

Vi skaber ideerne bag den fremvoksende nye æra for menneskeheden!

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 30. okt., 2017 – Kinas Kommunistiske Partis netop afsluttede 19. Nationalkongres har konsolideret ideen om, frem til midten af dette århundrede, at skabe et »smukt Kina« og en »smuk verden«, hvor regeringer vil blive styret af konceptet om at sørge for deres befolkningers voksende »lykke«.

Det er en betagende udvikling af strategisk betydning, understregede Helga Zepp-LaRouche i denne weekend, og som er gået fuldstændig hen over hovedet på det meste af USA's og Europas befolkning – for slet ikke at tale om, at det er en fornyet erklæring »med kinesiske karaktertræk« af de centrale, filosofiske koncepter, omkring hvilke USA selv blev grundlagt. »Hvilken leder, fra hvilket land i Vesten, har en sådan vision i dag?« spurgte Zepp-LaRouche. Hvor længe siden er det, at en amerikansk statsmand har gjort et sådant perspektiv, med samt dets medfølgende begreb om mennesket, til emnet for national diskurs?

Og dog ville disse dybtgående ideer ikke være så fremmedartede for flertallet af amerikanere i dag, hvis ikke Lyndon LaRouche, sammen med mange af hans medarbejdere, uretmæssigt og på falske anklager var blevet jaget i fængsel og hen over tre årtier fremstillet som en udstødt person. Denne forbrydelse blev begået af den samme, britiskkkørte bande af bøller, med Robert Mueller som et fremtrædende bandemedlem, og som gik videre til at dække over den saudisk-britiske rolle i 11. september-angrebet, og som nu forsøger at vælte Trump-regeringen og selve USA's forfatning – et billede, der hinsides enhver rimelig tvivl er bevist i *EIR's* Robert Mueller Specialrapport[1], der nu cirkuleres bredt i hele landet.

Briterne gjorde alt dette for at forsøge at bringe de ideer til tavshed, som Lyndon LaRouche på enestående vis har skabt hen over årtier, og som fastlægger grundlaget for at virkeliggøre et Nyt Paradigme for menneskeheden, der vil lægge Det britiske Imperium i graven én gang for alle. Det er de ideer, der nu tager form i Kinas Bælte & Vej Initiativ, som ubønhørligt går fremad på alle kontinenter.

»Vi skaber ideerne«, erklærede Zepp-LaRouche som et faktum. Det, der nu vokser frem på verdensscenen, er et resultat af vores mobilisering, vores organisering og vores opdragelse hen over årtier – ikke alene omkring projekter og politikker, men også omkring Lyndon LaRouches banebrydende opdagelser, hans udarbejdelse af det centrale begreb om menneskets skabende identitet og det, der adskiller mennesket fra alle andre, kendte arter. Det ville være udbytterigt at studere disse skrifter i dag, både i Vest og Øst. I særdeleshed tilskyndede hun til læsning, eller genlæsning, af Lyndon LaRouches skrift fra 2004, »En dialog mellem eurasiske civilisationer: Jordens kommende 50 år«[2].

Åbningsbemærkningerne til denne rapport fra LaRouche, der figurerer som frontispice i bogversionen, lyder som følger:

»Kreativitet, som jeg her har identificeret det, er forskellen på dig og en abekat. Der er faktisk to egenskaber ved denne forskel. For det første, så kan et medlem af den menneskelige art øge hans eller hendes arts potentielle, relative befolkningstæthed gennem sin viljemæssige anvendelse af kreativitet, som ingen form for dyr kan gøre. For det andet, så afhænger samfundets fremskridt hen over successive generationer af, at disse generationer gen-vedtager, eller atter sætter i kraft, den skabende opdagelse af denne form for universelle, fysiske principper. Sammen kan disse to udtryk for kreativitet (som jeg definerer det) fastlægge grundlaget for det, vi kunne kalde naturlig, menneskelig moral, den form for forskel, der adskiller menneskelig moral fra aberigets kultur.«

Ti år tidligere, i 1993, da Lyndon LaRouche stadig var fængslet, skrev han en lang artikel, der udredte denne, hans enestående opdagelse, i en artikel med titlen, »Om LaRouches opdagelse«[3], hvis indledende afsnit lyder:

»Det centrale træk af mit originale bidrag til Leibniz' videnskab om fysisk økonomi, er at give en metode til at adressere den årsagsmæssige sammenhæng mellem, på den ene side, enkeltpersoners bidrag til aksiomatisk revolutionerende fremskridt i videnskabelige og analoge former for viden, og, på den anden side, de heraf følgende forøgelse af den potentielle befolkningstæthed i de korresponderende samfund. I sin anvendelse i politisk økonomi, fokuserer min metode på analyse af den centrale rolle af den følgende tretrins rækkefølge: For det første, aksiomatisk revolutionerende former for videnskabelig og analog opdagelse; for det andet, de heraf følgende fremskridt i principper for maskinredskaber og analoge ting; sluttelig, de heraf følgende fremskridt i arbejdskraftens produktive evne.«

Nu er et godt tidspunkt for verden til fuldt og helt at opdage LaRouches opdagelse.

Foto: Xi Jinping: »Flere end 60 million mennesker er blevet løftet ud af fattigdom i løbet af de seneste fem år.« (Xinhua / New China)

[1] [Læs Mueller-dossieret her.](#)

[2] EIR, 7. januar, 2005; artiklen er indeholdt i LaRouches bog, »Jordens kommende 50 år«, der kan købes fra Amazon.com (eller ved henvendelse til vores kontor, -red.)

[Læs artiklen her.](#)

[3] [Læs artiklen her.](#)

Én uge fra i dag: Et potentielt vendepunkt i historien. LaRouche PAC Internationale Webcast, 27. okt., 2017

Før vi kommer til disse historiske muligheder, der nu ligger foran præsident Trump under hans statsbesøg til Kina, så må vi rapportere om et meget signifikant, strategisk gennembrud, der har fundet sted her i USA. Det drejer sig om den historiske, potentielle vending af dette kup, der er blevet kørt imod præsident Trump internt fra de amerikanske institutioner, samt den kendsgerning, at, med afsløringer, der er kommet frem i løbet af de seneste 5-6 dage, så har dette kupforsøg totalt givet bagslag. Vi har nu mulighed for, ikke alene at fjerne den såkaldte narrativ, 'fortællingen', om »Russia-gate«, der har hængt over præsident Trumps hoved siden før hans indsættelse; men vi har også mulighed for at nedtage hele dette apparat, denne organisation, der har kørt dette kupforsøg.

Vært Matthew Ogden: Det er den 27. okt., 2017, og jeg er Matthew Ogden med vores ugentlige fredags-webcast fra larouchepac.com.

Som I kan se her på skærmen, er titlen på dagens webcast »Én uge fra i dag: Et potentielt vendepunkt i historien«. Som I ser her, har vi vores kalender, som vi krydser dagene af på,

som vi nærmer os den historiske rejse, præsident Trump skal foretage til Asien. Han rejser om en uge fra dags dato, altså om nøjagtig en uge på næste fredag. Vi har talt dagene ned til, at denne historiske rejse vil finde sted; og vi ved, at der kunne indgås nogle potentielt historiske aftaler mellem ikke alene ham og præsident Xi Jinping fra Kina, men også mellem ham og premierminister Abe fra Japan og andre lande. Men dette, langs linjerne af, at USA tilslutter sig det Nye Paradigme for udvikling, der er vokset frem fra Eurasien generelt, men meget specifikt fra præsident Xi Jinpings handlinger i Kina.

Før vi kommer til disse historiske muligheder, der nu ligger foran præsident Trump under hans statsbesøg til Kina, så må vi rapportere om et meget signifikant, strategisk gennembrud, der har fundet sted her i USA. Det drejer sig om den historiske, potentielle vending af dette kup, der er blevet kørt imod præsident Trump internt fra de amerikanske institutioner, samt den kendsgerning, at, med afsløringer, der er kommet frem i løbet af de seneste 5-6 dage, så har dette kupforsøg totalt givet bagslag. Vi har nu mulighed for, ikke alene at fjerne den såkaldte narrativ, 'fortællingen', om »Russia-gate«, der har hængt over præsident Trumps hoved siden før hans indsættelse; men vi har også mulighed for at nedtage hele dette apparat, denne organisation, der har kørt dette kupforsøg.

Jeg vil gerne begynde med at læse den erklæring, som LaRouche PAC udstedte i går om spørgsmålet om de afsløringer, der er kommet frem om Hillary Clintons rolle og DNC's rolle i at finansiere denne såkaldte »oppositions-research« fra den britiske agent Christopher Steeles side, samt den kendsgerning, at det faktisk har vist sig, at den udenlandske magt, der blandede sig i USA's demokratiske valgproces, ikke var Rusland, men derimod Storbritannien. Denne erklæring har titlen, » BAM! Clinton Colluded with the British – Part of the Coup Is Now Exposed« (Bang! Clinton indgik aftalt spil med

briterne – En del af kuppet nu afsløret). Det lyder som følger:

<https://larouchepac.com/20171026/bam-clinton-colluded-british-part-coup-now-exposed>

»Hillary Clinton-kampagnen og Demokraternes Nationalkomite har indrømmet, at de direkte finansierede den britiske efterretningsagent Christopher Steeles arbejde. Indrømmelsen, der er indeholdt i et brev fra Perkins, Coie, LLP, Rådgivere for DNC og Hillary i 2016, er det første træ i skoven, der falder, under forudsætning af, at vi bevarer fokus på de strategiske årsager til kuppet og de faktiske mekanismer, der er i spil. Dette fokus må holdes på briterne for deres rolle i at forsøge at diktere det amerikanske valg med det strategiske formål at opretholde det dekadente og kollapsende, anglo-amerikanske imperium efter Anden Verdenskrig. Som vi demonstrerer i vores dossier om Robert Mueller, så, hver gang, I hører, at *Rusland* gjorde noget for at blande sig i vore valg, så udskift det med '*briterne*', og I vil være på sandhedens kurs.

At fokusere på det britiske Steele-dossier, der er blevet udbredt i dette land som et resultat af en alliance mellem Orbis Business Intelligence, et firma med direkte og fortsatte bånd til MI6, og Fusion GPS, nominelt hjemmehørende i Delaware og Washington, D.C., er nøglen til ikke alene at stoppe kuppet mod præsidenten, men også til at afsløre Obama-administrationens embedsfolks forbrydelser med oprindeligt at forsøge at vinde valget for Hillary Clinton, og dernæst, at forsøge at ødelægge præsident Trumps præsidentskab. Man vil huske, at Richard Nixon brugte USA's officielle efterretningstjenester til at ramme og tilsvine sine politiske modstandere. Det er forbrydelser i denne størrelsesorden, vi her ser.«

Det er altså de to første afsnit af en erklæring, der blev udstedt af LaRouche PAC i går; igen med titlen »BAM! Clinton

Colluded with the British – Part of the Coup Is Now Exposed«. Jeg vil opfordre jer til at læse memoet i sin helhed, den fulde erklæring, for det indeholder mange flere detaljer, som er meget nødvendige at kende mht. baggrund. Men vi viste lige billedet af Robert Mueller-dossieret på skærmen. Det lyder, »Rober Mueller er en umoralsk, juridisk morder. Han vil gøre sit job, hvis I giver ham lov«. Dette dossier er nu blevet cirkuleret i omkring tre eller fire uger, en måneds tid; og det har haft en meget signifikant virkning med at vende 'narrativen' omkring Russia-gate. Det har faktisk fremtvunget et bagslag i ansigtet på det apparat, der har forsøgt at køre dette kupforsøg mod den amerikanske administration. Faktisk er, som denne erklæring, jeg netop oplæste, påpeger, den kendsgerning, at Hillary Clinton og embedsfolk i Obama-administrationen bevidst brugte amerikanske efterretningstjenester i aftalt spil med en britisk efterretningsagent for at forsøge at udføre oppositions-research og afpresning mod først, på den ene side, en præsidentkandidat, og dernæst, efter han faktisk var blevet valgt og indsat, en siddende amerikansk præsident. Dette er noget, der når op i samme størrelsesorden som det, der tvang Richard Nixon til at træde tilbage – at bruge amerikanske efterretningstjenester til at ramme og tilsvine deres politiske modstandere.

Det, der er kommet frem efter denne afsløring, er voksende krav om Robert Muellers tilbagetræden som leder af denne efterforskning. Dette er meget betydningsfuldt og i overensstemmelse med linjerne i dossieret, udgivet af LaRouche PAC; denne specialefterretningsrapport med titlen, »Robert Mueller Is an Amoral, Legal Assassin«. Vi er nu ved at nå det punkt, hvor folk begynder at forstå præcis, hvad det er, de kører, og disse krav om Robert Muellers tilbagetræden er ekstremt signifikante.

Her følger engelsk udskrift af resten af webcastet.

So, first what I'm going to put on the screen here is the

editorial which was published in the *Wall Street Journal* [Fig. 3]. As you can see, this is titled "Democrats, Russians and the

FBI. Did the Bureau use disinformation to trigger its Trump probe?" Now, before I get into the details of this, let me forewarn you. The attempt to try to bring the Russians in on this is something which should be pointed out, that this is not a

question. This is not the FBI being used as Russian disinformation, but in fact, anybody who is clever enough to point out that this is actually an attempt to divert the attention from the British. But the call by the {Wall Street Journal} to have Robert Mueller resign, is a very significant aspect of this editorial. So, let me read you some excerpts from this editorial.

"The *Washington Post* revealed Tuesday that the Hillary Clinton campaign and Democratic National Committee jointly paid

for that infamous dossier, full of Russian disinformation against

Donald Trump. They filtered the payments through a US law firm,

Perkins, Coie, which hired the opposition research hitmen at Fusion GPS. Fusion in turn, tapped a former British spook, Christopher Steele to compile the allegations. This news is all

the more explosive because the DNC and Clinton campaign hid their

role, even amid the media furor after BuzzFeed published the Steele dossier in January. Reporters are now saying that Clinton

campaign officials lied to them about their role in the dossier.

Current DNC chair Tom Perez and former chair Debbie Wasserman-Schultz deny knowing about the dossier arrangement, but

someone must have known.

“Two pertinent questions: Did the dossier trigger the FBI probe of the Trump campaign? And, did Mr. Comey or his agents use it as evidence to seek wiretapping approval from the Foreign

Intelligence Surveillance Court, of Trump campaign aides?

Congressional investigators need to focus on the FBI’s role, and

House Speaker Paul Ryan was correct on Wednesday to insist that

the Bureau comply with Congress’ document demands ‘immediately’.

All of this also raises questions about Special Counsel Robert Mueller’s investigation. The Fusion GPS news means the FBI’s role in Russia’s election interference must now be investigated;

even as the FBI and Justice insist that Mr. Mueller’s probe prevents them from cooperating with Congressional investigators.

Mr. Mueller is a former FBI Director, and for years he worked closely with Mr. Comey. It is no slur against Mr. Mueller’s integrity to say that he lacks the critical distance to conduct a

credible probe of the Bureau he ran for a dozen years. He could

best serve the country by resigning, to prevent further political

turmoil over that conflict of interest.”

So, again, this is a very significant break in this case;

and a very significant call by the *Wall Street Journal*

Editorial Board for Robert Mueller to resign as Special Counsel.

Now following that editorial, there was an editorial which was published in the *Washington Examiner* [Fig. 4], as you can see here on your screen. This is by Byron York, and the title of

that editorial is “After Trump Dossier Revelation, FBI Is

Next”.

This goes even further, placing the role of the FBI squarely in the center of what should be being investigated around this entire Steele dossier. So, let me read some excerpts from this editorial for you.

“Investigators looking into the so-called “Trump dossier” were not surprised when news broke Tuesday night that the Hillary Clinton campaign and the DNC, working through the Democrats’ law firm, Perkins Coie, financed the ‘salacious and unverified’ compilation of allegations of Trump collusion with Russia”. “But knowing that the Clinton campaign, the DNC, and Perkins Coie supported the dossier is not the end of the story. The most important next step is the FBI.

“Sometime in October 2016 – that is, at the height of the presidential campaign – Christopher Steele, the foreign agent hired by Fusion GPS to compile the Trump dossier, approached the FBI with information he had gleaned during the project. According to a February report in the *Washington Post*, Steele ‘reached an agreement with the FBI a few weeks before the election for the bureau to pay him to continue his work.’

“It was an astonishing turn: the nation’s top federal law enforcement agency agreeing to fund an ongoing opposition research project being conducted by one of the candidates in the midst of a presidential election.”

“The new Clinton/DNC/Perkins Coie revelation will likely increase pressure on the FBI to explain what it did, and did not do, with the dossier.”

“Republican investigators had two big questions about the dossier. One was who paid for it, and that now seems answered. The other was: Did the FBI or other agencies use any information

from the dossier as a basis for warrant requests before the [FISA] Court? In other words, did, say, the FBI use the dossier’s

“salacious and unverified” information to make the case that the

bureau should be granted the authority to conduct intercepts?...

“When the Post story broke Tuesday night, some journalists noted that Democrats involved in the story had been lying about

their role.”

“Yes, they did. But the importance of the Democrats’ involvement in the dossier is that it could be one step on the road to a bigger story. What did the FBI do with the dossier material? Did judges make surveillance decisions in the Trump-Russia investigation based in whole or in part on the dossier? To what degree is the ‘salacious and unverified’ dossier

the source of what we think we know about allegations of collusion between Russia and the Trump campaign?

“In the end, a House subpoena squeezed the information out of key players in the who-funded-the-dossier side of the story.

But so far, the FBI has been much harder to crack.”

So, both of those editorials serve a very important purpose in continuing to crack open this entire false narrative around the so-called “Russia-gate” Trump-Russia collusion. And in fact,

they place squarely in the center the true characters who should

be being investigated. On the one hand, Robert Mueller should resign, because he has an obvious conflict of interest; and on the other hand, the question should be: What was the Federal Bureau of Investigation doing funding or offering to fund

Christopher Steele, who is a foreign intelligence agent – a British intelligence agent – to run an opposition research campaign against a major party Presidential candidate, and then

following that, continuing to use that salacious material that was put together by Christopher Steele to attempt to blackmail President Trump and then to release that and to use it to continue to run this false narrative Russia-gate campaign against

him? So, the entire story at its source is a total fraud. As now several members of the US Senate – Joe Manchin pointed this

out in an interview with CNN – in fact, this should not be a question of Russian intelligence meddling in the US electoral campaign; but really, this is a question of British intelligence

meddling in the US campaign, and colluding with Hillary Clinton

and the FBI.

So, this is exactly case which was laid out in that dossier which LaRouche PAC issued on Robert Mueller. In fact, going even

further back, as you can see here from a copy of the *Hamiltonian* [Fig. 5] which is titled “VIPs Report Shows Russia-gate Is a Fraud”; then in the subtitle it says, “The British role in the coup against the President; a Master Class”.

This is from August 11th of this year, so going even further back. This is the story, and in fact, it’s been the activities

of the LaRouche PAC breaking this open as the story.

It’s very significant that this has broken at the time that it has, because we’ve been continuing this countdown to President

Trump’s visit to Asia; most importantly, his state visit to China. To break open this entire false narrative is very significant in the week leading up to his sit-down meeting

with

President Xi Jinping. There are also reports that he might have

a sit-down meeting with President Putin in the context of the ASEAN summit as well.

President Trump had an interview on Fox News the evening after those two editorials came out. This is an interview with

Lou Dobbs from just this past Wednesday, October 25th. In that

interview, he denounced the entire so-called Russia-gate dossier

as a total fraud and a disgrace. He said the entire narrative has fallen apart. He reported that a high-level official who he

didn't name, who had a meeting with him in the White House, said

that this Russia, Russia, Russia story has been hanging like a dark cloud over his head since the day that he became President.

The fact that this is now clearing away is a very positive thing.

Then, what President Trump also had to say in this interview, is

that it would be very positive not only to work with Russia, but

also to develop a positive relationship with China.

So, let me put on the screen here, this is a still image

[Fig. 6] from this interview, and you can see up in the upper corner, the title is "Trump: Russian dossier is a disgrace."

But

you can also see here, this is the subtitle which was put on the

screen – "Trump: We have a very good relationship with China."

President Trump is reporting in this that he just had gotten off

the phone with President Xi Jinping of China, congratulating

him

for his elevation to be President and Chairman of the Party for

another five years. So, here are some quotes from what President

Trump had to say during that interview with Lou Dobbs. He said

the following:

[Fig. 7] "I want to say this: I think it would be great if we got along with Russia. I don't think there's anything wrong with getting along. You know, they are a power. They're a nuclear power. I think we could have a good relationship. I think that the North Korean situation would be easier settled, and I just spoke to the President of China. I congratulated him

on his big victory at the CPC National Congress."

[Fig. 8] "But it would be wonderful if we could speak to China and Russia. I just spoke to President Xi Jinping a few minutes ago, just before I walked into the room. You know, something has been given to him that's never been – it's really

virtually never happened in China. He's been given powers that

nobody's been given since Mao. He's a very powerful man. I happen to think he's a very good person."

[Fig. 9] "Now, with that being said, he represents China. I represent the USA. So, you know, there's always going to be conflict. But we have a very good relationship. People say we

have the best relationship of any President-President. We have a

very good relationship, and that's a positive thing. It would be

good to have that relationship with Russia and other countries, too."

[Fig. 10] "I'll be going there, to China, in two weeks.

We're going to Beijing and other places, wherever he would like to take me. And we'll be spending two days there, and we're going also to Japan and South Korea. And it will be, I think, hopefully, it's historic and positive."

So, this is what President Trump had to say about his upcoming visit to Beijing and his upcoming meeting with President Xi Jinping. Hopefully, it will be historic and positive. He was very complimentary to President Xi Jinping and said repeatedly that they have a very close personal relationship and that President Trump personally thinks that President Xi Jinping is a very good man. So, this is obviously in accordance with exactly what we've seen since President Xi Jinping's state visit here to the United States earlier this year. And this personal relationship continues to be very positive.

Now, with President Trump's upcoming visit to China, it's very significant that President Xi Jinping has not only secured this very powerful position in China with his re-election to be party chairman for another five years and President of China. But also the fact that the Chinese Communist Party has placed Xi Jinping's thought as central to the Chinese Party Constitution; and that includes the Belt and Road Initiative. This Belt and Road Initiative and everything that that entails, including the "win-win" perspective in terms of Chinese relationship with the rest of the world, and this New Paradigm of relationships between

nations, this has been enshrined in the Chinese Constitution. What President Xi Jinping had to say in this very significant speech that he delivered to the Chinese Party Congress is something which Helga Zepp-LaRouche had some very important remarks about during her international webcast on the Schiller Institute YouTube channel yesterday.

So, what I'm going to do for you here, is play an excerpt from the beginning of Helga Zepp-LaRouche's remarks during that

webcast yesterday. You'll hear her analysis of what President Xi

Jinping had to say; the significance of that Party Congress; and

the potential significance of the opportunities that President Trump has ahead of him as he departs next week – a week from today – for his trip to Asia and his state visit to China.

So,

here's what Helga Zepp-LaRouche had to say:

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE:

First of all, I would like to note

the fact that the Western media in their either non-coverage or

what they decide to focus on, are just completely ridiculous.

The main attention they paid to the fact that Xi Jinping did not

present a visible successor. Now, he was just voted for five more years, so this is obviously not the key point. But what

this Congress represents is a strategic shift; it is in line with

the Belt and Road Initiative and the whole new model of international relations China has developed under the leadership

of Xi Jinping. I think the West has not caught up with what this

is actually all about. They are so much behind the curve, it

is almost absurd. Because I think what Xi Jinping has accomplished in the five years of his Presidency so far – the previous five years – is he has successfully taken on some of the problems China had; such as corruption, such as a slowing economy, and various other problems. So, he has actually been extremely successful; and everybody who has been in China and looked at it without prejudices could not help noticing it. That it has led to a population which for the most part is very satisfied – 83% of the people are absolutely happy about what the government is doing. I would like to see the Western country which has such approval rates.

But what has happened at this 19th national congress is truly amazing, because in more than a week duration, what Xi Jinping has consolidated the party around is a many-fold perspective, which I want to start to discuss various aspects of.

First of all, in the immediate next three years until 2020, the aim is to eliminate poverty, to lift the remaining 42 million people who are presently living in poverty in China above that level. Now, that compares with a similar amount of people in the United States, except that China is about four times bigger than the population of the United States. And it compares with the roughly 120 million poor people in the European Union, who are poor since 20 years and nothing has been done about it and there is no perspective to eliminate poverty in the EU. The next period from 2020 to 2035, the aim is to make China a moderately

prosperous, functioning socialist country. And then from 2035 until 2050, China is to become a completely developed, harmonious, culturally advanced, democratic socialist, and beautiful country.

Now, there is an additional element. First of all, what impressed me very much is the focus of Xi Jinping and other people who spoke, on the purpose of this all; namely, that it is the improvement of the lives of people, that people should live a better, happier life. That is lacking in the discussion in the West completely. That the aim of politics is that people should be happy; happiness is an inalienable right which was, after all, in the Declaration of Independence of the young United States. But there is another aspect to it. Xi Jinping, especially in his concluding remarks, talked about a socialist model with Chinese characteristics for a new era. The aim, which was formulated very explicitly, was that China will take a global role in creating a beautiful future for all of mankind. Now that is really something. When has any Western politician had a vision to create a beautiful future for all of humanity? You have to go back a long way until you find people even thinking in these terms. I think that China has provided a model of international governance and international relationships based on sovereignty, respect for the other social model of the other country; in other words, non-interference. No attempt to change the system to the

Western model or to their own model, but to respect the other country's sovereignty.

I think that this is an incredible perspective, because if you look at it from the long arch of human history, this was an

initiative that had to be made at a certain point in the development of humanity. There had to be at some point somebody

to say, "We are the human species. The human species is one."

Xi Jinping always calls it "the shared community for the future

of mankind". A vision how we can organize our affairs on this planet in such a way that the result of it is a good life for all

people living on this planet.

Now, Westerners tend to not understand that; they tend to either overlook it and say it's propaganda, it's just Communist

rhetoric. Or they cannot imagine that it could be true, because

they themselves are so unused to think in these terms that the power of imagination is completely lacking to imagine that there

could be a political leader who thinks that way. But I'm absolutely convinced that Xi Jinping is a Confucian man; that he

wants to shape the world in a Confucian harmonic way. I think that the West should really – people in the West who want to understand what's going on, they should not just push it aside,

but really try to get a grasp of it. It's a tremendous potentiality for all of humanity which must be supported and should be taken up. I think it's important that people undergo

the intellectual integrity to try to understand what he is talking about.

HARLEY SCHLANGER: I found it very interesting that he traced the period that he described as a miserable period for China to the Opium War.

OGDEN: And so as you could see, just in the very end there, that was Harley Schlanger who interviewed Helga Zepp-LaRouche. He was pointing out that also part of Xi Jinping's speech was that he said the disgrace that China felt under the colonial powers. He didn't obviously reference directly, but saying the Opium War, which is a direct reference to the British Empire; that this is something that China has recovered from, and has fought and struggled its way back from being a disgraced, ruined country to reclaiming its great role as one of the ancient civilizations on Earth. And a leading power now; in fact, one of the leading great powers of the future. Now at the conclusion of the CPC Party Congress, the Party issued a final resolution which located what Xi Jinping had to say in the global context of a vision for what China is seeking to accomplish over the coming years. The 5 years, 25 years, and a vision for the next 50 years. They announced their commitment to "preserving world peace and promoting common development". They also said that the keystone of that mission is to "actively promote international cooperation through the Belt and Road Initiative", and they said that the "win-win" approach which has been formulated, articulated, and championed by President Xi Jinping will "help China work together with the peoples of all

countries to build an open, inclusive, clean, and beautiful world that enjoys lasting peace, universal security, and common prosperity.”

Now, obviously, included in that and a major aspect of that will be China’s offers to work with the people of the United States; to build that kind of new world of common prosperity, universal security, and lasting peace. “A beautiful world”, in the words of Xi Jinping. So, it will be the question for President Trump as he departs for this trip. Will he reciprocate those offers from his personal friend, President Xi Jinping, and will that personal relationship on the level of these two Presidents turn into a formal international bilateral relationship between the United States and China in the context of the United States joining the Belt and Road Initiative, the New Silk Road.

Helga Zepp-LaRouche, later in that webcast, was very confident that there are strong possibilities that exactly that kind of agreement could come out of these meetings. It’s clear that China will be offering this, since it has been such a central aspect of President Xi Jinping’s agenda, and now is written into the Constitution of the Chinese nation. But it will also be clear that President Trump, who is under massive pressure to follow through on his promises to rebuild the infrastructure of the United States – a commitment which he just rearticulated during a trip to Texas, where he met with Governor Abbott. He said, “I’m the builder President, you know”; and said that he

will be assisting in funding some of the flood control infrastructure that Texas so desperately needs. It's obvious that President Trump will have infrastructure on his mind; and it's also been reported that he will be travelling along with a retinue of other business leaders from the United States, all of whom understand that US business would have everything to gain from the United States cooperating directly with President Xi Jinping and the Chinese New Silk Road initiative. So, there are very strong indications that we could emerge from this Presidential visit to China with a completely new world on our hands. It's very significant that the coup attempt against President Trump is now disintegrating here in the United States; which will be giving him much more freedom to act with the authority that he requires to make these kinds of bold policy decisions in the United States. This Presidency, which has been under continuous attack since Day 1, since its inception, now will regain some of the necessary freedom of action that it needs to follow through on some of these bold visions that President Trump laid out during his campaign. Let me just conclude by saying that it will be our job to continue to follow up on this campaign to break this coup attempt; with the confidence now that these revelations have come out about the role that the DNC and the Clinton campaign played directly in financing British agent Christopher Steele's so-called opposition research. Then the role that the FBI played in following up on that and continuing that process of collusion

with the British intelligence foreign entity to try to bring down a sitting US President. It will also be incumbent on us over the coming seven days, the coming week between now and President Trump's departure, to continue with our education campaign to educate the American people and the American leadership on exactly what the significance is of what you just heard from Helga Zepp-LaRouche, what just occurred at this Party Congress in Beijing; and what the significance of China's offers to the United States to join the New Silk Road are. So, one the very important aspects of that will be a meeting up in New York City, which will be occurring tomorrow. This is our regular Manhattan town hall meeting. Will Wertz, who is an editor with {Executive Intelligence Review} and has appeared on this broadcast over the last couple of weeks a few times; Will Wertz will be preparing a presentation on exactly what is the philosophical basis for this emerging New Paradigm which the United States and China could collaborate on bringing about. He has gone back to some of the papers that Lyndon LaRouche wrote about ten years ago, which were compiled in a book called *Earth's Next Fifty Years*. One of major papers in that was titled "The Coming Eurasian World". In that paper, Lyndon LaRouche, going all the way back to the philosophy of the European Renaissance, Nicholas of Cusa, and other thinkers of that time; but also, some of the thinking of Vladimir Vernadsky and other more contemporary philosophers and scientists, laid exactly what is the scientific and philosophical basis for this kind of new mode of cooperation among mankind for the common benefit of all of the human race. Or, as Xi Jinping calls it,

“the common destiny of the community of man”.

So, that presentation by Will Wertz will be very significant in terms of continuing to articulate exactly what this New Paradigm which the United States now has the opportunity to join, will be.

So, let me put on the screen here in conclusion, the title image [Fig. 11] of our broadcast again here today, as we continue

to countdown on our calendar the days between now and President

Trump’s departure for Asia. As you can see, the title of our broadcast was “One Week from Today: A Potential Turning-Point in

History”. So, if you look at that calendar, we have seven days

left in our continuing campaign. If the last seven days are any

indication, history can definitely change very rapidly between now and President Trump’s departure for his state visit to China.

So, thank you all for tuning in, and please stay tuned to larouchepac.com.

Trump: ’Russiagate skal stoppe, for der er intet i det’

16. okt., 2017 – Med særlige anklager Robert Mueller, der fortsætter sin næsten fem måneder lange efterforskning af russisk indblanding i sidste års præsidentvalg, udtalte Trump

sin frustration over efterforskningen og fortsatte med at fremføre, at Rusland ikke gjorde noget som helst for at blande sig i 2016-valget, under Trumps pressekonference fra Det hvide Hus i dag sammen med lederen af senatsflertallet, Mitch McConnell (R-KY). Trump gav udtryk for sin utålmodighed med Russiagate-heksejagten:

»Jeg ser gerne, at det slutter«, sagde Trump om efterforskningen. »Hele den russiske affære var en undskyldning for, at Demokraterne tabte valget.«

»Der har absolut ikke været tale om noget 'aftalt spil'«, sagde Trump. »Det er blevet erklæret, at de ikke har nogen svigagtige aftaler. De bør afslutte det, for jeg tror, det amerikanske folk er dødtræt af det.«

I dag nægtede de tre medstiftere af Fusion GPS, modstandernes researchfirma, der var ansvarligt for at lede skabelsen af det berygtede »Trump-dossier«, at overholde en indstævning, udstedt af Huset Efterretningskomites formand, David Nunes (R-CA), som påbyder de tre medstiftere af Fusion GPS at møde for hans efterretningskomite.

USA Today rapporterede i dag, at Fusion GPS's advokater fra Cunningham, Levy & Muse i et brev sagde, at, hvis de blev indkaldt til at vidne, ville de tre påkalde sig deres rettigheder iht. det første forfatningstillæg, for at fritage dem for at besvare spørgsmål. Websitet Zero Hedge rapporterer, at »trækket – der har alle kendetegn på en forhalingstaktik – er det seneste forsøg fra firmaets medstifteres side, der angiveligt skulle have været klar over, at ikke alle beskyldningerne i dossieret var troværdige, før de overleverede det til FBI, på at forhindre aflæggelse af offentlig forklaring«.

Foto: Præsident Trump sammen med Mitch McConnell, pressekonference i Det Hvide Hus.

Voksende krav om efterforskning af Muelers forbrydelser

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 15. okt., 2017 – Kampen om USA's præsidentskab er ved at blive den kamp, der vil afgøre skæbnen for civilisationen, som vi kender den. Vi oplever historiske forandringer i verden, med finansimperiet, centreret i London og New York, der vakler mod et katastrofalt kollaps. Finanssektorens herrer kan ikke længere holde deres egne aktører tilbage fra at komme med advarsler om, at kollapset er umiddelbart forestående, som tidligere tyske finansminister Wolfgang Schäuble sagde i sidste uge med en refleksion over den massive likviditet, der dækker over den voksende gældsboble – som han selv var med til at skabe. Den eneste udvej ligger i, at præsident Trump fuldt og helt implementerer de politikker, han har formuleret: En Glass/Steagall-reorganisering af finanssystemet samtidig med, at man afskriver den kunstige gæld og skaber ny kredit til realøkonomien; fuldt ud at tilslutte sig den Nye Silkevejsproces med at dirigere statslig og international kredit til sand nationsopbygning, inklusive i USA; med at forny Amerikas helligelse til de fremskudte grænser af menneskets viden, gennem et genoplivet rumprogram, fuldskalaproduktion af kernekraft og optrapning af forskning i fusionskraft. Disse koncepter, som Lyndon LaRouche har promoveret gennem sine Fire Love, er begge inden for rækkevidde, og det haster.

Som modspil forsøger briterne og deres aktiver i USA hektisk at afsætte Trump fra embedet, hvilket de mener, er den eneste måde, momentum for et virkeligt paradigmeskifte kan stoppes. I

løbet af den seneste uge har LaRouche Politiske Aktionskomite (LPAC) distribueret vores 32 sider lange dossier, »Robert Mueller er en umoralsk, juridisk morder – Han vil gøre sit job, hvis I giver ham lov«, der afslører særlige anklager Robert Muellers forbrydelser, til næsten alle medlemmer af Kongressen. Rygterne og sladderer begyndte omgående at svirre om forslag om at konfrontere Mueller direkte. I fredags sendte 19 kongresmedlemmer et åbent brev til lederne af Husets, hhv. Senatets Justitskomite og krævede omgående kongreshøringer om den »mulige interessekonflikt og beskyldninger om politisk partiskhed« vedr. hr. Mueller. Senator Chuck Grassley, der er leder af Senatets Efterretningskomite, og formand for Husets Efterretningskomite, Devin Nunes, fører begge en kamp mod efterretningssamfundet, fordi disse har blokeret for deres bestræbelser på at efterforske hele Russiagate-fupnummeret mod præsidenten. Det vil kræve maksimalt pres fra det amerikanske folk for at optrappe denne modstand mod forsøget, der kommer fra London, på at udføre et kup mod nationen.

De amerikanske massemedier støtter fuldt og helt dette britiskanstiftede kup og kolporterer påstande om, at præsidenten er uskikket til embedet, og med flere, der kræver, at man påkalder Forfatningens artikel 25 for at fjerne ham fra embedet pga. mental ustabilitet. Det må bemærkes, at selvsamme presse var tavse, da Obama begik forbrydelser mod menneskeheden med ødelæggelsen af Libyen og Syrien, i ledtog med al-Qaeda, eller da han overgav den nationale beslutningstagning til narkohandlende finansinstitutioner og legaliserede anvendelsen af narkotiske farmaceutiske lægemidler, der ødelægger menneskers hjerne.

I dag optrådte udenrigsminister Rex Tillerson på CNN og CBS søndags-talkshows, hvor han udtrykte sin totale afsky for det smålige, degraderende bras, der fylder 24-timers nyhedskvænen i USA, alt imens verdenshistoriske forandringer, der finder sted på globalt plan, og som fortjener og kræver fuld opmærksomhed, ignoreres. Da han blev presset til at angribe

præsidenten, svarede han: »Præsidenten er en meget ukonventionel person, som vi alle ved, mht., hvordan han kommunikerer, hvordan han kan lide at skabe begivenheder, der fremtvinger handling. Præsidenten tager derfor ofte skridt til at fremtvinge handling, når han føler, at tingene simpelt hen ikke flytter sig.« Han udtrykte sin fulde støtte til Trump og hans politikker og tilbageviste løgnene om, at han skulle være undermineret af præsidenten.

Faren ligger i, at folk sidder fast i denne 24-timers nyhedskværn og ikke ser, at vi nu gennemlever en forandring i historiens lange bue. Sådanne øjeblikke med fundamental forandring, der fører til enten en ny, global renæssance, eller også til katastrofalt kaos og katastrofal krig, er farlige, men er også mulighedernes øjeblik, hvor folk er i stand til at overvinde fordomme og politisk dovenskab for at tænke over universelle ideer – det, som digteren Shelley identificerede som »intense og passionerede begreber om menneske og natur«. Og det er sådan, vi må adressere vore medmennesker i dette dyrebare øjeblik.

Foto: Tidligere præsident George W. Bush og FBI-direktør Robert Mueller applauderer klasseformanden torsdag, 30. okt., 2008, ved dimissionsfesten for FBI-specialagenter i Quantico, Va. (Whitehouse Photo)

**Dansk udskrift:
Den Nye Silkevejsånd tager
over:**

**Vi må vinde kampen for at
bringe USA og Europa med om
bord.**

**Schiller Institut Nyt
Paradigme Webcast
med Helga Zepp-LaRouche, 12.
okt., 2017**

Dette er en utrolig historie, og på nuværende tidspunkt står det ikke klart, hvilken af siderne, der vinder. Men det forholder sig altså modsat i forhold til den måde, det fremstilles på: Det er nemlig de personer, der går efter Trump, som er dem, der bør efterforskes, og, hvis de findes skyldige, stilles for en domstol. Det er, hvad der virkelig foregår, og formålet er at gøre med Trump, ligesom det billede fra Gullivers Rejser, husker I nok, hvor Gulliver bliver holdt nede af så mange små reb, at han ikke kan røre sig. Og hele ideen bag kampagnen imod Trump er selvfølgelig, at han har så travlt med at forsvare sig, at han ikke kan gennemføre sin faktiske dagsorden.

Dette er den kamp, som USA's, og gennem implikation, hele resten af verdens, eksistens beror på, i betragtning af USA's strategiske betydning.

Se webcast her: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ms1kvEHn0n0>

Download (PDF, Unknown)

På Manhattan Project borgermøde gav LPAC's Barbara Boyd

en meget stærk briefing om Mueller-dossieret – se video

Se video: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UD7D3Xublyg>

Nitten amerikanske kongresmedlemmer kræver høringer for at bringe Robert Mueller 'frem fra skyggerne'

14. okt., 2017 – Den 13. okt. stilede 19 amerikanske kongresmedlemmer et åbent brev til senator Chuck Grassley og kongresmedlem Bob Goodlatte (hhv. formand for Senatets og Husets Justitskomite), i hvilket de kræver åbne kongreshøringer, der skal undersøge »mulige interessekonflikter og beskyldninger om politisk partiskhed« hos særlige anklager Robert Mueller og hans team af politiske lejermordere. Hovedunderskriveren af brevet er kongresmedlem

Brian Babin (R-TX). Uddrag af brevet lyder:

»Dette team [Robert Mueller og hans advokater] har en gennemgribende myndighed og en åben mission, og alligevel får de i vid udstrækning lov at operere i hemmelighed, og de udvælges af og står sluttelig kun til ansvar for én person: Hr. Mueller selv. Med flere rapporter, der hver dag kommer om mulige interessekonflikter og beskyldninger om politisk partiskhed, ville det være både i den amerikanske offentligheds interesse og i det særlige anklagerteams interesse at tale til det amerikanske folk gennem dets valgte repræsentanter i Kongressen.

I henhold hertil anmoder vi respektfuldt, at én eller begge Justitskomiteerne omgående indkalder til en offentlig og åben høring, eller en række høringer, for at bringe hr. Mueller og hans team frem fra skyggerne og frem i det offentlige rum.«

Ifølge en rapport i *Daily Caller* begyndte Babin at organisere underskrifter til brevet tilbage i august og besluttede og offentliggøre det nu.

Foto: Særlige anklager Robert Mueller.

**Nedtælling til Trumps besøg i
Kina:
Ny Silkevej, ikke geopolitik.
LaRouche PAC Internationale**

Webcast,

13. okt. 2017

Vært Matthew Ogden: Som titlen på vores webcast, »Nedtælling til Trumps besøg i Kina: Ny Silkevej, ikke geopolitik«, antyder, så befinder vi os i en nedtælling til en begivenhed, der kunne vise sig at blive et vendepunkt i verdenshistorien; hvis vi gør vores job rigtigt. Om 21 dage, nøjagtig 3 uger fra i dag, vil præsident Trump tage af sted på sit første statsbesøg til Kina; som finder sted i forbindelse med en rundrejse til andre asiatiske lande og Trumps deltagelse i ASEAN-topmødet. Alt imens en stor del af mediefokus har været på Nordkorea, så er de virkelige nyheder, at der foreligger en meget klar mulighed for, at præsident Trump under sit besøg med Xi Jinping kunne bruge denne mulighed til at signalere, at USA er villig til at tilslutte sig Kinas store projekt for Bælte & Vej Initiativet. Selv om præsident Trump tydeligvis fremstillede et billede af sig selv under valgkampen som værende anti-Kina, sandsynligvis under indflydelse af pseudo-højrefløjs-populistiske Kina-angribere som Steve Bannon og andre, så peger præsident Trumps handlinger under sin embedstid på et helt andet billede. Under præsident Xi Jinpings statsbesøg i USA – det såkaldte Mar-a-Lago-topmøde – udviklede han og præsident Trump en meget varm, særlig, personlig relation. Og det var ud af denne konstruktive relation med præsident Trump, at denne gav sit samtykke til præsident Xi Jinpings anmodning om, at der blev sendt officiel amerikansk repræsentation til Bælte & Vej Forum; det storslåede, internationale Silkevejstopmøde, der fandt sted i maj i år (i Beijing). Dette fulgte præsident Trump op på og sendte en særlig repræsentant fra Udenrigsministeriet, Matt Pottinger, som blev særligt bemærket af Kina. Og, som vi har berettet, har præsident Trump, under flere private møder med højtplacerede repræsentanter fra den kinesiske regering – inklusive med statsrådgiveren (Yang Jiechi), som er den

tredjehøjest rangerende embedsmand i den kinesiske regering – indikeret sin beredvillighed til USA's tilslutning til den Nye Silkevej.

Vi ved, det haster med, at disse planer bliver til virkelighed. Det haster mht. den internationale, strategiske situation; det haster mht. USA's nationale, økonomiske situation; og det haster med endelig at afvise fortidens mislykkede geopolitik og erstatte den med hr. LaRouches økonomiske metode – som vi gennemgår hver onsdag på denne hjemmeside.[1] Vi vil fortsætte med at udvikle disse temaer. Men dette kræver et totalt skifte i tankegang, hvor vi afviser de forfejlede aksiomer med begrænsede resurser og affolkning, som er blevet tvunget ned over os; og at vi erstatter dem med en helt ny idé om økonomi, ja, faktisk hele menneskets forhold til omgivelserne og Universet, som er baseret på en idé om en til stadighed ekspanderende produktivitet i overensstemmelse med menneskets unikke, skabende natur. Dette er faktisk kernen i LaRouches økonomiske metode; og i løbet af disse kommende uger, hvor du, forhåbentlig, deltager i denne undervisningsserie i økonomi, vil du lære, at det er den sande kerne i en aksiomatisk forståelse af, hvad sande »win-win«-relationer må være baseret på.

Det er i denne sammenhæng, at det haster med, at USA tilslutter sig den Nye Silkevej. I løbet af de kommende 21 dage, hvor vi tæller ned til Trumps statsbesøg, kan jeg forsikre jer for, at dette bliver spørgsmålet; det bliver de parametre, der er i færd med at udforme verdenspolitik og verdenshistorie. Spørgsmålet om denne rejse og dens resultat inkarnerer på en meget virkelig måde alt, som er det centrale spørgsmål i denne aktuelle krig, som nu finder sted, over selve det amerikanske præsidentskabs sjæl. Det har mange aspekter. Det omfatter ikke alene det institutionelle kup, som køres mod præsidenten indefra, men vi kan også se virkningerne fra krigsførelsen mht. informations- og mediekrigsførelse, der kommer, ikke alene fra mainstream-medierne, men fra den

skændige rolle, der spilles af personer som Steve Bannon og andre, i forsøg på at tvinge Trump ind i en anti-kinesisk dagsorden. Det er vores ansvar at modgå dette og informere det amerikanske folk om, at vejen frem for USA udelukkende ligger i, at USA tilslutter sig den Nye Silkevej.

Dette spørgsmål om, hvorvidt USA afviser fortidens mislykkede, britiske geopolitik og tilslutter sig den Nye Silkevej og vedtager den nye, internationale, økonomiske orden og dette nye »win-win«-system for internationale relationer, som Kina på det seneste har været fortalere for; dette spørgsmål er faktisk på en meget virkelig måde kerneprincippet i alt det, som Lyndon og Helga LaRouche har kæmpet for i de seneste 40 år. Dette spørgsmål er selve roden i det kup, man forsøger at gennemføre imod vores siddende, lovmæssigt, behørigt valgte præsident. Lad dig ikke narre; det har intet at gøre med Trump personligt. Det har alt at gøre med det potentielle resultat af et skifte i politikken i dette land i løbet af de forestående dage, uger og måneder; med vores beslutning om, hvad vores fremtid vil være i relation til dette Nye Paradigme, dette nye, fremvoksende »win-win«-system for internationale relationer, som Kina er i færd med at opbygge omkring Bælte & Vej Initiativet.

I går beskrev Helga Zepp-LaRouche det under sit webcast; det er som med billedet af Gulliver fra Jonathan Swifts berømte *Gullivers Rejser*, hvor han bliver bundet til jorden af hundreder eller tusinder af små bitte reb af Lilliputterne, som han møder. Dette er det billede, man må have, når man forestiller sig situationen i Det Hvide Hus; med præsident Trump, der bliver forhindret i at gennemføre nogen af de positive programinitiativer, som fik ham valgt. Infrastruktur; genopbygning af 'Rustbæltet'; genopbygning af amerikansk industri; begrænsning af Wall Street; genindførelse af Glass-Steagall. Som vi har set af dækningen af valgstrategien, så var det dette, som amerikanerne responderede til; dette er grunden til, at folk, der både var konservative og Demokrater,

skiftede over og valgte denne præsident. Det var pga. disse spørgsmål, der stadig plager det amerikanske folks hverdag, men vi mangler stadig at se beslutsom handling finde sted mht. disse presserende spørgsmål for det amerikanske folk. I mellemtiden har vi den overhængende trussel om et transatlantisk finanssammenbrud, som, med hensyn til proportionerne, langt kunne overstige det, der fandt sted i 2008.

Som en erklæring, der gik ud til LaRouche PAC's mail-liste i går, korrekt sagde, så vil udfaldet af denne kamp – det, jeg netop har forklaret – med disse 21-dages nedtælling til Trumps besøg i Kina, og om vi vil lykkes med at guide dette land i retning af at tilslutte sig dette fremvoksende Nye Paradigme; udfaldet af denne kamp vil afgøre, hvad der vil ske med dette land i de næste 50, for ikke at sige 100 år. Det er en sådan historisk skillelinje, vi står ved.

Her følger resten af udskriftet på engelsk:

That statement, which I just referred to, went on to read as follows: “The British have a plan. It is the same one which they have always used: control political entities, whether it be the anti-fa on the left, or the alt-right on the right; split the country in two on issues of identity. The actual ideas and philosophical roots of this country, the great republican ideas of our founders, who built upon the revolution of the Renaissance, those actual ideas are lost in the heated wars of fake populism. “Look at what is missing. Puerto Rico is a disaster, as its entire infrastructure was destroyed, not by a hurricane, but by Wall Street predators. California is in flames. Texas and Florida have not recovered from the manmade disasters which destroyed whole cities and the livelihoods of thousands. Day

by

day, hundreds of our citizens, particularly in the economically

devastated formerly industrial heartland, are dying of drug overdoses. This epidemic is killing more people daily than AIDS

at the height of its devastation. Wall Street stands on the verge of another financial collapse.

“President Trump began to move on answers to all of this – overthrowing the British thinking which had come to govern us. That is at the center of the whole furor against Trump.

Lyndon

LaRouche has provided a comprehensive solution in his Four Hamiltonian Economic Laws. Implementation of these ideas will actually overthrow the swamp which is in the process of destroying this country; but only if we go all out right now.”

So that’s the kind of call to action for the next 21 days.

That spells out exactly the parameters within which this fight is

being waged.

Those of you who might now know this yet, there is a new feature from Helga Zepp-LaRouche which is being featured on the

Schiller Institute New Paradigm website every week on Thursdays,

which is an international webcast on the New Silk Road.

That’s

accessible via the Schiller Institute New Paradigm YouTube channel, but we’ve also been posting a link to that on the larouchepac.com website. This is a very important initiative from Helga Zepp-LaRouche; and it’s coming from somebody who is not merely a commentator watching events unfold as if from the outside, but somebody who is directly at the center of making history unfold around this direction of the New Silk Road. As those of you who have watched this broadcast know, Helga Zepp-LaRouche herself was personally one of the featured guests

at the Belt and Road Forum back in May in Beijing, China; speaking at several of the roundtable discussions and sideline meetings, and attending in person the main event, where she heard

President Xi Jinping's keynote along with the addresses of all of

the other heads of state who attended that conference. Helga Zepp-LaRouche was also featured in a major one-page full spread

article in *China Daily* just about one month ago, for her history in terms of her lifetime dedication to fighting for the

emergence of the new international economic order, which is now

taking its form in this New Silk Road – the Belt and Road Initiative in China.

So, what I would like to do to promote this weekly webcast that Helga Zepp-LaRouche is doing, and also to highlight some of

the very important strategic insights that she had in her webcast

that she broadcast yesterday, I would like to play just two short

clips for you from that broadcast yesterday. Here's the first one, and I'd like you to listen to her strategic overview, and also the call to action that she has at the very end of the remarks that you're about to hear now.

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE: As a matter of fact, this coming trip of President Trump to Asia will be extremely important, because this trip occurs in the context of a very dramatic strategic situation. We have all signs that a new financial crash is in the workings, and we can talk about that in

a little while. There is an alternative already in existence, which is the incredibly dynamic Belt and Road Initiative which has been initiated by China four years ago. As we already

made

the point before the first summit between President Xi and President Trump in April, that the best way to solve the strategic situation is if you could get the United States and China to work together in the Belt and Road Initiative. That would mean Chinese investments in infrastructure in the United States, which is starting slowly. There is very good news that

there is a new taskforce which has been formed in California in

San Francisco, which is supposed to make it easier for Chinese investors to invest in infrastructure in the United States. There is bidding going on where Chinese railway companies are trying to win the bid to build fast train systems in California.

So there are promising signs.

But given the enormous destruction of the infrastructure, both through attrition – because all of this infrastructure in the United States, much of it is more than 100 years old – so it's decrepit already all by itself. But then you had the hurricanes in Florida, Texas, Puerto Rico; and now you have the

wildfires in California. So, there is a gigantic requirement. We want China to invest in infrastructure in the United States as

part of the Belt and Road Initiative. On the other side, we want

American firms to be involved in the many, many projects of the

Belt and Road Initiative in Asia, Africa, and Latin America.

That must somehow be more on top of the agenda. We are right now mobilizing, mostly in the United States, but also elsewhere, that when President Trump makes this long-awaited and

extremely important strategic trip of a state visit to China in

the context of his Asia tour, we wish very much that the Belt

and

Road Initiative is formalized. I think that there are absolute

potentials that this could occur. If it occurs, we really are over the hump of the danger of war; that is my deepest belief.

Because the "win-win" cooperation of the New Silk Road offers a

new model not only of relations among nations in general, but especially one big component has been the proposal by China to set up a new model of major power relations. If there would be

such a transformation, I think the world would really enter a new

era of cooperation in the mutual benefit to end geopolitical games, to end for sure the policies of the Bush and Obama administrations of interventionist wars for regime change, of color revolution. You would replace that with a system of sovereign nations working together for their mutual benefit.

So, if we can all work together to accomplish that, and I appeal to all listeners and viewers of this program to help us;

because the mainstream media are still not reporting the extraordinary importance of this new dynamic. Therefore, it is

not generally known enough, but I think this must be changed in

the next three weeks. So, I'm really asking all of you to help

to spread the message.

OGDEN: So, as you just heard, that was a direct appeal from Helga LaRouche to all of the viewers, asking you directly to help

spread the message. She said, I appeal to all listeners and viewers to realize the extraordinary importance of the next three

weeks. This, in fact, could be the beginning of an entirely

new

era in terms of international politics and policy; that's this kind of watershed moment.

Now, the question of what has prevented these positive inclinations from coming to fruition up to this point, and what

must be defeated if we are to allow these positive inclinations

of the Trump Presidency to take precedence; that's the issue which Helga LaRouche took up next. I'd like to just play this clip for you here.

ZEPP-LAROUCHE: I actually would like to talk about the battle inside the United States first, because there is right

now, as you say, an attempt to prevent Trump from having a positive relationship with China and with Russia. Now the famous

Russia-gate is about to be put where it should have been from the

beginning – in the trash can. Namely, there is no evidence.

There was a statement by Senator Burr and one other Senator, who

said they investigated all the accusations about collusion between the Trump administration and Russia and they could find

nothing. But there is still reason, they say, to assume that there is Russian collusion because the intelligence agencies are

saying so. Now this is an incredible story, and we have produced

a dossier about who is the apparatus behind the effort to impeach

Trump or have a coup against Trump; namely, it is all centered around Special Counsel Robert Mueller. This dossier is an absolute bombshell, because it establishes without any doubt that

the same people and the same apparatus which went after my husband Lyndon LaRouche in the '80s and after that, is the same apparatus which covered up the Saudi role in 9/11, and which is now organizing with the British Intelligence to set up a fake story against President Trump. This is a huge battle. There is some fight, for example, Senator Grassley and Congressman Nunes both in their respective committees, pointed to the fact that there is collusion, yes; but not with the Russians, but with British Intelligence. Senator Grassley made a big point that the so-called "evidence" of Comey and Mueller about Russian cooperation is actually centered around this firm GPS Fusion, which hired the former MI-6 agent Christopher Steele who produced this infamous so-called dodgy dossier about Trump. Now, Senator Grassley made the point that the FBI was not investigating this on its own, but they took material from British Intelligence as evidence. So, this is an unbelievable story, and it is at this point very unclear which side will come out on top. But it is the opposite of the way it is being portrayed; namely, it's those people who are going after Trump who are the ones who are the ones who should be investigated, and in the case they're found guilty, put on trial. So that is really what is going on, and the aim is to make with Trump – you remember this picture in {Gulliver's Travels}, where Gulliver was tied with so many little ropes and so forth that he couldn't move. Obviously the whole idea of the campaign against Trump is, that he is so busy defending himself, that he doesn't get to

his

actual agenda. So this is the battle on which the existence of

the United States depends, and by implication the rest of the world, given the strategic importance of the United States.

OGDEN: So that video is available in full; there's a link to it on the LaRouche PAC website, so you can watch Helga LaRouche's full strategic webcast from yesterday. As I said, this is a new regular feature that she will be engaged in.

What

you just heard Helga LaRouche talk about was this bombshell dossier which has been issued by LaRouche PAC, and is already being circulated quite widely in the United States; although we

have the responsibility to circulate far, far more widely over the coming days. But it's been circulated in the US Congress, among very relevant Congressional committees; it's being circulated in various cities across the United States. We're encouraging you to access the digital version of this pamphlet online. I'm going to put an image here on the screen for you of

the cover of that pamphlet [Fig. 1]; you'll see it right here. This is "Robert Mueller Is an Amoral Illegal Assassin: He Will Do

His Job if You Let Him". As it says on the back cover [Fig. 2],

"Robert Mueller has played a central role in three of the most shameful chapters of our nation's recent history: the frame-up

of Lyndon LaRouche; the cover-up of September 11th; the set-up of

President Trump. This dossier exposes the story of deceit that has been perpetrated against the American people for far too long. Read the contents of this report, and help us to stop the

attempted coup now being run against the US Presidency." So,

again, that's available on the LaRouche PAC website – <http://lpac.co/ytdos> – the link is available on the screen there.

Now, I'm going to show you one snapshot from the inside of this pamphlet, this is the next image [Fig. 3]. And this actually gives you a sense of not just the mechanisms of this kind of apparatus and how it is utilized to try to suppress certain tendencies in US policy, as was just documented by Helga

LaRouche; but it actually gives you the sense of what the true issue at the root of this is, and what links these episodes together going back to Lyndon LaRouche's fight for a new international economic order and going forward to today around the question of what Trump's relationship will be to this new international economic order which has emerged. What you'll see

there on the screen, is a snapshot of 1982. This is another crucial watershed moment in history, comparable in a very real way to where we find ourselves now, although things have advanced

much more since then. But at that period, 1982, which is taken

up in detail by the contents of the dossier, this was a moment in

history where this new international economic order was on the table in very high-level circles, and was central to many of the

historic events of that period. So, you'll see there on this page, a few pictures of Lyndon LaRouche. On the top left corner,

this is a picture of Lyndon LaRouche meeting with several leading

policymakers in India, during a trip that he made to India in 1982. The purpose of this trip was for Lyndon LaRouche to meet

with the prime minister of India, Indira Gandhi, which he did in

1982; he did again the following year in 1983. They were discussing exactly the role that India could play in helping this

new international economic order to emerge. In fact, Indira Gandhi, when she hosted the Non-Aligned Movement summit in India

in 1983, put this on the table as the central principle of the Non-Aligned Movement; the emergence of a new international economic order. Now tragically, Indira Gandhi was assassinated

less than one year later.

Now the other aspect of that 1982 period was Lyndon LaRouche's involvement with another head of state. This was Mexican President Jose Lopez-Portillo. There's a picture there

also on that page of Lyndon LaRouche and his wife Helga Zepp-LaRouche at a press conference in Mexico City in 1982; directly following a personal meeting that they had with President Jose Lopez-Portillo. What were they discussing? Lyndon LaRouche's policy for a new international economic order.

That was spelled out in detail in a document called "Operation Juarez", and this was a proposal for the debtor countries of Central and South America to use their collective status as debtor countries as a strategic leverage, and to declare a unilateral simultaneous debt moratorium which would cause the reigning IMF and World Bank system to be forced into a new international economic order. That was spelled out in detail in

LaRouche's document "Operation Juarez", and in fact, Jose Lopez-Portillo adopted this as his personal policy. In an appearance at the United Nations General Assembly in the autumn

of that year – 1982 – Jose Lopez-Portillo called for exactly this: a new international economic order. He warned that were this new economic order not to be adopted, the world would be facing a new medieval dark age.

So, you can see just in that snapshot of 1982, that Lyndon LaRouche was central to the policies that were shaping world history, and this was something which really was a battle for the very soul of economic policy, both in the United States and abroad. Now, at that time, this was the moment in which the legal prosecution against Lyndon LaRouche was ramped up to a level that was unprecedented in our republic's history. This was something that former Attorney General Ramsey Clark personally said, that he had not ever witnessed this kind of government campaign against a private US individual in his entire career or elsewhere. So, it was this question, however, this fight for a new international economic order.

Now, we are 35 years later, and we've fast-forwarded from 1982 to 2017. Look at how the world has changed; look at the fact that the world's leading most-populous country on the planet, China, has adopted the New Silk Road policy, the new international economic order which Lyndon and Helga LaRouche have fought for, for so long. This is the defining question of history: What will our relationship, as the United States, be to this emerging new dynamic as it's taken its form? This is the question for President Donald Trump. As we approach this historic visit, this historic summit between Trump and President Xi Jinping, this state visit to China, we have 21 days as we count down between now and three weeks from now when President Trump departs for this trip. In a very real way, it will be the activities of the intellectual leadership of this country, among those of you who are watching this broadcast, to educate our

fellow Americans and to ensure that the positive proclivities of this administration are allowed to be fulfilled and are allowed to take their culmination in President Trump having a very positive and constructive meeting with President Xi Jinping; and announcing for the world to hear, that the United States is done with the failed British geopolitics of the past. It has only led to failed states, regime change, and perpetual war. The era of the future is “win-win” relations, great projects in the image of the New Silk Road, and a great power relationship between the United States, China, and Russia; and as Lyndon LaRouche has called for, India as well. These four great powers can revisit what President Franklin Roosevelt intended to shape the world into following World War II; as he was intending to take the New Deal of the United States, the American System, and bring it to the countries of the world – including the former colonial countries – to develop them and to bring an end to British imperial geopolitics once and for all. So that job has come down to us, and we must shoulder the responsibility to make the fullest out of this next 21 days as we count down to what could be a watershed historic moment for the history of the world. So, thank you very much for joining us here today. Please stay tuned over the next 21 days, as we proceed through this very important period in history. Thank you and good night.

[1] Se tag: LaRouche Economic Class series

Også danske læsere kan tilmelde sig undervisningsrækken: Meld dig til her: lpac.co/econ2017

Fortsæt! Momentum imod Londons/Muellers kup-operation er momentum for den Nye Silkevejs æra for fremskridt

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 12. okt., 2017 – Fra og med i dag uddeles vores bombe af en rapport, »**Robert Mueller er en umoralsk, juridisk morder: Han vil gøre sit job, hvis I giver ham lov**«, med stor slagkraft i New York City og Washington, D.C., til 180 internationale missioner til FN (hen over et par dage) og til udvalgte kontorer blandt 535 senatorer og kongresmedlemmer på Capitol Hill. Disse særlige cirkuleringer kommer netop, som et nyt initiativ i Kongressen forårsagede frustrerede skrig over, at Russiagate/Trumpgate måske vil mislykkes.

Husets Efterretningskomite har indstævnet firmaet Fusion GPS, der har hjemsted i Washington, D.C., og dets ansatte om at overholde Kongressens krav om information om, hvordan Fusion kommissionerede skandaledossieret fra 2016 om Donald Trump fra en MI6-ex-agent, Christopher Steele. Kongresmedlem Adam Schiff (D-Californien) udtrykte stærk modstand mod dem, der har udstedt indstævningen, da han sagde, »Jeg tror, at der er håb om, at, hvis de kan stille Christopher Steele for en rigsret,

og hvis de kan stille FBI og Justitsministeriet for en rigsret, så kan de måske stille hele den russiske efterforskning for en rigsret.«

Ja! Der er en udbredt og voksende støtte blandt den amerikanske offentlighed til netop dette resultat. Det er desuden, for mange mennesker i og omkring byen New York, og i Washington, D.C., som direkte oplevede angrebene den 11. september, en stærk og personlig sag, at Bob Mueller er en løgnagtig lejemorder, der mørklagde saudierne rolle i dette angreb.

At opbygge et momentum for at stoppe angrebet på det amerikanske præsidentskab og på nationen er samtidig at opbygge et momentum for, at det Nye Silkevejsparadigme kan blive til virkelighed i den transatlantiske sfære, og kan udvides i den eurasiske sfære, som det så spektakulært netop gør. I USA og Europa er der et presserende behov for en Glass/Steagall-reorganisering af bankpraksis, udstedelse af rigelig, målrettet kredit og igangsættelse af forskning og udvikling ind i de nye områder for fusion, plasmavidenskab og rumforskning. Hvordan det skal ske, har Lyndon LaRouche fremlagt i sine »Fire Love«.

Desuden er det ikke muligt at genopbygge efter katastroferne, undtagen ved hjælp af disse »Fire Love«. Alene skalaen af den udbredte ødelæggelse i Nordamerika som et resultat af sårbarheden over for »naturkatastrofer«, forårsaget af Wall Streets sabotage af vedligeholdelse og udvikling af infrastruktur, viser behovet for et paradigmeskifte i politikken. Til orkanzonerne i den Mexicanske Golf og Caribien, og jordskælvet i Mexico, skal yderligere føjes de hærgende brande i Californien. Mens dette skrives, har 21 mennesker mistet livet, og over 25.000 er fordrevet af brandene, der stadig brænder kraftigt. Andre stater i det vestlige USA er ligeledes blevet ramt i år. Den 12. okt. vil Repræsentanterne Hus sandsynligvis stemme om den næste lov om finansiering af katastrofehjælp på \$36,5 mia. – som i går

korrekt blev sat op, på anmodning fra Det Hvide Hus, med \$5 mia. til Puerto Rico, men som stadig kun lige rækker til at dække udgifterne til den tidlige responsfase. Hvad med genopbygningsfaserne?

Selv før de nylige katastrofer indtraf, er den forfaldne, amerikanske basisinfrastruktur brudt sammen – som det ses i transportkrisen i New Yorks Metro – pga. manglende modernisering. Den 1. oktober, lige midt i høsttiden, opstod der et hydraulisk svigt af en port i sluse og dæmning 53 på Ohiofloden, med en 46 mil lang række af flodpramme på floden til følge. Faciliteten blev bygget i 1928, og dens erstatning i nærheden har været under konstruktion i 30 år og er stadig ikke færdig.

Om 23 dage rejser præsident Trump af sted til Asien, hvor hans møde med præsident Xi Jinping kan blive anledning til, at et nyt stadium for håb og fordel for menneskeheden bryder ud. Vi kæmper for en sådan ny begyndelse.

Torsdag, den 12. oktober, er Columbus Dag, som regnes for at være den dato, hvor Columbus gjorde landgang i de amerikanske lande i 1492. Præsident Franklin Roosevelt udstedte, midt i en krigstid, en **mindeerklæring i 1940**, der sluttede med disse ord:

»I år, hvor vi betænker den tilstand, som verden er blevet bragt til af destruktive kræfter, med lovløshed og tøjlesløs magt, der hærger en ældre civilisation, og med vor egen republik, der ruster sig til forsvar for sine institutioner, kan vi puste nyt liv i vor tiltro og forny vort mod ved at mindes Columbus' triumf efter en periode med hjerteskrærende prøvelser.

Det løfte, som Columbus' opdagelse skænkede verden, om en ny begyndelse i menneskets march mod fremskridt, har været undervejs mod sin opfyldelse i fire århundreder. Det er nu vor opgave at være fast besluttet på, at denne fremmarch, på trods

af tilbageslag, vil fortsætte mod opfyldelsen.«

Årsagen til Muellers kup er uforandret; Trump kunne gå sammen med Kina og Rusland i den Nye Silkevej

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 10. okt., 2017 – Med præsident Trumps planlagte, lange række af topmøder i Asien om kun tre uger, er det ikke et spørgsmål om gradvis modstand for at besejre forsøget på at tvinge ham ud af embedet: Det er en yderst presserende kamp. Hvis heksejagten, der ledes af »særlige anklager« Robert Mueller, stoppes, kan præsidenten bruge denne rejse til at fremme den dagsorden, der bragte ham til magten, og som er spørgsmålet om afgørende samarbejde for fred med stormagterne Kina og Rusland, og om det transformerende byggeri af infrastruktur, der kan »gøre Amerika stort igen«. Disse to spørgsmål er forbundet i form af, at USA tilslutter sig Bælte & Vej Initiativet eller den »Nye Silkevej« med store, globale projekter.

Potentialet for en sådan Trump-dagsorden har, fra begyndelsen i 2016, været motiveringen for britisk efterretning og deres medskyldige, europæiske eliter og amerikanske efterretningstjenester og medier, til at konspirere om at holde Trump ude, eller tvinge ham ud.

Præsidenten er under konstant angreb fra alle disse, om alle spørgsmål. Selv den største og mest vedvarende præsidentielle

nøddrespons i de seneste 80 år til tre successive, store orkaners ødelæggelser er blevet angrebet med bitter partiskhed, udløst af Obama-demokrater og medierne.

Men det, der står på spil for Muellers sponsorer og Trumps fjender på højeste angloamerikanske niveau, er ikke nogen af disse »spørgsmål«. Det er derimod muligheden for, at Trump kunne bryde alle love i britisk geopolitik og indgå en alliance mellem store magter for fred og gensidig økonomisk profit, baseret på deres fælles interesse.

Det betyder, at Kinas Bælte & Vej Initiativ, og dermed den politik for Verdenslandbroen, som Lyndon og Helga LaRouche i 40 år har forfulgt i hele verden, er det faktiske potentiale, der står på spil.

Alternativet, hvis Trump bliver stoppet og ikke gennemfører det, er ikke alene en forhøjet trussel om krig, men også endnu et finanssammenbrud; selv de, der har været mest med til at forårsage det, som Tysklands finansminister Wolfgang Schäuble, advarer nu om dette sammenbrud.

I intervallet frem til præsident Trumps afrejse til Asien holder Helga Zepp-LaRouche tre internationale webcasts om den fulde betydning af, at Amerika – og Europa – tilslutter sig Bælte & Vej Initiativet. Indholdet af disse webcasts er som følger:

Bælte & Vej Initiativet – ideen om udvikling gennem Verdenslandbroen sådan, som LaRouche-parret har udbredt det i årtier – involverer store, nationale kreditinstitutioner, der på basis af fælles aftaler iværksætter storstilede projekter for højteknologisk infrastruktur og en storstilet udvidelse af rumforskning og samarbejde om rumforskning, sammen med forcerede programmer for udvikling af nukleare fusionsplasmateknologier. Det involverer en stigende produktivitet og produktiv beskæftigelse – præcis, hvad præsident Trump tilsigtede. Og Wall Street og City of London

må sættes under kontrol gennem Glass/Steagall-forholdsregler.

Indholdet og resultatet af denne Asienrejse og dens potentiale afgøres netop nu, gennem en mobilisering for at knuse Mueller-kuppet. Dette er det aktuelle øjeblikks virkelige spørgsmål.

Foto: Præsident Donald J. Trump modtager en briefing om nødhjælps- og redningsindsatsen efter orkanen Maria. 26. sept. 2017. (Whitehouse Photo)

Columbus Dag: Forsvar civilisationen og Trump imod 'Antifa' og Mueller

Leder fra LaRouchePAC, USA, 9. okt., 2017 – Antifas og lignende anarkistiske gruppers mobilisering mod Columbus Dag, der i dag fejres i USA, har intet at gøre med at forsvare oprindelige folkeslag, som mange byråd og delstatsregeringer vil have jer til at tro, da de ændrede Columbus Dag til Oprindelige Folkeslags Dag. Det har snarere til hensigt at ødelægge renæssancen, der fødte ideen om at forbinde Europa med Kina ved at sejle mod vest og således undgå imperiekontrollen over sejlruterne mod øst. Det har til formål at ødelægge konceptet med den Nye Verden som En By på Bjerget[1], som John Winthrop sagde til kolonisterne i Massachusetts-bugten, som flygtede fra det dekadente Europas oligarkiske monarkier.[2] Det har til formål at ødelægge selve USA, og har helt bestemt til formål at fremme statskupforsøget mod USA's præsident.

Et »Åbent Brev til præsident Donald Trump til Forsvar for Columbus« skrevet af Liliana Gorini, forkvinde for Movisol,

den LaRouche-tilknyttede bevægelse i Italien, og som fremlægger renæssancebevægelsens bredere, historiske sammenhæng med Columbus' rejse, er blevet cirkuleret i Kongressen, i hele nationen og til de mange deltagere i Columbus Day-festlighederne i dag. Sammen med dette brev uddeles ligeledes *EIR's* 26 sider lange dossier om Robert Muellers forbrydelser, som leverer den nødvendige ammunition til at gøre en ende på Muellers »Få ram på Trump-specialstyrkes« forræderiske, juridiske mord på vores præsident på samme måde, som Mueller kørte »Få ram på LaRouche-specialstyrkerne« i 1980'erne. Begge disse forbrydelser blev begået af samme grund – at forhindre, at der blev bygget broer mellem USA, Rusland og Kina, som det rent historisk er blevet promoveret af LaRouche, og som det i dag advokeres af præsident Trump, og som er den nødvendige forudsætning for at genrejse vore forfædres, og vore største præsidenters, fra John Quincy Adams til Lincoln, FDR og JFK, Amerikanske System.

Lyndon LaRouche har længe identificeret den kendsgerning, at konceptet om nationalstaten, der kom til verden i Nicolaus Cusanus' intellekt som grundlæggelsen af renæssancen, altid har været en fjende af Imperium, alt imens vor nations sande mission altid har været en koalition af suveræne nationalstater, der arbejder sammen hen imod menneskehedens fælles mål. Om mindre end en måned rejser præsident Trump til Asien, inklusive besøg til Kina, Japan, Sydkorea, Vietnam og Filippinerne. Hans møde med Kinas præsident Xi Jinping har mulighed for at blive en verdenshistorisk begivenhed og bringe disse to nationer sammen i den Nye Silkevejsånd for at bygge moderne industrinationer i hele verden, hvor Europas kolonimagter engang gennemtvang tilbageståenhed, og som frem til i dag fortsat holdes i finansielle lænker, der kontrolleres i London og New York.

Præsident Trump har responderet til ødelæggelsen i Texas, Florida og Puerto Rico i dyb erkendelse af, at det netop var

sammenbruddet af infrastruktur i hele landet, der skabte den ødelæggelse, som stormene forårsagede, og han har erklæret, at vi ikke længere kan acceptere den løgn, at den private sektor ville, eller kunne, gøre noget for at rette op på dette forfald. Han har, til Wall Streets rædsel, sagt, at Puerto Ricos gæld ikke kan betales – en selvindlysende kendsgerning – og at gælden ganske enkelt må afskrives.

Dette fremkalder dernæst spørgsmålet om LaRouches Nødhandleplan. At genindføre systemet med statskredit, i Hamiltons tradition, er, bogstavelig talt, det eneste middel til skabelse af de billioner af dollar, der behøves for både at udbedre nationens smuldrende infrastruktur og for at genrejse nationens historiske, videnskabelige og industrielle styrke. Hver eneste hvid, der blev spildt på at give bail-out, dvs. redde ved hjælp af skatteborgerpenge, det vestlige finanssystems hasardspilsgæld, gennem kvantitativ lempelse ('pengetrykning', nulrente m.v.) til en værdi af henved \$14 billioner, drev ganske enkelt systemet endnu dybere ud i bankerot, og hvor ingen af pengene gik til realøkonomien.

Tiden er kommet til, én gang for alle, at gøre en ende på Det britiske Imperium. Tiden er kommet til, én gang for alle, at gøre en ende på deres operationer for »regimeskifte«, og mest udtrykkeligt deres regimeskifte mod vor nation. Det Nye Paradigme er allerede i færd med at blive bygget under Kinas lederskab og venter blot på, at USA skal genindføre det Amerikanske System og etablere samarbejdsrelationer med Rusland og Kina. Det må blive det passionerede engagement for vor nation og vort folk, som vort lands patrioter og verdensborgere.

Foto: Medlemmer af LaRouche PAC NYC deltager i Columbus Dag Paraden i Bronx. 8. okt., 2017.

[1] Fra Bjergprædikenen: »I er verdens lys; en by, der ligger på et bjerg, kan ikke skjules.«

[2] Se LPAC History video: »The Two Massachusetts« og »1620«.

Trump ved en korsvej med sin Asien-rejse: Mueller-truslen må fjernes

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 8. okt., 2017 – Der er stor politisk spænding omkring de forberedelser, som Trump-administrationen træffer forud for præsidentens Asien-rejse 3.-14. november og for de afgørende møder med Kinas præsident Xi.

Konfrontationer med Nordkorea og Iran intensiveres og truer med at udgøre store og potentielt omgående farer.

Men styrkelsen af den nationale debat om, hvordan man skal få storstilet, ny infrastruktur bygget i Amerika, efter augusts og septembers »naturkatastrofer«, mod hvilke man ingen beskyttelse havde, sætter en dagsorden for Trump mht. Kina, der bygger storstilede, nye projekter i hele verden i sit Bælte & Vej Initiativ.

Trumps egne, offentlige udtalelser og handlinger, med hastig finansiering til nødindsats, med anbefaling af statslig finansiering af infrastruktur og med afskrivning af Puerto Ricos ubetalelige gæld til Wall Street, har fremprovokeret denne debat, hvilket var hensigten, og bygget på amerikanernes ønske om at forene og genopbygge.

Kina har ønsket at blive en del af dette, siden præsident Xi indbød Barack Obamas administration til at tilslutte sig Asiatisk Infrastruktur-Investeringsbank; i stedet bekæmpede

Obama den. Den repræsenterer målene for fælles, nationale interesser, produktivitet og forbundethed, for hvilket formål Kinas Bælte & Vej Initiativ blev etableret i 2013, og som første gang blev foreslået af Lyndon og Helga LaRouche i 1989.

En nationalbank efter Hamiltons principper, kombineret med en anti-Wall Street, ny Glass/Steagall-lov, foreslået af LaRouche, udgør nu den enkeltstående, gennemførlige idé for at skabe de billioner i kredit, der er nødvendige for at bygge en ny, økonomisk infrastruktur for Amerika, og for atter at bygge et storslået rumprogram. Denne idé er endnu ikke blevet forstået i Det Hvide Hus, men, hvis præsident Trump diskuterer Bælte & Vej Initiativet med Xi, har det mulighed for omgående at blive virkeliggjort. Japan, hvor Trump også vil afholde et topmøde, har nu indikeret, at det vil tilslutte sig Bælte & Vej.

Den virkelig trussel mod denne udsigt er ikke, at Trump vil starte en krig, men derimod, at han vil blive stillet for en rigsret og afsat. Den såkaldte »Russiagate-affære«, en langvarig heksejagt imod præsidenten, fortsætter, på trods af, at det amerikanske folk er dødtræt af den. Amerikanske efterretningstjenester, på vegne af britisk efterretning, bruger »særlige anklager« Robert Mueller som lejemorder for at drive Trump ud af embedet ved alle midler.

EIR har taget denne kamp op med et dossier, der sprænger enhver forestilling om, at Mueller skulle være skikket til at dømme nogen som helst leders handlinger, i luften. Dette dossier viser, hvordan han er blevet udvalgt til i mere end 30 år at begå en stribe alvorlige forbrydelser mod USA; og dossieret er midlet til at stoppe denne heksejagt. »Robert Mueller er en umoralsk, juridisk morder: Han vil gøre sit job, hvis I giver ham lov«, <http://schillerinstitut.dk/si/?p=21780> er blevet cirkuleret af næsten 2.000 mennesker fra www.larouchepac.com; den må nu cirkuleres af titusinder af mennesker, gennem de sociale medier og på tryk, for at øve indflydelse på amerikanere og andre, der kerer sig om Amerikas

fremtid.

Præsidentens afrejse til Asien om 25 dage bør markere deadline for denne bestræbelses succes.

Foto: 6. oktober, 2017. (Whitehouse Photo)

Kinas Bælte & Vej fører ud af krisen; For at række ud efter det, luk Robert Muellers heksejagt ned

Leder fra LaRouche PAC, USA, 3. okt., 2017 – Det kan ikke blive mere indlysende, at en forbindelse må skabes mellem USA's aktuelle, presserende behov for at bygge og genopbygge katastroferamt infrastruktur med billioner af dollars i investeringer, og så Kinas Bælte & Vej Initiativ, der bygger sådan infrastruktur i hele verden.

Blot i løbet af de seneste par dage har Kinas infrastrukturbanker meddelt deres involvering i Indiens løfte om, at alle husstande skal have elektricitet inden udgangen af næste år; i en udstrakt moderne genopbygning af Filippinernes økonomiske infrastruktur; i et løfte om at være med til at industrialisere Bolivia og gøre det til et stålproducerende centrum; i et genopbygningsprojekt, der skal gøre Port-au-Prince og Haiti jordskælvssikkert og beskyttet mod oversvømmelse. Finansfirmaer siger, dette vil frembringe et voksende behov for stål frem til midten af 2020'erne, med

hundredevis af infrastrukturprojekter med ny teknologi under Bælte & Vej Initiativet. Heri er også inkluderet byggeri af havne og højhastighedsjernbaner i Europa, hvor den »Maritime Silkevej« kommer ind i Europa gennem Balkan.

Både Kina og Japan, der nu oplever voksende forsoning efter årtiers fjendtlige relationer, er rede og villige til at investere i sådan ny infrastruktur i Amerika. USA mangler en national kreditbank, gennem hvilken sådanne investeringspartnerskaber kan oprettes.

Frem til det tidspunkt, hvor præsident Trump tager af sted på sin diplomatiske Asien-rundrejse (3.-14. nov.), og som omfatter topmøder i Kina og Japan, er to udviklinger afgørende:

Præsidenten må befries for den heksejagt, der anføres af »særlige anklager« Robert Mueller på vegne af britiske og amerikanske efterretningstjenester, der har forsøgt at eliminere Trump, først som kandidat, og nu, som præsident. Intet vil standse denne heksejagt, med undtagelse af den mest gennemgribende afsløring af Muellers historie for forbrydelser mod USA, ved hjælp af *EIR's* 35-sider lange »dossier«, der netop er udgivet, om disse forbrydelser. Dette er en nutidig afpresser i J. Edgar Hoovers tradition, der kører i højeste gear efter NSA-overvågningsmetoder; præsident Trump kan ikke helt alene bekæmpe den kampagne for afsættelse af ham, som Mueller leder. *EIR's* stiftende redaktør Lyndon LaRouche, der blev forfulgt af en »Få ram på LaRouche-task force«, under Muellers ledelse, for 30 år siden, opfordrer til en global cirkulering af *EIR's* dossier for at stoppe det.

For det andet, må de af Larouche foreslåede økonomiske love, inklusive en genindførelse af Glass/Steagall-loven og skabelsen af en nationalbank i Hamiltons tradition til infrastruktur og varefremstilling, ligge på Kongressens og præsidentens bord på det tidspunkt, hvor han tager til Asien.

Foto: Præsident Donald J. Trump og præsident Xi Jinping. 8. juli, 2017 (Official White House Photo by Shealah Craighead)

Robert Mueller er en umoralsk, juridisk morder: Han vil gøre sit job, hvis I giver ham lov. LaRouche PAC Internationale Webcast, 29. sept., 2017.

Vært **Matthew Ogden**: God aften; det er den 29. september, 2017. Med mig i studiet i dag har jeg William Wertz fra *Executive Intelligence Review*. Vi vil diskutere den netop udkomne specialundersøgelses-rapport; dossieret med titlen, »Robert Mueller Is an Amoral Legal Assassin; He Will Do His Job if You Let Him!«



Fig. 1

Jeg viser rapportens forsidebillede her på skærmen [Fig. 1]. Som I ser, så er rapporten nu tilgængelig; den er allerede blevet cirkuleret i over et døgn. Den er allerede i hænderne på folk i hele USA, og man kan se den her: lpac.co/ytdos (LPAC's hjemmeside; EIR-rapporten findes som pdf på vores hjemmeside).

Rapporten er delt op i tre afsnit og følger tråden af personen Robert Mueller igennem tre af de mest berygtede forbrydelser i de seneste 30 år. For det første, de falske anklager og retsforfølgelsen af Lyndon LaRouche – LaRouche-sagen; for det andet, det aggressive bedrag af det amerikanske folk mht. sandheden om begivenhederne den 11. september, 2001, som dernæst blev brugt til at lancere en række krige for regimeskifte; og for det tredje, det igangværende kup imod den siddende amerikanske præsident, mens vi taler. Og I vil se, forhåbentlig i løbet af denne udsendelse – og vi opfordrer jer til at læse hele dossieret – at tråden til Robert Mueller kan spores hele vejen igennem disse afgørende, historiske vendepunkter. Hvis man trækker i denne tråd, vil hele dette apparat, hele denne operation, blive optrævlet.

Will Wertz er her i dag for at fremlægge nogle af rapportens punkter i en overordnet gennemgang, og for at guide jer gennem rapportens indhold og komme med nogle refleksioner over hvert af disse tre, afgørende knudepunkter, som detaljeret dækkes i rapporten. Og, forhåbentlig også for at lokke jer og tilskynde jer til at læse og studere denne rapport i detaljer. Jeg vil lade Will gå i gang, og vi vil vise noget materiale på skærmen undervejs, men vi vil gennemgå noget af indholdet fra rapporten.

William Wertz: Tak. Matt. Skønheden i denne rapport – som er noget, der omgående må handles på for at redde denne republik og præsidentskabet og bevæge verden ind i et Nyt Paradigme, som det defineres af den kinesiske politik for Ét Bælte, én Vej, og som er blevet vedtaget af mange lande i hele verden, inklusive Rusland, og som USA er blevet inviteret til at tilslutte sig – er, at den identificerer dette angreb på præsident Trump og det amerikanske præsidentskab over en længere tidsperiode; og hvor det fundamentale spørgsmål er, om verden fortsat skal være domineret af et bankerot, finansielt imperiesystem, der kontrolleres af briterne, eller om vi i stedet bevæger os ind i et Nyt Paradigme, baseret på

princippet om fred gennem økonomisk udvikling. Det er det fundamentale spørgsmål. Dette er noget, som Lyndon LaRouche har kæmpet for i årtier. Tilbage i 1971, den 15. august, fremlagde han meget klart de alternativer, der ligger foran menneskeheden, og foran dette land, med Nixon, der annoncerede første fase, anden fase, af nedtagningen af Bretton Woods-systemet, som Roosevelt havde indsat efter Anden Verdenskrig. Han (LaRouche) sagde dengang, at vi har et alternativt valg; alternativet er at satse på en politik for økonomisk udvikling på global skala, eller også vil vi blive konfronteret med et forsøg på at gennemtvinge en fascistisk, økonomisk politik i Schachts tradition, som ville resultere i massive dødstal over hele verden. Med 'Schachts tradition' refererer jeg til Hjalmar Schacht, finansminister under Adolf Hitler, der kom til magten med hjælp fra sådanne folk som John Foster Dulles og briterne.

Det, LaRouche gjorde i 1970'erne efter denne vurdering, var, at han fremlagde mange absolut afgørende udviklingsprogrammer. I 1975 var han fortaler for skabelsen af en International Udviklingsbank. Senere krævede han oprettelsen af en Nationalbank i USA efter samme principper som Alexander Hamiltons Første Nationalbank. Han præsenterede udviklingsprogrammer for områderne i Stillehavsbækkenet og det Indiske Oceans bækken. Han præsenterede et program ved navn Operation Juárez, for Mexicos udvikling, som en model for Nord-Syd-relationer gennem udveksling af mexicansk olie til gengæld for amerikansk teknologi. Senere præsenterede han programmer for den Eurasiske Landbro i samarbejde med sin hustru, Helga Zepp-LaRouche, og som senere udvikledes til Verdenslandbroen. Briterne var totalt modstandere af denne politik – totalt. Vi vil få at se, at dette har været en kamp under hele perioden 1970'erne og 1980'erne og under hele 11. september-perioden, og frem til det aktuelle angreb på Trumps præsidentskab. Det har været en kamp mellem Det britiske Imperium, som har inter文eneret i USA for at forhindre, at USA gik i denne retning, som Lyndon LaRouche har forsøgt at styre

USA i; og som LaRouche er på randen til at styre USA i, i dag, og som er årsagen til, at briterne er så bange!

Tilbage i 1980'erne spillede Lyndon LaRouche en afgørende rolle i udformningen af den tiltrædende Reagan-administration. LaRouche var ophavsmand til det **Strategiske Forsvarsinitiativ**, som Reagan senere annoncerede i marts måned, 1983. LaRouche var også modstander mod briterne i krigen om Malvinas-øerne (som briterne kalder Falklandsøerne), og han krævede en gennemførelse af Monroe-doktrinen imod briternes kolonipolitik dér. Som et resultat mødtes LaRouche og hans hustru i 1982 med Indira Gandhi; han og hans hustru mødte Indira Gandhi i april 1982 for at diskutere udviklingen af det indiske subkontinent og Stillehavsbækkenet. I maj 1982 mødtes han med José López Portillo (Mexico) for at diskutere Operation Juárez. Som respons på dette sendte Henry Kissinger, i august 1982, et brev til FBI-direktør William Webster med krav om en efterforskning af Lyndon LaRouche på en anklage om angivelig chikane af Kissinger for at være britisk agent. Der blev ligeledes sendt et brev den 27. august 1982 fra den britiske regering til FBI; som krævede en efterforskning af Lyndon LaRouche og hans organisation. Den 24. september 1982 skrev FBI, i skikkelse af chef for kontraetterretning, James Noland, et svar til briterne.

Matthew Ogden: Vi har faktisk en FOIA-udgivelse (FOIA: Freedom of Information Act) af dette FBI-memo, som vi viser på skærmen [Fig. 2]. I kan selv se det følgende citat, som Will vil læse.



Fig. 2

Will Wertz: Der står, og det er altså fra James Noland til den britiske regering: »Vi vil gerne gentage vores konklusion om, at, alt imens mange af NCLC's chikane-aktiviteter« – NCLC var Lyndon LaRouches organisation – »og de temaer, som NCLC's publikationer promoverer, såsom *EIR* [*Executive Intelligence*

Review] ofte er favorable over for sovjetisk misinformation og propagandainteresser, så er der ingen direkte beviser for, at russerne dirigerer eller finansierer LaRouche eller hans organisation. Det er imidlertid helt igennem sandsynligt, at russerne (sovjet-russerne) har udviklet eller vil udvikle kilder internt i NCLC, der befinder sig i en position, hvor de kan indskyde sovjet-inspirerede anskuelser i NCLC's aktiviteter og publikationer. Det er sandsynligt, at russerne vil forsøge at drage fordel af eller udnytte NCLC-meninge, der er parallelle til eller fremmer sovjetiske, udenrigspolitiske mål.«

Minder dette jer om interventionen på vegne af Storbritanniens Government Communications Headquarters – GCHQ – eller på vegne af MI6's Christopher Steele, for at forsøge at få en efterforskning af USA's præsident Donald Trump, for angiveligt 'aftalt spil' med russerne?

Alligevel skete der det, at præsidentens Udenrigspolitiske Råds Styrelse den 12. januar, 1983, krævede en efterforskning fra FBI's side. Robert Mueller kommer ind i billedet i 1982. Han blev en del af USA's justitsminister William Welds stab i Boston i 1982. Efter valgene i 1984 lancerede Weld en efterforskning af LaRouche; og i 1986 efterfulgte Mueller Weld, da Weld af George Bush – det var faktisk Ronald Reagan, der var præsident, men under indflydelse af George Bush, senior – blev udnævnt til at lede Justitsministeriets Kriminal-afdeling. Mueller bragte dernæst en vis John Markham ind for at udføre retsforfølgelsen af Lyndon LaRouche, der begyndte i Boston. Efter en razzia den 6. oktober, 1986 i Leesburg, Virginia, mod LaRouches hovedkvarter, hvor der var en trussel om, og en faktisk plan for, at forsøge at iscenesætte mordet på Lyndon LaRouche. Dette forsøg blev forpurret, men retsforfølgelsen fortsatte i de sene 1980'ere.

Her følger resten af webcastet i engelsk udskrift:

This prosecution was typical of Mueller's corruption. For

instance, in Boston itself, the prosecution ended in a mistrial after government misconduct had been brought to light. The jury, when they polled themselves afterwards, having only heard the complete prosecution case, unanimously said that they would have voted for acquittal. The judge in that case made the following statement: He said that the government had "engaged in systematic and institutional prosecutorial misconduct"; this is Robert Mueller. The case was then shifted to Virginia, and what they did there was that they had a judge in the so-called "Rocket Docket" who made it impossible for the defendants to raise the fact that the government had illegally put companies associated with LaRouche into involuntary bankruptcy. That was a very significant factor in undermining the defense in that case. After the case had resulted in convictions, the bankruptcy judge, Martin Bostetter, ruled that the bankruptcy was a "constructive fraud on the court." Ramsey Clark was the attorney for Lyndon LaRouche in the appeal.

OGDEN: Let's put this quote on the screen, too; we have Ramsey Clark's quote [Fig. 3].

WERTZ: What Ramsey Clark said was that "The LaRouche case represents a broader range of deliberate cunning and systemic misconduct over a longer period of time using the power of the Federal government, than any other prosecution by the U.S. Government in my time and to my knowledge." Later in another

spin-off case in New York State, New York State Supreme Court Justice Stephen G. Crane said, "The actions of the Federal prosecutors raise an inference of a conspiracy to lay low these

defendants at any cost." This is not just one judge. We're talking about at least two judges, a bankruptcy judge, and the former Attorney General of the United States Ramsey Clark, who effectively denounced this so-called honest Robert Mueller for conducting one of the most incredible corrupt prosecutions in U.S. history.

If we look forward to the 9/11 period, Lyndon LaRouche wrongly imprisoned by this apparatus which was launched by the British and carried forward by the Bush administration. Bush was

President at the time of the trial and the sentencing. LaRouche

was out of prison and continued his fight for a policy of economic development; calling for a New Bretton Woods system to

replace the system which had been abandoned by Nixon in 1971.

He

was working, as he had in the earlier period, on negotiating in

the early 1980s with the Soviets for the National Security Council of the United States under Reagan for the SDI. He continued those discussions with the Russians, this time around a

New Bretton Woods conception under President Clinton. Clinton himself, before the impeachment proceedings were launched against

him, called for a new financial architecture at a speech before

the CFR [Council on Foreign Relations] in New York, along the lines of what LaRouche had advocated.

LaRouche was also at the end of the 1990s, he was warning about the campaign to create an Arc of Crisis around Russia – no

longer the Soviet Union, but Russia. He produced a video called "Storm Over Asia" in which he developed precisely how this operation was being run against Russia. Then in January of 2001, he warned that there could be some sort of terrorist action in the United States such as a Reichstag Fire [which brought Hitler into power], which could be engineered under the Bush administration. That's precisely what occurred on September 11, 2001.

Again, what do we have here? Mueller assumed office as FBI Director on September 4, 2001, just days before 9/11. What he did from that point on, was to carry out what Senator Bob Graham, who headed up the Congressional investigation of 9/11, has described as "aggressive deception."

OGDEN: Here's a clip from a press conference that Bob Graham did a little bit over a year ago at the National Press Club, where he discusses the role that the FBI played in stonewalling the Congressional investigation into 9/11; both in terms of the details regarding San Diego – that's what was contained in the 28 pages – but also in an even bigger case of cover-up, the details of the cell that was located in Sarasota, Florida. So you'll hear Bob Graham talk about what he called "beyond a cover-up, but an aggressive deception campaign against the American people."

 SEN. BOB GRAHAM

: It appeared as if the FBI was moving from a cover-up which I considered to be a passive

withholding of information, to aggressive deception in the case of Sarasota – which is one of several examples. They rewrote the narrative; they said we've finished the investigation, and we have found no connections. When in their own files, written by their own special agent who was from the Tampa office, incidentally, they had contrary information. They then, and have continued, to withhold that information, other than the 80,000 pages from the public. I consider to justify the categorization of being aggressive deception.

OGDEN: Then later in the same press conference, which you can watch in full there – it's lpac.co/graham-press-conference.

But later in the same press conference, he talked about how the Deputy Director of the FBI actually detained him and his wife at Dulles Airport, and warned them to stop pursuing the truth about what was contained in these documents about the Saudi connections to 9/11. So, here's a short clip where he talks about that warning from the FBI to him and his wife.

GRAHAM

: The question is raised, "Why are you doing this? Fifteen years later, what difference does this make? Get

a life." I was told that by the Deputy Director of the FBI.

FEMALE REPORTER: Just one follow-up. You were pretty much harassed by the FBI when you tried to go further in your inquiries. Since you went public, have you heard of anybody

else

who had that kind of treatment from the FBI?

GRAHAM: No. This was a situation which occurred in 2011 as all this information about Sarasota was starting to come out.

My

wife and I flew up from Miami to Dulles to have Thanksgiving with

our daughter who lives in Great Falls. We were met at the airport by two FBI agents. They said that an official of the FBI

wants to talk with you about the Sarasota situation. Well, I was

encouraged; feeling that maybe some of the questions that we'd been asking were now going to be answered. So, we drove with the

two agents to the office at Dulles which the FBI has. My wife was put in one room, and she was given as entertainment the FBI

training manual. If you want to know some arcane aspects of FBI

training, she's fully prepared. I was taken into another room,

with the Deputy Director of the FBI, a young female FBI agent, and a middle-aged lawyer from the Department of Justice.

Essentially, the message was, we've done this complete investigation; everything that's known is known, and you need to

get a life. I pointed out what he didn't know was that I had actually read two of the investigative reports by their agent, which contradicted what they had said publicly and what he had just said to me privately. He said, "Oh, you don't understand.

One, that wasn't a very good agent." Well, the idea that has there been an investigation by the FBI in its history that was as

important to the American people as full knowledge as 9/11?

And

the very fact that they would say they had sent as an investigation into what I think was an important component of the total picture, someone that they declare to be less than a fully capable person was itself revelatory. And then he proceeded to say, "And we have other information that puts what you read in context. And you will see that in fact what we have said is true." So, I said "Fine. Could I see the information that will put it into context?" And he pointed to the young female agent, and directed her to assemble the files. We arranged a time to meet at the FBI office for the District of Columbia. So, a few days later, I showed up for our meeting, prepared to read these files. The Deputy Director was there, and he said the meeting is cancelled and we're not going to reschedule. And, since I knew who the agent was who had been described as less than competent; and I had called him to try to have a telephone conversation, and he said "I know you've been calling Agent 'X'. Stop calling him, because I've told him to not take your calls." That was the last of any official character meeting that I had with the FBI. [END VIDEO]

OGDEN: There are more details concerning Mueller's direct involvement in this kind of stonewalling around the Congressional inquiry into 9/11; telling Bob Graham and his other investigators not to fly out to interview one of the known personalities in San

Diego. They disobeyed those orders and did it anyhow. But just parenthetically, immediately after this press conference that Bob Graham did in Washington D.C. at the National Press Club, a fight erupted around the so-called JASTA bill – Justice Against Sponsors of Terrorism – which would allow the 9/11 families to sue Saudi Arabia for their role in financing and coordinating the 9/11 attacks.



CNN coverage.

Yesterday just happens to be the one-year anniversary of the major victory – you can see on the screen here [Fig. 4] – of the Senate override of Obama’s veto of this JASTA bill, which was absolutely dramatic. This was a rally in front of the White House [Fig. 5] of 9/11 families and activists, asking the Congress to override Obama’s veto; and then next [Fig. 6], this is an article in one of the Capitol Hill newspapers.

“Senate Poised To Override Obama Veto.” Then this is the final picture [Fig. 7], this was actually in the cloakroom immediately after the Senate cast their votes. The cloakroom of the U.S. Senate. I had the pleasure to actually be there in the gallery with the 9/11 families when the U.S. Senate overrode Obama’s veto of the JASTA bill. That was the CNN coverage. This was obviously a major victory, parenthetically. But it’s part of the story, and the role that Bob Graham had to play in going directly head-to-head with the FBI and others in the Executive Branch who were trying to cover up and protect the Saudis in the 9/11 case.

WERTZ: Now, there are, along with the statement from James Noland that I read earlier, in response to the British government's request back in 1982 for an investigation of Lyndon

LaRouche, there were two other attachments which were declassified. The one basically was an attack upon LaRouche for

having opposed in what they called the Falkland Islands, but which is the Malvinas Islands. LaRouche did that from the standpoint of the Monroe Doctrine. The second was they objected

to the LaRouche Movement revealing that the policy of the British

was to promote the Muslim Brotherhood. If we look at the period

after 9/11, in which Robert Mueller is carrying out this "aggressive deception" as Senator Graham puts it, to cover up the

role of the Saudis. When you're talking about the Saudis, you're

talking about a satrap of the British; that's what you're talking

about in this situation.

What follows 9/11? Regime-change wars. What follows 9/11 immediately is Tony Blair's sexed up dossier claiming that Saddam

Hussein had weapons of mass destruction; which, as it turns out,

he did not have. And which the British undoubtedly knew he did

not have. Once again, British intervention. And after the invasion of Iraq, you had the effective creation of ISIS; you had

then under Obama, continued regime-change policies under the name

of the Arab Spring, which was just a policy of bringing the Muslim Brotherhood to power in such locations as Tunisia,

Egypt,
Libya, and Syria. As Lyndon LaRouche said after the assassination of Qaddafi, the reason they assassinated him was that they were in a hurry to move into Syria, as part of what he had earlier described as the "Storm Over Asia"; an effort to encircle Russia and to ensure that a New Paradigm does not emerge.

A critical point in this narrative really is in the period of 2013-14. I should just say, Mueller stepped aside as FBI Director on September 4, 2013; and as you know, he was replaced

by James Comey. James Comey, it should be remembered, was the Deputy Attorney General under Mueller from December 2003 until August 2005.

In the year 2013, President Xi of China went to Kazakhstan, and he announced the Chinese commitment to the Silk Road; a policy which had been advocated for a significant period of time

by Lyndon LaRouche and his wife, Helga Zepp-LaRouche, who is well

known today as the Silk Road Lady. The Chinese have an immediate

appreciation of the role that she has played in advocating this policy.

In the next year, you have the Ukraine coup – February 2014; orchestrated by Obama and by the British and the French, among others. But the basic idea here was, again, encirclement

of Russia, in this case with a bunch of Nazis, which is what the

Maidan consisted of.

On July 16, 2014, as the dossier that Barbara Boyd has authored on Mueller indicates, you had the BRICS organization meeting in Brazil, and what they formed was the New Development

Bank. Remember, LaRouche had called for an International Development Bank, back in 1975: This whole policy that LaRouche

had advocated over these decades, at that point was in the process of coming into existence, and it was at point, particularly following the coup in Ukraine, that the operation against associates of Trump's began, that is, even before he announced for President. We now know, that contrary to the lies

of James Comey and [then Director of National Intelligence] James

Clapper, there was wiretapping of associates of President Trump.

We know for a fact that the dossier indicates that Paul Manafort,

who became Trump's campaign manager when he announced for President, was wiretapped beginning in the year 2014; and this continued through 2016. It was discontinued for a certain period

of time, and then resumed into 2017 before Obama left office, including a time period in which it was known that Manafort, even

though he was no longer campaign manager, was speaking to President Trump. Manafort had a residence in the Trump Tower all

of this time.

Why was he targetted, initially? Well, because he had provided advisory services to the Yanukovich government [in Ukraine] – this was a duly elected government, which was overthrown unconstitutionally in 2014, by Nazis. So, of course,

that becomes grounds for investigating somebody whose client was

overthrown by a bunch of Nazis, by the Obama administration!

The investigation begins there.

Also, in 2014, Michael Flynn was fired by Obama – why?

Because he had opposed the Obama Arab Spring policy, which

gave

rise to ISIS, Michael Flynn said at the time. So you can imagine

that it is perhaps the case that surveillance of Michael Flynn began at that time as well.

Now, what the dossier on Mueller goes through is that Mueller should be removed as Special Counsel, and there should be

an actual Special Counsel who investigates the crimes which Mueller aggressively deceiving the American people about, in his

investigation of President Trump. And I would also point out, think of the statements by various judges about the corrupt investigation and witch hunt directed at Lyndon LaRouche – well,

on June 15, 2017, Trump wrote: “You are witnessing the single greatest witch hunt in American political history.” And I would

say that, the precursor for this was the unprecedented witch hunt

against Lyndon LaRouche, but that President Trump is effectively

getting the same treatment as Lyndon LaRouche did then, from the

same sources, from the British.

Now, there are seven areas, that should be investigated – ,

OGDEN: We can put this on the screen here. We have the list of the seven actual crimes.

WERTZ: First, instigation of a coup against the United States by a foreign power.

So, although the charge is that President Trump, or his associates, colluded with the Russians, the fact of the matter is, that all the evidence shows that this entire operation has been concocted by the British, and been carried out by stooges in

the intelligence community under President Obama; who was particularly happy every time he visited Buckingham Palace. Now what do we have as evidence? Well, the {Guardian} reports that as early as 2015, shortly after he announced for President, that the British began to surveil Trump and his associates. And according to the public account – and this cannot be taken at face value; this investigation of Trump may have started earlier. The communications between the British and

[then CIA Director] Brennan or others in the U.S. intelligence community, could have occurred before 2016. The report in the {Guardian} says that sometime in the summer, Hannigan of the Government Communications Headquarters, the GCHQ, which is the

equivalent of our NSA, spoke with Brennan about allegations that

Trump was being influenced by the Russians or working with the Russians.

But the point is, it was the GCHQ which was directly involved – according to public accounts in the {Guardian}, in prompting Brennan to create a six-intelligence agency taskforce

to investigate Trump, {during} the Presidential campaign!

And I think the only other case of this kind of thing, was what happened to Lyndon LaRouche, because Lyndon LaRouche was running for President, back in 1988, and the investigation of him

was launched while he was a Presidential candidate in the United

States, and – really, actually, an indictment during the Presidential campaign, which is completely unprecedented.

Additionally, you have the Christopher Steele dossier:

Christopher Steele is allegedly an “ex” MI6 agent. He headed up

the MI6 Russian desk in Moscow, until 2009. In 2009, he left that position and formed Orbis Business at the same time period

Fusion GPS, a U.S. company which was involved in commissioning Steele's dossier, was also created. And these two companies were

working together since at least 2010 when they signed a confidentiality agreement between them as a shield for revealing

what was actually going on.

So you have the circulation of the Christopher Steele dossier – and they didn't just produce this for Hillary Clinton.

They were briefing the press! They were giving direct briefings

to the press; they were giving it to John McCain who then gave it

to Comey, and so forth. They were actively circulating this unverified, so-called "intelligence" against Donald Trump, who was a candidate for President, then became President.

And it's very important to understand that Christopher Steele, it's public record that he worked with the FBI's Eurasian

Organized Crime Unit in New York City, from at least 2010. The former head of the FBI's Eurasian Organized Crime Unit in New York City is none other than Andrew McCabe; who for a while, after Comey was fired, was Acting Director of the FBI, and is still Deputy Director of the FBI. And it's believed that McCabe

was the person who was working closely with Christopher Steele.

It came to light, for instance, that the FBI actually offered to

pay Steele \$50,000 to continue with his research, although the FBI's been stonewalling on the details on that.

So what you have here is completely a British operation directed at Trump and his associates; and I'll get to this in terms of the wiretapping.

And it's also believed that the Christopher Steele dossier provided the roadmap for the FBI's investigation, and also may

have been used for getting ,” FISA [Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court] surveillance authorization directed at people

such as Manafort.

Second crime is false reporting of a crime: And that’s precisely what has occurred in the case of the allegation that the Russians hacked Podesta and the DNC. It has been documented

thoroughly by numerous sources that this was a leak by an insider, and not a hack by the Russians. The most definitive proof of this is the memorandum put forward by the Veteran Intelligence Professionals for Sanity (VIPS). And these are top-notch former NSA, former CIA agents such as William Binney and Ray McGovern. They prove forensically that it could not have

been a hack over the internet; it had to be a leak onto some sort

of memory device, because of the speed involved [in the data transfer].

This has been submitted to Mueller; he’s done nothing on it. It’s been submitted to the President with the idea that he should

ask Pompeo of the CIA to get to the bottom of this. We don’t know if anything’s been done along these lines.

They also show that at least the Guccifer 2.0 claimed hacking, attributed falsely to the Russians, when in fact there

was cutting and pasting to put the Russian language on the alleged hack trail. This is something which the CIA has the capability to do under Brennan; it’s called the Marble Framework.

Assange of WikiLeaks has denied that this came from the Russian

government. He recently met with Congressman Dana Rohrabacher,

and said that he was willing to provide testimony which would definitely establish that this was not a hack and that it was

not

given to him by the Russians. Rohrabacher (R-CA) has been trying

to meet with Trump in order to present this evidence, but it's been blocked, according to him, by the staff at the White House.

Craig Murray, a U.K. former ambassador to Uzbekistan, has said it was a leak and he knows it personally, because he met with a person that he said was the leaker.

Seymour Hersh was taped in a discussion, without his knowing he was being recorded, and he indicated that he had sources who

indicated that Seth Rich, a DNC computer technician, may have been the person who carried out the leak.

So all of this information is not being taken into account, in continuing with the narrative that this was a Russian hack, and you're supposed to believe that.

Then you have the third crime, which is an attempt at entrapment of Donald Trump, Jr., Manafort, and Jared Kushner, among others, in a Trump Tower meeting. The British hand is all

over this: The person who set up the meeting and sent the emails

to Donald Trump, Jr., which misrepresented the purpose of the meeting, was one Ron Goldstone, a British national. It should also be pointed out that one William Browder, who testified before Congress, in this case against Fusion GPS, because they were lobbying against the Magnitsky Act, which was the subject of

the discussion at the Trump Tower. This was a person who renounced his U.S. citizenship in 1989 and became a British citizen.

So again, what we're dealing with here is a complete British operation. It's just transparent that that's the case. But this

was a deliberate attempt to try to set up a situation which would

involve the Trump immediate circles, in attempting to get information on Hillary Clinton allegedly coming from the Russians.

Fourth area: Felonious leaks of intelligence by the Obama administration. This has to do with the unmasking, which was completely out of control, or I guess you could say it was under

very directed control by the Obama administration officials. For

instance, it's been revealed that Samantha Power, the Obama UN ambassador, requested 260 unmaskings of U.S. citizens in the course of 2016. And she even tried to get even more unmaskings

before the inauguration of Donald Trump. What reason does she have to be asking for such intelligence, from the standpoint of

being at the UN?

Obama National Security Advisor Susan Rice, it is known asked for many unmaskings, in this case, her National Security Council records were transferred to the Obama library, so that justice could be obstructed, because records at the library are

sealed for a number of years.

This is a deliberate policy of targetting U.S. citizens, particularly Trump associated by the Obama administration, and those are two such cases.

You have also the attempted J. Edgar Hoover-style sexual blackmail of Trump, by Comey: This is the fifth area that should

be investigated. Comey met with Trump in Trump Tower on Jan. 6,

2017; he met with him alone. Other people had come to the meeting, but he asked them to leave and then met with Trump alone, and presented him with an aspect of the Steele dossier which claimed that he had been involved in sexual perversions in

a hotel in Moscow. Trump has reportedly asked for an

immediate

investigation of this fraudulent dossier, which Comey refused to do.

But this is the kind of thing that J. Edgar Hoover did to many, to Martin Luther King, Jr., and to many others, as a means of terrorizing and controlling people to go along with a policy desired by J. Edgar Hoover's controllers, which is also the case with respect to Comey.

A sixth area for investigation is the wiretapping of Trump associates, and then the lying by Comey and Clapper to the U.S.

Congress. Clapper in particular was asked, was there a FISA ordered surveillance of Trump or his associates? He said no. "Would you know about it if it had occurred?" "Yes, I would," and he left a loophole and said: Well, there may have been some other jurisdiction was carrying out a wiretap that I don't know about.

But this is what he said, and he definitively said there was no FISA Court wiretap, and yet, that's precisely what came out:

That Manafort, the campaign manager of Trump, was wiretapped and

he had a resident at Trump Tower, and this is precisely what Trump had tweeted on March 4th, 2017: "Terrible! Just found out

that Obama had my 'wires tapped' in Trump Tower just before the

victory. Nothing found. This is McCarthyism!" So that has to be

investigated.

And then the final thing in the dossier, is the attempted

entrapment of the President by Comey himself, into an obstruction of justice charge: So you have the head of the FBI who is acting virtually as somebody who goes to a meeting with wires on, and goes back to his controllers at the FBI to consult after each visit with Trump. And then writes memos, which he illegally leaked to the press, and he actually said: "I thought that might prompt the appointment of a special counsel." So he had the intention of getting a special counsel against Trump, on the grounds – one would be to claim that Trump asked him not to pursue an investigation of Michael Flynn; of course Trump didn't do that, as indicated. He merely said, "I would hope that he wouldn't be prosecuted." And of course, as has been pointed out, the President has the right to actually pardon somebody. So, as Alan Dershowitz, the civil rights attorney, and Democrat, has said he had every right to say what he did. And the other aspect is to say that somehow Trump was obstructing justice by firing Comey! So the attempt was set up to get Mueller to be able to carry out this kind of an investigation against Trump. Using methods of entrapment, lying throughout, what you had is a witch hunt against a President of the United States. It's not the first time that the British have been involved in this, and their stooges in the United States, but this is really unprecedented, with the exception of the witch hunt which was carried out earlier against Lyndon LaRouche. And it's time that this be stopped! And that's the whole point of this dossier. The dossier should be seen as a political weapon in the

hands of the American citizenry. The issue here is what Benjamin Franklin said after the Constitutional Convention adopted a Constitution. We have a republic, the question is, can you keep it? And the responsibility rests on the American citizen to use this dossier to actually force the issue in the country right now, to stop this British coup. Robert Mueller's full name, as the dossier indicates, is Robert Swan Mueller III. Our intention is to make this operation being carried out by Mueller right now, to be his final swan song.

OGDEN: As we saw on the screen there, the question that was asked at the conclusion of this final section of the dossier is the following: "{Have our intelligence agencies, actually instigated an Active Measures counterintelligence program illegally and against a sitting President?}" [emphasis in original]

So that's the question and as the dossier states a little bit later on: It's very clear that there has been a foreign government that has intervened to attempt to sway the U.S. electoral process and the U.S. democratic process, and that that foreign government is the British government. And so if you follow the thread of Robert Mueller, not just in the attacks right now against President Donald Trump, but if you follow it back to the aggressive cover-up of the events of 9/11, and even the prosecution and witch hunt against Lyndon LaRouche in the 1980s, you'll see if you pull that thread it will unravel a much, much larger apparatus, and it will reveal a lot more. This dossier follows that thread very clearly through these

three crucial inflection points in our nation's recent history and the call to action is obviously to access this dossier which

we're making available and to circulate it as widely as possible.

Will, you made the point that the context for all of this is much more significant than maybe even all of the mechanisms by which these crimes have been carried out. The context is what reveals and uncovers the true motivation behind this entire process, and I think if you take the connections between this dossier, which choose 1982 as a crucial year to examine what Lyndon LaRouche's activities were at that time – meeting with López Portillo, meeting with Indira Gandhi, proposing the Strategic Defense Initiative, and authoring {Operation Juárez},

and if you trace that forward to the announcement in 2013 by Xi

Jinping of the new Silk Road, the Eurasian World Land-Bridge, and

the new international financial institution of development that

that represents, that's the unifier for this entire period of history.

And despite the attempts, over and over, and over again, to derail that locomotive of world history, we've reached the point

where that is the prevailing dynamic on the planet. And the attempts to try to sabotage that and undermine it continue to fail. And so, this is the latest attempt in that, but to look at

what the motivation is – maybe you can just say a little bit more about what that context is.

WERTZ: I think the point is, in defeating this British operation which Mueller is spearheading at this point, we create

the conditions under which President Trump can move to join

with
Russia, China, India in the development of the One Belt, One
Road
policy. This is crucial in terms of reversing the
destructions
over the recent decades of our industrial capacity in the
United
States; it's crucial in terms of developing world peace,
solving
crises such as Korea, solving crises such as the terrorist
onslaught in the Middle East and Northern Africa in
particular.
That collaboration is crucial. Trump has signalled that he
wants
to move with such collaboration.
And this is a longstanding fight to bring humanity together,
operating on a common destiny of humanity, what John Quincy
Adams
called a "community of principle among a family of sovereign
nation-states."
The British Empire, as the Venetian Empire before it, has
been opposed to that. It has operated under the geopolitical
of
dividing nations among themselves, creating warfare such as
we've
seen repeatedly over the last more than a hundred years, with
two
world wars, and perpetual warfare ever since.
And so we're in a situation, where, as Lyndon LaRouche
recently said, "Victory is within our reach," and you have to
understand this broad arc of history in order to have an
appreciation of what's occurring in so-called contemporary
affairs. It's not what meets the eye: it's this broader
question – we've got a financial crisis in the world. The
system is overbloated and ripe for collapse; the problems of
2008
were never solved. And we have a solution before us which is

New

Paradigm: Peace based upon economic development, cooperation among nations for the purpose of promoting, as our Constitution

says, the General Welfare, not just of our own population, but of

the population of the entire planet.

And I think that's the issue between the British Empire policy of geopolitics, versus the policy of a community of principle among nation-states, which is a U.S. policy; the policy

expressed by President Xi of China of a "win-win" policy, as opposed to a zero-sum game – this is what's at stake right now.

I think the American citizen, as this dossier concludes, has to

take responsibility, circulate this dossier! We're going to be

producing a leaflet and maybe multiple leaflets that you can get

from our site and then circulate throughout the country, to bring

people's attention to this dossier. We're not in a position to

produce a large number of these in hard copy, but we do have an

electronic version which will be available on the LaRouche PAC site.

And by doing this, we can create the conditions under which not only is the presence of the United States defended against this coup attempt, and it's very much like the Maidan in Ukraine

that's being attempted right now; what's being done to Trump is

almost precisely modeled on the Maidan that brought about the coup in Ukraine. But more broadly than that, by defending the Presidency was the chance of bringing about a different

geometry

on the globe as a whole, and creating the conditions under which

we can move towards what the actual mission of mankind is:, which

is not only to develop this planet Earth, but also to assert dominion over the Galaxy and eventually the Universe.

OGDEN: Well, thank you, and let me put on the screen, one more time, the cover page of this dossier, so you can get a look

at it, and we'll have the link here on the screen. You can access this dossier at lpac.co/ytdos. And again, the title: "Robert Mueller Is An Amoral Legal Assassin: He Will Do His Job

If You Let Him."

You'll find this report fascinating: You'll learn a lot about history, the history of this country over the last 30, 35

years that you did not know, I guarantee you. And you'll learn a

lot about what's going on right now. There's much, much more than what meets the eye.

Thank you for joining me, Will. And thank you for tuning in, and we encourage you, read the dossier and circulate it as widely as you can. And stay tuned to larouchepac.com

**EIR-dossier: »Robert Mueller
er**

en umoralsk, juridisk lejemorder

– Han vil gøre sit job, hvis
I giver ham lov«.

Dansk indledning. Hele
artiklen som pdf.

Af Barbara Boyd.

Indledning:

Robert Swan Mueller III – den særlige anklager, der har fået til opgave at bringe USA's præsident til fald – er, som hans navn antyder, et produkt af elitens privatskoler og universiteter. I de nationale nyhedsmedier roses han på ensartet og nøgtern vis som ubestikkelige, fair, »ærlige Bob«, »skolemester Bobby med tre pinde«. Vi vil vise, at dette image er et skamløst falsk PR-nummer fra Washington, D.C., der er skabt for de godtroende.

I virkeligheden er Robert Swan Mueller så korrump, man kan være, og bøjer og drejer loven efter behov for at tjene deres mål, som tildeler ham opgaver. Magten hos anklagerfunktionen og institutionerne, han tjener, dikterer, hvad der er retten for ham, snarere end den fordomsfrie forfølgelse af retfærdighed, som loven bestemmer for hans kald. I, hvad han selv kalder et afgørende øjeblik, brød han rækkerne efter college for at tjene som marinesoldat i Vietnamkrigen. Herefter ønskede han aldrig at gøre noget som helst andet end at retsforfølge. Hans udnævnelse til særlig anklager udgør toppen af en lang karriere, hvor han har set sig selv som en streng og villig kriger, en pligttopfyldende marinesoldat, der handler på vegne af en hvilken som helst ond plan, hans

overordnede præsenterer ham for, og bruger hvilke midler som helst, der synes nødvendige for at udføre planen.

I de seneste uger har aktivister fra LaRouche-bevægelsen gentagne gange fået at vide af borgere, de møder: »Det ser ud, som om præsident Trump nu får 'LaRouche-behandlingen'«. De to mænd kunne ikke være mere forskellige, mht. position eller kulturelle og intellektuelle præstationer. LaRouche er et verdenshistorisk geni af samme støbning som Leibniz. Men, begge mænd har rørt ved det, der viste sig at være den 'tredje skinne' (den farlige, el-førende skinne i f.eks. undergrundbanen) i amerikansk politik, siden Franklin Roosevelts død. De udgjorde en trussel mod det angloamerikanske, britiske imperiesystem efter krigen. LaRouche udgjorde en sådan trussel direkte, konsekvent og eksplicit ved navn. Trump udgør en sådan trussel implicit, ved at afvise evindeligt krigsførelse og søge bedre relationer med Rusland, kræve gennemførelse af Glass/Steagall-bankopdeling, støtte det, han henviser til som det Amerikanske System for politisk økonomi og ved at love massiv infrastrukturudvikling og en moderne platform for varefremstilling, for produktive jobs.

I begge tilfælde krævede briterne, som vi vil få at se, deres skalp, baseret på den opfattede trussel mod dem, med, som det mest specifikke eksempel på denne trussel, ønsket om en samarbejdsrelation med Rusland og en afslutning af den »unipolære« ramme for relationer mellem nationer. I begge tilfælde udløste de kontrollerede medier en uafbrudt spærreild af hæslig, slibrig og ærekrænkende dækning, dag efter dag, for at skabe betingelserne for en folkelig opbakning til en retsforfølgelse for kriminel aktivitet. Alt imens der er mange andre aktører i disse 'Kabuki-danse' (tilnærmelsesvis, spil for galleriet) – kompromitterede og terroriserede politikere og dommere, og et efterretningssamfund, der fungerer som gendarmen for vores Orwellske politistat – så var det stumpe instrument, der blev valgt til angrebet, Robert Mueller.

Undervejs, mellem disse to opgaver, spillede Robert Mueller en enormt betydningsfuld rolle i mørklægningen af den saudisk/britiske rolle i mordene på næsten 3.000 amerikanere den 11. september, 2001, og det totalt ødelæggende udsalg af USA's Forfatning, der fulgte i kølvandet på terrorangrebet – en rolle, der, hvis den blev grundigt efterforsket, udgør forhindring af retfærdighedens gang, blandt andre forbrydelser.

Dette dossier vil tage jer igennem Muellers karriere, baseret på det, der er umiddelbart og offentligt tilgængeligt. Det efterlader et spor af ureglementeret anklagervirksomhed, inklusive det, som tidligere senator Bob Graham kalder »aggressivt bedrag« af den amerikanske Kongres og offentlighed, med hensyn til begivenhederne den 11. september, 2001, og inkluderer en betydelig rolle i overvågningsstaten efter 11. september, som har udtaget og ødelagt det fjerde forfatningstillæg og resten af vor Forfatnings Lov om Frihedsrettigheder. De af jer, der arbejder inden for vor moderne Leviatan, kan utvivlsomt pege på andre ureglementerede aktiviteter, og vi opfordrer jer til at læsse på – vær så venlige at afsløre det. Intet mindre end dette er, hvad I skylder jeres ed over for USA's Forfatning.

Læs hele EIR's dossier:

Download (PDF, Unknown)