

# Lad være med at sluge den inducerede pessimisme – Den nye økonomiske verdensorden er allerede på plads

*Leder fra LaRouche PAC, 6. juni, 2017* – Til amerikanere og europæere, der døgnet rundt, og alle ugens syv dage, udsættes for en spærreild af rapporter om globale katastrofer, om Trump, der står over for afsættelse ved rigsretssag, om verden, der snart brænder op pga. global opvarmning og flere og flere 'fake news' – falske nyheder – og 'fake' videnskab og bevidst fremkaldt pessimisme – kom videre i teksten! Verden har forandret sig.

Momentum i vor samtids historie defineres af den enorme sejr for menneskeheden, der blev konsolideret på Bælte & Vej Forum for Internationalt Samarbejde den 14.-15. maj i Kina, efterfulgt af Skt. Petersborg Internationale Økonomiske Forum den 1.-3. juni, i Rusland.

Disse fora gik langt videre end til at fremlægge en håbefulld vision om en fjern fremtid, men fremlagde også en kortlægning af den transformation af hele planeten, der har fundet sted i løbet af de seneste par år gennem processen med den Nye Silkevej samtidig med, at man har opnået et forpligtende engagement på vegne af det store flertal af den menneskelige race, for at fortsætte denne udvikling i et forhøjet tempo.

USA var deltager i denne proces, med præsident Trump, der sendte en seniordelegeret til Beijing, og med 300 førende industrifolk, der deltog i Skt. Petersborg. Helga Zepp-

LaRouches deltagelse på Bælte & Vej Forum, og på fora og i presseinterviews i hele Kina i to uger efter BVF-begivenheden, demonstrerede anerkendelsen i Kina af, at hun og hendes mand, Lyndon LaRouche, tilbage i 1990'erne havde initieret processen med at erstatte den Kolde Krig med udviklingsprojekter, der fysisk og kulturelt forbinder nationer, ligesom den oprindelige Silkevej havde gjort det i fortiden.

I dag talte Helga Zepp-LaRouche til de amerikanske medlemmer af LaRouche-organisationen om det presserende nødvendige i at løfte befolkningen ud af det kontrollerede miljø, som er skabt af de desintegrerende politiske partier, de neokonservative og de mislykkede massemedier. Er infrastrukturen i din by ved at smuldre, som den er i New York City? Stil dig selv spørgsmålet: Hvad ville Kina gøre? Inden for et eller to år ville Kina erstatte forfaldet med nye højhastighedsjernbaner, svævetogs- (maglev-) undergrundsbaner, produktion af elektricitet ved hjælp af kernekraft og nye faciliteter til uddannelses- og sundhedssektor. Og, med initiativet for Bælte & Vej, sammen med de udviklingsbanker, de har skabt, bringer Kina denne proces til resten af verden – inklusive (hvis vi accepterer) til USA.

Dette er, hvad Franklin Roosevelt og John F. Kennedy ville have gjort. Dette er, hvad LaRouche, meget detaljeret, har foreslået hen over de seneste 50 år, siden Kennedy blev dræbt af dem, der foragtede hans vision og videnskabelige optimisme. I dag gennemgik Zepp-LaRouche, hvordan denne organisation har udarbejdet udstrakte udviklingsprojekter for Afrika, for Latinamerika, for det Indiske Hav/Stillehavsbækkenet og for Nordamerika, og ligeledes for en tilbagevenden til Hamiltons, Lincolns og Roosevelts politikker for udstedelse af statskreditter, der ville fremme sådanne store projekter. Men dette er præcis de forslag, der i dag bliver implementeret under Kinas og Ruslands lederskab!

Der er ingen tid at spille med hensyn til at vække den amerikanske befolkning og de europæiske befolkninger til at gå

med i det nye paradigme, der står lige foran dem, men som er skjult af den løgnagtige presse, og af deres egen frygt og pessimisme. Hidtil har præsident Trump nægtet at bøje sig for den nye 'McCarthy-isme', som er orkestreret af briterne og deres aktiver i USA, og som tror, at befolkningen er blevet så »fordummet«, at den vil acceptere den absurditet, at et venskab med Rusland og Kina er en forbrydelse mod amerikansk frihed og demokrati.

Det vil ikke virke. LaRouche-organisationen er, med løsningerne på hånden, strategisk placeret til at bryde igennem moradset for at bringe USA og Europa fuldt og helt ind i den Nye Silkevej, for at genindføre Glass/Steagall-bankopdelingslov og statsbankpraksis i Hamiltons tradition, og for at gå sammen med resten af verden i forceringen af den menneskelige videns fremskudte grænser og skabe en fremtid, der er menneskeheden værdig, her på Jorden, og i vore fremtidige kolonier i rummet.

---

## **Indiens Narendra Modi kommenterer indisk-kinesiske relationer på forum i Skt. Petersborg**

*6. juni, 2017* – Indiens premierminister Narendra Modi, der talte på en paneldiskussion på Skt. Petersborg Internationale Økonomiske Forum (SPIEF), der fandt sted 1.-3. juni, sagde, at den aktuelle, gensidigt forbundne verden og lande – hvilket betød Kina og Indien – kunne have nogle uoverensstemmelser og nogle samarbejdsområder. Press Trust of India (PTI)

rapporterede hans kommentarer den 3. juni.

Modi blev spurgt, om Indiens opposition til Kinas Bælte & Vej Initiativ reflekterer dyb uoverensstemmelse i deres relation. Den indiske PM, der var på scenen sammen med Ruslands præsident Vladimir Putin og den østrigske kansler og Moldovas præsident, sagde: »Det er rigtigt, at vi har en grænsetvist med Kina. Men, i de seneste 40 år er der ikke affyret ét eneste projektil pga. af grænsestridigheder.«

Modis udtalelse om både Indiens og Kinas – de to mest folkerige nationer på Jorden – evne til at holde tingene i det rette perspektiv, blev hilst velkommen i Beijing. »Vi har noteret den positive bemærkning fra den indiske premierminister Narendra Modi om den kinesisk-indiske (situation). Vi hilser dette velkommen«, bemærkede talsperson for det Kinesiske Udenrigsministerium, Hua Chunying, under sin faste mediebriefing den 5. juni, rapporterer *The Hindu*. »At de to, store lande, Kina og Indien, opretholder solide og støtte, bilaterale relationer, er af stor betydning.«

*The Hindu* rapporterede ligeledes 5. juni, at Kinas assisterende udenrigsminister, Li Huilai, havde hilst velkommen de mange møder mellem den kinesiske præsident Xi Jinping og premierminister Modi og sagde, at »sunde relationer« mellem Kina og Indien ville bidrage »til fred og stabilitet i Asien, så vel som også i Verden.«

*Foto: Sammen på scenen på SPEIF: (fra venstre) Ruslands Putin, Indiens Modi, Kinas Xi.*

---

# **SPIEF: Putin beder amerikanske forretningsfolk om at hjælpe Trump med at vende tilbage til 'God politisk dialog' med Rusland**

*3. juni, 2017* – Ruslands præsident Vladimir Putin lagde ikke fingrene imellem under sin tale for panelet Russisk-amerikansk Forretningsdialog på Skt. Petersborg Internationale Økonomiske Forum (SPIEF), der fandt sted 1.-3. juni. Putin indledte med at takke de amerikanske deltagere for at komme til begivenheden, i betragtning af »den sørgelige tilstand, som de amerikansk-russiske og russisk-amerikanske relationer befinder sig i«. Han sagde, at »det tog årtier at lægge fundamentet for samarbejde, der næsten er blevet udslettet i løbet af de seneste år. Vore bilaterale relationer forværredes til det absolut laveste punkt, siden den Kolde Krig«. Putin sagde, at der var mange samarbejdspunkter, og at Rusland havde behov for udenlandsk investering. Han sluttede ligefremt: »Jeg vil gerne sende bolden over til jer. Hjælp os med at genoprette en god, politisk dialog. Jeg beder jer på vegne af Rusland. Jeg henvender mig til vore amerikanske modparter. Hjælp den nyligt valgte præsident og USA's nye administration.«

Forespurgt, om han havde et råd til Trump, svarede Putin, at »en mand som Trump har ikke brug for råd, især ikke, når det drejer sig om interne, politiske spørgsmål. Det er generelt set altid kontraproduktivt at forsøge at belære modparter ... Jeg ønsker at etablere en konstruktiv dialog på basis af vore landes nationale interesser, og i behørig betragtning af disse interesser. Jeg mener, det er muligt at opnå dette i fællesskab, med den nuværende præsident. Hvad der vil ske i virkeligheden, ved jeg ikke.«

*Foto: Ruslands præsident Putin taler på SPIEF (1.-3. juni).*

---

## **Hvem pokker ville ønske at blive medlem af EU nu?**

*3. juni, 2017* – Det gamle paradigmes institutioner smuldrer med omtrent samme fart, som Bælte & Vejs ditto blomstrer på verdensscenen. Lad os tage Den europæiske Union, EU, som et eksempel. Moldovas præsident, Igor Dodon, der talte på et indledende møde på Skt. Petersborg Internationale Økonomiske Forum, SPEIF, den 1.-3. juni, sagde, at hans land ikke ville blive et medlem af EU. »Jævne folk stiller tit sig selv det spørgsmål: Hvorfor løbe efter et tog, der taber sine togvogne?«

I samme ånd udtalte Ungarns udenrigsminister, Peter Szijjarto, under en tale for en SPEIF-samling om »Europa og korsvejene: Risici og udsigter«, at EU's sanktioner mod Rusland har været en lodret fiasko. Han sagde, det var vanskeligt at diskutere dette i EU, »fordi, når som helst, vi rejste dette spørgsmål, blev debatten følelsesladet. Men hvis man ser på fakta, må vi erkende, at sanktionerne, både politiks og økonomisk, har været en fiasko. Vi mistede eksportmuligheder til Rusland og de tidligere sovjetrepublikker for \$6,7 mia. i løbet af de seneste tre år.« Med hensyn til det politiske mål med at opnå »fremskridt« (læs: at få Rusland til at afstå Krim til Ukraine), »har sanktionerne da hjulpet? Nej«. Han udtalte imidlertid, at Ungarn ikke vil underminere EU's enhed ved at bruge sin vetoret mod sanktionerne.

*Foto: Moldovas præsident Igor Dodon holder tale på SPIEF.*

---

# **Skt. Petersborg Internationale Økonomisk Forum (SPIEF) åbner; Ingen Obama til at modarbejde amerikanske selskabers deltagelse**

Torsdag, den 1. juni, åbnede Skt. Petersborg Internationale Økonomiske Forum (SPIEF) og vil fortsætte med fremlæggelser og diskussioner om videnskabelige og teknologiske gennembrud og planlagte udviklingsprojekter, frem til og med lørdag, den 3. juni.

Forbes-magasinet fra 31. maj giver et fingerpeg om de højtplacerede deltagere: Indiens premierminister Narendra Modi, FN's generalsekretær Antonio Guterres, Østrigs kansler Christian Kern og Ruslands lederskab.

*Forbes*-magasinet lægger, helt korrekt, direkte skylden på Obama,  
<https://www.forbes.com/sites/riskmap/2017/05/31/us-companies-to-talk-business-with-putin-in-st-petersburg/#65e652bf2f39>

for amerikanske selskabers ringe deltagelse i de senere år: »Efter flere års lav deltagelse, hvor Obama-administrationen aktivt afskrækkede amerikanske foretagender fra at deltage i Skt. Petersborg Internationale Økonomiske Forum (SPIEF), Ruslands største, internationale galla for foretagender, så ser vi i år en tilbagevenden af amerikanske selskaber med tilstrækkeligt mange deltagere til at afholde en separat

amerikansk-russisk rundbordsdiskussion for første gang i forummet historie.«

Emnerne for paneeldiskussionerne frembyder umiddelbare spørgsmål for menneskeheden: rumforskning, sundhed, infrastruktur, kernekraft, muligheden for en europæisk-urasisk integration; sundhedspleje, Transport- og logistikøkonomien under forandring; Rusland-Indien; EAEU-latinamerikansk samarbejde; Fremskridt inden for videnskabens nye, fremskudte grænser; Byggeri af infrastruktur for Eurasiens fremtid; Rusland-USA; Anvendelse af langfristet kapital til infrastrukturudvikling; Rusland-Italien; En ny standard for økonomisk samarbejde; Fremtiden, der fødes i dag; Integration og Infrastrukturprojekter i Eurasien; BRIKS, SCO, BVI, EAEU – som alle vil forme fremtiden.

Fredag, på præsidentens samling, der vil se den højeste deltagelse, vil Ruslands præsident Putin, premierminister Modi og andre statsoverhoveder tale, med den amerikanske journalist Megyn Kelly, p.t. hos NBC-TV, der vil være ordstyrer og interviewe præsident Putin. Putin skal desuden efter planen mødes med over 40 chefer for statslige fonde fra 23 lande, der tilsammen administrerer \$11,4 billion, iflg. Kiril Dmitriev, chef for det russiske Direct Investment Fund. Administrationen for Leningrad-regionen, der omgiver byen Skt. Petersborg, skal efter planen underskrive 130 aftaler til en værdi af over \$5 mia.

Den 2. juni afholdes en rundbordsdiskussion med det Amerikansk-russiske Erhvervsråd, hvor seniorledere fra nogle af Amerikas største, førende selskaber, inklusive Boeing, Caterpillar, General Electric og Phillip Morris International, vil deltage.

*Foto: NBC's Megyn Kelly er ordstyrer på et panel med den russiske præsident Putin og den indiske PM Modi fredag aften på Skt. Petersborg Internationale Økonomiske Forum. (Foto: Kremlin.ru)*



---

# Præsident Putin taler på panelet Amerikansk-russisk Erhvervsdialog på SPIEF

2. juni, 2017 – Præsident Putin talte personligt på panelet Amerikansk-russisk Erhvervsdialog på SPIEF. »Jeg vil gerne takke alle russiske og amerikanske arrangører, jeg vil gerne takke vore amerikanske venner for at komme til dagens begivenhed«, sagde Putin. Han mindede om, at Rusland og USA »som indflydelsesrige verdensmagter opretholder en dialog inden for rammerne af forskellige formater – i Gruppen af 20, i APEC og andre organisationer, og interagerer i væsentlige globale og regionale spørgsmål. Det er meget godt, Gud ske lov, at denne proces fortsætter. I løbet af de seneste flere år har vi set sammenbruddet af fundamentet for bilateralt samarbejde, som det tog årtier at opbygge. Vore bilaterale relationer befinder sig nu på et rekordlavt punkt siden den Kolde Krig.«

Han mindedes de reelle bånd, som Rusland havde til USA rent historisk, og henviste til den amerikanske hjælp til industrialisering under den tidlige sovjetperiode, samt Låne- og Lejeprogrammet under krigen med det fascistiske Tyskland. Han understregede, at Rusland havde betalt hele gælden, ikke alene fra den russiske side under sovjetperioden, men også hele gælden fra de tidligere sovjetstater.

Selv om handel og investering var lav selv før den aktuelle krise, bemærkede han, at »Store amerikanske selskaber opererer fortsat i dag i Rusland og opretholder en betydelig tilstedeværelse på det russiske marked. Der er omkring 3000 firmaer med amerikansk kapital i Rusland. Disse foretagenders

totale aktiver beløber sig til \$75 mia., og de beskæftiger flere end 180.000 mennesker.«

»Jeg er overbevist om, at normalisering af de bilaterale relationer imødekommer begge landes interesser«, sagde han, »og vi vil fortsætte dialogen med den amerikanske præsident Donald Trump og den nye administration. Men, for at opnå succes, er der behov for seriøse bestræbelser fra begge sider«. Han understregede, at begge sider vil behøve politisk vilje og bør være rede til at løse spørgsmål af gensidig interesse. Han udtrykte håb om, at erhvervsdialogen på SPIEF, initiativerne og ideerne fra repræsentanterne for russiske og amerikanske foretagender, vil være med til at skabe et favorabelt miljø til løsning af denne uafgjorte opgave.

Det bør bemærkes, at dette var den største, amerikanske delegation på SPIEF i flere år – over 300 personer – især, siden Obama åbenlyst afskrækkede deltagelse. Den omfattede den amerikanske ambassadør, Boeing og andre, førende selskaber.

*Video med Vladimir Putins åbningstale på SPIEF, engelsk voice over, kan ses her:*

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0pjI4U5JGdU>

---

**Optimisme og muligheder:  
USA må gå med i den Nye**

# Silkevej . LaRouche PAC Internationale Webcast, 2. juni, 2017

Matthew Ogden: Temaet for aftenens webcast er: USA må afgjort tilslutte sig den Nye Silkevej. Dette er den strategisk vigtigste ting, der kan ske; alt andet må ses som underordnet dette mål. Vi havde lejlighed til at tale med Lyndon og Helga LaRouche for et par timer siden, og vi har lidt nyheder; nogle bemærkninger fra Helga Zepp-LaRouche, som jeg gerne vil oplæse som indledning. Hun sagde, at verden hastigt bevæger sig i en meget ny og dynamisk retning. Momentum er meget klart. Tag Bælt & Vej Forum, der fandt sted for kun to uger siden, og tag dernæst Skt. Petersborg Internationale Økonomiske Forum, der finder sted netop i disse dage; naturligvis med den russiske præsident Vladimir Putin som vært. Ved denne lejlighed er den særlige gæst premierminister Modi fra Indien, og vi ser en fortsat integration mellem Shanghai Samarbejdsorganisationen (SCO), Bælt & Vej, den Nye Silkevej og alle disse eurasiske, økonomiske udviklings- og integrationsorganisationer.

Helga Zepp-LaRouche sagde, vi må nu optrappe vores kampagne her i USA, for, at USA kan blive fuldt ud engageret og involveret i denne nye dynamik med win-win-samarbejde og gensidigt fordelagtige udviklingsprojekter. Hun sagde, at vi må holde fokus på dette spørgsmål; ikke lade os distrahere af noget som helst andet. Verden har totalt forandret sig. Vi befinder os i en fuldstændig ny epoke, en ny æra for civilisationen.

Hun sagde, vi i nyhederne netop har set, i de sidste 24 timer, at præsident Trump har sagt nej til denne Paris-klimaaf tale, og det er en god ting, sagde hun. For det (klimaaf talen) er ikke baseret på videnskab. Jo, vi ved godt, at klimaet ændrer sig, men det er ikke baseret på menneskeskabt, global

opvarmning. Spørgsmålet er så, hvad er årsagen? Paris-aftalen var baseret på ideologi, sagde hun; den var baseret på ideologien om grænser for vækst, befolkningsreduktion, undertrykkelse af udvikling – især i den tredje verden.[1] Sæt som modsætning den Nye Silkevej, Bælt & Vej-initiativet, der kommer fra Kina, og som bringer hårdt tiltrængt udvikling til den tredje verden, til Afrika og andre steder; som disse områder ikke har haft adgang til i generationer. Man må se, at dette er en virkelig bølge af optimisme.

Hun sagde, hold tingene optimistisk, bliv ved at være optimistiske. Det kunstige diskussionsmiljø i USA, der er skabt af nyhedsmedierne, er ren propaganda, sagde hun. De falske nyheder er ikke kun de negative rapporter – det har vi set masser af. Men, de falske nyheder er i realiteten, at man ikke rapporterer de positive og optimistiske udviklinger, der finder sted i hele verden, og som især kommer via Bælt & Vej Forum.

Vi havde lejlighed til at få en ti minutter lang briefing fra fr. Helga Zepp-LaRouche i går, under en telefonkonference med hendes medarbejdere (i USA). Det var en virkelig vidunderlig og optimistisk refleksion tilbage over betydningen og virkningen af dette Bælt & Vej Forum, som hun havde mulighed for at deltage i personligt. Vi har fremstillet en slags video til jer her, hvor vi har brugt nogle billeder af Helgas besøg til Kina, og noget baggrundsmateriale, som I vil få at høre her, som gennemgår LaRouche-bevægelsens 40-50 år lange historie for denne nye, internationale, økonomiske orden, der nu er ved at blive til virkelighed. Her kommer denne ti minutter lange video:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6ekspcgnkoY>

*(Her følger resten af diskussionen på engelsk. Helgas briefing (videoen) er oversat til dansk, her: <http://schillerinstitut.dk/si/?p=19877> )*

HELGA ZEPP-LAROUICHE: Well, I just wanted to make sure that you get a first impression from me from my trip, because I think

the worst mistake we could make would be to respond to the absolutely incredible psywar propaganda coming from the U.S. mainstream media and the neoliberal media in Europe, like Spiegel

Online with its Chief Editor piece which was really out of this

way! It is very clear that people who are primarily relying on such media have a completely, totally, 100% wrong idea of what the reality is of what's going on. And we should really get that

out of our heads and not try to swim within the fishbowl of an artificially created environment. Because, from my standpoint, the world looks very, very different.

First of all, I said this already, and I reiterate it: With the Belt and Road Forum, the world has dramatically consolidated

the beginning of the new era, and I don't think at all, that short of World War III, this is going to go away, because the majority of the world is moving in a completely liberated way. And first of all, this was the highest level conference I ever participated in. There were 28 head of state, speaking one after

the other, and obviously, the speech by Xi Jinping was absolutely

outstanding, and whoever has time to listen to it, should really

do it, because it was a very, very Confucian speech, which set the tone for the two-day conference in a very clear way. So, please listen to it when you have some time.

I think the way people have to understand what is going on, you have to really think what this organization, and Lyn in particular did for the last almost 50 years. The first time when

Lyn in 1971 recognized what the significance of the

dismantling

of the Bretton Woods system was, and then all the many, many things we did in the last over 40 years: Lyn coming back from the

Iraq Ba'ath Party celebration in 1975, when he proposed the IDB

as an International Development Bank to foster a new world economic order; the fact that we, for one year, campaigned with

this IDB proposal which then basically became part of the Colombo, Sri Lanka resolution of the Non-Aligned Movement in '76.

Then, in the end of the '70s, when we worked with Indira Gandhi

on a 40-year development plan for India. Already in '76, we published a whole book about the industrialization of Africa.

We

worked with Mexican President José López Portillo on "Operation

Juárez." We put out a 50-year Pacific Basic development plan.

Lyn had already in '75 had proposed Oasis Plan. And then naturally when the [Berlin] Wall came down and the Soviet Union

disintegrated, we proposed the Productive Triangle and the Eurasian Land-Bridge.

And all of these proposals! And just think of the many, many activities we did, conferences all over five continents, all

of this was on the level of ideas, on the level of program – but

only after Xi Jinping put the New Silk Road on the agenda in 2013, and in the four-years of breathtaking developments of the

One Belt, One Road initiative since, these ideas are becoming realized! And the genie is out of the bottle!

When you have now the Bi-Oceanic Railway discussion and the tunnels and bridges connecting the Atlantic and Pacific around

Latin America, you have all these railways now being opened up in Africa – this is unprecedented! This was not done by the IMF or the World Bank. They suppressed it with the conditionalities. But with the AIIB, the New Development Bank, the New Silk Road Fund, the Maritime Silk Road Fund, the direct investment of the Chinese Ex-Im Bank, the China state bank, all of these projects are now proceeding, and they have completely changed the attitude and the self-confidence of all participating countries. Now, the way people in China look at President Trump is absolutely different than what the media are trying to say. They are very positive about Trump, in the same way that people in Russia think that Trump is somebody you can absolutely have a decent relationship with, and that is reality. And forget the media! Forget these whores in the press who are really just prostitutes for the British Empire. Don't pay any attention to what they say, and don't allow the people you are talking with to do that, either. When Trump promised \$1 trillion infrastructure investments, this was the right thing, and we put out the right program saying the United States must join the Silk Road and that {should be our focus}, and nothing else. Everything else should be a subsumed aspect of that. This is the strategically important thing, and the fact that the head of the China Investment Corp. Ding Xuedong said it's not \$1 trillion but \$8 trillion, is what the United

States needs, is absolutely on the mark; and you know it yourself

from the conditions of the roads and the infrastructure in all of

the United States.

So the fact that the same organization has now set up their office in New York, advising Chinese investors how to invest in

the United States, and vice versa, how U.S. investors can invest

in China; the fact that the Chinese are invited to participate in

this infrastructure conference in June; all of this is absolutely

going in the right direction.

What happened in the Belt and Road Forum and the many meetings I had afterwards – after all, I spent two full weeks in

Beijing, in Nanjing, in Shanghai but it's the fact that in the

many interviews, many quotes, and the general view is that we were treated with the highest respect possible. I mean, people

are fully aware of Lyn's significance as a theoretician of physical economy, his ideas are highly respected; and people treated me as we should be treated, namely as people who have devoted their entire lives to the common good of humanity.

And

this is absolutely in stark contrast to the shitty behavior that

we are normally getting from the neo-liberals in the trans-Atlantic region.

And you should understand that what the attack on Trump is supposed to do: Is to make – it's so difficult for him to focus

on the positive aspect, and there are quite some many of them, including his working relationship with Russia and China,



which

is strategically the most important. So that, basically, he has

to defend himself instead, and everybody thinks they have to spend all the time to defend themselves.

So don't fall for it. The idea that we are losing is completely off! Mankind is on the winning track and we have to pull the American population to create the kind of ferment so that the implementation of the infrastructure program as a first

step is on the agenda, and on everybody's mind and nothing else.

Even if Europe is still in the grip of the EU Commission, I mean, if Merkel wants to be the leader of the free West, – forget it. Macron just had a very excellent meeting with Putin,

defining a cordial relationship with Russia! This is not what Merkel and Obama have been cooking up, when Obama addressed the

church day of the Protestant church, but Merkel is pretty isolated.

Just look around in Europe: Macron send Raffarin, the former Prime Minister, to the Belt and Road Forum who gave an excellent speech, why China and France have to work together. Gentiloni from Italy said China and Italy will work together on

the development of Africa. All the East Europeans, Tsipras [from

Greece], Serbia, Hungary, Czechia's Zeman, Orban [Hungary] – all

of these people were absolutely enthusiastic on the Belt and Road

Initiative. And now even Germany, it shows that the German industry is actually really getting it, that their interest is to

work on joint ventures in third countries together with China. So

I think even Germany will change.

I have the strong conviction that by the end of this year, it will look completely different, because the development perspective is so contagious, that I think all the efforts by the

British Empire to somehow throw in a monkey wrench will not work!

So take the winning perspective, take the high ground, think strategically: And realize that what is happening in reality, in

many, many development projects around the world, is what this organization has been fighting for, for almost half a century. I just wanted to tell you that, because the worst thing we could do, is look at it from inside the United States, from within the box, when the whole world has moved out of the box decisively, with the Belt and Road Forum, which is not going to

be stopped by anything. And that is my view I wanted to communicate.

[end video: <https://larouchepac.com/20170602/silk-road-strategy-helga-larouche-report-belt-and-road-forum>

OGDEN: As you could hear, Helga LaRouche was extremely optimistic after spending an entire two weeks in China; and her

point could not be more clear. The United States must join the

Silk Road; this must be our focus and nothing else.

“Everything

else should be a subsumed aspect of that,” she said; “this is the

strategically most important thing.”

Helga also had, among many media interviews, you could see some pictures there from her interview on the “Dialogue with Yang

Rui” show, which was a very widely watched and wonderful interview. She had many TV interviews, many other press interviews. Here’s an interview that just came out; this is

from

{Shanghai Daily}, and I'm going to read a few excerpts from that

interview as well. I think is just really a nice overview.

As

you can see, the title is "Belt and Road Initiative Instills Hope

for Peace and Development Among Nations." You can see the picture

of Helga LaRouche there. The editor's note begins the article;

it says,

"Helga Zepp-LaRouche visited Shanghai for the first time in the summer of 1971. In 1977 she married American economist Lyndon

LaRouche, and the couple have since worked together on development plans for a just new world economic order." That was

the overview that we saw in the video just now. It goes on:

"Zepp-LaRouche founded the Schiller Institute in 1984, a think tank devoted to the realization of these plans and a renaissance and a dialogue of classical cultures.

"She is an expert in European humanist philosophy and poetry, Confucius, and history.

"After attending the recent Belt and Road Forum in Beijing, she visited Shanghai, where {Shanghai Daily} reporter Wan Lixin

interviewed her."

These are going to be a few excerpts from Helga LaRouche's answers to the questions that were posed to her in this {Shanghai

Daily} interview.

So, Helga said: "I think the Belt and Road initiative signifies a revolutionary move to a new epoch of civilization. The idea of having a win-win cooperation among nations is the first time that a concrete concept has been offered to overcome

geopolitics.

“Since geopolitics was the cause of the two world wars, I think it is a completely new paradigm of thinking where an idea

proposed by one country has the national interest basically in coherence with the interests of humanity as a whole. This has never happened.

“This has instilled tremendous hope among developing nations that they have the chance to overcome poverty and underdevelopment. And I think this is an initiative that will grow until all the continents are connected through infrastructure and development.” (That’s the idea of the World Land-Bridge.)

“We have always made the point that for this new Silk Road to succeed in the tradition of the old Silk Road, which was also

an exchange of ideas and cultures, not just products and technology, you have to combine economic cooperation with dialogue between cultures. This dialogue must be on the highest

level, so each culture has to present example of the best of their culture, like Confucianism, Italian renaissance, the German

classical period, and present the best works of arts in music and

poetry, paintings and other forms of art.

“Our experience is that when people get into contact for the first time with expression of such high culture from another culture, they are surprised by its beauty. And this beauty then

opens the heart and souls of the people. And this is the best medicine against chauvinism, xenophobia, and prejudice, and it opens the way for the love of other cultures.

“This is in conformity with Confucian teaching that all activity must be combined with strengthening of love for the mankind, because without that cultural component, that new

Silk

Road will not flourish.”

“I think it a great honor for me to participate in this Belt and Road Forum, and I was deeply impressed by the speech of President Xi Jinping. Among all participants I spoke with there

is consensus that we are actively participating in the shaping of

history. All this means that China is right now leading the world

in terms of providing the perspective for the future.

“I think this has been recognized by many countries in Latin America, in Africa, in Asia, and even some European countries start to recognize it is in their best interests to ally with that initiative. So I think it has made clear that China is the

only country right now that offers a positive perspective to overcome the strategic bottleneck of our present times.”

“Here I would like to quote from Pope Paul VI who said that ‘Development is the new name for peace.’”

“I was first in Shanghai 46 years ago in 1971, after traveling on a cargo ship. Although it was not the best time to

be in China, it had awoken my love for China.

“I think the Chinese people are much too modest. They should feel more confident about what they have accomplished. They have

created the biggest miracle of the world, even bigger than the post-war German economic miracle. They should be very proud to be

Chinese.”

So again, that was from an interview in {Shanghai Daily} called “Belt and Road Initiative Instills Hope for Peace and Development Among Nations.”

[<http://www.shanghaidaily.com/opinion/chinese-perspectives/Belt-and-Road-initiative-instills-hope-for-peace-and-development>

-among-nations/shdaily.shtml]

Obviously, this is just a wonderfully optimistic view of the world right now. I think it gives you a sense of what Helga LaRouche gained as an eyewitness and participant on the ground at the Belt and Road Forum. It's what Americans are not being given; we're not being given this kind of optimistic perspective of what the future of mankind could be, and it's very much within our grasp. The kind of pride that she said Chinese should feel about being Chinese, this is something that Americans desperately to access again; this pride of being American. With that kind of overview and our very clear sense of what our mission is, that the United States should join this New Paradigm of win-win development, I think maybe Ben can give us a little bit of a sense of what it's going to take to get the United States back on this path to development. It's been 50 years since the assassination of John F Kennedy and the departure of the United States from this sense of development and progress. This embrace of this Malthusianism, zero-growth kind of population control ideology, which has brought us to the point of just miserable economic suffering.

BENJAMIN DENISTON: As you mentioned in the beginning, Trump's announcement that the U.S. is going to pull out of this Paris climate change agreement is a really big deal; this is excellent. To my knowledge, unless I'm missing something, since this whole climate change scare got going, this is the first

U.S.

President who has actually kicked back against this. It started

really back with George H.W. Bush; Bill Clinton went along with

it. Despite the narrative of it being a Republican versus Democrat issue, the George W Bush administration was fully on board; they went with all this junk. Bio-fuels, global warming,

they pushed it fully. Obama pushed it further. Now, we finally

have a President who is actually kicking back against this.

This

is huge, this important; Trump definitely deserves respect and support for fighting against this thing. As many of our viewers

know, this is a huge global lobby that's been pushing this thing

from the top down for decades now.

I thought it was also important that Trump highlighted the economic effects of this. Some people just say the science says

this, or the science says that; but there's also the reality of

what is the effect on the people. What's the effect on your citizens of going with these policies? They say CO2 is terrible,

it's a pollutant, etc.; therefore, we need to go with all these

wonderful, clean energy solutions. They paint this rosy picture,

when in fact, that has devastating effects on the real-life conditions of our population. This whole Green energy fraud is

ridiculous. Given that this issue is now coming up, I think it's

worth just highlighting a couple of points on this.

If you want to talk about the reduction in CO2 emissions and the Green energy stuff, I still think it's worth looking at what

Germany is facing right now in terms of their energy prices.

If

you want a case study in what wind and solar and exiting nuclear

and getting rid of coal and natural gas does; in Germany, just between 2004 and 2015, their energy prices went up 50% from \$0.23

cents a kilowatt-hour in U.S. values, to \$0.35 cents a kilowatt-hour. They were already in 2004, twice the rate we pay

in the U.S. on average. And over that ten-year period, in the context of a lot of this nuclear exit, CO2-reduction stuff, they

went up another 50% to now three times what Americans pay on average for energy, just as an example of what that means for real life conditions. This has been driving industries to leave

Germany, so it has an effect on industry, other forms of economic

activity as well.

In 2013, just one subsidy – this major surcharge they added to the average German's bill to pay for wind and solar – was the

equivalent of \$0.07 U.S. cents per kilowatt-hour. That alone is

60% of what we pay on average for the U.S.; just for one subsidy,

just for wind and solar.

In the context of all the propaganda that gets put out, it's worth emphasizing the idea that we can transition to some wonderful world powered by wind, solar electricity is a face; it's a fraud. We need to go in the other direction. To the degree necessary, use coal, use natural gas, whatever; but move



towards more advanced higher forms of energy like fission and nuclear fusion – that's really the future. The future is increasing energy use per capita, increasing the use of higher qualities of energy per capita, not reduction.

I also think it's worth in the context of the debate re-erupting right now, people are freaking out about Trump doing

this; I think it's worth re-examining the issue of CO2. What does CO2 do? It's now officially labelled a pollutant by the EPA. There are all these horror stories about extreme weather, climate change, etc.

I just want to highlight one graphic [Fig. 1]. Tons could be said, but I think it's just worth it for the education of our

audience and the real facts on the issue, it's worth just highlighting this study, comparing literally dozens of different

computer models on the effects of CO2 increase with the reality

that's happened just in the last couple of decades. So, what these people did was to take 32 different computer models, all claiming what the effects of CO2 increase were going to do to the

global temperature. Those are all the variety of small dotted lines rising up in the graph there. The thick red line there is

the average of all of these 32 different computer models.

If you take the claims being made by these models and by these fear-mongers around the CO2, they say this is the type of

rate of temperature increase you're going to get. But if you compare that to the actual observations indicated below in the blue and green lines with the squares and the dots, you see that

none of the computer models have been accurate in reality.

Both

satellite measurements by two different types of measurements, as well as independent {in situ} measurements with balloon systems, have shown that the temperature over the past 15 years now on average, has been relatively flat with little increase. {None}

of the models showed this; none of them.

So, have this in mind when you hear these scare stories about this much temperature rise is going to cause this much extreme weather, etc. They're basing it all on these models that have already shown to be ridiculous.

There's another interesting aspect to the CO2 issue, which isn't discussed at all, which is this apparently secret thing that many of these fear-mongering people around climate change don't apparently know, which is that CO2 is actually a part of the biosphere, and it's actually an important part of the ecological cycle. People talk about being "pro-green": It's actually an important contribution to green on the planet. And there's been some work done, and I'd like to play a few short clips of an interview I'd done a few weeks back with a scientist who's led a great amount of effort on studying the positive effects of higher CO2 levels. This is Dr. Craig Idso,

and he has spent many years and a lot of effort doing actual experiments with greenhouses, overviews of various studies, overviews of satellite measurements, and actually studying the question of what is the effect of increasing CO2 levels on plant growth and then also on agricultural activity. These clips speak

for themselves, but I think this is an important part of the discussion, as being completely blacked out, which is, aside from

the scare-stories about CO2 not being grounded in reality, there's actually a beneficial side for increasing CO2 levels.

[start video]

DR. CRAIG IDS0: There are three main benefits from increasing carbon dioxide concentrations in the atmosphere: The first is that it increases plant productivity for biomass of the plant. On average, what we see is that for a doubling of CO<sub>2</sub>, something that's going to happen by the end of this century, most are basis plants, non-woody plants like crops and things like that, will experience anywhere from a 25% to a 55% increasing in biomass per yield. And that's a phenomenal result and that's something that's going to happen just because we raise the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration and nothing else. Second is that higher CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations help increase the plant's water use efficiency. Again, a doubling of CO<sub>2</sub> allows plants to use about half as much water as they need to produce the same amount of tissue, so another phenomenal benefit. And then the third benefit is that higher CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations helps to ameliorate environmental stresses. So if you have a stress from hot air temperature, maybe low light, low levels of soil fertility, those sorts of things, when you have higher CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations they tend to reduce or lessen that stress if not completely ameliorate it, under a doubling of CO<sub>2</sub>. You put all those three benefits together, and what you get is a tremendous benefit to the biosphere to the growth. And we're seeing that already: We see it in tree-ring cores, you can look and look at how their water use efficiency has improved over time, and we see anywhere from 35% to 40% increase already, as the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration has increased by about 40%. So the

satellites have been up measuring reflectivity of vegetation, over the entire globe ever since about the early 1980s. And what they find consistently, whether they're focussing on a particular region of the globe or the globe as a whole, you get anywhere from about 6% to 15% increase in biomass in that period of time.

The globe as a whole, or in total, is actually in a better off condition now than it was when those measurements began.

I did the first approximation to determine what is the net monetary benefit on crop production globally, in the past and then also projected into the future, and what I found was that over the 50-year period from 1961 to 2011, it amounts to about \$3.2 trillion on the global economy, a phenomenal benefit. And then, projecting that forward in time, as the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration

is going to continue to rise, from about 2012 to 2050, we expect

it to be about \$10 trillion to the economy.

And that's just really scratching the surface, because you could look at studies, for example, I'll take rice, where there's

a number of genotypes of rice, and scientists have looked at for

example, in one study I'm thinking of, they looked at 16 different genotypes of rice, and how those genotypes responded to

a doubling of CO<sub>2</sub>, and they received values that ranged from about 0 all the way to a whopping 265%. So, if governments and

scientists focussed on those specific genotypes that we received

the greatest increase in biomass per CO<sub>2</sub> rise, and then grew them, we could have this phenomenal increase in agriculture and

have no problem in feeding the planet in the future.

[end video]

DENISTON: I wanted to just highlight that interview, because that needs to get out. These are astounding facts: You compare on the one side, the scare stories are not adding up. On the other side, just review what he said, that over the past 35 years, according to global satellite measurements a 6-15% increase in total biomass production to the planet, the entire planet! We're not talking about a 10th of a percent of a half of a percent, 6-15%, that's huge. And these assessments they've done on the increased crop yield, which they put in monetary terms of \$3 trillion increased value production from higher crop yields. Again, these are not models and studies; you can take a greenhouse, you can study tomato plants, this particular species, what's their yield under regular atmospheric CO2 conditions, what's their yield under this much increase? And they have hard data on this, so these are not models, this is real stuff. And then the other irony, which is an irony for some people is this water use efficiency: You actually get a highly significant boost for certain plant species in their ability to produce more biomass with less water use, and this has rather interesting implications for drier regions in particular, where water becomes a limiting factor in plant growth. And now, all of a sudden, with higher concentrations of plant food in the atmosphere, CO2, they can grow in regions they couldn't grow in

before; they can be more healthy in regions they couldn't be healthy before. And you just take a look at places we've had water issues – California – and we have our crazy governor in California, running around pretending he's the world leader on CO2, when his state is actually benefitting greatly from the fact

there's been higher CO2 levels in the context of the recent droughts. The ironies are just all over the place.

You've really got to ask yourself, why are none of these just basic scientific facts even being added into the discussion?

All you hear is these super, extreme, incredible flimsy arguments

claiming to be science, about scare stories, and then basic, raw,

scientific data and studies and discussion – you don't hear about that in the media, at all. I think people need to let that

irony sink in, on this whole climate debate issue.

And Matthew, as you said in the beginning, the real issue is there's an ideology behind this, as Helga Zepp-LaRouche said in

our discussion earlier today: The whole climate change issue is

not really about climate change. That's the latest scare story

certain people have grabbed onto and pushed from the standpoint

of a Malthusian ideology. And tons can be said; we put out an entire report, "Global Warming Scare Is Population Reduction, Not

Science." This was put out by {Executive Intelligence Review};

if you don't have a copy of this, you should get one.

[<http://store.larouchepub.com/category-s/1840.htm>] Under Mr. LaRouche's direction, over decades, his organization has uniquely

put out the entire story of the origins of this, not just climate-change scare, but more broadly this whole environmentalist movement as coming from this Malthusian ideology.

And you look at the founders of the modern environmentalist movement, if you look at who these people were, these are people

that created the entire structure that pushed globally this whole

environmentalist system. We can just highlight some of the key

figures: Sir Julian Huxley, a lifelong proponent of eugenics, head of the British Eugenics Society. After World War II, after

Hitler's horrific war crimes, and crimes against humanity were exposed, and the connection to eugenics there, Huxley still promoted eugenics in his position in the UN, as the head of UNESCO at the time.

Prince Philip, whenever he gets the chance, talks about how terrible population growth is, and the fact that population growth is the number one problem on the planet. The guy whose said if he could be reincarnated, he'd like to come back as a deadly virus to reduce world population. That's his view, that's

his belief-system.

Prince Bernhardt of the Netherlands, who was actually working with Nazi intelligence, a member of the Nazi Party. He

even helped Nazi war criminals escape after World War II.

These

people came together and started the environmentalist movement,

going back to the immediate post-World War II period, and going

into the '50s and '60s when it started to take off.

This is the ideology behind this. It's not about the debates you see on the media, about this claim or that claim

on

supposed science of CO2. If you really want to understand the issue, it's this oligarchical, Malthusian ideology that's been campaigning for generations against economic development, against

population growth, against the development of so-called Third World nations. These are people who have said we cannot allow the world to rise to the living standards of America and the West. Think of Obama travelling to Africa, telling students in

Africa, if you all had air conditioning and cars the planet would

boil over, so that's not an option.

And that's the issue. I think what Helga said, in response to Trump's pulling out of the Paris climate agreement, is, that's

the issue. This is an expression of the old Malthusian, geopolitical paradigm, and what we're seeing emerging with everything around this Belt and Road Forum summit, everything that you just went through, Matthew, is the future. That's the

future. So Trump's dumping this climate change thing is completely coherent with the idea of the United States bucking this past, geopolitical, zero sum game, Malthusian ideology, and

getting towards building the future again.

And I would say, from our work, the next steps in the energy issue is going hard with fusion, nuclear fission as needed along

the way. But the key is not only cheap energy, in using coal, natural gas, etc., but what are the future energy sources that are going to allow not only nations around the world to come up

to the same energy use that we have in the U.S. now, but even higher levels and including in the U.S. How can we actually increase the total energy-flux density of the global economy in



totality? That's the future. The entire history of the development of mankind has always been intimately connected with and tied to these kinds of increases in energy-flux density. That's got to be the next step in this thing.

OGDEN: I think that idea, the increases in energy-flux density is the key. It unlocks the entire mystery of this whole discussion. If you go back to that history that Helga Zepp-LaRouche walked us through, about the 40, 45-year history of the LaRouche movement's fight for a new, international economic order, that was paralleled by a 45-year history of a fight against this kind of Malthusianism, the idea of "limits to growth" and overpopulation and these kinds of things that have become ingrained. This was paralleled, in fact, we saw all those reports about the great development of India, the development of the Pacific Basin, the development of Africa, the development of Latin America, all of these reports mapping out a blueprint for the development of the planet; but also, there was a book that was published, called {There Are No Limits to Growth}! And this was a book by Mr. LaRouche [1983] and it is rooted so deeply in his unique approach to economic science, the idea that, no, in fact, we are not living in a closed system. This is not a closed economic system, this is not even a closed biological system, but that in fact, the very fact that mankind has a voluntary, creative capability as a species, allows mankind to move into progressively higher and more efficient economic systems. Because we're not based on one sort of limited resources regime. And we've seen this throughout history: If you just take

the empirical view of human history, mankind has progressively moved from one resource base to another resource base, through discoveries, through new technologies, and each one of those resource bases is defined by a higher energy-flux density, more

powerful forms of "fire," as you could call it, a Promethean idea of what mankind is capable of.

You take that idea of economics, and this is really Mr.

LaRouche's unique contribution, and you say: OK, the fact that that debunks the entire idea of limited resources, that very fact

itself overthrows the entire idea which has been at the basis of

geopolitics for at least the last 50 years. What was the justification for saying, "no we have to limit the access of these countries in the Third World to these limited resources, so

that the developed countries – the United States, Western Europe

– can have access to them?" This was literally the basis of our

national security strategy in the 1970s and the 1980s. But when

you say, there's no such thing as "limited resources," it overthrows that entire idea of geopolitics.

And I think that really serves as the scientific basis for a new idea of "win-win" cooperation, as counterposed to the idea of

a zero-sum game, where, if some countries win that means other countries lose. No. In fact, {all} countries can win and development is an unlimited potential.

DENISTON: I don't think it can be stressed enough, this is an entire paradigm shift we're talking about. I think Helga's point about this being the end of the geopolitical perspective,

people have to realize that's what's on the table. And that's

why it's so important she came back from China with this report.

Because we have to get Americans to understand the depth of this

revolution that's happening right now, and the importance of the

United States jumping on board with this, immediately.

Because

this is a historic shift: If you get the United States onboard

now with Russia and China and the nations allied with them,

that's it. We can have the future, we can create the future we

want with that alliance. The British will be forced to go along

with that global alliance – they can put up as much of a fight as they can, as we're seeing, with this crazy propaganda campaign

in the United States, but people have to realize how vulnerable

the British Empire actually is, and that we have this perspective

before us. Because this has happened, this is moving right now

OGDEN: OK! Wonderful. I think that what Helga

Zepp-LaRouche's point was, stands: The United States must join

the New Silk Road. This is the primary strategic focus and everything else must be subsumed, as subsumed factor of that.

This is our focus, and nothing else.

So we need to escalate that campaign, obviously, and watch for very dramatic and rapid developments around the globe!

Thank you very much, Ben, for joining me here in the studio today, and thank you all for tuning. That's the conclusion to our broadcast today: Please stay tuned to [larouchepac.com](http://larouchepac.com).

We'll make that video that we showed you earlier, of Helga

Zepp-LaRouche's remarks available as a standalone, and your task

for this weekend is to spread that around as far as you can. Thank you very much, and good night.

[1] Se vores omfattende dossier: Stop den Grønne Kult Feature

---

## **Højeste internationale deltagelse nogensinde på Skt. Petersborg Økonomiske Forum**

*Torsdag, 1. juni, 2017* – Præsident Vladimir Putins assistent, Yuri Ushakov, sagde til journalister i dag, før starten af Skt. Petersborg Økonomiske Forum (SPIEF), der finder sted 1.-3. juni, at forummet ville bringe 511 selskaber fra 62 lande sammen, såvel som lederne af De forenede Nationer, det Internationale Atomenergiagentur, OPEC, IMF og flere andre internationale organisationer. Det bliver den højeste deltagelse nogensinde i SPIEF.

Ruslands præsident Vladimir Putin vil diskutere Ruslands utilstrækkelige repræsentation i visse FN-organisationer, kriserne i Syrien og Ukraine og situationen på Koreahalvøen, med FN's generalsekretær, Antonio Guterres. »Det vil fokusere på »varme« internationale spørgsmål, nemlig terrorisme, dernæst helt sikkert Syrien og Korea og selvfølgelig Ukraine-krisen, samt spørgsmål med relation til en reformering af FN«, sagde Ushakov. »Vi overvåger disse spørgsmål nøje, idet Ruslands repræsentation i visse FN-organisationer er indlysende utilstrækkelig.«

Putin vil holde korte møder med Østrigs kansler Christian Kern, præsident for Republika Srpska Milorad Dodik, premierministeren for Kurdistans Regionale Regering i Irak Nechervan Barani og generaldirektør for det Internationale Atomenergiagentur Yukiya Amano, på sidelinjerne af Skt. Petersborg Internationale Økonomiske Forum. Han fortsatte, »Andre møder (mellem Putin og andre ledere og delegationsledere) er ikke udelukket«.

Forummets første dag vil handle om udviklingen af små og mellemstore virksomheder i Rusland, og i denne sammenhæng er en »Fremstillet i Rusland«-kampagne blevet lanceret af det Russiske Eksportcenter, for at tiltrække udenlandske kunder til landets produkter. Eksportcentret vil begynde at udstede de første »Fremstillet i Rusland«-certifikater i løbet af juni måned, hvor man indledningsvis vil fokusere på eksport til Kina, Vietnam, Indonesien, Iran, Indien, Sydamerika og CIS-staterne (Fællesskabet af Uafhængige Stater). Senere vil også de europæiske markeder komme med.