are representative of the kinds of people who are determined that a solution is being found. Before I go into touching upon these various mortal dangers, the solution is

easy. So, be addressed and be calm. If men unite for a good plan

and act in solidarity with courage, {any} crisis in human civilization can be overcome, because that is the nature of human

beings: that when we are challenged with a great evil, an even greater force of good is being awoken in our soul.

AMB. (ret) CHAS W. FREEMAN, JR: Helga, I'd like to thank you for

that very inspiring set of opening remarks. We have entered a world in which, as William Butler Yeats put it in 1919: "Things

fall apart; the center cannot hold; mere anarchy is loosed upon

the world." In Europe, in America, and in parts of Asia there is

a sense of foreboding – an elemental unease about what is to come. There is vexing drift amidst political paralysis.

Demagoguery is ascendant and the stench of fascism is in the

air.

This is the global context in which China has proposed to integrate the entire Eurasian landmass with a network of roads, railroads, pipelines, telecommunications links, ports, airports, and industrial development zones. If China's "One Belt, One Road" concept is realized, it will open a vast area to economic and intercultural exchange, reducing barriers to international cooperation in a 65-country zone with 70% of the world's population, with over 40% of its GDP, generating well over half of its current economic growth.

In concept, the Belt and Road program, which is one of the major topics of this conference, is the largest set of engineering projects ever undertaken by humankind. Its potential to transform global geo-economics and politics is proportional to its scale.

COL. (ret) ALAIN CORVEZ: I want to congratulate the Schiller Institute for organizing this conference at a critical moment when the threat of a nuclear war which would lead to the extinction of humanity becomes clearer every day, because of the concentration in the heart of Europe of weapons capable of destroying the planet within seconds.

To respond to the reinforcements of U.S. strategic forces inside NATO on European territory, Russia was forced to deploy an equivalent arsenal of deterrence on its western borders. It's

therefore high time that the strategists of various countries, even those far from the European Theater, demand restraint and more wisdom from the heads of state of the entire world.

This is the purpose of this beneficial institute founded by Mrs. Helga Zepp-LaRouche, whom I wish to compliment personally.

JACQUES CHEMINADE; French Presidential candidate: So, LaRouche thinking proceeds from the becoming, as a science which is the active principle of the economy. The trans-Atlantic financial system in which we are living, based on accumulation of money, is leading to the opposite, not to increasing the size of the physical economy, but to chaos and war, or, more precisely and more tragically, to a combination of both.

The preceding speakers have shown that the current world is more dangerous, yes, more dangerous, than it ever was during the height of the Cold War. Those proclaiming themselves "realists" and "reasonable," while following the rules of the system, in reality contribute to its collapse by the mere fact that they operate inside the system without fighting it.

Now we have arrived at the point in history where systemic change, a just concept of economy and man, are necessary for the survival of all. Money has no intrinsic value. It is nothing but an instrument, acquiring value through what it promotes. From there on, what is the goal to reach?

LYNDON LAROUCHE: First of all, we're looking at this

issue

of man, as such – man's ability to create higher levels of development of the human powers of mankind. The next thing is: how do we understand, how do we find things that are going to make mankind more successfully existent? That's another question.

All these things are simple, scientific questions. What we depend

upon, is driving what we call "physical science," and driving it,

{per capita}, to a higher level, always.

In that process, you have to define what the means is by

which you're going to do this. My concern is always to come up with a new technology, a more advanced technology, one which overturns and obviates the need for an existing technology.

My

specialty is concentrating on the revolution in the applicable technologies; and that is the only device by which I know that mankind can improve the requirements for mankind now.

MARCO ZANNI; head of M5S delegation in the Eco. and Monetary

Affairs Cttee. of the European Parliament: The European financial

system is collapsing; it's collapsing because of wrong policies

brought about by European governments and by the European Union.

Clearly, a first step – and we proposed one bill in the Italian

Parliament and one in the European Parliament in the framework of

the banking structure reform is restoring banking separation.

We

think that we have to set up a sort of modern European

Glass-Steagall that will simplify the regulation on the

banking

system, and will make the separation between the core part of a

bank and a speculative bank in order to create a banking system

that is no longer focussed on speculation, on the financial system; but on the needs of the real economy, on the needs of people. This is the first step.

AMB. (ret) LEONIDAS CHRYSANTOPOULOS: Another threat facing

humanity is the US animosity towards Russia, as if we were still

in the Cold War period. This was discussed in the previous panel, but very roughly I would just say about it. A missile system is being set up to encircle Russia; and of course, Moscow

is preparing a defense field to counter it. The EU embargo on Russia after the Ukrainian crisis is not at all helping the situation. Also, threats have been recently made by Obama against China and the need to restrict her economic power.

With

a collapsing EU and a USA looking for confrontation with Russia

and China, a solution for humanity can be the BRICS initiative;

which is the initiative of Brazil, Russia, India, China, and South Africa to pursue a policy of economic development for the

benefit of humanity. They have created their own development bank to invest in the necessary development projects. China has

established the Asia Infrastructure [Investment] Bank; joined by

over 20 Asian nations as founding members, and has set up a Silk

Road Development Fund.

AMB. HAMID SIDIG; current Ambassador of Afghanistan to Germany: I would like to express my gratitude and honor to be part of this important event. Over the past 30 years, the Schiller Institute has played a significant role in promoting international discussion on major topics, and has shaped the future of our work. Since ancient times, the Silk Road has been a symbol of the commercial artery to connect Asia and Europe; creating wealth and cultural exchange to benefit all countries involved in this area. Our conference today – and I hope to build on this ancient tradition, by bringing together scientists and politicians to develop a New Silk Road; and begin the process of healing, integrating, and regenerating this very important region – Central Asia. Our vision is to create a secure and peaceful life for our region, which will allow thousands of refugees to return back to their homes and rebuild their communities again.

BEREKET SIMON; chairman of Commercial Bank of Ethiopia, advisor to PM: I would like to express my heartfelt sympathy and support to the people of Syria, Iraq, Libya, and the larger Middle Eastern and North African countries who are subjected to a wanton destruction as a result of a mistaken policy of regime change by some global powers. Allow me also to thank the Schiller Institute for inviting me to speak on a broad topical issue – the importance of the economic development of Ethiopia in the context of the New Silk Road and the greater African region.

Dear Friends, Ethiopia considers China's Silk Road economic projects and maritime Silk Road projects jointly known as One Belt, One Road as another milestone opportunity that could

contribute to sustain its economic development together with all the countries in our region. We believe that the last decade or two have witnessed the resurgence of trade between Africa and the East. The New Silk Road would also further strengthen the mutual benefits of expanded trade between nations. This will apply to the relationship between Ethiopia and its traditional partners [inaud; 20:49]. Together with our neighbors in the region, we are determined to an Ethiopian, and indeed African, renaissance which can harness the new possibilities opened by developments like the New Silk Road. I thank you.

AMB. (ret) MICHEL RAIMBAUD: Good morning. I want to talk to you about Syria and the title of my intervention is "In Syria and Elsewhere, Against the War Party and the Law of the Jungle, We Have to Rebuild Peace and International Law"; these are my themes. First of all, the world today is in great danger of war; more than ever before. It's going through a global crisis – that has been said already. One hears much about a new Cold War, which would lead us back to the old confrontation between the free world, so-called, the Axis of Good, and the totalitarian bloc, dubbed the Axis of Evil by George Bush.

We have lift immediately the sanctions; if there's a message I want to give you, these sanctions have to be lifted. It's a crime of war; it's a major crime of war. This has to be lifted

right away; we have to fight for this.

Message from FOUAD AL-GHAFFARI; Chairman of Advisory Office

for Coordination with BRICS, Yemen: Dear Mrs. Helga Zepp-LaRouche, the noble chairwoman of the Schiller Institute and the New Silk Road Lady; dear Mr. Hussein Askary, the Middle East coordinator of the Schiller Institute, Ladies and Gentlemen who are gathered in this conference here in Berlin today; I carry a great deal of joy and gratitude for you and for your team for the outstanding awareness achieved in my country about the New Silk Road and the World Land-Bridge, and the new economic system of the BRICS. All that awareness delivered special marks that is occurring through our advisory office, the rights to publish and distribute the Arabic of the EIR Special Report, "The New Silk Road Becomes the World Land-Bridge"; and printing 1000 copies for the Yemeni market.

DR. BOUTHAINA SHAABAN; from the Presidency of Syria: If we need to create a world for all, if we need to create a peaceful world, if we need to create a prosperous world for all, we need to create a conceptual, intellectual concept of one world; we need to create a conceptual concept of the Silk Road. Not only an actual Silk Road, but an intellectual Silk Road. All of you

know that Aleppo and Syria were extremely crucial in the ancient

Silk Road that connected Asia to Europe. Syria and the Syrian people will be more than happy to be also very active in a New Silk Road, in a political, social, intellectual Silk Road that connects Asia to the West; that connects Eurasia to the West.

PROJECT PHOENIX video: Not only Aleppo, but all of Syria with its people, culture and artifacts, represents a unique and living

testimony to the coexistence and continuity of different human civilizations. It is imperative that the world defend and preserve it; and when peace is established, make it the world capital for the dialogue of civilizations.

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE: So, I think we should be fully conscious that in this present crisis lies a tremendous chance to

reach a new Renaissance as significant, and maybe even more significant, than the change from the Middle Ages to the modern

times. That if we break with the axioms of the globalization, of

the deductive thinking, of all the things which have led to this

crisis; and focus on the creativity of mankind as that which distinguishes us from other species, that many of us can probably

live to see a world where each child is educated universally and

that the normal condition of mankind will be genius. That that

which is human will be fully developed, to have all the potentials developed of the human species as creative composers,

scientists, engineers, extraordinary people discovering things which we doesn't even know the question here of; like China

going

to the far side of the Moon. We will understand secrets of the

Universe which we don't even know yet to ask. And people will become better people. I believe that the true nature of human beings is good; that every human being has a capacity of limitless perfection and goodness of the soul. And to accomplish

that, is within reach; and let's work for it.

OGDEN: So, as you can see, this was an absolutely extraordinary conference. And on the final screen, you saw briefly the website displayed where you can find the full proceedings of the conference. It's newparadigm.schillerinstitute.com. And although that was a tour

de force of incredible speakers of a really incredible caliber,

that was not even all of the speakers who were present. So, we

encourage you to go to the website and watch all of the presentations in full. Mrs. LaRouche was emphatic in saying after the fact, that this was an absolute breakthrough in terms

of the activity of the LaRouche Movement, the types of people, the caliber of people who were there. This was not just an analysis, or talking about issues, or the problems of the planet.

But it could be seen very clearly that we are the center of organizing the solution, organizing the change in paradigm.

One of the other things that was a major feature of this

conference, which we just couldn't include in that overview, was

an outstanding Classical musical concert that was organized on the evening of the conference. This included a Russian children's choir singing Russian songs; it included a string

orchestra based out of London that plays professionally at the lower Verdi tuning of A-432; it included a performance of Chinese folk songs and other Classical music; and then a grand finale performance of the Mozart Coronation Mass by the greater European Schiller Institute Chorus, joined by other choruses from around Berlin.

So, this is an absolute breakthrough; and as Mrs. LaRouche said, the conceptions which lie at the heart of the solutions to the crisis were there. And this was representative of the leadership of the world. And I think that's what we have to offer in this moment of danger and uncertainty.

So, I think we can open up the discussion from there; it's a hard act to follow, I'm sure, but ...

MICHAEL STEGER: Well I think that the point that Helga made that you just referenced, Matt, on this question of shaping policy; what you see increasingly now not only in Eurasia, but what we saw with the participation at the conference with significant participation from Europe, high-level participation from the United States. You see an increasing desire to look at the fact that this current system, even the {New York Times} had the intellectual ability to recognize that this post-World War II system, the system set up by Churchill, by the FBI – this Wall Street system – since Franklin Roosevelt's death, is essentially now coming to an end. That's what the Brexit references. The

conference as a whole was in the context of the Brexit vote; but it's not simply a vote to leave the European Union. This is a reaction by an increasing majority in the trans-Atlantic within the population; which recognizes that the system is dying. It's dead. There's no longer a future, a life in the current system they're living in. Whether that's Great Britain, whether it's the United States, where you see the major populist revolts here; this was discussed by many of the speakers. And many of them didn't expect it to occur; and yet, when you're on the ground and you're organizing the population, when you have increasing suicide rates, increasing drug overdoses, increasing levels of unemployment, it's not hard to figure out when talking to the population.

It's a new system, a system of value, a financial system; but it's a policy. It's a policy for the long-term development of mankind that has to be conjured and redeveloped in the minds of the population. And I think that's what's so essential about the conference is that Helga's entire intent with this conference, and why Lyn's participation was so important, was because it provokes a quality of discussion. A new conception of where mankind must go and what mankind must become; and that really is the essential nature. Because at this point, this trans-Atlantic system has no longer any life; it almost like it's breaking, it's fracturing. Each break leads to more breaks. The

question is, what's the new whole; what's the new conception of mankind in the trans-Atlantic and for the world?

And I think we have a lot of work to do, but clearly it's the most open situation politically that we've ever seen.

WILLIAM ROBERTS: I would just add that I think for an American audience, the thing really to take away from this whole process is that clearly what we're seeing in terms of the process of development of the New Silk Road, and in terms of the beauty of the idea which I think people, as they have a chance to experience the cultural panel, the musical process from this conference, will geopolitics is irrepressible at this point. What that means is that there's no turning back; there are no half measures or piecemeal measures to do anything of a halfway nature at this point. I would say that this includes that it really should be very obvious to the American population that this current election process is a complete and utter sham. A so-called "democratic" election process, where you have a couple of candidates, but there's absolutely no discussion of the ridiculous war crimes of the last 15 years of administrations in the United States. Even in Britain now, you have Jeremy Corbyn who is threatening to bring a war crimes tribunal, should he come into government, against Tony Blair. The Blair crowd is shaking in their boots, and you can see that there is a complete and total situation of weakness of this entire

British Empire at this moment. And because this is really unclear in the minds of the American people, and because it's very unclear how close we are to thermonuclear war, how aggressively the threat of thermonuclear warheads is being used against China and Russia. Because the ignorance to that is the most dangerous thing that's contributing to the danger that's facing this planet right now.

I think the one pathway or one tool in the United States that expresses that level of an abrupt shift against geopolitics in particular, is what is now the motion around the 28 pages to expose the role of the British and the Saudis and the cover-up of that process. Sen. Bob Graham has made the point in a recent interview in the {Daily Beast} that it's very clear now that the two-month period that the Obama administration gave him assurances of that they would review the pending release of the 28 pages. That's come and past now; and it's clear the intent is to keep this thing in the dark and continue the desperate war push.

I'll just mention one more thing. There are also now, the Obama administration is completely pushing a lie and vastly under counting the number of innocent civilians that have been killed by drone strikes throughout the countries that we're not at war with. It should really just hit people, the contrast between the

beauty of this process of a world beyond geopolitics and the unconscious war crimes and the acceptance of the legitimacy of a process which completely covers over and overlooks the tremendous war crimes of these recent two administrations. So, I think that should be a real immediate wake-up call that we do have to, as Americans, break out of this current paradigm.

OGDEN: What Helga began the discussion with, which I think shaped the entire quality of all of the panels, was the statement – which was a very profound statement – that in the face of great evil, mankind is capable of finding within himself great good. And I think that you were witnessing that in all of the speakers. The spirit that was moving all of these speakers, is one that this system can no longer be allowed to continue; it has reached the point where it is too horrible to contemplate the logical outcome of following through with a continuation of the values that underlie this system as a whole. And we see it breaking itself down all around us. None of these events that have occurred are somehow causal of the breakdown of the system; they are merely systematic, they are paradigmatic. The Brexit is paradigmatic; everything that you see in terms of what Michael was sighting about the depression, the demoralization, the despair in the populations in both the United States and Europe. This is symptomatic of a system that is in dire need of dramatic change.

The good news is that that change, the wind is blowing in from the East. You have a new system, which has come to life based on proposals that Lyndon and Helga LaRouche laid out in their seed form 30 or 40 years ago. It's now taken the form of the official policy of the most populous country in the world. You have the official, public integration between the New Silk Road and the Eurasian Economic Union; this is explicitly based on a return to the values that Franklin Roosevelt envisioned would dominate the world following World War II. However, [they] were supplanted by some very evil and destructive forces. Now you have the New Silk Road, you have the opportunity for an entirely new paradigm, which Helga says repeatedly; and which she said at that conference. It would be so easy; this is not some daunting, never-ending distant dream of a new system which is a fantasy. It's very real; it's very present; and it's something that, on the turn of a dime, by a handful of leaders comprised of many of the people you saw speaking at that conference and the circles that they represent. A decision overnight to enter this new paradigm and to drop some of the failed values that have led us down this path to danger and destruction, would be sufficient to bring Europe, to bring the United States, to bring the Western world into harmony with a New Paradigm which is already emerging. Not that anything is perfect, but there is a directionality, there is an impulse towards the perfection of man, towards the increase of the productive powers of the human race, towards

the
greater good of the human species; which is guiding us or
pulling
us into the future. And if we're willing to listen to that
voice, the voice from the future; we can save man at this
critical juncture in our history.

BENJAMIN DENISTON: I think it really goes to the issue to the
power of ideas in this whole process. Because I think Helga
made
the very emphatic point that this was a major breakthrough
conference. If people are familiar with the Schiller
Institute,
much of its activity is centered on these international
conferences. And if you go back to the mid-'90s, the
conferences
we were involved in, Helga was involved in then, and the
launching of the whole Eurasian Land-Bridge perspective when
it
was just an idea. It was just a conception; it was a right
idea,
it was true, it was on principle. And Lyn and Helga fought
for
that conception; and now you see it coming to fruition. So I
think this whole process is useful, especially for people who
watch too much TV in the United States and are immersed in the
insanity of the United States, to get a sense of what's
actually
real; what's actually powerful. What matters in history.
It's
not the crap you see thrown around that this culture is
inundated
with; that is a passing breeze in history that's going to come
and go. What matters is your truthful commitment to
principles,
to true ideas.

And I think Helga's concluding remark about looking at

where

we are from this much longer historical perspective and saying "We need a new shift in our very recognition of what mankind is.

We need to look to things like the Golden Renaissance; and look

at mankind in the Middle Ages, in the Dark Ages. And compare that to what mankind became after the Renaissance. It's a complete transformation of the human species that I think Lyn was

intervening with in some of the discussions; that we have to recognize that that character of continual complete revolution in

the very nature of our existence, is human. So you're looking at

a moment like this, and Lyn really emphasized the self-breakdown

of this trans-Atlantic system. This self-feeding breakdown process. People talk about the Brexit like what maneuvering are

they doing; why did they decide to do that. They're panicking;

they're responding to crises that are being created by the breakdown process itself. This is not something that's in control. In that complete disintegration, it's these conceptions, these ideas, this gathering of people of this caliber for international discussion around what does mankind really need to be doing as mankind on this planet. Can we finally reach the point where we actually unite nations around a

real conception of what is a universal, unifying, truthful principle about humanity? About what makes our species unique and different from anything else we see on this planet. That's

us; that's mankind. We can have that as a common goal, as a common unifying factor; and that's emerging now.

So, I think for people inundated with the degeneracy

of the political process, the cultural process, this stands out as a reference point that people can use to lift their minds out of the gutter of popular opinion and into history and see what's actually happening right now.

OGDEN: Absolutely. One thing that people will have noticed from that overview video that you had the opportunity to watch, is that there was a very significant involvement from leadership within Syria. Right in the war zone, including a government advisor, Her Excellency, the advisor who you saw speaking; which was a live video hook-up directly from Damascus. And she engaged in a dialogue process with the attendees of that conference, which was very significant. Helga LaRouche said that that panel, which was an entire panel on the reconstruction of Syria. What happens after we bring peace? How can we bring peace to this region? A region which is a crossroads of civilization; was a crossroads of the old Silk Road, is a crossroads between three continents. She raised the fact that President Assad, prior to the outbreak of the fighting, had proposed an idea called the Five-Sea Strategy. And if you look at the five oceans – the Red Sea, the Caspian Sea, the Black Sea, the Mediterranean, and the Persian Gulf – you have Syria situated right in the middle of those. So, it's not only a crossroads of the Silk Road as a land route from Asia to Europe to Africa; but it's also a crossroads

of the Maritime Silk Road, and the connections between these five seas.

There was a video presented which was prepared prior to the conference called "Project Phoenix"; which is a vision for the reconstruction of Syria. And there was other dialogue at the conference from very high-level persons from within cultural circles and also government circles within Syria. So, Helga was emphatic to say that this panel on the reconstruction of Syria was certainly a highlight of the conference; and I think it was just exemplary of the fact that the Schiller Institute really is the go-to body in terms of these people who are desperate for a solution, desperate for a future for their countries. They know who has the ideas, they know where to go to get those ideas. So, the combination between the expansion of the New Silk Road, the reconstruction of Syria, there were three resolutions that were passed at the conference. One for the immediate end to the sanctions against Russia; another for an immediate end to the sanctions against Syria; and also one against the Saudi bombardment of Yemen, which is ongoing to this day. And you saw a gentleman who sent in a video from Yemen; right from the war zone there.

I can't emphasize enough, and I think you got a little bit of a flavor during that overview, of the caliber of this conference. But I really can't emphasize enough: You need to watch this conference in full. You need to share this; you

need

to get this around to everybody who you know. As you were saying, Ben, this is a completely different perspective on the world than what you would normally get from your average mainstream media. So, I just wanted to encourage you, again, to

– as the videos become available – to go the newparadigm.schillerinstitute.com website.

STEGER: Just to add to that, Matthew, I think you might have mentioned this at the beginning; but in the discussion with Helga and Lyn yesterday, the reality is that the kind of collapse and crisis we are now incurring is something beyond anything mankind has experienced up to this point. This is not a collapse of the stock market; it's not a Lehman Brothers financial collapse. You're now seeing the political dissolution. The Presidential spokesman for Russia, Peskov, made some comparison to the breakdown of the Soviet Union; but you see that this is even of a greater scale than that kind of collapse. You might say that the world is better prepared for this crisis than the one in 1989, but I would say that it's not prepared sufficiently. And the leadership in the United States and the trans-Atlantic is not prepared sufficiently at all at this point. And the population has to bear some responsibility on this. There's so much emphasis on democracy in the West; democracy in and of itself is not a principle. As Ben referenced, we need an actual return to a sense of universal principles; knowable scientific,

physical characteristics of the Universe to shape our policies.

But those principles cannot exist within a small set of people;

you can't expect an elite to somehow solve and address the problems we now face. The population as a whole – and this is why our outreach in the United States to uplift people beyond this Presidential fiasco; and to recognize that there is not a preparation, there is not yet a capability to address this problem sufficiently. But what this conference addresses is the

level of discussion, the level of participation that begins to move it in that direction. And that is of an urgent nature; because these events, as we saw last week, are only going to increase in the weeks ahead.

Just in the last couple of weeks, you've seen fundamental

changes in orientation from Japan towards Russia and China.

The

new Philippine President Duterte made major motions toward the FDR and Lincoln tradition and a collaborative effort towards China. You've seen major changes even in the last week by Turkey

and their rapprochement towards Russia. There are major developments constantly happening which are reshaping the world.

But the crisis of a collapse of this trans-Atlantic system is far

beyond anything most people have ever imagined; and I think the

seriousness and urgency to develop these ideas and participate in

this dialogue has never been greater.

DENISTON: The collapse goes to the heart of this British

system. A lot can be said, but go to Adam Smith, go to the

original fundamental cultural assumptions, ideas about the nature of man. Man is governed by pleasure and pain; that mankind is just a species that can respond only to pleasure stimulus, avoid pain stimulus. The whole ideological framework of the British system, which has increasingly infected and taken over the United States and run the trans-Atlantic system, goes to those deep issues about what is your understanding of the nature of mankind in the Universe. And we're seeing the breakdown of this entire British ideological imperial cultural system that has dominated really for centuries. I think that is the scale that we're looking at. This is the breakdown of a century-spanning imperial outlook that's had ebbs and flows and increases and decreases of its dominance; but it's not reaching the point of self-inflicted collapse. So in a certain sense, Americans have a certain tradition in direct opposition to that clearly; and people should be celebrating that in the next couple of days, not just hot dogs and fireworks. But actually use this as an opportunity to get a real rooted sense of what is our mission as Americans in opposition to this imperial ideology. In direct resonance and collaboration with what you're seeing out of Asia right now; this is the time to bring that back.

OGDEN: Right. It's exactly what you said – to constantly

come back and say what is the ideological failure which is underlying all of the events that you're seeing. The breakdown, the refugees, the disintegration politically, financially, culturally of the European system; and as Helga emphasized at this conference, it's only a paradigm shift on the level of change from the Dark Age to the Renaissance which will something that will function at this moment. That didn't just happen; that was not some sort of organic process of historical materialism transforming itself. That was a willful change; that was a willful change in the fundamental ideas underlying society and the way that society worked. It's people who have to ability to self-consciously reflect on the fact that we are facing the failure of a system of thinking; and then to say to examine what those failed ideas are. And then to say, how do we replace them; how do we discover a new principle and create a fundamental intellectual revolution which will allow mankind to carry itself forward into the future? I think that's what we witnessed in the proceedings of that conference; but as Michael said, it's something which cannot stay within the confines of that conference and the people who attended it. It is something which must become an integral part of our national dialogue as a people; and it's our responsibility to bring that about. That's not something that we can sit back and wait for somebody else to do.

So, I think that's a good Independence Day message.

DENISTON: People think they are what they experience; they think that's what they are. That's not what you are; people are what they create, or what they fail to create. People are not just your experiences in life; people are what is your new fundamental contribution you're making to human society, or you're failing to make to human society. Until people completely transform their understanding of what they think their lives mean, we're not going to reach the level needed to make the transition that was presented very clearly this past weekend.

OGDEN: All right. I'm going to bring a conclusion to our show at this point, but what you should immediately do is visit the newparadigm.schillerinstitute.com website. Some of the videos are available; I know that Helga Zepp-LaRouche's keynote video is available in full. That's a 30-35-minute length video; so at least please watch that. And then, as the other videos become available, it'll be posted on that website; so bookmark it, make sure that you follow the YouTube channel, and you'll be notified as soon as those videos are made available.

So, I'd like to thank all of you for joining us today. And I'd like to thank Bill and Michael for joining us via video. And again, to emphasize: newparadigm.schillerinstitute.com. And we will have continuing coverage on larouchepac.com as well. So,

thank you very much. Happy Independence Day, and good night.

POLITISK ORIENTERING den 30. juni 2016: Efter Brexit: EU disintegrerer, mens Rusland- Kina konsolideres// Schiller Instituttets Berlin- konference

Med formand Tom Gillesberg

Lyd:

Bliv ikke igen krigens ofre – Der findes en løsning

28. juni 2016 (Leder) – Ved afslutningen af todageskonferencen i Berlin, sponsoreret af Schiller Instituttet, hvor ledende talere fra fire kontinenter fremlagde det rædselsvækkende billede af både den 'evindelige krig', der finder sted i dag, og truslen om en atomkrig i morgen, samt de

nødvendige løsninger med den Nye Silkevejs-proces, kom Lyndon LaRouche med følgende bemærkninger (parafrase):

Vi kan som et folk indgå aftale om ideer om en fredelig løsning på den krise, vi står overfor, hvilket er afgørende. Send et stærkt og klart opråb; spred ordet. Vi søger ikke krig. Der er en anden løsning end atter at blive krigens offer.

Helga Zepp-LaRouche afsluttede dernæst konferencen, som stifter af og præsident for Schiller Institutet, med ordene: »Jeg opfordrer jer til at tilslutte jer Schiller Institutet og, hvad der ligeledes er vigtigt, at følge Lyndon LaRouches vise ord.«

Aldrig har den overhængende krise stået mere skarpt. Den britiske Brexit-afstemning sidste torsdag afslørede den kendsgerning, at Imperiets finansielle system går rundt i den bare natskjorte. Brexit forårsagede ingenting – den afslørede simpelt hen den kendsgerning, der i mange år har været åbenlys for alle, for nær de blinde, at det enorme spillekasino, kendt som det transatlantiske finansielle system, ikke kan »reddes« – og ganske bestemt ikke ved, at man trykker flere penge for kunstigt at stive de bankerotte banker af i endnu nogle uger eller måneder. Londons førende bankaktier er kollapsede med over 30 % siden Brexit-afstemningen torsdag, og med halvdelen i løbet af det seneste år. Alle de vestlige »Too Big to Fail«-banker – 'for store til at lade gå ned-banker' – står over for en lignende skæbne, der allerede er i gang.

Vi må gøre en ende på systemets elendighed med en total Glass/Steagall-afskrivning af de værdiløse værdipapirer, der dominerer de såkaldte aktiver i storbankerne. Først da kan et kreditsystem efter Hamiltons principper blive genindført, som det kræves, for at Vesten kan tilslutte sig Rusland og Kina i den globale udviklingsproces, der nu er i gang, sammen med verdens nationer og folk, gennem programmet med Ét bælte, én vej, Shanghai Samarbejdsorganisationen, den Eurasiske

Økonomiske Union, den Asiatiske Infrastruktur-Investeringsbank, BRIKS' Nye Udviklingsbank – som alle er helliget til, og nu aktivt investerer i, regionale infrastrukturprojekter i stor skala i hele verden. Som LaRouche har understreget hele sit liv – udelukkende kun en sådan kreativ transformation af verdens borgeres produktivitet, gennem videnskabelige opdagelser, kan gøre en ende på det mareridt, menneskeheden nu står overfor.

Det vestlige finansoligarkis frygt er, at Storbritanniens afgang fra EU vil indgyde mod i det voksende antal ledere i Europa, der ønsker at afkaste City of Londons og det sjælløse EU-diktatur i Bruxelles' lænker. Den tyske udenrigsminister Steinmeiers fordømmelse af, at NATO rasler med atomsablen og udøver militære provokationer mod Rusland, har mange støtter, der blot mangler modet til at tale offentligt. Dette er vores opgave – LaRouches »stærke og klare opråb« om, at der er en løsning, hvis folk finder det sublime i sig selv og handler på vegne af menneskeheden som et hele.

Foto: Lyndon og Helga LaRouche på Schiller Instituttets Konference i Berlin, 25. – 26. juni, 2016.

Putin kommenterer Brexit-afstemning

25. juni 2016 – Under en pressekonference ved afslutningen af Shanghai Samarbejdsorganisationens (SCO) topmøde i går, svarede den russiske præsident Vladimir Putin på spørgsmål om Brexit-afstemningen. Han lagde ud med at angribe den britiske premierminister Camerons kommentarer om, at, nu havde Rusland fået det, de ville, med Brexit-afstemningen. Putin sagde,

"Rusland har aldrig haft planer om, og har heller ikke søgt, at influere afstemningen", og at Cameron indlod sig på et "virkelig lavt niveau af politiske taler". Putin fortsatte med at bemærke, at det var tydeligt, at "det overvældende flertal af britiske borgere ikke synes om ... magtkoncentrationen ... [og] udviskningen af nationale grænser", som medlemskab af EU medfører, og at der desuden "ikke er nogen, der ønsker at brødføde og give understøttelse til svagere økonomier og betale støtte til andre stater og hele nationer" ... en klar henvisning til EU-økonomiernes kollapsede tilstand.

Putin tilføjede: "Jeg er sikker på, at alt falder på plads i den nærmeste fremtid. Vi forventer ingen global opstand som resultat."

Han forventer heller ikke, at sanktionspolitikken imod Rusland vil ændre sig som følge af Brexit-afstemningen. "Med hensyn til, hvad der vil ske i den økonomiske og politiske sfære i kølvandet på Storbritanniens exit, så vil vi få det at se i den nærmeste fremtid. Vi får se."

Foto: I forbindelse med SCO-topmødet i Kasakhstan vedtog Rusland, Kina og Mongoliet at skabe en økonomisk korridor mellem landene. Mongoliet har p.t. observatørstatus i SCO.

EU er bankerot, og sammenbrud er ikke en reaktion på

Brexit:

Valget er klart; vi behøver et Nyt Paradigme, med globalt samarbejde om udvikling, med Rusland og Kina, og Europa og USA!

Så vi ser nu, mht. efter denne afstemning, indikationer på det fortsatte sammenbrud i Europa og det transatlantiske system, der allerede var i gang; men på den anden side har vi noget fuldstændigt bemærkelsesværdigt, der introduceres. Vi ser Putin og Modi – Indiens premierminister, præsident Xi i Kina, SCO-topmødet i denne weekend og indgåelsen af massive aftaler for økonomisk samarbejde og udvikling, inklusive samarbejde om rummet. Spørgsmålet lyder, hvor er USA i alt dette? Ideen om, at renæssance-begrebet om menneskeheden, baseret på denne identitet med at skabe fremtiden og genoprette en moralsk værdi i samfundet, ses direkte i det, som Rusland og Kina gør netop nu; og hvorfor dette er et krav til USA's moral, der er af afgørende betydning, om, at USA skal ændre dette og tilslutte sig denne kurs.

Download (PDF, Unknown)

BREXIT-afstemning er langt alvorligere og mere dødbringende end blot en reaktion. Vi må levere det nødvendige lederskab for at undgå krig. LaRouchePAC Internationale Fredags-webcast, 24. juni 2016. Video, engelsk

Det er i dag den 24. juni, 2016 – en særdeles lovende dato. Det er en meget, meget farlig periode, og vi står med ekstraordinære udviklinger på hånden. Det kunne vel næppe være tydeligere netop nu, forskellen mellem sammenstillingen med det døde-og-døende transatlantiske system, centreret omkring den Europæiske Union; og så fremtiden med det Eurasiske System. På den ene side, med det totale sammenbrud og den bogstavelige disintegration af det europæiske system – briternes exit af den Europæiske Union, samt det transatlantiske finansielle systems totale bankerot, der nu afsløres. Og, på den anden side, Vladimir Putins og Xi Jinpings igangværende indsats for en konsolidering og sammensmeltning af den Eurasiske Økonomiske Union, den Nye Silkevej, og hele verden centreret omkring Stillehavet, som Lyndon LaRouche i mange årtier har arbejdet hen imod, i form af samarbejde mellem de store nationer Rusland, Kina, Indien og andre. Valget er meget, meget klart.

Engelsk udskrift.

(En oversættelse af første del af webcastet følger snarest. Bliv på kanalen! -red.)

BREXIT VOTE IS MUCH MORE SERIOUS AND DEADLY THAN MERELY A REACTION. WE MUST PROVIDE THE LEADERSHIP TO AVOID WAR.

LaRouche PAC Webcast, June 24, 2016

MATTHEW OGDEN: Good afternoon! It's June 24th, 2016.

My

name is Matthew Ogden, and you're joining us for our weekly LaRouchePAC Friday evening webcast. I'm joined in the studio by

Ben Deniston from the LaRouchePAC Science Team; and via video, by

three members of our Policy Committee: Diane Sare, from New York

City; Kesha Rogers, from Houston, TX; and Rachel Brinkley, from

Boston, MA.

Today is June 24th, 2016 – a very auspicious date.

It's a

very, very dangerous period, and we have extraordinary developments on our hands. I think it could not be more clear right now the distinction between the juxtaposition of the dead-and-dying trans-Atlantic system, centered in the European Union; and the future, of the Eurasian system. On one hand, with

the complete breakdown and {literal} disintegration of the European system – the exit by the British from the European Union, and the complete bankruptcy which is now being exposed of

the trans-Atlantic financial system. And on the other hand, the

ongoing efforts by Vladimir Putin and Xi Jinping to consolidate

and coalesce the Eurasian Economic Union, the New Silk Road, and

the entire Pacific-centered world that Lyndon LaRouche has been working towards for many decades in the form of the collaboration between the great nations of Russia, China, India, and others. The choice is very, very clear.

Earlier today we had a discussion with Mr. LaRouche. He was very emphatic to emphasize that the crash that we're now seeing in the trans-Atlantic financial system must be blamed on Obama.

This is not something which can be construed as a reaction to an event, but in fact the bankruptcy of the trans-Atlantic financial system was already a reality before this [Brexit] vote even occurred. This is not a reaction, he said. This is something that's much more dangerous, and much more serious, and much more deadly, especially when you consider the fact that Obama is continuing to push the world towards the brink of thermonuclear war with the emerging Eurasian system of Russia and China.

Mr. LaRouche said we're experiencing a complete change in the whole fundamental situation. Everything is now going towards a crash. And it's not because of a reaction to an event, but it was already pre-determined. Mr. LaRouche said, "We're on the edge of thermonuclear war, which under the current circumstances Putin would probably win; but Obama is insane enough to continue to push the world in that direction." He said, "Putin is currently

in charge, in terms of his role being hegemonic. That was very clear by the recently concluded events in the St. Petersburg International Economic Forum, and then the bilateral meetings that are going to happen this weekend between Putin and Xi Jinping."

Mr. LaRouche said, "We're on the edge of something very big.

You must get Obama out! It's very dangerous to have him in office

under these circumstances. Our job is to calmly bring a solution

to this crisis from inside of our role here in the United States,

with Putin playing a key leadership role internationally. We are

in a position," Mr. LaRouche said, "to enter into a phase in which a solution is possible."

Now, I want to open up the discussion; I want to invite

Diane to elaborate a little bit more on the role that Obama, together with David Cameron, played in creating the circumstances

that we are now observing in terms of the aftermath of the Brexit.

DIANE SARE: Well, everyone has heard of the famous expression "the kiss of death"; and Obama delivered this in London on April 22nd when he went there for two purposes. One was to express his firm support for Great Britain remaining in the EU; and I'm going to read his exact comments, so that there's

no question on that. And then also, to celebrate the birthday of

Her Majesty the Queen, whom he says is one of his favorite people

– I'm reading from his remarks; and he said, "And we should be fortunate enough to reach 90, may we be as vibrant as she is.

She

is an astonishing person and a real jewel to the world; not just

to the United Kingdom." And in fact, that has been Mr.

LaRouche's point – that the Queen of England does not see her realm as the United Kingdom; she's been trying to run a global dictatorship, and Barack Obama is one of her tools. And like a

typical malignant narcissist, Obama either intended to crash the

entire system; or is blithely unaware of how despised he is.

So,

at a joint press conference at 10 Downing Street with a British

Prime Minister who is now resigning, David Cameron, Obama admits

he said, "Yes, the Prime Minister and I discussed the upcoming referendum here on whether or not the UK should remain part of the European Union. Let me be clear: Ultimately, this is something that the British voters have to decide for themselves;

but as part of our special relationship, part of being friends is

to be honest and to let you know what I think. And speaking honestly, the outcome of that decision is a matter of deep interest to the United States; because it affects our prospects

as well. The United States wants a strong United Kingdom as a partner, and the United Kingdom is at its best when it's helping

to lead a strong Europe. It leverages UK power to be part of the

European Union." And then he adds: "Let me be clear. As I wrote in the op-ed here today, I don't believe the EU moderates

British influence in the world, it magnifies it. The EU has helped to spread British values and practices across the

continent. The single market brings extraordinary benefits to the United Kingdom; and that ends up being good for America, because we're more prosperous when one of our best friends and closest allies has a strong, stable, and growing economy."

So presumably, the time between April and this referendum was enough for people to stop vomiting and make it to the polls, and vote to get out of the European Union as quickly as possible; which is what many of them did.

OGDEN: Well, I think also, according to what Mr. LaRouche said – and this is absolutely the case – the crash was already happening. It's a faulty view of history to say, "Well, an event happened, and therefore there was a reaction." And Mr. LaRouche is saying, the problem is that people think in terms of reactions; one thing happens and then another thing happens. In fact, Europe was already bankrupt. Think about what was already happening. You had major European banks refusing to put their money into the ECB; you had negative interest rates at the ECB, which is an unprecedented, never-before-happened event in the history of that system. And you had a complete breakdown of the ability of both the European and the American workforce to be able to have productive jobs or anything of that means. So, we already were in a complete bankruptcy of this entire trans-Atlantic financial system; and now today, it is more clear than ever that the New Paradigm – which is represented by

Vladimir Putin's and Xi Jinping's collaboration; the combination between the Eurasian Economic Union and the New Silk Road policy of China, which is based not on an idea of rival blocs or economic competition or something like that. It's based on the idea of a win-win collaboration. Now's the time for the European countries and for the United States to finally reject this Obama paradigm; and say we are going to join this New Paradigm. And many other nations in Europe could follow very closely behind Britain and leave the European Union, since it's now clear that it's a completely bankrupt institution.

KESHA ROGERS: And Obama can follow behind Cameron and leave the United States immediately. What you're seeing right now, as Mr. LaRouche once said, is the end of a delusion; an end of a dead system. And the end of an era of a zero-growth paradigm; which has dominated the culture and society for far too long. And it actually goes against the true essence of our nature and being as human beings. And this is exactly the strategic conception of man and the fundamental understanding of human beings that Putin actually understands; and those who are taking this direction of the New Paradigm forward. Because it's based in the identity for the future, of actually creating the future.

I just wanted to say that tomorrow, there will be

several

meetings, including one I'm going to be hosting here around the

space program and the identity of the great mind of Krafft Ehrlicke. The title of the event is going to be "Free Mankind from Terrorism and War; Embrace Krafft Ehrlicke's Age of Reason".

I think that's where we are right now; the question is, can we bring about an age of reason by getting the population to understand that what they have accepted in terms of the policy of

dictatorship and backward, degenerate culture that we have been

under for the last 15 years. Namely, with the destructive and murderous policies of 9/11, that have not to this day been brought to justice; and 9/11 never ended. That's why Obama is continuing to get away with the murderous policies that are influencing the entire world right now. That we haven't brought

these crimes to the forefront; that we haven't brought the perpetrators of these crimes – Obama, the Saudis, the British –

to justice and actually declared that we are going to join with

this New Paradigm. That's what really has to come across right now.

The conception of Krafft Ehrlicke is very crucial in understanding what has to be the turning point for the thinking

and identity of our nation, based on its foundation around being

the example of a true Renaissance culture. When you think about

the Apollo mission, and you think about what we did with the space program; and why Obama has targetted the space program.

It

wasn't a matter of opinion or a budgetary question; it was a direct targetting on this potential for human progress and to continue to promote this zero-growth paradigm. What we're seeing right now is that Russia and China are saying that this is not the direction that we will allow and have mankind to go in; we're going to actually develop and promote the true conception of what human destiny actually is.

So, what you see right now in terms of after this vote indicating the further breakdown of Europe and the trans-Atlantic system, which was already in the process on the opposite side, you have something that is completely remarkable being brought in. Putin and Modi – the Prime Minister of India, President Xi Jinping in China, the SCO summit this weekend, and the signing of massive agreements for economic cooperation and development, including space collaboration. The question is, where is the United States in this? The idea that the Renaissance conception of mankind based on this identity of creating the future and restoring a moral value to society, is seen directly in what Russia and China are doing right now; and why this is a critical call to the moral of the United States to change that and to join with that direction.

RACHEL BRINKLEY: Another important aspect is what is the solution; what are the new systems. And the question of the space collaboration between Russia and China is not just over a few projects; this is what they emphasized over the last few

days. They're looking at two things – space travel for one, and space station collaboration for two; and also with an emphasis on health and the implications [of space] on human bodies. So, these are big questions; these are not just, let's put a rover and test geology or something. This is looking at how the Universe works, how the Solar System works, how the human body works; and saying that this is going to have implications on Earth in medicine, to give people a sense that this is how mankind makes advances.

This has to be in the context of the question of Alexander Hamilton, which LaRouche has emphasized, and he recently made the point that what was it that was important about Hamilton? He said, what he did in Philadelphia, what he did in creating the Constitutional system of the United States. He knew that it wasn't just the military victory that would enable the United States to survive; the intention of the United States was to be a system that created a better future for every single individual, not a slave system. So, he created the inherent economics of political economy to create that better future; and that is what the discussion is right now. This is not just Russia and China making some oil deals, or a new pipeline or something like that; it's actually above nations as such. That's what LaRouche said about this Brexit vote; it's not just business as usual, this is not a vote on pragmatic politics. There's something bigger acting. People did not want war; they're tired of Obama's kill

policies which have terrorized the planet through his support for
ISIS, the refugee crisis out of Syria; this is clear. So, this
is something that's being called for, there's something acting
which is coming from the future.

The problem with Americans is that they've lost the
sense of
how to think about that, about the future. So, that's our job
right now, to create that discussion and that optimism about
how
to do that.

BENJAMIN DENISTON: I think that's the question now. What can
we
create? I was just reflecting on the discussion with Mr.
LaRouche earlier and some of his remarks throughout the week,
and
I think his emphasis that you can't respond to or interpret
events is really critical at a time like this. When you're
seeing these types of developments – because the Brexit vote
is
one example; these are not events causing the process. These
are
events caused by the process; you have a breakdown process.
This
is an explosive development in that context, but there's
already
an ongoing breakdown of the trans-Atlantic system; the
cultural
system as much as the monetary system, the whole political
system. Look at the British imperial ideology.

But the point is, if you're responding to the events
of that
process, you are still contained by that process. How do you
break free from that process? It's a question of creativity.
What are you doing to actually bring something fundamentally

new

to the world situation? I think that's why what you're seeing out of Russia and China now is that; it's something new. It's not just a response, crisis management or trying to handle it, or

trying to respond to the events per se. We're beyond that; the

events per se are death, that's where this thing is going. Be it

a complete breakdown of the system, or whether it's that drive to

thermonuclear war. So the question on the table now is, what can

you create? What can you do that's fundamentally new to create a

new system; to actually generate a new orientation for mankind,

for leading nations, that doesn't come from a response to current

events? That comes from a new orientation to create in the future.

The coverage of this in the media – the markets responding

this way or that way – it's just ridiculous. The whole thing has been going down for years; and we've known it. The question

now is, not who has the best spin on what mechanism caused what;

that doesn't matter. The question now is, who's actually got an

insight into what the necessary future has to be?

SARE: I just wanted to say along those lines, to really

caution our viewers and anyone who's thinking that the way to think about this is not to say how do we put together this broken

system; like Humpty Dumpty has fallen off the wall. It's over;
and only recognizing that almost every fundamental axiom that people had about economics in the trans-Atlantic was faulty. And I do have to point out that in 1988, Mr. LaRouche called for the reunification of Germany based on his knowledge of the collapse of the Soviet Union's economy. And he made a proposal that the West would provide food to Poland in return for early steps toward an early reunification of Germany; and exactly one year later, the Berlin Wall came down, and one year after that, Berlin was the capital again and Germany was re-unified. And he and his wife both said at that time, the Soviet communist system has failed; but that does not mean that the free trade trans-Atlantic system is a success. This, too, is finished; and its end will be much larger and more catastrophic than the disintegration of the Soviet Union as we saw in '89. So now we are truly there; and the point is for the United States to recognize what Rachel just said about Alexander Hamilton, what's embedded in our own Constitution. That that understanding of the intent of our republic, combined with what Kesha represents in terms of the space program and a true scientific orientation, is the platform from which the United States can move to the future.

And I just want to add – because Ben had sent something out and I think Kesha, too – there's something circulating on the web of 30 gigantic projects that China is engaged in building

which are changing the whole planet; these are huge infrastructure projects. One of them is a 16-mile long suspension bridge across the Yangtze River; another is a group of nuclear power plants; and so on. I think the most expensive any of these projects was, was something like \$3.4 billion. The bridges might have been \$1 billion or \$750 million or something.

Think about that and think about the bail-out. The first bail-out of AIG – and there was more than one; but the first bail-out of AIG was \$80 billion. Now, \$80 billion is probably more than the sum of what was spent on all of these 30 giant projects combined. You will also argue that this is not the same

kind of dollars; just like that's the problem with the metric of what the space program generated, but I'm just using it as an example. Because particularly in the United States and Western

Europe, people have a totally insane view of what constitutes value and what is money. And if you just look at something like

this, you can see that the destruction, the degradation and collapse of the United States has absolutely nothing to with money per se; because we could have taken that \$80 billion from

the AIG bail-out and invested it into high speed rail, nuclear power, getting back to the Moon, any of these things. And I think we've done a number of \$80 billion [bail-outs] just for AIG, but the policy decision was not to do that. And that's the

point of the insanity; and that's what we have to change, because money itself has no intrinsic value. Once you understand that,

you can stop panicking about all the money that's going to be

you can stop panicking about all the money that's going to be

wiped out if everyone crashes and has their silly irrational responses, or maybe it's finally rationality setting in. Money doesn't matter per se; the question is, what is the direction of human progress, what is the direction of humankind? From that standpoint, we can turn on a dime; not that everything is going to be repaired instantaneously. It'll take probably two generations for the United States to achieve a standard of living that would be appropriate for this nation. But nonetheless, the direction could occur tomorrow; provided we do what Kesha said first at the beginning, which is that Obama is no longer in control of running the direction of this country – nor anybody who thinks like Obama.

OGDEN: Well, I think it's very important that you brought up this question of the fictitious values at the root of this entire trans-Atlantic system; because what we're seeing in the distinction between the bankrupt collapsing system in the trans-Atlantic Europe-centered area, and then the growth in China, in Russia, in India, and in that new Eurasian system. These are not comparable types of systems; this is not one person's loss is another person's gain or something like that. These are completely two distinct species of outlook on the world; and I think that's what we're getting at here. What we're experiencing with these crashes within the span of just a few hours, HSBC lost 10% of its stock value; Standard Charter lost 10% of its stock value; the pound was down to a 31-year low – lower than it's been since 1985. But what is all of this? This is just the evaporation of fictitious value.

On the other hand, you have substantial, real growth

in the form of the reconstruction of the New Silk Road, the development of the vast interior Eurasian continent, the development of new transport routes, these new development corridors. Diane, I think it's appropriate that you brought up the turning point in 1989 with the crash of the Soviet Union, because what we're experiencing now is something at least of that caliber, if not far, far greater than the caliber of 1989. And you're right, Mr. LaRouche was clear at that point that the Soviet system was merely the first show to drop; now we're experiencing the second shoe has dropped. This system is bankrupt. And at that time in 1989, is when Lyndon and Helga LaRouche planted the seeds for what has now emerged as the New Paradigm, as the new Eurasian economic system. At that time it was first – in its nascent form – the Productive Triangle; then it became what was the Eurasian Land-Bridge. This was adopted in the form of the New Silk Road; and now this is being expanded to the World Land-Bridge. This is a vision for a global and extraterrestrial development policy. But Mr. LaRouche made several trips to Russia during the 1990s; several trips to India as well. Mrs. LaRouche has travelled now multiple times to China in the last several years. This is the center; this is Mr. LaRouche's emphasis on the impetus of leadership, the hegemonic influence at this time of the creative leadership of the leaders of these nations. President Putin, President Xi Jinping, Prime Minister Modi, and others.

DENISTON: I think it's worth underscoring that it's still

playing out, too. We have this SCO summit going on right now, in which the heads of these nations are going to meet. After that, Putin is going to be travelling to China for a heads-of-state meeting with Xi Jinping. In this whole process, you're having these dialogues to solidify – and I think this is really big – solidify the Eurasian Economic Union cooperation with the New Silk Road; which I think is a huge step in these very large but regional projects moving closer to this Eurasian Land-Bridge, World Land-Bridge perspective that Lyn and Helga have defined.

So another point of emphasis that Mr. LaRouche has had over the past weeks, I think is very sobering and represents a very high level of thinking, is don't assume we know how any of this is going to play out. This is a developing, creative process; there's a lot more things going on right now. And we should be orienting towards not trying to assume we know how all these things are going to be finished, or what the results are going to be. This is an ongoing, creative process right now, and this is how you have to think about it. In the next days, as was mentioned, out of the activity we're going to be engaged in over this weekend which is very significant – both here in the United States and in Europe – that's going to be a critical escalation. But then over the next weeks also, we're just going to see a lot of important developments coming.

ROGERS: I think it's important what Diane brought up

on the point of the system of monetarism that has dominated the culture and society, that has actually set mankind backwards from what the intention of the foundation of our republic actually represented under the conception of Alexander Hamilton. That's really what you have to look at, too, when you think about the cultural pessimism and the zero-growth paradigm that has continued to dominate for the past several decades now. It's interesting, because people try to say that the targetting of the space program has to do with not having enough money; we just have to take these budget cuts. And that's the same point. How much bail-outs have we put on these various financial speculators and derivatives and so forth that we could not put into the space program? The idea was that it was never about the fact there were not enough financial resources to put into the space program. It was in the intention not to invest into the future. And there were many people who promoted this zero-growth paradigm that Krafft Ehrlicke took on directly, who stated that the space program represented too much of a "false optimism" for the population; that it actually gave the population a sense of optimism and a sense of their identity as human beings and a commitment to the future. The empire and those promoters of zero-growth were adamant that they had to put a stop to that. I was reading an article from back in 1963 in the {New Atlantic}; it was referenced in a book by Marsha Freeman – "The Conquest of

Space and Stature of Man" by Hannah Arendt. Hannah Arendt was one of these major promoters of zero-growth and backwardness; and she made the point that the fight against the space program is not that of money, but a question of man being inherently corrupt and that nothing good could come out of scientific progress.

And that's the thing right now, is that what Russia and China and this New Paradigm are promoting that only good can come out of the nature of mankind's creative mental process in terms of shaping and defining the future and creating that which has never been created before. As we're seeing with the outcome of what China is doing with their space program. That used to be our mission; why we went to the Moon in the first place, and why President Kennedy made the announcement that we would send a man to the Moon and bring them back before the decade was out. It was our obligation to take on something that was fundamentally new; that's our creative nature.

That just puts the question that this monetary system has to be thrown out the window; a new system of economic value based on the real conceptions of the creative powers of the human mind has to be brought in. And the best conception to bring that about is the space program.

BRINKLEY: Absolutely. And Mr. LaRouche made the point that also what do we replace this system with? The idea has to be

a
Eurasian policy; and that's what you see in space, that's what you see in real economy is what are the mutual interests. Europe's only chance is to join with this policy; so Obama has explicitly prevented that. He's called for everybody on the planet not to join with Russia and China; he tried to prevent it,
whether it was Japan, Mexico, all the coups going on in South America right now – Argentina. Puerto Rico is being destroyed and murdered by Obama and Wall Street. LaRouche said this is also why the [Brexit] vote occurred; Obama's economic policies,
his defense for this doomed system is clear. Also the question
of Obama said our great ally is Great Britain, and it will be now
and forever. Well, what are we showing with the 28 pages?
Saudi
Arabia did not act alone; actually this part might not be in the
28 pages, but it's in many other pages that are there to be released. Through the BAE deal, Prince Bandar, to be found out
that Great Britain might not be our greatest ally. And Obama's
defense of Britain, of Wall Street, his continual murder policy,
the fact that somewhere 111-114 Americans commit suicide every day; that this is Obama's policy. He is a murderer; and he has got to be removed. That's the fact; it's an absolutely evil intention, and he's got to be thrown out.

SARE: I'd just like to add along those lines: One is
we
are having our regular Saturday meeting here in Manhattan,
although it's slightly expanded. I will be keynoting it; and
we

have Jason Ross from the Science Team is here and others, to present these two views. We also are holding a concert on Sunday

afternoon, dedicated to Sylvia Olden Lee, called "In Praise of Sylvia Olden Lee", who was one of our very important collaborators in the Schiller Institute in this fight for the question of Classical beauty. And Classical music is something

which can strengthen people, which strengthens our better angels,

as Abraham Lincoln might have said, to actually insure that justice is done. And I bring these things up, because here in the US, you have this really diversionary, silly spectacle of debates about gun control and Congressmen rolling around on the

floor and things like that; pretending that they're in some kind

of civil rights sit-in, when here you have the murderer-in-chief

– President Obama – presiding over a weekly kill session on Tuesdays, deciding who he's going to kill. Then you had September 11th, which Rachel was alluding to, where close to 3000

Americans were killed; and justice has not been done. And Obama

– as Bush before him – is covering up for the perpetrators of othe crime and colluding with them as best we know.

And I think this is a very important flank for those people who say, "Well, it's impossible; we only have a couple more months. In January, we have a new President anyway." Well, just

look at what's been happening in the last few weeks, to see how

quickly things can change. NATO has deployed 50,000 troops in exercises on the border of Russia. Do you really think we should

just presume that we're going to safely avoid thermonuclear

war

while we have a killer lunatic who is now more desperate than ever as President of the United States? I think it's very important that people stop pretending or picking other so-called

"issues" which are really non-issues; when we have a great crime

which was committed 15 years ago on September 11, 2001, which has

not been addressed. By addressing this and getting to the truth

of what was involved in this – the Saudi role, the British role,

the Wall Street role, the FBI role, the Bush role, Obama's role;

by addressing that, we have a lever by which to expel the current

President from the White House and hopefully land him safely in

jail where he belongs. And to change therefore, the direction of

the United States.

OGDEN: If Obama was so interested in Britain's staying in

the EU, perhaps as Kesha suggested, he could follow suit after David Cameron and announce his resignation as well. To his credit, David Cameron has announced that he is leaving his post

as Prime Minister before his term is over.

DENISTON: Obama might be too big of a narcissist; it'll

take more aggressive action for that one.

OGDEN: But I do think that absolutely, Diane, what you just said

about the events that are coming up this weekend – both in New

York and then, Kesha, what you're hosting down in Texas – the emphasis has got to continue to be, what is the creative intervention that can be made to uplift the American people and

to lead the American people. That was one thing that really did

stick out when we were speaking with Mr. LaRouche earlier today;

that it's never enough just to have the correct analysis of events. Our emphasis has got to be, how do we calmly bring a solution to the table that will be the solution to this crisis?

And that's what you were saying, Ben, that we're in completely uncharted territory; this is an unprecedented situation in the history of mankind. You have no idea what's going to happen tomorrow, what's going to happen the next day. It was almost a

comedy to watch how surprised all the pundits and the investors

and the big masters of universe and everybody were, when they thought that they were going to sleep last night with the remain

vote having come out on top. And then they wake up this morning

and lo and behold, it's the completely opposite result. That proves to you that these guys have no idea what they're doing.

Diane, you brought this up in the webcast last week.

Why

would you give anybody any credit, when they had no idea that the

Crash of 2008 was right around the corner? Why would you put your trust in these people? So, you have a completely unprecedented situation. The rise of the Eurasian system is not

something which is a fait accompli; this is what's driving the directionality of the possibility of a thermonuclear war breaking

out. Granted, the support for the sanctions and for the NATO maneuvers in Europe is now becoming increasingly less strong; but that doesn't mean that you're by any means guaranteed that we can avoid a fate such as that. So, it's decisive action and it's creative leadership in the case of what we are able to provide; and Mr. LaRouche was clear that it's the unique capability of the members of this Policy Committee to provide that kind of leadership within the United States.

So again, I just want to emphasize the importance of these two events that we have coming up this weekend. So, I think with that said, you can watch for coverage of those events as they are broadcast. The regular Saturday meeting will be live, available on the LaRouche PAC website tomorrow for Manhattan; and we encourage you to participate in that in person if you are in the area, as well as the events in Texas. And please stay tuned to larouchepac.com as things rapidly change.

If you haven't yet, make sure you subscribe to our YouTube channel; make sure you don't miss any of these critical discussions. And also become a regular subscriber to our Daily Updates which are delivered directly to your inbox via email. So, thank you for tuning in, and please stay tuned to larouchepac.com.

Vi nærmer os opgørets time

21. juni 2016 (Leder) – En intens række af diplomatiske engagementer er planlagt for de næste to uger, som kunne være bestemmende for, om verden tager en tryk kurs hen imod et Nyt Paradigme for udvikling – som det klartest er blevet fremlagt i præsident Vladimir Putins forslag til »en plan for Stor-Eurasien« på Skt. Petersborg Internationale Økonomiske Forum den 16.-18. juni, og ligeledes i den kinesiske præsident Xi Jinpings program for 'Ét bælte, én vej' – eller, om verden går i krig, med udslettelse til følge, sådan, som det promoveres af London og dets skakbrik Obama.

På torsdag afholder Shanghai Samarbejdsorganisationen (SCO) sit årlige topmøde i Tasjkent, Usbekistan. Indien og Pakistan skal indføres som nye medlemmer, og Iran vil få en højere status over observatør nu, da FN's sanktioner er blevet ophævet i kølvandet på P5+1-aftalen. Fra Tasjkent vil den russiske præsident Putin rejse til Kina for et officielt statsbesøg hos præsident Xi. Den russiske vicepremierminister Dmitry Rogozin er allerede i Kina, hvor han forbereder mødet med Kinas vicepremierminister Wang Yang. Man er allerede i gang med at udarbejde aftaler om samarbejde om rumteknologi, om det mulige salg af en \$11 mia. stor andel i Ruslands statslige olieselskab, Rosneft, samt en mulig kinesisk investering i højhastigheds-jernbanelinjen Moskva-Kazan, som sluttelig kommer til at løbe hele vejen til Beijing.

Torsdag den 23. juni vil den længe ventede Brexit-afstemning finde sted i Storbritannien. Den 28. juni træffer de europæiske statsoverhoveder beslutning om, hvorvidt de skal forlænge sanktionerne mod Rusland over Ukraine i yderligere seks måneder. Den franske udenrigsminister gjorde det i mandags klart, at han forventer, at sanktionerne forlænges,

men han indikerede, at Frankrig vil gennemtvinge en debat for en tidsramme for sanktionernes reducering og fjernelse. På et eller andet tidspunkt, måske før afstemningen den 28. juni, forventes Normandiet-4-gruppen (Putin, Merkel, Hollande og Poroshenko) at mødes for at forsøge at kickstarte Minsk II-processen, der er gået i stå, og som er et nøglespørgsmål mht. sanktionerne mod Rusland.

NATO's krigsprovokationer imod Rusland forårsager tydeligvis dybe rifter i de europæiske regeringsinstitutioner. Den tyske udenrigsminister Frank-Walter Steinmeiers skarpe angreb på NATO i søndagens *Bild am Sonntag* er tydeligvis en indikation på sådanne splittelser. Den italienske premierminister Renzi og EU-kommissionens præsident Junckers tilstedeværelse i Skt. Petersborg er en anden.

En mere dybtgående undersøgelse af NATO-forsvarsministrenes møde i Bruxelles i sidste uge, som en forberedelse til NATO-statsoverhovedernes topmøde i Warszawa den 7.-8. juni, demonstrerer det vanvid, der har grebet Alliancen. De deltagende ministre aftalte at tilføje cyberspace som et yderligere militært domæne – i tillæg til luft, land, vand og rummet. Hvad dette rent konkret betyder, er, at et angiveligt cyber-angreb imod et NATO-medlemsland kunne udløse en anråbelse af den fælles forsvarsklausul (artikel V) i NATO's charter, som kunne føre til et militært NATO-angreb på det land, der får skylden for cyber-angrebet. Dette er topmålet af galskab og kan blive en ny, hårfin udløsermekanisme for krig med Rusland og Kina – to lande, der gentagende er blevet beskyldt for at føre cyber-krig imod USA og Europa (sidste uges hackerangreb ind i det Demokratiske Partis database, som det oprindeligt var blevet »bevist« var blevet udført af den russiske stat, fandtes senere at være blevet udført af en hacker uden forbindelse til Rusland).

Som en kommentar til denne række af diplomatiske begivenheder fastslog Lyndon LaRouche den basale pointe: Vi ved endnu ikke, hvad der vil komme ud af disse begivenheder. Vi ved

imidlertid, at Putin har sin egen, klare strategi og dagsorden, og han vil handle på det. Alt imens vi ikke specifikt ved, hvad Putin vil gøre, så ved vi, at det vil blive en faktor, der vil forme den globale situation.

Foto: Et amerikansk Air Force B-52 Stratofortress anfører en formation af fly, inklusive polske, tyske og svenske styrker, over Det baltiske Hav, 9. juni 2016. NATO-allierede udfører en række realistiske øvelsesmissioner på Ruslands grænser. (U.S. Air Force photo)

Storbritannien i totalt oprør over Brexit-afstemning og mordet på Cox

17. juni, 2016 – Brexit-afstemningen den 23. juni, der nu er sat i forbindelse med attentatet på parlamentsmedlemmet for Labour Jo Cox, holder hele Storbritannien i en tilstand af total tumult. Den sidste redelige meningsmåling viser meget tæt løb i Brexit-afstemningen, med 15 -18 procent af vælgerne, som stadig ikke har besluttet sig, og hvoraf de fleste ikke er tilknyttet de to store partier. De britiske myndigheder spiller med kortene meget tæt på kroppen vedrørende mordet på Cox, så det er stadig uklart, hvorvidt det blev udløst af højrefløjsforbindelser til morderen, der også gennem længere tid har lidt af alvorlig mental sygdom.

Alle de britiske nøgleinstitutioner er,

ifølge en ledende amerikansk kilde, splittet over Brexit-afstemningen. Det inkluderer City of London, Club of the Isles (internationalt netværk af britiskkontrollerede virksomheder og banker, -red.) og Monarkiet. Nogle, selv inden for City, argumenterer med, at en Brexit vil befri Storbritannien fra den evigt ekspanderende indtrængning af EU-kommissionens bureaukrati i Bruxelles, mens andre frygter, at tabet af bånd til kontinentet i høj grad vil svække City's position som verdens finanshovedstad. Ifølge kilden er krigen bag scenen brutal. Hvis Cameron taber afstemningen og Storbritannien forlader EU, vil han næsten omgående være ude. Han er desperat og har forskertset muligheden for at gå sammen med Corbyn, der også støtter Storbritanniens forbliven i EU. Hvis Brexit vinder, er det også sandsynligt, at Skotland og Irland i løbet af de næste få år begge vil tage skridt til at forlade det Forenede Kongerige, og rette ind efter kontinental-Europa og EU.

Mordet på parlamentsmedlem Jo Cox passer ind i mønstret med mentalt forstyrrede personer, der fremstilles som noget andet – præcis som Omar Mateen (gerningsmanden ved Orlandoskyderiet, -red.) fejlagtigt portrætteres som en ISIS-agent, snarere end den britisk/saudiske/FBI-agent, som han var. Verdenspressen gav genlyd med historien om, at den mordmistænkte Tommy Mair havde råbt "Britain First", da han dræbte Cox, med reference til en stærkt højreorienteret organisation i UK. Britiske kilder fortalte i dag *EIR*, at der kun var én kilde til den historie – Maria Eagle, en forsvarsminister, der er tilhænger af Blairs politiske ideologi, i Labourpartiets skygge-kabinet (den officielle oppositions skygge-kabinet, -red), som kun få minutter efter mordet tweetede, at Mair havde råbt "Britain First." Hun befandt sig ikke engang på drabsscenen! Hun slettede hurtigt opslaget på Tweeter, men på det tidspunkt var det allerede i alle overskrifter i hele verden.

Jo Cox, mordofret, var en radikal anti-

Assad fanatiker, der krævede krig mod Assad. Hun arbejdede med Obamas kampagne i North Carolina i 2008, men sagde, at Obama (og Cameron) begik en frygtelig fejltagelse ved ikke militært at gennemtvinge regimeskifte i Syrien, og forlangte nye sanktioner mod Rusland for dets handlinger i Syrien.

Foto: Medlem af det britiske parlament for Labour, Jo Cox, myrdet i en alder af 41.

Vi er kommet til punctum saliens – det springende punkt;

Vi må udøve lederskab nu!

Hvornår kommer nedsmeltningen?

**LaRouchePAC Internationale
Fredags-webcast, 17. juni
2016.**

Video, engelsk

– Vi befinder os tydeligvis i en situation under hastig forandring, i hele verden. Vi har i løbet af de seneste dage haft uddybende diskussioner med både Lyndon og Helga LaRouche.

Lyndon LaRouche var meget kortfattet i sit råd, da han i går sagde: »Vær årvågne. Tingene kommer til at ændre sig meget hurtigt. Dette er en farlig periode.« Vi har stadig væk en trussel om global atomkrig, som er meget umiddelbar, men der er også en masse ting, der ændrer sig, som det meget tydeligt ses af de skiftende holdninger i Europa, Xi Jinpings besøg i Centraleuropa netop nu for at fremme Den Nye Silkevej, samt begivenhederne på det Internationale Økonomiske Forum i Skt. Petersborg.

Hr. LaRouche gik i dybden med nogle punkter tidligere på dagen, men jeg vil bede Jeff [Steinberg] foretage en hurtig gennemgang for at få en hurtig orientering om den globale situation, og vi vil dernæst i diskussionens forløb trække mange af punkterne frem og følge flere af de ledetråde, som både Lyndon og Helga LaRouche fastslog i deres bemærkninger tidligere på dagen.

Engelsk udskrift. Dansk oversættelse af uddrag af webcastet følger snarest. Bliv på kanalen!

WE ARE AT A PUNCTUM SALIENS; – WE MUST EXERT LEADERSHIP NOW! How long before the blowout?

LaRouche Friday Webcast, June 17, 2016

MATTHEW OGDEN: Good Afternoon! It is June 17, 2016. My name is Matthew Ogden, and you're joining us for our weekly webcast here from LaRouchePAC.com, which we hold every Friday evening. I'm joined via video by Dave Christie from our Policy Committee, who's joining us from Seattle, Washington; and Megan Beets from

the LaRouche PAC Science team, who is currently joining us from Houston, Texas, where she's engaged in some activities there with Kesha Rogers. Here in the studio I'm joined by Jason Ross from the LaRouche PAC Science team as well; and by Jeffrey Steinberg from Executive Intelligence Review.

We're obviously in a very fast-changing situation, worldwide. We've had extensive discussions over the past few days with both Lyndon LaRouche and Helga LaRouche. Lyndon LaRouche was very concise in his advice when he said yesterday, "Stay alert.

Things are going to change very rapidly. It's a dangerous period." We still have a very proximate threat of global thermonuclear war, but we also have a lot which is changing, as can be seen very clearly by the changing attitudes in Europe, the visit by Xi Jinping to Central Europe right now, to push the New Silk Road, and the events at the St. Petersburg International Economic Forum.

Mr. LaRouche had some points to elaborate earlier today, but I'm going to ask Jeff to go through a very quick sort of overview briefing of the global situation, and then in the course of the discussion we can draw out a lot of the points and follow a lot of the threads that both Lyndon and Helga LaRouche made in their remarks earlier today. So, Jeff.

JEFFREY STEINBERG: It's critical to bear in mind that between now and when we sit down a week from today for another LaRouche PAC discussion, that we will know the outcome of the Brexit vote in Britain. People are terrified of the implications, no matter which way that vote goes, and now we have the added dimension of the assassination of a Labour Party member of the British Parliament, Jo Cox, which may or may not have been directly related to the issues of Brexit. We'll still wait judgment on that.

Mr. LaRouche had a much more fundamental point that he wanted to make to us today, which is that regardless of these short-term factors, the entire trans-Atlantic financial system is really about to blow. We don't know exactly when it's going to happen, but we know it's absolutely inevitable, and therefore the critical question is: what kinds of plans will be in place; what kinds of reasonable players here in the United States, in Europe, are going to develop a strategy for replacing the current system? It's hopelessly bankrupt. There is no way to manage that process.

There was a commentary earlier this week by an economist named Simon Black, who just pointed out that major U.S. banks, led by Bank of America and Wells Fargo, have resumed the whole liar loans, just absolute fraudulent mortgages, that was one of the root factors at least involved in the 2008 blow-out. He joins Mr. LaRouche in saying that we're headed for a far bigger blow-out at some unknown point in the very near future.

Mr. LaRouche's point was that what's needed under these

circumstances is a return to classic economic principles, Hamiltonian economic principles, in which {physical} economic factors, and not {money} factors, are the priority, and where you have to start, is by wiping the slate clean and wiping out all of the existing gambling debt on the books.

You've got a clear recognition, on the part of some world leaders, that this is the nature of the crisis-moment that we've now reached. President Putin spoke yesterday during the opening plenary session of the St. Petersburg International Economic Forum. There were around 16,000 people there. Whatever Obama's plans, or British plans [were] to isolate Russia, clearly the isolation is broken. The Italian Prime Minister Renzi was there.

Jean-Claude Juncker, the President of the EU Commission, spoke there on the opening day. The Secretary-General of the United Nations, Ban Ki Moon, was there.

We're still awaiting the complete translation of Putin's speech, but what from what we've seen so far, he's made it very clear that the global financial situation, the system, is very unsettled. The problems of 2008 have not been resolved. He emphasized Russia's commitment to be the bridge between the Asia developments centered around Xi Jinping's One Belt, One Road policy, and the bringing of Europe into that equation as a cooperative factor.

So, there are alternative ideas out there, but there's a desperate moment from the standpoint of the British. We see it in these two incidents, almost back-to-back: of the brutal

terrorist

attack in Orlando, Florida, followed a few days later by the first time in {hundreds of years} that a British Member of Parliament was assassinated in cold blood on the streets of Britain.

OGDEN: Absolutely! Right in the midst of that, you have a very important initiative from Congressman Walter Jones, who has taken the next step beyond what he has already done, around the campaign to release the 28 pages, which would expose the entire Anglo-Saudi apparatus behind what led to 9/11 and what continues to be the threat of terrorism, world-wide today.

He had 70 co-sponsors on H-Res. 14, but this week he has introduced a new resolution, which says, Look, we don't have to wait for Obama at all. We're going to bypass the Obama administration, and Congress itself needs to take the initiative to de-classify these 28 pages. It's a very important bill. The text of it should be read in full. It cites the precedent. The Supreme Court decided in favor of (former) Senator Mike Gravel, who read the Pentagon Papers into the Congressional Record; and also cites the fact that this is Congress's prerogative indeed.

That continues to be a very critical element in this fight to dismantle what is in fact, as you were saying, the Anglo-Saudi apparatus behind this entire campaign. Actually, just because

we've brought that up, I wanted to read, very quickly, our "institutional question" for this evening, and then we can follow

the discussion out from there. It reads as follows:

"Mr. LaRouche: Recently a scholar at the Johns Hopkins School of Advanced International Studies penned an article in the

{Asia Times} warning that the Saudi-sponsored Wah'habi terrorism

is coming to Southeast Asia, and the United States has been the

essential enabler of this spread by boosting the Saudis with protection. Dr. Christina Lin described the Saudi

'religious-industrial complex' as the source of spreading Wah'habi ideology. Hillary Clinton recently rebuked Saudi Arabia

and two other U.S. allies – Qatar and Kuwait – by name, for their support of terrorist networks and ideology. Mr. LaRouche,

in your opinion, what types of religions reform must Saudi Arabia, Qatar, and Kuwait, and other Wah'habi-oriented nations,

need to enact, to deal with Salafi-inspired jihadi terrorism?"

Jeff, I know that you wrote a sort of summary article earlier, in the beginning of this week, that goes through the entire Al-Yamamah case, and everything that is implied by the fact that that's still an on-going apparatus. Maybe you want to

give a little bit of a background on that, in response to this question.

STEINBERG: Well, let me just start by saying that I think

the idea of any kind of near-term reform of Saudi Arabia or these

other countries that subscribe to Wah'habism, is a very unlikely

phenomenon. We've got to take the approach that this whole apparatus has to be exposed, top down, and completely dismantled.

It's going to have to come from the outside.

A very, very interesting discussion took place earlier this week [on June 14] on the John Batchelor [radio] show in New York, where Dr. Stephen F. Cohen, a Russia specialist, professor emeritus of Russian studies, history and politics at New York University, for the first time touched on the issue of Obama's removal from office. He said one of the greatest crimes that Obama has committed, has been the breaking of the cooperation with Russia, that basically the U.S. has no understanding or no capacity for dealing with this threat of Salafist terrorism, but Russia does. Therefore Obama's demonization of Putin, refusal to cooperate with Russia, is piling up the body-count around the globe.

In a very real sense, the Obama question and the British Al-Yamamah question goes to the heart of what Dr. Lin said in that [Asia Times] article, namely, who are the enablers? Who makes it possible? Because Saudi Arabia on its own could do very little, were it not for the sponsorship by Washington, by London, and we can't leave out Paris in this equation, of the whole development of the strategy of playing the Islamic fundamentalist card for regime change. It started with the Soviet Union. It extended to Afghanistan, Iraq, Libya, and now this is really what's playing out in Syria.

Unless you're willing to force the severing of the British

and U.S. support for this jihadist spread of terrorism, then you're really not going to address the problem. If you single out

Saudi Arabia and leave out Britain, then you're leaving Al-Yamamah and everything that that implies off the hook. This was an arrangement that was made in 1985 between [then-Saudi Ambassador to the U.S.] Prince Bandar [bin Sultan] and [then British Prime Minister] Margaret Thatcher, to set up what was ostensibly an oil-for-weapons deal. But under the cover of that,

they amassed hundreds of billions of dollars in offshore slush-funds, and those funds have been really what's been behind the terrorism.

The 28 pages make it clear that Prince Bandar was a source of funding to the 9/11 hijackers at a time that he was getting upwards of \$2 billion wired into that account from the Bank of England, as the result of his sponsorship of Al-Yamamah. If you talk about the Saudis without talking about the British and without talking about Bush, and now Obama, then you're never going to solve the problem.

OGDEN: You mentioned what Stephen Cohen had to say. I think this is obviously a very big step for him to make these remarks, but he said, "The major single largest cost of this unnecessary cold war with Russia, is Washington's refusal to cooperate with Russia against international terrorism, whether in Syria or in homeland security. That, I think, is an indictment of our political class, the Obama administration and Congress in particular, that we all should judge very, very harshly, because

they're endangering each and every one of us and our families. Russia knows how to do counter-terrorism. We know we don't know how to do it very well."

And then he said, "I would call this anti national security. These are impeachable offenses by our government, that they are not doing things, out of this political, ideological Cold War against Russia, that could help protect us. Whether we talk about Syria or talk about homeland security, it's a pattern, and it needs to end right away."

One thing that just developed out of this yesterday, is front-page coverage in the {New York Times} of a "dissent channel," [a draft copy of an internal memo] by 50 mid-level State Department officials, "urging the United States to carry out military strikes against the government of President Bashar al-Assad to stop its persistent violations of a cease-fire in the country's five-year-old civil war," which is obviously a direct declaration of war against what Russia is doing in Syria right now.

STEINBERG: Absolutely!

DAVE CHRISTIE: This is occurring in the middle of where the Syrian government has just unleashed leaflets into Rakka, saying, "We're coming!" The Russians have been very clear on this, that they're not going to sit around and play games, or allow Obama and his gang to play games, around this idea that we need more

time to separate out the moderate terrorists, which don't exist anyway. This is a move to shut them down.

Coming back to this point that what has been raised on the nature of the terrorism, going back to the Al-Yamamah deal, this was effectively the geo-political enforcement wing of what was ushered in at that time. We had some discussions earlier this week where this was coincident at the same time that Thatcher brought in the whole "Big Bang" program to have London be the center of global finance and this speculative offshore financial system, which was sort of the consolidation of what had come in in 1971, as Mr. LaRouche forecast, that when they broke with the Bretton Woods system, they turned their back on the real economic progress that we saw under Kennedy and, of course, Roosevelt before then.

There was an explicit destruction of the American System that could have swept the planet, were it not for that intervention by the British in '71. Mr. LaRouche was clear at that point, that this would result in fascism. We've now seen that come to fruition. But the point is, that's the bankrupt system that is now collapsing; and what Mr. LaRouche said today I think is very important on the Obama question, and more importantly what Obama represents. Because he represents the British Empire, he represents this integrated financial apparatus which is funding itself through the dope trade, enforcing it through terrorism, the whole migrant crisis; all of this is part of the integrated policy of the British Empire. And what

LaRouche said about Obama and that system is that they can't win;
Obama is going to lose, period. The question is, will others win? And what Lyn also said today I think is very important, he says that Putin has shown this leadership; he's straight on this, he's the best leadership we have so far. And I think that's part of this growing recognition that the BRICS nations and specifically Russia, China, India, are now the world leadership; the British are having to react. And I think what we're seeing in terms of their reaction is, of course, increasingly dangerous; because they see what the writing on the wall is in terms of the imminent collapse of their financial system while this New Paradigm is being consolidated. Helga made the point on this question of the German bonds; their 10-year bonds are trading at negative interest rates, so that is a huge psychological shock to the German people. Anybody in business knows the implications of that.

So you can really see that the political turmoil here in terms of the potential of Europe to begin to shift towards this new emerging leadership; similarly in Japan that we see. The fact that the situation in Korea is similarly potentially shifting; and of course, Ban Ki Moon just spoke in front of the St. Petersburg Economic Forum. So, you just really get a sense

of what the potential is to shift this thing. And I think what

we have to do is recognize that that global leadership is now being established; but it's up to the American people to recognize that Obama will lose. People think that he's all-powerful and they look at this crazy political election, which is frankly designed around Obama. The whole circling of the wagons around Hillary wasn't so much circling the wagons around Hillary in terms of her campaign; it was really circling

it around Obama. And of course, Trump, what is this? It's nothing but a clown show to allow Obama to continue with this agenda. But as Mr. LaRouche said, he will lose. The question is, will we take up the leadership and responsibility to win?

OGDEN: And the point that Jeff made about the attempts to isolate Russia clearly have failed. I think that the St. Petersburg Economic Forum is a testament to that fact. And then

you have the very strong collaboration between Putin and Xi Jinping right now, which is being acknowledged on all fronts. I

think that it was very poetically at the St. Petersburg Economic

Forum by one of the visiting ministers from Ecuador, who said "We

view with envy the great projects that change the history of civilization." That's where we are. I think Helga LaRouche was

calling it an "epical moment"; it's a change in epic, both with

the emergence of this new world system, but also the fact that we're experiencing for the first time in history the negative interest rates within the European system and so forth. But this

Ecuadoran minister said, these projects that change the

history
of civilization, with the New Silk Road that China has
proposed,
the creation of the AIIB, the BRICS bank, the Eurasian project
which Russia has defended. I don't know if people saw the
full
speech that Indian Prime Minister Modi made when he came to
Washington last week; but when he spoke in front of the joint
session of Congress, what he concluded his remarks with was
beautiful. He said, "The foundations of the future are now
firmly in place." And then he quoted from a poem by Walt
Whitman
from {The Leaves of Grass}; a poem called "To Think of Time."
And
Modi said, "The orchestra have sufficiently tuned their
instruments. The baton has given the signal"; and then Modi
said, "Let me add to that if I might, there is a new symphony
in
play." And I think that's a perfect way of describing the new
world system which is now breaking onto the horizon. And it
really has, despite the attempts by Obama and his allies, to
isolate this and to try to beat this back. It is continuing
to
take hold.

ROSS: That's true; it's undeniably taking hold in the
world
in such a way that it's clear to everybody, too, that that's a
real standard of value. You're not looking at the U.S.,
you're
not looking at the European Central Bank; you're looking at
where
the growth is coming from; anyone can see that who is looking
at
it. And the obligation that we have to prevent the U.S. from
being the stumbling block in this; because it's astonishing to
read the contrast between the speech that Modi made, or the

remarks of this Ecuadoran who you mentioned, with these kinds of think-tanks or institutions in the U.S.. They're talking about threats to American power; how are we going to secure American power in the coming world with all of its difficulties. It's such a bizarre outlook to even try to have. It's so outdated, so European oligarchical, it sounds like it's something from centuries ago; it hardly sounds like anything that represents what the U.S. was founded to be under the economic leadership of Hamilton, under the direction that we have taken at our best times. So, the great opportunity that we have to join in this in the U.S., can make all the difference in the world; and it's unfortunate that it comes to us from such a negative direction. If we don't do something, the U.S. is blocking this and Obama is going to create a war to prevent it.

STEINBERG: Putin made a point in St. Petersburg that clearly there is now a profound strategic partnership between Russia and through Russia the Eurasian Economic Union, with China. And he said, this is not a closed partnership; we welcome European participation with open arms. And then he went after the TTIP, this U.S.-British free trade agreement that is, in fact, an exclusive arrangement that would cut off Europe from any cooperation across Eurasia with Russia, the Eurasian Economic Union, China. And he just said, look, we're past the point where we create alliances that are exclusionary; and he pointed out that there are now 40 countries that are seeking trade agreements

with Russia and the Eurasian Economic Union. So, I think that this idea of this openness and a common future and destiny, is something that is not only at the core of what Xi Jinping is now in Poland, and he's on a five-day tour of eastern and central Europe; and then he's going back through central Asia. So, he's clearly got this idea of moving forward with the extension of these policies into Europe; and in effect, there's a major split beginning to develop in Europe. It comes down to this fundamental question of, do you focus on physical economy, or are you stuck in the British system purely money game. It's a point now of clash where the two systems are so irreconcilable that they can't both survive. That's also why the war danger is so pronounced at this point.

BEETS: Well, let me just add something in on this point about this newly forming world system being led by China and Russia. I was remembering that a couple of years ago, around the time of the BRICS summit in Fortaleza, Brazil, Mr. LaRouche said that this is the beginning of a world system; but it's not the final form. There has to be now a discovery process undertaken by peoples of the world to uncover and come to a point of discovery of what the human species ought to be. And I think is really the point that is missing from 99% of the discussion that goes on; most especially in the trans-Atlantic. But even – and I would put this out as a question – how self-conscious of a

discussion is this in other parts of the world as well? And I think it's important, because Mr. LaRouche's emphatic point this morning was that the entire system has to be scrapped; we are at the point of blow-out. Any moves that are taken to try to save it are complete foolishness; because anything you try to save in the system is about to become completely worthless.

So, you have to re-found a new system upon a newly discovered notion of physical value. And that gets exactly to the principle that is the most fundamental; but is also the least known, and the most contradicted in the United States today. The most fundamental principle of economics; which is that man is not an animal. And that there is a scientifically knowable principle which separates mankind as a species from all other species known to us today. And that's expressed in the fact that as a species, mankind is the only species that is not fixed. We're the only species for whom the new generation can be fundamentally different than the previous generation; as expressed in the powers wielded by the individual. The scientific powers, the powers in and over processes in the Universe, which is expressed in the productive powers of labor of the individual; which reflect knowledge of principle which is completely new to that generation. Which is both more perfect and higher than the knowledge of principles of the Universe possessed by the previous generation.

I think if we go back and look back to the United

States and
to our tradition, we see this expressed most recently – aside
from the leadership taken by Mr. and Mrs. LaRouche in the
recent
decades – we see this expressed by the leadership of Krafft
Ehrlicke and his role in establishing and fighting for the
United
States space program. Krafft Ehrlicke was completely committed
to
the idea, and it was a discovery in his own mind, that for
human
beings there are no limits to growth. There's no such thing
as a
fixed set of resources, for exactly the reason I cited, of
man's
potential to always discover a higher principle. Krafft
Ehrlicke
fought for the idea that man must always progress; and
therefore,
man cannot be limited merely to the Earth. Man cannot be a
species of a single globe. We have to move out into
conquering
space; becoming a species which is exerting power in an over
the
Solar System. Reorganizing, shaping, perfecting other planets
in
the Solar System, beginning with the Moon. Just to put it
forward, that's the only legitimate basis for an economic
system,
is to organize the social activity of man to effect and
promote
that kind of activity; and to protect and promote that kind of
capability which exists in potential in each and every human
being. I think that we in the United States especially, have
a
responsibility to wake up, and to have a renaissance in the
United States. Where we once again demand our space program,

and

demand that it represent the kind of principle expressed by Krafft Ehrlicke; and expressed by Mr. LaRouche's insights into the science of economics.

CHRISTIE: Just to follow up on that, I think that is probably also the place where geopolitics is – it's the symbol of the absolute end of geopolitics. And Mr. LaRouche has been discussing the idea of moving beyond nation-states. That doesn't mean a homogenized global McDonald's or something like that kind of approach to economy. What it means is, you're still going to celebrate the cultural differences, you're going to still celebrate the fact that people have histories and shared languages and so on and so forth; but you're going to see that the core of what it is to be human. We're all human; there's only one species. And that's no better expressed than in space exploration.

I also think that what you're beginning to see is how that's operating now in terms of breaking up – or nations now collaborating and not allowing themselves to be manipulated around the British strategies of divide and conquer. For example, you just recently had Xi Jinping make a trip to the Baltic nations; to work out the Baltic nations' entry into the Silk Road program. And that is one way to defuse the tensions that the Baltic nations would have with Russia. India is working with Iran on the Chabahar port; where you get access to some of the central Asian nations, which of course, could be pitted against China. And of course, China's working with Pakistan around the Gwadar port; and defusing potential confrontations

that Pakistan and India might have. You being to see that they are all collaborating around this common mission; and seeing that all these nations' relationships and integration is important. And I think that, in terms of what has to happen in the United States, we should also recognize that what is going on in these nations, that is determining the global dynamic; and that is also what is going to determine the internal political situation.

So, all the Americans who are depressed about this crazy election process, should just flush it down the toilet where it belongs; because it has no real bearing on what is actually occurring internationally. It is being defined by this new concept of thinking beyond nation-states; or at least beyond the manipulation that can occur under geopolitics where these nations are beginning to collaborate. That's the point of space exploration; that's also the point of Mr. LaRouche's Strategic Defense Initiative, which he raised today in discussions. This present war drive, which is why the British are trying to tear down this emerging New Paradigm, really began with the Bush crowd sabotaging the Strategic Defense Initiative of Mr. LaRouche. Had that gone through, we wouldn't be on the edge of thermonuclear warfare; we would have already begun that collaboration back then. So now is really our last opportunity to take up that initiative; but we've got to bring this New Paradigm into the United States.

STEINBERG: I was at an event in Washington when Prime

Minister Modi was here, and one of the speakers was a former Indian ambassador to the United States. I thought he made some very important and pretty frank points. He said, first of all, the most important thing that came out of the meeting, other than the speech that Prime Minister Modi gave before the joint session of Congress, was the nuclear deal. The fact that Westinghouse had been contracted to build six nuclear power plants in India. So, he's viewing what remains of the actual technology base of the United States; of course, it's now a company that's working very closely with the Japanese in order to even meet the construction requirements. But the other thing that he said was that the United States has been blocking India from playing any kind of constructive role in Middle East peace. He said India has a very important role to play; we have close relations with all of the Arab countries. But, he said, India views Iran as a crucial ally; not only economically because of the Chabahar port and the prospects of India, Iran, Afghanistan economic integration. But, he said, the threat to India and to Asia of Islamic terrorism, is coming from Wah'habism; and that they've never had any experience of terrorism coming out of the Shi'a branch of Islam. Therefore, India views Iran as a buffer against the spread of this kind of Saudi-sponsored terrorism into South Asia and the subcontinent. So, these are areas where there's an

enormous amount of room for a change in policy being forced in Washington; where the kinds of problems that are right now seemingly intractable, can be solved through that kind of new approach.

On the question that Megan raised; Jason, you may want to say something about this. There was a very high-level dialogue that was going on 300 years ago between Western and Chinese scholars on this question of the nature of man. Leibniz was engaged in a tremendous exchange with China, via some of the Jesuit missionaries who were there in China for a period of more than 100 years. So, this common concept of the nature of man is not something that is alien to leading thinkers in Asia; and I think what China and even Russia are doing now, is really reflective of at least an intuitive, if not completely self-conscious idea of this unique character of human beings as the only creative species.

ROSS: Xi Jinping – I forget the occasion of his making his speech – but in some recent remarks that he made, he had traced through the history of mankind. He was detailing all the big discoveries that made modern humanity possible; but he went all the way back. Fire, metallurgy; he talked about in the past 200 years, the incredible revolutions of steam power, of chemistry, electricity. So, there's definitely a recognition that something very special happened in a scientific way coming out of Europe from the period of the Renaissance; that's undeniable. The

aspect of it that was universal, you bring up the work that Leibniz was doing about 300 years ago to try to maintain and have a dialogue with China; to have an opportunity for European science to make inroads into China, to uplift people's living standard there and to find more collaborators to work on things with. And also at the same time, his view that Chinese natural philosophy, or natural theology, or an outlook on the world and on social relations, that there was a potential for the rest of the world to learn a great deal about that from China. His view was that if one were to ignore Christianity, which he saw as given as a revealed religion based on – in other words, it wasn't a discovery that anybody could have made. It occurred through a personality who was in the Western world. That leaving that aside, China was superior in its moral and cultural outlook.

The attacks on it today are pretty astonishing. People saying, "Oh, look at China's economy; it's faltering. Look their growth rate is only 10 times ours; it used to be 20 times ours. They're going down." Meanwhile, it's just negative interest rates; it's obvious where the growth is coming from. Also, the way they have to play up the idea of China being a threat; it sort of seems like a psychological case of projection almost.

STEINBERG: Sure, yeah. I think it was pointed out that in the case of Russia that the U.S. defense budget, when you

count

in all of the defense expenditures, is over \$1 trillion a year.

There's a \$1 trillion program to completely overhaul and modernize our nuclear weapons arsenal; and that's in the Department of Energy budget. That doesn't even show up in the \$600 billion Pentagon budget. That's \$1 trillion that's going into preparation for the insanity of being able to launch, fight,

and win a nuclear war. Russia's entire defense budget is \$84 billion; so it's literally less than 10% of the U.S.'s. And China similarly; it's a fraction of what the U.S. is spending.

OGDEN: Yeah, as Stephen Cohen said, Russia knows how to do counter-terrorism; we don't know how to do it very well.

ROSS: We're certainly not acting on it if we do have that knowledge.

OGDEN: And I think there is an element, as Mr. LaRouche was emphasizing, of President Putin's own unique insight as a world leader. Going back to the very beginnings of his Presidency, with what he did in Chechnya to defeat the threat of Islamic terrorism there; he said the threat here is that Russia is Balkanized. That we become the new Yugoslavia. And what would that imply for the civilization of the world? But even going back to the fact that Putin's background is as an intelligence officer, he very well knows that the source of this whole Islamic terrorism threat has its roots in the Al-Yamamah deal and the efforts that were made by Prince Bandar and Margaret Thatcher at that time to deploy the mujahideen in Afghanistan against the

Soviet Union. The Al-Yamamah deal was a Cold War deal; and the fact that this has not been dismantled, means that this is still an active threat.

STEINBERG: The other thing that sort of begs that same question is, is it that we're not good at it? Or really on the other side? I think you've got to look at the case of this shooter down in Orlando – Omar Mateen; and consider the fact that he was employed for 7-8 years by a British company called G4S, which is the third-largest private corporation in the world, behind Walmart and some Asian supermarket chain. It's a mercenary company; it's a "private security company". They're involved in mercenary activities all over the world. They were in Iraq as part of the so-called "contractors" involved in the occupation. In Israel, they man the checkpoints; they provide the technology. They are the security for the illegal Jewish settlements in the West Bank. Here in the United States, they have the contracts to provide the security for 90% of the nuclear power plants in the U.S.. They're a major contractor for the Department of Homeland Security. And they had this ticking time bomb on the payroll; even when he was under investigation by the FBI for a period of a year, his job was never in jeopardy. You almost get the sense that these British companies maintain a small army of people who are severely mentally disturbed; who can be triggered at any time that there's a necessity for a pretext.

Remember that in January of 2001, Mr. LaRouche gave testimony in opposition to John Ashcroft's confirmation as Attorney General; because he said the character of the Bush administration was that they would look to create a Reichstag Fire incident to go for dictatorship. That was seven months before the 9/11 attacks that that warning was issued; and it was absolutely prescient. So, I think what Dr. Lin said in her article, that the U.S. and the British – although she focussed on the United States – have used these jihadists as tools in a policy of regime change that has destabilized the Middle East and a lot of other parts of the world. We are part of this jihadist structure; the British – pivotally so through things like Al-Yamamah – but for the last 15 years with the Bush administration and now Obama, we've been part of that same equation. So, this is something that we've got to face the cold hard truth of if we're ever going to deal with this problem.

OGDEN: And you see this rabid opposition to even the declassification of the 28 pages and the 9/11 Report. What Brennan is doing right now to run cover for the Saudis, is disgusting.

STEINBERG: And who did Obama meet with today?

OGDEN: Prince Salman; exactly. Well, I think with the activation of this Walter Jones bill, this is definitely going one step further; and I think a lot of people have begun to recognize that you have to call out Obama for what he's done on this front. We've celebrated the courage that Senator Mike Gravel had on this when he exposed the Pentagon Papers in the 1970s; and I think that this continues to inspire people. Obviously, it has inspired Walter Jones. The depth of the

resolution is, I think, beyond your average fare for Congressional "Whereas" clauses. What it says in terms of citing George Washington, in terms of citing the Supreme Court case in support of Mike Grave; and just generally making the point. And also not pulling your punches on the Saudi aspect of this; it names explicitly the Saudi government and the role that this has played. And Bob Graham has repeatedly warned – and I think this every single time there is an attack of this nature, it has to be repeated – the fact that the 28 pages were not declassified, means that the logistical support network that was in place before 9/11, which enabled the 9/11 attackers to do what they did, was not dismantled. And for all we know, is very well still in place; and a lot of the connections. The Sarasota aspect of the cover-up by the FBI of the 80,000 pages, this speaks to the fact that this attack happened in Orlando. Then, there's the entire southern California aspect, which was documented in the investigations that went into putting together the 28 pages. I know you've said a lot about this already, Jeff, but all of these points have to continually be touched upon.

CHRISTIE: I would just add, I think that that as a flank is very crucial; because it goes right to the gut – not just of Obama and the cover-up – but more simply of the Bush crowd and their illegal wars that we've launched since under the guise of

so-called terrorism after 9/11. Targetting nations that had nothing to do with it, but really had to do with the geopolitical

games against Russia, China, and India. But as Mr. LaRouche mentioned on the occasion of the Orlando incident with this Mateen shooting up the club; Mr. LaRouche brought it back to Al-Yamamah. That you have to see it in a much larger context; these are not isolated cases. So, I think the flank of the 28 pages goes right to that whole structure that has been brought in

since the Al-Yamamah deal, which has been connected to the various aspects of the financial system and so forth. What Mrs.

LaRouche said is, if you look at everything, we are at an absolute {punctum saliens} moment; where you have – as we discussed at the opening of the show – the question of vote on the Brexit on the 23rd, which is already having huge implications. Obviously, we don't know all the details, but it's

highly likely that this assassination of the British Member of Parliament was related to this. You have the Brexit vote, you have the financial collapse; now admitted that they're going back

to the crazy mortgage fraud that had threatened to bring down the

system in 2007-2008. You have the German bonds trading at negative interest rates; Japan's central bank putting out negative interest rates. You just have all of this coming together. The war games and the desperation by the British.

And

what Mr. LaRouche said is that we have a situation that is unpredictable. And I think what that means for all of us and our

fellow Americans, is to say that this really is open for what we

decide to do.

In other words, there may be various players who might

have
all their different ideas of what to do in this moment of
crisis;
but we have to have the sense that we know what to do because
of
what Lyn and Helga have done over these decades. And this is
an
opportunity now to take the leadership and demand that our
program and policies be implemented. But even more
importantly
perhaps, is a way of thinking about it; and a way of
creativity
being at the forefront of what we think of economics, of what
we
think of human relations in general. So, we just seize on
this
moment of the {punctum saliens}; that this is the time to
exert
leadership.

OGDEN: I think that's a very well-stated point to
close our
show on. Again, the {punctum saliens} – the pregnant moment;
the moment of decision. As Jeff mentioned, by the time we
meet
here next week, the Brexit vote will have occurred; a lot is
changing very rapidly. We have a lot to watch from that.

I would like to thank everybody for joining me here
today.
Thanks, Jeff and Jason both; and also Dave and Megan for
joining
us via video. And thank you all for tuning in; please stay
tuned
to larouchepac.com for critical daily updates. If you have
not
yet subscribed to the LaRouche PAC Daily email, you may do
that

through our website. And if you have not yet subscribed to our
our
YouTube channel, please subscribe to our YouTube channels to
be
sure that you do not miss any of our regularly scheduled shows
here on larouchepac.com. So, thank you very much and good
night.